

# A Chip-Firing Game for Biocrust Reverse Succession

Shloka V. Janapaty \*

Department of Applied Physics and Applied Mathematics  
Columbia University  
New York, NY, USA

## Abstract

Experimental work suggests that biological soil crusts, dominant primary producers in drylands and tundra, are particularly vulnerable to disturbances that cause reverse ecological succession. To model successional transitions in biocrust communities, we propose a resource-firing game that captures succession dynamics without specifying detailed function forms. The game is evaluated in idealized terrestrial ecosystems, where disturbances are modeled as a reduction in available resources that triggers inter-species competition. The resource-firing game is executed on a finite graph with nodes representing species in the community and a sink node that becomes active when every species is depleted of resources. First, we discuss the theoretical basis of the resource-firing game, evaluate it in the light of existing literature, and consider the characteristics of a biocrust community that has evolved to equilibrium. We then examine the dependence of resource-firing and game stability on species richness, showing that high species richness increases the probability of very short and long avalanches, but not those of intermediate length. Indeed, this result suggests that the community's response to disturbance is both directional and episodic, proceeding towards reverse succession in bursts of variable length. Finally, we incorporate the spatial structure of the biocrust community into a Cayley Tree and derive a formula for the probability that a disturbance, modeled as a random attack, initiates a large species-death event.

**Keywords:** biocrust, succession, chip-firing, terrestrial

## Introduction

Global change threatens to destabilize terrestrial ecosystems by triggering ecological state transitions, significant shifts in community structure and function. These shifts may occur in response to diminished resource availability or climate-induced disturbances, including increased frequency and intensity of extreme events, altered precipitation patterns, and rising temperatures. In some cases, changes in disturbance regimes can cause productive ecosystems to transition to less productive ones [1, 2, 3]. Understanding these dynamics is crucial to inform conservation strategies and accurately assess terrestrial ecosystem health. However, predicting state

transitions can be difficult—communities of primary producers, which help drive ecosystem dynamics, often exhibit non-deterministic, non-linear, and non-monotonic transition behaviors [4, 5, 6].

Biological soil crusts are communities of cyanobacteria, lichen, and bryophytes that appear ubiquitously in terrestrial ecosystems. As dominant primary producers in drylands and tundra, biocrusts account for 7 percent of terrestrial primary productivity and over 50 percent of biological nitrogen fixation [7].

Biocrusts also form assemblages of extracellular secretions and biomass filaments that regulate local hydrological cycling and channel resources from nitrogen-enriched, hydrated biocrusts to depleted plants [8, 9, 10, 11]. These products rehabilitate damaged soil, forming the first stage of colonization in ecosystems recovering from disaster. Indeed, biocrusts are expected to regulate climate impacts on up to 70 percent of global dryland surface [12]. Given these ecosystem services and extent of cover, biocrust state transitions are of key consequence.

Ecological succession is the development of community structure over time, with each state characterized by different dominant organisms [13]. In the initial stage, bare soil is colonized by pioneer cyanobacteria, which bind filamentous sheaths together to stabilize soil microstructure [14]. Over time, early stage cyanobacteria are replaced by a more diverse community of late-stage biocrusts, including lichen, cyanolichen, and bryophytes in order of succession. Lichen form from a symbiotic relationship between a photosynthetic partner and fungal components, while mosses perform photosynthesis using stem leaves. Both penetrate soil surfaces through hyphal extension [15, 16]. Biocrusts perform different ecosystem services depending on their dominant succession stage. For example, moss-dominated biocrusts sequester carbon and dissimilate nitrogen more effectively, while lichen-dominated biocrusts are better suited to metabolize aromatic compounds and exhibit higher nitrogen uptake [17].

Previous research has established that biocrusts are vulnerable to a range of disturbances, including trampling, wildfire, flooding, vehicle traffic, and livestock grazing. Global change may also exert differential effects on biocrust communities based on succession stage, compromising vital ecosystem processes [18]. Several studies have observed the effects of these disturbances on biocrusts. Chronic physical disturbance and natural disaster have been shown to alter community structure for decades, notably accelerating erosion and reducing C and N concentrations in soil [19].

\*ORCID: 0000-0001-9697-0471  
svj2113@columbia.edu

More recently, climate manipulation studies have observed reduced biocrust metabolic activity and diminished network connectivity in response to experimental warming [20]. Some work suggests that all types of disturbance trigger biocrust reverse ecological succession, a state transition from late to early stages. For instance, Ferrenberg *et al.* (2014) reported shifts from moss cover to cyanobacteria cover in response to both experimental warming and human trampling [12]. Other studies have shown that when subjected to climate manipulation and grazing, early stage cyanobacteria dominate the disturbance gradient, while late-stage cyanobacteria dominate the recovery gradient [21, 22]. Prior to reverse succession, disturbed biocrusts may also often undergo dramatic declines in taxonomic diversity [23]. Though disturbances may vary in the magnitude and rate of reverse succession they trigger, these results suggest that biocrusts exhibit a general, disturbance-agnostic response to suboptimal environmental conditions. The consequences of these induced reverse state transitions can be severe, including deficits in global carbon and nitrogen budgets.

In this study, we develop a time-independent resource-firing game that captures succession dynamics without specifying detailed function forms. Our goals were first, to propose a general model of biocrust succession transitions based on unified theory and second, to examine dynamics in idealized terrestrial ecosystems. For this purpose, we model disturbances as a reduction in available resources, triggering competition between species within the community. The resource-firing game is evaluated on (1) its ability to predict reverse succession in response to a generic disturbance, (2) the episodic and directional character of changes in community structure, and (3) the stability of equilibria.

First, we discuss the theoretical basis of the resource-firing game and show how the model may be implemented computationally. Then, we turn to the characteristics of a biocrust community that has evolved to an equilibrium state, the various dependences of the model, and attacks on the network.

## Model

### Overview and Theory

Shifts in succession often exhibit the same profile when subjected to very different environmental disturbances. We compared the effect of climate manipulation treatments, physical disturbance, and disaster events on the relative cover of four biotic groups that dominate biocrusts: early-stage cyanobacteria, late-stage cyanobacteria, lichen, and bryophytes. Relative cover and geographic data from the literature are summarized in Appendix Table 1. In 47 of the 50 studies in the dataset, biocrusts exhibit a reverse successional response to disturbance or reduced species diversity, irrespective of the type of disturbance. As a result, a key criterion of this model is its ability to predict disturbance-agnostic reverse succession. In each of the 4 studies that did not conform to this trend, moss cover increased in response to warming, physical disturbance, or resource limitation. Notably, this phenomenon appeared in temperate semi-arid regions, including Southeast Spain and the Colorado Plateau [24, 25]. After a disturbance, the order of reverse succession in biocrusts follows a predictable pattern: moss, lichen, late-stage cyanobacteria, and early-stage cyanobacteria. However, when biocrusts recover from disturbance, the order of recolonization is often reversed [26, 27]. We suggest that while different disturbances may vary in the size and

frequency of their effects on community structure, succession can arise from the internal dynamics of community-wide resource allocation, rather than the triggering stressor. The resource-firing game proposed in this paper captures these disturbance-agnostic internal dynamics.

Each study in Appendix Table 1 observes biocrust cover both prior to disturbance and after disturbance, where  $t_{obs}$  is the elapsed observation period. However, few have captured intermediate succession dynamics. The available literature is sufficient to supply initial conditions and suggest equilibria, but cannot robustly constrain model trajectories at  $t < t_{obs}$ . Therefore, we preliminarily assume that state transition behaviours proceed monotonically and call for field work to address this gap in existing literature. To build our model assumptions from this dataset, we do not specify function forms of relative cover change, instead focusing on macroscopic changes in succession stage. The strategy of biocrust ecological succession is estimated as the attempt to optimally distribute finite resources throughout an interconnected biomass system. This objective is achieved by considering the entire biomass as a nexus of species and attempting to allocating resources based on their fitness [28].

### Order Theory

Biocrust ecological succession is the development of its community structure over time, with each succession stage characterized by different dominant organisms. In the initial stage, bare soil is colonized by pioneer cyanobacteria. Over time, early stage cyanobacteria are replaced by late-stage biocrusts, including lichen, cyanolichen, and bryophytes in order of succession. We formalize this successional sequence as the partially ordered set  $S$ . Let  $S$  be the chain

$$cyanobacteria \longrightarrow lichen \longrightarrow bryophytes \quad (1)$$

Pioneer early succession populations require fewer resources and thrive in resource-limited conditions, whereas late succession populations outcompete the former in resource-abundant conditions [26]. Let  $\phi$  be a function that maps dominant succession stage to the resources it requires to sustain itself. The notion of resources, while highly abstract, might be captured by applying the species-area relationship, generalized fertility indices, or net primary productivity, the details of which are beyond the scope of this study.

$$\phi(s) = \begin{cases} r_f & \text{if } s = \text{fungi} \\ r_c & \text{if } s = \text{cyanobacteria} \\ r_l & \text{if } s = \text{lichen} \\ r_b & \text{if } s = \text{bryophytes} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

such that  $r_f \leq r_c \leq r_l \leq r_b$ . We now turn to the order structure of our game. Explicit  $r_f$ ,  $r_c$ ,  $r_l$ , and  $r_b$  values depend on (1) total resources  $R$  available to a community and (2) the number of species of each succession stage  $s_f, s_c, s_l, s_b$ . The equation for resource allocation is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} s_f \\ s_c \\ s_l \\ s_b \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} r_f \\ r_c \\ r_l \\ r_b \end{bmatrix} = R \quad (3)$$

**Definition 0.1.** *Formally, two partially ordered sets  $S$  and  $Q$  are **order-isomorphic** ( $S \cong Q$ ) if there exists a map  $\phi$  from  $S$  onto  $Q$  such that  $x \leq y$  in  $S \iff \phi(x) \leq \phi(y)$  in  $Q$  [29].*

That is,  $\phi$  must faithfully mirror the order structure of  $S$ . Let  $Q = \phi(s)$  be a partially ordered set of allocated resources for  $\phi$  defined in . Then,  $S$  and  $Q$  are order-isomorphic, allowing us to directly map the successional composition of a community to its resource allocation.

$$\phi(\text{cyanobacteria}) \rightarrow \phi(\text{lichen}) \rightarrow \phi(\text{bryophytes}) \quad (4)$$

## Representing Biocrust Networks

A biocrust community is modeled as an interconnected network that distributes resources to several populations of species competing to maximize ecological fitness. The strategy of biocrust ecological succession is estimated as the attempt to optimally distribute finite resources throughout an interconnected biomass [28].

**Definition 0.2.** A *complete graph* is a simple undirected graph  $G$  in which every pair of nodes is connected by a unique edge.

We represent a generic biocrust network as a complete graph. A complete graph with  $n$  nodes has  $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$  edges. Each node represents a species in the community, and an edge represents competition for shared resources. In other words, each species in the biocrust community is assumed to be in competition with every other species. Each node has two fields. The first field is its designated succession stage,  $s$ : fungus, early cyanobacteria, late cyanobacteria, lichen, or bryophyte. The second field is the concomitant number of resources,  $\phi(s)$ . The succession stage with a plurality of designated nodes is said to dominate the community. Figure 1 shows two complete graphs, representing a fungi- and late cyanobacteria-dominated community with 8 species and a late cyanobacteria-dominated community with 12 species. The following section describes the rules of the resource-firing game on such graphs.

## Resource-Firing Game


The chip-firing game models the loss of energy in networks of individuals whose states depend on the state of their neighbors. In this paper, we define the **resource-firing game**, a portmanteau of chip-firing game for resource allocation. The game is executed on a finite graph  $G = (V, E)$  with  $|V| = n$  nodes, in addition to a **sink node**, which becomes active when every species in the community is depleted of resources [30].

Given any biocrust network, we can play the resource allocation game as follows. First, we add a sink node to  $G$ . For  $G$  to remain complete, the sink node must have edges to every species in the network. Thus, every community  $G$  has  $n$  nodes, 1 sink node and  $n - 1$  species, retaining the property of completeness. Next, a non-negative number of resources are distributed to each node, with the exception of the sink node. Recall that the distribution of resources to each species as a function of its succession stage is given by Equation 3. We write the resource configuration as follows:

$$\mathbf{r} = \{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k\} \in \mathbb{N}_0 \quad (5)$$

where  $r_k$  is the number of resources on node  $n_k$ . The basic step of this game is firing initiated by a generic disturbance, the dynamics of which are detailed in Algorithm 1. Firing represents a transfer of resources from one stressed species to its non-stressed neighbors. Accordingly, the node with highest  $r_n$ , equivalently lowest fitness, fires.

A node  $n_k$  can be fired if  $r_k \geq \text{deg}(n_k)$ , where  $\text{deg}(n_k)$  is equivalent to the number of neighbors  $n_k$  has. For example,



**Figure 1 Biocrust networks of varying scale.** Both graphs are complete, with 8 and 12 species respectively (Color Required)

if  $n_1$  has edges to  $n_2, n_4$ , and  $n_5$ , those nodes are considered its neighbors and  $\text{deg}(n_1) = 3$ . The set of neighbor pairs is denoted  $E(G)$ . When node  $n_k$  fires, it sends 1 chip to each of its neighbors, losing  $\text{deg}(n_k)$  resources. Biologically, this indicates that the species occupying  $n_k$  no longer has sufficient resources to sustain itself. The released resources now become available to competing species. If  $n_1$  is selected to fire and initially has 5 resources, it sends 1 resource to each its 3 neighbors and retains 2 resources. As a result, the succession stage of the species occupying  $n_1$  regresses to one that can be supported by 2 resources, a process which we will call reverse succession. Dually,  $n_2, n_4$ , and  $n_5$ ,  $n_1$ 's neighbors, gain resources and can support species with advanced succession stages, a process which we will call forward succession. The mechanisms of forward and reverse succession are beyond the scope of the present manuscript, and we refer readers to the work of others. Formally, the piece-wise function  $r'(i)$  denotes the successor configuration of any node  $i$  in the graph after a given node  $j$  fires:

$$\mathbf{r}'(\mathbf{i}) = \begin{cases} r_j - \text{deg}(n_j) & \text{if } i = j \\ r_i + 1 & \text{if } (n_i, n_j) \in E(G) \\ r_i & \text{if } (n_i, n_j) \notin E(G) \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Redistribution of resources after firing may enable new non-sink nodes to fire. The game ends when the community arrives at a **stable configuration** in which no nodes are eligible to fire. At this point, the sink vertex releases its chips to each of its neighbors and the game restarts. The length of the game is defined as the number of firings until a stable configuration is reached.

---

**Algorithm 1** Resource-Firing Algorithm
 

---

**Require:**  $s$  is sink node,  $V \neq \emptyset$  and contains all nodes except  $s$

**Ensure:**  $t_{limit}$  iterations of resource-firing on a graph with  $n$  nodes and  $r$  resources

**while**  $t < t_{limit}$  **do**  
 $n_{max} = \{n_i \in V \mid \forall n_j \in V \quad r_j \leq r_i\}$

**if**  $r_{max} \geq deg(n_{max})$  **then**  
 $r_{max} = r_{max} - deg(n_{max})$   
 $\forall (n_i, n_j) \in E(G) \quad r_j = r_j + 1$

**else**  
 $r_{sink} = 0$   
 $\forall n_j \in V \quad r_j = r_j + 1$

**end if**  
**end while**


---

Because chip firing exhibits terminating behavior, the stable configuration is always unique. Chip-firing also displays local confluence, meaning nodes can be fired in any order without affecting the length or final configuration of the game [31].

## Dynamics in Terrestrial Ecosystems

**Example:**  $n = 5$

Figure 2 shows a simple firing sequence on a biocrust community with  $n = 5$  nodes (4 species) and  $r = 10$  resources. As the sink node accumulates resources and the number of resources available to the community decreases, it undergoes reverse succession. Conversely, the release of resources from  $s$  triggers forward succession, yielding the initial configuration.



**Figure 2** Sequence of chip firings on a biocrust community with  $n = 5$  node and 10 resources. The sink nodes in each configuration are denoted  $s_0, s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4$ , respectively. Graph 5 represents the stable configuration

The resulting stable configuration appears on the bottom. Mathematically, the stable configuration refers to a configuration of resources in which each node has at most as many chips as its degree. Furthermore, there is no subset of nodes that can fire. The resource-firing game will always proceed to a stable configuration and remain there forever, irrespective of how nodes fire. In other words, the stable configuration represents an equilibrium or fixed point.

Now we turn to the stability of the configurations in our  $n = 5$  example. Let  $c_0$  represent the fixed point of  $f'(r'(i)) = (r'(1), r'(2), r'(3), r'(4))$ , where  $i$  is the node index. Given that  $f^{(n)} \rightarrow c_0$ , we conclude that  $c_0$  is a stable fixed point. For the given initial configuration,  $f$  has a four-cycle,


$$f^{(4)}(c_0) = c_0, f'(c_0) = f''(c_0) = f^{(3)}(c_0) \neq c_0. \quad (7)$$

We might also attempt to determine the stability of  $c_0$  by using the Tutte polynomial.

**Definition 0.3.** For an undirected graph  $G = (V, E)$ , the **Tutte polynomial** is  $T_G(x, y) = \sum_{A \subseteq E} (x-1)^{k(A)-k(E)} (y-1)^{k(A)+|A|-|V|}$ , where  $k(A)$  denotes the number of connected components of the graph  $(V, A)$  [32].

**Definition 0.4.** A **minimum spanning tree** is a tree that includes every node of a given graph and the minimal set of edges needed to connect each node without cycles [33].

Evaluating the Tutte polynomial of a graph  $T_G$  at  $T_G(1, 1)$  yields the number of spanning trees, which provides information about stable configurations. [34]. The Tutte polynomial of  $G_5$  in Figure 2 is given in Figure 3. Note that the coefficients are non-negative.



**Figure 3** Tutte Polynomial of the  $n = 5$  game shown in Figure 2

## Species Richness Dependence

In the following two sections, we consider additive factors to the basic model introduced in Section . We begin with a first approximation of the species richness of global terrestrial ecosystems compiled from available literature data in Appendix Table 1.


Desert	$0 < n < 30$
Steppe	$30 < n < 50$
Temperate Forest	$50 < n < 100$

First, we examine how the dependence of the resource-firing on the number of species ( $n$ ) affects game stability. A

common approach derived from topological graph theory to measure chip-firing stability is to compute the genus of the graph.

**Definition 0.5.** *The **genus** of a graph is the minimum integer  $k$  such that the graph can be topologically embedded onto a sphere with  $k$  handles without crossing itself [35].*

If the graph embedding of  $G$  is topologically simple,  $G$  has a low genus and the game may likely require fewer resources to be available at the outset. Dually, if  $G$  has a high genus, the game may require a larger number of resources [35, 36]. In Figure 4, we compute the genus of resource-firing games for  $0 < n < 100$  for idealized desert, steppe, and temperate forest biocrust communities. One consequence of this analysis is that genus increases quadratically with respect to  $n$ . Ergo, the resource stability threshold of idealized forest communities may be significantly higher than those of desert and semi-desert communities.




**Figure 4** Genus by Ecosystem (Color Required)

Next, we consider how species richness impacts the avalanche distribution of the resource-firing game. When a node fires, a stressed species releases resources to non-stressed neighbors. This reallocation of resources may enable other species to release resources, resulting a percolation of firings across the graph. An avalanche is precisely this trickling sequence of firings. The length of the avalanche is the length of the sequence, that is, the number of firings that occur until firing must be induced for the game to proceed. The avalanche distribution is the probability distribution of the lengths of avalanches that occur, given some initial configuration of chips. For idealized desert, steppe, and temperate forest communities with  $n = 15$ ,  $n = 40$ , and  $n = 75$  species respectively, the resource-firing game was initiated 10,000 times and the avalanche distribution in Figure 5 generated.

The distribution suggests that reverse succession is both episodic and directional, proceeding towards reverse succession in bursts of variable length. In all ecosystems, avalanches are frequently short or long, but not often medium-sized. As  $n$  increases, two effects are observed: the probability of medium-sized avalanches tends towards zero and the average length of avalanches increases.


### Attacks on Biocrust Communities

The resource-firing game assumes a uniformly competitive interaction between species. In reality, species compete most



**Figure 5** Avalanche Distribution by Ecosystem (Color Required)

aggressively with species in their proximity for local pools of resources. For a complete graph  $G$  representing a biocrust community, we define an accompanying path graph—a Cayley tree—that approximates the spatial structure of the community. By Cayley’s formula, for  $G$  with  $n$  nodes,  $n^{n-2}$  unique spanning trees exist [37]. To build our Biocrust Cayley Tree (BCT), we replicate all  $n$  nodes on  $G$ , then place edges on them such that a desired spanning tree is generated. The structure of the BCT is as follows. There is a singular node  $v$  located at the root at the tree with edges to  $k$  neighbors. These neighbors comprise the first shell of the tree. Each node in the first shell has  $k-1$  neighbors, forming the second shell. Each node in every subsequent shell also has  $k-1$  neighbors [38].



**Figure 6** Cayley Tree with  $n = 45$  and  $k = 3$

A random attack on the BCT compromises community structure by arbitrarily removing one node at a time. Attacks represent critical disturbances, such as climate change, trampling, or altered precipitation. Three types of nodes are defined: alive, incapacitated, and dead. If a node is attacked, the species it represents dies, and as the attack percolates through the community, its descendants are critically incapacitated. The species represented by all other nodes are considered alive. Let  $p_A = \frac{n_A}{n}$  be the fraction of alive species in the community, where  $n$  is the total number of nodes in the BCT. We define  $A$  as the event that the species selected for attack is alive and  $D$  as the event that it is already dead. Given layers  $L$  and the number of neighbors  $k$ , Equation 8 approximates the conditional probability of  $A$  given  $\bar{D}$  [38]. Note that a very large  $L$  is assumed.

$$P(A|\bar{D}) = \frac{(k-2)(1-p_A)^{L+1}}{(k-2) - (k-1)p_A} \quad (8)$$

Based on their degree of severity, critical disturbances can lead to species death events of various sizes. We denote such events  $E$ . We estimate the probability of  $E$  with a death toll of at least  $k$  as

$$P(E) = \frac{L(k-1)}{k-2} \cdot P(L_D) \quad (9)$$

where  $P(L_D)$  is the probability that the disturbance is severe enough to attack the BCT, that is, cause species death [38].

## Conclusions

We have presented the resource-firing game as a model of resource allocation in complex networks, with a particular focus on its application to biocrust communities. First, the model was motivated by experimental results. Then, we discussed its theoretical basis and the characteristics of a biocrust community that has evolved to an equilibrium state.

We note here that the initial formulation of the resource-firing game hinges on some simplifying assumptions. For example, it assumes species are equally likely to compete with one another. In real-world ecosystems, species interaction also depends on differences in behavior or spatial proximity. Additionally, the model assumes that interactions are either present or absent, though they may exist on a spectrum of strength or frequency. However, the simple rules of our formulation adequately predict reverse succession in response to a generic disturbance, the episodic and directional character of changes in community structure, and the stability of equilibria.

One key result of our analysis is that changes in species richness have nonlinear effects on network structure and the avalanche distribution of the resource-firing game. In particular, the resource stability threshold for idealized forest communities is significantly higher than that of desert and semi-desert communities, and high species richness increases the probability of very short and long avalanches, but not those of intermediate length. These results suggest that the community's response to disturbance is both directional and episodic, proceeding towards reverse succession in bursts of variable length.

We also introduce the BCT as an approximation of the spatial structure of a biocrust community and derive probabilities of critical disturbances that can compromise community structure.

## References

- [1] Reyer, C. P., Bathgate, S., Blennow, K., Borges, J. G., Bugmann, H., Delzon, S., ... and Hanewinkel, M. (2017). Are forest disturbances amplifying or canceling out climate change-induced productivity changes in European forests?. *Environmental Research Letters: ERL*, 12(3), 034027. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aa5ef1>
- [2] Shaver, G. R., Canadell, J., Chapin, F. S., Gurevitch, J., Harte, J., Henry, G., ... and Rustad, L. (2000). Global Warming and Terrestrial Ecosystems: A Conceptual Framework for Analysis. *Bioscience*, 50(10), 871-882. [https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568\(2000\)050\[0871:GWATEA\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2000)050[0871:GWATEA]2.0.CO;2)
- [3] Hitz, S., and Smith, J. (2004). Estimating global impacts from climate change. *Global Environmental Change*, 14(3), 201-218. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2004.04.010>
- [4] McClintock, B. T., Langrock, R., Gimenez, O., Cam, E., Borchers, D. L., Glennie, R., and Patterson, T. A. (2020). Uncovering ecological state dynamics with hidden Markov models. *Ecology letters*, 23(12), 1878-1903. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13610>
- [5] Scheffer, M., Carpenter, S., Foley, J.A., Folke, C. and Walker, B. (2001). Catastrophic shifts in ecosystems. *Nature*, 413, 591. <https://doi.org/10.1038/35098000>
- [6] Fasiolo, M., Pya, N. and Wood, S.N. (2016). A comparison of inferential methods for highly nonlinear state space models in ecology and epidemiology. *Stat. Sci.*, 31, 96-118.
- [7] Elbert, W., Weber, B., Burrows, S., Steinkamp, J., Büdel, B., Andreae, M. O., and Pöschl, U. (2012). Contribution of cryptogamic covers to the global cycles of carbon and nitrogen. *Nature Geoscience*, 5(7), 459-462. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1486>
- [8] Costa, O. Y., Raaijmakers, J. M., and Kura-mae, E. E. (2018). Microbial extracellular polymeric substances: ecological function and impact on soil aggregation. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 9, 1636. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.01636>
- [9] Carvajal Janke, N., and Coe, K. K. (2021). Evidence for a fungal loop in shrublands. *Journal of Ecology*, 109(4), 1842-1857. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13610>
- [10] Dettweiler-Robinson, E., Sinsabaugh, R. L., and Rudgers, J. A. (2020). Fungal connections between plants and biocrusts facilitate plants but have little effect on biocrusts. *Journal of Ecology*, 108(3), 894-907. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13310>
- [11] Rudgers, J. A., Dettweiler-Robinson, E., Belnap, J., Green, L. E., Sinsabaugh, R. L., Young, K. E., and Darrouzet-Nardi, A. (2018). Are fungal networks key to dryland primary production?. *American Journal of Botany*, 105(11), 1783-1787. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajb2.1184>
- [12] Ferrenberg, S., Reed, S. C., and Belnap, J. (2015). Climate change and physical disturbance cause similar community shifts in biological soil crusts. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 112(39), 12116-12121. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1509150112>
- [13] Prach, K., and Walker, L. R. (2011). Four opportunities for studies of ecological succession. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 26(3), 119-123. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2010.12.007>
- [14] Belnap, J., and Gardner, J. S. (1993). Soil microstructure in soils of the Colorado Plateau: the role of the cyanobacterium *Microcoleus vaginatus*. *The Great Basin Naturalist*, 40-47.
- [15] Rosentreter, R., Eldridge, D. J., Westberg, M., Williams, L., and Grube, M. (2016). Structure, composition, and function of biocrust lichen communities. *Biological soil crusts: An organizing principle in drylands*, 121-138. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-30214-0\\_7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-30214-0_7)
- [16] Niinemets, Ü., and Tobias, M. (2013). Scaling light harvesting from moss "leaves" to canopies. *Photosyn-*

- thesis in bryophytes and early land plants*, 151-171. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-6988-5\\_9](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-6988-5_9)
- [17] Tian, C., Pang, J., Bu, C., Wu, S., Bai, H., Li, Y., ... and Siddique, K. H. (2022). The microbiomes in lichen and moss biocrust contribute differently to carbon and nitrogen cycles in arid ecosystems. *Microbial Ecology*, 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-022-02077-7>
- [18] Zaady, E., Eldridge, D. J., and Bowker, M. A. (2016). Effects of local-scale disturbance on biocrusts. *Biological soil crusts: An organizing principle in drylands*, 429-449. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-30214-0\\_21](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-30214-0_21)
- [19] Kuske, C. R., Yeager, C. M., Johnson, S., Ticknor, L. O., and Belnap, J. (2012). Response and resilience of soil biocrust bacterial communities to chronic physical disturbance in arid shrublands. *The ISME Journal*, 6(4), 886-897. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2011.153>
- [20] Dou, W., Xiao, B., Yao, X., and Kidron, G. J. (2023). Asymmetric responses of biocrust respiration to precipitation manipulation under a changing semiarid climate. *Geoderma*, 430, 116318. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2022.116318>
- [21] Sun, J., and Li, X. (2021). Role of shrubs in the community assembly of biocrusts: the biotic and abiotic influences along a biocrust succession gradient. *Plant and Soil*, 460, 163-176.
- [22] Darby BJ, Neher DA, and Belnap J (2007) Soil nematode communities are ecologically more mature beneath late- than early-successional stage biological soil crusts. *Applied Soil Ecology* 35:203–212. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2006.04.006>
- [23] Antoninka, A., Bowker, M. A., Chuckran, P., Barger, N. N., Reed, S., and Belnap, J. (2018). Maximizing establishment and survivorship of field-collected and greenhouse-cultivated biocrusts in a semi-cold desert. *Plant and Soil*, 429, 213-225. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-017-3300-3>
- [24] Maestre, F. T., Escobar, C., Bardgett, R. D., Dungait, J. A., Gozalo, B., and Ochoa, V. (2015). Warming reduces the cover and diversity of biocrust-forming mosses and lichens, and increases the physiological stress of soil microbial communities in a semi-arid Pinus halepensis plantation. *Frontiers in microbiology*, 6, 865. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00865>
- [25] Escobar, C., Martínez, I., Bowker, M. A., and Maestre, F. T. (2012). Warming reduces the growth and diversity of biological soil crusts in a semi-arid environment: implications for ecosystem structure and functioning. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 367(1606), 3087-3099. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2011.0344>
- [26] Belnap, J., Weber, B., and Büdel, B. (2016). Biological soil crusts as an organizing principle in drylands (pp. 3-13). *Springer International Publishing*. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-30214-0\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-30214-0_1)
- [27] Colesie, C., Felde, V. J. M. N. L., and Büdel, B. (2016). Composition and macrostructure of biological soil crusts. *Biological soil crusts: An organizing principle in drylands*, 159-172. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-30214-0\\_9](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-30214-0_9)
- [28] Odum, E. P. (1969). The Strategy of Ecosystem Development: An understanding of ecological succession provides a basis for resolving man's conflict with nature. *Science*, 164(3877), 262-270. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.164.3877.262>
- [29] Davey, B. A., and Priestley, H. A. (2002). *Introduction to lattices and order*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9780511809088.003>
- [30] Klivans, C. J. (2018). The mathematics of chip-firing. *CRC Press*. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781315206899>
- [31] Newman, M. H. A. (1942). On theories with a combinatorial definition of "equivalence". *Annals of Mathematics*, 223-243. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1968867>
- [32] Ellis-Monaghan, J. A., and Merino, C. (2011). Graph polynomials and their applications I: The Tutte polynomial. *Structural analysis of Complex Networks*, 219-255. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-8176-4789-6\\_9](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-8176-4789-6_9)
- [33] Cheriton, D., and Tarjan, R. E. (1976). Finding minimum spanning trees. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 5(4), 724-742.
- [34] Welsh, D. (1999). The tutte polynomial. *Random Structures & Algorithms*, 15(3-4), 210-228. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1098-2418\(199910/12\)15:3/4<210::AID-RSA23.0.CO;2-R](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1098-2418(199910/12)15:3/4<210::AID-RSA23.0.CO;2-R)
- [35] Nordhaus, E. A., Stewart, B. M., and White, A. T. (1971). On the maximum genus of a graph. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 11(3), 258-267.
- [36] McDonough, A. (2018). Genus from sandpile tor- sor algorithm. *arXiv preprint*, [arXiv:1804.07807](https://arxiv.org/abs/1804.07807). <https://doi.org/10.46298/dmtcs.6176>
- [37] Harary, F. (Ed.). (2015). A seminar on graph theory. *Courier Dover Publications*.
- [38] Patron, A. (2022). Avalanche-size distribution of Cayley tree. *arXiv preprint* [arXiv:2210.14078](https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.14078). <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2210.14078>
- [39] Campbell, S. (1979). Soil stabilization by a prokaryotic desert crust: implications for Precambrian land biota. *Origins of Life*, 9(4), 335-348. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-009-9085-2\\_8](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-009-9085-2_8)
- [40] Johansen, J. R., St. Clair, L. L., Webb, B. L., and Nebeker, G. T. (1984). Recovery patterns of cryptogamic soil crusts in desert rangelands following fire disturbance. *Bryologist*, 238-243. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3242798>
- [41] St. Clair, L. L., and Johansen, J. R. (1993). Introduction to the symposium on soil crust communities. *The Great Basin Naturalist*, 1-4. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2017-178>
- [42] Harper, K. T., and Marble, J. R. (1988). A role for nonvascular plants in management of arid and semiarid rangelands. *Vegetation science applications for rangeland analysis and management*, 135-169. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-009-3085-8\\_7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-009-3085-8_7)
- [43] Johansen, J. R., Ashley, J., and Rayburn, W. R. (1993). Effects of rangefire on soil algal crusts in semiarid shrub-steppe of the lower Columbia Basin and their subsequent recovery. *The Great Basin Naturalist*, 73-88.
- [44] Kaltenecker, J. H. (1997). The recovery of microbiotic crusts following post-fire rehabilitation on rangelands of the western Snake River Plain. *Boise State University Theses and Dissertations*. 1072.

- [45] Eldridge, D. J., and Koen, T. B. (1998). Cover and floristics of microphytic soil crusts in relation to indices of landscape health. *Plant Ecology*, 137, 101-114. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1008036214140>
- [46] Belnap, J., and Phillips, S. L. (2001). Soil biota in an ungrazed grassland: response to annual grass (*Bromus tectorum*) invasion. *Ecological Applications*, 11(5), 1261-1275.
- [47] Belnap, J. (2001). Biological soil crusts: structure, function, and management (Vol. 150, pp. 241-261). O. L. Lange (Ed.). Berlin: Springer. [https://doi.org/10.1890/1051-0761\(2001\)011\[1261:sbiaug\]2.0.co;2](https://doi.org/10.1890/1051-0761(2001)011[1261:sbiaug]2.0.co;2)
- [48] Belnap, J., Phillips, S. L., and Miller, M. E. (2004). Response of desert biological soil crusts to alterations in precipitation frequency. *Oecologia*, 141, 306-316. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-003-1438-6>
- [49] Myers, P., and Edward Davis, J. (2003). Recolonization of soils by algae in a northcentral Florida pine forest after controlled fire and soil sterilization. *Nova Hedwigia*, 207-219. <https://doi.org/10.1127/0029-5035/2003/0076-0207>
- [50] Hilty, J. H., Eldridge, D. J., Rosentreter, R., Wicklow-Howard, M. C., and Pellant, M. (2004). Recovery of biological soil crusts following wildfire in Idaho. *Journal of Range Management*, 57(1), 89-96. [https://doi.org/10.2458/azu\\_jrm\\_v57i1\\_hilty](https://doi.org/10.2458/azu_jrm_v57i1_hilty)
- [51] Bowker, M. A., Belnap, J., Davidson, D. W., and Phillips, S. L. (2005). Evidence for micronutrient limitation of biological soil crusts: importance to arid-lands restoration. *Ecological Applications*, 15(6), 1941-1951. <https://doi.org/10.1890/04-1959>
- [52] Thompson, D. B., Walker, L. R., Landau, F. H., and Stark, L. R. (2005). The influence of elevation, shrub species, and biological soil crust on fertile islands in the Mojave Desert, USA. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 61(4), 609-629. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2004.09.013>
- [53] Belnap, J., Phillips, S. L., and Troxler, T. (2006). Soil lichen and moss cover and species richness can be highly dynamic: the effects of invasion by the annual exotic grass *Bromus tectorum*, precipitation, and temperature on biological soil crusts in SE Utah. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 32(1), 63-76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2004.12.010>
- [54] Callaway, R. M. (2007). Positive interactions and interdependence in plant communities. *Springer Science and Business Media*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-6224-7>
- [55] Williams, W. J., Eldridge, D. J., and Alchin, B. M. (2008). Grazing and drought reduce cyanobacterial soil crusts in an Australian *Acacia* woodland. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 72(6), 1064-1075. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2007.11.017>
- [56] Büdel, B., Darienko, T., Deutschewitz, K., Dojani, S., Friedl, T., Mohr, K. I., and Weber, B. (2009). Southern African biological soil crusts are ubiquitous and highly diverse in drylands, being restricted by rainfall frequency. *Microbial ecology*, 57, 229-247. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-008-9449-9>
- [57] Lázaro, R., Cantón, Y., Solé-Benet, A., Bevan, J., Alexander, R., Sancho, L. G., and Puigdefábregas, J. (2008). The influence of competition between lichen colonization and erosion on the evolution of soil surfaces in the Tabernas badlands (SE Spain) and its landscape effects. *Geomorphology*, 102(2), 252-266. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2008.05.005>
- [58] Kidron, G. J., Vonshak, A., and Abeliovich, A. (2008). Recovery rates of microbiotic crusts within a dune ecosystem in the Negev Desert. *Geomorphology*, 100(3-4), 444-452. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2008.01.012>
- [59] Wang, W., Liu, Y., Li, D., Hu, C., and Rao, B. (2009). Feasibility of cyanobacterial inoculation for biological soil crusts formation in desert area. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 41(5), 926-929. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2008.07.001>
- [60] Li, X. R., He, M. Z., Zerbe, S., Li, X. J., and Liu, L. C. (2010). Micro-geomorphology determines community structure of biological soil crusts at small scales. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 35(8), 932-940. <https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.1963>
- [61] Langhans, T. M., Storm, C., and Schwabe, A. (2010). Regeneration processes of biological soil crusts, macro-cryptogams and vascular plant species after fine-scale disturbance in a temperate region: recolonization or successional replacement?. *Flora-Morphology, Distribution, Functional Ecology of Plants*, 205(1), 46-60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.flora.2008.12.001>
- [62] Li, X. R., Jia, R. L., Chen, Y. W., Huang, L., and Zhang, P. (2011). Association of ant nests with successional stages of biological soil crusts in the Tengger Desert, Northern China. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 47(1), 59-66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2010.10.010>
- [63] Reed, S. C., Coe, K. K., Sparks, J. P., Housman, D. C., Zelikova, T. J., and Belnap, J. (2012). Changes to dryland rainfall result in rapid moss mortality and altered soil fertility. *Nature Climate Change*, 2(10), 752-755. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1596>
- [64] Johnson, S. L., Kuske, C. R., Carney, T. D., Housman, D. C., Gallegos-Graves, L. V., and Belnap, J. (2012). Increased temperature and altered summer precipitation have differential effects on biological soil crusts in a dryland ecosystem. *Global Change Biology*, 18(8), 2583-2593. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.02709.x>
- [65] Zelikova, T. J., Housman, D. C., Grote, E. E., Neher, D. A., and Belnap, J. (2012). Warming and increased precipitation frequency on the Colorado Plateau: implications for biological soil crusts and soil processes. *Plant and Soil*, 355, 265-282. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-011-1097-z>
- [66] Yeager, C. M., Kuske, C. R., Carney, T. D., Johnson, S. L., Ticknor, L. O., and Belnap, J. (2012). Response of biological soil crust diazotrophs to season, altered summer precipitation, and year-round increased temperature in an arid grassland of the Colorado Plateau, USA. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 3, 358. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2012.00358>
- [67] Maestre, F. T., Escoler, C., de Guevara, M. L., Quero, J. L., Lázaro, R., Delgado-Baquerizo, M., and Gallardo, A. (2013). Changes in biocrust cover drive carbon cycle responses to climate change in drylands. *Global Change Biology*, 19(12), 3835-3847. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12306>



- [68] Concostrina-Zubiri, L., Martínez, I., Rabasa, S. G., and Escudero, A. (2014). The influence of environmental factors on biological soil crust: from a community perspective to a species level approach. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 25(2), 503-513. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jvs.12084>
- [69] Concostrina-Zubiri, L., Huber-Sannwald, E., Martínez, I., Flores, J. F., Reyes-Agüero, J. A., Escudero, A., and Belnap, J. (2014). Biological soil crusts across disturbance-recovery scenarios: effect of grazing regime on community dynamics. *Ecological Applications*, 24(7), 1863-1877. <https://doi.org/10.1890/13-1416.1>
- [70] Zhang, B., Zhou, X., and Zhang, Y. (2015). Responses of microbial activities and soil physical-chemical properties to the successional process of biological soil crusts in the Gurbantunggut Desert, Xinjiang. *Journal of Arid Land*, 7, 101-109. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40333-014-0035-3>
- [71] Maestre, Fernando T., et al. Warming reduces the cover and diversity of biocrust-forming mosses and lichens, and increases the physiological stress of soil microbial communities in a semi-arid *Pinus halepensis* plantation. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 6 (2015): 865. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00865>
- [72] Steven, B., Kuske, C. R., Gallegos-Graves, L. V., Reed, S. C., and Belnap, J. (2015). Climate change and physical disturbance manipulations result in distinct biological soil crust communities. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 81(21), 7448-7459. <https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.01443-15>
- [73] Büdel, B., Dulić, T., Darienko, T., Rybalka, N., and Friedl, T. (2016). Cyanobacteria and algae of biological soil crusts. *Biological soil crusts: an organizing principle in drylands*, 55-80. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-30214-0\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-30214-0_4)
- [74] Rutherford, W. A., Painter, T. H., Ferrenberg, S., Belnap, J., Okin, G. S., Flagg, C., and Reed, S. C. (2017). Albedo feedbacks to future climate via climate change impacts on dryland biocrusts. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep44188>
- [75] Fernandes, V. M., Machado de Lima, N. M., Roush, D., Rudgers, J., Collins, S. L., and Garcia-Pichel, F. (2018). Exposure to predicted precipitation patterns decreases population size and alters community structure of cyanobacteria in biological soil crusts from the Chihuahuan Desert. *Environmental Microbiology*, 20(1), 259-269. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.13983>
- [76] Li, X. R., Song, G., Hui, R., and Wang, Z. R. (2017). Precipitation and topsoil attributes determine the species diversity and distribution patterns of crustal communities in desert ecosystems. *Plant and Soil*, 420, 163-175. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-017-3385-8>
- [77] Zhang, B., Zhang, Y., Li, X., and Zhang, Y. (2018). Successional changes of fungal communities along the biocrust development stages. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 54, 285-294. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-017-1259-0>
- [78] Eldridge, D. J., and Delgado-Baquerizo, M. (2019). The influence of climatic legacies on the distribution of dryland biocrust communities. *Global Change Biology*, 25(1), 327-336. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14506>
- [79] Ladrón de Guevara, M., Gozalo, B., Raggio, J., La-fuente, A., Prieto, M., and Maestre, F. T. (2018). Warming reduces the cover, richness and evenness of lichen-dominated biocrusts but promotes moss growth: insights from an 8 yr experiment. *New Phytologist*, 220(3), 811-823. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15000>
- [80] Li, X. R., Jia, R. L., Zhang, Z. S., Zhang, P., and Hui, R. (2018). Hydrological response of biological soil crusts to global warming: A ten-year simulative study. *Global Change Biology*, 24(10), 4960-4971. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14378>
- [81] Aanderud, Z. T., Bahr, J., Robinson, D. M., Belnap, J., Campbell, T. P., Gill, R. A., and St. Clair, S. (2019). The burning of biocrusts facilitates the emergence of a bare soil community of poorly-connected chemoheterotrophic bacteria with depressed ecosystem services. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, 7, 467. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2019.00467>
- [82] Berdugo, M., Mendoza-Aguilar, D. O., Rey, A., Ochoa, V., Gozalo, B., García-Huss, L., and Maestre, F. T. (2021). Litter decomposition rates of biocrust-forming lichens are similar to those of vascular plants and are affected by warming. *Ecosystems*, 24(6), 1531-1544.
- [83] Li, X., Hui, R., Zhang, P., and Song, N. (2021). Divergent responses of moss-and lichen-dominated biocrusts to warming and increased drought in arid desert regions. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 303, 108387. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2021.108387>
- [84] Lan, S., Thomas, A. D., Rakes, J. B., Garcia-Pichel, F., Wu, L., and Hu, C. (2021). Cyanobacterial community composition and their functional shifts associated with biocrust succession in the Gurbantunggut Desert. *Environmental Microbiology Reports*, 13(6), 884-898. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1758-2229.13011>
- [85] Finger-Higgins, R., Duniway, M. C., Fick, S., Geiger, E. L., Hoover, D. L., Pfennigwerth, A. A., and Belnap, J. (2022). Decline in biological soil crust N-fixing lichens linked to increasing summertime temperatures. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 119(16), e2120975119. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2120975119>

## Declarations

### Acknowledgements

The author thanks Erwan Monier, who provided feedback on technical aspects of the model.

### Funding

The author declares that no funds, grants, or other support were received during the preparation of this manuscript.

### Competing Interests

The author declares no competing interests.

Reference	Ecosystem Type	Disturbance	Data Reported
Campbell (1979) [39]	Semi-desert	Sand burial	Other parameters
Johansen <i>et al.</i> (1984) [40]	Desert	Fire	Relative cover
Johansen and St. Clair (1986) [41]	Desert	Grazing	Relative cover
Harper and Marble (1988) [42]	–	Vascular Plants	General trends
Johansen <i>et al.</i> (1993) [43]	Steppe	Fire	Species richness
Kaltenecker <i>et al.</i> (1997) [44]	Steppe	Fire	Species richness
Eldridge and Koen (1998) [45]	Desert	Trampling, Fire	Relative cover
Belnap and Phillips (2001) [46]	Grassland	Grass invasion	Species richness
Belnap and Eldridge (2001) [26]	–	–	General trends
Belnap and Lange (2001) [47]	–	Frequent fires	General trends
Belnap <i>et al.</i> (2004) [48]	Desert	Rain	Other parameters
Myers and Davis (2003) [49]	Temperate forest	Fire	Species richness
Hilty <i>et al.</i> (2004) [50]	Steppe	Fire	Species richness
Bowker <i>et al.</i> (2005) [51]	Semi-desert	Micronutrients	Relative cover
Thompson <i>et al.</i> (2005) [52]	Desert	Soil fertility	Relative cover
Belnap <i>et al.</i> (2006) [53]	Semi-desert	Grass invasion	Relative cover
Callaway (2007) [54]	Desert	Micronutrients	Species richness
Williams <i>et al.</i> (2008) [55]	Desert	Grazing, drought	Relative cover
Budel <i>et al.</i> (2008) [56]	Desert	Trampling, Rain	Other parameters
Lazaro <i>et al.</i> (2008) [57]	Semi-desert	Micronutrients	Other parameters
Kidron <i>et al.</i> (2008) [58]	Desert	Grazing	Other parameters
Wang <i>et al.</i> (2009) [59]	Desert	Sand burial	Relative cover
Li <i>et al.</i> (2010) [60]	Desert	Geomorphology	Other parameters
Langhans <i>et al.</i> (2010) [61]	Temperate forest	Fine-disturbance	General trends
Kuske <i>et al.</i> (2011) [19]	Semi-desert	Trampling	Species richness
Li <i>et al.</i> (2011) [62]	Desert	Ant nests	Other parameters
Escolar <i>et al.</i> (2012) [25]	Desert	Warming, Rain	Relative cover
Reed <i>et al.</i> (2012) [63]	Semi-desert	Rain	Relative cover
Johnson <i>et al.</i> (2012) [64]	Semi-desert	Warming, Rain	Other parameters
Zelikova <i>et al.</i> (2012) [65]	Semi-desert	Warming, Rain	Relative cover
Yeager <i>et al.</i> (2012) [66]	Semi-desert	Warming	Other parameters
Maestre <i>et al.</i> (2013) [67]	Semi-desert	Warming	Relative cover
Concostrina-Zubiri <i>et al.</i> (2013) [68]	Desert	Micronutrients	Species richness
Concostrina-Zubiri <i>et al.</i> (2014) [69]	Desert	Grazing	Species richness
Zhang <i>et al.</i> (2014) [70]	Desert	Sand burial	Other parameters
Ferrenberg <i>et al.</i> (2015) [12]	Semi-desert	Trampling	Relative cover
Maestre <i>et al.</i> (2015) [71]	Desert	Warming	Relative cover
Steven <i>et al.</i> (2015) [72]	Semi-desert	Trampling	Species richness
Budel <i>et al.</i> (2016) [73]	–	–	General trends
Belnap <i>et al.</i> (2016) [26]	–	–	General trends
Rutherford <i>et al.</i> (2017) [74]	Semi-desert	Warming	Relative cover
Fernandes <i>et al.</i> (2017) [75]	Desert	Rain	Species richness
Li <i>et al.</i> (2017) [76]	Desert	Rain, Topsoil	Species richness
Zhang <i>et al.</i> (2017) [77]	Desert	Sand burial	Species richness
Eldridge <i>et al.</i> (2018) [78]	Desert	Warming	Species richness
Ladron de Guevara <i>et al.</i> (2018) [79]	Desert	Warming	Relative cover
Li <i>et al.</i> (2018) [80]	Desert	Warming	Relative cover
Aanderud <i>et al.</i> (2019) [81]	Desert	Fire	Species richness
Berdugo <i>et al.</i> (2021) [82]	Temperate forest	Warming	Other parameters
Li <i>et al.</i> (2021) [83]	Desert	Warming	Relative cover
Lan <i>et al.</i> (2021) [84]	Desert	Sand burial	Other parameters
Finger-Higgins <i>et al.</i> (2022) [85]	Semi-desert	Grass invasion	Relative cover

**Table 1** Ecological succession of biocrust covers from available literature data (Appendix)