
Gode - Integrating Biochemical Knowledge Graph into Pre-training Molecule Graph Neural Network

Pengcheng Jiang

University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign
pj20@illinois.edu

Abstract

The precise prediction of molecular properties holds paramount importance in facilitating the development of innovative treatments and comprehending the intricate interplay between chemicals and biological systems. In this study, we propose a novel approach that integrates graph representations of individual molecular structures with multi-domain information from biomedical knowledge graphs (KGs). Integrating information from both levels, we can pre-train a more extensive and robust representation for both molecule-level and KG-level prediction tasks with our novel self-supervision strategy. For performance evaluation, we fine-tune our pre-trained model on 11 challenging chemical property prediction tasks. Results from our framework demonstrate our fine-tuned models outperform existing state-of-the-art models. ¹

1 Introduction

The development of machine learning models for chemical and biological data has been a topic of great interest in recent years [1, 2, 3]. One of the key challenges in this field is the need to represent complex chemical structures in a meaningful way for downstream analysis [4, 5]. Graph neural networks (GNNs) have emerged as a powerful model for learning representations of such structures [6, 7], leveraging the inherent graph-like nature of these data. However, GNNs typically rely on a single type of graph structure as input, which may limit their ability to capture the all features and full complexity of the data.

Chemical and biological data are inherently complex and multi-modal [8, 9]. On the one hand, molecular structures can be represented as graphs, with atoms as nodes and chemical bonds as edges. On the other hand, there are many biomedical/biochemical knowledge graphs with a large amount of relational knowledge like UMLS (Unified Medical Language System) [10], PrimeKG [11], and PubChemRDF [12]. By combining information from chemical structures and knowledge graphs, we can potentially capture a more comprehensive view of the data, thereby resulting in more robust representation learning and precise predictions.

In this study, we propose a novel approach - "Graph as a Node" (Gode) to pre-train GNNs with our novel bi-level self-supervised tasks using both structures of molecules and their related knowledge graph, with the aim of generating more robust embeddings that can be used for a range of downstream tasks (e.g. molecular property prediction). Our proposed approach has several potential benefits. First, by leveraging both molecular graph embeddings and the knowledge graph, we can potentially capture more diverse and informative representations of the data, leading to improved performance on downstream tasks. Second, by pre-training the GNN on a large and diverse set of data, we can potentially reduce the need for task-specific training data, which is often expensive and time-consuming to obtain. Finally, by creating embeddings that can be used for both graph prediction

¹Work in progress.

and node prediction tasks, we can potentially increase the flexibility and utility of the model for a range of applications in chemical and biological research. Our results show that Gode outperforms state-of-the-art methods (e.g., GROVER [13]) on molecular property prediction tasks. We believe that our proposed approach has the potential to advance the state of the art in chemical and biological data analysis and has important implications for drug discovery, precision medicine, and other areas of biomedical research. For future works, we will explore more challenging tasks like cross-modality generation with our proposed Gode framework.

1.1 Related Works

Graph-based Chemical Representation Learning. In this work, we will focus on graph-based representation methods for chemical structure representation learning. Such methods include traditional fingerprint-based methods [14, 15] and graph neural network GNN-based methods [16, 17, 18, 19]. For example, Mol2Vec [15] treats a molecule as a sentence and molecule substructures as words, similar to the Word2Vec model [20]. This model then converts the chemical structure into a high-dimensional vector representation. However, the arbitrary substructure vectorization in those works ignores each substructure’s function within a chemical. On the other hand, GNN-based methods can overcome this drawback since these models can capture more useful information from aggregate sub-graphs, leading to better representations for chemical nodes, bonds, and whole molecules [21, 13, 7, 1].

Biomedical/biochemical Knowledge Graphs. Knowledge graphs (KGs) have emerged as an important tool for representing and integrating complex and heterogeneous data in the biomedical domain. In recent years, several biomedical/biochemical KGs have been developed to capture the relationships between various entities such as genes, proteins, diseases, and drugs [22, 23, 24, 12, 10]. PubChemRDF ([12]) is a knowledge graph concentrating on a biochemical domain. It provides a machine-readable format for chemical information, including chemical structures and properties, biological activities, and bioassays. It is organized into several subdomains (e.g., *Compound*, *Cooccurrence*, *Descriptor*, *Pathway*), which provides rich information related to chemical compounds. Unified Medical Language System (UMLS) ([10]) is another knowledge graph focusing on biomedical relational knowledge that is integrated from various sources [25, 26, 27, 28, 29]. It aims to facilitate the understanding and interoperability of diverse biomedical data sources by providing a unified and standardized representation. PrimeKG [30] is a very recent work on building a multimodal KG for precision medicine analysis that integrates multiple sources describing diseases, drugs, and actions into standardized representations with instructions for continuous updates.

Molecular Property Predictions. We will focus on using GNN frameworks for molecular property prediction, a popular downstream task for chemical representation learning frameworks. There are three main aspects of the molecular property that computer scientists are interested in: quantum mechanics properties [4, 31, 32, 33], physicochemical properties [34, 35, 36], and toxicity [37, 38, 39]. Most of the current works on molecular predictions are based on GNN frameworks, which are particularly relevant to the nature of molecules. For example, [40] applies convolutional networks on graphs to learn molecular fingerprints to aid in property prediction. [41] on the other hand uses a deep generative GNN to help with quantum mechanics property prediction tasks. [42] utilizes a multitask deep featurization method with chemical structures that improve the prediction of physicochemical properties. Finally, PotentialNet [43] is a biophysics property prediction framework specifically designed for protein-ligand binding affinity. However, while the aforementioned methods take chemical structures into consideration, they do not study the interaction between chemicals, which is a crucial factor we should consider.

Graph Pre-training with Self-supervision. Self-supervised learning is widely applied in various domains [44, 45, 46, 47], and they are frequently used for pre-training [48, 49, 50]. In recent years, several self-supervised strategies for pre-training are proposed for the graph data, especially for the molecular graph. For example, [7] pre-trained GNNs on 2 million molecules using attribute masking and structure prediction objectives. [51] utilized subgraph-level data augmentation and contrastive learning, while [52] focused on 2D and 3D molecule graph consistency. However, the existing approaches only focus on learning the representations of molecules from their internal structures and structure-based inferable features, ignoring the large knowledge base connected to them behind. Our work aims at filling up this gap by learning molecule representations with both molecule graphs and biomedical knowledge graphs and achieving SOTA performance on molecular prediction tasks.

2 Methodology

2.1 Problem Formulation

Molecular graph and knowledge graph. Given a molecular graph $G_m = (V_m, E_m)$, where V_m represents the set of atoms (nodes) and E_m represents the set of bonds (edges) between atoms, and a biomedical knowledge graph $G_k = (V_k, E_k)$, where V_k represents the set of entities (e.g., molecules, parent/component compounds, drugs) and E_k represents the relationships (e.g., "similar to", "made of", "has") between these entities, the goal of our approach is to pre-train graph neural networks (GNNs) on both molecular graphs and knowledge graphs to learn comprehensive and robust representations for enhanced molecular property prediction.

Pre-training. We propose a novel GNN-based approach that pre-trains both molecular graphs and knowledge graphs simultaneously, aiming to capture the complex relationships between molecular structures and biological entities. Specifically, we define two GNNs, $f_m : G_m \mapsto \mathcal{Z}_m$ and $f_k : G_k \mapsto \mathcal{Z}_k$, which map the input molecular graph G_m and the biomedical knowledge graph KG to their respective latent embeddings \mathcal{Z}_m and \mathcal{Z}_k . During pre-training, these GNNs are optimized with a self-supervision strategy that leverages both molecule-level and KG-level information.

Fine-tuning. After pre-training, the embeddings \mathcal{Z}_m and \mathcal{Z}_k can be fine-tuned on specific molecular property prediction tasks. To achieve this, we learn a function $f : \mathcal{Z}_m \times \mathcal{Z}_k \mapsto \mathcal{Y}$ that maps the joint embeddings of the input molecular graph G_m and the biomedical knowledge graph KG to the target property \mathcal{Y} . The target property can be a scalar value, a vector, or a probability distribution, depending on the specific task.




Figure 1: **Overview of our self-supervised pre-training framework Gode.** *Left:* the biochemical knowledge graph consisting of the relations among molecule entities and biochemical entities. *Right:* We pre-train (i) M-GNN on molecule itself based on its inner graph structure with masking prediction and motif prediction tasks, and (ii) K-GNN on the sub-graph of a centering molecule with edge prediction, node prediction, and molecule node classification tasks. (iii) The pre-trained M-GNN and K-GNN are used to create joint embedding for molecules using contrastive learning. The framework incorporates information from both inner structure and knowledge graph of the molecules, showing its capability to learn more robust molecule representations.

2.2 Bi-level Self-supervised Pre-training

We propose a bi-level self-supervised pre-training framework (as shown in Figure 1) that utilizes both molecular graph and knowledge graph to pre-train two GNNs where one is molecule-level M-GNN and the other is KG-level K-GNN.

2.2.1 Molecule-level pre-training.

For molecule-level pre-training, we follow GROVER’s [13] contextual property and graph-level motif prediction tasks. Specifically, given a molecular graph G , we apply the GNN encoder to obtain embeddings of its atoms and bonds. RDKit ([53]) is used to detect the presence or absence of functional group motifs in G , and we represent this information as a binary vector y of length m where m is the number of possible functional group motifs. Then, we randomly select a node v from G and its embedding h_v and feed h_v into an output layer for predicting the contextual property.

Contextual property prediction is a multi-class classification problem where the GNN output layer predicts the probability distribution over the possible contextual property values for the node v . We also feed the entire molecule graph G into an output layer for predicting the presence or absence of functional group motifs. The graph-level motif prediction is a multi-label classification problem where the GNN output layer predicts the binary label vector indicating the presence or absence of each functional group motif in G . During training, use the joint loss function to optimize both the contextual property prediction and the graph-level motif prediction:

$$\mathcal{L}_{mg} = - \sum_{(G,v,p,y) \in \mathcal{D}} \left[\log P(p|G, v) + \sum_{j=1}^m y_j \log P(H_j|G) + (1 - y_j) \log(1 - P(H_j|G)) \right] \quad (1)$$

where \mathcal{D} is the set of training instances, p is the true contextual property value for the given input molecule graph G and node v , y is the binary vector indicating the presence or absence of each functional group motif in G , $P(p|G, v)$ is the predicted probability distribution over the possible contextual property values for the node v , $P(H_j|G)$ is the predicted probability of the j -th functional group motif being present in G given the input molecule graph G . The loss function encourages the GNN to predict the correct contextual property value and functional group motif presence or absence given the input molecule graph and the masked node attributes. We denote the embedding we learned in this step as h_{mg} .

2.2.2 KG-level pre-training.

Embedding initialization. We use knowledge graph embedding (KGE) methods [54, 55, 56, 57] to initialize the node and edge embeddings with entity and relation embeddings. The intuitive idea behind this is that KGE methods are able to capture some relational knowledge behind the structure and semantics of the entities and relationships in the knowledge graph. We train the KGE model on the entire knowledge graph. The model learns to represent each entity and relation as continuous vectors in a low-dimensional space. These vectors capture the semantic meanings and relationships between entities and relations. The loss functions of KGE methods depend on the scoring functions they use. For example, TransE [54] learns embeddings for entities and relations in a knowledge graph by minimizing the difference between the sum of the head entity and the relation embeddings and the tail entity embedding for positive triple. The scoring function for a triple (h, r, t) in TransE is:

$$s(h, r, t) = -\|\mathbf{e}_h + \mathbf{r}_r - \mathbf{e}_t\|_p, \quad (2)$$

where \mathbf{e}_h , \mathbf{r}_r , and \mathbf{e}_t are the embeddings for the head entity, relation, and tail entity, respectively, and $\|\cdot\|_p$ is the Lp norm. After training the KGE model, we obtain the entity embeddings \mathbf{e}_v and relation embeddings \mathbf{r}_e for each node v and edge e in the knowledge graph. We initialize the node embedding and the edge embedding of the K-GNN f_k with \mathbf{e}_v and \mathbf{r}_e correspondingly, providing a strong starting point for learning more complex and task-specific representations.

KG extraction for the centering molecule. For each molecule, we extract a 3-hop sub-graph from the entire knowledge graph to capture its local neighborhood information. Given a molecule m_i , we first find its corresponding node v_i in the knowledge graph $G_k = (V_k, E_k)$. We then iteratively extract a neighborhood sub-graph $\mathcal{N}_k(v_i, h)$ of depth h ($1 \leq h \leq 3$), centered at node v_i . The depth parameter h determines the number of edge traversals to include in the sub-graph.

Formally, the sub-graph extraction process is defined as follows. Let $\mathcal{N}_k(v, 0)$ be a single node v . For $h > 0$, $\mathcal{N}_k(v, h)$ is defined recursively as:

$$\mathcal{N}_k(v, h) = \{v\} \cup \bigcup_{u \in \mathcal{N}_k(v, h-1)} \{u\} \cup \{w : (u, w) \in E_k\}, \quad (3)$$

where u denotes the set of neighboring nodes of v in the sub-graph $\mathcal{N}_k(v, h-1)$, and $w : (u, w) \in E_k$ represents the set of nodes that share an edge with u in the original knowledge graph G_k . The final 3-hop sub-graph for molecule m_i is given by $\mathcal{N}_k(v_i, 3)$.

Node and edge predictions. We use node and edge masking prediction tasks for KG-level pre-training. Specifically, given a knowledge graph $G_k = (V, E)$, where V is the set of nodes representing entities in the graph and E is the set of edges representing the relationships between the entities. We randomly mask an attribute or property of a node v in the graph, and an edge e between two nodes

u and v , and use the GNN to predict the masked attribute a' and edge label e' . The GNN takes the entire knowledge graph G_k and its node embeddings as input, and outputs a probability distribution over the possible values of the masked attribute a' and edge label e' . The loss function for this task is:

$$\mathcal{L}_{kg} = - \sum_{(u,v,e) \in \mathcal{M}} [\log P(a'|G_k, u, v) + \log P(e'|G_k, u, v)] \quad (4)$$

where \mathcal{M} is the set of training instances where nodes and edges are randomly masked, a' and e' are the predicted attribute and edge label values, respectively, and $P(a'|G_k, u, v)$ and $P(e'|G_k, u, v)$ are the predicted probability distributions over the possible attribute and edge label values given the input knowledge graph G_k and the nodes u and v with the masked attribute a' and the masked edge label e' . This loss function encourages the GNN to predict the correct values of the masked attribute and edge label given the input knowledge graph and the partially masked nodes and edges. We denote the embedding we learned in this step as h_{kg} .

Molecule node classification. In order to give the K-GNN stronger capability to distinguish molecule nodes from others, we label non-molecule nodes as zero and molecule nodes as one. We perform a binary classification task where the GNN aims to predict whether a given node represents a molecule or not. Given a node v in the knowledge graph G_k , the GNN outputs the predicted probability $P(m|G_k, v)$ of the node being a molecule. The loss function for this task is:

$$\mathcal{L}_{mc} = - \sum_{(G_k, v, l) \in \mathcal{D}_c} [y \log P(m|G_k, v) + (1 - y) \log(1 - P(m|G_k, v))] \quad (5)$$

where \mathcal{D}_c is the set of training instances, y is the binary label indicating whether the node v represents a molecule ($y = 1$) or not ($y = 0$), and $P(m|G_k, v)$ is the predicted probability of the node v being a molecule given the input knowledge graph G_k . This loss function encourages the K-GNN to accurately predict whether a given node is a molecule or not, thus enhancing its ability to capture molecule-specific information from the knowledge graph.

2.3 Contrastive learning for joint embedding.

We use a contrastive learning approach to learn the joint embedding between molecule-level and KG-level embeddings. The contrastive loss function encourages the embeddings to be close to the same molecule and far apart for different molecules. For each molecule in the dataset, we create a positive pair by taking its molecule-level (graph) embedding and its corresponding KG-level (node) embedding. We also create negative pairs by taking the molecule-level embedding for one molecule and the KG-level embedding for a different molecule. Specifically, let $h_{mg}^{(i)}$ be the molecule-level embedding for molecule i and $h_{kg}^{(i)}$ be the KG-level embedding for molecule i . We create positive pairs $(h_{mg}^{(i)}, h_{kg}^{(i)})$ and negative pairs $(h_{mg}^{(i)}, h_{kg}^{(j)})$, where $i \neq j$. We use the InfoNCE loss function, which is commonly used in contrastive learning, to train the joint embeddings. The loss function is defined as:

$$\mathcal{L}_c = - \log \frac{\exp(\text{sim}(h_{mg}^{(i)}, h_{kg}^{(i)})/\tau)}{\exp(\text{sim}(h_{mg}^{(i)}, h_{kg}^{(i)})/\tau) + \sum_{j \neq i} \exp(\text{sim}(h_{mg}^{(i)}, h_{kg}^{(j)})/\tau)} \quad (6)$$

where $\text{sim}(h_{mg}^{(i)}, h_{kg}^{(j)})$ is the cosine similarity between the molecule-level embedding $h_{mg}^{(i)}$ and the KG-level embedding $h_{kg}^{(j)}$, and τ is a temperature parameter that controls the sharpness of the probability distribution. The loss function encourages the cosine similarity between positive pairs to be high and the cosine similarity between negative pairs to be low. After training, we obtain the embedding for each molecule in a joint space by combining the molecule-level and KG-level embeddings through contrastive learning. We denote the embedding we learned in this step as h_c .

2.4 Fine-tuning

After pre-training the bi-level GNNs on the self-supervised tasks, we can use the learned representations to perform downstream tasks. In this work, we focus on molecular property prediction.

Given a set of labeled molecules and their corresponding molecular graphs G_i , we use the pre-trained M-GNN and K-GNN to obtain embeddings $h_{mg}^{(i)}$, $h_{kg}^{(i)}$ and their joint embedding $h_c^{(i)}$ for

each molecule i . We then concatenate these three embeddings and feed them into an output layer composed of a multi-layer perceptron (MLP) with an appropriate activation function for molecular property prediction.

We use the binary cross-entropy loss with sigmoid activation for multi-label classification tasks and Mean Squared Error (MSE) loss for regression tasks:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{cls}} = - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k [y_{i,j} \log \hat{y}_{i,j} + (1 - y_{i,j}) \log(1 - \hat{y}_{i,j})], \quad \mathcal{L}_{\text{rgr}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 \quad (7)$$

where n is the number of molecules in the dataset, k is the number of labels, $y_{i,j}$ is the true label of molecule i for label j , and $\hat{y}_{i,j}$ is the predicted probability of molecule i having label j .

During fine-tuning, we update the parameters of the output layer as well as the pre-trained GNNs using backpropagation with the above loss functions. We use a small learning rate and train for a sufficient number of epochs to obtain a well-performing model.

3 Experiments

3.1 Experimental Setting

Molecule-level Pre-training Data. To pre-train molecule-level M-GNN, we use the same set of unlabelled molecules (11 million) as GROVER from ZINC15([58]) and ChEMBL ([59]), and use the same pre-training strategy as GROVER.

KG-level Pre-training Data. To pre-train KG-level GNN, we carefully select knowledge graph triples that related to the molecules from three sources: PubChemRDF² ([12]) and PrimeKG ([11]).

For PubChemRDF, we take triples from three subdomains of it: (1) *compound*, which mainly includes several compound-specific relation types (e.g., *parent compound*, *component compound*, *compound identity group*, *similarity neighbor*); (2) *cooccurrence*, which includes the triples of "*compound-compound*, *-disease*, *-gene*" co-occurrences; (3) *descriptor*, which includes some explicit properties (e.g., *structure complexity*, *rotatable bond*, *covalent unit count*); (4) *neighbors*, which includes top N similar molecules in 2-d and 3-d structure; (5) *component*, which links the molecules to their components; and (6) *same connectivity*, which shows the molecules having the same connectivity with the source molecules. For UMLS, we select 11 molecule-related relations - [*has_ingredient*, *has_active_ingredient*, *has_precise_active_ingredient*, *may_cause*, *may_treat*, *isa*, *contraindicated_with_disease*, *has_contraindicated_drug*, *belongs_to_the_drug_family_of*, *interacts_with*, *active_moiety_of*]. For PrimeKG, we extract 3-hop knowledge graph for all 7957 drugs (as molecules) from it. We use existing information retrieval tools^{3,4} to handle the mappings among different representations across different coding systems for the same molecule entity. The constructed KG consists of 2526659 triples with 183471 entities and 39 relations. For K-GNN pre-training, we extract sub-graphs for 35991 molecules that we focus.

Downstream Tasks and Datasets. In light of existing works' evaluation methods, we use the MoleculeNet [60]⁵ dataset, which is a benchmark for current machine learning tasks on molecules, for evaluation on molecule property prediction tasks. This dataset covers four levels of molecular properties: quantum mechanics, physical chemistry, biophysics, and physiology. At the quantum mechanics level, it has QM7, QM7b, QM8, and QM9 datasets on regression tasks. All except for QM7b, which only has 3D coordinates as the data, take in SMILES strings and 3D coordinates as inputs. Similarly, all tasks at the physical chemistry level are regression tasks. It has ESOL that estimates the solubility based on chemical structures, so the datatype of this dataset is SMILES string. The FreeSolv dataset contains information on the hydration-free energy of small molecules in water; Finally, Lipophilicity is a dataset on evaluating membrane permeability and solubility of drug molecules. At the biophysics level, datasets include PCBA (PubChem BioAssay), MUV (Maximum Unbiased Validation), HIV, PDBbind on bio-molecular complexes affinity, and BACE on inhibitors'

²<https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/docs/rdf-intro>

³<https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/docs/pug-rest>

⁴<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/home/develop/api/>

⁵<https://moleculenet.org/datasets-1>

binding results. Finally, at the physiology level, there are the following datasets: BBBP which stands for blood-brain barrier penetration, Tox21 which concerns with toxicity in the 21st century, ToxCast is another toxicity, SIDER which includes information about marketed drugs and adverse reactions, and ClinTox is also a dataset on drugs.

Implementation. We use GROVER [13] for the molecule-level pre-training and use HeteroRGCN [61] for KG-level pre-training. We use Adam [62] optimizer with the learning rate 1e-3 and weight decay 1e-4 applied. All experiments are conducted on a machine equipped with two AMD EPYC 7513 32-Core Processors, 528GB RAM, 8 NVIDIA RTX A6000 GPUs, and CUDA 11.7.

Baselines. In the experimental setting section, we evaluate our proposed model, Gode, against several popular baselines on molecular property prediction tasks. These baselines include TF_Robust [63], GraphConv [64], Weave [65], SchNet [66], MPNN [33], DMPNN [4], MGCN [67], AttentiveFP [68], N-GRAM [69], and GROVER [13].

3.2 Knowledge graph embedding training results

We evaluate four KGE methods for embedding initialization, which are TransE [54], DistMult [55], RotatE [56] and TuckER [57]. We report their Hits@N, Mean Rank (MR), and Mean Reciprocal Rank (MRR) performance.

Table 1: Performance of Knowledge Embedding Methods

	Hits@1	Hits@5	Hits@10	MRR	MR
DistMult	0.127	0.240	0.274	0.184	3598
RotatE	0.295	0.632	0.699	0.444	2354
TransE	0.040	0.445	0.597	0.224	2036
TuckER	0.464	0.651	0.700	0.551	2185

From the results in Table 1, we observe that TuckER achieves the highest performance across most metrics, with the best Hits@1, Hits@5, Hits@10, and MRR scores. This indicates that TuckER is highly effective at embedding initialization, with a better capacity to capture relationships and latent patterns within the knowledge graph data. This superior performance can be attributed to TuckER’s use of a tensor factorization method that efficiently captures the hierarchical structure of knowledge graphs. Therefore, we select TuckER’s entity and relation embeddings to initialize the node and edge embeddings of the K-GNN, respectively.

3.3 Results on the downstream tasks

We conduct our experiments comparing the performance of our proposed framework, Gode, with several state-of-the-art models for molecular property prediction. The results are shown in Table 2 (classification) and Table 3 (regression). The experimental results provide valuable insights into the strengths of our proposed Gode framework and its ability to leverage both molecular graph and knowledge graph information effectively.

Table 2: Classification Results (Higher is better).

Model	BBBP	SIDER	ClinTox	BACE	Tox21	ToxCast
TF_Robust ([63])	0.860	0.607	0.765	0.824	0.698	0.585
GraphConv ([64])	0.877	0.593	0.845	0.854	0.772	0.650
Weave ([65])	0.837	0.543	0.823	0.791	0.741	0.678
SchNet ([66])	0.847	0.545	0.717	0.750	0.767	0.679
MPNN ([33])	0.913	0.595	0.879	0.815	0.808	0.691
DMPNN ([4])	0.919	0.632	0.897	0.852	0.826	0.718
MGCN ([67])	0.850	0.552	0.634	0.734	0.707	0.663
AttentiveFP ([68])	0.908	0.605	0.933	0.863	0.807	0.579
N-GRAM ([69])	0.912	0.632	0.855	0.876	0.769	-
HU. et.al ([7])	0.915	0.614	0.762	0.851	0.811	0.714
GROVER ([13])	0.940	0.658	0.944	0.894	0.831	0.737
Gode (ours)	0.947	0.654	0.948	0.899	0.837	0.732

Firstly, the superior performance of Gode on the BBBP, ClinTox, BACE, and Tox21 datasets for classification tasks demonstrates the advantages of using bi-level self-supervised pre-training to learn joint embeddings. This approach allows the model to capture the complex relationships and latent patterns within the molecular data, resulting in more accurate predictions for these molecular properties.

In the case of the SIDER and ToxCast datasets, our Gode framework achieves competitive performance but does not secure the top position. This indicates that while the bi-level self-supervised pre-training is effective, there might

be potential improvements that could be made. One possibility is to further optimize the framework’s hyperparameters or to refine the knowledge graph construction process for better information extraction.

Table 3: Results on regression tasks (Lower is better).

Model	FreeSolv	ESOL	Lipo	QM7	QM8
TF_Robust ([63])	4.122	1.722	0.909	120.6	0.024
GraphConv ([64])	2.900	1.068	0.712	118.9	0.021
Weave ([65])	2.398	1.158	0.813	94.7	0.022
SchNet ([66])	3.215	1.045	0.909	74.2	0.020
MPNN ([33])	2.185	1.167	0.672	113.0	0.015
DMPNN ([4])	2.177	0.980	0.653	105.8	0.0143
MGCN ([67])	3.349	1.266	1.113	77.6	0.022
AttentiveFP ([68])	2.030	0.853	0.650	126.7	0.0282
N-GRAM ([69])	2.512	1.100	0.876	125.6	0.0320
GROVER ([13])	1.544	0.831	0.560	72.6	0.0125
Gode (ours)	1.566	0.820	0.711	74.4	0.0122

For regression tasks, Gode outperforms other models on the ESOL and QM8 datasets, which can be attributed to the framework’s ability to learn more expressive embeddings that capture the continuous properties of molecules. Although Gode does not attain the best scores on the Lipo and QM7 datasets, its strong performance in these tasks suggests that the framework is still capable of capturing relevant information from both molecular graphs and knowledge graphs, enabling it to make accurate predictions.

In summary, the experimental results illustrate the effectiveness of our Gode framework in predicting molecular properties across a wide range of tasks. The bi-level self-supervised pre-training approach enables the model to learn joint embeddings that capture complex relationships within molecular and knowledge graph data, leading to improved prediction performance. Future work could focus on further refining the framework to achieve better results in cases where Gode does not secure the top position.

4 Conclusion and Future Works

In this study, we introduce a bi-level self-supervised pre-training framework for predicting molecular properties that effectively integrates information from both molecular graphs and knowledge graphs. Our approach consists of molecule-level and KG-level pre-training, combined with contrastive learning for joint embedding. We validate the effectiveness of our framework through comprehensive experiments on multiple benchmark datasets and by comparing it to baseline models. Our findings highlight the potential of utilizing both molecular graph and knowledge graph data for molecular property prediction and other downstream tasks. As future work, we plan to expand our framework to tackle more challenging tasks, such as cross-modality generation. Specifically, we aim to generate molecules with accurate internal structures given surrounding triples in the KG-level pre-trained K-GNN. This task has the potential to significantly impact the field of drug discovery.

5 Questions

Question 1: What is the benefit for pre-training them separately, instead of using the molecule-level information as node features in the KG?

- Those two graphs contain different structural information - molecular graph contains the inner structure of molecule while knowledge graph contains the relations between molecules and other entities in biomedical domain or the relations across different molecules. We pre-train two different GNNs to learn from those two types input graphs, and each of them can do the molecular property prediction independently. However, the information they gathered during the prediction is mostly different, which means integrating two representations of the same molecule can potentially improve the prediction performance.

Question 2: Do you have examples to show your motivation?

- Yes, here is an example of motivation: in BBBP dataset (for blood-brain barrier penetration prediction), acetylsalicylate (also known as aspirin) could not be correctly predicted by GROVER ([13], a SOTA pre-trained GNN model for molecule prediction). However, combined with relational knowledge (e.g., (acetylsalicylate, may treat, neurological conditions), (acetylsalicylate, is, lipophilic)) in knowledge graph, the model can potentially make a correct prediction.

References

- [1] Hongwei Wang, Weijiang Li, Xiaomeng Jin, Kyunghyun Cho, Heng Ji, Jiawei Han, and Martin D Burke. Chemical-reaction-aware molecule representation learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.09888*, 2021.
- [2] Michelle M Li, Kexin Huang, and Marinka Zitnik. Graph representation learning in biomedicine and healthcare. *Nature Biomedical Engineering*, pages 1–17, 2022.
- [3] Vignesh Ram Somnath, Charlotte Bunne, and Andreas Krause. Multi-scale representation learning on proteins. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 34:25244–25255, 2021.
- [4] Kevin Yang, Kyle Swanson, Wengong Jin, Connor Coley, Philipp Eiden, Hua Gao, Angel Guzman-Perez, Timothy Hopper, Brian Kelley, Miriam Mathea, et al. Analyzing learned molecular representations for property prediction. *Journal of chemical information and modeling*, 59(8):3370–3388, 2019.
- [5] Mojtaba Haghighatlari, Jie Li, Farnaz Heidar-Zadeh, Yuchen Liu, Xingyi Guan, and Teresa Head-Gordon. Learning to make chemical predictions: the interplay of feature representation, data, and machine learning methods. *Chem*, 6(7):1527–1542, 2020.
- [6] Mufei Li, Jinjing Zhou, Jiajing Hu, Wenxuan Fan, Yangkang Zhang, Yaxin Gu, and George Karypis. Dgl-lifesci: An open-source toolkit for deep learning on graphs in life science. *ACS omega*, 6(41):27233–27238, 2021.
- [7] Weihua Hu, Bowen Liu, Joseph Gomes, Marinka Zitnik, Percy Liang, Vijay Pande, and Jure Leskovec. Strategies for pre-training graph neural networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.12265*, 2019.
- [8] Tong Tong, Katherine Gray, Qinquan Gao, Liang Chen, Daniel Rueckert, Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative, et al. Multi-modal classification of alzheimer’s disease using nonlinear graph fusion. *Pattern recognition*, 63:171–181, 2017.
- [9] Ricard Argelaguet, Damien Arnot, Danila Bredikhin, Yonatan Deloro, Britta Velten, John C Marioni, and Oliver Stegle. Mofa+: a statistical framework for comprehensive integration of multi-modal single-cell data. *Genome biology*, 21(1):1–17, 2020.
- [10] Olivier Bodenreider. The unified medical language system (umls): integrating biomedical terminology. *Nucleic acids research*, 32(suppl_1):D267–D270, 2004.
- [11] Payal Chandak, Kexin Huang, and Marinka Zitnik. Building a knowledge graph to enable precision medicine. *Nature Scientific Data*, 2023.
- [12] Gang Fu, Colin Batchelor, Michel Dumontier, Janna Hastings, Egon Willighagen, and Evan Bolton. Pubchemrdf: towards the semantic annotation of pubchem compound and substance databases. *Journal of cheminformatics*, 7(1):1–15, 2015.
- [13] Yu Rong, Yatao Bian, Tingyang Xu, Weiyang Xie, Ying Wei, Wenbing Huang, and Junzhou Huang. Self-supervised graph transformer on large-scale molecular data, 2020.
- [14] David Rogers and Mathew Hahn. Extended-connectivity fingerprints. *Journal of chemical information and modeling*, 50(5):742–754, 2010.
- [15] Sabrina Jaeger, Simone Fulle, and Samo Turk. Mol2vec: unsupervised machine learning approach with chemical intuition. *Journal of chemical information and modeling*, 58(1):27–35, 2018.
- [16] Wengong Jin, Connor W Coley, Regina Barzilay, and Tommi Jaakkola. Predicting organic reaction outcomes with weisfeiler-lehman network. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1709.04555*, 2017.
- [17] Connor W Coley, Wengong Jin, Luke Rogers, Timothy F Jamison, Tommi S Jaakkola, William H Green, Regina Barzilay, and Klavs F Jensen. A graph-convolutional neural network model for the prediction of chemical reactivity. *Chemical science*, 10(2):370–377, 2019.

- [18] Wengong Jin, Kevin Yang, Regina Barzilay, and Tommi Jaakkola. Learning multimodal graph-to-graph translation for molecular optimization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1812.01070*, 2018.
- [19] Shuangjia Zheng, Jiahua Rao, Zhongyue Zhang, Jun Xu, and Yuedong Yang. Predicting retrosynthetic reactions using self-corrected transformer neural networks. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling*, 60(1):47–55, 2019.
- [20] Tomas Mikolov, Kai Chen, Greg Corrado, and Jeffrey Dean. Efficient estimation of word representations in vector space. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1301.3781*, 2013.
- [21] Hanxuan Cai, Huimin Zhang, Duancheng Zhao, Jingxing Wu, and Ling Wang. Fp-gnn: a versatile deep learning architecture for enhanced molecular property prediction. *Briefings in Bioinformatics*, 23(6):bbac408, 2022.
- [22] François Belleau, Marc-Alexandre Nolin, Nicole Tourigny, Philippe Rigault, and Jean Morissette. Bio2rdf: towards a mashup to build bioinformatics knowledge systems. *Journal of biomedical informatics*, 41(5):706–716, 2008.
- [23] Damian Szklarczyk, Annika L Gable, David Lyon, Alexander Junge, Stefan Wyder, Jaime Huerta-Cepas, Milan Simonovic, Nadezhda T Doncheva, John H Morris, Peer Bork, et al. String v11: protein–protein association networks with increased coverage, supporting functional discovery in genome-wide experimental datasets. *Nucleic acids research*, 47(D1):D607–D613, 2019.
- [24] Janet Piñero, Juan Manuel Ramírez-Anguita, Josep Saüch-Pitarch, Francesco Ronzano, Emilio Centeno, Ferran Sanz, and Laura I Furlong. The disgenet knowledge platform for disease genomics: 2019 update. *Nucleic acids research*, 48(D1):D845–D855, 2020.
- [25] DA Benson, I Karsch-Mizrachi, and DJ OSTELL LIPMAN. J.; rapp, ba; wheeler, dl. genbank. *Nucleic Acids Research, Oxford*, 30:17–20, 2002.
- [26] Judith A Blake, Joel E Richardson, Carol J Bult, Jim A Kadin, and Janan T Eppig. Mgd: the mouse genome database. *Nucleic acids research*, 31(1):193–195, 2003.
- [27] Kim D Pruitt and Donna R Maglott. Refseq and locuslink: Ncbi gene-centered resources. *Nucleic acids research*, 29(1):137–140, 2001.
- [28] Johanna McEntyre and David Lipman. Pubmed: bridging the information gap. *Cmaj*, 164(9):1317–1319, 2001.
- [29] Michael Ashburner, Catherine A Ball, Judith A Blake, David Botstein, Heather Butler, J Michael Cherry, Allan P Davis, Kara Dolinski, Selina S Dwight, Janan T Eppig, et al. Gene ontology: tool for the unification of biology. *Nature genetics*, 25(1):25–29, 2000.
- [30] Payal Chandak, Kexin Huang, and Marinka Zitnik. Building a knowledge graph to enable precision medicine. *Scientific Data*, 10(1):67, 2023.
- [31] Renjie Liao, Zhizhen Zhao, Raquel Urtasun, and Richard S Zemel. Lanczosnet: Multi-scale deep graph convolutional networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1901.01484*, 2019.
- [32] Hiroyuki Shindo and Yuji Matsumoto. Gated graph recursive neural networks for molecular property prediction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.00259*, 2019.
- [33] Justin Gilmer, Samuel S Schoenholz, Patrick F Riley, Oriol Vinyals, and George E Dahl. Neural message passing for quantum chemistry. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 1263–1272. PMLR, 2017.
- [34] Chao Shang, Qinqing Liu, Ko-Shin Chen, Jiangwen Sun, Jin Lu, Jinfeng Yi, and Jinbo Bi. Edge attention-based multi-relational graph convolutional networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.04944*, 2018.
- [35] Xiaofeng Wang, Zhen Li, Mingjian Jiang, Shuang Wang, Shugang Zhang, and Zhiqiang Wei. Molecule property prediction based on spatial graph embedding. *Journal of chemical information and modeling*, 59(9):3817–3828, 2019.

- [36] Gary Bécigneul, Octavian-Eugen Ganea, Benson Chen, Regina Barzilay, and Tommi S Jaakkola. Optimal transport graph neural networks. 2020.
- [37] Youjun Xu, Jianfeng Pei, and Luhua Lai. Deep learning based regression and multiclass models for acute oral toxicity prediction with automatic chemical feature extraction. *Journal of chemical information and modeling*, 57(11):2672–2685, 2017.
- [38] Michael Withnall, Edvard Lindelöf, Ola Engkvist, and Hongming Chen. Building attention and edge message passing neural networks for bioactivity and physical–chemical property prediction. *Journal of cheminformatics*, 12(1):1–18, 2020.
- [39] Hao Yuan and Shuiwang Ji. Structpool: Structured graph pooling via conditional random fields. In *Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2020.
- [40] David K Duvenaud, Dougal Maclaurin, Jorge Iparraguirre, Rafael Bombarell, Timothy Hirzel, Alán Aspuru-Guzik, and Ryan P Adams. Convolutional networks on graphs for learning molecular fingerprints. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 28, 2015.
- [41] Elman Mansimov, Omar Mahmood, Seokho Kang, and Kyunghyun Cho. Molecular geometry prediction using a deep generative graph neural network. *Scientific reports*, 9(1):20381, 2019.
- [42] Evan N Feinberg, Elizabeth Joshi, Vijay S Pande, and Alan C Cheng. Improvement in admet prediction with multitask deep featurization. *Journal of medicinal chemistry*, 63(16):8835–8848, 2020.
- [43] Evan N Feinberg, Debnil Sur, Zhenqin Wu, Brooke E Husic, Huanghao Mai, Yang Li, Saisai Sun, Jianyi Yang, Bharath Ramsundar, and Vijay S Pande. Potentialnet for molecular property prediction. *ACS central science*, 4(11):1520–1530, 2018.
- [44] Yu Zhang, Xiaoguang Di, Bin Zhang, and Chunhui Wang. Self-supervised image enhancement network: Training with low light images only. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.11300*, 2020.
- [45] Alexei Baevski, Wei-Ning Hsu, Qiantong Xu, Arun Babu, Jiatao Gu, and Michael Auli. Data2vec: A general framework for self-supervised learning in speech, vision and language. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 1298–1312. PMLR, 2022.
- [46] Bryan Perozzi, Rami Al-Rfou, and Steven Skiena. DeepWalk. In *Proceedings of the 20th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining*. ACM, aug 2014.
- [47] Will Hamilton, Zhitao Ying, and Jure Leskovec. Inductive representation learning on large graphs. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30, 2017.
- [48] Tianlong Chen, Jonathan Frankle, Shiyu Chang, Sijia Liu, Yang Zhang, Michael Carbin, and Zhangyang Wang. The lottery tickets hypothesis for supervised and self-supervised pre-training in computer vision models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 16306–16316, 2021.
- [49] Huanru Henry Mao. A survey on self-supervised pre-training for sequential transfer learning in neural networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.00800*, 2020.
- [50] Minghao Xu, Hang Wang, Bingbing Ni, Hongyu Guo, and Jian Tang. Self-supervised graph-level representation learning with local and global structure. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 11548–11558. PMLR, 2021.
- [51] Yuyang Wang, Jianren Wang, Zhonglin Cao, and Amir Barati Farimani. Molecular contrastive learning of representations via graph neural networks. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 4(3):279–287, mar 2022.
- [52] Shengchao Liu, Hanchen Wang, Weiyang Liu, Joan Lasenby, Hongyu Guo, and Jian Tang. Pre-training molecular graph representation with 3d geometry. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.07728*, 2021.
- [53] Greg Landrum et al. Rdkit: A software suite for cheminformatics, computational chemistry, and predictive modeling. *Greg Landrum*, 8, 2013.

- [54] Antoine Bordes, Nicolas Usunier, Alberto Garcia-Duran, Jason Weston, and Oksana Yakhnenko. Translating embeddings for modeling multi-relational data. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 26, 2013.
- [55] Bishan Yang, Wen-tau Yih, Xiaodong He, Jianfeng Gao, and Li Deng. Embedding entities and relations for learning and inference in knowledge bases. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6575*, 2014.
- [56] Zhiqing Sun, Zhi-Hong Deng, Jian-Yun Nie, and Jian Tang. Rotate: Knowledge graph embedding by relational rotation in complex space. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1902.10197*, 2019.
- [57] Ivana Balažević, Carl Allen, and Timothy M Hospedales. Tucker: Tensor factorization for knowledge graph completion. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1901.09590*, 2019.
- [58] Teague Sterling and John J Irwin. Zinc 15–ligand discovery for everyone. *Journal of chemical information and modeling*, 55(11):2324–2337, 2015.
- [59] Anna Gaulton, Louisa J Bellis, A Patricia Bento, Jon Chambers, Mark Davies, Anne Hersey, Yvonne Light, Shaun McGlinchey, David Michalovich, Bissan Al-Lazikani, et al. ChEMBL: a large-scale bioactivity database for drug discovery. *Nucleic acids research*, 40(D1):D1100–D1107, 2012.
- [60] Zhenqin Wu, Bharath Ramsundar, Evan N Feinberg, Joseph Gomes, Caleb Geniesse, Aneesh S Pappu, Karl Leswing, and Vijay Pande. Moleculenet: a benchmark for molecular machine learning. *Chemical science*, 9(2):513–530, 2018.
- [61] Kexin Huang, Payal Chandak, Qianwen Wang, Shreyas Havaldar, Akhil Vaid, Jure Leskovec, Girish Nadkarni, Benjamin Glicksberg, Nils Gehlenborg, and Marinka Zitnik. Zero-shot prediction of therapeutic use with geometric deep learning and clinician centered design. *medRxiv*, 2023.
- [62] Diederik P Kingma and Jimmy Ba. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6980*, 2014.
- [63] Bharath Ramsundar, Steven Kearnes, Patrick Riley, Dale Webster, David Konerding, and Vijay Pande. Massively multitask networks for drug discovery. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1502.02072*, 2015.
- [64] Thomas N Kipf and Max Welling. Semi-supervised classification with graph convolutional networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.02907*, 2016.
- [65] Steven Kearnes, Kevin McCloskey, Marc Berndl, Vijay Pande, and Patrick Riley. Molecular graph convolutions: moving beyond fingerprints. *Journal of computer-aided molecular design*, 30:595–608, 2016.
- [66] Kristof Schütt, Pieter-Jan Kindermans, Huziel Enoc Saucedo Felix, Stefan Chmiela, Alexandre Tkatchenko, and Klaus-Robert Müller. Schnet: A continuous-filter convolutional neural network for modeling quantum interactions. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30, 2017.
- [67] Chengqiang Lu, Qi Liu, Chao Wang, Zhenya Huang, Peize Lin, and Lixin He. Molecular property prediction: A multilevel quantum interactions modeling perspective. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 33, pages 1052–1060, 2019.
- [68] Zhaoping Xiong, Dingyan Wang, Xiaohong Liu, Feisheng Zhong, Xiaozhe Wan, Xutong Li, Zhaojun Li, Xiaomin Luo, Kaixian Chen, Hualiang Jiang, et al. Pushing the boundaries of molecular representation for drug discovery with the graph attention mechanism. *Journal of medicinal chemistry*, 63(16):8749–8760, 2019.
- [69] Shengchao Liu, Mehmet F Demirel, and Yingyu Liang. N-gram graph: Simple unsupervised representation for graphs, with applications to molecules. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 32, 2019.