

# Spontaneous Mutations from Terahertz Proton Tunneling

Noah Bray-Ali\*  
Science Synergy  
Los Angeles, CA 90045  
(Dated: August 15, 2023)

Protons in the bonds between base pairs of the double helix store the code of life by breaking the chiral symmetry that swaps the sense strand with its complementary partner. When these bonds break during replication and transcription, pairs of protons switch sides restoring chiral symmetry and destroying genetic information. The observed rate of such spontaneous mutations follows in the sudden approximation for bond breaking provided protons in bonds between bases tunnel across the gap with terahertz frequencies.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Looking down the helical axis of deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA), we find a winding stack of pairs of nucleotide bases linking the two strands of its double helix structure [1]. Remarkably, the molecule has a chiral symmetry axis passing through the gap between base pairs and running roughly from the minor groove of the double helix to its major groove: Half a turn about the chiral axis swaps the two helices. Nevertheless, the code of life breaks this chiral symmetry and stores genes in the sequence of bases along just one helix: the sense strand.

Spontaneous mutations restore the chiral symmetry of the double helix and let genes change by shifting the tautomeric form of bases (Fig. 1). Following such a shift, hydrogen bonds form between identical atoms. In wild-type bonds, by contrast, oxygen provides the lone pair of electrons and nitrogen accepts the proton in the hydrogen bond nearest the major groove of the double helix.

In mutant bonds, the pair of protons tunnels back and forth coherently across the gap like the pair of electrons in the covalent chemical bond [2]. And just as the covalent bond is spin symmetric, so also the mutant hydrogen bonds are chiral symmetric: When we split the mutant pair, there is an equal chance of finding either tautomeric form on a given base provided only that the other base has the complementary form. The genetic information is lost [3].

The chance of spontaneous mutation  $P = 2.0 \times 10^{-10}$  gives roughly one tautomeric shift for every few billion base pairs [4]. In the sudden approximation, the wild-type proton pair wavefunction for a base pair between adenine  $A$  and thymine  $T$  takes the form [5, 6]

$$|W\rangle = |AT\rangle + \epsilon |A^*T^*\rangle, \quad (1)$$

where,  $|AT\rangle$  is the dominant tautomeric form,  $|A^*T^*\rangle$  has tautomeric shifts in both bases, and  $\epsilon = \sqrt{2P} = 2.0 \times 10^{-5}$  is the probability amplitude for this to take place.

During replication and transcription, the base pair splits quickly compared to the time-scale for tautomeric

Parameter	Frequency (THz)	Energy (meV)	Period (ps)
$t$	1.7	7.0	0.59
$\Delta$	24	100	0.042
$U$	1200	4900	$8.3 \times 10^{-3}$
$J$	$4.8 \times 10^{-4}$	$2.0 \times 10^{-3}$	2100

TABLE I. Proton parameters governing spontaneous mutation. Proton tunneling within hydrogen bonds between nucleotide bases in DNA occurs at the terahertz frequency set by the proton tunneling energy scale  $t$ . The energy splitting  $\Delta$  between the dominant and rare tautomeric forms of nucleotide bases provides the high temperature scale protecting the coherence of the ground-state from thermal fluctuations within the living cell. Charge transfer energy  $U$  gives a sense of the femto-second time-scale for bond-breaking during DNA replication and transcription. The emergent microwave frequency  $J$  gives the nano-second time-scale for the correlated proton pair swaps that create spontaneous mutations in the living cell.

shifts. From the wild-type wavefunction in Eq. (1), the chance of finding the shifted form is then simply  $\epsilon^2 = 2P$  the square of the probability amplitude for the shift. This is twice the chance of spontaneous mutation  $P$ , since the shifted adenine  $A^*$  has equal chance of being found in either tautomeric form once it binds to wild-type cytosine  $C$ . Chiral symmetry then gives the mutant proton pair wavefunction

$$|M\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |A^*C\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |AC^*\rangle. \quad (2)$$

The equal probability amplitude for the two tautomeric forms in the mutant wavefunction follows from the fact that both bases use nitrogen atoms to make hydrogen bonds.

In this Article, we show that terahertz frequency proton tunneling between base pairs creates the wild-type and mutant proton pair wavefunctions responsible for spontaneous mutation. The Methods section shows how to express the wild-type tautomeric shift probability amplitude  $\epsilon = 2t^2/(U\Delta)$  in terms of the energy-scale  $t = h \times 1.7 \text{ THz} = 7.0 \text{ meV}$  for this proton tunneling, the energy splitting between tautomers  $\Delta = h \times 24 \text{ THz} = 100 \text{ meV}$  [7], and the charge transfer energy

\* Email address: nbrayali@gmail.com




FIG. 1. Pairing arrangements of adenine before (above) and after (below) it has undergone tautomeric shift. The major groove of the double helix lies above the base pairs while the minor groove lies below them. We are looking down the axis of the helix. The chiral symmetry axis runs from the minor to the major groove along a line roughly half way between the bases in each pair. After the shift, the bases pair using the same atom (N for nitrogen) on either side of the bond closest to the major groove, restoring the chiral symmetry which interchanges the sense strand and its complement. (Adapted with permission from Ref. [3]).

$U = h \times 1200 \text{ THz} = 4900 \text{ meV}$  for putting both protons on the same base [8]. The Results section argues that the stability of the gene follows from the high temperature scale  $\Delta/k = 1200 \text{ K}$  [7] separating the dominant and the rare tautomeric forms of the nucleotide bases.

Table I summarizes the proton parameters governing spontaneous mutation in the living cell. The rate of genetic change from spontaneous mutation follows from the emergence of the low frequency scale  $J = 2t^2/U = h \times 480 \text{ MHz}$  for the correlated proton pair swaps created by terahertz frequency proton tunneling. We end with Discussion of the meaning of these results for evolution and for aging [9].

## II. METHODS

The wild-type proton pair wavefunction  $|W\rangle$  is the lowest energy state of the effective Hamiltonian [5, 10]

$$H_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{\Delta}{2} (|AT\rangle \langle AT| - |A^*T^*\rangle \langle A^*T^*|) - J (|AT\rangle \langle A^*T^*| + |A^*T^*\rangle \langle AT|), \quad (3)$$

where, the first term splits the tautomers and the second term swaps the protons. The swap energy  $J = 2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ meV}$  and the thermal fluctuation energy  $k \times 300 \text{ K} = 26 \text{ meV}$  are both small compared to the tautomeric splitting  $\Delta = 100 \text{ meV}$  [7]. This means we can find the wild-type wavefunction  $|W\rangle = |AT\rangle + \epsilon |A^*T^*\rangle$  by time-independent first-order perturbation theory and that the coherence between the rare and dominant tautomers expressed by the wild-type wavefunction is stable against these thermal fluctuations.

We simply act on the proton pair wavefunction  $|W\rangle$  in Eq. (1) with the effective Hamiltonian in Eq. (3) and keep only the terms that are first-order in the small quantities  $J/\Delta = 2 \times 10^{-5}$  and  $\epsilon$  [5]

$$H_{\text{eff}} |W\rangle = -(\Delta/2) |AT\rangle + (\epsilon\Delta/2 - J) |A^*T^*\rangle. \quad (4)$$

Then, we use the fact that the energy of the proton pairs in the ground-state wavefunction does not change to first-order in the small quantity  $J/\Delta$  from the value  $-\Delta/2$  that the pair would have without proton swaps [10]

$$-(\Delta/2) |W\rangle = -(\Delta/2) |AT\rangle - (\epsilon\Delta/2) |A^*T^*\rangle. \quad (5)$$

Matching the right-hand sides of Eq. (4) and Eq. (5), we find at last the result for the probability amplitude for proton swap  $\epsilon = J/\Delta = 2 \times 10^{-5}$  which is small enough that we can simply stop at first-order in perturbation theory for the wild-type wavefunction.

Terahertz proton tunneling generates the effective Hamiltonian  $H_{\text{eff}}$  at second-order in time-independent perturbation theory from the full Hamiltonian [11]

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} -\Delta/2 & 0 & -t & -t \\ 0 & \Delta/2 & -t & -t \\ -t & -t & U & 0 \\ -t & -t & 0 & U \end{pmatrix} \quad (6)$$

where, the matrix acts on the column vector  $(a, b, c, d)^T$  for the proton pair wave function  $a|AT\rangle + b|A^*T^*\rangle + c|A^+T^-\rangle + d|A^-T^+\rangle$ . The zwitterion states  $|A^+T^-\rangle$  and  $|A^-T^+\rangle$  have charge transfer energy  $U = 4900 \text{ meV}$  compared to the tautomers  $|AT\rangle$  and  $|A^*T^*\rangle$ . The zwitterion states arise from the tautomers when protons hop.

The base on which the proton lands has positive charge from the extra proton. At the same time, the base from which the proton came is left with negative charge from the extra lone pair of electrons. The small size of the tunneling energy  $t = U/700$  [8] compared to the charge transfer energy  $U$  means we can effectively eliminate the

high-energy zwitterion states from the low-energy tautomeric physics through a simple change of basis.

In the language of quantum optics, the zwitterions have a “bright” state  $|+\rangle$  that mixes with the tautomers and a “dark” state  $|-\rangle$  that does not mix with them [12]. The zwitterion dark state has equal probability to land in either zwitterion but opposite probability amplitude:

$$|-\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|A^+T^- \rangle - |A^-T^+ \rangle) \quad (7)$$

It retains the energy  $U$  that it would have without proton tunneling [13]. Destructive interference from the two zwitterions decouples the dark state from the tautomers.

Meanwhile, the zwitterion bright state mixes with the tautomers and has equal probability amplitude for both zwitterions.

$$\begin{aligned} |+\rangle &= -\frac{\sqrt{2}t}{U} (|AT \rangle + |A^*T^* \rangle) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|A^+T^- \rangle + |A^-T^+ \rangle) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The zwitterion bright state  $|+\rangle$  lowers its energy to  $U-2J$  through constructive interference, where,  $J = 2t^2/U = h \times 480$  MHz is the microwave energy-scale for proton pair swaps [14]. This new scale emerges from the terahertz-frequency  $t = h \times 1.7$  THz for proton tunneling when we change basis to the zwitterion bright and dark states.

Coming back to the tautomers, the dominant and rare forms mix with the zwitterion states to make a new pair of tautomers whose dynamics are governed by the effective Hamiltonian in Eq. (3). The role of the dominant tautomer in the effective Hamiltonian is played by the state  $|AT \rangle + (t/U)(|A^+T^- \rangle + |A^-T^+ \rangle)$ . It is easy to check that this state has no overlap with the bright and dark zwitterion states [15]. Similarly, for the rare tautomer, we must take  $|A^*T^* \rangle + (t/U)(|A^+T^- \rangle + |A^-T^+ \rangle)$  to ensure it too has no overlap with the states of the new zwitterion basis.

With this simple change of basis, the zwitterion bright and dark states split off from the low frequency dynamics of the tautomers relevant for spontaneous mutation. The full Hamiltonian simplifies to the new matrix [16]

$$V^T H V = \begin{pmatrix} -\Delta/2 & J & 0 & 0 \\ J & \Delta/2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & U-2J & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & U \end{pmatrix} \quad (9)$$

where,  $V$  (and  $V^T$ ) are the matrices whose columns (rows) are the vectors that give the wavefunctions for the new basis states in terms of the original basis. The matrix now acts on column vectors that give the proton pair wavefunction in the new basis of states. The new basis is simply the new dominant tautomer, the new rare tautomer, the bright zwitterion, and the dark zwitterion.

The effective Hamiltonian from Eq. (3) appears in the upper left corner and creates proton swaps that exchange

the new tautomer basis states. Meanwhile, the zwitterion bright and dark states are stationary states of the full Hamiltonian with energies  $U-2J$  and  $U$ , respectively. The change of basis and the new form for the full Hamiltonian in that basis are exact up to and including terms of order  $(t/U)^2 = 2.0 \times 10^{-6}$ .

The energy  $t = U/700$  gained by terahertz proton tunneling between base pairs is small compared to the ultraviolet-scale energy  $U = 4900$  meV needed to excite electrons in the resonating rings of the nucleotide bases and accommodate the charge transfer. This means we can simply stop at second-order in the time-independent perturbation theory for the effective Hamiltonian of the tautomeric shifts that generate spontaneous mutation [17]. The resulting effective Hamiltonian in Eq. (3) generates proton pair swaps with the emergent microwave frequency  $J = 2t^2/U = h \times 480$  MHz.

### III. RESULTS

The chance of spontaneous mutation  $P = (J/\Delta)^2/2 = 2.0 \times 10^{-10}$  emerges from the wild-type proton pair wavefunction  $|W \rangle$  of nucleotide base pairs in DNA given in Eq. (1). The energy splitting  $\Delta = k \times 1200$  K [7] between the rare and tautomeric forms of the nucleotide bases protects the coherence within the wild-type wavefunction from thermal fluctuations created by the temperature 300 K of the living cell. Meanwhile, the wavefunction has amplitude  $J/\Delta$  to swap protons between the bases, where,  $J = 2t^2/U = h \times 480$  MHz is the microwave energy scale that emerges from terahertz frequency  $t = h \times 1.7$  THz proton tunneling and the ultraviolet energy  $U = 4900$  meV needed to accommodate the charge transfer.

When bonds between bases break during replication and transcription, the femto-second time scale for bond-breaking is fast compared to the pico-seconds needed for protons to tunnel between bases at the terahertz proton tunneling frequency  $t = h \times 1.7$  THz =  $h/(0.59$  ps). Similarly, the nano-seconds required for correlated pair swaps at the emergent microwave energy scale  $J = 2t^2/U = h/(2.1$  ns) are millions of times slower than the time-scale for bond-breaking. In the sudden approximation to the bond breaking process, the protons then land in the rare tautomer form with probability  $\epsilon^2 = (J/\Delta)^2 = 4 \times 10^{-10}$  given by the square of the probability amplitude  $\epsilon$  for the correlated proton pair swap within the wild-type proton pair wavefunction at frequency  $J/h = 480$  MHz between the rare and dominant tautomers with energy splitting  $\Delta = 100$  meV [7].

The tautomeric shift induced by bond-breaking forces the new base pairs that form during replication and transcription to have the mutant wavefunction  $|M \rangle$  given in Eq. (2). The mutant wavefunction has equal amplitude for a given base to be found in either tautomeric form. This restores the chiral symmetry between the two strands of the double helix and destroys the genetic in-

formation that was stored within the old base pair.

The physical picture for the proton swap proceeds in two coherent steps. First, the proton closer to the major groove, say, tunnels across the gap between bases. This costs charge transfer energy but is not forbidden by any symmetry principle. Next, the other proton, located closer to the minor groove, tunnels across the gap to gain back the charge transfer energy. At the end of the coherent second-order process, the protons have swapped.

This physical picture for spontaneous mutation coincides with that of “super-exchange” in quantum magnetism [18, 19]. In place of proton pairs, consider a pair of electrons in the partially filled  $d$ -shell ( $f$ -shell) of two transition-metal (rare-earth) ions separated by a closed shell ligand such as fluorine or oxygen in an electrically insulating, transparent salt such as manganese fluoride ( $\text{MnF}_2$ ). Instead of the location relative to the groove (major or minor) used by the proton pair, the electrons use their spin state, up or down.

The difference in spin permits a pair of electrons to sit in the same orbital on one or the other of the two neighboring transition metal ions. This costs a large charge transfer energy but is not forbidden by any symmetry principle. Meanwhile, the spin of the electron that hops back need not match the spin of the one that hops first and, in this way, the spin of the electron on neighboring sites can exchange on an energy scale that exceeds the thermal energy available at room temperature [20].

By contrast, the emergent microwave energy scale for correlated proton pair swaps  $J = \epsilon\Delta = k \times 0.024 \text{ K}$  [7] is suppressed by the small amplitude  $\epsilon = 2.0 \times 10^{-5}$  for the correlated proton swap to take place. Instead, the energy splitting  $\Delta = k \times 1200 \text{ K}$  [7] between the rare and dominant tautomers protects the coherence within the wild-type proton pair wavefunction from thermal fluctuations at the temperature  $T = 300 \text{ K}$  of the living cell. Indeed, thermal fluctuations are suppressed by the Boltzmann factor  $\exp(-\Delta/(kT)) = 0.019$  and leave the coherence of the proton pair wavefunction intact.

#### IV. DISCUSSION

Terahertz proton tunneling in the hydrogen bonds between nucleotide base pairs within DNA generates radio-frequency proton pair swaps that drive spontaneous mutation. Yet both processes are slow compared to the femto-second scale bond-breaking during replication and transcription. The sudden splitting makes the pair choose at random which tautomer to take: the low-energy form found in free nucleotides within the cytoplasm or the high-energy form that generates mutations. Remarkably, the chance they land in the rare tautomer gives roughly twice the observed spontaneous mutation rate with the factor of two reflecting the restoration of the chiral symmetry between the two strands of the double helix structure when the rare tautomer binds to a free nucleotide.

The spontaneous mutation rate acts as a molecular clock driving evolution. Each generation must replicate its genome to pass along genetic information to its descendants. However, the molecular process of replication itself generates changes in the sequence of bases within DNA. These spontaneous mutations restore the symmetry between the sense strand and its complementary partner. They destroy genetic information at random within the genome at a regular rate. The spontaneous mutation rate can be expressed in terms of the energy splitting between tautomers and the emergent energy scale for proton pair swap between nucleotides.

Spontaneous mutation also sets the life span of organisms by making cells age [9]. Each time the cell uses the code of life to live its life, it must break bonds between base pairs to read the base sequence on the sense strand. Like replication, the transcription process itself generates spontaneous mutations. The sudden splitting of the strands makes base pairs choose at random which tautomer to take.

During transcription, when a base pair in DNA lands in the rare form, the base on the sense strand then must form a mutant bond with the “wrong” base in the complementary messenger ribonucleic (mRNA) molecule. This mutant bond then breaks to release the single-stranded mRNA. By the chiral symmetry created within the mutant bond, though, the base on the sense strand of the DNA has an even chance of coming back as either the dominant or the rare tautomer.

To complete transcription, the sense strand base returns to bind its partner. If it returns in the dominant form, however, the bases do not bind: Both bases now have their protons close to the same groove (major or minor) of the double helix and the electrostatic repulsion between protons keeps the bases apart. To bind the strands, the transcription machinery must remove one of the bases and replace it with a free nucleotide in the dominant form.

Since the sense strand makes and breaks twice as many bonds as its partner does, the machinery prefers to use the complementary base as its template for repair. This locks in the spontaneous mutation as a mutant bond with chiral symmetry between the two strands and complete loss of genetic information. In this way, the act of using the code of life to live in fact destroys the code itself. The rate of loss of genetic information due to transcription is set by the same balance of energy scales that sets the spontaneous mutation rate. The balance sets the pace of aging and fixes the life span of a given generation.

By way of conclusion, it is worth recalling the key steps. The analysis began by recognizing that protons tunnel with terahertz frequency between the nucleotides in the base pairs that bind the double helix structure of DNA and that store the code of life. The proton that hops back across the gap, however, need not be the same one that hopped first. The resulting proton swap between base pairs leaves both bases in the pair in a rare, high-energy tautomer form. The emergent radio-frequency

proton pair swap energy-scale competes with the tautomer energy splitting to set the spontaneous mutation rate. During replication and transcription, the hydrogen bonds between base pairs break fast and force the base pair to choose which form to take. This random choice drives the genetic drift between generations and leads to evolution. It also leads to the aging of organisms and sets the length of each generation.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. NSF PHY-1748958, by

the Department of Energy under grant No. DE-FG02-00ER41132, and by the Mainz Institute of Theoretical Physics within the Cluster of Excellence PRISMA+ (Project ID 39083149).

- 
- [1] J. D. Watson and F. H. C. Crick, “A structure for desoxyribose nucleic acids,” *Nature* **171**, 737 (1953).
- [2] For the covalent chemical bond, see L. Pauling, “The Shared-Electron Chemical Bond,” *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **14**, 359 (1928). In the analogy, the tautomeric form of the nucleotide base plays the role of the spin of the electron. Just as the two spin states (up and down) distinguish the two electrons within the covalent bond, so also the size of the nearest groove (major and minor) distinguish the two protons binding the base pair.
- [3] J. D. Watson and F. H. C. Crick, “The Structure of DNA,” *Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol.* **18**, 123 (1953).
- [4] J. W. Drake, “Comparative rate of spontaneous mutations,” *Nature* **221**, 1132 (1960). We take the rate  $P = 2.0 \times 10^{-10}$  of spontaneous mutations per base pair per replication reported for both *Escherchia coli* and *Salmonella typhimurium*.
- [5] For the source of the notation, see P. A. M. Dirac “A new notation for quantum mechanics,” *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **35**, 416 (1939).
- [6] For a statement of the sudden approximation, see the Editor’s remark on the second to last page of M. Born “Zur Quantenmechanik der Stossvorgange,” *Z. Physik* **37**, 863 (1926) [English translation “On the quantum mechanics of collision processes” by D. H. Delphenich].
- [7] The tautomeric splitting  $\Delta = 100 \text{ meV} = h \times 24 \text{ THz} = k \times 1200 \text{ K}$  creates the high temperature scale that protects the coherence of the ground-state wavefunction in Eq. (1) from thermal fluctuations at the temperatures  $\sim 300 \text{ K}$  found within the living cell where  $k = 0.862 \times 10^{-4} \text{ eV/K}$  is the Boltzmann constant.
- [8] The charge transfer energy  $U = hc/(254 \text{ nm}) = 4900 \text{ meV}$  gives the peak in the absorbance spectrum of DNA in ultraviolet light with wavelength 254 nm, where,  $c$  is the speed of light. The constant  $h = 4.14 \text{ meV/THz}$  expresses the equivalence between energy  $E = hf$  and frequency  $f$ . For a discussion of this equivalence in the context of the energy of light with different frequencies, see A. Einstein, “Über einen die Erzeugung und Verwandlung des Lichtes betreffenden heuristischen Gesichtspunkt,” *Ann. der Phys.* **322**, 132 (1905) [English Translation: “On a Heuristic Viewpoint Concerning the Production and Transformation of Light” by D. ter Haar].
- For a derivation of the equivalence based on the analogy between the phase of the wavefunction and the action of particle motion, see section 3 of the paper by E. Schrodinger in [10].
- [9] P.-O. Lowdin, “Proton Tunneling in DNA and its Biological Implications,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **35**, 724 (1963).
- [10] The Hamiltonian  $H$  generates evolution in time  $t$  of the wavefunction  $|\psi\rangle$  through the wave equation  $i\hbar(\partial/\partial t)|\psi\rangle = H|\psi\rangle$ , where, the constant  $\hbar = h/(2\pi) = 6.582 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV/THz}$  converts frequency into energy and the equation  $e^{i\pi} + 1 = 0$  defines the imaginary unit  $i$  in terms of the “natural” base  $e \approx 2.718$  for taking logarithms. For a derivation of the wave equation starting from Hamilton’s formulation of the equation of motion for a particle, see E. Schrodinger, “An Undulatory Theory of the Mechanics of Atoms and Molecules,” *Phys. Rev.* **28**, 1049 (1926). In a stationary state  $|\psi\rangle$  with energy  $E$ , we simply have  $H|\psi\rangle = E|\psi\rangle$ .
- [11] The  $N \times N$  matrix of numbers  $(M_{ij})$ , with  $i = 1, \dots, N$  running over the rows and  $j = 1, \dots, N$  indexing the columns ( $N = 4$  in our case), acts “linearly” on the column vector  $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_N)^T$  describing the wavefunction. This generates a new column vector  $Mv = (M_{11}v_1 + M_{12}v_2 + \dots + M_{1N}v_N, M_{21}v_1 + M_{22}v_2 + \dots + M_{2N}v_N, \dots, M_{N1}v_1 + M_{N2}v_2 + \dots + M_{NN}v_N)^T$ . The linearity of the Hamiltonian means that the “superposition”  $av + bw = (av_1 + bw_1, av_2 + bw_2, \dots, av_N + bw_N)$  of two wavefunctions described by column vectors  $v$  and  $w$  with the same energy  $E$  will also be a stationary state with energy  $E$  for any numbers  $a$  and  $b$ . In fact, the energy is simply the number one gets  $Hv = Ev$  when acting with the Hamiltonian on a stationary state.
- [12] E. Arimondo and G. Orriols, “Non-absorbing atomic coherences by coherent 2-photon transitions in a 3-level optical pumping,” *Lett. Nuovo Cimento* **17**, 333–338 (1976).
- [13] We start by writing the zwitterion dark state as a column vector in the same basis ( $|AT\rangle, |A^*T^*\rangle, |A^+T^-\rangle, |A^-T^+\rangle$ ) used to express the Hamiltonian matrix in Eq. (14) [11]

$$\begin{aligned} |-\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|A^+T^-\rangle - |A^-T^+\rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (0, 0, 1, -1)^T. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Then, we simply multiply the resulting column vector by the Hamiltonian matrix in Eq. (14) using the matrix multiplication rules [11]

$$H|-\rangle = U \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0, 0, 1, -1)^T = U|-\rangle. \quad (11)$$

Finally, we interpret the result to mean that the zwitterion dark state  $|-\rangle$  has energy  $U = 4900$  meV [8] given by the energy cost of having two protons on one base and no protons on the other base [11].

- [14] We start by writing the zwitterion bright state as a column vector in the same basis ( $|AT\rangle, |A^*T^*\rangle, |A^+T^-\rangle, |A^-T^+\rangle$ ) used to express the Hamiltonian matrix in Eq. (14) [11]

$$\begin{aligned} |+\rangle &= -\frac{\sqrt{2}t}{U} (|AT\rangle + |A^*T^*\rangle) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|A^+T^-\rangle + |A^-T^+\rangle) \\ &= \left( -\frac{\sqrt{2}t}{U}, -\frac{\sqrt{2}t}{U}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^T. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Then, we simply multiply the resulting column vector by the Hamiltonian matrix in Eq. (14) using the matrix multiplication rules [11]

$$\begin{aligned} H|+\rangle &= (U - 2J) \times \left( -\frac{\sqrt{2}t}{U}, -\frac{\sqrt{2}t}{U}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^T \\ &= (U - 2J)|+\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where,  $J = 2t^2/U$  is the emergent energy-scale for correlated proton pair swaps. Finally, we interpret the result to mean that the zwitterion bright state  $|+\rangle$  gains energy  $2J = 2 \times 2 \times 7.0 \times (7.0/5900) = 4.0 \times 10^{-3}$  meV [11] by mixing with the tautomers.

- [15] The overlap  $\langle \psi | \phi \rangle = a_1^* b_1 + a_2^* b_2 + \dots + a_N^* b_N$  between state  $|\psi\rangle$  with column vector  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N)^T$  and state  $|\phi\rangle$  with column vector  $(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_N)^T$  expresses the probability amplitude for finding state  $|\phi\rangle$  in the state  $|\psi\rangle$ . Here, the complex conjugation  $(x + iy)^* = x - iy$  exchanges the unit imaginary numbers  $\pm i$  while leaving fixed the real numbers  $x$  and  $y$ .
- [16] The matrix product  $MV = (Mv_1, Mv_2, \dots, Mv_N)$  of matrix  $M$  and matrix  $V = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_N)$  is simply the matrix whose column vectors  $Mv_1, Mv_2, \dots, Mv_N$  come from acting with matrix  $M$  on the column vectors

$v_1, v_2, \dots, v_N$  of matrix  $V$ . The change of basis matrix  $V$  in Eq. (9) takes the form

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\sqrt{2}t/U & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\sqrt{2}t/U & 0 \\ t/U & t/U & 1/\sqrt{2} & 1/\sqrt{2} \\ t/U & t/U & 1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (14)$$

where, the columns of  $V$  are the column vectors that give the states of the new basis (dominant tautomer, rare tautomer, bright zwitterion, dark zwitterion) in terms of the old basis ( $|AT\rangle, |A^*T^*\rangle, |A^+T^-\rangle, |A^-T^+\rangle$ ).

- [17] The mixture of the zwitterion bright state into both the rare and dominant tautomer states means that the dominant tautomer is far more likely to land in a zwitterion than in the rare tautomer when the strands of the double helix split during replication and transcription: The zwitterion probability is  $2 \times (t/U) = 2.9 \times 10^{-3}$  in the sudden approximation while the tautomeric shift occurs with probability  $(J/\Delta)^2 = 2 \times (t/U) \times (t/\Delta) = 4.0 \times 10^{-10}$  that is much smaller in the same approximation. However, DNA is an acid in aqueous solution within the living cell and there is no shortage of protons and hydroxyl ( $\text{OH}^-$ ) ions at normal pH to restore the zwitterion bases in the split strands to their dominant tautomeric forms. Furthermore, this relaxation is fast compared to the time it takes for new bases to come into the ‘‘fork’’ where replication and transcription take place. By the time the new bases arrive on the scene, the bases in the split pair present the dominant tautomeric form. By contrast, the rare tautomer on the split strand is as stable as the dominant tautomer: Both are protected by the large charge transfer energy  $U = 4900$  meV needed to add or remove a proton from the neutral tautomeric state.
- [18] H. A. Kramers, ‘‘L’interaction Entre les Atoms Magnétogènes dans un Cristal Paramagnétique,’’ *Physica* **1**, 182 (1934) [English translation: ‘‘The Interaction between Magnetic Atoms in a Paramagnetic Crystal’’].
- [19] P. W. Anderson, ‘‘Antiferromagnetism. Theory of Superexchange Interaction,’’ *Phys. Rev.* **79**, 350 (1950).
- [20] The insulating parent compounds of the high-transition temperature cuprate superconductors have typical superexchange energy  $J = 0.13$  eV = 1500 K/ $k$ , where,  $k = 0.862$  eV/K is the Boltzmann constant. For comparison, room temperature is equivalent to energy  $k \times 300$  K = 0.026 eV nearly fifty times smaller.