

EXISTENTIAL CLOSEDNESS AND THE STRUCTURE OF BIMODULES OF II_1 FACTORS

ADRIAN IOANA AND HUI TAN

ABSTRACT. We prove that if a separable II_1 factor M is existentially closed, then every M -bimodule is weakly contained in the trivial M -bimodule, $L^2(M)$, and, equivalently, every normal completely positive map on M is a pointwise 2-norm limit of maps of the form $x \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^k a_i^* x a_i$, for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(a_i)_{i=1}^k \subset M$. This provides the first examples of non-hyperfinite separable II_1 factors M with the latter properties. We also obtain new characterizations of M -bimodules which are weakly contained in the trivial or coarse M -bimodule and of relative amenability inside M . Additionally, we give an operator algebraic presentation of the proof of the existence of existentially closed II_1 factors. While existentially closed II_1 factors have property Gamma, by adapting this proof we construct non-Gamma II_1 factors which are existentially closed in every weakly coarse extension.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF MAIN RESULTS

In this paper, motivated by the notion of existentially closed II_1 factors in continuous model theory, we investigate the structure of bimodules of II_1 factors. The model theoretic study of II_1 factors was initiated by Farah, Hart and Sherman in [FHS11] (see [GH22] for a recent survey). Existentially closed II_1 factors were first considered in [GHS12, FGHS13] and have since been studied in [GHS12, FGHS13, Go18, AGKE20, GH21, CDI22a, CDI22b, GJKEP23], while bimodules of II_1 factors were studied from the perspective of model theory in [GHS18]. Existentially closed II_1 were shown to be McDuff in [GHS12] and to only have approximately inner automorphisms in [FGHS13, Proposition 3.1]. The original, model theoretic definition of existentially closed II_1 factors involves existential formulae, see [FGHS13, Definition 1.1]. In this paper, we will restrict to separable II_1 factors and work with the following equivalent operator algebraic definition (see, e.g., [GH21, Remarks 3.2] and the comments following [GH22, Lemma 5.2]).

Definition 1.1. If $M \subset N$ are separable II_1 factors, we say that M is *existentially closed* in N if there is a $*$ -homomorphism $\pi : N \rightarrow M^\mathcal{U}$, for a free ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on \mathbb{N} , such that the restriction of π to M is the diagonal embedding of M into $M^\mathcal{U}$. A separable II_1 factor M is called *existentially closed* if it is existentially closed in any separable II_1 factor N containing it.

Our first main result shows that being existentially closed has strong consequences to the structure of bimodules and completely positive maps of a II_1 factor.

Theorem A. *Consider the following conditions for a separable II_1 factor M .*

- (1) *M is existentially closed.*
- (2) *Every M -bimodule \mathcal{H} satisfies $\mathcal{H} \subset L^2(M^\mathcal{U})$, for some ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on a set I . Moreover, if \mathcal{H} is separable, then we can take $I = \mathbb{N}$.*
- (3) *Every M -bimodule \mathcal{H} belongs to the closure of $L^2(M)$, in the Fell topology.*
- (4) *Every M -bimodule \mathcal{H} is weakly contained in $L^2(M)$.*

A.I. was partially supported by NSF DMS grants 1854074 and 2153805, and a Simons Fellowship.
H.T. was partially supported by NSF DMS grants 1854074 and 2153805.

- (5) For every normal, completely positive map $\Phi : M \rightarrow M$, there exists a sequence $(\Phi_n) \subset \mathcal{P}_M$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\Phi_n(x) - \Phi(x)\|_2 = 0$, for every $x \in M$. Moreover, if Φ is subunital and subtracial, then we can take $(\Phi_n) \subset \mathcal{S}_M$.

Then (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4) \Leftrightarrow (5), for every separable II_1 factor M .

Here, we denote by \mathcal{P}_M the set of maps $\Phi : M \rightarrow M$ of the form $\Phi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i^* x a_i$, for some $(a_i)_{i=1}^k \subset M$, and by \mathcal{S}_M the set of maps $\Phi : M \rightarrow M$ of the form $\Phi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i^* x a_i$, for some $(a_i)_{i=1}^k \subset M$ with $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i^* a_i \leq 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i a_i^* \leq 1$. Every $\Phi \in \mathcal{P}_M$ is normal and completely positive, and every $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}_M$ is normal, subunital, subtracial and completely positive. For the other terminology used in the statement of Theorem A, we refer to Section 2.

Before commenting on the proof of Theorem A, let us highlight that it leads to a new phenomenon for II_1 factors. Let \mathcal{A} be the family of separable II_1 factors M which satisfy the equivalent conditions (4) and (5) from Theorem A. If M is a separable II_1 factor, then every M -bimodule weakly contains the coarse bimodule, $L^2(M) \otimes L^2(M)$ (see [Po86, Proposition 2.3.2]). On the other hand, there exists a (unique up to weak equivalence) separable M -bimodule, denoted \mathcal{H}_{\max} , which weakly contains every M -bimodule. Class \mathcal{A} consists of II_1 factors M for which $\mathcal{H}_{\max} = L^2(M)$. If R is the hyperfinite II_1 factor [MvN43], then any two R -bimodules are weakly equivalent (see [Po86, Proposition 3.1.4]), and therefore $R \in \mathcal{A}$. Also, \mathcal{A} is closed under amplifications and inductive limits, and thus we have $M \overline{\otimes} R \in \mathcal{A}$, for every $M \in \mathcal{A}$ (see Proposition 2.6). Theorem A provides the first examples of non-hyperfinite separable II_1 factors $M \in \mathcal{A}$. In fact, it implies that \mathcal{A} contains uncountably many non-isomorphic such II_1 factors, since there are uncountably many non-isomorphic separable existentially closed II_1 factors (see [FGHS13, Corollary 1.3]).

To prove that (1) implies (2) in Theorem A, we use Shlyakhtenko's M -valued semicircular systems [Sh97], see Section 3 for the definition. This construction, which associates a tracial von Neumann algebra $\Gamma(M, \mathcal{H})''$ containing M to any symmetric M -bimodule \mathcal{H} , allows us to realize every M -bimodule as a sub-bimodule of $L^2(N)$, for a tracial von Neumann algebra N containing M .

Remark 1.2. We do not know if conditions (2)-(5) in Theorem A are equivalent for an arbitrary separable II_1 factor M . If M satisfies (2) or (3), then M must have property Gamma. Indeed, Connes' spectral gap theorem [Co75] implies that if the M -bimodule $L^2(M) \oplus L^2(M)$ belongs to the closure of $L^2(M)$, then M has property Gamma, see [Po86, Theorem 3.3.1] and Lemma 2.1. However, we do not know if the equivalent conditions (4) and (5) imply property Gamma.

If a separable II_1 factor M satisfies conditions (4) and (5) (i.e., $M \in \mathcal{A}$ in the above notation) but fails property Gamma, then M must have property (T) in the sense of [Co80, CJ83]. In particular, the free group factors $L(\mathbb{F}_n)$, for $n \geq 2$, do not belong to \mathcal{A} , which answers a question asked by Jesse Peterson. To justify our claim, let \mathcal{H} be an M -bimodule which weakly contains $L^2(M)$. Since M satisfies (4), \mathcal{H} is weakly contained in $L^2(M)$. Since M does not have property Gamma, [BMO19, Proposition 3.2] implies that \mathcal{H} must contain $L^2(M)$ and thus M has property (T).

Remark 1.3. Partially addressing the question posed in Remark 1.2 in the first version of the paper, Amine Marrakchi proved that conditions (2)-(4) are equivalent for every separable II_1 factor M with property Gamma. More precisely, [Ma23, Corollary 3.9] shows that if M has Gamma, then for every M -bimodule \mathcal{H} we have $\mathcal{H} \subset L^2(M^u)$ if and only if \mathcal{H} belongs to the closure of $L^2(M)$ and if and only if \mathcal{H} is weakly contained in $L^2(M)$. Since any separable II_1 factor satisfying (3) must have property Gamma, it follows that (2) \Leftrightarrow (3), for arbitrary separable II_1 factors M .

Let $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$ be the family of separable II_1 factors M which satisfy the equivalent conditions (2) and (3) from Theorem A. Then the previous paragraph implies that \mathcal{B} consists of all $M \in \mathcal{A}$ with

property Gamma. By Remark 1.2, if $M \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{B}$ (and thus M does not have Gamma), then M has property (T). It is an open question whether there are II_1 factors $M \in \mathcal{A}$ with property (T).

Another natural question is whether conditions (1) and (2) from Theorem A are equivalent. Our next result shows that (2) is satisfied by the hyperfinite II_1 factor R . By [FGHS13, Corollary 2.2], R is existentially closed if and only if the Connes Embedding Problem (CEP) has a positive answer. A negative answer to the CEP has been announced in the preprint [JNVWY20].

Corollary B. *Let R be the hyperfinite II_1 factor. Then every R -bimodule \mathcal{H} satisfies $\mathcal{H} \subset L^2(R^\mathcal{U})$, for some ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on a set I . Moreover, if \mathcal{H} is separable, then we can take $I = \mathbb{N}$.*

Corollary B is a consequence of the following result on (approximate) embeddings of Shlyakhtenko's M -valued semicircular systems [Sh97]. It can be alternatively deduced by using that R satisfies condition (4) from Theorem A and that conditions (2) and (4) are equivalent for II_1 factors with property Gamma by [Ma23, Corollary 3.9].

Theorem C. *Let (M, τ) be a tracial von Neumann algebra and \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K} be two symmetric M -bimodules such that \mathcal{H} is weakly contained in \mathcal{K} . Then there exists a trace preserving $*$ -homomorphism*

$$\pi : \Gamma(M, \mathcal{H})'' \rightarrow (\Gamma(M, \mathcal{K} \otimes \ell^2 S)'')^\mathcal{U},$$

for some ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on a set I and a set S , whose restriction to M is the diagonal embedding of M into $(\Gamma(M, \mathcal{K} \otimes \ell^2 S)'')^\mathcal{U}$. Moreover, if M and \mathcal{H} are separable, then we can take $I = S = \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem C is of independent interest. In addition to Corollary B, Theorem C and its proof lead to characterizations of weak containment in the trivial or coarse bimodule and of relative amenability for inclusions, in the sense of Ozawa and Popa [OP07].

Corollary D. *Let (M, τ) be a separable tracial von Neumann algebra, \mathcal{H} a separable M -bimodule, $P, Q \subset M$ von Neumann subalgebras, and \mathcal{U} a free ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} . Denote $\widehat{M} = M \overline{\otimes} L(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$, $\widetilde{M} = M * L(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$, and $\widetilde{M} = M *_Q (Q \overline{\otimes} L(\mathbb{Z}))$. Then the following hold:*

- (1) \mathcal{H} is weakly contained in $L^2(M)$ if and only if $\mathcal{H} \subset L^2(\widehat{M}^\mathcal{U})$.
- (2) \mathcal{H} is weakly contained in $L^2(M) \otimes L^2(M)$ if and only if $\mathcal{H} \subset L^2(\widetilde{M}^\mathcal{U}) \oplus L^2(M^\mathcal{U})$.
- (3) P is amenable relative to Q inside M if and only if there exists $x \in P' \cap \widetilde{M}^\mathcal{U}$ such that $E_{M^\mathcal{U}}(x) = 0$ and $E_{M^\mathcal{U}}(x^*x) = 1$.
- (4) The M -bimodule $L^2(M) \otimes_Q L^2(M)$ is weakly contained in $L^2(M)$ if and only if there exists a $*$ -homomorphism $\pi : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow \widehat{M}^\mathcal{U}$ whose restriction to M is the diagonal embedding of M .

Remark 1.4. Using a method from [Ha84, IV14], Marrakchi showed that if an M -bimodule \mathcal{H} is weakly contained in $L^2(M)$, then $\mathcal{H} \subset L^2((M \overline{\otimes} L(\mathbb{Z}))^\mathcal{U})$, see [Ma23, Theorem 2.1]. This improves part (1) of Corollary D. We also note that by [Ma23, Theorem 3.3] the M -bimodule $L^2(M) \otimes_Q L^2(M)$ is weakly contained in $L^2(M)$ (i.e., Q is weakly bicentralized in M , in the sense of [BMO19, IM19]) if and only if $(Q' \cap M^\mathcal{U})' \cap M = Q$.

Although existential closedness is a strong property, it was noted in [GHS12, FGHS13] that the class of existentially closed separable II_1 factors is embedding universal in the following sense:

Theorem E ([GHS12, FGHS13]). *Any separable II_1 factor M_0 is contained in an existentially closed separable II_1 factor M .*

Theorem E was noted in [FGHS13] as a consequence of results from [Us08]. Its proof consists of verifying the model-theoretic definition of existential closedness given in [FGHS13, Definition 1.1].

In Section 4, we give an operator algebraic presentation of the proof of Theorem E, in which we instead directly verify Definition 1.1. We hope that this will both make the class of existentially closed II_1 factors more accessible to the operator algebra community and allow to prove new results. In fact, by adapting our operator algebraic presentation of the proof of Theorem E, we obtain the following new result:

Theorem F. *Any separable II_1 factor M_0 is contained in a non-Gamma separable II_1 factor M which satisfies the following: M is existentially closed in any II_1 factor $N \supset M$ such that the M -bimodule $L^2(N) \ominus L^2(M)$ is weakly contained in $L^2(M) \otimes L^2(M)$.*

By [GHS12], all existentially closed II_1 factors are McDuff and hence have property Gamma. Consequently, a non-Gamma II_1 factor M cannot be existentially closed in all larger II_1 factors. Moreover, as we will see below, M cannot even be existentially closed in all larger non-Gamma II_1 factors.

These facts suggest the following question: how “close” can a non-Gamma separable II_1 factor M be to being existentially closed? To make this question more precise, denote by \mathcal{E}_M the family of separable II_1 factors $N \supset M$ in which M is existentially closed. We would like to find M for which \mathcal{E}_M is as large as possible. If $N \in \mathcal{E}_M$, then since $M \subset N \subset M^\mathcal{U}$, we get that $M' \cap N^\mathcal{U} = \mathbb{C}1$. Hence, $M' \cap N = \mathbb{C}1$ and N is non-Gamma. As a consequence, \mathcal{E}_M is a proper subset of the set of all non-Gamma separable II_1 factors which contain M . In particular, this shows that there is no non-Gamma II_1 factor which is existentially closed in all non-Gamma II_1 factors containing it. Indeed, the separable II_1 factor $P = (M \overline{\otimes} L(\mathbb{Z})) * L(\mathbb{Z})$ is non-Gamma and contains M , but does not belong to \mathcal{E}_M since $M' \cap P \neq \mathbb{C}1$. More generally, if $N \in \mathcal{E}_M$, then any II_1 subfactor $Q \subset M$ with $Q' \cap M^\mathcal{U} = \mathbb{C}1$, must satisfy that $Q' \cap N^\mathcal{U} = \mathbb{C}1$. This altogether shows that for any non-Gamma II_1 factor M , inclusions of the form $M \subset N$, with $N \in \mathcal{E}_M$, are significantly constrained.

Nevertheless, Theorem F shows that there exist non-Gamma II_1 factors M for which \mathcal{E}_M is a large family which contains all II_1 factors $N \supset M$ such that (\star) the M -bimodule $L^2(N) \ominus L^2(M)$ is weakly contained in $L^2(M) \otimes L^2(M)$.

Remark 1.5. Condition (\star) in Theorem F is optimal in the following sense. There are non-Gamma II_1 factors M such that (\star) holds for every $N \in \mathcal{E}_M$. More precisely, Amine Marrakchi (personal communication) proved that if $M = L(\Gamma)$, where Γ is a countable icc group which is biexact in the sense of Ozawa, then the M -bimodule $L^2(M^\mathcal{U}) \ominus L^2(M)$ is weakly contained in $L^2(M) \otimes L^2(M)$. In Lemma 4.4, we give a direct proof of this fact when $M = L(\mathbb{F}_n)$, for $n \geq 2$. For such a II_1 factor M , condition (\star) is satisfied by any $N \in \mathcal{E}_M$ since the M -bimodule $L^2(N) \ominus L^2(M)$ is contained in $L^2(M^\mathcal{U}) \ominus L^2(M)$ and thus weakly contained in $L^2(M) \otimes L^2(M)$.

Our last main result provides a spectral gap characterization of Haagerup’s approximation property for separable II_1 factors, paralleling the characterization of property (T) obtained in [Ta22]. A separable II_1 factor M is said to have *Haagerup’s (approximation) property* [Ch83, CJ83] if there exists a sequence of completely positive maps $\Phi_n : M \rightarrow M$ such that $\|\Phi_n(x) - x\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, for every $x \in M$, and Φ_n is subtracial ($\tau \circ \Phi_n \leq \tau$) and its extension to $L^2(M)$ is a compact operator, for every n . By [BF07, OOT15], Haagerup’s property for M is equivalent to the existence of a strictly mixing M -bimodule (see Definition 2.7 for this notion) that weakly contains $L^2(M)$.

Theorem G. *A separable II_1 factor M has Haagerup’s property if and only there exists a separable II_1 factor \widetilde{M} which contains M such that the M -bimodule $L^2(\widetilde{M}) \ominus L^2(M)$ is strictly mixing and $M' \cap \widetilde{M}^\mathcal{U} \not\subset M^\mathcal{U}$, for a free ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on \mathbb{N} .*

Organization of the paper. Besides the introduction, this paper consists of three other sections. Sections 2 and 3 are devoted to von Neumann algebras preliminaries and M -valued semicircular systems, respectively. In Section 4, we present the proofs of the results stated in the introduction.

Acknowledgements. We are very grateful to Changying Ding, Daniel Drimbe, Ilijas Farah, Isaac Goldbring, David Jekel, Srivatsav Kunnawalkam Elayavalli, Amine Marrakchi, Jesse Peterson, and Sorin Popa for many comments and conversations. The first named author would also like to thank Stuart White for his kind hospitality at the University of Oxford where this work was completed.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we record some terminology and basic results concerning tracial von Neumann algebras, bimodules and completely positive maps. We refer to [AP18] for more information.

2.1. Tracial von Neumann algebras. A tracial von Neumann algebra is a pair (M, τ) consisting of a von Neumann algebra M and a distinguished normal tracial state $\tau : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. In order to emphasize the dependence of τ on M , we will sometimes write τ_M instead of τ . We assume that all inclusions of tracial von Neumann algebras $N \subset M$ are trace preserving, i.e., satisfy $\tau_N = (\tau_M)|_N$. We let $\|x\|_2 = \tau(x^*x)^{1/2}$, for every $x \in M$, and denote by $L^2(M)$ the Hilbert space obtained by taking the closure of M with respect to $\|\cdot\|_2$. For an ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on a set I , we denote by $M^{\mathcal{U}}$ the tracial ultraproduct von Neumann algebra endowed with the trace given by $\tau_{\mathcal{U}}(x) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} \tau(x_i)$, for every $x = (x_i) \in M^{\mathcal{U}}$.

2.2. Bimodules. Let (M, τ) be a tracial von Neumann algebra. An M -bimodule is a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} equipped with two normal $*$ -homomorphisms $\pi_1 : M \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\pi_2 : M^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ whose images commute. We write $x\xi y = \pi_1(x)\pi_2(y^{\text{op}})\xi$ for $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ and $x, y \in M$, and define a $*$ -homomorphism $\pi_{\mathcal{H}} : M \otimes_{\text{alg}} M^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ by letting $\pi_{\mathcal{H}}(x \otimes y^{\text{op}}) = \pi_1(x)\pi_2(y^{\text{op}})$.

Given two M -bimodules \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K} , we say that \mathcal{H} is *contained* in \mathcal{K} and write $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{K}$ if there is an M -bimodular isometry $T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$. A basis of neighborhoods for the *Fell topology* on the space of all M -bimodules is given by $V(\mathcal{H}, F, S, \varepsilon)$, where \mathcal{H} is an M -bimodule, $F \subset M, S \subset \mathcal{H}$ are finite sets and $\varepsilon > 0$. Here, $V(\mathcal{H}, F, S, \varepsilon)$ is defined as the set of all M -bimodules \mathcal{K} for which we can find a map $T : S \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$|\langle xT(\xi)y, T(\eta) \rangle - \langle x\xi y, \eta \rangle| < \varepsilon, \text{ for every } x, y \in F, \text{ and } \xi, \eta \in S.$$

If \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K} are M -bimodules, we say that \mathcal{H} is *weakly contained* in \mathcal{K} and write $\mathcal{H} \subset_{\text{weak}} \mathcal{K}$ if \mathcal{H} belongs to the closure of $\mathcal{K}^{\oplus \infty} := \mathcal{K} \otimes \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ in the Fell topology. Equivalently, $\mathcal{H} \subset_{\text{weak}} \mathcal{K}$ if and only if $\|\pi_{\mathcal{H}}(T)\| \leq \|\pi_{\mathcal{K}}(T)\|$, for every $T \in M \otimes_{\text{alg}} M^{\text{op}}$.

We next recall [Po86, Theorem 3.3.1], which gives a bimodule characterization of property Gamma for separable II₁ factors (cf. [BMO19, Proposition 3.2]). For the reader's convenience, we include a brief proof.

Lemma 2.1 ([Po86]). *A separable II₁ factor M has property Gamma if and only if $L^2(M) \oplus L^2(M)$ belongs to the closure of $L^2(M)$, in the Fell topology.*

Proof. Denote $\xi_1 = 1 \oplus 0, \xi_2 = 0 \oplus 1 \in L^2(M) \oplus L^2(M)$.

First, assume that M has property Gamma. Let $u_n \in M$ be a sequence of trace zero unitaries such that $\|u_n x - x u_n\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, for every $x \in M$. Then $u_n \rightarrow 0$, weakly. Put $\xi_1^n = 1 \in L^2(M)$ and $\xi_2^n = u_n \in L^2(M)$. Then we have $\lim_n \langle x \xi_i^n y, \xi_j^n \rangle = \langle x \xi_i y, \xi_j \rangle$, for every $x, y \in M$ and $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$. Thus, $L^2(M) \oplus L^2(M) = \overline{\text{span}(M \xi_1 M)} \oplus \overline{\text{span}(M \xi_2 M)}$ lies in the closure of $L^2(M)$.

Conversely, assume that $L^2(M) \oplus L^2(M)$ belongs to the closure of $L^2(M)$ in the Fell topology. Suppose by contradiction that M does not have property Gamma. Then we can find nets $(\xi_1^n), (\xi_2^n) \subset L^2(M)$ such that $\lim_n \langle x \xi_i^n y, \xi_j^n \rangle = \langle x \xi_i y, \xi_j \rangle$, for every $x, y \in M$ and $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$. Since

$x\xi_i = \xi_i x$ and $\|\xi_i\|_2 = 1$, we get that $\|x\xi_i^n - \xi_i^n x\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ and $\|\xi_i^n\|_2 \rightarrow 1$, for every $x \in M$ and $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Since M does not have property Gamma, [Co75, Theorem 2.1] implies the existence of a net $(\alpha_i^n) \subset \mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1\}$ such that $\|\xi_i^n - \alpha_i^n 1\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, for every $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Thus, $|\langle \xi_1^n, \xi_2^n \rangle - \alpha_1^n \overline{\alpha_2^n}| \rightarrow 0$. Since $\langle \xi_1^n, \xi_2^n \rangle \rightarrow \langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle = 0$ and $(\alpha_1^n \overline{\alpha_2^n}) \subset \mathbb{T}$, this is a contradiction. \square

Definition 2.2. A *symmetric* M -bimodule (\mathcal{H}, J) is an M -bimodule \mathcal{H} equipped with an anti-unitary involution $J : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that $J(x\xi y) = y^*(J\xi)x^*$, for every $x, y \in M$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$.

Remark 2.3. Let \mathcal{H} be an M -bimodule. Denote by $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ the conjugate Hilbert space endowed with the M -bimodule structure $x\overline{\xi}y = \overline{y^*\xi x^*}$ (see [Po86, 1.3.7]). Then $\mathcal{K} := \mathcal{H} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{H}}$ is a symmetric Hilbert M -bimodule, as witnessed by the anti-unitary involution $J : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ given by $J(\xi \oplus \overline{\eta}) = \eta \oplus \overline{\xi}$.

Let \mathcal{H} be an M -bimodule. A vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ is called *tracial* if $\langle x\xi, \xi \rangle = \langle \xi x, \xi \rangle = \tau(x)$, for every $x \in M$, and *subtracial* if $\langle x\xi, \xi \rangle, \langle \xi x, \xi \rangle \leq \tau(x)$, for every $x \in M$. A vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ is called *left* (respectively, *right*) *bounded* if there $C > 0$ such that $\|\xi x\| \leq C\|x\|_2$ (respectively, $\|x\xi\| \leq C\|x\|_2$) for every $x \in M$. A vector is *bounded* if it is left and right bounded. Note that the subspace of bounded vectors is dense in \mathcal{H} . If $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ is left bounded, we denote by $T_\xi : L^2(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ the bounded operator $T_\xi(x) = \xi x$. If $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$ are left bounded vectors, then $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_M := T_\xi^* T_\eta \in \mathbb{B}(L^2(M))$ belongs to M . Note that

$$\langle \eta x, \xi \rangle = \tau(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_M x), \text{ for every } x \in M.$$

For M -bimodules \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K} , we denote by $\mathcal{H} \otimes_M \mathcal{K}$ their *Connes tensor product* (see [Co94], V, Appendix B). Let $\mathcal{H}^0 \subset \mathcal{H}$ be the subspace of left bounded vectors. Then $\mathcal{H} \otimes_M \mathcal{K}$ is obtained by separation and completion from the algebraic tensor product $\mathcal{H}^0 \otimes \mathcal{K}$ endowed with the sesquilinear form

$$\langle \xi_1 \otimes \eta_1, \xi_2 \otimes \eta_2 \rangle = \langle \eta_1, \langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle_M \eta_2 \rangle.$$

Remark 2.4. Let \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K} be M -bimodules, $\mathcal{H}^0 \subset \mathcal{H}$ the subspace of left bounded vectors and $\mathcal{K}^0 \subset \mathcal{K}$ the subspace of right bounded vectors. Let $\xi \in \mathcal{H}^0$ and $(\eta_i) \subset \mathcal{K}$ be a net with $\|\eta_i\| \rightarrow 0$. Then $\|\xi \otimes \eta_i\| = \|\eta_i, \langle \xi, \xi \rangle_M \eta_i\|^{1/2} \leq \|\langle \xi, \xi \rangle_M\|^{1/2} \|\eta_i\| \rightarrow 0$. Similarly, if $(\xi_i) \subset \mathcal{H}^0$ is a net with $\|\xi_i\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{K}^0$, then $\|\xi_i \otimes \eta\| \rightarrow 0$. These observations imply that if $\mathcal{H}^1 \subset \mathcal{H}^0$ and $\mathcal{K}^1 \subset \mathcal{K}^0$ are dense subspaces, then the span of $\{\xi \otimes \eta \mid \xi \in \mathcal{H}^1, \eta \in \mathcal{K}^1\}$ is dense in $\mathcal{H} \otimes_M \mathcal{K}$.

The following lemma is well-known, and its proof follows a standard recipe (see for instance the proof of [AP18, Lemma 13.3.11]), but we include details here for completeness.

Lemma 2.5. *Let (M, τ) be a tracial von Neumann algebra, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K} be Hilbert bimodules, $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ a subtracial vector and $(\xi_n) \subset \mathcal{K}$ a net with $\lim_n \langle x\xi_n y, \xi_n \rangle = \langle x\xi y, \xi \rangle$, for every $x, y \in M$. Then there is a net of subtracial vectors $(\eta_n) \in \mathcal{K}^{\oplus \infty}$ such that $\lim_n \langle x\eta_n y, \eta_n \rangle = \langle x\xi y, \xi \rangle$, for every $x, y \in M$*

Proof. We follow the proof of [IV14, Theorem]. Define normal positive linear functionals $\omega, \omega', \omega_n, \omega'_n$ on M by letting $\omega(x) = \langle x\xi, \xi \rangle$, $\omega'(x) = \langle \xi x, \xi \rangle$, $\omega_n(x) = \langle x\xi_n, \xi_n \rangle$ and $\omega'_n(x) = \langle \xi_n x, \xi_n \rangle$, for every $x \in M$ and every n . Then $\omega_n \rightarrow \omega$ and $\omega'_n \rightarrow \omega'$, in the weak topology on M_* . Since the weak and norm closures of convex subsets of M_* coincide, after replacing (ω_n, ω'_n) by a convex combination of (ω_n, ω'_n) and the vectors (ξ_n) by vectors in $\mathcal{K}^{\oplus \infty}$, we can ensure that in addition to $\lim_n \langle x\xi_n y, \xi_n \rangle = \langle x\xi y, \xi \rangle$, for every $x, y \in M$, we have $\|\omega_n - \omega\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\|\omega'_n - \omega'\| \rightarrow 0$.

Write $\omega = \tau(\cdot T)$, $\omega' = \tau(\cdot T')$, $\omega_n = \tau(\cdot T_n)$ and $\omega'_n = \tau(\cdot T'_n)$, where $T, T', T_n, T'_n \in L^1(M)$ are positive elements. Then $0 \leq T, T' \leq 1$ as ξ is subtracial and $\varepsilon_n := \|T_n - T\|_1 + \|T'_n - T'\|_1 \rightarrow 0$. Let p_n and p'_n be the spectral projections of T_n and T'_n corresponding to the interval $[0, 1 + \sqrt{\varepsilon_n}]$.

We claim that there is n_0 such that

$$(2.1) \quad \|(1 - p_n)\xi_n\| \leq 2\sqrt[4]{\varepsilon_n} \text{ and } \|\xi_n(1 - p'_n)\| \leq 2\sqrt[4]{\varepsilon_n}, \text{ for every } n \geq n_0.$$

If $q_n = 1 - p_n$, then $q_n T_n q_n \geq (1 + \sqrt{\varepsilon_n})q_n$ and $q_n T q_n \leq q_n$, hence $q_n(T_n - T)q_n \geq \sqrt{\varepsilon_n}q_n$. This gives $\sqrt{\varepsilon_n}\|q_n\|_1 \leq \|T_n - T\|_1 \leq \varepsilon_n$, hence $\|q_n\|_1 \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon_n}$ and $\|q_n T_n\|_1 \leq \|T_n - T\|_1 + \|q_n\|_1 \leq \varepsilon_n + \sqrt{\varepsilon_n}$. Thus, $\|(1 - p_n)T_n\|_1 = \|q_n T_n\|_1 \leq 2\sqrt{\varepsilon_n}$ and therefore $\|(1 - p_n)\xi_n\| = \sqrt{\|(1 - p_n)T_n\|_1} \leq 2\sqrt[4]{\varepsilon_n}$, for every n large enough. This and a similar inequality prove the claim.

Let $c_n = (1 + \sqrt{\varepsilon_n})^{-1/2}$ and define $\eta_n = c_n p_n \xi_n p'_n$. Since $p_n T_n p_n \leq (1 + \sqrt{\varepsilon_n})$, for every $x \in M$, we have that $\|x\eta_n\| \leq c_n \|x p_n \xi_n\| = c_n \sqrt{\tau(x^* p_n T p_n)} \leq \sqrt{\tau(x^* x)} = \|x\|_2$ and similarly that $\|\eta_n x\| \leq \|x\|_2$. Thus, η_n is subtracial. Since $\|\xi_n - \eta_n\| \leq (1 - c_n) + \|\xi_n - p_n \xi_n p'_n\|$ and $\lim_n c_n = 1$, (2.1) implies that $\lim_n \|\xi_n - \eta_n\| = 0$. Thus, $\lim_n \langle x\eta_n y, \eta_n \rangle = \lim_n \langle x\xi_n y, \xi_n \rangle = \langle x\xi y, \xi \rangle$, for every $x, y \in M$, which finishes the proof of the lemma. \square

Proposition 2.6. *Let \mathcal{A} be the class of separable II_1 factors M for which $L^2(M)$ weakly contains every M -bimodule. Let M and M_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be separable II_1 factors. Then the following hold:*

- (1) *If M is existentially closed, then $M \in \mathcal{A}$.*
- (2) *The hyperfinite II_1 factor $R \in \mathcal{A}$.*
- (3) *If $M \in \mathcal{A}$, then $M^t \in \mathcal{A}$ for every $t > 0$.*
- (4) *If $M_n \subset M_{n+1}$ and $M_n \in \mathcal{A}$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\overline{\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_n}^{\text{WOT}} \in \mathcal{A}$.*
- (5) *If $M \in \mathcal{A}$, then $M \overline{\otimes} R \in \mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. (1) and (2) follow from Theorem A and [Po86, Proposition 2.3.2.] (see also Corollary B), respectively.

(3) Assume that $M \in \mathcal{A}$ and denote $N = M^t$, for some $t > 0$. Let \mathcal{H} be an N -bimodule, $d \geq 1/t$ be a integer, and p be a projection in $\mathbb{M}_d(\mathbb{C}) \overline{\otimes} N$ with $(\text{Tr} \otimes \tau)(p) = 1/t$, where Tr is the standard (non-normalized) trace on $\mathbb{M}_d(\mathbb{C})$ and τ denote the trace of N . Then $M \simeq N^{1/t} \simeq p(\mathbb{M}_d(\mathbb{C}) \overline{\otimes} N)p$. Since the isomorphism class of $N^{1/t}$ only depends on $(\text{Tr} \otimes \tau)(p)$, we can take $p = \text{diag}(p_1, \dots, p_d)$ where p_1, \dots, p_d are projections in N . Given an N -bimodule \mathcal{H} , we consider the M -bimodule $\mathcal{K} = p(\mathbb{M}_d(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{H})p$ with the natural left and right $p(\mathbb{M}_d(\mathbb{C}) \overline{\otimes} N)p$ -actions. More specifically,

$$x \cdot \xi \cdot y = \left(\left(\sum_{1 \leq k, l \leq n} x_{i,k} \cdot \xi_{k,l} \cdot x_{l,j} \right)_{i,j} \right),$$

for all $x = (x_{i,j})$, $y = (y_{i,j}) \in p(\mathbb{M}_d(\mathbb{C}) \overline{\otimes} N)p$, $\xi = (\xi_{i,j}) \in \mathcal{K}$, where $x_{i,j}, y_{i,j} \in p_i N p_j$, $\xi_{i,j} \in p_i \mathcal{H} p_j$.

To show that \mathcal{H} is weakly contained in $L^2(N)$, it suffices to find, given $F \subset N, S \subset \mathcal{H}$ finite and $\varepsilon > 0$, a map $T : S \rightarrow L^2(N)^{\oplus \infty}$, such that $|\langle x\xi x', \eta \rangle - \langle xT(\xi)x', T(\eta) \rangle| < \varepsilon$, for every $x, x' \in F$ and $\xi, \eta \in S$. If $(\text{Tr} \otimes \tau)(p) \geq 1$, we can assume that $p_1 = 1$, and the conclusion follows from applying the fact that \mathcal{K} is weakly contained in $L^2(M)$ as an M -bimodule to $e_{1,1} \otimes x, e_{1,1} \otimes x' \in M = p(\mathbb{M}_d(\mathbb{C}) \overline{\otimes} N)p$, and $e_{1,1} \otimes \xi, e_{1,1} \otimes \eta \in \mathcal{K} = p(\mathbb{M}_d(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{H})p$, for $x, y \in F$ and $\xi, \eta \in S$.

If $(\text{Tr} \otimes \tau)(p) < 1$, then we can assume that $p \in N$ and $M = pNp$. Let $\sum q_i = 1$ be a finite partition of 1 by projections in N such that $\tau(q_i) \leq \tau(p)$. For each i , let v_i be a partial isometry in N such that $v_i v_i^* = q_i$ and $v_i^* v_i \leq p$. Let $\xi, \eta \in S$. Then we have

$$\langle x\xi x', \eta \rangle = \sum_{k,i,j,l} \langle (q_k x q_i)(q_i \xi q_j)(q_j x' q_l), q_k \eta q_l \rangle = \sum_{k,i,j,l} \langle (v_k^* x v_i)(v_i^* \xi v_j)(v_j^* x' v_l), v_k^* \eta v_l \rangle.$$

Since $p\mathcal{H}p$ is weakly contained in $L^2(M) = L^2(pNp)$ as an M -bimodule, for some $\varepsilon_{i,j,k,l} > 0$ with $\sum_{i,j,k,l} \varepsilon_{i,j,k,l} < \varepsilon$, there exists $T_{i,j}(\xi) \in L^2(pNp)^{\oplus \infty}$ for every (i, j) and $\xi \in S$, such that for every (i, j, k, l) we have

$$|\langle (v_k^* x v_i)(v_i^* \xi v_j)(v_j^* x' v_l), v_k^* \eta v_l \rangle - \langle (v_k^* x v_i)T_{i,j}(\xi)(v_j^* x' v_l), T_{k,l}(\eta) \rangle| < \varepsilon_{i,j,k,l}, \forall x, x' \in F, \xi, \eta \in S.$$

Note that $\langle (v_k^* x v_i) T_{i,j}(\xi) (v_j^* x' v_l), T_{k,l}(\eta) \rangle = \langle x (v_i T_{i,j}(\xi) v_j^*) x', v_k T_{k,l}(\eta) v_l^* \rangle$. Let $T(\xi) = \sum_{i,j} v_i T_{i,j}(\xi) v_j^* \in$

$L^2(N)^{\oplus\infty}$ for $\xi \in S$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle x \xi x', \eta \rangle - \langle x T(\xi) x', T(\eta) \rangle| \\ & \leq \sum_{i,j,k,l} | \langle (v_k^* x v_i) (v_i^* \xi v_j) (v_j^* x' v_l), v_k^* \eta v_l \rangle - \langle x (v_i T_{i,j}(\xi) v_j^*) x', v_k T_{k,l}(\eta) v_l^* \rangle | < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for every $\xi, \eta \in S$, which finishes the proof of (3).

(4) Let $M = \overline{\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_n}^{\text{WOT}}$ and E_n be the conditional expectation from M onto M_n , for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let \mathcal{H} be an M -bimodule. In order to show that \mathcal{H} is weakly contained in $L^2(M)$, it suffices to prove that for every $F \subset M, S \subset \mathcal{H}$ finite and $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find a map $T : S \rightarrow L^2(M)^{\oplus\infty}$ such that $|\langle x \xi y, \xi \rangle - \langle x T(\xi) y, T(\xi) \rangle| < \varepsilon$, for every $x, y \in F$ and $\xi \in S$. To this end, we may moreover assume that $\|x\| \leq 1$, for every $x \in F$, and that S consists of subtracial vectors.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|E_n(x) - x\|_2 < \varepsilon/6$, for every $x \in F$. Then $|\langle x \xi y, \xi \rangle - \langle E_n(x) \xi E_n(y), \xi \rangle| < \varepsilon/3$, for every $x, y \in F$ and $\xi \in S$. Since $M_n \in \mathcal{A}$, \mathcal{H} is weakly contained in $L^2(M_n)$ as an M_n -bimodule. If $\xi \in S$, then since ξ is a subtracial vector, Lemma 2.5 gives a subtracial vector $T(\xi) \in L^2(M_n)^{\oplus\infty}$ such that $|\langle E_n(x) \xi E_n(y), \xi \rangle - \langle E_n(x) T(\xi) E_n(y), T(\xi) \rangle| < \varepsilon/3$, for every $x, y \in F$. If we view $L^2(M)^{\oplus\infty}$ as an M -bimodule, then $T(\xi) \in L^2(M_n)^{\oplus\infty} \subset L^2(M)^{\oplus\infty}$ is still a subtracial vector. Since $\|E_n(x) - x\|_2 < \varepsilon/6$, for every $x \in F$, we get that $|\langle E_n(x) T(\xi) E_n(y), T(\xi) \rangle - \langle x T(\xi) y, T(\xi) \rangle| < \varepsilon/3$, for every $x, y \in F$. Altogether, we get that $|\langle x \xi y, \eta \rangle - \langle x T(\xi) y, T(\eta) \rangle| < \varepsilon$, for every $x, y \in F$ and $\xi \in S$, which finishes the proof of (4).

(5) Write $R = \overline{\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{M}_{2^n}(\mathbb{C})}^{\text{WOT}}$, for the usual inclusions $\mathbb{M}_{2^n}(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathbb{M}_{2^{n+1}}(\mathbb{C})$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $M \overline{\otimes} R = \overline{\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (M \overline{\otimes} \mathbb{M}_{2^n}(\mathbb{C}))}^{\text{WOT}}$, and the conclusion follows from (3) and (4). \square

2.3. Completely positive maps. Let (M, τ) be a tracial von Neumann algebra. We now recall the well-known correspondence between M -bimodules \mathcal{H} and normal, completely positive maps on M . Let $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ be a bounded vector. Then $\Phi_\xi(x) = T_\xi^* x T_\xi$ belongs to M , for every $x \in M$. The map $\Phi_\xi : M \rightarrow M$, called a *coefficient* of \mathcal{H} , is normal, completely positive and satisfies

$$\tau(\Phi_\xi(x)y) = \langle x \xi y, \xi \rangle, \text{ for every } x, y \in M.$$

Moreover, Φ_ξ extends to a bounded operator $\Phi_\xi : L^2(M) \rightarrow L^2(M)$. Let $C > 0$ such that $\|x \xi\|, \|\xi x\| \leq C \|x\|_2$, for every $x \in M$. Then $|\tau(\Phi_\xi(x)y)| = |\langle x \xi, \xi y^* \rangle| \leq \|x \xi\| \|\xi y^*\| \leq C^2 \|x\|_2 \|y\|_2$, for every $x, y \in M$. Thus, we get that $\|\Phi_\xi(x)\|_2 \leq C^2 \|x\|_2$, for every $x \in M$.

Definition 2.7. Let \mathcal{H} be an M -bimodule.

- (1) We call \mathcal{H} a *mixing* M -bimodule if for every sequence $u_n \in \mathcal{U}(M)$ with $u_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\sup_{x \in M, \|x\| \leq 1} |\langle u_n \xi x, \eta \rangle|) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\sup_{x \in M, \|x\| \leq 1} |\langle x \xi u_n, \eta \rangle|) = 0$, for every $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$.
- (2) Denote by \mathcal{H}_{mix} the set of bounded vectors $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\Phi_\xi \in \mathbb{B}(L^2(M))$ is compact. We call \mathcal{H} a *strictly mixing* M -bimodule if the span of $M \mathcal{H}_{\text{mix}} M$ is dense in \mathcal{H} .

The notions of mixing and strictly mixing bimodules were introduced respectively in [PS09, Definition 2.3] and [OOT15, Definition 4] (see also [BF07, Definition 3.1]). These two notions have recently been shown to be equivalent in [DKEP22, Theorem 5.10].

For future reference we note that if $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ is subtracial, then Φ_ξ is subunital and subtracial.

Conversely, given a normal, completely positive map $\Phi : M \rightarrow M$, there is a Hilbert M -bimodule \mathcal{H}_Φ together with a vector $\xi_\Phi \in \mathcal{H}_\Phi$ such that $\text{span}(M \xi_\Phi M) = \mathcal{H}_\Phi$ and $\langle x \xi_\Phi y, \xi_\Phi \rangle = \tau(\Phi(x)y)$, for

every $x, y \in M$. Assume that Φ is symmetric, i.e., $\tau(\Phi(x)y) = \tau(x\Phi(y))$, for every $x, y \in M$. Then \mathcal{H}_Φ is a symmetric M -bimodule as witnessed by the anti-unitary involution $J : \mathcal{H}_\Phi \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\Phi$ given by $J(x\xi_\Phi y) = y^*\xi_\Phi x^*$. Moreover, ξ_Φ is a bounded vector, $J(\xi_\Phi) = \xi_\Phi$, and

$$(2.2) \quad \langle \xi_\Phi, x\xi_\Phi y \rangle_M = \Phi(x)y, \text{ for every } x, y \in M.$$

Lemma 2.8. *Let (M, τ) be a tracial von Neumann algebra, $\Phi : M \rightarrow M$ a normal completely positive map, and \mathcal{K} an M -bimodule. Then $\mathcal{H}_\Phi \subset_{\text{weak}} \mathcal{K}$ if and only if there is a net (Φ_i) of coefficients of $\mathcal{K}^{\oplus\infty}$ such that $\|\Phi_i(x) - \Phi(x)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, for every $x \in M$.*

Moreover, if Φ is subunital, subtracial and $\mathcal{H}_\Phi \subset_{\text{weak}} \mathcal{K}$, then there is a net (Φ_i) of subunital, subtracial coefficients of $\mathcal{K}^{\oplus\infty}$ such that $\|\Phi_i(x) - \Phi(x)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, for every $x \in M$. Furthermore, in this case, if Φ is symmetric, then we can assume that Φ_i is symmetric, for every i .

Finally, assume that M is separable. Then we may take (Φ_i) to be a sequence in the above assertions.

Proof. First, we prove the “if” part of the main assertion. Assume that (Φ_i) is a net of coefficients of $\mathcal{K}^{\oplus\infty}$ so that $\|\Phi_i(x) - \Phi(x)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, for every $x \in M$. Let $\xi_i \in \mathcal{K}^{\oplus\infty}$ such that $\tau(\Phi_i(x)y) = \langle x\xi_i y, \xi_i \rangle$. Since $\lim_i \tau(\Phi_i(x)y) = \tau(\Phi(x)y)$, we conclude that $\langle x\xi_\Phi y, \xi_\Phi \rangle = \lim_i \langle x\xi_i y, \xi_i \rangle$, for every $x, y \in M$. This implies that $\mathcal{H}_\Phi \subset_{\text{weak}} \mathcal{K}$.

Now, assume that Φ is subunital, subtracial and $\mathcal{H}_\Phi \subset_{\text{weak}} \mathcal{K}$. Then $\xi_\Phi \in \mathcal{H}_\Phi$ is a subtracial vector. By Lemma 2.5 we find a net of subtracial vectors $(\eta_i) \subset \mathcal{K}^{\oplus\infty}$ with $\langle x\xi_\Phi y, \xi_\Phi \rangle = \lim_i \langle x\eta_i y, \eta_i \rangle$, for every $x, y \in M$. Thus, if $\Phi_i := \Phi_{\eta_i}$, then $\tau(\Phi(x)y) = \lim_i \tau(\Phi_i(x)y)$, for every $x, y \in M$. Since Φ_i is completely positive, $\Phi_i(x)^*\Phi_i(x) \leq \Phi_i(x^*x)$ and thus $\|\Phi_i(x)\| \leq \|x\|$, for every $x \in M$ and every i . This implies that $\Phi_i(x) \rightarrow \Phi(x)$, in the weak topology on $L^2(M)$, for every $x \in M$. Since the set of subunital, subtracial coefficients of $\mathcal{K}^{\oplus\infty}$ is a convex set, after taking convex combinations of (Φ_i) , the moreover assertion follows. Assume additionally that Φ is symmetric. Since η_i is subtracial, there is a completely positive map $\Phi_i^* : M \rightarrow M$ such that $\langle x\eta_i y, \eta_i \rangle = \tau(x\Phi_i^*(y))$, for every $x \in M$ and every i . Let $\Psi_i = \frac{1}{2}(\Phi_i + \Phi_i^*) : M \rightarrow M$. Then $\Psi_i(x) \rightarrow \Phi(x)$, in the weak topology on $L^2(M)$, for every $x \in M$. Since Ψ_i is symmetric for every i and the set of symmetric, subunital, subtracial coefficients of $\mathcal{K}^{\oplus\infty}$ is a convex set, the furthermore assertion follows by taking convex combinations of (Ψ_i) .

Next, we prove the “only if” part of the main assertion. Let $\Phi : M \rightarrow M$ be a normal completely positive map such that $\mathcal{H}_\Phi \subset_{\text{weak}} \mathcal{K}$. Let $T \in L^1(M)$ be a positive element such that $\tau \circ \Phi = \tau(\cdot T)$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $T_n \in M$ such that $\|T_n - T\|_1 \leq (8n^2)^{-1}$. Let $p_n \in M$ be a projection such that $p_n T p_n \in M$ and $\|p_n - 1\|_2 \leq (8n^2(\|T_n\| + 1))^{-1/2}$. Define $\Psi_n : M \rightarrow M$ by $\Psi_n(x) = \Phi(p_n x p_n)$. Let $x \in (M)_1$. Since $\|\Phi(x)\|_2^2 = \tau(\Phi(x)^*\Phi(x)) \leq \tau(\Phi(x^*x)) = \tau(x^*xT)$, $\|p_n x p_n - x\| \leq 2$, and $\|p_n x p_n - x\|_2 \leq 2\|p_n - 1\|_2$, we get that

$$\|\Psi_n(x) - \Phi(x)\|_2^2 \leq \tau((p_n x p_n - x)^*(p_n x p_n - x)T) \leq 4\|T_n - T\|_1 + 4\|T_n\|\|p_n - 1\|_2^2 \leq (n^2)^{-1}.$$

Let $c_n := \max\{\|\Psi_n(1)\|, \|p_n T p_n\|\} > 0$. Since $\tau \circ \Psi_n = \tau(\cdot(p_n T p_n))$, we get that $c_n^{-1}\Psi_n$ is a subunital, subtracial completely positive map. By applying the moreover assertion to $c_n^{-1}\Psi_n$ and using that $\sup_{x \in (M)_1} \|\Psi_n(x) - \Phi(x)\|_2 \leq 1/n$, we find a net (Φ_i) of coefficients of $\mathcal{K}^{\oplus\infty}$ such that $\|\Phi_i(x) - \Phi(x)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, for every $x \in M$.

Finally, assume that M is separable. Let $\Phi, (\Phi_i)$ be subunital, subtracial completely positive maps on M such that $\|\Phi_i(x) - \Phi(x)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, for every $x \in M$. Since $\|\Phi(x)\|_2 \leq \|x\|_2$ and $\|\Phi_i(x)\|_2 \leq \|x\|_2$, we get that $\|\Phi_i(x) - \Phi(x)\|_2 \leq 2\|x\|_2$, for every $x \in M$. It follows that we can find a subsequence (Φ_{i_n}) of (Φ_i) such that $\|\Phi_{i_n}(x) - \Phi(x)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, for every $x \in M$. This implies that (Φ_i) can be taken to be a sequence in the assertions of Lemma 2.8. \square

2.4. An elementary lemma on homomorphisms to ultrapowers. We conclude this section by recording some terminology and a lemma that will be used in the proofs of Theorems E and F. Let S be a set. A **-monomial* in variables $X_s, s \in S$, is an expression of the form $Y_1 Y_2 \cdots Y_k$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $Y_i \in \{X_s, X_s^* \mid s \in S\}$, for every $1 \leq i \leq k$. A **-polynomial* p in variables $X_s, s \in S$, is a complex linear combination of *-monomials. If A is a *-algebra, we denote by $p(x_s, s \in S)$ the evaluation of p at some $\{x_s \mid s \in S\} \subset A$.

Lemma 2.9. *Let (M, τ_M) and (N, τ_N) be tracial von Neumann algebras, $\{x_s \mid s \in S\} \subset (N)_1$ a generating set of N , and \mathcal{U} be a cofinal ultrafilter on a directed set I . For every $i \in I$, let $\{x_{i,s} \mid s \in S\} \subset (M)_1$ such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} \tau_M(p(x_{i,s}, s \in S)) = \tau_N(p(x_s, s \in S))$, for every *-monomial p in variables $X_s, s \in S$. Then there is a trace preserving *-homomorphism $\pi : N \rightarrow M^{\mathcal{U}}$ such that $\pi(x_s) = (x_{i,s})$, for every $s \in S$.*

Proof. Let $A = \{p(x_s, s \in S) \mid p \text{ *-polynomial in } X_s, s \in S\}$ and define a map $\pi : A \rightarrow M^{\mathcal{U}}$ by letting $\pi(p(x_s, s \in S)) = (p(x_{i,s}, s \in S))$, for every *-polynomial p in $X_s, s \in S$.

Then π is well-defined. If $p_1(x_s, s \in S) = p_2(x_s, s \in S)$, for *-polynomials p_1, p_2 on $X_s, s \in S$, then $p(x_s, s \in S) = 0$, with $p = p_1 - p_2$. Thus, we have that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} \|p(x_{i,s}, s \in S)\|_{2, \tau_M} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} \tau_M(p^* p(x_{i,s}, s \in S))^{1/2} = \tau_N(p^* p(x_s, s \in S))^{1/2} = 0.$$

Hence, $\lim_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} \|p_1(x_{i,s}, s \in S) - p_2(x_{i,s}, s \in S)\|_{2, \tau_M} = 0$, so $\pi(p_1(x_s, s \in S)) = \pi(p_2(x_s, s \in S))$ in $M^{\mathcal{U}}$. Since π is a trace preserving *-homomorphism on A and A is SOT-dense in N , π extends to a trace preserving *-homomorphism $\pi : N \rightarrow M^{\mathcal{U}}$. By definition, $\pi(x_s) = (x_{i,s})$, for every $s \in S$. \square

3. SHLYAKHTENKO'S M -VALUED SEMICIRCULAR SYSTEMS

In [Sh97], Shlyakhtenko introduced a construction which generalizes Voiculescu's free Gaussian functor ([Vo83]) and associates to every von Neumann algebra M and symmetric M -bimodule \mathcal{H} , a von Neumann algebra which contains M . We recall this construction here in the case when M is tracial, following closely [KV15, Section 3].

Definition 3.1. Let (M, τ) be a tracial von Neumann algebra, (\mathcal{H}, J) a symmetric M -bimodule and denote by $\mathcal{H}^0 \subset \mathcal{H}$ the set of bounded vectors. Define the Fock space associated to \mathcal{H} by

$$\mathcal{F}_M(\mathcal{H}) = L^2(M) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n} \right).$$

For every $\xi \in \mathcal{H}^0$, we define $\ell(\xi) \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{F}_M(\mathcal{H}))$ by letting $\ell(\xi)(x) = \xi x$ and

$$\ell(\xi)(\xi_1 \otimes_M \cdots \otimes_M \xi_n) = \xi \otimes_M \xi_1 \otimes_M \cdots \otimes_M \xi_n, \text{ for every } x \in M \text{ and } \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \in \mathcal{H}^0.$$

Then $\ell(\xi)^*(x) = 0$ and

$$\ell(\xi)^*(\xi_1 \otimes_M \cdots \otimes_M \xi_n) = \langle \xi, \xi_1 \rangle_M \xi_2 \otimes_M \cdots \otimes_M \xi_n, \text{ for every } x \in M \text{ and } \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \in \mathcal{H}^0.$$

For $\xi \in \mathcal{H}^0$ with $J(\xi) = \xi$, we denote $s(\xi) = \ell(\xi) + \ell(\xi)^*$. Since $\ell(\xi)^* \ell(\xi) = \langle \xi, \xi \rangle_M$, we get that $\|\ell(\xi)\| = \|\langle \xi, \xi \rangle_M\|^{1/2}$ and thus $\|s(\xi)\| \leq 2\|\langle \xi, \xi \rangle_M\|^{1/2}$.

Shlyakhtenko's M -valued semicircular system associated to \mathcal{H} is then defined as

$$\Gamma(M, \mathcal{H})'' := \left(M \cup \{s(\xi) \mid \xi \in \mathcal{H}^0, J(\xi) = \xi\} \right)'' \subset \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{F}_M(\mathcal{H})).$$

Let $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}_M(\mathcal{H})$ be the vacuum unit vector given by $\Omega = 1 \in L^2(M)$. Then $\tau : \Gamma(M, \mathcal{H})'' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $\tau(x) = \langle x\Omega, \Omega \rangle$ is a faithful normal tracial state (see [Sh97] and [KV15, Proposition 3.2]). The map $\Gamma(M, \mathcal{H})'' \ni x \mapsto x\Omega \in \mathcal{F}_M(\mathcal{H})$ extends to a unitary operator $L^2(\Gamma(M, \mathcal{H})'') \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_M(\mathcal{H})$.

Lemma 3.2. *In the above notation, let $\mathcal{H}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{H}^0$ be a dense subspace such that $M\mathcal{H}_1M \subset \mathcal{H}_1$. Then for every $\eta \in \mathcal{H}^0$ with $J\eta = \eta$, there exists a net $(\eta_n) \subset \mathcal{H}_1$ such that $\|\eta_n - \eta\| \rightarrow 0$, $\sup_n \|s(\eta_n)\| < \infty$ and $s(\eta_n) \rightarrow s(\eta)$ in the SOT.*

Proof. Since $\eta \in \mathcal{H}^0$, we can assume $\|T_\eta\| \leq 1$. Let $(\xi_n) \subset \mathcal{H}_1$ such that $\|\xi_n - \eta\| \rightarrow 0$, and define $s_n = f(M\langle \xi_n, \xi_n \rangle)$, $t_n = f(\langle \xi_n, \xi_n \rangle_M)$ respectively, where $f(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq 1 \\ t^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } t \geq 1 \end{cases}$.

Let $\eta_n = s_n \xi_n t_n \in M\mathcal{H}_1M \subset \mathcal{H}_1$. Then η_n is subtracial and thus $\|s(\eta_n)\| \leq 2$, for every n . We claim that $\|\eta_n - \xi_n\| \rightarrow 0$. To this end, note that

$$\|\eta_n - \xi_n\| \leq \|(1 - s_n)\xi_n\| + \|s_n \xi_n (1 - t_n)\| \leq \|(1 - s_n)\xi_n\| + \|\xi_n(1 - t_n)\|.$$

Let p_n be the spectral projection of $M\langle \xi_n, \xi_n \rangle$ corresponding to the interval $[1, \infty)$. Since we have that $1_{[1, \infty)}(t)(t-1) \geq (1-f(t))^2 t$, we get that $(1-s_n)_M^2 \langle \xi_n, \xi_n \rangle \leq p_n(M\langle \xi_n, \xi_n \rangle - 1)$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|(1-s_n)\xi_n\|^2 &= \tau((1-s_n)_M^2 \langle \xi_n, \xi_n \rangle) \\ &\leq \tau(p_n M \langle \xi_n, \xi_n \rangle - p_n) \\ &\leq \tau(p_n(M\langle \xi_n, \xi_n \rangle - M\langle \eta, \eta \rangle)) \\ &\leq \|M\langle \xi_n, \xi_n \rangle - M\langle \eta, \eta \rangle\|_1 \\ &\leq \|M\langle \xi_n, \xi_n - \eta \rangle\|_1 + \|M\langle \xi_n - \eta, \eta \rangle\|_1 \\ &\leq (\|\xi_n - \eta\|_2 + 2\|\eta\|_2)\|\xi_n - \eta\|_2, \end{aligned}$$

where we used that $\tau(p_n M \langle \eta, \eta \rangle) \leq \tau(p_n)$ as $M\langle \eta, \eta \rangle \leq 1$. Similarly,

$$\|\xi_n(1-t_n)\|^2 \leq (\|\xi_n - \eta\|_2 + 2\|\eta\|_2)\|\xi_n - \eta\|_2.$$

Thus, $\|\eta_n - \xi_n\| \leq 2(\|\xi_n - \eta\|_2 + 2\|\eta\|_2)^{1/2}\|\xi_n - \eta\|_2^{1/2}$, which implies the claim. The claim gives $\|\eta_n - \eta\| \rightarrow 0$. As $\sup_n \|s(\eta_n)\| < \infty$, it follows that $s(\eta_n) \rightarrow s(\eta)$ in the SOT. \square

Lemma 3.3. *In the above notation, let $\mathcal{H}^1 \subset \mathcal{H}^0$ be a dense subspace such that $J(\mathcal{H}^1) = \mathcal{H}^1$. Then $\Gamma(M, \mathcal{H})'' = \left(M \cup \{s(\xi) \mid \xi \in \mathcal{H}^1, J(\xi) = \xi\}\right)''$.*

Moreover, let $\{\xi_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{H}^0$ be a family of vectors such that $J(\xi_i) = \xi_i$, for every $i \in I$, and the span of $\{M\xi_i M\}_{i \in I}$ is dense in \mathcal{H} . Then $\Gamma(M, \mathcal{H})'' = \left(M \cup \{s(\xi_i) \mid i \in I\}\right)''$.

Proof. The main assertion follows by applying Lemma 3.2 to $M\mathcal{H}^1M$.

We give an alternative proof of the main assertion which does not rely on Lemma 3.2. We let $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{F}_M(\mathcal{H})$ be the span of $L^2(M) \cup (\bigcup_{n \geq 1} \{\xi_1 \otimes_M \cdots \otimes_M \xi_n \mid \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \in \mathcal{H}^1\})$ and denote $\mathcal{M} = \left(M \cup \{s(\xi) \mid \xi \in \mathcal{H}^1, J(\xi) = \xi\}\right)''$. We claim that $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{M}\Omega$. To this end, for $n \geq 1$, denote by $\mathcal{K}_n \subset \mathcal{F}_M(\mathcal{H})$ the span of $L^2(M) \cup (\bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq n} \{\xi_1 \otimes_M \cdots \otimes_M \xi_k \mid \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k \in \mathcal{H}^1\})$. Proceeding by induction, assume that $\mathcal{K}_n \subset \mathcal{M}\Omega$, for $n \geq 1$. If $\xi, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \in \mathcal{H}^1$ and $J(\xi) = \xi$, then $\xi \otimes_M \xi_1 \otimes_M \cdots \otimes_M \xi_n = s(\xi)(\xi_1 \otimes_M \cdots \otimes_M \xi_n) - \langle \xi, \xi_1 \rangle_M (\xi_2 \otimes_M \cdots \otimes_M \xi_n) \in s(\xi)\mathcal{M}\Omega + M(\mathcal{M}\Omega) = \mathcal{M}\Omega$. Since every $\eta \in \mathcal{H}^1$ can be written as $\eta = \eta_1 + i\eta_2$, where $\eta_1 = (\eta + J(\eta))/2$ and $\eta_2 = (\eta - J(\eta))/(2i)$ satisfy $J(\eta_1) = \eta_1$ and $J(\eta_2) = \eta_2$, this proves the claim. Since \mathcal{H}^1 is dense in \mathcal{H}^0 , Remark 2.4 implies that \mathcal{K} is dense $\mathcal{F}_M(\mathcal{H})$. Using the claim, we deduce that $\mathcal{M}\Omega$ is dense in $\mathcal{F}_M(\mathcal{H})$. Hence, \mathcal{M} is dense in $L^2(\Gamma(M, \mathcal{H})'')$ and therefore $\mathcal{M} = \Gamma(M, \mathcal{H})''$. This proves the main assertion.

Let $\mathcal{H}^2 \subset \mathcal{H}^0$ be the span of $\{M\xi_i M\}_{i \in I}$. Then $J(\mathcal{H}^2) = \mathcal{H}^2$. Thus, the main assertion implies that $\Gamma(M, \mathcal{H})''$ is equal to $\left(M \cup \{s(\xi) \mid \xi \in \mathcal{H}^2, J(\xi) = \xi\}\right)''$. Denote $\mathcal{N} = \left(M \cup \{s(\xi_i) \mid i \in I\}\right)''$.

Since $\ell(x\xi) = x\ell(\xi)$, $\ell(\xi x) = \ell(\xi)x$, and $J(x\xi x^*) = xJ(\xi)x^*$, for every $x \in M$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{H}^0$, we get that $s(y\xi_i y^*) = ys(\xi_i)y^* \in \mathcal{N}$, for every $y \in M$ and $i \in I$. Note that $\{\xi \in \mathcal{H}^2 \mid J(\xi) = \xi\}$ is equal to the linear span of $\{v\xi_i w^* + w\xi_i v^* \mid v, w \in M, i \in I\}$ and further that of $\{y\xi_i y^* \mid y \in M, i \in I\}$. Since $s(\xi_1 + \xi_2) = s(\xi_1) + s(\xi_2)$, for every $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathcal{H}^0$, we conclude that $s(\xi) \in \mathcal{N}$, for every $\xi \in \mathcal{H}^2$ with $J(\xi) = \xi$. Since \mathcal{N} also contains M , we get that $\mathcal{M} = \Gamma(M, \mathcal{H})''$. \square

An ultrafilter on a directed set (I, \leq) is called *cofinal* if it contains $\{i \in I \mid i \geq i_0\}$, for every $i_0 \in I$. If $(x_i)_{i \in I} \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a net such that $\lim_i x_i = x$ and \mathcal{U} is a cofinal ultrafilter on I , then $\lim_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} x_i = x$. We now arrive at the main result of this section.

Lemma 3.4. *Let (M, τ) be a tracial von Neumann algebra, $\Phi : M \rightarrow M$ a subunital, symmetric completely positive map, and $\Phi_i : M \rightarrow M$, $i \in I$, a net of subunital, symmetric completely positive maps such that $\|\Phi_i(x) - \Phi(x)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, for every $x \in M$. Let \mathcal{U} be a cofinal ultrafilter on I . Then there is a trace preserving $*$ -homomorphism $\pi : \Gamma(M, \mathcal{H}_\Phi)'' \rightarrow \prod_{\mathcal{U}} \Gamma(M, \mathcal{H}_{\Phi_i})''$ such that $\pi|_M = \text{Id}_M$ and $\pi(s(\xi_\Phi)) = (s(\xi_{\Phi_i}))$. Moreover, $\mathcal{H}_\Phi \subset L^2(\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \Gamma(M, \mathcal{H}_{\Phi_i})'') \ominus L^2(M^{\mathcal{U}})$, as an M -bimodule.*

In order to prove this result, we first introduce a definition and then establish a lemma.

Definition 3.5. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We define sets of formulas $S_1 \subset \dots \subset S_n$ involving non-commutative variables X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n and a function Ψ which takes values in an algebra and can be evaluated on all polynomials in X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n , as follows. Let $S_1 = \{1, X_0, X_1\}$ and define inductively $S_i = \{X_i\} \cup S_{i-1} \cup \{X_i \Psi(a)b \mid a, b \in S_{i-1}\}$, for every $2 \leq i \leq n$. Given an algebra M , a function $\Phi : M \rightarrow M$ and an $(n+1)$ -tuple $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in M^{n+1}$, for every $f \in S_n$ we denote by $f^\Phi(\mathbf{x})$ the element of M obtained by replacing X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n with x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n and Ψ with Φ .

Lemma 3.6. *There exist $\{\varepsilon(a) \mid a \in S_n\}, \{\varepsilon(a_1, b_1, \dots, a_k, b_k) \mid a_1, b_1, \dots, a_k, b_k \in S_n\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$, for every $1 \leq k \leq n$, such that the following holds. Let $\Phi : M \rightarrow M$ be a normal, symmetric completely positive map, where (M, τ) is a tracial von Neumann algebra. Consider the associated M -bimodule $(\mathcal{H}_\Phi, \xi_\Phi)$ and the von Neumann algebra $\Gamma(M, \mathcal{H}_\Phi)'' \subset \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{F}_M(\mathcal{H}_\Phi))$. Then for every $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in M^{n+1}$, the vector $x_n s(\xi_\Phi) x_{n-1} \dots x_1 s(\xi_\Phi) x_0 \Omega \in \mathcal{F}_M(\mathcal{H}_\Phi)$ is equal to*

$$\sum_{a \in S_n} \varepsilon(a) a^\Phi(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\substack{a_0, a_1, b_1, \dots, \\ a_k, b_k \in S_n}} \varepsilon(a_0, a_1, b_1, \dots, a_k, b_k) a_0^\Phi(\mathbf{x}) (a_1^\Phi(\mathbf{x}) \xi_\Phi b_1^\Phi(\mathbf{x})) \otimes \dots \otimes (a_k^\Phi(\mathbf{x}) \xi_\Phi b_k^\Phi(\mathbf{x})).$$

In particular, $\tau(x_n s(\xi_\Phi) x_{n-1} \dots x_1 s(\xi_\Phi) x_0) = \sum_{a \in S_n} \varepsilon(a) \tau(a^\Phi(\mathbf{x}))$.

Proof. Let $x, a, b, c \in M$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{H}_\Phi^{\otimes m}$, for $m \geq 1$. Then $x s(\xi_\Phi)(a) = x \xi_\Phi a$ and (2.2) implies that

$$x s(\xi_\Phi)(b \xi_\Phi c \otimes \eta) = x \xi_\Phi \otimes b \xi_\Phi c \otimes \eta + x \langle \xi_\Phi, b \xi_\Phi c \rangle_M \eta = x \xi_\Phi \otimes b \xi_\Phi c \otimes \eta + (x \Phi(b)c) \eta.$$

The proof is now immediate by induction. \square

Proof of Lemma 3.4. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in M^{n+1}$. Since $\|\Phi_i(y) - \Phi(y)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, for every $y \in M$, it follows that $\|a^{\Phi_i}(\mathbf{x}) - a^\Phi(\mathbf{x})\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, for every $a \in S_n$. By combining this fact with Lemma 3.6, we conclude that

$$(3.1) \quad \lim_i \tau(x_n s(\xi_{\Phi_i}) x_{n-1} \dots x_1 s(\xi_{\Phi_i}) x_0) = \tau(x_n s(\xi_\Phi) x_{n-1} \dots x_1 s(\xi_\Phi) x_0), \forall x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n \in M.$$

Since Φ_i is subunital, $\|s(\xi_{\Phi_i})\| \leq 2$, for every $i \in I$. This implies that

$$(3.2) \quad (x_n s(\xi_{\Phi_i}) x_{n-1} \dots x_1 s(\xi_{\Phi_i}) x_0) \in \prod_{\mathcal{U}} \Gamma(M, \mathcal{H}_{\Phi_i})'', \forall x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n \in M.$$

Since $\text{span}(M\xi_\Phi M)$ is dense in \mathcal{H}_Φ , Lemma 2.4 implies that the linear span of

$$\{x_n s(\xi_\Phi) x_{n-1} \cdots x_1 s(\xi_\Phi) x_0 \mid x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n \in M\}$$

is $\|\cdot\|_2$ -dense in $\Gamma(M, \mathcal{H}_\Phi)''$. This fact, (3.1) and (3.2) together imply the existence of a trace preserving $*$ -homomorphism $\pi : \Gamma(M, \mathcal{H}_\Phi)'' \rightarrow \prod_{\mathcal{U}} \Gamma(M, \mathcal{H}_{\Phi_i})''$ such that

$$\pi(x_n s(\xi_\Phi) x_{n-1} \cdots x_1 s(\xi_\Phi) x_0) = (x_n s(\xi_{\Phi_i}) x_{n-1} \cdots x_1 s(\xi_{\Phi_i}) x_0)$$

and $\pi(x) = x$, for every $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, x \in M$. This finishes the proof of the main assertion.

Finally, the definition of π implies that we have $\pi(Ms(\xi_\Phi)M) \subset \prod_{\mathcal{U}} \Gamma(M, \mathcal{H}_{\Phi_i})'' \ominus M^{\mathcal{U}}$. Since the M -bimodule $\overline{\text{span}(Ms(\xi_\Phi)M)}$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{H}_Φ , the moreover assertion follows. \square

4. PROOFS OF MAIN RESULTS

4.1. Proof of Theorem A. We will prove (1) in the case of existentially closed separable II_1 factors M , and that (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Leftrightarrow (4), for general separable II_1 factors M .

(1) Assume that M is an existentially closed separable II_1 factor. By Remark 2.3, for the purpose of proving (1), after replacing \mathcal{H} with $\mathcal{H} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{H}}$, we may assume \mathcal{H} to be a symmetric M -bimodule. Put $N := \Gamma(M, \mathcal{H})''$. Since $M \subset N$, we can find an embedding $\pi : N \rightarrow M^{\mathcal{U}}$, for some ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on a set I , whose restriction $\pi|_M$ is the diagonal embedding of M . Moreover, if \mathcal{H} is separable, then so is N , and we can take $I = \mathbb{N}$. Then π extends to an embedding of Hilbert M -bimodules $L^2(N) \subset L^2(M^{\mathcal{U}})$. Since $\mathcal{H} \subset L^2(N)$, part (1) follows.

(1) \Rightarrow (2) Assume that (1) holds. Let \mathcal{H} be an M -bimodule. Then $\mathcal{H} \subset L^2(M^{\mathcal{U}})$, for a free ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on a set I . Fix $(\xi_j)_{j=1}^k \subset \mathcal{H}$ such that $\|\xi_j\|_2 \leq 1$ for every $1 \leq j \leq k$, a finite set $F \subset (M)_1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then we can find $\eta_j \in M^{\mathcal{U}}$ such that $\|\eta_j\|_2 \leq 1$ and $\|\xi_j - \eta_j\|_2 < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, for every $1 \leq j \leq k$. Then $|\langle x\xi_j y, \xi_{j'} \rangle - \langle x\eta_j y, \eta_{j'} \rangle| < \varepsilon$, for every $1 \leq j, j' \leq k$ and $x, y \in F$. Represent $\eta_j = (\eta_{i,j})$, where $\eta_{i,j} \in M$, for every $i \in I, 1 \leq j \leq k$. Since $\langle x\eta_j y, \eta_{j'} \rangle = \lim_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} \langle x\eta_{i,j} y, \eta_{i,j'} \rangle$, there is $i \in I$ such that

$$|\langle x\xi_j y, \xi_{j'} \rangle - \langle x\eta_{i,j} y, \eta_{i,j'} \rangle| < \varepsilon, \text{ for every } x, y \in F \text{ and } 1 \leq j, j' \leq k.$$

This implies that \mathcal{H} belongs to the closure of $L^2(M)$ in the Fell topology, thus proving (2).

(2) \Rightarrow (3) This implication is obvious.

(3) \Rightarrow (4) Assume that (3) holds. Let $\Phi : M \rightarrow M$ be a normal completely positive map. Then $\mathcal{H}_\Phi \subset_{\text{weak}} L^2(M)$. Since \mathcal{P}_M is the set of coefficients of $L^2(M)^{\oplus \infty}$ and \mathcal{S}_M is the set of subunital, subtracial coefficients of $L^2(M)^{\oplus \infty}$, applying Lemma 2.8 gives (4).

(4) \Rightarrow (3) Assume that (4) holds. If \mathcal{H} is an M -bimodule, then $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_i \overline{\text{span}(M\xi_i M)}$, for a family of bounded vectors $(\xi_i) \subset \mathcal{H}$. Thus, in order to prove (3), it suffices to argue that any M -bimodule of the form $\mathcal{H} = \overline{\text{span}(M\xi M)}$, for some bounded vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, is weakly contained in $L^2(M)$. We denote by $\Phi := \Phi_\xi : M \rightarrow M$ the associated normal completely positive map. Then \mathcal{H} is isomorphic to \mathcal{H}_Φ . Since (4) holds, we can find a sequence (Φ_n) of coefficients of $L^2(M)^{\oplus \infty}$ such that $\|\Phi_n(x) - \Phi(x)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, for every $x \in M$. Thus, $\mathcal{H}_\Phi \subset_{\text{weak}} L^2(M)$ and so $\mathcal{H} \subset_{\text{weak}} L^2(M)$. \square

Next we prove Theorem C and then use it to deduce Corollary B.

4.2. Proof of Theorem C. Let \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K} be M -bimodules such that $\mathcal{H} \subset_{\text{weak}} \mathcal{K}$. Let $(\xi_j)_{j \in J} \subset \mathcal{H}$ be a family of non-zero subtracial vectors such that denoting $\mathcal{H}_j = \overline{\text{span}(M\xi_j M)}$, we have $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{j \in J} \mathcal{H}_j$. For $j \in J$, consider the subunital, subtracial completely positive map $\Phi_j := \Phi_{\xi_j} : M \rightarrow M$. Then \mathcal{H}_{Φ_j} is isomorphic to \mathcal{H}_j and so $\mathcal{H}_{\Phi_j} \subset_{\text{weak}} \mathcal{K}$. By Lemma 2.8, we can find a net of subunital, subtracial coefficients $(\Phi_{i,j})_{i \in I}$ of $\mathcal{K}^{\oplus \infty}$ such that $\lim_i \|\Phi_{i,j}(x) - \Phi_j(x)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, for every $x \in M$. Here, I is the set of pairs (F, ε) , with $F \subset M$ finite and $\varepsilon > 0$, ordered by $(I, \varepsilon) \leq (I', \varepsilon')$ if and only if $I \subset I'$ and $\varepsilon \geq \varepsilon'$. If M is separable, we can take $I = \mathbb{N}$. Let \mathcal{U} be a cofinal ultrafilter on I .

Since $\mathcal{H}_{\Phi_{i,j}} \subset \mathcal{K}^{\oplus \infty}$, we have a natural trace preserving embedding $\Gamma(M, \mathcal{H}_{\Phi_{i,j}})'' \subset \Gamma(M, \mathcal{K}^{\oplus \infty})''$. By Lemma 3.4, we find a trace preserving $*$ -homomorphism $\pi_j : \Gamma(M, \mathcal{H}_j)'' \rightarrow (\Gamma(M, \mathcal{K}^{\oplus \infty})'')^{\mathcal{U}}$ such that $(\pi_j)|_M = \text{Id}_M$. Note that if $(M_j, \tau_j)_{j \in J}$ are tracial von Neumann algebras containing (M, τ) such that $\tau = \tau_j|_M$, for every $j \in J$, then we have a natural embedding $*_{M,j \in J} M_j^{\mathcal{U}} \subset (*_{M,j \in J} M_j)^{\mathcal{U}}$. This implies the existence of a $*$ -homomorphism

$$\pi = *_{M,j \in J} \pi_j : *_{M,j \in J} \Gamma(M, \mathcal{H}_j)'' \rightarrow *_{M,j \in J} (\Gamma(M, \mathcal{K}^{\oplus \infty})'')^{\mathcal{U}} \subset (*_{M,j \in J} \Gamma(M, \mathcal{K}^{\oplus \infty})'')^{\mathcal{U}}$$

with $\pi|_M = \text{Id}_M$. By [Sh97, Proposition 2.18], we get that $*_{M,j \in J} \Gamma(M, \mathcal{H}_j)'' = \Gamma(M, \mathcal{H})''$ and $*_{M,j \in J} \Gamma(M, \mathcal{K}^{\oplus \infty})'' = \Gamma(M, \bigoplus_{j \in J} \mathcal{K}^{\oplus \infty})'' = \Gamma(M, \mathcal{K} \otimes \ell^2(S))''$, where $S = \mathbb{N} \times J$. This proves the main assertion.

Finally, assume that M and \mathcal{H} are separable. Since M is separable, we can take $I = \mathbb{N}$. Since \mathcal{H} is separable, we can take $J = \mathbb{N}$. Altogether, this implies that we can take $I = S = \mathbb{N}$, proving the moreover assertion. \square

4.3. Proof of Corollary B. Let \mathcal{H} be an R -bimodule. By Remark 2.3, after replacing \mathcal{H} with $\mathcal{H} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{H}}$, we may assume that \mathcal{H} is symmetric. Then $\mathcal{H} \subset L^2(\Gamma(R, \mathcal{H})'')$, as R -bimodules. Let $\mathcal{K} = L^2(R) \otimes L^2(R)$. Then by [Sh97, Example 3.3(a)], $\Gamma(R, \mathcal{K})'' = R * L(\mathbb{Z})$. Further, applying [Sh97, Proposition 2.18] implies that $\Gamma(R, \mathcal{K} \otimes \ell^2(S))'' = R * L(\mathbb{F}_S)$, for every set S . Since R is hyperfinite, we have that $\mathcal{H} \subset_{\text{weak}} \mathcal{K}$. Theorem C thus provides a trace preserving $*$ -homomorphism $\pi : \Gamma(R, \mathcal{H})'' \rightarrow (R * L(\mathbb{F}_S))^{\mathcal{U}}$ such that $\pi|_R = \text{Id}_R$, for a set S and a cofinal ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on a set I . Thus, $L^2(\Gamma(R, \mathcal{H})'') \subset L^2((R * L(\mathbb{F}_S))^{\mathcal{U}})$ and therefore

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{H} \subset L^2((R * L(\mathbb{F}_S))^{\mathcal{U}}), \text{ as } R\text{-bimodules.}$$

To finish the proof, we will use the following fact (see [CP09]):

Fact 4.1. Let \mathcal{V}_j be an ultrafilter on a set I_j , for all $j \in \{1, 2\}$. Let $\mathcal{V}_1 \otimes \mathcal{V}_2$ be the ultrafilter on $I_1 \times I_2$ defined as follows: $\lim_{(i_1, i_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_1 \otimes \mathcal{V}_2} f(i_1, i_2) = \lim_{i_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_1} (\lim_{i_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_2} f(i_1, i_2))$, for every $f \in \ell^\infty(I_1 \times I_2)$. Then for every tracial von Neumann algebra (N, τ) , we have a trace preserving $*$ -isomorphism $N^{\mathcal{V}_1 \otimes \mathcal{V}_2} \cong (N^{\mathcal{V}_2})^{\mathcal{V}_1}$ given by $x \mapsto ((x_{i_1, i_2})_{i_2 \in I_2})_{i_1 \in I_1}$, for $x = (x_{i_1, i_2})_{(i_1, i_2) \in I_1 \times I_2} \in \ell^\infty(I_1 \times I_2, N)$.

Let J be the collection of finite subsets $T \subset S$ ordered by inclusion, and \mathcal{V} a cofinal ultrafilter on J . Then the map $R * L(\mathbb{F}_S) \ni x \mapsto (E_{R * L(\mathbb{F}_T)}(x))_{T \in J} \in \prod_{\mathcal{V}} (R * L(\mathbb{F}_T))$ is a trace preserving $*$ -homomorphism. For every finite subset $T \subset S$, view \mathbb{F}_T as a subgroup of \mathbb{F}_∞ . By combining the last two facts, we get a trace preserving $*$ -homomorphism $\delta : R * L(\mathbb{F}_S) \rightarrow (R * L(\mathbb{F}_\infty))^{\mathcal{V}}$ such that $\delta|_R = \text{Id}_R$. Since $L(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$ is Connes embeddable, we can find a trace preserving $*$ -homomorphism $\rho : R * L(\mathbb{F}_\infty) \rightarrow R^{\mathcal{W}}$, with \mathcal{W} a free ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} . Since any embedding of R into $R^{\mathcal{W}}$ is unitarily conjugate to the diagonal embedding, we may assume that $\rho|_R = \text{Id}_R$. Thus, $\rho^{\mathcal{V}} : (R * L(\mathbb{F}_\infty))^{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow (R^{\mathcal{W}})^{\mathcal{V}}$ given by $\rho^{\mathcal{V}}((x_j)_{j \in J}) = (\rho(x_j))_{j \in J}$ is a trace preserving $*$ -homomorphism.

Define $\sigma := (\rho^{\mathcal{V}} \circ \delta)^{\mathcal{U}} : (R * L(\mathbb{F}_S))^{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow ((R^{\mathcal{W}})^{\mathcal{V}})^{\mathcal{U}}$. Using Fact 4.1, we can view σ as trace preserving $*$ -homomorphism $\sigma : (R * L(\mathbb{F}_S))^{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow R^{\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}}$. Moreover, it is immediate that $\sigma|_R = \text{Id}$. In

particular, we derive that

$$(4.2) \quad L^2((R * L(\mathbb{F}_S))^{\mathcal{U}}) \subset L^2(R^{\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}}), \text{ as } R\text{-bimodules.}$$

By combining (4.1) and (4.2), we conclude that $\mathcal{H} \subset L^2(R^{\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}})$, as R -bimodules. This proves the main assertion.

Finally, assume that \mathcal{H} is separable. The moreover part of Theorem C implies that we can take $S = I = \mathbb{N}$. Then J is countable. Thus, $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}$, and consequently $\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}$ are ultrafilters on countable sets. This implies the moreover assertion. \square

We next use Theorem C and its proof to derive Corollary D.

4.4. Proof of Corollary D. By Remark 2.3, in order to prove (1) and (2), we may assume that \mathcal{H} is a symmetric M -bimodule.

(1) Assume that \mathcal{H} is a symmetric M -bimodule with $\mathcal{H} \subset_{\text{weak}} L^2(M)$. By combining Proposition 2.18 and Example 3.3(b) in [Sh97], we get that $\Gamma(M, L^2(M) \otimes \ell^2(\mathbb{N}))'' = \widehat{M}$, where $\widehat{M} = M \overline{\otimes} L(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$. By Theorem C, there exists a trace preserving $*$ -homomorphism $\pi : \Gamma(M, \mathcal{H})'' \rightarrow \widehat{M}^{\mathcal{U}}$, where \mathcal{U} is an ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} , such that $\pi|_M = \text{Id}_M$. This implies that $\mathcal{H} \subset L^2(\Gamma(M, \mathcal{H})'') \subset L^2(\widehat{M}^{\mathcal{U}})$, as M -bimodules.

Conversely, if $\mathcal{H} \subset L^2(\widehat{M}^{\mathcal{U}})$, then $\mathcal{H} \subset_{\text{weak}} L^2(\widehat{M}) = L^2(M) \otimes \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ and therefore $\mathcal{H} \subset_{\text{weak}} L^2(M)$.

(2) Assume that \mathcal{H} is a symmetric M -bimodule with $\mathcal{H} \subset_{\text{weak}} L^2(M) \otimes L^2(M)$. By combining Proposition 2.18 and Example 3.3(a) in [Sh97], we get that $\Gamma(M, (L^2(M) \otimes L^2(M)) \otimes \ell^2(\mathbb{N}))'' = \overline{M}$, where $\overline{M} = M * L(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$. By Theorem C, there exists a $*$ -homomorphism $\pi : \Gamma(M, \mathcal{H})'' \rightarrow \overline{M}^{\mathcal{U}}$ such that $\pi|_M = \text{Id}_M$. This implies that $\mathcal{H} \subset L^2(\Gamma(M, \mathcal{H})'') \subset L^2(\overline{M}^{\mathcal{U}})$, as M -bimodules. Moreover, a close inspection of the proof of Theorem C (see the moreover assertion of Lemma 3.4) shows that $\mathcal{H} \subset L^2(\overline{M}^{\mathcal{U}}) \oplus L^2(M^{\mathcal{U}})$, as desired.

Conversely, if $\mathcal{H} \subset L^2(\overline{M}^{\mathcal{U}}) \oplus L^2(M^{\mathcal{U}})$, then $\mathcal{H} \subset_{\text{weak}} L^2(\overline{M}) \oplus L^2(M)$. On the other hand, we have $L^2(\overline{M}) \oplus L^2(M) \cong (L^2(M) \otimes L^2(M)) \otimes \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$, and thus we conclude that $\mathcal{H} \subset_{\text{weak}} L^2(M) \otimes L^2(M)$.

To prove (3) and (4), let $\mathcal{K} = L^2(M) \otimes_Q L^2(M)$ and recall that we defined $\widetilde{M} = M *_Q (Q \overline{\otimes} L(\mathbb{Z}))$. Then $\widetilde{M} \cong \Gamma(M, \mathcal{K})''$ by [Sh97, Example 3.3(c)].

(3) If P is amenable relative to Q inside M , by [PV11, Proposition 2.4] we find a net of subtracial vectors $(\xi_n) \subset \mathcal{K}_+$ such that we have $\lim_n \|\langle \xi_n, \xi_n \rangle_M - \tau(\cdot)\|_1 = 0$ and $\lim_n \|y\xi_n - \xi_n y\| = 0$, for every $y \in P$. Moreover, since M is separable, we can take (ξ_n) to be a sequence.

Define $x_n = s(\xi_n) \in \widetilde{M}$. Since $\|s(\xi_n)\| \leq 2\|\langle \xi_n, \xi_n \rangle_M\| \leq 2$ and $E_M(s(\xi_n)) = 0$, for every n , we get that $x = (x_n)$ belongs to $\widetilde{M}^{\mathcal{U}}$ and $E_{M^{\mathcal{U}}}(x) = 0$. If $\Omega = \widehat{1} \in \mathcal{F}_M(\mathcal{K})$ is the vacuum vector, then $(yx_n - x_n y)\Omega = y\xi_n - \xi_n y$ and thus $\lim_n \|yx_n - x_n y\|_2 = 0$, for every $y \in P$. This implies that $x \in P' \cap \widetilde{M}^{\mathcal{U}}$. Since $\langle \xi_n, \xi_n \rangle_M \leq 1$, we get that $\|\langle \xi_n, \xi_n \rangle_M - 1\|_2 \leq 2\|\langle \xi_n, \xi_n \rangle_M - 1\|_1^{1/2}$ and thus $\|\langle \xi_n, \xi_n \rangle_M - 1\|_2 \rightarrow 0$. Since $E_M(x_n^* x_n) = \langle \xi_n, \xi_n \rangle_M$, we get that $E_{M^{\mathcal{U}}}(x^* x) = (E_M(x_n^* x_n)) = 1$. This proves the only if assertion.

Conversely, assume that there exists $x \in P' \cap \widetilde{M}^{\mathcal{U}}$ such that $E_{M^{\mathcal{U}}}(x) = 0$ and $E_{M^{\mathcal{U}}}(x^* x) = 1$. Then we can find a net $(x_n) \subset \widetilde{M} \oplus M$ such that $\sup \|x_n\| < \infty$, $\lim_n \|E_M(x_n^* x_n) - 1\|_2 = 0$ and $\lim_n \|yx_n - x_n y\|_2 = 0$, for every $y \in P$. This gives that $\tau(x_n^* y x_n z) = \tau(yz)$, for every $y \in P$ and $z \in M$. Thus, the P - M -bimodule $L^2(M)$ is weakly contained in $L^2(\widetilde{M}) \oplus L^2(M)$. On the other hand, [Io12, Lemma 2.10 (1)] gives that $L^2(\widetilde{M}) \oplus L^2(M) = \mathcal{L} \otimes_Q L^2(M)$, as M -bimodules, for an M - Q bimodule \mathcal{L} . By [PV11, Proposition 2.4], P is amenable relative to Q inside M .

(4) Assume that there exists a $*$ -homomorphism $\pi : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}^{\mathcal{U}}$ whose restriction to M is the diagonal embedding of M . Then $L^2(\widetilde{M}) \subset L^2(\widetilde{M}^{\mathcal{U}})$, as M -bimodules. If $u \in L(\mathbb{Z}) \subset \widetilde{M}$ is a Haar unitary, then the M -bimodule $\overline{\text{span}(MuM)}$ is isomorphic to $L^2(M) \otimes_Q L^2(M)$. Thus, $L^2(M) \otimes_Q L^2(M) \subset L^2(\widetilde{M}^{\mathcal{U}})$, which implies that $L^2(M) \otimes_Q L^2(M) \subset_{\text{weak}} L^2(\widetilde{M}) = L^2(M) \otimes \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. Hence $L^2(M) \otimes_Q L^2(M) \subset_{\text{weak}} L^2(M)$, as claimed.

Conversely, assume that $\mathcal{K} \subset_{\text{weak}} L^2(M)$. Since $\Gamma(M, \mathcal{K})'' = \widetilde{M}$, $\Gamma(M, L^2(M) \otimes \ell^2(\mathbb{N}))'' = \widetilde{M}$ and $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H}_{E_Q}$, by combining Lemma 2.8 and Lemma 3.4 we deduce the existence of a trace preserving $*$ -homomorphism $\pi : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}^{\mathcal{U}}$ such that $\pi|_M = \text{Id}_M$. \square

4.5. Proof of Theorem E. Let M_0 be a separable II_1 factor. We will construct an existentially closed separable II_1 factor $M \supset M_0$. To this end, let $X = \{x_k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset (M_0)_1$ be a sequence which generates M_0 and \mathcal{U} be a free ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} . The proof relies on the following claim:

Claim 4.2. *There exists a separable II_1 factor $M_1 \supset M_0$ such that the following holds: for any separable tracial von Neumann algebra $N \supset M_0$, there is an embedding of N into $M_1^{\mathcal{U}}$ whose restriction to M_0 is the diagonal embedding.*

Proof of Claim 4.2. We denote by \mathcal{F} the set of pairs (N, Y) , where N is a separable tracial von Neumann algebra which contains M_0 and $Y = X \cup \{y_k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset (N)_1$ is a sequence which generates N and contains X . For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by \mathcal{P}_m the set of $*$ -monomials in the variables $\{X_1, \dots, X_m, Y_1, \dots, Y_m\}$ of degree at most m . For $(N, Y) \in \mathcal{F}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we denote by $\mathcal{U}_{(N, Y)}(m, \varepsilon)$ the set of $(\bar{N}, \bar{Y}) \in \mathcal{F}$ such that writing $\bar{Y} = X \cup \{\bar{y}_k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ we have:

$$\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_m} |\tau_{\bar{N}}(p(x_1, \dots, x_m, \bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_m)) - \tau_N(p(x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_m))| < \varepsilon.$$

Consider the topology on \mathcal{F} which has $\{\mathcal{U}_{(N, Y)}(m, \varepsilon)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon > 0}$ as a neighborhood basis of any $(N, Y) \in \mathcal{F}$. Note that the elements of \mathcal{F} can be viewed as representatives of non-commutative laws on infinitely many variables; the topology we defined on \mathcal{F} is precisely the weak*-topology on the space of laws. Since \mathcal{F} is separable in this topology, it admits a dense sequence $\{(N_n, Y_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Define $M_1 = *_{M_0, n \in \mathbb{N}} N_n$. Write $Y_n = X \cup \{y_{n,k} \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let N be a separable tracial von Neumann algebra which contains M_0 . Choose $Y \subset (N)_1$ such that $(N, Y) \in \mathcal{F}$. Then (N, Y) is the limit of a subsequence (N_{n_l}, Y_{n_l}) of (N_n, Y_n) . Thus, we have

$$\tau_{N_{n_l}}(p(x_1, \dots, x_m, y_{n_l,1}, \dots, y_{n_l,m})) \rightarrow \tau_N(p(x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_m)), \quad \text{as } l \rightarrow \infty,$$

for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $*$ -monomial p in $X_1, \dots, X_m, Y_1, \dots, Y_m$.

Since $\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Y_n \subset M_1$, Lemma 2.9 gives a trace preserving $*$ -homomorphism $\pi : N \rightarrow M_1^{\mathcal{U}}$ such that $\pi(p(x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_m)) = (p(x_1, \dots, x_m, y_{n_l,1}, \dots, y_{n_l,m}))$, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $*$ -monomial p in $X_1, \dots, X_m, Y_1, \dots, Y_m$. In particular, $\pi(x_k) = x_k$, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and thus the restriction of π to M_0 is the diagonal embedding of M_0 into $M_1^{\mathcal{U}}$. Moreover, since this property still holds if we replace M_1 by $M_1 * L(\mathbb{Z})$, we can assume that M_1 is a II_1 factor. Here we are using the fact that $P * L(\mathbb{Z})$ is a II_1 factor for any non-trivial tracial von Neumann algebra P . \square

By Claim 4.2, we can inductively construct an increasing sequence of II_1 factors $M_n \supset M_0$, $n \geq 1$, such that for every $n \geq 0$ and any separable tracial von Neumann algebra $N \supset M_n$, there is an embedding of N into $M_{n+1}^{\mathcal{U}}$ whose restriction to M_n is the diagonal embedding.

Let $M = (\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_n)''$. Then $M \supset M_0$ is a separable II_1 factor. We claim that M is existentially closed. Let N be a II_1 factor containing M . Let $\{z_k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset (M)_1$ and $\{t_m \mid m \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset (N)_1$ be sequences which generate M and N , respectively. We may assume that $z_k \in M_k$, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and denote by P the von Neumann subalgebra of N generated by M and $\{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$. Since $M_n \subset M \subset P$ and P is separable there is a trace preserving $*$ -homomorphism $\pi : P \rightarrow M_{n+1}^{\mathcal{U}}$ such that $\pi(x) = x$, for every $x \in M_n$. In particular, $\pi(z_k) = z_k$, for every $1 \leq k \leq n$. Write $\pi(t_m) = (t_{l,m})$, where $t_{l,m} \in (M_{n+1})_1$, for every $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq m \leq n$. Since π is trace preserving, for every $*$ -polynomial p in variables $Z_k, T_m, 1 \leq k, m \leq n$, we have

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} \tau_M(p(z_k, t_{l,m}, 1 \leq k, m \leq n)) = \tau_N(p(z_k, t_m, 1 \leq k, m \leq n)).$$

This implies that we can find $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that denoting $u_{n,m} := t_{l,m}$, for every $1 \leq m \leq n$, we have

$$|\tau_M(p(z_k, u_{n,m}, 1 \leq k, m \leq n)) - \tau_N(p(z_k, t_m, 1 \leq k, m \leq n))| < \frac{1}{n},$$

for every $*$ -monomial p of degree $\leq n$ in variables $Z_k, X_m, 1 \leq k, m \leq n$.

Then $\lim_n \tau_M(p(z_k, u_{n,m}, k, m \in \mathbb{N})) = \tau_N(p(z_k, t_m, k, m \in \mathbb{N}))$, for every $*$ -monomial p in variables $Z_k, X_m, k, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Since the set $\{z_k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{t_m \mid m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ generates N , Lemma 2.9 gives a trace preserving $*$ -homomorphism $\rho : N \rightarrow M^{\mathcal{V}}$ such that $\rho(z_k) = z_k$ and $\rho(t_m) = (u_{n,m})$, for every $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Since the set $\{z_k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ generates M , the restriction of ρ to M is the diagonal embedding into $M^{\mathcal{V}}$. Thus, M is existentially closed in N , which finishes the proof. \square

4.6. Proof of Theorem F. Our next goal is to prove Theorem F. We first establish the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $(M_1, \tau_1), (M_2, \tau_2)$ be tracial von Neumann algebras with a common von Neumann subalgebra (B, τ) . Assume that $\tau = \tau_i|_B$ and $L^2(M_i) \ominus L^2(B) \subset_{\text{weak}} L^2(B) \otimes L^2(B)$, as B -bimodules, for every $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Then $L^2(M_1 *_B M_2) \ominus L^2(B) \subset_{\text{weak}} L^2(B) \otimes L^2(B)$, as B -bimodules.*

Proof. If two B -bimodules \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 are weakly contained in $L^2(B) \otimes L^2(B)$, then so is $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes_B \mathcal{H}_2$. Since the B -bimodule $L^2(M_1 *_B M_2) \ominus L^2(B)$ decomposes as

$$\bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \bigoplus_{\substack{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n \in \{1, 2\} \\ i_1 \neq i_2 \neq \dots \neq i_n}} (L^2(M_{i_1}) \ominus L^2(B)) \otimes_B \dots \otimes_B (L^2(M_{i_n}) \ominus L^2(B)),$$

the conclusion follows. \square

Proof of Theorem F. Let M_0 be a separable II₁ factor. After replacing M_0 by $M_0 * L(\mathbb{Z})$, we may assume that M_0 is non-Gamma. We will construct a non-Gamma separable II₁ factor M containing M_0 such that M is existentially closed in any II₁ factor N which contains M and satisfies that $L^2(N) \ominus L^2(M) \subset_{\text{weak}} L^2(M) \otimes L^2(M)$, as M -bimodules. Let \mathcal{U} be a free ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} .

The rest of the proof follows closely the proof of Theorem E, whose notation we keep here. Let \mathcal{G} be the subset of \mathcal{F} consisting of $(N, Y) \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $L^2(N) \ominus L^2(M_0) \subset_{\text{weak}} L^2(M_0) \otimes L^2(M_0)$ as M_0 -bimodules. Since \mathcal{G} is separable in the topology defined in the proof of Theorem E, it admits a dense sequence $\{(N_n, Y_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Define $M_1 = (*_{M_0, n \in \mathbb{N}} N_n) * L(\mathbb{Z})$. Then M_1 is a II₁ factor. Using Lemma 4.3 and induction implies that $L^2(M_1) \ominus L^2(M_0) \subset_{\text{weak}} L^2(M_0) \otimes L^2(M_0)$, as M_0 -bimodules.

The proof of Claim 4.2 shows that for every separable tracial von Neumann algebra $N \supset M_0$ such that $L^2(N) \ominus L^2(M_0) \subset_{\text{weak}} L^2(M_0) \otimes L^2(M_0)$ as M_0 -bimodules, there is a trace preserving $*$ -homomorphism $\pi : N \rightarrow M_1^{\mathcal{U}}$ whose restriction to M_0 is the diagonal embedding of M_0 into $M_1^{\mathcal{U}}$.

We then inductively construct an increasing sequence of II₁ factors $M_n, n \geq 1$, containing M_0 such that for every $n \geq 0$ the following properties hold:

- (1) For any separable tracial von Neumann algebra N which contains M_n and satisfies that $L^2(N) \ominus L^2(M_n) \subset_{\text{weak}} L^2(M_n) \otimes L^2(M_n)$, as M_n -bimodules, there is a trace preserving $*$ -homomorphism $\pi : N \rightarrow M_{n+1}^{\mathcal{U}}$ whose restriction to M_n is the diagonal embedding of M_n .
- (2) $L^2(M_{n+1}) \ominus L^2(M_n) \subset_{\text{weak}} L^2(M_n) \otimes L^2(M_n)$, as M_n bimodules.

Then $M = (\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_n)''$ is a separable II_1 factor which contains M_0 . We will prove that M has the desired properties.

First, let $N \supset M$ be a II_1 factor with $L^2(N) \ominus L^2(M) \subset_{\text{weak}} L^2(M) \otimes L^2(M)$, as M -bimodules. We claim that M is existentially closed in N . Let $\{t_m \mid m \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset (N)_1$ be a sequence which generates N . Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and denote by $P \subset N$ the von Neumann subalgebra generated by M and $\{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$. By (2), for every $k \geq n$ we have $L^2(M_{k+1}) \ominus L^2(M_k) \subset_{\text{weak}} L^2(M_n) \otimes L^2(M_n)$, as M_n -bimodules. Since we also have $L^2(M) \ominus L^2(M_n) = \bigoplus_{k \geq n} (L^2(M_{k+1}) \ominus L^2(M_k))$, we get $L^2(M) \ominus L^2(M_n) \subset_{\text{weak}} L^2(M_n) \otimes L^2(M_n)$, as M_n -bimodules. From this we further derive that $L^2(N) \ominus L^2(M_n) \subset_{\text{weak}} L^2(M_n) \otimes L^2(M_n)$, and hence $L^2(P) \ominus L^2(M_n) \subset_{\text{weak}} L^2(M_n) \otimes L^2(M_n)$, as M_n -bimodules. We can now apply (1) to get a trace preserving $*$ -homomorphism $\pi : P \rightarrow M_{n+1}^{\mathcal{U}}$ whose restriction to M_n is the diagonal embedding of M_n into $M_{n+1}^{\mathcal{U}}$. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem E gives that M is existentially closed in N .

Second, assume by contradiction that M has property Gamma. Then $M' \cap M^{\mathcal{U}}$ is diffuse, hence there is a unitary $u \in M' \cap M^{\mathcal{U}}$ of trace zero. Since M_0 is non-Gamma, $M'_0 \cap M_0^{\mathcal{U}} = \mathbb{C}1$ and thus we get that $u \in M^{\mathcal{U}} \ominus M_0^{\mathcal{U}}$. Since u commutes with M_0 , we deduce that $L^2(M_0) \subset_{\text{weak}} L^2(M) \ominus L^2(M_0)$, as M_0 -bimodules. On the other hand, (2) implies that $L^2(M) \ominus L^2(M_0) \subset_{\text{weak}} L^2(M_0) \otimes L^2(M_0)$, as M_0 -bimodules. Combining these facts gives that $L^2(M_0) \subset_{\text{weak}} L^2(M_0) \otimes L^2(M_0)$, as M_0 -bimodules. In other words, M_0 is amenable, which contradicts the fact that M_0 is non-Gamma. \square

We continue by proving the following result mentioned in Remark 1.5.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $M = L(\mathbb{F}_n)$, for some $n \geq 1$, and \mathcal{U} be a free ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} . Then we have that $L^2(M^{\mathcal{U}}) \ominus L^2(M) \subset_{\text{weak}} L^2(M) \otimes L^2(M)$, as M -bimodules.*

Proof. The proof uses Popa's malleable deformation of M into $\widetilde{M} = M * M$. More precisely, by [Po86, Po06] there exist automorphisms $(\alpha_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ of \widetilde{M} such that

- (1) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|\alpha_t(x) - x\|_2 = 0$, for every $x \in M$, and
- (2) the map $M \ni x \mapsto E_M(\alpha_t(x)) \in M$ extends to a compact operator on $L^2(M)$, for any $t \neq 0$.

Let $F \subset (M)_1$ be a finite set, $\xi \in L^2(M^{\mathcal{U}}) \ominus L^2(M)$ with $\|\xi\|_2 \leq 1$, and $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $\eta \in M^{\mathcal{U}} \ominus M$ such that $\|\xi - \eta\|_2 < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$ and $\|\eta\|_2 \leq 1$. Then

$$(4.3) \quad |\langle x\eta y, \eta \rangle - \langle x\xi y, \xi \rangle| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \text{ for every } x, y \in F.$$

By (1), we can find $t > 0$ such that $\|\alpha_t(x) - x\|_2 < \frac{\varepsilon}{4(\|\eta\|_2 + 1)}$, for every $x \in F$. Since $F \subset (M)_1$ and $\|\eta\|_2 \leq 1$, we get that

$$(4.4) \quad |\langle \alpha_t(x)\eta\alpha_t(y), \eta \rangle - \langle x\eta y, \eta \rangle| \leq (\|\alpha_t(x) - x\|_2 + \|\alpha_t(y) - y\|_2)\|\eta\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \text{ for every } x, y \in F.$$

Write $\eta = (\eta_n)$, where $(\eta_n) \subset M$ is a sequence with $\sup \|\eta_n\| < \infty$. Since $\eta \in M^{\mathcal{U}} \ominus M$, we have that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} \eta_n = 0$, weakly. Then (2) implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} \|\alpha_{-t}(\eta_n)\|_2 = 0$. Thus, denoting $\zeta_n = \alpha_{-t}(\eta_n) - E_M(\alpha_{-t}(\eta_n)) \in \widetilde{M} \ominus M$ we derive that for every $x, y \in M$ we have that

$$(4.5) \quad \langle \alpha_t(x)\eta\alpha_t(y), \eta \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} \langle \alpha_t(x)\eta_n\alpha_t(y), \eta_n \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} \langle x\alpha_{-t}(\eta_n)y, \alpha_{-t}(\eta_n) \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} \langle x\zeta_n y, \zeta_n \rangle.$$

By combining (4.3), (4.4) and (4.5), we deduce that there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\zeta = \zeta_n \in \widetilde{M} \ominus M$ satisfies $|\langle x\zeta y, \zeta \rangle - \langle x\xi y, \xi \rangle| < \varepsilon$, for every $x, y \in F$. Since the M -bimodule $L^2(\widetilde{M}) \ominus L^2(M)$ is isomorphic to $(L^2(M) \otimes L^2(M)) \otimes \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$, the conclusion follows. \square

Remark 4.5. We are grateful to Changying Ding and Jesse Peterson for informing us that in a forthcoming paper they define a notion of biexactness for tracial von Neumann algebras M , and prove that the condition $L^2(M^{\mathcal{U}}) \ominus L^2(M) \subset_{\text{weak}} L^2(M) \otimes L^2(M)$ from Lemma 4.4 is equivalent to the W^* AO property defined in [Ca22, Definition 2.1] and is implied by biexactness.

4.7. Proof of Theorem G. Let M be a separable II_1 factor.

Assume first that M has Haagerup's property. By applying [OOT15, Theorem 9] or [BF07, Theorem 3.4] we derive the existence of a strictly mixing M -bimodule \mathcal{M} such that $L^2(M) \subset_{\text{weak}} \mathcal{H}$. By using Lemma 2.5 and after replacing \mathcal{H} with $\mathcal{H}^{\oplus \infty}$, we get a strictly mixing M -bimodule \mathcal{H} admitting a sequence of subtracial vectors (η_n) such that $\lim_n \langle x\eta_n y, \eta_n \rangle = \tau(xy)$, for every $x, y \in M$. Next, note that the M -bimodule $\mathcal{K} := \mathcal{H} \otimes_M \overline{\mathcal{H}}$ is symmetric, as witnessed by the involution $J(\xi \otimes_M \overline{\zeta}) = \zeta \otimes_M \overline{\xi}$, and strictly mixing (see [OOT15, Proposition 7]). Then the vectors $\xi_n := \eta_n \otimes_M \overline{\eta_n} \in \mathcal{K}$ are subtracial and satisfy $\lim_n \langle x\xi_n y, \xi_n \rangle = \tau(xy)$, for every $x, y \in M$.

Let $\widetilde{M} = \Gamma(M, \mathcal{K})''$. Then \widetilde{M} is a factor (see [KV15], Theorem 5.1). We will prove that \widetilde{M} has the desired properties. First, note that the M -bimodule $L^2(\widetilde{M}) \ominus L^2(M)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}_M(\mathcal{K}) \ominus L^2(M)$ and thus to $\mathcal{K} \otimes_M \mathcal{F}_M(\mathcal{K})$. Since \mathcal{K} is strictly mixing, so is $L^2(\widetilde{M}) \ominus L^2(M)$ by [OOT15, Proposition 7]. Second, if $\Omega = 1 \in L^2(M) \subset \mathcal{F}_M(\mathcal{K})$ is the vacuum vector, then $(xs(\xi_n) - s(\xi_n)x)\Omega = x\xi_n - \xi_n x$, for every n , and thus

$$\lim_n \|xs(\xi_n) - s(\xi_n)x\|_2 = \lim_n \|(xs(\xi_n) - s(\xi_n)x)\Omega\| = \lim_n \|x\xi_n - \xi_n x\| = 0, \text{ for every } x \in M.$$

Since ξ_n is subtracial, $\langle \xi_n, \xi_n \rangle_M \leq 1$ and so $\|s(\xi_n)\| \leq 2$, for every n . Thus, $(s(\xi_n)) \in M' \cap \widetilde{M}^{\mathcal{U}}$. Since $E_M(s(\xi_n)) = 0$ and $\lim_n \|s(\xi_n)\|_2 = \lim_n \|s(\xi_n)\Omega\| = \lim_n \|\xi_n\| = 1$, we derive that $(s(\xi_n)) \notin M^{\mathcal{U}}$.

Conversely, assume that there exists a separable II_1 factor $\widetilde{M} \supset M$ such that the M -bimodule $L^2(\widetilde{M}) \ominus L^2(M)$ is strictly mixing and $M' \cap \widetilde{M}^{\mathcal{U}} \not\subset M^{\mathcal{U}}$. Let $y = (y_n) \in (M' \cap \widetilde{M}^{\mathcal{U}}) \setminus M^{\mathcal{U}}$. Then $z = y - E_{M^{\mathcal{U}}}(y) \neq 0$ and $z = (z_n)$, where $z_n = y_n - E_M(y_n) \in L^2(\widetilde{M}) \ominus L^2(M)$. Since $z \in M' \cap \widetilde{M}^{\mathcal{U}}$, we get that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} \|xz_n - z_n x\|_2 = 0$, for every $x \in M$. Since M is a factor, and $E_M(zz^*) \in M' \cap M$, we get that $E_M(zz^*) = \tau(zz^*)1$. Thus, for every $x \in M$ we have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} \langle xz_n, z_n \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} \tau(xz_n z_n^*) = \tau(xzz^*) = \tau(xE_M(zz^*)) = \tau(x)\tau(zz^*).$$

It follows that if we let $\zeta_n = \tau(zz^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}} z_n \in L^2(\widetilde{M}) \ominus L^2(M)$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} \|x\zeta_n - \zeta_n x\|_2 = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} \langle x\zeta_n, \zeta_n \rangle = \tau(x)$, for every $x \in M$. This implies that $L^2(M) \subset_{\text{weak}} L^2(\widetilde{M}) \ominus L^2(M)$. Since the M -bimodule $L^2(\widetilde{M}) \ominus L^2(M)$ is also strictly mixing, applying [OOT15, Theorem 9] or [BF07, Theorem 3.4] gives that M has Haagerup's property. \square

REFERENCES

- [AGKE20] S. Atkinson, I. Goldbring, S. Kunnawalkam Elayavalli, *Factorial relative commutants and the generalized Jung property for II_1 factors*, Adv. Math. **396** (2022), Paper No. 108107, 53 pp.
- [AP18] C. Anantharaman, S. Popa, *An introduction to II_1 factors*, Book preprint, <https://www.math.ucla.edu/~popa/Books/IIunV15.pdf>, 2018.
- [BF07] J.P. Bannion, J. Fang, *Some remarks on Haagerup's approximation property*, J. Operator Theory **65** (2011), no. 2, 403-417.
- [BMO19] J. Bannion, A. Marrakchi, N. Ozawa, *Full factors and co-amenable inclusions*, Comm. Math. Phys. **378** (2020), no. 2, 1107-1121.

- [Ca22] M. Caspers, *On the isomorphism class of q -Gaussian W^* -algebras for infinite variables*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.11128.
- [CDI22a] I. Chifan, D. Drimbe, A. Ioana, *Embedding universality for II_1 factors with property (T)* , Adv. Math. **417** (2023), Paper No. 108934, 24 pp.
- [CDI22b] I. Chifan, D. Drimbe, A. Ioana, *Tensor product indecomposability results for existentially closed factors*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.00259, Model Theory of Operator Algebras.
- [Ch83] M. Choda, *Group factors of the Haagerup type*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **59** (1983), no. 5, 174–177.
- [CJ83] A. Connes, V.F.R. Jones, *Property T for von Neumann algebras*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **17** (1985), no. 1, 57–62.
- [Co75] A. Connes, *Classification of injective factors. Cases II_1 , II_∞ , III_λ , $\lambda \neq 1$* , Ann. of Math. (2) **104** (1976), no. 1, 73–115.
- [Co80] A. Connes, *Classification des facteurs*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., **38** (1982), part 2, 43–109.
- [Co94] A. Connes, *Noncommutative geometry*, Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, 1994.
- [CP09] V. Capraro, L. Păunescu, *Product between ultrafilters and applications to Connes' embedding problem*, J. Operator Theory **68** (2012), no. 1, 165–172.
- [DKPE22] C. Ding, S. Kunnawalkam Elayavalli, J. Peterson, *Property Proximal von Neumann Algebras*, Preprint arXiv:2204.00517 (2022), to appear in Duke Math Journal.
- [FGHS13] I. Farah, I. Goldbring, B. Hart, D. Sherman, *Existentially closed II_1 factors*, Fund. Math. **233** (2016), no. 2, 173–196.
- [FHS11] I. Farah, B. Hart, D. Sherman, *Model theory of operator algebras III: elementary equivalence and II_1 factors*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **46** (2014), no. 3, 609–628.
- [GH22] I. Goldbring, B. Hart, *A survey on the model theory of tracial von Neumann algebras*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.15508, Model Theory of Operator Algebras (2022).
- [GH21] I. Goldbring, C. Houdayer, *Existentially closed W^* -probability spaces*, Math. Z. **301** (2022), no. 4, 3787–3816.
- [GHS12] I. Goldbring, B. Hart, Bradd, T. Sinclair, *The theory of tracial von Neumann algebras does not have a model companion*, J. Symbolic Logic **78** (2013), no. 3, 1000–1004.
- [GHS18] I. Goldbring, B. Hart, T. Sinclair, *Correspondences, Ultraproducts and Model Theory*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1809.00049 (2018).
- [GJKEP23] I. Goldbring, D. Jekel, S. Kunnawalkam Elayavalli, J. Pi, *Uniformly super McDuff II_1 factors*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.02809 (2023).
- [Go18] I. Goldbring, *Spectral gap and definability*, to appear in Beyond First-order Model Theory, Volume 2, 2018.
- [Ha84] U. Haagerup: *A new proof of the equivalence of injectivity and hyperfiniteness for factors on a separable Hilbert space*, J. Funct. Anal. **62** (1985), 160–201.
- [IM19] Y. Isono, A. Marrakchi, *Tensor product decompositions and rigidity of full factors*, Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Supér. (4) **55** (2022), no. 1, 109–139.
- [Io12] A. Ioana, *Cartan subalgebras of amalgamated free product II_1 factors*, With an appendix by Ioana and Stefaan Vaes. Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **48** (2015), no. 1, 71–130.
- [IV14] A. Ioana, S. Vaes, *Spectral gap for inclusions of von Neumann algebras Appendix to the article Cartan subalgebras of amalgamated free product II_1 factors*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **48** (2015), no. 1, 71–130.
- [JNVWY20] Z. Ji, A. Natarajan, T. Vidick, J. Wright, H. Yuen, *$MIP^*=RE$* , arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.04383 (2020).
- [KV15] A.S. Krogager, S. Vaes, *Thin II_1 factors with no Cartan subalgebras*, Kyoto J. Math. **59** (2019), no. 4, 815–867.
- [Ma23] A. Marrakchi: *Kadison's problem for type III subfactors and the bicentralizer conjecture*, preprint 2023.
- [MvN43] F.J. Murray, J. von Neumann, *On rings of operators. IV*, Ann. of Math. (2) **44** (1943), 716–808.
- [OOT15] R. Okayasu, N. Ozawa, R. Tomatsu, *Haagerup approximation property via bimodules*, Math. Scand. **121** (2017), no. 1, 75–91.
- [OP07] N. Ozawa, S. Popa, *On a class of II_1 factors with at most one Cartan subalgebra*, Ann. of Math. (2) **172** (2010), no. 1, 713–749.
- [Po86] S. Popa, *Correspondences INCREST preprint 56* (1986), available at www.math.ucla.edu/~popa/preprints.html.
- [Po06] S. Popa, *On Ozawa's property for free group factors*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2007), no. 11, Art. ID rnm036, 10 pp.
- [PS09] J. Peterson, T. Sinclair, *On cocycle superrigidity for Gaussian actions*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **32** (2012), no. 1, 249–272.
- [PV11] S. Popa, S. Vaes, *Unique Unique Cartan decomposition for II_1 factors arising from arbitrary actions of free groups*, Acta Math. **212** (2014), no. 1, 141–198.

- [Sh97] D. Shlyakhtenko, *A-valued semicircular systems*, J. Funct. Anal. **166** (1999), no. 1, 1–47.
- [Ta22] H. Tan, *Spectral gap characterizations of property (T) for II_1 factors*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.06089 (2022).
- [Us08] A. Usvyatsov, *Generic separable metric structures*, Topology Appl. **155** (2008), no. 14, 1607–1617.
- [Vo83] D. Voiculescu, *Symmetries of some reduced free product C^* -algebras*, Operator algebras and their connections with topology and ergodic theory (Buşteni, 1983), 556–588, Lecture Notes in Math., 1132, Springer, Berlin, 1985.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO, 9500 GILMAN DRIVE, LA JOLLA, CA 92093, USA

Email address: `aioana@ucsd.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO, 9500 GILMAN DRIVE, LA JOLLA, CA 92093, USA

Email address: `hutan@ucsd.edu`