

X-ray Recognition: Patient identification from X-rays using a contrastive objective

Hao Liang

Kevin Ni

Guha Balakrishnan

HL106@RICE.EDU

KN44@RICE.EDU

GUHA@RICE.EDU

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Rice University, USA

Editors: Under Review for MIDL 2023

Abstract

Recent research demonstrates that deep learning models are capable of precisely extracting bio-information (e.g. race, gender and age) from patients’ Chest X-Rays (CXRs). In this paper, we further show that deep learning models are also surprisingly accurate at *recognition*, i.e., distinguishing CXRs belonging to the same patient from those belonging to different patients. These findings suggest potential privacy considerations that the medical imaging community should consider with the proliferation of large public CXR databases.

Keywords: Chest X-rays, classification, contrastive learning, identity recognition

1. Introduction

Identifying patient bio-information such as age, race, and gender from Chest X-ray (CXR) scans has attracted recent interest in the context of exploring demographic-specific biases and confounders (Gichoya et al., 2022; Karargyris et al., 2019; Duffy et al., 2022). The “Reading Race” study in particular (Gichoya et al., 2022) demonstrated that a patient’s race may be predicted with over 0.99 AUROC from CXR datasets, raising questions on what visual cues may be unknowingly exploited by downstream algorithms. Inspired by these studies, we introduce the natural follow-up challenge of *X-ray Recognition*: identifying whether a pair of X-rays belong to the same person or not. Interestingly, this task is challenging even for a human to perform due to the dramatic variations in CXRs across patient visits, as demonstrated in Fig. 1. Yet in this study, we demonstrate that a deep learning model can achieve impressive accuracies on this task on public CXR datasets.

CXR datasets typically contain multiple images from the same patient across patient visits. For example, in the popular ChexPert (Irvin et al., 2019) and NIH CXR datasets (Jaeger et al., 2014), 48.7% and 43.2% percent of patients have two or more CXRs. Using this fact, we design our X-ray recognition model based on the typical design of modern face recognition models (Schroff et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2017). In particular, we employ a *contrastive, self-supervised* training procedure, which learns a deep feature space by maximizing the distance between CXRs from different patients, while minimizing the distance CXRs belonging to the same patient. Results on public CXR datasets demonstrate that the proposed model achieves surprisingly high accuracy (0.99 AUROC) on ChexPert and that its learned features are useful for other bio-information prediction tasks. Given that CXRs are one of the most common examinations in the clinic and that there is growing interest in collecting large public CXR datasets for AI research, these findings suggest potential privacy concerns that the community should consider.




Figure 1: **Example CXRs from Chexpert.** We show four CXRs for two random patients taken over multiple visits. Various changes, related to health condition and other factors make it hard to visually determine whether a pair of CXRs belong to the same person or not.

2. Method

We design our model and training objective based on recent face recognition works (Schroff et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2017). Let $f(\cdot)$ denote our recognition model, which takes a CXR image as input, and returns an embedding vector $y \in R^d$ that intuitively represents the “identity” information within the CXR. In our experiments, we use DenseNet-121 (Huang et al., 2017) to implement f , a powerful model architecture used in various computer vision problems, and replace the final classifier layer with a fully-connected layer that output a $d = 512$ -dimension vector.

During training, we construct *positive* and *negative* image pairs from the training dataset. A positive pair consists of two CXRs from the same patient and a negative pair consists of two CXRs from different patients. We use a *triplet loss* to train $f(\cdot)$:

$$L(x^a, x^p, x^n) = \max(\|f(x^a) - f(x^p)\|_2^2 - \|f(x^a) - f(x^n)\|_2^2 + \alpha, 0), \quad (1)$$

where x^a is known as an “anchor” image, x^p is a CXR of the same patient (positive), and x^n is a CXR from another patient (negative). The loss encourages the anchor and positive CXRs to lie close together in the embedding feature space, while simultaneously maximizing the distance between the anchor and negative examples. α is a hyperparameter quantifying a desired margin between positive and negative pairs.

At inference time, we give a pair of CXRs (x_A, x_B) to the model f , which returns a similarity score $S = \|f(x_A) - f(x_B)\|_2^2$. If $S \geq t$, where t is a threshold chosen during the validation stage, the pair is predicted to belong to the same patient.




Figure 2: **(a) True Positive Rate(TPR) vs. False Positive Rate(FPR) and AU-ROC scores for recognition models on different test dataset settings.** We considered two models: 1. The proposed X-Ray recognition model (red), 2. DenseNet-121 trained for bio-information classification (baseline, black). We trained the second model for its respective tasks, and use its feature .. We consider the following test set settings: 1. randomly selected positive/negative pairs (solid line), 2. negative pairs with the same gender (dashed line), and 3. OOD test set from NIH dataset (dotted line). Results show that recognition model is able to distinguish IDs under all settings with a much higher accuracy. **(b) Results of transfer learning on bio-information prediction.** Results show that one can make prediction with high accuracy only based on information captured by the recognition model.

3. Experiments and Conclusion

We evaluate our model on ChexPert (Irvin et al., 2019). We trained our model on ChexPert’s training dataset, and considered three test dataset settings and two other baseline models. Please refer to the caption of Fig. 2(a) for experimental details and results.

We also demonstrate the ability of the recognition features to transfer to other bio-information prediction tasks. To do so, we freeze the weights of the whole model, add one trainable fully-connected linear layer, and optimize this final layer for each prediction task. Results are in Fig. 2(b).

Our results show that deep learning models are able to achieve surprisingly high accuracy on X-ray Recognition. The features also clearly contain a rich set of information of bio-information like gender, race, and age based on our transfer learning experiments. Based on these results, we believe the issue of privacy violations in large CXR datasets could be a potential issue for the medical imaging community to consider in the future.

References

- Grant Duffy, Shoa L Clarke, Matthew Christensen, Bryan He, Neal Yuan, Susan Cheng, and David Ouyang. Confounders mediate ai prediction of demographics in medical imaging. *npj Digital Medicine*, 5(1):188, 2022.
- Judy Wawira Gichoya, Imon Banerjee, Ananth Reddy Bhimireddy, John L Burns, Leo Anthony Celi, Li-Ching Chen, Ramon Correa, Natalie Dullerud, Marzyeh Ghassemi, Shih-Cheng Huang, et al. Ai recognition of patient race in medical imaging: a modelling study. *The Lancet Digital Health*, 4(6):e406–e414, 2022.
- Gao Huang, Zhuang Liu, Laurens Van Der Maaten, and Kilian Q Weinberger. Densely connected convolutional networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 4700–4708, 2017.
- Jeremy Irvin, Pranav Rajpurkar, Michael Ko, Yifan Yu, Silviana Ciurea-Ilcus, Chris Chute, Henrik Marklund, Behzad Haghgoo, Robyn Ball, Katie Shpanskaya, et al. Chexpert: A large chest radiograph dataset with uncertainty labels and expert comparison. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, volume 33, pages 590–597, 2019.
- Stefan Jaeger, Sema Candemir, Sameer Antani, Yi-Xiang J Wang, Pu-Xuan Lu, and George Thoma. Two public chest x-ray datasets for computer-aided screening of pulmonary diseases. *Quantitative imaging in medicine and surgery*, 4(6):475, 2014.
- Alexandros Karargyris, Satyananda Kashyap, Joy T Wu, Arjun Sharma, Mehdi Moradi, and Tanveer Syeda-Mahmood. Age prediction using a large chest x-ray dataset. In *Medical Imaging 2019: Computer-Aided Diagnosis*, volume 10950, pages 468–476. SPIE, 2019.
- Weiyang Liu, Yandong Wen, Zhiding Yu, Ming Li, Bhiksha Raj, and Le Song. Sphereface: Deep hypersphere embedding for face recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 212–220, 2017.
- Florian Schroff, Dmitry Kalenichenko, and James Philbin. Facenet: A unified embedding for face recognition and clustering. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 815–823, 2015.