

# Dirty derivative stability in the frequency domain

Simon Kuang

May 16, 2023

## Abstract

Differentiation filters can be made proper by composition with a first-order low-pass filter at a desired bandwidth, resulting in a “dirty derivative.” A stable closed-loop system that relies on output derivatives remains stable when the derivatives are replaced with dirty derivatives of sufficiently high bandwidth. I prove and generalize this fact by a frequency-domain argument.

Suppose we have a SISO LTI system in controller normal form of degree  $n$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} x_1 &= x_2 \\ \frac{d}{dt} x_2 &= \frac{d^2}{dt^2} x_1 = x_3 \\ &\dots \\ \frac{d^n}{dt^n} x_1 &= q\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) x_1 + u \end{aligned}$$

where  $q$  is some polynomial of degree  $n - 1$ . Then  $y = x_1$ . Let  $Y$  and  $U$ , respectively, be the Laplace transforms of  $y$  and  $u$  under homogeneous initial conditions.

$$s^n Y = q(s)Y + U.$$

Defining  $p(s) = s^n - q(s)$ ,

$$p(s)Y = U. \tag{1}$$

We are given a polynomial  $k$  of degree  $n - 1$  representing full state feedback. Under the feedback law  $U = k(s)Y - V$ , the transfer function

$$\frac{Y}{V} = \frac{1}{p(s) - k(s)} \tag{2}$$

is stable, and we wonder whether  $Y/V$  remains stable when we replace  $k(s)$  with  $k(\delta)$  where

$$\delta = \frac{\sigma s}{s + \sigma}, \quad \sigma \in (0, \infty)$$

is the *dirty derivative*. In other words, we are looking for a kind of continuity at  $\sigma = \infty$ . To this end, projectivize the  $\sigma$  coordinate using  $\tau = \sigma^{-1}$ .

$$\delta = \frac{s}{\tau s + 1} \quad (3)$$

Now let us define  $G$ , a deformation of  $Y/V$  where  $\tau = 0$  recovers (2).

$$G(s, \tau) = \frac{1}{H(s, \tau)} \quad (4)$$

$$H(s, \tau) = p(s) - k(\delta(s, \tau)) \quad (5)$$

Thus our question is the following: for sufficiently small  $\tau > 0$ , is it true that  $H(s, \tau) \approx H(s, 0)$  in a meaningful way? Marchi, Fraile, and Tabuada [1] find, by adapting the Lyapunov equation of the non-dirty controller, that stability is locally preserved. Our result is slightly more general.

**Theorem 1.** *The zeros of  $H(s, \tau)$  are continuous in  $\tau$ .*

*Proof.* The zeros of  $H(s, \tau)$  are the roots of the numerator of  $H(s, \tau)$ , regarded as a polynomial in  $s$  whose coefficients are polynomials in  $\tau$ . A polynomial's roots vary continuously in the coefficients.  $\square$

Therefore we have the following result, which can also be phrased in terms of  $\sigma = \tau^{-1}$ .

**Corollary 1.** *For all  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\tau > 0$  such that the poles of  $G(s, \tau)$  are within  $\epsilon$  of those of  $G(s, 0)$ .*

## 1 Root locus interpretation

We previously proved that the zeros of  $H(s, \tau)$  do not go very far as  $\tau$  is infinitesimally varied: in fact the same argument holds for the locus of solutions to  $H(s, \tau) = z$ , where  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . Now we find where they go.

In this section we characterize some curves  $s(\tau)$  along which  $H(s(\tau), \tau)$  is constant. The total derivative of  $H$  must vanish.

$$dH(s, \tau) = p'(s) ds - k'(\delta)\delta_s ds - k'(\delta)\delta_\tau d\tau \quad (6)$$

$$= p'(s) ds - \frac{k'(\delta)}{(\tau s + 1)^2} ds + \frac{k'(\delta)s^2}{(\tau s + 1)^2} d\tau \quad (7)$$

Setting  $dH = 0$ ,

$$p'(s) ds - \frac{k'(\delta)}{(\tau s + 1)^2} ds = -\frac{k'(\delta)s^2}{(\tau s + 1)^2} d\tau, \quad (8)$$

or

$$\left[ (\tau s + 1)^2 p'(s) - k'(\delta) \right] ds = -k'(\delta) d\tau. \quad (9)$$

**Theorem 2.**  $H(s, \tau)$  is constant on integral curves of

$$\frac{ds}{d\tau} = -\frac{k'(\delta)}{(\tau s + 1)^2 p'(s) - k'(\delta)} \quad (10)$$

The denominator implies that for small  $\tau$ , the locus  $s(\tau)$  has a bifurcation at stationary points of  $H(s, \tau)$  (regarded as a function of  $s$ ).

## 2 Bode/Nyquist interpretation

We saw that not only is  $H(s, \tau)$  continuous in  $\tau$ ; it is also differentiable in  $\tau$ . From (7) we read that

$$\frac{\partial H(s, \tau)}{\partial \tau} = \frac{k'(\delta(s))s^2}{(\tau s + 1)^2}, \quad (11)$$

and in particular, the magnitude changes as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \log \|H(s, \tau)\|^2 &= \frac{H \frac{\partial \bar{H}}{\partial \tau} + \bar{H} \frac{\partial H}{\partial \tau}}{\|H\|^2} \\ &= \frac{2\Re \left[ \bar{H} k'(\delta) s^2 \right]}{(\tau s + 1)^2 \|H\|^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Additionally, (11) shows that the Nyquist plot, and therefore the stability characteristics, of  $H(s, \tau)$  deform continuously in  $\tau$ .

1. When  $s \approx 0$ ,  $\frac{\partial H}{\partial \tau} \approx k'(0)s^2$ .
2. As  $s \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\frac{\partial H}{\partial \tau} \rightarrow k'(1)$ .

## Bibliography

- [1] M. Marchi, L. Fraile, and P. Tabuada. Dirty derivatives for output feedback stabilization, 2022. doi:10.48550/arXiv.2202.01941.