

QUASIMAPS TO QUIVERS WITH POTENTIALS

YALONG CAO AND GUFANG ZHAO

ABSTRACT. This paper is concerned with a non-compact GIT quotient of a vector space, in the presence of an abelian group action and an equivariant regular function (potential) on the quotient. We define virtual counts of quasimaps from prestable curves to the critical locus of the potential, and prove a gluing formula in the formalism of cohomological field theories.

The main examples studied in this paper is when the above setting arises from quivers with potentials, where the above construction gives quantum correction to the equivariant Chow homology of the critical locus. Following similar ideas as in quasimaps to Nakajima quiver varieties studied by the Okounkov school, we analyse vertex functions in several examples, including Hilbert schemes of points on \mathbb{C}^3 , moduli spaces of perverse coherent systems on the resolved conifold, and a quiver which defines higher \mathfrak{sl}_2 -spin chains. Bethe equations are calculated in these cases.

The construction in the present paper is based on the theory of gauged linear sigma models as well as shifted symplectic geometry of Pantev, Toën, Vaquié and Vezzosi, and uses the virtual pull-back formalism of symmetric obstruction theory of Park, which arises from the recent development of Donaldson-Thomas theory of Calabi-Yau 4-folds.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Motivation	2
1.2. Moduli of quasimaps	3
1.3. Virtual structures	4
1.4. Quasimap invariants and gluing formula	4
1.5. Quantum critical cohomology	5
1.6. A variant of quasimaps, vertex functions, and Bethe equations	6
1.7. Connections to other works	7
Acknowledgments	7
2. Moduli stacks of quasimaps	7
2.1. Set-up	7
2.2. Stacks of twisted maps	9
2.3. Recollection of quasimaps to GIT quotients	10
2.4. Quasimaps to critical loci	13
3. Shifted symplectic structures	14
3.1. Derived critical loci	14
3.2. Derived mapping stacks	16
3.3. Shifted symplectic structures on σ -twisted derived mapping stacks I	17
3.4. Image of shifted symplectic forms to periodic cyclic homology I	26
3.5. Shifted symplectic structures on σ -twisted derived mapping stacks II	27
3.6. Image of shifted symplectic forms to periodic cyclic homology II	31
4. Virtual pullbacks	34
4.1. Virtual pullbacks via symmetric obstruction theory	34
4.2. Virtual pullbacks for moduli stacks of quasimaps	35
4.3. Properties of virtual pullbacks	41
5. Quasimap invariants	50
5.1. Definitions	50
5.2. Gluing formula	52

Date: June 5, 2023.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 14N35; Secondary 14D23, 20G42 .

Key words and phrases. Quiver with potential, gauged linear sigma model, quasimap, shifted symplectic structure, Gromov-Witten type invariant, Bethe equation.

5.3.	WDVV type equation	55
5.4.	Specialization for the zero-potential	56
5.5.	Dimensional reduction to symplectic quotients	57
5.6.	On quantum critical cohomology	58
5.7.	Towards quantum cohomology for (-1) -shifted symplectic derived stacks	62
6.	Variants of quasimaps and applications	62
6.1.	Quasimaps with parametrized components and relative points	62
6.2.	Corresponding quasimap invariants	64
6.3.	Degeneration and gluing formulae	65
6.4.	Quasimaps invariants with parametrized components, relative and smooth points	65
6.5.	Quantum connections and quantum multiplications from parametrized quasimaps	68
7.	Vertex functions and Bethe equations for $\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3)$	69
7.1.	Cohomology on \mathbb{P}^1	69
7.2.	Vertex functions with insertions	71
7.3.	Computations of vertex functions	73
7.4.	Contour integral	75
7.5.	Saddle point equations	76
7.6.	Bethe equations	76
8.	More examples of Bethe equations	78
8.1.	Perverse coherent systems on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1, -1)$	78
8.2.	Higher \mathfrak{sl}_2 -spin chains	80
	Appendix A.	82
A.1.	Equivariantly localized pushforward	82
A.2.	Borel-Moore homology, vanishing cycle and critical cohomology	82
A.3.	Functoriality	83
A.4.	Thom-Sebastiani isomorphism	84
A.5.	Equivariance	84
	References	84

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Motivation. In this paper, we study “counting maps” from Riemann surfaces (or algebraic curves) to GIT quotient targets, continuing from the theory of gauged linear sigma model (GLSM) [Witt, FJR2, CFGKS, KL2, TX, FK] as well as its predecessor, the theory of quasimaps [CiK1, CiKM] and Gromov-Witten theory [KM, RT]. More specifically, we are interested in maps whose target is the critical locus $\text{Crit}(\phi)$ of a regular function ϕ on a GIT quotient X of a vector space. The precise setup is reviewed below. We focus on examples when the GIT quotient X comes from a quiver and ϕ comes from a potential of the quiver [Gin, DWZ, King].

From representation theoretic point of view, moduli spaces of framed representations of quivers with potentials have been considered to be analogues of Nakajima quiver varieties [Nak1]. This setting is flexible enough to include non-fundamental representations of simply-laced quantum groups [BZ, VV] as well as possibly non-simply-laced quantum groups [YZ], but is also structured enough to afford explicit descriptions of the quantum groups [Ne]. From enumerative geometry point of view, the virtual count of maps from an algebraic curve to a Nakajima quiver variety is an analogue of Donaldson-Thomas theory of a 3-fold [Oko]. Taking this analogy one step further, the virtual count of maps from an algebraic curve to a quiver with potential is an analogue of Donaldson-Thomas theory of a 4-fold. The present paper wishes to take the latter perspective, and uses the recent progress in Donaldson-Thomas theory of Calabi-Yau 4-folds [OT, Par1], which is based on the shifted symplectic geometry in the sense of Pantev, Toën, Vaquie, and Vezzosi [PTVV] and local Darboux theorem of Bouaziz and Grojnowski [BG], Brav, Bussi and Joyce [BBJ].

As will be elaborated in §1.7, the problem of virtual counting in the present paper, although coming from a completely different physical background, fits into similar mathematical framework as the theory of gauged linear sigma model (GLSM). The methods used in the literature studying GLSM (e.g., [KL2, CFGKS, FK]) involve difficult constructions of various ambient spaces, which are interesting and beautiful on their own. The approach in the present paper, however, takes a

different perspective, and is based on derived algebraic geometry [Lur, TV] and shifted symplectic geometry [PTVV]. Because of this more intrinsic point of view, we expect the method developed in this paper to be useful to establish cohomological field theory (CohFT) for more general targets which have (-1) -shifted symplectic derived structures (see §5.7 for more details).

We point out that from the point of view of Donaldson-Thomas type theory of 4-folds, it is interesting to consider a non-Calabi-Yau 4-fold with an anticanonical divisor, which typically appears in a family of degeneration of Calabi-Yau 4-folds. Counting maps from a curve with marked points to a moduli of sheaves on a Calabi-Yau 3-fold gives an example of (relative) Donaldson-Thomas 4-fold invariants, which had not been previously defined. Because of this connection, we expect the construction of this paper to be helpful in establishing a gluing formula for such invariants, which we wish to elaborate in a future investigation.

In the rest of the introduction, we give a more detailed summary of the results, and briefly explain the methods.

1.2. Moduli of quasimaps. Let W be a complex vector space endowed with an action of the product $H = G \times F$ of two complex reductive groups with F being commutative. Let

$$\phi : W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

be a H -equivariant regular function on W with H -action on \mathbb{C} given by a nontrivial character $\chi : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$. Fixing a character θ of G , by abuse of notation, we denote

$$\phi : X := W //_{\theta} G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

to be the descent regular function on the smooth GIT quotient, which is invariant under the action of Calabi-Yau subtorus $F_0 := (\text{Ker } \chi)$.

Let $R : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow F$ be a group morphism, called R -charge (Definition 2.5) with $R_{\chi} := \chi \circ R$. For simplicity of exposition, we assume $\text{Ker } R_{\chi} = \{1\}$ to avoid dealing with the general theory of stable maps from orbicurves by Abramovich and Vistoli [AbV].

A genus g , n -pointed R -twisted quasimaps to X is a quadruple $((C, p_1, \dots, p_n), P, u, \varkappa)$, where (C, p_1, \dots, p_n) is a prestable genus g , n -pointed curve, P is a principal $(G \times \mathbb{C}^*)$ -bundle on C with an isomorphism $\varkappa : P/G \times_{\mathbb{C}^*} R_{\chi} \cong \omega_{\log}$, and $u : P \times_{(G \times \mathbb{C}^*)} (G \times R) \rightarrow W$ is a $(G \times F)$ -equivariant map. Here

$$\omega_{\log} := \omega_C \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \right)$$

is the *log-canonical* bundle of C . The *class* of such a quadruple is an integer-valued function

$$\beta \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{X}(G), \mathbb{Z}), \quad \beta(\xi) := \text{deg}_C(P_G \times_G \mathbb{C}_{\xi}),$$

on the character group $\mathbb{X}(G)$, given by the degree of the principal G -bundle $P_G := P/\mathbb{C}^*$.

The above quadruple $((C, p_1, \dots, p_n), P, u, \varkappa)$ is called *stable*¹ if

- (1) the image of u lies in the (open) stable locus W^s on the entire C but finitely many (possibly none) points, the so-called *base points*;
- (2) base points are away from the markings and nodes;
- (3) $\omega_{\log} \otimes L_{\theta}^{\epsilon}$ is ample for every rational number $\epsilon > 0$, where $L_{\theta} = P/\mathbb{C}^* \times_G \mathbb{C}_{\theta}$.

We denote $QM_{g,n}^{R_{\chi}=\omega_{\log}}(X, \beta)$ to be the moduli stack of genus g , n -pointed stable R -twisted quasimaps to X of class β . This is a separated Deligne-Mumford stack of finite type (Theorem 2.11).

Via the embedding $\text{Crit}(\phi) \hookrightarrow X$, we have a closed substack

$$QM_{g,n}^{R_{\chi}=\omega_{\log}}(\text{Crit}(\phi), \beta) \hookrightarrow QM_{g,n}^{R_{\chi}=\omega_{\log}}(X, \beta)$$

of corresponding quasimaps to $\text{Crit}(\phi)$. This moduli stack is the main focus of the present paper. When the torus fixed locus $\text{Crit}(\phi)^{F_0}$ is proper, $QM_{g,n}^{R_{\chi}=\omega_{\log}}(\text{Crit}(\phi), \beta)^{F_0}$ is also proper, which follows directly by combining works of Fan, Jarvis, Ruan [FJR2] and Kim [Kim] (ref. Theorem 2.14).

¹One can consider more general ϵ -stability as in [CiKM, §7.1], where in the present paper we restrict to 0^+ -stability. Most results in this paper extend directly to the general stability.

1.3. Virtual structures. By forgetting the map u in the quasimap data, we obtain a map

$$(1.1) \quad QM_{g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}}(\text{Crit}(\phi), \beta) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g, n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}}$$

to the smooth Artin stack $\mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g, n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}}$ of principal $(H_R := G \times \mathbb{C}^*)$ -bundles P on genus g , n -pointed prestable curves C together with an isomorphism $\varkappa : P \times_{H_R} R_\chi \cong \omega_{\log}$.

We also have the product of evaluation maps at the marked points

$$(1.2) \quad ev^n := ev_1 \times \cdots \times ev_n : QM_{g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}}(\text{Crit}(\phi), \beta) \rightarrow \text{Crit}(\phi)^n \hookrightarrow X^n.$$

Combining maps (1.1), (1.2), we obtain a map (Eqn. (4.21)):

$$f : QM_{g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}}(\text{Crit}(\phi), \beta) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g, n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^n} X^n.$$

Denote $Z(\boxplus^n \phi)$ to be the zero locus of the function:

$$\boxplus^n \phi : X^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (\boxplus^n \phi)(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \sum_{i=1}^n \phi(x_i).$$

We construct a pullback morphism using the map f .

Theorem 1.1. (Theorem 4.11, Definition 4.13) *The map f has a canonical symmetric obstruction theory in the sense of Park [Par1], which is isotropic after base change via $Z(\boxplus^n \phi) \hookrightarrow X^n$.*

In particular, there is a square root virtual pullback

$$\sqrt{f!} : A_*^{F_0} \left(\mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g, n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^n} Z(\boxplus^n \phi) \right) \rightarrow A_*^{F_0} \left(QM_{g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}}(\text{Crit}(\phi), \beta) \right).$$

We recollect basics of isotropic symmetric obstruction theory and square root virtual pullback in §4.1 and apply it to our setting in §4.2. We prove several properties of this pullback in §4.3, which arises from the context of CohFT axioms. The key idea behind this theorem is to consider the *derived mapping stack* as a derived enhancement of the moduli stack $QM_{g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}}(\text{Crit}(\phi), \beta)$. Then the restriction of the (relative) derived cotangent complex to the classical truncation gives the desired obstruction theory. To check the isotropic condition, it is enough to work on the base change of f under any chart $\text{Spec } K \rightarrow \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g, n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^n} X^n$. On the derived enhancement of any such base change, we construct a (canonical) (-2) -shifted symplectic structure in the sense of Pantev, Toën, Vaquie, and Vezzosi [PTVV] (Theorems 3.19, 3.26), and then use a (relative) local Darboux theorem of Bouaziz and Grojnowski [BG], Brav, Bussi and Joyce [BBJ] to conclude the isotropic property after the specified base-change (Proposition 3.27).

The construction of shifted symplectic structures is explained in §3.3 and §3.5, where we give a Alexandrov-Kontsevich-Schwarz-Zaboronky (AKSZ)-type construction for twisted mapping stacks from domains of arbitrary dimension (Theorem 3.19) as well as twisted mapping stacks from curves with marked points (Theorem 3.26). These are two variants of [PTVV, Thm. 2.5] which may be of independent interest.

1.4. Quasimap invariants and gluing formula. When $2g - 2 + n > 0$, we consider the composition

$$\mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g, n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{g, n} \xrightarrow{st} \overline{M}_{g, n}$$

of the forgetful map and the stabilization map to the Deligne-Mumford moduli stack $\overline{M}_{g, n}$ of stable curves, which is flat. Composing it with the smooth map

$$\mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g, n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^n} Z(\boxplus^n \phi) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g, n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}} \times Z(\boxplus^n \phi),$$

we obtain a flat map

$$\nu : \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g, n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^n} Z(\boxplus^n \phi) \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g, n} \times Z(\boxplus^n \phi),$$

and its pullback ν^* . We then define a group homomorphism (Definition 5.5):

$$(1.3) \quad \Phi_{g, n, \beta} := p_* \circ \sqrt{f!} \circ \nu^* \circ \boxtimes : A_*(\overline{M}_{g, n}) \otimes A_*^{F_0}(Z(\boxplus^n \phi)) \rightarrow A_*^{F_0}(\text{pt})_{loc},$$

where $p_* : A_*^{F_0}(QM_{g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}}(\text{Crit}(\phi), \beta)) \rightarrow A_*^{F_0}(\text{pt})_{loc}$ is the equivariantly localized pushforward map for the projection p , defined using Eqn. (A.2) and the equivariant properness (Theorem 2.14).

Further plug-in the fundamental class $[\overline{M}_{g, n}]$, we define *Gromov-Witten type* invariants for $\text{Crit}(\phi)$ (Definition 5.6).

The map (1.3) has several nice properties. We state the following *gluing formula* in the formalism of CohFT (e.g. [KM, RT, P])². Fix $n = n_1 + n_2$, $g = g_1 + g_2$, there is a gluing morphism

$$\iota : \overline{M}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \overline{M}_{g_2, n_2+1} \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g, n}.$$

Note also that any class in $A_*^{F_0}(Z(\boxplus^{n_1}\phi) \times Z(\boxplus^{n_2}\phi))$ can be considered as an element in $A_*^{F_0}(Z(\boxplus^n\phi))$ with $n = n_1 + n_2$ by the pushforward of the obvious inclusion.

Theorem 1.2. (Theorem 5.7) *Let $\gamma \in \text{Im}\left(A_*^{F_0}(Z(\boxplus^{n_1}\phi) \times Z(\boxplus^{n_2}\phi)) \rightarrow A_*^{F_0}(Z(\boxplus^n\phi))\right)$ be in the image, $\alpha \in A_*(\overline{M}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \overline{M}_{g_2, n_2+1})$ and $\eta \in A_*^{F_0}(Z(\boxplus^2\phi))$ be the anti-diagonal class (5.5). Then*

$$(1.4) \quad \Phi_{g, n, \beta}(\iota_*\alpha \boxtimes \gamma) = \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta} (\Phi_{g_1, n_1+1, \beta_1} \otimes \Phi_{g_2, n_2+1, \beta_2})(\alpha \boxtimes (\gamma \boxtimes \eta)),$$

where $\Phi_{g_1, n_1+1, \beta_1} \otimes \Phi_{g_2, n_2+1, \beta_2}$ is defined similarly as (1.3) in Eqn. (5.3).

The proof of the above gluing formula makes use of the functoriality of square root virtual pullbacks which is explained in §5.2. In §5.3, we use this formula to prove a *Witten-Dijkgraaf-Verlinde-Verlinde* (WDVV)-type equation (Theorem 5.11).

1.5. Quantum critical cohomology. Consider the perverse sheaf φ_ϕ of vanishing cycles of ϕ and F_0 -equivariant cohomology of X valued in φ_ϕ (ref. §A.2, §A.5):

$$(1.5) \quad H_{F_0}(X, \varphi_\phi),$$

also called *critical cohomology*. This cohomology emerges naturally from several different sources: (1) it is the fundamental object in the theory of perverse sheaves and singularities [BBD, KaSc]; (2) when $F_0 = \{1\}$, it is the state space³ of the so-called gauged linear sigma models (GLSM); (3) it is related to the cohomological Donaldson-Thomas theory of Calabi-Yau 3-categories [KS, BBBJ, KL1], and Kontsevich-Soibelman critical cohomology [KS] of quivers with potentials.

Viewing (1.5) as the state space of some physical system, it is interesting and important to introduce *quantum corrections* to it. To be precise, we expect to have a commutative diagram:

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A_*(\overline{M}_{g, n}) \otimes A_*^{F_0}(\text{Crit}(\phi))^{\otimes n} & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{g, n, \beta}^{\text{alg}}} & A_*^{F_0}(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}} \\ \text{(id} \times \text{can)} \circ \text{cl} \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow \text{cl} \\ H^{BM}(\overline{M}_{g, n}) \otimes H_{F_0}(X, \varphi_\phi)^{\otimes n} & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{g, n, \beta}^{\text{top}}} & H_{F_0}^{BM}(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}}. \end{array}$$

Here cl is the cycle map from Chow homology to Borel-Moore (BM) homology, can is the canonical map (ref. Eqn. (A.8)) from BM homology to the critical cohomology and $(-)\text{loc}$ denotes the localization with respect to the field $A_*^{F_0}(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}}$ of fractions of $A_*^{F_0}(\text{pt})$. Horizontal maps encode the information of quantum corrections and are expected to satisfy properties in the so-called cohomological field theory (CohFT). By using proper pushforward via $\text{Crit}(\phi)^n \hookrightarrow Z(\boxplus^n\phi)$, the map $\Phi_{g, n, \beta}^{\text{alg}}$ can be obtained from (1.3) (which is based on Theorem 1.1).

We expect that the map $\Phi_{g, n, \beta}^{\text{top}}$ can be constructed using a F_0 -equivariant version of the work of Favero-Kim [FK] which is based on the method of fundamental factorizations due to Polishchuk-Vaintrob [PV]. For the purpose of calculations, it is reasonable to expect interesting classes of critical cohomology often coming from algebraic cycles in $A_*^{F_0}(\text{Crit}(\phi))$. Therefore the method developed in this paper will be useful to find values of such classes under the provisional map $\Phi_{g, n, \beta}^{\text{top}}$.

In §5.6, we discuss two cases where we can define the bottom map in diagram (1.6) using methods of this paper. The first case (see Settings 5.13) is when

$$\phi|_{X^{F_0}} = 0,$$

which is motivated by the *compact type* condition in [FJR2, Def. 4.1.4]. The second case is motivated by the *geometric phase* in [CFGKS, Def. 1.4.5] the details of which are in Settings 5.15. The common features of these two cases are that (i) the (localized) critical cohomology is isomorphism to the

²The more accurate terminology in the present setting is Chow field theory (CohFT) following [P, §1.4].

³Here for simplicity, we restrict ourselves to the case when the GIT quotient is a variety so one does not need inertia stack construction in Chen-Ruan's theory of orbifold cohomology [CR, AGV].

(localized) Borel-Moore homology of some associated space (Proposition 5.14, Eqn. (5.24)), and (ii) the class η of the anti-diagonal $\Delta : X \rightarrow Z(\boxplus^2\phi)$ (4.29) can be written as

$$\eta = \eta_i \boxtimes \eta^i \in H_{F_0}(X, \varphi_\phi)_{loc}^{\otimes 2}$$

in the localized critical cohomology (ref. Lemma 5.16). One can then define quasimap classes (Definition 5.17) and in particular a *quantum product* structure on the critical cohomology:

$$(1.7) \quad * : H_{F_0}(X, \varphi_\phi)_{loc} \otimes H_{F_0}(X, \varphi_\phi)_{loc} \rightarrow H_{F_0}(X, \varphi_\phi)_{loc}[[z]].$$

The WDVV type equation implies the associativity of this product.

Theorem 1.3. (Corollary 5.22) *The operation (1.7) defines an associative multiplication.*

1.6. A variant of quasimaps, vertex functions, and Bethe equations. There is a variant of quasimaps where one allows relative points on the domain curve C and parametrizes a component C_0 of C by a fixed curve D [CiKM, CiK1]. We concentrate on the case when C has genus 0 (see Definition 6.1). There is a similar construction of the map (1.3) in this setting (see §6.1, §6.2), which possesses nice properties including gluing and degeneration formulae (see §6.3). Note in this case, we do not need $\text{Ker}(R_\chi) = 1$ or using twisted stable maps of [AbV] as the data of principal F -bundle is fixed, and hence so is the r -Spin structures occurring in the theory of balanced twisted maps.

Following works of the Okounkov school [Oko, PSZ, KZ, KPSZ] on quasimaps to Nakajima quiver varieties, we introduce in the setting of the present paper (i.e. on critical loci) several invariants defined using such quasimaps with parametrized component (see §6.4). Among them, we have operators $\mathbf{M}^\alpha(z)$, $J(z)$ (Definitions 6.6, 6.5(4)) which satisfy a quantum differential equation (Theorem 6.7), and the *vertex function* (Definition 6.5(1)) defined using certain twisted quasimaps from \mathbb{P}^1 to the critical locus (see Remark 6.3). In §6.5.1, we explain in the two cases mentioned in §1.5, how $\mathbf{M}^\alpha(z)$ (resp. $J(z)$) can be viewed as a quantum connection (resp. a gauge transformation for the quantum connection).

When the critical locus $\text{Crit}(\phi)$ is the Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3)$ of n -points on \mathbb{C}^3 with Calabi-Yau torus action $F_0 \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ (see Example 2.3), we show (in Proposition 7.1) that the moduli space of twisted quasimaps with a parametrized component \mathbb{P}^1 is isomorphic to the moduli space of *Pandharipande-Thomas stable pairs* on the total space

$$(1.8) \quad \text{Tot}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{L}_1 \oplus \mathcal{L}_2 \oplus \mathcal{L}_3)$$

of the direct sum of three line bundles with Calabi-Yau condition $\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2 \otimes \mathcal{L}_3 \cong \omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}$. Moreover quasimap invariants of the formal agrees with stable pair invariants of the Calabi-Yau 4-fold (1.8) (ref. Lemma 7.9 and [CMT, §5.2]).

In Propositions 7.10, 7.12, we compute the vertex function of $\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3)$ explicitly, in terms of both a power series and a certain contour integral. We also calculate the saddle point equation of the integrant.

Theorem 1.4. (Proposition 7.13) *The saddle point equation of the integrant is given by*

$$\bar{z} = \frac{1}{s_i} \prod_{s=1}^3 \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{s_i - s_j - \hbar_s}{s_i - s_j + \hbar_s}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Here \bar{z} is a normalization of the Kähler variable, $\{\hbar_s\}_{s=1}^3$ are equivariant variables of the torus F_0 with $\sum_s \hbar_s = 0$.

Recall that the F_0 -equivariant critical cohomology of $\coprod_n \text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3)$ is a representation of the 1-shifted affine Yangian $Y_1(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_1)$ as constructed by [RSYZ2] (see also [RSYZ1]). Motivated by [AO, PSZ], which is based on the work of Nekrasov and Shatashvili [NS1], we expect the above saddle point equation coincides with the *Bethe equation* of $Y_1(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_1)$, which has not been studied by representation theorists (see §7.6 for more detailed discussions and [GLY] for a related study from physical point of view). The saddle point equation should also describe eigenvalues of quantum multiplications by quantum line bundles (ref. §6.5.3).

Besides $\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3)$, we also calculate vertex functions and corresponding saddle point equations for some other quivers with potentials, including the one that defines perverse coherent systems on the resolved conifold $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1, -1)$ (ref. §8.1), and those that define higher \mathfrak{sl}_2 -spin chains (ref. §8.2).

1.7. Connections to other works. The study of quasimap invariants of Nakajima quiver varieties has obviously lead to exciting progress in many fields in mathematics, including 3d mirror symmetry, symplectic duality, quantum q -geometric Langlands, etc. We are not positioned to survey the development here. On the other hand, from the perspective of representation theory of quantum groups, it has become increasingly clear that quivers with potentials provide geometric realizations of equally interesting representations [BZ, RSYZ2, VV, YZ]. As mentioned above, one motivation of the present paper is to set the scene for the investigation of quasimap invariants of quivers with potentials, in search for analogue results or differences to the counterparts in Nakajima quiver varieties. In a forthcoming investigation, we plan to show a dimensional reduction of quasimap invariants of a quiver with potential to that of a Nakajima quiver variety (see §5.5).

The mathematical setup of the moduli spaces studied in the present paper is similar to that studied in the GLSM setting [FJR2, KL2, CFGKS, FK]. In particular, when $F = \mathbb{C}^*$ (and $\langle J \rangle$ in loc. cit. is trivial), the moduli stack $QM_{g,n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}}(\text{Crit}(\phi), \beta)$ agrees with the one considered in [FJR2, KL2]. Therefore, we expect the up-coming work of Kiem and Park [KP] to help with the comparison of the invariants. It is also interesting to relate the construction here to works on GLSM by Ciocan-Fontanine, Favero, Guéré, Kim, Shoemaker [CFGKS], and Favero and Kim [FK] (in view of diagram (1.6)), which follow and extend the matrix factorization approach of Polishchuk and Vaintrob [PV].

Nevertheless, the motivation of the present paper differs from that of GLSM. Physically, GLSM studies Landau-Ginzburg potentials, and hence mainly focuses on (smooth) compact critical loci. Examples include quintic 3-folds and corresponding Landau-Ginzburg phase, with the aim of studying enumerative geometry and mirror symmetry. The present paper is motivated by the study of D -brane effective potentials, the critical loci of which are typically singular and non-compact (though F_0 -fixed locus is compact, e.g. Hilbert schemes of points on \mathbb{C}^3). The latter fits into the framework of cohomological Hall algebras and representations of quantum groups.

We also remark that in the present paper for simplicity of the exposition, we imposed several simplifying albeit unnecessary conditions (i.e. $\text{Ker}(R_\chi) = 1$ and G action on W^s is free), which can be removed via replacing prestable curves by more general orbicurves in the sense of Abramovich and Vistoli [AGV, AbV], as did in the works of Cheong, Ciocan-Fontanine, Kim [CCK] in quasimap theory and Fan, Jarvis, Ruan [FJR1, FJR2] in FJRW and GLSM setting.

Acknowledgments. This work benefits from discussions and communications with many people, including Roman Bezrukavnikov, Sasha Garbali, Hiroshi Iritani, Johanna Knapp, Yinbang Lin, Hiraku Nakajima, Paul Norbury, Andrei Okounkov, Hyeonjun Park, Feng Qu, Yukinobu Toda, Yaping Yang, Zijun Zhou, Paul Zinn-Justin. We warmly thank Hyeonjun Park for several very helpful discussions on virtual pullbacks and shifted symplectic structures. We are grateful to Taro Kimura, Tasuki Kinjo, Yongbin Ruan, Pavel Safronov, and Yan Soibelman for insightful feedback on a preliminary draft of this paper. When preparing the present paper, we sadly learnt the passing of Professor Bumsig Kim, whose works have significantly influenced the present paper. We would like to express our admiration to his work and our regret not being able to know the man in person.

Y. C. is partially supported by RIKEN Interdisciplinary Theoretical and Mathematical Sciences Program (iTHEMS), JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP19K23397 and Royal Society Newton International Fellowships Alumni 2021 and 2022. G. Z. is partially supported by the Australian Research Council via DE190101222 and DP210103081.

2. MODULI STACKS OF QUASIMAPS

The theory of quasimaps began as a new way to compactify the mapping space of smooth curves to GIT quotients, which is different from the Kontsevich moduli spaces of stable maps (see, e.g., [MOP, MM, Toda, CiK1, CiK2] and references therein). A standard reference for its foundation is [CiKM]. In this section, we recall some basic notions of quasimaps to a GIT quotient and then apply them to the special case we are interested in.

2.1. Set-up. We fix the setting of this paper.

Setting 2.1. *Let W be a finite dimensional complex vector space, G be a complex reductive algebraic group (referred as gauge group) and F be a complex torus (referred as flavor group). Assume there is a group homomorphism $H := G \times F \rightarrow GL(W)$.*

Let $\theta \in \mathbb{X}(G)$ be a character of G which defines a stability condition on W [King, §2] so that the θ -stable locus coincides with the θ -semi-stable locus:

$$W^{ss} = W^s \neq \emptyset,$$

on which G acts freely. In particular, the GIT quotient

$$W//G := W^s/G$$

is a smooth scheme and coincides with the quotient stack $[W^s/G]$.

Let $\chi : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ be a nontrivial character and we extend it naturally to a character

$$\chi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*,$$

which does not depend on G . Define the Calabi-Yau torus $F_0 := \text{Ker}(\chi)$ as the kernel of $\chi : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$.

Let

$$\phi \neq 0 : W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

be an H -equivariant function with the action of H on \mathbb{C} given by the character χ and

$$\text{Crit}(\phi) \subseteq W$$

be the critical locus of ϕ , with an induced H -action. The stable locus is

$$\text{Crit}(\phi)^s = \text{Crit}(\phi) \cap W^s$$

and

$$\text{Crit}(\phi)//G := \text{Crit}(\phi)^s/G$$

is the GIT quotient.

As closed subschemes of W , we assume there is a closed embedding $\text{Crit}(\phi) \hookrightarrow Z(\phi)$, where $Z(\phi)$ is the zero locus of ϕ .

Remark 2.2. We remark that the above assumption on closed embedding $\text{Crit}(\phi) \hookrightarrow Z(\phi)$ is a simplifying albeit unnecessary condition.

In general, ϕ is locally constant on the reduced scheme $\text{Crit}(\phi)^{\text{red}}$ of $\text{Crit}(\phi)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume all critical values are zero, i.e. $\text{Crit}(\phi)^{\text{red}} \subseteq Z(\phi)$ as sets. By Hilbert's Nullstellensatz, for some $r > 0$, we have an embedding

$$\text{Crit}(\phi) \hookrightarrow Z(\phi^r)$$

as closed subschemes of W . For all examples considered in this paper (see §7, §8), it is easy to check that we can take $r = 1$. When $r > 1$, the results of this paper remain hold. We will mention the modification of argument in corresponding sections.

Our main working example is the following:

Example 2.3. Let $V = \mathbb{C}^n$ with $G = \text{GL}_n$ acting in the natural way. Let

$$W = V \oplus \text{End}(V)^{\oplus 3}$$

with the induced G -action and an additional action of $F = (\mathbb{C}^*)^3$ by scaling of the three endomorphisms. Let

$$\phi : W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (i, b_1, b_2, b_3) \mapsto \text{tr}(b_1[b_2, b_3]),$$

which is invariant under G action and equivariant under F action (F acts on the target with weight $\chi = (1, 1, 1)$). Let

$$\theta : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*, \quad g \mapsto \det(g)$$

be the character of G , used as the GIT stability condition. Then the stable locus W^s is the open subset of W where the linear span of all vectors obtained by repeated applications of the endomorphisms to the chosen vector $v \in V$ is the whole V .

The function ϕ descends to

$$\phi : W//G = W^s/G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

such that the critical locus satisfies (e.g. [BBS, pp. 131, Prop. 3.1])

$$\text{Crit}(\phi) \cong \text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3).$$

The torus F descends to an action on $\text{Crit}(\phi)$. By [BF2, Lem. 4.1], $\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3)^F = \text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3)^{F_0}$ are finite number of reduced points given by plane partitions/3d Young diagrams of size n .

More generally, we consider examples given by quivers with potentials [Gin, DWZ, King].

Example 2.4. A *quiver* $Q = (I, H)$ is a directed graph with I being the set of vertices and H the set of arrows. For a dimension vector $v = (v_i)_{i \in I} \in \mathbb{N}^I = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^I$, let

$$W = \text{Rep}(Q, v) := \bigoplus_{(i \rightarrow j) \in H} \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{v_i}, \mathbb{C}^{v_j})$$

be the affine space parameterizing representations of Q . A *potential* is a linear combination of cycles in Q . Taking the trace of the potential defines a regular function $\phi : W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

The groups G, F depend on additional data: a subset I_0 of I called *frozen* vertices. Let


$$G = \prod_{i \in I \setminus I_0} \text{GL}_{v_i},$$

which act on W by changing the basis of \mathbb{C}^{v_i} for $i \in I \setminus I_0$. Let

$$F = \left(\prod_{i \in I_0} \text{GL}_{v_i} \right) \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^{\text{rk } H_1(Q, \mathbb{Z})},$$

where GL_{v_i} acts on W by changing the basis of \mathbb{C}^{v_i} for $i \in I_0$, and $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{\text{rk } H_1(Q, \mathbb{Z})}$ depends on a choice of basis of $H_1(Q, \mathbb{Z})$ as cycles in the graph Q , with the action on W by scaling the arrows constituting each of the cycles in the aforementioned basis. As F in Setting 2.1 is abelian, so here we take the maximal abelian subgroup of the F above. This choice of F is inspired by the study of Nakajima quiver varieties [Oko, §4.2.1]. The action of so-chosen F on W may not be an effective action, and hence in practice we usually choose a subgroup of it as the flavor group.

Pictorially, we honor the tradition and draw frozen vertices as \square and thawed vertices as \circ . For instance, consider the following quiver:



with dimension vector $(1, n)$, potential $\phi = \text{tr}(b_1 b_2 b_3 - b_1 b_3 b_2)$, frozen vertex $I_0 = \{0\}$ and $F = (\mathbb{C}^*)^3$ scaling b_i 's, we get back exactly to Example 2.3.

2.2. Stacks of twisted maps. Recall that a *prestable genus g , n -pointed curve* over \mathbb{C} is (C, p_1, \dots, p_n) with C being a connected projective curve of arithmetic genus g , with at worst nodal singularities, together with n distinct non-singular marked points p_1, \dots, p_n .

A map from C to the stack quotient $[W/H]$ is equivalent to a pair (P_H, u) where P_H is a principal H -bundle on C and u is an H -equivariant map $P_H \rightarrow W$. Let $\text{Map}(C, [W/H])$ be the Artin stack of all maps from C to $[W/H]$ [Ols1] which has a forgetful map

$$\text{Map}(C, [W/H]) \rightarrow \text{Map}(C, [\text{pt}/H]) = \mathfrak{Bun}_H(C)$$

to the smooth Artin stack $\mathfrak{Bun}_H(C)$ of principal H -bundles P_H on C .

The *log-canonical bundle* of a marked curve (C, p_1, \dots, p_n) is the line bundle

$$\omega_{\log} := \omega_C \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \right).$$

Without causing confusion, the corresponding \mathbb{C}^* -bundle is also denoted by ω_{\log} .

Let $\mathfrak{Bun}_H^{\chi = \omega_{\log}}(C)$ be the Artin stack of principal H -bundles P_H on C together with an isomorphism $\overline{\varkappa} : P_H \times_H \chi \cong \omega_{\log}$. It is a smooth Artin stack [FJR2, Lem. 5.2.2] and has a map

$$\mathfrak{Bun}_H^{\chi = \omega_{\log}}(C) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Bun}_H(C),$$

which forgets the isomorphism $\overline{\varkappa}$.

To have a nice moduli stack of twisted quasimaps which will be introduced in the next section, it is convenient to consider reduction of the flavor symmetry F to a one dimensional subgroup.

Definition 2.5. An *R -charge* is a group morphism $R : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow F$. We denote its composition with χ by $R_\chi : \mathbb{C}^* \xrightarrow{R} F \xrightarrow{\chi} \mathbb{C}^*$.

Write $H_R := G \times \mathbb{C}^*$ and define stacks $Map^{\chi=\omega_{\log}}(C, [W/H])$, $Map^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}}(C, [W/H_R])$ of *twisted maps* by the following Catersian diagrams

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} Map^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}}(C, [W/H_R]) & \longrightarrow & Map^{\chi=\omega_{\log}}(C, [W/H]) & \longrightarrow & Map(C, [W/H]) \\ \downarrow & & \square & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}}(C) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{Bun}_H^{\chi=\omega_{\log}}(C) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{Bun}_H(C). \end{array}$$

Here $\mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}}(C)$ is the Artin stack of principal H_R -bundles P on C together with an isomorphism $\varkappa : P \times_{H_R} R_\chi \cong \omega_{\log}$. The left bottom map is well-defined because such P and \varkappa induces an isomorphism $\overline{\varkappa} : P_H \times_H \chi \cong \omega_{\log}$, where $P_H := P \times_{\mathbb{C}^*} R$ is the induced H -bundle.

The H -equivariant function

$$\phi : W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

gives rise to the critical locus $\text{Crit}(\phi) \subseteq W$ with an induced H -action. The equivariant embedding $\text{Crit}(\phi) \hookrightarrow W$ induces a map of stacks

$$Map(C, [\text{Crit}(\phi)/H]) \rightarrow Map(C, [W/H]),$$

which is easily seen as a closed embedding, characterized as classifying maps (P, u) from (C, p_1, \dots, p_n) such that u lands in the subscheme $\text{Crit}(\phi)$.

Since F commutes with G and F is abelian, we have an induced F -action on stacks $[W/G]$ and $[W/H_R]$. Moreover, the action on $[W/G]$ preserves θ -stable locus for any θ due to the commutativity with G , and preserves the critical locus of ϕ .

For any curve C over a base S , by working over S , the diagram (2.1) is still well-defined. In particular, $Map_S(C, [W/H] \times S)$ is the stack representing morphisms in the category of S -stacks. The map $[W/H] \rightarrow [\text{pt}/H]$ induces the map

$$Map_S(C, [W/H] \times S) \rightarrow Map_S(C, [\text{pt}/H] \times S) := \mathfrak{Bun}_H(C/S).$$

2.3. Recollection of quasimaps to GIT quotients. We are particularly interested in the case when C is the universal curve \mathcal{C} over the smooth Artin stack $\mathfrak{M}_{g,n}$ of prestable genus g , n -pointed curves. There is a flat ‘‘stabilization’’ morphism

$$(2.2) \quad st : \mathfrak{M}_{g,n} \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,n}$$

to the Deligne-Mumford stack $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,n}$ of stable genus g , n -pointed curves [Beh]. In this case, we omit C from the notations and diagram (2.1) becomes

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} Map_{g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}}([W/H_R]) & \longrightarrow & Map_{g,n}^{\chi=\omega_{\log}}([W/H]) & \longrightarrow & Map_{g,n}([W/H]) \\ \downarrow & & \square & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R,g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{Bun}_{H,g,n}^{\chi=\omega_{\log}} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{Bun}_{H,g,n}. \end{array}$$

Here all bottom stacks are smooth Artin stacks locally of finite type over \mathbb{C} by [CiKM, Prop. 2.1.1], [FJR2, Lem. 5.2.2].

A \mathbb{C} -point in $Map_{g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}}([W/H_R])$ is a quadruple $((C, p_1, \dots, p_n), P, u, \varkappa)$, where (C, p_1, \dots, p_n) is a prestable genus g , n -pointed curve, P is a principal $(G \times \mathbb{C}^*)$ -bundle on C with an isomorphism $\varkappa : P/G \times_{\mathbb{C}^*} R_\chi \cong \omega_{\log}$, and $u : P \times_{(G \times \mathbb{C}^*)} (G \times R) \rightarrow W$ is a $(G \times F)$ -equivariant map.

The *class* of such a quadruple is a map from the character group $\mathbb{X}(G)$:

$$\beta = \beta_{P_G} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{X}(G), \mathbb{Z}), \quad \beta(\xi) := \deg_C(P_G \times_G \mathbb{C}_\xi),$$

given by the degree of the principal G -bundle $P_G := P/\mathbb{C}^*$ [CiKM, §2.5].

Given a stability condition $\theta : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ as in Setting 2.1, we have three conditions on such a quadruple:

- (1) the image of u lies in the open locus W^s on the entire C but (possibly empty) finitely many points (which are called the *base points*);
- (2) base points are away from the special points (markings and nodes);
- (3) $\omega_C(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i) \otimes L_\theta^\epsilon$ is ample for every rational number $\epsilon > 0$, where $L_\theta = P/\mathbb{C}^* \times_G \mathbb{C}_\theta$.

Definition 2.6. A genus g , n -pointed R -twisted quasimap to $W//G$ is a point in $Map_{g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}}([W/H_R])$ satisfying condition (1). It is said to be *prestable* if it satisfies (1), (2). It is said to be *stable* if it satisfies (1), (2) and (3).

Remark 2.7. The stability condition here coincides with the 0^+ -stability in [FJR2, Def. 4.2.13], [CiKM, Def. 3.1.2]. One can consider more general ϵ -stability as [FJR2, Def. 4.2.11], [CiKM, Def. 7.1.3].

The notions of isomorphisms and families of R -twisted quasimaps are the obvious ones as in [CiKM, §3.1] and [FJR2, §4.2]. Let

$$QM_{g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}}(W//G, \beta)$$

denote the moduli stack of genus g , n -pointed stable R -twisted quasimaps of class $\beta \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{X}(G), \mathbb{Z})$. The action of F on $[W/H_R]$ induces an action on the stack $Map_{g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}}(C, [W/H_R])$ by post-composing with the map u , i.e. for any $f \in F$,

$$f \cdot ((C, p_1, \dots, p_n), P, u, \varkappa) := ((C, p_1, \dots, p_n), P, f \cdot u, \varkappa).$$

By restricting to the open substack $QM_{g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}}(W//G, \beta)$, we get an induced F -action.

A priori, the evaluation map ev_i on $Map_{g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}}([W/H])$ does not land in $[W/G]$ but rather in $[W/(G \times F)]$. Nevertheless, we have the following.

Proposition 2.8. *Let $X := W//G$. Then for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, there exists an F -equivariant evaluation map*

$$ev_i : QM_{g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}}(X, \beta) \rightarrow [X/R(\text{Ker } R_\chi)].$$

Proof. Let \mathcal{P} be the universal $(G \times \mathbb{C}^*)$ -bundle on the universal curve \mathcal{C} over $QM_{g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}}(W//G, \beta)$ with induced $(G \times F)$ -bundle $\mathcal{P}_{G \times F} := \mathcal{P} \times_{(G \times \mathbb{C}^*)} (G \times R)$ and universal $(G \times F)$ -equivariant map

$$\mathcal{P}_{G \times F} \rightarrow W.$$

Taking quotient by G , we obtain a F -equivariant map

$$\text{taut} : \mathcal{P}_F := \mathcal{P}_{G \times F}/G \rightarrow [W/G].$$

Let $p_i : QM_{g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}}(W//G, \beta) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be the section corresponding to the i -th marked point. We can pullback \mathcal{P}_F to $QM_{g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}}(W//G, \beta)$ via p_i and obtain $\mathcal{P}_F|_{p_i} := p_i^* \mathcal{P}_F$. Restricting to the marked point p_i , the map u gives

$$\text{taut}|_{p_i} : \mathcal{P}_F|_{p_i} \rightarrow W//G.$$

Write $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}^*} := \mathcal{P}/G$. Pullback the constrain

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}^*} \times_{\mathbb{C}^*} R_\chi \cong \omega_{\log}$$

to $QM_{g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}}(X, \beta)$ via p_i , we obtain

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}^*}|_{p_i} \times_{\mathbb{C}^*} R_\chi \cong \omega_{\log}|_{p_i} \cong QM_{g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}}(X, \beta) \times \mathbb{C}^*,$$

where we use the fact that marked points are at smooth points and hence adjunction formula provides a canonical trivialization of $\omega_{\log}|_{p_i}$ (ref. [FJR2, §4.4]). This provides a reduction

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{P}_{\text{Ker } R_\chi, p_i} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}^*}|_{p_i}$$

of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}^*}|_{p_i}$ to a principal $\text{Ker } R_\chi$ -bundle $\mathcal{P}_{\text{Ker } R_\chi, p_i}$ (i.e. structure group reduces to $\text{Ker } R_\chi$).

Combining with the inclusion $R : \text{Ker } R_\chi / \text{Ker } R \hookrightarrow F$, we obtain a reduction

$$\mathcal{P}_{\text{Ker } R_\chi, p_i} \times_{\text{Ker } R_\chi} \text{Ker } R_\chi / \text{Ker } R \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}_F|_{p_i} = \mathcal{P}_{\text{Ker } R_\chi, p_i} \times_{\text{Ker } R_\chi} F$$

of $\mathcal{P}_F|_{p_i}$ to a principal $\text{Ker } R_\chi / \text{Ker } R \cong R(\text{Ker } R_\chi)$ -bundle. Taking quotient by $R(\text{Ker } R_\chi)$, we obtain a section of principal $F/R(\text{Ker } R_\chi)$ -bundle $(\mathcal{P}_F|_{p_i})/R(\text{Ker } R_\chi)$ (e.g. Lemma 2.10), i.e. a trivialization

$$\tau : QM_{g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}}(W//G, \beta) \times (F/R(\text{Ker } R_\chi)) \xrightarrow{\cong} (\mathcal{P}_F|_{p_i})/R(\text{Ker } R_\chi).$$

Composing the maps, we obtain (writting $X := W//G$)

$$ev_i : QM_{g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}}(X, \beta) \xrightarrow{e} QM_{g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}}(X, \beta) \times F/R(\text{Ker } R_\chi) \xrightarrow{\text{taut}|_{p_i} \circ \tau} [X/R(\text{Ker } R_\chi)],$$

$$((C, p_1, \dots, p_n), P, u, \varkappa) \mapsto u \circ \tau \left(((C, p_1, \dots, p_n), P, u, \varkappa), e \right),$$

where the first map is the identity section of the trivial bundle.

Now we show ev_i is F -equivariant. An element $f \in F$ acts on $((C, p_1, \dots, p_n), P, u, \varkappa)$ by

$$f((C, p_1, \dots, p_n), P, u, \varkappa) = ((C, p_1, \dots, p_n), P, f \cdot u, \varkappa).$$

Through ev_i , it is mapped to $(f \cdot u) \circ \tau(((C, p_1, \dots, p_n), P, f \cdot u, \varkappa), e)$. As $\mathcal{P}_F|_{p_i}$ is the pullback bundle on $\mathfrak{Bun}_{HR}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}}$ via the forgetful map $QM_{g,n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}}(W//G, \beta) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Bun}_{HR, g, n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}}$, so there is a natural identification between the fibers at $((C, p_1, \dots, p_n), P, f \cdot u, \varkappa)$ and $((C, p_1, \dots, p_n), P, u, \varkappa)$, under which

$$\tau(((C, p_1, \dots, p_n), P, f \cdot u, \varkappa), e) = \tau(((C, p_1, \dots, p_n), P, u, \varkappa), e).$$

Therefore we have

$$(f \cdot u) \circ \tau(((C, p_1, \dots, p_n), P, f \cdot u, \varkappa), e) = (f \cdot u) \circ \tau(((C, p_1, \dots, p_n), P, u, \varkappa), e),$$

which shows the F -equivariance. \square

Remark 2.9. By definition, the map ev_i is an $R(\text{Ker } R_\chi)$ -equivariant map from a principal $R(\text{Ker } R_\chi)$ -bundle on $QM_{g,n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}}(X, \beta)$ to X . When R_χ is nontrivial, $R(\text{Ker } R_\chi)$ is a finite abelian group, a principal bundle is a Galois cover:

$$\mathcal{P}_{\text{Ker } R_\chi, p_i} \rightarrow QM_{g,n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}}(X, \beta),$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{\text{Ker } R_\chi, p_i}$ is defined in (2.5). The evaluation map of the proposition is equivalent to a map

$$ev_i : \mathcal{P}_{\text{Ker } R_\chi, p_i} \rightarrow X,$$

which is equivariant with respect to the action of $R(\text{Ker } R_\chi) \times F$.

Taking the fiber product

$$QM_{g,n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}}(X, \beta) := \widetilde{\mathcal{P}_{\text{Ker } R_\chi, p_1}} \times_{QM_{g,n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}}(X, \beta)} \cdots \times_{QM_{g,n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}}(X, \beta)} \mathcal{P}_{\text{Ker } R_\chi, p_n},$$

which is a Galois cover of $QM_{g,n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}}(X, \beta)$, we have evaluation maps

$$QM_{g,n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}}(X, \beta) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\text{Ker } R_\chi, p_i} \xrightarrow{ev_i} X$$

as the composition of the projection to each factor and the map ev_i above.

The following standard facts on principal bundles are used above.

Lemma 2.10. *Let P be a principal F -bundle on a stack M and $F_0 \subseteq F$ be a normal subgroup. Then the followings are equivalent:*

- (1) *There is a principal F_0 -bundle P_0 and an isomorphism $P_0 \times_{F_0} F \cong P$.*
- (2) *There is a principal F_0 -bundle P_0 and an F_0 -equivariant embedding $P_0 \rightarrow P$ covering the identity map on the base.*
- (3) *There is a section of the principal F/F_0 -bundle $P \times_F (F/F_0)$.*
- (4) *There is an isomorphism $P \times_F (F/F_0) \cong M \times (F/F_0)$ of principal F/F_0 -bundle.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): The inclusion $F_0 \subseteq F$ induces the embedding

$$P_0 \times_{F_0} F_0 \rightarrow P_0 \times_{F_0} F \cong P.$$

(2) \Rightarrow (3): Take quotient of the F_0 -equivariant embedding $P_0 \rightarrow P$ by F_0 gives a section

$$M \rightarrow P/F_0 \cong P \times_F (F/F_0).$$

(3) \Rightarrow (4): Write $\bar{F} := F/F_0$ and $P_{\bar{F}} := P \times_F (F/F_0)$. A section $s : M \rightarrow P_{\bar{F}}$ gives a map

$$M \times \bar{F} \rightarrow P_{\bar{F}}, \quad (x, f) \mapsto s(x) \cdot f.$$

It is direct to check this is an isomorphism of principal \bar{F} -bundle using the fact that \bar{F} is a group.

(4) \Rightarrow (1): Since $P \times_F (F/F_0) \cong P/F_0$, P is a principal F_0 -bundle over $P \times_F (F/F_0) \cong M \times F/F_0$. Through the identity section $M \rightarrow M \times F/F_0$, we can pullback this bundle to M , denoted by P_0 with a F_0 -equivariant embedding $i : P_0 \hookrightarrow P$ covering identity on M . We then define a map

$$\psi : P_0 \times F \rightarrow P, \quad (p, f) \mapsto i(p) \cdot f,$$

with F_0 -action $f_0 \cdot (p, f) = (p \cdot f_0, f_0^{-1} f)$ on the domain and right F_0 -multiplication on the target. It is easy to check that ψ factors through $(P_0 \times F)/F_0$ and defines a map of principal F -bundle

$$P_0 \times_{F_0} F \rightarrow P,$$

which must be an isomorphism as F is a group. \square

We recall the following geometric properties of $QM_{g,n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}}(W//G, \beta)$ proven in [FJR2, Lem. 5.3.2, Thm. 5.2.3].

Theorem 2.11. *Assume $\text{Ker } R_\chi = \{1\}$, then the stack $QM_{g,n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}}(W//G, \beta)$ is Deligne-Mumford, separated, and of finite type.*

Proof. This is [FJR2, Theorem 5.2.3]. A comparison of notations in the present paper and those of *loc. cit.* is in order. The group Γ in *loc. cit.* in the present setting is the image of $G \times R(\mathbb{C}^*)$ in $\text{GL}(W)$. The group $\langle J \rangle$ from *loc. cit.* in the present setting is the image of $R(\text{Ker } R_\chi)$ in $\text{GL}(W)$. The group G in *loc. cit.* in the present setting is chosen to be the image of $G \times R(\text{Ker } R_\chi)$. Under the simplifying assumption $\text{Ker } R_\chi = \{1\}$, we claim the composition $\mathbb{C}^* \xrightarrow{R} F \xrightarrow{\eta} \text{GL}(W)$ is injective, where η denotes the action of F on W . In fact, in Setting 2.1, there is a non-trivial function ϕ such that for any $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $w \in W$, we have

$$\phi(t \cdot w) := \phi((\eta \circ R)(t) \cdot w) = R_\chi(t) \cdot \phi(w).$$

If there is a $1 \neq t \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $(\eta \circ R)(t) \cdot w = w$ for any $w \in W$, we get contradiction in the above equality as R_χ is injective. Then it is easy to check the orbi-structures on quasimaps in *loc. cit.* become trivial, i.e. they are prestable curves used in the present setting. \square

Remark 2.12. In what follow, we work under the simplifying assumption that $\text{Ker } R_\chi = \{1\}$. Without this assumption, in order to get the same separatedness of moduli spaces (similarly the properness as in Theorem 2.14 below), one follows [FJR2] and allows the prestable marked curve (C, p_1, \dots, p_n) to be a balanced twisted orbicurve in the sense of Abramovich and Vistoli [AbV]. In the present paper, for simplicity of exposition, we try to avoid the full strength of the theory of orbicurves.

2.4. Quasimaps to critical loci. Via the embedding $\text{Crit}(\phi)//G \hookrightarrow W//G$, we have the closed substack

$$(2.6) \quad QM_{g,n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}}(\text{Crit}(\phi)//G, \beta) \hookrightarrow QM_{g,n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}}(W//G, \beta)$$

of genus g , n -pointed stable R -twisted quasimaps to $\text{Crit}(\phi)//G$ of class β which is also a separated Deligne-Mumford stack of finite type by Theorem 2.11 (see also [FJR2, Lemma 5.3.2]).

As in Proposition 2.8, we have the following evaluation maps.

Proposition 2.13. *Let $C := \text{Crit}(\phi)//G$. Then for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, there exists an F -equivariant evaluation map*

$$(2.7) \quad ev_i : QM_{g,n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}}(C, \beta) \rightarrow [C/R(\text{Ker } R_\chi)].$$

We have the following properness result.

Theorem 2.14. *Assume $\text{Ker } R_\chi = \{1\}$ and the F_0 -fixed locus in the affine quotient $(\text{Crit}(\phi)/_{\text{aff}} G)^{F_0}$ is finite, then the F_0 -fixed locus $(QM_{g,n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}}(\text{Crit}(\phi)//G, \beta))^{F_0}$ is proper.*

Proof. This is a combination of [FJR2, Thm. 5.4.1] and [Kim, §4.4]. We briefly summarize for the convenience of the readers. Let Δ be a disc, the generic point of which is η . We assume on η there is an F_0 -fixed stable quasimap data $(\mathcal{C}_\eta, \mathcal{P}_\eta, u_\eta : \mathcal{P}_\eta \rightarrow \text{Crit}(\phi))$. The construction of the first 10 paragraphs in the proof of [FJR2, Thm. 5.4.1] gives sections of \mathcal{C}_η outside of which the quasimap data is a balanced twisted pointed stable map landing in $(\text{Crit}(\phi)//G)^{F_0}$. The assumption that $(\text{Crit}(\phi)/_{\text{aff}} G)^{F_0}$ is finite implies the properness of $(\text{Crit}(\phi)//G)^{F_0}$, hence as in [FJR2, Thm. 5.4.1] this data extends to a balanced twisted pointed stable map on the entire Δ . Forgetting some of the sections and contracts some components of the central fiber of the curve as in [FJR2, pp. 281] modifies a pointed stable map into a quasimap data defined outside finitely many points on the central fiber, which agrees with the existing data when restricted to η . Finally, using [CiKM, Lem. 4.3.2] and Hartogs' theorem, the quasimap data extends across these finitely many points, hence well-defined on Δ . The argument in [FJR2, pp. 282–283] shows the stability. \square

3. SHIFTED SYMPLECTIC STRUCTURES

In this section, following [PTVV, CPTVV], we construct shifted symplectic structures on several derived stacks, which will be used to construct virtual pullbacks for moduli stacks of quasimaps in the next section. Using standard notations, the affine derived scheme associated to a commutative differential graded algebra (cdga) A is denoted by $\mathbf{Spec}(A)$. The derived fiber product (or homotopy fiber product) of two maps $X \rightarrow Z, Y \rightarrow Z$ between derived stacks is denoted by $X \times_{\frac{1}{2}} Y$ (or simply $X \times_Z Y$ if it is clear from the context). For a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between derived stacks, the relative tangent (resp. cotangent) complex is denoted by \mathbb{T}_f (resp. \mathbb{L}_f) or $\mathbb{T}_{X/Y}$ (resp. $\mathbb{L}_{X/Y}$) if we want to emphasize X and Y . Expressions such as f_*, f^*, \otimes should be understood in the derived sense unless stated otherwise. All derived Artin stacks mentioned in this paper are assumed to be locally of finite presentation.

3.1. Derived critical loci. Let W be a complex vector space with a linear action of a reductive algebraic group of the form $H = G \times F$, together with a regular function $\phi : W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We assume that ϕ is equivariant with the target endowed with trivial G -action and an F -action given by a fixed character $\chi : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$. For simplicity, we denote \mathbb{C}_χ to be the associated 1-dimensional representation of F , and also of $G \times F$ when no ambiguity arises from the context.

In particular, let $[W/G]$ be the quotient stack, then ϕ induces an F -equivariant map of stacks:

$$\phi : [W/G] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\chi.$$

We assume that the critical locus of ϕ is non-empty, and without loss of generality $d\phi(0) = 0$.

The (derived) critical locus of ϕ is defined as follows. Observe that $d\phi$ is an F -equivariant map

$$[W/G] \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_{[W/G]}^* \otimes \mathbb{C}_\chi.$$

Here $\mathbf{T}_{[W/G]}^* \otimes \mathbb{C}_\chi$ is the tensor product of the total space of the cotangent bundle of $[W/G]$ with \mathbb{C}_χ , which is the derived stack $\mathbf{Spec} \operatorname{Sym}_{[W/G]}((\mathbb{L}_{[W/G]} \otimes \mathbb{C}_\chi)^\vee)$. We also have the zero-section

$$0 : [W/G] \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_{[W/G]}^* \otimes \mathbb{C}_\chi,$$

which is F -equivariant.

Definition 3.1. We define the “semi-classical” critical locus $\mathbf{Crit}^{sc}(\phi)$ and derived stack $\mathbf{Z}(d\phi)$ by the following homotopy pullback diagrams:

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Crit}^{sc}(\phi) & \longrightarrow & W \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow d\phi \\ W & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbf{T}^*W, \end{array}$$

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Z}(d\phi) & \longrightarrow & [W/G] \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow d\phi \\ [W/G] & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbf{T}_{[W/G]}^*. \end{array}$$

Here the map $\mathbf{Z}(d\phi) \rightarrow [W/G]$, composing with the structure morphism $[W/G] \rightarrow [\mathrm{pt}/G]$ endows $\mathbf{Z}(d\phi)$ with a structure morphism $\mathbf{Z}(d\phi) \rightarrow [\mathrm{pt}/G]$.

For illustration and for the convenience of the readers, we spell out the above construction more explicitly. Recall that $\mathbf{T}_{[W/G]}^*$ can alternatively be described as the quotient stack $[\mu^{-1}(0)/G]$, where

$$\mu : T_W^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$$

is the G -equivariant moment map with derived structure coming from the embedding $0 \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. Notice that both maps $d\phi : W \rightarrow T_W^*$ and $0 : W \rightarrow T_W^*$ are G -equivariant, and the composition with μ becomes zero. Indeed, by definition μ is constant on any G -invariant connected Lagrangian of T_W^* , hence both compositions are constant, also both compositions send $0 \in W$ to $0 \in \mathfrak{g}^*$.

Now we have a G -equivariant homotopy pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mu^{-1}(0) & \xleftarrow{0} & W \\ d\phi \uparrow & \square & \uparrow \\ W & \xleftarrow{\quad} & W \times_{\mu^{-1}(0)}^{\mathbf{L}} W. \end{array}$$

For comparison, there is a natural morphism of derived schemes:

$$W \times_{T_W^*}^{\mathbf{L}} W \rightarrow W \times_{\mu^{-1}(0)}^{\mathbf{L}} W,$$

which is not an isomorphism of derived schemes, but induces an isomorphism of the underlying classical schemes.

Proposition 3.2. *We have an isomorphism of derived stacks*

$$\mathbf{Z}(d\phi) \cong [(W \times_{\mu^{-1}(0)}^{\mathbf{L}} W)/G].$$

Both $\mathbf{Z}(d\phi)$ and $\mathbf{Crit}^{sc}(\phi)$ have (-1) -shifted symplectic structures.

Proof. The statement about existence of (-1) -shifted symplectic structures follows from the fact that both $\mathbf{Crit}^{sc}(\phi)$ and $\mathbf{Z}(d\phi)$ (as defined by diagram (3.2)) are derived intersections of two Lagrangians in some smooth symplectic stacks, and the general construction of shifted symplectic structures on Lagrangian intersections [PTVV, Thm. 0.5].

We show that $\mathbf{Z}(d\phi)$ is the (-1) -shifted symplectic reduction of $\mathbf{Crit}^{sc}(\phi)$ in the sense of [AC, Thm. A] (see also [BSS]). Indeed, the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} W & \longrightarrow & T_W^* & \longleftarrow & W \\ \downarrow & & \mu \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{0\} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g}^* & \longleftarrow & \{0\} \end{array}$$

induces a map on the derived fiber products

$$\nu : W \times_{T_W^*}^{\mathbf{L}} W \rightarrow \{0\} \times_{\mathfrak{g}^*} \{0\} \cong \mathfrak{g}^*[-1],$$

which is the (-1) -shifted moment map [AC, Proof of Thm. 4.1]. By definition, the (-1) -shifted symplectic reduction is the quotient $[\nu^{-1}(0)/G]$, where $\{0\} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*[-1] \cong \{0\} \times_{\mathfrak{g}^*} \{0\}$ is induced by the map $\{0\} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$. Universal property of derived pullback induces an isomorphism

$$\nu^{-1}(0) \cong W \times_{\mu^{-1}(0)}^{\mathbf{L}} W.$$

This concludes the proof of the desired isomorphism. \square

We calculate their cotangent complexes.

Proposition 3.3. *We have*

$$\mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{Crit}^{sc}(\phi)} \cong (0 \rightarrow W \otimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow W^* \otimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0),$$

where the right hand side is written as a complex on $\mathbf{Crit}^{sc}(\phi)$, and

$$\mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{Z}(d\phi)} \cong (0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow W \otimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow W^* \otimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0),$$

where the right hand side is written as a G -equivariant complex on $W \times_{\mu^{-1}(0)}^{\mathbf{L}} W$. The term $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{O}$ is in homological degree (-2) , the first map is the differential of the G -action, the second map is the differential of $d\phi$ (also known as the Hessian of ϕ), the last map is the differential of μ (hence dual to the differential of the G -action).

Proof. These are well-known and we show the second isomorphism using the description (3.2). Note that

$$\mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{T}_{[W/G]}^*} \cong (0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow (W \oplus W^*) \otimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0),$$

with $(W \oplus W^*) \otimes \mathcal{O}$ in degree 0, where the RHS is written as a G -equivariant complex on $\mu^{-1}(0)$.

Using the exact triangle

$$d\phi^* \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{T}_{[W/G]}^*} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{[W/G]} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{[W/G]/\mathbf{T}_{[W/G]}^*},$$

we have

$$\mathbb{L}_{[W/G]/\mathbf{T}_{[W/G]}^*} \cong (0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow W \otimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0),$$

where $W \otimes \mathcal{O}$ is in degree (-1) . Derived base-change preserves the relative cotangent complex. Hence,

$$\mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{Z}(d\phi)/[W/G]} \cong \left(\mathbb{L}_{[W/G]/\mathbf{T}_{[W/G]}^*} \right) |_{\mathbf{Z}(d\phi)}.$$

Using the exact triangle, $d\phi^* \mathbb{L}_{[W/G]} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{Z}(d\phi)} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{Z}(d\phi)/[W/G]}$, we obtain the conclusion. \square

By the construction, $\mathbf{Crit}^{sc}(\phi)$ has an action by $G \times F$. It is straightforward to calculate the cotangent complex of the derived quotient stack $[\mathbf{Crit}^{sc}(\phi)/(G \times F)]$:

$$(3.3) \quad \mathbb{L}_{[\mathbf{Crit}^{sc}(\phi)/(G \times F)]} \cong (0 \rightarrow W \otimes \mathbb{C}_\chi^* \otimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow W^* \otimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{f} \oplus \mathfrak{g})^* \otimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0),$$

where the right hand side is written as a $(G \times F)$ -equivariant complex on $\mathbf{Crit}^{sc}(\phi)$.

Similarly, we have

$$(3.4) \quad \mathbb{L}_{[\mathbf{Z}(d\phi)/F]} \cong (0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}_\chi^* \otimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow W \otimes \mathbb{C}_\chi^* \otimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow W^* \otimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{f} \oplus \mathfrak{g})^* \otimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0),$$

where the right hand side is written as a $(G \times F)$ -equivariant complex on $W \times_{\mu^{-1}(0)}^{\mathbf{L}} W$.

3.2. Derived mapping stacks. Let Y be a derived Artin stack locally of finite presentation over \mathbb{C} with a complex reductive group H -action⁴, k be a Noetherian commutative \mathbb{C} -algebra and C be a proper flat family of curves over k with at worst nodal singularities.

Consider the derived mapping stack (relative to k):

$$(3.5) \quad \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}(C, [Y/H]) := \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{\text{dSt}/k}(C, [Y/H] \times \text{Spec } k),$$

where we omit the inclusion functor from classical stacks to derived stacks for C and $\text{Spec } k$. By Lurie's representability theorem [Lur] (see also [Toe2, Cor. 3.3]), we know this is a derived Artin stack locally of finite presentation over k . Let

$$[u] : C \times \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}(C, [Y/H]) \rightarrow [Y/H]$$

be the universal morphism and

$$\pi : C \times \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}(C, [Y/H]) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}(C, [Y/H])$$

be the projection. The tangent complex of $\mathfrak{M}\text{ap}(C, [Y/H])$ satisfies

$$(3.6) \quad \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{M}\text{ap}(C, [Y/H])} \cong \pi_* [u]^* \mathbb{T}_{[Y/H]}.$$

The map $[Y/H] \rightarrow [\text{pt}/H]$ induces a morphism

$$f : \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}(C, [Y/H]) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}(C, [\text{pt}/H]) =: \mathfrak{B}\text{un}_H(C),$$

where $\mathfrak{B}\text{un}_H(C)$ is isomorphic to its classical truncation as C is a curve. Base change gives

$$(3.7) \quad f^* \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{B}\text{un}_H(C)} \cong \pi_* [u]^* (\mathfrak{h} \rightarrow 0),$$

where \mathfrak{h} denotes the Lie algebra of H . Using the exact triangle

$$(3.8) \quad \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{M}\text{ap}(C, [Y/H]) / \mathfrak{B}\text{un}_H(C)} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{M}\text{ap}(C, [Y/H])} \rightarrow f^* \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{B}\text{un}_H(C)},$$

we can determine the relative tangent complex.

We spell out things explicitly in two cases arising from §3.1:

$$(3.9) \quad \text{(i) } Y = \mathbf{Crit}^{sc}(\phi) := W \times_{T^*W}^{\mathbf{L}} W, \quad H = G \times F, \quad \text{(ii) } Y = \mathbf{Z}(d\phi) = [W \times_{\mu^{-1}(0)}^{\mathbf{L}} W/G], \quad H = F,$$

(i) A k -point in $\mathfrak{M}\text{ap}(C, [\mathbf{Crit}^{sc}(\phi)/(G \times F)])$ is a pair (P, u) where P is a principal $(G \times F)$ -bundle on C , and $u : P \rightarrow \mathbf{Crit}^{sc}(\phi)$ is a $(G \times F)$ -equivariant map. The map u induces

$$C \rightarrow P \times_{G \times F} \mathbf{Crit}^{sc}(\phi),$$

whose composition with the projection $P \times_{G \times F} \mathbf{Crit}^{sc}(\phi) \rightarrow C$ is the identify. As the target $\mathbf{Crit}^{sc}(\phi)$ is a derived subscheme of W , the above map gives rise to a section of the vector bundle $P \times_{G \times F} W$, which without causing confusion is still denoted by u .

Now let \mathcal{P} be the universal $(G \times F)$ -bundle on $C \times \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}(C, [\mathbf{Crit}^{sc}(\phi)/(G \times F)])$. The vector bundle $\mathcal{P} \times_{G \times F} W$ will be referred to very often, hence denoted simply by \mathcal{W} which satisfies an isomorphism

$$[u]^*(W \otimes \mathcal{O}) \cong \mathcal{W}.$$

⁴Here H is not necessarily $G \times F$ as in the previous section.

Note also that \mathcal{P} is the fiber product of a principal G -bundle $\mathcal{P}_G := \mathcal{P}/F$ and a principal F -bundle $\mathcal{P}_F := \mathcal{P}/G$ over the base. Let \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{f} denote the Lie algebra of G and F respectively. We have

$$[u]^*(\mathfrak{f} \otimes \mathcal{O}) \cong \mathcal{P} \times_{G \times F} \mathfrak{f} \cong \mathcal{P}_F \times_F \mathfrak{f} := ad_{\mathfrak{f}}\mathcal{P},$$

which is the adjoint \mathfrak{f} -bundle. Similarly, denote the corresponding adjoint \mathfrak{g} -bundle by $ad_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathcal{P}$.

To sum up, using (3.3), (3.6), (3.7), (3.8), we have the following (relative) tangent complexes:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{M}\text{ap}(C, [\text{Crit}^{sc}(\phi)/(G \times F)])} &\cong \pi_*[u]^* \mathbb{T}_{[\text{Crit}^{sc}(\phi)/(G \times F)]} \\ &\cong \pi_*[u]^* ((\mathfrak{f} \oplus \mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow W \otimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow W^* \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\chi} \otimes \mathcal{O}) \\ &\cong \pi_*(ad_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathcal{P} \oplus ad_{\mathfrak{f}}\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{\vee} \otimes (\mathcal{P} \times_{G \times F} \mathbb{C}_{\chi})), \end{aligned}$$

(3.10)
$$\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{M}\text{ap}(C, [\text{Crit}^{sc}(\phi)/(G \times F)])/\mathfrak{B}\text{un}_{G \times F}(C)} \cong \pi_*[u]^* (W \otimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow W^* \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\chi} \otimes \mathcal{O})$$

$$\cong \pi_*(\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{\vee} \otimes (\mathcal{P} \times_{G \times F} \mathbb{C}_{\chi})).$$

(ii) For the second case in (3.9), with similar notations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{M}\text{ap}(C, [\mathbf{Z}(d\phi)/F])/\mathfrak{B}\text{un}_F(C)} &\cong \pi_*[u]^* (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow W \otimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow W^* \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\chi} \otimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\chi} \otimes \mathcal{O}) \\ &\cong \pi_*(ad_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{\vee} \otimes (\mathcal{P} \times_{G \times F} \mathbb{C}_{\chi}) \rightarrow (ad_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathcal{P})^{\vee} \otimes (\mathcal{P} \times_{G \times F} \mathbb{C}_{\chi})). \end{aligned}$$

3.3. Shifted symplectic structures on σ -twisted derived mapping stacks I. Continue with the setting of the previous section and furthermore fix a character $\chi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$. Consider the derived version of diagram (2.1), i.e. we define $\mathfrak{M}\text{ap}^{\chi=\omega}(C, [Y/H])$ by the homotopy pullback diagram:

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}^{\chi=\omega}(C, [Y/H]) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}(C, [Y/H]) \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{B}\text{un}_H^{\chi=\omega}(C) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{B}\text{un}_H(C), \end{array}$$

where $\mathfrak{B}\text{un}_H^{\chi=\omega}(C)$ is the moduli stack of principal H -bundle P on C with $\varkappa : P \times_H \mathbb{C}_{\chi} \cong \omega_{C/k}$, the lower horizontal map is the forgetful map forgetting \varkappa and the right vertical map is induced by $[Y/H] \rightarrow [\text{pt}/H]$.

Definition 3.4. Fix a k -point σ of $\mathfrak{B}\text{un}_H^{\chi=\omega}(C)$, represented by a H -bundle P on C with an isomorphism \varkappa as above. We refer to $\sigma = (P, \varkappa)$ as a *twist data*, and define the derived moduli stack $\mathfrak{M}\text{ap}^{\sigma}(C, [Y/H])$ of σ -twisted maps⁵ to Y by the following homotopy pullback diagram:

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}^{\sigma}(C, [Y/H]) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}^{\chi=\omega}(C, [Y/H]) \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ \text{Spec}(k) & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \mathfrak{B}\text{un}_H^{\chi=\omega}(C). \end{array}$$

The goal of this section is to show if Y has an n -shifted symplectic structure that transforms under H as χ (see Definition 3.8), then $\mathfrak{M}\text{ap}^{\sigma}(C, [Y/H])$ has an induced $(n-1)$ -shifted symplectic structure. This follows from a similar AKSZ-type construction as [PTVV, §2.1]. The basic idea of *loc. cit.* is as follows: when $H = \{1\}$, we know $\omega_{C/k} \cong \mathcal{O}$, Y has an n -shifted symplectic structure and

$$\mathfrak{M}\text{ap}^{\sigma}(C, [Y/H]) = \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}(C, Y).$$

The $(n-1)$ -shifted symplectic structure on this mapping stack is given by the pullback of the symplectic structure of Y via the evaluation map

$$C \times \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}(C, Y) \rightarrow Y$$

and then integrating along C via Serre duality pairing $C(C, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow k[-1]$. For general H , we first introduce the notion of shifted symplectic structures that transform under H as $\chi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ (ref. Definition 3.8) and then define descent to the stack quotient by H (ref. Lemma 3.13). Finally we explain how to do integration on C (ref. Eqn. (3.32)). We prove the existence of shifted symplectic structures in Theorem 3.19.

We start with some preparation work which we follow closely the construction and argument as in [PTVV, §1.1 & §1.2]. Let k be a Noetherian commutative ring and dg_k^H be the category of

⁵The idea of doing twist is not new. See [Kim, Dia, Oko] for examples.

complexes of k -modules with k -group scheme H -actions and equivariant differentials. Morphisms in this category are required to be equivariant. It has the usual projective model structure and hence an ∞ -enhancement [Toe2, §2.1], which by an abuse of notation is still denoted as dg_k^H . One similarly has the category $\epsilon\text{-}dg_k^H$ of H -equivariant mixed complexes of k -modules and the category $dg_k^{gr,H}$ (resp. $\epsilon\text{-}dg_k^{gr,H}$) of H -equivariant graded complexes (resp. H -equivariant graded mixed complexes) of k -modules as in [PTVV, §1.1] (see also the proof below).

On the category of complexes, we have a functor

$$(-)^H : \epsilon\text{-}dg_k^{gr,H} \rightarrow \epsilon\text{-}dg_k^{gr},$$

which takes the H -invariant part. This is a Quillen functor, and hence lifts to an ∞ -functor which by an abuse of notations is still denoted by $(-)^H$.

Similarly, if $f : H \rightarrow G$ is a group scheme homomorphism, we also have the restriction functor, which is an ∞ -functor

$$(3.13) \quad f^* : \epsilon\text{-}dg_k^{gr,G} \rightarrow \epsilon\text{-}dg_k^{gr,H}.$$

The special case when $H = \text{Spec } k$ is the trivial k -group scheme gives a forgetful functor

$$\epsilon\text{-}dg_k^{gr,G} \rightarrow \epsilon\text{-}dg_k^{gr}.$$

In what follows we suppress the forgetful functor from notations when not causing confusions.

Lemma 3.5. *Let Y be a derived Artin stack (locally of finite presentation) over a Noetherian commutative ring k , endowed with an action of a k -group scheme H . Assume that $[Y/H]$ is the ∞ -limit of objects of the form $[\text{Spec } A/H]$ for some $A \in dg_k^H$ in the infinity category $\mathbf{dSt}_{[\text{pt}/H]}$ of derived stacks over $[\text{pt}/H]$.⁶ Then \mathbb{L}_Y is an H -equivariant complex.*

Moreover, both de Rham algebra $\mathbf{DR}(Y/k)$ and weighted negative cyclic complex $NC^w(\mathbf{DR}(Y/k))$ are H -equivariant complexes.

Proof. Recall that $\epsilon\text{-}dg_k$ is the category of mixed complexes, that is, the category of dg-modules over $k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$, where $\deg \epsilon = -1$, and that $\epsilon\text{-}dg_k^{gr}$ is the category of graded mixed complexes consisting of

$$E = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} E(p),$$

where the differential d preserves each $E(p)$ and $\epsilon : E(p) \rightarrow E(p+1)$. Let $\epsilon\text{-}dg_k^{gr,H}$ be the category of H -equivariant graded mixed complexes of modules where ϵ and d commute with H -actions. For each $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define

$$NC(p) : \epsilon\text{-}dg_k^{gr,H} \rightarrow dg_k^H$$

such that

$$NC^n(E)(p) = \prod_{i \geq 0} E^{n-2i}(p+i), \quad d_{NC(E)(p)} = d_E + \epsilon,$$

as well as the direct sum

$$NC^w := \bigoplus_p NC(p) : \epsilon\text{-}dg_k^{gr,H} \rightarrow dg_k^{gr,H}.$$

All three categories $dg_k^{gr,H}$, $\epsilon\text{-}dg_k^H$, $\epsilon\text{-}dg_k^{gr,H}$ have usual projective model structures. All objects are fibrant for the projective model structure. The functor NC^w is a Quillen functor by the same argument in [PTVV, Prop. 1.3], and hence all three categories have ∞ -enhancements under Dwyer-Kan localization.

For any H -equivariant cdga A , the cotangent complex $\mathbb{L}_{A/k}$ is defined in the usual way [CPTVV, Def. 1.3.5]. The functor sending A to

$$\mathbf{DR}(A/k)[n-p] := \bigoplus_q (\wedge_A^q \mathbb{L}_{A/k}[q-p+n])$$

is a quasi-coherent complex on $\text{Spec } A$ and hence satisfies étale descent. The forgetful ∞ -functor $\epsilon\text{-}dg_k^{gr,H} \rightarrow dg_k^{gr,H}$ is conservative and preserves ∞ -limits. Hence we define

$$\mathbf{DR}(Y/k) = \lim_{\text{Spec } A \rightarrow Y} \mathbf{DR}(A/k) \in \epsilon\text{-}dg_k^{gr,H}.$$

Functoriality of the definition follows similarly as [CPTVV, Prop. 2.3.2]. Finally by applying NC^w , we get $NC^w(\mathbf{DR}(Y/k)) \in dg_k^{gr,H}$. \square

⁶In this paper, we always assume this when we consider derived stacks with group actions.

Remark 3.6. We will write $\mathbf{DR}(Y) = \mathbf{DR}(Y/k)$ and $NC^w(\mathbf{DR}(Y)) = NC^w(\mathbf{DR}(Y/k))$ for simplicity when the base ring k is clear from the context.

For two objects in the ∞ -category $\epsilon\text{-dg}_k^{gr,H}$, the inner homomorphism $\mathbf{RHom}_{\epsilon\text{-dg}_k^{gr,H}}(-, -)$ gives an object in $\epsilon\text{-dg}_k^{gr,H}$. Given a group scheme homomorphism $\chi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m(k)$, we get an object $k_\chi \in \epsilon\text{-dg}_k^{gr,H}$, concentrated in weight 0 and homological degree 0. For any object $M \in \epsilon\text{-dg}_k^{gr,H}$, we write

$$(3.14) \quad M_\chi := (\mathbf{RHom}_{\epsilon\text{-dg}_k^{gr,H}}(k_\chi, M))^H \in \epsilon\text{-dg}_k^{gr}.$$

Then $M_\chi \otimes_k k_\chi$ has a natural H -equivariant structure and there is a natural morphism in $\epsilon\text{-dg}_k^{gr,H}$:

$$M_\chi \otimes_k k_\chi \rightarrow M.$$

Let $f : H \rightarrow G$ be a surjective group scheme homomorphism, $M \in \epsilon\text{-dg}_k^{gr,H}$, $N \in \epsilon\text{-dg}_k^{gr,G}$, and $M \rightarrow N$ be an equivariant map of graded mixed complexes. For a group scheme homomorphism $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m(k)$, write $f^*\chi := \chi \circ f : H \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m(k)$. Then we have a map $M_{f^*\chi} \rightarrow N_\chi$ of graded mixed complexes making the following diagram commutative

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M_{f^*\chi} \otimes k_{f^*\chi} & \longrightarrow & N_\chi \otimes k_\chi \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M & \longrightarrow & N. \end{array}$$

Definition 3.7. We define ∞ -functors:

$$\begin{aligned} NC_\chi(p) &: \epsilon\text{-dg}_k^{gr,H} \rightarrow dg_k, \quad M \mapsto NC(p)(M_\chi). \\ NC_\chi^w &:= \bigoplus_p NC_\chi(p) : \epsilon\text{-dg}_k^{gr,H} \rightarrow dg_k^{gr}. \end{aligned}$$

For any derived Artin stack Y over \mathbb{C} , which is endowed with an action of a complex reductive group H , by Lemma 3.5, we have

$$NC^w(Y) := NC^w(\mathbf{DR}(Y)) \in dg_{\mathbb{C}}^{gr,H}.$$

Complete reducibility implies a decomposition

$$NC^w(Y) = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \text{Irrep}(H)} NC_\chi^w(Y) \otimes \mathbb{C}_\chi$$

based on irreducible representations of H . Given a character $\chi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$, we then have an inclusion

$$NC_\chi^w(Y) \otimes \mathbb{C}_\chi \rightarrow NC^w(Y).$$

By forgetting the H -action, we have a map of graded complexes:

$$(3.16) \quad NC_\chi^w(Y) \rightarrow NC^w(Y)$$

to the underlying complex of graded \mathbb{C} -modules of $NC^w(Y)$ (without causing confusion, here we use the same notation $NC^w(Y)$ for the underlying graded complex).

Now we are ready to define shifted symplectic structures which transform under H as χ .

Definition 3.8. Let Y be a derived Artin stack over \mathbb{C} , endowed with an action of a complex reductive group H . We say that Y has an n -shifted symplectic structure that transforms under H as $\chi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ if there is a morphism

$$\Omega : \mathbb{C}[2-n](2) \rightarrow NC_\chi^w(Y)$$

of graded complexes of \mathbb{C} -modules whose composition with the map (3.16):

$$NC_\chi^w(Y) \rightarrow NC^w(Y)$$

defines a n -shifted symplectic structure in the sense of [PTVV, Def. 1.18], i.e. the underlying 2-form is non-degenerate.

Remark 3.9. There is a well-defined simplicial set of such shifted symplectic structures. As we are only concerned with such a structure up to homotopy, we do not need simplicial structures here.

Remark 3.10. When k is a Noetherian commutative \mathbb{C} -algebra, by pullback along the structure map $\text{Spec } k \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}$, we get a map

$$\Omega : k[2-n](2) \rightarrow NC_{\chi}^w((Y \times \text{Spec } k)/\text{Spec } k)$$

of graded complexes of k -modules whose composition with

$$NC_{\chi}^w((Y \times \text{Spec } k)/\text{Spec } k) \rightarrow NC^w((Y \times \text{Spec } k)/\text{Spec } k)$$

defines a n -shifted symplectic structure for $Y \times \text{Spec } k$ over k .

For a H -equivariant map $f : A \rightarrow B$ between derived Artin stacks over k . We can extend Lemma 3.5 and define a relative de Rham complex (as [CPTVV, Def. 2.4.2]):

$$\mathbf{DR}(A/B) \in \epsilon\text{-}dg_k^{gr,H}.$$

Given a H -equivariant commutative square of derived Artin stacks

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\ \downarrow \iota & & \downarrow h \\ M & \xrightarrow{g} & N, \end{array}$$

by the canonicity in [CPTVV, Prop. 2.4.3], we have maps of relative de Rham complexes (in $\epsilon\text{-}dg_k^{gr,H}$)

$$(3.17) \quad \mathbf{DR}(B/N) \rightarrow \mathbf{DR}(A/N) \rightarrow \mathbf{DR}(A/B), \quad \mathbf{DR}(M/N) \rightarrow \mathbf{DR}(A/N) \rightarrow \mathbf{DR}(A/M),$$

and in particular

$$(3.18) \quad f^* : \mathbf{DR}(B/N) \rightarrow \mathbf{DR}(A/M).$$

The following lemma relates the invariant part of the relative de Rham algebra with the relative de Rham algebra of the corresponding stack quotients, which can be seen as a descent of equivariant forms to the stack quotient.

Lemma 3.11. *Let $f : Y \rightarrow W$ be a H -equivariant map between derived Artin stacks over \mathbb{C} , endowed with actions of a complex reductive group H . Then there is an equivalence*

$$\mathbf{DR}([Y/H]/[W/H]) \cong \mathbf{DR}(Y/W)^H$$

in $\epsilon\text{-}dg_{\mathbb{C}}^{gr}$.

Proof. We have the following homotopy pullback diagram of derived stacks:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{p_Y} & [Y/H] \\ \downarrow f & \square & \downarrow \\ W & \xrightarrow{p_W} & [W/H], \end{array}$$

where p_Y, p_W are quotient maps and right vertical map is the quotient of f . This implies a H -equivariant isomorphism

$$(3.19) \quad p_Y^* \mathbb{L}_{[Y/H]/[W/H]} \cong \mathbb{L}_{Y/W},$$

and a map in $\epsilon\text{-}dg_{\mathbb{C}}^{gr,H}$ (ref. Eqn. (3.18)):

$$p_Y^* : \mathbf{DR}([Y/H]/[W/H]) \rightarrow \mathbf{DR}(Y/W).$$

As the H -action is trivial on the LHS, we obtain a map in $\epsilon\text{-}dg_{\mathbb{C}}^{gr}$:

$$\mathbf{DR}([Y/H]/[W/H]) \rightarrow \mathbf{DR}(Y/W)^H.$$

To prove this is an equivalence in $\epsilon\text{-}dg_{\mathbb{C}}^{gr}$, it is enough to prove the underlying graded complex is an equivalence because the forgetful functor

$$\epsilon\text{-}dg_{\mathbb{C}}^{gr} \rightarrow dg_{\mathbb{C}}^{gr}$$

is conservative ([PTVV, pp. 292]). As in [CPTVV, Rmk. 2.4.4]⁷, we have an equivalence in $dg_{\mathbb{C}}^{gr}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{DR}([Y/H]/[W/H]) &\cong \bigoplus_p \Gamma([Y/H], \mathrm{Sym}^p(\mathbb{L}_{[Y/H]/[W/H]}[1])) \\
 &\cong \bigoplus_p \mathbf{RHom}_{[Y/H]}(\mathcal{O}_{[Y/H]}, \mathrm{Sym}^p(\mathbb{L}_{[Y/H]/[W/H]}[1])) \\
 &\cong \bigoplus_p \mathbf{RHom}_Y(p_Y^* \mathcal{O}_{[Y/H]}, p_Y^* \mathrm{Sym}^p(\mathbb{L}_{[Y/H]/[W/H]}[1]))^H \\
 &\cong \bigoplus_p \mathbf{RHom}_Y(\mathcal{O}_Y, \mathrm{Sym}^p(p_Y^* \mathbb{L}_{[Y/H]/[W/H]}[1]))^H \\
 &\cong \bigoplus_p \mathbf{RHom}_Y(\mathcal{O}_Y, \mathrm{Sym}^p(\mathbb{L}_{Y/W}[1]))^H \\
 &\cong \mathbf{DR}(Y/W)^H,
 \end{aligned}$$

where we use (3.19) in the fifth equality. \square

Next we introduce a twisted version of the above lemma. We first define \mathcal{L} -twisted relative de Rham complexes.

Definition 3.12. Let Y and W be derived Artin stacks over a Noetherian commutative \mathbb{C} -algebra k , \mathcal{L} be a line bundle on Y and $\mathring{\mathcal{L}}$ denote the associated \mathbb{C}^* -bundle with a map $\mathring{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow W$.

We define the \mathcal{L} -twisted relative de Rham complex

$$\mathbf{DR}^{\mathcal{L}}(Y/W) := \mathbf{DR}(\mathring{\mathcal{L}}/W)_{(1)} \in \epsilon\text{-}dg_k^{gr}$$

to be the \mathbb{C}^* -weight 1 part of $\mathbf{DR}(\mathring{\mathcal{L}}/W)$.

Now we state a twisted version of Lemma 3.11, which gives a descent of twisted equivariant forms to the quotient stack.

Lemma 3.13. *Let $f : Y \rightarrow W$ be an H -equivariant map between derived Artin stacks over \mathbb{C} , endowed with actions of a complex reductive group H . Let $\chi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ be a nontrivial character of H and $H_0 := \mathrm{Ker} \chi$. Let $\mathcal{L}_\chi := [(Y \times \mathbb{C}_\chi)/H]$ be the line bundle on $[Y/H]$ with $\mathring{\mathcal{L}}_\chi$ the associated \mathbb{C}^* -bundle. Then there is a morphism in $\epsilon\text{-}dg_{\mathbb{C}}^{gr}$:*

$$(3.20) \quad \mathbf{DR}(Y/W)_\chi \rightarrow \mathbf{DR}^{\mathcal{L}_\chi}([Y/H]/[W/H_0]).$$

By further applying $NC^w(-)$, we obtain

$$(3.21) \quad o : NC_\chi^w(Y/W) \rightarrow NC^w(\mathbf{DR}^{\mathcal{L}_\chi}([Y/H]/[W/H_0])).$$

Proof. By the surjectivity of χ , the identity section $Y \rightarrow Y \times \mathbb{C}_\chi^*$ induces an isomorphism of quotient stacks

$$(3.22) \quad [Y/H_0] \cong [(Y \times \mathbb{C}_\chi^*)/H] =: \mathring{\mathcal{L}}_\chi,$$

where we use the convention that the H -action on Y is on the left. Recall Definition 3.12,

$$(3.23) \quad \mathbf{DR}^{\mathcal{L}_\chi}([Y/H]/[W/H_0]) = \mathbf{DR}(\mathring{\mathcal{L}}_\chi/[W/H_0])_{(1)}.$$

There is a homotopy pullback diagram (with horizontal maps being quotients by H_0):

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 Y & \longrightarrow & [Y/H_0] \\
 f \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\
 W & \longrightarrow & [W/H_0].
 \end{array}$$

Lemma 3.11 and Eqn. (3.22) then imply the following isomorphisms

$$(3.24) \quad \mathbf{DR}(Y/W)^{H_0} \cong \mathbf{DR}([Y/H_0]/[W/H_0]) \cong \mathbf{DR}(\mathring{\mathcal{L}}_\chi/[W/H_0]).$$

The action of H on $\mathbf{DR}(Y/W)$ induces an action of $\mathbb{C}_\chi^* = H/H_0$ on $\mathbf{DR}(Y/W)^{H_0}$ making the above isomorphisms \mathbb{C}_χ^* -equivariant.

Restriction from the group H to H_0 gives a map

$$(3.25) \quad (\mathbf{DR}(Y/W) \otimes \mathbb{C}_\chi)^H = (\mathbf{DR}(Y/W)^{H_0} \otimes \mathbb{C}_\chi)^H \rightarrow \mathbf{DR}(Y/W)^{H_0}.$$

⁷Here we use +1 shift convention as in [PTVV, §1.2].

Here the H -action on $\mathbf{DR}(Y/W)$ (resp. \mathbb{C}_χ) is from the left (resp. right). Recall that for an representation V of H , the weight spaces arising from left and right actions are related by

$$V_\chi^{\text{right}} := \{v \in V \mid v \cdot h = \chi(h^{-1}) \cdot v, \forall h \in H\} = \{v \in V \mid h \cdot v = \chi^{-1}(h) \cdot v, \forall h \in H\} =: V_{\chi^{-1}}^{\text{left}}.$$

Therefore with left H -actions on both $\mathbf{DR}(Y/W)$ and \mathbb{C}_χ , Eqn. (3.25) becomes a map

$$\mathbf{DR}(Y/W)_\chi := (\mathbf{DR}(Y/W) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\chi^{-1}})^H \rightarrow \mathbf{DR}(Y/W)^{H_0}.$$

Then we have the following commutative diagram:

$$(3.26) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (\mathbf{DR}(Y/W) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\chi^{-1}})^H & \longrightarrow & (\mathbf{DR}([Y/H_0]/[W/H_0]))_{(1)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{DR}(Y/W)^{H_0} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathbf{DR}([Y/H_0]/[W/H_0]), \end{array}$$

where the H -action on $\mathbf{DR}(Y/W)^{H_0}$ becomes \mathbb{C}^* -action on $\mathbf{DR}([Y/H_0]/[W/H_0])$ under the map χ . By (3.15), χ -eigenspace maps to weight-1 eigenspace, i.e. implying the upper horizontal map. Combining Eqns. (3.23), (3.24) and upper horizontal map of diagram (3.26), we are done. \square

Next we define the integration map. Let X and Y be derived Artin stacks over a Noetherian commutative \mathbb{C} -algebra k , and \mathcal{L} a line bundle on X . Let \tilde{X} be the \mathbb{C}^* -bundle obtained by removing the zero-section from the total space of \mathcal{L} . The space \tilde{X} is almost never \mathcal{O} -compact in the sense of [PTVV, Def. 2.1] since for a perfect complex E on $\tilde{X}_A := \tilde{X} \times \text{Spec } A$, the dg-module over A :

$$C(\tilde{X}_A, E) := \mathbf{RHom}(\mathcal{O}, E)$$

is rarely perfect. Nevertheless the following notion is enough for our purpose.

Definition 3.14. We say X is \mathbb{C}^* -equivariantly \mathcal{L} -compact if for any cdga A over k , $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_A}$ is a compact object in $D_{qcoh}(\tilde{X}_A)$ and $C(\tilde{X}_A, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_A})$ is perfect as a \mathbb{C}^* -equivariant dg-module over A .

Remark 3.15. A proper Deligne-Mumford stack X (considered as a derived stack) is \mathbb{C}^* -equivariantly \mathcal{L} -compact for any line bundle \mathcal{L} on X .

Lemma 3.16. For X and \tilde{X} as in Definition 3.14 and any derived Artin stack Y , we have a natural equivalence in $\epsilon\text{-dg}_k^{gr, \mathbb{C}^*}$:

$$(3.27) \quad \kappa_{Y, \tilde{X}} : \mathbf{DR}((\tilde{X} \times_k Y)/\tilde{X}) \rightarrow \mathbf{DR}(Y) \otimes_k C(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}),$$

where the \mathbb{C}^* -equivariant dg-module $C(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O})$ has weight 0 with trivial mixed structure.

Proof. This follows from a similar construction as [PTVV, pp. 305] which we briefly recall. We are indeed constructing a natural equivalence

$$(3.28) \quad \mathbf{DR}((\tilde{X} \times_k -)/\tilde{X}) \rightarrow \mathbf{DR}(-) \otimes_k C(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O})$$

between two functors from the ∞ -category of derived stacks to $\epsilon\text{-dg}_k^{gr, \mathbb{C}^*}$. The functor \mathbf{DR} by construction sends ∞ -colimits to ∞ -limits. Since $C(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O})$ is a perfect \mathbb{C}^* -equivariant dg-module, the tensor functor $- \otimes_k C(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O})$ preserves ∞ -limits. Hence, by left Kan extension, it suffices to construct the transform between two functors when restricted to derived affine schemes.

By the natural map $\text{Sym}^* \mathbb{L}_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{X}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$, we know for derived affine schemes $(-)$, there are equivalences

$$\text{Sym}^*(\mathbb{L}_{\tilde{X} \times (-)/\tilde{X}}[1]) \cong \text{Sym}^*(\mathbb{L}_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{X}}[1]) \otimes \text{Sym}^*(\mathbb{L}_{(-)}[1]) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \mathbf{DR}(-).$$

By taking global sections, we obtain

$$\mathbf{DR}((\tilde{X} \times_k -)/\tilde{X}) \xrightarrow{\cong} C(\tilde{X}, \mathbf{DR}(-) \otimes_k \mathcal{O}).$$

Using the fact that $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ is a compact object in $D_{qcoh}(\tilde{X})$, the functor $C(\tilde{X}, -)$ commutes with colimits and hence we obtain a natural equivalence

$$\mathbf{DR}(-) \otimes_k C(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{\cong} C(\tilde{X}, \mathbf{DR}(-) \otimes_k \mathcal{O}).$$

The composition of the above two defines the natural transform (3.28) on derived affine schemes. \square

By Lemma 3.5, both the domain and target of $\kappa_{Y, \tilde{X}}$ are \mathbb{C}^* -equivariant. The morphism $\kappa_{Y, \tilde{X}}$ is also equivariant. Notice that the \mathbb{C}^* -weight 1 component $C(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O})_{(1)}$ is isomorphic to $C(X, \mathcal{L})$.

For X and \tilde{X} as in Definition 3.14, we recall Definition 3.12:

$$\mathbf{DR}^{\mathcal{L}}((X \times_k Y)/\tilde{X}) := \mathbf{DR}((\tilde{X} \times_k Y)/\tilde{X})_{(1)},$$

which denotes the \mathbb{C}^* -weight 1 part of $\mathbf{DR}((\tilde{X} \times_k Y)/\tilde{X})$.

Definition 3.17. The \mathbb{C}^* -weight 1 component of the map (3.27) is

$$(3.29) \quad \kappa_{Y, X}^{\mathcal{L}} := (\kappa_{Y, \tilde{X}})_{(1)} : \mathbf{DR}^{\mathcal{L}}((X \times_k Y)/\tilde{X}) \rightarrow \mathbf{DR}(Y) \otimes_k C(X, \mathcal{L}).$$

Applying functor NC^w , we obtain

$$(3.30) \quad \kappa_{Y, X}^{\mathcal{L}} : NC^w(\mathbf{DR}^{\mathcal{L}}((X \times_k Y)/\tilde{X})) \rightarrow NC^w(\mathbf{DR}(Y) \otimes_k C(X, \mathcal{L})) \cong NC^w(Y) \otimes_k C(X, \mathcal{L}).$$

Here the isomorphism follows from the \mathbb{C}^* -equivariantly \mathcal{L} -compactness (so that $C(X, \mathcal{L})$ is a perfect complex over k).

With the above preparation, we define the integration map.

Definition 3.18. Assume that X has dimension d and admits a dualizing line bundle ω_X . Let

$$\text{Serre} : C(X, \omega_X) \rightarrow k[-d]$$

denote the Serre duality pairing. We define the integration map

$$(3.31) \quad \eta := \text{Serre} \circ \kappa_{Y, X}^{\omega_X} : \mathbf{DR}^{\omega_X}((X \times_k Y)/\tilde{X}) \rightarrow \mathbf{DR}(Y)[-d]$$

to be the composition of (3.29) with the Serre duality pairing. By abuse of notation, we also write

$$(3.32) \quad \eta := \text{Serre} \circ \kappa_{Y, X}^{\omega_X} : NC^w(\mathbf{DR}^{\omega_X}((X \times_k Y)/\tilde{X})) \rightarrow NC^w(Y)[-d]$$

after applying the functor NC^w .

Note that we have a commutative diagram of graded complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} NC^w(\mathbf{DR}^{\omega_X}((X \times_k Y)/\tilde{X})) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & NC^w(Y)[-d] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{DR}^{\omega_X}((X \times_k Y)/\tilde{X}) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \mathbf{DR}(Y)[-d], \end{array}$$

where vertical maps are the projections as [PTVV, pp. 305].

Now we are ready to prove the main theorem of this section⁸.

Theorem 3.19. *Let X be a d -dimensional Deligne-Mumford stack, flat and proper over a Noetherian commutative \mathbb{C} -algebra k with a dualizing line bundle ω_X , Y be a derived Artin stack over \mathbb{C} , endowed with an action of a complex reductive group H . Let $\chi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ be a character of H and assume Y has an n -shifted symplectic structure that transforms under H as χ . Then $\mathfrak{M}\text{ap}^\sigma(X, [Y/H])$ as defined in (3.12) has a canonical $(n-d)$ -shifted symplectic structure Ω_M .*

Proof. We follow closely the argument in [PTVV, Thm. 2.5]. By base change under the structural map $\text{Spec } k \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}$, we view Y (resp. H) as a derived stack (resp. group scheme) over k and often omit writing $\times \text{Spec } k$ for simplicity. We first construct a closed 2-form on $M := \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}^\sigma(X, [Y/H])$.

By the diagram (3.11) and (3.12) (where C is replaced by X), we have a commutative diagram:

$$(3.33) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} P & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & Y & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ M \times_k X & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}(X, [Y/H]) \times_k X & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & [Y/H] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{\sigma \times_k \text{id}_X} & \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}(X, BH) \times_k X & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & BH, \end{array}$$

⁸After the preparation of the present paper, Pavel Safranov kindly pointed out that a similar result was proven by Ginzburg and Rozenblyum [GR].

where P is the universal H -bundle and u is the universal evaluation map. Note that the bundle P is the pullback of an H -bundle (denoted by P_X which is determined by the map $X \rightarrow BH$ in above) from X by the definition from diagram (3.12), i.e.

$$(3.34) \quad P = P_X \times_k M.$$

Let ω_X° be the associated \mathbb{C}^* -bundle of $\omega_X = P_X \times_H \mathbb{C}_X^*$ over X . As the pullback of ω_X° from X to ω_X° has a canonical section, so the pullback of P_X from X to ω_X° reduces to a $H_0 := \text{Ker } \chi$ -bundle (ref. Lemma 2.10), i.e. we have a commutative diagram

$$(3.35) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \omega_X^\circ & \longrightarrow & BH_0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \longrightarrow & BH, \end{array}$$

where the bottom map defines P_X and is the bottom map in diagram (3.33).

Again by diagram (3.33), we obtain a map $P \times_H \mathbb{C}_X^* \rightarrow Y \times_H \mathbb{C}_X^*$. Using Eqns. (3.22), (3.34), it becomes

$$\omega_X^\circ \times_k M \rightarrow [Y/H_0],$$

which fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \omega_X^\circ \times_k M & \longrightarrow & [Y/H_0] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \omega_X^\circ & \longrightarrow & BH_0, \end{array}$$

where vertical maps are given by natural projections, the bottom map is the one in diagram (3.35).

Eqn. (3.18) then yields a map

$$\mathbf{DR}([Y/H_0]/BH_0) \rightarrow \mathbf{DR}((\omega_X^\circ \times_k M)/\omega_X^\circ).$$

Taking the weight 1 part of the \mathbb{C}^* -action, we obtain

$$u^* : \mathbf{DR}^{\mathcal{L}_\chi}([Y/H]/BH_0) \rightarrow \mathbf{DR}^{\omega_X}((X \times_k M)/\omega_X^\circ),$$

where $\mathcal{L}_\chi = Y \times_H \mathbb{C}_X^*$, and we use $[Y/H_0] = [(Y \times \mathbb{C}_X^*)/H]$ (i.e. Eqn. (3.22)).

Composing with the map (3.20), i.e.

$$o : \mathbf{DR}(Y)_\chi \rightarrow \mathbf{DR}^{\mathcal{L}_\chi}([Y/H]/BH_0),$$

and the integration map (3.31), i.e.

$$\eta : \mathbf{DR}^{\omega_X}((X \times_k M)/\omega_X^\circ) \rightarrow \mathbf{DR}(M)[-d],$$

we obtain

$$(3.36) \quad \eta \circ u^* \circ o : \mathbf{DR}(Y)_\chi \rightarrow \mathbf{DR}(M)[-d].$$

By abuse of notation, we also write

$$(3.37) \quad \eta \circ u^* \circ o : NC_\chi^w(Y) \rightarrow NC^w(M)[-d]$$

after applying functor NC^w to (3.36).

Combining with the n -shifted closed 2-form (after using base change from \mathbb{C} to k):

$$\Omega \in \text{Hom}(k[2-n](2), NC_\chi^w(Y))$$

on Y which transforms as χ (Definition 3.8), we obtain a $(n-d)$ -shifted closed 2-form on M :

$$\Omega_M := \eta \circ u^* \circ o \circ \Omega : k[2-n](2) \rightarrow NC^w(M)[-d].$$

Then it is enough to show the underlying 2-form is non-degenerate. For this purpose, we explicitly express the underlying 2-form as follows. Let $f : \text{Spec } A \rightarrow M$ be an A -point of M corresponding to

$$f : X_A := X \times \text{Spec } A \rightarrow [Y/H].$$

Equivalently, we have a principal H -bundle P_A on $X \times \text{Spec } A$ with an H -equivariant map

$$\tilde{f} : P_A \rightarrow Y.$$

Let Ω_0 be the underlying 2-form of Ω which defines

$$\Omega_0 : \mathcal{O}_Y \otimes \chi \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_Y \wedge \mathbb{L}_Y[n].$$

It is easy to check the descent map o commutes with pullback and we will trace Ω_0 under maps: pullback via \tilde{f} , descent by H -action and the integration.

Pullback of Ω_0 via \tilde{f} gives

$$\mathcal{O}_{P_A} \otimes \chi \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}^* \Omega_0} \tilde{f}^*(\mathbb{L}_Y \wedge \mathbb{L}_Y)[n].$$

This is an equivariant morphism on P_A , which by descent, i.e. pushforward and then taking H -invariants, defines a morphism of sheaves on X_A . Recall that the total space of the line bundle ω is $P_A \times_H \chi$. Taking the descent of $\mathcal{O}_{P_A} \otimes \chi$ gives $\omega_{X_A/A}^{-1}$. Hence, we have

$$(\tilde{f}^* \Omega_0)^{H\text{-desc}} : \omega_{X_A/A}^{-1} \rightarrow \left(\tilde{f}^*(\mathbb{L}_Y \wedge \mathbb{L}_Y) \right)^{H\text{-desc}} [n],$$

where $(-)^{H\text{-desc}}$ denotes the descent of an equivariant object.

As in (3.6), we have

$$\mathbb{T}_f M \cong \mathbf{R}\Gamma \left(X_A, \left(\tilde{f}^* \mathbb{T}_Y \right)^{H\text{-desc}} \right),$$

where we do not have term involving Lie algebra of H as we have fixed the twist in (3.12).

Therefore we get a pairing

$$(\tilde{f}^* \Omega_0)^{H\text{-desc}} : \mathbb{T}_f M \otimes \mathbb{T}_f M \rightarrow A[n-d],$$

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma \left(X_A, \left(\tilde{f}^* \mathbb{T}_Y \right)^{H\text{-desc}} \right) \otimes \mathbf{R}\Gamma \left(X_A, \left(\tilde{f}^* \mathbb{T}_Y \right)^{H\text{-desc}} \right) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\Gamma \left(X_A, \omega_{X_A/A} \right) \cong A[n-d],$$

where the last map is given by the integration map. The non-degeneracy of the above pairing follows easily from the non-degeneracy of Ω_0 . \square

Here is an application of the above construction.

Example 3.20. Let $X = C$ be a smooth projective complex curve and G a complex semi-simple algebraic group. Take $F = \mathbb{C}^*$ and Y to be the co-adjoint quotient of the Lie algebra $[\mathfrak{g}^*/G]$, so that F acts on Y by scaling on \mathfrak{g}^* . It is known that $[\mathfrak{g}^*/G]$ has a derived enhancement $\mathbb{T}^*[1][\text{pt}/G]$ and hence has a 1-shifted symplectic structure (e.g. [Cal, §1.2.3]), which transforms under F by scalar. Let σ be the pair (P, \varkappa) , where P is the principal \mathbb{C}^* -bundle defined as ω_C with zero-section removed, and \varkappa is the natural isomorphism $P \times_{\mathbb{C}^*} \mathbb{C} \cong \omega_C$. The derived stack $\mathfrak{M}\text{ap}^\sigma(X, [Y/F])$ parameterizes pairs (P', s) , where P' is a principal G -bundle on C and s is a section of $P' \times_G \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \omega_C$, and hence is a Hitchin moduli stack of (not necessarily stable) Higgs bundles. Theorem 3.19 then decorates the Hitchin stack with a symplectic structure in the usual sense. It coincides with the symplectic structure constructed in [PTVV, pp. 310] (ref. [GR, Lem. 4.3]).

The main relevant application for this paper is when $X = C$ is a proper curve over k with at worst nodal singularities and $Y = \text{Crit}^{sc}(\phi)$, $H = G \times F$ in the setting of §3.1.

Corollary 3.21. *Notations as above, then $\mathfrak{M}\text{ap}^\sigma(C, [\text{Crit}^{sc}(\phi)/H])$ has a canonical (-2) -shifted symplectic structure.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.19, it suffices to show that the (-1) -shifted symplectic form of $\text{Crit}^{sc}(\phi)$ transforms under H as χ . Note that W is a vector space with H -action and $T^*W = W \times W^\vee \otimes \chi$ as H -representation so that

$$d_{dR}\phi : W \rightarrow T^*W$$

is an equivariant map. Here we use d_{dR} to denote the de Rham differential. Let $\{x_i\}$ be a basis of W and $\{y_i\}$ the dual basis on W^\vee , the usual symplectic form on $U := T^*W = W \times W^\vee \otimes \chi$ is of the form $\sum_i d_{dR}x_i \wedge d_{dR}y_i$, which is an element in

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_H \left(\mathcal{O}_U, \wedge^2 T^*U \otimes \chi^{-1} \right) &\cong \text{Hom}_H \left(\mathbb{C}, \pi_{U*}(\wedge^2 T^*U) \otimes \chi^{-1} \right) \\ &\cong \text{Hom} \left(\mathbb{C}, (\pi_{U*}(\wedge^2 T^*U) \otimes \chi^{-1})^H \right), \end{aligned}$$

and transforms under H as χ . The (-1) -shifted symplectic structure on $Y = \text{Crit}^{sc}(\phi)$ comes from the Lagrangian intersection of the graph of $d_{dR}\phi$ and the zero section [PTVV, Thm. 2.9] and it is easy to see it transforms under H as χ .

One can also see the statement by explicitly calculating the (-1) -shifted symplectic form using the local Darboux theorem [BBJ, Ex. 5.15]. Let $W = \text{Spec } A(0)$, then $\text{Crit}^{sc}(\phi) = \text{Spec } A$, where A is a cdga given by the Koszul complex

$$A = \left(\cdots \rightarrow \wedge^2(\Omega_{A(0)}^1)^\vee \otimes \chi^{-2} \xrightarrow{\cdot d_{dR}\phi} (\Omega_{A(0)}^1)^\vee \otimes \chi^{-1} \xrightarrow{\cdot d_{dR}\phi} A(0) \right).$$

Let $\{x_i\}$ be a basis of W and $y_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \in (\Omega_{A(0)}^1)^\vee[1]$ be a basis of the degree (-1) terms of A . Then the (-1) -shifted closed 2-form is of form

$$\Omega_{\text{Crit}^{sc}(\phi)} = \sum_{i=1}^n d_{dR}x_i \wedge d_{dR}y_i \in \text{Hom} \left(\mathbb{C}, \left(\Omega_{A(0)}^1 \wedge (\Omega_{A(0)}^1)^\vee[1] \otimes \chi^{-1} \right)^H \right),$$

which transforms under H as χ . □

3.4. Image of shifted symplectic forms to periodic cyclic homology I. It is often useful to know when the shifted symplectic derived stacks constructed in Theorem 3.19 have local Darboux charts as in [BBJ, BG], for example to verify the isotropic condition of symmetric obstruction theory in the (-2) -shifted case (ref. Definition 4.5, Theorem 4.11). One sufficient condition is when the shifted symplectic form maps to zero in the so-called periodic cyclic cohomology (e.g. [Par2]).

Recall that similar to the construction of NC^w in Lemma 3.5, there is a *periodic cyclic complex* (ref. [Lod], see also [BBJ, §5.2]): for each $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define

$$PC(p) : \epsilon\text{-}dg_k^{gr,H} \rightarrow dg_k^H,$$

such that

$$PC^n(E)(p) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} E^{n-2i}(p+i),$$

define also the direct sum

$$PC^w := \bigoplus_p PC(p) : \epsilon\text{-}dg_k^{gr,H} \rightarrow dg_k^{gr,H}.$$

There is a natural transformation of functors:

$$NC^w \rightarrow PC^w,$$

which induces a map on the cohomology

$$HN^n(-)(p) \rightarrow HP^n(-)(p), \quad \forall n, p \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

As in Definition 3.7, for any $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and group scheme homomorphism $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m(k)$, we have a functor

$$PC_\chi(p) : \epsilon\text{-}dg_k^{gr,H} \rightarrow dg_k, \quad M \mapsto PC(p)(M_\chi),$$

and a natural transformation

$$NC_\chi(p) \rightarrow PC_\chi(p),$$

which induces a map on the cohomology

$$HN_\chi^n(-)(p) \rightarrow HP_\chi^n(-)(p), \quad \forall n, p \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

By the naturality of this map, we immediately have

Proposition 3.22. *In the setting of Theorem 3.19, we have a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} HN_\chi^{n-2}(Y)(2) & \longrightarrow & HN^{n-d-2}(M)(2) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ HP_\chi^{n-2}(Y)(2) & \longrightarrow & HP^{n-d-2}(M)(2), \end{array}$$

where $M := \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}^\sigma(X, [Y/H])$ and horizontal maps are obtained by applying $HN^*(-)(2)$, $HP^*(-)(2)$ to the map (3.36).

In particular, we have the following vanishing in periodic cyclic cohomology.

Corollary 3.23. *When $n = -1$ and Y is affine, the image of $[\Omega_M]$ in $HP^{-3-d}(M)(2)$ is zero.*

Proof. By [BBJ, Prop. 5.6], which is based on [Emma, Prop. 2.6 (ii)], the canonical map

$$HN^{-3}(Y)(2) \rightarrow HP^{-3}(Y)(2)$$

is zero, so is the map $HN_{\chi}^{-3}(Y)(2) \rightarrow HP_{\chi}^{-3}(Y)(2)$ for χ -eigenspaces. From the proof of Theorem 3.19, the class $[\Omega_M]$ comes from the image of the map

$$HN_{\chi}^{-3}(Y)(2) \rightarrow HN^{-3-d}(M)(2).$$

Then the claim follows from the commutativity in Proposition 3.22. \square

3.5. Shifted symplectic structures on σ -twisted derived mapping stacks II. Consider the “marked point” analogy of diagram (3.11) with $Y = \text{Crit}^{sc}(\phi)$, $H = G \times F$ as in the setting of §3.1 and C being a proper flat family of curves over k with at worst nodal singularities, endowed with smooth k -points p_1, \dots, p_n as marked points. Denote

$$\omega_{\log} := \omega_{C, \log} = \omega_{C/k}(p_1 + \dots + p_n)$$

to be the log-canonical bundle.

Definition 3.24. We define $\mathfrak{M}\text{ap}^{\chi=\omega_{\log}}(C, [\text{Crit}^{sc}(\phi)/H])$ by the following homotopy pullback diagram:

$$(3.38) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}^{\chi=\omega_{\log}}(C, [\text{Crit}^{sc}(\phi)/H]) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}(C, [\text{Crit}^{sc}(\phi)/H]) \\ \mu \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{B}\text{un}_H^{\chi=\omega_{\log}}(C) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{B}\text{un}_H(C). \end{array}$$

The goal of this section is to extend Corollary 3.21 to the case when domain curve C have marked points and the twist is with respect to log-canonical bundle ω_{\log} rather than $\omega_{C/k}$.

Consider evaluation maps (for simplicity we omit $-\times \text{Spec } k$ in the target)

$$\begin{aligned} ev^n := ev_1 \times \dots \times ev_n : \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}^{\chi=\omega_{\log}}(C, [\text{Crit}^{sc}(\phi)/H]) &\rightarrow [\text{Crit}^{sc}(\phi)/H]^n, \\ ev_{\text{pt}}^n : \mathfrak{B}\text{un}_H^{\chi=\omega_{\log}}(C) &\rightarrow [\text{pt}/H]^n. \end{aligned}$$

Composing ev^n with the inclusion $\text{Crit}^{sc}(\phi) \hookrightarrow W$, by an abuse of notation, we obtain

$$(3.39) \quad ev^n : \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}^{\chi=\omega_{\log}}(C, [\text{Crit}^{sc}(\phi)/H]) \rightarrow [W/H]^n,$$

whose further composition with projection $[W/H]^n \rightarrow [\text{pt}/H]^n$ agrees with the composition $ev_{\text{pt}}^n \circ \mu$.

Recall notations from §3.2: $\pi : \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}^{\chi=\omega_{\log}}(C, [\text{Crit}^{sc}(\phi)/H]) \times C \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}^{\chi=\omega_{\log}}(C, [\text{Crit}^{sc}(\phi)/H])$ is the projection, \mathcal{P} is the universal H -bundle and $\mathcal{W} := \mathcal{P} \times_H W$ be the universal W -bundle. We calculate the relative tangent complex of the following map

$$(3.40) \quad \underline{\mathbf{f}} := ev^n \times_{[\text{pt}/H]^n} \mu : \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}^{\chi=\omega_{\log}}(C, [\text{Crit}^{sc}(\phi)/H]) \rightarrow [W/H]^n \times_{[\text{pt}/H]^n} \mathfrak{B}\text{un}_H^{\chi=\omega_{\log}}(C).$$

Proposition 3.25. *We have*

$$\mathbb{T}_{\underline{\mathbf{f}}} \cong (\pi_* (\mathcal{W} \boxtimes (\omega_{\log}^{\vee} \otimes \omega_{C/k}))) \rightarrow \pi_* (\mathcal{W}^{\vee} \boxtimes \omega_{\log}).$$

And there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathbb{T}_{\underline{\mathbf{f}}} \cong \mathbb{L}_{\underline{\mathbf{f}}}[-2].$$

Proof. For simplicity, we use the following shorthands in this proof:

$$(3.41) \quad \underline{\mathbf{M}} := \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}^{\chi=\omega_{\log}}(C, [\text{Crit}^{sc}(\phi)/H]), \quad B := \mathfrak{B}\text{un}_H^{\chi=\omega_{\log}}(C),$$

which fit into diagram

$$(3.42) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\mathbf{M}} & \xrightarrow{\mu} & B \\ \underline{\mathbf{f}} \searrow & & \downarrow \\ [W/H]^n \times_{[\text{pt}/H]^n} B & \longrightarrow & B \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ [W/H]^n & \longrightarrow & [\text{pt}/H]^n \\ ev^n \swarrow & & \end{array}$$

By (3.10) and diagram (3.38), base change implies

$$(3.43) \quad \mathbb{T}_{\underline{M}/B} = \pi_* u^* \left(W \xrightarrow{\text{Hess}(\phi)} W^\vee \otimes \mathbb{C}_\chi \right) \cong \pi_* \left(\mathcal{W} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{W}^\vee \boxtimes \omega_{\log} \right).$$

By the self-dual property of $\text{Hess}(\phi)$, we know

$$(\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\vee \boxtimes \omega_{\log})^\vee \boxtimes \omega_{\log} \cong (\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^\vee \boxtimes \omega_{\log})[-1], \text{ with } \alpha^\vee \otimes \omega_{\log} = \alpha,$$

Let $S := \{p_1, \dots, p_n\} \subseteq C$ be the subscheme given by all marked points. We have an exact triangle

$$(3.44) \quad \mathbb{T}_{\underline{f}} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\underline{M}/B} \rightarrow ev^{n*} \mathbb{T}_{[W/H]^n/[pt/H]^n},$$

and a quasi-isomorphism

$$ev^{n*} \mathbb{T}_{[W/H]^n/[pt/H]^n} \cong \pi_*(\mathcal{W} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_S).$$

The map $\mathbb{T}_{\underline{M}/B} \rightarrow ev^{n*} \mathbb{T}_{[W/H]^n/[pt/H]^n}$ is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_*(\mathcal{W}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_*(\mathcal{W}^\vee \boxtimes \omega_{\log}) \\ ev^n \downarrow & & \\ \pi_*(\mathcal{W} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_S) & & \end{array}$$

Combining with the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(-S) \xrightarrow{s} \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow 0,$$

we obtain the following representative of the exact triangle (3.44):

$$(3.45) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \pi_*(\mathcal{W} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_S) & & \\ ev^n \uparrow & & \\ \pi_*(\mathcal{W}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_*(\mathcal{W}^\vee \boxtimes \omega_{\log}) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \pi_*(\mathcal{W}(-S)) & \longrightarrow & \pi_*(\mathcal{W}^\vee \boxtimes \omega_{\log}), \end{array}$$

where the bottom (resp. middle) row represents $\mathbb{T}_{\underline{f}}$ (resp. $\mathbb{T}_{\underline{M}/B}$), i.e.

$$(3.46) \quad \mathbb{T}_{\underline{f}} = \pi_* \left(\mathcal{W} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_C(-S) \xrightarrow{\beta = \alpha \circ s} \mathcal{W}^\vee \boxtimes \omega_{\log} \right),$$

where $s : \mathcal{W} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_C(-S) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ is given by the canonical section $s : \mathcal{O}_C(-S) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C$. The following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{W} \boxtimes \omega_{\log}^{-1} & \xrightarrow{\alpha \otimes \omega_{\log}^{-1}} & \mathcal{W}^\vee \\ s \otimes \omega_C^{-1} \downarrow & & \downarrow s \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(S) \\ \mathcal{W} \boxtimes \omega_C^{-1} & \xrightarrow{\alpha \otimes \omega_C^{-1}} & \mathcal{W}^\vee \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_C(S) \end{array}$$

implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta^\vee &= s^\vee \circ \alpha^\vee = s^\vee \circ (\alpha \otimes \omega_{\log}^{-1}) = (s \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(S)) \circ (\alpha \otimes \omega_{\log}^{-1}) \\ &= (\alpha \otimes \omega_C^{-1}) \circ (s \otimes \omega_C^{-1}) = (\alpha \circ s) \otimes \omega_C^{-1} = \beta \otimes \omega_C^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By applying π_* and the relative duality, we obtain the desired isomorphism. \square

Now we are ready to prove the main theorem of this section. We use shorthand as (3.41):

$$\underline{M} := \mathfrak{M}ap^{\chi = \omega_{\log}}(C, [\text{Crit}^{sc}(\phi)/H]), \quad B := \mathfrak{B}un_H^{\chi = \omega_{\log}}(C).$$

Theorem 3.26. *Let k be a Noetherian commutative ring over \mathbb{C} and $\sigma : \text{Spec } k \rightarrow B$ be a k -point. Consider base change of diagram (3.42) under σ , i.e. we define $\underline{\mathbf{M}}(k)$ and K by the following homotopy pullback diagrams*

$$(3.47) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \underline{\mathbf{M}}(k) & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & \text{Spec } K & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec } k \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \sigma \\ \underline{\mathbf{M}} & \xrightarrow{f} & [W/H]^n \times_{[\text{pt}/H]^n} B & \longrightarrow & B. \end{array}$$

As a derived stack over K , $\underline{\mathbf{M}}(k)$ has a canonical (-2) -shifted symplectic structure $\Omega_{\underline{\mathbf{M}}(k)}$.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 3.19, we have maps in $\epsilon\text{-dg}_k^{gr}$ (here we write Y instead of $Y \times \text{Spec } k$ for short):

$$k[3](2) \xrightarrow{\Omega} \mathbf{DR}(Y)_\chi \xrightarrow{u^* \circ o} \mathbf{DR}^{\omega_{\log}}((C \times_k \underline{\mathbf{M}}(k))/\omega_{\log}^\circ) \xrightarrow{\kappa_{\underline{\mathbf{M}}(k), C}^{\omega_{C, \log}}} \mathbf{DR}(\underline{\mathbf{M}}(k)) \otimes_k C(C, \omega_{C, \log}),$$

where $Y = \text{Crit}^{sc}(\phi)$ is the critical locus (3.1) and the last map $\kappa_{\underline{\mathbf{M}}(k), C}^{\omega_{C, \log}}$ is defined as map (3.30).

By (3.17), there is a map in $\epsilon\text{-dg}_k^{gr}$:

$$\mathbf{DR}(\underline{\mathbf{M}}(k)) \otimes_k C(C, \omega_{C, \log}) \xrightarrow{p} \mathbf{DR}(\underline{\mathbf{M}}(k)/\text{Spec } K) \otimes_k C(C, \omega_{C, \log}).$$

In what follows, we show that the composition $p \circ \kappa_{\underline{\mathbf{M}}(k), C}^{\omega_{C, \log}} \circ u^* \circ o \circ \Omega$ factors through

$$\mathbf{DR}(\underline{\mathbf{M}}(k)/\text{Spec } K) \otimes_k C(C, \omega_C) \rightarrow \mathbf{DR}(\underline{\mathbf{M}}(k)/\text{Spec } K) \otimes_k C(C, \omega_{C, \log}),$$

which is induced by the natural map $\omega_C \rightarrow \omega_{C, \log}$ and hence we obtain maps in $\epsilon\text{-dg}_k^{gr}$:

$$k[3](2) \rightarrow \mathbf{DR}(\underline{\mathbf{M}}(k)/\text{Spec } K) \otimes_k C(C, \omega_C) \rightarrow \mathbf{DR}(\underline{\mathbf{M}}(k)/\text{Spec } K) \otimes_k k[-1],$$

where the last map is given by Serre duality pairing $C(C, \omega_C) \rightarrow k[-1]$. By adjunction, the above map is equivalent to a map in $\epsilon\text{-dg}_K^{gr}$:

$$(3.48) \quad \Omega_{\underline{\mathbf{M}}(k)} : K[4](2) \rightarrow \mathbf{DR}(\underline{\mathbf{M}}(k)/\text{Spec } K).$$

Now we construct the factorization. Indeed, by induction we may assume without loss of generality that the number of marked points $n = 1$, and let $p_1 : \text{Spec } k \rightarrow C$ be the marked point. Let P_0 be the principal H -bundle on $\text{Spec } k$ determined by the composition

$$\text{Spec } k \rightarrow B \rightarrow [\text{pt}/H] \times \text{Spec } k$$

of maps over k . Thus P_0 as a principal H -bundle in endowed with a trivialization. Then we have

$$\text{Spec } K \cong P_0 \times_H W \cong W \times \text{Spec } k,$$

which is a trivial W -bundle over $\text{Spec } k$. The natural map $\text{Spec } K = W \times \text{Spec } k \rightarrow [W/H] \times \text{Spec } k$ makes the following diagram commutative

$$(3.49) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & Y \times \text{Spec } k & \xrightarrow{c} & W \times \text{Spec } k \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \underline{\mathbf{M}}(k) & \xrightarrow{p_1} & C \times_k \underline{\mathbf{M}}(k) & \longrightarrow & [Y/H] \times \text{Spec } k \longrightarrow [W/H] \times \text{Spec } k \\ & \searrow & \bar{f} & \nearrow & \uparrow \\ & & & & \text{Spec } K. \end{array}$$

In what follows, we write $[Y/H]$ instead of $[Y/H] \times \text{Spec } k$ for short, similarly for $[W/H]$.

With u, w being the universal maps, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\mathbf{M}} \times_k C & \longrightarrow & B \times_k C \\ u \downarrow & & \downarrow w \\ [Y/H] & \longrightarrow & [W/H] \longrightarrow [\text{pt}/H]. \end{array}$$

The lower horizontal maps are quotients of maps $Y \hookrightarrow W \rightarrow \text{pt}$. The upper map factors through α in below, making the lower-left square in the following diagram commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\underline{\mathbf{M}}(k) \times_k C & \longrightarrow & [W/H] \times_{[\text{pt}/H]} C & \longrightarrow & C \\
\downarrow & \square & \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \sigma \times \text{id}_C \\
\underline{\mathbf{M}} \times_k C & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & [W/H] \times_{[\text{pt}/H]} (B \times_k C) & \longrightarrow & B \times_k C \\
\downarrow u & & \downarrow & \square & \downarrow w \\
[Y/H] & \longrightarrow & [W/H] & \longrightarrow & [\text{pt}/H].
\end{array}$$

Replacing the C 's in above by ω_{log} , we obtain a commutative diagram

$$(3.50) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc}
\underline{\mathbf{M}}(k) \times_k \omega_{\text{log}} & \longrightarrow & [W/H] \times_{[\text{pt}/H]} \omega_{\text{log}} & \longrightarrow & \omega_{\text{log}} \\
\downarrow & \square & \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \sigma \times \text{id}_{\omega_{\text{log}}} \\
\underline{\mathbf{M}} \times_k \omega_{\text{log}} & \longrightarrow & [W/H] \times_{[\text{pt}/H]} (B \times_k \omega_{\text{log}}) & \longrightarrow & B \times_k \omega_{\text{log}} \\
\downarrow \bar{u} & & \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \bar{w} \\
[Y/H_0] & \longrightarrow & [W/H_0] & \longrightarrow & [\text{pt}/H_0].
\end{array}$$

Here the maps \bar{u} , \bar{w} exist by a similar argument as that of (3.35). And we use the fact that

$$[W/H] \times_{[\text{pt}/H]} (-) \cong [W/H_0] \times_{[\text{pt}/H_0]} (-), \quad \text{where } (-) = B \times_k \omega_{\text{log}} \text{ or } \omega_{\text{log}},$$

coming from the Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
[W/H_0] & \longrightarrow & [\text{pt}/H_0] \\
\downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\
[W/H] & \longrightarrow & [\text{pt}/H].
\end{array}$$

We claim that the following diagrams in $\epsilon\text{-}dg_k^{gr}$ are commutative

$$(3.51) \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{DR}(Y)_\chi & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{DR}(Y/W)_\chi \\
\downarrow o & & \downarrow o \\
\mathbf{DR}^{\mathcal{L}\times}([Y/H]/BH_0) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{DR}^{\mathcal{L}\times}([Y/H]/[W/H_0]) \\
\downarrow u^* & & \downarrow u^* \\
\mathbf{DR}^{\omega_{\text{log}}}((C \times_k \underline{\mathbf{M}}(k))/\omega_{\text{log}}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{DR}^{\omega_{\text{log}}}((C \times_k \underline{\mathbf{M}}(k))/(\omega_{\text{log}} \times_{[\text{pt}/H]} [W/H])) \\
\downarrow p_1^* & & \downarrow p_1^* \\
\mathbf{DR}^{\mathbb{C}}((\underline{\mathbf{M}}(k) \times \mathbb{C}^*)/(\text{Spec } k \times \mathbb{C}^*)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{DR}^{\mathbb{C}}((\underline{\mathbf{M}}(k) \times \mathbb{C}^*)/(\text{Spec } K \times \mathbb{C}^*)) \\
\parallel & & \parallel \\
\mathbf{DR}^{\mathbb{C}}((\underline{\mathbf{M}}(k) \times_k (\text{Spec } k \times \mathbb{C}^*)) / (\text{Spec } k \times \mathbb{C}^*)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{DR}^{\mathbb{C}}((\underline{\mathbf{M}}(k) \times_K (\text{Spec } K \times \mathbb{C}^*)) / (\text{Spec } K \times \mathbb{C}^*)) \\
\downarrow \kappa_{\underline{\mathbf{M}}(k), \text{Spec } k}^{\mathbb{C}} & & \downarrow \kappa_{\underline{\mathbf{M}}(k), \text{Spec } K}^{\mathbb{C}} \\
\mathbf{DR}(\underline{\mathbf{M}}(k)) & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathbf{DR}(\underline{\mathbf{M}}(k)/\text{Spec } K).
\end{array}$$

The commutativity of the first square follows easily from the definition of the map o (3.21). The second square commutes by using the commutativity of diagram (3.50) and the canonicity of relative de Rham complexes (3.17). In the third square, the commutativity follows from the commutativity of diagrams (3.49), (3.50). And we also use the fact that $p_1^* \omega_{\text{log}}$ is trivial on $\underline{\mathbf{M}}(k)$. In the last square, the commutativity follows from the definition of the map in (3.30).

As the composition $k[3](2) \xrightarrow{\Omega} \mathbf{DR}(Y)_\chi \rightarrow \mathbf{DR}(Y/W)_\chi$ has a null-homotopy given by the Lagrangian fibration structure $Y \rightarrow W$ [Gra, Rmk. 3.12]⁹, this induces a null-homotopy of the map $p \circ \kappa_{\underline{M}(k), \text{Spec } k}^{\mathbb{C}} \circ p_1^* \circ u^* \circ o \circ \Omega$. Using the following commutative diagram in $\epsilon\text{-}dg_k^{gr}$ (below r is given by the restriction $\omega_{C, \log} \rightarrow \omega_{C, \log}|_{p_1} = \mathcal{O}_{p_1}$):

$$(3.52) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathbf{DR}(\underline{M}(k)) \otimes_k C(C, \omega_{C, \log}) \\ & \nearrow^{\kappa_{\underline{M}(k), C}^{\omega_{C, \log}}} & \downarrow p \\ \mathbf{DR}^{\omega_{\log}}((C \times_k \underline{M}(k))/\omega_{\log}^\circ) & \xrightarrow{p \circ \kappa_{\underline{M}(k), C}^{\omega_{C, \log}}} & \mathbf{DR}(\underline{M}(k)/\text{Spec } K) \otimes_k C(C, \omega_{C, \log}) \\ \downarrow p_1^* & & \downarrow r \\ \mathbf{DR}^{\mathbb{C}}((\mathbb{C}^* \times \underline{M}(k))/\mathbb{C}^*) & & \mathbf{DR}(\underline{M}(k)/\text{Spec } K) \otimes_k C(C, \mathcal{O}_{\{p_1\}}) \\ \downarrow \kappa_{\underline{M}(k), \text{Spec } k}^{\mathbb{C}} & & \parallel \\ \mathbf{DR}(\underline{M}(k)) & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathbf{DR}(\underline{M}(k)/\text{Spec } K), \end{array}$$

we know $r \circ p \circ \kappa_{\underline{M}(k), C}^{\omega_{C, \log}} \circ u^* \circ o \circ \Omega$ is also null-homotopy. This null-homotopy induces a factorization of $p \circ \kappa_{\underline{M}(k), C}^{\omega_{C, \log}} \circ u^* \circ o \circ \Omega$ through $\mathbf{DR}(\underline{M}(k)/\text{Spec } K) \otimes_k C(C, \omega_{C, \log}(-p_1))$ as claimed, and we obtain a canonical (-2) -shifted closed 2-form as (3.48). Its underlying 2-form becomes the pairing in Proposition 3.25 which is non-degenerate.

Indeed, following notations in (3.43), (3.46) with $S = \{p_1\}$, consider the following perfect complexes on $\underline{M}(k) \times_k C$:

$$\mathcal{T} := \left(\mathcal{W} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{W}^\vee \boxtimes \omega_{\log} \right), \quad \mathcal{T}' := \left(\mathcal{W}(-S) \xrightarrow{\beta = \alpha \circ s} \mathcal{W}^\vee \boxtimes \omega_{\log} \right).$$

Using $u^* \circ o \circ \Omega$, we obtain a pairing

$$(3.53) \quad \mathcal{T}^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \omega_{\log}[-1].$$

which can be rewritten as a quasi-isomorphism $\mathcal{T} \cong \mathcal{T}^\vee \boxtimes \omega_{\log}[-1]$.

There is a map $s : \mathcal{T}' \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ given by the canonical section of $\mathcal{O}(S)$, which fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{T}' & \xrightarrow{s} & \mathcal{T} & \xrightarrow{r} & \mathcal{T}|_{\underline{M}(k) \times p_1} = ev_{p_1}^* \mathbb{T}_Y \\ \downarrow \text{dotted} & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \Omega \\ & & \mathcal{T}^\vee \boxtimes \omega_{\log}[-1] & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{T}^\vee|_{\underline{M}(k) \times p_1}[-1] = ev_{p_1}^* \mathbb{L}_Y[-1] \\ & & \downarrow s^\vee & & \downarrow s^\vee|_{p_1} \\ (\mathcal{T}')^\vee \boxtimes \omega_C[-1] & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{T}')^\vee \boxtimes \omega_{\log}[-1] & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{T}')^\vee|_{\underline{M}(k) \times p_1}[-1]. \end{array}$$

Note that $\mathbb{T}_Y = (\mathbb{T}_W|_Y \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_W|_Y)$ with nondegenerate pairing Ω and $(0 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_W|_Y)$ is an isotropic subcomplex by the Lagrangian fibration structure. And we have

$$r \circ s(\mathcal{T}') = s|_{p_1}((\mathcal{T}')|_{\underline{M}(k) \times p_1}) = ev_{p_1}^*(0 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_W|_Y).$$

Therefore the map $s^\vee|_{p_1} \circ \Omega \circ r \circ s$ has a null-homotopy, which induces a map $\mathcal{T}' \rightarrow (\mathcal{T}')^\vee \boxtimes \omega_C[-1]$, π_* of which is the one in Proposition 3.25. \square

3.6. Image of shifted symplectic forms to periodic cyclic homology II. As in §3.4, we show a vanishing of shifted symplectic forms in periodic cyclic homology, which will be used to verify the isotropic condition in the proof of Theorem 4.11.

Let $Z \subseteq W^n$ be a H -invariant closed subscheme such that $Z \subseteq Z(\boxplus^n \phi)$, where $Z(\boxplus^n \phi)$ denotes the zero locus of the function

$$\boxplus^n \phi : W^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n \phi(x_i).$$

⁹We thank Hyeonjun Park for pointing out this to us.

Consider the Cartesian diagram of stacks

$$(3.54) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Spec} K' & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathrm{Spec} K \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \sigma \\ [Z/H^n] \times_{[\mathrm{pt}/H]^n} B & \longrightarrow & [W/H^n] \times_{[\mathrm{pt}/H]^n} B, \end{array}$$

where the right vertical map is given as diagram (3.47).

Proposition 3.27. *Let $\underline{\mathbf{M}}'(k)/\mathrm{Spec} K'$ be the base-change of $\underline{\mathbf{M}}(k)/\mathrm{Spec} K$ by the map ι in diagram (3.54) and $\Omega_{\underline{\mathbf{M}}(k)}$ be the shifted symplectic form constructed in Theorem 3.26. Then the pullback class $\iota^*[\Omega_{\underline{\mathbf{M}}(k)}]$ goes to zero under the map*

$$HN^{-4}(\underline{\mathbf{M}}'(k)/\mathrm{Spec} K')(2) \rightarrow HP^{-4}(\underline{\mathbf{M}}'(k)/\mathrm{Spec} K')(2).$$

Proof. As in above, without loss of generality, we consider the case when there is only one marked point $p_1 \in C$. Let $Y = \mathrm{Crit}^{sc}(\phi)$ be as in (3.1). There are commutative diagrams in dg_k^{gr} :

$$(3.55) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} NC^w(\mathbf{DR}(Y)_\chi) & \xrightarrow{p} & NC^w(\mathbf{DR}(Y/W)_\chi) \\ T_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow T_2 \\ PC^w(\mathbf{DR}(Y)_\chi) & \xrightarrow{p'} & PC^w(\mathbf{DR}(Y/W)_\chi) \\ R_1^{PC} \downarrow & & \downarrow R_2^{PC} \\ PC^w(\underline{\mathbf{M}}(k)/K) \otimes_k C(C, \omega_{C, \log}) & \xrightarrow{r} & PC^w(\underline{\mathbf{M}}(k)/K) \otimes_k C(C, \mathcal{O}_{p_1}) \end{array}$$

and

$$(3.56) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} k[3](2) & \xrightarrow{\Omega_Y} & NC^w(\mathbf{DR}(Y)_\chi) & \xrightarrow{p} & NC^w(\mathbf{DR}(Y/W)_\chi) \\ \bar{\Omega} \downarrow & & R_1^{NC} \downarrow & & \downarrow R_2^{NC} \\ NC^w(\underline{\mathbf{M}}(k)/K) \otimes_k C(C, \omega_C) & \longrightarrow & NC^w(\underline{\mathbf{M}}(k)/K) \otimes_k C(C, \omega_{C, \log}) & \longrightarrow & NC^w(\underline{\mathbf{M}}(k)/K) \otimes_k C(C, \mathcal{O}_{p_1}) \\ S_0 \downarrow & & S_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow S_2 \\ PC^w(\underline{\mathbf{M}}(k)/K) \otimes_k C(C, \omega_C) & \xrightarrow{s} & PC^w(\underline{\mathbf{M}}(k)/K) \otimes_k C(C, \omega_{C, \log}) & \xrightarrow{r} & PC^w(\underline{\mathbf{M}}(k)/K) \otimes_k C(C, \mathcal{O}_{p_1}). \end{array}$$

Here in diagram (3.55), the lower square commutes by applying PC^w to diagrams (3.51), (3.52), and in diagram (3.56), the middle and lower horizontal sequences are fiber sequences and commutativity of the right upper square follows from applying NC^w to diagrams (3.51), (3.52). Obviously, we have equivalences

$$R_i^{PC} \circ T_i = S_i \circ R_i^{NC}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

As noted in the proof of Theorem 3.26, the map $\bar{\Omega}$ is induced by the null-homotopy

$$p \circ \Omega_Y \xrightarrow{\sim} 0$$

from the Lagrangian fibration structure on $Y \rightarrow W$. The composition of $\bar{\Omega}$ with Serre duality defines the (-2) -shifted symplectic form $\Omega_{\underline{\mathbf{M}}(k)}$ in (3.48). Therefore, to prove the proposition, it is enough to show the composition map

$$(3.57) \quad S_0 \circ \bar{\Omega} : K[3](2) \rightarrow PC^w(\underline{\mathbf{M}}(k)/K) \otimes_k C(C, \omega_C)$$

is null-homotopic after the specified base-change (3.54). Note that the map (3.57) is determined by $s \circ S_0 \circ \bar{\Omega}$ and the null-homotopy

$$(3.58) \quad \begin{aligned} r \circ s \circ S_0 \circ \bar{\Omega} &= S_2 \circ R_2^{NC} \circ p \circ \Omega_Y \\ &= R_2^{PC} \circ T_2 \circ p \circ \Omega_Y \xrightarrow{R_2^{PC} \circ T_2(\gamma)} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to [BBJ, Prop. 5.6] which in turn is based on [Emma, Prop. 2.6 (ii)], the canonical map $HN^{-3}(Y)(2) \rightarrow HP^{-3}(Y)(2)$ is zero. Hence we have a null-homotopy

$$T_1 \circ \Omega_Y \xrightarrow{\sim} 0,$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.59) \quad r \circ s \circ S_0 \circ \overline{\Omega} &= r \circ S_1 \circ R_1^{NC} \circ \Omega_Y \\
 &= r \circ R_1^{PC} \circ T_1 \circ \Omega_Y \\
 &= R_2^{PC} \circ p' \circ T_1 \circ \Omega_Y \xrightarrow{R_2^{PC} \circ p'(\eta)} 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Composing the paths (3.58) and (3.59) determines a loop (denoted by $R_2(T_2(\gamma) \circ p'(\eta))$) in

$$|PC^{-3}(\underline{\mathbf{M}}(k)/K) \otimes_k C(C, \mathcal{O}_{p_1})(2)|$$

which comes from a loop (denoted by $T_2(\gamma) \circ p'(\eta)$) in $|PC^{-3}(\mathbf{DR}(Y/W)_\chi)(2)|$, which we now determine.

We first describe the null-homotopy η . In the coordinates used in the proof of Corollary 3.21,

$$\Omega_Y = \sum_{i=1}^n d_{dR}x_i \wedge d_{dR}y_i, \quad T_1 \circ \Omega_Y = (d + d_{dR})\alpha \in PC^{-3}(\mathbf{DR}(Y)_\chi)(2),$$

where

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i d_{dR}x_i + \phi \in \mathbf{DR}(Y)_\chi^{-2}(1) \oplus \mathbf{DR}(Y)_\chi^0(0) \subset PC^{-4}(\mathbf{DR}(Y)_\chi)(2).$$

Indeed, taking the realization of $PC^{-3}(\mathbf{DR}(Y)_\chi)(2)$ as in [BBJ, Def. 5.5], we have

$$d_{dR} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i d_{dR}x_i \right) = \Omega_Y, \quad d \left(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i d_{dR}x_i \right) = -d_{dR}\phi, \quad d\phi = 0,$$

where the last vanishing is because ϕ is a polynomial on variables x_i and $dx_i = 0$ ([BBJ, Ex. 5.15]).

Next we describe the null-homotopy $T_2(\gamma)$ using the above presentation. As $d_{dR}x_i$ are sections of \mathbb{L}_Y coming from $\mathbb{L}_W|_Y$ which maps to 0 via $\mathbb{L}_Y \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_Y/W$, therefore we get

$$T_2 \circ p \circ \Omega_Y = p' \circ T_1 \circ \Omega_Y = 0.$$

Similarly, we also have

$$p'(\alpha) = p'(\phi) \in \mathbf{DR}(Y/W)_\chi^0(0),$$

which will be shown to vanish after the specified base change.

As the number of marked points is assumed to be one, consider the homotopy pullback diagram

$$(3.60) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Y' & \longrightarrow & Z \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \iota \\ Y & \longrightarrow & W, \end{array}$$

and the base change map $\iota : \mathbf{DR}(Y/W)_\chi^0(0) \rightarrow \mathbf{DR}(Y'/Z)_\chi^0(0)$. By our assumption

$$\iota \circ p'(\phi) = p' \circ \iota(\phi) = 0.$$

Therefore the loop $T_2(\gamma) \circ p'(\eta)$ becomes trivial after going to $|PC^{-3}(\mathbf{DR}(Y'/Z)_\chi)(2)|$. There are similar diagrams as (3.51), (3.52) after base change via (3.60), therefore we have similar diagrams as (3.55), (3.56) after the base change. The commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} PC^w(\mathbf{DR}(Y/W)_\chi) & \longrightarrow & PC^w(\mathbf{DR}(Y'/Z)_\chi) \\ \downarrow R_2^{PC} & & \downarrow R_2^{PC} \\ PC^w(\underline{\mathbf{M}}(k)/K) \otimes_k C(C, \mathcal{O}_{p_1}) & \xrightarrow{\underline{\iota}} & PC^w(\underline{\mathbf{M}}'(k)/K') \otimes_k C(C, \mathcal{O}_{p_1}) \end{array}$$

implies that the loop $R_2(T_2(\gamma) \circ p'(\eta))$ in $|PC^{-3}(\underline{\mathbf{M}}(k)/K) \otimes_k C(C, \mathcal{O}_{p_1})(2)|$ becomes the trivial loop under the map $\underline{\iota}$, therefore our claim holds. \square

Remark 3.28. The above result remains hold if we replace Z in diagram (3.54) by a closed subscheme in $Z((\boxplus^n \phi)^r)$ with $r \geq 1$.

4. VIRTUAL PULLBACKS

We retain notations from §2. We recollect general theory of virtual pullbacks arising from (-2) -shifted symplectic structures and then apply to our setting. The theory is a rather recent development coming out of defining Donaldson-Thomas type invariants for Calabi-Yau 4-folds [BJ, CGJ, OT] (see also [CL1, CL2, CL3]). Our main reference is the virtual pullback construction of Park [Par1] which makes the virtual class construction of Oh-Thomas [OT] functorial.

4.1. Virtual pullbacks via symmetric obstruction theory. First recall relevant notions and results from [Par1].

Definition 4.1. ([Par1, Prop. 1.7, §A.2]) A *symmetric complex* \mathbb{E} on an algebraic stack \mathcal{X} consists of the following data:

- (1) A perfect complex \mathbb{E} of tor-amplitude $[-2, 0]$ on \mathcal{X} .
- (2) A non-degenerate symmetric form θ on \mathbb{E} , i.e. a morphism

$$\theta : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow (\mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{E})[-2]$$

in the derived category of \mathcal{X} , invariant under the transposition $\sigma : \mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{E}$, and the induced map $\iota_{\theta} : \mathbb{E}^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[-2]$ is an isomorphism.

- (3) An orientation o of \mathbb{E} , i.e. an isomorphism $o : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \det(\mathbb{E})$ of line bundles such that $\det(\iota_{\theta}) = o \circ o^{\vee}$.

Remark 4.2. If a symmetric complex is of form

$$\mathbb{E} = (\mathbb{V} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{V}^{\vee}),$$

where \mathbb{V} is a perfect complex of tor-amplitude $[0, 1]$ and φ is self-dual under the isomorphism ι_{θ} above. Then we have a canonical isomorphism $\det(\mathbb{E}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ and orientations of \mathbb{E} are given by

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \xrightarrow{\pm(-\sqrt{-1})^{\text{rk}(\mathbb{V})}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$$

on each connected component of \mathcal{X} (e.g. [OT, Eqns. (59), (63)]). We choose the plus sign in above as a canonical choice of orientation.

For a symmetric complex \mathbb{E} , there is a quadratic function ([Par1, Prop. 1.7, §A.2]):

$$(4.2) \quad \mathfrak{q}_{\mathbb{E}} : \mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{E}} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{X}}^1,$$

from the virtual normal cone $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{E}}$ of \mathbb{E} , characterized by some naturality conditions. For example, for a Deligne-Mumford morphism $f : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ between algebraic stacks, we have

$$(4.3) \quad f^* \mathfrak{q}_{\mathbb{E}} = \mathfrak{q}_{f^* \mathbb{E}} : f^* \mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{E}} = \mathfrak{C}_{f^* \mathbb{E}} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{Y}}.$$

When $\mathbb{E} = E[1]$ for a special orthogonal bundle E , $\mathfrak{q}_{\mathbb{E}}$ is given by the quadratic form on E .

Definition 4.3. ([Par1, Def. 1.9, §A.2]) A *symmetric obstruction theory* for a Deligne-Mumford morphism $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ between algebraic stacks is a morphism $\phi : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_f$ in the derived category of \mathcal{X} such that

- (1) \mathbb{E} is a symmetric complex.
- (2) ϕ is an obstruction theory in the sense of Behrend-Fantechi [BF1], i.e., $h^0(\phi)$ is an isomorphism and $h^{-1}(\phi)$ is surjective, where $\mathbf{L}_f := \tau^{\geq -1} \mathbb{L}_f$ is the truncated cotangent complex.

Remark 4.4. Do not confuse this with the “symmetric obstruction theory” in the sense of Behrend-Fantechi [BF2] where “obstruction is dual to deformation”.

The obstruction theory ϕ induces a closed embedding of the intrinsic normal cone

$$\mathfrak{C}_f \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{E}}.$$

Definition 4.5. A symmetric obstruction theory $\phi : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_f$ is *isotropic* if the intrinsic normal cone \mathfrak{C}_f is isotropic in the virtual normal cone $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{E}}$, i.e. the restriction $\mathfrak{q}_{\mathbb{E}}|_{\mathfrak{C}_f} : \mathfrak{C}_f \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{E}} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ vanishes.

Isotropic symmetric obstruction theory implies the existence of square root virtual pullback which we now briefly recall. For a symmetric complex \mathbb{E} on an algebraic stack \mathcal{X} , let $\Omega(\mathbb{E})$ be the zero locus of the quadratic function $\mathfrak{q}_{\mathbb{E}} : \mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{E}} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{X}}^1$, there is a square root Gysin pullback [Par1, Def. A.2]

$$\sqrt{0_{\Omega(\mathbb{E})}^!} : A_*(\Omega(\mathbb{E})) \rightarrow A_*(\mathcal{X}),$$

if \mathcal{X} is a quotient of a separated Deligne-Mumford stack by an algebraic group.

Definition 4.6. Assume that $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a Deligne-Mumford morphism between algebraic stacks with an isotropic symmetric obstruction theory $\phi : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_f$. It induces a closed embedding $a : \mathfrak{C}_f \rightarrow \mathfrak{Q}(\mathbb{E})$. The *square root virtual pullback* is the composition

$$(4.4) \quad \sqrt{f^!} : A_*(\mathcal{Y}) \xrightarrow{sp_f} A_*(\mathfrak{C}_f) \xrightarrow{a_*} A^*(\mathfrak{Q}(\mathbb{E})) \xrightarrow{\sqrt{0^!_{\mathfrak{Q}(\mathbb{E})}}} A_*(\mathcal{X}),$$

where $sp_f : A_*(\mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow A_*(\mathfrak{C}_f)$ is the specialization map ([Man, Const. 3.6]).

The map $\sqrt{f^!}$ commutes with projective pushforwards, smooth pullbacks, and Gysin pullbacks for regular immersions. Moreover, it has a functoriality with respect to morphisms compatible with symmetric obstruction theories [Par1, Thm. A.4] as explained below.

Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a Deligne-Mumford (DM) morphism of algebraic stacks having reductive stabilizer groups and affine diagonals¹⁰, which are satisfied if \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are quotient stacks of separated DM stacks by algebraic tori. Let $g : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ be a DM morphism of algebraic stacks. Assume $\phi_g : \mathbb{E}_g \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_g$, $\phi_{g \circ f} : \mathbb{E}_{g \circ f} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_{g \circ f}$ are isotropic symmetric obstruction theories, $\phi_f : \mathbb{E}_f \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_f$ is a perfect obstruction theory [BF1] and they are *compatible*, i.e. there exists a perfect complex \mathbb{D} and morphisms $\alpha : \mathbb{E}_{g \circ f} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ and $\beta : f^*\mathbb{E}_g \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ fitting into diagram (4.5) of exact triangles and preserves orientation (the orientation of $\mathbb{E}_{g \circ f}$ is given the orientation of \mathbb{E}_g).

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{D}^\vee[2] & \xrightarrow{\alpha^\vee} & \mathbb{E}_{g \circ f} & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \mathbb{E}_f \\ \beta^\vee \downarrow & & \alpha \downarrow & & \parallel \\ f^*\mathbb{E}_g & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \mathbb{D} & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \mathbb{E}_f \\ f^*\phi_g \downarrow & & \phi'_{g \circ f} \downarrow & & \phi'_f \downarrow \\ \tau^{\geq -1} f^*\mathbf{L}_g & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{L}_{g \circ f} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{L}'_f. \end{array}$$

Here $\phi_{g \circ f} = \phi'_{g \circ f} \circ \alpha$, $\phi_f = r \circ \phi'_f$ with \mathbf{L}'_f is the cone of $\tau^{\geq -1} f^*\mathbf{L}_g \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_{g \circ f}$ and $r : \mathbf{L}'_f \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_f$ the truncation. Then we have

$$(4.6) \quad \sqrt{(g \circ f)^!} = f^! \circ \sqrt{g^!},$$

where $f^!$ is the virtual pullback of Manolache [Man]. Finally, we remark that the above extends to the equivariant setting when there is a torus action.

4.2. Virtual pullbacks for moduli stacks of quasimaps. In this section, let

$$Y = \mathbf{Crit}^{sc}(\phi) := W \times_{T^*W}^{\mathbf{L}} W, \quad H = G \times F$$

be as in (3.9) and $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{g,n}$ be the universal family. Denote

$$(4.7) \quad \mathfrak{M}_{ap_{g,n}}([Y/H]) := \mathfrak{M}_{ap_{\mathbf{dSt}/\mathfrak{M}_{g,n}}}(\mathcal{C}, [Y/H] \times \mathfrak{M}_{g,n})$$

to be the derived mapping stack of \mathcal{C} to $[Y/H]$ (relative to $\mathfrak{M}_{g,n}$) as in [Toe1, §4.3 (4.d)], where we omit the inclusion functor from classical stacks to derived stacks for \mathcal{C} and $\mathfrak{M}_{g,n}$. This is the “global” version of derived stack (3.5) when $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$ is replaced by $\mathfrak{M}_{g,n}$. By Lurie’s representability theorem [Lur] (see also [Toe2, Cor. 3.3]), this is a derived Artin stack locally of finite presentation¹¹.

Let $H_R := G \times \mathbb{C}^*$ and recall R -charge $R : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow F$ and $R_\chi : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ as in Definition 2.5. Consider the derived version of diagram (2.3):

¹⁰The original assumptions of [Par1, Thm. A.4] are (1) \mathcal{Y} is the quotient of a DM stack by a linear algebraic group, (2) \mathcal{X} has the resolution property and (3) f is quasi-projective. We learned from Hyeonjun Park that (1)–(3) can be replaced by the assumption stated above where details will appear in a forthcoming work [BP].

¹¹In fact by [TV, Prop. 1.3.3.4], it is enough to check it for an atlas $\{U_i\}$ on $\mathfrak{M}_{g,n}$. By taking some etale cover of U_i , we may assume $U_i \times_{\mathfrak{M}_{g,n}} \mathcal{C}$ is a scheme (e.g. [Sta, Tag 0E6F]). Then we are reduced to the case (3.5).

Definition 4.7. We define derived stacks $\mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}}([Y/H_R])$ and $\mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{g,n}^{\chi=\omega_{\log}}([Y/H])$ by the following homotopy pullback diagrams:

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}}([Y/H_R]) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{h}} & \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{g,n}^{\chi=\omega_{\log}}([Y/H]) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{g,n}([Y/H]) \\ \downarrow \mu & \square & \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{B}\text{un}_{H_R,g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}} & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \mathfrak{B}\text{un}_{H,g,n}^{\chi=\omega_{\log}} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{B}\text{un}_{H,g,n} \end{array}$$

Here the right square is the “global” version of (3.38) when $\text{Spec}(k)$ is replaced by $\mathfrak{M}_{g,n}$. And $\mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}}([Y/H_R])$ is the derived stack of quadruple $((C, p_1, \dots, p_n), P, u, \varkappa)$, where (C, p_1, \dots, p_n) is a prestable genus g , n -pointed curve, P is a principal H_R -bundle on C with an isomorphism $\varkappa : P/G \times_{\mathbb{C}^*} R_\chi \cong \omega_{\log}$, and $u : P \times_{H_R} (G \times R) \rightarrow Y$ is a $(G \times F)$ -equivariant map.

Consider the left two terms of diagram (4.8). We have the product of evaluation maps

$$(4.9) \quad ev^n := ev_1 \times \dots \times ev_n : \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}}([Y/H_R]) \rightarrow [Y/H_R]^n \hookrightarrow [W/H_R]^n,$$

and the structure map $[W/H_R] \rightarrow [\text{pt}/H_R]$. They fit into the following diagram

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}}([Y/H_R]) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \mathfrak{B}\text{un}_{H_R,g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}} \\ \downarrow \mathbf{f} & \searrow & \downarrow \\ [W/H_R]^n \times_{[\text{pt}/H_R]^n} \mathfrak{B}\text{un}_{H_R,g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{B}\text{un}_{H_R,g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}} \\ \downarrow ev^n & \square & \downarrow \\ [W/H_R]^n & \longrightarrow & [\text{pt}/H_R]^n \end{array}$$

where $\mathbf{f} := \mu \times_{[\text{pt}/H_R]^n} ev^n$ is the induced map to the fiber product.

We have a similar diagram when considering the middle two terms of diagram (4.8):

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{g,n}^{\chi=\omega_{\log}}([Y/H]) & \xrightarrow{\underline{\mu}} & \mathfrak{B}\text{un}_{H,g,n}^{\chi=\omega_{\log}} \\ \downarrow \underline{\mathbf{f}} & \searrow & \downarrow \\ [W/H]^n \times_{[\text{pt}/H]^n} \mathfrak{B}\text{un}_{H,g,n}^{\chi=\omega_{\log}} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{B}\text{un}_{H,g,n}^{\chi=\omega_{\log}} \\ \downarrow ev^n & \square & \downarrow \\ [W/H]^n & \longrightarrow & [\text{pt}/H]^n \end{array}$$

These two diagrams are related by the following base change.

Lemma 4.8. *We have the following homotopy pullback diagrams of derived stacks*

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}}([Y/H_R]) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{h}} & \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{g,n}^{\chi=\omega_{\log}}([Y/H]) \\ \downarrow \mathbf{f} & \square & \downarrow \underline{\mathbf{f}} \\ [W/H_R]^n \times_{[\text{pt}/H_R]^n} \mathfrak{B}\text{un}_{H_R,g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}} & \longrightarrow & [W/H]^n \times_{[\text{pt}/H]^n} \mathfrak{B}\text{un}_{H,g,n}^{\chi=\omega_{\log}} \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{B}\text{un}_{H_R,g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}} & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \mathfrak{B}\text{un}_{H,g,n}^{\chi=\omega_{\log}} \end{array}$$

Proof. The map $W \rightarrow \text{pt}$ and $H_R \rightarrow H$ induce a Cartesian diagram of smooth stacks

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} [W/H_R] & \longrightarrow & [\text{pt}/H_R] \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ [W/H] & \longrightarrow & [\text{pt}/H] \end{array}$$

As the horizontal maps are smooth, so it is also a homotopy pullback diagram of derived stacks. Combining this with diagrams (4.10), (4.11) and a diagram chasing, we obtain a commutative diagram of derived stacks:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathfrak{M}ap_{g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}}([Y/H_R]) & \longrightarrow & [W/H_R]^n \times_{[pt/H_R]^n} \mathfrak{B}un_{H_R,g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{B}un_{H_R,g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta \\ \mathfrak{M}ap_{g,n}^{\chi=\omega_{\log}}([Y/H]) & \longrightarrow & [W/H]^n \times_{[pt/H]^n} \mathfrak{B}un_{H,g,n}^{\chi=\omega_{\log}} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{B}un_{H,g,n}^{\chi=\omega_{\log}} \end{array}$$

where the right and outer squares are homotopy pullback diagrams, so is the left square. \square

Lemma 4.9. *Let $Z \subseteq W^n$ be a H -invariant closed subscheme. Then we have the following homotopy pullback diagram of derived stacks*

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} [Z/H_R^n] \times_{[pt/H_R]^n} \mathfrak{B}un_{H_R,g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}} & \longrightarrow & [Z/H^n] \times_{[pt/H]^n} \mathfrak{B}un_{H,g,n}^{\chi=\omega_{\log}} \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{B}un_{H_R,g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}} & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \mathfrak{B}un_{H,g,n}^{\chi=\omega_{\log}} \end{array}$$

Here we treat classical stacks as derived stacks via the natural inclusion.

Proof. Extending diagrams (4.10), (4.13), we have Cartesian diagrams of classical stacks:

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} [Z/H_R^n] \times_{[pt/H_R]^n} \mathfrak{B}un_{H_R,g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}} & \longrightarrow & [W/H_R]^n \times_{[pt/H_R]^n} \mathfrak{B}un_{H_R,g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{B}un_{H_R,g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}} \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ [Z/H_R^n] & \longrightarrow & [W^n/H_R^n] & \longrightarrow & [pt/H_R^n] \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ [Z/H^n] & \longrightarrow & [W^n/H^n] & \longrightarrow & [pt/H^n] \end{array}$$

We claim the right two vertical maps are smooth, so the diagrams are also homotopy pullback diagrams. To prove the right upper vertical map is smooth, recall the following Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \prod_{i=1}^n p_i^* \mathcal{P}^n & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P}^n & \longrightarrow & pt \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{B}un_{H_R,g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}} & \xrightarrow{\prod_{i=1}^n p_i} & \mathcal{C}^n & \longrightarrow & [pt/H_R]^n \end{array}$$

where \mathcal{C} is the universal curve, \mathcal{P} is the universal H_R -bundle over \mathcal{C} and p_i is given by the i -th marked point. Since H_R and $\mathfrak{B}un_{H_R,g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}}$ are smooth, so is $\prod_{i=1}^n p_i^* \mathcal{P}^n$, therefore the claim holds. The right lower vertical map is smooth as $[H/H_R]$ is smooth.

Similarly we also have the homotopy pullback diagram

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} [Z/H^n] \times_{[pt/H]^n} \mathfrak{B}un_{H,g,n}^{\chi=\omega_{\log}} & \longrightarrow & [W/H]^n \times_{[pt/H]^n} \mathfrak{B}un_{H,g,n}^{\chi=\omega_{\log}} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{B}un_{H,g,n}^{\chi=\omega_{\log}} \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ [Z/H^n] & \longrightarrow & [W^n/H^n] & \longrightarrow & [pt/H^n] \end{array}$$

By a diagram chasing on (4.15), (4.16), we obtain (4.14). \square

Remark 4.10. As argued in Proposition 2.8, we have a factorization of the evaluation map

$$\mathfrak{B}un_{H_R,g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}} \rightarrow [pt/(G \times R(\text{Ker } R_\chi))] \rightarrow [pt/H_R].$$

Combining with the Cartesian diagram (as in (4.13)):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [W/(G \times R(\text{Ker } R_\chi))] & \longrightarrow & [\text{pt}/(G \times R(\text{Ker } R_\chi))] \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ [W/H_R] & \longrightarrow & [\text{pt}/H_R], \end{array}$$

we obtain an isomorphism of stacks:

$$(4.17) \quad [W/(G \times R(\text{Ker } R_\chi))]^n \times_{[\text{pt}/(G \times R(\text{Ker } R_\chi))]^n} \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g, n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}} \cong [W/H_R]^n \times_{[\text{pt}/H_R]^n} \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g, n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}}.$$

If $Z \subseteq W^n$ is a H -invariant closed subscheme, we similarly have an isomorphism of stacks:

$$(4.18) \quad [Z/(G \times R(\text{Ker } R_\chi))]^n \times_{[\text{pt}/(G \times R(\text{Ker } R_\chi))]^n} \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g, n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}} \cong [Z/H_R^n] \times_{[\text{pt}/H_R]^n} \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g, n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}}.$$

Now consider the classical truncation of \mathbf{f} and $\underline{\mathbf{f}}$:

$$(4.19) \quad \begin{aligned} f = t_0(\mathbf{f}) : M &:= t_0\left(\mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{g, n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}}([Y/H_R])\right) \rightarrow [W/H_R]^n \times_{[\text{pt}/H_R]^n} \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g, n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}}, \\ \underline{f} = t_0(\underline{\mathbf{f}}) : \underline{M} &:= t_0\left(\mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{g, n}^{\chi = \omega_{\log}}([Y/H])\right) \rightarrow [W/H]^n \times_{[\text{pt}/H]^n} \mathfrak{Bun}_{H, g, n}^{\chi = \omega_{\log}}. \end{aligned}$$

The restrictions $\mathbb{E}_f := \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{f}}|_M$, $\mathbb{E}_{\underline{f}} := \mathbb{L}_{\underline{\mathbf{f}}}|_{\underline{M}}$ of the derived cotangent complexes to the classical truncations induce morphisms in derived categories (ref. [STV, Prop. 1.2]):

$$\mathbb{E}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_f, \quad \mathbb{E}_{\underline{f}} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\underline{f}},$$

whose compositions with the truncation $\mathbb{L}_\bullet \rightarrow \tau^{\geq -1}\mathbb{L}_\bullet =: \mathbf{L}_\bullet$ give morphisms

$$\phi_f : \mathbb{E}_f \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_f, \quad \phi_{\underline{f}} : \mathbb{E}_{\underline{f}} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_{\underline{f}}.$$

Theorem 4.11. *Let $Z \subseteq W^n$ be a H -invariant closed subscheme such that $\text{Crit}(\phi)^n \subseteq Z \subseteq Z(\boxplus^n \phi)$. Then after base change to $[Z/H_R^n] \times_{[\text{pt}/H_R]^n} \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g, n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}}$ (resp. $[Z/H^n] \times_{[\text{pt}/H]^n} \mathfrak{Bun}_{H, g, n}^{\chi = \omega_{\log}}$), ϕ_f (resp. $\phi_{\underline{f}}$) are isotropic symmetric obstruction theories in the sense Definitions 4.3, 4.5.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.25, we know $\mathbb{E}_{\underline{f}} := \mathbb{L}_{\underline{\mathbf{f}}}|_{\underline{M}}$ is a symmetric complex. Lemma 4.8 implies

$$\mathbb{L}_{\underline{\mathbf{f}}} = \mathbf{h}^* \mathbb{L}_{\underline{f}}.$$

Therefore $\mathbb{E}_f := \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{f}}|_M$ is also a symmetric complex, which we spell out explicitly as follows. Let $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow M$ denote the universal curve, $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be the universal H_R -bundle and $\mathcal{W} := \mathcal{P} \times_{H_R} W$. As in Proposition 3.25, we have

$$(4.20) \quad \mathbb{E}_f \cong (\mathbf{R}\pi_* (\mathcal{W} \boxtimes (\omega_{\pi, \log}^\vee \otimes \omega_\pi))) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\pi_* (\mathcal{W}^\vee \boxtimes \omega_{\pi, \log}).$$

The relative Serre duality

$$\mathbf{R}\pi_* (\mathcal{W}^\vee \boxtimes \omega_{\pi, \log}) \cong (\mathbf{R}\pi_* (\mathcal{W} \boxtimes (\omega_{\pi, \log}^\vee \otimes \omega_\pi)))^\vee [-1]$$

defines a non-degenerate symmetric form on \mathbb{E}_f :

$$\mathcal{O} \rightarrow (\mathbb{E}_f \otimes \mathbb{E}_f)[-2],$$

and a canonical choice of orientation of it (ref. Remark 4.2) by the canonical trivialization

$$\mathcal{O} \cong \det(\mathbf{R}\pi_* (\mathcal{W}^\vee \boxtimes \omega_{\pi, \log})) \otimes \det(\mathbf{R}\pi_* (\mathcal{W} \boxtimes (\omega_{\pi, \log}^\vee \otimes \omega_\pi)))[1].$$

The tor-amplitudes of \mathbb{E}_f , $\mathbb{E}_{\underline{f}}$ are obviously in $[-2, 0]$. By [STV, Prop. 1.2], we know both ϕ_f and $\phi_{\underline{f}}$ satisfy that h^0 is isomorphic and h^{-1} is surjective, i.e. they are symmetric obstruction theories.

Next we show the isotropic condition after the specified base change. Consider the base change of (4.19) via the embedding $Z \subseteq W^n$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{=} & M \\ f \downarrow & \square & \downarrow f \\ [Z/H_R^n] \times_{[\text{pt}/H_R]^n} \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g, n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}} & \longrightarrow & [W/H_R]^n \times_{[\text{pt}/H_R]^n} \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g, n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}}, \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \underline{M} & \xrightarrow{=} & \underline{M} \\
 \underline{f} \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \underline{f} \\
 [Z/H^n] \times_{[\text{pt}/H]^n} \mathfrak{Bun}_{H,g,n}^{\chi=\omega_{\log}} & \longrightarrow & [W/H]^n \times_{[\text{pt}/H]^n} \mathfrak{Bun}_{H,g,n}^{\chi=\omega_{\log}},
 \end{array}$$

where the base change of M and \underline{M} keeps the same as evaluation maps factor through $\text{Crit}(\phi)^n \subseteq Z$. Here we denote the maps after base change using same notations for simplicity.

Combining with Lemmas 4.8 and 4.9, we obtain a Cartesian diagram of classical stacks

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M & \xrightarrow{h=t_0(\mathbf{h})} & \underline{M} \\
 f \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \underline{f} \\
 [Z/H_R^n] \times_{[\text{pt}/H_R]^n} \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R,g,n}^{R\chi=\omega_{\log}} & \longrightarrow & [Z/H^n] \times_{[\text{pt}/H]^n} \mathfrak{Bun}_{H,g,n}^{\chi=\omega_{\log}}.
 \end{array}$$

And the pullback of ϕ_f and $\underline{\phi}_f$ defines symmetric obstruction theories on the vertical maps.

Therefore, we have embeddings of cone stacks

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathfrak{C}_f & \hookrightarrow & h^* \mathfrak{C}_{\underline{f}} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{E}_f} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & h^* \mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{E}_{\underline{f}}} \xrightarrow{q_{\mathbb{E}_f}} \mathbb{A}_M^1,
 \end{array}$$

where the horizontal embedding follows from [Man, Prop. 2.26]. By Equ. (4.3) and the above diagram, to show $q_{\mathbb{E}_f}|_{\mathfrak{C}_f} = 0$, it is enough to show $q_{\mathbb{E}_{\underline{f}}}|_{\mathfrak{C}_{\underline{f}}} = 0$, which we prove by taking a cover.

For any flat morphism $\underline{\sigma} : \text{Spec } k \rightarrow \mathfrak{Bun}_{H,g,n}^{\chi=\omega_{\log}}$, denote its base change to $[W/H]^n \times_{[\text{pt}/H]^n} \mathfrak{Bun}_{H,g,n}^{\chi=\omega_{\log}}$ (resp. $[Z/H^n] \times_{[\text{pt}/H]^n} \mathfrak{Bun}_{H,g,n}^{\chi=\omega_{\log}}$) by $\text{Spec } K$ (resp. $\text{Spec } K'$), i.e.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Spec } K' & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & [Z/H^n] \times_{[\text{pt}/H]^n} \mathfrak{Bun}_{H,g,n}^{\chi=\omega_{\log}} \\
 \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\
 \text{Spec } K & \longrightarrow & [W/H]^n \times_{[\text{pt}/H]^n} \mathfrak{Bun}_{H,g,n}^{\chi=\omega_{\log}} \\
 \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\
 \text{Spec } k & \xrightarrow{\underline{\sigma}} & \mathfrak{Bun}_{H,g,n}^{\chi=\omega_{\log}}.
 \end{array}$$

Here the fiber products are affine as the right vertical maps are affine.

We have the following Cartesian diagram (below $\underline{M}(k)$ is defined by the diagram):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathfrak{C}_{\underline{g}} \cong \bar{\sigma}^* \mathfrak{C}_{\underline{f}} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{C}_{\underline{f}} & & \\
 \downarrow i & & \downarrow j & & \\
 \mathfrak{C}_{\bar{\sigma}^*(\mathbb{E}_f)} \cong \bar{\sigma}^* \mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{E}_{\underline{f}}} & \xrightarrow{\hat{\sigma}} & \mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{E}_{\underline{f}}} & \xrightarrow{q_{\mathbb{E}_{\underline{f}}}} & \mathbb{A}_M^1 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 \underline{M}'(k) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\sigma}} & \underline{M} & & \\
 \downarrow \underline{g} & & \downarrow \underline{f} & & \\
 \text{Spec } K' & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & [Z/H^n] \times_{[\text{pt}/H]^n} \mathfrak{Bun}_{H,g,n}^{\chi=\omega_{\log}} & &
 \end{array}$$

Here the isomorphism in the left up corner follows from [Man, Prop. 2.26]. By the base change property (4.3), to show $q_{\mathbb{E}_{\underline{f}}}|_{\mathfrak{C}_{\underline{f}}} = 0$, it is enough to show $q_{\mathbb{E}_{\underline{f}}} \circ \hat{\sigma} \circ i = 0$, i.e. $q_{\bar{\sigma}^*(\mathbb{E}_f)}|_{\mathfrak{C}_{\underline{g}}} = 0$ for any diagram as above.

Note that $\underline{M}'(k)$ (over K') has a derived enhancement to a (-2) -shifted symplectic derived stack $(\underline{M}'(k), \iota^* \Omega_{\underline{M}(k)})$ (over K') as constructed in Proposition 3.27 via Theorem 3.26, where the complex

$(\bar{\sigma}^* \mathbb{E}_f)$ is the restriction of the derived cotangent complex to the underlying classical part. By Proposition 3.27, the image of $[\iota^* \Omega_{\underline{M}(k)}]$ under the map

$$HN^{-4}(\underline{M}(k)/\text{Spec } K')(2) \rightarrow HP^{-4}(\underline{M}(k)/\text{Spec } K')(2)$$

is zero. By [Par2] or [KP], we know $\mathfrak{q}_{\bar{\sigma}^*(\mathbb{E}_f)}|_{\mathfrak{c}_{\underline{g}}} = 0$, hence we are done. \square

Remark 4.12. The symmetric obstruction theory constructed above depends only on the derived structure of the mapping stack, not the shifted symplectic structure constructed in §3.3, §3.5 which is used to verify the isotropic condition.

Next we define virtual pullbacks for moduli stacks of quasimaps. Let

$$QM_{g,n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}}(\text{Crit}(\phi)//G, \beta) \subset t_0 \left(\mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{g,n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}}([Y/H_R]) \right)$$

be the open substack where quasimap stability (in Definition 2.6) is imposed. In the rest of this section, we work under the following simplifying condition.

Assumption 4.1. We assume $\text{Ker}(R_\chi) = 1$.

By Proposition 2.13, we know the evaluation maps factor through the stable locus:

$$ev_i : QM_{g,n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}}(\text{Crit}(\phi)//G, \beta) \rightarrow \text{Crit}(\phi)^s/G \subset W^s/G, \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Therefore the map (4.19) restricts to

$$(4.21) \quad f : QM_{g,n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}}(\text{Crit}(\phi)//G, \beta) \rightarrow [W^s/G]^n \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^n} \mathfrak{B}\text{un}_{H_R, g, n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}},$$

where we have used the isomorphism (4.17) for the target.

Let $Z \subseteq W^n$ be a H -invariant closed subscheme such that there are closed embedding

$$(4.22) \quad \text{Crit}(\phi)^n \hookrightarrow Z \hookrightarrow Z(\boxplus^n \phi).$$

Denote the stable locus by

$$(4.23) \quad Z^s := Z \cap (W^s)^n.$$

By base change of (4.21), we obtain

$$(4.24) \quad f : QM_{g,n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}}(\text{Crit}(\phi)//G, \beta) \rightarrow [Z^s/G^n] \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^n} \mathfrak{B}\text{un}_{H_R, g, n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}},$$

where the domain keeps the same as evaluation maps factor through $[(\text{Crit}(\phi)^n)^s/G^n] \subset [Z^s/G^n]$.

By Theorem 4.11, the base change of ϕ_f to (4.24) gives an isotropic symmetric obstruction theory which enables us to define a square root virtual pullback as (4.4). By Proposition 2.8, the map f is F -equivariant. As the Hessian of ϕ in Proposition 3.3 is equivariant under the action of Calabi-Yau torus $F_0 \subseteq F$, so the symmetric obstruction theory ϕ_f is F_0 -equivariant.

To sum up, we have the following F_0 -equivariant square root virtual pullback.

Definition 4.13. Let $Z \subseteq W^n$ be a H -invariant closed subscheme such that (4.22) holds. Then we have a group homomorphism

$$(4.25) \quad \sqrt{f!} : A_*^{F_0} \left(\mathfrak{B}\text{un}_{H_R, g, n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^n} [Z^s/G^n] \right) \rightarrow A_*^{F_0} \left(QM_{g,n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}}(\text{Crit}(\phi)//G, \beta) \right).$$

Here $A_*^{F_0}(-)$ denotes the F_0 -equivariant Chow group.

Remark 4.14. One can also define square root virtual pullbacks in K -theory by [Par1, App. B]. By [Par1, Prop. 1.15, Def. A.3], we know the above pullback map is determined by the pullback map when $Z = Z(\boxplus^n \phi)$.

Remark 4.15. The degree shift in the above group homomorphism is calculated by

$$\text{rk}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbf{R}\pi_* \left(\mathcal{W} \boxtimes (\omega_{\pi, \log}^\vee \otimes \omega_\pi) \right) = \int_{\beta} c_1(P \times_{(G \times \mathbb{C}^*)} W) + (1 - g - n) \dim_{\mathbb{C}} W,$$

where P is any principal $(G \times \mathbb{C}^*)$ -bundle on a genus g curve C .

Remark 4.16. Here we work in Setting 2.1 and have embedding $\text{Crit}(\phi) \hookrightarrow Z(\phi)$. Note that $\text{Crit}(\phi)^n = \text{Crit}(\boxplus^n \phi)$ as closed subscheme in W^n . In general, by Remark 2.2, for some $r \geq 1$, we have an embedding $\text{Crit}(\boxplus^n \phi) \hookrightarrow Z((\boxplus^n \phi)^r)$ as closed subschemes in W^n . Using Remark 3.28, we may simply take $\text{Crit}(\phi)^n \hookrightarrow Z \hookrightarrow Z((\boxplus^n \phi)^r)$ in Definition 4.13 and hereafter in general.

4.3. Properties of virtual pullbacks. In this section, we show several properties of virtual pullback (4.25) which will be used to prove a gluing formula in §5.2. The formulation is similar to case of (twisted) Gromov-Witten theory, and quasimap theory to smooth GIT quotients [AGV, §5.3], [Beh, pp. 608], [CiK1, §6.3], [CiK3, §2.3.3].

We write $QM_{g,n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}}(\text{Crit}(\phi)//G, \beta)$ simply as $QM_{g,n}(\beta)$ or $QM_{g,n}$ if β is not relevant in the discussion. Let $Z \subseteq W^n$ be an H -invariant closed subscheme such that (4.22) holds. We work under Assumption 4.1.

4.3.1. Normalization of nodal curves. Let n_1, n_2, g_1, g_2 be non-negative integers and

$$n = n_1 + n_2, \quad g = g_1 + g_2.$$

We have the following Cartesian diagram:

$$(4.26) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{\beta_1+\beta_2=\beta} QM_{g_1, n_1+1}(\beta_1) \times_X QM_{g_2, n_2+1}(\beta_2) & \longrightarrow & QM_{g,n}(\beta) \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g_1, n_1+1}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]} \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g_2, n_2+1}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g, n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}} \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{M}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \mathfrak{M}_{g_2, n_2+1} & \xrightarrow{gl} & \mathfrak{M}_{g,n} \end{array}$$

where gl in the bottom is the gluing morphism that identifies $(n_1 + 1)$ -th and $(n_2 + 1)$ -th marked point, which is finite and unramified [AGV, Prop. 5.2.2, Lem. 6.2.4]. In fact, it is the composition of a finite flat morphism and a base change of a regular closed immersion (see diagram (5.7)).

The upper-right vertical map in above factors through the following map f , and we obtain the following Cartesian diagram:

$$(4.27) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{\beta_1+\beta_2=\beta} QM_{g_1, n_1+1}(\beta_1) \times_X QM_{g_2, n_2+1}(\beta_2) & \xrightarrow{gl} & QM_{g,n}(\beta) \\ \downarrow f_{\text{node}} & \square & \downarrow f \\ \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g_1, n_1+1}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]} \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g_2, n_2+1}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^n} [Z^s/G^n] & \xrightarrow{gl} & \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g, n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^n} [Z^s/G^n] \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{M}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \mathfrak{M}_{g_2, n_2+1} & \xrightarrow{gl} & \mathfrak{M}_{g,n} \end{array}$$

where $Z \subseteq W^n$ is any H -invariant closed subscheme which satisfies (4.22). By Theorem 4.11 and Definition 4.13, the map f has an isotropic symmetric obstruction theory whose pullback along gl gives an isotropic symmetric obstruction theory of f_{node} (e.g. [Par1, Eqn. (1.14)]). The following is straightforward from [Par1, Prop. 1.15, Def. A.3].

Proposition 4.17. *Notations as above, we have*

$$(1) \quad gl^! \circ \sqrt{f^!} = \sqrt{f_{\text{node}}^!} \circ gl^!, \quad (2) \quad \sqrt{f^!} \circ gl_* = gl_* \circ \sqrt{f_{\text{node}}^!}.$$

4.3.2. Gluing nodal curves. Recall Setting 2.1 and let $X := W^s/G$. Denote

$$(4.28) \quad \sigma : W \rightarrow W$$

to be an automorphism commuting with the action of $G \times F_0$, so that $\sigma^*\phi = -\phi$.

The above involution obviously preserves $\text{Crit}(\phi)$:

$$\sigma : \text{Crit}(\phi) \rightarrow \text{Crit}(\phi),$$

and also induces an automorphism on X :

$$\sigma : X \rightarrow X.$$

Remark 4.18. (1) When the involution σ is homotopic to the identify, i.e., fitting into a continuous $[0, 1]$ -family of operators $X \rightarrow X$ that commutes with F_0 -action, then

$$\sigma_*^* = \text{id} : H_{F_0}^{BM}(X) \rightarrow H_{F_0}^{BM}(X).$$

(2) Recall the R -charge as in Definition 2.5 and Setting 2.1. Assume the composition

$$R_\chi : \mathbb{C}^* \xrightarrow{R} F \xrightarrow{X} \mathbb{C}^*$$

is a nontrivial map (so it is also surjective), then we can take $\sigma \in R_\chi^{-1}(-1)$ to be a preimage of -1 . Then σ is homotopic to identify, commutes with the action of $G \times F_0$ and satisfies $\sigma^*\phi = -\phi$.

(3) Under Assumption 4.1, we simply take $\sigma = -1$ and the automorphism (4.28) has order two.

Recall the notation $(-)^s$ for stable locus (4.23). Then there are Cartesian diagrams

$$(4.29) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_1}\phi)^s}{G^{n_1}} \times \frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_2}\phi)^s}{G^{n_2}} \times X & \longrightarrow & X^{n_1} \times X^{n_2} \times X \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \bar{\Delta} \\ \frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_1}\phi)^s}{G^{n_1}} \times \frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_2}\phi)^s}{G^{n_2}} \times \frac{Z(\boxplus^2\phi)^s}{G^2} & \longrightarrow & X^{n_1} \times X^{n_2} \times X \times X \xrightarrow{\sigma^{-1}} X^{n_1} \times X^{n_2} \times X \times X, \end{array}$$

where Δ is given by the diagonal embedding $X \rightarrow X \times X$, i.e.

$$\Delta(x_1, \dots, x_{n_1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n_2}, x) = (x_1, \dots, x_{n_1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n_2}, x, x),$$

σ is applied to $X^{n_1} \times X$, i.e.

$$\sigma(x_1, \dots, x_{n_1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n_2}, x, y) = (\sigma x_1, \dots, \sigma x_{n_1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n_2}, \sigma x, y),$$

and $\bar{\Delta}$ satisfies

$$\bar{\Delta}(x_1, \dots, x_{n_1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n_2}, x) = (\sigma x_1, \dots, \sigma x_{n_1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n_2}, \sigma x, x).$$

Consider the following Cartesian diagram (which defines $f_{\bar{\Delta}}$):

$$(4.30) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} QM_{g_1, n_1+1} \times_{\bar{\Delta}(X)} QM_{g_2, n_2+1} & \xrightarrow{f_{\bar{\Delta}}} & \mathfrak{Bun}_{HR, g_1, n_1+1}^{\omega_{\log}} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^{n_1+1}} \times \frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_1}\phi)^s}{G^{n_1}} \times \frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_2}\phi)^s}{G^{n_2}} \times X \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^{n_2+1}} \mathfrak{Bun}_{HR, g_2, n_2+1}^{\omega_{\log}} \\ \downarrow i_{\bar{\Delta}} & \square & \downarrow \bar{\Delta} \\ QM_{g_1, n_1+1} \times QM_{g_2, n_2+1} & \xrightarrow{f_1 \times f_2} & \mathfrak{Bun}_{HR, g_1, n_1+1}^{\omega_{\log}} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^{n_1+1}} \times \frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_1}\phi)^s}{G^{n_1}} \times \frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_2}\phi)^s}{G^{n_2}} \times \frac{Z(\boxplus^2\phi)^s}{G^2} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^{n_2+1}} \mathfrak{Bun}_{HR, g_2, n_2+1}^{\omega_{\log}}, \end{array}$$

where $\bar{\Delta}$ is given by the embedding $\bar{\Delta} : X \rightarrow \frac{Z(\boxplus^2\phi)^s}{G^2}$ as in (4.29), f_1, f_2 are defined as the map f in (4.24). Since $\frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_1}\phi)^s}{G^{n_1}} \times \frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_2}\phi)^s}{G^{n_2}} \times \frac{Z(\boxplus^2\phi)^s}{G^2}$ satisfies (4.22) with respect to the function $\boxplus^{n_1+n_2+2}\phi$, the map $f_1 \times f_2$ has a square root virtual pullback.¹² Again by [Par1, Prop. 1.15, Def. A.3], we have

Proposition 4.19.

$$(4.31) \quad \sqrt{(f_1 \times f_2)^!} \circ \bar{\Delta}_* = i_{\bar{\Delta}*} \circ \sqrt{f_{\bar{\Delta}}^!}.$$

Consider also the Cartesian diagram (which defines f_{Δ}):

$$(4.32) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} QM_{g_1, n_1+1} \times_X QM_{g_2, n_2+1} & \xrightarrow{f_{\Delta}} & \mathfrak{Bun}_{HR, g_1, n_1+1}^{\omega_{\log}} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^{n_1+1}} \times \frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_1}\phi)^s}{G^{n_1}} \times \frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_2}\phi)^s}{G^{n_2}} \times X \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^{n_2+1}} \mathfrak{Bun}_{HR, g_2, n_2+1}^{\omega_{\log}} \\ \downarrow i & \square & \downarrow \Delta \\ QM_{g_1, n_1+1} \times QM_{g_2, n_2+1} & \xrightarrow{f_1 \times f_2} & \mathfrak{Bun}_{HR, g_1, n_1+1}^{\omega_{\log}} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^{n_1+1}} \times \frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_1}\phi)^s}{G^{n_1}} \times \frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_2}\phi)^s}{G^{n_2}} \times X \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^{n_2+1}} \mathfrak{Bun}_{HR, g_2, n_2+1}^{\omega_{\log}}, \end{array}$$

where Δ is given by the diagonal embedding $X \rightarrow X \times X$.

The involution (4.28) naturally induces an involution on the moduli stacks of quasimaps.

Definition 4.20. Let σ be an involution as (4.28). We define the induced involution

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma : QM_{g, n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}}(\text{Crit}(\phi) // G, \beta) &\rightarrow QM_{g, n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}}(\text{Crit}(\phi) // G, \beta), \\ \sigma((C, p_1, \dots, p_n), P, u, \varkappa) &:= ((C, p_1, \dots, p_n), P, \sigma \cdot u, \varkappa), \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma \cdot u : P \xrightarrow{u} \text{Crit}(\phi) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \text{Crit}(\phi)$ is the composition of u and σ .

¹²In general, we have a closed embedding $Z((\boxplus^{n_1}\phi)^{r_1}) \times Z((\boxplus^{n_2}\phi)^{r_2}) \times Z((\boxplus^2\phi)^{r_3}) \hookrightarrow Z((\boxplus^{n_1+n_2+2}\phi)^r)$ if $r \geq r_1 + r_2 + r_3$. By Remark 3.28, we have a square root virtual pullback without the condition $\text{Crit}(\phi) \hookrightarrow Z(\phi)$ in Setting 2.1.

In the discussions below, we use the following shorthands:

$$\begin{aligned} QM_i &:= QM_{g_i, n_i+1}, \quad \mathfrak{B}_i := \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g_i, n_i+1}^{R_X = \omega_{\log}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \\ \mathcal{B} &:= \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g_1, n_1+1}^{R_X = \omega_{\log}} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]} \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g_2, n_2+1}^{R_X = \omega_{\log}} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^n} \frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_1} \phi)^s}{G^{n_1}} \times \frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_2} \phi)^s}{G^{n_2}}, \\ \mathcal{Z} &:= \mathcal{B} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]} X. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.21. *We have a commutative diagram*

$$(4.33) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} QM_{g_1, n_1+1} \times_{\bar{\Delta}(X)} QM_{g_2, n_2+1} & \xrightarrow{f_{\bar{\Delta}}} & \mathcal{Z} \\ \cong \downarrow \sigma & & \parallel \\ QM_{g_1, n_1+1} \times_X QM_{g_2, n_2+1} & \xrightarrow{f_{\Delta}} & \mathcal{Z}, \end{array}$$

where σ is a canonical isomorphism.

Proof. We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} QM_1 \times_{\bar{\Delta}(X)} QM_2 \\ \downarrow \sigma \\ QM_1 \times_X QM_2 \\ \downarrow i \\ QM_1 \times QM_2 \\ \downarrow \sigma \\ QM_1 \times QM_2 \end{array} \xrightarrow{f_{\bar{\Delta}}} \mathfrak{B}_1 \times_{BG^{n_1+1}} \frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_1} \phi)^s}{G^{n_1}} \times \frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_2} \phi)^s}{G^{n_2}} \times X \times_{BG^{n_2+1}} \mathfrak{B}_2 \xrightarrow{\quad} X^{n_1} \times X^{n_2} \times X \\ \downarrow i_{\bar{\Delta}} \quad \downarrow \sigma \quad \downarrow \sigma \\ \mathfrak{B}_1 \times_{BG^{n_1+1}} \frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_1} \phi)^s}{G^{n_1}} \times \frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_2} \phi)^s}{G^{n_2}} \times \frac{Z(\boxplus^2 \phi)^s}{G^2} \times_{BG^{n_2+1}} \mathfrak{B}_2 \xrightarrow{\quad} X^{n_1} \times X^{n_2} \times X^2 \end{array}$$

parts of which are the Cartesian diagrams (4.30), (4.32), and σ acts on QM_1 and $X^{n_1} \times X$.

By a diagram chasing, there exists a canonical map $\sigma : QM_1 \times_{\bar{\Delta}(X)} QM_2 \rightarrow QM_1 \times_X QM_2$ making the above diagram commutative. The map σ is furthermore an isomorphism, as are the maps

$$\sigma : QM_1 \times QM_2 \rightarrow QM_1 \times QM_2, \quad \sigma : X^{n_1} \times X^{n_2} \times X^2 \rightarrow X^{n_1} \times X^{n_2} \times X^2. \quad \square$$

Let \mathcal{C} be the universal curve over $QM_{g_1, n_1+1} \times_X QM_{g_2, n_2+1}$ and \mathcal{C}' be the pullback of the universal curve from $QM_{g_1, n_1+1} \times QM_{g_2, n_2+1}$ along i . As in [Beh, pp. 607–608], there is a commutative diagram

$$(4.34) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}' & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathcal{C} \\ \downarrow \pi' & & \downarrow \pi \\ QM_{g_1, n_1+1} \times_X QM_{g_2, n_2+1} & & \end{array}$$

where x_1, x_2 are marked points where ev_{n_1+1}, ev_{n_2+1} are evaluated and p is the (universal) partial normalization which glues x_1, x_2 to $x := p \circ x_1 = p \circ x_2$ (which becomes a node).

Let \mathcal{P} be the universal principal $H_R = (G \times \mathbb{C}^*)$ -bundle on \mathcal{C} . There is a Cartesian diagram

$$(4.35) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} p^*(\mathcal{P} \times_{H_R} W) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}} & \mathcal{P} \times_{H_R} W \\ u' \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \downarrow \rho' & \square & \downarrow \rho \\ \mathcal{C}' & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathcal{C} \end{array} \right) u \end{array}$$

where u is the universal section and u' is its pullback.

For any locally free sheaf E on \mathcal{C} , we have evaluation maps

$$u_i : p^* E \rightarrow x_{i*} x_i^* p^* E = x_{i*} x_i^* E, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

By pushforward to \mathcal{C} via p , we obtain a short exact sequence of sheaves

$$(4.36) \quad 0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow p_* p^* E \xrightarrow{u} x_* x^* E \rightarrow 0,$$

where $u = p_*u_2 - p_*u_1$. Equivalently, this is given by applying $-\otimes E$ to

$$(4.37) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow p_*\mathcal{O}_{C'} \rightarrow x_*\mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0.$$

Applying $\mathbf{R}\pi_*$ to (4.36), we obtain an exact triangle

$$(4.38) \quad \mathbf{R}\pi_*E \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\pi'_*p^*E \rightarrow x^*E.$$

Note also the following short exact sequences (e.g. [ACGH, pp. 91]):

$$(4.39) \quad 0 \rightarrow p_*\omega_{\pi'} \rightarrow \omega_\pi \rightarrow x_*\mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0,$$

$$(4.40) \quad 0 \rightarrow \omega_\pi \rightarrow p_*\omega_{\pi'}(x_1 + x_2) \rightarrow x_*\mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0,$$

where the first sequence follows from the dual of (4.37).

Recall Lemma 4.21, we have a commutative diagram:

$$(4.41) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\mathcal{Y}} := QM_{g_1, n_1+1} \times_{\overline{\Delta}(X)} QM_{g_2, n_2+1} & \xrightarrow{f_{\overline{\Delta}}} & \mathcal{Z} \\ \cong \downarrow \sigma & & \parallel \\ \mathcal{Y} := QM_{g_1, n_1+1} \times_X QM_{g_2, n_2+1} & \xrightarrow{f_{\Delta}} & \mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{B} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]} X \\ & \searrow f_{\text{node}} & \downarrow p_{\mathcal{B}} \\ & & \mathcal{B} = \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g_1, n_1+1}^{R\chi=\omega_{\log}} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]} \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g_2, n_2+1}^{R\chi=\omega_{\log}} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^n} \frac{Z(\mathbb{A}^{n_1}\phi)^s}{G^{n_1}} \times \frac{Z(\mathbb{A}^{n_2}\phi)^s}{G^{n_2}}, \end{array}$$

where the fiber product in \mathcal{Z} is given by evaluation maps $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow [\text{pt}/G]$, $X \rightarrow [\text{pt}/G]$ at the node (obtained by identifying the two marked points), $p_{\mathcal{B}}$ is the projection, and $f_{\overline{\Delta}}$ is as in diagram (4.30).

This gives rise to a commutative diagram:

$$(4.42) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Y} & \xleftarrow{\sigma} & \overline{\mathcal{Y}} \\ \text{id}_{\mathcal{Y}} \times \text{ev}_{\Delta} \downarrow & \searrow f_{\Delta} & \downarrow f_{\overline{\Delta}} \\ \mathcal{Y} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]} X & \xrightarrow{f_{\text{node}} \times \text{id}_X} & \mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{B} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]} X \\ p \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \bar{p} \\ \mathcal{Y} & \xrightarrow{f_{\text{node}}} & \mathcal{B}, \end{array}$$

where ev_{Δ} is the evaluation map at the node (obtained by identifying the two marked points in QM_{g_1, n_1+1} and QM_{g_2, n_2+1}).

As $X = [W^s/G]$ is smooth and affine over $[\text{pt}/G]$, the map $\text{id}_{\mathcal{Y}} \times \text{ev}_{\Delta}$ is a regular embedding by [Vis, Def. 1.20], [F, App. B.7.5], therefore there is a Gysin pullback $(\text{id}_{\mathcal{Y}} \times \text{ev}_{\Delta})^!$. By diagram (4.30), $f_{\overline{\Delta}}$ has a square root virtual pullback $\sqrt{f_{\overline{\Delta}}^!}$ such that (4.31) holds. Similarly, $(f_{\text{node}} \times \text{id}_X)$ has a square root virtual pullback $\sqrt{(f_{\text{node}} \times \text{id}_X)^!}$ as the base change by f_{node} which comes as the base change of f in diagram (4.27). Note that the map f_{Δ} does not clearly have a square root virtual pullback as $\frac{Z(\mathbb{A}^{n_1}\phi)^s}{G^{n_1}} \times \frac{Z(\mathbb{A}^{n_2}\phi)^s}{G^{n_2}} \times X$ does not satisfy condition (4.22), and this is the point we need to introduce σ and $f_{\overline{\Delta}}$.

The rest of this section is to prove the following compatibility.

Proposition 4.22. *Notations as above, we have*

$$\sigma^* \circ (\text{id}_{\mathcal{Y}} \times \text{ev}_{\Delta})^! \circ \sqrt{(f_{\text{node}} \times \text{id}_X)^!} = \sqrt{f_{\overline{\Delta}}^!}.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume for simplicity that $n_1 = n_2 = 0$, so that

$$(4.43) \quad \omega_{\pi, \log} = \omega_\pi,$$

and C' has only two marked points x_1 and x_2 glued to the node x in C . We will use the functoriality of square root virtual pullback (4.6) to prove the claim. For this purpose, it is enough to construct diagram (4.5) in this setting for maps

$$(\text{id}_{\mathcal{Y}} \times \text{ev}_{\Delta}) \circ \sigma, (f_{\text{node}} \times \text{id}_X), f_{\overline{\Delta}}.$$

By base change along

$$\frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_1}\phi)^s}{G^{n_1}} \times \frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_2}\phi)^s}{G^{n_2}} \hookrightarrow X^{n_1+n_2},$$

we further reduce the construction of diagram (4.5) to the case where $\frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_1}\phi)^s}{G^{n_1}} \times \frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_2}\phi)^s}{G^{n_2}}$ in diagrams (4.41) and (4.42) is replaced by $X^{n_1+n_2}$. As σ is an isomorphism (Lemma 4.21), we first construct diagram (4.5) for maps:

$$e := \text{id} \times \text{ev}_\Delta, \quad h := f_{\text{node}} \times \text{id}_X, \quad g := f_\Delta.$$

We introduce some shorthand notations used only in this proof:

$$(4.44) \quad \mathcal{W} := u^*T_\rho \cong \mathcal{P} \times_{H_R} W, \quad A := \mathbf{R}\pi'_*(u^*T_{\rho'}(-x_1 - x_2)), \quad B := \mathbf{R}\pi_*(\mathcal{W}), \quad C := x^*\mathcal{W}.$$

By a base change in diagram (4.35), we get

$$(4.45) \quad \tilde{p}^*T_\rho \cong T_{\rho'}.$$

Therefore using diagrams (4.34) and (4.35), we have

$$(4.46) \quad A \cong \mathbf{R}\pi'_*(u^*\tilde{p}^*T_\rho(-x_1 - x_2)) \cong \mathbf{R}\pi_*p_*(p^*u^*T_\rho(-x_1 - x_2)) \cong \mathbf{R}\pi_*(\mathcal{W} \otimes p_*\mathcal{O}_{C'}(-x_1 - x_2)).$$

By applying $\mathbf{R}\pi_*(\mathcal{W} \otimes -)$ to (4.37), or equivalently applying (4.38) to $E = \mathcal{W}$, we obtain an exact triangle

$$(4.47) \quad B \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\pi'_*(p^*\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow x^*\mathcal{W}.$$

By applying $\mathbf{R}\pi_*(\mathcal{W} \otimes p_*(-))$ to the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C'}(-x_1 - x_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C'} \rightarrow x_{1*}\mathcal{O} \oplus x_{2*}\mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0,$$

we obtain an exact triangle

$$(4.48) \quad A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\pi'_*(p^*\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow x^*\mathcal{W} \oplus x^*\mathcal{W}.$$

Combining (4.47), (4.48) and the quotient map (whose kernel is the diagonal $x^*\mathcal{W}$):

$$x^*\mathcal{W} \oplus x^*\mathcal{W} \rightarrow x^*\mathcal{W},$$

we obtain an exact triangle

$$(4.49) \quad A \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} B \rightarrow C.$$

By applying $\mathbf{R}\pi_*(\mathcal{W}^\vee \otimes -)$ to (4.40), we obtain an exact triangle

$$(4.50) \quad \mathbf{R}\pi_*(\mathcal{W}^\vee \otimes \omega_\pi) \xrightarrow{\alpha^0} \mathbf{R}\pi_*(\mathcal{W}^\vee \otimes p_*\omega_{\pi'}(x_1 + x_2)) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\pi_*(\mathcal{W}^\vee \otimes x_*\mathcal{O}).$$

Applying relative duality for p , we have

$$\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om(p_*\mathcal{O}_{C'}(-x_1 - x_2), \omega_\pi) \cong p_*(\mathcal{O}_{C'}(x_1 + x_2) \otimes p^!\omega_\pi) \cong p_*(\omega_{\pi'}(x_1 + x_2)).$$

Then it is easy to see α^0 is dual to α_0 under the isomorphism (4.46) and relative duality for π .

Noticing that

$$\mathbf{R}\pi_*(\mathcal{W}^\vee \otimes x_*\mathcal{O}) \cong \mathbf{R}\pi_*x_*(x^*\mathcal{W}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}) \cong x^*\mathcal{W}^\vee.$$

Then (4.50) becomes

$$(4.51) \quad B^\vee[-1] \xrightarrow{\alpha^0} A^\vee[-1] \rightarrow C^\vee.$$

Consider the following symmetric complexes

$$\mathbb{E}_g = (A \xrightarrow{d_g} A^\vee[-1]), \quad e^*\mathbb{E}_h = (B \xrightarrow{d_h} B^\vee[-1]),$$

where \mathbb{E}_g is the pullback of the direct sum of symmetric complexes $\mathbb{E}_{f_1}, \mathbb{E}_{f_2}$ (each one as defined in Theorem 4.11) via the diagonal base change in (4.32) and \mathbb{E}_h is the pullback of \mathbb{E}_f via base change from f to f_{node} defined in (4.27) and base change from f_{node} to $h := f_{\text{node}} \times \text{id}_X$ as in (4.42). Define

$$\mathbb{D} := (B \xrightarrow{\alpha^0 \circ d_h} A^\vee[-1]), \quad \mathbb{E}_e := C^\vee[1].$$

Here the map in \mathbb{D} is the composition of the differential in $e^*\mathbb{E}_h$ with α^0 in (4.51) and \mathbb{E}_e is a vector bundle concentrated in degree -1 and coincides with the cotangent complex of map e .

With notations as above, we define morphisms $\alpha : \mathbb{E}_g \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$, $\beta : \mathbb{D}^\vee[2] \rightarrow e^*\mathbb{E}_h$ by

$$(4.52) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{E}_g & \xlongequal{\quad} & (A \xrightarrow{d_g} A^\vee[-1]) \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_0 \\ \mathbb{D} & \xlongequal{\quad} & (B \xrightarrow{\alpha^0 \circ d_h} A^\vee[-1]), \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{D}^\vee[2] & \xlongequal{\quad} & (A \xrightarrow{d_h \circ \alpha_0} B^\vee[-1]) \\ \beta \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_0 \\ e^*\mathbb{E}_h & \xlongequal{\quad} & (B \xrightarrow{d_h} B^\vee[-1]). \end{array}$$

We claim that $d_g = \alpha^0 \circ d_h \circ \alpha_0$, so α is well-defined. Note that d_h is given by applying $\mathbf{R}\pi_*$ to

$$\mathcal{W} \xrightarrow{\text{Hess}_\phi} \mathcal{W}^\vee \otimes \omega_\pi,$$

and d_g is given by applying $\mathbf{R}\pi_* p_* p^*$ to the composition

$$\mathcal{W}(-x_1 - x_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \xrightarrow{\text{Hess}_\phi} \mathcal{W}^\vee \otimes \omega_\pi,$$

where the first map is induced by the natural inclusion $\mathcal{O}_C(-x_1 - x_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C$.

By adjunction, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p_* p^* \mathcal{W} & \longrightarrow & p_* p^* (\mathcal{W}^\vee \otimes \omega_\pi) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{W} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{W}^\vee \otimes \omega_\pi. \end{array}$$

Applying $\mathbf{R}\pi_*$ to it, we get a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{R}\pi_* p_* p^* \mathcal{W} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{R}\pi_* p_* p^* (\mathcal{W}^\vee \otimes \omega_\pi) \cong \mathbf{R}\pi_* (\mathcal{W}^\vee \otimes p_* \omega_{\pi'}(x_1 + x_2)) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \alpha^0 \\ \mathbf{R}\pi_* \mathcal{W} & \xrightarrow{d_h} & \mathbf{R}\pi_* (\mathcal{W}^\vee \otimes \omega_\pi), \end{array}$$

where the isomorphism uses Eqn. (4.43) and $p^* \omega_{\pi, \log} = \omega_{\pi', \log}$.

By definition, α_0 fits into

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{R}\pi_* p_* p^* \mathcal{W}(-x_1 - x_2) \cong \mathbf{R}\pi_* (\mathcal{W} \otimes p_* \mathcal{O}_{C'}(-x_1 - x_2)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{R}\pi_* p_* p^* \mathcal{W} \cong \mathbf{R}\pi_* (\mathcal{W} \otimes p_* \mathcal{O}_{C'}) \\ & \searrow \alpha_0 & \uparrow \\ & & \mathbf{R}\pi_* \mathcal{W}. \end{array}$$

By a diagram chasing, we get $d_g = \alpha^0 \circ d_h \circ \alpha_0$.

Cones of both $\alpha^\vee[2]$ and $\beta^\vee[2]$ are \mathbb{E}_e and they fit into a commutative diagram:

$$(4.53) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{D}^\vee[2] & \xrightarrow{\alpha^\vee[2]} & \mathbb{E}_g & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{E}_e \\ \beta \downarrow & & \alpha \downarrow & & \parallel \\ e^*\mathbb{E}_h & \xrightarrow{\beta^\vee[2]} & \mathbb{D} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{E}_e, \end{array}$$

where we use $\mathbb{E}^\vee[2] \cong \mathbb{E}$ for $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{E}_g$ and $e^*\mathbb{E}_h$.

Next we construct the bottom part of diagram (4.5). This is done by considering derived stacks and the restriction of their cotangent complexes to their classical truncations.

Consider the derived enhancement of f in diagram (4.27) where the Z is replaced by W^n (exactly as in diagram (4.10)). The homotopy pullback via the following diagram defines a derived enhancement of f_{node} :

$$(4.54) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Q}M_{\text{node}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Q}M_{g,n} \\ \downarrow f_{\text{node}} & \square & \downarrow f \\ \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g_1, n_1+1}^{R_X = \omega_{\log}} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]} & \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g_2, n_2+1}^{R_X = \omega_{\log}} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]} X^n & \xrightarrow{gl} \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g, n}^{R_X = \omega_{\log}} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]} X^n \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{M}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \mathfrak{M}_{g_2, n_2+1} & \xrightarrow{gl} & \mathfrak{M}_{g, n}, \end{array}$$

where the underlying classical stack satisfies

$$t_0(\mathbf{Q}M_{\text{node}}) \cong \mathbf{Q}M_{g_1, n_1+1} \times_X \mathbf{Q}M_{g_2, n_2+1} =: \mathcal{Y}.$$

Further homotopy pullback via diagram (4.42) defines a derived enhancement of $h = f_{\text{node}} \times \text{id}_X$. Let \mathbb{E}_h be the restriction of the (derived) cotangent complex to its classical truncation, then we obtain a symmetric obstruction theory (Theorem 4.11):

$$\phi_h : \mathbb{E}_h \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_h := \tau^{\geq -1} \mathbb{L}_h.$$

Consider two derived enhancements of $g = f_\Delta$ as follows. One of them is constructed via diagram (4.32): by considering derived enhancement $\mathbf{f}_1, \mathbf{f}_2$ of f_1, f_2 where the $\frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_1} \phi)^s}{G^{n_1}} \times \frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_2} \phi)^s}{G^{n_2}}$ in (4.32) is replaced by $X^{n_1+n_2}$ (as \mathbf{f} in diagram (4.10)) and then define \mathbf{f}_Δ to be such that diagram:

$$(4.55) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Q}M_{g_1, n_1+1} \times_X \mathbf{Q}M_{g_2, n_2+1} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{f}_\Delta} & \mathfrak{B}_1 \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^{n_1+1}} X^{n_1+1} \times_X X^{n_2+1} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^{n_2+1}} \mathfrak{B}_2 \\ \mathbf{i} \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \Delta \\ \mathbf{Q}M_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \mathbf{Q}M_{g_2, n_2+1} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{f}_1 \times \mathbf{f}_2} & \mathfrak{B}_1 \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^{n_1+1}} X^{n_1+1} \times X^{n_2+1} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^{n_2+1}} \mathfrak{B}_2, \end{array}$$

is homotopy pullback, where $\mathfrak{B}_i := \mathfrak{B}un_{H_{R, g_i, n_i+1}}^{R_X = \omega_{\text{log}}}$ ($i = 1, 2$). Then \mathbb{E}_g defined above satisfies $\mathbb{E}_g = \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{f}_\Delta}|_{\mathcal{Y}}$ which gives rise to the symmetric obstruction theory

$$\phi_g : \mathbb{E}_g \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_g.$$

The other derived enhancement is defined similarly, by replacing those X in the right hand side of diagram (4.55) by $C = [(W \times_{T^*W} W)^s/G] \hookrightarrow X = [W^s/G]$, i.e. as the homotopy pullback of derived stacks:

$$(4.56) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Q}M_{g_1, n_1+1} \times_C \mathbf{Q}M_{g_2, n_2+1} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_\Delta} & \mathfrak{B}_1 \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^{n_1+1}} C^{n_1+1} \times_C C^{n_2+1} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^{n_2+1}} \mathfrak{B}_2 \\ \tilde{\mathbf{i}} \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \Delta \\ \mathbf{Q}M_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \mathbf{Q}M_{g_2, n_2+1} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_1 \times \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_2} & \mathfrak{B}_1 \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^{n_1+1}} C^{n_1+1} \times C^{n_2+1} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^{n_2+1}} \mathfrak{B}_2. \end{array}$$

Note by (4.9), the maps $\mathbf{f}_1, \mathbf{f}_2$ in the first derived enhancement factors through $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_1, \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_2$ respectively, so we have the following commutative diagram of derived stacks

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Q}M_{g_1, n_1+1} \times_C \mathbf{Q}M_{g_2, n_2+1} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_\Delta} & \mathfrak{B}_1 \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^{n_1+1}} C^{n_1+1} \times_C C^{n_2+1} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^{n_2+1}} \mathfrak{B}_2 \\ \mathbf{j} \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota \\ \mathbf{Q}M_{g_1, n_1+1} \times_X \mathbf{Q}M_{g_2, n_2+1} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{f}_\Delta} & \mathfrak{B}_1 \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^{n_1+1}} X^{n_1+1} \times_X X^{n_2+1} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^{n_2+1}} \mathfrak{B}_2, \end{array}$$

where ι is induced by the natural inclusion $C \hookrightarrow X$. The classical truncation of \mathbf{j} induces an isomorphism of classical stacks

$$t_0(\mathbf{Q}M_{g_1, n_1+1} \times_C \mathbf{Q}M_{g_2, n_2+1}) \cong t_0(\mathbf{Q}M_{g_1, n_1+1} \times_X \mathbf{Q}M_{g_2, n_2+1}) = \mathcal{Y},$$

because maps in $\mathbf{Q}M_{g_i, n_i+1}$ already evaluate at C . Consider the restriction of the cotangent complexes to the classical truncation, we have a commutative diagram

$$(4.57) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{E}_g \cong (\mathbf{j}^* \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{f}_\Delta})|_{\mathcal{Y}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{f}_\Delta \circ \mathbf{j}}|_{\mathcal{Y}} \cong \mathbb{D} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{L}_g & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbb{L}_g \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{L}_g = \tau^{\geq -1} \mathbb{L}_g & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbf{L}_g = \tau^{\geq -1} \mathbb{L}_g. \end{array}$$

It is straightforward to check the upper horizontal map coincides with α in diagram (4.52).

Using Lemma 4.23, we then have a commutative diagram of derived stacks

$$(4.58) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Q}M_{\text{node}} & \xleftarrow{\mathbf{r}} & \mathbf{Q}M_{g_1, n_1+1} \times_C \mathbf{Q}M_{g_2, n_2+1} \\ \downarrow \mathbf{e} := \text{id} \times \text{ev}_\Delta & & \downarrow \mathbf{g} := \iota \circ \tilde{f}_\Delta = f_\Delta \circ \mathbf{j} \\ \mathbf{Q}M_{\text{node}} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]} X & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{h} := f_{\text{node}} \times \text{id}_X} & \mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{B} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]} X \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{Q}M_{\text{node}} & \xrightarrow{f_{\text{node}}} & \mathcal{B}, \end{array}$$

where $\mathcal{B} = \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g_1, n_1+1}^{R_X = \omega_{\log}} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]} \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g_2, n_2+1}^{R_X = \omega_{\log}} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^n} X^n$. Restricting cotangent complexes to the classical truncations and using the fact that $t_0(\mathbf{r})$ is an isomorphism and $\mathbb{L}_{e \circ \mathbf{r}}|_{\mathcal{Y}} \cong \mathbb{L}_e|_{\mathcal{Y}}$ (which follows from Lemma 4.23), we obtain a commutative diagram

$$(4.59) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} (e^* \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{h}})|_{\mathcal{Y}} \cong e^* \mathbb{E}_h & \xrightarrow{\beta^\vee[2]} & \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{g}}|_{\mathcal{Y}} \cong \mathbb{D} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{L}_e|_{\mathcal{Y}} \cong \mathbb{E}_e \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ e^* \mathbb{L}_h & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{L}_g & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{L}_e \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tau^{\geq -1} e^* \mathbb{L}_h & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{L}_g & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{L}'_e. \end{array}$$

Combining diagrams (4.53), (4.57), (4.59), we obtain diagram (4.5) with

$$e := \text{id} \times \text{ev}_\Delta, \quad h := f_{\text{node}} \times \text{id}_X, \quad g := f_\Delta.$$

Notice that we have

$$\sigma^* \mathbb{L}_g \cong \mathbb{L}_{g \circ \sigma} = \mathbb{L}_{f_\Delta}, \quad \sigma^* \mathbb{L}_e \cong \mathbb{L}_{e \circ \sigma}, \quad \sigma^* \mathbb{E}_g \cong \mathbb{E}_{f_\Delta},$$

where \mathbb{E}_{f_Δ} is the symmetric obstruction theory used to define $\sqrt{f_\Delta^!}$. Here the last isomorphism is due to the following commutative diagram of derived stacks

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathfrak{B}_1 \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^{n_1+1}} X^{n_1} \times X \times X^{n_2} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^{n_2+1}} \mathfrak{B}_2 & \\ & \downarrow \Delta & \\ \mathbf{Q}M_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \mathbf{Q}M_{g_2, n_2+1} & \xrightarrow{f_1 \times f_2} \mathfrak{B}_1 \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^{n_1+1}} X^{n_1} \times X \times X \times X^{n_2} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^{n_2+1}} \mathfrak{B}_2 & \xrightarrow{\quad} \bar{\Delta} \\ \sigma \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma \\ \mathbf{Q}M_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \mathbf{Q}M_{g_2, n_2+1} & \xrightarrow{f_1 \times f_2} \mathfrak{B}_1 \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^{n_1+1}} X^{n_1} \times X \times X \times X^{n_2} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^{n_2+1}} \mathfrak{B}_2, & \end{array}$$

where σ is applied to $\mathbf{Q}M_{g_1, n_1+1}$ and $X^{n_1} \times X$.

Consider the pullback of diagrams (4.53), (4.59) by the map σ , we obtain the desired diagram (4.5) for maps $(\text{id}_{\mathcal{Y}} \times \text{ev}_\Delta) \circ \sigma$, $(f_{\text{node}} \times \text{id}_X)$, f_Δ , therefore we are done. \square

Lemma 4.23. *Let $\mathbf{Q}M_{\text{node}}$ and $\mathbf{Q}M_{g_1, n_1+1} \times_C \mathbf{Q}M_{g_2, n_2+1}$ be defined by diagrams (4.54), (4.56) respectively, where $C := [(W \times_{T^*W} W)^s/G]$. Then there is a map of derived stacks*

$$(4.60) \quad \mathbf{r} : \mathbf{Q}M_{g_1, n_1+1} \times_C \mathbf{Q}M_{g_2, n_2+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}M_{\text{node}}$$

whose classical truncation is an isomorphism. Moreover, the restriction of the cotangent complex of \mathbf{r} to the classical truncation is zero.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{g,n}$, $\mathcal{C}_i \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{g_i, n_i+1}$ ($i = 1, 2$) be the universal curves. Define $\mathcal{C}_{\text{node}}$ to be the pullback of \mathcal{C} via the gluing morphism gl in (4.27). Then we get the following diagram with the

square being Cartesian

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathfrak{M}_{g_2, n_2+1} \sqcup \mathfrak{M}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \mathcal{C}_2 & & \\
 \downarrow n & \searrow & \\
 \mathcal{C}_{\text{node}} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathfrak{M}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \mathfrak{M}_{g_2, n_2+1} \\
 \downarrow & \square & \downarrow gl \\
 \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathfrak{M}_{g, n},
 \end{array}$$

where n is the normalization of nodal curves. By viewing classical stacks as derived stacks, the square is also a homotopy pullback diagram as horizontal maps are flat.

Recall that for a base Artin stack S , a stack X flat and proper over S , and a derived Artin stack F which is locally of finite presentation over S , by definition the derived mapping stack $\mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{\text{dSt}/S}(X, F)$ represents the sheaf that sends any derived S -stack T to the simplicial set $\text{Hom}_{\text{dSt}/T}(X_T, F_T)$ of morphisms of derived stacks over T , where $\bullet_T = \bullet \times_S^{\mathbf{L}} T$. In particular, base-change implies canonical isomorphism

$$(4.61) \quad \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{\text{dSt}/S}(X, F) \times_S^{\mathbf{L}} T \cong \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{\text{dSt}/T}(X_T, F_T).$$

Applying to the situation where $S = \mathfrak{M}_{g, n}$, $T = \mathfrak{M}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \mathfrak{M}_{g_2, n_2+1}$, and $X = \mathcal{C}$, for any derived Artin stack Z over \mathbb{C} , we obtain the following homotopy pullback diagrams of derived stacks

$$(4.62) \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{\text{dSt}/\mathfrak{M}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \mathfrak{M}_{g_2, n_2+1}}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{node}}, Z \times \mathfrak{M}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \mathfrak{M}_{g_2, n_2+1}) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{M}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \mathfrak{M}_{g_2, n_2+1} \\
 \downarrow & \square & \downarrow gl \\
 \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{\text{dSt}/\mathfrak{M}_{g, n}}(\mathcal{C}, Z \times \mathfrak{M}_{g, n}) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{M}_{g, n}.
 \end{array}$$

By definition, as the gluing of \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 , $\mathcal{C}_{\text{node}}$ is the pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathfrak{M}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \mathfrak{M}_{g_2, n_2+1} & \xrightarrow{p_{n_1+1} \times \text{id}} & \mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathfrak{M}_{g_2, n_2+1} \\
 \text{id} \times p_{n_2+1} \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\
 \mathfrak{M}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \mathcal{C}_2 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{\text{node}},
 \end{array}$$

where p_i denotes the i -th marked point. Consider also the homotopy pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathfrak{M}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \mathfrak{M}_{g_2, n_2+1} & \xrightarrow{p_{n_1+1} \times \text{id}} & \mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathfrak{M}_{g_2, n_2+1} \\
 \text{id} \times p_{n_2+1} \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\
 \mathfrak{M}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \mathcal{C}_2 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{\text{node}}^{\text{der}},
 \end{array}$$

whose classical truncation recovers the previous diagram.

For brevity, let $\mathfrak{M}_{1,2} := \mathfrak{M}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \mathfrak{M}_{g_2, n_2+1}$. Applying $\mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{\text{dSt}/\mathfrak{M}_{1,2}}(-, Z \times \mathfrak{M}_{1,2})$ to the above diagram, we obtain a homotopy pullback diagram

$$(4.63) \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{\text{dSt}/\mathfrak{M}_{1,2}}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{node}}^{\text{der}}, Z \times \mathfrak{M}_{1,2}) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{\text{dSt}/\mathfrak{M}_{1,2}}(\mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathfrak{M}_{g_1, n_1+1}, Z \times \mathfrak{M}_{1,2}) \\
 \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\
 \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{\text{dSt}/\mathfrak{M}_{1,2}}(\mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathfrak{M}_{g_2, n_2+1}, Z \times \mathfrak{M}_{1,2}) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{\text{dSt}/\mathfrak{M}_{1,2}}(\mathfrak{M}_{1,2}, Z \times \mathfrak{M}_{1,2}).
 \end{array}$$

By the base change property (4.61), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{\text{dSt}/\mathfrak{M}_{1,2}}(\mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathfrak{M}_{g_2, n_2+1}, Z \times \mathfrak{M}_{1,2}) &\cong \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{\text{dSt}/\mathfrak{M}_{g_1, n_1+1}}(\mathcal{C}_1, Z \times \mathfrak{M}_{g_1, n_1+1}) \times_{\mathfrak{M}_{g_1, n_1+1}} \mathfrak{M}_{1,2}, \\
 \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{\text{dSt}/\mathfrak{M}_{1,2}}(\mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathfrak{M}_{g_1, n_1+1}, Z \times \mathfrak{M}_{1,2}) &\cong \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{\text{dSt}/\mathfrak{M}_{g_2, n_2+1}}(\mathcal{C}_2, Z \times \mathfrak{M}_{g_2, n_2+1}) \times_{\mathfrak{M}_{g_2, n_2+1}} \mathfrak{M}_{1,2}, \\
 \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{\text{dSt}/\mathfrak{M}_{1,2}}(\mathfrak{M}_{1,2}, Z \times \mathfrak{M}_{1,2}) &\cong Z \times \mathfrak{M}_{1,2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining them with diagram (4.63), we obtain an isomorphism

$$(4.64) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{\text{dSt}/\mathfrak{M}_{1,2}}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{node}}^{\text{der}}, Z \times \mathfrak{M}_{1,2}) \\ & \cong \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{\text{dSt}/\mathfrak{M}_{g_1, n_1+1}}(\mathcal{C}_1, Z \times \mathfrak{M}_{g_1, n_1+1}) \times_Z \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{\text{dSt}/\mathfrak{M}_{g_2, n_2+1}}(\mathcal{C}_2, Z \times \mathfrak{M}_{g_2, n_2+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Via the inclusion $\mathcal{C}_{\text{node}} = t_0(\mathcal{C}_{\text{node}}^{\text{der}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\text{node}}^{\text{der}}$, we obtain a map of derived stacks

$$(4.65) \quad \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{\text{dSt}/\mathfrak{M}_{1,2}}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{node}}^{\text{der}}, Z \times \mathfrak{M}_{1,2}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{\text{dSt}/\mathfrak{M}_{1,2}}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{node}}, Z \times \mathfrak{M}_{1,2}),$$

whose classical truncation is an isomorphism.

To summarize, combining diagram (4.62), Eqns. (4.64), (4.65) and using notation as Eqn. (4.7), we obtain a map of derived stacks:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{g_1, n_1+1}(Z) \times_Z \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{g_2, n_2+1}(Z) & & \\ \downarrow & & \\ \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{\text{dSt}/\mathfrak{M}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \mathfrak{M}_{g_2, n_2+1}}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{node}}, Z \times \mathfrak{M}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \mathfrak{M}_{g_2, n_2+1}) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{M}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \mathfrak{M}_{g_2, n_2+1} \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \text{gl} \\ \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{g, n}(Z) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{M}_{g, n}. \end{array}$$

Let $Y = W \times_{T^*W}^L W$, $H_R = G \times \mathbb{C}^*$ and $Z = [Y/H_R]$. By a base change through diagram (4.8) and some diagram chasing, we obtain a map of derived stacks

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{g_1, n_1+1}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}}([Y/H_R]) \times_{[Y/G]} \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{g_2, n_2+1}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}}([Y/H_R]) & & \\ \downarrow & & \\ \star & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{g, n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}}([Y/H_R]) \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{B}\text{un}_{H_R, g_1, n_1+1}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]} \mathfrak{B}\text{un}_{H_R, g_2, n_2+1}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{B}\text{un}_{H_R, g, n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}} \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{M}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \mathfrak{M}_{g_2, n_2+1} & \xrightarrow{\text{gl}} & \mathfrak{M}_{g, n}, \end{array}$$

where squares are homotopy pullback diagrams and \star contains $\mathbf{Q}M_{\text{node}}$ as an open substack. By restricting to the open locus where stability is imposed, we obtain the map (4.60). The statement about cotangent complex of \mathbf{r} is straightforward to check by a direct calculation similar to that in the proof of Proposition 4.22. \square

5. QUASIMAP INVARIANTS

In this section, we use virtual pullbacks introduced in the previous section to define quasimap invariants and prove a gluing formula in the cohomological field theory.

5.1. Definitions. In the rest of this section, we use notations in the following setting.

Setting 5.1. Let $W, G, \theta, F_0, \chi, \phi$ be as in Setting 2.1 and set

$$X := W //_{\theta} G, \quad X_0 := W /_{\text{aff}} G$$

to be the GIT and the affine quotient, so the natural map $\pi : X \rightarrow X_0$ is projective. Without causing confusion, let

$$\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

denote the descent (after quotient by G) regular function which is F_0 -invariant and $\text{Crit}(\phi) \subseteq X$ be the critical locus such that $\text{Crit}(\phi)^{F_0}$ is proper.

Let $R : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow F$ be the R -charge as in Definition 2.5 such that $\text{Ker } R_\chi = \{1\}$.

Definition 5.2. ([CiKM, Def. 3.2.2]) An element $\beta \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{X}(G), \mathbb{Z})$ is said to be *effective* if it comes from a quasimap class to $W//_{\theta}G$. All effective classes form a monoid (by considering possibly disconnected domain curves), denoted $\text{Eff}(W, G, \theta)$.

We denote the submonoid of effective classes in $\text{Crit}(\phi)$ by $N_+(\text{Crit}(\phi))$.

Definition 5.3. We define the Novikov ring as

$$A_*^{F_0}(\text{pt})[[z]] := \left\{ \sum_{\beta \in N_+(\text{Crit}(\phi))} a_{\beta} z^{\beta} \mid a_{\beta} \in A_*^{F_0}(\text{pt}) \right\}.$$

Similarly we also define $A_*^{F_0}(-)[[z]]$ for any $(-)$ with F_0 -action.

Remark 5.4. Since infinite sum is allowed in the above, this space does not have a ring structure. Nevertheless, for each given genus g and number n of marked points, any effective $\beta \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{X}(G), \mathbb{Z})$ has the property that $\beta(\theta)$ is bounded below. In what follows, we only consider infinite sums which are bounded in the negative direction and such elements are closed under multiplication.

When $2g - 2 + n > 0$, we consider the composition of the forgetful map and the stabilization map:

$$\mathfrak{Bun}_{H_{R,g,n}}^{R_{\chi}=\omega_{\log}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{g,n} \xrightarrow{st} \overline{M}_{g,n},$$

which is flat, so is the base change

$$\mathfrak{Bun}_{H_{R,g,n}}^{R_{\chi}=\omega_{\log}} \times (Z^s/G^n) \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g,n} \times (Z^s/G^n),$$

where $Z \subseteq W^n$ is a H -invariant closed subscheme satisfying condition (4.22). Composing with the smooth map

$$\mathfrak{Bun}_{H_{R,g,n}}^{R_{\chi}=\omega_{\log}} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^n} (Z^s/G^n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_{R,g,n}}^{R_{\chi}=\omega_{\log}} \times (Z^s/G^n),$$

we obtain a flat map

$$(5.1) \quad \nu : \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_{R,g,n}}^{R_{\chi}=\omega_{\log}} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^n} (Z^s/G^n) \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g,n} \times (Z^s/G^n).$$

Recall the map f (4.24), we then have

$$QM_{g,n}^{R_{\chi}=\omega_{\log}}(\text{Crit}(\phi), \beta) \xrightarrow{f} \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_{R,g,n}}^{R_{\chi}=\omega_{\log}} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^n} (Z^s/G^n) \xrightarrow{\nu} \overline{M}_{g,n} \times (Z^s/G^n).$$

We define box (or exterior) products

$$\boxtimes : A_*(\overline{M}_{g,n}) \otimes A_*^{F_0}(Z^s/G^n) \rightarrow A_*^{F_0}(\overline{M}_{g,n} \times (Z^s/G^n)), \quad (\alpha, \beta) \mapsto (\alpha \times \beta),$$

$$\boxtimes_{i=1}^n : \otimes_{i=1}^n A_*^{F_0}(\text{Crit}(\phi)) \rightarrow A_*^{F_0}(\text{Crit}(\phi)^n), \quad (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \mapsto \gamma_1 \times \dots \times \gamma_n,$$

where \times is the exterior product of [F, §1.10].

Fix $Z = Z(\boxplus^n \phi)$ in above and define the following:

Definition 5.5. When $2g - 2 + n > 0$, we define the following map

$$(5.2) \quad \Phi_{g,n,\beta} := p_* \circ \sqrt{f^!} \circ \nu^* \circ \boxtimes : A_*(\overline{M}_{g,n}) \otimes A_*^{F_0}\left(\frac{Z(\boxplus^n \phi)^s}{G^n}\right) \rightarrow A_*^{F_0}(\text{pt})_{loc},$$

where

$$p_* : A_*^{F_0}(QM_{g,n}^{R_{\chi}=\omega_{\log}}(\text{Crit}(\phi), \beta)) \rightarrow A_*^{F_0}(\text{pt})_{loc}$$

is the localized pushforward map for the projection p , defined using Theorem 2.14 and Eqn. (A.2).

Definition 5.6. The quasimap invariant with insertion $\{\gamma_i\}_{i=1}^n$ in $A_*^{F_0}(\text{Crit}(\phi))$ is

$$\langle \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \rangle_{g,\beta} := \Phi_{g,n,\beta}([\overline{M}_{g,n}] \boxtimes (\boxtimes_{i=1}^n \gamma_i)) \in A_*^{F_0}(\text{pt})_{loc}.$$

More generally, $\boxtimes_{i=1}^n \gamma_i \in A_*^{F_0}(\text{Crit}(\phi)^n)$ can be replaced by an arbitrary class $\gamma \in A_*^{F_0}\left(\frac{Z(\boxplus^n \phi)^s}{G^n}\right)$, and we simply write

$$\langle \gamma \rangle_{g,\beta} := \Phi_{g,n,\beta}([\overline{M}_{g,n}] \boxtimes \gamma) \in A_*^{F_0}(\text{pt})_{loc},$$

or $\langle \gamma \rangle_{g,\beta,n}$ if n is not clear from the context.

5.2. Gluing formula. In this section, we use properties of virtual pullbacks proved in §4.3 to prove a gluing formula for the map (5.2) in the formulation of cohomological field theory

As in (5.2), we can define a map (where $n = n_1 + n_2$):

$$(5.3) \quad \Phi_{g_1+1, n_1, \beta_1} \otimes \Phi_{g_2, n_2+1, \beta_2} : A_* (\overline{M}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \overline{M}_{g_2, n_2+1}) \otimes A_*^{F_0} \left(\frac{Z(\boxplus^{n+2} \phi)^s}{G^{n+2}} \right) \rightarrow A_*^{F_0} (\text{pt})_{loc},$$

$$(\alpha, \theta) \mapsto (p_1 \times p_2)_* \sqrt{(f_1 \times f_2)^!} (\nu_1 \times \nu_2)^* (\alpha \boxtimes \theta),$$

where

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \nu_1 \times \nu_2 : \mathfrak{Bun}_{HR, g_1, n_1+1}^{R_X = \omega_{\log}} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^{n_1+1}} \left(\frac{Z(\boxplus^{n+2} \phi)^s}{G^{n+2}} \right) \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^{n_2+1}} \mathfrak{Bun}_{HR, g_2, n_2+1}^{R_X = \omega_{\log}} \\ \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \overline{M}_{g_2, n_2+1} \times \left(\frac{Z(\boxplus^{n+2} \phi)^s}{G^{n+2}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

is defined similarly as (5.1) and $p_1 \times p_2 : QM_{g_1, n_1+1} \times QM_{g_2, n_2+1} \rightarrow \text{pt}$ is the projection. Here although the notation is in the product form, the map is not necessarily the tensor product of two maps in general. Let

$$(5.5) \quad \eta \in A_*^{F_0} \left(\frac{Z(\boxplus^2 \phi)^s}{G^2} \right)$$

be the class of the anti-diagonal $\bar{\Delta} : X \rightarrow \frac{Z(\boxplus^2 \phi)^s}{G^2}$ in (4.29).

For any $\gamma \in A_*^{F_0} \left(\frac{Z(\boxplus^n \phi)^s}{G^n} \right)$, we have its box (or exterior) product with η ([F, §1.10]):

$$\gamma \boxtimes \eta \in A_*^{F_0} \left(\frac{Z(\boxplus^n \phi)^s}{G^n} \times \frac{Z(\boxplus^2 \phi)^s}{G^2} \right),$$

which is also considered as an element in $A_*^{F_0} \left(\frac{Z(\boxplus^{n+2} \phi)^s}{G^{n+2}} \right)$ by the pushforward of inclusion.

For $n = n_1 + n_2$, $g = g_1 + g_2$, we have the gluing morphism

$$\iota : \overline{M}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \overline{M}_{g_2, n_2+1} \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g, n}.$$

Note also that any class in $A_*^{F_0} \left(\frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_1} \phi)^s}{G^{n_1}} \times \frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_2} \phi)^s}{G^{n_2}} \right)$ can be considered as an element in $A_*^{F_0} \left(\frac{Z(\boxplus^n \phi)^s}{G^n} \right)$ with $n = n_1 + n_2$ by the pushforward of inclusion.

Theorem 5.7. *Let $\gamma \in \text{Im} \left(A_*^{F_0} \left(\frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_1} \phi)^s}{G^{n_1}} \times \frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_2} \phi)^s}{G^{n_2}} \right) \rightarrow A_*^{F_0} \left(\frac{Z(\boxplus^n \phi)^s}{G^n} \right) \right)$ be in the image and $\alpha \in A_* (\overline{M}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \overline{M}_{g_2, n_2+1})$. Then*

$$(5.6) \quad \Phi_{g, n, \beta} (\iota_* \alpha \boxtimes \gamma) = \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta} (\Phi_{g_1, n_1+1, \beta_1} \otimes \Phi_{g_2, n_2+1, \beta_2}) (\alpha \boxtimes (\gamma \boxtimes \eta)).$$

Proof. For a decomposition $\beta = \beta_1 + \beta_2$, we introduce the following shorthands:

$$QM = QM_{g, n}^{R_X = \omega_{\log}} (\text{Crit}(\phi), \beta), \quad QM_i = QM_i(\beta_i) = QM_{g_i, n_i+1}^{R_X = \omega_{\log}} (\text{Crit}(\phi), \beta_i), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

$$\mathfrak{B}_1 := \mathfrak{Bun}_{HR, g_1, n_1+1}^{R_X = \omega_{\log}}, \quad \mathfrak{B}_2 := \mathfrak{Bun}_{HR, g_2, n_2+1}^{R_X = \omega_{\log}}, \quad \mathfrak{B} := \mathfrak{Bun}_{HR, g, n}^{R_X = \omega_{\log}}.$$

For any Deligne-Mumford stack X , we write the structure map $X \rightarrow \text{pt}$ as p_X .

As in [AGV, Proof of Prop. 6.22], we have the following commutative diagram with all squares being Cartesian (here we use notations as diagrams (4.26), (4.27)):

(5.7)

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 & \text{pt} & \\
 \text{II}_{\beta_1+\beta_2=\beta}^{p_{QM_1} \times_X p_{QM_2}} \nearrow & & \nwarrow p_{QM} \\
 \text{II}_{\beta_1+\beta_2=\beta} QM_1(\beta_1) \times_X QM_2(\beta_2) & \xrightarrow{gl} & QM \\
 \downarrow f_{\text{node}} & \square & \downarrow f \\
 \mathcal{B} := \mathfrak{B}_1 \times_{[\text{pt}/G]} \mathfrak{B}_2 \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^n} \frac{Z(\boxplus^n \phi)^s}{G^n} & \xrightarrow{gl} & \mathfrak{B} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^n} \frac{Z(\boxplus^n \phi)^s}{G^n} \\
 \downarrow s_{12} & \square & \downarrow s \\
 \mathfrak{B}_1 \times_{[\text{pt}/G]} \mathfrak{B}_2 \times \frac{Z(\boxplus^n \phi)^s}{G^n} & \xrightarrow{gl} & \mathfrak{B} \times \frac{Z(\boxplus^n \phi)^s}{G^n} \\
 \downarrow \pi_{B12} & \square & \downarrow \pi_B \\
 \mathfrak{B}_1 \times_{[\text{pt}/G]} \mathfrak{B}_2 & \xrightarrow{gl} & \mathfrak{B} \\
 \downarrow g_{12} & \square & \downarrow g \\
 \mathfrak{M}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \mathfrak{M}_{g_2, n_2+1} & \xrightarrow{i_\Omega} \mathfrak{M}_{\Omega} \xrightarrow{j} & \mathfrak{M}_{g, n} \\
 \downarrow st_1 \times st_2 & \square & \downarrow st \\
 \overline{M}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \overline{M}_{g_2, n_2+1} \times \frac{Z(\boxplus^n \phi)^s}{G^n} & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \overline{M}_{g, n} \times \frac{Z(\boxplus^n \phi)^s}{G^n}
 \end{array} \\
 \nu_{12} \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowright \nu
 \end{array}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.8) \quad \Phi_{g, n, \beta}((\iota_* \alpha) \boxtimes \gamma) &:= p_{QM*} \circ \sqrt{f^!} \circ \nu^*((\iota_* \alpha) \boxtimes \gamma) \\
 &= p_{QM*} \circ \sqrt{f^!} \circ s^*((g^* st^* \iota_* \alpha) \boxtimes \gamma) \\
 &= p_{QM*} \circ \sqrt{f^!} \circ s^*((g^* j_* p^* \alpha) \boxtimes \gamma) \\
 &= p_{QM*} \circ \sqrt{f^!} \circ s^*((g^* j_* i_{\Omega*} (st_1 \times st_2)^* \alpha) \boxtimes \gamma) \\
 &= p_{QM*} \circ \sqrt{f^!} \circ s^*((g^* gl_* (st_1 \times st_2)^* \alpha) \boxtimes \gamma) \\
 &= p_{QM*} \circ \sqrt{f^!} \circ s^*((gl_* g_{12}^* (st_1 \times st_2)^* \alpha) \boxtimes \gamma) \\
 &= p_{QM*} \circ \sqrt{f^!} \circ s^*(gl_* ((g_{12}^* (st_1 \times st_2)^* \alpha) \boxtimes \gamma)) \\
 &= p_{QM*} \circ \sqrt{f^!} \circ gl_* s_{12}^*((g_{12}^* (st_1 \times st_2)^* \alpha) \boxtimes \gamma) \\
 &= p_{QM*} \circ gl_* \sqrt{f_{\text{node}}^!} \nu_{12}^*(\alpha \boxtimes \gamma) \\
 &= \sum_{\beta_1+\beta_2=\beta} p_{QM_1 \times_X QM_2*} \circ \sqrt{f_{\text{node}}^!} \circ \nu_{12}^*(\alpha \boxtimes \gamma).
 \end{aligned}$$

Here we use Proposition 4.17 in the 9th equality. We explain that the 4th equality follows from [Beh, Prop. 8]. Indeed, *loc. cit.* states that i_Ω is proper, finite, and

$$p^*[\overline{M}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \overline{M}_{g_2, n_2+1}] = i_{\Omega*}[\mathfrak{M}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \mathfrak{M}_{g_2, n_2+1}].$$

For $\alpha \in A_*[\overline{M}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \overline{M}_{g_2, n_2+1}]$, by Poincaré duality, we can write

$$\alpha = \xi \cap [\overline{M}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \overline{M}_{g_2, n_2+1}],$$

for some $\xi \in A^*(\overline{M}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \overline{M}_{g_2, n_2+1})$, where the cap product is defined on DM stacks by [Vis, §5], following [F, §17.2], and extended to Artin stacks by [BS, App. C] based on [Kre]. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.9) \quad p^* \alpha &= p^* (\xi \cap [\overline{M}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \overline{M}_{g_2, n_2+1}]) \\
&= (p^* \xi \cap p^* [\overline{M}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \overline{M}_{g_2, n_2+1}]) \\
&= (p^* \xi \cap i_{\Omega^*} [\mathfrak{M}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \mathfrak{M}_{g_2, n_2+1}]) \\
&= i_{\Omega^*} (i_{\Omega^*}^* p^* \xi \cap [\mathfrak{M}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \mathfrak{M}_{g_2, n_2+1}]) \\
&= i_{\Omega^*} ((st_1 \times st_2)^* \xi \cap [\mathfrak{M}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \mathfrak{M}_{g_2, n_2+1}]) \\
&= i_{\Omega^*} (st_1 \times st_2)^* (\xi \cap [\overline{M}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \overline{M}_{g_2, n_2+1}]) \\
&= i_{\Omega^*} (st_1 \times st_2)^* \alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

Recall diagram (4.42), we have

$$(5.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{Y} & \xleftarrow{\sigma} & \overline{\mathcal{Y}} \\
\text{id}_{\mathcal{Y}} \times \text{ev}_{\Delta} \downarrow & \searrow f_{\Delta} & \downarrow f_{\overline{\Delta}} \\
\mathcal{Y} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]} X & \xrightarrow{f_{\text{node}} \times \text{id}_X} & \mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{B} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]} X \\
\text{id}_{\mathcal{Y}} \times \text{ev}_{\Delta} \downarrow \swarrow p_{\mathcal{Y}} & \square & p_{\mathcal{B}} \downarrow \\
\mathcal{Y} & \xrightarrow{f_{\text{node}}} & \mathcal{B},
\end{array}$$

where $\text{id}_{\mathcal{Y}} \times \text{ev}_{\Delta}$ is a section of $p_{\mathcal{Y}}$ and hence

$$(5.11) \quad (\text{id}_{\mathcal{Y}} \times \text{ev}_{\Delta})^! \circ p_{\mathcal{Y}}^* = \text{id}_{\mathcal{Y}}^*.$$

Proposition 4.22 gives

$$(5.12) \quad \sigma^* \circ (\text{id}_{\mathcal{Y}} \times \text{ev}_{\Delta})^! \circ \sqrt{(f_{\text{node}} \times \text{id}_X)^!} = \sqrt{f_{\overline{\Delta}}^!}.$$

To sum up, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{g, n, \beta}((\iota_* \alpha) \boxtimes \gamma) &\stackrel{(5.8)}{=} \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta} p_{QM_1 \times X QM_2^*} \circ \sqrt{f_{\text{node}}^!} \circ \nu_{12}^* (\alpha \boxtimes \gamma) \\
&\stackrel{(5.11)}{=} \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta} p_{QM_1 \times X QM_2^*} \circ (\text{id}_{\mathcal{Y}} \times \text{ev}_{\Delta})^! \circ p_{\mathcal{Y}}^* \circ \sqrt{f_{\text{node}}^!} \circ \nu_{12}^* (\alpha \boxtimes \gamma) \\
&\stackrel{(5.10)}{=} \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta} p_{QM_1 \times X QM_2^*} \circ (\text{id}_{\mathcal{Y}} \times \text{ev}_{\Delta})^! \circ \sqrt{(f_{\text{node}} \times \text{id}_X)^!} \circ p_{\mathcal{B}}^* \circ \nu_{12}^* (\alpha \boxtimes \gamma) \\
&\stackrel{(5.12)}{=} \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta} p_{QM_1 \times X QM_2^*} \circ (\sigma^{-1})^* \circ \sqrt{f_{\overline{\Delta}}^!} \circ p_{\mathcal{B}}^* \circ \nu_{12}^* (\alpha \boxtimes \gamma) \\
&\stackrel{(4.33)}{=} \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta} p_{QM_1 \times_{\overline{\Delta}} QM_2^*} \circ \sqrt{f_{\overline{\Delta}}^!} \circ p_{\mathcal{B}}^* \circ \nu_{12}^* (\alpha \boxtimes \gamma) \\
&\stackrel{(4.30)}{=} \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta} p_{QM_1 \times QM_2^*} \circ i_{\overline{\Delta}^*} \circ \sqrt{f_{\overline{\Delta}}^!} \circ p_{\mathcal{B}}^* \circ \nu_{12}^* (\alpha \boxtimes \gamma) \\
&\stackrel{(4.31)}{=} \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta} p_{QM_1 \times QM_2^*} \circ \sqrt{(f_1 \times f_2)^!} \circ \overline{\Delta}_* \circ p_{\mathcal{B}}^* \circ \nu_{12}^* (\alpha \boxtimes \gamma) \\
&\stackrel{\text{Lem. 5.10}}{=} \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta} p_{QM_1 \times QM_2^*} \circ \sqrt{(f_1 \times f_2)^!} \circ (\nu_1 \times \nu_2)^* (\alpha \boxtimes \gamma \boxtimes \eta) \\
&\stackrel{(5.3)}{=} \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta} (\Phi_{g_1, n_1+1, \beta_1} \otimes \Phi_{g_2, n_2+1, \beta_2}) (\alpha \boxtimes (\gamma \boxtimes \eta)).
\end{aligned}$$

where $(\nu_1 \times \nu_2)$ is defined in (5.4). \square

Remark 5.8. One can similarly show the genus reduction axiom in the cohomological field theory, which we leave to the reader to check details.

Remark 5.9. In general, without the condition on embedding $\text{Crit}(\phi) \hookrightarrow Z(\phi)$ in Setting 2.1, by Remark 4.16, we simply replace $Z(\boxplus^i \phi)$ by $Z((\boxplus^i \phi)^r)$ for some large $r \geq 1$ in the above theorem.

Lemma 5.10. *We have $\bar{\Delta}_* \circ p_{\mathcal{B}}^* \circ \nu_{12}^* (\alpha \boxtimes \gamma) = (\nu_1 \times \nu_2)^* (\alpha \boxtimes \gamma \boxtimes \eta)$.*

Proof. Recall notations in diagrams (4.41), (5.7), we have a Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{B} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]} X & \xrightarrow{\bar{\Delta}} & \mathfrak{B}_1 \times_{[\text{pt}/G]} \mathfrak{B}_2 \times_{[\text{pt}/G]} \frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_1} \phi)^s}{G^{n_1}} \times \frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_2} \phi)^s}{G^{n_2}} \times \frac{Z(\boxplus^2 \phi)^s}{G^2} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]} \mathfrak{B}_2 \\ \downarrow s_1 & \square & \downarrow s \\ \mathfrak{B}_1 \times \frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_1} \phi)^s}{G^{n_1}} \times \frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_2} \phi)^s}{G^{n_2}} \times X \times \mathfrak{B}_2 & \xrightarrow{\bar{\Delta}} & \mathfrak{B}_1 \times \frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_1} \phi)^s}{G^{n_1}} \times \frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_2} \phi)^s}{G^{n_2}} \times \frac{Z(\boxplus^2 \phi)^s}{G^2} \times \mathfrak{B}_2, \end{array}$$

and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{B} \times_{[\text{pt}/G]} X & \xrightarrow{p_{\mathcal{B}}} & \mathcal{B} = \mathfrak{B}_1 \times_{[\text{pt}/G]} \mathfrak{B}_2 \times_{[\text{pt}/G]} \frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_1} \phi)^s}{G^{n_1}} \times \frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_2} \phi)^s}{G^{n_2}} \\ \downarrow s_1 & & \downarrow s_{12} \\ \mathfrak{B}_1 \times \mathfrak{B}_2 \times \frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_1} \phi)^s}{G^{n_1}} \times \frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_2} \phi)^s}{G^{n_2}} \times X & & \mathfrak{B}_1 \times_{[\text{pt}/G]} \mathfrak{B}_2 \times \frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_1} \phi)^s}{G^{n_1}} \times \frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_2} \phi)^s}{G^{n_2}} \\ \downarrow s_2 & \swarrow s_3 & \\ \mathfrak{B}_1 \times \mathfrak{B}_2 \times \frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_1} \phi)^s}{G^{n_1}} \times \frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_2} \phi)^s}{G^{n_2}}, & & \end{array}$$

where s_2 is the projection map and all maps in this diagram are smooth.

Therefore for any $\theta \in A_*(\mathfrak{B}_1 \times \mathfrak{B}_2)$ and $\gamma \in A_*^{F_0} \left(\frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_1} \phi)^s}{G^{n_1}} \times \frac{Z(\boxplus^{n_2} \phi)^s}{G^{n_2}} \right)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (5.13) \quad \bar{\Delta}_* p_{\mathcal{B}}^* s_{12}^* s_3^* (\theta \boxtimes \gamma) &= \bar{\Delta}_* s_1^* s_2^* (\theta \boxtimes \gamma) \\ &= \bar{\Delta}_* s_1^* (\theta \boxtimes \gamma \boxtimes [X]) \\ &= s^* (\theta \boxtimes \gamma \boxtimes \eta). \end{aligned}$$

In the notations of diagram (5.7), for any $\alpha \in A_*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_2, n_2+1})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (5.14) \quad \gamma_{12}^* (\alpha \boxtimes \gamma) &= s_{12}^* ((g_{12}^*(st_1 \times st_2)^* \alpha) \boxtimes \gamma) \\ &= s_{12}^* s_3^* (((g_1 \times g_2)^*(st_1 \times st_2)^* \alpha) \boxtimes \gamma), \end{aligned}$$

where $g_1 \times g_2 : \mathfrak{B}_1 \times \mathfrak{B}_2 \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_2, n_2+1}$ is the product of forgetful maps. Note also

$$(5.15) \quad (\nu_1 \times \nu_2)^* (\alpha \boxtimes \gamma \boxtimes \eta) = s^* (((g_1 \times g_2)^*(st_1 \times st_2)^* \alpha) \boxtimes \gamma \boxtimes \eta).$$

Let $\theta = (g_1 \times g_2)^*(st_1 \times st_2)^* \alpha$ and combine with Eqs. (5.13), (5.14), (5.15), we are done. \square

5.3. WDVV type equation. In this section, using the gluing formula (5.7) proved in the previous section, we show a Witten-Dijkgraaf-Verlinde-Verlinde (WDVV) type equation for the invariants defined in (5.3). In the special cases discussed in §5.6, we show that such a WDVV type equation implies the associativity of the quantum product defined on critical cohomologies.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Fix a collection of classes

$$\delta_i \in A_*^{F_0}(Z(\phi)^s/G), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad \gamma_j \in A_*^{F_0}(Z(\phi)^s/G), \quad 1 \leq j \leq 4.$$

For any partition $A \sqcup B = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, we denote

$$\delta_A = \delta_{i_1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \delta_{i_m} \in A_*^{F_0}((Z(\phi)^s/G)^A),$$

where $A = \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$, and we similarly denote δ_B . Let

$$\hat{A} := A \sqcup \{n+1, n+2\}, \quad \hat{B} := B \sqcup \{n+3, n+4\}.$$

As suggested by the notation, evaluation maps of $QM_1 = QM_{0, \hat{A} \sqcup \bullet}^{R_{\chi} = \omega_{\log}}(\text{Crit}(\phi), \beta_1)$ are associated to marked points labelled by $\hat{A} \sqcup \bullet$, and evaluation maps of $QM_2 = QM_{0, \hat{B} \sqcup \bullet}^{R_{\chi} = \omega_{\log}}(\text{Crit}(\phi), \beta_2)$ are associated to marked points labelled by $\hat{B} \sqcup \bullet$.

Recall the class $\eta \in A_*^{F_0} \left(\frac{Z(\boxplus^2 \phi)^s}{G^2} \right)$ of the anti-diagonal with $\frac{Z(\boxplus^2 \phi)^s}{G^2} \subseteq X \times X$, where the two factors are associated to points \bullet and \bullet respectively.

Theorem 5.11. *Notations as above, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\beta_1+\beta_2=\beta} \sum_{A \sqcup B = \{1,2,\dots,n\}} \Phi_{0,|A|+3,\beta_1} \otimes \Phi_{0,|B|+3,\beta_2} ([\overline{M}_{0,|A|+3} \times \overline{M}_{0,|B|+3}] \boxtimes \delta_A \boxtimes \gamma_1 \boxtimes \gamma_2 \boxtimes \eta \boxtimes \delta_B \boxtimes \gamma_3 \boxtimes \gamma_4) \\ &= \sum_{\beta_1+\beta_2=\beta} \sum_{A \sqcup B = \{1,2,\dots,n\}} \Phi_{0,|A|+3,\beta_1} \otimes \Phi_{0,|B|+3,\beta_2} ([\overline{M}_{0,|A|+3} \times \overline{M}_{0,|B|+3}] \boxtimes \delta_A \boxtimes \gamma_1 \boxtimes \gamma_3 \boxtimes \eta \boxtimes \delta_B \boxtimes \gamma_2 \boxtimes \gamma_4). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By the gluing formula (5.6), the left-hand-side of the above becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\beta_1+\beta_2=\beta} \sum_{A \sqcup B = \{1,2,\dots,n\}} \Phi_{0,|A|+3,\beta_1} \otimes \Phi_{0,|B|+3,\beta_2} ([\overline{M}_{0,|A|+3} \times \overline{M}_{0,|B|+3}] \boxtimes \delta_A \boxtimes \gamma_1 \boxtimes \gamma_2 \boxtimes \eta \boxtimes \delta_B \boxtimes \gamma_3 \boxtimes \gamma_4) \\ &= \Phi_{0,n+4,\beta} (\iota_* [\overline{M}_{0,|A|+3} \times \overline{M}_{0,|B|+3}] \boxtimes \delta_A \boxtimes \gamma_1 \boxtimes \gamma_2 \boxtimes \delta_B \boxtimes \gamma_3 \boxtimes \gamma_4). \end{aligned}$$

Similar formula holds for the right-hand-side. The assertion then follows directly from the commutativity of the box-product. \square

5.4. Specialization for the zero-potential. In this section, we show that our QM invariants (when $\phi = 0$) specialize to the QM type invariants of smooth GIT quotients as defined in [CiKM]¹³.

Let $\phi = 0$ in Setting 5.1 so $\text{Crit}(\phi) = X = W/G$. Recall the following maps of derived stacks (e.g. (4.10)):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{g,n}^{R_X=\omega_{\log}}([(W \times_{T^*W} W)/H_R]) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{i}} & \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{g,n}^{R_X=\omega_{\log}}([W/H_R]) \\ & & \downarrow \mathbf{f} \\ & & [W/H_R]^n \times_{[\text{pt}/H_R]^n} \mathfrak{B}\text{un}_{H_R,g,n}^{R_X=\omega_{\log}} \mathbf{g} \\ & & \downarrow \pi_B \\ & & \mathfrak{B}\text{un}_{H_R,g,n}^{R_X=\omega_{\log}} \end{array}$$

with the induced maps of cotangent complexes

$$(5.16) \quad \mathbf{f}^* \mathbb{L}_{\pi_B} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{g}} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{f}}, \quad \mathbf{i}^* \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{f}} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{f} \circ \mathbf{i}} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{i}}.$$

As $\phi = 0$, the classical truncation i of \mathbf{i} is an isomorphism

$$i : \text{Map}_{g,n}^{R_X=\omega_{\log}}([(W \times_{T^*W} W)/H_R]) \cong \text{Map}_{g,n}^{R_X=\omega_{\log}}([W/H_R]).$$

The restriction of the relative cotangent complexes of \mathbf{f} and $\mathbf{g} = \pi_B \circ \mathbf{f}$ to the classical truncation defines relative obstruction theories

$$\varphi : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{f}}, \quad \psi : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{g}},$$

where f and g are the classical truncations of \mathbf{f} and \mathbf{g} , and we restrict to the open substack

$$QM := QM_{g,n}^{R_X=\omega_{\log}}(\text{Crit}(\phi), \beta) \subset \text{Map}_{g,n}^{R_X=\omega_{\log}}([W/H_R])$$

of stable R -twisted quasimaps to X . We describe \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{G} explicitly as follows.

Let $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow QM$ denote the universal curve with universal section p_1, \dots, p_n , $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be the universal H_R -bundle and $\mathcal{W} := \mathcal{P} \times_{H_R} W$. The log canonical bundle is

$$\omega_{\log} = \omega_{\pi}(S), \quad \text{where } S := p_1 + \dots + p_n.$$

As argued in Theorem 4.11, we know

$$\mathbb{F} \cong \mathbf{R}\pi_* (\mathcal{W} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(-S))^\vee, \quad \mathbb{G} \cong \mathbf{R}\pi_* (\mathcal{W})^\vee.$$

Restricting the first sequence in (5.16) to the classical truncation gives a compatible diagram of relative perfect obstruction theories:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} f^* \mathbb{L}_{\pi_B} \cong \mathbf{R}\pi_* (\mathcal{W} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_S)^\vee & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ f^* \mathbb{L}_{\pi_B} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{L}_g & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{L}_f. \end{array}$$

¹³The slight difference is that there is no twist in the formulation of [CiKM].

By Manolache's virtual pullback [Man, Cor. 4.9], we have

$$g_\psi^! = f_\varphi^! \circ \pi_B^*,$$

where π_B^* is the flat pullback.

Applying the left hand side to $[\mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g, n}^{R_X = \omega_{\log}}]$, we obtain the virtual class of QM defined as in [CiKM, Prop. 4.4.1, §5.2]. Using the above equality, we obtain

$$(5.17) \quad [QM]_\varphi^{\text{vir}} = f_\varphi^! \left[[W/H_R]^n \times_{[\text{pt}/H_R]^n} \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g, n}^{R_X = \omega_{\log}} \right].$$

Recall Theorem 4.11, the restriction of $\mathbf{f} \circ \mathbf{i}$ to the classical truncation gives an isotropic symmetric obstruction theory $\phi_f : \mathbb{E}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_f$ with

$$(5.18) \quad \mathbb{E}_f \cong (\mathbf{R}\pi_* (\mathcal{W} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(-S)) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\pi_* (\mathcal{W} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(-S))^\vee),$$

and a virtual class:

$$(5.19) \quad [QM]_\phi^{\text{vir}} := \sqrt{f_\phi^!} \left[[W/H_R]^n \times_{[\text{pt}/H_R]^n} \mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R, g, n}^{R_X = \omega_{\log}} \right],$$

defined using Definition 4.13. In below we show these two virtual classes are the same.

Proposition 5.12. *There is a map $\delta : \mathbb{E}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that $\phi_f = \varphi \circ \delta$, making \mathbb{F} a maximal isotropic subcomplex in the sense of [Par1, Def. 1.4]. Therefore for some choice of sign in (4.1), we have*

$$\sqrt{f_\phi^!} = f_\varphi^!.$$

In particular, virtual class in (5.19) can recover the virtual class in (5.17).

Proof. The restriction of the second sequence in (5.16) to the classical truncation gives a map

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{F} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{E}_f & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F}^\vee[2] \\ \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi_f & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{L}_f & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbb{L}_f & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

As $\phi = 0$, $W \times_{T^*W}^L W = T^*[-1]W$ is the shifted cotangent bundle of W , and hence there is a zero section $W \rightarrow T^*[-1]W$ whose classical truncation is an isomorphism. This induces a map

$$\mathbf{j} : \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{g, n}^{R_X = \omega_{\log}}([W/H_R]) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}\text{ap}_{g, n}^{R_X = \omega_{\log}}([(W \times_{T^*W}^L W)/H_R])$$

whose composition with \mathbf{i} is the identity. Then we have a fiber sequence

$$\mathbf{j}^* \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{f} \circ \mathbf{i}} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{f}} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathbf{j}}$$

whose restriction to the classical truncation gives a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{E}_f & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \mathbb{F} \\ \phi_f \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi \\ \mathbb{L}_f & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbb{L}_f. \end{array}$$

That is, $\phi_f = \varphi \circ \delta$. It is easy to check \mathbb{F} is a maximal isotropic subcomplex of \mathbb{E}_f . Finally, the equality on virtual pullbacks follows from [Par1, Prop. 1.18]. \square

5.5. Dimensional reduction to symplectic quotients. In a forthcoming work, we will show that the quasimap invariants defines in the present paper have dimensional reduction to quasimap invariants of symplectic quotients as defined by [CiKM, Kim].

Let M be a symplectic vector space over \mathbb{C} (also known as a quaternionic vector space) with a Hamiltonian action by an algebraic group G . Let \mathfrak{g} be the Lie algebra of G and

$$\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$$

be the moment map. Define $W := M \times \mathfrak{g}$ with the induced G -action. Let

$$\phi : W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (x, \xi) \in M \times \mathfrak{g} \mapsto \langle \mu(x), \xi \rangle,$$

where $\langle -, - \rangle$ denotes the pairing of dual vector spaces. Note that

$$d\phi = (d\phi_1, d\phi_2) : M \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow M^* \times \mathfrak{g}^*, \quad \text{with } d\phi_2 = \mu.$$

Hence $d\phi(x, \xi) = 0$ implies $\mu(x) = 0$. In particular, we have closed embeddings

$$\text{Crit}(\phi) \subseteq \mu^{-1}(0) \times \mathfrak{g} \subseteq Z(\phi).$$

And the critical locus is characterized as the zero locus of $d\phi_1|_{\mu^{-1}(0) \times \mathfrak{g}}$.

The quotient stack $[\mu^{-1}(0) \times_G \mathfrak{g}]$ is a vector bundle over $[\mu^{-1}(0)/G]$ with fiber \mathfrak{g} , and

$$[\text{Crit}(\phi)/G] \subseteq \mu^{-1}(0) \times_G \mathfrak{g}$$

is a closed substack. Moreover, taking the stable locus of $\mu^{-1}(0)$, denoted by $\mu^{-1}(0)^s$, we obtain a vector bundle

$$\mu^{-1}(0)^s \times_G \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(0)^s/G$$

over the symplectic reduction.

Let F be a reductive group with a character $\chi : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ acting on M so that the symplectic form Ω transforms under F as χ , i.e. Ω induces an F -equivariant isomorphism $M \cong M^* \otimes \chi$. By definition, the moment map

$$\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \chi$$

is F -equivariant with F acting trivially on \mathfrak{g} . In particular, the function

$$\phi : W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\chi$$

is a F -equivariant map with F acting on \mathbb{C} by character χ .

The quasimap invariants of symplectic quotients as defined by [CiKM, Kim] give a map

$$\Phi_{g,n,\beta}^{\text{symp}} : A_*(\overline{M}_{g,n}) \otimes A_*^{F_0}(\mu^{-1}(0)^s/G)^{\otimes n} \rightarrow A_*^{F_0}(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}}.$$

We expect the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_*(\overline{M}_{g,n}) \otimes A_*^{F_0}(\mu^{-1}(0)^s/G)^{\otimes n} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & A_*(\overline{M}_{g,n}) \otimes A_*^{F_0}(\mu^{-1}(0)^s \times_G \mathfrak{g})^{\otimes n} \\ \Phi_{g,n,\beta}^{\text{symp}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \Phi_{g,n,\beta} \\ A_*^{F_0}(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}} & \xlongequal{\quad} & A_*^{F_0}(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}} \end{array}$$

to be commutative. Here the upper horizontal map is given by the smooth pullback of the projection of vector bundle $\mu^{-1}(0)^s \times_G \mathfrak{g}$ to the base $\mu^{-1}(0)^s/G$, and the right vertical map is given as (5.2) (noticing that $\mu^{-1}(0)^s \times_G \mathfrak{g} \subseteq Z(\phi)^s/G$).

It is worth mentioning that there is an isomorphism [Dav, Theorem A1]

$$H_{F_0}^{BM}(\mu^{-1}(0)^s \times_G \mathfrak{g}) \cong H_{F_0}(W//G, \varphi_\phi),$$

where $H_{F_0}^{BM}$ denotes the (equivariant) Borel-Moore homology (Eqn. (A.4)), by abuse of notation ϕ denotes the descent function $W//G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and φ_ϕ denotes the vanishing cycle functor in Eqn. (A.5). We refer to the appendix for more discussions on the critical cohomology $H_{F_0}(W//G, \varphi_\phi)$ and its properties.

By considering the K -theoretic version of what have been defined in §5.1, one will have dimensional reduction to the K -theoretic QM invariants of symplectic quotients which have been extensively studied (particularly on Nakajima quiver varieties) by the Okounkov school (e.g. [AO, Oko, PSZ, KZ, KPSZ]).

5.6. On quantum critical cohomology. In this section, we discuss how our pullback map (4.25) can be used to define a quantum critical cohomology in two cases.

5.6.1. Compact-type case and geometric phase. We consider two special cases of our Setting 2.1.

The first special case is referred to as the equivariantly compact-type case, which is motivated by the compact-type condition of [FJR2, Def. 4.1.4].

Setting 5.13. *Notations as in Setting 5.1 and we assume $\phi|_{X^{F_0}} = 0$.*

The assumption implies $X^{F_0} \subseteq Z(\phi)$. In particular, there is an element

$$(5.20) \quad 1 := \frac{[X^{F_0}]}{e^{F_0}(N_{X^{F_0}} X)} \in A_*^{F_0}(Z(\phi))_{\text{loc}}.$$

Recall the canonical map defined in Eqn. (A.7), we have the following.

Proposition 5.14. *In Setting 5.13, the canonical map induces an isomorphism:*

$$(5.21) \quad H_{F_0}^{BM}(Z(\phi))_{loc} \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{F_0}(X, \varphi_\phi)_{loc},$$

and the natural inclusion map induces an isomorphism:

$$(5.22) \quad H_{F_0}^{BM}(Z(\phi))_{loc} \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{F_0}^{BM}(X)_{loc}.$$

Proof. We first show (5.21). For any F_0 -equivariant sheaf A on X , we denote the compactly supported cohomology

$$H_{c, F_0}^*(X, A) := p_{X!}A.$$

Recall that Borel-Moore homology (resp. critical cohomology) is the dual of the above cohomology when $A = \mathbb{Q}_X$ (resp. $A = \varphi_\phi \mathbb{Q}_X$). The Milnor triangle (A.6) gives a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_{c, F_0}^i(X, \psi_\phi) \rightarrow H_{c, F_0}^i(X, \varphi_\phi) \rightarrow H_{c, F_0}^i(Z(\phi), \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \cdots.$$

If we can show $H_{c, F_0}^*(X, \psi_\phi)_{loc} = 0$, the isomorphism (5.21) would then follow from the same argument as [Brion, Lem. 4].

Let $\rho : X^{F_0} \rightarrow X$ be the natural closed embedding. For any F_0 -equivariant sheaf A on X , the equivariant localization [GKM, Thm. 6.2] yields that the map

$$H_{c, F_0}^*(X, A) \rightarrow H_{c, F_0}^*(X, \rho_* \rho^* A)$$

becomes an isomorphism after applying localization, so we are left to show

$$(5.23) \quad H_{c, F_0}^*(X, \rho_* \rho^* \psi_\phi)_{loc} = 0.$$

Let $j : X^* \rightarrow X$ be the open complement of $i : Z(\phi) \rightarrow X$, and let $\hat{\pi} : \widetilde{X}^* \rightarrow X^*$ be the \mathbb{Z} -cover obtained from pulling back the exponential map $\exp : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ along ϕ . The composition $j \circ \hat{\pi}$ is denoted by l . Setting 5.13 implies that ρ factor as the composition of $h : X^{F_0} \rightarrow Z(\phi)$ with i .

Recall that $\psi_\phi := i_* i^* l_* l^*$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_* \rho^* i_* i^* l_* l^* &= \rho_* h^* i_* i^* l_* l^* \\ &= \rho_* h^* i^* l_* l^* \\ &= \rho_* \rho^* l_* l^*. \end{aligned}$$

Since ρ is a regular embedding, we have $\rho^* = \rho^! [d]$ where d is the relative dimension. By definition of \widetilde{X}^* and Setting 5.13, we have the Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \emptyset & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{X}^* \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow l \\ X^{F_0} & \xrightarrow{\rho} & X. \end{array}$$

Base-change (ref. [KaSc, Prop. 3.1.9]) implies that $\rho^! \circ l_* = 0$, therefore (5.23) holds.

To show (5.22), recall the following long exact sequence

$$\rightarrow H_{F_0}^{BM}(Z(\phi)) \rightarrow H_{F_0}^{BM}(X) \rightarrow H_{F_0}^{BM}(X \setminus Z(\phi)) \rightarrow .$$

Since $X^{F_0} \subseteq Z(\phi)$, so $X^{F_0} = Z(\phi)^{F_0}$. By localization, we know $H_{F_0}^{BM}(X \setminus Z(\phi))_{loc} = 0$. \square

The second special case we consider is referred to as the geometric phase, which is motivated by the definition of geometric phase in [CFGKS, Def. 1.4.5].

Setting 5.15. *Let M be a vector space with an $(H = G \times F)$ -action, so that the G -action on the θ -stable locus M^s of M is free. Let $V \rightarrow M$ be an equivariant vector bundle together with a section $s \in \Gamma(M, V)$ which is G -invariant and transforms under F as character $\chi^{-1} : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$.*

Let W be the total space of V^\vee with the induced H -action and projection $\pi : W \rightarrow M$. Define

$$\phi : W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \phi(v^\vee) = \langle s \circ \pi(v^\vee), v^\vee \rangle.$$

Let $Z(s) \subseteq M$ be the zero locus of s . Assume furthermore that $Z(s)^s/G$ is smooth. Denote

$$Z := \pi^{-1}(Z(s)), \quad Z^s := \pi^{-1}(Z(s)^s), \quad W^s := \pi^{-1}(M^s).$$

By an abuse of notations, we still write $X = W^s/G$ and $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ for the descent function. There is an isomorphism: [Dav, Thm. A1]

$$(5.24) \quad H_{F_0}^{BM}(Z^s/G) \cong H_{F_0}(X, \varphi_\phi),$$

which goes in literature by the name dimensional reduction. Since Z^s/G is the total space of a vector bundle over $Z(s)^s/G$, we obtain by the assumption in Setting 5.15 that Z^s/G is smooth. Hence, there is a fundamental class

$$(5.25) \quad 1 := [Z^s/G] \in A_*^{F_0}(Z^s/G).$$

Recall the anti-diagonal class $\eta \in A_*^{F_0}\left(\frac{Z(\boxplus^2\phi)^s}{G^2}\right)$ defined in (5.5). By an abuse of notations, we denote its image in the corresponding Borel-Moore homology (via cycle map) also by η .

In below we show the class η (after localization) sits in a smaller space.

Lemma 5.16. *In Setting 5.13, we have*

$$\eta \in H_{F_0}^{BM}(Z(\phi))_{loc} \otimes H_{F_0}^{BM}(Z(\phi))_{loc}.$$

In Setting 5.15, we have

$$\eta \in H_{F_0}^{BM}(Z^s/G)_{loc} \otimes H_{F_0}^{BM}(Z^s/G)_{loc}.$$

Proof. In Setting 5.13, $X^{F_0} = Z(\phi)^{F_0}$. By the equivariant localization [GKM, Thm. 6.2]:

$$H_{F_0}^{BM}(Z(\phi))_{loc} \cong H_{F_0}^{BM}(X)_{loc}.$$

Therefore the claim obviously holds.

In Setting 5.15, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{F_0}^{BM}(X) & \xrightarrow{\Delta_*} & H_{F_0}^{BM}\left(\frac{Z(\boxplus^2\phi)^s}{G^2}\right) \\ \text{can} \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \text{can} \\ H_{F_0}(X, \varphi_0) & \xrightarrow{\Delta_*} & H_{F_0}^{BM}(X^2, \varphi_{\phi \boxplus \phi}) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\text{TS}} H_{F_0}(X, \varphi_\phi)^{\otimes 2}, \end{array}$$

where TS is the Thom-Sabastiani isomorphism in §A.4 and we refer to §A.3 for the pushforward of critical cohomology.

Note that $\eta = \Delta_*[X]$. After localization and using the isomorphism (5.24), we know

$$\eta \in H_{F_0}^{BM}(Z^s/G)_{loc} \otimes H_{F_0}^{BM}(Z^s/G)_{loc}. \quad \square$$

Using Lemma 5.16, we can write

$$\eta = \eta_i \boxtimes \eta^i \in H_{F_0}^{BM}(Z(\phi))_{loc} \otimes H_{F_0}^{BM}(Z(\phi))_{loc},$$

$$\eta = \eta_i \boxtimes \eta^i \in H_{F_0}^{BM}(Z^s/G)_{loc} \otimes H_{F_0}^{BM}(Z^s/G)_{loc},$$

in Setting 5.13 and Setting 5.15 respectively. Moreover, $QM_{g,n}^{R_X = \omega_{\log}}(\text{Crit}(\phi), \beta)$ has a virtual class

$$[QM_{g,n}^{R_X = \omega_{\log}}(\text{Crit}(\phi), \beta)]^{\text{vir}} := \sqrt{f^!} \circ \nu^* \left([\overline{M}_{g,n}] \boxtimes 1^{\boxtimes n} \right) \in A_*^{F_0}\left(QM_{g,n}^{R_X = \omega_{\log}}(\text{Crit}(\phi), \beta)\right),$$

where 1 is given by Eqn. (5.20) or (5.25), ν^* is the flat pullback of (5.1) and $\sqrt{f^!}$ is defined in (4.25). Recall the evaluation map (2.7):

$$ev^n : QM_{g,n}^{R_X = \omega_{\log}}(\text{Crit}(\phi), \beta) \rightarrow \text{Crit}(\phi)^n,$$

which is proper at F_0 -fixed locus, therefore we have a localized pushforward ev_*^n (see §A.1).

We extend the definition of quasimap invariants (Definition 5.6) to the following.

Definition 5.17. In either Setting 5.13 or Setting 5.15, let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in H_{F_0}(X, \varphi_\phi)_{loc}$.

(1) The quasimap invariant

$$\langle \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \rangle_{g,\beta,n} := p_* \left((\gamma_1 \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \gamma_n) \cdot cl \left(ev_*^n [QM_{g,n}^{R_X = \omega_{\log}}(\text{Crit}(\phi), \beta)]^{\text{vir}} \right) \right),$$

Here $cl : A_*^{F_0}(-)_{loc} \rightarrow H_{F_0}^{BM}(-)_{loc}$ is the cycle map and \cdot is the intersection product in X^n with support on $\text{Crit}(\phi)^n$, we use (5.21), (5.24) to identify γ_i 's as BM homology classes, and p_* is the localized pushforward (Eqn. (A.3)) from $\text{Crit}(\phi)^n$ to a point.

(2) The quasimap class is

$$\langle \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n, * \rangle_{g, \beta, n+1} := \langle \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n, \eta_i \rangle_{g, \beta, n+1} \eta^i \in H_{F_0}(X, \varphi_\phi)_{loc}.$$

(3) The quantum product of $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in H_{F_0}(X, \varphi_\phi)_{loc}$ is

$$\gamma_1 * \gamma_2 := \sum_{\beta \in N_+(\text{Crit}(\phi))} \langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2, * \rangle_{0, \beta, 3} z^\beta \in H_{F_0}(X, \varphi_\phi)_{loc}[[z]].$$

Remark 5.18. Quasimap invariants defined in Definition 5.17 are consistent with those in Definition 5.6 via the cycle map. They also satisfy the gluing formula and WDVV type equation as Theorems 5.7 and 5.11.

Remark 5.19. All invariants defined above depend on the choice of R -charge, which has been used as an input in the definition of twisted quasimaps. Similar to the case of quiver varieties [Oko, §4.3.12], we expect “constant quasimaps” to have not-necessarily zero degree, which depends on the R -charge. Therefore, we do not expect the $\beta = 0$ component of Definition 5.6 (3) to recover the classical product.

Remark 5.20. The above quantum product involves only three pointed QM invariants and is the analogy of “small quantum product” in the theory of quantum cohomology. One can also define the “big quantum product” using genus zero invariants with more than three points. The WDVV type equations proved below will enable us to define Dubrovin type quantum connections exactly as before (see e.g. [KM, §4], [RT, §9]).

5.6.2. *WDVV for the quantum product.* Next we show the associativity of the quantum product as defined in Definition 5.17.

Fix a collection of cohomology classes $\delta_i \in H_{F_0}(X, \varphi_\phi)$ with $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $\gamma_j \in H_{F_0}(X, \varphi_\phi)$ with $j = 1, 2, 3$. For any partition $A \sqcup B = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, we denote

$$\delta_A = \delta_{i_1} \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \delta_{i_m} \in H_{F_0}(X^A, \varphi_{\boxplus^A \phi}),$$

where $A = \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$ subjects to the ordering condition $i_1 < \dots < i_m$, and similarly denote δ_B . We introduce signs $(-1)^{\epsilon_1(A)}$, $(-1)^{\epsilon_2(A)}$ by

$$(\gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_2 \wedge \gamma_3) \wedge (\delta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \delta_n) = (-1)^{\epsilon_1(A)} (\gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_2 \wedge \delta_A) \wedge (\gamma_3 \wedge \delta_B),$$

$$(\gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_2 \wedge \gamma_3) \wedge (\delta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \delta_n) = (-1)^{\epsilon_2(A)} (\gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_3 \wedge \delta_A) \wedge (\gamma_2 \wedge \delta_B).$$

Theorem 5.21. *Notations as above, for any $\beta \in N_+(\text{Crit}(\phi))$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta} \sum_{A \sqcup B = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}} (-1)^{\epsilon_1(A)} \langle \langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \delta_A, * \rangle_{0, \beta_1}, \gamma_3, \delta_B, * \rangle_{0, \beta_2} \\ &= \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta} \sum_{A \sqcup B = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}} (-1)^{\epsilon_2(A)} \langle \langle \gamma_1, \gamma_3, \delta_A, * \rangle_{0, \beta_1}, \gamma_2, \delta_B, * \rangle_{0, \beta_2}. \end{aligned}$$

As a corollary, by setting $A = B = \emptyset$, we get the associativity of the quantum product.

Corollary 5.22. *The quantum product in Definition 5.17 is associative, i.e. for any $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$,*

$$(\gamma_1 * \gamma_2) * \gamma_3 = \gamma_1 * (\gamma_2 * \gamma_3).$$

Proof of Theorem 5.21. Notice that by definition

$$\langle \langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \delta_A, * \rangle_{0, \beta_1}, \gamma_3, \delta_B, * \rangle_{0, \beta_2} = \langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \delta_A, \eta_i \rangle_{0, \beta_1} \langle \eta^i, \gamma_3, \delta_B, \eta^j \rangle_{0, \beta_2} \eta_j.$$

And for any δ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \delta_A, \eta_i \rangle_{0, \beta_1} \langle \eta^i, \gamma_3, \delta_B, \delta \rangle_{0, \beta_2} \\ &= \Phi_{0, |A|+3, \beta_1} \otimes \Phi_{0, |B|+3, \beta_2} ([\overline{M}_{0, |A|+3} \times \overline{M}_{0, |B|+3}] \boxtimes \delta_A \boxtimes \gamma_1 \boxtimes \gamma_2 \boxtimes \eta \boxtimes \delta_B \boxtimes \gamma_3 \boxtimes \delta). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $|A| = |B| = \emptyset$, the assertion now follows from an analogy of Theorem 5.11 as explained in Remark 5.18. \square

5.7. Towards quantum cohomology for (-1) -shifted symplectic derived stacks. In future investigations, we expect to study a more general theory of quantum critical cohomology.

Let \mathcal{X} be an oriented (-1) -shifted symplectic derived Artin stack over \mathbb{C} (e.g. [BBBJ, Def. 3.6]). There is a perverse sheaf $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}}$ on \mathcal{X} [BBBJ, Thm. 1.3] (see also [KL1] for the moduli scheme case). When \mathcal{X} has a torus F -action so that the shifted symplectic form transforms under a character $\chi : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ (see Definition 3.8), then $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is equivariant under $F_0 := \text{Ker}(\chi)$ -action. Its hypercohomology

$$\mathcal{H} := H_{c, F_0}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}})^\vee$$

is a generalization of the critical cohomology $H_{F_0}(X, \varphi)$ in Appendix A.2.

Let $R : \mathbb{C}_R^* \rightarrow F$ be an R -charge, the stack $\text{Map}_{g, \tilde{n}}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}}(\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}_R^*)$ is well-defined in the same way as in §2.3. With an appropriate stability condition, there is a substack of “quasimaps”:

$$QM_{g, \tilde{n}}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}}(\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}_R^*) \subseteq \text{Map}_{g, \tilde{n}}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}}(\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}_R^*),$$

which is expected to yield a map

$$\Phi_{g, n, \beta}^{\text{top}} : H^{BM}(\overline{M}_{g, n}) \otimes \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n} \rightarrow H_{F_0}^{BM}(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}}$$

where $\beta \in \text{Hom}(\text{Pic}(\mathcal{X}), \mathbb{Z})$, and provisional also an algebraic version

$$\Phi_{g, n, \beta}^{\text{alg}} : A_*(\overline{M}_{g, n}) \otimes \mathcal{C}^{\otimes n} \rightarrow A_*^{F_0}(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}}$$

along the lines of the preset paper, where \mathcal{C} is the Chow group of certain stack associated with \mathcal{X} .

In the case when \mathcal{X} comes from the setting of gauged linear sigma models, i.e., it is a global derived critical locus, one can take \mathcal{C} to be the equivariant Chow group of the critical locus (or zero locus) as in diagram (1.6), and $\Phi_{g, n, \beta}^{\text{alg}}$ and $\Phi_{g, n, \beta}^{\text{top}}$ are related by the commutative diagram in *loc. cit.*

In the case when $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{T}^*[-1]\mathcal{M}$ is the (-1) -shifted cotangent bundle of a quasi-smooth derived Artin stack \mathcal{M} , there is an analogue of the dimensional reduction isomorphism [Kinjo]:

$$H_{F_0}^{BM}(\mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{H},$$

and we may take $\mathcal{C} = A_*^{F_0}(\mathcal{M})$. With some care, the method developed in this paper is expected to define both the provisional maps $\Phi_{g, n, \beta}^{\text{alg}}$ and $\Phi_{g, n, \beta}^{\text{top}}$ as in Definitions 5.5, 5.17, which satisfies certain compatibility conditions. The details will appear in a forthcoming work.

6. VARIANTS OF QUASIMAPS AND APPLICATIONS

In the previous sections, we defined virtual counts of quasimaps from arbitrary prestable curves to the critical locus. Following works of the Okounkov school [Oko, §6], [PSZ, §2.2, §2.5], [KZ, KPSZ] which are based on [CiKM, §7.2], [CiK1, §7.2], one can consider a variant of the above quasimaps by labelling a distinguished component of the genus 0 curves and putting relative marked points on them. In this section, we use such a variant to define analogues quasimap counts. Our discussions are kept sketchy as most constructions are similar as before.

6.1. Quasimaps with parametrized components and relative points. Notations as in Setting 2.1, we concentrate on the genus 0 case and label the distinguished component by

$$D \cong \mathbb{P}^1,$$

with relative points on it, which are distinct smooth points $p_1, \dots, p_n \in D$. We fix a principal \mathbb{C}^* -bundle P_0 on D and an R -charge $R : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow F$ (Definition 2.5) with a fixed isomorphism

$$(6.1) \quad P_0 \times_{\mathbb{C}^*} R_\chi = \omega_{D, \log}, \quad \text{where } R_\chi := \chi \circ R.$$

The induced F -bundle $P_{0, F}$ is defined by

$$(6.2) \quad P_{0, F} = P_0 \times_{\mathbb{C}^*} R.$$

The isomorphism (6.1) then induces an isomorphism

$$(6.3) \quad \varkappa : P_{0, F} \times_F \chi = \omega_{D, \log}.$$

Note that when R_χ is a non-trivial map, P_0 is determined by the R -charge as any \mathbb{C}^* -bundle on a rational curve D is determined by its degree.

Definition 6.1. A stable genus 0, D -parametrized R -twisted quasimap to $\text{Crit}(\phi)//G$ relative to p_1, \dots, p_n is given by the data

$$(C, p'_1, \dots, p'_n, \pi, P, u),$$

where

- (C, p'_1, \dots, p'_n) is a prestable genus 0, n -pointed curve with a regular map $\pi : C \rightarrow D$,
- P_G is a principal G -bundle on C .
- u is a section of the vector bundle

$$(P_G \times_C \pi^* P_{0,F}) \times_{G \times F} W \rightarrow C,$$

subject to the conditions:

- (1) $\pi(p'_i) = p_i$ for all i .
- (2) There is a distinguished component C_0 of C such that π restricts to an isomorphism $\pi|_{C_0} : C_0 \cong D$ and $\pi(C \setminus C_0)$ is zero dimensional (possibly empty).
- (3) There is a finite (possibly empty) set $B \subset C$ of points such that $u(C \setminus B)$ is contained in the stable locus $(P_G \times_C \pi^* P_{0,F}) \times_{G \times F} \text{Crit}(\phi)^s$.
- (4) The set B is disjoint from all nodes and markings on C .
- (5) $\omega_{\tilde{C}}(\sum p_i + \sum q_j) \otimes L_\theta^\epsilon$ is ample for every rational number $\epsilon > 0$, where $L_\theta := P_G \times_G \mathcal{C}_\theta$, \tilde{C} is the closure of $C \setminus C_0$, p_i are markings on \tilde{C} and q_j are nodes of $\tilde{C} \cap C_0$.

The class β of such a quasimap is given by the degree of the principal G -bundle P_G .

Remark 6.2. By stability, there should be a marked point in the last component of every rational tail attached to the distinguished component C_0 of C . As all points in the same rational tail are contracted to a point in D , by the condition $\pi(p'_i) = p_i$, we know there can not be other marked points in the same rational tail (see [PSZ, Figure 1] for an example of its shape).

Therefore, all components of C (other than C_0) have exactly two special points (marked points or nodes), and $\pi^* P_{0,F} \times_F \chi \cong \omega_{C, \log}$ is automatically satisfied. Pullback of the isomorphism (6.3) provides a preferred choice of such an isomorphism.

Remark 6.3. When $n = 0$, by stability, $C = C_0 \cong D = \mathbb{P}^1$ in above. Definition 6.1 dramatically simplifies. In §7, §8, we will study in detail the so-called *vertex function* (also known as *hemispherical partition function*) defined by such quasimaps with $\infty \notin B$.

We denote

$$(6.4) \quad QM = QM_{\text{rel}, p_1, \dots, p_n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}}(\text{Crit}(\phi)//G, \beta, D)$$

to be the moduli stack of stable genus 0, D -parametrized R -twisted quasimaps to $\text{Crit}(\phi)//G$ with relative points $p_1, \dots, p_n \in D$ in class β as in Definition 6.1. It is a closed substack of the similar moduli stack of quasimaps to $W//G$ considered in [PSZ, Def. 3, Thm. 8]. In particular, it is DM of finite type. Similar to Theorem 2.14, if the F_0 -fixed locus in the affine quotient $(\text{Crit}(\phi)/_{\text{aff}} G)^{F_0}$ is finite, then the F_0 -fixed locus $(QM)^{F_0}$ is proper. Note that properness in this setting holds without assuming $\text{Ker}(R_\chi) = 1$ or using balanced twisted maps, since the data of principal F -bundle is fixed, and hence so is the r -Spin structures occurring in the theory of balanced twisted maps.

Forgetting maps and principal bundles gives a morphism

$$QM_{\text{rel}, p_1, \dots, p_n}^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}}(\text{Crit}(\phi)//G, \beta, D) \rightarrow U_{p_1, \dots, p_n}$$

to the stack U_{p_1, \dots, p_n} of underlying n -pointed trees of rational curves with one parametrized component $C_0 \cong D$ and relative points $p_1, \dots, p_n \in D$. In fact, denote $\widetilde{D}[n]$ to be the Fulton-MacPherson stack of (not necessarily stable) n -pointed trees of rational curves with one parametrized component $C_0 \cong D$, which is a smooth Artin stack locally of finite type over \mathbb{C} [CiK1, §7.2]. Let $U \subset \widetilde{D}[n]$ be the open substack where every component of the rational curve (other than D) has at least two special points. Define U_{p_1, \dots, p_n} by the following Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_{p_1, \dots, p_n} & \longrightarrow & U \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ \{(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)\}^{\subset} & \longrightarrow & D^n, \end{array}$$

where π sends the n -pointed trees of rational curves to the image of marked points under the contraction to D . Away from the big diagonal of D^n (i.e. the locus of n -distinct points on D), the map π is a smooth morphism. Therefore we know U_{p_1, \dots, p_n} is also smooth.

6.2. Corresponding quasimap invariants. Let \mathcal{C} be the universal curve over $S := U_{p_1, \dots, p_n}$. Similar to (4.8), we consider the mapping stacks (relative to S):

$$\text{Map}_S^{\chi=\omega_{\log}}(\mathcal{C}, [\text{Crit}(\phi)/H] \times S) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Bun}_H^{\chi=\omega_{\log}}(\mathcal{C}/S) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Bun}_F^{\chi=\omega_{\log}}(\mathcal{C}/S),$$

where $\mathfrak{Bun}_\bullet^{\chi=\omega_{\log}}(\mathcal{C}/S) := \text{Map}_S^{\chi=\omega_{\log}}(\mathcal{C}, [\text{pt}/\bullet] \times S)$ for $\bullet = H = G \times F$ or F .

Pulling back the pair $(P_{0,F}, \mathcal{Z})$ given in (6.2), (6.3) from D to $C \in S$ via the map in Definition 6.1 defines a section $S \rightarrow \mathfrak{Bun}_F^{\chi=\omega_{\log}}(\mathcal{C}/S)$. The base-change along this section defines/gives the following pullback diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Map}_S^{\chi=\omega_{\log}}(\mathcal{C}, [\text{Crit}(\phi)/H] \times S)_D & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{Bun}_G(\mathcal{C}/S) & \longrightarrow & S \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ \text{Map}_S^{\chi=\omega_{\log}}(\mathcal{C}, [\text{Crit}(\phi)/H] \times S) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{Bun}_H^{\chi=\omega_{\log}}(\mathcal{C}/S) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{Bun}_F^{\chi=\omega_{\log}}(\mathcal{C}/S), \end{array}$$

where $QM_{\text{rel}, p_1, \dots, p_n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}}(\text{Crit}(\phi)//G, \beta, D)$ is an open substack of $\text{Map}_S^{\chi=\omega_{\log}}(\mathcal{C}, [\text{Crit}(\phi)/H] \times S)_D$ determined by the stability conditions in Definition 6.1. Similar to Theorem 3.26, each ‘fiber’ of

$$f : \text{Map}_S^{\chi=\omega_{\log}}(\mathcal{C}, [\text{Crit}(\phi)/H] \times S)_D \rightarrow \mathfrak{Bun}_G(\mathcal{C}/S) \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^n} [W/G]^n$$

has a (-2) -shifted symplectic structure. The construction of §4.2 (as in Definition 4.13) then defines a virtual pullback

$$(6.5) \quad \sqrt{f^!} : A_*^{F_0} \left(\mathfrak{Bun}_G(\mathcal{C}/S) \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^n} \frac{Z(\boxplus^n \phi)^s}{G^n} \right) \rightarrow A_*^{F_0} \left(QM_{\text{rel}, p_1, \dots, p_n}^{R_\chi=\omega_{\log}}(\text{Crit}(\phi)//G, \beta, D) \right).$$

As in Definition 5.5, we can define

$$\Phi_{p_1, \dots, p_n}^{R, \beta, D} := p_{QM*} \circ \sqrt{f^!} \circ \nu^*([\mathfrak{Bun}_G(\mathcal{C}/S)] \boxtimes -) : A_*^{F_0} \left(\frac{Z(\boxplus^n \phi)^s}{G^n} \right) \rightarrow A_*^{F_0}(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}},$$

where

$$\nu : \mathfrak{Bun}_G(\mathcal{C}/S) \times_{[\text{pt}/G]^n} \left(\frac{Z(\boxplus^n \phi)^s}{G^n} \right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Bun}_G(\mathcal{C}/S) \times \left(\frac{Z(\boxplus^n \phi)^s}{G^n} \right)$$

is a smooth map.

More generally, one can put insertions in above: let $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be the universal $(G \times F)$ -bundle on \mathcal{C} , for $\tau \in K_{G \times F}(\text{pt})$, we form

$$\mathcal{P} \times_{G \times F} \tau \in K_F(\mathcal{C}),$$

where the F -action is induced from the quasimap stack QM . One can restrict this class to the distinguished component $QM \times C_0 = QM \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and also $QM \times Q \hookrightarrow QM \times \mathbb{P}^1$ for a finite number of distinct points Q in \mathbb{P}^1 .

Definition 6.4. Notations as above, we define

$$(6.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi_{p_1, \dots, p_n}^{R, \beta, D}(\{\tau_i\}_{i=1}^{|Q|}, Q) &:= p_{QM*} \circ \left(\prod_{x_i \in Q} e^{F_0}((\mathcal{P} \times_{G \times F} \tau_i)|_{QM \times \{x_i\}}) \cap \right) \circ \sqrt{f^!} \circ \nu^*([\mathfrak{Bun}_G(\mathcal{C}/S)] \boxtimes -) \\ &: A_*^{F_0} \left(\frac{Z(\boxplus^n \phi)^s}{G^n} \right) \rightarrow A_*^{F_0}(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}}. \end{aligned}$$

The above construction can be generalized to the case when

$$D = D_1 \cup D_2 \cup \dots \cup D_d$$

is a chain of rational curves ($D_i \cong \mathbb{P}^1$) with relative points $p_1, \dots, p_n \in D$ and Q is a finite number of distinct smooth points in D . One fixes a principal \mathbb{C}^* -bundle P_0 and an R -charge $R_i : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow F$ (Definition 2.5) on each component D_i such that (6.1) and (6.2) hold on each D_i . Then one defines

$$\Phi_{p_1, \dots, p_n}^{(R_1, \dots, R_d), \beta, D}(\{\tau_i\}_{i=1}^{|Q|}, Q) : A_*^{F_0} \left(\frac{Z(\boxplus^n \phi)^s}{G^n} \right) \rightarrow A_*^{F_0}(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}}$$

exactly as Eqn. (6.6).

6.4.2. *Some distinguished quasimap invariants.* Let $D = \mathbb{P}^1$ and \mathbb{C}_q^* be the 1-dimensional torus acting on \mathbb{P}^1 , i.e. in the homogenous coordinate $[x, y] \in \mathbb{P}^1$, $q \in \mathbb{C}_q^*$ acts via

$$q[x, y] = [q^{-1}x, qy].$$

In below, we use the following notations

$$\hbar := -c_1(q), \quad T := F \times \mathbb{C}_q^*, \quad T_0 := F_0 \times \mathbb{C}_q^*.$$

Write $0 = [0, 1]$ and $\infty = [1, 0]$. If p_i and q_j are all either 0 or ∞ , then \mathbb{C}_q^* and T act on

$$QM := QM_{\substack{\text{rel. } p_1, \dots, p_n \\ \text{sm. } q_1, \dots, q_m}}^{R, \chi = \omega_{\log}}(\text{Crit}(\phi)//G, \beta, D),$$

and $\mathcal{P} \times_{G \times F} \alpha$ also has \mathbb{C}_q^* -equivariant structure obtained via the R -charge $R : \mathbb{C}_q^* \rightarrow F$. In this case, the map (6.11) can be defined equivariantly with respect to the T_0 -action.

As in [PSZ, §2.4, §2.7], we also introduce a few invariants needed in the below discussions.

Definition 6.5. (1) When $n = 0$, $m = 1$ and $q_1 = \infty \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and $Q = \{0\}$ in (6.11), we define

$$V^\tau(z) := \sum_{\beta} z^\beta \Phi_{\emptyset}^{R, \beta, \mathbb{P}^1}(\{\tau\}, \{0\}) \in A_*^{T_0}(\text{Crit}(\phi)//G)_{\text{loc}}[[z]].$$

This is called the *vertex function* (or *hemispherical partition function*) with descendent insertion τ .

When $\tau = 1$, we simply write

$$V(z) := V^{\tau=1}(z),$$

which is an analogue of Givental's I -function [Giv] (see also [Oko, §7.2.1]).

(2) When $n = 1$, $m = 0$ and $p_1 = \infty \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and $Q = \{0\}$ in (6.11), we define

$$\hat{V}^\tau(z) := \sum_{\beta} z^\beta \Phi_{\emptyset}^{R, \beta, \mathbb{P}^1}(\{\tau\}, \{0\}) : A_*^{T_0}(Z(\phi)^s/G) \rightarrow A_*^{T_0}(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}}[[z]].$$

This is called the *topologically twisted vertex function*¹⁵ with descendent insertion τ .

(3) We denote the limit

$$\hat{\tau}(z) := \lim_{\hbar=0} \hat{V}^\tau(z) : A_*^{T_0}(Z(\phi)^s/G) \rightarrow A_*^{T_0}(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}}[[z]].$$

If $\tau = 1$, we simply write

$$\hat{1}(z) := \lim_{\hbar=0} \hat{V}^{\tau=1}(z) : A_*^{T_0}(Z(\phi)^s/G) \rightarrow A_*^{T_0}(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}}[[z]].$$

Notice that since $m = 0$ (i.e. no smooth points labelled), the pushforward can be defined using only F_0 -localization (as the F_0 -fixed locus is already proper), hence \hat{V}^τ is a polynomial in \hbar and the above limit is well-defined.

(4) When $n = m = 1$, let $p_1 = 0 \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and $q_1 = \infty \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and $Q = \emptyset$ in (6.11), we denote

$$J(z) := \sum_{\beta} z^\beta \Phi_{\emptyset}^{R, \beta, \mathbb{P}^1} : A_*^{T_0}(Z(\phi)^s/G) \rightarrow A_*^{T_0}(\text{Crit}(\phi)//G)_{\text{loc}}[[z]].$$

We sometimes view $J(z)$ as an operator

$$A_*^{T_0}(\text{Crit}(\phi)//G)_{\text{loc}}[[z]] \rightarrow A_*^{T_0}(\text{Crit}(\phi)//G)_{\text{loc}}[[z]]$$

by using the embedding $\text{Crit}(\phi)//G \rightarrow Z(\phi)^s/G$.

Definition 6.6. Let $n = 2$, $m = 0$ with $p_1 = 0$ and $p_2 = \infty$ in (6.10). We take the R -charge to be trivial, which is possible as $\omega_{\log, D} \cong \mathcal{O}$ in this case. For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{X}(G)$, we define

$$\mathbf{M}^\alpha(z) := \sum_{\beta} z^\beta \Phi_{\emptyset}^{R=1, \beta, \mathbb{P}^1}(\{\alpha\}, \{0\}) : A_*^{T_0} \left(\frac{Z(\boxplus^2 \phi)^s}{G^2} \right) \rightarrow A_*^{T_0}(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}}[[z]].$$

¹⁵One call it “topologically twisted” as domain curves of relative quasimaps can develop rational tails attaching to the distinguished component $C_0 = \mathbb{P}^1$ and we integrate over all such possible configurations.

Fix a basis $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N$ of the free part of the character group $\mathbb{X}(G)$. For a curve class $\beta \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{X}(G), \mathbb{Z})$, we write $\beta_i = \beta(\alpha_i) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we can write

$$(6.12) \quad z^\beta = z_1^{\beta_1} \cdots z_N^{\beta_N}.$$

Since the definition of the J -operator involves a smooth marked point, $T_0 = (F_0 \times \mathbb{C}_q^*)$ -equivariant localization is needed to define invariants (F_0 -fixed locus is not necessarily proper). The degeneration and gluing formulae hold for this T_0 -equivariant case to prove the following result, where our argument follows closely [Oko, Thm. 8.1.16].

Theorem 6.7. *For any $1 \leq i \leq N$, we have*

$$\frac{\partial J(z)}{\partial z_i}(-) = -\frac{1}{2\hbar z_i} \mathbf{M}^{\alpha_i}(z) \otimes J(z)(-\boxtimes \eta) + \frac{1}{2\hbar z_i} (c_1(L_{\alpha_i})) \circ J(z)(-),$$

where the tensor is defined as (6.9) and the class η is applied to the factor of $\mathbf{M}^{\alpha_i}(z)$ labelled by ∞ and the factor of $J(z)$ labelled by 0, L_α is the line bundle on $X = W//G$ associated with $\alpha \in \mathbb{X}(G)$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{C} be the universal curve with universal map $[u] : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow [W/H]$. For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{X}(G)$, extend it trivially to a character $\alpha \in \mathbb{X}(H)$, write L_α for the corresponding line bundle on $[W/H]$ and $\mathcal{L}_\alpha := [u]^* L_\alpha$ for the pullback. Let $i : QM \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be the section of $\pi_{QM} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow QM$ corresponding to the marked point $0 \in C_0$ which is mapped to $0 \in \mathbb{P}^1$ under contraction map π in Definition 6.1. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{P} \times_{G \times F} \alpha) |_{QM \times \{0\}} &= \pi_{QM*} i_* (i^* \mathcal{L}_\alpha) \\ &= \pi_{QM*} (\mathcal{L}_\alpha \otimes i_* \mathcal{O}_{QM}) \\ &= \pi_{QM*} (\mathcal{L}_\alpha \otimes \pi^* (\mathcal{O}_0)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\mathbf{M}^\alpha(z) = \sum_{\beta} z^\beta \Phi_{0, \infty}^{R=1, \beta, \mathbb{P}^1} \left(\{c_1^{T_0} \pi_{QM*} (\mathcal{L}_\alpha \otimes \pi^* (\mathcal{O}_0))\}, \{0\} \right),$$

here compared to (6.11), we write explicitly the insertion inside the bracket for convenience.

Recall the definition of curve class

$$\beta \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{X}(G), \mathbb{Z}), \quad \beta(\xi) := \text{deg}_C(P_G \times_G \mathbb{C}_\xi).$$

As in [Oko, Eqn. (8.1.4)], we have

$$(6.13) \quad \beta(\alpha) = \frac{(-1)}{2\hbar} c_1^{T_0} \pi_{QM*} (\mathcal{L}_\alpha \otimes \pi^* (\mathcal{O}_0 - \mathcal{O}_\infty)) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

In fact, we have (see e.g. Eqns. (7.1), (7.2)):

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-\{0\}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) q^{-1}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-\{\infty\}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) q.$$

Hence we obtain (recall $\hbar := -c_1^{T_0}(q)$):

$$\begin{aligned} c_1^{T_0} \pi_{QM*} (\mathcal{L}_\alpha \otimes \pi^* (\mathcal{O}_0 - \mathcal{O}_\infty)) &= c_1^{T_0} (\pi_{QM*} (\mathcal{L}_\alpha \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)) \otimes (q - q^{-1})) \\ &= -2\hbar \cdot \text{rk} (\pi_{QM*} (\mathcal{L}_\alpha \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1))) \\ &= -2\hbar \cdot \chi(C, P \times_G \mathbb{C}_\alpha \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)) \\ &= -2\hbar \cdot \chi(\mathbb{P}^1, \pi_* (P \times_G \mathbb{C}_\alpha) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)) \\ &= -2\hbar \cdot \text{deg}_{\mathbb{P}^1} (\pi_* (P \times_G \mathbb{C}_\alpha)) \\ &= -2\hbar \cdot \text{deg}_C (P \times_G \mathbb{C}_\alpha) \\ &= -2\hbar \cdot \beta(\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

where the third equality is by the base change to a \mathbb{C} -point $(C, p'_1, \dots, p'_n, \pi, P, u)$ of QM and the sixth equality is by Riemann-Roch and adjunction formula $\chi(C, -) = \chi(\mathbb{P}^1, \pi_*(-))$.

By definition, we have

$$\frac{\partial J(z)}{\partial z_i} = \frac{1}{z_i} \sum_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N} \beta(\alpha_i) z_1^{\beta_1} \cdots z_N^{\beta_N} \Phi_{0, \infty}^{R, \beta, \mathbb{P}^1}.$$

Combining with Eqn. (6.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} -2\hbar \frac{\partial J(z)}{\partial z_i} &= \frac{1}{z_i} \sum_{\beta} z^{\beta} \Phi_{\infty}^{R,\beta,\mathbb{P}^1} \left(\{c_1^{T_0} \pi_{QM*}(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha_i} \otimes \pi^*(\mathcal{O}_0))\}, \{0\} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{z_i} \sum_{\beta} z^{\beta} \Phi_{\infty}^{R,\beta,\mathbb{P}^1} \left(\{c_1^{T_0} \pi_{QM*}(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha_i} \otimes \pi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\infty}))\}, \{\infty\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We simplify the two terms in the right hand side separately below.

The degeneration and gluing formulae (6.7), (6.8) imply that the first term is equal to

$$\frac{1}{z_i} \left(\sum_{\beta} z^{\beta} \Phi_{\infty}^{R=1,\beta,\mathbb{P}^1} \left(\{c_1^{T_0} \pi_{QM*}(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha_i} \otimes \pi^*(\mathcal{O}_0))\}, \{0\} \right) \otimes \sum_{\beta} z^{\beta} \Phi_{\infty}^{R,\beta,\mathbb{P}^1} \right) (-\boxtimes \eta).$$

Let $i_{\infty} : QM \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be the section of $\pi_{QM} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow QM$ corresponding to the marked point at ∞ . Then

$$ev_{\infty}^* L_{\alpha} = i_{\infty}^* \mathcal{L}_{\alpha} = \pi_{QM*} i_{\infty*} i_{\infty}^* \mathcal{L}_{\alpha} = \pi_{QM*}(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha} \otimes i_{\infty*} \mathcal{O}_{QM}) = \pi_{QM*}(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha} \otimes \pi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\infty})),$$

where we use the smoothness condition at ∞ in the last equality. Therefore by projection formula, the second term becomes

$$-\frac{1}{z_i} \sum_{\beta} z^{\beta} (c_1(L_{\alpha_i}) \cap -) \circ \Phi_{\infty}^{R,\beta,\mathbb{P}^1}.$$

Combining the above, we obtain the statement. \square

6.5. Quantum connections and quantum multiplications from parametrized quasimaps.

6.5.1. *Quantum connections.* In the special cases of Setting 5.13 or Setting 5.15, similar to Definition 5.17(1), the operator $\Phi_{p_1, \dots, p_n}^{R,\beta,D}$ can be defined on critical cohomology

$$H_{F_0}(X, \varphi)^{\otimes n} \rightarrow H_{F_0}(X, \varphi)_{loc}^{\otimes m}.$$

By using the analogue of Definition 5.17(2), $\mathbf{M}^{\alpha}(z)$ becomes an operator:

$$\mathbf{M}^{\alpha}(z)(-\boxtimes \eta^i) \eta_i : H_{T_0}(X, \varphi_{\phi})[[z]] \rightarrow H_{T_0}(X, \varphi_{\phi})_{loc}[[z]].$$

Here we recall that F_0 -equivariance can be enhanced to T_0 -equivariance as special points used to define $\mathbf{M}^{\alpha}(z)$ are T_0 -invariant.

Next we introduce the quantum connection. Consider the trivial bundle:

$$H_{T_0}(X, \varphi_{\phi}) \times \mathbb{C}[[z_1, z_2, \dots, z_N]] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[[z_1, z_2, \dots, z_N]],$$

where z_i 's are as in (6.12).

One can define a ‘‘quantum connection’’ on this bundle:

$$(6.14) \quad \nabla = d - \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{2\hbar z_i} \mathbf{M}^{\alpha_i}(z)(-\boxtimes \eta^i) \eta_i dz_i.$$

Using Theorem 6.7, the J -function in Definition 6.5 is then a gauge transformation¹⁶, which transforms ∇ to a connection of the form

$$d - \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{c_1(L_{\alpha_i}) \cap (-)}{2\hbar z_i} dz_i,$$

whose flatness is then obvious.

¹⁶This means J satisfies $dJ = JA - BJ$ which is the equation usual gauge transformations satisfy. We know there are examples where $J(0) = 0$, meaning it is not invertible in the formal power series. However it may be possible that J has convergence property on the Kähler moduli space such that it is invertible for generic z .

6.5.2. *Quantum multiplications.* Using the analogue of Definition 5.17(3), we obtain a quantum multiplication

$$\star : H_{F_0}(X, \varphi_\phi)^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow H_{F_0}(X, \varphi_\phi)_{loc}[[z]],$$

and hence an operator

$$(6.15) \quad \gamma \star (-) : H_{F_0}(X, \varphi_\phi) \rightarrow H_{F_0}(X, \varphi_\phi)_{loc}[[z]],$$

for any $\gamma \in H_{F_0}(X, \varphi_\phi)$. It is an interesting question to explore the relation between the multiplication \star here and the quantum product $*$ in Definition 5.17. We note that even for the special choice of ϕ discussed in §5.5, this comparison already appears to be unclear at the moment [KZ, Footnote 1], [KPSZ, §1.2].

6.5.3. *Bethe ansatz.* Similar to §5.6, via a topological version of the invariants on critical cohomology, a quantum multiplication is expected to exist without the assumption in Settings 5.13 or 5.15. For each character $\alpha \in \mathbb{X}(G)$, the topological version of $\hat{\alpha}(z) : H_{F_0}(X, \varphi_\phi) \rightarrow H_{F_0}(\text{pt})_{loc}[[z]]$ in Definition 6.5(3) defines a element in $H_{F_0}(X, \varphi_\phi)_{loc}[[z]]$ using Verdier duality, the quantum multiplication $\hat{\alpha}(z) \star (-)$ by which is given as in (6.15).

The following is an analogue of [AO, Prop. 9], [PSZ, Thm. 17], which are partially developed from physics consideration of Nekrasov and Shatashvili [NS1]. It states that eigenvalues of the above multiplication can be computed from the saddle point equation of the integrand when we write the vertex function $V^\alpha(z)$ (Definition 6.5(1)) as a contour integral.

Let \mathfrak{g} be the Lie algebra of a complex n -dimensional reductive group G and (s_1, \dots, s_n) be the coordinates of \mathfrak{g} .

Ansatz 6.8. Assume $V^\alpha(z)$ has a formula in terms of an integral of a meromorphic n -form on an n -cycle in \mathfrak{g} , which in the limit $\lim_{\hbar=0}$ is approximated by the saddle points of the integrand, then the eigenvalue of $\hat{\alpha}(z) \star (-)$ is given by the symmetric polynomial $\alpha(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ with (s_1, \dots, s_n) lies in the saddle locus of the integrand.

In §7.4, §7.5, §8.1.4, §8.2, we will compute vertex functions and corresponding saddle point equations for several quivers with potentials.

7. VERTEX FUNCTIONS AND BETHE EQUATIONS FOR $\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3)$

In this section, we compute vertex functions for the Hilbert schemes $\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3)$ of points on \mathbb{C}^3 (ref. Example 2.3). We determine their ‘‘saddle point equations’’ via the Mellin-Barnes integral method. This gives the ‘‘Bethe equations’’ for the representation of the 1-shifted affine Yangian as studied by [RSYZ2].

7.1. **Cohomology on \mathbb{P}^1 .** We first recall some basics on equivariant cohomology of \mathbb{P}^1 . Following §6.4.2, we write

$$\mathbb{P}^1 = \text{Proj } \mathbb{C}[x, y],$$

with a \mathbb{C}_q^* -action so that weight of x is -1 and weight of y is 1 . The point ∞ is $[1 : 0]$ in the homogeneous coordinates, and 0 is $[0 : 1]$. In particular, the local coordinate function around ∞ is y/x which has weight 2 and the tangent space at ∞ has weight -2 . The line bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$ has the space of global sections being

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(1)) = \mathbb{C}^2,$$

with \mathbb{C}_q^* -eigenbasis given by x and y . In particular, $y : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1)q^{-1}$ is up to scalar the only \mathbb{C}_q^* -equivariant section that is non-vanishing at the point 0 . Equivalently, endow the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{O}(-\{\infty\}) \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ with the induced equivariant structure, we have

$$(7.1) \quad \mathcal{O}(-1)q \cong \mathcal{O}(-\{\infty\}),$$

with the isomorphism induced by y . Similarly, we have

$$(7.2) \quad \mathcal{O}(-1)q^{-1} \cong \mathcal{O}(-\{0\}),$$

which is induced by $x : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\{0\})$, up to scalar the unique \mathbb{C}_q^* -equivariant map non-vanishing at ∞ . With the above convention, we have

$$H^*(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(d)q^d) = \begin{cases} 1 + q^2 + q^4 + \cdots + q^{2d}, & \text{if } d \geq 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } d = -1, \\ -(q^{-2} + q^{-4} + \cdots + q^{2d+2}), & \text{if } d \leq -2. \end{cases}$$

Let $c_1(q) = -\hbar \in H_{\mathbb{C}_q^*}^*(\text{pt})$. For any character a of a torus T containing \mathbb{C}_q^* as subtorus, let $u := c_1(a) \in H_T^*(\text{pt})$. We express the equivariant Euler class of

$$\chi_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{O}(d)q^d a) = H^*(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(d)q^d a)$$

in terms of Γ -functions in below. For this purpose, we define

$$\Gamma_{2\hbar}(z) := \Gamma(z/2\hbar),$$

which is a meromorphic function of $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with only simple poles at $z = -d(2\hbar)$ with $d \in \mathbb{N}$. The quasi-periodicity of Γ -function then yields

$$(7.3) \quad \Gamma_{2\hbar}(z + 2\hbar) = \frac{z}{2\hbar} \Gamma_{2\hbar}(z),$$

or equivalently

$$\Gamma_{2\hbar}(z) = \frac{2\hbar}{z} \Gamma_{2\hbar}(z + 2\hbar), \quad \Gamma_{2\hbar}(z) = \frac{(z - 2\hbar)}{2\hbar} \Gamma_{2\hbar}(z - 2\hbar),$$

where all the equalities are as meromorphic functions. We also have the special value

$$\Gamma_{2\hbar}(2\hbar) = \Gamma(1) = 1.$$

Then by quasi-periodicity, we have the following equality of meromorphic functions in u :

$$(7.4) \quad e^T(\chi_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{O}(d)q^d a) - a) = (2\hbar)^d \frac{\Gamma_{2\hbar}(u)}{\Gamma_{2\hbar}(u - 2d\hbar)}, \quad \forall d \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

This is an analogue of the Pochhammer symbol. Using quasi-periodicity, we evaluate the residue

$$(7.5) \quad \text{Res}_{u=-2d\hbar} \Gamma_{2\hbar}(u), \quad \text{for } d \geq 0,$$

to be

$$(7.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{u=-2d\hbar} (u + 2d\hbar) \Gamma_{2\hbar}(u) &= \lim_{u=-2d\hbar} (u + 2d\hbar) \frac{(2\hbar)^{d+1}}{(u)(u + 2\hbar) \cdots (u + 2d\hbar)} \Gamma_{2\hbar}(u + 2d\hbar + 2\hbar) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma_{2\hbar}(2\hbar)(2\hbar)^{d+1}}{(-2d\hbar)(-2d\hbar + 2\hbar) \cdots (-2\hbar)} = \frac{(-1)^d (2\hbar)}{d!} \\ &= \frac{(2\hbar)^{d+1}}{e^T(\chi_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{O}(d)q^d) - 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining it with Eqn. (7.4), for any $d \in \mathbb{N}$, we also have

$$(7.7) \quad \text{Res}_{u=-2d\hbar} \Gamma_{2\hbar}(u) = 2\hbar \frac{\Gamma_{2\hbar}(-2d\hbar)}{\Gamma_{2\hbar}(0)},$$

where although both the numerator and denominator on the right hand side are taking values at poles, the ratio is well-defined. Hence we make the convention that

$$(7.8) \quad \frac{e^T(1)}{e^T(\chi_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{O}(d)q^d)} = \frac{1}{e^T(\chi_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{O}(d)q^d) - 1)},$$

although $e^T(1)$ is zero, we keep this factor for convenience and write the above, which is equal to (7.5), so that the right hand side of (7.7) makes sense.

7.2. Vertex functions with insertions. Work in the setting of Example 2.3. Fix an R -charge

$$(7.9) \quad R: \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow F = (\mathbb{C}^*)^3, \quad t \mapsto (t^{-\sigma_1}, t^{-\sigma_2}, t^{-\sigma_3}), \quad \text{with } \sigma_i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then we have

$$R_\chi = \chi \circ R: \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*, \quad t \mapsto t^{-\sigma_1 - \sigma_2 - \sigma_3}.$$

Recall Definition 6.1 and consider the moduli stack (6.4) (with $n = 0$)

$$QM_d^R(\mathbb{P}^1, \text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3)) := QM^{R_\chi = \omega_{\log}}(\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3), d, \mathbb{P}^1)$$

of stable genus 0, \mathbb{P}^1 -parametrized, R -twisted quasimaps to $\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3)$ in class

$$d \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{X}(\text{GL}_n), \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}.$$

The action of F on W (ref. Example 2.3) induces an action on $QM_d^R(\mathbb{P}^1, \text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3))$. Moreover the action of \mathbb{C}_q^* on \mathbb{P}^1 induces an action on $QM_d^R(\mathbb{P}^1, \text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3))$ which commutes with the action of F . Therefore the moduli space has an action given by the products

$$(7.10) \quad T := F \times \mathbb{C}_q^*, \quad T_0 := F_0 \times \mathbb{C}_q^*.$$

Proposition 7.1. *There is a T -equivariant isomorphism*

$$QM_d^R(\mathbb{P}^1, \text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3)) \xrightarrow{\cong} P_{n+d}(X, n),$$

to the moduli space of Pandharipande-Thomas (PT) stable pairs (F, s) on $X = \text{Tot}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{L}_1 \oplus \mathcal{L}_2 \oplus \mathcal{L}_3)$ with $[F] = n[\mathbb{P}^1]$ and $\chi(F) = n + d$ [PT, CMT]. Here $\mathcal{L}_i = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-\sigma_i)$ satisfies $\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2 \otimes \mathcal{L}_3 \cong \omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}$.

Proof. This is similar to [Oko, Exer. 4.3.22] and [Dia, Thm. 1.9]. Let P_F denote the principal F -bundle obtained by removing the zero section of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-\sigma_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-\sigma_2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-\sigma_3)$. By Definition 6.1 and Remark 6.3, a \mathbb{C} -point of $QM_d^R(\mathbb{P}^1, \text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3))$ is given by a principal $G = \text{GL}_n$ -bundle P_G on $C = \mathbb{P}^1$ and a section u of the vector bundle

$$\begin{aligned} (P_G \times_C P_F) \times_{G \times F} W &= (P_G \times_C P_F) \times_{G \times F} V \oplus ((P_G \times_C P_F) \times_{G \times F} \mathcal{E}nd V)^{\oplus 3} \\ &= P_G \times_G V \oplus (P_G \times_G \mathcal{E}nd V) \otimes \bigoplus_{i=1}^3 (P_F \times_F \mathbb{C}_{-\sigma_i}) \\ &= \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{E}nd \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{L}_1 \oplus \mathcal{E}nd \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{L}_2 \oplus \mathcal{E}nd \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{L}_3, \quad \text{where } \mathcal{V} := P_G \times_G V, \end{aligned}$$

such that outside a finite (possibly empty) set $B \subset C$ of points, $u(C \setminus B)$ is contained in the stable locus $(P_G \times_C P_F) \times_{G \times F} \text{Crit}(\phi)^s$. Recall the setting of Example 2.3, we know this is equivalent to a section $s \in H^0(C, \mathcal{V})$ and commuting homomorphisms $\phi_i: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{L}_i$ such that on $C \setminus B$, the morphisms s and ϕ_i 's generate \mathcal{V} . Interpreting ϕ_i 's as Higgs fields, the above is equivalent to a pure one dimensional sheaf F on X with a section $s: \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow F$ such that $\text{Coker}(s)$ is zero dimensional. The above construction works in families and gives an isomorphism of two moduli spaces which is obviously T -equivariant. \square

Remark 7.2. To do wall-crossing, besides using the ϵ -stability on quasimaps (Remark 2.7), one can also consider the Z_t -stability [CT1, CT3, CT4] on Calabi-Yau 4-folds which generalizes PT-stability.

Definition 7.3. An R -twisted quasimap in $QM_d^R(\mathbb{P}^1, \text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3))$ is *smooth* at $\infty \in \mathbb{P}^1$ if $\infty \notin B$ for the base locus B in Definition 6.1. Denote the open subscheme of such R -twisted quasimaps by

$$QM_{d, \text{sm}, \infty}^R := QM_d^R(\mathbb{P}^1, \text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3))_{\text{sm}, \infty} \subseteq QM_d^R(\mathbb{P}^1, \text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3)).$$

Observe that the open subscheme $QM_{d, \text{sm}, \infty}^R$ is invariant under the action of T (7.10) and there is a well-defined evaluation map

$$ev_\infty: QM_{d, \text{sm}, \infty}^R \rightarrow \text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3),$$

which is T -equivariant (here \mathbb{C}_q^* acts trivially on the target). Although this map is not proper, it is T_0 (and in particular T)-equivariantly proper, i.e. it is a proper map on the T_0 (and in particular T)-fixed locus, because the T -fixed locus coincides with the T_0 -fixed locus which is a finite number of reduced points:

Proposition 7.4. ([CK2, Prop. 2.6], Proposition 7.1)

$$(QM_d^R(\mathbb{P}^1, \text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3)))^T = (QM_d^R(\mathbb{P}^1, \text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3)))^{T_0}$$

are finite number of reduced points. Therefore

$$(QM_{d,\text{sm},\infty}^R)^T = (QM_{d,\text{sm},\infty}^R)^{T_0}$$

are also finite number of reduced points.

We can then define the equivariant pushforward map by torus localization¹⁷:

$$(7.11) \quad ev_{\infty*} : A_*^{T_0}(QM_{d,\text{sm},\infty}^R) \rightarrow A_*^{T_0}(\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3))_{loc},$$

$$\gamma \mapsto \sum_{(\mathcal{V}, u) \in (QM_{d,\text{sm},\infty}^R)^T} ev_{\infty*} \left(\frac{\gamma|_{(\mathcal{V}, u)}}{\sqrt{e^{T_0}(\mathbb{T}_{(\mathcal{V}, u)}^{\text{vir}} QM_{d,\text{sm},\infty}^R)}} \right),$$

where $\mathbb{T}^{\text{vir}} QM_{d,\text{sm},\infty}^R$ is the virtual tangent complex given by the restriction of the tangent complex of the derived enhancement of $QM_{d,\text{sm},\infty}^R$ to the classical truncation.

Let \mathcal{V} be the tautological bundle on $QM_{d,\text{sm},\infty}^R \times \mathbb{P}^1$, which is T -equivariant. The inclusion $\{0\} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ induces an embedding

$$\iota : QM_{d,\text{sm},\infty}^R \times \{0\} \hookrightarrow QM_{d,\text{sm},\infty}^R \times \mathbb{P}^1.$$

Pullback along ι defines $\iota^* \mathcal{V}$ on $QM_{d,\text{sm},\infty}^R$. More generally, for any $\tau \in K_{G \times T}(\text{pt})$, we have $\tau(\mathcal{V}) \in K_T(QM_{d,\text{sm},\infty}^R \times \mathbb{P}^1)$ and also $\tau(\iota^* \mathcal{V}) \in K_T(QM_{d,\text{sm},\infty}^R)$ defined similarly. More specifically, write

$$\tau = \sum_i t_1^{i_1} t_2^{i_2} t_3^{i_3} s_{\lambda_i},$$

where s_{λ_i} are irreducible representations of GL_n . Let $\text{Fr}(\mathcal{V})$ be the framed bundle of \mathcal{V} , then

$$\tau(\mathcal{V}) = \sum_i t_1^{i_1} t_2^{i_2} t_3^{i_3} \cdot \text{Fr}(\mathcal{V}) \times_{\text{GL}_n} s_{\lambda_i}.$$

Parallel to the tautological insertions/descendent insertions in DT_4 setting, e.g., [CK1, CT2, CT3], there are vertex functions with descendent and tautological insertions.

Definition 7.5. With $ev_{\infty*}$ given by (7.11), we define

$$|\tau\rangle^d := ev_{\infty*} (e^{T_0}(\tau(\iota^* \mathcal{V}))) \in A_*^{T_0}(\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3))_{loc},$$

where d denotes the degree of quasimaps.

The vertex function with descendent insertion τ at $0 \in \mathbb{P}^1$ is defined as

$$|\tau\rangle(z) = \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} |\tau\rangle^d z^d \in A_*^{T_0}(\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3))_{loc}[[z]].$$

Similarly, the vertex function with tautological descendent insertion τ at $0 \in \mathbb{P}^1$ is defined as

$$|\tau\rangle(z, m) = \sum_d ev_{\infty*} \left(e^{T_0 \times \mathbb{C}^*} (\chi_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes e^m) \cdot e^{T_0}(\tau(\iota^* \mathcal{V})) \right) z^d \in A_*^{T_0}(\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3))_{loc}[m][[z]],$$

where \mathbb{C}^* acts trivially on moduli spaces and e^m denotes a trivial line bundle such that $c_1^{\mathbb{C}^*}(e^m) = m$.

Remark 7.6. One can also consider K -theoretic vertex functions which recover the above one by cohomological limit (e.g. [CKM, §0.4]).

We expand $|\tau\rangle^d$ under the torus fixed points¹⁸ $\lambda \in \text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3)^{F_0}$, labelled by 3d Young diagrams (i.e. plane partitions) of n -boxes (i.e. size n). Define $QM_{d,\infty=\lambda}^R$ by the Cartesian diagram

$$(7.12) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} QM_{d,\infty=\lambda}^R & \longrightarrow & \{\lambda\} \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ QM_{d,\text{sm},\infty}^R & \xrightarrow{ev_{\infty}} & \text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3). \end{array}$$

¹⁷It is consistent with Definition A.1 by virtual localization formula [OT, Thm. 7.1].

¹⁸For Calabi-Yau subtorus $F_0 \subseteq F$, we have $\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3)^F = \text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3)^{F_0}$ as schemes [BF2, Lem. 4.1].

Replace $(QM_{d,\text{sm},\infty}^R)^T$ in (7.11) and Definition 7.5 by the subset $(QM_{d,\infty=\lambda}^R)^T$, one defines

$$|\tau\rangle_\lambda^d \in A_*^{T_0}(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}},$$

which obviously satisfies

$$|\tau\rangle^d = \sum_\lambda |\tau\rangle_\lambda^d,$$

where we use localization formula (A.1) to identify

$$A_*^{T_0}(\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3))_{\text{loc}} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3)^{F_0}} A_*^{T_0}(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}}.$$

Definition 7.7. We write

$$|\tau\rangle_\lambda(z) = \sum_d |\tau\rangle_\lambda^d z^d \in A_*^{T_0}(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}}[[z]],$$

and similarly define $|\tau\rangle_\lambda(z, m) \in A_*^{T_0}(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}}[[m]][[z]]$ based on $ev(\infty) = \lambda \in \text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3)^{F_0}$.

7.3. Computations of vertex functions. Now we fix a 3d Young diagram λ of size $|\lambda| = n$. We write each $\square \in \lambda$ as (i_1, i_2, i_3) with $i_j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 7.8. $(QM_{d,\infty=\lambda}^R)^T$ consists of pairs (\mathcal{V}, u) such that

$$\mathcal{V} = \bigoplus_{(i_1, i_2, i_3) \in \lambda} \mathcal{L}_1^{-i_1} \mathcal{L}_2^{-i_2} \mathcal{L}_3^{-i_3} \mathcal{O}(z_{i_1, i_2, i_3}) q^{z_{i_1, i_2, i_3}},$$

where $z_{i_1, i_2, i_3} \in \mathbb{N}$ subject to the condition

$$(7.13) \quad z_{i_1, i_2, i_3} \geq z_{i_1-1, i_2, i_3}, z_{i_1, i_2-1, i_3}, z_{i_1, i_2, i_3-1},$$

and

$$u \in H^0 \left(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{V} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1,2,3} \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_i \right)^T$$

is the T -equivariant section given by the canonical maps

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O} &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}(z_{0,0,0} \cdot \{0\}), & \mathcal{O}(z_{i_1-1, i_2, i_3} \cdot \{0\}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}(z_{i_1, i_2, i_3} \cdot \{0\}), \\ \mathcal{O}(z_{i_1, i_2-1, i_3} \cdot \{0\}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}(z_{i_1, i_2, i_3} \cdot \{0\}), & \mathcal{O}(z_{i_1, i_2, i_3-1} \cdot \{0\}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}(z_{i_1, i_2, i_3} \cdot \{0\}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Under the isomorphism in Proposition 7.1, it follows from similar analysis as [CMT, §5.2] which we recall as follows. Note that $(QM_{d,\infty=\lambda}^R)^T$ consists of pairs (\mathcal{V}, u) , where

$$\mathcal{V} = \bigoplus_{\square \in \lambda} \mathcal{L}_\square$$

with each \mathcal{L}_\square a T -equivariant line bundle on \mathbb{P}^1 , and u is a T -equivariant section of

$$\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{V} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1,2,3} \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_i$$

which is smooth at ∞ . The latter is equivalent to the following two conditions.

- (1) $s : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{(0,0,0)}$ is a T -equivariant section non-vanishing at $\infty \in \mathbb{P}^1$,
- (2) for each $\square = (i_1, i_2, i_3) \in \lambda$, the maps

$$\mathcal{L}_{(i_1-1, i_2, i_3)} \otimes \mathcal{L}_1^{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{i_1, i_2, i_3}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{(i_1, i_2-1, i_3)} \otimes \mathcal{L}_2^{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{i_1, i_2, i_3}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{(i_1, i_2, i_3-1)} \otimes \mathcal{L}_3^{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{i_1, i_2, i_3}$$

are all T -equivariant and non-vanishing at $\infty \in \mathbb{P}^1$.

An \mathbb{C}_q^* -equivariant section of a line bundle exists and non-vanishing at $\infty \in \mathbb{P}^1$ only if the line bundle is $\mathcal{O}(d)q^d$ for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and such section is unique up to scalars by (7.2). Keeping this in mind, the two conditions above then implies that

$$\mathcal{L}_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)} = \mathcal{L}_1^{-i_1} \mathcal{L}_2^{-i_2} \mathcal{L}_3^{-i_3} \mathcal{O}(z_{i_1, i_2, i_3}) q^{z_{i_1, i_2, i_3}},$$

where $z_{i_1, i_2, i_3} \in \mathbb{N}$ for each $(i_1, i_2, i_3) \in \lambda$ subject to the condition

$$z_{i_1, i_2, i_3} \geq z_{i_1-1, i_2, i_3}, z_{i_1, i_2-1, i_3}, z_{i_1, i_2, i_3-1},$$

for any $(i_1, i_2, i_3) \in \lambda$. □

To determine the pushforward map in (7.11), we need to compute:

Lemma 7.9. *For any T -fixed point $(\mathcal{V}, u) \in (QM_{d,\infty=\lambda}^R)^T \subset (QM_{d,\text{sm},\infty}^R)^T$, we have*

$$\sqrt{e^{T_0}(\mathbb{T}_{(\mathcal{V},u)}^{\text{vir}}) QM_{d,\text{sm},\infty}^R} = \frac{e^{T_0}(\chi_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{V}))e^{T_0}(\chi_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_i))}{e^{T_0}(\chi_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{V})))},$$

for certain choice of sign.

Proof. Recall that $QM_{d,\text{sm},\infty}^R$ is an open subscheme of $QM^{R\chi=\omega_{\log}}(\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3), d, \mathbb{P}^1)$ whose virtual class is constructed by the pullback map (6.5) (take fundamental class of \mathfrak{Bun} as domain). More specifically, relative to

$$\mathfrak{Bun}_{H_R}^{R\chi=\omega_{\log}}(\mathbb{P}^1) \cong \mathfrak{Bun}_G(\mathbb{P}^1),$$

the symmetric obstruction theory is given by Eqn. (4.20) whose restriction to the closed point $(\mathcal{V}, u) \in (QM_{d,\infty=\lambda}^R)^T$ (in K -theory) is

$$(7.14) \quad \mathbf{R}\Gamma(\mathcal{W}) + \mathbf{R}\Gamma(\mathcal{W})^\vee,$$

where \mathcal{W} is the W -bundle given in the proof of Proposition 7.1:

$$(7.15) \quad \mathcal{W} = \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{E}nd \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{L}_1 \oplus \mathcal{E}nd \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{L}_2 \oplus \mathcal{E}nd \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{L}_3.$$

The tangent complex of $\mathfrak{Bun}_G(\mathbb{P}^1)$ at point \mathcal{V} (in K -theory) is

$$(7.16) \quad -\mathbf{R}\Gamma(\mathcal{E}nd \mathcal{V}).$$

Taking half of (7.14), plugging in (7.15), and adding (7.16), we get

$$\sqrt{\mathbb{T}_{(\mathcal{V},u)}^{\text{vir}} QM_{d,\text{sm},\infty}^R} = \mathbf{R}\Gamma(\mathcal{V}) + \sum_{i=1}^3 \mathbf{R}\Gamma(\mathcal{E}nd \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{L}_i) - \mathbf{R}\Gamma(\mathcal{E}nd \mathcal{V})$$

in K -theory. Taking the Euler class finishes the proof. \square

Let t_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) be the torus weights of F and $\hbar_i = c_1^F(t_i)$. For $\square = (i_1, i_2, i_3)$, we introduce the following notations

$$\langle \sigma, \square \rangle = i_1 \sigma_1 + i_2 \sigma_2 + i_3 \sigma_3, \quad d_\square = z_\square + \langle \sigma, \square \rangle, \quad \chi_\square = t_1^{-i_1} t_2^{-i_2} t_3^{-i_3}, \quad \hbar_\square = - \sum_{j=1}^3 i_j \hbar_j.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{L}_1^{-i_1} \mathcal{L}_2^{-i_2} \mathcal{L}_3^{-i_3} \mathcal{O}(z_{i_1, i_2, i_3}) q^{z_\square} = \mathcal{O}(z_\square + \langle \sigma, \square \rangle) \chi_\square q^{z_\square} = \mathcal{O}(d_\square) \chi_\square q^{d_\square - \langle \sigma, \square \rangle}.$$

Using Lemma 7.9, we obtain the following explicit calculations of vertex functions.

Proposition 7.10. *Notations as above, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau\rangle_\lambda(z) &= \sum_{(z_\square)_{\square \in \lambda}} z_{\sum_{\square \in \lambda} d_\square} \frac{e^{T_0}(\tau(\mathcal{V})|_{\{0\}})e^{T_0}(\chi_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{V})))}{e^{T_0}(\chi_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{V}))e^{T_0}(\chi_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_i))} \\ &= \sum_{(z_\square)_{\square \in \lambda}} z_{\sum_{\square \in \lambda} d_\square} \frac{e^{T_0}(\tau(\sum_{\square \in \lambda} \mathcal{L}_\square)|_{\{0\}})e^{T_0}(\chi_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\sum_{\square, \square' \in \lambda} \mathcal{L}_{\square'}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\square))}{e^{T_0}(\chi_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\sum_{\square \in \lambda} \mathcal{L}_\square))e^{T_0}(\chi_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^3 \sum_{\square, \square' \in \lambda} \mathcal{L}_{\square'}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\square \otimes \mathcal{L}_i))} \\ &= \sum_{(z_\square)_{\square \in \lambda}} \left(\frac{z}{2\hbar}\right)^{\sum_{\square \in \lambda} d_\square} (2\hbar)^{-|\lambda|} \tau(\hbar_\square - \langle \sigma, \square \rangle \hbar + 2d_\square \hbar) \cdot \prod_{\square \in \lambda} \frac{\Gamma_{2\hbar}(\hbar_\square + \langle \sigma, \square \rangle \hbar - (d_\square)2\hbar)}{\Gamma_{2\hbar}(\hbar_\square + \langle \sigma, \square \rangle \hbar + 2\hbar)} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\prod_{i=1}^3 \prod_{\square, \square' \in \lambda} \frac{\Gamma_{2\hbar}(\hbar_\square - \hbar_{\square'} + \langle \sigma, \square \rangle \hbar - \langle \sigma, \square' \rangle \hbar - (d_\square - d_{\square'})2\hbar + \hbar_i + \sigma_i \hbar)}{\Gamma_{2\hbar}(\hbar_\square - \hbar_{\square'} + \langle \sigma, \square \rangle \hbar - \langle \sigma, \square' \rangle \hbar + \hbar_i - \sigma_i \hbar + 2\hbar)}}{\prod_{\square, \square' \in \lambda} \frac{\Gamma_{2\hbar}(\hbar_\square - \hbar_{\square'} + \langle \sigma, \square \rangle \hbar - \langle \sigma, \square' \rangle \hbar)}{\Gamma_{2\hbar}(\hbar_\square - \hbar_{\square'} + \langle \sigma, \square \rangle \hbar + 2\hbar)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\tau\rangle_\lambda(z, m) &= \sum_{(z_\square)_{\square \in \lambda}} z^{\sum_{\square \in \lambda} d_\square} \frac{e^{T_0 \times \mathbb{C}^*} (\chi_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{V}) \otimes e^m) e^{T_0} (\tau(\mathcal{V})|_{\{0\}}) e^{T_0} (\chi_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{V})))}{e^{T_0} (\chi_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{V})) e^{T_0} (\chi_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_i))} \\
 &= \sum_{(z_\square)_{\square \in \lambda}} z^{\sum_{\square \in \lambda} d_\square} \tau(\hbar_\square - \langle \sigma, \square \rangle \hbar + 2d_\square \hbar) \cdot \frac{\prod_{\square \in \lambda} \frac{\Gamma_{2\hbar}(\hbar_\square + \langle \sigma, \square \rangle \hbar - (d_\square) 2\hbar)}{\Gamma_{2\hbar}(\hbar_\square + \langle \sigma, \square \rangle \hbar + 2\hbar)}}{\prod_{\square \in \lambda} \frac{\Gamma_{2\hbar}(\hbar_\square + \langle \sigma, \square \rangle \hbar - (d_\square) 2\hbar + m)}{\Gamma_{2\hbar}(\hbar_\square + \langle \sigma, \square \rangle \hbar + 2\hbar + m)}} \\
 &\times \frac{\prod_{i=1}^3 \prod_{\square, \square' \in \lambda} \frac{\Gamma_{2\hbar}(\hbar_\square - \hbar_{\square'} + \langle \sigma, \square \rangle \hbar - \langle \sigma, \square' \rangle \hbar - (d_\square - d_{\square'}) 2\hbar + \hbar_i + \sigma_i \hbar)}{\Gamma_{2\hbar}(\hbar_\square - \hbar_{\square'} + \langle \sigma, \square \rangle \hbar - \langle \sigma, \square' \rangle \hbar + \hbar_i - \sigma_i \hbar + 2\hbar)}}{\prod_{\square, \square' \in \lambda} \frac{\Gamma_{2\hbar}(\hbar_\square - \hbar_{\square'} + \langle \sigma, \square \rangle \hbar - \langle \sigma, \square' \rangle \hbar - (d_\square - d_{\square'}) 2\hbar)}{\Gamma_{2\hbar}(\hbar_\square - \hbar_{\square'} + \langle \sigma, \square \rangle \hbar - \langle \sigma, \square' \rangle \hbar + 2\hbar)}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Remark 7.11. The factor $\Gamma_{2\hbar}(\hbar_\square + \langle \sigma, \square \rangle \hbar - (d_\square) 2\hbar)$ for $\square = (0, 0, 0)$ is $\Gamma_{2\hbar}(-d_{(0,0,0)} 2\hbar)$ with $d_{(0,0,0)} \in \mathbb{N}$, hence undefined. Nevertheless, by (7.6), (7.7), (7.8), we understand it as

$$\Gamma_{2\hbar}(-d_{(0,0,0)} 2\hbar) = \frac{(-1)^{d_{(0,0,0)}}}{d_{(0,0,0)}!} \cdot \Gamma_{2\hbar}(0) = \frac{(-1)^{d_{(0,0,0)}}}{d_{(0,0,0)}!} \cdot \frac{1}{e^{T_0}(1)},$$

where $e^{T_0}(1) = 0$. Similarly, in the formula of $|\tau\rangle_\lambda(z)$ and $|\tau\rangle_\lambda(z, m)$, many factors are undefined because they have poles. To make sense of the expressions in Proposition 7.10, we interpret all such ratios as above. By Proposition 7.4, we know all the $e^{T_0}(1)$ -factors in the denominator are cancelled by some $e^{T_0}(1)$ -factors in the numerator, therefore the expressions are well-defined.

In what follows, we use Ansatz 6.8 to explore potential representation theory behind.

7.4. Contour integral. As in [AO, §1.1.6], [PSZ, Prop. 4.1], one can use Cauchy residue formula to write the generating series in Proposition 7.10 in terms of a contour integral.

Define the following

$$A_1^\lambda := e^F(T_\lambda^{\text{vir}} \text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3)) = \frac{\prod_{\square \in \lambda} \hbar_\square \prod_{s=1}^3 \prod_{\square, \square' \in \lambda} (\hbar_\square - \hbar_{\square'} + \hbar_s)}{\prod_{\square, \square' \in \lambda} (\hbar_\square - \hbar_{\square'})},$$

which is well-defined and non-zero by [BF2, Lem. 4.1], and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{A}_1^\lambda &:= \frac{\prod_{\square \in \lambda} \hbar_\square \prod_{s=1}^3 \prod_{\square, \square' \in \lambda} (\hbar_\square - \hbar_{\square'} + \hbar_s)}{\prod_{\square \neq \square' \in \lambda} (\hbar_\square - \hbar_{\square'})}, \\
 A_2^\lambda &:= \frac{\prod_{\square, \square' \in \lambda} \Gamma_{2\hbar}(\hbar_\square - \hbar_{\square'} + \langle \sigma, \square \rangle \hbar - \langle \sigma, \square' \rangle \hbar + 2\hbar)}{\prod_{\square \in \lambda} \Gamma_{2\hbar}(\hbar_\square + \langle \sigma, \square \rangle \hbar + 2\hbar) \prod_{i=1}^3 \prod_{\square, \square' \in \lambda} \Gamma_{2\hbar}(\hbar_\square - \hbar_{\square'} + \langle \sigma, \square \rangle \hbar - \langle \sigma, \square' \rangle \hbar + \hbar_i - \sigma_i \hbar + 2\hbar)}, \\
 A_\lambda &:= A_2^\lambda \times \frac{\bar{A}_1^\lambda}{A_1^\lambda}, \\
 A_\lambda(m) &:= A_2^\lambda \times \frac{\bar{A}_1^\lambda}{A_1^\lambda} \times \prod_{\square \in \lambda} \frac{\Gamma_{2\hbar}(\hbar_\square + \langle \sigma, \square \rangle \hbar + m + 2\hbar)}{2\hbar}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 7.12. *Notations as above, we have*

$$|\tau\rangle_\lambda(z, m) = \int_C A_\lambda(m) \prod_{\square \in \lambda} \tau(2\hbar_\square - s_\square) z^{\frac{s_\square - \hbar_\square - \langle \sigma, \square \rangle \hbar}{(-2\hbar)}} \frac{\Gamma_{2\hbar}(s_\square)}{\Gamma_{2\hbar}(s_\square + m)} \prod_{\square, \square' \in \lambda} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^3 \Gamma_{2\hbar}(s_\square - s_{\square'} + \hbar_i + \sigma_i \hbar)}{\Gamma_{2\hbar}(s_\square - s_{\square'})} \prod_{\square \in \lambda} ds_\square,$$

which is independent of $(s_\square)_\square$. And C is a real n -cycle determined by the properties

- (1) in the $s_{(0,0,0)}$ -plane, it encloses $s_{(0,0,0)} = -d(2\hbar)$ for any $d \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (2) inductively, in the $s_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)}$ -plane, it encloses

$$\begin{aligned}
 s_{(i_1+1, i_2, i_3)} - s_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)} + \sigma_1 \hbar + \hbar_1 &= -d(2\hbar), \quad \forall d \in \mathbb{N}, \\
 s_{(i_1, i_2+1, i_3)} - s_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)} + \sigma_2 \hbar + \hbar_2 &= -d(2\hbar), \quad \forall d \in \mathbb{N}, \\
 s_{(i_1, i_2, i_3+1)} - s_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)} + \sigma_3 \hbar + \hbar_3 &= -d(2\hbar), \quad \forall d \in \mathbb{N}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$|\tau\rangle_\lambda(z) = \int_C A_\lambda \prod_{\square \in \lambda} \tau(2\hbar_\square - s_\square) (z/2\hbar)^{\frac{s_\square - \hbar_\square - \langle \sigma, \square \rangle \hbar}{2\hbar}} \Gamma_{2\hbar}(s_\square) \prod_{\square, \square' \in \lambda} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^3 \Gamma_{2\hbar}(s_\square - s_{\square'} + \hbar_i + \sigma_i \hbar)}{\Gamma_{2\hbar}(s_\square - s_{\square'})} \prod_{\square \in \lambda} ds_\square,$$

which is independent of $(s_\square)_\square$, and C is the same as above.

Proof. We evaluate the integral via iterated residues. For this purpose, we fix a linear order s_i of the variables s_\square in such a way that the orders of s_{i_1+1, i_2, i_3} , s_{i_1, i_2+1, i_3} , s_{i_1, i_2, i_3+1} are all bigger than the order of s_{i_1, i_2, i_3} . Such an ordering always exists but might not be unique. In other words, we evaluate

$$\text{Res}_{s_n} \cdots \text{Res}_{s_1} A_\lambda(m) \prod_{\square \in \lambda} \tau(2\hbar_\square - s_\square) \left(z^{\frac{s_\square}{2\hbar}} \frac{\Gamma_{2\hbar}(s_\square)}{\Gamma_{2\hbar}(s_\square + m)} \right) \prod_{\square, \square' \in \lambda} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^3 \Gamma_{2\hbar}(s_\square - s_{\square'} + \hbar_i + \sigma_i \hbar)}{\Gamma_{2\hbar}(s_\square - s_{\square'})},$$

where the residue of s_\square is taken at $s_\square = -d_\square 2\hbar + \hbar_\square + \langle \sigma, \square \rangle \hbar$.

Now evaluate the iterated residue inductively. For example, the initial step is to evaluate $s_{(0,0,0)}$, which is always the first in the above-mentioned order. The factor $\Gamma_{2\hbar}(s_{(0,0,0)})$ has a pole at $s_{(0,0,0)} = -d_{(0,0,0)} 2\hbar$, the residue of which is

$$(2\hbar) \frac{\Gamma_{2\hbar}(-d_{(0,0,0)} 2\hbar)}{\Gamma_{2\hbar}(0)},$$

where the ratio is understood the same way as in Remark 7.11 hence well-defined and non-zero. In particular, $\Gamma_{2\hbar}(0) = \frac{(2\hbar)\Gamma_{2\hbar}(2\hbar)}{(0)}$ the factor (0) is the corresponding factor from A_1^λ , and the $\Gamma_{2\hbar}(2\hbar)$ -factor is the corresponding factor in A_2^λ . Similar for the inductive process. The iterated residue then is given by the formula stated above. \square

7.5. Saddle point equations.

Proposition 7.13. *At $\hbar \rightarrow 0$, critical points of the integrand of $|\tau\rangle_\lambda(z, m)$ in Proposition 7.12 are determined by the equation*

$$z = \frac{s_i + m}{s_i} \prod_{s=1}^3 \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{s_i - s_j - \hbar_s}{s_i - s_j + \hbar_s},$$

for any $i = 1, \dots, |\lambda|$.

Make substitution $\bar{z} = z 2\hbar$ in $|\tau\rangle_\lambda(z)$. At $\hbar \rightarrow 0$, critical points of the integrand of $|\tau\rangle_\lambda(z)$ in Proposition 7.12 are determined by the equation

$$(7.17) \quad \bar{z} = \frac{1}{s_i} \prod_{s=1}^3 \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{s_i - s_j - \hbar_s}{s_i - s_j + \hbar_s},$$

for any $i = 1, \dots, |\lambda|$.

Proof. We prove the first statement, as the second is proven in a similar way. Recall Stirling's approximation formula. For x contained in a bounded region, as $\hbar \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\ln \Gamma_{2\hbar}(x) = (1/2\hbar)(x(\ln(x) - \ln(2\hbar)) - 1) + o(\hbar).$$

Keeping this in mind, apply $s_\square(\frac{\partial}{\partial s_\square}) \ln(-)$ to

$$z^{\frac{s_\square}{2\hbar}} \frac{\Gamma_{2\hbar}(s_\square)}{\Gamma_{2\hbar}(s_\square + m)} \prod_{\square, \square' \in \lambda} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^3 \Gamma_{2\hbar}(s_\square - s_{\square'} + \hbar_i + \sigma_i \hbar)}{\Gamma_{2\hbar}(s_\square - s_{\square'})},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{s_\square}{2\hbar} (\ln(z) - \ln(s_\square + m) + \ln(s_\square) - \sum_{\square' \neq \square} \ln(s_\square - s_{\square'}) + \sum_{\square' \neq \square} \ln(s_{\square'} - s_\square) \\ & - \sum_{s=1}^3 \sum_{\square' \neq \square} \ln(s_{\square'} - s_\square + \hbar_s) + \sum_{s=1}^3 \sum_{\square' \neq \square} \ln(s_\square - s_{\square'} + \hbar_s) + o(\hbar). \end{aligned}$$

Setting it to be zero, taking limit $\hbar \rightarrow 0$, and exponentiating, we obtain the desired equation. \square

7.6. Bethe equations. We recall the Bethe equation of the Fock space representation of the affine Yangian of \mathfrak{gl}_1 as written in [FJMM1, Eqn. (6.1)]:

$$q^{-1}p = \frac{a_i - u}{a_i - \hbar_2 - u} \prod_{s=1}^3 \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{a_i - a_j - \hbar_s}{a_i - a_j + \hbar_s}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Here we write the functions additively and focus on the case when $k = 1$ in loc. cit.. With the substitution $s_i = a_i - u - \hbar_2$, $z = q^{-1}p$, this is a special case of Proposition 7.13 with $m = \hbar_2$.

It is known from [RSYZ2] (see also [LY] for related study from physical point of view) that

$$\bigoplus_n H_{F_0}^{\text{crit}}(\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3))$$

is a representation of the 1-shifted affine Yangian $Y_1(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_1)$. In particular, the Borel subalgebra action is constructed from the general cohomological Hall algebra framework [KS]. Different shifts of Yangians associated to the same Lie algebra have isomorphic Borel subalgebras.

Now we give evidence that Eqn. (7.17) is related to the Bethe equation of $Y_1(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_1)$. Notice that the result of [FJMM2, Cor. 5.7] gives an algorithm of calculating the Bethe equation from the q -characters of the representation. Recall that a Drinfeld fraction of a representation of the Borel subalgebra which lies in a certain category \mathcal{O} is a collection of rational functions of the form

$$\prod_i (z - a_i) \prod_j (z - b_j)$$

with one rational function for each simple root. The degree of each rational function in such a collection agrees with the shift of the Yangian when the action of the Borel algebra extends to the action of a shifted Yangian [HZ]. In the case the Lie algebra is $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_1$, such a Drinfeld fraction is one single rational function ψ . The q -character of such a representation can be written in the form [FJMM2, Eqn. (4.30)]:

$$\chi_q = \mathbf{m}(\psi) \left(1 + \sum_i m_i\right) \chi_0,$$

where $\mathbf{m}(\psi)$ is determined by ψ in an explicit way (which we omit here), and in turn determines a factor in the Bethe equation where z is replaced by the variable s_i . The factor χ_0 is not used in the algorithm. The factor $(1 + \sum_i m_i)$ determines a factor in the Bethe equation (the formula of which again we omit), although we expect it to be independent of the shift of the Yangian.

Our result in (7.17) is expected to be related to the Bethe equation for $Y_1(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_1)$ -representations. Although the Bethe Ansatz for such representations has not been studied, the algorithm [FJMM2, Cor. 5.7] can be formally applied. In particular, the factor $1/s_i$ in (7.17) agrees with the Drinfeld fraction for representations of $Y_1(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_1)$.

We conclude this section with the context of the Bethe equations obtained from quasimaps to quivers with potentials. As has been mentioned, this is largely motivated by works of the Okounkov school [AO, Oko, PSZ]. It is well-known that cohomology (resp. K -theory) of Nakajima quiver varieties carry the structure of representations of the Yangians (resp. quantum loop algebras) [Nak2, Var, MO]. Such representations also carry the structure of integrable systems, known as the Casimir connection and the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov connection (resp. their q -analogues). These structures of integrable systems are realized geometrically as the quantum connections and shift operators.

Nevertheless, there are large classes of representations of the Yangians which can not be realized as cohomology of Nakajima quiver varieties. Indeed, if the Lie algebra is non-simply-laced, the Yangians are constructed from quivers with potentials which do not reduce to symplectic quotients [YZ]. For simply-laced Lie algebras, quivers with potentials are necessary to construct the higher spin representations [BZ]. Moreover, [RSYZ2] indicates that the construction of cohomological Hall algebras of more general quivers with potentials provides a generalized notion of Yangians, examples of which coming from toric local Calabi-Yau 3-folds are expected to recover shifted affine super Yangians. Therefore, it is natural to expect that quivers with potentials provide a more general framework for geometric construction of quantum groups, whose associated integrable systems are expected to come from quasimaps to quivers with potentials.

More precisely, in the example of $\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3)$, the quantum group in question is the 1-shifted affine Yangian which has a triangular decomposition

$$Y_1(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_1) = Y^+ \otimes Y^0 \otimes Y^-,$$


which acts on $\bigoplus_n H_{F_0}^{\text{crit}}(\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3))$. The algebra structure on Y^+ as well as its action are constructed via the usual framework of cohomological Hall algebra [KS]. The algebra Y^0 is commutative, whose action is realized as cup product by tautological classes on $\bigoplus_n H_{F_0}^{\text{crit}}(\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^3))$. The coproduct of $Y_1(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_1)$ is expected to come from a stable envelope construction. The braiding on the module category is an R -matrix, which is expected to relate to some \mathbf{S} -operator. The quantum connections and the \mathbf{S} -operator form a commuting system.

8. MORE EXAMPLES OF BETHE EQUATIONS

Following the same strategy as above, one can compute vertex functions and saddle point equations for other quivers with potentials. In below, we give a brief overview for two more examples, one is the quiver with potential that describes perverse coherent systems on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1, -1)$ as studied by Nagao-Nakajima [NN], the other one defines the higher \mathfrak{sl}_2 -spin chains in the lattice model.

8.1. Perverse coherent systems on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1, -1)$.

8.1.1. *The target.* Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and consider the following quiver with potential (where V_i denotes a complex vector space of dimension v_i for $i = 0, 1$ in below):




The quiver \tilde{Q}_m^+ with potential ϕ_m given by

$$a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2 - a_1 b_2 a_2 b_1 + p_1 b_1 q_1 + p_2 (b_1 q_2 - b_2 q_1) + \dots + p_m (b_1 q_m - b_2 q_{m-1}) - p_{m+1} b_2 q_m.$$

Let $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{cyclic}}^{(\tilde{Q}_m^+, \phi_m)}(v_0, v_1)$ be the corresponding moduli stack of cyclic stable framed representations¹⁹ (ref. [NN, §4.3 & Fig. 9]²⁰). It is a projective scheme which parametrizes stable perverse coherent systems in stability chambers between PT chamber and the empty chamber in [NN, Fig. 1] (e.g. it recovers the moduli space of PT stable pairs on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1, -1)$ when $m \rightarrow \infty$).


We define an action of $F = (\mathbb{C}^*)^3$ on $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{cyclic}}^{(\tilde{Q}_m^+, \phi_m)}(v_0, v_1)$ as follows: for $(t_1, t_2, t_3) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^3$, it acts trivially on b_1 , scales b_2 by t_3 , scales a_1 by t_1 , scales a_2 by t_2 , scales q_i by t_3^{i-1} , and scales p_i by $t_1 t_2 t_3^{2-i}$. It is straightforward to check that the torus weight of the potential ϕ_m is $t_1 t_2 t_3$. In particular, the Calabi-Yau subtorus $F_0 = \{(t_1, t_2, t_3) \in F \mid t_1 t_2 t_3 = 1\}$ preserves ϕ_m .

8.1.2. *Torus fixed representations.* Consider combinatorial arrangement as in the figure below:




Definition 8.1. ([Sze, §2.4], [NN, §4.5]) A finite type pyramid partition of length m is a finite subset λ of the combinatorial arrangement of length m such that for every stone in λ , the stones directly above it are also in λ .

The following are the pictures for some finite type pyramid partitions with length 3 and length 4 (ref. [NN, Figure 12]):



A finite type pyramid partition with length 3



A finite type pyramid partition with length 4

¹⁹One can also consider the quiver with potential (\tilde{Q}_m^-, ϕ_m) as in [NN, §4.3 & Fig. 10], the whole section extends to this setting.

²⁰Here we use the labelling in the arxiv version of [NN].

In general, finite type pyramid partitions with length m consists of: $1 \times m$ black stones on the first layer, $1 \times (m - 1)$ white stones on the second layer, $2 \times (m - 1)$ black stones on the third, $2 \times (m - 2)$ white stones on the fourth, and so on until we reach $m \times 1$ black stones.

Notation 8.2. We write \square for a stone regardless of its color, \circ for a white stone, and \bullet for a black stone.

The following result classifies torus fixed cyclic stable framed representations.

Proposition 8.3. ([NN, Prop. 4.14])

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\zeta_{\text{cyclic}}}^{(\bar{Q}_m^+, \phi_m)}(v_0, v_1)^F = \mathfrak{M}_{\zeta_{\text{cyclic}}}^{(\bar{Q}_m^+, \phi_m)}(v_0, v_1)^{F_0}$$

are finite number of reduced points and parameterized by finite type pyramid partitions of length m with v_0 white stones and v_1 black stones.

At each F -fixed point λ , the tautological bundles V_0 and V_1 have basis respectively labelled by the white and black stones in λ . Each basis element spans a F -weight space, with the F -weight determined by the position of the stone.

Example 8.4. For example, by our conventions on the torus action, the weights of the black stones on the top layer of a finite type pyramid partition are

$$1; t_3; t_3^2; \dots; t_3^{m-1}.$$

The weights of the black stones on the layer 3 are

$$t_1 t_3, t_2 t_3; t_1 t_3^2, t_2 t_3^2; \dots; t_1 t_3^{m-1}, t_2 t_3^{m-1}.$$


The weights of the black stones on the layer 5 are

$$t_1^2 t_3^2, t_1 t_2 t_3^2, t_2^2 t_3^2; t_1^2 t_3^3, t_1 t_2 t_3^3, t_2^2 t_3^3; \dots, t_1^2 t_3^{m-1}, t_2^2 t_3^{m-1}.$$

The last one is the $2(m - 1) + 1 = (2m - 1)$ -th layer, where the weights of the black stones are

$$t_1^{m-1} t_3^{m-1}, t_1^{m-2} t_2 t_3^{m-1}, t_1^{m-3} t_2^2 t_3^{m-1}, \dots, t_2^{m-1} t_3^{m-1}.$$

We use the following terminology in order to describe the relative position of one stone with respect to another one:



Furthermore, in this terminology the word *above* will mean *up with respect to the paper surface*, and *below* will mean *down with respect to the paper surface*.

8.1.3. *Torus fixed quasimaps.* Fix an R -twist as (7.9), where $\sigma_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) such that

$$-\sigma_1 - \sigma_2 - \sigma_3 = -2.$$

As in §7.1, we define \mathbb{C}_q^* action on \mathbb{P}^1 and

$$T = F \times \mathbb{C}_q^*, \quad T_0 = F_0 \times \mathbb{C}_q^*.$$

For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{M}_{\zeta_{\text{cyclic}}}^{(\bar{Q}_m^+, \phi_m)}(v_0, v_1)^F$, as in the previous section, we define the moduli stack

$$QM_d^R(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathfrak{M}_{\zeta_{\text{cyclic}}}^{(\bar{Q}_m^+, \phi_m)}(v_0, v_1))_{\infty=\lambda}$$

of R -twisted quasimaps to $\mathfrak{M}_{\zeta_{\text{cyclic}}}^{(\bar{Q}_m^+, \phi_m)}(v_0, v_1)$ which are smooth at ∞ and whose image under evaluation map ev_{∞} is λ . Here $d = (d_0, d_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ denotes the degree. As F acts on the target and \mathbb{C}_q^* scales the \mathbb{P}^1 , the moduli stack has a natural T -action.

Proposition 8.5. *Let λ be a finite type pyramid partition of length m with v_0 white stones and v_1 black stones. For each \circ , we denote the black stone in front of (and above) it by \bullet_{front} , the black stone at the back of (and above) it by \bullet_{back} . For each \bullet , we denote the white stone on the left of (and above) it by \circ_{left} , the white stone on the right of (and above) it by \circ_{right} .*

Then the T -fixed points of $QM_d^R(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathfrak{M}_{\zeta_{\text{cyclic}}}^{(\tilde{Q}_m^+, \phi_m)}(v_0, v_1))_{\infty=\lambda}$ are finite and labelled by the following data: for each stone \square , we associate a number $d_{\square} \in \mathbb{Z}$. The collection $(d_{\square})_{\square \in \lambda}$ is subject to the following conditions:

$$(8.1) \quad \begin{aligned} d_{\bullet} &\geq (i-1)\sigma_3 \text{ if } \bullet \text{ is the } i\text{-th stone on the first layer,} \\ d_{\circ} &\geq d_{\bullet_{\text{front}}} + \sigma_3 \text{ for each } \circ, \\ d_{\circ} &\geq d_{\bullet_{\text{back}}} \text{ for each } \circ, \\ d_{\bullet} &\geq d_{\circ_{\text{left}}} + \sigma_2 \text{ for each } \bullet, \\ d_{\bullet} &\geq d_{\circ_{\text{right}}} + \sigma_1 \text{ for each } \bullet, \end{aligned}$$

with $\sum_{\circ \in \lambda} d_{\circ} = d_0$ and $\sum_{\bullet \in \lambda} d_{\bullet} = d_1$.

Proof. This is similar to Lemma 7.8. On a fixed point, we have

$$\mathcal{V}_0 = \bigoplus \mathcal{L}_{\circ}, \quad \mathcal{V}_1 = \bigoplus \mathcal{L}_{\bullet}$$

with each \mathcal{L}_{\square} a T -equivariant line bundle on \mathbb{P}^1 and all the maps are T -equivariant. For example, the condition $d_{\circ} \geq d_{\bullet_{\text{front}}} + \sigma_3$ for each \circ comes from the fact that the map

$$\mathcal{L}_{d_{\bullet_{\text{front}}}} \otimes \mathcal{L}_3^{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\circ}$$

of vector bundles on \mathbb{P}^1 is \mathbb{C}_q^* -equivariant and non-zero at $\infty \in \mathbb{P}^1$. \square

8.1.4. *Vertex functions and saddle point equations.* As in Definition 7.7, one can define the vertex function without insertions:

$$|\emptyset\rangle_{\lambda}(z) \in A_*^{T_0}(\text{pt})_{\text{loc}}[[z]],$$

and can explicitly compute it as Proposition 7.10. As the expression is very complicated and not so suggestive, we do not present it here. By the Mellin-Barnes integral method as in Proposition 7.12, we can write the vertex function as a contour integral, with variables s_i^0 ($i = 1, \dots, v_0$) and s_j^1 ($j = 1, \dots, v_1$). As in Proposition 7.13, we obtain the following saddle point equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{z}_0 &= \prod_{i=1}^m (s_j^0 + (1-i)\hbar_3) \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{v_1} (s_j^0 - s_i^1 - \hbar_3)(s_j^0 - s_i^1)}{\prod_{i=1}^{v_1} (s_j^0 - s_i^1 + \hbar_1)(s_j^0 - s_i^1 + \hbar_2)} \text{ for each } j = 1, \dots, v_0, \\ \bar{z}_1 &= \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{m+1} (s_j^1 + (1-i)\hbar_3)} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{v_0} (s_j^1 - s_i^0 - \hbar_1)(s_j^1 - s_i^0 - \hbar_2)}{\prod_{i=1}^{v_0} (s_j^1 - s_i^0 + \hbar_3)(s_j^1 - s_i^0)} \text{ for each } j = 1, \dots, v_1, \end{aligned}$$

where $\hbar_k := c_1^F(t_k)$ ($k = 1, 2, 3$) are the equivariant parameters.

Based on calculations, it is expected from [RSYZ2] that the direct sum

$$\bigoplus_{(v_0, v_1)} H_{F_0}^{\text{crit}}(\mathfrak{M}_{\zeta_{\text{cyclic}}}^{(\tilde{Q}_m^+, \phi_m)}(v_0, v_1))$$

of critical cohomologies carries the structure of a representation of the shifted super affine Yangian of $\mathfrak{gl}(1|1)$. Therefore, it is natural to expect the above equations to be related to Bethe equations of the shifted super affine Yangian of $\mathfrak{gl}(1|1)$, which to our knowledge has not been worked out from representation theoretic point of view.

8.2. **Higher \mathfrak{sl}_2 -spin chains.** For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, consider the following quiver with potential

$$\square \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{Q} \\ \xleftarrow{\bar{Q}} \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{l} \\ \xleftarrow{l} \end{array} \quad \phi = \text{tr}(l^k Q \bar{Q}).$$

They are related to non-simply-laced Yangians and higher spin representations of simply-laced Yangians, which originate from physical literature including [NS2, Ce, CD].

Fix the dimension vector to be N at the square node and n at the circular node. Let

$$G := \text{GL}_N, \quad F := (\mathbb{C}^*)^N \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^2,$$

where $(\mathbb{C}^*)^N \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_N$ is the maximal torus with coordinates $(e^{a_1}, \dots, e^{a_N})$ and the action of $(t_1, t_2) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ is given by scaling the arrow Q by t_1 and the arrow l by t_2 . Define the G -character

$$\theta := \det^{-1} : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*,$$

which gives the usual cyclic stability. Define the F -character

$$\chi : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*, \quad (e^{a_1}, \dots, e^{a_N}, t_1, t_2) \mapsto t_1 t_2^k.$$

Then the Calabi-Yau subtorus is

$$F_0 = \{(e^{a_1}, \dots, e^{a_N}, t_1, t_2) \mid t_1 t_2^k = 1\}.$$

By definition,

$$W = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^N, \mathbb{C}^n) \times \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^N) \times \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^n),$$

and the torus F_0 -fixed points of $\mathrm{Crit}(\phi)//G$ are labelled by the following set

$$\left\{ \lambda = (k_1, \dots, k_N) \in \mathbb{N}^N \mid 0 \leq k_i \leq k, \sum_{i=1}^N k_i = n \right\}.$$

In what follows it is convenient to consider λ as an N -tuple of 1-dimensional Young diagrams with length no more than k and n many boxes in total. The position of each box $\circ \in \lambda$ is determined by a pair (i, h) called its *coordinates*, where $i = 1, \dots, N$ says the box lies in the i -th Young diagram, and $h \in \mathbb{N}$ says this is the h -th box in this 1-dimensional Young diagram. Note that the cardinality of this set is equal to the dimension of n -th weight space in the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -representation $(\mathrm{Sym}^k(\mathbb{C}^2))^{\otimes N}$. Indeed, the action of the Yangian on the cohomology has been constructed by Bykov and Zinn-Justin [BZ].

Consider the moduli stack $QM_d^R(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathrm{Crit}(\phi)//G)_{\infty=\lambda}$ of quasimaps as (7.12) with R -charge:

$$R : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow F, \quad t \mapsto (t^{\alpha_1}, \dots, t^{\alpha_N}, t^{-\sigma_1}, t^{-\sigma_2})$$

subject to the condition $\sigma_1 + k\sigma_2 = 2$.

Proposition 8.6. *The $(F_0 \times \mathbb{C}_q^*)$ -fixed points on $QM_d^R(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathrm{Crit}(\phi)//G)_{\infty=\lambda}$ are labelled by tuples*

$$\left\{ (z_{\circ})_{\circ \in \lambda} \mid \text{subject to (1) and (2)} \right\}.$$

Here for each $\circ \in \lambda$ with coordinates (i, h) , we write $\langle \circ, \sigma \rangle := h\sigma_2 + \sigma_1 - \alpha_i$, and $d_{\circ} = z_{\circ} + \langle \circ, \sigma \rangle$. Then, the tuple $(z_{\circ})_{\circ \in \lambda}$ is such that each $z_{\circ} \in \mathbb{N}$, and

- (1) for each $i = 1, \dots, N$, the sequence $(z_{i,h})_{h \in \mathbb{N}}$ form a 2-dimensional Young diagram;
- (2) $\sum_{\circ \in \lambda} d_{\circ} = d$.

We omit the proof, which is similar to that of Proposition 8.5. The same calculation as in the proof of Proposition 7.13 gives the following constraint of eigenvalues of quantum multiplication. Write $\hbar := c_1^T(t_2)$, then we have the following equations for variables s_i 's:

$$\prod_{j=1}^N \frac{s_i - a_j}{a_j - s_i + k\hbar} = z \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^n \frac{s_i - s_j + \hbar}{s_i - s_j - \hbar}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

By specializing $a_1 = \dots = a_N$ and \hbar to certain values, these equations agree with the well-known Bethe equations of higher \mathfrak{sl}_2 -spin chains in the lattice model e.g. [Ba, Eqn. (54)], [KRS].

When $k = 1$, by dimensional reduction [Dav, Thm. A.1], we have an isomorphism

$$H_{F_0}^*(X, \varphi_{\phi}) \cong H_{F_0}^*(T^* \mathrm{Gr}(n, N)).$$

Following §5.5, the quasimap invariants of the quiver with potential above should recover those in [PSZ]²¹. In particular, we see our Bethe equations recover theirs [PSZ, Thm. 2]²² when $k = 1$.

In the framework discussed in §7.6, the above is an example of reproducing higher spin Bethe equations using quivers with potentials. We further expect that the same method can be applied to recover Bethe equations for non-simply-laced Yangian representations as studied in [YZ] and higher spin analogy of \mathfrak{sl}_n -spin chains considered in [KPSZ].

²¹To be more precise, [PSZ] considers K -theoretic invariants. The K -theoretic version of our quasimap invariants will have dimensional reduction to theirs (see §5.5). Alternatively one can recover their cohomological limit from our (cohomological) invariants.

²²In loc. cit., they use K -theory instead cohomology theory, so \hbar is multiplied instead of being summed.

APPENDIX A.

In this section, we recall the notions of Borel-Moore homology, vanishing cycle functor, critical cohomology and their basic properties. Some standard references are [F, Iv, KaSc]. We also refer to [KS] for the construction of cohomological Hall algebra (COHA) structures on critical cohomologies.

A.1. Equivariantly localized pushforward. When a map is proper, one has its pushforward in Chow groups. It is useful to extend the definition to the equivariantly proper setting. Let

$$f : X \rightarrow X'$$

be a F_0 -equivariant map between Deligne-Mumford stacks, where F_0 is a torus. Let $j : Y \hookrightarrow X$ be a F_0 -invariant closed substack, by [Kre, Thm. 5.3.5], there is an isomorphism

$$(A.1) \quad i_{Y*} : A_*^{F_0}(Y^{F_0})_{loc} \xrightarrow{\cong} A_*^{F_0}(Y)_{loc}.$$

Here for any $A_*^{F_0}(\text{pt})$ -module M , we write its localization

$$M_{loc} := M \otimes_{A_*^{F_0}(\text{pt})} A_*^{F_0}(\text{pt})_{loc},$$

where $A_*^{F_0}(\text{pt})_{loc}$ is the field of fractions of $A_*^{F_0}(\text{pt})$.

Definition A.1. Assume Y^{F_0} is proper over X' . We define an *equivariantly localized pushforward*:

$$(A.2) \quad f_* : A_*^{F_0}(Y)_{loc} \xrightarrow{f_{Y^{F_0}*} \circ (i_{Y*})^{-1}} A_*^{F_0}(X')_{loc},$$

where $f_{Y^{F_0}*} := f|_{Y^{F_0}} : Y^{F_0} \rightarrow X'$ is a proper map and $f_{Y^{F_0}*}$ is the usual pushforward.

One can similarly define an *equivariantly localized pushforward*

$$(A.3) \quad f_* : H_{F_0}^{BM}(Y)_{loc} \rightarrow H_{F_0}^{BM}(X')_{loc},$$

for Borel-Moore homology (introduced in Eqn. (A.4)) by using the isomorphism

$$i_{Y*} : H_{F_0}^{BM}(Y^{F_0})_{loc} \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{F_0}^{BM}(Y)_{loc}$$

due to [GKM, Thm. 6.2].

A.2. Borel-Moore homology, vanishing cycle and critical cohomology. Let $D_c^b(X)$ be the bounded derived category of constructible sheaves of \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces on a complex algebraic variety X , and \mathbb{D}_X be the Verdier duality functor for $D_c^b(X)$.

If X is smooth of dimension d , then

$$\mathbb{D}_X(-) = (-)^\vee[2d].$$

We also refer to the sheaf $\mathbb{D}_X(\mathbb{Q}_X)$ as the *dualizing sheaf*, and use the shorthand

$$\mathbb{D}_X = \mathbb{D}_X(\mathbb{Q}_X).$$

In particular, \mathbb{D}_{pt} is the vector space dual. We write the structure morphism of a complex algebraic variety as $p_X : X \rightarrow \text{pt}$. Then the *Verdier dual of the compactly supported cohomology* is

$$(A.4) \quad H_c^*(X)^\vee := \mathbb{D}_{\text{pt}}(p_{X!}\mathbb{Q}_X) = p_{X*}\mathbb{D}_X,$$

which is the *Borel-Moore homology* $H^{BM}(X)$ of X in the usual sense (e.g. [Iv, §IX]). We refer to [KaSc, §2.6], [HTT, §C.2] for basic properties of six functor formalism used in this paper.

There is a cycle map [F, §19]:

$$cl : A_*(X) \rightarrow H^{BM}(X),$$

which is a graded group homomorphism. We refer to [KV, §3.2] for a more general theory of Borel-Moore homology on stacks.

We will also consider the critical cohomology in the following setup. Let X be a complex manifold and

$$\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

be a regular function, referred to as the potential function. We define the *functor of vanishing cycles*:

$$\varphi_\phi : D_c^b(X) \rightarrow D_c^b(Z(\phi)),$$

$$(A.5) \quad \varphi_\phi(F) := \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\text{Re}(\phi) \geq 0}(F)|_{Z(\phi)}, \quad \text{where } Z(\phi) := \phi^{-1}(0).$$

Here we use an equivalent definition due to [KaSc, Ex. VIII 13].

By Remark 2.2, without loss of generality, we may assume for some $r \geq 1$, there is an embedding

$$\text{Crit}(\phi) \hookrightarrow Z(\phi^r),$$

and note that the underlying topological spaces of $Z(\phi^r)$ and $Z(\phi)$ are the same, so are their Borel-Moore homology. Denote the embedding of the zero locus by

$$i : Z(\phi) \rightarrow X.$$

Recall the *Milnor triangle* (also known as the canonical triangle):

$$(A.6) \quad \psi_\phi \rightarrow \varphi_\phi \rightarrow i^*,$$

which is a distinguished triangle of functors to $D_c^b(Z(\phi))$. We are primarily interested in the complex $\varphi_\phi \mathbb{Q}_X$, which is supported on the critical locus of f . If X is smooth, then $\varphi_\phi \mathbb{D}_X$ is also supported on the critical locus. Without causing confusion, we also consider both $\varphi_\phi \mathbb{Q}_X$ and $\varphi_\phi \mathbb{D}_X$ as objects in $D_c^b(X)$.

The *critical cohomology*²³ of (X, ϕ) is defined to be

$$H_c(X, \varphi_\phi \mathbb{Q}_X)^\vee = \mathbb{D}_{\text{pt}} p_{X!} \varphi_\phi \mathbb{Q}_X = p_{X*} \varphi_\phi \mathbb{D}_X.$$

For simplicity, we also denote this by $H(X, \varphi_\phi)$. More generally, for any $A \in D_c^b(X)$, we denote

$$H(X, A) := \mathbb{D}_{\text{pt}} p_{X!} A.$$

The Milnor triangle (A.6) gives a natural transformation $\varphi_\phi \rightarrow i^*$. Using the description of φ_ϕ in Eqn. (A.5), this natural transformation is induced by

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\text{Re}(\phi) \geq 0} \rightarrow \text{id}.$$

In particular, applying $\mathbb{D}_{\text{pt}} p_{Z!}$, we obtain a *canonical map* from BM homology to critical cohomology

$$(A.7) \quad \text{can} : H^{BM}(Z(\phi)) = \mathbb{D}_{\text{pt}} p_{Z!} \mathbb{Q}_Z = \mathbb{D}_{\text{pt}} p_{Z!} i^* \mathbb{Q}_X \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_{\text{pt}} p_{Z!} \varphi_\phi \mathbb{Q}_X = H(X, \varphi_\phi).$$

Without causing confusion, for any closed subscheme $V \subseteq Z(\phi^r)$ (with $r \geq 1$), we also denote the composition of can with $H^{BM}(V) \rightarrow H^{BM}(Z(\phi^r)) \cong H^{BM}(Z(\phi))$ as

$$(A.8) \quad \text{can} : H^{BM}(V) \rightarrow H(X, \varphi_\phi).$$

A.3. Functoriality. The functor φ is natural in the sense that if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a map of complex manifolds and $\phi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a regular function, then there is a natural transformation

$$(A.9) \quad \varphi_\phi f_* \rightarrow f_* \varphi_{\phi \circ f},$$

and hence by duality, a transformation

$$(A.10) \quad f! \varphi_{\phi \circ f} \rightarrow \varphi_\phi f!.$$

Both of them agree and become natural isomorphisms when f is proper (ref. [KaSc, Ex. VIII 15]).

As in the case of Borel-Moore homology, critical cohomology has *functoriality* under *pullbacks* and *proper pushforwards* assuming potential functions are compatible. More precisely, let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map of complex manifolds, and $\phi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a regular function. Usual adjunction gives morphisms in $D_c^b(Y)$:

$$\mathbb{Q}_Y \rightarrow f_* \mathbb{Q}_X, \quad f! \mathbb{Q}_X \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_Y[-2 \dim f], \quad \text{where } \dim f := \dim X - \dim Y.$$

Applying $\mathbb{D}_{\text{pt}} p_{Y!} \varphi_\phi$ to the latter, and composing with Eqn. (A.10), we obtain

$$\mathbb{D}_{\text{pt}} p_{Y!} \varphi_\phi \mathbb{Q}_Y \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_{\text{pt}} p_{Y!} \varphi_\phi f! \mathbb{Q}_X[-2 \dim f] \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_{\text{pt}} p_{Y!} f! \varphi_{\phi \circ f} \mathbb{Q}_X[-2 \dim f],$$

which we denote by

$$f^* : H(Y, \varphi_\phi) \rightarrow H_{*+2 \dim f}(X, \varphi_{\phi \circ f}).$$

While the homological degree is useful in general, in the present paper we sometimes omit it for simplicity. Similarly, assuming f is proper, applying $\mathbb{D}_{\text{pt}} p_{Y!} \varphi_\phi$ to $\mathbb{Q}_Y \rightarrow f_* \mathbb{Q}_X$, using Eqn. (A.9) and the fact that $f_! = f_*$ for a proper map, we obtain the proper pushforward

$$f_* : H(X, \varphi_{\phi \circ f}) = \mathbb{D}_{\text{pt}} p_{Y!} f! \varphi_{\phi \circ f} \mathbb{Q}_X \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_{\text{pt}} p_{Y!} \varphi_\phi f_* \mathbb{Q}_X \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_{\text{pt}} p_{Y!} \varphi_\phi \mathbb{Q}_Y = H(Y, \varphi_\phi).$$

²³One can say it is more appropriate to call it critical homology as we take the dual of a cohomology. Here we follow the convention from the literature and call it critical cohomology.

A.4. Thom-Sebastiani isomorphism. Given complex manifolds X, Y with regular functions $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\phi' : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, one can define $\phi \boxplus \phi' : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as the sum of the two pullback functions. Denote

$$i_{Z(\phi)} \times i_{Z(\phi')} := j \circ k : Z(\phi) \times Z(\phi') \xrightarrow{k} Z(\phi \boxplus \phi') \xrightarrow{j} X \times Y$$

to be the natural inclusions. There exists an isomorphism of functors from $D_c^b(X \times Y)$:

$$k^* \varphi_{\phi \boxplus \phi'} \xrightarrow{\text{TS}} \varphi_{\phi} \boxtimes \varphi_{\phi'},$$

called *Thom-Sebastiani isomorphism* (e.g. [Mas]). It is easy to see that this is compatible with the natural morphism in Milnor triangle (A.6), i.e. the following is commutative

$$(A.11) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} k^* \varphi_{\phi \boxplus \phi'} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \varphi_{\phi} \boxtimes \varphi_{\phi'} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ k^* j^* & \xrightarrow{=} & i_{Z(\phi)}^* \boxtimes i_{Z(\phi')}^* \end{array}$$

Indeed, by [Mas, Lemma 1.2] the natural transform TS comes from

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\text{Re}\phi \geq 0 \times \text{Re}\phi' \geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\text{Re}\phi \boxplus \phi' \geq 0},$$

which in turn commutes with $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\text{Re}\phi \geq 0 \times \text{Re}\phi' \geq 0} \rightarrow \text{id}$ and $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\text{Re}\phi \boxplus \phi' \geq 0} \rightarrow \text{id}$, hence implying (A.11).

A.5. Equivariance. If X carries a F_0 -action, where F_0 is a complex linear algebraic group, we denote $H_{c, F_0}^*(X)^\vee$ to be the Verdier dual to the corresponding equivariant compactly supported cohomology of X . More generally, we can consider cohomology valued in an equivariant sheaf (see [GKM]). For any equivariant complex of constructible sheaves A on X , we define

$$H_{c, F_0}(X, A)^\vee := \mathbb{D}_{\text{pt}} p_! A.$$

We denote this by $H_{F_0}(X, A)$ for simplicity (when $A = \mathbb{Q}$, we simply write it as $H_{F_0}^{BM}(X)$). This is a module over $H_{F_0}^*(\text{pt})$, the ring of conjugation invariant functions on $\mathfrak{f}_0^* := \text{Lie}(F_0)^*$.

Suppose X is a smooth complex algebraic variety and endowed with a F_0 -invariant regular function

$$\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

As in [Dav, §2.4], we assume every $x \in X$ is contained in a F_0 -invariant open affine neighborhood. The vanishing cycles functor φ_ϕ applied to any F_0 -equivariant complex of sheaves on X , results in an equivariant complex of sheaves. All the discussions above carries to the equivariant setting. Notice here that the function ϕ has to be F_0 -invariant for the vanishing cycle functor to be well-defined in the equivariant setting. With this definition of equivariant (co)homology, one has the cycle map

$$cl : A_*([X/F_0]) \rightarrow H_{F_0}^{BM}(X),$$

where we follow e.g. [Par1, Def. A.2.(2)] to define the left hand side via Totaro construction [Tot].

REFERENCES

- [AGV] D. Abramovich, T. Graber and A. Vistoli, *Gromov-Witten theory of Deligne-Mumford stacks*, Amer. J. Math. 130 (2008), no. 5, pp. 1337–1398.
- [AbV] D. Abramovich and A. Vistoli, *Compactifying the space of stable maps*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 15 (2002), 27–75.
- [AO] M. Aganagic and A. Okounkov, *Quasimap counts and Bethe eigenfunctions*, Mosc. Math. J. 17 (2017), no. 4, 565–600.
- [AC] M. Anel and D. Calaque, *Shifted symplectic reduction of derived critical loci*, arXiv 2106.06625. To appear in Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.
- [ACGH] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba and P. Griffiths, *The Geometry of Algebraic Curves II. With a contribution by Joseph Daniel Harris*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 268. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011. xxx+963 pp.
- [Ba] H. M. Babujan, *Exact solution of the isotropic Heisenberg chain with arbitrary spins: thermodynamics of the model*, Nucl. Phys. B 215 [FS7] (1983) 317–336.
- [BP] Y. Bae and H. Park, *A comparison theorem for cycle theories for algebraic stacks*, in preparation.
- [BS] Y. Bae and J. Schmitt, *Chow rings of stacks of prestable curves I, with an appendix joint with Jonathan Skowera*, Forum Math. Sigma 10 (2022), Paper No. e28, 47 pp.
- [Beh] K. Behrend, *Gromov-Witten invariants in algebraic geometry*, Invent. Math. 127 (1997), 601–617.
- [BF1] K. Behrend and B. Fantechi, *The intrinsic normal cone*, Invent. Math. 128 (1997), 45–88.

- [BF2] K. Behrend and B. Fantechi, *Symmetric obstruction theories and Hilbert schemes of points on threefolds*, Algebra and Number Theory, vol. 2, 313–345, 2008.
- [BBS] K. Behrend, J. Bryan and B. Szendrői, *Motivic degree zero Donaldson–Thomas invariants*, Invent. Math. 192 (2013) 111–160.
- [BBD] A. A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein and P. Deligne, *Faisceaux pervers*. Analysis and topology on singular spaces, I (Luminy, 1981), 5–171, Astérisque, 100, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1982.
- [BBB] O. Ben-Bassat, C. Brav, V. Bussi and D. Joyce, *A ‘Darboux theorem’ for shifted symplectic structures on derived Artin stacks, with applications*, Geom. Topol. (19), (2015) 1287–1359.
- [BSS] M. Benini, P. Safronov and A. Schenkel, *Classical BV formalism for group actions*, arXiv:2104.14886v3.
- [BJ] D. Borisov and D. Joyce, *Virtual fundamental classes for moduli spaces of sheaves on Calabi-Yau fourfolds*, Geom. Topol. (21), (2017) 3231–3311.
- [BG] E. Bouaziz and I. Grojnowski, *A d-shifted Darboux theorem*, arXiv:1309.2197.
- [BBJ] C. Brav, V. Bussi, and D. Joyce, *A Darboux theorem for derived schemes with shifted symplectic structure*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 32 (2019), 399–443.
- [Brion] M. Brion, *Equivariant cohomology and equivariant intersection theory*, Representation theories and algebraic geometry (Montreal, PQ, 1997), NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C: Math. Phys. Sci., vol. 514, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1998, pp. 1–37. Notes by Alvaro Rittatore.
- [BZ] D. Bykov and P. Zinn-Justin, *Higher spin \mathfrak{sl}_2 R-matrix from equivariant cohomology*. Lett. Math. Phys. 110 (2020), no. 9, 2435–2470.
- [Cal] D. Calaque, *Lagrangian structures on mapping stacks and semi-classical TFTs*. Stacks and categories in geometry, topology, and algebra, 1–23, Contemp. Math., 643, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2015
- [CPTVV] D. Calaque, T. Pantev, B. Toën, M. Vaquié and G. Vezzosi, *Shifted Poisson Structures and deformation quantization*, J. Topol. 10 (2017), no. 2, 483–584.
- [CGJ] Y. Cao, J. Gross, and D. Joyce, *Orientability of moduli spaces of Spin(7)-instantons and coherent sheaves on Calabi-Yau 4-folds*, Adv. Math. 368, (2020), 107134.
- [CK1] Y. Cao and M. Kool, *Zero-dimensional Donaldson–Thomas invariants of Calabi-Yau 4-folds*, Adv. Math. 338 (2018), 601–648.
- [CK2] Y. Cao and M. Kool, *Curve counting and DT/PT correspondence for Calabi-Yau 4-folds*, Adv. Math. 375 (2020), 107371.
- [CKM] Y. Cao, M. Kool, and S. Monavari, *K-theoretic DT/PT correspondence for toric Calabi-Yau 4-folds*, Comm. Math. Phys. 396 (2022), no. 1, 225–264.
- [CL1] Y. Cao and N. C. Leung, *Donaldson–Thomas theory for Calabi-Yau 4-folds*, arXiv:1407.7659.
- [CL2] Y. Cao and N. C. Leung, *Orientability for gauge theories on Calabi-Yau manifolds*, Adv. Math. 314 (2017), 48–70.
- [CL3] Y. Cao and N. C. Leung, *Relative Donaldson–Thomas theory for Calabi–Yau 4-folds*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 369 (9) (2017), 6631–6659.
- [CMT] Y. Cao, D. Maulik, and Y. Toda, *Stable pairs and Gopakumar–Vafa type invariants for Calabi-Yau 4-folds*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 24 (2022), no. 2, 527–581.
- [CT1] Y. Cao and Y. Toda, *Curve counting via stable objects in derived categories of Calabi-Yau 4-folds*, Adv. Math. 406 (2022) 108531.
- [CT2] Y. Cao and Y. Toda, *Gopakumar–Vafa type invariants on Calabi-Yau 4-folds via descendent insertions*, Comm. Math. Phys. 383 (2021), no. 1, 281–310.
- [CT3] Y. Cao and Y. Toda, *Tautological stable pair invariants of Calabi-Yau 4-folds*, Adv. Math. 396 (2022) 108176.
- [CT4] Y. Cao and Y. Toda, *Counting perverse coherent systems on Calabi-Yau 4-folds*, Math. Ann. 385 (2023), no. 3–4, 1–51.
- [Ce] S. Cecotti, *The quiver approach to the BPS spectrum of a $4d N = 2$ gauge theory*. String-Math 2012, 317, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 90, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2015. 1
- [CD] S. Cecotti and M. Del Zotto, *$4d N = 2$ gauge theories and quivers: the non-simply laced case*. J. High Energy Phys. 2012, no. 10, 190, front matter + 34 pp.
- [CR] W. Chen and Y. Ruan, *Orbifold Gromov–Witten theory*. In Orbifolds in mathematics and physics (Madison, WI, 2001), volume 310 of Contemp. Math., pages 25–85. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.
- [CCK] D. Cheong, I. Ciocan-Fontanine and B. Kim, *Orbifold Quasimap Theory*. Math. Ann. 363 (2015), no. 3–4, 777–816.
- [CFGKS] I. Ciocan-Fontanine, D. Favero, J. Guéré, B. Kim and M. Shoemaker, *Fundamental factorization of GLSM part I: construction*, arXiv:1802.05247. To appear in Memoirs of the AMS.
- [CiK1] I. Ciocan-Fontanine and B. Kim, *Moduli stacks of stable toric quasimaps*, Adv. in Math. 225 (2010), 3022–3051.
- [CiK2] I. Ciocan-Fontanine and B. Kim, *Quasimap theory*, Proc. of ICM Seoul 2014. Vol. II, 615–633.
- [CiK3] I. Ciocan-Fontanine and B. Kim, *Higher genus quasimap wall-crossing for semi-positive targets*, J. Eur. Math. Soc., 19 (2017), 2051–2102.
- [CiKM] I. Ciocan-Fontanine, B. Kim and D. Maulik, *Stable quasimaps to GIT quotients*, J. Geom. Phys. 75 (2014), 17–47.
- [Dav] B. Davison, *The critical COHA of a quiver with potential*, Q. J. Math. 68 (2017), no. 2, 635–703.
- [DWZ] H. Derksen, J. Weyman and A. Zelevinsky, *Quivers with potentials and their representations. I. Mutations*, Selecta Math. 14 (2008), 59–119.

- [Dia] D.-E. Diaconescu, *Moduli of ADHM sheaves and the local Donaldson-Thomas theory*, J. Geom. Phys., 62 (2012), 763–799.
- [Emma] I. Emmanouil, *The cyclic homology of affine algebras*, Invent. Math. 121 (1995), 1–19.
- [FJR1] H. Fan, T. J. Jarvis, and Y. Ruan, *The Witten equation, mirror symmetry and quantum singularity theory*, Ann. of Math. 178 (2013), 1–106.
- [FJR2] H. Fan, T. J. Jarvis and Y. Ruan, *A mathematical theory of the gauged linear sigma model*. Geom. Topol. 22 (2018), no. 1, 235–303.
- [FK] D. Favero and B. Kim, *General GLSM Invariants and Their Cohomological Field Theories*, arXiv:2006.12182.
- [FJMM1] B. Feigin, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa and E. Mukhin, *Quantum toroidal \mathfrak{gl}_1 and Bethe ansatz*, J. Phys. A 48 (2015), no. 24, 244001, 27 pp.
- [FJMM2] B. Feigin, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa and E. Mukhin, *Finite Type Modules and Bethe Ansatz for Quantum Toroidal \mathfrak{gl}_1* , Comm. Math. Phys. 356 (2017), 285–327.
- [F] W. Fulton, *Intersection Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg 1998.
- [GLY] D. Galakhov, W. Li, and M. Yamazaki, *Gauge/Bethe correspondence from quiver BPS algebras*, JHEP 11 (2022) 119, arXiv:2206.13340.
- [Gin] V. Ginzburg, *Calabi-Yau algebras*, arXiv:math/0612139.
- [GR] V. Ginzburg and N. Rozenblyum, *Gaiotto’s Lagrangian subvarieties via derived symplectic geometry*, Algebr. Represent. Theory, (2018) 21, 1003–1015.
- [Giv] A. Givental, *A mirror theorem for toric complete intersections*, in: Topological Field Theory, Primitive Forms and Related Topics, Kyoto, 1996, in: Progr. Math., vol. 160, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1998, pp. 141–175.
- [GKM] M. Goresky, R. Kottwitz and R. MacPherson, *Equivariant cohomology, Koszul duality, and the localization theorem*, Invent. Math. 131 (1998), no. 1, 25–83.
- [Gra] A. Grataloup, *A Derived Lagrangian Fibration on the Derived Critical Locus*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu, (2022), 1–35. arXiv:2010.14221.
- [HZ] D. Hernandez and H. Zhang, *Shifted Yangians and polynomial R-matrices*, arXiv:2103.10993.
- [HTT] R. Hotta, K. Takeuchi and T. Tanisaki, *D-modules, perverse sheaves and representation theory*, Progress in Mathematics, 236, Birkhauser, Boston, 2008.
- [Iv] B. Iversen, *Cohomology of sheaves*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.
- [KV] M. Kapranov and E. Vasserot, *The cohomological Hall algebra of a surface and factorization cohomology*, arXiv:1901.07641v3.
- [KaSc] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, *Sheaves on Manifolds*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 292. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990. x+512 pp.
- [KL1] Y. H. Kiem and J. Li, *Categorification of Donaldson-Thomas invariants via perverse sheaves*, arXiv:1212.6444.
- [KL2] Y. H. Kiem and J. Li, *Quantum singularity theory via cosection localization*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 766 (2020), 73–107.
- [KP] Y. H. Kiem and H. Park, *Cosection localization via shifted symplectic structures*, in preparation.
- [Kim] B. Kim, *Stable quasimaps to holomorphic symplectic quotients*, arXiv:1005.4125.
- [King] A. D. King, *Moduli of representations of finite-dimensional algebras*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) 45 (180) (1994) 515–530.
- [Kinjo] T. Kinjo, *Dimensional reduction in cohomological Donaldson-Thomas theory*, Compositio Math. 158 (2022) 123–167.
- [KM] M. Kontsevich and Y. Manin, *Gromov-Witten classes, quantum cohomology, and enumerative geometry*, Comm. Math. Phys. 164, (1994), no. 3, 525–562.
- [KS] M. Kontsevich and Y. Soibelman, *Cohomological Hall algebra, exponential Hodge structures and motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants*, Commun. Number Theory Phys. 5 (2011), no. 2, 231–352.
- [KPSZ] P. Koroteev, P. P. Pushkar, A. V. Smirnov and A. M. Zeitlin, *Quantum K-theory of quiver varieties and many-body systems*, Sel. Math. New Ser. 27, 87 (2021).
- [KZ] P. Koroteev and A. M. Zeitlin, *qKZ/tRS duality via quantum K-theoretic counts*, Math. Res. Lett. 28 (2) (2021) 435–470.
- [Kre] A. Kresch, *Cycle groups for Artin stacks*, Invent. Math. 138 (1999), no. 3, 495–536.
- [KRS] P. P. Kulish, N. Yu. Reshetikhin and E. K. Sklyanin, *Yang-Baxter equation and representation theory I*, Lett. Math. Phys. 5 (1981) 393–403.
- [LY] W. Li and M. Yamazaki, *Quiver Yangian from Crystal Melting*, JHEP 11 (2020) 035, arXiv:2003.08909.
- [Lod] J. L. Loday, *Cyclic homology*, Appendix E by Mara O. Ronco. Grundlehren der Math. Wiss., 301. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992. xviii+454 pp.
- [Lur] J. Lurie, *Derived algebraic geometry*. Ph.D. Thesis, M.I.T. 2004. Available at <http://www.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/DAG.pdf>
- [Man] C. Manolache, *Virtual pull-backs*, J. Algebraic Geom. 21 (2012), no. 2, 201–245.
- [MOP] A. Marian, D. Oprea, and R. Pandharipande, *The moduli space of stable quotients*, Geom. Topol. 15 (2011), 1651–1706.
- [Mas] D. Massey, *The Sebastiani–Thom isomorphism in the derived category*, Compositio Math. 125 (2001), 353–362.
- [MO] D. Maulik and A. Okounkov, *Quantum groups and quantum cohomology*, Astérisque 408 (2019), ix+209.
- [MM] A. Mustață and A. Mustață, *Intermediate moduli spaces of stable maps*, Invent. Math. 167 (2007), 47–90.

- [NN] K. Nagao and H. Nakajima, *Counting invariants of perverse coherent sheaves and its wall-crossing*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2011), no. 17, 3885–3938. arXiv:0809.2992.
- [Nak1] H. Nakajima, *Instantons on ALE spaces, quiver varieties, and Kac-Moody algebras*, Duke Math. J., 76 (2): 365–416, 1994.
- [Nak2] H. Nakajima, *Quiver varieties and finite dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 14 (2001), no. 1, 145–238.
- [Ne] A. Negut, *Shuffle algebras for quivers and wheel conditions*, to appear in Crelle, arXiv:2108.08779.
- [NS1] N. A. Nekrasov and S. L. Shatashvili, *Quantization of Integrable Systems and Four Dimensional Gauge Theories*, arXiv:0908.4052.
- [NS2] N. A. Nekrasov and S. L. Shatashvili, *Supersymmetric vacua and Bethe Ansatz*, Nuclear Phys. B Proc. Suppl. 192/193 (2009), 91–112,
- [OT] J. Oh and R. P. Thomas, *Counting sheaves on Calabi-Yau 4-folds I*, arXiv:2009.05542.
- [Oko] A. Okounkov, *Lectures on K-theoretic computations in enumerative geometry*, Geometry of moduli spaces and representation theory, 251–380, IAS/Park City Math. Ser., 24, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2017.
- [Ols1] M. Olsson, *Hom-stacks and restriction of scalars*, Duke Math. J. 134 (2006), no. 1, 139–164.
- [P] R. Pandharipande, *Cohomological field theory calculations*, Proc. of ICM Rio de Janeiro 2018. Vol. I. Plenary lectures, 869–898, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2018.
- [PT] R. Pandharipande and R. P. Thomas, *Curve counting via stable pairs in the derived category*, Invent. Math. 178 (2009), 407–447.
- [PTVV] T. Pantev, B. Toën, M. Vaquie and G. Vezzosi, *Shifted symplectic structures*, Publ. Math. l’IHÉS 117 (2013), 271–328.
- [Par1] H. Park, *Virtual pullbacks in Donaldson-Thomas theory of Calabi-Yau 4-folds*, arXiv:2110.03631.
- [Par2] H. Park, *Deformation invariance in Donaldson-Thomas theory of Calabi-Yau 4-folds*, preprint.
- [PV] A. Polishchuk and A. Vaintrob, *Matrix factorizations and cohomological field theories*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 714 (2016), 1–122.
- [PSZ] P. Pushkar, A. Smirnov and A. M. Zeitlin, *Baxter Q-operator from quantum K-theory*, Adv. Math. 360 (2020), 106919.
- [RSYZ1] M. Rapcak, Y. Soibelman, Y. Yang and G. Zhao, *Cohomological Hall Algebras, Vertex Algebras and Instantons*, Comm. Math. Phys. 376 (2020), 1803–1873.
- [RSYZ2] M. Rapcak, Y. Soibelman, Y. Yang and G. Zhao, *Cohomological Hall algebras and perverse coherent sheaves on toric Calabi-Yau 3-folds*, arXiv:2007.13365.
- [RT] Y. Ruan and G. Tian, *A mathematical theory of quantum cohomology*, J. Diff. Geom. 42 (1995), 259–367.
- [STV] T. Schürg, B. Toën and G. Vezzosi, *Derived algebraic geometry, determinants of perfect complexes, and applications to obstruction theories for maps and complexes*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 702 (2015), 1–40.
- [Sta] The Stacks Project authors, *The Stacks Project*, <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>.
- [Sze] B. Szendrői, *Non-commutative Donaldson-Thomas invariants and the conifold*, Geom. Topol. 12 (2) (2008) 1171–1202.
- [TX] G. Tian and G. Xu, *Gauged Linear Sigma Model in Geometric Phases*, arXiv:1809.00424.
- [Toda] Y. Toda, *Moduli spaces of stable quotients and wall-crossing phenomena*, Compos. Math. 147 (2011), 1479–1518.
- [Toe1] B. Toën, *Higher and derived stacks: a global overview*, Algebraic geometry—Seattle 2005. Part 1, 435–487, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 80, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009. arXiv:math/0604504.
- [Toe2] B. Toën, *Derived algebraic geometry*, EMS Surv. Math. Sci. 1 (2014), no. 2, 153–240.
- [TV] B. Toën and B. Vezzosi, *Homotopical algebraic geometry. II. Geometric stacks and applications*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 193 (2008), no. 902, x+224 pp.
- [Tot] B. Totaro, *The Chow ring of a classifying space*. Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 67, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [Var] M. Varagnolo, *Quiver varieties and Yangians*, Lett. Math. Phys. 53 (2000), no. 4, 273–283.
- [VV] M. Varagnolo and E. Vasserot, *Critical convolution algebras and quantum loop groups*, arXiv:2302.01418.
- [Vis] A. Vistoli, *Intersection theory on algebraic stacks and on their moduli spaces*, Invent. Math. 97 (1989), 613–670.
- [Witt] E. Witten. *Phases of N=2 theories in two dimensions*. Nucl. Phys. B 403: 159–222, 1993.
- [YZ] Y. Yang and G. Zhao, *The cohomological Hall algebras of a preprojective algebra with symmetrizer*, Algebr. Represent. Theory (2022) doi.org/10.1007/s10468-022-10125-6.

RIKEN INTERDISCIPLINARY THEORETICAL AND MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES PROGRAM (iTHEMS), 2-1, HIROSAWA, WAKO-SHI, SAITAMA, 351-0198, JAPAN
 Email address: yalong.cao@riken.jp

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF MELBOURNE, PARKVILLE VIC 3010, AUSTRALIA
 Email address: gufangz@unimelb.edu.au