

MAXWELL EQUATIONS IN DISTRIBUTIONAL SENSE AND APPLICATIONS

CRISTIAN E. GUTIÉRREZ AND AHMAD SABRA

MAY 3, 2023

ABSTRACT. This paper discusses the Maxwell system of electrodynamics in the context of distributions. It is used to establish boundary conditions for fields at the interface when the charge and current densities are concentrated measures on the interface. The paper derives the generalized Snell's law from this analysis.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	2
2.1. Distributions depending on a parameter	5
3. Maxwell equations in distributional sense and general boundary conditions	7
3.1. Compatibility condition	9
4. Generalized Snell's law deduced from Maxwell equations	10
4.1. Verification that the field (4.1) is admissible for the Maxwell system	12
References	14

1. INTRODUCTION

Maxwell's equations are well understood in the classical sense, but when dealing with discontinuous fields across a surface, it is often convenient to analyze them in the sense of generalized functions (or distributions). The purpose of this paper is to explore Maxwell's equations in a distributional sense and derive the boundary conditions at interfaces for current densities and charge densities that are measures concentrated on the interface. The second part of the paper focuses on metasurfaces, which are extremely thin interfaces containing nano materials that can steer light in unusual ways. The use of metasurfaces for controlling beam shaping applications is a flourishing field of research with numerous applications. At the basis of this is the generalized Snell law of refraction and reflection explaining how beams propagate across metasurfaces. It was introduced in [YGK⁺11]

Key words and phrases. Electromagnetism, Generalized functions, Generalized Snell's law, Metasurfaces.
2020 AMS Math Subject Classification: 78A40, 35Q61, 35D30.

C.E.G was partially supported by NSF Grant DMS-1600578, and A. S. was partially supported by the University Research Board, Grant 104107 from the American University of Beirut. May 3, 2023.

and [AKG⁺12] for planar metasurfaces. The formulation of the law involves a function defined in a small neighborhood of the metasurface called the phase discontinuity, and it is further discussed in [YC14]. A rigorous mathematical derivation of the law for non planar geometries was first obtained using wave fronts in [GPS17, Sect. 3] and later on using the Fermat principle of minimum action in [GS21, Sect. 2]. These two papers also show the existence of phase discontinuities for various geometric configurations.

The purpose of this paper is to obtain the generalized Snell law using Maxwell equations by representing the transmitted electric field as a nonlinear wave, that is, to model the transmitted field as a perturbation of a plane wave involving the phase discontinuity and having the form (4.1). The generalized Snell law is then deduced from the boundary conditions for the Maxwell system obtained in Theorem 3.1, and explicitly stated by equation (4.4). Since the electric field must satisfy the Maxwell system this translates into conditions on the phase discontinuity and the current density.

We refer the reader to [RF20] and [Sch22] for recent developments concerning the generalized Snell law and its various interpretations and applications.

The organization of the paper is as follows. Section 2 contains results concerning distributions and assumptions on the fields that are used in Section 3 to prove Theorem 3.1. Section 4 contains the deduction of the generalized Snell law using Theorem 3.1. Finally, Section 4.1 proves that a representation of the transmitted electric field by (4.1) implies that the phase discontinuity φ must satisfy (4.6) and the current density must satisfy to (4.7).

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we introduce the notions needed to analyze the Maxwell system in the sense of distributions. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be an open and bounded domain. A generalized function or distribution in Ω is a complex-valued continuous linear functional defined in the class of test functions $\mathcal{D}(\Omega) = C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. As usual, $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ denotes the class of distributions in Ω [Sch66]. If $g \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$, then $\langle g, \varphi \rangle$ denotes the value of the distribution g on the test function $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$.

We say that $\mathbf{G} = (G_1, G_2, G_3)$ is a vector valued distribution in Ω if each component $G_i \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$. The divergence of \mathbf{G} is the scalar distribution defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \langle \nabla \cdot \mathbf{G}, \varphi \rangle = - \sum_{i=1}^3 \langle G_i, \partial_{x_i} \varphi \rangle,$$

and the curl of \mathbf{G} is the vector valued distribution in Ω defined by

$$(2.2) \quad \langle \nabla \times \mathbf{G}, \varphi \rangle = (\langle G_2, \varphi_{x_3} \rangle - \langle G_3, \varphi_{x_2} \rangle) \mathbf{i} - (\langle G_1, \varphi_{x_3} \rangle - \langle G_3, \varphi_{x_1} \rangle) \mathbf{j} + (\langle G_1, \varphi_{x_2} \rangle - \langle G_2, \varphi_{x_1} \rangle) \mathbf{k}.$$

Then it follows that

$$(2.3) \quad \nabla \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{G}) = 0,$$

in the sense of distributions. When the distribution $\mathbf{G} = (G_1, G_2, G_3)$ is locally integrable in Ω we obtain from (2.1), and (2.2) that

$$\langle \nabla \cdot \mathbf{G}, \varphi \rangle = - \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{G} \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx, \quad \langle \nabla \times \mathbf{G}, \varphi \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{G} \times \nabla \varphi \, dx.$$

We consider the following setup. Ω is a smooth open and bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^3 and Γ is a smooth surface that splits Ω into two open parts Ω_+ and Ω_- as follows: for every $x_0 \in \Gamma$ there exists a ball $B(x_0, r) \subset \Omega$ and $\psi \in C^1(B(x_0, r))$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_- \cap B(x_0, r) &= \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in B(x_0, r) : x_3 < \psi(x_1, x_2)\} \\ \Omega_+ \cap B(x_0, r) &= \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in B(x_0, r) : x_3 > \psi(x_1, x_2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We are given fields \mathbf{G}_- in Ω_- and \mathbf{G}_+ in Ω_+ satisfying the following properties

- (F1) $\mathbf{G}_- \in C^1(\Omega_-)$, $\mathbf{G}_+ \in C^1(\Omega_+)$.
- (F2) The first order derivatives of \mathbf{G}_{\pm} are in $L^1(\Omega_{\pm})$, respectively.
- (F3) For every $x \in \Gamma$, $\lim_{y \rightarrow x, y \in \Omega_-} \mathbf{G}_-(y)$ and $\lim_{y \rightarrow x, y \in \Omega_+} \mathbf{G}_+(y)$ exist and are finite.

As a consequence, each \mathbf{G}_- and \mathbf{G}_+ can be extended continuously to Γ by setting

$$\mathbf{G}_-(x) = \lim_{y \rightarrow x, y \in \Omega_-} \mathbf{G}_-(y); \quad \mathbf{G}_+(x) = \lim_{y \rightarrow x, y \in \Omega_+} \mathbf{G}_+(y),$$

for each $x \in \Gamma$. For such fields \mathbf{G}_- and \mathbf{G}_+ , the linear functional \mathbf{G} given by

$$(2.4) \quad \langle \mathbf{G}, \varphi \rangle = \int_{\Omega_-} \mathbf{G}_-(x) \varphi(x) \, dx + \int_{\Omega_+} \mathbf{G}_+(x) \varphi(x) \, dx$$

is a well defined distribution for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$. The jump of the fields in Γ is defined by

$$(2.5) \quad [[\mathbf{G}(x)]] = \mathbf{G}_+(x) - \mathbf{G}_-(x), \quad \text{for } x \in \Gamma.$$

We then have the following expressions for the curl and divergence of \mathbf{G} .

Proposition 2.1. *If the field \mathbf{G} satisfies (F1)–(F3), then for each $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ we have*

$$(2.6) \quad \langle \nabla \cdot \mathbf{G}, \varphi \rangle = \int_{\Gamma} \varphi(x) [[\mathbf{G}(x)]] \cdot \mathbf{n} \, d\sigma + \int_{\Omega_-} \varphi \nabla \cdot \mathbf{G}_- \, dx + \int_{\Omega_+} \varphi \nabla \cdot \mathbf{G}_+ \, dx$$

$$(2.7) \quad \langle \nabla \times \mathbf{G}, \varphi \rangle = - \int_{\Gamma} \varphi(x) [[\mathbf{G}(x)]] \times \mathbf{n} \, d\sigma + \int_{\Omega_-} \varphi \nabla \times \mathbf{G}_- \, dx + \int_{\Omega_+} \varphi \nabla \times \mathbf{G}_+ \, dx$$

with \mathbf{n} the unit normal to Γ pointing toward Ω_+ .

Proof. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, define

$$\Omega_-^\varepsilon = \{x \in \Omega_- : \text{dist}(x, \Gamma) > \varepsilon\} \quad \Omega_+^\varepsilon = \{x \in \Omega_+ : \text{dist}(x, \Gamma) > \varepsilon\}.$$

For $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, we have from (2.1) and the definition of \mathbf{G} in (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla \cdot \mathbf{G}, \varphi \rangle &= - \int_{\Omega_-} \mathbf{G}_- \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx - \int_{\Omega_+} \mathbf{G}_+ \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx \\ &= - \int_{\Omega_-^\varepsilon} \mathbf{G}_- \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx - \int_{\Omega_- \setminus \Omega_-^\varepsilon} \mathbf{G}_- \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx - \int_{\Omega_+^\varepsilon} \mathbf{G}_+ \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx - \int_{\Omega_+ \setminus \Omega_+^\varepsilon} \mathbf{G}_+ \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx \\ &= -(I + II + III + IV). \end{aligned}$$

$II, IV \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ because the extensions \mathbf{G}_\pm are locally bounded in $\Omega_\pm \cup \Gamma$ and φ is compactly supported in Ω . Since \mathbf{G}_- is C^1 in Ω_-^ε , using the divergence theorem we obtain

$$I = \int_{\partial\Omega_-^\varepsilon} \varphi \mathbf{G}_- \cdot \mathbf{n}_-^\varepsilon \, d\sigma - \int_{\Omega_-^\varepsilon} \varphi \nabla \cdot \mathbf{G}_- \, dx$$

with \mathbf{n}_-^ε the outward unit normal to $\partial\Omega_-^\varepsilon$. Since φ has compact support in Ω , $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{G}_- \in L^1(\Omega_-)$, and \mathbf{G}_- is locally bounded in $\Omega_- \cup \Gamma$, it follows that

$$I \rightarrow \int_{\Gamma} \varphi \mathbf{G}_- \cdot \mathbf{n} \, d\sigma - \int_{\Omega_-} \varphi \nabla \cdot \mathbf{G}_- \, dx,$$

with \mathbf{n} is the unit normal to Γ toward Ω_+ . Similarly we get

$$III \rightarrow \int_{\Gamma} \varphi \mathbf{G}_+ \cdot (-\mathbf{n}) \, d\sigma - \int_{\Omega_+} \varphi \nabla \cdot \mathbf{G}_+ \, dx,$$

with $-\mathbf{n}$ the unit normal to Γ toward Ω_- . Hence (2.6) follows.

We next prove (2.7). Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, we have from 2.2 and the definition of \mathbf{G} in (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla \times \mathbf{G}, \varphi \rangle &= \int_{\Omega_-} \mathbf{G}_- \times \nabla \varphi \, dx + \int_{\Omega_+} \mathbf{G}_+ \times \nabla \varphi \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega_-^\varepsilon} \mathbf{G}_- \times \nabla \varphi \, dx + \int_{\Omega_- \setminus \Omega_-^\varepsilon} \mathbf{G}_- \times \nabla \varphi \, dx + \int_{\Omega_+^\varepsilon} \mathbf{G}_+ \times \nabla \varphi \, dx + \int_{\Omega_+ \setminus \Omega_+^\varepsilon} \mathbf{G}_+ \times \nabla \varphi \, dx \\ &= I + II + III + IV \end{aligned}$$

$II, IV \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ because the extensions \mathbf{G}_\pm are locally bounded in $\Omega_\pm \cup \Gamma$ and φ is compactly supported in Ω . We write $I = (I_1, I_2, I_3)$, $\mathbf{G}_- = (G_1^-, G_2^-, G_3^-)$, and $\mathbf{n}_-^\varepsilon = (n_1^\varepsilon, n_2^\varepsilon, n_3^\varepsilon)$ the the outward unit normal to $\partial\Omega_-^\varepsilon$. Using the divergence theorem

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_{\Omega_-^\varepsilon} G_2^- \varphi_{x_3} - G_3^- \varphi_{x_2} \, dx \\ &= \left(\int_{\partial\Omega_-^\varepsilon} \varphi G_2^- n_3^\varepsilon \, d\sigma - \int_{\Omega_-^\varepsilon} (G_2^-)_{x_3} \varphi \, dx \right) - \left(\int_{\partial\Omega_-^\varepsilon} \varphi G_3^- n_2^\varepsilon \, d\sigma - \int_{\Omega_-^\varepsilon} (G_3^-)_{x_2} \varphi \, dx \right) \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega_-^\varepsilon} \varphi (G_2^- n_3^\varepsilon - G_3^- n_2^\varepsilon) \, d\sigma + \int_{\Omega_-^\varepsilon} \varphi ((G_3^-)_{x_2} - (G_2^-)_{x_3}) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$I_2 = \int_{\Omega_-^\varepsilon} -G_1^- \varphi_{x_3} + G_3^- \varphi_{x_1} dx = \int_{\partial\Omega_-^\varepsilon} \varphi(G_3^- n_1^\varepsilon - G_1^- n_3^\varepsilon) d\sigma + \int_{\Omega_-^\varepsilon} \varphi((G_1^-)_{x_3} - (G_3^-)_{x_1}) dx.$$

and

$$I_3 = \int_{\Omega_-^\varepsilon} G_1^- \varphi_{x_2} - G_2^- \varphi_{x_1} dx = \int_{\partial\Omega_-^\varepsilon} \varphi(G_1^- n_2^\varepsilon - G_2^- n_1^\varepsilon) d\sigma + \int_{\Omega_-^\varepsilon} \varphi((G_2^-)_{x_1} - (G_1^-)_{x_2}) dx.$$

Combining the above calculations, we deduce that

$$I = \int_{\partial\Omega_-^\varepsilon} \varphi \mathbf{G}_- \times \mathbf{n}_-^\varepsilon d\sigma + \int_{\Omega_-^\varepsilon} \varphi \nabla \times \mathbf{G}_- dx.$$

Since φ is compactly supported in Ω , \mathbf{G}_- is locally bounded in $\Omega_- \cup \Gamma_-$, and $\nabla \times \mathbf{G}_- \in L^1(\Omega_-)$ then

$$I \rightarrow \int_{\Gamma} \varphi \mathbf{G}_- \times \mathbf{n} d\sigma + \int_{\Omega_-} \varphi \nabla \times \mathbf{G}_- dx.$$

Similarly we get

$$III = \int_{\partial\Omega_+^\varepsilon} \varphi \mathbf{G}_+ \times \mathbf{n}_+^\varepsilon d\sigma + \int_{\Omega_+^\varepsilon} \varphi \nabla \times \mathbf{G}_+ dx \rightarrow \int_{\Gamma} \varphi \mathbf{G}_+ \times (-\mathbf{n}) d\sigma + \int_{\Omega_+} \varphi \nabla \times \mathbf{G}_+ dx.$$

Hence (2.7) follows. \square

2.1. Distributions depending on a parameter. Since the fields satisfying Maxwell equations depend on time, in this section we consider distributions in Ω , possibly vector-valued, that depend on a parameter $t \in \mathbb{R}$, that is, for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $g(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$, see [GS64, Appendix 2, p. 147].

Definition 2.2. Let $g(\cdot, t)$ be a distribution in $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ depending on the parameter $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We say that the derivative of $g(\cdot, t)$ with respect to the parameter t exists if for each test function $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, the function $\langle g(\cdot, t), \varphi \rangle$ is differentiable in t , and there exists a distribution $h(\cdot, t)$ depending on the parameter t such that

$$\langle h(\cdot, t), \varphi \rangle = \frac{d}{dt} \langle g(\cdot, t), \varphi \rangle.$$

We write $h(x, t) = \frac{\partial g}{\partial t}(x, t)$.

Proposition 2.3. Given a distribution $g(\cdot, t)$ in Ω , $t \in \mathbb{R}$, if $\frac{\partial g}{\partial t}(\cdot, t)$ exists for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then for every multi-index α , the derivative with respect to t of the distribution $D^\alpha g$ exists and we have

$$\frac{\partial(D^\alpha g)}{\partial t} = D^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} \right).$$

Proof. If $h(\cdot, t) = \frac{\partial g}{\partial t}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, then $\langle g(\cdot, t), \varphi \rangle$ is differentiable in t . Since

$$\langle D^\alpha g(\cdot, t), \varphi \rangle = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \langle g(\cdot, t), D^\alpha \varphi \rangle,$$

and $D^\alpha \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, then $\langle D^\alpha g(\cdot, t), \varphi \rangle$ is differentiable in t and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle D^\alpha g(\cdot, t), \varphi \rangle = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \frac{d}{dt} \langle g(\cdot, t), D^\alpha \varphi \rangle = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \langle h(\cdot, t), D^\alpha \varphi \rangle = \langle D^\alpha h, \varphi \rangle.$$

□

Recalling the set up at the beginning of this section, Ω is a smooth open and bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^3 , and Γ is a smooth surface that splits Ω into two open parts Ω_+ and Ω_- . For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we are given a function $g(\cdot, t)$ satisfying

(H1) $g(\cdot, t) \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ for every t ,

(H2) for each fixed $x \in \Omega_\pm$ the function $g(x, \cdot)$ is differentiable with respect to t and there exists a function $\psi \in L^1(\Omega_+ \cup \Omega_-)$ such that $\left| \frac{\partial g}{\partial t}(x, t) \right| \leq \psi(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega_\pm$ and for each t .

For every t , the linear functional $g(\cdot, t)$ given by

$$\langle g(\cdot, t), \varphi \rangle = \int_{\Omega} g(x, t) \varphi(x) dx,$$

is then a well defined distribution by Item (H1).

Proposition 2.4. *Under the assumptions (H1) and (H2), the distribution $g(\cdot, t)$ has a derivative with respect to the parameter t , and*

$$\left\langle \frac{\partial g}{\partial t}(\cdot, t), \varphi \right\rangle = \int_{\Omega_-} \frac{\partial g}{\partial t}(x, t) \varphi(x) dx + \int_{\Omega_+} \frac{\partial g}{\partial t}(x, t) \varphi(x) dx.$$

Proof. We write for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ and $t \in (a, b)$

$$\langle g(\cdot, t), \varphi \rangle = \int_{\Omega} g(x, t) \varphi(x) dx = \int_{\Omega_-} g(x, t) \varphi(x) dx + \int_{\Omega_+} g(x, t) \varphi(x) dx,$$

since from (H1), the integral $\int_{\Gamma} g(x, t) \varphi(x) dx = 0$. Using condition (H2) and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we can justify differentiation under the integral sign and obtain that $\langle g(\cdot, t), \varphi \rangle$ is differentiable in t , and that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle g(\cdot, t), \varphi \rangle = \int_{\Omega_-} \frac{\partial g}{\partial t}(x, t) \varphi(x) dx + \int_{\Omega_+} \frac{\partial g}{\partial t}(x, t) \varphi(x) dx.$$

From (H2), the linear functional $h(\cdot, t)$ given by

$$\langle h(\cdot, t), \varphi \rangle = \int_{\Omega_-} \frac{\partial g}{\partial t}(x, t) \varphi(x) dx + \int_{\Omega_+} \frac{\partial g}{\partial t}(x, t) \varphi(x) dx,$$

is a well defined distribution and hence we obtain $h(\cdot, t) = \frac{\partial g}{\partial t}(\cdot, t)$. □

3. MAXWELL EQUATIONS IN DISTRIBUTIONAL SENSE AND GENERAL BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

Given Ω open and bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^3 and Γ a smooth surface separating Ω into two open parts Ω_+ and Ω_- as in Section 2, we are interested in the Maxwell system [BW06, Sections 1.1 and 1.2]

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} \nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \mathbf{J} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = 4\pi \rho \\ \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0, \end{cases}$$

where the curl and divergence are understood in the sense of distributions as in Section 2, and the fields $\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{J}, \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}$ are vector valued distributions in Ω depending on the parameter $t \in \mathbb{R}$ in the sense of Section 2.1, with \mathbf{J} given, and ρ is scalar distribution in Ω , also given, depending also on the parameter $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

The purpose of this section is to show under general assumptions on the current density field \mathbf{J} and the charge density ρ that the solutions to the Maxwell system satisfy boundary conditions at the interface Γ and are classical solutions away from Γ . This is the contents of the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose the fields $\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{E}$ and \mathbf{H} satisfy the Maxwell system (3.1) in the sense of distributions where \mathbf{J} and ρ satisfy*

- (a) $\mathbf{J}(x, t) = \mathbf{J}_0(x, t) + \nu_t$ with $\mathbf{J}_0(x, t)$ a locally integrable \mathbb{C}^3 -valued function for $x \in \Omega$ for each t ; and ν_t are \mathbb{C}^3 -valued measures in Ω depending on the parameter t and all concentrated in Γ^1 ;
- (b) $\rho(x, t) = \rho_0(x, t) + \mu_t$ with $\rho_0(x, t)$ locally integrable in Ω for each t , and μ_t are measures in Ω depending on the parameter t that are all concentrated on the surface Γ .

If \mathbf{B} and \mathbf{D} satisfy (F1), (F2), (F3), (H1), and (H2); and \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{H} satisfy (F1), (F2), and (F3), then we have the following

$$(3.2) \quad d\mu_t(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi} [[\mathbf{D}(x, t)]] \cdot \mathbf{n}(x) d\sigma(x),$$

$d\sigma$ denotes the surface measure on Γ , and \mathbf{n} is the unit normal toward Ω_+ ,

$$(3.3) \quad d\nu_t(x) = -\frac{c}{4\pi} [[\mathbf{H}(x, t)]] \times \mathbf{n}(x) d\sigma(x),$$

$$(3.4) \quad [[\mathbf{E}(x, t)]] \times \mathbf{n}(x) = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Gamma \text{ (with respect to surface measure) for all } t,$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad [[\mathbf{B}(x, t)]] \cdot \mathbf{n}(x) = 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Gamma \text{ (with respect to surface measure) for all } t.$$

¹That is, the support of ν_t is contained in Γ .

In addition, the fields \mathbf{B} , \mathbf{D} , \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{H} satisfy the Maxwell system (3.1) in the classical sense for $x \in \Omega_{\pm}$ for all t .

Proof. The proof will follow by analyzing each equation in (3.1) one at a time.

Analysis of the equation $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = 4\pi\rho$

From (b), $\rho(\cdot, t)$ is a distribution depending on t given by

$$\langle \rho(\cdot, t), \varphi \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \rho_0(x, t) \varphi(x) dx + \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x) d\mu_t(x) = \int_{\Omega} \rho_0(x, t) \varphi(x) dx + \int_{\Gamma} \varphi(x) d\mu_t(x),$$

for each $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$. The left hand side $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D}$ is a distribution that acting on a test function φ is given by (2.6). We have

$$\langle \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D}(\cdot, t), \varphi \rangle = 4\pi \langle \rho(\cdot, t), \varphi \rangle$$

for each t . If $\text{supp}(\varphi) \subset \Omega_-$ or $\text{supp}(\varphi) \subset \Omega_+$, then from (2.6)

$$\int_{\Omega_-} \varphi(x) \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D}_-(x, t) dx + \int_{\Omega_+} \varphi(x) \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D}_+(x, t) dx = 4\pi \int_{\Omega} \rho_0(x, t) \varphi(x) dx,$$

which implies

$$(3.6) \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D}_{\pm}(x, t) = 4\pi\rho_0(x, t) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega_{\pm} \text{ and for each } t.$$

That is, the equation $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = 4\pi\rho$ is satisfied pointwise a.e. in Ω_{\pm} . If $\text{supp}(\varphi) \cap \Gamma \neq \emptyset$, we then get again from (2.6) and from (3.6) that

$$\int_{\Gamma} \varphi(x) [[\mathbf{D}(x, t)]] \cdot \mathbf{n}(x) d\sigma(x) = 4\pi \int_{\Gamma} \varphi(x) d\mu_t(x),$$

that is, the measure μ_t has density $\frac{1}{4\pi} [[\mathbf{D}(x, t)]] \cdot \mathbf{n}(x)$, and (3.2) follows. Notice that this part only uses that \mathbf{D} satisfies (F1)–(F3).

Analysis of the equation $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$

We proceed as in the last equation and in this way we obtain

$$(3.7) \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}_{\pm}(x, t) = 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega_{\pm} \text{ and for each } t;$$

and (3.5).

Analysis of the equation $\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \mathbf{J} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t}$

The equation reads

$$\langle \nabla \times \mathbf{H}, \varphi \rangle = \frac{4\pi}{c} \langle \mathbf{J}, \varphi \rangle + \frac{1}{c} \left\langle \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t}, \varphi \right\rangle,$$

for each $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$. From Proposition 2.4

$$\left\langle \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t}, \varphi \right\rangle = \int_{\Omega_-} \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}(x, t)}{\partial t} \varphi(x) dx + \int_{\Omega_+} \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}(x, t)}{\partial t} \varphi(x) dx.$$

If $\text{supp}(\varphi) \subset \Omega_-$ or $\text{supp}(\varphi) \subset \Omega_+$, then from (2.7)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega_-} \varphi(x) \nabla \times \mathbf{H}_-(x, t) dx + \int_{\Omega_+} \varphi(x) \nabla \times \mathbf{H}_+(x, t) dx \\ &= \frac{4\pi}{c} \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{J}_0(x, t) \varphi(x) dx + \frac{1}{c} \int_{\Omega_-} \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t}(x, t) \varphi(x) dx + \frac{1}{c} \int_{\Omega_+} \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t}(x, t) \varphi(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$(3.8) \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{H}_{\pm}(x, t) = \frac{4\pi}{c} \mathbf{J}_0(x, t) + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t}(x, t) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega_{\pm} \text{ and all } t.$$

If $\text{supp}(\varphi) \cap \Gamma \neq \emptyset$, we then get again from (2.7) and from (3.8) that

$$- \int_{\Gamma} \varphi(x) [[\mathbf{H}(x, t)]] \times \mathbf{n}(x) d\sigma(x) = \frac{4\pi}{c} \int_{\Gamma} \varphi(x) dv_t(x),$$

that is, the measure v_t has density $-\frac{c}{4\pi} [[\mathbf{H}(x, t)]] \times \mathbf{n}(x)$, that is, (3.3) follows.

$$\text{Analysis of the equation } \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}$$

Using the analysis in the last equation we get similarly that

$$(3.9) \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{E}_{\pm}(x, t) = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}(x, t) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega_{\pm} \text{ and all } t,$$

and also (3.4). □

3.1. Compatibility condition. Let us assume that (3.1) holds in the sense of distributions with vector valued distributions $\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{J}$ depending on the parameter t , with $\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{J}$ differentiable with respect to the parameter t ; the divergence and curl are taken in the spacial variables in the distributional sense. Hence from the first and second Maxwell equations in (3.1), (2.3), and Proposition 2.3 we have in the distributional sense the continuity equation

$$(3.10) \quad 0 = \nabla \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{H}) = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} + \nabla \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}.$$

When \mathbf{J} and ρ satisfy the assumptions in Theorem 3.1, equation (3.10) leads to the following compatibility condition between the current \mathbf{J} and the density ρ :

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}_0 + \nabla \cdot v_t + \frac{\partial \rho_0}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \mu_t}{\partial t} = 0,$$

in the sense of distributions.

4. GENERALIZED SNELL'S LAW DEDUCED FROM MAXWELL EQUATIONS

Letting as usual [BW06, Section 1.1.2] the material equations

$$\mathbf{D} = \epsilon \mathbf{E}, \quad \mathbf{B} = \mu \mathbf{H},$$

we obtain from (3.1)

$$(M.1) \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{4\pi\rho}{\epsilon},$$

$$(M.2) \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{H} = 0,$$

$$(M.3) \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\mu}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial t},$$

$$(M.4) \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \mathbf{J} + \frac{\epsilon}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t},$$

where $\rho(x, t)$ is the charge density, $\mathbf{J}(x, t)$ is the current density vector, \mathbf{E} is the electric field, \mathbf{H} is the magnetic field, and ϵ, μ are constants, the permittivity and permeability of the media (isotropic). Also $n = \sqrt{\epsilon\mu}$ is the refractive index, and $v = c/n$ is the velocity of propagation in the media with c the speed of light in vacuum.

We have two media *I* and *II* that are separated by a surface Γ with equation $x_3 = u(x_1, x_2)$, and let Γ^+, Γ^- denote the regions above Γ and below Γ respectively. Suppose the incident electric field is a plane wave with the form

$$\mathbf{E}_i(x, t) = A_i e^{i\omega\left(\frac{k_i x}{v_1} - t\right)}$$

where k_i is the incident unit vector, v_1 is the velocity of propagation in medium *I*, A_i is a 3-d constant vector, the amplitude, and ω is a constant (the angular frequency). This wave is defined for $x_3 < u(x_1, x_2)$, i.e., the field is incident to the surface Γ from below defined in Γ^- . We assume this wave strikes the surface Γ at a point $(p_1, p_2, u(p_1, p_2)) = P$, and it is then transmitted into medium *II* as a nonlinear wave having the form

$$(4.1) \quad \mathbf{E}_r(x, t) = A_r e^{i\omega\left(\frac{k_r x}{v_2} - t\right)} e^{i\varphi(x)}$$

where now k_r is the refracted unit vector, v_2 is the velocity of propagation in medium *II*, A_r is the amplitude, a constant vector, the wave is defined for $x_3 > u(x_1, x_2)$, i.e., on Γ^+ , and $\varphi(x)$ is the phase discontinuity defined in a neighborhood of the surface Γ . From the boundary condition (3.4) the vector

$$(4.2) \quad [[\mathbf{E}(X, t)]] = \lim_{x \rightarrow X, x \in \Gamma^+} \mathbf{E}_r(x, t) - \lim_{x \rightarrow X, x \in \Gamma^-} \mathbf{E}_i(x, t)$$

is parallel to the normal ν at $X = (x_1, x_2, u(x_1, x_2)) \in \Gamma$, for all x_1, x_2 and $t > 0$; $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$, and therefore the tangential component of the vector (4.2) is zero. Since $A_i = A_i^{\tan} + A_i^\perp$,

and $A_r = A_r^{\tan} + A_r^\perp$, then from the form of the waves \mathbf{E}_i and \mathbf{E}_r it follows that

$$(4.3) \quad A_r^{\tan} e^{i\omega \left(\frac{k_r \cdot (x_1, x_2, u(x_1, x_2))}{v_2} - t \right)} e^{i\varphi(x_1, x_2, u(x_1, x_2))} = \lim_{x \rightarrow X, x \in \Gamma^+} A_r^{\tan} e^{i\omega \left(\frac{k_r \cdot x}{v_2} - t \right)} e^{i\varphi(x)}$$

$$= \lim_{x \rightarrow X, x \in \Gamma^-} A_i^{\tan} e^{i\omega \left(\frac{k_i \cdot x}{v_1} - t \right)} = A_i^{\tan} e^{i\omega \left(\frac{k_i \cdot (x_1, x_2, u(x_1, x_2))}{v_1} - t \right)}$$

which at $x_1 = p_1, x_2 = p_2$ means

$$A_r^{\tan} e^{i\omega \left(\frac{k_r \cdot (p_1, p_2, u(p_1, p_2))}{v_2} - t \right)} e^{i\varphi(p_1, p_2, u(p_1, p_2))} = A_i^{\tan} e^{i\omega \left(\frac{k_i \cdot (p_1, p_2, u(p_1, p_2))}{v_1} - t \right)}$$

This implies that the tangential component A_r^{\tan} satisfies

$$A_r^{\tan} = A_i^{\tan} e^{i\omega \left(\frac{k_i \cdot (p_1, p_2, u(p_1, p_2))}{v_1} - \frac{k_r \cdot (p_1, p_2, u(p_1, p_2))}{v_2} \right)} e^{-i\varphi(p_1, p_2, u(p_1, p_2))}$$

which substituted in (4.3) yields

$$e^{i\omega \left(\frac{k_r \cdot (x_1 - p_1, x_2 - p_2, u(x_1, x_2) - u(p_1, p_2))}{v_2} \right) + \varphi(x_1, x_2, u(x_1, x_2)) - \varphi(p_1, p_2, u(p_1, p_2))}$$

$$= e^{i\omega \left(\frac{k_i \cdot (x_1 - p_1, x_2 - p_2, u(x_1, x_2) - u(p_1, p_2))}{v_1} \right)}$$

for all x_1, x_2 . Letting

$$f(x_1, x_2) = \omega \frac{k_i \cdot (x_1 - p_1, x_2 - p_2, u(x_1, x_2) - u(p_1, p_2))}{v_1},$$

$$g(x_1, x_2) = \omega \frac{k_r \cdot (x_1 - p_1, x_2 - p_2, u(x_1, x_2) - u(p_1, p_2))}{v_2} + \varphi(x_1, x_2, u(x_1, x_2)) - \varphi(p_1, p_2, u(p_1, p_2)),$$

we get $e^{i(g(x_1, x_2) - f(x_1, x_2))} = 1$ which yields $g(x_1, x_2) - f(x_1, x_2) = 2k\pi$ for some k integer. Since $f(p_1, p_2) - g(p_1, p_2) = 0$, we obtain $f(x_1, x_2) - g(x_1, x_2) = 0$ for all x_1, x_2 . Letting $x_2 = p_2$ and dividing the last identity by $x_1 - p_1$ (similarly, setting $x_1 = p_1$ and dividing by $x_2 - p_2$) we obtain

$$\omega \frac{k_r}{v_2} \cdot (1, 0, u_{x_1}(p_1, p_2)) + \nabla \varphi(p_1, p_2, u(p_1, p_2)) \cdot (1, 0, u_{x_1}(p_1, p_2)) = \omega \frac{k_i}{v_1} \cdot (1, 0, u_{x_1}(p_1, p_2)),$$

$$\omega \frac{k_r}{v_2} \cdot (0, 1, u_{x_2}(p_1, p_2)) + \nabla \varphi(p_1, p_2, u(p_1, p_2)) \cdot (0, 1, u_{x_2}(p_1, p_2)) = \omega \frac{k_i}{v_1} \cdot (0, 1, u_{x_2}(p_1, p_2)).$$

If we set $n_i = c/v_i$, then this means

$$(n_1 k_i - n_2 k_r - (c/\omega) \nabla \varphi(p_1, p_2, u(p_1, p_2))) \cdot (1, 0, u_{x_1}(p_1, p_2)) = 0$$

and

$$(n_1 k_i - n_2 k_r - (c/\omega) \nabla \varphi(p_1, p_2, u(p_1, p_2))) \cdot (0, 1, u_{x_2}(p_1, p_2)) = 0.$$

Recall that $c/\omega = \lambda_0/2\pi$ with λ_0 the wavelength of a wave with frequency ω when traveling in vacuum. For a discussion about these constants see [BW06, Section 1.3.3] and [GS21, Sect. 2]. Since the normal vector $\nu(p) = (u_{x_1}(p_1, p_2), u_{x_2}(p_1, p_2), -1)$, the last two equations imply that the vector $n_1 k_i - n_2 k_r - \nabla(\lambda_0\varphi/2\pi)$ has the direction of the normal, that is,

$$(4.4) \quad n_1 k_i - n_2 k_r = \lambda \nu(p) + \nabla(\lambda_0\varphi/2\pi)(p_1, p_2, u(p_1, p_2))$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, obtaining in this way the generalized Snell law, see [GS21, Equation (2.4)].

Since there is also a reflected back wave in medium I , which is also assumed as a nonlinear wave having the form

$$\mathbf{E}_b(x, t) = A_b e^{i\omega\left(\frac{k_b \cdot x}{v_1} - t\right) + i\varphi(x)},$$

proceeding in the same way we obtain the generalized reflection law

$$(4.5) \quad n_1 k_i - n_1 k_b = \lambda' \nu(p) + \nabla(\lambda_0\varphi/2\pi)(p_1, p_2, u(p_1, p_2)).$$

4.1. Verification that the field (4.1) is admissible for the Maxwell system. In this section, we prove that the field $\mathbf{E}(x, t)$ given in (4.1) satisfies the Maxwell system (M.1)–(M.4) for each $\varphi \in C^2$ satisfying $A \cdot (\omega k + \nabla\varphi) = 0$ in the region Γ^+ , by calculating the corresponding magnetic field \mathbf{H} , and under the assumption that the current density \mathbf{J} satisfies (4.7) and $\rho = 0$. For simplicity we have set $k = k_r/v_2$.

We set $\Phi(x, t) = \omega(k \cdot x - t) + \varphi(x)$. Since $\mathbf{E}(x, t) = A e^{i\Phi(x, t)}$ must satisfy (M.1), and $\rho = 0$, we get $A \cdot \nabla\Phi = 0$ and so

$$(4.6) \quad A \cdot (\omega k + \nabla\varphi) = 0;$$

this means that the directional derivative of φ in the direction of the vector A must be constant.

We next construct \mathbf{H} that verifies (M.3). We have

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \nabla \times A e^{i\Phi(x, t)} = \nabla(e^{i\Phi(x, t)}) \times A = -(A \times (\omega k + \nabla\varphi)) i e^{i\Phi(x, t)}.$$

Hence by integration in t

$$\mathbf{H}(x, t) = -\frac{c}{\mu \omega} A \times (\omega k + \nabla\varphi) e^{i\Phi(x, t)} + C(x) = -\frac{c}{\mu \omega} \mathbf{E} \times (\omega k + \nabla\varphi) + C(x),$$

with C a C^1 vector field in the space variable.

To verify (M.2) write

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{H} = -\frac{c}{\mu \omega} \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{E} \times (\omega k + \nabla\varphi)) + \nabla \cdot C(x) = -\frac{c}{\mu \omega} ((\omega k + \nabla\varphi) \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{E}) - \mathbf{E} \cdot (\nabla \times (\omega k + \nabla\varphi))) + \nabla \cdot C(x),$$

from the formula $\nabla \cdot (a \times b) = b \cdot (\nabla \times a) - a \cdot (\nabla \times b)$. From the definition of \mathbf{E} and (4.6), it follows that $\nabla \times \mathbf{E}$ is orthogonal to $\omega k + \nabla\varphi$ and hence the first summand in the last

equation is zero. The second summand is also zero (curl of a gradient) and so \mathbf{H} and \mathbf{E} satisfy (M.2) when C is chosen with

$$\nabla \cdot C(x) = 0.$$

We next verify that (M.4) holds by choosing \mathbf{J} appropriately. From the definitions and the formula $\nabla \times (a \times b) = a(\nabla \cdot b) + (b \cdot \nabla)a - b(\nabla \cdot a) - (a \cdot \nabla)b$, with the notation for fields $a = (a_1, a_2, a_3)$, $b = (b_1, b_2, b_3)$

$$(a \cdot \nabla)b = \left(a_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + a_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + a_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \right) (b_1, b_2, b_3),$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \times \mathbf{H} &= -\frac{c}{\mu \omega} \nabla \times (\mathbf{E} \times (\omega \mathbf{k} + \nabla \varphi)) + \nabla \times C(x) \\ &= -\frac{c}{\mu \omega} (\mathbf{E} \nabla \cdot (\omega \mathbf{k} + \nabla \varphi) + ((\omega \mathbf{k} + \nabla \varphi) \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{E} - (\omega \mathbf{k} + \nabla \varphi) \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} - (\mathbf{E} \cdot \nabla)(\omega \mathbf{k} + \nabla \varphi)) + \nabla \times C \\ &= -\frac{c}{\mu \omega} (I + II + III + IV) + \nabla \times C. \end{aligned}$$

From (M.1), $III = 0$. Also

$$\begin{aligned} IV &= (\mathbf{E} \cdot \nabla)(\omega \mathbf{k} + \nabla \varphi) = E_1(\varphi_{x_1 x_1}, \varphi_{x_1 x_2}, \varphi_{x_1 x_3}) + E_2(\varphi_{x_2 x_1}, \varphi_{x_2 x_2}, \varphi_{x_2 x_3}) + E_3(\varphi_{x_3 x_1}, \varphi_{x_3 x_2}, \varphi_{x_3 x_3}) \\ &= (\mathbf{E} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{x_1}, \mathbf{E} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{x_2}, \mathbf{E} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{x_3}). \end{aligned}$$

From (4.6)

$$\mathbf{E} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{x_j} = (A \cdot \nabla \varphi_{x_j}) e^{i\Phi(x,t)} = (A \cdot \nabla \varphi)_{x_j} e^{i\Phi(x,t)} = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq 3,$$

hence $IV = 0$.

Next

$$II = ((\omega \mathbf{k} + \nabla \varphi) \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{E} = (\omega k_1 + \varphi_{x_1}) \mathbf{E}_{x_1} + (\omega k_2 + \varphi_{x_2}) \mathbf{E}_{x_2} + (\omega k_3 + \varphi_{x_3}) \mathbf{E}_{x_3}.$$

Since $\mathbf{E}_{x_j} = Ai(\omega k_j + \varphi_{x_j}) e^{i\Phi(x,t)}$, $1 \leq j \leq 3$, it follows that

$$II = Ai|\omega \mathbf{k} + \nabla \varphi|^2 e^{i\Phi(x,t)} = i|\omega \mathbf{k} + \nabla \varphi|^2 \mathbf{E}.$$

Finally, $I = \mathbf{E} \Delta \varphi$. Hence (M.4) holds if

$$(4.7) \quad -\frac{c}{\mu \omega} (\mathbf{E} \Delta \varphi + i|\omega \mathbf{k} + \nabla \varphi|^2 \mathbf{E}) + \nabla \times C = \frac{4\pi}{c} \mathbf{J} - i\omega \frac{\epsilon}{c} \mathbf{E},$$

which determines the current density \mathbf{J} , i.e., \mathbf{J} must be chosen as a multiple (depending on φ) of \mathbf{E} .

Remark 4.1. We remark that if at the outset $\mathbf{J} = \sigma \mathbf{E}$, with $\sigma > 0$, as in [BW06, Section 1.1.2, eq. (9)], then the phase φ must be linear. In fact, if in (4.7) C is constant, then

$$\Delta \varphi + i|\omega \mathbf{k} + \nabla \varphi|^2 = -\frac{4\pi\sigma\mu\omega}{c^2} + i\omega^2 \frac{\epsilon\mu}{c^2}.$$

Since φ is real-valued this yields

$$\Delta\varphi = 0, \quad |\omega k + \nabla\varphi| = \omega \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon\mu}}{c} = \omega \frac{n_2}{c} = \frac{\omega}{v_2},$$

where this is valid for $x_3 > 0$, in particular, φ is harmonic for $x_3 > 0$. If we let $\psi(x) = \omega k \cdot x + \varphi(x)$, we then get

$$\Delta\psi = 0, \quad |\nabla\psi| = \omega/v_2,$$

for $x_3 > 0$. On the other hand, for any harmonic function u we have the formula

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta(|\nabla u|^2) = |D^2 u|^2$$

and in particular, we get $|D^2\psi| = 0$, i.e., $\psi_{x_i x_j} = 0$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$. From the Taylor formula it follows that ψ is linear, i.e., $\psi(x) = \alpha + k' \cdot x$ with $|k'| = \omega/v_2$ and α a constant. Therefore

$$\varphi(x) = \alpha + (k' - \omega k) \cdot x$$

obtaining that

$$\mathbf{E}(x, t) = A e^{i(\alpha + k' \cdot x - \omega t)}$$

with $|k'| = \omega/v_2$, $x_3 > 0$. This shows that to have an electric field of the form (4.1) with φ non linear is not possible when \mathbf{J} is zero.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper. This manuscript has no associated data.

REFERENCES

- [AKG⁺12] Francesco Aieta, Ali Kabiri, Patrice Genevet, Nanfang Yu, Mikhail A. Kats, Zeno Gaburro, and Federico Capasso, *Reflection and refraction of light from metasurfaces with phase discontinuities*, *Journal of Nanophotonics* **6** (2012).
- [BW06] Max Born and Emil Wolf, *Principles of optics*, 7th (expanded) ed., Cambridge University Press, 2006.
- [GPS17] Cristian E. Gutiérrez, Luca Pallucchini, and Eric Stachura, *General refraction problems with phase discontinuities on nonflat metasurfaces*, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A* **34** (2017), no. 7, 1160–1172.
- [GS64] I. M. Gelfand and G. E. Shilov, *Generalized functions*, vol. I, Properties and Operations, Academic Press, 1964.
- [GS21] Cristian E. Gutiérrez and Ahmad Sabra, *Chromatic aberration in metalenses*, *Advances in Applied Mathematics* **124** (2021), 102134.
- [Gut17] Cristian E. Gutiérrez, *Form-invariance of Maxwell equations in integral form*, *Harmonic Analysis, Partial Differential Equations and Applications: In Honor of Richard L. Wheeden (Sagun Chanillo, Bruno Franchi, Guozhen Lu, Carlos Perez, and Eric T. Sawyer, eds.)*, Springer International Publishing, 2017, pp. 69–78.

- [Ide11] M. Mithat Idemen, *Discontinuities in the electromagnetic field*, IEEE Series on Electromagnetic Wave theory, Wiley, 2011.
- [RF20] Emmanuel Rousseau and Didier Felbacq, *Concept of a generalized law of refraction: A phenomenological model*, ACS Photonics 7 (2020), no. 7, 1649–1654.
- [Sch66] Laurent Schwartz, *Théorie des distributions*, Nouvelle édition, entièrement corrigée, refondue et augmentée ed., Hermann, 1966.
- [Sch22] Markus Schake, *Examining and explaining the “generalized laws of reflection and refraction” at metasurface gratings*, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 39 (2022), no. 8, 1352–1359.
- [YC14] Nanfang Yu and Federico Capasso, *Flat optics with designer metasurfaces*, Nature Materials 13 (2014), no. 2, 139–150.
- [YGK⁺11] Nanfang Yu, Patrice Genevet, Mikhail A. Kats, Francesco Aieta, Jean-Philippe Tetienne, Federico Capasso, and Zeno Gaburro, *Light propagation with phase discontinuities: Generalized laws of reflection and refraction*, Science 334 (2011), no. 6054, 333–337.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEMPLE UNIVERSITY, PHILADELPHIA, PA 19122

Email address: gutierre@temple.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AMERICAN UNIVERSITY OF BEIRUT, BEIRUT

Email address: sabra@aub.edu.lb