

# The Dynamic Persistence of Economic Shocks\*

Jozef BARUNÍK<sup>a,b,†</sup>, and Lukáš VÁCHA<sup>b,a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Institute of Economic Studies, Charles University,  
Opletalova 26, 110 00, Prague, Czech Republic

<sup>b</sup> The Czech Academy of Sciences, Institute of Information Theory and Automation  
Pod Vodarenskou Vezi 4, 182 00, Prague, Czech Republic

June 5, 2023

## Abstract

This paper presents a model for smoothly varying heterogeneous persistence of economic data. We argue that such dynamics arise naturally from the dynamic nature of economic shocks with various degree of persistence. The identification of such dynamics from data is done using localised regressions. Empirically, we identify rich persistence structures that change smoothly over time in two important data sets: inflation, which plays a key role in policy formulation, and stock volatility, which is crucial for risk and market analysis.

**Keywords:** persistence heterogeneity, wold decomposition, local stationarity, time-varying parameters

**JEL:** C14, C18, C22, C50

---

\*We thank Rainer von Sachs, Roman Liesenfeld, Nikolas Hautsch, Christian Hafner, Wolfgang Härdle, Lubos Hanus for invaluable discussions and comments. We acknowledge insightful comments from numerous seminar presentations, such as: the Recent Advances in Econometrics (2023, Louvain), the 2021 and 2022 STAT of ML conference in Prague; the 15th International Conference on Computational and Financial Econometrics.. The support from the Czech Science Foundation under the 19-28231X (EXPRO) project is gratefully acknowledged. For estimation of the quantities proposed, we provide a package `tvPersistence.jl` in JULIA. The package is available at <https://github.com/barunik/tvPersistence.jl>. **Disclosure Statement:** Jozef Baruník and Lukas Vacha have nothing to disclose.

<sup>†</sup>Corresponding author, Tel. +420 (776) 259 273, Email address: barunik@fsv.cuni.cz

# 1 Introduction

It is well documented that macroeconomic and financial variables have exhibited a very high degree of time variation over the past decades (Primiceri, 2005; Justiniano and Primiceri, 2008; Bekierman and Manner, 2018; Chen et al., 2018) as both stable and uncertain periods associated with different states of an economy were driven by different shocks. At the same time, an increasing number of authors argue that these variables are driven by shocks that influence their future value with heterogeneous levels of persistence (Bandi and Tamoni, 2017; Dew-Becker and Giglio, 2016; Bandi and Tamoni, 2022).<sup>1</sup> A possibly non-linear combination of transitory and persistent responses to shocks will produce time series with heterogeneous persistence structures that remain hidden to the observer using traditional methods. Given this discussion, it is natural to ask to what extent economic data are driven by shocks that are both heterogeneously persistent and dynamic, and how we can infer such rich dynamics from the data.

Inferring time-varying persistence from data on important economic series such as inflation, consumption, economic growth, unemployment rates or various measures of uncertainty has crucial implications for policy making, modelling or forecasting. However, despite the progress made in exploring unit roots (Evans and Savin, 1981; Nelson and Plosser, 1982; Perron, 1991), structural breaks (Perron, 1989), or more complicated long memory or fractionally integrated structures that can exhibit large amounts of time persistence without being non-stationary (Hassler and Wolters, 1995; Baillie et al., 1996), there is still no clear consensus on how to explore such dynamic nature of data. The inability to identify the dependence from the data alone leads to a tendency to rely on assumptions that are difficult, if not impossible, to validate. To better understand and forecast economic time series, we need an approach that can precisely localise the horizons and time periods in which the crucial information

---

<sup>1</sup>We use the term persistence to capture a property of a time series that is closely related to its autocorrelation structure. In particular, the degree of persistence gives us a precise description of how a shock will affect the series. A low degree of persistence indicates the transitory nature of shocks, which force the time series to return to its mean path. In contrast, when shocks push the time series away from the mean path, they are said to be highly persistent. A shock tends to persist for a long time.

occurs.

The aim of this paper is to provide a representation for a non-stationary time series that allows a researcher to identify and explore its rich time-varying heterogeneous persistence structures. We aim to identify localised persistence that will be useful for modelling and forecasting purposes. Our work is closely related to the recent strand of the literature that proposes to represent a covariance stationary time series as a linear combination of orthogonal components carrying information about heterogeneous cycles of alternative lengths (Ortu et al., 2020). While these methods are particularly well suited to studying the heterogeneously persistent structure of a time series, stable as well as uncertain times associated with different states of the economy imply a time-varying nature of responses to shocks that remains hidden when assuming stationary data. Thus, the localisation of persistence structures will open up new avenues for modelling and forecasting. A model that allows the persistence structure to change smoothly over time is essential, since it is unrealistic to assume that the stochastic future of a time series is stable in the long run. At the same time we observe non-stationary behaviour of data even in shorter time periods in a number of cases. Therefore, modelling and forecasting under the assumption of stationarity can be misleading.

Different degrees of persistence in economic variables are natural and can be reconciled with agents' preferences, which differ according to their horizon of interest. Economic theory suggests that the marginal utility of agents' preferences depends on the cyclical components of consumption (Giglio et al., 2015; Bandi and Tamoni, 2017), and the literature documents frequency-specific investor preferences. (Dew-Becker and Giglio, 2016; Neuhierl and Varneskov, 2021; Bandi et al., 2021a) and relates them to investment horizons in their risk attitudes (Dew-Becker and Giglio, 2016). Such behaviour can be observed, for example, under myopic loss aversion, where an agent's decision depends on the valuation horizon. Unexpected shocks or news have the capacity to alter such preferences and may therefore generate transitory and persistent fluctuations of different magnitudes.<sup>2</sup> Importantly, not many

---

<sup>2</sup>For example, a shock that affects longer horizons may reflect permanent changes in expectations about future price movements. Such a shock may lead to a permanent change in a firm's future

economic relationships remain constant over decades, years or even months, and the evolution of the economy with unprecedented declines in economic activity, such as the COVID pandemic or the recent severe impact of the Russian war with Ukraine, generates very different persistence structures. Output fluctuations may persist for a long time, but not forever and will eventually disappear (Cochrane, 1988). The discussion calls for a new framework in which heterogeneity in decision making across horizons, horizon-specific risk aversion and the like are not based on the assumption of stationary data, but are truly time-varying.

To identify time-varying transitory and persistent components of a time series, we propose a time-varying extended world decomposition (TV-EWD) that works with localised heterogeneous persistence structures. Assuming stationarity of a small neighbourhood around a given fixed point in time, we allow time variation in the coefficients with the notion of locally stationary processes (Dahlhaus and Polonik, 2009). Our time-varying extended world decomposition, which relaxes the stationarity assumption of Ortu et al. (2020), then formalises the idea that a time series is represented by time-varying persistence structures. Our decomposition is informative about the duration of the fluctuation that is most relevant to the variability of the time series at a given point in time, and sheds light on potential economic mechanisms driving the time series under consideration at a given point in time. To the best of our knowledge, we are the first to study the time-varying degree of persistence in time series.<sup>3</sup>

While such a decomposition is potentially useful for modelling, as it allows us to better characterise the dependence structures of the data, our results can also be used by the forecasting literature. As noted by (Stock and Watson, 2017), we have seen very slow progress in the forecasting accuracy of economic time series over the past decades. The first reason is that the information is hidden under the noise

---

dividend payments (Balke and Wohar, 2002). Conversely, a shock that affects shorter horizons may suggest temporary changes in future price movements. For example, suppose the shock is only a change in an upcoming dividend payment. This would likely lead to a very short-term change, reflecting the transitory nature of the news.

<sup>3</sup>Lima and Xiao (2007) notes that a large number of time series show the existence of local or temporary persistence.

and is unevenly distributed over different horizons. Second, economic time series are dynamic and very often non-stationary when we model them over a long period. The analysis proposed in this paper can accurately extract the relevant information and build a more accurate forecasting model.

The identification of the time-varying persistence structure has a number of advantages over traditional methods based on Wold decomposition. Traditional Wold decomposition, which underlies the vast majority of contemporaneous models, gives us aggregate information about the speed, horizon and intensity of shock persistence. It is a coarse and imprecise description that is insufficient to identify the precise structure of persistence in a given period. To capture the heterogeneity of persistence, it is necessary to consider the duration (propagation) of shocks at different levels of persistence and at different points of time.

In the two different empirical examples, we show that the persistence structure found in the data is not only highly heterogeneous, but also time-varying. We have chosen to study two very different data sets that are important to economists: inflation and stock volatility. At the same time, both datasets exhibit typical persistence features and are crucial to understand. While it is the properties of aggregate inflation that are ultimately of interest to policymakers, the characteristics and determinants of the behavioural mechanisms underlying price-setting are an important factor in the way inflation behaves over time. The persistence of inflation has direct implications for the conduct of monetary policy. Similarly, stock market volatility is of great interest as one of the key measures of risk and uncertainty. We show that even in periods of very high persistence, we can uncover less persistent sub-periods where the transient nature of shocks prevails. Our model, which can accurately identify such dynamics within the time-varying persistence structure, is then useful for identifying the dynamics driving the data and leading to improved forecasts.

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows. Section 2 proposes a time-varying extended world decomposition based on a locally stationary process, discusses methodology, forecasting models based on such a decomposition, and estimation. Section 3 examines the time-varying persistence of US inflation and the volatility of major US stocks. Section 4 then concludes.

## 2 Time variation of time series components with different levels of persistence

The most fundamental justification for time series analysis is Wold's decomposition theorem. It states that any covariance stationary time series can be represented as a linear combination of its own past shocks and moving average components of finite order (Wold, 1938; Hamilton, 2020). This is an enormously important fact in the economic literature, useful to macroeconomists when studying impulse response functions, and central to tracing the mechanisms of economic shocks to improve policy analysis.

At the same time, this is only one of the possible representations of a time series, which is particularly suitable for cases where we can assume stationarity of the model. In other cases, where we cannot assume that the stochastic properties of the data are stable over time, and where the unconditional approach may be useful, the stationarity assumption may be misleading. It is important to recognise that other representations may capture deeper properties of the series, which may also change smoothly over time. As argued in the introduction, we want to explore properties of time variation as well as properties related to different levels of persistence in the time series.

The latter is made possible by the persistence-based Wold decomposition proposed by Ortu et al. (2020); Bandi et al. (2021b), who show how to decompose stationary time series into the sum of orthogonal components associated with their own levels of persistence. These individual components have a Wold representation defined with respect to the scale-specific shocks with heterogeneous persistence. Here we aim to provide a persistence-based representation for a locally stationary process (Dahlhaus, 1996) and discuss how to decompose a locally stationary process into independent components with different degrees of persistence. With the proposed model we will be able to study the time variation of components with different degrees of persistence.

## 2.1 Locally stationary processes

While stationarity plays an important role in time series analysis over decades due to the availability of natural linear Gaussian modelling frameworks, many economic relationships are not stationary in the longer run. The state of the economy, as well as the behaviour of agents, is often highly dynamic, and the assumption of time-invariant mechanisms generating the data is often unrealistic.<sup>4</sup> A more general nonstationary process can be one that is locally close to a stationary process at each point in time, but whose properties (covariances, parameters, etc.) gradually change in a nonspecific way over time. The idea that the process can only be stationary for a limited period of time and that the process is still valid for estimation is not new. So-called locally stationary processes were introduced in Dahlhaus (1996).

More formally, assume an economic variable of interest follows a nonstationary process  $x_t$  depending on some time-varying parameter model. In this framework, we replace  $x_t$  by a triangular array of observations  $(x_{t,T}; t = 1, \dots, T)$  where  $T$  is the sample size, and we assume that we observe  $x_{t,T}$  at time points  $t = 1, \dots, T$ . Such nonstationary process  $x_{t,T}$  can be approximated locally (Dahlhaus, 1996) around each rescaled and fixed time point  $u \approx t/T$  such that  $u \in [0, 1]$ , by a stationary process  $x_t(u)$ . In other words, under some suitable regularity conditions,  $|x_{t,T} - x_t(u)| = \mathcal{O}_p(|\frac{t}{T} - u| + \frac{1}{T})$ . While stationary approximations vary smoothly over time as  $u \mapsto x_t(u)$ , locally stationary processes can be interpreted as processes which change their (approximate) stationary properties smoothly over time. The main properties of  $x_{t,T}$  are therefore encoded in the stationary approximations, and hence in the estimation, we will focus on quantities  $\mathbb{E}[g(x_t(u), x_{t-1}(u), \dots)]$  with some function  $g(\cdot)$  as a natural approximation of  $\mathbb{E}[g(x_{t,T}, x_{t-1,T}, \dots)]$ .

Crucially, a linear locally stationary process  $x_{t,T}$  can be represented by a time varying MA( $\infty$ )

$$x_{t,T} = \sum_{h=-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha_{t,T}(h) \epsilon_{t-h}, \quad (1)$$

---

<sup>4</sup>An exception are nonstationary models where persistence is generated by integrated or cointegrated processes.

where coefficients  $\alpha_{t,T}(h)$  can be approximated under certain (smoothness) assumptions (see Assumption 1 in Appendix A) with coefficient functions  $\alpha_{t,T}(h) \approx \alpha(t/T, h)$ , and  $\epsilon_t$  are independent random variables with  $\mathbb{E}\epsilon_t = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{E}\epsilon_s\epsilon_t = 0$  for  $s \neq t$ ,  $\mathbb{E}|\epsilon_t| < \infty$ . The construction with  $\alpha_{t,T}(h)$  and  $\alpha(t/T, h)$  looks complicated at first glance, but a function  $\alpha(u, h)$  is needed for rescaling and to impose smoothness conditions while the additional use of  $\alpha_{t,T}(h)$  makes the class rich enough to cover autoregressive models (see Theorem 2.3. in Dahlhaus (1996)) in which we are interested in later.

It is straightforward then to construct a stationary approximation (with existing derivative processes)

$$x_t(u) = \sum_{h=-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha(u, h) \epsilon_{t-h}, \quad (2)$$

where at every fixed point of time  $u$  the original process  $x_{t,T}$  can be represented as a linear combination of uncorrelated innovations with time-varying impulse response (TV-IRF) functions  $\alpha(u, h)$ . Note the process is assumed to have zero mean  $\mu(t/T)$  so far. While this may be unrealistic in number of applications, we will return to this assumption later in the estimation.

## 2.2 Time-Varying Extended Wold Decomposition

Having a representation that allows for time variation of the impulse response function, we further introduce a localised persistence structure. We use the extended Wold decomposition of Ortu et al. (2020), which allows us to decompose the time series into several components with different levels of persistence. Ortu et al. (2020) and Bandi et al. (2021b) show that the decomposition brings substantial benefits in understanding the persistence dynamics of economic time series and improves forecasting performance, as many economic time series exhibit a heterogeneous persistence structure (across horizons, scales).

Importantly, we argue that in addition to recovering the heterogeneous persistence structure of a typical economic time series, we need to localise it. Localisation, together with persistence decomposition, can dramatically improve our understanding of dynamic economic behaviour by allowing the persistence structure to change



smoothly over time. In turn, models built with such an understanding can bring significant forecasting benefits, as we will show later with empirical examples.

Specifically, we propose a model that uses locally stationary processes to capture the dynamics of heterogeneous persistence. Knowing that we can express the locally stationary process using the TV-MA( $\infty$ ) representation, we can adapt the Extended Wold decomposition proposed by Ortu et al. (2020) under alternative assumptions and localise the decomposition. The proposition 1 formalises the main result and proposes the Time-Varying Extended Wold Decomposition (TV-EWD) model.

**Proposition 1** (Time-Varying Extended Wold Decomposition (TV-EWD)). *If  $x_{t,T}$  is a zero mean, locally stationary process in the sense of Assumption 1 in Appendix A that has a representation  $x_{t,T} = \sum_{h=-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha_{t,T}(h)\epsilon_{t-h}$ , then it can be decomposed as*

$$x_{t,T} = \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \beta_{t,T}^{\{j\}}(k) \epsilon_{t-k2^j}^{\{j\}}, \quad (3)$$

where for any  $j \in \mathbb{N}, k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\beta_{t,T}^{\{j\}}(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^j}} \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{2^{j-1}-1} \alpha_{t,T}(k2^j + i) - \sum_{i=0}^{2^{j-1}-1} \alpha_{t,T}(k2^j + 2^{j-1} + i) \right], \quad (4)$$

$$\epsilon_t^{\{j\}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^j}} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{2^{j-1}-1} \epsilon_{t-i} - \sum_{i=0}^{2^{j-1}-1} \epsilon_{t-2^{j-1}-i} \right), \quad (5)$$

where coefficients  $\beta_{t,T}^{\{j\}}(k)$  can be approximated under Assumption 2 in Appendix A with coefficient functions  $\beta_{t,T}^{\{j\}}(k) \approx \beta^{\{j\}}(t/T, k)$ ,  $\epsilon_t$  are independent random variables with  $\mathbb{E}\epsilon_t = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{E}\epsilon_s\epsilon_t = 0$  for  $s \neq t$ ,  $\mathbb{E}|\epsilon_t| < \infty$ , and  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \beta_{t,T}^{\{j\}}(k) \right)^2 < \infty$  for all  $j$ .

*Proof.* Follows directly from the properties of locally stationary processes (Dahlhaus, 1996) and extended Wold decomposition (Ortu et al., 2020).  $\square$

Proposition 1 formalizes the discussion about representation of a time series that offers decomposition to a  $j$  uncorrelated persistence components that can smoothly

change over time. Specifically it allows to construct a stationary approximation (with existing derivative processes) to the process  $x_{t,T}$  with time-varying uncorrelated persistent components

$$x_t^{\{j\}}(u) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \beta^{\{j\}}(u, k) \epsilon_{t-k2^j}^{\{j\}}, \quad (6)$$

and always reconstruct the original process as

$$x_t(u) = \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} x_t^{\{j\}}(u), \quad (7)$$

In other words, we are able to decompose the time series into uncorrelated components with different level of persistence at any fixed point of time. Further note that  $\epsilon_t^{\{j\}}$  is a localized MA( $2^j - 1$ ) with respect to fundamental innovations of  $x_{t,T}$ , and  $\beta^{\{j\}}(u, k)$  is the time-varying multiscale impulse response associated with scale  $j$  and time-shift  $k2^j$  at a fixed point of time approximated by  $u$ .

The decomposition hence allows us to explore the time-varying impulse responses at different persistence levels. A scale-specific impulse response provide exact information how a unit shock to the system propagates in various horizons at a given point of time. For example, in case of daily data, the first scale,  $j = 1$ , describe how a unit shock dissipates in 2 days, for the second scale,  $j = 2$ — days and so on.

### 2.3 Obtaining time-varying persistence structures from data

While the proposed approach will identify localized time-varying persistence structure in the time series, next step will be to use it to build a parametric model that can be used to improve forecasts. The first step will be to obtain the quantities from the previous section.

In light of the assumptions that underpin the model, we conjecture that an economic variable of interest follows a time-varying parameter autoregressive (TVP-AR)

model with  $p$  lags

$$x_{t,T} = \phi_0 \left( \frac{t}{T} \right) + \phi_1 \left( \frac{t}{T} \right) x_{t-1,T} + \dots + \phi_p \left( \frac{t}{T} \right) x_{t-p,T} + \epsilon_t, \quad (8)$$

that has a representation given in Proposition 1 and can be under appropriate conditions approximated locally by a stationary process  $x_{t,T} \approx x(u)$  for a given  $t/T \approx u$  with  $\phi_i \left( \frac{t}{T} \right) \approx \phi_i(u)$ . To obtain the decomposition, we need to identify the time-varying coefficient estimates  $\widehat{\Phi} \left( \frac{t}{T} \right) = \left( \widehat{\phi}_1 \left( \frac{t}{T} \right), \dots, \widehat{\phi}_p \left( \frac{t}{T} \right) \right)'$  on the centered data  $\tilde{x}_{t,T} = x_{t,T} - \widehat{\phi}_0 \left( \frac{t}{T} \right)$ . This is particularly important in some datasets that display clear time trend, while it can be negligible in others. Still while our model assumes zero mean process, we need to take this step.

### 2.3.1 Local linear estimation

We estimate the coefficient functions  $\phi_i \left( \frac{t}{T} \right)$  by the local linear method. The local linear methods of estimation has been employed in the nonparametric regression estimation due to its attractive properties such as efficiency, bias reduction, and adaptation of boundary effects (Fan and Gijbels, 1996). Assuming each  $\phi_i \left( \frac{t}{T} \right)$  has a continuous second-order derivative in the interval  $[0, 1]$ , it can be approximated around  $u$  by a linear function through the first-order Taylor expansion

$$\phi_i \left( \frac{t}{T} \right) \approx \phi_i(u) + \phi_i'(u) \left( \frac{t}{T} - u \right), \quad (9)$$

where  $\phi_i'(u) = \partial \phi_i(u) / \partial u$  is its first derivative. Based on the local approximation of the model 8, minimising the locally weighted sum of squares estimate the parameters  $\Phi(u) = \{\phi_1(u), \dots, \phi_p(u)\}'$

$$\left\{ \widehat{\Phi}(u), \widehat{\Phi}'(u) \right\} = \underset{(\theta, \theta') \in \mathbb{R}^2}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{t=1}^T \left[ \tilde{x}_{t,T} - U_{t,T}^\top \theta - \left( \frac{t}{T} - u \right) U_{t,T}^\top \theta' \right]^2 K_b \left( \frac{t}{T} - u \right), \quad (10)$$

where  $U_{t,T} = (x_{t-1,T}, x_{t-2,T}, \dots, x_{t-p,T})^\top$ , and  $K_b(z) = 1/bK(z/b)$  is a kernel function with  $b = b_T > 0$  being bandwidth satisfying the conditions that  $b \rightarrow 0$  and  $Tb \rightarrow \infty$

as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ . Note that  $b$  controls the amount of smoothing used in the local linear estimation. Roughly, we fit a set of weighted local regressions with an optimally chosen window size chosen by bandwidth  $b$  discussed below. The estimator has nice general expression that can be obtained by elementary calculations (Fan and Gijbels, 1996), and estimates of coefficients are asymptotically normally distributed under some regularity conditions.

Note we use centered data  $\tilde{x}_{t,T} = x_{t,T} - \hat{\phi}_0\left(\frac{t}{T}\right)$  obtained as

$$\left\{ \hat{\phi}_0(u), \hat{\phi}'_0(u) \right\} = \underset{(\mu, \mu') \in \mathbb{R}^2}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{t=1}^T \left[ x_{t,T} - \mu - \mu' \left( \frac{t}{T} - u \right) \right]^2 K_b \left( \frac{t}{T} - u \right), \quad (11)$$

As is well-known, the local linear estimator is sensitive to the choice of the bandwidth  $b$ , and thus it is critical to choose an appropriate bandwidth in the applications. Here we follow the commonly used cross validation bandwidth choice for the time series case (Härdle and Vieu, 1992)

Finally, after obtaining the time-varying coefficients, we express the time-series as a (local) Wold's MA representation with innovation process  $\epsilon_t$  (see Eq. 2). Then, we use result of the Proposition (1) to obtain the horizon specific impulse response coefficients associated with scale (horizon)  $j$  and time-shift  $k2^j$ . The decomposition needs to be truncated at a finite number of scales  $J$  and observations  $T$ . Hence a finite version of the time-varying extended Wold decomposition at a given point of time  $u$  is considered

$$\hat{x}_{t,T} = \sum_{j=1}^J \hat{x}_{t,T}^{\{j\}} + \pi_t^{\{J\}} = \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \hat{\beta}^{\{j\}}(u, k) \hat{\epsilon}_{t-k2^j}^{\{j\}} + \pi_t^{\{J\}}(u), \quad (12)$$

where  $\hat{\epsilon}_t^{\{j\}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^j}} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{2^{j-1}-1} \hat{\epsilon}_{t-i} - \sum_{i=0}^{2^{j-1}-1} \hat{\epsilon}_{t-2^{j-1}-i} \right)$ , and  $\pi_t^{\{J\}}$  is a residual component at scale  $J$ , defined as  $\pi_t^{\{J\}}(u) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \gamma_k^{\{J\}}(u) \epsilon_{t,T}^{\{J\}}$  and  $\epsilon_t^{\{J\}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^J}} \sum_{j=0}^{2^J-1} \epsilon_{t-i}$ ,  $\gamma_k^{\{J\}}(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^J}} \sum_{j=0}^{2^J-1} \alpha(u, k2^J + i)$ , the estimate of scale-specific coefficients  $\hat{\beta}^{\{j\}}(u, k)$  are computed as  $\hat{\beta}^{\{j\}}(u, k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^j}} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{2^{j-1}-1} \hat{\alpha}(u, k2^j + i) - \sum_{i=0}^{2^{j-1}-1} \hat{\alpha}(u, k2^j + 2^{j-1} + i) \right)$ . The residual component  $\pi_t^{\{J\}}(u)$  is usually negligible so we do not consider it in the esti-

mation. For more details see Ortu et al. (2020).

### 2.3.2 Forecasting models with time-varying persistence

One of the key advantages of our model is that it captures a smoothly changing persistence structure that can be explored in forecasting. In a number of cases, it may be unrealistic to assume that the stochastic structure of time series is stable over longer periods. Moreover, non-stationarity may also be observed in shorter time series and forecasting under the assumption of stationarity may be misleading. A common approach to dealing with non-stationarity is to assume a model with smoothly changing trend and variance but a stationary error process (Stărică and Granger, 2005). While a number of authors consider forecasting in the locally stationary setting (Dette and Wu, 2022), our approach extends these models by exploring the smoothly changing persistence structures of the data.

Our aim is to determine an  $h$ -step-ahead predictor for the unobserved  $x_{T+h,T}$  from the observed  $x_{1,T}, \dots, x_{T,T}$  data. Having the  $\widehat{\beta}^{\{j\}}(u, k)$ ,  $\widehat{\epsilon}_t^{\{j\}}$  and  $\widehat{\phi}_0(u)$  we can decompose original time series  $x_{t,T}$  to a deterministic trend and orthogonal persistence components  $\widehat{x}_{t,T}^{\{j\}}$  and estimate the weights  $w^{\{j\}}$  that identify importance of specific horizons in the time series as

$$x_{t,T} = \widehat{\phi}_0(t/T) + \sum_{j=1}^J w^{\{j\}} \widehat{x}_{t,T}^{\{j\}} + \eta_{t,T}. \quad (13)$$

Working with stationary representation of the process, conditional  $h$ -step-ahead forecasts can be obtained directly by combination of the trend forecast and weighted forecast of scale components following Ortu et al. (2020)

$$\mathbb{E}_t[x_{T+h,T}] = \mathbb{E}_t[\widehat{x}_{T+h,T}^{\{0\}}] + \sum_{j=1}^J \widehat{w}^{\{j\}} \mathbb{E}_t[x_{T+h,T}^{\{j\}}] \quad (14)$$

where conditional expected value of the trend  $\mathbb{E}_t[\widehat{x}_{T+h,T}^{\{0\}}]$  is forecasted as TV-AR(1)<sup>5</sup>

---

<sup>5</sup>The process is forecasted with the local linear estimator in 10, with the Epanechnikov kernel

and for the conditional expectation of the scale components  $\mathbb{E}_t[x_{t+1,T}^{\{j\}}]$  we use forecasting procedure provided by Ortu et al. (2020).

### 3 Time-Varying Persistence in Data

The proposed approach is useful for any time series that can be expected to change its persistence structure over time. Here we aim to demonstrate the importance of identifying the persistence structure on two different and important datasets. Both time series are quite different in nature, but share the common feature of a smoothly changing persistence structure.

In the first example, we examine the time-varying persistence structure of inflation, which is one of the most important macroeconomic time series. While it is the properties of aggregate inflation that are ultimately of interest to policymakers, an important factor underlying the behaviour of inflation over time is the characteristics and determinants of the behavioural mechanisms underlying price setting. The persistence of inflation has direct implications for the conduct of monetary policy. While time-varying models are used in the literature (Lansing, 2009) to capture the time variation, a number of authors also consider the decomposition of inflation into transitory and permanent components (Stock and Watson, 2007). Here we will build a more flexible model that explores the time-varying persistence structure of inflation and builds a more precise model.

In the second example, we will look at the volatility of stocks. Similar to inflation, stock market volatility is of great interest as one of the key measures of risk and uncertainty. The study of its heterogeneous persistence structure, which evolves dynamically over time, will be useful to a wide audience.

---

having the width denoted as the kernel width - 2 in the subsequent forecasting excercisses.

### 3.1 Time-varying persistence in the U.S. inflation

The data we use is the Personal Consumption Expenditures (PCE) price index<sup>6</sup> available on the Federal Reserve of St-Louis website<sup>7</sup> as a proxy for US inflation. Our data contain 781 monthly observations over the period from January 1959 to February 2023, and we look at the logarithmic change in the index.

Inflation is an interesting time series for our analysis because the shocks that drive inflation have varying degrees of persistence and tend to change over time. Inflation is driven by different shocks in stable periods than in turbulent periods such as the COVID-19 crisis. Such smoothly changing persistence structure of inflation remains hidden to the observer when using classical time series tools such as impulse response functions.

Figure 1 illustrates this using our TV-EWD. Specifically, the plot shows the ratio of  $\widehat{\beta}^j(t/T, k)$  to the sum across scales  $\sum_j \widehat{\beta}^j(t/T, k)$  with  $j$  scales representing 2,4,8,16,32 month persistence of shocks at the first ( $k = 1$ ) horizon  $k2^j$ . That is we look at relative importance of the information at  $2^j$  horizon in multiscale impulse response function. At each time period, we identify the persistence structure of the shocks affecting the US inflation series. There are periods where most of the shocks have a transitory duration of 2 or 4 months. For example, transitory shocks of up to two months had the largest share of information in the years 1959-1964, 1968-1970, 1994-2001. In contrast, the years 1966-1967, 1976-1978 and 2008-2010 were mainly driven by more persistent shocks of up to 8 months. It is also interesting to note that the persistence structure is very different during several different crises, which are marked by NBER recession periods in the plot. During the recession from November 1973 to March 1975, inflation was mainly driven by shocks lasting 16 and 32 months and was therefore very persistent.

We see that the persistence structure of the inflation time series is rich and changes smoothly over time. Next, we explore how this precise identification helps

---

<sup>6</sup>The Personal Consumption Expenditures price index measures the prices that US consumers pay for goods and services. The change in the PCE price index captures inflation or deflation across a wide range of consumer expenditures.

<sup>7</sup><https://fred.stlouisfed.org>




Figure 1: Time-varying persistence structure of the U.S. Inflation. Plot shows ratios of  $\hat{\beta}^j(t/T, 1) / \sum_j \hat{\beta}^j(t/T, 1)$  on y-axis with  $j$  corresponding to 2,4,8,16,32 months persistence of shocks over the period from January 1959 until February 2023 on the x-axis. Grey areas mark the NBER recession periods.

in modelling and forecasting US inflation.

### 3.1.1 Forecasting Inflation

The exploratory analysis in the previous section shows that the persistence structure of US inflation is rich and varies smoothly over time. We aim to explore this feature in order to propose a forecasting model based on the precisely identified time-varying persistence. To evaluate the performance of our model, we use the unconditional AR(3) model, the extended Wold decomposition model of Ortú et al. (2020), and two time-varying autoregression models. In this way we will see how persistence decomposition improves on the usual time-varying models, and how time variation improves on persistence decomposition.<sup>8</sup> For TV-EWD estimation and forecasting, we use the procedure described in section 3, with  $J = 5$  scales, two autoregressive lags

<sup>8</sup>Both TV-HAR and TV-AR(3) use the local linear estimator with kernel width 0.3



Table 1: Forecasting performance measured by root mean square error (RMSE) and mean absolute error (MAE) for the Ortu et al. (2020) extended world decomposition model, the TV-AR(3) and TV-HAR models, and our TV-EWD model. The performance of all models is relative to the benchmark AR(3) for forecasts 1, 2, 6 and 12 months ahead.

	1-month-ahead		2-months-ahead		6-months-ahead		12-months-ahead	
	RMSE	MAE	RMSE	MAE	RMSE	MAE	RMSE	MAE
EWD	1.060	1.028	1.057	1.032	1.014	0.946	0.981	0.778
TV-HAR	1.036	1.009	1.028	0.990	1.041	0.914	1.039	0.783
TV-AR(3)	1.030	0.969	1.035	0.979	1.133	0.953	1.040	0.790
TV-EWD	0.983	0.965	0.959	0.924	0.928	0.875	0.943	0.745

model, kernel width of 0.6 for the trend and 0.2 for the moving average parameters. We divide the observed time series into two parts:

$$\underbrace{x_{1,T}, \dots, x_{m,T}}_{\text{in-sample}}, \underbrace{x_{m+1,T}, \dots, x_{T,T}}_{\text{out-of-sample}}, \quad (15)$$

where the in-sample data is used to fit the models and then we compare the out-of-sample predictive performance using the root mean square error (RMSE) and mean absolute error (MAE) loss functions. Using the first 645 observations for the in-sample, we are left with 136 out-of-sample observations. The forecast horizons considered are  $h = [1, 2, 6, 12]$  months ahead. The results of the forecast, expressed as the mean of the loss functions relative to the benchmark AR(3) model, are shown in table 1.

The results show that the TV-EWD model provides the best forecasting performance at all forecasting horizons. This advantage increases as the forecast horizon lengthens. This suggests that the accurate identification of the rich persistence structure of the inflation time series is important for longer-term forecasting. Interestingly, this advantage is also strong for the non-time-varying model, EWD. As this model uses the same persistence levels (horizons) as our TV-EWD, we can conclude that the identification of the persistence structure is more important than the time-varying




Figure 2: Time-varying persistence structure of the Realized Volatility of the Agilent Technologies Inc. Plots show ratios of  $\hat{\beta}^j(t/T, 1) / \sum_j \hat{\beta}^j(t/T, 1)$  with  $j$  corresponding to 2,4,8,16,32 days persistence of shocks over the period from July 2015 until August 2018.

ability in the case of long-run forecasts. Importantly, the results also show that identifying the persistence structure further improves forecasts.

### 3.2 Persistence structure of volatility

The second important time series with a potentially interesting structure for our analysis is volatility. Volatility is one of the key measures in finance as it captures fluctuations in asset prices and hence their risk. We use daily data on volatility<sup>9</sup> for all stocks listed in the S&P 500 index from 5 July 2005 to 31 August 2018 from TickData, and thus we work with 3278 days of the 496 stock returns.

Again, we start by illustrating the persistence structure of data. Since our sample contains 496 stocks, we have chosen to look at the first available (in alphabetical order), which is the company Agilent Technologies Inc. Note that we have looked at other stocks and the persistence structure is similarly rich to the one we are discussing. Figure 2 plots the average  $\hat{\beta}^j(t/T, k) / \sum_j \hat{\beta}^j(t/T, k)$  ratio  $j$  scales representing 2,4,8,16,32 days persistence of shocks for each year of the sample for the

<sup>9</sup>Realised volatility is computed as the sum of the squared logarithmic 5-minute returns for each day of the sample.

first horizon ( $k = 1$ ) of a multiscale impulse response function. That is, each year we can see the average contribution of the shocks to the volatility series. The reason we look at averages is that the daily sample contains rich dynamics that are difficult to visualise, and at the same time the aggregate information for one year strongly supports our objective.

Specifically, we can again see some periods that are mostly driven by transitory shocks of up to 4 days, such as 2005, 2010 or 2014, as well as periods that are driven by more persistent shocks, such as 2008, 2011 or 2016-2018.

Overall, we can see how rich the persistence dynamics of the volatility series are. While it is important to capture the time variation of the dependence structures in the series, it is also crucial to capture the smoothly changing persistence.

### 3.2.1 Forecasting volatility

Finally, we use the time-varying persistence structure we identify to build a more accurate forecasting model for volatility. We compare the out-of-sample forecasting performance of our TV-EWD model with the popular heterogeneous autoregressive (HAR) model of Corsi (2009), then the Extended Wold Decomposition (EWD) of Ortu et al. (2020), two time-varying parameter alternatives, TV-AR(3), and TV-HAR<sup>10</sup> for the realised volatilities of all S&P 500 constituents available over the sample. The model set benchmarks both the time variation and the persistence structure, and thus we will be able to see how our model improves the forecasts. We estimate the model parameters on the information set containing the first 1000 observations and save the rest for 1, 5 and 22 day out-of-sample tests. As we are exploring the changing behaviour of the data, we also look at different time periods to see how sample specific the results are. The richer the localised structure in the data, the larger the gains we expect. Therefore, along with the aggregate results for the entire out-of-sample period from August 2009 to August 2018, we look at two specific periods, August 2009 to August 2012 at the beginning of the out-of-sample period, and then August 2016 to August 2017.

---

<sup>10</sup>Both TV-HAR, TV-AR(3) use the local linear estimator with kernel width 0.3.

Table 2: Root mean square error (RMSE) and mean absolute error (MAE) of our TV-EWD model compared to Ortu et al. (2020)’s extended world decomposition (EWD), time-varying autoregression (TV-AR) and heterogeneous autoregressive model (TV-HAR). All errors are relative to the HAR model of Corsi (2009) over  $h = 1$  (left),  $h = 5$  (middle) and  $h = 22$  (right). The figures show median values for all 496 S&P 500 companies in the sample, calculated on forecasts for the three different periods.

		RMSE			MAE		
		$h = 1$	$h = 5$	$h = 22$	$h = 1$	$h = 5$	$h = 22$
August 2009 - August 2018	EWD	1.049	1.009	0.944	0.991	0.925	0.883
	TV-AR3	1.033	1.09	0.944	1.014	1.055	0.888
	TV-HAR	0.961	0.946	0.832	0.935	0.905	0.786
	TV-EWD	0.970	0.972	0.821	0.924	0.901	0.760
August 2009 - August 2012	EWD	1.051	0.981	0.974	0.989	0.896	0.838
	TV-AR3	1.061	1.218	1.084	1.047	1.171	0.955
	TV-HAR	0.922	0.895	0.826	0.891	0.857	0.725
	TV-EWD	0.922	0.892	0.749	0.872	0.823	0.629
August 2016 - August 2017	EWD	1.002	1.021	1.032	0.937	0.931	1.000
	TV-AR3	1.010	1.033	1.012	1.006	1.049	1.002
	TV-HAR	0.957	0.958	0.968	0.943	0.923	0.964
	TV-EWD	0.959	0.969	1.001	0.908	0.901	0.931

For TV-EWD estimation and forecasting we use the procedure described in section 3 with  $J = \{5, 5, 7\}$  scales,  $\{2, 5, 15\}$  autoregressive lags for  $h = \{1, 5, 22\}$  forecasts respectively. Note that the choice of higher order lags in the autoregression naturally improves forecasts with increasing horizons. The kernel width of 0.2 minimises the mean square error of the forecasts. We compare the forecast performance using the MAE (mean absolute error) and RMSE (root mean square error) loss functions relative to the benchmark HAR model.

As the results are obtained on the large sample of 496 stocks, we concentrate the results in Table 2, which reports the median estimates for all stocks and is accompanied by box plots showing the errors for all stocks in Figures 4 (MAE) and 3 (RMSE). Focusing on the results in Table 2, we can see that TVP-EWD outperforms all other models over both forecast horizons and different samples. This is particularly strong as this result holds for the median of the errors computed for




Figure 3: Root mean square error of our TV-EWD model compared to Ortu et al. (2020)’s extended world decomposition (EWD), time-varying autoregression (TV-AR) and heterogeneous autoregressive model (TV-HAR). All errors are relative to the HAR model of Corsi (2009) over  $h = 1$  (left),  $h = 5$  (middle) and  $h = 22$  (right). The box plots show the RMSE for all 496 S&P 500 companies in the sample, computed on the forecasts for the three different periods represented by the three different colours.

496 stocks in the sample, except for the RMSE for the first period August 2009 - August 2012, where TV-HAR produces consistently better forecasts. Figures 4 (MAE) and 3 (RMSE), which show more granular results for all stocks in box plots, confirm that TV-EWD produces a much better forecast than all other models for most of the stocks considered, across both horizons and samples considered.

Looking more closely at the results, it is important to note that we are comparing several different approaches. First, a popular HAR model captures the unconditional persistence structure with 22 lags in the autoregression, while EWD improves the results at longer horizons by identifying more precise persistence structures. This result is consistent with the findings of Ortu et al. (2020), although they use only single time series, and our result holds for a large cross-section of stock volatilities.

Second, and more importantly, adding time variation to autoregressive models significantly improves the results, as time-varying parameters capture the dynamics in the data. In particular, TV-HAR significantly improves forecasts. Finally, when the persistence structure is allowed to vary smoothly over time by our TV-EWD




Figure 4: Mean absolute error of our TV-EWD model compared to Ortu et al. (2020)’s extended world decomposition (EWD), time-varying autoregression (TV-AR) and heterogeneous autoregressive model (TV-HAR). All errors are relative to the HAR model of Corsi (2009) over  $h = 1$  (left),  $h = 5$  (middle) and  $h = 22$  (right). The box plots show the MAE for all 496 S&P 500 companies in the sample, computed on the forecasts for the three different periods represented by the three different colours.

model, we document further improvements in forecasts. The ability to appropriately incorporate changing persistence structure in the data gives TV-EWD an advantage especially at longer horizons.

It is also interesting to note that in the much quieter period from August 2016 to August 2017, where we do not find a very heterogeneous persistence structure, the complex TV-EWD model performs similarly to both HAR and TV-HAR models in terms of RMSE, although it still has the best results in terms of MAE.

## 4 Conclusion

A representation that allows for smoothly changing persistence structures in economic data has been constructed to study the dynamic persistence structures of important macroeconomic and financial data and to improve their forecasting. The model provides valuable information about the fundamental behaviour of the time series, which can be used to construct more precise models and forecasts.

## References

- Baillie, R. T., C.-F. Chung, and M. A. Tieslau (1996). Analysing inflation by the fractionally integrated arfima–garch model. *Journal of applied econometrics* 11(1), 23–40.
- Balke, N. S. and M. E. Wohar (2002). Low-frequency movements in stock prices: A state-space decomposition. *Review of Economics and Statistics* 84(4), 649–667.
- Bandi, F. and A. Tamoni (2017). Business-cycle consumption risk and asset prices. *Available at SSRN 2337973*.
- Bandi, F. M., S. Chaudhuri, A. W. Lo, and A. Tamoni (2021a). Spectral factor models. *Journal of Financial Economics* forthcoming.
- Bandi, F. M., S. E. Chaudhuri, A. W. Lo, and A. Tamoni (2021b). Spectral factor models. *Journal of Financial Economics* 142(1), 214–238.
- Bandi, F. M. and A. Tamoni (2022). Spectral financial econometrics. *Econometric Theory*, 1–46.
- Bekierman, J. and H. Manner (2018). Forecasting realized variance measures using time-varying coefficient models. *International Journal of Forecasting* 34(2), 276–287.
- Chen, X. B., J. Gao, D. Li, and P. Silvapulle (2018). Nonparametric estimation and forecasting for time-varying coefficient realized volatility models. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics* 36(1), 88–100.
- Cochrane, J. H. (1988). How big is the random walk in gnp? *Journal of political economy* 96(5), 893–920.
- Corsi, F. (2009). A simple approximate long-memory model of realized volatility. *Journal of Financial Econometrics* 7(2), 174–196.

- Dahlhaus, R. (1996). On the kullback-leibler information divergence of locally stationary processes. *Stochastic processes and their applications* 62(1), 139–168.
- Dahlhaus, R. and W. Polonik (2009). Empirical spectral processes for locally stationary time series. *Bernoulli* 15(1), 1–39.
- Dette, H. and W. Wu (2022). Prediction in locally stationary time series. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics* 40(1), 370–381.
- Dew-Becker, I. and S. Giglio (2016). Asset pricing in the frequency domain: theory and empirics. *Review of Financial Studies* 29(8), 2029–2068.
- Evans, G. and N. E. Savin (1981). Testing for unit roots: 1. *Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society*, 753–779.
- Fan, J. and I. Gijbels (1996). *Local polynomial modelling and its applications: monographs on statistics and applied probability* 66, Volume 66. CRC Press.
- Giglio, S., M. Maggiori, and J. Stroebel (2015). Very long-run discount rates. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 130(1), 1–53.
- Hamilton, J. D. (2020). *Time series analysis*. Princeton university press.
- Härdle, W. and P. Vieu (1992). Kernel regression smoothing of time series. *Journal of Time Series Analysis* 13(3), 209–232.
- Hassler, U. and J. Wolters (1995). Long memory in inflation rates: International evidence. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics* 13(1), 37–45.
- Justiniano, A. and G. E. Primiceri (2008). The time-varying volatility of macroeconomic fluctuations. *American Economic Review* 98(3), 604–641.
- Lansing, K. J. (2009). Time-varying us inflation dynamics and the new keynesian phillips curve. *Review of Economic Dynamics* 12(2), 304–326.
- Lima, L. R. and Z. Xiao (2007). Do shocks last forever? local persistency in economic time series. *Journal of Macroeconomics* 29(1), 103–122.



- Nelson, C. R. and C. R. Plosser (1982). Trends and random walks in macroeconomic time series: some evidence and implications. *Journal of monetary economics* 10(2), 139–162.
- Neuhierl, A. and R. T. Varneskov (2021). Frequency dependent risk. *Journal of Financial Economics* 140(2), 644–675.
- Ortu, F., F. Severino, A. Tamoni, and C. Tebaldi (2020). A persistence-based wold-type decomposition for stationary time series. *Quantitative Economics* 11(1), 203–230.
- Perron, P. (1989). The great crash, the oil price shock, and the unit root hypothesis. *Econometrica: journal of the Econometric Society*, 1361–1401.
- Perron, P. (1991). A continuous time approximation to the unstable first-order autoregressive process: The case without an intercept. *Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society*, 211–236.
- Primiceri, G. E. (2005). Time varying structural vector autoregressions and monetary policy. *The Review of Economic Studies* 72(3), 821–852.
- Stărică, C. and C. Granger (2005). Nonstationarities in stock returns. *Review of economics and statistics* 87(3), 503–522.
- Stock, J. H. and M. W. Watson (2007). Why has us inflation become harder to forecast? *Journal of Money, Credit and banking* 39, 3–33.
- Stock, J. H. and M. W. Watson (2017, may). Twenty years of time series econometrics in ten pictures. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 31(2), 59–86.
- Wold, H. (1938). *A study in the analysis of stationary time series*. Almqvist & Wiksells Boktryckeri.

## A Appendix: Locally Stationary Processes

**Assumption 1.** (*Locally Stationary Processes*) Dahlhaus and Polonik (2009): Let the sequence of stochastic processes  $x_{t,T}$ , ( $t = 1, \dots, T$ ) be called a locally stationary process if  $x_{t,T}$  has a representation

$$x_{t,T} = \sum_{h=-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha_{t,T}(h) \epsilon_{t-h} \quad (16)$$

satisfying the following conditions:

$$\sup_{t,T} |\alpha_{t,T}(h)| \leq \frac{K}{l(h)}, \quad (17)$$

where  $l(h)$  for some  $\kappa > 0$  is defined as:

$$l(h) := \begin{cases} 1 & |h| \leq 1 \\ |h| \log^{1+\kappa} |h| & |h| > 1 \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

and  $K$  is not dependent on  $T$ , and there exist functions  $\alpha(\cdot, h) : (0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with

$$\sup_{t=1, \dots, T} \left| \alpha\left(\frac{t}{T}, h\right) \right| \leq \frac{K}{l(h)}, \quad (19)$$

$$\sup_h \sum_{t=1}^n \left| \alpha_{t,T}(h) - \alpha\left(\frac{t}{T}, h\right) \right| \leq K, \quad (20)$$

$$V(\alpha(\cdot, h)) \leq \frac{K}{l(h)}, \quad (21)$$

where  $V(\cdot)$  denotes the total variation on  $[0, 1]$ ,  $\epsilon \sim iid$ ,  $\mathbb{E}\epsilon_t \equiv 0$ ,  $\mathbb{E}\epsilon_t^2 \equiv 1$ . We also assume that all moments of  $\epsilon_t$  exist.

**Assumption 2.** Let the sequence of stochastic processes  $x_{t,T}$ , ( $t = 1, \dots, T$ ) be called a locally stationary process if  $x_{t,T}$  has a representation

$$x_{t,T} = \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \beta_{t,T}^{\{j\}}(k) \epsilon_{t-k2^j}^{\{j\}}, \quad (22)$$

satisfying the following conditions  $\forall j$ :

$$\sup_{t,T} |\beta_{t,T}^{\{j\}}(h)| \leq \frac{K}{l(h)}, \quad (23)$$

where  $l(h)$  for some  $\kappa > 0$  is defined as:

$$l(h) := \begin{cases} 1 & |h| \leq 1 \\ |h| \log^{1+\kappa} |h| & |h| > 1 \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

and  $K$  is not dependent on  $T$ , and there exist functions  $\beta^{\{j\}}(\cdot, h) : (0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with

$$\sup_{t=1, \dots, T} \left| \beta^{\{j\}}\left(\frac{t}{T}, h\right) \right| \leq \frac{K}{l(h)}, \quad (25)$$

$$\sup_h \sum_{t=1}^n \left| \beta_{t,T}^{\{j\}}(h) - \beta^{\{j\}}\left(\frac{t}{T}, h\right) \right| \leq K, \quad (26)$$

$$V(\alpha(\cdot, h)) \leq \frac{K}{l(h)}, \quad (27)$$

where  $V(\cdot)$  denotes the total variation on  $[0, 1]$ ,  $\epsilon \sim iid$ ,  $\mathbb{E}\epsilon_t \equiv 0$ ,  $\mathbb{E}\epsilon_t^2 \equiv 1$ . We also assume that all moments of  $\epsilon_t$  exist.