V-MINIMAL SUBMANIFOLDS

MONICA ALICE APRODU

ABSTRACT. We introduce the notion of V-minimality, for V a smooth vector field on a Riemannian manifold, a natural extension of the classical notion of minimality, and we prove several basic properties. One featured example is given for locally conformal Kaehler (l.c.K) manifolds. It is well-known that in general, complex submanifolds in non-Kaehler l.c.K manifolds are not minimal. We prove that, however, they are V-minimal for V a suitable multiple of the Lee vector field. Extending some results from [1], to emphasis the utility of this notion, we prove that a PHH submersion is V-harmonic if and only if it has minimal fibres and a PHH V-harmonic submersion pulls back complex submanifolds to V minimal submanifolds.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (M,g) and (N,h) be compact Riemannian manifolds, V a smooth vector field on M and $\varphi : M \to N$ a smooth map. In [20], [5] the authors introduced the notion of V-harmonic map, see Definition 2.1, that naturally generalizes the classical notion of harmonic map. Unlike the latter, V-harmonicity is not defined via a variational problem, but rather by imposing the vanishing of a modified tension field, called the V-tension field of φ . If V = 0, the two notions coincide, more generally, the same is true if V is vertical.

In this generalized context, V-harmonic morphisms appear naturally, [20], and are directly connected to the minimality of the fibres, exactly as in the classical case, see Theorem 2.6 in [20].

In the case of Kähler target manifolds, harmonic morphisms generalize to pseudoharmonic morphisms [13]. Furthermore, if a natural extra-condition that the naturally almost complex structure on the horizontal distribution satisfies a Kähler-type condition, then the harmonicity implies the minimality of the fibres. These maps, called pseudohorizontally homothetic (PHH) enjoy other geometric properties, [1].

In the presence of a vector field V on a manifold M, one can naturally ask whether the definition of minimality can be perturbed to underline the influence of V. The main goal of this paper is to propose a natural definition which we call V-minimality, Definition 2.7. As for V-harmonicity, minimality corresponds to the case V = 0. One specific example is of particular interest. It is known that a complex submanifold K in a locally conformal Kähler manifold M is not minimal, unless the Lee vector field is tangent to

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 58E20,53C43,53C42, 32Q15.

Key words and phrases. V-harmonic maps, V-harmonic morphisms, PHWC maps, Kähler manifolds, locally conformal Kähler manifolds, minimal submanifolds.

This work was supported by Romanian Ministry of Education, Program PN-III, Project number PN-III-P4-ID-PCE-2020-0025, Contract 30/04.02.2021 and "Dunarea de Jos" University of Galati Grant, Project number RF 2488/31.05.2024.

the subvariety, Theorem 12.1, [9]. However, we notice that K is V-minimal, for V a suitable integer multiple of the Lee vector field of M, see Theorem 2.13. Furthermore, we connect V-minimality to PHH maps and their V-harmonicity.

The outline of the paper is as follows. Firstly, we recall the notions needed for our aim, V-harmonic maps, PHH and PHWC maps, l.c.K manifolds and we briefly review some of their properties. We then define V-minimal submanifolds, Definition 2.7 and V-pseudo harmonic morphisms, Definition 3.3. The main results of the paper are Theorem 2.13, Theorem 3.4, Theorem 3.6 and Theorem 3.7. Theorem 3.6 shows that for a PHH submersion, V-harmonicity is equivalent to having V-minimal fibres. In Theorem 3.7, we prove that a PHH V-harmonic submersion pulls back complex submanifolds to V-minimal submanifolds.

2. V - Harmonic Morphisms and V - minimal submanifolds

2.1. V - Harmonic Maps and Morphisms. We remind the reader of some basic facts on V-Harmonic Maps and Morphisms that we shall use in the sequel [20],[5], [6], [7], [16].

Definition 2.1 (see [5], [20]). Let (M^m, g) and (N^n, h) be two Riemannian manifolds, V a smooth vector field on M, and $\varphi : M \to N$ a smooth map. The map φ is called V-harmonic if satisfies:

(1)
$$\tau_V(\varphi) := \tau(\varphi) + d\varphi(V) = 0,$$

where $\tau(\varphi)$ is the tension field of the map φ . Since the differential $d\varphi$ of φ can be viewed as a section of the bundle $T^*M \otimes \varphi^{-1}TN$, $d\varphi(V)$ is a section of the bundle $\varphi^{-1}TN$. The tension $\tau_V(\varphi)$ is called the V-tension field of φ .

Remark 2.2 (cf. [5], [20]). In particular,

- 1. For V = 0, the map φ is harmonic.
- 2. A smooth function $f: M \to \mathbf{R}$ is said to be V-harmonic if:

$$\Delta_V(f) := \Delta(f) + \langle V, \nabla f \rangle = 0.$$

By taking the trace of the second fundamental form, we obtain the tension field of the map φ , $\tau(\varphi) = \text{trace} \nabla d\varphi$, which is a section of $\varphi^{-1}TN$. In local coordinates $(x_i)_{i=\overline{1,m}}$ on M and $(y_{\alpha})_{\alpha=\overline{1,n}}$ on N, respectively, it has the following expression (see for example [4], [10]):

(2)
$$\tau(\varphi) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \tau(\varphi)^{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{\alpha}},$$

where, denoting by ${}^{M}\Gamma_{ij}^{k}$ and ${}^{N}L_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha}$ the Christoffel symbols of M and N, and $\varphi^{\alpha} = \varphi \circ y_{\alpha}$,

(3)
$$\tau(\varphi)^{\alpha} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} g^{ij} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \varphi^{\alpha}}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} - \sum_{k=1}^{m} {}^M \Gamma^k_{ij} \frac{\partial \varphi^{\alpha}}{\partial x_k} + \sum_{\beta,\gamma=1}^{n} {}^N L^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} \frac{\partial \varphi^{\beta}}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \varphi^{\gamma}}{\partial x_j} \right).$$

For V a smooth vector field on M, given in local coordinates on M by $V = \sum_{i=1}^{m} V_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$, the V-tension field of the map φ has the following expression in local coordinates:

(4)
$$\tau_V(\varphi) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \tau(\varphi)^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^m V_i \frac{\partial \varphi^\alpha}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\alpha}.$$

Using the properties of the second fundamental form and of the tension field of the composition of two maps, (see [4]), we have the following lemma:

Lemma 2.3 (see [20]). The V-tension field of the composition of two maps $\varphi : M \to N$ and $\psi : N \to P$ is given by:

(5)
$$\tau_V(\psi \circ \varphi) = d\psi(\tau_V(\varphi)) + \operatorname{trace} \nabla d\psi(d\varphi, d\varphi).$$

As in the case of harmonic maps, Zhao (Definition 1.2 in [20]) defined V-harmonic morphisms as maps $\varphi: M \to N$, between Riemannian manifolds, which pulls back local harmonic functions on N to local V-harmonic functions on M.

The following results characterize the V-harmonic morphisms.

Theorem 2.4 (see [20]). Let $\varphi : (M^m, g) \to (N^n, h)$ be a smooth map between Riemannian manifolds. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1) φ is a V-harmonic morphism;
- 2) φ is a horizontally weakly conformal V-harmonic map;
- 3) $\forall \psi : W \to P$ a smooth map from an open subset $W \subset N$ with $\varphi^{-1}(W) \neq \emptyset$, to a Riemann manifold P, we have: $\tau_V(\psi \circ \varphi) = \lambda^2 \tau(\psi)$, for some smooth function $\lambda^2 : M \to [0, \infty)$;
- 4) $\forall \psi : W \to P$ a harmonic map from an open subset $W \subset N$ with $\varphi^{-1}(W) \neq \emptyset$, to a Riemann manifold P, the map $\psi \circ \varphi$ is a V-harmonic map;
- 5) $\exists \lambda^2 : M \to [0,\infty)$ a smooth function such that: $\Delta_V(f \circ \varphi) = \lambda^2 \Delta f$, for any function f defined on an open subset W of N with $\varphi^{-1}(W) \neq \emptyset$.

Corollary 2.5 (see [20]). For $\varphi : M \to N$ a V-harmonic morphism with dilation λ and $\psi : N \to P$ a harmonic morphism with dilation θ , the composition $\psi \circ \varphi$ is a V-harmonic morphism with dilation $\lambda(\theta \circ \varphi)$.

Theorem 2.6 (see [20]). For a horizontally weakly conformal map $\varphi : M \to N$ with dilation λ , any two of the following conditions imply the third:

- 1) φ is a V-harmonic map (and so a V-harmonic morphism);
- 2) $V + \nabla \log(\lambda^{2-n})$ is vertical at regular points;
- 3) the fibres of φ are minimal at regular points.

2.2. V - minimal submanifolds. Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold, V a smooth vector field on M, and K a submanifold of M. For any $x \in K$, we have the orthogonal decomposition of the tangent bundle $T_xM = T_xK \oplus T_xK^{\perp}$, with respect to g_x . According to this decomposition, we have

$$\nabla_X^M Y = \nabla_X^K Y + A(X, Y), \text{ for all } X, Y \in \Gamma(TK).$$

The symmetric bilinear map $A: TK \times TK \to TK^{\perp}$ is the second fundamental form of the submanifold K.

Definition 2.7. The submanifold K of M is called V-minimal if

$$\operatorname{trace}(A) - V \in \Gamma(TK).$$

Remark 2.8. Note that, as the trace of the second fundamental form has the image in the normal bundle, the V-minimality can be rephrased as

$$\operatorname{trace}(A) - V^{\perp} = 0.$$

Remark 2.9. Assume $\varphi : M \to N$ is a differentiable map and K is a fibre of φ . Then the V-minimality condition translates to: trace(A) - V is a vertical vector. We refer to the subsequent Proposition 2.11 for further aspects of V-minimality of the fibers.

Proposition 2.10. Let K be a closed submanifold of a Riemann manifold (M,g). Then there exists V a smooth vector field on M, such that K is V-minimal.

Proof. Let $A: TK \times TK \to TK^{\perp}$ be the second fundamental form of the submanifold K.

Consider on K the vector field trace(A). It is known that it is a normal vector field on K. Using a known result (see [12] Lemma 8.6 and Exercise 8-15) there exists a smooth vector field V on M, such that $V_{|K} = \text{trace}(A)$. This implies that $V_{|K} = V_{|K}^{\perp}$ and, moreover, $\text{trace}(A) - V^{\perp} = 0$. In conclusion, K is V-minimal.

This proposition can be adapted to Riemann submersions such that the result holds true for a vector field V that is universal across all fibers, as follows.

Recall that (see[15]) for a Riemannian submersion $\varphi : M \to N$, can be defined two tensors, one of which is the second fundamental form of all the fibers.

If \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{V} denote the horizontal and the vertical distribution on M, then the second fundamental form of all fibers $\varphi^{-1}(y)$, $y \in N$, gives rise to a (1,2)-tensor field on M, defined by:

$$T_X Y = \mathcal{H} \nabla^M_{\mathcal{V}X}(\mathcal{V}Y) + \mathcal{V} \nabla^M_{\mathcal{V}X}(\mathcal{H}Y),$$

for all arbitrary vector field X, Y in M and ∇^M the covariant derivative on M.

It is known that the tensor T has the following properties:

- 1) T_X is a skew-symmetric linear operator on TM and it reverses the horizontal and vertical subspaces.
- 2) $T_X = T_{\mathcal{V}X}$, for all X in M.
- 3) $T_X Y = T_Y X$, for all X, Y vertical vector fields on M.
- 4) If X, Y are horizontal vector fields, and V, W are vertical vector fields on M, then: $\nabla_V^M W = T_V W + \mathcal{V} \nabla_V^M W$ and $\nabla_V^M X = \mathcal{H} \nabla_V^M X + T_V X$.

In this context, we prove the following.

Proposition 2.11. Let $\varphi : M \to N$ be a Riemannian submersion. Then there exists a smooth vector field V on M such that any fiber of φ is V-minimal.

Proof. Let us consider $T_X Y = \mathcal{H} \nabla^M_{\mathcal{V}X}(\mathcal{V}Y) + \mathcal{V} \nabla^M_{\mathcal{V}X}(\mathcal{H}Y)$, the (1,2)-tensor field on M, described above.

Choose the vector field V on M, to be the trace($\mathcal{H}\nabla^{\mathrm{M}}_{\mathcal{V}\mathrm{X}}(\mathcal{V}\mathrm{Y})$).

For any $y \in N$, denote by K the fiber $\varphi^{-1}(y)$. Then,

$$V_{|K} = \operatorname{trace}(\mathcal{H}\nabla_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{Y}), \forall \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in \Gamma(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{K}).$$

But, the horizontal component of $\nabla^M_X Y, \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(TK)$ is exactly the second fundamental form of K in M, so is equal to A(X, Y). Thus, trace(A) – $V_{|K}^{\perp}$ = trace(A) – $V_{|K}$ = 0, so the fiber K is V-minimal.

This propositions provide a plethora of examples. However, the most interesting ones are obtained when V is a naturally defined vector field on M, as exemplified in the next subsection.

2.3. V-minimality and locally conformal Kähler manifolds. We recall here briefly the definitions of a locally conformal Kähler manifold and a locally conformal Kähler submersion.

Definition 2.12. ([9], [18]) A complex n-dimensional Hermitian manifold (M^{2n}, J, g) , where J denotes its complex structure and q its Hermitian metric is called a *locally* conformal Kähler (l.c.K.) manifold if there is an open cover $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of M and a family of \mathcal{C}^{∞} functions $f_i: U_i \to \mathbf{R}, i \in I$, such that each local metric:

(6)
$$g_i = \exp(-f_i)g_{|U|}$$

is Kählerian, where $g_{|U_i} = \iota_i^*$, and $\iota_i : U_i \to M$ is the inclusion.

The manifold is called globally conformal Kähler (g.c.K) manifold if there exist a \mathcal{C}^{∞} function $f: M \to \mathbf{R}$, such that the metric exp(-f)g is Kähler.

Let Ω, Ω_i be the 2-forms associated with (J, g) and (J, g_i) , respectively $(\Omega(\cdot, \cdot))$ $g(\cdot, J \cdot)$). Then (6) implies:

(7)
$$\Omega_i = \exp(-f_i)\Omega_{|U_i}.$$

A Hermitian manifold (M^{2n}, J, g) is l.c.K manifold if and only if there exists a globally defined cosed 1-form ω on M, such that $d\Omega = \omega \wedge \Omega$ (see [9], Theorem 1.1). The closed 1-form ω is called the *Lee form of the manifold*. When the manifold is g.c.K, the Lee form is exact and when the manifold is Kähler, the Lee form is zero.

The vector field $B = \omega^{\sharp}$ on M, described by the condition by $\omega(X) = g(X, B)$, for all X in M, is called the *Lee vector field* of M.

A complex submanifolds of a l.c.K. manifold is seldom minimal, see Theorem 12.1, [9]. However, it is V-minimal for a suitable vector field V, as shown below.

Theorem 2.13. With the notation as above, let K be a complex submanifold of a l.c. Kmanifold M of complex dimension m, and V a smooth vector field on M. Then K is a V-minimal submanifold on M, for V = -mB.

Proof. Denote as usual by A the second fundamental form of the complex submanifold $K \subset M$. Using eq.(12.26), Theorem 12.1, [9], and choosing an orthonormal frame on K, ${E_i, JE_i}_{i=\overline{1,n}}, m = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} K,$

(8)

$$\operatorname{trace}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (A(E_i, E_i) + A(JE_i, JE_i))$$

$$= -\sum_{i=1}^{m} g(E_i, E_i) B^{\perp}$$

$$= -nB^{\perp}.$$

If we choose the vector field on M to be V = -mB, then $\operatorname{trace}(A) - V = -m(B^{\perp} - B) \in \Gamma(TK)$, which meas that $K \subset M$ is a V = -mB minimal submanifold.

Definition 2.14. ([9], [14]) Let (M, J, g) and (M', J', g') be two almost Hermitian manifolds and $\varphi : M \to M'$ a Riemannian submersion, which is holomorphic (i.e. $d\varphi \circ J = J' \circ d\varphi$). Moreover, if (M, J, g) is a l.c.K manifold, then φ is called a *l.c.K submersion*.

Another example is obtained when considering l.c.K submersions, as proven in the following result.

Proposition 2.15. Let $\varphi : M \to M'$ a l.c.K submersion, and V a vector field on M, where M is compact. Then, the fibers of φ are V-minimal if and only if the Lee vector field B of M satisfies V + mB is a vertical vector field, where m is the dimension of the fiber.

Proof. Let $y \in M'$, and denote by K, the fiber $\varphi^{-1}(y)$ which is an immersed complex submanifold of M ($K \stackrel{i}{\hookrightarrow} M$).

The l.c.K metric g on M induces a l.c.K metric g_K on K with the Lee form $\omega_K = i^* \omega$, and the Lee vector field B_K given by the decomposition $B = B_K + B^{\perp}$, $B_K \in \Gamma(TK)$, $B^{\perp} \in \Gamma(TK^{\perp})$.

Using Gauss-Weingarten equation of the submanifold K we have:

$$\begin{split} \nabla^M_X B_K &= \nabla^K_X B_K + A(X,B_K), \\ \nabla^M_X B^\perp &= -\mathcal{A}_{B^\perp}(X) + D_X B^\perp, \end{split}$$

for all $X \in \Gamma(TK)$, where A is the second fundamental form of K in M, D is the induced connection of the normal bundle of K, and $-\mathcal{A}_{B^{\perp}}(X)$ is the tangential component of $\nabla_X^M B^{\perp}$. Moreover, for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TK)$,

$$g(\mathcal{A}_{B^{\perp}}(X), Y) = g(A(X, Y), B^{\perp}),$$

and the mean curvature vector of K is

(9)
$$\eta = \frac{1}{2m} \text{trace}(\mathbf{A}).$$

If K is V-minimal, then trace(A) – V is a vertical vector field.

Keeping in mind that trace(A) $\in \Gamma(\mathrm{TK}^{\perp})$, and decompose $V = V^{v} + V^{\perp}$ into tangent and normal component with respect to K, we have

(10)
$$\operatorname{trace}(\mathbf{A}) - \mathbf{V}^{\perp} = 0.$$

As M is a l.c.K manifold, let us denote by \tilde{A} the (local) second fundamental form of K with respect to the local Kähler metric of the l.c.K structure on M, and $\tilde{\eta}$ the corresponding mean curvature vector. Then, (see [9], Theorem 1.2 or [19] or [18]), $\tilde{A}(X,Y) = A(X,Y) + \frac{1}{2}g(X,Y)B^{\perp}$, for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TK)$, and $\tilde{\eta} = \eta + \frac{1}{2}B^{\perp}$.

Since complex submanifolds of Kähler manifolds are minimal, $\tilde{\eta} = 0$, and consequently

(11)
$$\eta = -\frac{1}{2}B^{\perp}.$$

Using (9), (10), (11), we obtain: $B^{\perp} = -\frac{1}{m}V^{\perp}$, which implies that V + mB is a vertical vector field.

Conversely, if V + mB is a vertical vector field, then $B^{\perp} = -\frac{1}{m}V^{\perp}$. Since $\tilde{\eta} = 0$, and using (11), we get $\eta = \frac{1}{2m}V^{\perp}$ and, from (9), trace(A) - V^{\perp} = 0. So K is V-minimal. \Box

Remark 2.16. Let $\varphi : M \to M'$, M compact, a l.c.K submersion, and V a vector field on M. Denote by ω , ω' the Lee forms of M and M', and by B and B' the corresponding Lee vector fields. From [9], Proposition 10.1, $\omega(X) = \omega'(X') \circ \varphi$, for any horizontal vector field X in M, which is φ related to $X' \in \Gamma(TM')$, i.e $d\varphi(X) = X'$, and $\mathcal{H}(B)$ is a horizontal vector field φ related to B', given by the decomposition $B = \mathcal{V}(B) + \mathcal{H}(B)$ of B into vertical and horizontal component with respect to M.

If the fibers of φ are V-minimal, then V + mB is a vertical vector and $d\varphi(B) = -\frac{1}{m}d\varphi(V)$.

Then, $-\frac{1}{m}d\varphi(V) = d\varphi(\mathcal{V}(B) + \mathcal{H}(B)) = d\varphi(\mathcal{H}(B)) = B'$. So the Lie vector field on M' is $-\frac{1}{m}d\varphi(V)$.

3. V-PSEUDO HARMONIC MORPHISMS

3.1. Pseudo Harmonic Morphisms and Pseudo Horizontally Homothetic Maps. The notion of harmonic morphisms can be generalized when the target manifold is endowed with a Kähler structure (see [13], [8]).

Let us consider a smooth map $\varphi : (M^m, g) \to (N^{2n}, J, h)$ from a Riemannian manifold to a Kähler manifold. The map φ is said to be a *pseudo-harmonic morphism* (shortening PHM) if and only if it pulls back local holomorphic functions on N to local harmonic maps from M to **C**.

For any $x \in M$, denote by $d\varphi_x^* : T_{\varphi(x)}N \to T_xM$ the adjoint map of the tangent linear map $d\varphi_x : T_xM \to T_{\varphi(x)}N$.

If X is a local section on the pull-back bundle $\varphi^{-1}TN$, then $d\varphi^*(X)$ is a local horizontal vector field on M.

Definition 3.1 (see [13]). The map φ is called *pseudo-horizontally (weakly) conformal* (shortening PHWC) at $x \in M$ if $[d\varphi_x \circ d\varphi_x^*, J] = 0$.

The map φ is called *pseudo-horizontally (weakly) conformal* if it is pseudo-horizontally (weakly) conformal at every point of M.

Then, *pseudo-harmonic morphism* can also be characterised as harmonic, pseudo-horizontally (weakly) conformal maps (see [13], [8]).

The local description of PHWC condition is given by the following (see [13]): let $(x_i)_{i=\overline{1,m}}$ be real local coordinates on M, $(z_{\alpha})_{\alpha=\overline{1,n}}$ be complex local coordinates on N, and $\varphi^{\alpha} = z_{\alpha} \circ \varphi$, $\forall \alpha = 1, ..., n$. Then the PHWC condition for φ reads:

(12)
$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{m} g_M^{ij} \frac{\partial \varphi^{\alpha}}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \varphi^{\beta}}{\partial x_j} = 0$$

for all $\alpha, \beta = 1, ..., n$.

A special class of pseudo-horizontally weakly conformal maps, are pseudo-horizontally homothetic maps.

Definition 3.2 (see [1]). A map $\varphi : (M^m, g) \to (N^{2n}, J, h)$ is called *pseudo-horizontally* homothetic at x (shortening PHH) if is PHWC at a point $x \in M$ and satisfy:

(13)
$$d\varphi_x \left((\nabla_v^M d\varphi^* (JY))_x \right) = J_{\varphi(x)} d\varphi_x \left((\nabla_v^M d\varphi^* (Y))_x \right)$$

for any horizontal tangent vector $v \in T_x M$ and any vector field Y locally defined on a neighbourhood of $\varphi(x)$.

A PHWC map φ is called *pseudo-horizontally homothetic*, if and only if

(14)
$$d\varphi(\nabla_X^M d\varphi^*(JY)) = Jd\varphi(\nabla_X^M d\varphi^*(Y)),$$

for any horizontal vector field X on M and any vector field Y on N.

The condition (14) is true for every horizontal vector field X on M and any section Y of $\varphi^{-1}TN$. So, instead of working with vector fields on N, we work with the larger space of sections in the pull back bundle $\varphi^{-1}TN$.

One of the basic properties of pseudo-horizontally homothetic maps (Proposition 3.3, [1]) shows that a PHH submersion is a harmonic map if and only if it has minimal fibres. Also, pseudo-horizontally homothetic maps are good tools to construct minimal submanifolds (Theorem 4.1, [1]).

3.2. V-Pseudo Harmonic Morphisms. Generalizing the class of harmonic maps and morphisms, respectively to V-harmonic maps and pseudo harmonic morphisms we obtain V-pseudo harmonic morphisms with a description similar to pseudo harmonic morphisms.

Definition 3.3. Let (M^m, g) be a Riemannian manifold of real dimension m, (N^{2n}, J, h) a Hermitian manifold of complex dimension n, $\varphi : M \to N$ a smooth map and V a smooth vector field on M. The map φ is called V-pseudo harmonic morphism (shortening V-PHM) if φ is V-harmonic and pseudo horizontally weakly conformal.

The characterization of *pseudo harmonic morphism* given in [13] remain true in the general case of V-harmonic maps.

Theorem 3.4. Let $\varphi : M \to N$ be a smooth map from a Riemannian manifold (M^m, g) to a Kähler one (N^{2n}, J, h) and V a smooth vector field on M. Then φ is V-pseudo harmonic morphism (V-PHM) if and only if it pulls back local complex valued holomorphic functions on N to local V-harmonic functions on M.

Proof. We adapt the proof of Proposition 2 of [13] to our context. Let us consider $p \in M$ a given point, $\{x_i\}_{i=\overline{1,m}}$ local coordinates at p, $\{z_\alpha\}_{\alpha=\overline{1,n}}$ local complex coordinates at $\varphi(p) \in N$, and $\varphi^{\alpha} = z_{\alpha} \circ \varphi$. By ${}^M\Gamma^k_{ij}$ and ${}^NL^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma}$ are denoted the Christoffel symbols on Mand N, respectively. The vector field V, in local coordinates in M, reads: $V = \sum_{i=1}^m V_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$.

Suppose that φ is *V*-*PHM* and $f: N \to \mathbf{C}$ is a local holomorphic function on *N*.

Using the V-tension field of composition of two maps (Lemma 2.3) and the V-harmonicity of the map φ (Definition 2.1): $\tau_V(f \circ \varphi) = \text{trace} \nabla df(d\varphi, d\varphi)$.

In order to prove that $\tau_V(f \circ \varphi) = 0$, at point p, since $d\varphi(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \frac{\partial \varphi^{\alpha}}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\alpha}}$, we have:

(15)
$$\nabla df \left(d\varphi \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right), d\varphi \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) \right) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^n \frac{\partial \varphi^\alpha}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \varphi^\beta}{\partial x_j} \nabla df \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_\alpha}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_\beta} \right).$$

To compute $\nabla df\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\alpha}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\beta}}\right)$, first let us remark that $f^{-1}T\mathbf{C} = N \times \mathbf{C}$ is the trivial vector bundle and the fibre $(f^{-1}T\mathbf{C})_{\varphi(p)} = \mathbf{C}$. The induced connection on the pull-back bundle $f^{-1}T\mathbf{C}$ is defined by:

$$\nabla^f_X \sigma := X(\sigma), \forall \sigma \in \Gamma(f^{-1}T\mathbf{C}), (\sigma : N \to \mathbf{C} \text{ is a map})$$

So,

(16)
$$\nabla df\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\alpha}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\beta}}\right) = \nabla^{f}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\alpha}}} df\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\beta}}\right) - df\left(\nabla^{N}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\alpha}}}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\beta}}\right) = \frac{\partial^{2}f}{\partial z_{\alpha}\partial z_{\beta}} - \sum_{\gamma=1}^{n} {}^{N}L^{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{\gamma}}$$

From the equations (15) and (16) we obtain:

$$\nabla df \left(d\varphi \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right), d\varphi \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) \right) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^n \frac{\partial \varphi^\alpha}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \varphi^\beta}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z_\alpha \partial z_\beta} - \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma=1}^n \frac{\partial \varphi^\alpha}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \varphi^\beta}{\partial x_j} {}^N L_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_\gamma}$$

and

trace
$$\nabla df\left(d\varphi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right), d\varphi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\right)\right) =$$

(17)
$$=\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{m} g^{ij} \frac{\partial \varphi^{\alpha}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial \varphi^{\beta}}{\partial x_{j}}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial z_{\alpha} \partial z_{\beta}} - \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{m} g^{ij} \frac{\partial \varphi^{\alpha}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial \varphi^{\beta}}{\partial x_{j}}\right) {}^{N} L_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{\gamma}}$$

As φ is PHWC (see (12)), the term $\sum_{i,j=1}^{m} g^{ij} \frac{\partial \varphi^s}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \varphi^k}{\partial x_j}$, in relation (17), vanishes, and hence

trace
$$\nabla df\left(d\varphi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right), d\varphi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\right)\right) = 0.$$

Conversely, consider $f: N \to \mathbb{C}$ a local complex holomorphic function, V a smooth vector field on M, and $\varphi: M \to N$ a smooth map, such that $\tau_V(f \circ \varphi) = 0$.

Applying the chain rule for V-harmonic maps (Lemma 2.3), we get:

(18)
$$0 = df(\tau_V(\varphi)) + \operatorname{trace} \nabla df(d\varphi, d\varphi)$$

We compute the above equality in local coordinates, using the local description of the V-tension field (4) and the equation (17):

(19)

$$0 = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \tau(\varphi)^{\alpha} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{\alpha}} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{m} V_{i} \frac{\partial \varphi^{\alpha}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{\alpha}} + \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{m} g^{ij} \frac{\partial \varphi^{\alpha}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial \varphi^{\beta}}{\partial x_{j}} \right) \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial z_{\alpha} \partial z_{\beta}} - \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{m} g^{ij} \frac{\partial \varphi^{\alpha}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial \varphi^{\beta}}{\partial x_{j}} \right)^{N} L_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{\gamma}}$$

Choosing in (19) particular holomorphic functions f, for example $f(z) = z_k$, $\forall k = \overline{1, n}$, and normal coordinates centred at p (respectively, at $\varphi(p)$), then $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z_\alpha \partial z_\beta} = 0$, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_\gamma} = \delta_{k\gamma}$, and the Christoffel symbols of N vanish. Then, $\tau_V(f \circ \varphi) = 0$ is equivalent to:

$$\tau(\varphi)^k + \sum_{i=1}^m V_i \frac{\partial \varphi^k}{\partial x_i} = 0, \forall k = \overline{1, n},$$

where the term $\tau(\varphi)^k + \sum_{i=1}^m V_i \frac{\partial \varphi^k}{\partial x_i} = 0$ is the k component of the $\tau_V(\varphi)$.

This proves that φ is a V-harmonic map. It follows that the equation (18) reduces to: trace $\nabla df(d\varphi, d\varphi) = 0$.

In this last equality, choosing $f(z) = z_{\alpha} z_{\beta}, \forall \alpha, \beta = \overline{1, n}$ and normal coordinates in M and N respectively, $\frac{\partial^2(z_{\alpha} z_{\beta})}{\partial z_{\alpha} \partial z_{\beta}} = 1$, and ${}^N L_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma} = 0$, implies

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{m} g^{ij} \frac{\partial \varphi^{\alpha}}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \varphi^{\beta}}{\partial x_j} = 0$$

which means that φ is PHWC.

The following result gives a relation between V-harmonic morphisms, pseudo harmonic morphisms and V-pseudo harmonic morphisms, compare to Proposition 3 of [13].

Proposition 3.5. Let (M,g) and (N,h) be two Riemannian manifolds, V a smooth vector field on M, (P, J, p) a Kähler manifold and $\psi : M \to N$ and $\varphi : N \to P$ two smooth maps. If ψ is V-harmonic morphism and φ is pseudo harmonic morphism (PHM), then $\varphi \circ \psi$ is V-pseudo harmonic morphism (V-PHM).

Proof. As φ is a pseudo harmonic morphism (see Section 1.2), for any $f : W \to \mathbf{C}$ a local complex valued holomorphic function defined in an open subset $W \subset P$, with $\varphi^{-1}(W) \subset N$ non-empty, $f \circ \varphi : \varphi^{-1}(W) \to \mathbf{C}$ is a local harmonic function on N.

Using Corollary 2.5 for the V-harmonic morphism ψ and the local harmonic function $f \circ \varphi : \varphi^{-1}(W) \to \mathbf{C}$, on N, we get $f \circ \varphi \circ \psi : \psi^{-1}(\varphi^{-1}(W)) \to \mathbf{C}$, a local V-harmonic function on M.

So, $\varphi \circ \psi : M \to P$ pulls-back local holomorphic functions on P to local V-harmonic functions on M. By Theorem 3.4, $\varphi \circ \psi$ is V-PHM.

Theorem 3.6. Let $\varphi : (M^m, g) \to (N^{2n}, J, h), n \ge 2$, be a pseudo horizontally homothetic submersion (PHH). Then φ is V-harmonic if and only if φ has V-minimal fibres.

Proof. Similar to Proposition 3.3, [1], we can choose in the pull-back bundle $\varphi^{-1}TN$ a local frame $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n, Je_1, Je_2, \ldots, Je_n\}$ such that

$$\{d\varphi^*(e_1),\ldots,d\varphi^*(e_n),d\varphi^*(Je_1),\ldots,d\varphi^*(Je_n)\}\$$

is an orthogonal frame in the horizontal distribution: choose $e_1 \in \Gamma(\varphi^{-1}TN)$ a nonvanishing section and, using the PHWC property of φ and the fact that $d\varphi^*(e_1)$ is an horizontal vector, we get the orthogonality of $d\varphi^*(e_1)$ and $d\varphi^*(Je_1)$. At step k, take e_k orthogonal on both vectors $d\varphi \circ d\varphi^*(e_i)$ and $d\varphi \circ d\varphi^*(Je_i)$, $\forall i \leq k-1$.

If we denote by $E_k = d\varphi^*(e_k), E'_k = d\varphi^*(Je_k)$, then we have an orthogonal frame in the horizontal distribution $\mathcal{H}(TM), \{E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_n, E'_1, \ldots, E'_n\}$. Note that, by the PHWC condition of φ ,

(20)
$$g(E_i, E_i) = g(E'_i, E'_i).$$

10

It was proved in [1] that, the property of the induced connection ∇^{φ} on $\varphi^{-1}TN$ (Lemma 1.16, [17]) and the PHH condition, imply:

(21)
$$\nabla_{E'_i}^{\varphi} d\varphi(E'_i) = -\nabla_{E_i}^{\varphi} d\varphi(E_i) + J d\varphi([E'_i, E_i])$$

and

(22)
$$\frac{1}{g(E_i, E_i)} J d\varphi([E'_i, E_i]) = \frac{1}{g(E'_i, E'_i)} \nabla^{\varphi}_{E'_i} d\varphi(E'_i) + \frac{1}{g(E_i, E_i)} \nabla^{\varphi}_{E_i} d\varphi(E_i)$$

(23)
$$d\varphi(\nabla^M_{E'_i}E'_i) = -d\varphi(\nabla^M_{E_i}E_i) + Jd\varphi([E'_i, E_i]).$$

and

(24)
$$\frac{1}{g_M(E_i, E_i)} J d\varphi([E'_i, E_i]) = \frac{1}{g_M(E_i, E_i)} d\varphi(\nabla^M_{E_i} E_i) + \frac{1}{g_M(E'_i, E'_i)} d\varphi(\nabla^M_{E'_i} E'_i).$$

We choose $\{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_s\}$ an orthonormal basis for the vertical distribution $\mathcal{V}(TM)$. With this notation and using (21), (22), (23), (24), it was shown in Proposition 3.3. in [1], that

(25)
$$\tau(\varphi) = -d\varphi(\sum_{j=1}^{s} \nabla_{u_j}^M u_j).$$

If φ is a V-harmonic map, then $\tau_V(\varphi) = 0$ and, from (25) we obtain:

$$0 = -d\varphi(\sum_{j=1}^{s} \nabla_{u_j}^M u_j) + d\varphi(V),$$

which is equivalent with the V-minimality of the fibres.

Conversely, suppose φ has V-minimal fibres. As φ is a PHH submersions, in the above constructed frame $\{u_1, \ldots, u_s, E_1, \ldots, E_n, E'_1, \ldots, E'_n\}$, the V-tension field of φ reads:

$$\tau_V(\varphi) = -d\varphi(\sum_{j=1}^s \nabla^M_{u_j} u_j) + d\varphi(V)$$

The V-minimality of the fibres imply $d\varphi(\operatorname{trace}(A) - V) = 0$.

Let us choose $y \in N$, and denote the fibre by $K = \varphi^{-1}(y)$.

Using (20), we have

trace(A) - V =
$$\sum_{i=1}^{s} A(u_i, u_i) - V = \frac{1}{g_M(u_i, u_i)} \sum_{i=1}^{s} (\nabla_{u_i}^M u_i - \nabla_{u_i}^K u_i) - V$$

Since $\nabla_{u_i}^K u_i$ is vertical, $d\varphi(\nabla_{u_i}^K u_i) = 0$, and

$$d\varphi(\operatorname{trace}(A) - V) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} d\varphi(\nabla_{u_i}^M u_i) - d\varphi(V),$$

and hence φ is V-harmonic.

The construction of minimal submanifolds was done for horizontally homothetic harmonic morphisms (see [3]) and generalised for the case of pseudo-horizontally homothetic harmonic submersions (see [1]). Replacing harmonicity by V-harmonicity, a similar result can be proved.

Theorem 3.7. Let (M^m, g) be a Riemannian manifold, (N^{2n}, J, h) be a Kähler manifold, V a smooth vector field on M and $\varphi : M \to N$ be a pseudo-horizontally homothetic (PHH), V-harmonic submersion.

If $P^{2p} \subset N^{2n}$ is a complex submanifold of N, then $\varphi^{-1}(P) \subset M$ is a V-minimal submanifold of M.

Proof. We shall use an orthogonal local basis in the tangent bundle of $\varphi^{-1}(P)$, as in ([1]). Specifically, let us consider the decomposition of TM into the vertical distribution V and the horizontal one H, $T_xM = V_x \oplus H_x$, for any point $x \in M$. Denote $\varphi^{-1}(P)$, by K, $H_1 = TK \cap H$ and, for any $x \in K$, $H_x = H_{1x} \oplus H_{2x}$. Also, $T_xK = V_x \oplus H_{1x}$, $H_{1x} = \{u \in H_x, d\varphi_x(u) \in T_{\varphi(x)}P\}, \forall x \in K$.

We choose a linearly independent system of local sections $\{e_1, \ldots, e_p, Je_1, \ldots, Je_p\}$ in $\varphi^{-1}TN$, such that, if we denote by $E_i = d\varphi^*(e_i)$ and $E'_i = d\varphi^*(Je_i)$, the restriction of the system to K is a local orthonormal basis in H_1 .

The system was chosen in the following way. Since $d\varphi_{x|_{H_x}} \circ d\varphi_x^*$ is a linear isomorphism, take v_1 a local section of $\varphi^{-1}TP$, non-vanishing along K and choose the section e_1 of $\varphi^{-1}TN$, such that $(d\varphi_{|H} \circ d\varphi^*)(e_1) = v_1$. Using the PHWC condition of φ , $d\varphi(d\varphi^*(Je_1))$ is also a section of $\varphi^{-1}TP$ and $d\varphi^*(e_1)$ and $d\varphi^*(Je_1)$ are orthogonal local vector fields in H and also in H_1 . By induction, at step k, we take e_k perpendicular on both $(d\varphi \circ d\varphi^*)(e_i)$ and $(d\varphi \circ d\varphi^*)(Je_i), \forall 1 \leq i \leq k-1$, such that $(d\varphi \circ d\varphi^*)(e_i)$ is a section in $\varphi^{-1}TP$. In the sequel, the computations are performed on points of K only.

Consider $\{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_r\}$ an orthonormal local basis in the vertical distribution V such that $\{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_r, E_1, \ldots, E_p, E'_1, \ldots, E'_p\}$ is an orthogonal local basis in TK. Denote by A the second fundamental form of the submanifold K.

The V-minimality of K is equivalent to trace $(A) - V \in \Gamma(TK)$, which reads:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} \left[\frac{1}{g_M(u_i, u_i)} d\varphi(\nabla_{u_i}^M u_i - \nabla_{u_i}^K u_i) \right] + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \left[\frac{1}{g_M(E_i, E_i)} d\varphi(\nabla_{E_i}^M E_i - \nabla_{E_i}^K E_i) \right]$$
$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{p} \left[\frac{1}{g_M(E_i', E_i')} d\varphi(\nabla_{E_i'}^M E_i' - \nabla_{E_i'}^K E_i') \right] - d\varphi(V) \text{ is a section of } \varphi^{-1}TP.$$

Using relations (20) and (23), we compute:

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{i=1}^{p} \left[\frac{1}{g_{M}(u_{i},u_{i})} d\varphi(\nabla_{u_{i}}^{M} u_{i} - \nabla_{u_{i}}^{K} u_{i}) \right] + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \left[\frac{1}{g_{M}(E_{i},E_{i})} d\varphi(\nabla_{E_{i}}^{M} E_{i}) + \frac{1}{g_{M}(E_{i}',E_{i}')} d\varphi(\nabla_{E_{i}'}^{M} E_{i}') \right] \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^{p} \left[\frac{1}{g_{M}(E_{i},E_{i})} (\nabla_{E_{i}}^{K} E_{i}) + \frac{1}{g_{M}(E_{i}',E_{i}')} (\nabla_{E_{i}'}^{K} E_{i}') \right] - d\varphi(V) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{p} d\varphi(\nabla_{u_{i}}^{M} u_{i}) - \sum_{i=1}^{p} d\varphi(\nabla_{u_{i}}^{K} u_{i}) - d\varphi(V) \end{split}$$

As φ is a pseudo-horizontally homothetic V-harmonic submersion, from Theorem (3.6), φ has V-minimal fibres, which is equivalent to: $d\varphi(\sum_{i=1}^{p} \nabla_{u_i}^M u_i) = d\varphi(V)$.

Hence, trace(A) –
$$V \in \Gamma(TK)$$
 is equivalent to $\sum_{i=1}^{p} d\varphi(\nabla_{u_i}^{K} u_i)$ is a section of $\varphi^{-1}TP$. \Box

Acknowledgements. This work was partially supported by Romanian Ministry of Education, Program PN-III, Project number PN-III-P4-ID-PCE-2020-0025, Contract 30/04.02.2021 and by "Dunarea de Jos" University of Galati Grant, Project number RF 2488/31.05.2024.

References

- Aprodu Monica Alice, Aprodu Marian, Brânzănescu Vasile, A Class of Harmonic Submersions and Minimal Submanifolds, International Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 11, No. 9, (2000), 1177-1191.
- [2] Baird Paul, Eells James, A conservation law for harmonic maps, Geometry Symposium Utrecht 1980, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 894 Springer-Verlag (1981), 1-25.
- Baird Paul, Gudmundsson Sigmundur, p-harmonic maps and minimal submanifolds, Math. Ann, No. 294 (1992), 611 - 624.
- Baird Paul, Wood John C., Harmonic Morphisms Between Riemannian Manifolds, Clarendon Press-Oxford (2003), ISBN 0-19-850362-8.
- [5] Chen Qun, Jost Jürgen, Wang Guofang, A Maximum Principle for Generalizations of Harmonic Maps in Hermitian, Affine, Weyl, and Finsler Geometry, J. Geom. Anal., No. 25 (2015), 2407–2426.
- [6] Chen Qun, Jost Jürgen, Qiu Hongbing, Existence and Liouville theorems for V-harmonic maps from complete manifolds, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. Vol. 42 (2012), No. 4, 565-584.
- [7] Chen Qun, Qiu Hongbing, Rigidity of self-shrinkers and translating solitons of mean curvature flows, Adv. Math. Vol. 294, (2016), 517-531.
- [8] Chen Jingyi, Structures of Certain Harmonic Maps into Kähler Manifolds, International Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 8, No. 5, (1997), 573-581.
- [9] Dragomir Sorin, Ornea Liviu, Locally Conformal Kähler Geometry, Progress in Mathematics 155, Birkhäuser Boston (1998), ISBN 978-1-4612-7387-5.
- [10] Eells James, Lemaire Luc, A report on harmonic maps, Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society 10(1) (1978), 1 - 68.
- [11] Hsiang Wu-Yi, Lawson H.Blaine, Minimal submanifolds of low cohomogeneity, J. Differential Geom. No. 5(1-2) (1971), 1-38.
- [12] Lee John M., Introduction to Smooth Manifolds, Springer, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 218 (2013), ISBN 978-1-4419-9981-8.
- [13] Loubeau Eric, Pseudo Harmonic Morphisms, International Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 8, No. 7, (1997), 943-957.
- [14] Marrero Juan Carlos, Rocha Juan, Locally Conformal Kähler Submersions, Geometriae Dedicata, Vol. 52, (1994), 271-289.
- [15] O'Neill Barret, The fundamental equation of a submersion, Michigan Math. J. Vol. 13, No. 4, (1966), 459-469.
- [16] Qiu Hongbing, The heat flow of V-harmonic maps from complete manifolds into regular balls, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 145, (2017), No. 5, 2271-2280.
- [17] Urakawa Hajime, Calculus of Variations and Harmonic Maps, Translations of Mathematical Monographs 132 AMS (1993), ISBN 0-8218-4581-0.
- [18] Vaisman Izu, Generalized Hopf Manifolds, Geometriae Dedicata, Vol. 13, (1982), 231-255.
- [19] Vaisman Izu, On locally conformal almost Kähler manifolds, Israel J.Math, Vol. 24, (1976), 338-351.
- [20] Zhao Guangwen, V-Harmonic Morphisms Between Riemannian Manifolds, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol.148, No. 3, (2020), 1351-1361.

- [21] White Brian, The Space of Minimal Submanifolds for Varying Riemannian Metrics, Indiana University Mathematics Journal, Vol. 40, No. 1 (1991), 161 200.
- [22] Wood John C., Harmonic morphisms, foliations and Gauss map, Contemp. Math.49 (1986), 145-184.

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Faculty of Sciences and Environmental, University "Dunărea de Jos" of Galați, 111 Științei Str., RO-800189, Galați, Romania. *Email address*: Monica.Aprodu@ugal.ro

14