

# TRUDINGER-MOSER EMBEDDINGS ON WEIGHTED SOBOLEV SPACES ON UNBOUNDED DOMAINS

JOÃO MARCOS DO Ó, GUOZHEN LU, AND RAONÍ PONCIANO

**ABSTRACT.** We establish embeddings on a class of Sobolev spaces with potential weights on unbounded domains. Our results provide embeddings into weighted Lebesgue spaces  $L^q_\theta$  with radial power weights and establish the existence and non-existence of the maximizers for their Trudinger-Moser type inequalities. We also sharpen the maximal integrability by “removing” necessary terms from the exponential series while maintaining the continuity of the embedding.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Recently, the weighted Sobolev space  $X_R^{k,p}$  has been extensively studied due to its applicability in radial elliptic problems for operators in great generality. For more details, see [7, 11, 15–21, 24–26, 42] and references therein. In [7], the authors study a Brézis-Nirenberg type problem for a class of quasilinear elliptic operator of the form  $Lu = -r^{-\gamma}(r^\alpha|u'|^\beta u)'$  when considered as acting on radial functions defined on the ball centered at the origin with radius  $R$ . According to the choice of parameters, the following operators are included in the class:

- (i)  $L$  is the Laplacian for  $\alpha = \gamma = N - 1$  and  $\beta = 0$ ;
- (ii)  $L$  is the  $p$ -Laplacian for  $\alpha = \gamma = N - 1$  and  $\beta = p - 2$ ;
- (ii)  $L$  is the  $k$ -Hessian for  $\alpha = N - k$ ,  $\gamma = N - 1$  and  $\beta = k - 1$ .

The suitable space to work on problems like

$$\begin{cases} Lu = f(r, u) \text{ in } (0, R) \\ u'(0) = u(R) = 0, \\ u > 0 \text{ in } (0, R). \end{cases}$$

is the weighted Sobolev space  $X_{0,R}^{1,p}$  to be defined below.

Let  $0 < R \leq \infty$  and  $AC(0, R)$  be the space of all absolutely continuous functions on interval  $(0, R)$ . It is well known that  $u \in AC(0, R)$  if and only if  $u$  has a derivative  $u'$  almost everywhere, which is Lebesgue integrable and  $u(r) = u(a) + \int_a^r u'(s)ds$ . A function  $u$  is said to be locally absolutely continuous on  $(0, R)$  if for every  $r \in (0, R)$  there exists a

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J20, 35J25, 35J50.

*Key words and phrases.* Sobolev spaces; Trudinger-Moser type inequality; Differential Equations; Fractional Dimensions; Maximizers.

This work was partially supported by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) #312340/2021-4 and #429285/2016-7, Fundação de Apoio à Pesquisa do Estado da Paraíba (FAPESQ) #2020/07566-3, Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES) #88887.633572/2021-00 and Simons collaboration grants 519099 and 957892 and Simons Fellowship from Simons foundation.

neighborhood  $V_r$  of  $r$  such that  $u$  is absolutely continuous on  $V_r$ . Let  $AC_{\text{loc}}(0, R)$  be the space of all locally absolutely continuous functions on interval  $(0, R)$ .

For each non-negative integer  $\ell$ , let  $AC_{\text{loc}}^\ell(0, R)$  be the set of all functions  $u: (0, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $u^{(\ell)} \in AC_{\text{loc}}(0, R)$ , where  $u^{(\ell)} = d^\ell u/dr^\ell$ . For  $p \geq 1$  and  $\alpha$  real numbers, we denote by  $L_\alpha^p = L_\alpha^p(0, R)$  the weighted Lebesgue space of measurable functions  $u: (0, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\|u\|_{L_\alpha^p} = \left( \int_0^R |u|^{p r^\alpha} dr \right)^{1/p} < \infty,$$

which is a Banach space under the standard norm  $\|u\|_{L_\alpha^p}$ .

The borderline case of the Sobolev embeddings is well-known in the literature as Trudinger-Moser type inequalities which were discovered by J. Peetre [40], S. Pohozaev [41], N. Trudinger [44] and V. Yudovich [46]. Essentially they proved that for some small positive  $\alpha$  the Sobolev space  $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$  is continuously embedding into the Orlicz space  $L_{\psi_N}(\Omega)$ , where  $\Omega$  is a smooth bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and  $\psi_N(t) = \exp(\alpha|t|^{\frac{N}{N-1}})$ . J. Moser [38] sharpened this result. Using a symmetrization argument he was able to find the optimal  $\alpha = \alpha_N = N\omega_{N-1}^{\frac{1}{N-1}}$ , where  $\omega_{N-1}$  is the  $(N-1)$ -dimensional surface of the unit sphere. Trudinger-Moser inequality in unbounded domains of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  ( $N \geq 2$ ) was established in [3, 43] for the case  $N = 2$  and for  $N \geq 2$  see [2, 14, 35, 39]. In other settings such as the Heisenberg group where the Pólya-Szegő inequality does not work, Trudinger-Moser inequalities on both bounded and unbounded domains can be proved by using a symmetrization-free argument. See [6, 29, 30, 34]. Moreover, such a symmetrization-free argument also offers alternative proofs for both critical and subcritical Trudinger-Moser inequalities in the Euclidean spaces. (See also [32]).

The existence of solutions to nonlinear elliptic equations involving subcritical and critical growth of the Trudinger-Moser type has been studied extensively in recent years, motivated by its applicability in many fields of modern mathematics; see [8] for a survey on this subject. We also mention the articles [3–5, 9, 11, 13, 14, 20, 22, 27, 31, 37, 39] and therein.

For the first order weighted Sobolev space, the authors in [15] study Trudinger-Moser type inequalities for weighted Sobolev spaces on finite intervals  $(0, R)$ , these estimates can be interpreted as Trudinger-Moser type inequalities in fractional dimensions. See also [12] where the same authors establish a sharp form of the Trudinger-Moser type inequality for weighted Sobolev spaces.

For any positive integer  $k$  and  $(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ , with  $\alpha_j > -1$  for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$ , the authors considered in [21] the weighted Sobolev spaces for higher-order derivatives  $X_{0,R}^{k,p} = X_{0,R}^{k,p}(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_k)$  given by all functions  $u \in AC_{\text{loc}}^{k-1}(0, R)$  such that  $u^{(j)} \in L_{\alpha_j}^p$  for all  $j = 0, \dots, k$  and satisfying the boundary condition

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow R} u^{(j)}(r) = 0, \quad j = 0, \dots, k-1.$$

Recently D. de Figueiredo et al [10] obtained a new class on Sobolev embeddings in the spaces of radial functions and applied these embeddings to prove the existence of radial solutions for a biharmonic equation of the Hénon type under both Dirichlet and Navier

boundary conditions. Motivated by this work the authors in [20] considered the weighted Sobolev spaces for higher-order derivatives without boundary conditions:

$$X_R^{k,p} = X_R^{k,p}(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_k) = \{u: (0, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : u^{(j)} \in L_{\alpha_j}^p, j = 0, 1, \dots, k\}.$$

We emphasize that in the above definition of  $X_R^{k,p}$  it is considered the derivative in the weak sense. Using Proposition A below, one can obtain  $u \in AC_{\text{loc}}^{k-1}(0, R)$  for all  $u \in X_R^{k,p}$ . The spaces  $X_R^{k,p}$  and  $X_{0,R}^{k,p}$  are complete under the norm

$$\|u\|_{X_R^{k,p}} = \left( \sum_{j=0}^k \|u^{(j)}\|_{L_{\alpha_j}^p}^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

Next, we state that the definitions for  $X_R^{k,p}$  using weak derivatives or absolutely continuous functions are equivalent (see [20, Proposition 2.2]).

**Proposition A.** *Suppose  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $0 < R \leq \infty$ . Then  $u \in X_R^{k,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$  holds if and only if  $u = U$  a.e. in  $(0, R)$  for some  $U \in AC_{\text{loc}}^{k-1}((0, R])$  such that  $U^{(k)}(t)$  (in the classical sense) exists a.e.  $t \in (0, R)$  and  $U^{(k)}$  is a measurable function and*

$$\int_0^R |U^{(j)}(t)|^p t^{\alpha_j} dt < \infty \text{ for } j = 0, 1, \dots, k.$$

For  $0 < R < \infty$ , J. M. do Ó, A. C. Macedo, and J. F. de Oliveira [21] established the Sobolev embedding for  $X_{0,R}^{k,p}$  into  $L_\theta^q$  (see [21, Theorem 1.1]). In [20, Theorem 1.1], the authors of the current paper, considering the weighted Sobolev space  $X_R^{k,p}$  without boundary condition, proved that

**Theorem A.** *Let  $p \geq 1$ ,  $0 < R < \infty$  and  $\theta \geq \alpha_k - kp$ .*

(a) *Sobolev case: If  $\alpha_k - kp + 1 > 0$ , then the continuous embedding holds*

$$X_R^{k,p}(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_k) \hookrightarrow L_\theta^q(0, R) \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq q \leq p^* := \frac{(\theta + 1)p}{\alpha_k - kp + 1}.$$

*Moreover, the embedding is compact if  $q < p^*$ .*

(b) *Sobolev Limit case: If  $\alpha_k - kp + 1 = 0$ , then the compact embedding holds*

$$X_R^{k,p}(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_k) \hookrightarrow L_\theta^q(0, R) \quad \text{for all } q \in [1, \infty).$$

In this paper, we focus on the weighted Sobolev space  $X_R^{k,p}$  with  $R = \infty$  which generalizes the space of radial functions in  $W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  because all weights are not necessarily equal to  $r^{N-1}$ . We establish, similar to Theorem A, the embedding  $X_\infty^{k,p} \hookrightarrow L_\theta^q$  in our first main result which is the following

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $X_\infty^{k,p}(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_k)$  be the weighted Sobolev space with  $p \geq 1$  and  $\alpha_j \geq \alpha_k - (k - j)p$  for all  $j = 0, \dots, k - 1$ . Assume  $\alpha_k - kp \leq \theta \leq \alpha_0$ .*

(a) *Sobolev embedding: If  $\alpha_k - kp + 1 > 0$ , then the following continuous embedding holds:*

$$X_\infty^{k,p} \hookrightarrow L_\theta^q, \quad p \leq q \leq p^*,$$

where

$$p^* := \frac{(\theta + 1)p}{\alpha_k - kp + 1}.$$

Moreover, it is a compact embedding if one of the following two conditions is fulfilled:

- (i)  $\theta = \alpha_0$  and  $p < q < p^*$ ;
- (ii)  $\theta < \alpha_0$  and  $p \leq q < p^*$ .

(b) *Limiting Sobolev embedding:* If  $\alpha_k - kp + 1 = 0$ , then the following continuous embedding holds:

$$X_\infty^{k,p} \hookrightarrow L_\theta^q, \quad p \leq q < \infty.$$

Moreover, it is compact embedding if one of the following two conditions is fulfilled:

- (i)  $\theta = \alpha_0$ ,  $q > p > 1$  and  $\alpha_0 > -1$ ;
- (ii)  $\theta < \alpha_0$  and  $q \geq p \geq 1$ .

**Remark 1.1.** *Theorem 1.1 generalizes [11, Lemma 2.4] because their result only works for the first order derivative. Moreover, we do not impose the restrictive condition:  $\theta = \alpha_0 \geq 0$  and  $p \geq 2$  assumed in [11].*

For our next main results, we study the finiteness of the following supremum

$$d_{p,\mu,\theta} := \sup_{\substack{u \in X_\infty^{1,p} \\ \|u\|_{X_\infty^{1,p}}=1}} \int_0^\infty \exp_p(\mu|u|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})r^\theta dr \quad (1.1)$$

and the attainability of the following supremum

$$d_{p,\mu} := \sup_{\substack{u \in X_\infty^{1,p} \\ \|u\|_{X_\infty^{1,p}}=1}} \int_0^\infty \exp_p(\mu|u|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})r^{\alpha_0} dr \quad (1.2)$$

where

$$\exp_p(t) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{p-1+j}}{\Gamma(p+j)}.$$

**Remark 1.2.** *Let us discuss the choice of the function  $\exp_p$  briefly. When  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  it is necessary to remove some terms in the Taylor expansion of the function  $\exp$  to guarantee that*

$$\int_0^\infty \exp(\mu|u|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})r^{\alpha_0} dr$$

*is finite for each  $u \in X_\infty^{1,p}$ . However, when  $p \notin \mathbb{N}$  (which corresponds to the fractional dimension case) many authors [1, 11, 15, 17, 18, 32, 33] considered the function*

$$\phi_p(t) := e^t - \sum_{j=0}^{[p-2]} \frac{t^j}{j!} = \sum_{j=[p-1]}^{\infty} \frac{t^j}{j!},$$

where  $[x] = \min\{n \in \mathbb{Z}: n \geq x\}$  denotes the ceiling function applied on  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , and studied the supremum

$$\sup_{\substack{u \in X_\infty^{1,p} \\ \|u\|_{X_\infty^{1,p}}=1}} \int_0^\infty \phi_p(\mu|u|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}) r^{\alpha_0} dr.$$

Theorems that involve  $\phi_p$  are weaker than those that involve  $\exp_p$  because  $\phi_p(t) = \exp_{[p]}(t) < \exp_p(t)$  for  $t > 0$  and  $p \notin \mathbb{N}$  (see Lemma 3.1). Furthermore, comparing the differences between

$$\phi_p(|u|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}) = \frac{|u|^{\frac{p[p-1]}{p-1}}}{[p-1]!} + \frac{|u|^{\frac{p[p]}{p-1}}}{[p]!} + \dots$$

and

$$\exp_p(|u|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}) = \frac{|u|^p}{\Gamma(p)} + \frac{|u|^{\frac{p^2}{p-1}}}{\Gamma(p+1)} + \dots$$

and from the compact embedding  $X_\infty^{1,p}(\alpha_0, p-1) \hookrightarrow L_\theta^q$  for  $p < q < \infty$  (see Theorem 1.1) and  $p[p-1]/(p-1) > p$ , we have that  $\phi_p$  fits in the compact case and  $\exp_p$  fits just in the continuous case. Therefore,  $\exp_p$  is the biggest power series function (up to multiplication by  $|u|^\alpha$ ) which only fits in the continuous case.

Analogously, the argument from Remark 1.2 can be used for the embedding  $W^{\gamma, \frac{N}{\gamma}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$  where  $0 < \gamma < N$  and  $p \leq q < \infty$ . For instance, [28, Theorem 1.7] in the work of N. Lam and G. Lu can be sharpened into the following theorem and its proof follows by the same argument as in [28] with  $\exp_p(t) \leq e^t$  which is a consequence of Lemma 3.1.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $0 < \gamma < N$  be an arbitrary real positive number,  $p = \frac{N}{\gamma}$ , and  $\tau > 0$ . There holds*

$$\sup_{\substack{u \in W^{\gamma, p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \\ \|(\tau I - \Delta)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} u\|_p \leq 1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \exp_p\left(\beta_0(N, \gamma)|u|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right) dx < \infty$$

where  $\omega_{N-1}$  is the area of the surface of the unit ball  $N$ -ball and

$$\beta_0(N, \gamma) = \frac{N}{\omega_{N-1}} \left[ \frac{\pi^{\frac{N}{2}} 2^\gamma \Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{N-\gamma}{2}\right)} \right]^{\frac{p}{p-1}}.$$

Furthermore, this inequality is sharp, i.e., if  $\beta_0(N, \gamma)$  is replaced by any  $\beta > \beta_0(N, \gamma)$ , then the supremum is infinite.

Recently, the authors in [20, Theorem 1.2], assuming the case  $R < \infty$ , have investigated the finiteness and attainability of

$$\ell_\mu := \sup_{\|u\|_{X_R^{k,p}} \leq 1} \int_0^R e^{\mu|u|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}} r^\theta dr$$

for the subcritical case. More specifically, they concluded the following theorem.

**Theorem B.** Let  $X_R^{k,p}(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_k)$  with  $R < \infty$ ,  $\alpha_k - kp + 1 = 0$ ,  $p > 1$  and  $\theta > -1$ . Set  $\mu_0 := (\theta + 1)[(k - 1)!]^{p/(p-1)}$ .

- (a) For all  $\mu \geq 0$  and  $u \in X_R^{k,p}$ , we have  $\exp(\mu|u|^{p/(p-1)}) \in L_\theta^1$ .
- (b) If  $0 \leq \mu < \mu_0$ , then  $\ell_\mu$  is finite. Moreover,  $\ell_\mu$  is attained by a nonnegative function  $u_0 \in X_R^{k,p}$  with  $\|u_0\|_{X_R^{k,p}} = 1$ .
- (c) If  $\mu > \mu_0$  and  $\alpha_i - ip + 1 > 0$  for  $i = 0, \dots, k - 1$ , then  $\ell_\mu = \infty$ .

Assuming  $k = 1$  we are able to establish a similar result to Theorem B for the case  $R = \infty$ . Note that [1, Theorem 1.1] also considered the unbounded domain case but under the restrictive condition  $\theta = \alpha_0$  and  $p \geq 2$ . Next, we establish a Trudinger-Moser embedding on unbounded domains for weighted Sobolev spaces studying the finiteness of (1.1).

**Theorem 1.3.** Let  $\theta > -1$  and  $X_\infty^{1,p}(\alpha_0, p - 1)$  be the weighted Sobolev space such that  $\alpha_0 > -1$  and  $p > 1$ .

- (a) For all  $\mu \geq 0$  and  $u \in X_\infty^{1,p}$ , we have  $\exp_p(\mu|u|^{p/(p-1)}) \in L_\theta^1$ .
- (b) If  $0 \leq \mu < \theta + 1$ , then  $d_{p,\mu,\theta}$  is finite.
- (c) If  $\mu > \theta + 1$ , then  $d_{p,\mu,\theta} = \infty$ .

In view of item (b) in Theorem B, we work on the attainability of item (b) in Theorem 1.3. Based on M. Ishiwata [23] and assuming  $\theta = \alpha_0$ , we are able to prove the attainability for (1.2) in our next main theorem. Firstly, we need to introduce the interpolation constant similar to that considered by M. Weinstein [45]:

$$B_{2,\alpha_0} := \sup_{u \in X_\infty^{1,2} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^4}^4}{\|u'\|_{L_1^2}^2 \|u\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^2}^2}.$$

Moreover, we prove in Lemma 3.3 that  $B_{2,\alpha_0} \geq 4/(\alpha_0 + 1)e \log 2$  which is a better estimate than  $B_{2,\alpha_0} > 2/(\alpha_0 + 1)$  (see [1, Proposition 7.1]).

**Theorem 1.4.** Let  $X_\infty^{1,p}(\alpha_0, p - 1)$  be the weighted Sobolev space with  $p \geq 2$  and  $\alpha_0 > -1$ .

- (a) If  $p > 2$  and  $0 < \mu < \alpha_0 + 1$ , then  $d_{p,\mu}$  is attained;
- (b) If  $p = 2$  and  $2/B_{2,\alpha_0} < \mu < \alpha_0 + 1$ , then  $d_{p,\mu}$  is attained.

See also related results in [4].

In our next result, we establish the non-existence of extremal functions for (1.2) assuming  $1 < p \leq 2$  and  $\mu > 0$  small.

**Theorem 1.5.** Let  $1 < p \leq 2$  and  $\alpha_0 > -1$ . If  $\mu > 0$  is sufficiently small, then  $d_{p,\mu}$  is not attained. Moreover, the functional

$$u \mapsto \int_0^\infty \exp_p\left(\mu|u|^{p/(p-1)}\right) r^{\alpha_0} dr, \quad u \in \mathcal{S},$$

does not have a critical point on  $\mathcal{S} = \{u \in X_\infty^{1,p} : \|u\|_{X_\infty^{1,p}} = 1\}$  for  $\mu > 0$  small.

**Remark 1.3.** E. Abreu and L. G. Fernandes Jr [1] proved Theorem 1.4 with  $\alpha_0 \geq 0$  and  $\phi_p$  instead of  $\exp_p$  (see Remark 1.2). Also, they proved Theorem 1.5 assuming  $p = 2$ ,  $\alpha_0 \geq 0$ , and  $\phi_p$  instead of  $\exp_p$ . We also refer to [31] for related results in nonradial case.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we develop a Radial Lemma for unbounded domains (Lemma 2.2) to prove Theorem 1.1 and 1.3. In Section 3, we work on the attainability of  $d_{p,\mu}$ . More specifically, we show Theorem 1.4. We will prove in Section 4, Theorem 1.5 which guarantees that  $d_{p,\mu}$  is not attained for  $1 < p \leq 2$  and  $\mu > 0$  small enough.

## 2. RADIAL LEMMA AND ITS CONSEQUENCES

Throughout the rest of the paper, we denote  $p' = p/(p-1)$  for each  $p \in (1, \infty)$ . The following lemma shows that the space of the restriction to the interval  $[0, \infty)$  of the smooth functions with compact support in  $\mathbb{R}$  denote by  $\Upsilon := \{u|_{[0,\infty)} : u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})\}$  is dense in  $X_\infty^{k,p}$ . This fact will be used to obtain our radial Lemma (Lemma 2.2) for  $X_\infty^{k,p}$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *The space  $\Upsilon$  is dense in the weighted Sobolev space  $X_\infty^{k,p}$  under the assumptions  $p \geq 1$  and  $\alpha_{j-1} \geq \alpha_j - p$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, k$ .*

*Proof.* For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we define the cut-off  $\eta_n : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\eta_n(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } 0 \leq r < n, \\ \log\left(\frac{ne}{r}\right) & \text{for } n \leq r < ne, \\ 0 & \text{for } r \geq ne. \end{cases}$$

For each  $u \in X_\infty^{k,p}$  consider  $u_n = \eta_n u$ . We are going to prove that

$$\int_0^\infty |u_n^{(i)} - u^{(i)}|^p r^{\alpha_i} dr \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0, \quad \forall i = 0, \dots, k. \quad (2.1)$$

Since  $0 \leq \eta_n \leq 1$  and  $u \in L_{\alpha_0}^p$  we obtain

$$\int_0^\infty |u_n - u|^p r^{\alpha_0} dr \leq \int_n^\infty |u|^p r^{\alpha_0} dr \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

This concludes (2.1) for  $i = 0$ . Let  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . By  $\alpha_{j-1} \geq \alpha_j - p$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, k$  we have

$$\alpha_{i-j} \geq \alpha_i - jp, \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, i. \quad (2.2)$$

Using

$$\int_0^\infty |u_n^{(i)} - u^{(i)}|^p r^{\alpha_i} dr = \int_n^{ne} |(\eta_n u)^{(i)} - u^{(i)}|^p r^{\alpha_i} dr + \int_{ne}^\infty |u^{(i)}|^p r^{\alpha_i} dr$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_n^{ne} |(\eta_n u)^{(i)} - u^{(i)}|^p r^{\alpha_i} dr &= \int_n^{ne} \left| \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{i}{j} u^{(i-j)} \eta_n^{(j)} - u^{(i)} \right|^p r^{\alpha_i} dr \\
&\leq (i+1)^p \int_n^{ne} |u^{(i)}(1 - \eta_n)|^p r^{\alpha_i} dr \\
&\quad + (i+1)^p \sum_{j=1}^i \int_n^{ne} |u^{(i-j)} \eta_n^{(j)}|^p r^{\alpha_i} dr \\
&\leq (i+1)^p \int_n^\infty |u^{(i)}|^p r^{\alpha_i} dr \\
&\quad + (i+1)^p \sum_{j=1}^i \int_n^\infty |u^{(i-j)}|^p |\eta_n^{(j)}|^p r^{\alpha_i} dr
\end{aligned}$$

we notice that (2.1) follows if

$$|\eta_n^{(j)}|^p r^{\alpha_i} \leq C r^{\alpha_i - j}, \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq i \leq k \text{ and } r \in (n, ne),$$

where  $C > 0$  does not depend on  $n$  and  $r \in (n, ne)$ . Using induction we have

$$\eta_n^{(j)}(r) = (-1)^j (j-1)! r^{-j}, \quad \forall r \in (n, ne), j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore, by (2.2),

$$|\eta_n^{(j)}|^p r^{\alpha_i} = (j-1)!^p r^{\alpha_i - jp} \leq (j-1)!^p r^{\alpha_i - j}, \quad \forall r \in (n, ne).$$

Since each  $u_n$  can be approximated uniformly in  $C^k([0, \infty))$  by a function in  $\Upsilon = \{u|_{[0, \infty)} : u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})\}$  we conclude our lemma.  $\square$

In the next lemma, we prove a decaying property for the functions in the space  $X_\infty^{1,p}$  and its proof is based on [36, Lemma II.1]. We do not assume  $p \geq 2$  as in [1, Lemma 2.3].

**Lemma 2.2.** *Suppose  $p \geq 1$ ,  $\alpha_0 \geq \alpha_1 - p$  and  $\alpha_1 - p + 1 \geq 0$ . Then for any  $u \in X_\infty^{1,p}$  it holds,*

$$|u(r)| \leq p^{\frac{1}{p}} \|u\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^p}^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|u'\|_{L_{\alpha_1}^p}^{\frac{1}{p}} r^{-\frac{\alpha_0(p-1) + \alpha_1}{p^2}}, \quad \forall r \in (0, \infty).$$

*Proof.* Given  $u \in X_\infty^{1,p}$ , by Lemma 2.1, we can assume that there exists  $R > 0$  such that  $u(r) = 0$  for  $r > R$ . Firstly, we claim that  $s \mapsto |u(s)|^p$  is an absolutely continuous function on  $(r, \infty)$  for a fixed  $r \in (0, \infty)$ . Proposition A implies that  $u$  is bounded on  $[r, R]$  and using that  $s \mapsto s^p$  is a Lipschitz function on  $[0, \|u\|_{L^\infty(r, R)}]$ , we conclude our claim. For now, suppose  $p > 1$ . Using that  $[\alpha_0(p-1) + \alpha_1]/p \geq 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|u(r)|^p &= \int_r^\infty (|u(s)|^p)' ds = \int_r^\infty p |u(s)|^{p-2} u(s) u'(s) ds \\
&\leq p \int_r^\infty |u(s)|^{p-1} |u'(s)| \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\frac{\alpha_0(p-1) + \alpha_1}{p}} ds \\
&\leq p \|u\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^p}^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|u'\|_{L_{\alpha_1}^p}^{\frac{1}{p}} r^{-\frac{\alpha_0(p-1) + \alpha_1}{p^2}}.
\end{aligned}$$



The case  $p = 1$  immediately follows from

$$|u(r)| = \left| \int_r^\infty u'(s) ds \right| \leq \int_r^\infty |u'(s)| \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{\alpha_1} ds = \|u'\|_{L_{\alpha_1}^1} r^{-\alpha_1}.$$

□

With the Radial Lemma (Lemma 2.2), we can prove Theorem 1.1 for the first derivative case which is equivalent to the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $X_\infty^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$  the weighted Sobolev space such that  $p \geq 1$  and  $\alpha_0 \geq \alpha_1 - p$ . Assume  $\alpha_1 - p \leq \theta \leq \alpha_0$ .*

(a) *Sobolev case: If  $\alpha_1 - p + 1 > 0$ , then the following continuous embedding holds:*

$$X_\infty^{1,p} \hookrightarrow L_\theta^q, \quad p \leq q \leq p^*,$$

where

$$p^* := \frac{(\theta + 1)p}{\alpha_1 - p + 1}.$$

Moreover, it is a compact embedding if one of the following two conditions is fulfilled:

- (i)  $\theta = \alpha_0$  and  $p < q < p^*$ ;
- (ii)  $\theta < \alpha_0$  and  $p \leq q < p^*$ .

(b) *Sobolev Limit case: If  $\alpha_1 - p + 1 = 0$ , then the following continuous embedding holds:*

$$X_\infty^{1,p} \hookrightarrow L_\theta^q, \quad p \leq q < \infty.$$

Moreover, it is compact embedding if one of the following two conditions is fulfilled:

- (i)  $\theta = \alpha_0$ ,  $q > p > 1$ , and  $\alpha_0 > -1$ ;
- (ii)  $\theta < \alpha_0$  and  $q \geq p \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* We first prove the continuous embeddings. Let  $q \geq p$  and  $R \in (1, \infty)$  be fixed. Given  $r \geq R$ , by Lemma 2.2 we have

$$|u(r)|^{q-p} \leq \frac{C}{r^{(q-p)\frac{\alpha_0(p-1)+\alpha_1}{p^2}}} \|u\|_{X_\infty^{1,p}}^{q-p} \leq \frac{C}{R^{(q-p)\frac{\alpha_0(p-1)+\alpha_1}{p^2}}} \|u\|_{X_\infty^{1,p}}^{q-p}.$$

Multiplying both sides by  $|u(r)|^p r^\theta$  and then integrating over  $(R, \infty)$ ,

$$\int_R^\infty |u|^{qr^\theta} \leq \frac{C}{R^{(q-p)\frac{\alpha_0(p-1)+\alpha_1}{p^2} + \alpha_0 - \theta}} \|u\|_{X_\infty^{1,p}}^{q-p} \int_R^\infty |u|^p r^{\alpha_0} dr. \quad (2.3)$$

By Theorem A (here we used  $\theta \geq \alpha_1 - p$ ) we get  $\|u\|_{L_\theta^q(0,R)} \leq C \|u\|_{X_\infty^{1,p}}$  and from (2.3) we conclude the continuous embedding.

We are left with the task of proving the compact embeddings. We only need to show that  $u_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $L_\theta^q(0, \infty)$  for any  $u_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $X_\infty^{1,p}$ . We claim that

$$(q-p)\frac{\alpha_0(p-1)+\alpha_1}{p^2} + \alpha_0 - \theta > 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Indeed, we can suppose  $\theta = \alpha_0$  since the case  $\theta < \alpha_0$  is trivial. We state that  $[\alpha_0(p-1) + \alpha_1]/p^2 > 0$  in both cases (Sobolev and Sobolev Limit). In fact, in the case  $\alpha_1 - p + 1 > 0$ , by  $\alpha_0 \geq \alpha_1 - p$ , we have

$$\frac{\alpha_0(p-1) + \alpha_1}{p^2} \geq \frac{\alpha_1 - p + 1}{p} > 0.$$

On the other hand, if  $\alpha_1 - p + 1 = 0$ ,  $p > 1$  and  $\alpha_0 > -1$ , then

$$\frac{\alpha_0(p-1) + \alpha_1}{p^2} = \frac{(\alpha_0 + 1)(p-1)}{p^2} > 0,$$

which concludes (2.4). By (2.3), (2.4) and since  $(u_n)$  is bounded in  $X_\infty^{1,p}$ , given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $R_0 > 0$  such that

$$\int_{R_0}^{\infty} |u_n|^{q r^\theta} dr < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

From compact embedding of Theorem A, there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  with

$$\int_0^{R_0} |u_n|^{q r^\theta} dr < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad \forall n \geq n_0.$$

Therefore  $u_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $L_\theta^q(0, \infty)$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* The proof follows by induction on  $k$ . The case  $k = 1$  follows from Proposition 2.1. By  $\alpha_j \geq \alpha_k - (k-j)p$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, k-1$  and  $\alpha_k - (k-1)p + 1 > 0$  we have the following continuous embedding

$$u' \in X_\infty^{k-1,p}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \hookrightarrow L_{\alpha_k - (k-1)p}^p.$$

Thus,  $u \in X_\infty^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_k - (k-1)p)$ . Using Proposition 2.1, given  $\alpha_k - kp \leq \theta \leq \alpha_0$ ,

$$X_\infty^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_k - (k-1)p) \hookrightarrow L_\theta^q, \quad (2.5)$$

where  $p \leq q \leq p^*$  in Sobolev case and  $p \leq q < \infty$  in Sobolev Limit case.

For the compact embedding, we can see that (in both Sobolev and Sobolev Limit cases) (2.5) is a compact embedding under conditions (i) or (ii). Therefore, we obtain the compact embedding  $X_\infty^{k,p} \hookrightarrow L_\theta^q$  from the composition of continuous embedding with a compact embedding.  $\square$

Before we prove Theorem 1.3, we need the following lemma which provides estimates on the term  $\int_R^\infty \exp_p(\mu|u|^{p'})r^\theta dr$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $X_\infty^{1,p}(\alpha_0, p-1)$  be the weighted Sobolev space such that  $\alpha_0 > -1$  and  $p > 1$ . If  $R \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $\mu > 0$ ,  $\theta \in [-1, \alpha_0]$  and  $u \in X_\infty^{1,p}$ , then  $\exp_p(\mu|u|^{p'}) \in L_\theta^1(R, \infty)$ . Moreover, if  $\|u\|_{X_\infty^{1,p}} \leq M$  then  $\int_R^\infty \exp_p(\mu|u|^{p'})r^\theta dr \leq C$ , where  $C$  depends only on  $\alpha_0, p, R, \mu, \theta$  and  $M$ .*

*Proof.* Our proof is based in [11, Lemma 3.2]. Let  $u \in X_\infty^{1,p}(\alpha_0, p-1)$  such that  $\|u\|_{X_\infty^{1,p}} \leq M$ . From Proposition 2.1, we have  $u \in L_\theta^q(0, \infty)$  for any  $q \in [p, \infty)$ . The

monotone convergence theorem ensures

$$\begin{aligned} \int_R^\infty \exp_p(\mu|u|^{p'})r^\theta dr &= \sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{\mu^{p-1+j}}{\Gamma(p+j)} \int_R^\infty |u|^{(p-1+j)p'} r^\theta dr \\ &= \frac{\mu^{p-1}}{\Gamma(p)} \|u\|_{L_\theta^p}^p + \frac{\mu^p}{\Gamma(p+1)} \|u\|_{L_\theta^{pp'}}^{pp'} + \sum_{j=2}^\infty \frac{\mu^{p-1+j}}{\Gamma(p+j)} \int_R^\infty |u|^{(p-1+j)p'} r^\theta dr. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $pp' \geq p$ . Then, Proposition 2.1 implies  $\|u\|_{L_\theta^q} \leq C_q \|u\|_{X_\infty^{1,p}}$  for each  $q \in [p, \infty)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \int_R^\infty \exp_p(\mu|u|^{p'})r^\theta dr &\leq C_p^p \frac{\mu^{p-1}}{\Gamma(p)} \|u\|_{X_\infty^{1,p}}^p + C_{pp'}^{pp'} \frac{\mu^p}{\Gamma(p+1)} \|u\|_{X_\infty^{1,p}}^{pp'} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=2}^\infty \frac{\mu^{p-1+j}}{\Gamma(p+j)} \int_R^\infty |u|^{(p-1+j)p'} r^\theta dr. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Now it is enough to estimate the last term in (2.6). For this, we use to Lemma 2.2 in the following way

$$\int_R^\infty |u|^{(p-1+j)p'} r^\theta dr \leq C^{(p-1+j)p'} \|u\|_{X_\infty^{1,p}}^{(p-1+j)p'} \int_R^\infty r^{\theta-(p-1+j)\frac{\alpha_0+1}{p}} dr.$$

Since  $p-1+j \geq p+1$  and  $r \geq R$  we have

$$r^{\theta-(p-1+j)\frac{\alpha_0+1}{p}} \leq R^{(j-2)\frac{\alpha_0+1}{p}} r^{\theta-(p+1)\frac{\alpha_0+1}{p}}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_R^\infty |u|^{(p-1+j)p'} r^\theta dr &\leq C^{(p-1+j)p'} \|u\|_{X_\infty^{1,p}}^{(p-1+j)p'} R^{(j-2)\frac{\alpha_0+1}{p}} \int_R^\infty r^{\theta-(p+1)\frac{\alpha_0+1}{p}} dr \\ &= \frac{pC^{(p-1+j)p'} R^{(j-p-3)\frac{\alpha_0+1}{p} + \theta + 1}}{(p+1)(\alpha_0+1) - p\theta - p} \|u\|_{X_\infty^{1,p}}^{(p-1+j)p'}. \end{aligned}$$

Using in (2.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_R^\infty \exp_p(\mu|u|^{p'})r^\theta dr &\leq C_p^p \frac{\mu^{p-1}}{\Gamma(p)} \|u\|_{X_\infty^{1,p}}^p + C_{pp'}^{pp'} \frac{\mu^p}{\Gamma(p+1)} \|u\|_{X_\infty^{1,p}}^{pp'} \\ &\quad + \frac{pR^{\theta+1-2(p+1)\frac{\alpha_0+1}{p}}}{(p+1)(\alpha_0+1) - p\theta - p} \sum_{j=2}^\infty \frac{\left(\mu C^{p'} R^{\frac{\alpha_0+1}{p}} \|u\|_{X_\infty^{1,p}}^{p'}\right)^{p-1+j}}{\Gamma(p+j)}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives our estimate.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Splitting the integral in the following way

$$\int_0^\infty \exp_p(\mu|u|^{p'})r^\theta dr = \int_0^1 \exp_p(\mu|u|^{p'})r^\theta dr + \int_1^\infty \exp_p(\mu|u|^{p'})r^\theta dr$$

and using Theorem B with Lemma 2.3 we conclude our Theorem 1.3. Note that the sequence in the proof of item (c) in Theorem B belongs to  $X_{0,1}^{1,p}$ .  $\square$

## 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

Initially, we should evaluate the behavior of  $\exp_p$  when  $p$  changes. This is important for the Remark 1.2 and for the estimate  $\exp_p(t) \leq e^t$ . The following lemma guarantees this behavior.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . Then*

$$\exp_p(t) = e^t - e^t \frac{p-1}{\Gamma(p)} \int_t^\infty s^{p-2} e^{-s} ds, \quad \forall t \in (0, \infty). \quad (3.1)$$

Given  $1 \leq q < p$  we have  $\exp_p(t) < \exp_q(t)$  for all  $t \in (0, \infty)$ . In particular,  $\exp_p(t) \leq e^t$  for all  $t \in [0, \infty)$ .

*Proof.* Firstly, let us prove (3.1). For  $p = 1$  is trivial. If  $p > 1$  we define  $f_p: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$f_p(t) = \exp_p(t) - e^t + e^t \frac{p-1}{\Gamma(p)} \int_t^\infty s^{p-2} e^{-s} ds.$$

Note that

$$f_p(0) = -1 + \frac{p-1}{\Gamma(p)} \Gamma(p-1) = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f_p'(t) &= \frac{p-1}{\Gamma(p)} t^{p-2} + \exp_p(t) - e^t + \frac{p-1}{\Gamma(p)} \left[ e^t \int_t^\infty s^{p-2} e^{-s} ds - e^t t^{p-2} e^{-t} \right] \\ &= \exp_p(t) - e^t + e^t \frac{p-1}{\Gamma(p)} \int_t^\infty s^{p-2} e^{-s} ds \\ &= f_p(t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $f_p \equiv 0$ , which concludes (3.1).

Now given  $1 \leq q < p$ , we define  $g_{p,q}: (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$g_{p,q}(t) = \frac{\exp_p(t)}{\exp_q(t)}.$$

We have  $g_{p,q}(t) > 1$  as an immediate consequence of

- (i)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} g_{p,q}(t) = 0$ ;
- (ii)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g_{p,q}(t) = 1$ ;
- (iii)  $g_{p,q}$  is increasing.

To deal with (i) we note that

$$g_{p,q}(t) = \frac{\frac{t^{p-1}}{\Gamma(p)} + \frac{t^p}{\Gamma(p+1)} + \cdots}{\frac{t^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} + \frac{t^q}{\Gamma(q+1)} + \cdots} = \frac{\frac{t^{p-q}}{\Gamma(p)} + \frac{t^{p-q+1}}{\Gamma(p+1)} + \cdots}{\frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} + \frac{t}{\Gamma(q+1)} + \cdots} \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

On the other hand, (ii) follows by

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g_{p,q}(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1 - \frac{p-1}{\Gamma(p)} \int_t^\infty s^{p-2} e^{-s} ds}{1 - \frac{q-1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_t^\infty s^{q-2} e^{-s} ds} = 1,$$

which is clear from (3.1). Now we are left with the task to check  $g'_{p,M}(t) > 0$ . Using  $\Gamma(p+j) = \Gamma(p) \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} (p+i)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} g'_{N,q}(t) \exp_q(t)^2 &= \frac{p-1}{\Gamma(p)} t^{p-2} \exp_q(t) - \frac{q-1}{\Gamma(q)} t^{q-2} \exp_p(t) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{p-1}{\Gamma(p)\Gamma(q+j)} - \frac{q-1}{\Gamma(q)\Gamma(p+j)} \right) t^{p+q-3} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p-1) \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} (p+i) - (q-1) \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} (q+i)}{\Gamma(q+j)\Gamma(p+j)} t^{p+q-3} \\ &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

We introduce the notation used by M. Ishiwata [23] that allows us to analyze the lack of compactness at infinite. (See also [5] for nonradial case.) Fixed  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  in  $X_{\infty}^{1,p}(\alpha_0, p-1)$  with  $\|u_n\|_{X_{\infty}^{1,p}} = 1$  and  $\mu > 0$ , define

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_0 &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^R |u'_n|^p r^{p-1} + |u_n|^p r^{\alpha_0} dr, \\ \rho_{\infty} &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_R^{\infty} |u'_n|^p r^{p-1} + |u_n|^p r^{\alpha_0} dr, \\ \nu_0 &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^R \exp_p(\mu |u_n|^{p'}) r^{\alpha_0} dr, \\ \nu_{\infty} &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_R^{\infty} \exp_p(\mu |u_n|^{p'}) r^{\alpha_0} dr, \\ \eta_0 &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^R |u_n|^p r^{\alpha_0} dr, \\ \eta_{\infty} &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_R^{\infty} |u_n|^p r^{\alpha_0} dr, \end{aligned}$$

up to subsequence if necessary.

For a sufficiently large number  $R$ , take a cut-off function  $\varphi_R^0 \in C^{\infty}([0, \infty))$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_R^0(r) = 1 & \text{for } 0 \leq r < R, \\ 0 \leq \varphi_R^0(r) \leq 1 & \text{for } R \leq r < R+1, \\ \varphi_R^0(r) = 0 & \text{for } R+1 \leq r, \\ |(\varphi_R^0)'(r)| \leq 2 & \text{for all } r \end{cases}$$

and introduce another cut-off function  $\varphi_R^{\infty}$  by

$$\varphi_R^{\infty}(r) := 1 - \varphi_R^0(r).$$

Throughout the rest of this section, all the results are based in [1] and [23].

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $u_{n,R}^* := u_n \varphi_R^*$  ( $* = 0, \infty$ ). We have*

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_* &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty |(u_{n,R}^*)'|^p r^{p-1} + |u_{n,R}^*|^p r^{\alpha_0} dr, \\ \nu_* &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty \exp_p(\mu |u_{n,R}^*|^{p'}) r^{\alpha_0} dr, \\ \eta_* &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty |u_{n,R}^*|^p r^{\alpha_0} dr.\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Since, for  $F(t) = |t|^p$  or  $F(t) = \exp_p(\mu |t|^{p'})$ , we have

$$\int_0^R F(u_n) r^{\alpha_0} dr \leq \int_0^\infty F(u_{n,R}^0) r^{\alpha_0} dr \leq \int_0^{R+1} F(u_n) r^{\alpha_0} dr$$

and

$$\int_{R+1}^\infty F(u_n) r^{\alpha_0} dr \leq \int_0^\infty F(u_{n,R}^\infty) r^{\alpha_0} dr \leq \int_R^\infty F(u_n) r^{\alpha_0} dr,$$

it is enough to check that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty |(u_{n,R}^0)'|^p r^{p-1} dr = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^R |u_n'|^p r^{p-1} dr \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty |(u_{n,R}^\infty)'|^p r^{p-1} dr = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_R^\infty |u_n'|^p r^{p-1} dr. \quad (3.3)$$

We will just prove (3.2) since the proof of (3.3) is analogous. Note that, by Mean Value Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty |(u_{n,R}^0)'|^p r^{p-1} dr &= \int_0^\infty |\varphi_R^0 u_n' + (\varphi_R^0)' u_n|^p r^{p-1} dr \\ &= \int_0^\infty |u_n'|^p |\varphi_R^0|^p r^{p-1} dr + \mathcal{R}_{n,R},\end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where  $0 < \theta < 1$  and

$$\mathcal{R}_{n,R} = p \int_0^\infty |\varphi_R^0 u_n' + \theta (\varphi_R^0)' u_n|^{p-2} (\varphi_R^0 u_n' + \theta (\varphi_R^0)' u_n) (\varphi_R^0)' u_n r^{p-1} dr.$$

By Theorem A we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_R^{R+1} |u_n|^p r^{p-1} dr = \int_R^{R+1} |u|^p r^{p-1} dr.$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{R}_{n,R} = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

Using (3.4), (3.5) and

$$\int_0^R |u_n'|^p r^{p-1} dr \leq \int_0^\infty |u_n'|^p |\varphi_R^0|^p r^{p-1} dr \leq \int_0^{R+1} |u_n'|^p r^{p-1} dr$$

we conclude (3.2).  $\square$

For the proof of Theorem 1.4, we need to study  $d_{p,\mu}$  for a vanishing sequence  $(u_n)$ . Here is the precise definition of vanishing sequence.

**Definition.** A sequence  $(u_n) \subset X_\infty^{1,p}(\alpha_0, p-1)$  is a normalized vanishing sequence ((NVS) in short) if

- (1)  $\|u_n\|_{X_\infty^{1,p}} = 1$ ,
- (2)  $u_n \rightharpoonup 0$  weakly in  $X_\infty^{1,p}$ ,
- (3)  $\nu_0 = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_R^\infty \exp_p(\mu|u_n|^{p'}) r^{\alpha_0} dr = 0$ .

**Definition.** The number

$$d_{NVL}(p, \mu) = \sup_{(u_n): (NVS)} \int_0^\infty \exp_p(\mu|u_n|^{p'}) r^{\alpha_0} dr$$

is called a normalized vanishing limit.

**Proposition 3.1.** There holds  $d_{NVL}(p, \mu) = \mu^{p-1}/\Gamma(p)$  if  $\alpha_0 > -1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(u_n)$  be an (NVS). Up to subsequence,

$$\nu_\infty = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_R^\infty \left( \exp_p(\mu|u_n|^{p'}) - \frac{\mu^{p-1}}{\Gamma(p)} |u_n|^p \right) r^{\alpha_0} dr + \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu^{p-1}}{\Gamma(p)} \|u_n\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^p(R, \infty)}^p. \quad (3.6)$$

We first prove that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_R^\infty \left( \exp_p(\mu|u_n|^{p'}) - \frac{\mu^{p-1}}{\Gamma(p)} |u_n|^p \right) r^{\alpha_0} dr = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

By Lemma 2.2,

$$|u_n(r)| \leq C \|u_n\|_{X_\infty^{1,p}} \frac{1}{r^{\frac{(\alpha_0+1)(p-1)}{p^2}}} \quad \forall r \in (0, \infty), \quad (3.8)$$

where  $C > 0$  depends only on  $p$ . This gives, for  $j \geq 2$ ,

$$\|u_n\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^{p'(p-1+j)}(R, \infty)}^{p'(p-1+j)} \leq C^{p-1+j} \int_R^\infty r^{\alpha_0 - \frac{(\alpha_0+1)(p-1+j)}{p}} dr \leq \frac{pC^{p-1+j}}{\alpha_0 + 1} R^{\frac{(\alpha_0+1)(1-j)}{p}}.$$

Then, for  $R \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^{p-1+j}}{\Gamma(p+j)} \|u_n\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^{p'(p-1+j)}(R, \infty)}^{p'(p-1+j)} &\leq \frac{p}{\alpha_0 + 1} \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu C)^{p-1+j}}{\Gamma(p+j)} R^{\frac{(\alpha_0+1)(1-j)}{p}} \\ &= \frac{p}{\alpha_0 + 1} (\mu C)^p \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu C R^{-\frac{\alpha_0+1}{p}})^{j-1}}{\Gamma(p+j)} \\ &\leq \frac{p}{\alpha_0 + 1} (\mu C)^p \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu C R^{-\frac{\alpha_0+1}{p}})^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} \\ &= \frac{p}{\alpha_0 + 1} (\mu C)^p \left( e^{\mu C R^{-\frac{\alpha_0+1}{p}}} - 1 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

We claim that for each  $\gamma > p$  and  $\eta > 1$  with  $p - \eta(p^2 - \gamma p + \gamma) > 0$  (for  $\gamma \geq p^2/(p-1)$  take any  $\eta > 1$  and for  $\gamma < p^2/(p-1)$  take any  $1 < \eta < p/(p^2 - \gamma p + \gamma)$ ) there exists  $\tilde{C} > 0$  depending only on  $p$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\eta$  such that

$$\|u_n\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^\gamma(R, \infty)}^\gamma \leq \tilde{C} R^{-\frac{(\alpha_0+1)[p-\eta(p^2-\gamma p+\gamma)]}{p^2\eta}}. \quad (3.10)$$

Indeed, note that  $\gamma = \frac{p}{\eta} + \frac{\eta\gamma-p}{\eta}$ . By Hölder inequality and (3.8) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^\gamma(R, \infty)}^\gamma &\leq \left( \int_R^\infty |u_n|^p r^{\alpha_0} dr \right)^{\frac{1}{\eta}} \left( \int_R^\infty |u_n|^{\frac{\eta\gamma-p}{\eta-1}} r^{\alpha_0} dr \right)^{\frac{\eta-1}{\eta}} \\ &\leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|u_n\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^p(0, \infty)}^{\frac{p}{\eta}} C^{\frac{\eta-1}{\eta}} \left( \int_R^\infty r^{\alpha_0 - \frac{(\alpha_0+1)(p-1)(\eta\gamma-p)}{p^2(\eta-1)}} dr \right)^{\frac{\eta-1}{\eta}} \\ &\leq C^{\frac{\eta-1}{\eta}} \left( \frac{p^2(\eta-1)}{(\alpha_0+1)[p-\eta(p^2-\gamma p+\gamma)]} \right)^{\frac{\eta-1}{\eta}} R^{-\frac{(\alpha_0+1)[p-\eta(p^2-\gamma p+\gamma)]}{p^2\eta}}. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes (3.10). Since the right term of (3.9) and (3.10) (with  $\gamma = p'p > p$ ) tend to 0 as  $R \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly in  $n$ , we have (3.7).

Using  $\nu_0 = 0$  (because  $(u_n)$  is an (NVS)) together with  $\|u_n\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^p(0, \infty)}^p \leq \|u_n\|_{X_{\infty}^{1,p}}^p \leq 1$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty \exp_p(\mu|u_n|^{p'}) r^{\alpha_0} dr &= \nu_0 + \nu_\infty = \nu_\infty \\ &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu^{p-1}}{\Gamma(p)} \|u_n\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^p(R, \infty)}^p \leq \frac{\mu^{p-1}}{\Gamma(p)}. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to prove the converse inequality:  $d_{NVL}(p, \mu) \geq \mu^{p-1}/\Gamma(p)$ . Let  $\psi_0: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a smooth function satisfying  $\|\psi'\|_{L_{p-1}^p} = \|\psi\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^p} = 1$  with  $\psi(r) = 0$  for  $r$  sufficiently large. Fixed  $(\gamma_n)$  a sequence in  $(0, \infty)$  with  $\gamma_n \rightarrow 0$ , we define  $\psi_n(r) = \gamma_n \psi_0(\gamma_n^{\frac{p}{\alpha_0+1}} r)$ . It follows easily that

$$\|\psi'\|_{L_{p-1}^p} = \gamma_n, \quad \|\psi\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^p} = 1, \quad \|\psi_n\|_{X_{\infty}^{1,p}} \rightarrow 1, \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_R^\infty |\psi_n|^p r^{\alpha_0} dr = 1. \quad (3.11)$$

We claim that  $(\psi_n/\|\psi_n\|_{X_{\infty}^{1,p}})$  is a (NVS). Indeed, fixed  $R > 0$  note that

$$\int_0^R \exp_p \left( \mu \left| \frac{\psi_n(r)}{\|\psi_n\|_{X_{\infty}^{1,p}}} \right|^{p'} \right) r^{\alpha_0} dr \leq \exp_p \left( \frac{\mu \gamma_n^p \|\psi_0\|_{L^\infty}^{p'}}{\|\psi_n\|_{X_{\infty}^{1,p}}^{p'}} \right) \int_0^R r^{\alpha_0} dr \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$



Then  $\nu_0 = 0$  for  $(\psi_n/\|\psi_n\|_{X_\infty^{1,p}})$ . Since  $\psi_n(r) \rightarrow 0$  for each  $r \in [0, \infty)$  we conclude that  $(\psi_n/\|\psi_n\|_{X_\infty^{1,p}})$  is a (NVS). By  $\nu_0 = 0$ , (3.11) and (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{NVL}(p, \mu) &\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty \exp_p \left( \mu \left| \frac{\psi_n}{\|\psi_n\|_{X_\infty^{1,p}}} \right|^{p'} \right) r^{\alpha_0} dr \\
&= \nu_0 + \nu_\infty = \nu_\infty \\
&= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_R^\infty \exp_p \left( \frac{\mu |\psi_n|^{p'}}{\|\psi_n\|_{X_\infty^{1,p}}^{p'}} \right) r^{\alpha_0} dr \\
&= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu^{p-1} \int_R^\infty |\psi_n|^{p'} r^{\alpha_0} dr}{\|\psi_n\|_{X_\infty^{1,p}}^p} \\
&\quad + \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_R^\infty \left( \exp_p(\mu |\psi_n|^{p'}) - \frac{\mu^{p-1}}{\Gamma(p)} |\psi_n|^p \right) r^{\alpha_0} dr \\
&= \frac{\mu^{p-1}}{\Gamma(p)}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Proposition 3.2.** *Assume  $\alpha_0 > -1$ . Then*

$$d_{p,\mu} > \frac{\mu^{p-1}}{\Gamma(p)}$$

*provided that one of the following conditions holds:*

(i)  $p > 2$  and  $\mu \in (0, \infty)$ ;

(ii)  $p = 2$  and  $\mu \in (2/B_{2,\alpha_0}, \infty)$ , where  $B_{2,\alpha_0} = \sup_{u \in X_\infty^{1,2} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^4}^4}{\|u'\|_{L_1^2}^2 \|u\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^2}^2}$ .

*Proof.* Fixed  $v \in X_\infty^{1,p} \setminus \{0\}$ , we define the family of functions  $v_t$  given by

$$v_t(r) := t^{\frac{1}{p}} v \left( t^{\frac{1}{\alpha_0+1}} r \right),$$

where  $t > 0$  is a parameter. For  $q \geq 1$ , we can see that

$$\|v_t'\|_{L_{p-1}^p} = t^{\frac{1}{p}} \|v'\|_{L_{p-1}^p} \quad \text{and} \quad \|v_t\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^q} = t^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \|v\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^q}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{p,\mu} &\geq \int_0^\infty \exp_p \left[ \mu \left( \frac{|v_t|}{\|v_t\|_{X_\infty^{1,p}}} \right)^{p'} \right] r^{\alpha_0} dr \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{\mu^{p-1+j}}{\Gamma(p+j)} \frac{\|v_t\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^{(p-1+j)p'}}^{(p-1+j)p'}}{\left( \|v_t\|_{L_{p-1}^p}^p + \|v_t\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^p}^p \right)^{\frac{p-1+j}{p-1}}} \\
&\geq \frac{\mu^{p-1}}{\Gamma(p)} \left[ \frac{\|v\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^p}^p}{t\|v'\|_{L_{p-1}^p}^p + \|v\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^p}^p} + \frac{\mu}{p} \frac{t^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \|v\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^{pp'}}^{pp'}}{(t\|v'\|_{L_{p-1}^p}^p + \|v\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^p}^p)^{p'}} \right] \\
&=: \frac{\mu^{p-1}}{\Gamma(p)} f_{p,\mu,v}(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $f_{p,\mu,v}(0) = 1$ , to conclude  $d_{p,\mu} > \frac{\mu^{p-1}}{\Gamma(p)}$  we only need to show  $f'_{p,\mu,v}(t) > 0$  if  $0 < t \ll 1$ .

Calculating  $f'_{p,\mu,v}$ , we have

$$f'_{p,\mu,v}(t) = \frac{\|v'\|_{L_{p-1}^p}^p \|v\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^p}^p}{(t\|v'\|_{L_{p-1}^p}^p + \|v\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^p}^p)^{2+\frac{1}{p-1}}} \frac{1}{t^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}}} g_{p,\mu,v}(t),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{p,\mu,v}(t) &= -t^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}} \left( t\|v'\|_{L_{p-1}^p}^p + \|v\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^p}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \\
&\quad + \frac{\mu}{p(p-1)} \frac{\|v\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^{pp'}}^{pp'}}{\|v'\|_{L_{p-1}^p}^p \|v\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^p}^p} \left( -t(p-1)\|v'\|_{L_{p-1}^p}^p + \|v\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^p}^p \right).
\end{aligned}$$

If we prove that  $g_{p,\mu,v}(0) > 0$ , proposition follows by continuity of  $g_{p,\mu,v} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

Firstly, suppose  $p > 2$ . Then, for any  $v \in X_\infty^{1,p} \setminus \{0\}$ , we have

$$g_{p,\mu,v}(0) = \frac{\mu}{p(p-1)} \frac{\|v\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^{pp'}}^{pp'}}{\|v'\|_{L_{p-1}^p}^p} > 0.$$

The case  $p = 2$  is more delicate. In this case, we demand  $\mu > 2/B_{2,\alpha_0}$ . By Proposition 3.3 (with  $p = 2, q = 4$  and  $\alpha_1 = 1$ ) there exists  $u_0 \in X_\infty^{1,2}$  such that

$$B_{2,\alpha_0} = \frac{\|u_0\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^4}^4}{\|u_0'\|_{L_1^2}^2 \|u_0\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^2}^2}.$$

Therefore,

$$g_{2,\mu,u_0}(0) = -\|u_0\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^2}^2 + \frac{\mu}{2} \frac{\|u_0\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^4}^4}{\|u_0'\|_{L_1^2}^2} = \|u_0\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^2}^2 \left( -1 + \frac{\mu}{2} B_{2,\alpha_0} \right) > 0,$$

which is our claim.  $\square$

The following proposition generalizes [1, Proposition 7.1], because we do not assume  $p = 2$ ,  $q = 4$ ,  $\alpha_1 = 1$ , and  $\alpha_0 \geq 0$ . Our proof is motivated by [45, Theorem B]. (see also [4] in the nonradial case.)

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $X_\infty^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$  be the weighted Sobolev space with  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $\alpha_1 - p + 1 \geq 0$  and  $\alpha_0 > \alpha_1 - p$ . Given  $p \leq q < (\alpha_0 + 1)p/(\alpha_1 - p + 1)$ , then the following supremum*

$$B_{p,\alpha_0} = \sup_{u \in X_\infty^{1,p} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^q}^q}{\|u'\|_{L_{\alpha_1}^p}^{\frac{(\alpha_0+1)(q-p)}{\alpha_0+p-\alpha_1}} \|u\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^p}^{\frac{p(\alpha_0+1)-q(\alpha_1-p+1)}{\alpha_0+p-\alpha_1}}}$$

is attained by a nonnegative function  $u_0 \in X_\infty^{1,p}$  such that  $\|u_0\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^p} = \|u_0'\|_{L_{\alpha_1}^p} = 1$ .

*Proof.* Define

$$J(u) = \frac{\|u\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^q}^q}{\|u'\|_{L_{\alpha_1}^p}^{\frac{(\alpha_0+1)(q-p)}{\alpha_0+p-\alpha_1}} \|u\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^p}^{\frac{p(\alpha_0+1)-q(\alpha_1-p+1)}{\alpha_0+p-\alpha_1}}}.$$

Fixed  $\mu, \lambda > 0$ , set  $u_{\lambda,\mu}(r) := \lambda u(\mu r)$ . We claim

- (i)  $\|u_{\lambda,\mu}\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^p} = \lambda \mu^{-\frac{\alpha_0+1}{p}} \|u\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^p}$ ;
- (ii)  $\|u'_{\lambda,\mu}\|_{L_{\alpha_1}^p} = \lambda \mu^{\frac{p-\alpha_1-1}{p}} \|u'\|_{L_{\alpha_1}^p}$ ;
- (iii)  $J(u_{\lambda,\mu}) = J(u)$ .

We are only going to prove (iii), since (i) and (ii) follow by an easy calculation:

$$\begin{aligned} J(u_{\lambda,\mu}) &= \frac{\lambda^q \mu^{-\alpha_0-1} \|u\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^q}^q}{\left(\lambda \mu^{\frac{p-\alpha_1-1}{p}} \|u'\|_{L_{\alpha_1}^p}\right)^{\frac{(\alpha_0+1)(q-p)}{\alpha_0+p-\alpha_1}} \left(\lambda \mu^{-\frac{\alpha_0+1}{p}} \|u\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^p}\right)^{\frac{p(\alpha_0+1)-q(\alpha_1-p+1)}{\alpha_0+p-\alpha_1}}} \\ &= \lambda^{q-\frac{(\alpha_0+1)(q-p)}{\alpha_0+p-\alpha_1}-\frac{p(\alpha_0+1)-q(\alpha_1-p+1)}{\alpha_0+p-\alpha_1}} \\ &\quad \mu^{-\alpha_0-1+\frac{\alpha_1-p+1}{p}\frac{(\alpha_0+1)(q-p)}{\alpha_0+p-\alpha_1}+\frac{\alpha_0+1}{p}\frac{p(\alpha_0+1)-q(\alpha_1-p+1)}{\alpha_0+p-\alpha_1}} J(u) \\ &= J(u). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $(v_n)$  be a maximizing sequence in  $X_\infty^{1,p}$  for  $B_{p,\alpha_0}$ , i.e.  $B_{p,\alpha_0} = \lim J(v_n)$ . We can suppose  $v_n \geq 0$ . Set  $u_n := (v_n)_{\lambda_n, \mu_n}$ , where

$$\lambda_n = \frac{\|v_n\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^p}^{\frac{\alpha_1-p+1}{\alpha_0+p-\alpha_1}}}{\|v_n'\|_{L_{\alpha_1}^p}^{\frac{\alpha_0+1}{\alpha_0+p-\alpha_1}}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_n = \frac{\|v_n\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^p}^{\frac{p}{\alpha_0+p-\alpha_1}}}{\|v_n'\|_{L_{\alpha_1}^p}^{\frac{p}{\alpha_0+p-\alpha_1}}}.$$

Note that  $u_n \in X_\infty^{1,p}$ ,  $\|u_n\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^p} = \|u_n'\|_{L_{\alpha_1}^p} = 1$  and  $J(u_n) = J(v_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} B_{p,\alpha_0}$ . The case  $q = p$  is trivial so we can suppose  $q > p$ . Since  $(u_n)$  is bounded in  $X_\infty^{1,p}$ , (up to subsequence) there exists  $u_0 \in X_\infty^{1,p}$  such that  $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$  in  $X_\infty^{1,p}$ . By Proposition 2.1 the following embedding is compact

$$X_\infty^{1,p} \hookrightarrow L_{\alpha_0}^q(0, \infty).$$

Thus,  $u_n \rightarrow u_0$  in  $L_{\alpha_0}^q$ . The weak convergence  $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$  in  $X_{\infty}^{1,p}$  guarantees  $\|u_0\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^p}, \|u_0'\|_{L_{\alpha_1}^p} \leq 1$ . Using

$$B_{p,\alpha_0} = \lim J(u_n) = \lim \|u_n\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^q}^q = \|u_0\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^q}^q, \quad (3.12)$$

we have  $u_0 \not\equiv 0$ . By (3.12) we conclude

$$B_{p,\alpha_0} = \|u_0\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^q}^q \leq J(u_0) \leq B_{p,\alpha_0}.$$

Therefore  $J(u_0) = B_{p,\alpha_0}$  □

**Lemma 3.3.** *Suppose  $p = 2$ ,  $q = 4$  and  $\alpha_1 = 1$  in Proposition 3.3. For any  $\alpha_0 \in (-1, \infty)$  we have*

$$B_{2,\alpha_0} \geq \frac{4}{(\alpha_0 + 1)e \log 2}. \quad (3.13)$$

In particular,  $B_{2,\alpha_0} > 2/(\alpha_0 + 1)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u(r) = e^{-r^\gamma}$ , where  $\gamma > 0$  will be chosen later. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^4}^4 &= \int_0^\infty e^{-4r^\gamma} r^{\alpha_0} dr = \int_0^\infty e^{-s} \left(\frac{s}{4}\right)^{\frac{\alpha_0+1-\gamma}{\gamma}} \frac{ds}{4\gamma} = \frac{1}{4^{\frac{\alpha_0+1}{\gamma}} \gamma} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha_0+1}{\gamma}\right), \\ \|u\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^2}^2 &= \int_0^\infty e^{-2r^\gamma} r^{\alpha_0} dr = \int_0^\infty e^{-s} \left(\frac{s}{2}\right)^{\frac{\alpha_0+1-\gamma}{\gamma}} \frac{ds}{2\gamma} = \frac{1}{2^{\frac{\alpha_0+1}{\gamma}} \gamma} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha_0+1}{\gamma}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|u'\|_{L_1^2}^2 = \gamma^2 \int_0^\infty e^{-2r^\gamma} r^\gamma r^{\gamma-1} dr = \gamma^2 \int_0^\infty e^{-s} \frac{s ds}{2 \cdot 2\gamma} = \frac{\gamma}{4} \Gamma(2) = \frac{\gamma}{4}.$$

Thus, by definition of  $B_{2,\alpha_0}$ ,

$$B_{2,\alpha_0} \geq \frac{\|u\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^4}^4}{\|u'\|_{L_1^2}^2 \|u\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^2}^2} = \frac{4 \cdot 2^{\frac{\alpha_0+1}{\gamma}}}{\gamma \cdot 4^{\frac{\alpha_0+1}{\gamma}}} = \frac{2^{2-\frac{\alpha_0+1}{\gamma}}}{\gamma}.$$

Define  $f_{\alpha_0}: (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $f_{\alpha_0}(\gamma) = 2^{2-\frac{\alpha_0+1}{\gamma}}/\gamma$ . Since

$$\gamma^2 f_{\alpha_0}'(\gamma) = 2^{2-\frac{\alpha_0+1}{\gamma}} \log 2 \frac{\alpha_0+1}{\gamma^2} \gamma - 2^{2-\frac{\alpha_0+1}{\gamma}} = 2^{2-\frac{\alpha_0+1}{\gamma}} \left( \frac{\alpha_0+1}{\gamma} \log 2 - 1 \right),$$

$\gamma_0 := (\alpha_0 + 1) \log 2$  is the global maximum of  $f_{\alpha_0}$ . Therefore,

$$B_{2,\alpha_0} \geq f_{\alpha_0}(\gamma_0) = \frac{2^{2-\frac{1}{\log 2}}}{(\alpha_0 + 1) \log 2} = \frac{4}{(\alpha_0 + 1)e \log 2},$$

which is the desired conclusion. □

**Lemma 3.4.** *It holds that*

$$\nu_* \geq \frac{\mu^{p-1}}{\Gamma(p)} \eta_* \quad (* = 0, \infty) \quad (3.14)$$

and

$$1 = \rho_0 + \rho_\infty, \quad 1 \geq \eta_0 + \eta_\infty, \quad d_{p,\mu} = \nu_0 + \nu_\infty.$$

*Proof.* It is easy to see that Lemma 3.2 yields

$$\begin{aligned} v_* &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^{p-1+j}}{\Gamma(p+j)} \|u_{n,R}^*\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^{p'(p-1+j)}}^{p'(p-1+j)} \\ &\geq \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu^{p-1}}{\Gamma(p)} \|u_{n,R}^*\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^p}^p = \frac{\mu^{p-1}}{\Gamma(p)} \eta_* \end{aligned}$$

thus (3.14) holds. All others relations follows breaking the integral over  $(0, \infty)$  in  $(0, R)$  and  $(R, \infty)$  and taking  $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$  along suitable subsequences.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $\rho_* < 1$  ( $*$  = 0,  $\infty$ ). Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} d_{p,\mu} \|u_{n,R}^*\|_{X_{\infty}^{1,p}}^p &\geq \int_0^{\infty} \exp_p \left( \mu |u_{n,R}^*|^{p'} \right) r^{\alpha_0} dr \\ &+ \left( \frac{1}{\|u_{n,R}^*\|_{X_{\infty}^{1,p}}^{p'}} - 1 \right) \int_0^{\infty} \left( \exp_p \left( \mu |u_{n,R}^*|^{p'} \right) - \frac{\mu^{p-1}}{\Gamma(p)} |u_{n,R}^*|^p \right) r^{\alpha_0} dr. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

*Proof.* By the definition of  $d_{p,\mu}$ , it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{p,\mu} &\geq \int_0^{\infty} \exp_p \left( \mu \left( \frac{u_{n,R}^*}{\|u_{n,R}^*\|_{X_{\infty}^{1,p}}} \right)^{p'} \right) r^{\alpha_0} dr \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^{p-1+j}}{\Gamma(p+j)} \frac{\|u_{n,R}^*\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^{p'(p-1+j)}}^{p'(p-1+j)}}{\|u_{n,R}^*\|_{X_{\infty}^{1,p}}^{p'(p-1+j)}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\|u_{n,R}^*\|_{X_{\infty}^{1,p}}^p} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^{p-1+j}}{\Gamma(p+j)} \frac{\|u_{n,R}^*\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^{p'(p-1+j)}}^{p'(p-1+j)}}{\|u_{n,R}^*\|_{X_{\infty}^{1,p}}^{pj}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\|u_{n,R}^*\|_{X_{\infty}^{1,p}}^p} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^{p-1+j}}{\Gamma(p+j)} \|u_{n,R}^*\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^{p'(p-1+j)}}^{p'(p-1+j)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\|u_{n,R}^*\|_{X_{\infty}^{1,p}}^{p'j}} - 1 \right) \frac{\mu^{p-1+j}}{\Gamma(p+j)} \|u_{n,R}^*\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^{p'(p-1+j)}}^{p'(p-1+j)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By multiplying this relation with  $\|u_{n,R}^*\|_{X_{\infty}^{1,p}}^p$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d_{p,\mu} \|u_{n,R}^*\|_{X_{\infty}^{1,p}}^p &\geq \int_0^{\infty} \exp_p \left( \mu |u_{n,R}^*|^{p'} \right) r^{\alpha_0} dr \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\|u_{n,R}^*\|_{X_{\infty}^{1,p}}^{p'j}} - 1 \right) \frac{\mu^{p-1+j}}{\Gamma(p+j)} \|u_{n,R}^*\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^{p'(p-1+j)}}^{p'(p-1+j)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

In view of Lemma 3.2 and the assumption  $\rho_* < 1$ , for  $j \geq 1$ , we have

$$\|u_{n,R}\|_{X_{\infty}^{1,p}}^{p'j} \leq \|u_{n,R}\|_{X_{\infty}^{1,p}}^{p'} < 1$$

for  $R$  and  $n$  sufficient large. Hence, for such  $R$  and  $n$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\|u_{n,R}^*\|_{X_{\infty}^{1,p}}^{p'j}} - 1 \right) \frac{\mu^{p-1+j}}{\Gamma(p+j)} \|u_{n,R}^*\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^{p'(p-1+j)}}^{p'(p-1+j)} \\ & \geq \left( \frac{1}{\|u_{n,R}^*\|_{X_{\infty}^{1,p}}^{p'}} - 1 \right) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^{p-1+j}}{\Gamma(p+j)} \|u_{n,R}^*\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^{p'(p-1+j)}}^{p'(p-1+j)} \\ & = \left( \frac{1}{\|u_{n,R}^*\|_{X_{\infty}^{1,p}}^{p'}} - 1 \right) \int_0^{\infty} \left[ \exp_p \left( \mu |u_{n,R}^*|^{p'} \right) - \frac{\mu^{p-1}}{\Gamma(p)} |u_{n,R}^*|^p \right] r^{\alpha_0} dr. \end{aligned}$$

We have the conclusion by combining this relation with (3.16).  $\square$

**Proposition 3.4.** *Under the assumptions of Proposition 3.2 we have*

$$(\rho_0, \nu_0) = (1, d_{p,\mu}) \text{ and } (\rho_{\infty}, \nu_{\infty}) = (0, 0).$$

*Proof.* We divided the proof into two claims.

**Claim 1:**  $\rho_0 = 0$  or  $\rho_0 = 1$ .

Suppose Claim 1 was false. Then  $0 < \rho_0 < 1$  and, by Lemma 3.4, we also have  $0 < \rho_{\infty} < 1$ . Taking the limits as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $R \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.15) (along suitable subsequences) and using Lemma 3.2, we obtain

$$d_{p,\mu}\rho_* \geq \nu_* + \left( \frac{1}{\rho_*^{\frac{1}{p-1}}} - 1 \right) \left( \nu_* - \frac{\mu^{p-1}}{\Gamma(p)} \eta_* \right). \quad (3.17)$$

Lemma 3.4 and  $0 < \rho_* < 1$  ( $* = 0, \infty$ ) together with (3.17) guarantee

$$d_{p,\mu}\rho_* \geq \nu_*, \quad * = 0, \infty.$$

Summing these inequalities with  $* = 0$  and  $* = \infty$  we get

$$d_{p,\mu} = d_{p,\mu}(\rho_0 + \rho_{\infty}) \geq \nu_0 + \nu_{\infty} = d_{p,\mu},$$

where we used Lemma 3.4 again. Then  $d_{p,\mu}\rho_* = \nu_*$ ,  $* = 0, \infty$ . By (3.17),

$$\nu_* = \frac{\mu^{p-1}}{\Gamma(p)} \eta_*, \quad * = 0, \infty.$$

Note that

$$d_{p,\mu} = \nu_0 + \nu_{\infty} = \frac{\mu^{p-1}}{\Gamma(p)} (\eta_0 + \eta_{\infty}) \leq \frac{\mu^{p-1}}{\Gamma(p)}$$

constradicts Proposition 3.2. This concludes the proof of Claim 1.

**Claim 2:**  $\rho_* = 0 \Rightarrow \nu_* = 0$  for  $* = 0, \infty$ .

Indeed, from Lemma 3.2,

$$\frac{1}{\|u_{n,R}^*\|_{X_{\infty}^{1,p}}^{p'}} - 1 > \frac{1}{2}$$

for  $R$  and  $n$  sufficient large. This relation with (3.17) yield

$$0 = d_{p,\mu}\rho_* \geq \nu_* + \frac{1}{2} \left( \nu_* - \frac{\mu^{p-1}}{\Gamma(p)} \eta_* \right) \geq \nu_*.$$

Therefore  $\nu_* = 0$  concludes Claim 2.

Finally, suppose the proposition is false. By Claims 1 and 2 we have

$$(\rho_0, \nu_0) = (0, 0) \text{ and } (\rho_\infty, \nu_\infty) = (1, d_{p,\mu}).$$

Note that  $(u_n)$  is a normalized vanishing sequence. Then, Proposition 3.1 guarantees

$$d_{p,\mu} = \nu_\infty = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_R^\infty \exp_p(\mu u_n^{p'}) r^{\alpha_0} dr \leq d_{NVL}(p, \mu) = \frac{\mu^{p-1}}{\Gamma(p)},$$

which is absurd in view of Proposition 3.2.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.6.** *Suppose  $\alpha_0 > -1$  and  $0 < \mu < \alpha_0 + 1$ . Then*

$$\int_0^\infty \left[ \exp_p(\mu |u_n|^{p'}) - \frac{\mu^{p-1} |u_n|^p}{\Gamma(p)} \right] r^{\alpha_0} dr \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty \left[ \exp_p(\mu |u|^{p'}) - \frac{\mu^{p-1} |u|^p}{\Gamma(p)} \right] r^{\alpha_0} dr.$$

*Proof.* Fix  $R > 0$  to be chosen later. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^\infty \left[ \exp_p(\mu |u_n|^{p'}) - \frac{\mu^{p-1} |u_n|^p}{\Gamma(p)} \right] r^{\alpha_0} dr - \int_0^\infty \left[ \exp_p(\mu |u|^{p'}) - \frac{\mu^{p-1} |u|^p}{\Gamma(p)} \right] r^{\alpha_0} dr \right| \\ & \leq I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_0^R \left| e^{\alpha |u_n|^{p'}} - e^{\alpha |u|^{p'}} \right| r^{\alpha_0} dr, \quad I_2 = \frac{\mu^p}{\Gamma(p+1)} \left| \|u_n\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^{pp'}}^{pp'} - \|u\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^{pp'}}^{pp'} \right|, \\ I_3 &= \sum_{j=2}^\infty \frac{\mu^{p-1+j}}{\Gamma(p+j)} \int_R^\infty |u_n|^{(p-1+j)p'} r^{\alpha_0} dr \text{ and } I_4 = \int_R^\infty \exp_p(\mu |u|^{p'}) r^{\alpha_0} dr. \end{aligned}$$

Our proof is completed by showing that  $I_1, I_2, I_3, I_4 \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$  for  $R$  sufficient large.

Using the compact embedding  $X_\infty^{1,p} \hookrightarrow L_{\alpha_0}^{pp'}$  we have  $I_2 \rightarrow 0$ .

From Lemma 2.3 we have  $\exp_p(\mu |u|^{p'}) \in L_\theta^1(R, \infty)$  which implies that  $I_4$  is small for  $R > 0$  sufficient large.

It is enough to prove that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_1 = 0, \quad \forall R > 0 \tag{3.18}$$

and

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} I_3 = 0 \text{ uniformly in } n. \tag{3.19}$$

Using Mean Value Theorem we get  $v_n(r)$  between  $u_n(r)$  and  $u(r)$  satisfying  $\|v_n\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^q} \leq \|u_n\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^q} + \|u_n\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^q} \leq C_q$ , for all  $q \geq 1$ , and

$$I_1 = p' \mu \int_0^R v_n^{\frac{1}{p-1}} e^{\mu v_n^{p'}} |u_n - u| r^{\alpha_0} dr.$$

For  $p_1, p_3 > 1$  sufficient large such that  $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{1}{p_3} = 1$  and  $p_2\mu < \alpha_0 + 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq p'\mu \|v_n\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^{\frac{p_1}{p_1-1}}}^{\frac{1}{p_1-1}} \left( \int_0^R e^{p_2\mu v_n^{p_2'}} r^{\alpha_0} dr \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \|u_n - u\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^{p_3}} \\ &\leq C \|u_n - u\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^{p_3}} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last estimate we used item (b) of Theorem B. This concludes (3.18).

Finally, (3.19) follows by Lemma 2.2 in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &\leq \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu C^{p'})^{p-1+j}}{\Gamma(p+j)} \int_R^{\infty} r^{\alpha_0 - \frac{(\alpha_0+1)(p-1+j)}{p}} dr \\ &\leq \frac{pR^{\alpha_0+1}}{\alpha_0+1} \exp_{p+2}(\mu C^{p'} R^{-\frac{\alpha_0+1}{p}}) \xrightarrow{R \rightarrow \infty} 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Proposition 3.5.** *Assume the hypotheses of Proposition 3.2. If  $\mu < \alpha_0 + 1$ , then*

$$d_{p,\mu} = \int_0^{\infty} \exp_p(\mu |u|^{p'}) r^{\alpha_0} dr.$$

*Proof.* First, we claim that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\infty} |u_n|^p r^{\alpha_0} dr = \int_0^{\infty} |u|^p r^{\alpha_0} dr. \quad (3.20)$$

Indeed, note that, for any  $R > 0$ ,

$$\left| \int_0^{\infty} (|u_n|^p - |u|^p) r^{\alpha_0} dr \right| \leq \left| \int_0^R (|u_n|^p - |u|^p) r^{\alpha_0} dr \right| + \int_R^{\infty} |u_n|^p r^{\alpha_0} dr + \int_R^{\infty} |u|^p r^{\alpha_0} dr.$$

Applying Theorem A and Proposition 3.4 we conclude (3.20).

Since  $(u_n)$  is a maximizing sequence we have

$$\int_0^{\infty} \exp_p(\mu |u_n|^{p'}) r^{\alpha_0} dr \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{p,\mu}. \quad (3.21)$$

Lemma 3.6 and (3.20) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\infty} \exp_p(\mu |u_n|^{p'}) r^{\alpha_0} dr &= \int_0^{\infty} \left[ \exp_p(\mu |u_n|^{p'}) - \frac{\mu^{p-1} |u_n|^p}{\Gamma(p)} \right] r^{\alpha_0} dr + \frac{\mu^{p-1}}{\Gamma(p)} \int_0^{\infty} |u_n|^p r^{\alpha_0} dr \\ &\xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\infty} \exp_p(\mu |u|^{p'}) r^{\alpha_0} dr, \end{aligned}$$

which together with (3.21) concludes the proof of our proposition. □

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $N \geq 2$  and  $\alpha_0 > -1$ . Also, let*

$$B_{2,\alpha_0} = \sup_{u \in X_{\infty}^{1,2} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^4}^4}{\|u'\|_{L_1^2}^2 \|u\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^2}^2}.$$

*Then  $d_{p,\mu}$  is attained for  $0 < \mu < \alpha_0 + 1$  if  $N > 2$  and for  $2/B_{2,\alpha_0} < \mu < \alpha_0 + 1$  if  $N = 2$ .*



*Proof.* By Proposition 3.5, there exists  $u \in X_\infty^{1,p}(\alpha_0, p-1)$  such that

$$d_{p,\mu} = \int_0^\infty \exp_p(\mu|u|^p) r^{\alpha_0} dr.$$

We are left to check that  $\|u\|_{X_\infty^{1,p}} = 1$ . Since  $\|u\|_{X_\infty^{1,p}} \leq \liminf \|u_n\|_{X_\infty^{1,p}} = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{p,\mu} &\geq \int_0^\infty \exp_p \left[ \alpha \left( \frac{u}{\|u\|_{X_\infty^{1,p}}} \right)^{p'} \right] r^{\alpha_0} dr = \sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{\mu^{p-1+j}}{\Gamma(p+j)} \frac{\|u\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^{p'(p-1+j)}}^{p'(p-1+j)}}{\|u\|_{X_\infty^{1,p}}^{p'(p-1+j)}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\|u\|_{X_\infty^{1,p}}^p} \sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{\mu^{p-1+j}}{\Gamma(p+j)} \|u\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^{p'(p-1+j)}}^{p'(p-1+j)} = \frac{d_{p,\mu}}{\|u\|_{X_\infty^{1,p}}^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\|u\|_{X_\infty^{1,p}} = 1$ . □

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5

The next theorem is a relatively straightforward generalization of [15, Theorem 1.4].

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $X_\infty^{1,p}(\alpha_0, p-1)$  with  $\alpha_0 > -1$  and  $p > 1$ . Then for each  $\mu \in (0, \alpha_0 + 1)$  there exists  $C > 0$  depending only on  $\mu, p$  and  $\alpha_0$  such that*

$$\int_0^\infty \exp_p \left( \mu \left| \frac{u(r)}{\|u'\|_{L_{p-1}^p}} \right|^{p'} \right) r^{\alpha_0} dr \leq C \left( \frac{\|u\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^p}}{\|u'\|_{L_{p-1}^p}} \right)^p$$

for all  $u \in X_\infty^{1,p} \setminus \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u \in X_\infty^{1,p}(\alpha_0, p-1)$  with  $\|u'\|_{L_{p-1}^p} = 1$ . Set  $r = e^{-\frac{t}{\alpha_0+1}}$  and  $w: (-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $w(t) = (\alpha_0 + 1)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} u(r)$ . By Lemma 2.2,  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} u(r) = \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} w(t) = 0$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty |u(r)|^p r^{\alpha_0} dr &= \frac{1}{(\alpha_0 + 1)^p} \int_{-\infty}^\infty |w(t)|^p e^{-t} dt, \\ \int_0^\infty |u'(r)|^p r^{p-1} dr &= \int_{-\infty}^\infty |w'(t)|^p dt \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty \exp_p(\mu|u(r)|^{p'}) r^{\alpha_0} dr = \frac{1}{\alpha_0 + 1} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \exp_p \left( \frac{\mu}{\alpha_0 + 1} |w(t)|^{p'} \right) e^{-t} dt.$$

It is sufficient to show that for each  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  there exists  $C_\beta > 0$  such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty \exp_p(\beta|w(t)|^{p'}) e^{-t} dt \leq C_\beta \int_{-\infty}^\infty |w(t)|^p e^{-t} dt, \quad (4.1)$$

for all  $C^1$  functions  $w(t)$  satisfying  $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} w(t) = 0$  and  $\int_{-\infty}^\infty |w'(t)|^p dt = 1$ .

Consider

$$A = \{t \in \mathbb{R} : |w(t)| \leq 1\} \text{ and } B = \{t \in \mathbb{R} : |w(t)| > 1\}.$$

This gives  $A \cup B = \mathbb{R}$  and  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Since  $\exp_p(t) \leq C_p t^{p-1}$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , for some  $C_p > 0$ , we have

$$\int_A \exp_p \left( \beta |w(t)|^{p'} \right) e^{-t} dt \leq C_p \int_A |w(t)|^p e^{-t} dt. \quad (4.2)$$

By  $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} w(t) = 0$ , the set  $B$  can be write as  $B = \cup_{i \in I} (a_i, b_i)$  with  $w(a_i) = 1 \forall i \in I$ , where  $I = \mathbb{N}$  or  $I = \{1, \dots, n\}$  with  $b_n = \infty$ . Then, for each  $i \in I$ ,

$$|w(t)| \leq |w(a_i)| + \int_{a_i}^t |w'(s)| ds \leq 1 + (t - a_i)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \quad \forall t \in (a_i, b_i).$$

We recall that

$$(a + b)^q \leq (1 + \varepsilon)a^q + C_q \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{q-1}} \right) b^q, \quad \forall a, b \geq 0 \text{ and } \varepsilon > 0, \quad (4.3)$$

where  $C_q > 0$  depends only on  $q \geq 1$ . Fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$  with  $\beta(1 + \varepsilon) < 1$ , (4.3) guarantees the existence of  $C_{\varepsilon, p} > 0$  such that

$$|w(t)|^{p'} \leq (1 + \varepsilon)(t - a_i) + C_{\varepsilon, p}, \quad \forall t \in (a_i, b_i).$$

Using that

$$e^{-a_i} \left( 1 - e^{[\beta(1+\varepsilon)-1](b_i-a_i)} \right) = (e^{-a_i} - e^{-b_i}) f(b_i - a_i),$$

where  $f(t) = \frac{e^t - e^{\beta(1+\varepsilon)t}}{e^t - 1}$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $f(\infty) = 1$ , we have, by Lemma 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{a_i}^{b_i} \exp_p \left( \beta |w(t)|^{p'} \right) e^{-t} dt &\leq \int_{a_i}^{b_i} e^{\beta |w(t)|^{p'} - t} dt \\ &\leq \int_{a_i}^{b_i} \exp [(\beta(1 + \varepsilon) - 1)(t - a_i) + \beta C_{\varepsilon, p} - a_i] dt \\ &= \frac{e^{\beta C_{\varepsilon, p}}}{1 - \beta(1 + \varepsilon)} (e^{-a_i} - e^{-b_i}) f(b_i - a_i). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f(t) \leq 1$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , we get

$$\int_{a_i}^{b_i} \exp_p \left( \beta |w(t)|^{p'} \right) e^{-t} dt \leq \frac{e^{\beta C_{\varepsilon, p}}}{1 - \beta(1 + \varepsilon)} (e^{-a_i} - e^{-b_i}).$$

By  $|w| > 1$  on  $B$ , we have  $\int_{a_i}^{b_i} |w(t)|^p e^{-t} dt \geq \int_{a_i}^{b_i} e^{-t} dt = e^{-a_i} - e^{-b_i}$  and

$$\sum_{i \in I} \int_{a_i}^{b_i} \exp_p \left( \beta |w(t)|^{p'} \right) e^{-t} dt \leq \frac{e^{\beta C_{\varepsilon, p}}}{1 - \beta(1 + \varepsilon)} \sum_{i \in I} \int_{a_i}^{b_i} |w(t)|^p e^{-t} dt.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_B \exp_p \left( \beta |w(t)|^{p'} \right) e^{-t} dt \leq \frac{e^{\beta C_{\varepsilon, p}}}{1 - \beta(1 + \varepsilon)} \int_B |w(t)|^p e^{-t} dt, \quad (4.4)$$

where we used the monotone convergence Theorem when  $I = \mathbb{N}$ . Taking  $C_\beta = \max\{C_p, e^{\beta C_{\varepsilon, p}}/[1 - \beta(1 + \varepsilon)]\}$  we have, by (4.2) and (4.4),

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp_p \left( \beta |w(t)|^{p'} \right) e^{-t} dt \leq C_\beta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |w(t)|^p e^{-t} dt.$$

This gives (4.1), which completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.1.** *Let  $X_\infty^{1,p}(\alpha_0, p-1)$  with  $\alpha_0 > -1$  and  $p > 1$ . Then for each  $\mu \in (0, \alpha_0 + 1)$  there exists  $C > 0$  depending only on  $\mu, p$  and  $\alpha_0$  such that for all  $u \in X_\infty^{1,p}$  and  $q \geq p$  we have*

$$\|u\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^q}^q \leq \frac{C\Gamma(\frac{q}{p'} + 1)}{\mu^{\frac{q}{p'}}} \|u'\|_{L_{p-1}^{q-p}}^{q-p} \|u\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^p}^p.$$

*Proof.* Set  $\tilde{p} = \frac{q}{p'} + 1$  for a given  $q \geq p$ . By Lemma 3.1,

$$\exp_p(t) \geq \exp_{\tilde{p}}(t) \geq \frac{t^{\tilde{p}-1}}{\Gamma(\tilde{p})}, \quad \forall t \in [0, \infty).$$

Applying this in Theorem 4.1 we conclude the proof.  $\square$

Now we are ready to provide the proof of Theorem 1.5 which we based in [23].

*Proof of Theorem 1.5.* Let  $\mathcal{S} := \{u \in X_\infty^{1,p} : \|u\|_{X_\infty^{1,p}} = 1\}$ . Fixed  $v \in \mathcal{S}$ , we shall check that  $v$  is not a critical point for  $\mu > 0$  small. We consider

$$v_t(r) := t^{\frac{1}{p}} v(t^{\frac{1}{\alpha_0+1}} r)$$

where  $t > 0$  is a parameter. Set  $w_t := v_t / \|v_t\|_{X_\infty^{1,p}}$  and note that  $w_t$  is a curve in  $\mathcal{S}$  with  $w_1 = v$ . Therefore, it is sufficient to show that

$$I := \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_0^\infty \exp_p(\mu |w_t|^{p'}) r^{\alpha_0} dr \right) \Big|_{t=1} \neq 0 \quad (4.5)$$

for  $\mu > 0$  small.

By  $\|v_t\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^q}^q = t^{\frac{q-p}{p}} \|v\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^q}^q$  and  $\|v_t'\|_{L_{p-1}^p}^p = t \|v'\|_{L_{p-1}^p}^p$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \exp_p(\mu |w_t|^{p'}) r^{\alpha_0} dr &= \sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{\mu^{p-1+j}}{\Gamma(p+j)} \frac{\|v_t\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^{p'(p-1+j)}}^{p'(p-1+j)}}{\|v_t\|_{X_\infty^{1,p}}^{p'(p-1+j)}} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{\mu^{p-1+j}}{\Gamma(p+j)} \frac{t^{\frac{j}{p-1}} \|v\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^{p'(p-1+j)}}^{p'(p-1+j)}}{\left( \|v\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^p}^p + t \|v'\|_{L_{p-1}^p}^p \right)^{\frac{p-1+j}{p-1}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{\mu^{p-1+j}}{\Gamma(p+j)} \|v\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^{p'(p-1+j)}}^{p'(p-1+j)} \left( \frac{j}{p-1} - \frac{p-1+j}{p-1} \|v'\|_{L_{p-1}^p}^p \right) \\ &\leq -\frac{\mu^{p-1}}{\Gamma(p)} \|v\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^p}^p \|v'\|_{L_{p-1}^p}^p + \sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{j\mu^{p-1+j}}{(p-1)\Gamma(p+j)} \|v\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^{p'(p-1+j)}}^{p'(p-1+j)} \\ &= \frac{\mu^{p-1}}{\Gamma(p)} \|v\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^p}^p \|v'\|_{L_{p-1}^p}^p \left( -1 + \sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{j\mu^j \Gamma(p-1)}{\Gamma(p+j)} \frac{\|v\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^{p'(p-1+j)}}^{p'(p-1+j)}}{\|v\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^p}^p \|v'\|_{L_{p-1}^p}^p} \right). \quad (4.6) \end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 4.1, there exists  $C_{\alpha_0,p} > 0$  depending only on  $\alpha_0$  and  $p$  such that

$$\|v\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^{p'(p-1+j)}}^{p'(p-1+j)} \leq \frac{C_{\alpha_0,p}\Gamma(p+j)}{\left(\frac{\alpha_0+1}{2}\right)^{p-1+j}} \|v'\|_{L_{p-1}^{p'}}^{p'j} \|v\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^p}^p.$$

We conclude from  $p \leq 2$  and  $\|v\|_{X_\infty^{1,p}} = 1$  that

$$\frac{\|v\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^{p'(p-1+j)}}^{p'(p-1+j)}}{\|v\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^p}^p \|v'\|_{L_{p-1}^p}^p} \leq \frac{C_{\alpha_0,p}\Gamma(p+j)}{\left(\frac{\alpha_0+1}{2}\right)^{p-1+j}} \|v'\|_{L_{p-1}^{p'}}^{p'j-N} \leq \frac{C_{\alpha_0,p}\Gamma(p+j)}{\left(\frac{\alpha_0+1}{2}\right)^{p-1+j}}, \quad \forall j \geq 1.$$

This and (4.6) gives

$$I \leq \frac{\mu^{p-1}}{\Gamma(p)} \|v\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^p}^p \|v'\|_{L_{p-1}^p}^p \left[ -1 + \frac{\mu\Gamma(p-1)C_{\alpha_0,p}}{\left(\frac{\alpha_0+1}{2}\right)^p} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j \left( \frac{2\mu}{\alpha_0+1} \right)^{j-1} \right].$$

Taking  $\mu < \min\{\frac{\alpha_0+1}{3}, 1/C\}$ , where  $C = \frac{\Gamma(p-1)C_{\alpha_0,p}}{[(\alpha_0+1)/2]^p} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j(\frac{2}{3})^{j-1}$ , we have

$$I < \frac{\mu^{p-1}}{\Gamma(p)} \|v\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^p}^p \|v'\|_{L_{p-1}^p}^p (-1 + \mu C) < 0,$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] E. Abreu and L. G. Fernandez Jr, *On a weighted Trudinger-Moser inequality in  $\mathbb{R}^p$* , J. Differ. Equ. **269** (2020), 3089–3118.
- [2] S. Adachi and K. Tanaka, *Trudinger type inequalities in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and their best exponents*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (2000), 2051–2057.
- [3] D. M. Cao, *Nontrivial solution of semilinear elliptic equation with critical exponent in  $\mathbb{R}^2$* , Comm. Partial Differential Equations **17** (1992), 407–435.
- [4] L. Chen, G. Lu and M. Zhu, *Existence and nonexistence of extremals for critical Adams inequalities in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  and Trudinger-Moser inequalities in  $\mathbb{R}^2$* . Adv. Math. **368** (2020), 107143, 61 pp.
- [5] L. Chen, G. Lu and M. Zhu, *Existence of extremals for Trudinger-Moser inequalities involved with a trapping potential*. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **62** (2023), Paper No. 150.
- [6] W. Cohn and G. Lu, *Best constants for Moser-Trudinger inequalities on the Heisenberg group*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **50** (2001), 1567–1591.
- [7] P. Clément, D. G. de Figueiredo and E. Mitidieri, *Quasilinear elliptic equations with critical exponents*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **7** (1996), 133–170.
- [8] D. G. de Figueiredo, J. M. do Ó and B. Ruf, *Elliptic equations and systems with critical Trudinger-Moser nonlinearities*. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **30** (2011), 455–476.
- [9] D. G. de Figueiredo, J. M. do Ó and B. Ruf, *On an inequality by N. Trudinger and J. Moser and related elliptic equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **55** (2002), 135–152.
- [10] D. G. de Figueiredo, E. M. dos Santos and O. H. Miyagaki, *Sobolev spaces of symmetric functions and applications*, J. Funct. Anal. **261** (2011), 3735–3770.
- [11] J. F. de Oliveira, *On a class of quasilinear elliptic problems with critical exponential growth on the whole space*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **49** (2017), 529–550.
- [12] J. F. de Oliveira, J. M. do Ó, *Concentration-compactness principle and extremal functions for a sharp Trudinger-Moser inequality*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **52** (2015), 125–163.
- [13] J. M. do Ó, *Semilinear Dirichlet problems for the  $N$ -Laplacian in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with nonlinearities in the critical growth range*, Differential Integral Equations **9** (1996), 967–979.
- [14] J. M. do Ó,  *$N$ -Laplacian equations in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with critical growth*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. **2** (1997), 301–315.

- [15] J. M. do Ó and J. F. de Oliveira, *Trudinger-Moser type inequalities for weighted Sobolev spaces involving fractional dimensions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **142** (2014), 2813–2828.
- [16] J. M. do Ó and J. F. de Oliveira, *Concentration-compactness and extremal problems for a weighted Trudinger-Moser inequality*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **19** (2017), 19:1650003.
- [17] J. M. do Ó and J. F. de Oliveira, *Equivalence of critical and subcritical sharp Trudinger-Moser inequalities and existence of extremal function*, arXiv:2108.04977, 2021.
- [18] J. M. do Ó and J. F. de Oliveira, *On a sharp inequality of Adimurthi-Druet type and extremal functions*, arXiv:2203.14181, 2022.
- [19] J. M. do Ó, J. F. de Oliveira, and P. Ubilla, *Existence for a  $k$ -Hessian equation involving supercritical growth*, J. Differential Equations **267** (2019), 1001–1024.
- [20] J. M. do Ó, G. Lu, and R. Ponciano, *Sharp Sobolev and Adams-Trudinger-Moser embeddings on weighted Sobolev spaces and their applications*, arXiv:2302.02262, 2023.
- [21] J. M. do Ó, A. C. Macedo and J. F. de Oliveira, *A Sharp Adams-type inequality for weighted Sobolev spaces*, Q. J. Math. **71** (2020), 517–538.
- [22] J. M. do Ó, E. Medeiros and U. Severo, *On a quasilinear nonhomogeneous elliptic equation with critical growth in  $\mathbb{R}^N$* , J. Differential Equations **246** (2009), 1363–1386.
- [23] M. Ishiwata, *Existence and nonexistence of maximizers for variational problems associated with Trudinger-Moser type inequalities in  $\mathbb{R}^p$* , Math. Ann. **351** (2011), 781–804.
- [24] J. Jacobsen and K. Schmitt, *The Liouville-Bratu-Gelfand problem for radial operators*, J. Differential Equations **184** (2002), 283–298.
- [25] J. Jacobsen, K. Schmitt, *Radial solutions of quasilinear elliptic differential equations*. Amsterdam: Elsevier/North-Holland; 2004. p. 359–435.
- [26] A. Kufner and L. E. Persson *Weighted Inequalities of Hardy Type*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore, 2003.
- [27] N. Lam and G. Lu, *Existence and multiplicity of solutions to equations of  $N$ -Laplacian type with critical exponential growth in  $\mathbb{R}^N$* , J. Funct. Anal. **262** (2012), 1132–1165.
- [28] N. Lam and G. Lu, *A new approach to sharp Moser-Trudinger and Adams type inequalities: a rearrangement-free argument*, J. Differential Equations **255** (2013), 298–325.
- [29] N. Lam and G. Lu, *Sharp Moser-Trudinger inequality on the Heisenberg group at the critical case and applications*. Adv. Math. **231** (2012), 3259–3287.
- [30] N. Lam, G. Lu and H. Tang, *Sharp subcritical Moser-Trudinger inequalities on Heisenberg groups and subelliptic PDEs*. Nonlinear Anal. **95** (2014), 77–92.
- [31] N. Lam, G. Lu and L. Zhang, *Existence and nonexistence of extremal functions for sharp Trudinger-Moser inequalities*. Adv. Math. **352** (2019), 1253–1298.
- [32] N. Lam, G. Lu and L. Zhang, *Sharp singular Trudinger-Moser inequalities under different norms*. Adv. Nonlinear Stud. **19** (2019), 239–261.
- [33] N. Lam, G. Lu and L. Zhang, *Equivalence of critical and subcritical sharp Trudinger-Moser-Adams inequalities*. Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **33** (2017), 1219–1246.
- [34] J. Li, G. Lu and M. Zhu, *Concentration-compactness principle for Trudinger-Moser inequalities on Heisenberg groups and existence of ground state solutions*. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **57** (2018), 26 pp.
- [35] Y. Li and B. Ruf, *A sharp Trudinger-Moser type inequality for unbounded domains in  $\mathbb{R}^n$* , Indiana Univ. Math. J. **57** (2008), 451–480.
- [36] P-L. Lions, *Symétrie et compacité dans les espaces de Sobolev*, Journal of Functional Analysis **49** (1982), 315–334.
- [37] G. Lu and M. Zhu, *A sharp Trudinger-Moser type inequality involving  $L^n$  norm in the entire space  $\mathbb{R}^n$* . J. Differential Equations **267** (2019), 3046–3082.
- [38] J. Moser, *A sharp form of an inequality by N. Trudinger*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **20** (1970/1971), 1077–1092.
- [39] R. Panda, *On semilinear Neumann problems with critical growth for the  $n$ -Laplacian*, Nonlinear Anal. **26** (1996), 1347–1366.

- [40] J. Peetre, *Espaces d'interpolation et théorème de Soboleff*. (French), Ann. Inst. Fourier **16** (1966), 279–317.
- [41] S. I. Pohožaev, *On the eigenfunctions of the equation  $\Delta u + \lambda f(u) = 0$* , (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR. **165** (1965), 36-39.
- [42] B. Opic and A. Kufner, *Hardy-type Inequalities*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series 219, Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow, 1990.
- [43] B. Ruf, *A sharp Trudinger-Moser type inequality for unbounded domains in  $\mathbb{R}^2$* , J. Funct. Anal. **219** (2005), 340–367.
- [44] N. S. Trudinger, *On imbeddings into Orlicz spaces and some applications*, J. Math. Mech. **17** (1967), 473–483.
- [45] M. I. Weinstein, *Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations and Sharp Interpolation Estimates*, Commun. Math. Phys. **87**, (1983), 567-576.
- [46] V. I. Yudovich, *Some estimates connected with integral operators and with solutions of elliptic equations*. (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **138** (1961), 805–808.

(João Marcos do Ó) DEP. MATHEMATICS, FEDERAL UNIVERSITY OF PARAÍBA  
58051-900, JOÃO PESSOA-PB, BRAZIL  
*Email address:* jmbo@pq.cnpq.br

(Guozhen Lu) DEP. MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT  
06269, STORRS-CT, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
*Email address:* guozhen.lu@uconn.edu

(Raoni Ponciano) DEP. MATHEMATICS, FEDERAL UNIVERSITY OF PARAÍBA  
58051-900, JOÃO PESSOA-PB, BRAZIL  
*Email address:* raoni.cabral.ponciano@academico.ufpb.br