

ESCHERS AND STANLEY'S CHROMATIC E-POSITIVITY CONJECTURE IN LENGTH-2

ALEXANDRE ROK AND ANDRAS SZENES

ABSTRACT. We give a short proof of the chromatic e-positivity conjecture of Stanley for length-2 partitions.

1. Introduction

Let G be a finite graph, $V(G)$ - the set of vertices of G , $E(G)$ - the set of edges of G .

Definition 1.1. A *proper coloring* c of G is a map

$$c : V \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$$

such that no two adjacent vertices are colored in the same color.

For each coloring c we define a monomial

$$x^c = \prod_{v \in V} x_{c(v)},$$

where $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots$ are commuting variables. We denote by $\Pi(G)$ the set of all proper colorings of G , and by Λ the ring of symmetric functions in the infinite set of variables $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$.

In [10], Stanley defined the chromatic symmetric function of a graph as follows.

Definition 1.2. The *chromatic symmetric function* $X_G \in \Lambda$ of a graph G is the sum of the monomials x^c over all proper colorings of G :

$$X_G = \sum_{c \in \Pi(G)} x^c.$$

Remark 1.3. We could equally consider a finite, but sufficiently large number colors r . In this case, we would have $X_G \in \Lambda_r$, the set of symmetric polynomials in r variables. This would somewhat complicate the notation, but would not change any of our results.

Definition 1.4. Denote by e_m the m -th elementary symmetric function:

$$e_m = \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m} x_{i_1} \cdot x_{i_2} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{i_m},$$

where $i_1, \dots, i_k \in \mathbb{N}$. Given a non-increasing sequence of positive integers (we will call these *partitions*)

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k), \lambda_i \in \mathbb{N},$$

we define the *elementary symmetric function* $e_\lambda = \prod_{i=1}^k e_{\lambda_i}$. These functions form a basis of Λ .

Definition 1.5. A symmetric function $X \in \Lambda$ is *e-positive* if it has non-negative coefficients in the basis of the elementary symmetric functions.

Definition 1.6. Denote by p_m the m -th power sum symmetric function:

$$p_m = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} x_i^m.$$

Given a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k)$, we define the power sum symmetric function $p_\lambda = \prod_{i=1}^k p_{\lambda_i}$. These functions also form a basis of Λ .

Definition 1.7. Given a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k)$, we define the monomial symmetric function

$$m_\lambda = \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k} \sum_{\lambda' \in S_k(\lambda)} x_{i_1}^{\lambda'_1} \cdot x_{i_2}^{\lambda'_2} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{i_k}^{\lambda'_k},$$

where the inner sum is taken over the set of all permutations of the sequence λ , denoted by $S_k(\lambda)$.

Example 1. The chromatic symmetric function of K_n , the complete graph on n vertices, is $X_{K_n} = n! e_n$; in particular, X_{K_n} is *e-positive*.

Definition 1.8. For a poset P , the *incomparability graph*, $\text{inc}(P)$, is the graph with elements of P as vertices, where two vertices are connected if and only if they are not comparable in P .

Definition 1.9. Given a pair of natural numbers $a, b \in \mathbb{N}^2$, we say that a poset P is *(a+b)-free* if it does not contain a length- a and a length- b chain, whose elements are incomparable.

Definition 1.10. A unit interval order (UIO) is a partially ordered set which is isomorphic to a finite subset of $U \subset \mathbb{R}$ with the following poset structure:

$$\text{for } u, w \in U : u > w \text{ iff } u \geq w + 1.$$

Thus u and w are incomparable precisely when $|u - w| < 1$ and we will use the notation $u \sim w$ in this case.

Theorem 1.11 (Scott-Suppes [5]). *A finite poset P is a UIO if and only if it is $(2 + 2)$ - and $(3 + 1)$ -free.*

Stanley [10] initiated the study of incomparability graphs of $(3 + 1)$ -free partially ordered sets. Analyzing the chromatic symmetric functions of these incomparability graphs, Stanley [10] stated the following positivity conjecture.

Conjecture 1 (Stanley). *If P is a $(3 + 1)$ -free poset, then $X_{\text{inc}(P)}$ is *e-positive*.*

For a graph G let us denote by $c_\lambda(G)$ the coefficients of X_G with respect to the *e*-basis. We omit the index G whenever this causes no confusion. The conjecture thus states that in the expansion

$$X_G = \sum_{\lambda} c_\lambda e_\lambda,$$

for the case when G is the incomparability graph of a $(3 + 1)$ -free poset, the coefficients c_λ are nonnegative for all partitions λ .

Conjecture 1 has been verified with the help of computers for up to 20-element posets [4]. In 2013, Guay-Paquet [4] showed that to prove this conjecture, it would be sufficient to verify it for the case of $(3 + 1)$ - and $(2 + 2)$ -free posets, i.e. for unit interval orders (see Theorem 1.11). More precisely:

Theorem 1.12 (Guay-Paquet). *Let P be a $(3 + 1)$ -free poset. Then, $X_{\text{inc}}(P)$ is a convex combination of the chromatic symmetric functions*

$$\{X_{\text{inc}}(P') \mid P' \text{ is a } (3 + 1)\text{- and } (2 + 2)\text{-free poset}\}.$$

For a long time the strongest general result in this direction was that of Gasharov [3].

Definition 1.13. For a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k)$, define the Schur functions $s_\lambda = \det(e_{\lambda_i^* + j - i})_{i,j}$, where λ^* is the conjugate partition to λ . The functions $\{s_\lambda\}$ form a basis of Λ .

Definition 1.14. A symmetric polynomial X is *s-positive* if it has non-negative coefficients in the basis of Schur functions.

Obviously, a product of *e-positive* functions is *e-positive*. This also holds for *s-positive* functions. Thus, the equality $e_n = s_{1^n}$ implies that *e-positive* functions are *s-positive*, and thus *s-positivity* is weaker than *e-positivity*.

Theorem 1.15 (Gasharov). *If P is a $(3 + 1)$ -free poset, then $X_{\text{inc}}(P)$ is *s-positive*.*

Gasharov proved *s-positivity* by constructing so-called *P-tableau* and finding a one-to-one correspondence between these tableau and *s-coefficients* [3].

The strongest known result on the *e-coefficients* was obtained by Stanley in [10]. He showed that sums of *e-coefficients* over the partitions of fixed length are non-negative:

Theorem 1.16 (Stanley). *For a finite graph G and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, suppose*

$$X_G = \sum_{\lambda} c_{\lambda} e_{\lambda},$$

and let $\text{sink}(G, j)$ be the number of acyclic orientation of G with j sinks. Then

$$\text{sink}(G, j) = \sum_{l(\lambda)=j} c_{\lambda}.$$

Remark 1.17. By taking $j = 1$, it follows from the theorem that c_n is non-negative.

In [8], we introduced a combinatorial device that we called *correct sequences* (or *corrects*).

Definition 1.18. Let U be a UIO. We will call a sequence $w = [w_1, \dots, w_k]$ of elements of U *correct* if

- $w_i \not\prec w_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$
- and for each $j = 2, \dots, k$, there exists $i < j$ such that $w_i \prec w_j$.

Every sequence of length 1 is correct, and sequence $[w_1, w_2]$ is correct precisely when $w_1 \sim w_2$. The second condition (supposing that the first one holds) may be reformulated as follows: for each $j = 1, \dots, k$, the subset $\{w_1, \dots, w_j\} \subset U$ is connected with respect to the graph structure (U, \sim) . Using this notation, we proved the following.

Theorem 1.19. *Let $X_{inc(\mathcal{U})} = \sum_{\lambda} c_{\lambda} e_{\lambda}$ be a chromatic symmetric function of the n -element unit interval order \mathcal{U} . Then c_n is equal to the number of corrects of length n , in which every element of \mathcal{U} is used exactly once.*

In particular, we showed that the coefficient c_n of the chromatic polynomial is non-negative for UIOs. This reproduced results by Stanley [11] and Chow [2], who showed the positivity of c_n for $(3 + 1)$ -free posets using combinatorial techniques, and linked e -coefficients with the acyclic orientations of the incomparability graphs. Using corrects allowed us to go further, and show the following (see [7]):

Theorem 1.20. *Let $X_{inc(P)} = \sum_{\lambda} c_{\lambda} e_{\lambda}$ be a chromatic symmetric function of the $(3 + 1)$ -free poset P , and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $c_{n-k,1^k}$, $c_{n-2,2}$, $c_{n-3,2,1}$ and $c_{2^k,1^{n-2k}}$ are non-negative integers.*

In this article, we use an alternative combinatorial presentation of the coefficient c_n introduced by Siegl in [9], where they also showed e -positivity for all partitions with equal parts. We took up this new tool, and managed to give a very sort, elegant proof of Stanley's conjecture for all partitions of length 2: $\lambda = n \geq k$. This approach can be extended to the case of arbitrary partitions, but this work is somewhat more involved. Very recently, another proof was announced for the length-2 case by Abreu and Nigro [1] using substantially more complicated tools which links this combinatorial problem to the topology of certain algebraic varieties. We hope that the combination of these approaches will lead to further insights into the meaning of e -positivity.

This short article is structured as follows: we begin with recalling Stanley's homomorphism, which we used in our previous work as well §2. We set up our notations in §3, and give some initial structural statements in the §4. The main construction and the proof is presented then in §5.

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to Alexander Paunov for his help, ideas, and his generous support.

2. Stanley's G -homomorphism

Let G be a finite graph with vertex set $V(G) = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ and edge set $E(G)$. We will consider the vertices as formal commuting variables and introduce the polynomial ring $\Lambda_G = \mathbb{R}[v_1, \dots, v_n]$. Stanley [11, p. 6] defined a ring homomorphism $\rho_G : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda_G$ by setting the images of the free generators of Λ , the elementary symmetric polynomials:

$$e_i^G = \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq V \\ S \text{-indep, } \#S=i}} \prod_{v \in S} v,$$

where the sum is taken over all i -element independent subsets S of V , in which no two vertices form an edge, i.e. independent subsets. We set $e_0^G = 1$, and $e_i^G = 0$ for $i < 0$.

The correspondence $e_i \mapsto e_i^G$ then extends to the ring homomorphism $\rho_G : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda_G$, called Stanley's G -homomorphism. For $f \in \Lambda$, we will use the notation f^G for $\rho_G(f)$.

Remark 2.1. Note that the polynomials f^G are not necessarily symmetric.

Example 2. Given a partition $\lambda = \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$e_\lambda^G = \prod_{i=1}^k e_i^G,$$

$$s_\lambda^G = \det(e_{\lambda_i^* + j - i}^G).$$

For an integer function $\alpha : V \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ define the monomial

$$v^\alpha = \prod_{v \in V} v^{\alpha(v)},$$

and introduce the notation $[v^\alpha]f^G$ for the coefficient of v^α in the polynomial $f^G \in \Lambda_G$.

Let G^α denote the graph, obtained by replacing every vertex v of G by the complete subgraph of size $\alpha(v)$: $K_{\alpha(v)}^v$. Given vertices u and v of G , a vertex of $K_{\alpha(v)}^v$ is connected to a vertex of $K_{\alpha(u)}^u$ if and only if u and v form an edge in G .

Stanley [11, p. 6] found the following connection between the G -analogues of symmetric functions and the chromatic polynomial X_G . Following Stanley [11].

Let x and y stand for two infinite set of variables. Using the Cauchy identity, one can prove the well-known identity

$$\sum_{\lambda} s_\lambda(x) s_{\lambda^*}(y) = \sum_{\lambda} m_\lambda(x) e_\lambda(y) = \sum_{\lambda} e_\lambda(x) m_\lambda(y)$$

Applying the G -homomorphism ρ_G , we obtain the function

$$(1) \quad T(x, v) = \sum_{\lambda} m_\lambda(x) e_\lambda^G(v) = \sum_{\lambda} s_\lambda(x) s_{\lambda^*}^G(v) = \sum_{\lambda} e_\lambda(x) m_\lambda^G(v).$$

where the sums are taken over all partitions. Then

$$(2) \quad [v^\alpha]T(x, v) \prod_{v \in V} \alpha(v)! = X_{G^\alpha}.$$

An immediate consequence of the formulas (1) and (2) is the following result of Stanley:

Theorem 2.2 (Stanley). *For every finite graph G*

- (1) X_{G^α} is s -positive for every $\alpha : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ if and only if $s_\lambda^G \in \mathbb{N}[V(G)]$ for every partition λ .
- (2) X_{G^α} is e -positive for every $\alpha : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ if and only if $m_\lambda^G \in \mathbb{N}[V(G)]$ for every partition λ .

Remark 2.3. If $X_{G^\alpha} = \sum_{\lambda} c_\lambda^\alpha e_\lambda$, then $c_\lambda^\alpha = [v^\alpha]m_\lambda^G$. Hence, monomial positivity of m_λ^G is equivalent to the positivity of c_λ^α for every α .

From now on, we will concentrate on the incomparability graphs of UIOs, and we will use the simplified notation m_λ^U for the relevant coefficient. Then Theorem 2.2 combined with the reductions cited in §1 leads to the following simple reformulation of Stanley's chromatic conjecture in the language of G -polynomials.

Proposition 2.4. *Suppose that every UIO $U = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$, the coefficient of the monomial $\prod_{j=1}^n v_j$ in the polynomial $m_\lambda^{\text{inc}(U)}$ is nonnegative. Then Conjecture 1 is true.*

We have thus defined for each UIO U of n unit intervals on the real line and each partition λ of n an integer $[\prod_{j=1}^n v_j] m_\lambda^{\text{inc}(U)}$, which, from now on, we will simply denote by m_λ^U :

$$m_\lambda^U \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [\prod_{j=1}^n v_j] m_\lambda^{\text{inc}(U)}$$

Our task is to show that this number is nonnegative for all partitions. We will treat the case of length-2 partitions in this short paper.

3. Preparations

A key idea appeared in [], where an alternative definition of correct sequences was introduced. It will be convenient to set the following notation for sequences in unit interval orders.

Given two unit intervals w_1, w_2 on the real line there is a trichotomy of possible relative position between them:

- (1) w_1 intersects w_2 ,
- (2) $w_1 \cap w_2 = \emptyset$ and w_1 is left of w_2 ,
- (3) $w_1 \cap w_2 = \emptyset$ and w_1 is right of w_2 .

We will use the notation $w_1 < w_2$ for case (2), $w_1 > w_2$ for case (3) and $w_1 \rightarrow w_2$ if either case (1) or case (2) holds.

Let U be a UIO, i.e a set of unit intervals on the real line. We will call a sequence of elements of U

$$w_1 \triangleleft w_2 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft w_{l-1} \triangleleft w_l,$$

where, in each place, \triangleleft is one of the symbols $<$ or \rightarrow , a *logical sequence*, which is, in fact, a statement (which is either true or not).

We will use the structure of UIOs in the following form then.

Lemma 3.1. *Let*

$$w_1 \triangleleft w_2 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft w_{m-1} \triangleleft w_m$$

be a logical sequence, which contains r $<$ -symbols, and s \rightarrow -symbols with $r + s = m - 1$ and $r \geq s$. Then this statement is false.

Informally, we will call such sequences *impossible*.

We call a sequence $[w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k]$ of different elements of U a *k-Escher* if

$$w_1 \rightarrow w_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow w_k \rightarrow w_1$$

holds. We will denote the operation of cyclic permutation on sequences by ζ :

$$\zeta : [w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k] \mapsto [w_k, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{k-1}].$$

Then acting by powers of ζ on an k -Escher produces $k - 1$ new k -Eschers. As all the k statements we obtain this way are equivalent, sometimes, we will use the term *cyclic Escher* for the isomorphism class of sequences related by ζ . An Escher then is a cyclic Escher with a chosen initial point. Also, given a k -Escher, we will think of the index set as integers reduced to modulo k ; thus $w_0 = w_k$, for example.

A key result of [] is that the number m_n^U of a UIO is the same as the number of Eschers of the same length. Combined with our earlier results, this leads to the following statement.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{U} = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ be a UIO. Then*

$$m_n^{\mathcal{U}} = \#\{\text{correct sequences of length } n \text{ in } \mathcal{U}\} = \#\{n\text{-Eschers in } \mathcal{U}\}.$$

This is thus the first instance of the conjecture: it shows that statement for all "partitions" of length 1.

Corollary 3.3. *Since $\#\{n\text{-Eschers in } \mathcal{U}\} = N \cdot \#\{\text{cyclic } n\text{-Eschers in } \mathcal{U}\}$, we can conclude that $m_n^{\mathcal{U}}$ is divisible by n .*

4. The conjecture in the length-2 case

4.1. A reformulation using Eschers

Fix two positive integers $n \geq k$, and set $N = n + k$. In this paper, we will show that $m_{nk}^{\mathcal{U}} \geq 0$ for any UIO \mathcal{U} with N elements.

For a positive integer m , introduce the notation

$$P_m^{\mathcal{U}} = \{\text{length-}m \text{ Eschers in } \mathcal{U}\}$$

Let us suppose, for simplicity, that $n > k$. To study $m_{nk}^{\mathcal{U}}$, we will use the formula

$$m_{nk} = p_n \cdot p_k - p_{n+k} \text{ in } \Lambda.$$

Applying Stanley's homomorphism, this implies that

$$m_{nk}^{\mathcal{U}} = \#P_n^{\mathcal{U}} \cdot \#P_k^{\mathcal{U}} - \#P_{n+k}^{\mathcal{U}}.$$

Then the chromatic conjecture for length-2 partitions will follow from the following statement.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $n > k$, and let \mathcal{U} be a UIO of $n + k$ intervals. Then*

$$\#P_n^{\mathcal{U}} \cdot \#P_k^{\mathcal{U}} \geq \#P_{n+k}^{\mathcal{U}}.$$

We will give a more precise statement below. For now, we begin with some preparatory work.

4.2. Sub-Eschers

We introduce a key notion in this paragraph.

Lemma 4.2. *Let \mathcal{U} be a UIO of $N = n + k$ elements, and let $w = [w_1, \dots, w_N] \in P_N^{\mathcal{U}}$ be an Escher in \mathcal{U} . Then for any value of the index m (all indices are understood modulo N), we have 3 mutually exclusive possibilities:*

- (1) $w_{m+k} \rightarrow w_{m+1}$ and $w_m \rightarrow w_{m+k+1}$
- (2) $w_{m+k} > w_{m+1}$ and $w_m \rightarrow w_{m+k+1}$
- (3) $w_{m+k} \rightarrow w_{m+1}$ and $w_m > w_{m+k+1}$

Proof. Indeed, the last remaining possibility: $w_{m+k} > w_{m+1}$ and $w_m > w_{m+k+1}$ would create the impossible sequence (cf. Lemma 3.1):

$$w_m \rightarrow w_{m+1} < w_{m+k} \rightarrow w_{m+k+1} < w_m.$$

□

Note that in cases (1) and (3) the sequence $[w_{m+1}, \dots, w_{m+k}]$ is a k -Escher, and in cases (1) and (2), the sequence $[w_{m+k+1}, \dots, w_m]$ is an n -Escher. If case (1) holds, then we will call the sequence $[w_{m+1}, \dots, w_{m+k}]$ a *valid k -subEscher* of w .

The statement of this Lemma may be strengthened as follows.

Lemma 4.3. *Assume that we are in case (2) of Lemma 4.2, and thus the sequence $[w_{m+1}, w_{m+2}, \dots, w_{m+k}]$ is **not** a k -Escher. Then the three sequences*

$$\begin{aligned} & [w_{m+k}, w_{m+k+1}, \dots, w_{m+k+n-1}], \\ & [w_{m+k+1}, w_{m+k+2}, \dots, w_{m+k+n}], \\ & [w_{m+k+2}, w_{m+k+3}, \dots, w_{m+k+n+1}]. \end{aligned}$$

are all n -Eschers in \mathcal{U} .

The proof is analogous to the one given above and will be omitted.

Now assume

$$w_0 \rightarrow w_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow w_{L-1} \rightarrow w_L.$$

We will call such a sequence *pure* if for all $0 < m \leq L - k$, case (2) or (3) of Lemma 4.2 hold (i.e. there are no valid k -subEschers).

Then Lemma 4.3 has the following important

Corollary 4.4. *If $w_0 \rightarrow w_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow w_{L-1} \rightarrow w_L$ is pure then either case (2) holds for all $0 \leq m < L - k$ or case (3) holds for all $0 \leq m < L - k$.*

In the first case, we will call the sequence *pure⁻*, while in the second we will call it *pure⁺*. These arguments have imply the following key statement.

Proposition 4.5. *Let \mathcal{U} be a UIO, and $w \in P_N^{\mathcal{U}}$ be an Escher. Then there exists an index i such that*

$[w_{i+1}, w_{i+2}, \dots, w_{i+k}]$ is a valid k -Escher, i.e. that such that $[w_{i+k+1}, w_{i+k+2}, \dots, w_{i+k+n}]$ is an Escher as well.

Proof. Indeed, assuming the contrary, we would obtain an cyclic (infinite) length- N pure sequence. If this sequence is *pure⁻*, then we obtain an infinite logical sequence

$$w_0 < w_{k-1} < w_{2(k-1)} < \dots,$$

which is clearly impossible. The case of a *pure⁺* sequence is similar. \square

4.3. Insertions

Now we pass to a dual notion. Let $u \in P_n^{\mathcal{U}}$ and $v \in P_k^{\mathcal{V}}$. We have a similar set of statements in this case.

Lemma 4.6. *For each index $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have 3 mutually exclusive possibilities:*

- (1) $u_i \rightarrow v_{i+1}$ and $v_i \rightarrow u_{i+1}$,
- (2) $u_i > v_{i+1}$ and $v_i \rightarrow u_{i+1}$,
- (3) $u_i \rightarrow v_{i+1}$ and $v_i > u_{i+1}$.

Moreover, between two subsequent indices of type (1), one has always only indices of same type, (2) or (3).

Proof. The proof is similar to the one given above and will be omitted. \square

Let us assume for simplicity that n and k are relatively prime. Then the pair (u, v) gives rise to a cyclic sequence of $n \cdot k$ pairs in $P_n^{\mathcal{U}} \times P_k^{\mathcal{U}}$, related by simultaneous rotations, all reducing to the same pair of cyclic Eschers, but identified by their starting points at u_i and v_i , respectively, $i = 0, 1, \dots, nk - 1$. We will call a

pair of subsequent indices $\kappa = (i, i + 1)$ a *valid insertion* if the case (1) above holds. Visually, we will think of this as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dots v_i \\ \dots u_i \end{pmatrix} \times_{\kappa} \begin{pmatrix} u_{i+1} \dots \\ v_{i+1} \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

and write $i < \kappa < i + 1$.

5. The proof

We fix a UIO U of $N = n + k$ elements. If this causes no confusion, then we will omit the index "U" from our notation. Following the ideas of [8], we will prove Theorem 4.1 statement by constructing an explicit injection $\phi = \phi_{nk} : P_{n+k}^U \hookrightarrow P_n^U \times P_k^U$.

Again, we will think of an element $w \in P_n^U$ as a cyclic Escher with a marked starting point indexed by 0. In particular, all indices are understood modulo $N = n + k$. One subtlety in our conventions: even though the indices are arranged cyclically, we will still talk about a *smallest* index satisfying a certain condition, *starting at some point*. This will mean starting from a point, and then moving forward until we reach the index with the required property.

The map $\phi : P_{n+k} \rightarrow P_n \times P_k$. Assume for simplicity $n > k$.

Then ϕ is defined as follows: let $w \in P_{n+k}^U$; define $0 \leq L \leq n + k - 1$ to be the smallest index l , starting from 0, such that

$$[w_{l+1}, \dots, w_{l+k}]$$

is a valid sub-Escher, i.e. $[w_{l+k+1}, \dots, w_l]$ is also an Escher. We will denote this index L by $FE(w)$ sometimes. We thus ended up with two, well-defined cyclic Eschers. All is needed then is to fix their initial points. We define

- we set initial point of the k -Escher as the index $q \in [L + 1, L + k]$ such that $q \equiv 0 \pmod k$, and similarly,
- we set initial point of the n -Escher as the index $q \in [L + k + 1, L]$ such that $q \equiv 0 \pmod n$.

Remark 5.1. Even though we have this uniform definition, there two distinct situations:

- (1) The *ordinary* case: $0 \notin [L + 1, L + k]$.
- (2) The *exceptional* case : $0 \in [L + 1, L + k]$.

The "inverse map" $\psi : P_n \times P_k \rightarrow P_{n+k}$. Let us take v and u , a k - and n -Escher, respectively. Let L be the smallest integer $0 \leq l$ such that there is a valid insertion after l , i.e. $v_l \rightarrow u_{l+1}$ and $u_l \rightarrow v_{l+1}$ (if there is no such l , then we define the map in an arbitrary fashion). We will denote this index L of first valid insertion by $FI(u, v)$ sometimes. This gives us immediately a cyclic $n + k$ -Escher, and we just need pick a initial point.

- (1) The *ordinary* case: $L < n$. Then we take u_0 to be the initial point.
- (2) The *exceptional* case: $L \geq n$. Then we take v_n to be the initial point.

The following theorem is a refinement of Theorem 4.1, and immediately implies the chromatic conjecture for partitions of length 2.

Theorem 5.2.

$\psi \circ \phi$ is the identity

Let us start with the ordinary case: $w \in P_{n+k}$ and assume that the first k -Escher occurs at $FE(w) = L < n$. It is clear that the pair $\phi(w) = (u, v)$ has a valid insertion right after the index L , in principle, recovering w . The danger is, however, that if $FI(u, v) < L$, i.e. there are other valid insertions of the pair (u, v) before the index L , then the map ψ will not take $\phi(w)$ back to w . We thus need to show that $FI(\phi(w)) \geq FE(w)$. This is, essentially the content of the following statement:

Proposition 5.3. *Let κ_1 and κ_2 be two consecutive valid insertions of the pair (u, v) :*

$$j < \kappa_1 < j + 1, \dots, j + r < \kappa_2 < j + r + 1, \dots, \quad r \leq n$$

Then the sequence

$$u_j \rightarrow u_{j+1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow u_{j+r} \rightarrow v_{j+r+1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow v_{j+r+k}$$

is not pure, i.e. has a valid k -subEscher.

Proof. For the purposes of the proof, we can set $j = 0$, as j simply introduces a global shift in the arguments. Assume thus, ad absurdum that

$$u_0 \rightarrow u_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow u_r \rightarrow v_{r+1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow v_{r+k}$$

is pure.

Let us first consider the case when this sequence is pure^- . Then by definition of these pure^- sequences, we have

$$u_1 < u_k < \dots < u_{(q-1)(k-1)+1} < v_{q(k-1)+1} \rightarrow v_{q(k-1)+2} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow v_{qk} = v_0 \rightarrow u_1,$$

which is an impossible sequence, because it has $q <$ and $q \rightarrow$ symbols. If the sequence is pure^+ , then, on the other hand, by definition,

$$u_0 > u_{k+1} \leftarrow u_k > \dots \leftarrow u_{(q-1)k} > v_{qk+1} = v_1 \leftarrow u_0,$$

which is again an impossible sequence with $q <$ and $q \rightarrow$ symbols. □

We have thus shown that $\psi \circ \phi(w) = w$ when $FE(w) = L < n$.

The exceptional case is similar, but requires a little more care. Again, it is easy to see, that given an exceptional $w \in P_{n+k}^W$, the pair $(u, v) = \phi(w)$ has a valid insertion at $L = FE(w) \geq n$, and the following statement will suffice.

Proposition 5.4. *Let κ_1 and κ_2 be two consecutive valid insertions of the pair (u, v) :*

$$0 < \kappa_1 < 1, \dots, L < \kappa_2 < L + 1, \dots, \quad \text{where } L \geq n.$$

Then the sequence

$$v_n \rightarrow v_{n+1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow v_L \rightarrow u_{L-n+1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow u_L \rightarrow v_{L+1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow v_{L+k}$$

is not pure, i.e. has a valid k -subEscher.

Note that we have set the starting index $j = 0$, as in the proof of the case above.

Proof. Assuming the sequence is pure^- and $L > n$, we obtain the following sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} v_{n+1} < u_k < \dots < u_{(q-1)(k-1)+1} < v_{q(k-1)+1} \rightarrow \dots \\ \dots \rightarrow v_{qk} = v_0 \rightarrow u_1 = u_{n+1} < v_{n+k} = v_n \rightarrow v_{n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

which has with $q + 1 <$ and $q + 1 \rightarrow$ symbols. When $L = n$, the sequence degenerates to the one used in the proof of Proposition 5.3.

Assuming the sequence is pure⁺, leads to the impossible sequence

$$v_n > u_{k+1} \leftarrow u_k > \cdots \leftarrow u_{(q-1)k} > v_{qk+1} = v_1 \leftarrow u_0 > v_{n+k+1} \leftarrow v_n,$$

and this completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] A. Abreu, A. Nigro, *Splitting the cohomology of Hessenberg varieties and e-positivity of chromatic symmetric functions*, arXiv:2304.10644.
- [2] T. Chow, *A Note on a Combinatorial Interpretation of the e-Coefficients of the Chromatic Symmetric Function*, arXiv:math.co/9712230v2 (1995).
- [3] V. Gasharov, *Incomparability Graphs of (3+1)-free posets are s-positive*, Discrete Mathematics (1995), 157, 193-197.
- [4] M. Guay-Paquet, *A modular relation for the chromatic symmetric functions of (3+1)-free posets*, arXiv:math.co/1306.2400 (2013).
- [5] D. Scott, P. Suppes *Foundational aspects of theories of measurement*, Journal of Symbolic Logic (1954), 23, 113-128.
- [6] A. Paunov *Positivity for Stanley's chromatic functions*, Genève University, (2016), available from: <http://archive-ouverte.unige.ch/unige:87600>
- [7] A. Paunov *Planar graphs and Stanley's Chromatic Functions*, preprint, (2016), arXiv:1702.05787
- [8] A. Paunov, A. Szenes, *A new approach to e-positivity for Stanley's chromatic functions*, arXiv:1702.05791
- [9] I. Siegl, *Cylindric P-Tableaux for (3+1)-Free Posets*, arXiv:2211.03953
- [10] R. Stanley, *A Symmetric Function Generalization of the Chromatic Polynomial of a Graph*, Advances in Mathematics (1995), 111, 166-194.
- [11] R. Stanley, *Graph colorings and related symmetric functions: Ideas and Applications*, MIT (1995).

SECTION DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ DE GENÈVE
 Email address: Alexandre.Rok@unige.ch

SECTION DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ DE GENÈVE
 Email address: Andras.Szenes@unige.ch