

L-SPACES, TAUT FOLIATIONS AND FIBERED HYPERBOLIC TWO-BRIDGE LINKS

DIEGO SANTORO

ABSTRACT. We prove that if M is a rational homology sphere that is Dehn surgery on a fibered hyperbolic two-bridge link, then M is not an L -space if and only if M supports a coorientable taut foliation. As a corollary we show that if K' is obtained by a non-trivial knot K as result of an operation called *two-bridge replacement*, then all non-meridional surgeries on K' support coorientable taut foliations. This operation generalises Whitehead doubling and as a particular case we deduce that all non-meridional surgeries on Whitehead doubles of a non-trivial knot support coorientable taut foliations.

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years the field of low-dimensional topology has seen a growing interest in the study of the so-called L -space conjecture. This conjecture predicts that the following notions of “complexity” are all equivalent:

Conjecture 1.1 (*L -space conjecture*). *For an irreducible oriented rational homology 3-sphere M , the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *M supports a cooriented taut foliation;*
- (2) *M is not an L -space, i.e. its Heegaard Floer homology is not minimal;*
- (3) *M is left orderable, i.e. $\pi_1(M)$ is left orderable.*

The equivalence between (1) and (2) was conjectured by Juhász in [Juh15], while the equivalence between (2) and (3) was conjectured by Boyer, Gordon and Watson in [BGW13]. This conjecture predicts strong connections among geometric, dynamical, Floer homological, and algebraic properties of 3-manifolds. Despite its boldness, as a result of the work by many researchers [BC17, BGW13, BNR97, CLW13, EHN81, HRRW20, LS09] it is now known that the conjecture holds for all the graph manifolds, i.e. the manifolds whose JSJ decomposition includes only Seifert fibered pieces. Moreover the results of Ozsváth-Szabó [OS04], Bowden [Bow16] and Kazez-Roberts [KR17] imply that in general manifolds supporting coorientable taut foliations are not L -spaces.

A natural way to investigate this conjecture is by using Dehn surgery descriptions of 3-manifolds. For instance, it is known that if a non-trivial knot K has a positive surgery that is an L -space, then K is prime [Krc15], fibered [Ghi08, Ni07] and strongly quasi-positive [Hed10]. Moreover, the r -framed surgery on such a knot K is an L -space if and only if $r \in [2g(K) - 1, \infty]$, where $g(K)$ denotes the genus of K [KMOS07]. Taut foliations on manifolds obtained as surgery on knots in S^3 are constructed for example in [Rob00, Rob01, DR21, DR20, Kri20] and it is possible to prove the left orderability of some of these manifolds by determining which of these foliations have vanishing Euler class, as done in [Hu19]. Another approach to study the left orderability of surgeries on knots is via representation theoretic methods, as presented in [CD18] and [DR22].


When it comes to investigate surgeries on links, the story becomes more mysterious. For instance there is no generalisation of the result of [KMOS07] we cited in the previous paragraph – even if it holds in some cases, see for example [San22, Lemma 2.6] – and links admitting L -space surgeries need not to be fibered [Liu17, Example 3.9] nor quasipositive [CL21, Proposition 1.5]. Concerning foliations, in [KR14] Kalelkar and Roberts construct coorientable taut foliations on some fillings of 3-manifolds that fiber over the circle and in particular their methods can also be applied to surgeries on fibered links. In [San22], taut foliations on all the surgeries on the Whitehead link that are not L -spaces are constructed.

In this paper we study the L -space conjecture for manifolds that can be obtained as surgery on two-bridge links. Since the conjecture has been proven for graph manifolds, we focus our study on hyperbolic two-bridge links. The main theorem of this paper is the following:

Theorem 1.2. *Let L be a fibered hyperbolic two-bridge link and let M be a manifold obtained as Dehn surgery on L . Then M admits a coorientable taut foliation if and only if M is not an L -space.*

Remark 1.3. In contrast to the case of knots, the property of being fibered for a link depends on the choice of an orientation of the link. This happens for instance in the case of the $(2, 2n)$ torus link for $n > 1$, see for example [BG16, Example 3.1]. On the other hand, changing orientations of the components of L has no effects on the study of the L -space conjecture for the surgeries on L . For this reason we will consider links as unoriented and say that a link is fibered if there exists an orientation for which it is a fibered link.

Remark 1.4. Theorem 1.2 is a result about the exterior of the links. Links are not uniquely determined by their complement, hence it can be a priori possible that many non isotopic hyperbolic two-bridge links share the same exterior. However it follows from [MW16,

FIGURE 1. The link L_n .

Theorem 1.4] that the exteriors of hyperbolic 2-bridge links (with two components) are not even commensurable.

We will be able to completely determine for each fibered hyperbolic two-bridge link L the set of surgeries on L that are L -spaces. We denote by $\mathcal{L}(L)$ the set of slopes on L that produce L -spaces. Recall that since L is a link in S^3 there is a canonical identification between the set of slopes on L and $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \times \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, where $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$, obtained by considering on each component of L its canonical meridian and longitude basis. Also, notice that we can reduce our study to the finite surgeries. In fact the components of two-bridge links are unknotted, so when one of the two surgery coefficients is infinite the only rational homology spheres that can be obtained are S^3 and lens spaces. We will prove the following proposition, where the link L_n is shown in Figure 1.

Proposition 1.5. *Let L be a fibered hyperbolic two-bridge link. Then*

- *if L is isotopic as unoriented link to L_n , then $\mathcal{L}(L) \cap \mathbb{Q}^2 = ([n, \infty) \times [n, \infty)) \cap \mathbb{Q}^2$;*
- *if L is isotopic as unoriented link to the mirror of L_n , then $\mathcal{L}(L) \cap \mathbb{Q}^2 = ((\infty, -n] \times (\infty, -n]) \cap \mathbb{Q}^2$;*
- *if L is not isotopic as unoriented link to any of the links L_n or their mirrors, then $\mathcal{L}(L) \cap \mathbb{Q}^2 = \emptyset$.*

We observe that L_1 is the Whitehead link. The L -space conjecture for surgeries on the Whitehead link was studied by the author in [San22]. As a consequence of the previous proposition we have the following Dehn surgery characterisation of the Whitehead link:

Corollary 1.6. *Let L be a fibered hyperbolic two-bridge link L and suppose that the $(1, 1)$ -surgery on L is an L -space. Then L is isotopic, as unoriented link, to the Whitehead link.*

We observe that all the links $\{L_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ can be obtained as surgery on a 3-component link, see Figure 6. On the other hand we have the following:

Proposition 1.7. *It is not possible to obtain the exteriors of all the hyperbolic fibered 2-bridge links as Dehn filling on a fixed cusped hyperbolic manifold N . In particular there exist no hyperbolic link L such that every hyperbolic fibered two-bridge link is surgery on L .*

Proof. By using the main result of [Lac04], it is easy to see that there exists a family of fibered hyperbolic 2-bridge links whose volumes grow to infinity (this is the family of links associated to $L(a_1, \dots, a_n) = L(2, 2, \dots, 2)$ in the notation introduced in Section 2). Volume decreases under hyperbolic Dehn filling [Thu14], hence we obtain the thesis. \square

As two-bridge links have tunnel number one, all surgeries on these links have at most Heegaard genus two and it has been proven by Li in [Li22] that if a closed orientable irreducible three manifold with Heegaard genus two has left orderable fundamental group, then it admits a coorientable taut foliation. As a consequence of this result together with Proposition 1.5 we have:

Corollary 1.8. *Let M be obtained as (r_1, r_2) -surgery on the link L_n , with $(r_1, r_2) \in [n, \infty) \times [n, \infty)$ and suppose that M is irreducible. Then M is not left orderable.*

Applications to satellites on knots and links. We briefly recall the satellite operation. Suppose that P is a knot inside a standard solid torus $V = \mathbb{D}^2 \times S^1$ and assume that P is not contained in a 3-ball of V . Let K be a knot in S^3 and let ϕ be an orientation preserving diffeomorphism between V and a tubular neighbourhood of K . The image of P under ϕ is a knot S , called a *satellite* of K . The knot K is called the *companion* of S and the knot P is called the *pattern* of S . As the mapping class group of the solid torus is non-trivial, the knot S is not uniquely determined by K and P . However, if we fix meridian-longitude bases (μ_K, λ_K) and (μ_V, λ_V) for the tubular neighbourhood of K and for V and impose that ϕ maps μ_V to μ_K and λ_V to λ_K as oriented curves, then S is uniquely determined by K and P .

Let L be a fibered hyperbolic two-bridge link, let denote by K_0 one of its component and orient it arbitrarily. Since two-bridge links have unknotted components, the exterior of K_0 is a solid torus V and we can use the other component as pattern P for producing satellite knots. For convenience, we call *two-bridge replacement* this specific satellite operation. We also fix a meridian-longitude basis for V given by $(\mu_V, \lambda_V) = (\lambda_{K_0}, \mu_{K_0})$, where μ_{K_0} and λ_{K_0} are the canonical meridian and longitude of K_0 .

Remark 1.9. If L is the Whitehead link we obtain the Whitehead pattern. This is the pattern used to define Whitehead doubles of knots, see Figure 2. Thus, two-bridge replacement generalises Whitehead doubling.




FIGURE 2. The (positive clasped) Whitehead pattern. The meridian μ_V is given by the longitude of the knot K_0 and the longitude λ_V by its meridian. By considering the mirror of the Whitehead link one obtains the negative clasped Whitehead pattern.

We remark that in the definition of two-bridge replacement we ask L to be fibered and hyperbolic.

Of course if \mathcal{L} is a link with d components we can carry out this construction for each component, by choosing L_1, \dots, L_d possibly distinct fibered hyperbolic two-bridge links.

The proofs of Theorem 1.2 and of the main theorem of [San22], together with results from [KR14] and [LR14], imply the following:

Theorem 1.10. *Let \mathcal{L} be a fibered link with positive genus or any non-trivial knot and let \mathcal{L}' denote the link obtained by performing two-bridge replacement on each component of \mathcal{L} . Then all the manifolds obtained by doing surgery on each component of \mathcal{L}' along a non-meridional slope support a coorientable taut foliation.*

Proof. • We first analyse the case where \mathcal{L} is a non-trivial knot, and we denote it by K .

We use the notation introduced above, and so we denote by $L = K_0 \sqcup P$ the fibered hyperbolic two-bridge link used in the definition of two-bridge replacement. Moreover we denote by E_K the exterior of K and by E_L the exterior of L . We fix the canonical meridian-longitude basis (μ_K, λ_K) for the knot K and we use it to identify slopes on K with $\mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$. The map ϕ , used to define the satellite operation, between the exterior

of K_0 , that we denote by V , and a tubular neighbourhood of K satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\lambda_{K_0}) &= \phi(\mu_V) = \mu_K \\ \phi(\mu_{K_0}) &= \phi(\lambda_V) = l\mu_K + \lambda_K\end{aligned}$$

for some integer $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. By [LR14, Theorem 1.1] if K is a non-trivial knot then there exists an interval $(-a, b)$, where $a, b > 0$, such that for every slope $s \in (-a, b)$ there exists a coorientable taut foliation on E_K intersecting the boundary torus in a collection of circles of slope s . Given two coprime integers p, q the map ϕ satisfies

$$p\mu_K + q\lambda_K = \phi((p - ql)\lambda_{K_0} + q\mu_{K_0})$$

and therefore the slope $\frac{p}{q}$ on K corresponds to the slope $(\frac{p}{q} - l)^{-1}$ on K_0 . Hence the interval $(-a, b)$ is identified with a neighbourhood U_1 of $-\frac{1}{l} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. It follows by the proof of [San22, Theorem 1.1] when L is the Whitehead link and by the proof of Theorem 1.2 when L is any other fibered hyperbolic two-bridge link that for every integer $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and every neighbourhood U of $-\frac{1}{l} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ there exists a slope $r \in U$ such that for every non-meridional slope r' on P there is a coorientable taut foliation on E_L intersecting the boundary tori in circles of slopes r and r' respectively. If we denote by K' the result of two-bridge replacement on K , then $E_{K'} = E_K \cup_{\varphi} E_L$, where φ is the restriction of ϕ to the boundary of the solid torus V . By choosing a slope $r \in U_1$ guaranteed by the previous observation we are able to find for each non-meridional slope r' in $E_{K'}$ taut foliations \mathcal{F} on E_K and \mathcal{F}' on E_L that can be glued along φ to define a coorientable taut foliation in $E_{K'}$ intersecting the boundary in parallel curves of slope r' . By capping off with meridional discs, these foliations extend to the surgeries on K' .

- When $\mathcal{L} = K_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup K_d$ is a fibered link with multiple components and positive genus we can proceed in analogous way. Let S denote the fiber surface for \mathcal{L} . By intersecting S with the boundaries of tubular neighbourhoods of the knots K_1, \dots, K_d we obtain longitudes $\lambda_1^S, \dots, \lambda_d^S$. We use them to define meridian-longitude bases for the components of \mathcal{L} and to identify slopes on the exterior of \mathcal{L} with $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^d$. It follows by [KR14, Theorem 1.1] that for every multislope (r_1, \dots, r_d) in a neighbourhood of $0 \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^d$ there exists a coorientable taut foliation in the exterior of \mathcal{L} intersecting the boundary tori in parallel curves of slopes r_1, \dots, r_d respectively. The statement now follows by applying to each component of \mathcal{L} the same reasoning as in the previous case, where we never made use of the fact that λ_K was the canonical longitude of K .

This concludes the proof □

Two-bridge replacement generalises Whitehead doubling and we emphasise the following corollary.

Corollary 1.11. *Let K be a non-trivial knot and let K' be any Whitehead double of K . Then all the non-trivial surgeries on K' support a coorientable taut foliation.*

Structure of the paper. In Section 2 we recall some basic notions on two-bridge links and part of the main result of [RR17]. We then use this to study the L -space surgeries on the links $\{L_n\}_{n \geq 1}$. Section 3 is devoted to the construction of taut foliations and will take most of the paper. In Section 3.1 we introduce branched surfaces and recall some of their basic properties, together with the main result of [Li03]. In Section 3.2 we recall a general method of constructing branched surfaces in fibered manifolds with boundary and lastly in Section 3.3 we focus our attention on surgeries on fibered hyperbolic two-bridge links.

Acknowledgments. I warmly thank my advisors Bruno Martelli and Paolo Lisca for their support and for their useful comments on a first draft of the paper.

2. L-SPACES



In this section we will recall some basic notions on two-bridge links and prove that the (r_1, r_2) -surgery on the two-bridge link L_n (depicted in Figure 1) is an L -space for $r_1 \geq n$, $r_2 \geq n$.

2.1. Basic notions on two-bridge links. We briefly recall some facts about two-bridge links that we will often use in the paper. We refer to [BZ03] for proofs and details. A two-bridge link can be described by a rational number $\frac{p}{q}$, where p and q are coprime integers, $p > 0$, q is odd and $0 < |q| < p$, in the following way. We fix a sequence of integers (a_1, \dots, a_n) such that

$$(*) \quad \frac{p}{q} = a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{\ddots + \frac{1}{a_n}}}$$

and consider the link defined by the diagram in Figure 3. We denote this link by $L(a_1, \dots, a_n)$.

We are interested in the case when $L(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ has two components. This happens exactly when the fraction $\frac{p}{q}$ has numerator p even. When $L(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is a link we orient the components as in Figure 4.

FIGURE 3. The two-bridge knot or link $L(a_1, \dots, a_n)$.FIGURE 4. The oriented two-bridge link $L(a_1, \dots, a_n)$.

A priori it could happen that the isotopy class of the two-bridge link associated to $\frac{p}{q}$ depends on the choice of the continued fraction representation of $\frac{p}{q}$. This is not the case, by the following theorem by Schubert [Sch56], see also [BZ03].

Theorem 2.1. *Let $L = L(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $L' = L(b_1, \dots, b_m)$ be two oriented two-bridge links and let $\frac{p}{q}$ and $\frac{p'}{q'}$ be the rational numbers defined as in (*). Then the links L and L' are isotopic if and only if $p = p'$ and $q' \equiv q^{\pm 1} \pmod{2p}$. If $p = p'$ and $q' \equiv q + p \pmod{2p}$ or $qq' \equiv 1 + p \pmod{2p}$, then L and L' are isotopic after reversing the orientation of one of the components.*

We denote by $b(p, q)$ the two-bridge link associated to the rational number $\frac{p}{q}$.

For convenience we also recall here some facts on hyperbolic fibered two-bridge links that we will use in the next section. Given a two-bridge link with two components L we can write $L = L(2b_1, \dots, 2b_n)$ as unoriented link, where b_i is a nonzero integer and n is odd. Moreover L is fibered if and only if we can find such a description with $|b_i| = 1$ for all i [GK90, Proposition 2]¹ and by using Theorem 2.1 one can see that L is a torus link if and only if any such description with all $|b_i| = 1$ satisfies $(b_1, \dots, b_n) = \pm(1, -1, 1, \dots, (-1)^{n-1})$. Since two-bridge links are non-split, prime, alternating links (see [BZ03]), as a consequence of [Men84, Corollary 2], a two-bridge link is hyperbolic if and only if it is not a torus link. Therefore hyperbolic fibered two-bridge links are those that can be written as $L(2b_1, \dots, 2b_n)$ where $|b_i| = 1$ for all i 's and at least two consecutive b_i 's are equal.

2.2. L -space surgeries on the links L_n . We now study the L -space surgeries on the links L_n , illustrated in Figure 1. To do this we will use the main result of [RR17]. We recall some definitions and fix some notation.

Let Y be a rational homology solid torus, i.e. Y is a compact oriented 3-manifold with toroidal boundary such that $H_*(Y; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_*(\mathbb{D}^2 \times S^1; \mathbb{Q})$.

We are interested in the study of the Dehn fillings on Y . We define the **set of slopes in Y** as

$$Sl(Y) = \{\alpha \in H_1(\partial Y; \mathbb{Z}) \mid \alpha \text{ is primitive}\} / \pm 1.$$

It is a well known fact that each element $[\alpha] \in Sl(Y)$ determines a Dehn filling on Y , that we will denote with $Y(\alpha)$.

Notice that as a consequence of Y being a rational homology solid torus, there is a distinguished slope in $Sl(Y)$ that we call the *homological longitude* of Y and that is defined in the following way. We denote with $i : H_1(\partial Y; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(Y; \mathbb{Z})$ the map induced by the inclusion $\partial Y \subset Y$ and we consider a primitive element $l \in H_1(\partial Y; \mathbb{Z})$ such that $i(l)$ is torsion in $H_1(Y; \mathbb{Z})$. The element l is unique up to sign, and its equivalence class $[l] \in Sl(Y)$ is the homological longitude of Y . This definition, which may seem counterintuitive, is given so that when Y is the complement of a knot in S^3 , the homological longitude of Y coincides with the slope defined by the longitude of the knot.

We want to study the fillings on Y that are L -spaces. For this reason we define the set of the **L -space filling slopes**:

$$L(Y) = \{[\alpha] \in Sl(Y) \mid Y(\alpha) \text{ is an } L\text{-space}\}.$$

¹The proof presented there is for knots, but the same proof works also for links.

Once we fix a basis (μ, λ) for $H_1(\partial Y; \mathbb{Z})$ we can associate to each element $a\mu + b\lambda \in H_1(\partial Y; \mathbb{Z})$ the element $\frac{a}{b} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\} \subset S^1$. This association defines a map onto $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ that yields an identification between $Sl(Y)$ and $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. The following theorem is a straightforward consequence of [RR17, Theorem 1.6].

Theorem 2.2. *Let Y be a rational homology solid torus and let $[\alpha] \neq [\beta]$ be two slopes in $L(Y)$. Then $L(Y)$ contains the interval in $Sl(Y)$ between $[\alpha]$ and $[\beta]$ that does not contain the homological longitude $[l]$.*

We want to use this result to study L -space surgeries on links. If \mathcal{L} is a link in S^3 , we denote by $L(\mathcal{L})$ the set of slopes in the exterior of \mathcal{L} such that the corresponding surgery is an L -space. For each component of the link we fix the canonical meridian and longitude, and in this way we can identify $L(\mathcal{L})$ with a subset of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^d$, where d is the number of components of the link. We fix $d = 2$, i.e. we suppose that \mathcal{L} has two components K_1 and K_2 . Given $(r_1, r_2) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^2$, we denote by

- $S_{r_1, r_2}^3(\mathcal{L})$ the (r_1, r_2) -surgery on \mathcal{L} ;
- $S_{r_1, \bullet}^3(\mathcal{L})$ the manifold obtained by drilling K_2 and performing r_1 -surgery on K_1 ;
- $S_{\bullet, r_2}^3(\mathcal{L})$ the manifold obtained by drilling K_1 and performing r_2 -surgery on K_2 .

Recall that if \mathcal{L} has two components by using Mayer-Vietoris one can see that the manifold $S_{r_1, r_2}^3(\mathcal{L})$ is not a rational homology sphere if and only if $\{r_1, r_2\} = \{0, \infty\}$ or $r_1 r_2 = \text{lk}(\mathcal{L})^2$, where $\text{lk}(\mathcal{L})$ denotes the linking number of the components of \mathcal{L} . Hence if $r_1 \neq 0$ the manifold $S_{r_1, \bullet}^3(\mathcal{L})$ is a rational homology solid torus with homological longitude given by $\frac{\text{lk}(\mathcal{L}^2)}{r_1} \in \mathbb{Q}$. Analogously, if $r_2 \neq 0$ the manifold $S_{\bullet, r_2}^3(\mathcal{L})$ is a rational homology solid torus with homological longitude given by $\frac{\text{lk}(\mathcal{L}^2)}{r_2} \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let \mathcal{L} be a link with two unknotted components. Suppose that $(r_1, r_2) \in L(\mathcal{L})$ with $r_1 r_2 > \text{lk}(\mathcal{L})^2$ and $r_1 > 0, r_2 > 0$. Then $([r_1, \infty] \times [r_2, \infty]) \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^2$ is contained in $L(\mathcal{L})$. Analogously, if $r_1 r_2 > \text{lk}(\mathcal{L})^2$ and $r_1 < 0, r_2 < 0$ then $([\infty, r_1] \times [\infty, r_2]) \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^2$ is contained in $L(\mathcal{L})$.*

Proof. The proof is the straightforward adaptation of the proof of [San22, Lemma 2.6]. We report it here for convenience of the reader. We prove the proposition in the case $r_1 r_2 > \text{lk}(\mathcal{L})^2$ and $r_1 > 0, r_2 > 0$. The other case is analogous. We consider the manifold $Y = S_{r_1, \bullet}^3$. We have that $r_2 \in L(Y)$ and since the components of \mathcal{L} are unknotted it follows that also $\{\infty\} \in L(\mathcal{L})$. In fact $S_{r_1, \infty}^3(\mathcal{L})$ is a lens space, and hence an L -space. Thus we can deduce, by virtue of Theorem 2.2, that the interval between r_2 and $\{\infty\}$ that does not contain the homological longitude is contained in $L(Y)$. The homological longitude




FIGURE 5. A pictorial sketch of the proof.

$\frac{\text{lk}(\mathcal{L})^2}{r_1}$ is smaller than r_2 , so we deduce that $[r_2, \infty) \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \subset L(Y)$. In other words we have proved that $S_{r_1, s}^3(\mathcal{L})$ is an L -space for all $s \geq r_2$. Now we fix $s \geq r_2$ and consider the manifold $Y_s = S_{\bullet, s}^3$. As a consequence of r_1 and $\{\infty\}$ belonging to $L(Y_s)$, we can apply again Theorem 2.2 and deduce that the interval between r_1 and $\{\infty\}$ that does not contain the homological longitude is contained in $L(Y_s)$. Since $r_1 \geq \frac{\text{lk}(\mathcal{L})^2}{r_2} \geq \frac{\text{lk}(\mathcal{L})^2}{s}$ and the latter is the homological longitude of Y_s , we conclude that $[r_1, \infty) \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \subset L(Y_s)$ for all $s \geq r_2$. This is exactly equivalent to saying that $([r_1, \infty) \times [r_2, \infty)) \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^2 \subset L(\mathcal{L})$. A pictorial sketch of the proof is described in Figure 5. \square

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section.

Proposition 2.4. *Let L_n the link described in Figure 1. Then $([n, \infty) \times [n, \infty)) \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^2 \subset L(L_n)$.*

Proof. The link L_n satisfies $\text{lk}(L_n)^2 = (n-1)^2$ and its components are unknotted, hence by Proposition 2.3 it is enough to prove that $(n, n) \in L(L_n)$. We can see the links L_n as surgeries on a three-component link \mathcal{L} , as represented in Figure 6. We have also fixed an orientation of this link, that we will use later in the proof.

More precisely we have that $S_{a, b, -\frac{1}{n-1}}^3(\mathcal{L}) = S_{a+n-1, b+n-1}^3(L_n)$. This implies that the statement is equivalent to proving that $S_{1, 1, -\frac{1}{n-1}}^3(\mathcal{L})$ is an L -space for all $n \geq 1$ and to prove this we will apply Theorem 2.2 to the rational homology solid torus $S_{1, 1, \bullet}^3(\mathcal{L})$. Denoting this manifold by Y , we have:

- $\{\infty\} \in L(Y)$: in fact $S_{1, 1, \infty}^3(\mathcal{L})$ is $(1, 1)$ -surgery on the Whitehead link. This is the Poincaré homology sphere and manifolds with finite fundamental group are L -spaces [OS05, Proposition 2.2];




FIGURE 6. How to obtain the links $\{L_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ as surgeries on a 3-component link \mathcal{L} .

- $\{1\} \in L(Y)$: in fact $S_{1,1,1}^3(\mathcal{L})$ is $(0,0)$ -surgery on the Hopf link, see Figure 7. This manifold is S^3 and therefore an L -space;
- *the homological longitude of Y is the slope 2*: to prove this we have to do a simple computation. We fix an orientation for the link and we denote the components of \mathcal{L} with K_1 , K_2 and K_3 as in Figure 6.

We have that $\text{lk}(K_1, K_3) = \text{lk}(K_2, K_3) = 1$ and $\text{lk}(K_1, K_2) = 0$. Consequently, a presentation matrix for $H_1(S_{1,1,\frac{p}{q}}^3(\mathcal{L}), \mathbb{Z})$ is given by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & q \\ 0 & 1 & q \\ 1 & 1 & p \end{pmatrix}$$

and in particular $S_{1,1,\frac{p}{q}}^3(\mathcal{L})$ is not a rational homology sphere if and only if the determinant of A is zero. This happens if and only if $p = 2q$ and therefore 2 is the homological longitude of the manifold $S_{1,1,\bullet}^3(\mathcal{L})$.

What we have proved implies by Theorem 2.2 that $[\infty, 1] \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \subset L(Y)$. In particular $S_{1,1,-\frac{1}{n-1}}^3(\mathcal{L})$ is an L -space for all $n \geq 1$ and this manifold is exactly the (n, n) -surgery on L_n . \square

Remark 2.5. In the terminology of [GN16], the links L_n are L -space links. In [Liu14, Liu17], Liu conjectured that a two-bridge link is an L -space link if and only if is of the form $b(pq - 1, -q)$, where p and q are odd positive integers. This conjecture was proved by Dawra in [Daw15]. It is not difficult to prove that the link L_n , as unoriented link, is




FIGURE 7. The $(1, 1, 1)$ -surgery on \mathcal{L} is $(0, 0)$ -surgery on the Hopf link

isotopic to $b(6n + 2, -3)$. It will follow from the results of Section 3, that these are the only fibered nontorus two-bridge L -space links.

3. TAUT FOLIATIONS

In this section we study the existence of taut foliations on the surgeries on fibered hyperbolic two-bridge links, proving Theorem 1.2. Branched surfaces will be our main tool. In Subsection 3.1 we introduce them and recall some of their basic properties, together with the main result of [Li03]. In Section 3.2 we recall a general method to construct branched surfaces in fibered manifolds with boundary and, lastly, in Section 3.3 we focus our attention on surgeries on fibered hyperbolic two-bridge links: we start by proving a few lemmas that allow us to construct taut foliations on all finite surgeries on many fibered two-bridge links; this will reduce our study to the cases of some remaining subfamilies of two-bridge links (containing the links $\{L_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ of Section 2) that we study separately.

3.1. Background. In this and in the next sections we assume familiarity with the basic notions of the theory of train tracks; see [PH16] for reference. In the cases of our interest train tracks can also have bigons as complementary regions.

We now recall some basic facts about branched surfaces. We refer to [FO84] and [Oer84] for more details.

Definition 3.1. A **branched surface with boundary** in a 3-manifold M is a closed subset $B \subset M$ that is locally diffeomorphic to one of the models in \mathbb{R}^3 of Figure 8a) or to one of the models in the closed half space of Figure 8b), where $\partial B := B \cap \partial M$ is represented with a bold line:




FIGURE 8. Local models for a branched surface.



FIGURE 9. Some examples of cusp directions.

Branched surfaces generalise the concept of train tracks from surfaces to 3-manifolds. When the boundary of B is non-empty it defines a train track ∂B in ∂M .

If B is a branched surface it is possible to identify two subsets of B : the **branch locus** and the set of **triple points**. The branch locus is defined as the set of points where B is not locally homeomorphic to a surface. It is self-transverse and intersects itself in double points only. The set of triple points of B can be defined as the points where the branch locus is not locally homeomorphic to an arc. For example, the rightmost model of Figure 8a) contains a triple point.

The complement of the branch locus in B is a union of connected surfaces. The abstract closures of these surfaces under any path metric on M are called the **branch sectors** of B . Analogously, the complement of the set of the triple points inside the branch locus is a union of 1-dimensional connected manifolds. Moreover, to each of these manifolds we can associate an arrow in B pointing in the direction of the smoothing, as in Figure 9. We call these arrows **branch directions** or **cusp directions**.

If B is a branched surface in M , we denote by N_B a fibered regular neighbourhood of B constructed as suggested in Figure 10.




FIGURE 10. Regular neighbourhood of a branched surface.

The boundary of N_B decomposes naturally into the union of three compact subsurfaces $\partial_h N_B$, $\partial_v N_B$ and $N_B \cap \partial M$. We call $\partial_h N_B$ the **horizontal boundary** of N_B and $\partial_v N_B$ the **vertical boundary** of B . The horizontal boundary is transverse to the interval fibers of N_B while the vertical boundary intersects, if at all, the fibers of N_B in one or two proper closed subintervals contained in their interior. If we collapse each interval fiber of N_B to a point, we obtain a branched surface in M that is isotopic to B , and the image of $\partial_v N_B$ coincides with the branch locus of such a branched surface.

We also recall the definition of *splitting*².

Definition 3.2. Given two branched surfaces B_1 and B_2 in M we say that B_2 is obtained by **splitting** B_1 if N_{B_1} can be obtained as $N_{B_2} \cup J$, where J is a $[0, 1]$ -bundle such that $\partial_h J \subset \partial_h N_{B_2}$, $\partial_v J \cap \partial N_{B_2} \subset \partial_v N_{B_2}$ and ∂J meets ∂N_{B_2} so that the fibers agree.

Figure 11 shows two examples of splittings, illustrated for the case of 1-dimensional branched manifolds, i.e. train tracks.

Branched surfaces provide a useful tool to construct *laminations* on 3-manifolds.

Definition 3.3. (see for example [GO89]) Let B be a branched surface in a 3-manifold M . A **lamination carried by B** is a closed subset Λ of some regular neighbourhood N_B of B such that Λ is a disjoint union of smoothly injectively immersed surfaces, called leaves,

²This operation is referred to as *restriction* in [Oer84].




FIGURE 11. Some examples of splittings. The coloured region is the interval bundle J .

that intersect the fibers of N_B transversely. We say that Λ is **fully carried** by B if Λ is carried by B and intersects *every* fiber of N_B .

Remark 3.4. As in Definition 3.3, if S is a closed oriented surface and τ is a train track in S we can define what is a lamination (fully) carried by τ . In this case we say that an oriented simple closed curve γ is **realised** by τ if τ fully carries a union of finitely many disjoint curves that are parallel to γ inside S .

In [Li02], Li introduces the notion of *sink disc*.

Definition 3.5. Let B be a branched surface in M and let S be a branch sector in B . We say that S is a **sink disc** if S is a disc, $S \cap \partial M = \emptyset$ and the branch direction of any smooth curve or arc in its boundary points into S . We say that S is a **half sink disc** if S is a disc, $S \cap \partial M \neq \emptyset$ and the branch direction of any smooth arc in $\partial S \setminus \partial M$ points into S .

In Figure 12 some examples of sink discs and half sink discs are depicted. The bold lines represent the intersection of the branched surface with ∂M . Notice that if S is a half sink disc the intersection $\partial S \cap \partial M$ can also be disconnected.

If B contains a sink disc or a half sink disc there is a very simple way to eliminate it, namely it is enough to blow an air bubble in its interior, as in Figure 13, so to obtain a new branched surface B' . However there is really no difference between B and B' : in fact it is not difficult to see that B carries a lamination if and only if B' carries a lamination.

We do not want to artificially eliminate sink discs with this procedure and so we recall the notion of *trivial bubble*. We say that a connected component of $M \setminus \text{int}(N_B)$ is a $\mathbb{D}^2 \times [0, 1]$ **region** if it is homeomorphic to a ball and its boundary can be subdivided into



FIGURE 12. Examples of *a*) sink discs and *b*) half sink discs.

FIGURE 13. How to eliminate a sink disc or a half sink disc by blowing an air bubble.

an annular region, corresponding to a component of $\partial_v N_B$, and two \mathbb{D}^2 regions corresponding to components of $\partial_h N_B$. We say that a $\mathbb{D}^2 \times [0, 1]$ region is **trivial** if the map collapsing the fibers of N_B is injective on $\text{int}(\mathbb{D}^2) \times [0, 1]$. In this case the image of $\mathbb{D}^2 \times \{0, 1\}$ via the collapsing map is called a **trivial bubble** in B . Trivial bubbles and trivial $\mathbb{D}^2 \times [0, 1]$ regions are created when we eliminate sink discs as in Figure 13.

When M and B have boundary these definitions generalise straightforwardly to the relative case, see [Li03].

In [Li02], Li introduces the definition of laminar branched surface and proves that laminar branched surfaces fully carry essential laminations³. In [Li03] he generalises this definition to branched surfaces with boundary as follows:

Definition 3.6 ([Li02]). Let B be a branched surface in a 3-manifold M . We say that B is **laminar** if B has no trivial bubbles and the following hold:

- (1) $\partial_h N_B$ is incompressible and ∂ -incompressible in $M \setminus \text{int}(N_B)$, and no component of $\partial_h N_B$ is a sphere or a properly embedded disc in M ;
- (2) there is no monogon in $M \setminus \text{int}(N_B)$, i.e. no disc $D \subset M \setminus \text{int}(N_B)$ such that $\partial D = D \cap N_B = \alpha \cup \beta$, where α is in an interval fiber of $\partial_v N_B$ and β is an arc in $\partial_h N_B$;
- (3) $M \setminus \text{int}(N_B)$ is irreducible and $\partial M \setminus \text{int}(N_B)$ is incompressible in $M \setminus \text{int}(N_B)$;
- (4) B contains no Reeb branched surfaces (see [GO89] for the definition);
- (5) B has no sink discs or half sink discs.

Since $\partial_h N_B$ is not properly embedded in $M \setminus \text{int}(N_B)$ we explain more precisely the request of ∂ -incompressibility in (1) : we require that if D is a disc in $M \setminus \text{int}(N_B)$ with $\text{int}(D) \subset M \setminus N_B$ and $\partial D = \alpha \cup \beta$ where α is an arc in $\partial_h N_B$ and β is an arc in ∂M , then there is a disc $D' \subset \partial_h N_B$ with $\partial D' = \alpha \cup \beta'$ where $\beta' = \partial D' \cap \partial M$.

The following theorem of [Li03] will be used profusely in this section.

Theorem 3.7. [Li03] *Let M be an irreducible and orientable 3-manifold whose boundary is union of k incompressible tori T_1, \dots, T_k . Suppose that B is a laminar branched surface in M such that $\partial M \setminus \partial B$ is a union of bigons. Then for any multislope $(s_1, \dots, s_k) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^k$ that is realised by the train track ∂B , if B does not carry a torus that bounds a solid torus in $M(s_1, \dots, s_k)$, there exists an essential lamination Λ in M fully carried by B that intersects ∂M in parallel simple curves of multislope (s_1, \dots, s_k) . Moreover this lamination extends to an essential lamination of the filled manifold $M(s_1, \dots, s_k)$.*

Remark 3.8. The statement of Theorem 3.7 is slightly more detailed than the version of [Li03]. The details we have added come from the proof of Theorem 3.7. In fact the idea of the proof is to split the branched surface B in a neighbourhood of ∂M so that it intersects T_i in parallel simple closed curves of slopes s_i , for $i = 1, \dots, k$. In this way, when gluing the solid tori, we can glue meridional discs of these tori to B to obtain a branched surface $B(s_1, \dots, s_k)$ in $M(s_1, \dots, s_k)$ that is laminar and that by [Li02, Theorem 1] fully carries an essential lamination. In particular, this essential lamination is obtained by gluing the

³For the definition of essential lamination see [GO89], but we will not need their properties for our purposes.

meridional discs of the solid tori to an essential lamination in M that intersects T_i in parallel simple closed curves of slopes s_i , for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Remark 3.9. In [Li03] the statement of the theorem is given for M with connected boundary but, as already observed in [KR14], if M has multiple boundary components we can split B in a neighbourhood of each boundary tori T_i and the same proof of [Li03] works.

3.2. Constructing branched surfaces in fibered manifolds. In this section we recall a general method to build branched surfaces in compact surfaces with boundary that fiber over the circle. This will be the starting point to construct taut foliations on surgeries on fibered two-bridge links. First of all, we fix some notations and recall the definition of fibered link.

Given an oriented surface S with (possibly empty) boundary and an orientation-preserving homeomorphism $h : S \rightarrow S$ fixing ∂S pointwise we denote by M_h the mapping torus of h

$$M_h = \frac{S \times [0, 1]}{(h(x), 0) \sim (x, 1)}.$$

We orient $S \times [0, 1]$ as a product and M_h with the orientation induced by $S \times [0, 1]$. We also identify S with its image in M_h via the map

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow S \times \{0\} \subset M_h \\ x &\mapsto (x, 0). \end{aligned}$$

The homeomorphism h is called the **monodromy** of M_h .

Definition 3.10. Let L be an oriented link in S^3 . We say that L is **fibered** if there exists a Seifert surface S for L , an orientation preserving homeomorphism h of S fixing ∂S pointwise and an orientation preserving homeomorphism

$$\chi : S^3 \setminus \text{int}(N_L) \rightarrow M_h,$$

where N_L denotes a tubular neighbourhood of L in S^3 , so that

- $\chi|_S$ is the inclusion $S \subset M_h$;
- $\chi(m_i) = \{x_i\} \times [0, 1]$, where m_i is a meridian for the i -th component of L and $x_i \in \partial S$ is a point.

Let S be an oriented surface with boundary and let h be an orientation preserving homeomorphism of S fixing ∂S pointwise. We consider pairwise disjoint properly embedded arcs $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ in S and discs $\bar{D}_i = \alpha_i \times [0, 1] \subset S \times [0, 1]$. Each of these discs has a “bottom”




FIGURE 14. How to smoothen $S \cup D$ according to the coorientations.

boundary, $\alpha_i \times \{0\}$, and a “top” boundary, $\alpha_i \times \{1\}$. When we consider the images of these discs in M_h under the projection map

$$S \times [0, 1] \rightarrow M_h$$

we have that the bottom and top boundaries become respectively $\cup_i \alpha_i \subset S$ and $\cup_i h(\alpha_i) \subset S$.

We can isotope simultaneously the discs \overline{D}_i 's in a neighbourhood of $S \times \{1\} \subset S \times [0, 1]$ so that when projected to M_h their top boundaries define a family of arcs $\{\widehat{h(\alpha_i)}\}_{i=1, \dots, k}$ in S such that for each $i, j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ the intersection between α_i and $\widehat{h(\alpha_j)}$ is transverse and minimal. Notice that each arc $\widehat{h(\alpha_i)}$ is isotopic as a properly embedded arc to $h(\alpha_i)$, via an isotopy that is not the identity on the boundary. We also denote by D_i the projected perturbed disc contained in M_h .

If we assign (co)orientations to these discs, since S is (co)oriented, we can smoothen $S \cup D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_k$ to a branched surface B by imposing that the smoothing preserves the coorientation of S and of the discs. In particular, each disc has two possible coorientations and hence it can be smoothed in two different ways. This operation is demonstrated in Figure 14, where S is a torus with an open disc removed.

The following lemma basically states that, under very mild hypotheses, if a branched surface constructed in this way has neither sink discs nor half sink discs then it is laminar. For the proof we refer the reader to [San22, Lemma 3.16].

Lemma 3.11. *Let S be a connected and oriented surface with boundary and let h be an orientation preserving homeomorphism of S fixing ∂S pointwise. Let $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1,\dots,k} \subset S$ be pairwise disjoint properly embedded arcs in S and suppose that $S \setminus \cup_{i=1}^k \alpha_i$ has no disc components. Denote by D_i 's the discs in M_h associated to the arcs α_i 's in the way described above and fix a coorientation for these discs. Let $B = S \cup D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_k$ denote the branched surface in M_h obtained by smoothing according to these coorientations. Then B has no trivial bubbles and satisfies conditions (1),(2),(3) and (4) of Definition 3.6.*

Proposition 3.12. *Suppose that B is a branched surface in M_h constructed as described above and satisfying the hypotheses of Lemma 3.11. Then B does not carry any closed surface. Moreover suppose that Σ is a properly embedded oriented compact surface carried by B , label the boundary components of M_h as $T_1, \dots, T_m, \dots, T_n$ so that $\partial\Sigma \cap T_i \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $i \leq m$ and denote r_i the common slope of the curves $\partial\Sigma \cap T_i$, for $i \leq m$. If there exists an index i_0 such that $[\partial\Sigma \cap T_{i_0}] \neq 0$ in $H_1(T_{i_0}, \mathbb{Z})$ then $M_h(r_1, \dots, r_m, s_{m+1}, \dots, s_n)$ is not a rational homology sphere for any choice of s_{m+1}, \dots, s_n slopes in T_{m+1}, \dots, T_n respectively.*

Proof. Suppose that F is a closed surface carried by B . The branch sectors of B that are not contained in S are exactly the disks D_1, \dots, D_k and they all intersect ∂M_h and for this reason F must be composed of some parallel copies of S . But this implies that also F has boundary and this is absurd.

Suppose now that Σ is a properly embedded oriented compact surface satisfying the hypotheses of the statement of the proposition and consider the manifold obtained by filling the boundary components T_1, \dots, T_m along the slopes r_1, \dots, r_m . In this manifold we now cap the boundary components of Σ with meridional discs so to obtain a closed oriented surface $\hat{\Sigma}$. Since there exists an index i_0 such that $[\partial\Sigma \cap T_{i_0}] \neq 0$ in $H_1(T_{i_0}, \mathbb{Z})$ we have that $\hat{\Sigma}$ has nonzero algebraic intersection with the core of the solid torus glued to the boundary component T_{i_0} . Thus $\hat{\Sigma}$ defines a non-trivial element in the second rational homology group of $M_h(r_1, \dots, r_m, s_{m+1}, \dots, s_n)$ for any choice of s_{m+1}, \dots, s_n slopes in T_{m+1}, \dots, T_n respectively. \square

Corollary 3.13. *Suppose that B is a branched surface constructed as described above and satisfying the hypotheses of Lemma 3.11. Suppose also that B has neither sink discs nor half sink discs. If (r_1, \dots, r_n) is a multislope realised by ∂B then $M_h(r_1, \dots, r_n)$ contains a coorientable taut foliation.*

Proof. All closed orientable 3-manifolds with $b_1 > 0$ contain coorientable taut foliations [Gab83]. We study the case when $M_h(r_1, \dots, r_n)$ is a rational homology sphere. As B has

neither sink discs nor half sink discs, by Lemma 3.11 we have that B is a laminar branched surface. Moreover, by construction the complementary regions of ∂B are bigons and as a consequence of Proposition 3.12 we know that B does not carry any closed surface and in particular any torus. Therefore we can apply Theorem 3.7 and deduce that B fully carries a lamination Λ that intersects ∂M_h in parallel simple curves of multislope (r_1, \dots, r_n) . The proof of [San22, Lemma 3.23] implies that this lamination extends to an oriented and cooriented foliation \mathcal{F} of M_h with the property that ∂M_h is foliated by parallel simple closed curves of multislopes (r_1, \dots, r_n) and that every leaf f of \mathcal{F} is parallel to some leaf λ of Λ . We now prove that all the leaves of \mathcal{F} are non-compact. In fact a compact leaf f would imply the existence of a compact leaf λ of the lamination Λ . Since B does not carry closed surfaces then λ would be a compact properly embedded surface carried by B that intersects at least one boundary component of M_h , say T_1 . All the curves in $\lambda \cap T_1$ have the same orientation, given that they are leaves of a cooriented foliation by circles of T_1 . Therefore we deduce that $[\partial \lambda \cap T_1] \neq 0$ in $H_1(T_1, \mathbb{Z})$. Since $M_h(r_1, \dots, r_n)$ is a rational homology sphere we can apply Proposition 3.12 and deduce that such a leaf λ cannot exist. Consequently \mathcal{F} has no compact leaves, and the same holds for the foliation $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ of $M_h(r_1, \dots, r_n)$ obtained by capping the leaves of \mathcal{F} with the meridional discs of the solid tori glued to M_h . This implies that $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is taut, see [Cal07, Example 4.23]. \square

3.3. Fibered hyperbolic two-bridge links. We now focus our attention on fibered hyperbolic two-bridge links. Recall from Section 2.1 that these coincide with two-bridge links of the form $L(2b_1, \dots, 2b_n)$ where $|b_i| = 1$ for all i 's and at least two consecutive b_i 's are equal. In this case it is possible to draw an explicit fiber surface S for L . This surface is obtained by starting with the boundary sum of a certain number of Hopf bands, and then plumbing other Hopf bands to this surface. This is determined in a straightforward way from the coefficients (b_1, \dots, b_n) . One example is described in Figure 15. We also fix an orientation of S , so that in the figure the positive side is coloured in pink, and this induces an orientation of the link.

From this very easy description of the fiber surface of L we are able to determine the monodromy of L . More precisely, S can be described in a more abstract way as in Figure 16 and the monodromy is given by the diffeomorphism

$$(*) \quad h = \tau_2^{\varepsilon_2} \tau_4^{\varepsilon_4} \dots \tau_{2k}^{\varepsilon_{2k}} \tau_1^{\varepsilon_1} \tau_3^{\varepsilon_3} \dots \tau_{2k+1}^{\varepsilon_{2k+1}}$$




FIGURE 15. The fiber surface of the link $L(-2, -2, -2, 2, 2)$. The positive side is coloured in pink.

where $n = 2k + 1$, τ_i denotes the positive (i.e. the right) Dehn twist along the curve γ_i shown in Figure 16 and

$$\varepsilon_i = \begin{cases} -\text{sgn}(b_i) & \text{when } i \text{ is even} \\ \text{sgn}(b_i) & \text{when } i \text{ is odd} \end{cases}.$$

This basically follows from the fact that the monodromy of the boundary of a positive (resp. negative) Hopf band is a positive (resp. negative) Dehn twist along its core and from the way the monodromy of a plumbing or a boundary connected sum (or more generally a Murasugi sum), behaves with respect to the monodromies of the summands, see [Gab85, Corollary 1.4].

3.3.1. The generic case. We are now ready to construct foliations on surgeries on the hyperbolic fibered two-bridge links. The general strategy is simple: we have an explicit description of the monodromies of these links and we want to construct branched surfaces in the way described in Section 3.2. If we are able to construct these branched surfaces so that they have neither sink discs nor half sink discs, then by Theorem 3.7 and Corollary 3.13 we can deduce that all the surgeries corresponding to the multislopes realised by these




FIGURE 16. An abstract drawing of the fiber surface S together with the curves γ_i 's.

branched surfaces contain coorientable taut foliations. For this reason, we will have to study which multislopes are realised by the boundary train tracks.

In this section we prove a few lemmas that allow us to construct taut foliations on the surgeries of many fibered two-bridge links. This will reduce our study to the cases of some remaining subfamilies of two-bridge links, that we discuss in the next section.

First of all we fix some conventions. As we already did in the previous section, we fix a fiber surface S for the two-bridge link $L = L(2b_1, \dots, 2b_n)$ and we fix its orientation as in Figure 15. With the induced orientation, L has linking number

$$\text{lk}(L) = \sum_{i=0}^k b_{2i+1}$$

where $n = 2k + 1$. When a link is fibered there is a natural choice of meridians and longitudes that is in general different from the one induced by the ambient manifold S^3 . It is obtained as follows. We fix an oriented fiber surface S for the link L , so that $S^3 \setminus \text{int}(N_L) \cong \frac{S \times [0,1]}{\sim_h}$, where N_L is a tubular neighbourhood of L . We fix a point x_i in each boundary component of S and we consider the curves $\mu_i = \frac{\{x_i\} \times [0,1]}{\sim_h}$ oriented in the direction of ascending $t \in [0,1]$ as meridians and the boundary components λ_i of S as longitudes. By definition of fibered link, the meridians defined in this way coincide with the usual meridians of the link. On the other hand these longitudes do not coincide in general with the canonical longitudes of the link. In fact, if for each component K_i of L we denote by l_i the canonical longitude of K_i we have

$$(\star) \quad \lambda_i + \sum_{j \neq i} \text{lk}(K_i, K_j) \mu_j = l_i$$

as elements in $H_1(\partial N_{K_i}, \mathbb{Z})$, where N_{K_i} is the connected component of N_L containing K_i .

From now on we will refer to the bases (μ_i, λ_i) as the *Seifert framing*, and to the bases (μ_i, l_i) as the *canonical framing*. Unless otherwise stated we use Seifert framings. Moreover, we will always suppose $n > 1$, because when $n = 1$ the only links obtained in this way are the Hopf links and we are interested in hyperbolic links.

Remark 3.14. In the following lemma, and also later in the section, we construct branched surfaces by considering *oriented arcs* in the fiber surface S and then by attaching discs as in Section 3.2. We will always coorient the discs with the following convention: we orient them so that the orientations on their boundaries induce the given orientation on the arcs and then we use the orientation of the ambient manifold to coorient them. Analogously, the coorientation of the fiber S is obtained by using the orientation of S and of the ambient manifold.

A good way to keep in mind the cusps directions of branched surfaces constructed in the way is the following: looking at the positive side of S , the cusps directions point to the right along the arcs α and β with respect to their orientations and point to the left along the oriented arcs $h(\alpha)$ and $h(\beta)$ with respect to their orientations. See Figure 18.

To ease the exposition of the following lemmas we fix some notation. With reference to Figure 16 we say that a Dehn twist along one of the curves $\gamma_1, \gamma_3, \dots, \gamma_n$ is a **bridge twist**, and a Dehn twist along one of the curves $\gamma_2, \gamma_4, \dots, \gamma_{n-1}$ is a **river twist**.

Lemma 3.15. *Let $L = L(2b_1, \dots, 2b_n)$ with $|b_i| = 1$ for all i and let h denote its monodromy as in Equation (*). Let M denote the exterior of L . Then*

- (1) *if there is at least one positive (resp. negative) river twist in the factorisation of h , the manifold $M(r_1, r_2)$ contains a coorientable taut foliation for every multislope $(r_1, r_2) \in (\infty, 1)^2$ (resp. for all $(r_1, r_2) \in (-1, \infty)^2$); see Figure 17a)-b);*
- (2) *if there are two river twists with different exponents in the factorisation of h , the manifold $M(r_1, r_2)$ contains a coorientable taut foliation for every multislope $(r_1, r_2) \in ((-1, \infty) \times (\infty, 1)) \cup ((\infty, 1) \times (-1, \infty))$; see Figure 17c).*

Proof. (1) Suppose that there is a positive river twist along the curve γ_i . We consider the arcs α and β as in Figure 18. The oriented arcs α and β determine a cooriented branched surface B obtained by attaching two discs to the fiber surface S as described in Section 3.2. Since $n > 1$, S is not an annulus and therefore the complement of $\alpha \cup \beta$ has no disc components. Due to the fact that we have chosen α and β so that they are disjoint from γ_j for $j \neq i$ it follows that $h(\alpha) = \tau_i(\alpha)$ and $h(\beta) = \tau_i(\beta)$, as depicted in Figure 18. In Figure 18 we have also labelled the branch locus of B with the cusps




FIGURE 17. From left to right, the slopes (r_1, r_2) in the coloured region yield manifolds with coorientable taut foliations in the case where there is respectively: at least one positive river twist, at least one negative river twist, two river twists with different exponents in the factorisation of the monodromy h .

directions and it can be checked from the picture that there are neither sink discs nor half sink discs. For this reason we can apply Corollary 3.13 and deduce that $M(r_1, r_2)$ supports a coorientable taut foliation for all the multislopes (r_1, r_2) realised by ∂B . We now want to understand which multislopes are realised by the boundary train tracks of B .

To do this we assign rational weight systems to our boundary train tracks. Given that the train tracks are oriented, we can associate to such a weight system the rational number $\frac{w_\mu}{w_\lambda}$, where w_μ and w_λ are the *weighted* intersections of the train tracks with our fixed meridians μ and longitudes λ , as we would do with oriented simple closed curves. This quotient can be interpreted as a *slope* in the boundary component of M we are interested in. In fact it can be proved that each slope $\frac{p}{q}$ obtained in this way is realised by the train track. We want to study slopes *fully* carried by these train tracks, hence we have to require that each weight is strictly positive: if the weight of an arc is zero, the associated slope will not intersect the fibers over that arc. For details, see [PH16]. The two boundary train tracks of B are equal to the one illustrated in Figure 19 where we have also endowed it with weight systems, depending on two variables x, y . The slopes of these weight systems are $y - x$. Since we have to impose that each sector has positive weight x must take values in $(0, \infty)$ and y must take values in $(0, 1)$, hence we obtain all the slopes in $(\infty, 1)$. Therefore the boundary train tracks of B realise all the multislopes in $(\infty, 1)^2$. By applying Corollary 3.13 we obtain taut foliations on $M(r_1, r_2)$ for all $(r_1, r_2) \in (\infty, 1)^2$.




FIGURE 18. The arcs α and β and the cooriented discs spanned by them.




FIGURE 19. On each of the two boundary components of M the branched surface defines this boundary train track. The variables x and y take rational values and define weight systems.

If there is a negative river twist, we consider the same oriented arcs α and β , and on each of the two boundary components of M we obtain the train track depicted on the




FIGURE 20. The arcs α and β and the boundary train tracks when the river twist is negative.

right-hand side of Figure 20. This train track realises all the slopes in $(-1, \infty)$ and so we obtain taut foliations on $M(r_1, r_2)$ for all $(r_1, r_2) \in (-1, \infty)^2$.

- (2) Suppose now that there are two river twists with different exponents in the factorisation of h and suppose that the positive one is along the curve γ_i and the negative one is along γ_j . We suppose $i < j$ but the proof does not change if $j < i$. We choose now α and β as in Figure 21 and as before we have $h(\alpha) = \tau_i(\alpha)$ and $h(\beta) = \tau_j(\beta)$. Also in this case the complement of $\alpha \cup \beta$ contains no disc components. Moreover the complement of $\alpha \cup \beta \cup h(\alpha) \cup h(\beta)$ is connected and this implies that there are neither sink discs nor half disk discs in the branched surface associated to α and β . The boundary train tracks (with weight systems) of B are shown in Figure 21. The slopes realised by the one on the left side of the figure are the ones contained in $(\infty, 1)$ and the ones realised by the train track on the right are those contained in $(-1, \infty)$. As a consequence of Corollary 3.13 we have taut foliations in $M(r_1, r_2)$ for all $(r_1, r_2) \in (\infty, 1) \times (-1, \infty)$. As two-bridge links are symmetric (i.e. there exists an isotopy that exchanges the components), we deduce that there are taut foliations also on the surgeries associated to coefficients $(r_1, r_2) \in (-1, \infty) \times (\infty, 1)$.

This concludes the proof. \square

Remark 3.16. Recall that we are working with Seifert framings. However we have already noticed that the meridians of the Seifert framing coincide with the canonical meridians of L . This implies that the finite surgeries on L with respect to the Seifert framing coincide with the finite surgeries on L with respect to the canonical framing.

Corollary 3.17. *If the factorisation of the monodromy h has two river twists with different exponents, then all the finite surgeries on the link L contain coorientable taut foliations.*




FIGURE 21. This picture describes the choice of the arcs α and β when the twist along the curve γ_i is positive and the one along γ_j is negative. The boundary train tracks of the associated branched surface are also shown.

Proof. It follows from the first part of Lemma 3.15 that there are coorientable taut foliations on $M(r_1, r_2)$ for $(r_1, r_2) \in (\infty, 1)^2 \cup (-1, \infty)^2$ and it follows from the second part of Lemma 3.15 that there are coorientable taut foliations on $M(r_1, r_2)$ for $(r_1, r_2) \in ((-1, \infty) \times (\infty, 1)) \cup ((\infty, 1) \times (-1, \infty))$. The union of these sets is exactly the set of all finite multislopes. \square

As a consequence of Corollary 3.17, by taking mirrors if necessary, we can reduce our study to the case where the river twists are all positives, i.e. to links of the form $L = L(2b_1, -2, 2b_3, \dots, -2, 2b_n)$.

Lemma 3.18. *Let $L = L(2b_1, \dots, 2b_n)$ with $|b_i| = 1$ for all i and let h denote its monodromy as in Equation (*). Let M denote the exterior of L . Then*

- (1) *if there are at least two positive (resp. negative) bridge twists in the factorisation of h , the manifold $M(r_1, r_2)$ contains a coorientable taut foliation for every multislope $(r_1, r_2) \in (\infty, 1)^2$ (resp. for all $(r_1, r_2) \in (-1, \infty)^2$); see Figure 22a)-b);*




FIGURE 22. From left to right, the slopes (r_1, r_2) in the coloured region yield manifolds with coorientable taut foliations in the case where there are respectively: at least two positive bridge twists, at least two negative bridge twists, two bridge twists with different exponents in the factorisation of the monodromy h .

- (2) if there are two bridge twists with different exponents in the factorisation of h , the manifold $M(r_1, r_2)$ contains a coorientable taut foliation for every multislope $(r_1, r_2) \in ((0, \infty) \times (\infty, 0)) \cup ((\infty, 0) \times (0, \infty))$, see Figure 22c).

Proof. (1) Suppose that the positive bridge twists are along the curves γ_i and γ_j . We consider the oriented arc α and β as in Figure 23. We have $h(\alpha) = \tau_i(\alpha)$: in fact

$$h = \underbrace{\tau_2^{\varepsilon_2} \tau_4^{\varepsilon_4} \cdots \tau_{2k}^{\varepsilon_{2k}}}_{\text{river twists}} \underbrace{\tau_1^{\varepsilon_1} \tau_3^{\varepsilon_3} \cdots \tau_{2k+1}^{\varepsilon_{2k+1}}}_{\text{bridge twists}}$$

and the only bridge twist that has effect on α is τ_i and the river twists have no effect on $\tau_i(\alpha)$. The same reasoning proves that $h(\beta) = \tau_j(\beta)$. Also in this case we obtain a branched surface that satisfies the hypotheses of Corollary 3.13. Therefore we just need to study the multislopes realised by the boundary train tracks of B . These are illustrated in Figure 23 and they realise all the multislopes in $(\infty, 1)^2$.

The case where we have two negative bridge twists is analogous: we choose α and β in the same way but now so that they turn right when they meet the curves γ_i and γ_j . Everything works in the same way but now we have train tracks as the one in Figure 20 and therefore the multislopes realised are the ones in $(-1, \infty)^2$.

- (2) Suppose that there are two bridge twists with different exponents in the factorisation of h and suppose that the positive one is along the curve γ_i and the negative one is along γ_j . We choose α and β as in Figure 24. Also in this case there are no sink discs and half sink discs. Moreover, the boundary train tracks of the branched surface associated




FIGURE 23. The arcs α and β , together with their image via the monodromy h and the cusps directions, are depicted. We also describe the train tracks obtained on the boundaries of M . To simplify the picture we do not draw the 1-handles; we understand that the dashed lines are pairwise identified in the obvious way.

to α and β realise all the slopes in $(0, \infty)$ (see top of Figure 24) and $(\infty, 0)$ (see bottom of Figure 24).

Using the fact that two-bridge links are symmetric, we obtain the statement. □

Corollary 3.19. *Let $L = L(2b_1, -2, 2b_3, \dots, -2, 2b_n)$ with $|b_i| = 1$ and let h denote its monodromy as in Equation (*). If there are at least two negative bridge twists and one positive bridge twist in the factorisation of h then all the finite surgeries on the link L contain coorientable taut foliations.*




FIGURE 24. The arcs α and β in the case where bridge twist with different exponents and the boundary train tracks realised on the two boundary components of M .

Proof. As a consequence of the fact that the factorisation of h contains positive river twists, by Lemma 3.15 we know that $M(r_1, r_2)$ contains a taut foliation for all the multislopes $(r_1, r_2) \in (\infty, 1)^2$. Moreover, since there are two negative bridge twists it follows from the first part of Lemma 3.18 that $M(r_1, r_2)$ contains a taut foliation for all the multislopes $(r_1, r_2) \in (-1, \infty)^2$. As there is also at least one positive bridge twist we can apply the second part of Lemma 3.18 and deduce that $M(r_1, r_2)$ contains a taut foliation for all the multislopes $(r_1, r_2) \in ((0, \infty) \times (\infty, 0)) \cup ((\infty, 0) \times (0, \infty))$. The union of these sets is exactly the set of all finite multislopes. \square

3.3.2. *Study of the remaining cases.* By Corollary 3.17 and Corollary 3.19 we have reduced our study to the following three families of fibered two-bridge links:

- *Family 0:* links of the form $L = L(2, -2, 2, \dots, -2, 2)$. These are exactly the two-bridge torus links and we do not study them;
- *Family 1:* links of the form $L = L(-2, -2, -2, \dots, -2, -2)$;
- *Family 2:* links of the form $L = L(2b_1, -2, 2b_3, \dots, -2, 2b_n)$ where exactly one b_i is -1 and all the others are equal to 1.

We now focus our attention on the links composing *Family 1*.

Proposition 3.20. *Let L be a two-bridge link of the form $L = L(-2, -2, -2, \dots, -2, -2)$. Then all the finite Dehn surgeries on L support a coorientable taut foliation.*

Proof. It follows by Lemmas 3.15 and 3.18 that, as the monodromy of L has (at least) two negative bridge twists and (at least) one positive river twist, then all the surgery coefficients contained in $(\infty, 1)^2 \cup (-1, \infty)^2$ yield manifolds with coorientable taut foliations. We recall that these coefficients are associated to the Seifert framing. We now consider two cases:

- *L is not the link $L(-2, -2, -2)$:* we construct a branched surface whose boundary train tracks realise all the multislopes in $(\infty, 1) \times (0, \infty)$. Two-bridge links are symmetric, hence this will imply the statement. This branched surface is constructed by considering the arcs α and β in Figure 25 and satisfies the hypotheses of Corollary 3.13. Therefore it can be used to construct foliations on all the surgeries associated to the multislopes realised by its boundary train tracks illustrated in Figure 25: the one on the top realises all the slopes in $(0, \infty)$ and the one on the bottom all the slopes in $(\infty, 1)$.
- *$L = L(-2, -2, -2)$:* to study this case we use an idea that will be useful also later on. We construct taut foliations on all the (r, s) -surgeries on L , where $r < 0$ or $s < 0$. This is enough because we already know that the surgeries associated to $(r, s) \in (-1, \infty)^2$ contain taut foliations. Observe that L can be described as surgery on a 3-components link \mathcal{L} , as in Figure 26. The link \mathcal{L} is also fibered, because it is boundary of a surface obtained via a sequence of Hopf plumbing, as described in Figure 26.

Moreover the monodromy of the link \mathcal{L} is given by $h = \tau_4 \tau_3^{-1} \tau_2 \tau_1^{-1}$, where τ_i denotes the positive Dehn twist along the curve c_i shown in Figure 27.

This description of L will help us to construct the desired taut foliations. The idea is to find a branched surface in the exterior of \mathcal{L} so that the boundary train tracks realise slope -1 on the boundary component associated to K'_3 . To do this is important to pay attention to how the surgery coefficients change when passing from \mathcal{L} to L . Recall that the coefficients of the slopes are written by using the identification given by the Seifert





FIGURE 25. When L is not the link $L(-2, -2, -2)$ we consider the arcs α and β . The boundary train tracks of the branched surface associated to these arcs are also shown.

framing. The $(a, b, -1)$ -surgery on \mathcal{L} coincides with the $(a - 1, b + 1)$ -surgery on L , as the following diagram suggests:



The changes of coefficients indicated by the vertical arrows are a consequence of formula (\star) and the fact that

$$\text{lk}(K_1, K_2) = -2, \quad \text{lk}(K'_1, K'_2) = \text{lk}(K'_2, K'_3) = -1, \quad \text{lk}(K'_1, K'_3) = 1.$$




FIGURE 26. How to obtain the link $L(-2, -2, -2)$ as surgery on a 3-component link \mathcal{L} . We also describe a fiber surface for \mathcal{L} , obtained via a sequence of Hopf plumbings.




FIGURE 27. An abstract drawing of the fiber surface for the link \mathcal{L} , together with the curves c_i 's. The boundary component with label i corresponds to the components K'_i of the link, for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

We construct two branched surfaces in the exterior of \mathcal{L} , associated to the arcs α_i, β_i and γ_i , for $i = 1, 2$, as described in Figure 28. It can be checked by direct inspection that for $i = 1, 2$ the complement of $\alpha_i \cup \beta_i \cup \gamma_i$ contains no disc components, and that there are no sink discs and no half sink discs. Hence we can apply Corollary 3.13 and deduce that these branched surfaces carry laminations that extend to taut foliations on

the manifolds obtained by Dehn filling the boundary tori along the multislopes realised by the boundary train tracks. The boundary train tracks are also depicted in Figure 28 and they realise, respectively, all the multislopes in $(\infty, 1) \times (0, \infty) \times (\infty, 0)$ and in $(\infty, 1)^3$. In particular, we have taut foliations on $S_{r,s,-1}^3(\mathcal{L}) = S_{r-1,s+1}^3(L)$ for all $(r, s) \in (-\infty, 1) \times \mathbb{R}$.

Since L is symmetric, the statement follows. \square

We now focus our attention on the links of *Family 2*, i.e. on the links of the form $L = L(2b_1, -2, 2b_3, \dots, -2, 2b_m)$ where exactly one b_i is -1 and all the others are equal to 1. We first study the case when $b_i = 1$ for some $i \neq 1, m$. We write $m = 2n + 1$ for some positive integer n .

Lemma 3.21. *Let $L = L(2b_1, -2, 2b_3, \dots, -2, 2b_m)$ where exactly one b_{2k+1} is -1 and all the others are equal to 1 and suppose that $2k + 1 \neq 1, m$. Then L is isotopic as unoriented link to $L(-2k, -2, 2, -2, -2h)$, where $h = n - k$.*

Proof. We will prove this algebraically. We start by computing the fraction associated to the link $L(-2k, -2, 2, -2, -2h)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} -2k + \frac{1}{-2 + \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{-2 - \frac{1}{2h}}}} &= -2k + \frac{1}{-2 + \frac{1}{2 - \frac{2h}{4h+1}}} = -2k + \frac{1}{-2 + \frac{4h+1}{6h+2}} = \\ &= -2k + \frac{6h+2}{-(8h+3)} = \frac{16kh+6k+6h+2}{-(8h+3)} \end{aligned}$$

and this implies $L(-2k, -2, 2, -2, -2h) = b(16kh + 6k + 6h + 2, -(8h + 3))$, where $b(p, q)$ denotes the two-bridge link associated to the rational $\frac{p}{q}$.

We now study the fraction corresponding to L . Let

$$\frac{\alpha_{k,h}}{\beta_{k,h}} = 2 + \frac{1}{-2 + \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{\ddots + \frac{1}{-2 + \frac{1}{-2 + \frac{q_h}{p_h}}}}}}$$




FIGURE 28. The arcs $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i$ and their images via the monodromy h , together with the cusp directions of the associated branched surface. The train tracks obtained on the boundary components are also illustrated.

where we have coloured the -2 corresponding to $2b_{2k+1}$, and where $\frac{p_h}{q_h}$ is defined in the following way

$$\frac{p_h}{q_h} = -2 + \overbrace{\frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{-2 + \frac{1}{\ddots + \frac{1}{2}}}}}^{\text{length } 2h}.$$

It is easy to see that $\frac{p_h}{q_h} = \frac{2h+1}{-2h}$, and hence $p_h = 2h + 1$ and $q_h = -2h$.

We now prove by induction on k that

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{k,h} &= 16kh + 6k + 6h + 2 \\ \beta_{k,h} &= 16kh - 2h + 6k - 1\end{aligned}$$

for every h .

- *Case $k = 1$:* we have that

$$\frac{\alpha_{1,h}}{\beta_{1,h}} = 2 + \frac{1}{-2 + \frac{1}{-2 + \frac{q_h}{p_h}}} = 2 + \frac{1}{-2 - \frac{1+2h}{6h+2}} = 2 - \frac{6h+2}{14h+5} = \frac{22h+8}{14h+5}$$

and we use the fact that $\frac{22h+8}{14h+5}$ is a reduced fraction to deduce that $\alpha_{1,h} = 22h + 8$ and $\beta_{1,h} = 14h + 5$.

- *Case $k > 1$:* we can use the following equality

$$\frac{\alpha_{k,h}}{\beta_{k,h}} = 2 + \frac{1}{-2 + \frac{\beta_{k-1,h}}{\alpha_{k-1,h}}} = 2 + \frac{\alpha_{k-1,h}}{-2\alpha_{k-1,h} + \beta_{k-1,h}} = \frac{3\alpha_{k-1,h} - 2\beta_{k-1,h}}{2\alpha_{k-1,h} - \beta_{k-1,h}}$$

and the fact that both fractions at the extrema of this chain of equalities are reduced to deduce that $\alpha_{k,h} = 3\alpha_{k-1,h} - 2\beta_{k-1,h}$ and that $\beta_{k,h} = 2\alpha_{k-1,h} - \beta_{k-1,h}$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{k,h} - \beta_{k,h} &= \alpha_{k-1,h} - \beta_{k-1,h} = 8h + 3 \\ \alpha_{k,h} - \alpha_{k-1,h} &= 2(\alpha_{k-1,h} - \beta_{k-1,h}) = 16h + 6.\end{aligned}$$

These equalities imply

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{k,h} &= \alpha_{k-1,h} + 16h + 6 = 16kh + 6k + 6h + 2 \\ \beta_{k,h} &= \alpha_{k,h} - 8h - 3 = 16kh - 2h + 6k - 1\end{aligned}$$

and this proves the claim.

To conclude the proof of the lemma we just have to recall from Theorem 2.1 that if $\beta' \equiv \alpha + \beta \pmod{2\alpha}$ then the links $b(\alpha, \beta)$ and $b(\alpha, \beta')$ are isotopic after reversing the orientation of one of the components. In the case of our interest we have

$$\alpha_{k,h} + \beta_{k,h} \equiv -\alpha_{k,h} + \beta_{k,h} \equiv -(8h + 3) \pmod{2\alpha_{k,h}}$$

and this is exactly what we wanted. \square

The description given by the previous lemma allows us to prove:

Proposition 3.22. *Let $L = L(2b_1, -2, 2b_3, \dots, -2, 2b_m)$ where exactly one b_{2k+1} is -1 and all the others are equal to 1 and suppose that $2k + 1 \neq 1, m$. Then all the finite Dehn surgeries on L support coorientable taut foliations.*

Proof. By virtue of Lemma 3.21 it is equivalent to study surgeries on links of the form $L_{k,h} = L(-2k, -2, 2, -2, -2h)$ where $h > 0$ and $k > 0$. These links can be obtained as surgeries on a 4-components fibered link \mathcal{L} , as described in Figure 29. Our aim now is to construct foliations on enough surgeries on \mathcal{L} .

The monodromy of the link \mathcal{L} is given by $h = \tau_5\tau_3\tau_7\tau_6^{-1}\tau_4\tau_2\tau_1^{-1}$, where τ_i denotes the positive Dehn twist along the curve c_i shown in Figure 30 and if we label the components of L and \mathcal{L} as described in Figure 29, the surgery coefficients change in the following way

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \begin{array}{c} \text{Seifert framing for } \mathcal{L} \\ \overbrace{(a, b, -\frac{1}{k}, -\frac{1}{h})} \\ \downarrow \\ \underbrace{(a-1, b-1, -\frac{1}{k}, -\frac{1}{h})}_{\text{Canonical framing for } \mathcal{L}} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{-----} \longrightarrow \\ \text{-----} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{Seifert framing for } L \\ \overbrace{(a, b)} \\ \uparrow \\ \underbrace{(a-1+k+h, b-1+k+h)}_{\text{Canonical framing for } L} \end{array} \end{array}$$

As usual, when constructing foliations it is more natural to work with the framings given by the Seifert surfaces.

We construct two branched surfaces in the exterior of \mathcal{L} . The first one is associated to the arcs $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \epsilon$ depicted in Figure 31. The complement of these arcs in the fiber surface is not a disc (it is easier to see this by considering the complement of the images of these arcs




FIGURE 29. How to obtain the link $L(-2k, -2, 2, -2, -2h)$ as surgery on a 3-component link \mathcal{L} . We also describe a fiber surface for \mathcal{L} , obtained as a sequence of Hopf plumbings.




FIGURE 30. An abstract drawing of the fiber surface for the link \mathcal{L} , together with the curves c_i 's. The boundary component with label i corresponds to the components K'_i of the link, for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

via the diffeomorphism h) and the branched surface does not contain sink discs nor half sink discs. Therefore we can apply Corollary 3.13 and deduce that there exist taut foliations on all the surgeries on \mathcal{L} corresponding to multislopes in $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \times (\infty, 0) \times (\infty, 0)$.




FIGURE 31. The arcs $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \epsilon$ and the boundary train tracks of the associated branched surface.

The second branched surface is the one associated to the arcs described in Figure 32. In this case we are able to construct foliations on the surgeries corresponding to multislopes in $(\infty, 1)^4$.

This implies that for every $k > 0$ and $h > 0$ all the surgeries on the link $L_{k,h}$ corresponding to multislopes in $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ and in $(\infty, 1)^2$ support a coorientable taut foliation. The conclusion follows using the fact that all these links are symmetric.

□

Now we only have to study the links $L = L(2b_1, -2, 2b_3, \dots, -2, 2b_{2n+1})$ where $b_1 = -1$ and all the other b_i 's are 1, or where $b_{2n+1} = -1$ and all the other b_i 's are 1. The link $L(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n+1})$ is isotopic to $L(a_{2n+1}, \dots, a_2, a_1)$, so we can reduce our study to the case when $b_{2n+1} = -1$ and we denote the corresponding link by L_n .




FIGURE 32. The arcs $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ used to construct the second branched surface and the train tracks obtained on the boundary.

Lemma 3.23. *The link L_n is isotopic as unoriented link to the link $L(2, -2, -2n)$, illustrated on the left-hand side of Figure 6.*

Proof. We compute the fractions associated to these links. The one associated to $L(2, -2, -2n)$ is $\frac{6n+2}{4n+1}$. Therefore by Theorem 2.1 the link $L(2, -2, -2n)$ is isotopic, after reversing the orientation of one of the components, to the link defined by the fraction $\frac{6n+2}{-(2n+1)}$.

The fractions $\frac{p_n}{q_n}$ associated to L_n satisfy the following recursive equation

$$(1) \quad \frac{p_n}{q_n} = 2 + \frac{1}{-2 + \frac{q_{n-1}}{p_{n-1}}} = 2 + \frac{p_{n-1}}{-2p_{n-1} + q_{n-1}} = \frac{3p_{n-1} - 2q_{n-1}}{2p_{n-1} - q_{n-1}}.$$

Let us find an explicit formula for p_n and q_n . It follows from Equation (1) that

$$p_n - q_n = p_{n-1} - q_{n-1}$$

and as a consequence the quantity $p_i - q_i$ does not depend on the index i . Moreover, (1) implies

$$p_n - p_{n-1} = q_n - q_{n-1} = 2(p_{n-1} - q_{n-1})$$

and therefore also the quantity $p_i - p_{i-1} = q_i - q_{i-1}$ is constant in i . As when $n = 1$ we have $\frac{p_1}{q_1} = \frac{8}{5}$, we deduce $p_n = 8 + (n-1)6 = 6n + 2$ and $q_n = 5 + (n-1)6$. To conclude the proof is enough to observe that $-(2n+1) \equiv q_n^{-1} \pmod{2p_n}$ and use again Theorem 2.1. \square

Recall that by Proposition 2.4 we have $([n, \infty] \times [n, \infty]) \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^2 \subset L(L_n)$, where the surgery coefficients are to be considered in the canonical framing. Given that L -spaces do not support coorientable taut foliations, if we prove that all the other (finite) surgeries on L_n support coorientable taut foliations, then the proof of the main theorem will follow.

Proposition 3.24. *Let $L_n = L(2b_1, -2, 2b_3, \dots, -2, 2b_{2n+1})$, where $b_{2n+1} = -1$ and all the other b_i 's are 1 and let M be a surgery on L_n . Then M is not an L -space if and only if M supports a coorientable taut foliation.*

Proof. Since when one of the surgery coefficients is $\{\infty\}$ the possible surgeries on L_n are S^3 , lens spaces and $S^2 \times S^1$ we can limit ourselves to study the case when both the coefficients are finite. We can also suppose that $n \geq 2$, because L_1 is the Whitehead link, for which the corresponding result was proved in [San22]. We know that, in the canonical framing of L_n , surgeries corresponding to rationals r_1, r_2 such that $r_1 \geq n$ and $r_2 \geq n$ are L -spaces, hence we have to construct taut foliations on the remaining ones. Moreover by Lemma 3.23 we have $L_n = L(2, -2, -2n)$ as unoriented links. By using this representation it is evident that $L_n = S^3_{\bullet, \bullet, -\frac{1}{n}}(\mathcal{L})$, where \mathcal{L} is drawn in Figure 33. This figure also shows a fiber surface S for \mathcal{L} obtained via a sequence of four Hopf plumbings.

We choose four triples α, β, γ of oriented arcs in S and consider the four branched surfaces in the exterior of \mathcal{L} associated to these arcs, as depicted in Figure 34 and Figure 35. Each of these triple has the property that its complement in S contains no disc components.




FIGURE 33. How to obtain the links $\{L_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ as surgery on a 3-components link \mathcal{L} and a fiber surface S for \mathcal{L} .

Moreover, it can be checked that these branched surfaces have neither sink discs nor half sink discs. Thus, thanks to Corollary 3.13 we only need to study the boundary train tracks of these branched surfaces in order to construct the desired taut foliations. The multislopes realised by these branched surfaces in the Seifert framing of \mathcal{L} are, respectively:

- all the multislopes in $(\infty, 1) \times \mathbb{R} \times (-1, 0)$;
- all the multislopes in $(0, 2) \times (0, \infty) \times (\infty, 0)$;
- all the multislopes in $(0, 2) \times (\infty, 0) \times (-1, 0)$;
- all the multislopes in $(\infty, 2) \times (-1, 1) \times (-1, 0)$.

We now prove that by considering L_n as $-\frac{1}{n}$ surgery on the third component of \mathcal{L} , we have constructed the desired foliations on the surgeries on L_n . First of all we observe that

$$\text{lk}(K'_1, K'_2) = \text{lk}(K'_1, K'_3) = 1, \quad \text{lk}(K'_2, K'_3) = -1$$

and by using formula (\star) we deduce the following change of surgery coefficients:

$$\overbrace{\left(a, b, -\frac{1}{n}\right)}^{\text{Seifert framing for } \mathcal{L}} \rightarrow \overbrace{\left(a-2, b, -\frac{1}{n}\right)}^{\text{Canonical framing for } \mathcal{L}} \rightarrow \overbrace{\left(a+n-2, b+n\right)}^{\text{Canonical framing for } L_n}.$$

Therefore, for every $n \geq 2$, we obtain taut foliations on all the surgeries on L_n corresponding to multislopes in

- $\mathcal{A} = (\infty, n-1) \times \mathbb{R}$;
- $\mathcal{B} = (n-2, n) \times (n, \infty)$;
- $\mathcal{C} = (n-2, n) \times (\infty, n)$;
- $\mathcal{D} = (\infty, n) \times (n-1, n+1)$.




FIGURE 34. How to choose two of the four triples of arcs α, β, γ . The picture also represent their images via the diffeomorphism of \mathcal{L} and the cusp directions and the boundary train tracks of the associated branched surfaces.




FIGURE 35. How to choose the two other triples of arcs α, β, γ . The picture also represent their images via the diffeomorphism of \mathcal{L} and the cusp directions and the boundary train tracks of the associated branched surfaces.

We now show that these four sets are enough to deduce that, for all $n \geq 2$, all the surgeries on L_n corresponding to multislopes (r_1, r_2) where $r_1 < n$ or $r_2 < n$ support a coorientable taut foliation. In fact suppose that we have such a pair (r_1, r_2) . Since L_n is symmetric we can suppose that $r_1 < n$ and we have the following cases:

- $r_1 < n - 1$: in this case the pair is contained in the set \mathcal{A} ;
- $n - 1 \leq r_1 < n$: if $r_2 > n$ the pair is contained in \mathcal{B} , if $r_2 < n$ we conclude by using the set \mathcal{C} and if $r_2 = n$ we use the set \mathcal{D} .

This concludes the proof. □

REFERENCES

- [BC17] Steven Boyer and Adam Clay. Foliations, orders, representations, L-spaces and graph manifolds. *Advances in Mathematics*, 310:159–234, 2017.
- [BG16] Sebastian Baader and Christian Graf. Fibred links in S^3 . *Expositiones Mathematicae*, 34(4):423–435, 2016.
- [BGW13] Steven Boyer, Cameron McA Gordon, and Liam Watson. On L-spaces and left-orderable fundamental groups. *Mathematische Annalen*, 356(4):1213–1245, 2013.
- [BNR97] Mark Brittenham, Ramin Naimi, and Rachel Roberts. Graph manifolds and taut foliations. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 45(3):446–470, 1997.
- [Bow16] Jonathan Bowden. Approximating C^0 -foliations by contact structures. *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, 26(5):1255–1296, 2016.
- [BZ03] Gerhard Burde and Heiner Zieschang. *Knots*. De Gruyter, 2003.
- [Cal07] Danny Calegari. *Foliations and the geometry of 3-manifolds*. Oxford University Press on Demand, 2007.
- [CD18] Marc Culler and Nathan Dunfield. Orderability and Dehn filling. *Geometry & Topology*, 22(3):1405–1457, 2018.
- [CL21] Alberto Cavallo and Beibei Liu. Fibered and strongly quasi-positive L-space links. *Michigan Mathematical Journal*, 1(1):1–16, 2021.
- [CLW13] Adam Clay, Tye Lidman, and Liam Watson. Graph manifolds, left-orderability and amalgamation. *Algebraic & Geometric Topology*, 13(4):2347–2368, 2013.
- [Daw15] Nakul Dawra. On the link floor homology of L-space links. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1505.01100*, 2015.
- [DR20] Charles Delman and Rachel Roberts. Taut foliations from double-diamond replacements. *Characters in Low-Dimensional Topology*, 760:123, 2020.
- [DR21] Charles Delman and Rachel Roberts. Persistently foliar composite knots. *Algebraic & Geometric Topology*, 21(6):2761–2798, 2021.
- [DR22] Nathan M Dunfield and Jacob Rasmussen. A unified Casson-Lin invariant for the real forms of $SL(2)$. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.03382*, 2022.
- [EHN81] David Eisenbud, Ulrich Hirsch, and Walter Neumann. Transverse foliations of Seifert bundles and self homeomorphism of the circle. *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici*, 56(1):638–660, 1981.

- [FO84] William Floyd and Ulrich Oertel. Incompressible surfaces via branched surfaces. *Topology*, 23(1):117–125, 1984.
- [Gab83] David Gabai. Foliations and the topology of 3-manifolds. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 18(3):445 – 503, 1983.
- [Gab85] David Gabai. The Murasugi sum is a natural geometric operation II. *Contemp. Math*, 44:93–100, 1985.
- [Ghi08] Paolo Ghiggini. Knot Floer homology detects genus-one fibred knots. *American journal of mathematics*, 130(5):1151–1169, 2008.
- [GK90] David Gabai and William H Kazez. Pseudo-Anosov maps and surgery on fibred 2-bridge knots. *Topology and its Applications*, 37(1):93–100, 1990.
- [GN16] Eugene Gorsky and András Némethi. Links of plane curve singularities are L-space links. *Algebraic & Geometric Topology*, 16(4):1905–1912, 2016.
- [GO89] David Gabai and Ulrich Oertel. Essential laminations in 3-manifolds. *Annals of Mathematics*, 130(1):41–73, 1989.
- [Hed10] Matthew Hedden. Notions of positivity and the Ozsváth–Szabó concordance invariant. *Journal of Knot Theory and its Ramifications*, 19(05):617–629, 2010.
- [HRRW20] Jonathan Hanselman, Jacob Rasmussen, Sarah Dean Rasmussen, and Liam Watson. L-spaces, taut foliations, and graph manifolds. *Compositio Mathematica*, 156(3):604–612, 2020.
- [Hu19] Ying Hu. Euler class of taut foliations and Dehn filling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.01645*, 2019.
- [Juh15] András Juhász. A survey of Heegaard Floer homology. In *New ideas in low dimensional topology*, pages 237–296. World Scientific, 2015.
- [KMOS07] Peter Kronheimer, Tomasz Mrowka, Peter Ozsváth, and Zoltán Szabó. Monopoles and lens space surgeries. *Annals of mathematics*, pages 457–546, 2007.
- [KR14] Tejas Kalelkar and Rachel Roberts. Taut foliations in surface bundles with multiple boundary components. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 273(2):257–275, 2014.
- [KR17] William Kazez and Rachel Roberts. C^0 approximations of foliations. *Geometry & Topology*, 21(6):3601–3657, 2017.
- [Krc15] David Krcatovich. The reduced knot floer complex. *Topology and its Applications*, 194:171–201, 2015.
- [Kri20] Siddhi Krishna. Taut foliations, positive 3-braids, and the L-space conjecture. *Journal of Topology*, 13(3):1003–1033, 2020.
- [Lac04] Marc Lackenby. The volume of hyperbolic alternating link complements. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 88(1):204–224, 2004.
- [Li02] Tao Li. Laminar branched surfaces in 3-manifolds. *Geometry & Topology*, 6(1):153–194, 2002.
- [Li03] Tao Li. Boundary train tracks of laminar branched surfaces. In *Proceedings of symposia in pure mathematics*, volume 71, pages 269–286. Providence, RI; American Mathematical Society; 1998, 2003.
- [Li22] Tao Li. Taut foliations of 3-manifolds with Heegaard genus two. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.00737*, 2022.
- [Liu14] Yajing Liu. L-space surgeries on links. *arXiv:1409.0075*, 2014.
- [Liu17] Yajing Liu. L-space surgeries on links. *Quantum Topology*, 8(3):505–570, 2017.

- [LR14] Tao Li and Rachel Roberts. Taut foliations in knot complements. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 269(1):149–168, 2014.
- [LS09] Paolo Lisca and András I Stipsicz. On the existence of tight contact structures on Seifert fibered 3-manifolds. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 148(2):175–209, 2009.
- [Men84] William Menasco. Closed incompressible surfaces in alternating knot and link complements. *Topology*, 23(1):37–44, 1984.
- [MW16] Christian Millichap and William Worden. Hidden symmetries and commensurability of 2-bridge link complements. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 285(2):453–484, 2016.
- [Ni07] Yi Ni. Knot Floer homology detects fibred knots. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 170(3):577–608, 2007.
- [Oer84] Ulrich Oertel. Incompressible branched surfaces. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 76(3):385–410, 1984.
- [OS04] Peter Ozsváth and Zoltán Szabó. Holomorphic disks and genus bounds. *Geometry & Topology*, 8(1):311–334, 2004.
- [OS05] Peter Ozsváth and Zoltán Szabó. On knot Floer homology and lens space surgeries. *Topology*, 44(6):1281–1300, 2005.
- [PH16] Robert C. Penner and John L. Harer. *Combinatorics of Train Tracks.(AM-125), Volume 125*. Princeton University Press, 2016.
- [Rob00] Rachel Roberts. Taut foliations in punctured surface bundles, I. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 82(3):747–768, 2000.
- [Rob01] Rachel Roberts. Taut foliations in punctured surface bundles, II. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 83(2):443–471, 2001.
- [RR17] Jacob Rasmussen and Sarah Dean Rasmussen. Floer simple manifolds and L -space intervals. *Advances in Mathematics*, 322:738–805, 2017.
- [San22] Diego Santoro. L-spaces, taut foliations and the Whitehead link. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.01211*, 2022.
- [Sch56] Horst Schubert. Knoten mit zwei brücken. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 65(1):133–170, 1956.
- [Thu14] William P Thurston. Three-Dimensional Geometry and Topology, Volume 1. In *Three-Dimensional Geometry and Topology, Volume 1*. Princeton university press, 2014.

SCUOLA NORMALE SUPERIORE, PIAZZA DEI CAVALIERI 7, PISA
Email address: diego.santoro95@gmail.com