

# HYPERGRAPH INDEPENDENCE POLYNOMIALS WITH A ZERO CLOSE TO THE ORIGIN

SHENGTONG ZHANG

ABSTRACT. For each uniformity  $k \geq 3$ , we construct  $k$ -uniform linear hypergraphs  $G$  with arbitrarily large maximum degree  $\Delta$  whose independence polynomial  $Z_G$  has a zero  $\lambda$  with  $|\lambda| = O\left(\frac{\log \Delta}{\Delta}\right)$ . This disproves a recent conjecture of Galvin, McKinley, Perkins, Sarantis, and Tetali.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A **hypergraph**  $G = (V, E)$  is a set of vertices  $V$  together with a set of edges  $E \subset 2^V$ . A hypergraph is  **$k$ -uniform** if every edge has size  $k$ . The degree of a vertex  $v \in V$ , denoted by  $d(v)$ , is the number of edges it appears in; in a hypergraph with maximum degree  $\Delta$ , each vertex appears in at most  $\Delta$  edges.

An **independent set** in  $G$  is a set of vertices  $I \subset V$  such that  $I$  contains no edge. Let  $\mathcal{I}(G)$  denote the family of all independent sets in  $G$ . The **independence polynomial** of  $G$  is defined by

$$Z_G(\lambda) = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}(G)} \lambda^{|I|}.$$

This polynomial plays an important role in mathematics, physics and computer science [1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10]. A key property for understanding this polynomial is the largest radius of a disk-shaped **zero-free region (ZFR)**, a region in  $\mathbb{C}$  where  $Z_G$  has no zero. We refer the reader to the introduction of [2] for a survey of how knowledge of the zeros of  $Z_G$  can lead to interesting results about independent set.

When  $G$  is a graph with maximum degree  $\Delta$ , the ZFRs for  $Z_G$  are well-understood [6, 8]. Specifically, Shearer [8] showed that  $Z_G$  has no zero inside the disk  $|\lambda| < \frac{(\Delta-1)^{\Delta-1}}{\Delta^\Delta}$ , and this bound is the best possible.

In a recent paper [2], Galvin, McKinley, Perkins, Sarantis and Tetali studied the zeros of  $Z_G$  when  $G$  is a general hypergraph with given maximum degree  $\Delta$ . They showed that  $Z_G$  has no zero inside the disk  $|\lambda| < \frac{\Delta^\Delta}{(\Delta+1)^{(\Delta+1)}}$ . Furthermore, for each uniformity  $k \geq 2$ , they constructed a family of  $k$ -uniform hypergraphs with arbitrarily large maximum degree  $\Delta$  such that  $Z_G$  has a zero  $\lambda$  with  $|\lambda| < O_k\left(\frac{\log \Delta}{\Delta}\right)$ , thereby showing that their bound is tight up to a logarithmic factor if we place no additional assumption on  $G$ .

A hypergraph is **linear** if each pair of edges intersect in at most one vertex. The aforementioned constructions in [2, Section 4] are far from linear, since they contain edges that intersect in  $(k-1)$  vertices. In [2, Conjecture 3], Galvin, McKinley, Perkins, Sarantis and Tetali conjectured that their lower bound on the maximum radius of the zero-free disk for  $Z_G$  can be improved under the additional assumption that  $G$  is linear.

**Conjecture 1.1.** *For each  $k \geq 2$ , there exists a constant  $C_k > 0$  such that the following is true. If  $G$  is a  $k$ -uniform, linear hypergraph with maximum degree  $\Delta$  and if*

$$|\lambda| \leq C_k \Delta^{-\frac{1}{k-1}}$$

then  $Z_G(\lambda) \neq 0$ .

This conjecture is motivated by results on asymptotic enumeration in [5]. Galvin, McKinley, Perkins, Sarantis and Tetali verified this conjecture when  $G$  is a hypertree [2, Theorem 4],


In this note, we disprove Conjecture 1.1 in any uniformity  $k \geq 3$ . Our counterexample shows that the radius of the disk-shaped ZFR  $|\lambda| < \frac{\Delta^\Delta}{(\Delta+1)^{(\Delta+1)}}$  is tight up to a logarithmic factor even if we assume that  $G$  is a  $k$ -uniform linear hypergraph.

**Theorem 1.2.** *For each uniformity  $k \geq 3$  and  $\Delta > 100k^2$ , there exists a  $k$ -uniform linear hypergraph  $G$  with maximum degree  $\Delta$ , such that  $Z_G$  has a negative real zero  $\lambda$  with  $\lambda \in [-\frac{6k \log \Delta}{\Delta}, 0]$ .*

## 2. THE COUNTEREXAMPLE

We begin by describing a general construction.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a hypergraph. We define  $S_G$  as the hypergraph whose vertex set is  $V \sqcup E$ , and whose edge set is  $\{e \cup \{e\} : e \in E\}$ .



We begin by showing a basic property of  $S_G$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *If  $G = (V, E)$  is a  $(k-1)$ -uniform linear hypergraph with maximum degree  $\Delta$ , then  $S_G$  is a  $k$ -uniform linear hypergraph with maximum degree  $\Delta$ .*

*Proof.* Each edge in  $S_G$  has the form  $e \cup \{e\}$  for some  $e \in E$ , and  $|e \cup \{e\}| = |e| + 1 = k$ . So  $S_G$  is  $k$ -uniform.

For any pair of distinct edges  $e_1 \cup \{e_1\}$  and  $e_2 \cup \{e_2\}$  in  $S_G$ , we have

$$|(e_1 \cup \{e_1\}) \cap (e_2 \cup \{e_2\})| = |e_1 \cap e_2| \leq 1.$$

Thus  $S_G$  is linear.

Finally, each vertex in  $V$  has the same degree in  $G$  and  $S_G$ , while each element in  $E$  has degree 1 in  $S_G$ . Therefore, the maximum degree of  $S_G$  is the same as the maximum degree of  $G$ .  $\square$

In the next two lemmas, we give an explicit formula for the independence polynomial  $Z_{S_G}$  of  $S_G$  and prove that it has a zero close to the origin whenever  $G$  satisfies a mild expansion property.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a hypergraph. For each set of vertices  $S \subset V$ , let  $E(S)$  denote the edges of  $G$  with at least one vertex in  $S$ , and write  $e(S) = |E(S)|$ . Then we have*

$$Z_{S_G}(\lambda) = \sum_{S \subset V} \lambda^{|V|-|S|} (1 + \lambda)^{e(S)}.$$

*Proof.* Classifying the independence sets of  $S_G$  based on their intersections with  $V \subset V(S_G)$ , we have

$$Z_{S_G}(\lambda) = \sum_{S \subset V} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}(S_G): I \cap V = V \setminus S} \lambda^{|I|}.$$

A set of vertices  $I \subset V \sqcup E$  with  $I \cap V = V \setminus S$  is independent in  $S_G$  if and only if  $J := I \cap E$  is contained in  $E(S)$ . So we have

$$\sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}(S_G): I \cap V = V \setminus S} \lambda^{|I|} = \lambda^{|V \setminus S|} \sum_{J \subset E(S)} \lambda^{|J|} = \lambda^{|V \setminus S|} (1 + \lambda)^{e(S)}$$

and the lemma follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a hypergraph with  $n \geq 3$  vertices. Assume that  $n$  is odd. Furthermore, assume that for some  $\alpha \in [3 \log n, n]$ , we have  $e(S) \geq \alpha |S|$  for any  $S \subset V$ . Then  $Z_{S_G}$  has a negative real zero in the interval*

$$\left[ -\frac{3 \log n}{\alpha}, 0 \right].$$

*Proof.* Set  $\lambda_0 = -\frac{3 \log n}{\alpha}$ . As  $Z_{S_G}(0) = 1$ , it suffices to show that  $Z_{S_G}(\lambda_0) < 0$ .

By Lemma 2.3, we have the identity

$$Z_{S_G}(\lambda_0) = \sum_{S \subset V} \lambda_0^{|V|-|S|} (1 + \lambda_0)^{e(S)}.$$

We isolate the term with  $S = \emptyset$  and obtain

$$Z_{S_G}(\lambda_0) = \lambda_0^{|V|} \left( 1 + \sum_{S \subset V, S \neq \emptyset} \lambda_0^{-|S|} (1 + \lambda_0)^{e(S)} \right)$$

Since  $0 \leq 1 + \lambda_0 \leq e^{\lambda_0}$ , for each  $S \subset V$  we can estimate

$$\left| \lambda_0^{-|S|} (1 + \lambda_0)^{e(S)} \right| \leq |\lambda_0|^{-|S|} e^{\lambda_0 e(S)} \leq \alpha^{|S|} e^{-3 \log n \cdot |S|}.$$

Thus we have

$$\left| \sum_{S \subset V, S \neq \emptyset} \lambda_0^{-|S|} (1 + \lambda_0)^{e(S)} \right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} \alpha^k e^{-3 \log n \cdot k} \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k!} \left( \frac{\alpha}{n^2} \right)^k.$$

where the second inequality uses the estimate  $\binom{n}{k} \leq \frac{n^k}{k!}$ .

We assume that  $\alpha \leq n$ , so  $\left(\frac{\alpha}{n^2}\right)^k < \frac{1}{n}$  for each  $k \geq 1$ . This leads to

$$\left| \sum_{S \subset V, S \neq \emptyset} \lambda_0^{-|S|} (1 + \lambda_0)^{e(S)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k!} < \frac{e}{n} < 1.$$

Thus we conclude that

$$1 + \sum_{S \subset V, S \neq \emptyset} \lambda_0^{-|S|} (1 + \lambda_0)^{e(S)} > 0$$

so  $Z_{S_G}(\lambda_0) < 0$ , as desired.  $\square$

Our main theorem is an easy corollary of this result. Indeed, for any  $(k-1)$ -uniform hypergraph  $G$  we can show that  $e(S) \geq \frac{\delta(G)|S|}{k-1}$  for any  $S \subset V$ . So when  $\delta(G) \geq \Delta(G) - 1$ , one can take  $\alpha = \frac{\Delta(G)-1}{k-1}$  in Lemma 2.4. We give an explicit construction of such a hypergraph.

**Lemma 2.5.** *For any uniformity  $k \geq 2$  and  $\Delta \geq k$ , there exists a  $k$ -uniform,  $\Delta$ -regular linear hypergraph  $H_{k,\Delta}$  on at most  $2k\Delta$  vertices.*

*Proof.* We take a prime  $p$  in  $[\Delta, 2\Delta]$ , which exists by Chebyshev's theorem. Let  $H_{k,\Delta}$  be the hypergraph on the vertex set  $V = [k] \times \mathbb{Z}_p$  with an edge  $\{(i, a + id) : i \in [k]\}$  for each pair  $(a, d) \in \mathbb{Z}_p \times [\Delta]$ . The number of vertices in  $H_{k,\Delta}$  is  $|V| = kp \leq 2\Delta k$ .

Any vertex  $(i, x) \in V$  is contained precisely in the edges corresponding to  $(a, d) \in \mathbb{Z}_p \times [\Delta]$  with  $a \equiv x - id \pmod{p}$ . As there is exactly one  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  corresponding to each  $d \in [\Delta]$ ,  $H_{k,\Delta}$  is  $\Delta$ -regular.

The size of the intersection between two distinct edges corresponding to  $(a, d)$  and  $(a', d')$  is the number of solutions  $i \in [k]$  to the linear congruence equation  $a + id \equiv a' + id' \pmod{p}$ . As  $k \leq \Delta \leq p$ , there is at most one solution  $i \in [k]$  to this linear congruence equation, so every pair of hyperedges in  $H_{k,\Delta}$  intersect in at most one vertex. Therefore,  $H_{k,\Delta}$  is a linear hypergraph.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Let  $H = H_{(k-1),\Delta}$  be the  $(k-1)$ -uniform linear hypergraph constructed in Lemma 2.5. If  $H$  has an even number of vertices, we remove an arbitrary vertex  $v$  of  $H$  together with any edge containing the vertex. Thus, we obtain a  $(k-1)$ -uniform linear hypergraph  $H$  with an odd number of vertices, maximum degree  $\Delta$ , and minimum degree at least  $(\Delta-1)$ . By Proposition 2.2,  $G = S_H$  is a  $k$ -uniform linear hypergraph with maximum degree  $\Delta$ .

Let  $n \leq 2k\Delta$  be the number of vertices in  $H$ . For any vertex subset  $S$  of  $H$ , the  $(k-1)$ -uniformity of  $H$  implies that the number of edges in  $G$  with at least one vertex in  $S$  is lower bounded by

$$e(S) \geq \frac{1}{k-1} \sum_{v \in S} d(v) \geq \frac{\Delta-1}{k-1} |S|.$$

By our assumptions  $\Delta > 100k^2$  and  $n \leq 2k\Delta$ , we can check that

$$\frac{\Delta-1}{k-1} > \frac{\Delta}{k} > 10\sqrt{\Delta} > 10 \log \Delta > 3 \log(2k\Delta) \geq 3 \log(n).$$

Furthermore, we have  $\frac{\Delta-1}{k-1} \leq \Delta - 1 < n$ . So we can apply Lemma 2.4 with  $\alpha = \frac{\Delta-1}{k-1}$ . We conclude that  $Z_G$  has a negative real zero  $\lambda$  with

$$-\lambda \leq \frac{3 \log n}{(\Delta-1)/(k-1)} \leq \frac{3k \log(2k\Delta)}{\Delta} \leq \frac{6k \log \Delta}{\Delta}$$

where the last two inequalities follow from  $\Delta > 100k^2$ . So  $G$  satisfies the requirements of Theorem 1.2.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am supported by the Craig Franklin Fellowship in Mathematics at Stanford University. I thank Professor Nima Anari and Professor Will Perkins for many helpful discussions and comments. I also thank the anonymous referee for valuable feedbacks on the manuscript.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Ewan Davies and Will Perkins. Approximately counting independent sets of a given size in bounded-degree graphs. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 52(2):618–640, 2023.
- [2] David Galvin, Gwen McKinley, Will Perkins, Michail Sarantis, and Prasad Tetali. On the zeroes of hypergraph independence polynomials. *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 33(1):65–84, 2024.
- [3] Ole J. Heilmann and Elliott H. Lieb. Theory of monomer-dimer systems. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 25:190–232, 1972.
- [4] Vishesh Jain, Will Perkins, Ashwin Sah, and Mehtaab Sawhney. Approximate counting and sampling via local central limit theorems. In *STOC '22—Proceedings of the 54th Annual ACM SIGACT Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 1473–1486. ACM, New York, [2022] ©2022.
- [5] Frank Mousset, Andreas Noever, Konstantinos Panagiotou, and Wojciech Samotij. On the probability of nonexistence in binomial subsets. *Ann. Probab.*, 48(1):493–525, 2020.
- [6] Han Peters and Guus Regts. On a conjecture of Sokal concerning roots of the independence polynomial. *Michigan Math. J.*, 68(1):33–55, 2019.
- [7] Alexander D. Scott and Alan D. Sokal. The repulsive lattice gas, the independent-set polynomial, and the Lovász local lemma. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 118(5-6):1151–1261, 2005.
- [8] J. B. Shearer. On a problem of Spencer. *Combinatorica*, 5(3):241–245, 1985.
- [9] Allan Sly. Computational transition at the uniqueness threshold. In *2010 IEEE 51st Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science—FOCS 2010*, pages 287–296. IEEE Computer Soc., Los Alamitos, CA, 2010.
- [10] Dror Weitz. Counting independent sets up to the tree threshold. In *STOC'06: Proceedings of the 38th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 140–149. ACM, New York, 2006.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STANFORD UNIVERSITY, STANFORD, CA 94305, USA

*Email address:* stzh1555@stanford.edu