

An OPC UA-based industrial Big Data architecture

Eduard Hirsch, Simon Hoher, Stefan Huber

JR Centre for Intelligent and Secure Industrial Automation, Salzburg University of Applied Sciences, 5412 Puch, Austria
{eduard.hirsch,simon.hoher,stefan.huber}@fh-salzburg.ac.at

Abstract—Industry 4.0 factories are complex and data-driven. Data is yielded from many sources, including sensors, PLCs, and other devices, but also from IT, like ERP or CRM systems. We ask how to collect and process this data in a way, such that it includes metadata and can be used for industrial analytics or to derive intelligent support systems. This paper describes a new, query model based approach, which uses a big data architecture to capture data from various sources using OPC UA as a foundation. It buffers and preprocesses the information for the purpose of harmonizing and providing a holistic state space of a factory, as well as mappings to the current state of a production site. That information can be made available to multiple processing sinks, decoupled from the data sources, which enables them to work with the information without interfering with devices of the production, disturbing the network devices they are working in, or influencing the production process negatively. Metadata and connected semantic information is kept throughout the process, allowing to feed algorithms with meaningful data, so that it can be accessed in its entirety to perform time series analysis, machine learning or similar evaluations as well as replaying the data from the buffer for repeatable simulations.

Index Terms—OPC UA, query model, IT/OT integration, information model, data retrieval, device decoupling, big data

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Motivation

In Industry 4.0, data is a critical resource that is used to optimize and control manufacturing processes and reach for continuous improvement based on industrial analytics. Industry 4.0 is data-driven [1] and in order to fully understand the produced data, it is necessary to fully manage and understand base and config data [2] of the shop floor, but also from related Information Technology (IT) systems providing, e.g., order or inventory information. They need to be precisely defined, eliminating gaps created by missing environmental information or only known or filled in by human experts. Thus, we ask *first* how to provide a holistic well-defined data view of the production environment and in which state the production is currently in? *Second*, in what way should the data be collected from various sources and read as often necessary, without breaking their integrity, or disturbing the production process but decreasing load on them as well as on the network? *Third*, what is an appropriate way harmonize data, as well as to let accessing sinks understand data semantically?

For common IT infrastructure the process of collecting data, storing and analyzing is well established and has been studied and deployed for years. Various (big) data tools and techniques [3], storage concepts [4] and data analysis methods, e.g., using data warehouse techniques [5], are applied. However, data

produced in Operational Technology (OT) and Industry 4.0 setups lack the well established techniques for fetching and storing it in a way that is comparable to IT environments. Thus, it would be desirable providing the same level of analytic abilities or to derive and feed support systems, in other words, to allow multiple and extendable processing sinks.

IT and OT are related, and concepts of the IT domain are often transferred to OT, e.g., the concept of Internet of Things (IoT) or Edge Computing (EC). Although, the basic ideas are similar to IT, perspectives in OT are different and require adjusted approaches to what are typically used in IT environments [6, table 2-1]. So EC was developed for IT to bring data sources closer to end-users, thus, multiple EC devices in proximity to the end-users. For OT environments EC devices are brought on premise, to the data sources on shop floor. [7].

Like EC techniques differ when applied in IT, collecting information from various sources and provide them for analysis defer from OT methods. A solution that comes close to requirements of OT environments are the various IoT solutions, provided by different vendors like Azure, AWS or Google Cloud, that are designed to collect data from IoT devices and provide it for analysis. However, these solutions are in many cases not suitable to be used in OT environments, which has a couple of reasons. First, collecting data from a Programmable Logic Controller (PLC) requires a linkage from the devices to the cloud which prevents a network from being sealed off. Data is transferred to a central server, not under the control of the company itself. Even with security mechanisms [8] applied, it is never as secure [9] [10] as compartmentalizing the network, causing issues with data privacy or integrity. Second, reliability is a concern, because network bandwidth or latency can be a major issue in OT [11] causing data to be delayed or even lost. Third, regulatory requirements and compliance issues may also prevent the use of cloud solutions in certain OT industries. Similar issues apply to ready-to-use edge devices which come with pre-install software and services. These devices decrease the need for a central server but are, due to their pre-install software and services, also not entirely trustworthy.

Further, the *three V's* of big data [12] [3] are a major challenge for OT environments and need to be considered when designing a solution, even when production sites are smaller like for small and medium-sized enterprises (SME). A solution needs to be able to handle a large *variety* which refers to the diverse data types that are generated by different sensors, machines, and systems on the shop floor, such as

(semi-)structured data (e.g., machine readings, sensor data) and unstructured data (e.g., images, text). The *volume* is, when working with real-time data, also a major issue. Consider just a single measurement point with one kilobyte and a sampling rate of 1Hz (1KB/s), kept for analysis for one year. That leads to a data volume of 84.38MB per day, around 2.47GB per month and 30.08GB per year, for a single data point. Finally, *velocity* is another important aspect, because data generated in real-time, needs to be queried, stored and processed in real-time.

Focusing on the initial data collection aspects and on the similarities between IT and OT environments, we are aiming for a solution that is comparable to IT environments based on big data techniques [3]. These techniques are introduced in order to handle data at a single point of truth applying location and access transparency. The well established protocol and information modelling language Open Platform Communications Unified Architecture (OPC UA) is recommended by RAMI 4.0 [13] and a standardized communication protocol. It is used in industrial automation and control systems to enable secure and reliable exchange of data between different devices and systems. Therefore, it is a capable basis for reading information from industrial devices on shop floor. But we note that we are confronted with challenges that are specific to OT environments. The presented solution is intended to be placed on site, where edge computing is explicitly out of scope and the final system completely under the control of the company and not dependent on third party vendors.

B. Contributions

In this paper we pursue the following methodology: We start by deriving the requirements for a Big Data architecture that is applicable to OT environments and, in particular, we identify obstacles that are specific to OT. Then the state of the art of corresponding IT architectures is reviewed. The most favorable IT-architecture is picked and then enhanced to address the OT aspects previously identified. By combining these approaches, a comprehensive architecture was developed that can act as a reference for a Big Data architecture in OT environments. It acquires, processes and persists data, which is a prerequisite for analyzing data. This allows modular downstream applications like building digital support systems, which are able to reason based on the available data. This information system we are naming Information Engine (IE). There are four novel contributions we want to highlight:

First, this paper offers a Query Model (QM) approach to clearly define which devices are needed to be monitored and what data is needed to be collected. The goal is to provide a holistic view (state space) of the production environment by consistently and persistently collecting data and metadata from various devices and systems without breaking their integrity.

Second, the paper shows how to decouple data sources from data sinks, to reduce the load on the network, the computational pressure on the information sources, as well as the effort for dealing with historic data on the information sources. This increases flexibility and allows for reading data, at any time,

in definable granularities and formats, as well as to access historical data at and from any time. Value-added services downstream this proposed architecture, are operated besides the OT system and shall not put too much computational or communication burden on the existing system.

Third, a data flow concept is discussed, which makes it possible to transform, harmonize data from different sources and to augment data with additional information and metadata. This is done in a generic way, so that various transformation engines can be used, depending on the data type or format.

Fourth, an architecture, the IE, is described, combining these novel approaches into a system having standardized OPC UA interfaces. It is offering data in various formats and allowing to use the data in different ways. The main goal here lies on feeding analytical and Machine Learning (ML) processes, which need data to be preprocessed or augmented in order to be digested directly. Thus, there should not be the requirement of heavy additional preprocessing for actual analysis, training/inference (ML), as well as for digital shadows or twins needing automatic information inflow according to [14].

Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) and Manufacturing Execution System (MES) system are central components of OT environments, and need to be considered when designing solutions for data processing systems, because they are already in proximity to the shop floor. They collect and work with controlling information or devices and thus, can provide essential information that are vital for doing industrial analytics or creating support systems. Regardless, it is important to clarify that these systems are designed for monitoring and controlling the production process [15]. The presented solution is not intended to replace existing SCADA or MES systems, but to complement them. SCADA and MES are regarded as a valuable source of data, used to feed the presented system.

The solution considered in this paper is designed to keep the data on site without the need of transferring collected information to a remote server. It is discussed outside the context of edge computing. We are targeting architectural requirements for a system, that is completely under the control of the company, and allows the exchange of software products as well as is not dependent on specific third party vendors. The on-site solution is considered to be used in OT environments, in proximity to where the data is generated.

C. Prior and related work

OPC UA as a communication protocol widely used in industrial automation and control systems acts as a bridge between IT and OT. It's providing a standard way for different devices, systems, and applications to communicate with each other, embracing a Service Oriented Architecture (SOA) [16] [17] approach. OPC UA enables interoperability, security, scalability, and flexibility, making it suitable for various industries and applications. It helps organizations to integrate different technologies and systems across their operations and create efficient and secure systems that leverage the benefits of both IT and OT domains. That are the reasons, it has been chosen as




Fig. 1. Lambda Architecture [3], a reference architecture for implementations of big data systems.

a base communication protocol in the Reference Architecture (RE). But just reading information from devices is not enough, because the data needs to be processed and stored in a way, that it can be used for further analysis or real-time inference. A starting point for an architecture, which is presented in literature for processing and analyzing large amounts of data, in distributed computing environments, is the Lambda and the Kappa Architecture [3]. The Lambda Architecture shown in Fig. 1 is a combination of two architectures: a batch and a stream processing part. Data is processed immediately from a stream or in large quantities at once respectively. Results of the computations from the both parts are stored in a separate storage area and can be accessed by users or other systems. Depending on the requirements of the use case, Lambda Architecture can be adapted to the Kappa Architecture [18]. The Kappa Architecture simplifies the architecture by removing the batch processing part and handling data using the paradigm "everything is a stream". Kappa is compensating for batch processing by facilitating modern streaming architectures and streaming historical data [19]. We will use Lambda and Kappa Architecture for building an information system, that is able to process data from various sources in industrial environments.

At public cloud providers, like Azure, AWS and Google, we can see IoT solutions, applying the Lambda/Kappa Architecture demonstrated in [20] and addressing parts of the issues mentioned in section I-A. There are also similar implementations available based on open source software and on-premise software [21]. Nevertheless, they are very general in terms of their usage and application or do not fully cover the requirements of an industrial environment [22] like discussed in section I-A.

II. THE OPC UA QUERY MODEL

In this section, we will describe a QM on which data retrieval of the presented architecture in section V is based on. It is a method to retrieve data from multiple OPC UA servers in a structured way. The QM itself is based on a OPC UA Information Model (OPC UA IM) and used to define which data points (states) to query from OPC UA servers. The OPC UA IM is a hierarchical structure and offers information modelling in a standardized way, making it easier for different devices and systems to exchange information.




Fig. 2. OPC UA IM for query model based queries

It defines various node classes, which can represent real-world or virtual entities with their properties. These nodes have unique identifiers, are organized into namespaces and can have attributes such as data type, value, and access level. It is providing a common language and structure for representing data and information and can be made available over the OPC UA Address Space (AS) [17].

We define an **OPC UA Query Model (OPC UA QM)** as a OPC UA IM, that allows to declare for an OPC UA source server off a device, how data retrieval should be scheduled and how data points are fetched. OPC UA QM defines in what granularities data is read, together with the information of how long this data is kept. Fig. 2 illustrates the OPC UA QM, based on the Graphical OPC UA Notation [23] and is explained in the following. *DeviceQueryType* defines the type for querying data from a device. An instance needs to be created for each device, that should be queried. It contains the *Device*, the *ConnectionType* (Client/Server, pub/sub, ...) and the actual queries. *Device* is an instance of *DeviceType* which holds the information about a particular device.

The *DeviceType* keeps track of the *Name*, *Location* (physical) of the device together with the *ConnectionURI* (OPC UA URI). Important to note is, that *DeviceType* is holding a reference to the OPC UA AS of the device. The reference can be a URI, file location, or a custom reference where the AS can be downloaded or fetched. To understand the querying process we need to look at *Queries* which organizes instances of the *QueryType*. *QueryType* is the definition of a query. It contains a *NodeReference* identifying the node to read data from, in what granularity (*Interval*) and in what *Depth*.

RetentionTime and *Destination* are related to the buffering of the data. *RetentionTime* defines how long the data should be kept in the buffer. The optional *Destination* defines where the data should be stored to, which can be, e.g., a topic or a queue name in a message broker. If the parameter *Destination* is not set, the data will be stored with the same name as the *NodeReference*. The *NodeReference* should be a namespace, together with a node id or browse name to identify the node.

OPC UA IM is a powerful way of modelling information and data, which was the reason it has been chosen to model the OPC UA QM. It is standardized and powerful enough, to define the structure of the QM and the data retrieval process. In addition, it is possible to model an AS which manages and structures the various OPC UA QM instances in order to add further metadata, or to use it for post-processing.

The QM itself has solid advantages over on-demand data retrieval. By using predefined QMs, the complexity of navigating the OPC UA address space is reduced, making it easier to retrieve information from a huge amount of devices. Additionally, the use of QM can also improve the efficiency of the information extraction process, as the same QM can be used for multiple identical/similar devices or to bulk query data points from one device, instead of querying each data point individually. Further, the QM exactly defines what is retrieved and where it is located, which makes working with the data more clear. Knowing the structure, semantics and amount of the data beforehand is important to estimate the complexity of the production process in that facility.

III. DECOUPLING SOURCES FROM SINKS

Next we discuss the decoupling of sources from sinks. This is done by introducing a buffer between the sources and the sinks. The buffer is responsible for collecting data from the sources and distributing it to the sinks. It is also responsible for storing data for a certain amount of time, so that the sinks can fetch the data at their own pace.

Before going into details of the buffer, we first discuss the expected communication complexity of the system. For transmitting information we assume a data point of fixed size. When sending that data point, from a source to a sink, the computational and communication effort is constant, which we express with the \mathcal{O} notation as $\mathcal{O}(1)$. When reading the same information from one source, the effort at that source increases by the number of sinks, thus, assuming m sinks reading the same information causing $\mathcal{O}(m)$ complexity, as illustrated in Fig. 3, Scenario A. Sinks keep their computational and communication effort at $\mathcal{O}(1)$. Concluding further, when declaring n sources and m sinks, the complexity raises to $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot m)$, but on the network only.

In order to reduce information transport complexity, messages and events are stored in a buffer offering the following advantages. *First*, buffering of the messages helps to move load from the producing source devices, because as an intermediate storage it is able to pick up information once and distribute it to multiple sinks. This intermediate storage leads to $\mathcal{O}(1)$ complexity in terms of communication and local




Fig. 3. Communication complexity illustration for different scenarios. Scenario A: Reading information directly from multiple sinks. Scenario B: Replicating sources to a buffer before providing to sinks.

computational effort for reading information at the PLC or OPC UA compatible device, respectively. This is because the information only needs to be fetched once and the rest of the load is handled by the buffer, like shown in Fig. 3, Scenario B. Even more, historic data requests do not need to be answered by the sources anymore at all, but answered instead by the buffer directly. *Second*, the buffer may be separated from the network of the data-holding devices, which reduces the load on the network of the shop floor. *Third*, the buffer is inherently decoupling data sources from sinks. This makes communication much easier, because the sinks only need to connect to a single source of information. Additionally, sinks can be added at any time without affecting the sources or other sinks. *Fourth*, the buffer is able to store data for a certain period of time, so that sinks can fetch the data at their own pace. This enables agnostic sinks, allowing them to fetch data at any point in time from that selected time period. This gives consumers the possibility to fetch data multiple times in order to run calculations or attach physical/virtual sinks, starting simulations as often as needed.

IV. HARMONIZING AND PUBLISHING DATA POINTS

A. Data flow

In this section the data flow of published data points is described in greater detail, illustrated in Fig. 4. First, in order to know what devices and data points of those devices are relevant, it is necessary to register the device at a registration authority (section V). This component is a database which consolidates information about the devices and their data points as well as the data model and semantic information using the OPC UA QM described in section II. Once the registration process is done, the system is able to read the information from the device and store it in a buffer. The buffer is represented in Fig. 4 as a Message Oriented Middleware (MOM). The MOM has been chosen here to enable the system collecting various data types and formats, so that the serialization algorithm can decide how to write the message.

Once the registration with the OPC UA QM is done, the system knows which devices with what data points to fetch in which interval. A scheduler, part of the connection engine (see section V), is responsible for reading from the configured OPC UA node(s). In Fig. 4 there are two choices




Fig. 4. Data flow starting from the fetched data points of a device, till the rebuild and publishing for the access of multiple sinks.

emphasized, for handling metadata. The first option is to carry the metadata with the message itself. The second option is to store the metadata in a separate database. The first option is more convenient, because the metadata is directly available. The second option requires an additional query to a separate storage to get the metadata. However, the second option is more efficient, because the metadata is only stored once and not repeated for each message. The second option is also more flexible, because the metadata can be changed without changing the message itself.

Then the node data needs to be serialized to a format which can be stored in the MOM, which is dealing as a buffer introduced in section III. The serialization done by the writing process can be done in different ways. Common options are JSON, XML, CSV, Apache Avro, Protobuf or Apache Parquet when considering bigger file content.

It is preferable to use serialization formats that are commonly known in IT and big data, for being able to handle messages out of the box by typical tools and frameworks available. This makes it easier to preprocess data as represented in Fig. 4 with symbol λ . The transformed data can be either written back to the MOM or passed on to the serving stage. Passing on results in deserializing the message, using the metadata to rebuild the OPC UA node and publishing it on the OPC UA server, to be requested by clients. If necessary, λ is able to read or change metadata stored in the metadata store (Option 2) or from the message itself (Option 1).

Finally, it is important to note that the buffer is not only able to collect data from OPC UA sources, but also from other sources. To achieve this, it is necessary to add another component which is converting other sources to OPC UA compatible communication partners. Further things to consider for a buffer are described in section V-C.

B. Data processing and transformation

Data retrieved based on OPC UA QM from the various sources devices and sensors is provided over OPC UA, thus, well described and structured. However, the data is often still not suitable for further analysis. For example, the data might be too detailed or too coarse grained. It might be necessary




Fig. 5. Information processing pipeline based on big data concepts

to aggregate data points, transform the data into a different format or interpolate missing time series data. The processing engine λ (Fig. 4) is able to achieve this in order to make it more suitable for further analysis. It fetches data from the buffer, processes it and writes the results either back to the buffer or to a resource for further handling.

In order to understand the state space created by the OPC UA devices better, it is discussed in the following more detailed. The OPC UA source devices/servers (e.g. a PLC, SCADA or ERP systems) providing information, can be consolidated into a set $O = \{o_1, \dots, o_n\}$. Each source $o_i \in O$ provides an OPC UA address space for a particular device. The OPC UA QM defines a subset of nodes from one address space of each device o_i , creating a traced state space S_i . The proposed architecture is responsible for fetching the current state in S_i time-discrete, with the result of a state trajectory $x_i \in S_i$. A sample $x_i(t)$ is a vector of data points of the multidimensional x_i indexed with time-discrete timestamps t_j . Concluding, the holistic state space S of the whole environment, can be declared as the Cartesian product of the traced state spaces S_i of all sources $o_i \in O$, thus, $S = \prod_{i=1}^n S_i$. The state trajectory for the whole environment is

declared by $X = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$ in S .

This way of approaching the data produced in the OT environment helps to understand the information space and the data flow. For example, operations on the holistic view of the environment applied, like identifying correlations between different data points, creating a base for anomaly detection or predictive maintenance, are acting in the RE on a clear defined state space. This space can be extended or reduced depending on the needs of an application with a well-defined repeatable output. Furthermore, a solid base is necessary for harmonizing different timescales [24] which means extending, interpolating or aggregating the data points to a commonly shared timescale. Additionally, normalization in general using various transformations may utilize cross-device information sharing of different x_i .

V. A REFERENCE ARCHITECTURE FOR THE INFORMATION ENGINE

A. Introduction

At this point we propose an information system which puts together the first three contributions of section I-B into a

single architecture, shown in Fig. 5. We provide a RE with the main purpose of collecting data from various sources, buffer it, possibly transform and finally serve it to other systems, by providing standardized interfaces, together with a well-defined data model and semantic information. For doing so it is necessary, that a data source is registered first with the Simple Asset Management (SAM) in order to be able to collect data from it. The important aspect here is, that the data source is registered with a QM, which defines what data is needed to be collected together with its granularity. Once the data sources are registered, the RE is able to collect data from them and running it through a pipeline, which is evolved from the Kappa architecture. When looking at Fig. 5 we can see five main stages, which are described in the following.

B. Pipeline stages

1) *Ingress*: Acts as the entry point. The connection engine components schedule connections to the OPC UA sources based on the OPC UA QM stored in the SAM. It fetches the data and publishes it to the buffer. This key component of the system knows, together with the SAM, by reading the OPC UA QM, which data is required to be collected. That approach implicitly defines a state space of the system, thus, conditions the system lives in. These conditions are framed by the QM, helping to understand the size of the system and possibly derive the complexity of it. Once ingested, the data is handed over to the buffer.

2) *Buffer*: It deals as an intermediate storage which records the information on bases of a stream engine. It enables the RE to read and write current as well as historic information in real-time. The principles have been explained in section III.

3) *Transformation*: This is the stage where the data is processed and modified if necessary. Transformations can be directly applied to the data in the buffer, but also to the data that has been written already in the serving stage. Apache Spark, Apache Flink or Kafka Streams are typical examples for stream processing engines optimized for real-time environments.

4) *Serving*: That stage provides data in an appropriate format for batch or stream processing sinks. When data is presented to the serving stage it is already in a format that is suitable for further external processing, and has been written into it by the processing engine components. The serving stage is responsible for providing access to the data in a way which is suitable for the respective application. In case of building a support system this could mean providing batch access for training ML-models, or inferencing in active systems, applying an already trained ML-model using streamed information retrieved in real-time.

5) *Egress*: The final stage allows access for OPC UA devices or provides direct access to serving area. Egress stage has been added to harmonize output of the RE. It has the aim to provide a standardized way of accessing information and make the heterogeneity of the data sources transparent to the outside world. Information can be directly accessed by over the buffer (pass-through), using a proprietary Application Programming

Interface (API), or by using the OPC UA interface. The OPC UA interface provides a standardized way of accessing the information with the benefit of having a well-defined data model and semantic information. When using pass-through access it is necessary to query the SAM for the data model and semantic information in case needed.

C. Data Storage Add-ons

Storage is a crucial part of the RE especially for the serving stage. A lot of information collected from industrial device is stored in regular intervals which suggest to use time series databases. However, time series databases are very specific in terms of how to store and access data, which makes it difficult to store other data types like from Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems. Classical Relational Database Management System (RDBMS) may also be considered for storing data intermediately, but they are not designed for scaling well and may have difficulties to deal with historic access or heterogeneity of the data, because they are quite rigid in terms of data schema.

At the *serving stage*, data is stored to be used for analysis and visualization directly without the need of big post-processing steps. Therefore, data should already fit the requirements for a particular analysis or training of a ML model. The same applies for a Digital Assistant (DA) which in contrast does not need a separate storage engine but may directly use the streaming information from the RE by facilitating the *pass-through access*.

To describe further database types in the serving stage are highly dependent on the use case. For example, if the data is used for training a specific ML model, it might be necessary to store the data in a time series database. These storage engines do not just include traditional databases but also file storage systems like HDFS or S3, which are ideally distributed and fault-tolerant and scale well.

Especially when using many techniques performing *batch access*, which OPC UA is not particularly well suited for, it is beneficial to use files storage system. This extension, using batch processing, brings the architecture of the RE closer to a Lambda Architecture [3], which splits the processing path into a batch and a stream pipeline as explained in section I-C.

Storing data from the *registering process* can be done in a RDBMS or also in a NoSQL database to distinguish actual data from metadata applying *separation of concerns*. They do not have to meet the requirements of the buffer, are well known and easier to handle using common frameworks like Object Relational Mapper (ORM) or Object Document Mapper (ODM).

VI. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

The QM approach for OPC UA information retrieval has several advantages over on-demand data retrieval as mentioned in section II. Together with the architecture of the RE, the system design allows for collecting data over a long period of time, which is necessary for data analysis and gives the possibility to extrapolate a state space which is a first step

towards consistent data with semantic information for building e.g. value-added services from it, which would be otherwise difficult to achieve.

The solution presented can be implemented with various technology stacks except for OPC UA and is compatible with different storage systems, tools and frameworks in this domain. However, there are still challenges to be solved and no matter which technology stack is used to implement the RE, the different stages of the pipeline need to work seamlessly together, which can be difficult to achieve. The RE is a complex system, and it is not straightforward to integrate the different components as they are often use case specific, especially when it comes to serving the data.

For the missing support of non-OPC UA data, the RE can be extended with intermediate OPC UA servers, used to bridge the gap. This technique is common in the industry, and fetches information from non-OPC UA sources which then are made available via an OPC UA server. To make this integration meaningful, it is necessary to define an Information Model (IM) and an AS for the data to be retrieved, which is not always a straightforward task, especially filling it with appropriate semantic information.

Future tasks are to implement the RE in a real-world scenario, to evaluate the performance for different technology stacks, to improve the system design, as well as to integrate with industrial analytics. Further, the RE is planned to be extended by an additional semantic layer, which translates OPC UA-based information into semantic languages, e.g. RDF or OWL based on [25]. This will allow for better semantic search and queries with e.g. SPARQL Protocol and RDF Query Language (SPARQL). Last to mention are efforts to integrate the RE with various ML systems. These include ML for data streams, federated learning [26] which includes working with different geolocations & EC devices as well as approaches for imitation [27] or inverse [28] reinforcement learning starting from [29].

REFERENCES

- [1] C. O. Klingenberg, M. A. V. Borges, and J. A. V. Antunes Jr, "Industry 4.0 as a data-driven paradigm: a systematic literature review on technologies," *Journal of manufacturing technology management*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 570–592, 2021.
- [2] J. Hofmann, *Die digitale Fabrik: Auf dem Weg zur digitalen Produktion Industrie 4.0*. Beuth Verlag, 2016.
- [3] J. Warren and N. Marz, *Big Data: Principles and best practices of scalable realtime data systems*. Simon and Schuster, 2015.
- [4] M. Armbrust, A. Ghodsi, R. Xin, and M. Zaharia, "Lakehouse: a new generation of open platforms that unify data warehousing and advanced analytics," in *Proceedings of CIDR*, 2021, p. 8.
- [5] R. Kimball and M. Ross, *The data warehouse toolkit: the complete guide to dimensional modeling*. John Wiley & Sons, 2011.
- [6] K. Stouffer, V. Pillitteri, S. Lightman, M. Abrams, and A. Hahn, "Guide to industrial control systems (ics) security," 6 2015. [Online]. Available: <https://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/SpecialPublications/NIST.SP.800-82r2.pdf>
- [7] I. Sittón-Candanedo, R. S. Alonso, S. Rodríguez-González, J. A. García Coria, and F. De La Prieta, "Edge computing architectures in industry 4.0: A general survey and comparison," in *14th International Conference on Soft Computing Models in Industrial and Environmental Applications (SOCO 2019) Seville, Spain, May 13–15, 2019, Proceedings 14*. Springer, 2020, pp. 121–131.
- [8] Y. S. Abdulsalam and M. Hedabou, "Security and privacy in cloud computing: technical review," *Future Internet*, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 11, 2022.
- [9] T. Hou and V. Wang, "Industrial espionage—a systematic literature review (slr)," *computers & security*, vol. 98, p. 102019, 2020.
- [10] M. Ettredge, F. Guo, and Y. Li, "Trade secrets and cyber security breaches," *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, vol. 37, no. 6, pp. 564–585, 2018.
- [11] M. S. Bali and S. Khurana, "Effect of latency on network and end user domains in cloud computing," in *2013 International Conference on Green Computing, Communication and Conservation of Energy (ICGCE)*. IEEE, 2013, pp. 777–782.
- [12] D. Laney, "3D data management: Controlling data volume, velocity, and variety," META Group, Tech. Rep., February 2001. [Online]. Available: <http://blogs.gartner.com/doug-laney/files/2012/01/ad949-3D-Data-Management-Controlling-Data-Volume-Velocity-and-Variety.pdf>
- [13] (2015) The Reference Architectural Model Industrie 4.0 (RAMI 4.0). [Online]. Available: <https://www.zevi.org/en/press-media/publications/the-reference-architectural-model-industrie-40-rami-40>
- [14] W. Kritzing, M. Karner, G. Traar, J. Henjes, and W. Sihn, "Digital twin in manufacturing: A categorical literature review and classification," *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, vol. 51, no. 11, pp. 1016–1022, 2018, 16th IFAC Symposium on Information Control Problems in Manufacturing INCOM 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2405896318316021>
- [15] H. Meyer, *Manufacturing execution systems: optimal design, planning, and deployment*. McGraw-Hill Education, 2009.
- [16] T. O. Group, *SOA Source Book: How to Use Service-oriented Architecture Effectively*. Van Haren Publishing, 2009.
- [17] W. Mahnke, S.-H. Leitner, and M. Damm, *OPC unified architecture*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2009.
- [18] J. Kreps, "Questioning the lambda architecture," <http://radar.oreilly.com/2014/07/questioning-the-lambda-architecture.html>, 2014, accessed: 2022-10-06.
- [19] J. Lin, "The lambda and the kappa," *IEEE Internet Computing*, vol. 21, no. 05, pp. 60–66, 2017.
- [20] Microsoft, "Big data architectures," <https://learn.microsoft.com/en-us/azure/architecture/data-guide/big-data/>, accessed: 2023-03-03.
- [21] A. Cakir, Ö. Akin, H. F. Deniz, and A. Yılmaz, "Enabling real time big data solutions for manufacturing at scale," *Journal of Big Data*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 1–24, 2022.
- [22] K. Al-Gumaei, K. Schuba, A. Friesen, S. Heymann, C. Pieper, F. Pethig, and S. Schriegel, "A survey of internet of things and big data integrated solutions for industrie 4.0," in *2018 IEEE 23rd International Conference on Emerging Technologies and Factory Automation (ETFA)*, vol. 1, 2018, pp. 1417–1424.
- [23] OPC Foundation, "Opc unified architecture - part 3: Address space model," <https://reference.opcfoundation.org/Core/Part3/v104/docs/C>, OPC Foundation, 2019, companion Specification, Annex C.
- [24] S. S. Rangapuram, S. Kapoor, R. S. Nirwan, P. Mercado, T. Januschowski, Y. B. Wang, and M. Bohlke-Schneider, "Coherent probabilistic forecasting of temporal hierarchies," in *AISTATS 2023*, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://www.amazon.science/publications/coherent-probabilistic-forecasting-of-temporal-hierarchies>
- [25] G. Steindl, T. Frühwirth, and W. Kastner, "Ontology-based opc ua data access via custom property functions," in *2019 24th IEEE International Conference on Emerging Technologies and Factory Automation (ETFA)*, 2019, pp. 95–101.
- [26] T. Li, A. K. Sahu, A. Talwalkar, and v. Smith, "Federated Learning: Challenges, Methods, and Future Directions," *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 50–60, 2020.
- [27] J. Hua, L. Zeng, G. Li, and Z. Ju, "Learning for a robot: Deep reinforcement learning, imitation learning, transfer learning," *Sensors*, vol. 21, no. 4, p. 1278, 2021.
- [28] S. Arora and P. Doshi, "A survey of inverse reinforcement learning: Challenges, methods and progress," *Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 297, p. 103500, 2021.
- [29] G. Schäfer, R. Kozlica, S. Wegenkittl, and S. Huber, "An architecture for deploying reinforcement learning in industrial environments," in *Computer Aided Systems Theory—EUROCAST 2022: 18th International Conference, Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain, February 20–25, 2022, Revised Selected Papers*. Springer, 2023, pp. 569–576.