

The inner radius of nodal domains in high dimensions

Philippe Charron, Dan Mangoubi

In honor of Leonid Polterovich's 60th birthday

Abstract

We prove that every nodal domain of an eigenfunction of the Laplacian of eigenvalue λ on a d -dimensional closed Riemannian manifold contains a ball of radius $c\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\log \lambda)^{-\frac{d-2}{2}}$. This ball is centered at a point at which the eigenfunction attains its maximum in absolute value within the nodal domain.

1 Introduction and main result

Let (M, g) be a smooth closed Riemannian manifold of dimension d . Consider on M an eigenfunction u_λ of the positive Laplace-Beltrami operator $-\Delta_g$ corresponding to an eigenvalue λ . A nodal domain Ω_λ of u_λ is any connected component of the set $\{u_\lambda \neq 0\}$. It is well known (see [3, 5]) that there exists a positive constant $c_{\text{up}} = c_{\text{up}}(M, g)$ independent of λ or u_λ such that every ball of radius bigger than $c_{\text{up}}\lambda^{-1/2}$ contains a zero of u_λ , i.e., the inner radius of Ω_λ is bounded from above:

$$\text{inrad}(\Omega_\lambda) \leq c_{\text{up}}\lambda^{-1/2} .$$

On the other hand, the Faber-Krahn inequality [13, 22] shows that the volume of every nodal domain Ω_λ is bounded from below by $c_{FK}\lambda^{-d/2}$ for some positive constant $c_{FK} = c_{FK}(M)$.

One is naturally led to look for the largest positive number r such that every nodal domain contains a ball of radius r . In two dimensions it is known that one can inscribe a ball of radius $c\lambda^{-1/2}$ in every nodal domain [29]. This paper is concerned with lower bounds for the inner radius in higher dimensions. We give a lower bound which is optimal up to a logarithmic power factor at most. Moreover, we show

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M, g) be of dimension d at least three. Let $x_{\text{max}} \in \Omega_\lambda$ be a point where $|u_\lambda(x_{\text{max}})| = \max_{\Omega_\lambda} |u_\lambda|$. Then*

$$B(x_{\text{max}}, c_{\text{lo}}\lambda^{-1/2}(\log \lambda)^{-(d-2)/2}) \subset \Omega_\lambda$$

where $c_{\text{lo}} = c_{\text{lo}}(M, g)$ is a positive constant which depends only on (M, g) .

Lower bounds of the form $\lambda^{-c(d)}$ on the inner radius of nodal domains were obtained in [29, 30] where $c(d) \rightarrow \infty$ as the dimension d increases. Under the assumption of a real analytic metric a lower bound with a constant power of the eigenvalue, namely $c\lambda^{-1}$ was obtained by Georgiev in [14].

Idea of proof. An important starting point is the existence of an almost inscribed ball B of radius $r = \delta\lambda^{-1/2}$ for some small $\delta > 0$, centered at x_{\max} , guaranteed by Georgiev and Mukherjee [15] (cf. earlier works by Lieb and Maz'ya-Shubin [25, 32] where existence of such a ball is proved without locating its center). This means that the relative volume of Ω_λ in B tends to 1 as δ tends to 0. Our aim is to show that the complementary set $B \setminus \Omega_\lambda$ cannot approach the center too much, or that the gradient of u_λ is bounded, say in $\frac{1}{4}B$. A standard elliptic gradient estimate shows that it would be enough to bound the values of $|u_\lambda|$ in $\frac{1}{2}B$. The key argument shows that if in a ball b of radius r/A , where A is a suitable large number, the function u_λ attains a large value, then its doubling index is also large in this ball. This lets us find a finite sequence of A disjoint balls b_i where the corresponding doubling indices grow exponentially, to the extent that we get a contradiction with the Donnelly-Fefferman's growth bound. The argument is based on a recent Remez-type inequality for eigenfunctions due to Logunov and Malinikova (see [26, 27]).

Comparison with two dimensions. Complex methods and a majorization principle comparing the harmonic measure of $B \setminus \Omega_\lambda$ in B with the eigenfunction allow to show in two dimensions that for a ball $B = B(x_{\max}, \lambda^{-1/2})$ the complementary set $B \setminus \Omega$ cannot approach the center too much [29]. However, the capacity estimates we apply in this paper, due to Lieb, Maz'ya-Shubin and Georgiev-Mukherjee [15, 25, 32] are effective only in dimensions three and above. Interestingly, Georgiev and Mukherjee [15] prove this capacity estimate by combining the above majorization principle with heat flow methods.

Structure of the paper. The proof of the main Theorem 1.1 is given in §3. Before that we present in §2 the classical and more recent tools needed for the proof. In §4 we reprove the existence of an almost inscribed ball with center located at a maximum point (Theorem 2.6) by methods which, in our view, are more oriented toward the PDE community than the Brownian motion tools used in [15].

1.1 Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to Stefano Decio for discussing aspects of this problem with us. We thank Yehuda Pinchover and Iosif Polterovich for discussing with us some subtleties of the heat kernel estimates in §5 and for pointing our attention to Kannai's paper [20]. We are very grateful to Sasha Logunov for pointing out the resemblance of our argument with Domar's proof of Levinson's log log theorem (see [21, Ch. VII, §D.7; 28]). This significantly simplified the presentation of our proof.

The problem considered in this paper was originally suggested by Leonid Polterovich during DM's PhD thesis. DM wishes to express his deep gratitude to Leonid Polterovich for his wise advice and for being a role model over the years.

PC was funded by the Zuckerman Postdoctoral Scholars Program at the Technion, and by the NCCR SwissMAP, funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation (grant number 205607).

2 Tool box: Growth of eigenfunctions, Remez-type inequality, almost inscribed balls, gradient estimate

Notation 2.1. Let r_{inj} denote the injectivity radius of M . Let $B = B(x, r)$ be a geodesic ball in M , where $2r < r_{\text{inj}}$. We let $2B = B(x, 2r)$ be the concentric geodesic ball of twice the radius.

Definition 2.2 (The doubling index). Let f be a function defined in a geodesic ball $2B$. We let

$$N(f, B) := \log_2 \frac{\sup_{2B} |f|}{\sup_B |f|}$$

The following fundamental bound holds for eigenfunctions.

Theorem 2.3 (Donnelly-Fefferman's growth bound [11]). Let (M, g) be a closed Riemannian manifold. Let u_λ be a Laplace-Beltrami eigenfunction with corresponding eigenvalue λ . Let $B = B(x, r)$ be a geodesic ball in M with $2r < \text{inj}(M)$. Then

$$N(u_\lambda, B) \leq C_{DF} \sqrt{\lambda},$$

for some $C_{DF} = C_{DF}(M, g) > 0$.

Another tool we apply is the following Remez-type inequality. It is a version of the three balls inequality for wild sets. This is a recent result, due to Logunov and Malinnikova, which was conjectured by Landis and Donnelly and Fefferman, who gave an idea on how such growth bounds can be used for the study of nodal sets [12].

Theorem 2.4 (Remez-type inequality for solutions of elliptic equations [27]). Let h be a solution to a linear elliptic equation in divergence form $\text{div}(A\nabla h) = 0$ in a ball $2B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$. For any measurable subset $E \subset B$

$$\sup_B |h| \leq C_1 \sup_E |h| \left(C_1 \frac{\text{Vol}(B)}{\text{Vol}(E)} \right)^{C_1 N(h, B)},$$

for some $C_1 = C_1(A) > 0$.

We will apply the immediate corollary below, adapted for eigenfunctions.

Corollary 2.5 (Remez-type inequality for eigenfunctions in small scales). Let (M, g) be a closed Riemannian manifold. Let u_λ satisfy the eigenvalue equation $-\Delta_g u_\lambda = \lambda u_\lambda$ in a geodesic ball $2B = B(x, 2r)$ with $r < \lambda^{-1/2}$. Then,

$$\sup_B |u_\lambda| \leq C_R \sup_E |u_\lambda| \left(C_R \frac{\text{Vol}(B)}{\text{Vol}(E)} \right)^{C_R N(u_\lambda, B) + C_R},$$

for some $C_R = C_R(M, g) > 0$.

Proof. Consider the harmonic function $h(t, x) := e^{t\sqrt{\lambda}}u_\lambda(x)$ defined on the $d + 1$ dimensional ball $B^{d+1}((0, x), 2r)$ in the product Riemannian manifold $\mathbb{R} \times M$. Then,

$$N(u_\lambda, B(x, r)) - C \leq N(h, B^{d+1}((0, x), r)) \leq N(u_\lambda, B(x, r)) + C$$

Set $E^{d+1} = \{(t, y) \in B^{d+1}((0, x), r) \mid y \in E\}$. Apply Theorem 2.4 to h with E replaced by E^{d+1} and B replaced by B^{d+1} . \square

The following ingredient in our proof, which is due to Georgiev and Mukherjee, guarantees a large almost inscribed ball in a nodal domain, centered at a maximum point of the eigenfunction in the nodal domain.

Theorem 2.6 (An almost inscribed ball [15]). *Let (M, g) be a closed Riemannian manifold of dimension d at least three. Let u_λ be an eigenfunction and Ω a corresponding nodal domain. Let $x_{\max} \in \Omega$ be a point where $|u_\lambda(x_{\max})| = \max_\Omega |u_\lambda|$. Then, for any geodesic ball $B_\delta = B(x_{\max}, \delta\lambda^{-1/2})$*

$$\frac{\text{Vol}(B_\delta \setminus \Omega)}{\text{Vol}(B_\delta)} \leq C_{\text{out}} \delta^{2d/(d-2)}$$

for some $C_{\text{out}} = C_{\text{out}}(M, g) > 0$.

Remark. *Lieb, Maz'ya and Shubin [25, 32] proved the existence of an almost inscribed ball of radius $\sim \lambda^{-1/2}$ in a bounded domain in the Euclidean domain with first Dirichlet eigenvalue λ . Their arguments do not give information about its center.*

Finally, we recall the following classical gradient estimate for solutions of linear elliptic equations. Let

$$L = a^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j + b^i \partial_i + c$$

be a uniformly elliptic operator of second order in the unit ball. Assume that

$$\|a^{ij}\|_{C^2(B_1)} + \|b^i\|_{C^1(B_1)} + \|c\|_{C(B_1)} \leq K$$

and that

$$\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \quad a^{ij} \xi_i \xi_j \geq \Lambda |\xi|^2$$

for some $\Lambda > 0$. We have

Theorem 2.7 (A gradient estimate [16, Theorem 6.2]). *Let h satisfy $Lh = 0$ in the ball $B_r = B(0, r)$ where $0 < r < 1$. Then,*

$$|\nabla h(0)| \leq \frac{C_2}{r} \sup_{B_r} |h| ,$$

for some $C_2 = C_2(K, \Lambda, d) > 0$.

Adjusted for eigenfunctions using the same lifting trick as in the proof of Corollary 2.5 we get

Corollary 2.8 (A gradient estimates for eigenfunctions). *Let (M, g) be a closed Riemannian manifold and let u_λ satisfy $-\Delta_g u_\lambda = \lambda u_\lambda$ in a geodesic ball $B(x, r)$, where $r < \lambda^{-1/2}$. Then,*

$$\sup_{B(x, r/2)} |\nabla u_\lambda| \leq \frac{C_{\text{gr}}}{r} \sup_{B(x, r)} |u_\lambda|$$

for some $C_{\text{gr}} = C_{\text{gr}}(M, g) > 0$.

3 Proof of the main Theorem 1.1

Let Ω_λ be a nodal domain of u_λ and x_{\max} be a point where $|u_\lambda|$ attains its maximum in Ω_λ . We re-normalize u_λ so that $u_\lambda(x_{\max}) = 1$.

Identify the geodesic ball $B(x_{\max}, r_{\text{inj}})$ by means of the exponential map at x_{\max} with an open ball of radius r_{inj} in Euclidean space, centered at 0. Assume that $\lambda > 1$ and let B_δ be a ball of radius $\delta\lambda^{-1/2}$ centered at 0.

Lemma 3.1. *There exists $c_1 = c_1(M, g) > 0$ such that if $A \leq c_1\delta^{-2/(d-2)}$ then for any ball $b \subset B_\delta$ of radius $\delta\lambda^{-1/2}/A$,*

$$\frac{\text{Vol}(b \cap \Omega_\lambda)}{\text{Vol}(b)} \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Proof. Otherwise, for any $c_1 > 0$, some A as above and some ball $b \subset B_\delta$ of radius $\delta\lambda^{-1/2}/A$ we get the following inequalities.

$$\frac{\text{Vol}(B_\delta \setminus \Omega_\lambda)}{\text{Vol}(B_\delta)} \geq \frac{\text{Vol}(b \setminus \Omega_\lambda)}{\text{Vol}(B_\delta)} > \frac{1}{2} \frac{\text{Vol}(b)}{\text{Vol}(B_\delta)} \geq \frac{1}{2} c A^{-d} \geq \frac{1}{2} c c_1^{-d} \delta^{2d/(d-2)}.$$

The preceding inequality contradicts Theorem 2.6 for $c_1 > 0$ small enough depending on C_{out}, M, g only. \square

Lemma 3.2. *There exist $T > 1, a > 0$ which only depend on (M, g) , such that for any ball $b \subset B_{r_{\text{inj}}/2}$ which satisfies $\text{Vol}(b \cap \Omega_\lambda)/\text{Vol}(b) \geq 1/2$ and $\sup_b |u_\lambda| \geq T$, it holds that*

$$\sup_{2b} |u_\lambda| \geq (\sup_b |u_\lambda|)^{1+a}. \quad (1)$$

Proof. By Corollary 2.5,

$$\sup_b |u_\lambda| \leq C_R \sup_{b \cap \Omega_\lambda} |u_\lambda| \left(C_R \frac{\text{Vol}(b)}{\text{Vol}(b \cap \Omega_\lambda)} \right)^{C_R N(u_\lambda, b) + C_R} \leq C_R (2C_R)^{C_R N(u_\lambda, b) + C_R}$$

This implies that $N(u_\lambda, b) \geq c' \log_2 \sup_b |u_\lambda| - c'' \geq (c' \log_2 \sup_b |u_\lambda|)/2$, where the last inequality is true if $\log_2 \sup_b |u_\lambda| \geq T$, with T large enough. The preceding inequality amounts to

$$\log_2 \frac{\sup_{2b} |u_\lambda|}{\sup_b |u_\lambda|} \geq \frac{c'}{2} \log_2 (\sup_b |u_\lambda|)$$

or,

$$\sup_{2b} |u_\lambda| \geq \sup_b |u_\lambda|^{1+c'/2}.$$

\square

We now apply Lemma 3.2 iteratively on small balls in B_δ to find a ball with a large doubling index.

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose $\sup_{B_{\delta/2}} |u_\lambda| > T$, where T is as in Lemma 3.2. Set $A = c_1\delta^{-2/(d-2)}$. Then*

$$N(u_\lambda, B_{\delta/2}) \geq e^{c_2 A}.$$

Proof. Consider a longest sequence of balls $b_k \subset B_\delta$ with the following properties

- (i) Each ball b_k is of radius $\delta\lambda^{-1/2}/A$.
- (ii) The ball b_1 is centered at a point where $|u_\lambda|$ attains its maximum in the closure of $B_{\delta/2}$.
- (iii) The center of b_{k+1} is located at a point where $|u_\lambda|$ attains its maximum in the closure of $2b_k$.

From Lemma 3.1 we know that for all k

$$\frac{\text{Vol}(b_k \cap \Omega_\lambda)}{\text{Vol}(b_k)} \geq \frac{1}{2},$$

and so, we may apply Lemma 3.2 to each ball b_k to obtain that

$$\sup_{b_{k+1}} |u_\lambda| \geq \sup_{2b_k} |u_\lambda| \geq \sup_{b_k} |u_\lambda|^{1+a}.$$

In particular, we can find at least $\lfloor (\delta\lambda^{-1/2}/2)/(2\delta\lambda^{-1/2}/A) \rfloor = \lfloor A/4 \rfloor$ distinct balls in the sequence. It follows

$$\sup_{B_\delta} |u_\lambda| \geq \sup_{b_{\lfloor A/4 \rfloor}} |u_\lambda| \geq \sup_{b_1} |u_\lambda|^{(1+a)^{\lfloor A/4 \rfloor}} \geq \sup_{B_{\delta/2}} |u_\lambda|^{(1+a)^{\lfloor A/4 \rfloor}}.$$

Finally,

$$N(u_\lambda, B_{\delta/2}) \geq (1+a)^{\lfloor A/4 \rfloor} - 1 \geq e^{cA}.$$

□

The preceding lemma shows fast growth in the ball B_δ . When confronted with the Donnelly-Fefferman growth bound in Theorem 2.3 we obtain a bound on the size of u_λ .

Proposition 3.4. *There exists $c_3 = c_3(M, g) > 0$ such that if $\delta = c_3(\log \lambda)^{-\frac{d-2}{2}}$, then*

$$\sup_{B_{\delta/2}} |u_\lambda| < T.$$

Proof. Fix $c_3 > 0$ (and δ) so that for A as in Lemma 3.3 we have $c_2A > \log(C_{DF}\sqrt{\lambda})$. If $\sup_{B_{\delta/2}} |u_\lambda| \geq T$ we obtain from Lemma 3.3 that

$$N(u_\lambda, B_{\delta/2}) > C_{DF}\sqrt{\lambda},$$

contradicting Theorem 2.3. □

With the preceding proposition we combine the gradient estimate to finish the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Set δ as in Proposition 3.4. Then, $\sup_{B_{\delta/2}} |u_\lambda| \leq T$. By Corollary 2.8, $\sup_{B_{\delta/4}} |\nabla u_\lambda| \leq \frac{2C_{gr}T}{\delta}$. Since $u_\lambda(x_{\max}) = 1$, it follows that we can find a ball of radius $\frac{\delta\lambda^{-1/2}}{c}$ where u_λ is positive. □

4 Proof of Theorem 2.6: A capacity estimate and an almost inscribed ball

We give a proof of Theorem 2.6, due to Georgiev-Mukherjee [15]. One can estimate the capacity of a condenser $(B \setminus \Omega, B)$ by considering the heat flow in $B \cap \Omega$ with boundary conditions set to one on $B \cap \partial\Omega$ and zero elsewhere, while setting the initial condition to zero (see [17]). The main idea in [15] (cf. [29, §3]) is then to compare the heat flow in $B \cap \Omega$ with the heat flow in Ω starting from an eigenfunction, solved explicitly. One gets an explicit estimate of the heat at a maximum point of the eigenfunction in Ω .

The actual implementation of this elegant idea in [15] is done through Brownian motion techniques, and some points are only sketched. We give here an implementation of this idea which is more oriented toward the PDE community. The tools used below replacing the Brownian motion arguments are Li-Yau Gaussian upper bounds, Gaussian lower bounds and the principle of not feeling the boundary.

4.1 Estimating the capacity via the heat flow

In this section we recall Theorem 4.2 due to Grigor'yan-Saloff-Coste [17, Theorem 3.7], which gives a way to estimate the capacity of a condenser by considering the heat flow in it and taking one measurement of temperature at a point. We only treat the case of a smooth condenser, as the more general case, treated using the tools of Potential Theory (see [17]), is not needed for our purpose.

4.1.1 Recalling the heat equation and the notion of capacity

Let $U \subset M$ be a chart with smooth boundary, and let $K \subset U$ be a compact set with smooth boundary. The heating capacity $\text{cap}(K, U)$ of the condenser (K, U) is the heat rejected per unit time by the condenser when the temperature drops by one unit. It is defined (see [31, §2.2.1] or [19, Ch. 2]) by

Definition 4.1 (Capacity). *We set*

$$\text{cap}(K, U) = \inf \left\{ \int_U |\nabla v|^2 dx : v \in C_c^1(U) \text{ and } v \geq 1 \text{ on } K \right\}.$$

Let $\psi_{K,U}^{eq}$ be the solution to the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = 0, & \text{in } U \setminus K, \\ u = 1, & \text{on } \partial K, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial U. \end{cases}$$

A classical variational argument and Green's identity show that

$$\text{cap}(K, U) = \int_{U \setminus K} |\nabla \psi_{K,U}^{eq}|^2 dx = - \int_{\partial K} \partial_\nu \psi_{K,U}^{eq} d\sigma \quad (2)$$

where ν is the outward unit normal with respect to K .

We let $\psi_{K,U}(t, x)$ denote the heat flow in the condenser (K, U) with initial conditions set to zero, i.e., it solves

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \Delta_x u & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+ \times (U \setminus K), \\ u(0, x) = 0 & \text{for all } x \in U \setminus K, \\ u(t, y) = 0 & \text{for all } y \in \partial U \text{ and } t > 0, \\ u(t, y) = 1 & \text{for all } y \in \partial K \text{ and } t > 0. \end{cases}$$

We recall that for a smooth open subset $V \subset M$ a solution $u(t, x)$ to the heat equation with zero boundary condition and initial condition $u_0(x)$ is given by a smooth heat kernel, denoted by $p_V(t, x, y)$:

$$u(t, x) = \int_V p_V(t, x, y) u_0(y) dy .$$

4.1.2 An upper bound on capacity via the heat flow

The following theorem gives an upper bound on the capacity in terms of $\psi_{K,U}(t, x)$ and a heat kernel lower bound:

Theorem 4.2 ([17, Theorem 3.7]).

$$\text{cap}(K, U) \int_0^t \inf_{y \in \partial K} p_U(s, x, y) ds \leq \psi_{K,U}(t, x)$$

for all $x \in U \setminus K$ and $t > 0$.

For the proof we start with

Lemma 4.3. For all $t > 0$ and $x \in U \setminus K$,

$$\psi_{K,U}^{eq}(x) - \psi_{K,U}(t, x) = \int_{U \setminus K} p_{U \setminus K}(t, x, y) \psi_{K,U}^{eq}(y) dy . \quad (3)$$

Proof. Indeed, both sides of the equation satisfy the heat equation in $U \setminus K$ with the same initial condition and with boundary condition set to zero. \square

On the other hand the equilibrium temperature $\psi_{K,U}^{eq}$ is expressed via the Green kernel as:

Lemma 4.4. For all $x \in U \setminus K$

$$\psi_{K,U}^{eq}(x) = - \int_{\partial K} G_U(x, y) \partial_\nu \psi_{K,U}^{eq}(y) d\sigma(y) . \quad (4)$$

where ν is the outer unit normal with respect to K .

Proof. Green's identity in $U \setminus K$ shows, as $\psi_{K,U}^{eq}$ is harmonic, that

$$\psi_{K,U}^{eq}(x) = - \int_{\partial K} G_U(x, y) \partial_\nu \psi_{K,U}^{eq}(y) d\sigma(y) + \int_{\partial K} \partial_\nu G_U(x, \cdot)(y) \psi_{K,U}^{eq}(y) d\sigma(y) .$$

Observe now that the second integral term vanishes for $x \in U \setminus K$:

$$\int_{\partial K} \partial_\nu G_U(x, \cdot)(y) d\sigma(y) = \int_K \Delta_y G_U(x, y) dy = 0 .$$

\square

Remark. One already sees how capacity might arise by comparing the right hand side of (4) with the expression in (2).

Proof of Theorem 4.2. We combine the preceding two lemmas, the connection between the Green kernel and the heat kernel (see [7, Ch. VII, p. 177]) and the maximum principle in order to estimate $\psi_{K,U}^{eq}(x) - \psi_{K,U}(t, x)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_{K,U}^{eq}(x) - \psi_{K,U}(t, x) &= \int_{U \setminus K} p_{U \setminus K}(t, x, y) \psi_{K,U}^{eq}(y) dy \\
&= - \int_{U \setminus K} \int_{\partial K} p_{U \setminus K}(t, x, y) G_U(y, z) \partial_\nu \psi_{K,U}^{eq}(z) d\sigma(z) dy \\
&= - \int_{U \setminus K} \int_{\partial K} \int_0^\infty p_{U \setminus K}(t, x, y) p_U(s, y, z) \partial_\nu \psi_{K,U}^{eq}(z) ds d\sigma(z) dy \\
&\leq - \int_{\partial K} \int_0^\infty \left(\int_U p_U(t, x, y) p_U(s, y, z) dy \right) \partial_\nu \psi_{K,U}^{eq}(z) ds d\sigma(z) \\
&= - \int_{\partial K} \int_0^\infty p_U(t+s, x, z) \partial_\nu \psi_{K,U}^{eq}(z) ds d\sigma(z) \\
&= - \int_{\partial K} \left(\int_t^\infty p_U(s, x, y) ds \right) \partial_\nu \psi_{K,U}^{eq}(y) d\sigma(y) .
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

On the other hand, by (4) we can write:

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_{K,U}^{eq}(x) &= - \int_{\partial K} G_U(x, y) \partial_\nu \psi_{K,U}^{eq}(y) d\sigma(y) \\
&= - \int_{\partial K} \left(\int_0^\infty p_U(s, x, y) ds \right) \partial_\nu \psi_{K,U}^{eq}(y) d\sigma(y) .
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Therefore, combining (5) and (6), we have the following lower bound for $\psi_{K,U}(t, x)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_{K,U}(t, x) &\geq - \int_{\partial K} \left(\int_0^t p_U(s, x, y) ds \right) \partial_\nu \psi_{K,U}^{eq}(y) d\sigma(y) \\
&\geq - \left(\int_0^t \inf_{y \in \partial K} p_U(s, x, y) ds \right) \int_{\partial K} \partial_\nu \psi_{K,U}^{eq}(y) d\sigma(y) \\
&= \left(\int_0^t \inf_{y \in \partial K} p_U(s, x, y) ds \right) \text{cap}(K, U) .
\end{aligned}$$

□

4.2 Estimating the heat kernel from below

In order to apply the general capacity upper bound via the heat flow (Theorem 4.2) we need to know lower bounds on the heat kernel. We cover M by a finite number of geodesic balls $\{B(x_k, r_0/4)\}_k$, such that $\{B(x_k, r_0)\}_k$ are strongly convex geodesic balls. We set $U = B(x_j, r_0)$, and $K \Subset U$, where the point x_j and the subset K will be specified in §4.3.

Proposition 4.5. *There exists a positive constant C such that for all $0 < r < r_0$ and $x \in U \setminus K$ such that $K \subset B(x, r)$*

$$\text{cap}(K, U) \leq C\psi_{K,U}(r^2, x)r^{d-2} .$$

Proof. Recall the Gaussian lower bound for the Dirichlet heat kernel in U , which immediately follows from Theorems 5.2 and 5.3: There exists $t_0 > 0$ such that for all $0 < t < t_0$, and all $r > 0$ small enough

$$\int_0^t \inf_{y \in \partial K} p_U(s, x, y) ds \geq \int_0^t C s^{-d/2} e^{-Cr^2/s} ds .$$

For $t = r^2$ we conclude

$$\int_0^{r^2} \inf_{y \in \partial K} p_U(s, x, y) ds \geq Cr^{2-d} . \quad (7)$$

Combining Theorem 4.2 with inequality (7), we conclude the desired estimate. \square

4.3 Comparing $\psi_{K,U}$ to a flow of an eigenfunction

In this section Ω is a nodal domain of an eigenfunction u_λ , where u_λ is positive in Ω . The point $x_{\max} \in \Omega$ is a maximal point of u_λ in Ω . For $0 < \delta < 1$ and $\lambda > \lambda_0$ large enough we let $K \subset B(x_{\max}, \delta\lambda^{-1/2}) \setminus \Omega$ be any compact smooth subset. We fix $U = B(x_j, r_0)$ to be one of the strongly convex geodesic balls defined in §4.2 for which $B(x_{\max}, \delta\lambda^{-1/2}) \subset B(x_j, r_0/2)$. The aim of this subsection is to prove

Theorem 4.6.

$$\text{cap}(K, U) \leq C\delta^2(\delta\lambda^{-1/2})^{d-2} .$$

Theorem 4.6 immediately follows from Proposition 4.5 and the following estimate.

Proposition 4.7. *There exist $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that*

$$\psi_{K,U}(\delta^2\lambda^{-1}, x_{\max}) \leq c_1\delta^2$$

for all $\lambda > c_2$ and $0 < \delta < 1$.

First, by raising the boundary temperature on ∂U we have

Lemma 4.8. *For all $t > 0$, $x \in U \setminus K$*

$$\psi_{K,U}(t, x) \leq 1 - \int_{U \setminus K} p_{U \setminus K}(t, x, y) dy .$$

Proof. Indeed, the expression on the right hand side gives the solution to the heat equation with initial condition set to zero in $U \setminus K$ and with boundary values set to one on ∂U and ∂K . Therefore, it has same initial condition as $\psi_{K,U}(t, x)$ but higher values on the boundary. \square

The elegant idea ([15]) for the proof of Proposition 4.7 is the majorization of $\psi_{K,U}(t, x)$ for $x \in \Omega$ by the heat flow starting at $1 - u_\lambda(x)/u_\lambda(x_{\max})$ with constant boundary condition:

$$e^{-\lambda t} u_\lambda(x_{\max}) = \int_{\Omega} p_{\Omega}(t, x_{\max}, y) u_\lambda(y) dy \leq u_\lambda(x_{\max}) \int_{\Omega} p_{\Omega}(t, x_{\max}, y) dy . \quad (8)$$

If Ω is contained in U then we have $\Omega \subset U \setminus K$ and $p_{\Omega} \leq p_{U \setminus K}$. Thus, in this case we can immediately obtain the estimate we aim for by combining (8) and Lemma 4.8. However, we need to treat the case where Ω is not fully contained in the ball U , which requires several estimates on the heat kernel.

Proof of Proposition 4.7. We decompose Ω into two parts:

$$\Omega = (\Omega \cap U) \cup (\Omega \setminus U)$$

Since $\Omega \cap U \subseteq U \setminus K$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} p_{\Omega}(t, x_{\max}, y) dy &\leq \int_{U \setminus K} p_{U \setminus K}(t, x_{\max}, y) dy \\ &+ \int_{\Omega \cap U} (p_{\Omega}(t, x_{\max}, y) - p_{\Omega \cap U}(t, x_{\max}, y)) dy + \int_{\Omega \setminus U} p_{\Omega}(t, x_{\max}, y) dy . \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

To find upper bounds on the last term on the right hand side of (9), we recall that $p_{\Omega} \leq p_M$ and that p_M obeys the Li-Yau Gaussian upper bound in Theorem 5.1. Since $d(x_{\max}, \Omega \setminus U) \geq r_0/2$, we have for $0 < t < 1$

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus U} p_M(t, x_{\max}, y) dy \leq \text{Vol}(M) t^{-d/2} e^{-Cr_0^2/t} \leq C_1 e^{-C_0 r_0^2/(2t)} \quad (10)$$

To bound the second term on the right hand side of (9) we start by the general comparison Lemma 4.9 below, and obtain

$$\int_{\Omega \cap U} (p_{\Omega}(t, x_{\max}, y) - p_{\Omega \cap U}(t, x_{\max}, y)) dy \leq 1 - \int_U p_U(t, x_{\max}, y) dy . \quad (11)$$

In order to estimate the right hand side of (11), we note that according to Theorem 5.3 we can find $\varepsilon > 0$ and $t_0 > 0$ such that for all $0 < t < t_0$ and $x, y \in B(x_j, 3r_0/4)$

$$p_U(t, x, y) \geq (1 - e^{-\varepsilon/t}) p_M(t, x, y) .$$

Then, we obtain for $0 < t < t_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_U p_U(t, x_{\max}, y) dy &\geq \int_{B(x_j, 3r_0/4)} p_U(t, x_{\max}, y) dy \\ &\geq (1 - e^{-\varepsilon/t}) \int_{B(x_j, 3r_0/4)} p_M(t, x_{\max}, y) dy \\ &= (1 - e^{-\varepsilon/t}) \left(1 - \int_{M \setminus B(x_j, 3r_0/4)} p_M(t, x_{\max}, y) dy \right) \\ &\geq (1 - e^{-\varepsilon/t}) (1 - C e^{-Cr_0^2/t}) \geq 1 - e^{-\varepsilon/t} - C e^{-Cr_0^2/t} \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the upper bound in Theorem 5.1 on p_M . It follows that

$$1 - \int_U p_U(t, x_{\max}, y) dy \leq (C + 1)e^{-A/t}, \quad (12)$$

where $A = \{\min \varepsilon, Cr_0^2\}$.

Collecting the estimates (8), (9), (10) and (12) we get that for all $0 < t < t_0$

$$e^{-\lambda t} \leq \int_{\Omega} p_{\Omega}(t, x_{\max}, y) dy \leq \int_{U \setminus K} p_{U \setminus K}(t, x_{\max}, y) dy + Ce^{-A/t},$$

implying

$$1 - \int_{U \setminus K} p_{U \setminus K}(t, x_{\max}, y) dy \leq 1 - e^{-\lambda t} + Ce^{-A/t} \leq 1 - e^{-\delta^2} + Ce^{-\delta^{-2}} < C\delta^2,$$

for all $t < \delta^2 \lambda^{-1}$, $\lambda > A^{-1}$ and $0 < \delta < 1$. Finally we apply Lemma 4.8 to get the required estimate. \square

It remains to prove a general comparison lemma

Lemma 4.9. *For any open sets W_1, W_2 and $x \in W_1 \cap W_2$*

$$\int_{W_1 \cap W_2} (p_{W_1}(t, x, y) - p_{W_1 \cap W_2}(t, x, y)) dy \leq 1 - \int_{W_2} p_{W_2}(t, x, y) dy. \quad (13)$$

Proof. Both sides satisfy the heat equation in $W_1 \cap W_2$, and are equal to 0 at time 0. Consider

$$\partial(W_1 \cap W_2) = ((\partial W_1) \cap \overline{W_2}) \cup (\overline{W_1} \cap \partial W_2).$$

The left hand side is 0 on $(\partial W_1) \cap \overline{W_2}$, while the right hand side is 1 on $\overline{W_1} \cap \partial W_2$. Since both sides attain values only between 0 and 1, it follows that the left hand side is not bigger than the right hand side on $\partial(W_1 \cap W_2)$, and the inequality follows from the maximum principle. \square

4.4 Passing from capacity to volume

We first recall the following basic estimate

Theorem 4.10 ([31, §2.2.3, Corollary 2]).

$$\text{Vol}(K) \leq C \text{cap}(K, U)^{d/(d-2)}.$$

Exhausting $B(x_{\max}, \delta \lambda^{-1/2}) \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ by smooth compact sets while using Theorem 4.6 gives that

$$\text{Vol}(B(x_{\max}, \delta \lambda^{-1/2}) \setminus \overline{\Omega}) \leq C \delta^{2d/(d-2)} (\delta \lambda^{-1/2})^d.$$

We also know by [18] that the d -dimensional Hausdorff measure of the nodal set is zero. So we have

$$\frac{\text{Vol}(B(x_{\max}, \delta \lambda^{-1/2}) \setminus \Omega)}{\text{Vol}(B(x_{\max}, \delta \lambda^{-1/2}))} \leq C \delta^{2d/(d-2)}.$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 2.6.

5 Appendix: Heat kernel bounds in small times

We recall celebrated Gaussian upper and lower bounds on the heat kernel, together with the principle of not feeling the boundary.

In the following special case of Li-Yau upper bound the points x, y may be taken far apart:

Theorem 5.1 ([24, Corollary 3.1], [9, Theorem 16]). *Let M be a closed Riemannian manifold. For all $0 < t < 1$ and $x, y \in M$ we have*

$$p_M(t, x, y) \leq C_1 t^{-d/2} e^{-C_2 d(x, y)^2/t}$$

The following lower bound on closed Riemannian manifolds follows from the comparison theorem of Cheeger-Yau [8, Theorem 3.1] and the explicit formula for the heat kernel on hyperbolic space [10, Theorem 3.1]. For different proofs with improved dependence on the geometry see [2, p. 147] and [23, Theorem 1.5]. In fact, we apply the lower bound only for x close to y , in which case it can be derived also from the small time asymptotic expansion of the heat kernel when x is close to y (see [4, §III.E] or [20, formula (1.2)]).

Theorem 5.2. *Let M be a closed Riemannian manifold. There exists $C_3 > 0$ such that for all $0 < t < 1$, $x, y \in M$*

$$p_M(t, x, y) \geq C_3 t^{-d/2} e^{-d(x, y)^2/(4t)} \quad (14)$$

To compare the Dirichlet heat kernel of a domain in a closed manifold to the heat kernel of the manifold we recall the following quantitative “principle of not feeling the boundary” due to Norris:

Theorem 5.3 ([33, proof of Theorem 1.3]). *Suppose (M, g) is a closed Riemannian manifold. For every strongly convex geodesic ball $B(x_*, r_0) \subset M$ of radius r_0 there exist $t_0 > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that*

$$\frac{p_{B(x_*, r_0)}(t, x, y)}{p_M(t, x, y)} \geq 1 - e^{-\varepsilon/t} \quad (15)$$

for all $x, y \in B(x_*, 3r_0/4)$ and $0 < t < t_0$.

References

- [1] D. G. Aronson, *Bounds for the fundamental solution of a parabolic equation*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **73** (1967), 890–896, DOI 10.1090/S0002-9904-1967-11830-5. MR217444
- [2] D. Bakry and Z. M. Qian, *Harnack inequalities on a manifold with positive or negative Ricci curvature*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **15** (1999), no. 1, 143–179, DOI 10.4171/RMI/253. MR1681640
- [3] P. Bérard and D. Meyer, *Inégalités isopérimétriques et applications*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **15** (1982), no. 3, 513–541 (French). MR690651
- [4] M. Berger, P. Gauduchon, and E. Mazet, *Le spectre d’une variété riemannienne*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 194, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1971 (French). MR0282313
- [5] J. Brüning, *Über Knoten von Eigenfunktionen des Laplace-Beltrami-Operators*, Math. Z. **158** (1978), no. 1, 15–21, DOI 10.1007/BF01214561 (German). MR478247

- [6] S. Chanillo, A. Logunov, E. Malinnikova, and D. Mangoubi, *Local version of Courant's nodal domain theorem*, J. Differential Geom. **126** (2024), no. 1, 49–63, DOI 10.4310/jdg/1707767334. MR4704545
- [7] I. Chavel, *Eigenvalues in Riemannian geometry*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 115, Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, FL, 1984. Including a chapter by Burton Randol; With an appendix by Jozef Dodziuk. MR768584
- [8] J. Cheeger and S. T. Yau, *A lower bound for the heat kernel*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **34** (1981), no. 4, 465–480, DOI 10.1002/cpa.3160340404. MR615626
- [9] E. B. Davies, *Gaussian upper bounds for the heat kernels of some second-order operators on Riemannian manifolds*, J. Funct. Anal. **80** (1988), no. 1, 16–32, DOI 10.1016/0022-1236(88)90062-6. MR960220
- [10] E. B. Davies and N. Mandouvalos, *Heat kernel bounds on hyperbolic space and Kleinian groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **57** (1988), no. 1, 182–208, DOI 10.1112/plms/s3-57.1.182. MR940434
- [11] H. Donnelly and C. Fefferman, *Nodal sets of eigenfunctions on Riemannian manifolds*, Invent. Math. **93** (1988), no. 1, 161–183, DOI 10.1007/BF01393691. MR943927
- [12] H. Donnelly and C. Fefferman, *Growth and geometry of eigenfunctions of the Laplacian*, Analysis and partial differential equations, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 122, Dekker, New York, 1990, pp. 635–655. MR1044811
- [13] G. Faber, *Beweis, daß unter allen homogenen Membranen von gleicher Fläche und gleicher Spannung die kreisförmige den tiefsten Grundton gibt*, Münch. Ber. (1923), 169–172, available at <https://publikationen.badw.de/en/003399311> (German).
- [14] B. Georgiev, *On the lower bound of the inner radius of nodal domains*, J. Geom. Anal. **29** (2019), no. 2, 1546–1554, DOI 10.1007/s12220-018-0050-2. MR3935269
- [15] B. Georgiev and M. Mukherjee, *Nodal geometry, heat diffusion and Brownian motion*, Anal. PDE **11** (2018), no. 1, 133–148, DOI 10.2140/apde.2018.11.133. MR3707293
- [16] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001. Reprint of the 1998 edition. MR1814364
- [17] A. Grigor'yan and L. Saloff-Coste, *Hitting probabilities for Brownian motion on Riemannian manifolds*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **81** (2002), no. 2, 115–142, DOI 10.1016/S0021-7824(01)01244-2. MR1994606
- [18] R. Hardt and L. Simon, *Nodal sets for solutions of elliptic equations*, J. Differential Geom. **30** (1989), no. 2, 505–522. MR1010169
- [19] J. Heinonen, T. Kilpeläinen, and O. Martio, *Nonlinear potential theory of degenerate elliptic equations*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1993. Oxford Science Publications. MR1207810
- [20] Y. Kannai, *Off diagonal short time asymptotics for fundamental solutions of diffusion equations*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **2** (1977), no. 8, 781–830, DOI 10.1080/03605307708820048. MR603299
- [21] P. Koosis, *The logarithmic integral. I*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 12, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. Corrected reprint of the 1988 original. MR1670244
- [22] E. Krahn, *Über eine von Rayleigh formulierte Minimaleigenschaft des Kreises*, Math. Ann. **94** (1925), no. 1, 97–100, DOI 10.1007/BF01208645 (German). MR1512244
- [23] J. Li and X. Xu, *Differential Harnack inequalities on Riemannian manifolds I: linear heat equation*, Adv. Math. **226** (2011), no. 5, 4456–4491, DOI 10.1016/j.aim.2010.12.009. MR2770456
- [24] P. Li and S.-T. Yau, *On the parabolic kernel of the Schrödinger operator*, Acta Math. **156** (1986), no. 3-4, 153–201, DOI 10.1007/BF02399203. MR834612
- [25] E. H. Lieb, *On the lowest eigenvalue of the Laplacian for the intersection of two domains*, Invent. Math. **74** (1983), no. 3, 441–448, DOI 10.1007/BF01394245. MR724014

- [26] A. Logunov and E. Malinnikova, *Lecture notes on quantitative unique continuation for solutions of second order elliptic equations*, Harmonic analysis and applications, IAS/Park City Math. Ser., vol. 27, Amer. Math. Soc., [Providence], RI, [2020] ©2020, pp. 1–33. MR4249624
- [27] A. Logunov and E. Malinnikova, *Quantitative propagation of smallness for solutions of elliptic equations*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians—Rio de Janeiro 2018. Vol. III. Invited lectures, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2018, pp. 2391–2411. MR3966855
- [28] A. Logunov and H. Papazov, *An elliptic adaptation of ideas of Carleman and Domar from complex analysis related to Levinson’s loglog theorem*, J. Math. Phys. **62** (2021), no. 6, Paper No. 061510, 10, DOI 10.1063/5.0044798. MR4276966
- [29] D. Mangoubi, *On the inner radius of a nodal domain*, Canad. Math. Bull. **51** (2008), no. 2, 249–260, DOI 10.4153/CMB-2008-026-2. MR2414212
- [30] D. Mangoubi, *Local asymmetry and the inner radius of nodal domains*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **33** (2008), no. 7-9, 1611–1621, DOI 10.1080/03605300802038577. MR2450173
- [31] V. G. Maz’ja, *Sobolev spaces*, Springer Series in Soviet Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985. Translated from the Russian by T. O. Shaposhnikova. MR817985
- [32] V. Maz’ya and M. Shubin, *Can one see the fundamental frequency of a drum?*, Lett. Math. Phys. **74** (2005), no. 2, 135–151, DOI 10.1007/s11005-005-0010-1. MR2191951
- [33] J. R. Norris, *Heat kernel asymptotics and the distance function in Lipschitz Riemannian manifolds*, Acta Math. **179** (1997), no. 1, 79–103, DOI 10.1007/BF02392720. MR1484769

SECTION DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ DE GENÈVE, 24 RUE DU GÉNÉRAL
 DUFOUR, CASE POSTALE 64, 1211 GENÈVE 4, SWITZERLAND
Email address: philippe.charron@unige.ch

EINSTEIN INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, EDMOND J. SAFRA CAMPUS, THE HE-
 BREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM, JERUSALEM 9190401, ISRAEL
Email address: dan.mangoubi@mail.huji.ac.il