

# UNLIKELY INTERSECTION IN HIGHER-DIMENSIONAL FORMAL GROUPS

MABUD ALI SARKAR\* AND ABSOS ALI SHAIKH<sup>†</sup>

ABSTRACT. In this work, we identify a certain family of higher-dimensional formal groups over the ring of  $p$ -adic integers such that any two formal groups in that class coincide if they share infinitely many torsion points. As a useful application, we provide a sufficient condition for two abelian varieties to be isogenous just by looking at their  $p$ -power torsion points.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction and Motivation	1
2. Preliminary	3
3. Unlikely intersection in higher-dimensional formal groups	6
3.1. Alternative proof of Proposition 3.9	16
3.2. An application to isogeneity of abelian varieties	17
4. Conclusion and Future Direction	19
Acknowledgement	19
References	19
Appendix A. Number of points in an algebraic set	21

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATION

The study of the torsion points of formal groups has achieved significant attention over the past few decades due to its relevance in number theory. Lubin and Tate [17, 1964] pioneered the groundwork by establishing a remarkable connection to local class field theory, which has since been expanded upon by several authors, including but not limited to Iwasawa [14], De Shalit [6], Berger [3], Rosen and Zimmermann [20], Matson [19], Abdellatif and Sarkar [1]. Recently, Iovita, Morrow, and Zaharescu [13] constructed a tamely ramified extension over the

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 11S31, 13J05, 14K02, 14K05.

*Key words and phrases*. Unlikely intersections, torsion points, formal groups, abelian varieties, isogeny.

completion of the maximal unramified extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  by employing the  $p$ -power torsion points of the formal group of an abelian variety. Berger [4] showed that under a certain condition, the torsion points of a formal group can determine the formal group itself. Some similar results were reported by Bogomolov and Tschinkel [5, Chap. 4] for elliptic curves, whereas by Baker and DeMarco [2] for rational functions.

In this report, we study a similar question for higher dimensional formal groups. Then we apply our result to determine an isogeny class of abelian varieties only by examining at their  $p$ -power torsion points. To be precise:

Let  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  be the ring of integers of the  $p$ -adic field  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Suppose  $K$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  such that its ring of integers is  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . We also suppose that  $\bar{K}$  is an algebraic closure of  $K$  and  $\mathbb{C}_p$  is the  $p$ -adic completion of  $\bar{K}$ . Let  $F(X, Y) = X + Y \in \mathcal{O}_K[[X, Y]]$  be an one-dimensional formal group law over  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . Let  $\text{Tors}(F)$  be the set of torsion points of  $F$  in  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}_p} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}_p \mid |z|_p < 1\}$ . Then Berger proved:

**Theorem 1.1.** [4, Theorem A] *If  $F$  and  $G$  are one-dimensional formal groups over  $\mathcal{O}_K$  such that  $\text{Tors}(F) \cap \text{Tors}(G)$  is infinite, then  $F = G$ .*

The preceding result is devoted to one-dimensional formal groups. In Section 3 of this report, we show that the above result generalizes to higher-dimensional formal groups which are simple and have full complex multiplication, i.e.,

**Theorem 1.2.** *If  $F$  and  $G$  are  $d$ -dimensional simple full-CM formal groups over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  such that  $\text{Tors}(F) \cap \text{Tors}(G)$  is infinite, then  $F = G$ .*

Determining an isogeny class of an abelian variety, which is challenging, is a significant and highly sought-after problem in number theory and algebraic geometry because it has several useful implications such as to understand the structure of the moduli space of abelian varieties and the Galois representations associated to an abelian variety. Bogomolov and Tschinkel [5, Chap. 4] proved that if two elliptic curves over  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$  shares infinitely many torsion points, then they are isomorphic, and thus isogenous. However, there is no such statement for general abelian varieties. As an application of our Theorem 1.2, by examining the  $p$ -power torsion points of two abelian varieties, we provide a sufficient condition for their isogeneity:

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be  $d$ -dimensional simple and full integral models of abelian varieties over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  with complex multiplication and denote by  $\mathcal{A}[p^n]$  and  $\mathcal{B}[p^n]$ , the  $p^n$ -torsion points of  $\mathcal{A}$*

and  $\mathcal{B}$  respectively and  $Tors(\mathcal{A}) := \bigcup_n \mathcal{A}[p^n]$ . If  $Tors(\mathcal{A}) \cap Tors(\mathcal{B})$  is infinite, then  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are isogenous.

The following is a summary of the paper:

Introduction and motivation is given in Section 1, preliminary facts are covered in Section 2, whereas the main results are covered in Section 3, with some remark on conclusion and future direction in Section 4. Two appendices are given at the end of the article.

## 2. PRELIMINARY

**Definition 2.1.** [10] Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and consider the  $n$ -tuples  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $Y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$  over  $R$ . A  $n$ -dimensional formal group  $F(X, Y) = (F_1(X, Y), \dots, F_n(X, Y))$  is a  $n$ -tuple power series in  $R[[X, Y]]^n$  satisfying the following properties

- (i)  $F(X, Y) = X + Y + \text{mod deg. } 2$ , where  $F_r(X, Y) = x_r + y_r + \text{mod deg. } 2$ ,  $r = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .
- (ii)  $F(F(X, Y), Z) = F(X, F(Y, Z))$ .
- (iii)  $F(X, 0) = F(0, X) = X$ .
- (iv) an unique power series  $\iota(X) \in R[[X]]^n$  with  $F(X, \iota(X)) = 0$ .

**Example 2.2.** (i)  $A(X, Y) = X + Y$  and  $M(X, Y) = X + Y + XY$  are one-dimensional additive and multiplicative formal groups, respectively.

(ii) The 2-tuple power series  $F(X, Y) = (x_1 + y_1 + x_2 y_2, x_2 + y_2)$  is a 2-dimensional formal group with  $X = (x_1, x_2)$ ,  $Y = (y_1, y_2)$ .

(iii) The  $n$ -dimensional additive formal group is defined by

$$A(X, Y) = (A_1(X, Y), \dots, A_n(X, Y)) = X + Y,$$

where  $A_i(X, Y) = x_i + y_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , are 1-dimensional additive formal groups,  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $Y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ .

There are few more distinct and interesting constructions of higher-dimensional formal groups are available in ([10], [11]), and [19].

The latest example is the work [1], in which the authors have constructed a class of 2-dimensional formal groups over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  with features similar to that one-dimensional Lubin-Tate formal group introduced by Lubin and Tate [17].

**Definition 2.3.** [10, Sec. 9.4] If  $F(X, Y) \in \mathcal{O}_K[[X, Y]]^m$  and  $G(X, Y) \in \mathcal{O}_K[[X, Y]]^n$  are respectively  $m$ -dimensional and  $n$ -dimensional formal group, then a vector-valued map  $f :$

$F(X, Y) \rightarrow G(X, Y)$  of the form of  $n$ -tuple of power series  $f(X) = (f_1(X), \dots, f_n(X)) \in \mathcal{O}_K[[X]]^n$  in  $m$  indeterminates, is called a homomorphism if  $f(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{(\text{deg. } 1)}$  such that

$$f(X +_F Y) = f(X) +_G f(Y) \text{ i.e., } f(F(X, Y)) = G(f(X), f(Y)).$$

The homomorphism  $f(X) \in \mathcal{O}_K[[X]]^n$  is an isomorphism if there exists  $g(X) : G(X, Y) \rightarrow F(X, Y)$  such that  $f(g(X)) = X = g(f(X))$ .

**Remark 2.4.** It is worth noting that, according to Definition 2.3, the endomorphism power series of a  $n$ -dimensional formal group belongs to the power series ring  $\mathcal{O}_K[[X]]^n$  rather than  $\mathcal{O}_K[[X]]$ .

**Example 2.5.** (i) From [1], we know that the endomorphisms of a 2-dimensional Lubin-Tate formal group  $F$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  are of the form

$$[p]_F(X) = (px_1, px_2) \pmod{\text{deg. } 2} \text{ and } [p]_F(X) \equiv (x_2^{h_1}, x_1^{h_2}) \pmod{p}, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\gcd(h_1, h_2) = 1$ ,  $X = (x_1, x_2)$ .

(ii) From [1], we also know the especial endomorphism, known as logarithm  $L$  for the 2-dimensional Lubin-Tate formal group  $F$ , is given recursively by

$$L(x_1, x_2) = (L_1(x_1, x_2), L_2(x_1, x_2)) = \left( x_1 + \frac{1}{p}L_2(x_1^{p^{h_1}}, x_2^{p^{h_1}}), x_2 + \frac{1}{p}L_1(x_1^{p^{h_2}}, x_2^{p^{h_2}}) \right),$$

where the components  $L_1, L_2$  are given by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} L_1(x_1, x_2) &= x_1 + \frac{1}{p}x_2^{p^{h_1}} + \frac{1}{p^2}x_1^{p^{h_1+h_2}} + \frac{1}{p^3}x_2^{p^{2h_1+h_2}} + \frac{1}{p^4}x_1^{p^{2h_1+2h_2}} + \frac{1}{p^5}x_2^{p^{3h_1+2h_2}} + \dots \\ L_2(x_1, x_2) &= x_2 + \frac{1}{p}x_1^{p^{h_2}} + \frac{1}{p^2}x_2^{p^{h_1+h_2}} + \frac{1}{p^3}x_1^{p^{h_1+2h_2}} + \frac{1}{p^4}x_2^{p^{2h_1+2h_2}} + \frac{1}{p^5}x_1^{p^{2h_1+3h_2}} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

This is especial in the sense that it is an isomorphism from  $F$  to the 2-dimensional additive formal group over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  and more importantly, the 2-dimensional Lubin-Tate formal group  $F$  is obtained by the formula

$$F(X, Y) = L^{-1}(L(X) + L(Y)).$$

It is very likely that we get similar type of endmorphisms in dimensions greater than two.

The following definition gives formula for composition of two power series in the ring  $\mathcal{O}_K[[X]]^n$ .

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $f(X) = (f_1(X), f_2(X), \dots, f_n(X))$ ,  $g(X) = (g_1(X), g_2(X), \dots, g_n(X))$  be two arbitrary members in  $\mathcal{O}_K[[X]]^n$ ,  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  with  $f_i(X), g_i(X) \in X \cdot \mathcal{O}_K[[X]]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f \circ g &= (f_1(g_1, \dots, g_n), \dots, f_n(g_1, \dots, g_n)) \\ g \circ f &= (g_1(f_1, \dots, f_n), \dots, g_n(f_1, \dots, f_n)). \end{aligned}$$

We will now focus on the following technical definitions in order to collect a suitable family of higher dimensional groups for our purpose of study.

**Definition 2.7.** A formal group  $F$  is called simple (as a group object) if it has no subgroup. While we call a formal group  $F$  to be simple (as a group law) if it can not be written as a direct sum of lower dimensional formal groups.

**Example 2.8.** A formal group over a field of characteristic zero, which gives rise to a simple Lie algebra, will be an example of a simple formal group. It is worth noting that every formal group gives rise to a Lie algebra with the help of the coefficients of the quadratic terms of its formal power series expansion. Moreover, over a field of characteristic 0, the category of finite dimensional formal groups is equivalent to the category of finite dimensional Lie algebras, while the property “simple” is preserved under equivalent functor.

**Definition 2.9.** A formal group  $F$  over  $\mathcal{O}_K$  of height  $h$  is said to have CM (complex multiplication) if there exists a homomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_K \hookrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(F)$ . In addition, if the endomorphism ring of  $F$  is of rank  $h$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , that is,  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(F) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^h$ , then we call  $F$  to have full-CM.

It is worth noting that fullness of  $F$  has nothing to do with the ring  $\mathcal{O}_K$  over which  $F$  is defined.

**Example 2.10.** (1) The 1-dimensional formal groups introduced by Lubin [18] are full.  
 (2) The 2-dimensional Lubin-Tate formal groups constructed in [1] fits to be full-CM, as its endomorphism rings satisfies the required property.  
 (3) The higher-dimensional formal groups constructed in [19, Theorem 3.2.5] becomes full-CM under a certain condition (for example, see the comments just before [19, Lemma 3.2.6]).

**Remark 2.11.** Without using the exact word *full*, such formal groups can be found in the considerations of Hazewinkel [10].

It is Lubin [18], who used the word *full* associated to a 1-dimensional formal group such that the endomorphism ring of the formal group turns out to be as big as possible. To be precise,

due to Dieudonné, one knows that the endomorphism ring of a 1-dimensional formal group over a characteristic  $p$  field and over an algebraically closed field of height  $h$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module of rank  $h^2$ , that is, an order in the central division algebra  $D_h$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -dimension  $h^2$  and of invariant  $\frac{1}{h}$ . Lubin showed that the endomorphism ring of 1-dimensional formal groups over the  $p$ -adic integers is commutative. One can pass from the  $p$ -adic integer ring to its reduction modulo  $p$  so that the endomorphism ring of  $F$  can be injected into its mod  $p$  reduction. So in the spirit of Dieudonné, Lubin considered a commutative subring of the noncommutative ring  $D_h$ .

We know that a maximal commutative subalgebra of the central  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -algebra  $D_h$  is of rank  $h$ , while the rank of any commutative subring of  $D_h$  must divide  $h$ . Since the endomorphism ring of a 1-dimensional formal group  $F$  over  $p$ -adic integer ring of height  $h$  is commutative due to Lubin, the biggest endomorphism ring of that  $F$  can be of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -rank  $h$ . This is where Lubin defined the notion *full* to classify those formal groups  $F$  over  $p$ -adic integer ring whose ring of endomorphisms is of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -rank  $h$  and integrally closed; that is, the endomorphism ring will be a *maximal* commutative subring of  $D_h$ . Our intuition is that the word *maximal* might have been a reason behind the use of the word *full*.

Matson [19] also constructed higher-dimensional formal groups with complex multiplication property, where she defined the word *full* by means of a cyclic graph, which originated from the endomorphisms of the formal groups, but the main essence is equivalent to that of Lubin. We recommend that our readers look at the comments preceding [19, Lemma 3.2.6]

### 3. UNLIKELY INTERSECTION IN HIGHER-DIMENSIONAL FORMAL GROUPS

In this section, we prove the main Theorem 1.2 regarding how the torsion points of a formal group determines the formal group itself. We show that the techniques of [4] for 1-dimensional formal groups can be useful for higher-dimensional formal groups also but with different ingredients. As an application, we prove Theorem 1.3 at the end of this section.

**Definition 3.1.** If  $F$  is a  $d$ -dimensional formal group over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  with a multiplication-by- $p$  endomorphism  $[p]_F$ , then the set of torsion points of  $F$  is given by

$$\text{Tors}(F) := \bigcup_n \{\xi \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^d \mid [p^n]_F(\xi) = 0\}, \quad \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d).$$

The height of a  $n$ -dimensional formal group is defined as follows:

**Definition 3.2.** [10, Sec. 18.3.8] Let  $F(X, Y)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional formal group  $F(X, Y)$  over the ring of  $p$ -adic integers  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  with endomorphism  $[p]_F(X) = (f_1(X), \dots, f_n(X)) \pmod{p}$ . If the

ring  $\mathbb{F}_p[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  is a finitely generated and free module over the subring  $\mathbb{F}_p[[f_1(X), \dots, f_n(X)]]$  of rank  $p^h$ ,  $h \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $h$  is the height of  $F$ . We use the notation  $\mathbb{F}_p$  for finite field with  $p$  elements.

Consider the absolute Galois group  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . If  $F$  is a  $d$ -dimensional formal group of height  $h$ , then the  $p$ -adic Tate module  $T_p F$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module with rank  $h$ , yielding a Galois representation  $\rho_F : \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \text{GL}_h(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . The corresponding  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -vector space  $V = T \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$  is a  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -crystalline representation. Then we have the following results:

**Lemma 3.3.** *If  $F$  is a  $d$ -dimensional simple formal group then  $V$  is a semi-simple  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -module.*

*Proof.* By Tate [25, Proposition 1], we know that the category of divisible commutative formal Lie groups are in equivalence with the category of connected  $p$ -divisible groups. While by Kisin [15], we know that the category of the connected  $p$ -divisible groups are in equivalence with the category of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -lattices of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  crystalline representations with Hodge-Tate weights 0, 1 and with positive Frobenius slopes. So we have the following diagram of equivalence of categories:

$$\begin{array}{c} \{\mathbb{Z}_p\text{-lattices of } G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \text{ crystalline representations with HT weights } 0, 1 \text{ and Frobenius slopes } > 0\} \\ \updownarrow \\ \{p\text{-divisible groups}\}/\mathbb{Z}_p \\ \updownarrow \\ \{\text{divisible commutative formal Lie groups}\}/\mathbb{Z}_p \end{array}$$

Since  $F$  is simple formal group, its Tate module  $T$  can not have a  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -stable submodule. Because otherwise by the equivalence of the above categories that submodule of  $T$  would come from a subgroup of  $F$ , which is not possible as  $F$  is simple. As  $T$  is a simple  $\mathbb{Z}_p[G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}]$ -module,  $V$  can not have a  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -stable subspace because if there is a subspace  $U$  of  $V$ , then  $U \cap T$  would be a submodule of  $T$ , contradicting to the fact that  $T$  is simple. This proves that  $V$  is a simple  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -module.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *If  $F$  is a  $d$ -dimensional simple formal group, then the image of  $\rho_F$  contains an open subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times \cdot \text{Id}$ .*

*Proof.* The statement follows from [4, Corollary 1.2] when  $F$  is any 1-dimensional formal group.

So we would like to prove the statement for  $d > 1$ . Since  $F$  is simple formal group,  $V$  is simple by Lemma 3.3 and thus semi-simple  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -module. Also since  $F$  is of finite height, it

can be thought of as  $p$ -divisible group as well. As  $p$ -divisible groups, the dimensions of  $F$  and its dual  $F'$  are coprime to each other. Thus, [9, Theorem 4] says that image of  $\rho_F$  is open in  $\text{Aut}(V)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Note.** In this regard, we should note that Serre made a remark at the end of [9, Section 5] that [9, Theorem 4] can be proved without the assumption  $\text{End}(F) = \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

The absolute Galois group  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  acts on the torsion points of  $F$ . If  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$  has the image  $\rho_F(\sigma) = a \cdot \text{Id}$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ , then the Galois action is given by

$$\sigma(\xi) = [a]_F(\xi) \text{ for all } \xi \in \text{Tors}(F).$$

**Definition 3.5.** The Jacobian of a power series  $h(X) = (h_1(X), \dots, h_{d_1}(X)) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[[X]]^{d_1}$ ,  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_{d_2})$  is a  $d_1 \times d_2$  matrix defined by

$$J(h) := \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} h_i \right], \quad 1 \leq i \leq d_1, \quad 1 \leq j \leq d_2.$$

In this article, we often denote the Jacobian of such a function  $h$  at 0 by

$$J_0(h) := J(h)(0) = \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} h_i(0) \right].$$

**Proposition 3.6.** *The sufficient condition for  $h \in \mathcal{O}_K[[X]]^d$ ,  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$  with  $h(0) = 0$  being invertible is that  $J_0(h) \in \text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_K^\times)$ .*

*Proof.* Because  $h(X)$  is invertible, there must exist some inverse  $h^{-1}(X) \in \mathcal{O}_K[[X]]^d$  for  $h^{-1}(0) = 0$  so that  $h(h^{-1}(X)) = X$ . By chain rule,  $J(h(h^{-1}(X))) = J(h)(X)J(h^{-1})(X) = J(X)$  such that evaluating at 0 yields  $J_0(h)J_0(h^{-1}) = I_d$ , the  $d \times d$  identity matrix  $I_d$ . As a result, both  $J_0(h), J_0(h^{-1}) \in \text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_K^\times)$ .

Conversely, suppose  $J_0(h) \in \text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_K^\times)$ . The construction of an inverse  $h^{-1}(X)$  is sufficient. Induction will be used. If we use the linear algebraic expression  $f^1(X) = J_0(h^{-1})X \in \mathcal{O}_K[[X]]^d$ , we get

$$h(f^1(X)) \equiv X \pmod{\text{deg } 2}.$$

Suppose the polynomial  $f^n(X) \in \mathcal{O}_K[[X]]^d$  with  $f^n(X) \equiv f^{n-1}(X) \pmod{\text{deg } n}$  fulfilling

$$h(f^n(X)) \equiv X \pmod{\text{deg } n+1}$$

for  $n \geq 1$ . The following polynomial  $f^{n+1}(X) \in \mathcal{O}_K[[X]]^d$  must then have the formula

$$f^{n+1}(X) = f^n(X) + R^{n+1}(\text{remainder}),$$



where  $R^{n+1}(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{\deg n + 1}$  and  $R^{n+1}$  is actually a homogeneous polynomial with degree  $n + 1$ . Since  $J_0(h)$  is invertible, we can solve for  $R^{n+1}$  from the condition

$$h(f^{n+1}(X)) = h(f^n(X) + R^{n+1}) \equiv h(f^n(X)) + J_0(h)R^{n+1}(X) \equiv X \pmod{\deg n + 2}$$

giving us  $R^{n-1} \equiv J_0(h)^{-1}(X - h(f^n(X))) \equiv 0 \pmod{\deg n + 1}$ . So we derive the inverse of  $h(X)$  via induction on  $f^n(X)$ . The proof is now complete.  $\square$

The above result says if  $h(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]^d$  with  $h(0) = 0$  and  $J_0(h) \in \text{GL}_d(\mathbb{Z}_p^\times)$ , then  $h^{\circ-1}(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]^d$  with  $h^{\circ-1}(0) = 0$ .

Let us define a notion of *stable* power series in higher dimension, which was originally introduced by Lubin [16] for single variable power series.

**Definition 3.7.** A power series  $h(X) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[[X]]^d$ ,  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$  with  $h(0) = 0$  is said to be *stable* if the eigenvalues of  $J_0(h)$  are neither zero nor roots of unity.

**Example 3.8.** If  $u(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]^2$ ,  $X = (x_1, x_2)$  be defined by

$$u(X) = (px_1, px_2) \pmod{\deg. 2} \text{ and } u(X) \equiv (x_2^{h_1}, x_1^{h_2}) \pmod{p},$$

then the Jacobian matrix of  $u(X)$  at 0 is given by

$$J_0(u) = \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } u(X) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

This is a stable power series.

Before we proceeding further, we make the following hypothesis to simplify our computation:

**Hypothesis 1.** *The linear coefficients of the stable endomorphisms in our considerations are scalar-diagonal matrices.*

We now look forward to prove that if a power series commutes with an endomorphism of a formal group, then it must itself be an endomorphism of that formal group.

**Proposition 3.9.** *Assume that  $u$  is a stable endomorphism of a  $d$ -dimensional commutative formal group  $F(X_1, X_2)$ . If  $w(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]^d$  with  $w(0) = 0$  such that  $w \circ u = u \circ w$ , then  $w \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(F)$ .*

*Proof.* The formal group  $F$  in our consideration is commutative, and thus, we have a logarithm. The logarithm, say  $L$ , is an isomorphism between  $F$  and the additive group, say  $\mathcal{A}$ , of same dimension. The defining group laws for  $\mathcal{A}$  are just addition of separate variables and its endomorphisms are the linear maps, so square matrices. That is, for  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$  and  $Y = (y_1, \dots, y_d)$ , we have  $\mathcal{A}(X, Y) = X + Y$  and an endomorphism of  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $d$ -tuple power series  $\varphi(X) = (\varphi_1(X), \dots, \varphi_d(X))$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(\mathcal{A}(X, Y)) &= \mathcal{A}(\varphi(X), \varphi(Y)) \\ \Rightarrow \varphi(X + Y) &= \varphi(X) + \varphi(Y)\end{aligned}$$

Thus, any such  $\varphi(X)$  is given by  $\varphi(X) = MX$ , where  $M$  is a  $d \times d$  scalar-diagonal matrix with entries in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Because of the above isomorphism  $L$ , we can prove many facts about an endomorphism  $u$  of  $F$  by proving the corresponding fact about the endomorphism  $L \circ u \circ L^{-1}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ . To be precise, using the logarithm  $L$ , we translate the problem from the formal group  $F$  to the additive formal group  $\mathcal{A}$ , which is more easier to handle.

Thus, we are in a situation where we want to show that a  $d$ -tuple power series without constant term that commute with a *stable*  $d$ -tuple power series  $\varphi(X) = MX = (\alpha x_1, \dots, \alpha x_d)$ ,  $M = \text{diag}(\alpha, \dots, \alpha)$  of the additive formal group  $\mathcal{A}$  must necessarily be of the form

$$\theta(X) = (\alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_d x_d, \dots, \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_d x_d), \quad \alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p,$$

which is straightforward to verify. Therefore, the corresponding endomorphism for the original formal group  $F$  would be  $L^{-1} \circ \varphi \circ L$ .

The next part of the proof is a smooth sailing. We may assume inductively that we have a power series that is linear modulo degree  $m$ , i.e., modulo  $(x_1, \dots, x_d)^m$  and thus writable in the form

$$\Theta(X) = (\alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_d x_d + \mathcal{E}_1(x_1, \dots, x_d), \dots, \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_d x_d + \mathcal{E}_d(x_1, \dots, x_d)),$$

where  $\mathcal{E}_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq d$  are homogeneous polynomials of degree  $m$ . Now if we compute the compositions  $\varphi \circ \Theta$  and  $\Theta \circ \varphi$ , the first have ‘‘correction terms’’  $\alpha \mathcal{E}_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq d$  and the second have ‘‘correction terms’’  $\alpha^m \mathcal{E}_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq d$ , because of homogeneity of  $\mathcal{E}_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . Finally,  $\varphi \circ \Theta = \Theta \circ \varphi$  implies  $\alpha \mathcal{E}_i = \alpha^m \mathcal{E}_i$  for all  $i$ . By the definition of stable power series,  $\alpha$  is neither zero nor roots of unity and therefore  $\alpha^m \neq \alpha$ , which forces  $\mathcal{E}_i(X) = 0$  for all  $i$ . Thus, we have

$$\Theta(X) = (\alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_d x_d, \dots, \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_d x_d) = \theta(X),$$

which is obviously an endomorphism of  $\mathcal{A}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.10.** *Let  $u(X) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[[X]]^d$ ,  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$  with  $u(0) = 0$  be a stable power series. A power series  $h(X) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[[X]]^d$  with  $h(0) = 0$  such that  $h \circ u = u \circ h$  is determined by  $J_0(h)$ , the Jacobian of  $h$  at 0.*

*Proof.* Let  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  be two power series in  $\mathbb{Q}_p[[X]]^d$  such that  $J_0(H_1) = J_0(H_2)$  and they both commute with  $u$ . Let  $g_m$  be the sum of the terms of  $H_i, i = 1, \dots, d$  of total degree  $\leq m$ . We can decompose  $H_i = g_m + \Delta_i, i = 1, \dots, d$ , where the remainder series  $\Delta_i$  has total-degree  $\geq m + 1$ . Since by Hypothesis 1  $J_0(u)$  is a diagonal matrix and since  $g_m$  is a column vector, it is worth noting that  $g_m \cdot \Delta_i = \Delta_i^T \cdot g_m$ . Therefore

$$(g_m + \Delta_i) \circ u = g_m \circ u + \Delta_i \circ u \equiv g_m \circ u + \Delta_i^T \cdot J_0(u)^{m+1} \pmod{\deg(m+2)}, \quad (3.1)$$

$$u \circ (g_m + \Delta_i) = u \circ g_m + u \circ \Delta_i \equiv u \circ g_m + \Delta_i^T \cdot J_0(u) \pmod{\deg(m+2)}. \quad (3.2)$$

Since  $u \circ h = h \circ u$ , from equations (3.1) and (3.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} g_m \circ u + J_0(u)^{m+1} \Delta_i &\equiv u \circ g_m + J_0(u) \Delta_i \pmod{\deg(m+2)} \\ \Rightarrow \Delta_i &\equiv (u \circ g_m - g_m \circ u) (J_0(u)^{m+1} - J_0(u))^{-1} \pmod{\deg(m+2)}. \end{aligned}$$

But  $u$  being a stable power series ensures that the eigenvalues of  $J_0(u)$  are neither zero nor roots of unity. Therefore,  $J_0(u)^{m+1} - J_0(u)$  is non-singular, and hence  $\Delta_i$  is defined. If  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  agree in total-degrees  $\leq m$ , they must also agree in total-degrees  $\leq m + 1$ . Induction on  $m$  yields  $H_1 = H_2$ .  $\square$

It is worth noting that, in the above result, we must assume  $u$  is stable, otherwise we can not solve  $\Delta_i$ . The following example clarifies it:

**Example 3.11.** Let  $u(X) = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma x \\ \gamma^{p^3} y \end{pmatrix}$  and  $h(X) = \begin{pmatrix} x + \frac{1}{p}y^{p^2} + \frac{1}{p^2}x^{p^5} \\ y + \frac{1}{p}x^{p^3} + \frac{1}{p^2}x^{p^5} \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $X = (x, y)$  and  $\gamma$  is  $(p^5 - 1)^{th}$  root of unity, i.e.,  $\gamma^{p^5} = \gamma$ . One can check that  $u \circ h = h \circ u$ . Let us decompose

$$h(X) = \begin{pmatrix} x + \frac{1}{p}y^{p^2} \\ y + \frac{1}{p}x^{p^3} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{p^2}x^{p^5} \\ \frac{1}{p^2}x^{p^5} \end{pmatrix} = g_{p^5-1} + \Delta,$$

where  $g_{p^5-1}$  is the sum of the terms upto degree  $p^5 - 1$  and  $\Delta$  is the remainder term of degree greater or equal to  $p^5$ . The jacobian of  $u$  is  $J_0(u) = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma^{p^3} \end{pmatrix}$ , a digonal matrix. So  $u$  is not a *stable* power series because the diagonals of  $J_0(u)$  contains roots of unity (see Definition 3.7).

Since  $\Delta$  is a  $2 \times 1$  matrix, we have  $J_0(u) \cdot \Delta = \Delta^T \cdot J_0(u)$ , where  $\Delta^T$  is the transpose of  $\Delta$ . We note that

$$\begin{aligned}
h \circ u &= (g_{p^5-1} + \Delta) \circ u = g_{p^5-1} \circ u + \Delta \circ u = g_{p^5-1} \circ u + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4}x^{p^5} \\ \frac{1}{4}y^{p^5} \end{pmatrix} \circ \begin{pmatrix} \gamma x \\ \gamma^{p^3} y \end{pmatrix} \\
&= g_{p^5-1} \circ u + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4}(\gamma x)^{p^5} \\ \frac{1}{4}(\gamma^{p^3} y)^{p^5} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= g_{p^5-1} \circ u + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4}\gamma^{p^5} x^{p^5} \\ \frac{1}{4}\gamma^{p^8} y^{p^5} \end{pmatrix} \\
&\Rightarrow h \circ u \equiv g_{p^5-1} \circ u + \Delta^T \cdot J_0(u)^{p^5} \pmod{\text{degree } p^5 + 1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

$$u \circ h = u \circ (g_{p^5-1} + \Delta) = u \circ g_{p^5-1} + u \circ \Delta \equiv u \circ g_{p^5-1} + \Delta^T \cdot J_0(u) \pmod{\text{deg. } p^5 + 1}. \tag{3.4}$$

From equations (3.3) and (3.4), we have

$$\Delta^T = (u \circ g_{p^5-1} - g_{p^5-1} \circ u) \left( J_0(u)^{p^5} - J_0(u) \right)^{-1}.$$

It is worth noting that  $\Delta$  doesn't exists because

$$J_0(u)^{p^5} - J_0(u) = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma^{p^3} \end{pmatrix}^{p^5} - \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma^{p^3} \end{pmatrix} = 0, \text{ since } \gamma^{p^5} = \gamma.$$

Thus in Proposition 3.10, we must assume  $u$  is a stable power series to avoid such situation.

**Corollary 3.11.1.** *Let  $u(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]^d$ ,  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$  with  $u(0) = 0$  be a stable power series. Then, a power series  $h(X_1, X_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[X_1, X_2]]^d$  with  $h(0) = 0$  such that  $h \circ u = u \circ h$  is determined by the Jacobian  $J_0(h)$  of  $h$  at 0.*

*Proof.* Let  $h(X_1, X_2) = (h_1(X_1, X_2), h_2(X_1, X_2))$ , where  $X_1 = (x_{11}, \dots, x_{1d}), X_2 = (x_{21}, \dots, x_{2d})$ , say. Then the Jacobian of  $h$  is given by

$$J_0(h) = \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x_{11}}(0) & \cdots & \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x_{1d}}(0) & \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x_{21}}(0) & \cdots & \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x_{2d}}(0) \\ \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial x_{11}}(0) & \cdots & \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial x_{1d}}(0) & \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial x_{21}}(0) & \cdots & \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial x_{2d}}(0) \end{array} \right)_{2, 2d} = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} \frac{\partial h}{\partial X_1}(0) & \frac{\partial h}{\partial X_2}(0) \end{array} \right)_{2, 2d}$$

Since the partial Jacobians  $\frac{\partial h}{\partial X_i}(0)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  plays the role of block matrices of order  $(2, d)$  in the Jacobian matrix of  $h$ , the proof follows immediately by Proposition 3.10.  $\square$

Thus, a power series which commutes with another power series can be determined by its Jacobian. In this regard, we note that an endomorphism of a formal group is determined by its Jacobian matrix. Having proved Corollary 3.11.1, we can also cook up an alternative demonstration (see Subsection 3.1) of Proposition 3.9.

We now show that the number of the torsion points of the endomorphisms of our formal groups is finite. For technical reasons, the rest of the article considers a class of higher-dimensional formal groups that are *simple* and have *full-CM*. If  $F$  is a simple higher-dimensional formal group, then by Definition 2.7, it can't be written as a product of lower dimensional formal groups. Furthermore, we prove that if  $F$  is a *full* formal group of finite height, say  $h$ , with complex multiplication then any endomorphism of  $F$  has a finite number of zeros.

**Lemma 3.12.** *If  $F$  is a  $d$ -dimensional full-CM formal group over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  with finite height  $h$ , then  $\ker([p^n]_F)$  have  $p^{hn}$  elements in  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^d$ .*

*Proof.* When  $F$  is one-dimensional, Weierstrass theorem implies  $[p^n]_F(X)$  have  $p^{hn}$  zeros.

So, consider  $F$  is a full-CM formal group of dimension  $d$  of height  $h$ . Consider the  $d$ -tuple power series  $[p^n]_F(X)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{J}$  be the ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]$  generated by the power series  $[p^n]_F(X)$ . Then the quotient ring  $\mathfrak{R} := \mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]/\mathfrak{J}$  is free of rank  $p^{hn}$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , by [10, Appendix B.2, page 524]. From the point of view of algebraic geometry, we know that the points of an algebraic set are in bijection with the maximal ideals of a ring whose spectrum is that algebraic set (see Appendix A). Therefore, the points of  $\ker([p^n]_F)$  corresponds exactly to the maximal ideals of  $\text{spec}(\mathfrak{R})$ . In our case, the algebraic set is the zero-set  $\ker([p^n]_F)$ . Now since  $\mathfrak{R}$  is of dimension  $p^{hn}$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , the tensor product  $\mathfrak{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$  is also of dimension  $p^{hn}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , because the dimension is the same as the number of maximal ideals of  $\mathfrak{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$ . However, for higher-dimensional formal group, we need the algebraically closed field  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$  to include the points that are not  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -rational.

So tensor with  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$  to conclude the ring  $\mathfrak{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$  is of dimension  $p^{hn}$  over  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ . Thus  $\ker([p^n]_F)$  have  $p^{hn}$  elements.  $\square$

**Remark 3.13.** There is a bad case, however. In the above lemma, consider the quotient ring  $\mathfrak{R} = \mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]/(X^2)$ , which is a two-dimensional  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -vector space. But it has only one maximal ideal  $(X)$  corresponding to a point at the origin, while the defining equation  $X^2$  has a multiple (double) zero. However, such bad behavior we have excluded in our multidimensional formal group case. For example, our  $F$  have simple zeros, proved in [\[1, Theorem 3.2\]](#). In other words, there are no nilpotent elements in the quotient ring  $\mathfrak{R}$ .

**Corollary 3.13.1.** *If  $F$  is a full-CM formal group, then any endomorphism  $f(X)$  of  $F$  has only finitely many zeros in  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^d$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.12, the multiplication-by- $p$  endomorphism  $[p]_F(X)$  of the formal group  $F$  has only finitely many zeros in  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^d$ . If  $f(X)$  is any other endomorphism of  $F$ , then there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $[m]_F(X) = f(X)$ . Thus,  $f(X)$  has only finitely many zeros in  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^d$  because of the  $m$ -torsion map  $[m]_F(X)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.14.** *If  $F$  is a  $d$ -dimensional simple full-CM formal group over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  and if  $h(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]^d$  is such that  $h(0) = 0$  and  $h(Z) \in \text{Tors}(F)$  for all  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$ , where  $\mathcal{Z} \subset \text{Tors}(F)$  is infinite. Assume that  $h$  has only finitely many zeros, then  $h \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(F)$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.4, there exists  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$  and a stable endomorphism  $u$  of  $F$  with

$$\sigma(Z) = u(Z) \text{ for all } Z \in \text{Tors}(F). \quad (3.5)$$

Since  $h(Z) \in \text{Tors}(F)$ , for every  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$ , substituting  $Z$  by  $h(Z)$  in equation (3.5) yields

$$\sigma(h(Z)) = u(h(Z)) \text{ for all } Z \in \text{Tors}(F). \quad (3.6)$$

Moreover, according to equation (3.5),  $\sigma(h(Z)) = h(\sigma(Z)) = h(u(Z))$  holds true for  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$ . Thus, we derive from equation (3.6)

$$\begin{aligned} u(h(Z)) &= h(u(Z)) \text{ for all } Z \in \mathcal{Z} \\ \Rightarrow u \circ h - h \circ u &= 0 \text{ on } \mathcal{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $F$  is simple full-CM formal group, by Corollary 3.13.1 any endomorphism of  $F$  has finitely many zeros in  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^d$ , and hence  $u$  has only finitely many zeros in  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^d$ . Because  $\mathcal{Z}$  is infinite, and

both  $u, h$  have finitely many zeros in  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^d$ , we get  $u \circ h = h \circ u$ . Therefore by Proposition 3.9, we conclude  $h \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(F)$ .  $\square$

Now, we are in a position to prove the Theorem 1.2 by utilizing the aforementioned technical results that we just developed.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* According to Lemma 3.4, there exists  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$  and a stable endomorphism  $u$  of  $F$  with

$$\sigma(Z) = u(Z) \text{ for all } Z \in \text{Tors}(F). \quad (3.7)$$

The set  $\mathcal{Z} := \text{Tors}(F) \cap \text{Tors}(G)$  is stable under the action of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , and therefore  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$  implies  $\sigma(Z) \in \mathcal{Z}$ . Since  $Z \in \mathcal{Z} \Rightarrow Z \in \text{Tors}(G) \Rightarrow \sigma(Z) \in \text{Tors}(G)$ , equation (3.7) gives  $u(Z) \in \text{Tors}(G)$  for all  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$ . Because  $\mathcal{Z}$  is infinite, we obtain  $u \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(F)$  using Lemma 3.14. Consequently,  $u$  is an endomorphism of both  $d$ -dimensional formal groups  $F$  and  $G$ , in other words,

$$\begin{aligned} u \circ F &= F \circ u \\ u \circ G &= G \circ u. \end{aligned}$$

Because  $F$  and  $G$  have the same Jacobian at 0, we derive  $F = G$  by Corollary 3.11.1.  $\square$

**Remark 3.15.** In this regard, we remark that most of the technical results (which are required to prove the Theorem 1.2) could have been avoided if we had an answer of [21, Question 3.1], towards  $p$ -adic Manin-Mumford type conjecture, which asks “to identify those higher-dimensional  $p$ -divisible formal Lie groups  $\mathcal{F}$  over a subring  $R$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$ , such that, for any closed affine formal subscheme  $X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}$  over  $R$ ,  $X(\mathbb{C}_p)$  attains infinitely many torsion points of  $\mathcal{F}$  and more importantly,  $X$  contains the translates (by some torsion points) of every positive dimensional formal subgroups.” Let me explain, how an answer of this question could have saved our labour.

Suppose we have an answer of [21, Question 3.1] and that we have identified the class of formal groups, then by using the same technique of the proof of [21, Corollary 2.6] one can prove our technical Lemma 3.14 for that class of formal groups, which literally says that a  $p$ -adic power series  $h$  without constant coefficient must be an endomorphism of a formal group  $F$  if  $h$  takes infinitely many values at the torsion set  $\text{Tors}(F)$ .

Even it may prove our Theorem 1.2 under some condition. For, let us assume for some real number  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist  $h \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(F)$  such that  $|h(\alpha) - \beta|_p < \epsilon$  for infinitely many  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Tors}(F) \cap \text{Tors}(G)$ . This makes sense because the collection  $\text{Tors}(F) \cap \text{Tors}(G)$  is infinite by our assumption in Theorem 1.2. Therefore,  $|h(\alpha) - \beta|_p < \epsilon$  for infinitely many  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Tors}(G)$  as well, and then either by [21, Corollary 2.6] or by our Lemma 3.14, we conclude  $h \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(G)$  and this forces  $F = G$ .

Recently, Serban proved an interesting result [21, Theorem 1.1] towards a step ahead of the [21, Question 3.1].

### 3.1. Alternative proof of Proposition 3.9.

*Alternative proof of Proposition 3.9.* Say,  $X_1 = (x_{11}, \dots, x_{1d})$ ,  $X_2 = (x_{21}, \dots, x_{2d})$ , then  $F$  being  $d$ -dimensional formal group,

$$F(X_1, X_2) = (F_1(X_1, X_2), \dots, F_d(X_1, X_2))$$

$$\text{such that } F_i(X_1, X_2) = x_{1i} + x_{2i} + \sum_i (x_{11}, \dots, x_{1d}, x_{21}, \dots, x_{2d}), \quad i = 1, \dots, d$$

where  $\sum_i (x_{11}, \dots, x_{1d}, x_{21}, \dots, x_{2d})$  is a function of all coordinate variables of higher degree terms with total degree of each term greater or equal to 2, provided  $F_i$  is not additive. If  $F_i(X_1, X_2)$  is an additive formal group, then the expression  $\sum_i (x_{11}, \dots, x_{1d}, x_{21}, \dots, x_{2d})$  doesn't appear, only the first two terms (i.e., the sum  $x_{1i} + x_{2i}$ ) appears. Then the Jacobian of  $F(X, Y)$  at 0 is given by

$$\begin{aligned} J_0(F) &= \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_{11}}(0) & \dots & \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_{1d}}(0) & \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_{21}}(0) & \dots & \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_{2d}}(0) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial F_d}{\partial x_{11}}(0) & \dots & \frac{\partial F_d}{\partial x_{1d}}(0) & \frac{\partial F_d}{\partial x_{21}}(0) & \dots & \frac{\partial F_d}{\partial x_{2d}}(0) \end{array} \right)_{d, 2d} = \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial X_1}(0) \mid \frac{\partial F}{\partial X_2}(0) \right)_{d, 2d} \\ &= \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & \dots & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{array} \right)_{d, 2d} \end{aligned}$$

which consists of two blocks of  $(d, d)$  identity matrices.

Since  $F$  is a formal group, it acts on  $w(X)$  by the composition rule  $F \circ w(X) = F(X, Y) \circ w(X) = F(w(X), w(Y)) : \mathbb{Z}_p^{2d} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^d$ . If the first coefficient of  $w(X)$  is  $\alpha$  say, that is,  $w(X) =$



$\alpha X \pmod{X^2}$ ,  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ , then the Jacobian of  $F \circ w$  at 0 is just multiplied by  $\alpha$  to the Jacobian  $J_0(F)$  of  $F$ :

$$J_0(F \circ w) = \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} \alpha & \cdots & 0 & \alpha & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \alpha & 0 & \cdots & \alpha \end{array} \right)_{d, 2d} = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial X_1}(F \circ w)(0) \mid \frac{\partial}{\partial X_2}(F \circ w)(0) \right)_{d, 2d}$$

which is a  $(d, 2d)$  matrix with  $\alpha$  along principal diagonal and all other entries are zero. It is worth noting that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial X_1}(F \circ w)(0) = \frac{\partial}{\partial X_2}(F \circ w)(0)$ .

On the other hand, the composition of  $w : \mathbb{Z}_p^d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^d$  and  $F : \mathbb{Z}_p^{2d} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^d$  is the function  $w \circ F : \mathbb{Z}_p^{2d} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^d$ . Then, it can be checked that the Jacobian of  $w \circ F$  at 0 is equal to the Jacobian of  $F \circ w$  at 0, i.e.,

$$J_0(F \circ w) = J_0(w \circ F).$$

Now we look at the following compositions:

$$\begin{aligned} (F \circ w) \circ u &= F \circ u \circ w, \text{ since } u \text{ commute with } h \\ &= u \circ (F \circ w), \text{ since } u \text{ is endomorphism of } F, \\ (w \circ F) \circ u &= w \circ u \circ F, \text{ since } u \text{ is endomorphism of } F \\ &= u \circ (w \circ F), \text{ since } u \text{ commute with } w. \end{aligned}$$

Since the power series  $F \circ w$  and  $w \circ F$  both commute with  $u$  in the same way and that  $J_0(F \circ w) = J_0(w \circ F)$  holds, the Corollary 3.11.1 implies that  $F \circ w = w \circ F$ , which leads to the conclusion that  $w \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(F)$ .

□

**3.2. An application to isogeneity of abelian varieties.** We now prove the Theorem 1.3 as an application of the Theorem 1.2. We detect a class of isogenous abelian varieties only by looking at their  $p$ -power torsion points.

**Definition 3.16.** A abelian variety is said to be *full* if its associated formal groups are *full*, in the spirit of Definition 2.9.

**Remark 3.17.** For simplicity, throughout the article we mean by a formal group to be a *formal group law*. In general, a *formal group law* is a formal group (a group object in the category of formal schemes) for some choice of coordinates, and *formal group law* has a formal power series representation that changes up to isomorphism for different choices of coordinates.

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Let  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$  be two formal groups of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ , respectively, obtained by formal completion at the identities. Since  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are fixed integral models over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , the associated formal groups  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$  have coefficients over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . The property simple is invariant under a formal completion of an abelian variety, and therefore  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$  are simple.

The CM property of an abelian variety is inherited to the associated formal group because the endomorphism ring of an abelian variety injects into the corresponding ring of endomorphisms of the associated formal groups, and thus,  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$  have CM properties. By the assumption of *full* abelian variety,  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$  are full formal groups. Since  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  shares infinitely many  $p$ -power torsion points, and since the  $p$ -endomorphisms  $[p]_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $[p]_{\mathcal{B}}$  descends locally to the corresponding  $p$ -endomorphisms  $[p]_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}$  and  $[p]_{\hat{\mathcal{B}}}$ , the formal groups  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$  also share infinitely many torsion points, because the  $p$ -power torsion points sets  $\text{Tors}(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\text{Tors}(\mathcal{B})$  are dense subsets of the ambient space  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^d$ .

Thus,  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$  satisfy all the conditions of the Theorem 1.2 and hence,  $\hat{\mathcal{A}} = \hat{\mathcal{B}}$ . The coefficients of the invariant differentials, or the logarithms of the formal groups  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$  determine the coefficients of the  $L$ -functions attached to the abelian varieties  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ , respectively (e.g., due to Honda [12] for the case of elliptic curve; whereas Eichler [7] and Shimura [23] for abelian variety). Being the formal groups equal, the corresponding  $L$ -functions are equal. However, a  $L$ -function of an abelian variety is an invariant upto isogeny of abelian varieties. This implies  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$  are isogenous. This proves the result.  $\square$

**Remark 3.18.** Since the formal groups  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$  are equal, their  $p$ -endomorphisms are also equal, that is,  $[p]_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}(X) = [p]_{\hat{\mathcal{B}}}(X)$ . If there exists a non-zero homomorphism between two simple abelian varieties, then it must be an *isogeny* [24].

**Example 3.19.** If two elliptic curves over any ring of characteristics 0 have equal formal groups (upon choice of coordinates), then the curves will be equal and their Weierstrass equations [22, page 115] are equal to

$$f(X, Y) = X^3 + a_1XY + a_2X^2Y + a_3Y^2 + a_4XY^2 + a_6Y^3,$$

where  $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6$  are, respectively, given by the coefficients of  $XY, X^2Y+XY^2, X^3Y, X^4Y, X^6Y$  of the formal group [22, page 120] given by

$$\begin{aligned} F(X, Y) = & X + Y - a_1XY - a_2X^2Y - a_2XY^2 - 2a_3X^3Y + \cdots + (-2a_1a_3 - 2a_4)X^4Y + \cdots \\ & + (-2a_1^3a_3 - 2a_1^2a_4 - 4a_1a_2a_3 - 2a_2a_4 - 2a_3^2 - 3a_6)X^6Y + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

We thank Chris Wurthrich for the above implementation by using SageMath [26]. So in this example, the elliptic curves are isogenous trivially, provided the respective formal groups are equal.

We conclude this section by the remark that not only the torsion points of a simple formal group identify the formal group itself but also the torsion points of an abelian variety determine the abelian variety up to isogeny.

#### 4. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE DIRECTION

In this article, our observation is that the  $p$ -power torsion points of a formal group can identify that formal group, and in this regard we have proved Theorem 1.2. We applied this result to prove that the  $p$ -power torsion points of an abelian variety can determine it up to isogeny, which is proved in Theorem 1.3.

However, in our setting, we considered *simple* formal groups otherwise, the result might not hold true. For, one can consider  $F = H \times F_1$  and  $G = H \times G_2$ , where  $H$  is a  $(d - 1)$ -dimensional formal group and  $F_1, G_2$  are distinct 1-dimensional formal groups. In that case,  $\text{Tors}(F) \cap \text{Tors}(G) = \text{Tors}(H) \times \{0\}$ , which is infinite, but  $F$  and  $G$  are not equal.

Interested readers may explore to refine the Theorem 1.2 for a larger class of formal groups, as this will impact the Theorem 1.3.

**Acknowledgement.** The authors are deeply grateful to Professor Jonathan Lubin, who offered many helpful feedback, in particular beautifully explaining the notion *full* formal group and sharing an idea for the proof of Proposition 3.9. The authors are thankful to Professor Laurent Berger for some comments. The first author is grateful to *CSIR*, Govt. of India, for the grant with File no.-09/025(0249)/2018-EMR-I.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. Abdellatif and M. A. Sarkar, Constructing 2-dimensional Lubin-Tate formal groups over  $\mathbb{Z}_p(\mathbb{I})$ , preprint (2024), under revision. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.05637>
- [2] M. Baker and L. DeMarco, Preperiodic points and unlikely intersections, *Duke Math. J.* 159 (2011), no. 1, p. 1–29.
- [3] L. Berger, Iterated extensions and relative Lubin-Tate groups, *Annales Mathématiques du Québec*, 40 (2016), 17–28.
- [4] L. Berger, Rigidity and unlikely intersection for formal groups, *Acta Arithmetica*, 195 (3) (2020) 305-319.

- [5] F. Bogomolov and Y. Tschinkel, Algebraic varieties over small fields, in Diophantine geometry, CRM Series, vol. 4, Ed. Norm., Pisa, 2007, p. 73–91.
- [6] E. De Shalit, Relative Lubin-Tate groups, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 95 (1985), 1–4.
- [7] M. Eichler, Quaternäre quadratische Formen und die Riemannsche Vermutung für die Kongruenzzetafunktion, Arch. Math. 5 (1954), 355-366.
- [8] G. Faltings, Finiteness theorems for abelian varieties over number fields, Inventiones Mathematicae, 73 (3) (1983) 349-366.
- [9] JP. Serre, Sur les groupes de Galois attaches aux groupes  $p$ -divisibles. In: Springer, T.A. (eds) Proceedings of a Conference on Local Fields (1967). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-87942-5\\_10](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-87942-5_10)
- [10] M. Hazewinkel, Formal groups and applications, Academic Press. Inc. New York, 1978. local fields, J. Number Theory 168 (2016), p. 135–153.
- [11] T. Honda. On the theory of commutative formal groups. Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan, 22(2)
- [12] T. Honda, Formal groups and zeta-functions, Osaka J. Math., 5 (1968), pp. 199-213.
- [13] A. Iovita, J. S. Morrow, and A. Zaharescu, Ramification of  $p$ -power torsion points of formal groups, Ann. Math. Québec (2023), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40316-023-00214-3>
- [14] K. Iwasawa, Local Class Field Theory, Oxford University Press (1986), New York.
- [15] M. Kisin, Crystalline representations and  $F$ -crystals, In: Ginzburg, V., ed. Algebraic Geometry and Number Theory. Boston, USA: Birkhuser Boston, pp. 459-496, 2006.
- [16] J. Lubin, Nonarchimedean dynamical systems, Composita Math., 94 (3) (1994) 321-346.
- [17] J. Lubin and J. Tate, Formal complex multiplication in local fields, Ann. of Math. 81(1965), 380-387.
- [18] J. Lubin, One-parameter formal Lie groups over  $p$ -adic integer rings, Annals of Mathematics 80, no. 3 (1964), 464–84. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1970659>
- [19] C. L. Matson, Multi-dimensional formal group laws with complex multiplication, PhD Thesis, University of Colorado, 2020, [https://math.colorado.edu/~cama5144/Matson\\_Dissertation.pdf](https://math.colorado.edu/~cama5144/Matson_Dissertation.pdf)
- [20] M. Rosen and K. Zimmermann, Torsion points of generic formal groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 311 (1989), no. 1, 241-253.
- [21] V. Serban, On  $p$ -adic versions of the Manin-Mumford conjecture, International Mathematics Research Notices, Volume 2022, Issue 13, July 2022, 10279-10301.
- [22] J. H. Silverman, The arithmetic of elliptic curves, Springer, New York (2009).
- [23] G. Shimura, Correspondances modulaires et les fonctions  $\zeta$  de courbes algébriques, J. Math. Soc. Japan 10 (1958), 1-28.
- [24] J. S. Milne, Abelian varieties, <https://www.jmilne.org/math/CourseNotes/AV110.pdf>
- [25] J. Tate,  $p$ -Divisible Groups. In: Springer, T.A. (eds) Proceedings of a Conference on Local Fields. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg (1967). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-87942-5\\_12](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-87942-5_12)
- [26] SageMath Authors, Formal groups of elliptic curves, [https://doc.sagemath.org/html/en/reference/arithmeti\\_cu](https://doc.sagemath.org/html/en/reference/arithmeti_cu)

---

### APPENDIX A. Number of points in an algebraic set

Here we give an informal discussion regarding the connection between the dimension or rank of a certain algebra over a base ring, and the number of points associated. Let us understand a point in a (coordinatized) Cartesian or affine plane. Most primitively, a point is something like  $P = (\frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{5})$ , a pair of real values. Let us look at the point in different ways. The numbers  $\frac{3}{5}$  and  $\frac{4}{5}$  are values of some coordinate functions,  $X$  and  $Y$  i.e.,  $X(P) = \frac{3}{5}$  and  $Y(P) = \frac{4}{5}$ . But these two functions  $X$  and  $Y$ , are two among many, the collection of all such coordinate functions making up the ring  $\mathbb{R}[X, Y]$ , or  $\mathbb{C}[X, Y]$ , if we consider the complex numbers as our constant ring instead of the reals. We note that if  $U$  and  $W$  are elements of this “coordinate ring”  $\mathbb{C}[X, Y]$ , then  $U(P) + W(P) = (U + W)(P)$ , so that the *evaluation* at  $P$  is a homomorphism from  $\mathbb{C}[X, Y]$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ . Thus we may look at  $P$  as a (ring) homomorphism from the coordinate ring  $\mathbb{C}[X, Y]$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ . But a homomorphism into a field must have a maximal ideal from its kernel. And therefore we can also look at a point as a maximal ideal of some coordinate ring. Let us now consider the algebraic subsets (of the coordinate ring), like the unit circle, given by the equation  $X^2 + Y^2 = 1$ . We may think of this condition as specifying that for every point  $Q$  on the circle,  $X(Q)^2 + Y(Q)^2 = 1$ , i.e.,  $(X^2 + Y^2 - 1)(Q) = 0$ . We call a point  $Q$  a zero of a function  $W \in \mathbb{C}[X, Y]$  if  $W(Q) = 0$ , just as our original  $P$  is a zero of  $X^2 + Y^2 - 1$ .

If we call  $\mathfrak{J}$  the ideal generated (within  $\mathbb{C}[X, Y]$ ) by our polynomial  $X^2 + Y^2 - 1$ , then we can see that set of polynomial functions, that is those functions that may be defined by polynomials in  $X$  and  $Y$ , is naturally isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}[X, Y]/\mathfrak{J}$ , we’ll call it  $\mathfrak{R}$ . The maximal ideals (mentioned above) that are points on the unit circle correspond exactly to the maximal ideals of  $\mathfrak{R}$ . We can think of  $\mathfrak{R}$  as defining, in some abstract way, a geometric object, which has been called the “spectrum” of  $\mathfrak{R}$ , though thanks to the insights of Grothendieck, we now call  $\text{Spec}(\mathfrak{R})$  the set of all prime ideals of  $\mathfrak{R}$ , not just maximal ones, and with some additional structures. But for our purpose, maximal ideals will suffice and no additional structure is required. The upshot is that the points of an algebraic set are the maximal ideals of the ring whose spectrum is that set.

Recall, we have excluded the bad case (see Remark 3.13) in the above consideration.

The whole trick, above, works because there are results known as semisimple ring structure theorems due to Wedderburn and others which says “a finite-dimensional commutative ring over a field  $k$  is a direct sum of finite algebraic extensions of  $k$  if there are no nilpotent elements.”

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF BURDWAN, BURDWAN-713101, INDIA.

*Email address:* \*mabudji@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,, THE UNIVERSITY OF BURDWAN,, BURDWAN-713101, INDIA.

*Email address:* †aashaikh@math.buruniv.ac.in