NON-CONCENTRATION PROPERTY OF PATTERSON-SULLIVAN MEASURES FOR ANOSOV SUBGROUPS

DONGRYUL M. KIM AND HEE OH

ABSTRACT. Let G be a connected semisimple real algebraic group. For a Zariski dense Anosov subgroup $\Gamma \, < \, G$ with respect to a parabolic subgroup P_θ , we prove that any Γ-Patterson-Sullivan measure charges no mass on any proper subvariety of G/P_{θ} . More generally, we prove that for a Zariski dense θ-transverse subgroup Γ < G, any (Γ, ψ)-Patterson-Sullivan measure charges no mass on any proper subvariety of G/P_{θ} , provided the ψ -Poincaré series of Γ diverges at $s = 1$. In particular, our result also applies to relatively Anosov subgroups.

1. Introduction

Let G be a connected semisimple real algebraic group and $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie } G$. Let A be a maximal real split torus of G and set $\mathfrak{a} = \text{Lie } A$. Fix a positive Weyl chamber $\mathfrak{a}^+ < \mathfrak{a}$ and a maximal compact subgroup $K < G$ such that the Cartan decomposition $G = K(\exp \mathfrak{a}^+)K$ holds. We denote by $\mu(g) \in \mathfrak{a}$ the Cartan projection of $g \in G$, that is, the unique element of \mathfrak{a}^+ such that $g \in K \exp(\mu(g))K$. Let Π be the set of simple roots for $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}^+)$ and fix a non-empty subset $\theta \subset \Pi$. Let P_{θ} be the standard parabolic subgroup of G corresponding to θ and set

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\theta}=G/P_{\theta}.
$$

Let $\Gamma < G$ be a Zariski dense discrete subgroup. Denote by $\Lambda_{\theta} \subset \mathcal{F}_{\theta}$ the limit set of Γ, which is the unique Γ-minimal subset of \mathcal{F}_{θ} [\[1\]](#page-8-0). Let $\mathfrak{a}_{\theta} = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Pi - \theta} \ker \alpha$. For a linear form $\psi \in \mathfrak{a}_{\theta}^*$, a Borel probability measure ν on \mathcal{F}_{θ} is called a (Γ, ψ) -conformal measure if

$$
\frac{d\gamma_*\nu}{d\nu}(\xi) = e^{\psi(\beta_{\xi}^{\theta}(e,\gamma))} \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \Gamma \text{ and } \xi \in \mathcal{F}_{\theta}
$$

where $\gamma_* \nu(B) = \nu(\gamma^{-1}B)$ for any Borel subset $B \subset \mathcal{F}_{\theta}$ and β_{ξ}^{θ} denotes the \mathfrak{a}_{θ} -valued Busemann map defined in [\(2.2\)](#page-3-0). By a Γ-Patterson-Sullivan measure on \mathcal{F}_{θ} , we mean a (Γ, ψ) -conformal measure supported on Λ_{θ} for some $\psi \in \mathfrak{a}_{\theta}^*$.

Patterson-Sullivan measures play a fundamental role in the study of geometry and dynamics for Γ -actions. For G of rank one, they were constructed by Patterson and Sullivan for any non-elementary discrete subgroup Γ of

Oh is partially supported by the NSF grant No. DMS-1900101.

 $G([17], [22])$ $G([17], [22])$ $G([17], [22])$ $G([17], [22])$ $G([17], [22])$, and hence the name. Their construction was generalized by Quint for any Zariski dense subgroup of a semisimple real algebraic group [\[19\]](#page-9-2).

A finitely generated subgroup $\Gamma < G$ is called a θ -Anosov subgroup if there exist $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $\alpha \in \theta$,

$$
\alpha(\mu(\gamma)) \ge C_1|\gamma| - C_2
$$

where $|\gamma|$ denotes the word length of γ with respect to a fixed finite generating set of Γ. A θ -Anosov subgroup is necessarily a word hyperbolic group [\[11,](#page-9-3) Theorem 1.5, Corollary 1.6]. The notion of Anosov subgroups was first introduced by Labourie for surface groups [\[15\]](#page-9-4), and was extended to general word hyperbolic groups by Guichard-Wienhard [\[8\]](#page-9-5). Several equivalent characterizations have been established, one of which is the above definition (see [\[7\]](#page-8-1) [\[9\]](#page-9-6) [\[10\]](#page-9-7) [\[11\]](#page-9-3)). Anosov subgroups are regarded as natural generalizations of convex cocompact subgroups of rank one groups, and include the images of Hitchin representations and of maximal representations as well as higher rank Schottky subgroups; see([\[23\]](#page-9-8), [\[12\]](#page-9-9)).

A special case of our main theorem is the following non-concentration property of Patterson-Sullivan measures for θ -Anosov subgroups:

Theorem 1.1. Let $\Gamma < G$ be a Zariski dense θ -Anosov subgroup. For any Γ -Patterson-Sullivan measure ν on \mathcal{F}_{θ} , we have

$$
\nu(S) = 0
$$

for any proper subvariety S of \mathcal{F}_{θ} .

Remark 1.2. This was proved by Flaminio-Spatzier [\[6\]](#page-8-2) for $G = SO(n, 1)$, $n \geq 2$, and by Edwards-Lee-Oh [\[5\]](#page-8-3) when $\theta = \Pi$ and the opposition involution of G is trivial (2.1) .

Indeed, we work with a more general class of discrete subgroups, called θ -transverse subgroups. Denote by i the opposition involution of G (see (2.1) .

Definition 1.3. A discrete subgroup $\Gamma < G$ is called θ -transverse if

- it is θ -regular, i.e., $\liminf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \alpha(\mu(\gamma)) = \infty$ for all $\alpha \in \theta$; and
- it is θ -antipodal, i.e., if any two distinct $\xi, \eta \in \Lambda_{\theta \cup i(\theta)}$ are in general position.

Since $i(\mu(g)) = \mu(g^{-1})$ for all $g \in G$, it follows that Γ is θ -transverse if and only if Γ is $i(\theta)$ -transverse. The class of θ -transverse subgroups includes all discrete subgroups of rank one Lie groups, θ -Anosov subgroups and relatively θ -Anosov subgroups.

Let $p_{\theta} : \mathfrak{a} \to \mathfrak{a}_{\theta}$ be the projection which is invariant under all Weyl elements fixing \mathfrak{a}_{θ} pointwise, and set $\mu_{\theta} = p_{\theta} \circ \mu$. A linear form $\psi \in \mathfrak{a}_{\theta}^{*}$ is said to be (Γ, θ) -proper if the composition $\psi \circ \mu_{\theta} : \Gamma \to [-\varepsilon, \infty)$ is a proper map for some $\varepsilon > 0$. The following is our main theorem from which Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) is deduced by applying Selberg's lemma [\[21\]](#page-9-10).

Theorem 1.4. Let $\Gamma \leq G$ be a Zariski dense virtually torsion-free θ transverse subgroup. Let $\psi \in \mathfrak{a}_{\theta}^*$ be a (Γ, θ) -proper linear form such that $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-\psi(\mu_{\theta}(\gamma))} = \infty$. For any (Γ, ψ) -Patterson-Sullivan measure ν on \mathcal{F}_{θ} , we have

$$
\nu(S) = 0
$$

for any proper subvariety S of \mathcal{F}_{θ} .

For a θ -Anosov Γ, the existence of a (Γ, ψ) -Patterson-Sullivan measure implies that ψ is (Γ, θ) -proper and $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-\psi(\mu_{\theta}(\gamma))} = \infty$ ([\[16\]](#page-9-11), [\[20\]](#page-9-12)). Therefore Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) is a special case of Theorem [1.4.](#page-2-1)

Added to the proof: The growth indicator ψ_{Γ}^{θ} is a higher rank version ofthe classical critical exponent of Γ ([\[18\]](#page-9-13), [\[13\]](#page-9-14)). For a Zariski dense θ transverse subgroup and a (Γ, θ) -proper $\psi \in \mathfrak{a}_{\theta}^*$, the existence of a (Γ, ψ) conformal measure implies that ψ is bounded from below by ψ_{Γ}^{θ} ([\[19,](#page-9-2) Theorem 8.1] for $θ = Π$, [\[13,](#page-9-14) Theorem 1.4] for a general $θ$). When Γ is relatively θ -Anosov and ψ is tangent to ψ_{Γ}^{θ} , the abscissa of convergence of the series $s \mapsto \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-s\psi(\mu_{\theta}(\gamma))}$ is equal to 1, and a recent work [\[3,](#page-8-4) Theorem 1.1] shows that $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-\psi(\mu_{\theta}(\gamma))} = \infty$. Therefore Theorem [1.4](#page-2-1) also applies in this setting.

Acknowledgement. We would like to thank Subhadip Dey for helpful conversations.

2. Ergodic properties of Patterson-Sullivan measures

Let G be a connected semisimple real algebraic group. Let $P < G$ be a minimal parabolic subgroup with a fixed Langlands decomposition $P =$ MAN , where A is a maximal real split torus of G, M is a maximal compact subgroup commuting with A , and N the unipotent radical of P . We fix a positive Weyl chamber $\mathfrak{a}^+ \subset \mathfrak{a} = \text{Lie } A$ so that $\log N$ consists of positive root subspaces. Recall that $K < G$ denotes a maximal compact subgroup such that the Cartan decomposition $G = K(\exp \mathfrak{a}^+)K$ holds and denote by $\mu: G \to \mathfrak{a}^+$ the Cartan projection, i.e., $\mu(g) \in \mathfrak{a}^+$ is the unique element such that $g \in K \exp(\mu(g))K$ for $g \in G$. Let $w_0 \in K$ be an element of the normalizer of A such that $\text{Ad}_{w_0} \mathfrak{a}^+ = -\mathfrak{a}^+$. The opposition involution $i: \mathfrak{a} \to \mathfrak{a}$ is defined by

$$
i(u) = -Ad_{w_0}(u) \quad \text{for } u \in \mathfrak{a}.\tag{2.1}
$$

Note that $\mu(g^{-1}) = i(\mu(g))$ for all $g \in G$.

Let Π denote the set of all simple roots for $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}^+)$. Fix a non-empty subset $\theta \subset \Pi$. Let $P_{\theta}^ P_{\theta}^{-}$ and P_{θ}^{+} $\theta_{\theta}^{\dagger}$ be a pair of opposite standard parabolic subgroups of G corresponding to θ ; here $P_{\theta} := P_{\theta}^ \theta_{\theta}^{\mathsf{-}}$ is chosen to contain P. We set

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\theta}^- = G/P_{\theta}^- \quad \text{ and } \quad \mathcal{F}_{\theta}^+ = G/P_{\theta}^+.
$$

We also write $\mathcal{F}_{\theta} = \mathcal{F}_{\theta}^-$ for simplicity. We set $P = P_{\Pi}$ and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{\Pi}$. Since P_{θ}^+ is conjugate to $P_{i(\theta)}$, we have $\mathcal{F}_{i(\theta)} = \mathcal{F}_{\theta}^+$. We say $\xi \in \mathcal{F}_{\theta}$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{F}_{i(\theta)}$ are in general position if $(\xi, \eta) \in G(P_{\theta}^{-}, P_{\theta}^{+})$ under the diagonal G-action on $\mathcal{F}_{\theta} \times \mathcal{F}_{i(\theta)}$. We write

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\theta}^{(2)} = G(P_{\theta}^-, P_{\theta}^+),
$$

which is the unique open *G*-orbit in $\mathcal{F}_{\theta} \times \mathcal{F}_{i(\theta)}$.

Let $\mathfrak{a}_{\theta} = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Pi - \theta} \ker \alpha$ and denote by \mathfrak{a}_{θ}^* the space of all linear forms on \mathfrak{a}_{θ} . We set $p_{\theta} : \mathfrak{a} \to \mathfrak{a}_{\theta}$ the unique projection invariant under the subgroup of the Weyl group fixing \mathfrak{a}_{θ} pointwise. Set $\mu_{\theta} := p_{\theta} \circ \mu$.

The **a**-valued Busemann map $\beta : \mathcal{F} \times G \times G \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$ is defined as follows: for $\xi \in \mathcal{F}$ and $g, h \in G$,

$$
\beta_{\xi}(g, h) := \sigma(g^{-1}, \xi) - \sigma(h^{-1}, \xi)
$$

where $\sigma(g^{-1}, \xi) \in \mathfrak{a}$ is the unique element such that $g^{-1}k \in K \exp(\sigma(g^{-1}, \xi))N$ for any $k \in K$ with $\xi = kP$. For $\xi = kP_{\theta} \in \mathcal{F}_{\theta}$ for $k \in K$, we define the \mathfrak{a}_{θ} -valued Busemann map $\beta^{\theta} : \mathcal{F}_{\theta} \times G \times G \to \mathfrak{a}_{\theta}$ as

$$
\beta_{\xi}^{\theta}(g,h) := p_{\theta}(\beta_{kP}(g,h)) \in \mathfrak{a}_{\theta};\tag{2.2}
$$

this is well-defined [\[19,](#page-9-2) Section 6].

In the rest of this section, let $\Gamma < G$ be a Zariski dense θ -transverse subgroup as in Definition [1.3.](#page-1-1) For a (Γ, θ) -proper linear form $\psi \in \mathfrak{a}_{\theta}^*$, we denote by $\delta_{\psi} \in (0,\infty]$ the abscissa of convergence of the series $\mathcal{P}_{\psi}(s) :=$ $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-s\psi(\mu_{\theta}(\gamma))}$; this is well-defined [\[13,](#page-9-14) Lemma 4.2]. We set

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\Gamma}^{\theta} := \{ \psi \in \mathfrak{a}_{\theta}^* : (\Gamma, \theta) \text{-proper}, \, \delta_{\psi} = 1 \text{ and } \mathcal{P}_{\psi}(1) = \infty \}.
$$

Note that $\psi \circ i$ can be regarded as a linear form on $\mathfrak{a}_{i(\theta)}$. Using the property that $i(\mu(g)) = \mu(g^{-1})$ for all $g \in G$, we deduce that $\mathcal{P}_{\psi} = \mathcal{P}_{\psi \circ i}$ and hence $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_{\Gamma}^{\theta}$ if and only if $\psi \circ i \in \mathcal{D}_{\Gamma}^{i(\theta)}$.

The θ-limit set Λ_{θ} of Γ is the unique Γ-minimal subset of \mathcal{F}_{θ} [\[1\]](#page-8-0). We also write

$$
\Lambda_{\theta}^{(2)} := \{ (\xi, \eta) \in \mathcal{F}_{\theta}^{(2)} : \xi \in \Lambda_{\theta}, \eta \in \Lambda_{i(\theta)} \}.
$$
\n(2.3)

The following ergodic property of Patterson-Sullivan measures was obtained by Canary-Zhang-Zimmer [\[4\]](#page-8-5) (see also [\[13\]](#page-9-14), [\[14\]](#page-9-15)).

Theorem 2.1. [\[4,](#page-8-5) Proposition 9.1, Corollary 11.1] Suppose that $\theta = i(\theta)$. Let Γ < G be a Zariski dense θ -transverse subgroup. For any $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_{\Gamma}^{\theta}$, there exists a unique (Γ, ψ) -Patterson-Sullivan measure ν_{ψ} on Λ_{θ} and ν_{ψ} is non-atomic. Moreover, the diagonal Γ -action on $(\Lambda_{\theta}^{(2)}, (\nu_{\psi} \times \nu_{\psi \circ i})|_{\Lambda_{\theta}^{(2)}})$ is ergodic.

3. A property of convergence group actions

In this section, we prove a certain property of convergence group actions which we will need in the proof of our main theorem in the next section. We refer to [\[2\]](#page-8-6) for basic properties of convergence group actions. Let Γ be a countable group acting on a compact metrizable space X (with $\#X \geq 3$) by homeomorphisms. This action is called a convergence group action if for any sequence of distinct elements $\gamma_n \in \Gamma$, there exist a subsequence γ_{n_k} and $a, b \in X$ such that as $k \to \infty$, $\gamma_{n_k}(x)$ converges to a for all $x \in$ $X - \{b\}$, uniformly on compact subsets. In this case, we say Γ acts on X as a *convergence group*, which we suppose in the following. Any element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ of infinite order fixes precisely one or two points of X, and γ is called parabolic or loxodromic accordingly. In that case, there exist $a_{\gamma}, b_{\gamma} \in X$, fixed by γ , such that $\gamma^{n}|_{X-\{b_{\gamma}\}} \to a_{\gamma}$ uniformly on compact subsets as $n \to \infty$. We have γ loxodromic if and only if $a_{\gamma} \neq b_{\gamma}$, in which case a_{γ} and b_{γ} are called the attracting and repelling fixed points of γ respectively.

We will use the following lemma in the next section:

Lemma 3.1. Let Γ be a torsion-free countable group acting on a compact metric space X as a convergence group. For any compact subset W of X with at least two points, the subgroup $\Gamma_W = \{ \gamma \in \Gamma : \gamma W = W \}$ acts on $X - W$ properly discontinuously, that is, for any $\eta \in X - W$, there exists an open neighborhood U of η such that $\gamma U \cap U \neq \emptyset$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma_W$ implies $\gamma = e$.

Proof. Suppose not. Then there exist $\eta \in X - W$, a decreasing sequence of open neighborhoods U_n of η in X with $\bigcap_n U_n = \{\eta\}$ and a sequence $e \neq \gamma_n \in \Gamma$ such that $\gamma_n W = W$ and $\gamma_n U_n \cap U_n \neq \emptyset$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence there exists a sequence $\eta_n \in U_n \cap \gamma_n^{-1} U_n$; so $\eta_n \to \eta$ and $\gamma_n \eta_n \to \eta$ as $n \to \infty$.

We claim that the elements γ_n are all pairwise distinct, possibly after passing to a subsequence. Otherwise, it would mean that, after passing to a subsequence, γ_n 's are constant sequence, say $\gamma_n = \gamma \neq e$. Since $\gamma \eta =$ $\lim_{n} \gamma_n \eta_n = \eta$, η must be a fixed point of γ . Since Γ is torsion-free, γ is either parabolic or loxodromic, and in particular it has at most two fixed points in X, including η . Since $\eta \notin W$ and W has at least two points, we can take $w \in W$ which is not fixed by γ . Then as $n \to +\infty$, $\gamma^n w \to \eta$ or $\gamma^{-n}w \to \eta$. Since W is a compact subset such that $\gamma W = W$ and $\eta \notin W$, this yields a contradiction.

Therefore we may assume that $\{\gamma_n\}$ is an infinite sequence of distinct elements. Since the action of Γ on X is a convergence group action, there exist a subsequence γ_{n_k} and $a, b \in X$ such that as $k \to \infty$, $\gamma_{n_k}(x)$ converges to a for all $x \in X - \{b\}$, uniformly on compact subsets. There are two cases to consider. Suppose that $b = \eta$. Then $W \subset X - \{b\}$, and hence $\gamma_{n_k} W \to a$ uniformly as $k \to \infty$. Since $\gamma_{n_k}W = W$ and W is a compact subset, it follows that $W = \{a\}$, contradicting the hypothesis that W consists of at least two elements. Now suppose that $b \neq \eta$. Since η_{n_k} converges to η , we may assume that $\eta_{n_k} \neq b$ for all k. Noting that $\#W \geq 2$, we can take

 $w_0 \in W - \{b\}$. If we now consider the following compact subset

$$
W_0:=\{\eta_{n_k}:k\in\mathbb{N}\}\cup\{\eta,w_0\}\subset X-\{b\},
$$

we then have $\gamma_{n_k}W_0 \to a$ uniformly as $k \to \infty$. Since $\eta_{n_k} \in W_0$ for each k and $\gamma_{n_k}\eta_{n_k}\to\eta$ as $k\to\infty$, we must have

 $a = \eta$.

On the other hand, since $w_0 \in W_0 \cap W$, $\gamma_{n_k} w_0 \to \eta$ as $k \to \infty$. This implies $\eta \in W$ since W is compact and $\gamma_{n_k}w_0 \in W$, yielding a contradiction to the hypothesis $\eta \notin W$. This completes the proof.

We denote by Λ_X the set of all accumulation points of a Γ-orbit in X. If $\#\Lambda_X \geq 3$, the Γ-action is called non-elementary and Λ_X is the unique Γ-minimal subset [\[2\]](#page-8-6).

A well-known example of a convergence group action is given by a word hyperbolic group Γ. Fix a finite symmetric generating subset S_{Γ} of Γ. A geodesic ray in Γ is an infinite sequence $(\gamma_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$ of elements of Γ such that $\gamma_i^{-1}\gamma_{i+1} \in S_\Gamma$ for all $i \geq 0$. The Gromov boundary $\partial \Gamma$ is the set of equivalence classes of geodesic rays, where two rays are equivalent to each other if and only if their Hausdorff distance is finite. The group Γ acts on $\partial \Gamma$ by $\gamma \cdot [(\gamma_i)] = [(\gamma \gamma_i)].$ This action is known to be a convergence group action [\[2,](#page-8-6) Lemma 1.11].

Another important example of a convergence group action is the action of a θ -transverse subgroup Γ on $\Lambda_{\theta \cup i(\theta)}$:

Proposition 3.2. [\[10,](#page-9-7) Theorem 4.21] For a θ -transverse subgroup Γ , the action of Γ on $\Lambda_{\theta \cup i(\theta)}$ is a convergence group action.

4. Non-concentration property

We fix a non-empty subset $\theta \subset \Pi$. We first prove the following proposition from which we will deduce Theorem [1.4.](#page-2-1)

Proposition 4.1. Let $\Gamma < G$ be a torsion-free Zariski dense discrete subgroup admitting a convergence group action on a compact metrizable space X. We assume that this action is θ -antipodal in the sense that there exist Γ -equivariant homeomorphisms $f_\theta: \Lambda_X \to \Lambda_\theta$ and $f_{\mathrm{i}(\theta)}: \Lambda_X \to \Lambda_{\mathrm{i}(\theta)}$ such that for any $\xi \neq \eta$ in Λ_X ,

$$
(f_{\theta}(\xi), f_{i(\theta)}(\eta)) \in \Lambda_{\theta}^{(2)}.
$$

Let ν be a Γ-quasi-invariant measure on Λ_{θ} such that

- (1) ν is non-atomic;
- (2) Γ acts ergodically on $(\Lambda_{\theta}^{(2)}, (\nu \times \nu_i)|_{\Lambda_{\theta}^{(2)}})$ for some Γ -quasi-invariant measure ν_i on $\Lambda_{i(\theta)}$.

Then for any proper algebraic subset S of \mathcal{F}_{θ} , we have

$$
\nu(S)=0.
$$

Proof. We first claim that the Γ-action on $(\Lambda_{\theta} \times \Lambda_{i(\theta)}, \nu \times \nu_i)$ is ergodic. Set $R := (\Lambda_\theta \times \Lambda_{\text{i}(\theta)}) - \Lambda_\theta^{(2)}$ ⁽²⁾. Since the *Γ*-action on $(\Lambda_{\theta}^{(2)}, (\nu \times \nu_i)|_{\Lambda_{\theta}^{(2)}})$ is ergodic, it suffices to show that

$$
(\nu \times \nu_i)(R) = 0.
$$

For $y \in \Lambda_{i(\theta)}$, let $R(y) := \{x \in \Lambda_{\theta} : (x, y) \in R\}$. By the antipodal property of the pair $(f_{\theta}, f_{i(\theta)})$, we have that for each $y \in \Lambda_{i(\theta)}$, we have $R(y) = \emptyset$ or $R(y) = \{ (f_{\theta} \circ f_{i(\theta)}^{-1} \}$ $\mathcal{L}^{-1}_{i(\theta)}(y)$ and hence $\nu(R(y)) = 0$ by the non-atomicity of ν .

Therefore

$$
(\nu \times \nu_i)(R) = \int_{y \in \Lambda_{i(\theta)}} \nu(R(y)) d\nu_i(y) = 0,
$$
\n(4.1)

proving the claim.

Now suppose that $\nu(S) > 0$ for some proper algebraic subset $S \subset \mathcal{F}_{\theta}$. We may assume that S is irreducible and of minimal dimension among all such algebraic subsets of \mathcal{F}_{θ} . Let $W = f_{\theta}^{-1}(S \cap \Lambda_{\theta}) \subset \Lambda_X$. Since ν is non-atomic and $\nu(S) > 0$, we have $\#W = \infty > 2$. This implies $\#\Lambda_X \geq 3$. By the property of a non-elementary convergence group action, Λ_X is the unique Γ-minimal subset of X and there always exists a loxodromic element of Γ [\[2\]](#page-8-6).

Since $\Gamma < G$ is Zariski dense, Λ_{θ} is Zariski dense in \mathcal{F}_{θ} as well, and hence $\Lambda_{\theta} \not\subset S$. Therefore $X-W$ is a non-empty open subset intersecting Λ_X . Since Γ acts minimally on Λ_X and the set of attracting fixed points of loxodromic elements of Γ is a non-empty Γ -invariant subset, there exists a loxodromic element $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$ whose attracting fixed point a_{γ_0} is contained in $\Lambda_X - W$. Hence applying Lemma [3.1](#page-4-0) to $\eta = a_{\gamma_0}$, we have an open neighborhood U of a_{γ_0} in Λ_X such that

$$
\gamma U \cap U = \emptyset \tag{4.2}
$$

for all non-trivial $\gamma \in \Gamma$ with $\gamma W = W$.

Since $\gamma_0^m|_{\Lambda_X - \{b_{\gamma_0}\}} \to a_{\gamma_0}$ uniformly on compact subsets as $m \to +\infty$ and $\#\Lambda_X \geq 3, U$ contains a point $\xi \in \Lambda_X - \{a_{\gamma_0}, b_{\gamma_0}\}.$ By replacing γ_0 by a large power γ_{0}^{m} if necessary, we can find an open neighborhood V of ξ contained in $U - \{a_{\gamma 0}\}\$ such that $\gamma_0 V \subset U$ and $\gamma_0 V \cap V = \emptyset$.

We now consider the subset

$$
S \times f_{i(\theta)}(V)
$$

of $\mathcal{F}_{\theta} \times \mathcal{F}_{i(\theta)}$. Since $\nu(S) > 0$ and $\nu_i(f_{i(\theta)}(V)) > 0$, we have that $\Gamma(S \times$ $f_{i(\theta)}(V)$) has full $\nu \times \nu_i$ -measure by the ergodicity of the Γ-action on $(\Lambda_{\theta} \times$ $\Lambda_{i(\theta)}, \nu \times \nu_i$). Since $(\nu \times \nu_i)(S \times \gamma_0 f_{i(\theta)}(V)) > 0$, there exists $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that

$$
(\nu \times \nu_1) ((S \times \gamma_0 f_{i(\theta)}(V)) \cap (\gamma S \times \gamma f_{i(\theta)}(V)) > 0.
$$

In particular, we have

$$
\nu(S \cap \gamma S) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_i(\gamma_0 f_{i(\theta)}(V) \cap \gamma f_{i(\theta)}(V)) > 0.
$$

Since S was chosen to be of minimal dimension and irreducible among proper algebraic sets with positive ν -measure, we must have $S = \gamma S$. It follows from the Γ-invariance of Λ_{θ} that $W = \gamma W$.

The Γ-equivariance of $f_{i(\theta)}$ implies that

$$
\nu_{i}(f_{i(\theta)}(\gamma_{0}V \cap \gamma V)) > 0. \tag{4.3}
$$

Since $\gamma_0 V \cap V = \emptyset$, we have $\gamma \neq e$. Hence it follows from $V \subset U$, $\gamma_0 V \subset U$ and the choice (4.2) of U that

$$
\gamma_0 V \cap \gamma V \subset U \cap \gamma U = \emptyset,
$$

which gives a contradiction to (4.3) . This finishes the proof.

Proof of Theorem [1.4.](#page-2-1) Let $\Gamma < G$ be a Zariski dense θ -transverse subgroup and ν a (Γ, ψ) -Patterson-Sullivan measure for a (Γ, θ) -proper linear form $\psi \in \mathfrak{a}_{\theta}^*$ such that $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-\psi(\mu_{\theta}(\gamma))} = \infty$. We may assume without loss of generality that Γ is torsion-free. Indeed, let $\Gamma_0 < \Gamma$ be a torsion-free subgroup of finite index. Then Γ_0 is also a Zariski dense θ -transverse subgroup of G. Moreover, ν is a (Γ_0, ψ) -Patterson-Sullivan measure since the limit sets for Γ and Γ_0 are same. Write $\Gamma = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \gamma_i \Gamma_0$ for some $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in \Gamma$. By [\[1,](#page-8-0) Lemma 4.6], there exists $C > 0$ such that $\|\mu(\gamma_i \gamma) - \mu(\gamma)\| \leq C$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_0$ and $i = 1, \dots n$. Hence we have that ψ is (Γ_0, θ) -proper as well and

$$
\infty = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-\psi(\mu_{\theta}(\gamma))} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0} e^{-\psi(\mu_{\theta}(\gamma_i \gamma))} \leq n e^{\|\psi\| C} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0} e^{-\psi(\mu_{\theta}(\gamma))}
$$

where $\|\psi\|$ denotes the operator norm of ψ . In particular, $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0} e^{-\psi(\mu_\theta(\gamma))} =$ ∞ . Therefore, replacing Γ by Γ₀, we assume that Γ is torsion-free. By Proposition [3.2,](#page-5-0) the action of Γ on $\Lambda_{\theta \cup i(\theta)}$ is a convergence group action.

Since there exists a (Γ, ψ) -conformal measure, we have $\delta_{\psi} \leq 1$ by [\[13,](#page-9-14) Lemma 7.3. Therefore the hypothesis $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} e^{-\psi(\mu_{\theta}(\gamma))} = \infty$ implies that $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_{\Gamma}^{\theta}$. Moreover, the θ -antipodality of Γ implies that the canonical projections

$$
f_{\theta}: \Lambda_{\theta \cup i(\theta)} \to \Lambda_{\theta} \text{ and } f_{i(\theta)}: \Lambda_{\theta \cup i(\theta)} \to \Lambda_{i(\theta)}
$$

are Γ-equivariant θ -antipodal homeomorphisms [\[13,](#page-9-14) Lemma 9.5]. This im-plies that Theorem [2.1](#page-3-1) indeed holds for a general θ without the hypothesis $\theta = \mathrm{i}(\theta)$. Hence $\nu = \nu_{\psi}, \nu_{\psi}$ is non-atomic and the diagonal Γ-action on $(\Lambda_{\theta}^{(2)}, (\nu_{\psi} \times \nu_{\psi \circ i})|_{\Lambda_{\theta}^{(2)}})$ is ergodic. Since $\nu_{\psi \circ i}$ is Γ-conformal, it is Γ-quasiinvariant. Therefore Theorem [1.4](#page-2-1) follows from Proposition [4.1.](#page-5-1)

We emphasize again that Lemma [3.1](#page-4-0) and Proposition [4.1](#page-5-1) were introduced to deal with the case when i is non-trivial. Indeed, when i is trivial, Theorem [1.4](#page-2-1) follows from the following θ -version of [\[5,](#page-8-3) Theorem 9.3].

Theorem 4.2. Let $\Gamma < G$ be a Zariski dense discrete subgroup. Let ν be a Γ-quasi-invariant measure on Λ_{θ} . Suppose that the diagonal Γ-action on $(\Lambda_{\theta} \times \Lambda_{\theta}, \nu \times \nu)$ is ergodic. Then for any proper algebraic subset S of \mathcal{F}_{θ} , we have

$$
\nu(S)=0.
$$

Proof. The proof is identical to the proof of [\[5,](#page-8-3) Theorem 9.3] except that we work with a general θ . We reproduce it here for the convenience of readers. Let S be a proper irreducible subvariety of \mathcal{F}_{θ} with $\nu(S) > 0$ and of minimal dimension. Since $(\nu \times \nu)(S \times S) > 0$, the Γ-ergodicity of $\nu \times \nu$ implies that $(\nu \times \nu)(\Gamma(S \times S)) = 1$. It follows that for any $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$, there exists $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $(S \times \gamma_0 S) \cap (\gamma S \times \gamma S)$ has positive $\nu \times \nu$ -measure; hence $\nu(S \cap \gamma S) > 0$ and $\nu(\gamma_0 S \cap \gamma S) > 0$. Since S is irreducible and of minimal dimension, it follows that $S = \gamma S = \gamma_0 S$. Since $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$ was arbitrary, we have $\Gamma S = S$, contradicting the Zariski density hypothesis on Γ contradicting the Zariski density hypothesis on Γ.

We finally mention that the proof of Proposition [4.1](#page-5-1) implies the following when the second measure cannot be taken to the same as the first measure:

Theorem 4.3. Let $\Gamma < G$ be a Zariski dense torsion-free discrete subgroup acting on Λ_{θ} as a convergence group. Let ν be a non-atomic Γ -quasiinvariant measure on Λ_{θ} . Suppose that the diagonal Γ -action on $(\Lambda_{\theta} \times \Lambda_{\theta}, \nu \times$ $\nu')$ is ergodic for some Γ -quasi-invariant measure ν' on Λ_{θ} . Then for any proper algebraic subset S of \mathcal{F}_{θ} , we have

$$
\nu(S) = 0.
$$

Proof. Since Γ acts ergodically on the entire product space $(\Lambda_{\theta} \times \Lambda_{\theta}, \nu \times \nu')$, the first part of the proof of Proposition [4.1](#page-5-1) is not relevant. Suppose that S is an irreducible proper subvariety of \mathcal{F}_{θ} and of minimal dimension among all subvarieties with positive *ν*-measure. Then setting $W = S \cap \Lambda_{\theta}$, as in the proof of Proposition [4.1,](#page-5-1) we can find non-empty open subsets $V \subset U \subset$ Λ_{θ} – W such that $\gamma U \cap U = \emptyset$ for all non-trivial $\gamma \in \Gamma$ with $\gamma W = W$, and $\gamma_0 V \subset U$ and $\gamma_0 V \cap V = \emptyset$ for some $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$. Using $(\nu \times \nu')(S \times V) > 0$, we then get a contradiction by the same argument in loc. cit. \Box

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Benoist. Propriétés asymptotiques des groupes linéaires. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 7(1):1–47, 1997.
- [2] B. Bowditch. Convergence groups and configuration spaces. In *Geometric group theory down under (Canberra, 1996)*, pages 23–54. de Gruyter, Berlin, 1999.
- [3] R. Canary, T. Zhang, and A. Zimmer. Patterson-Sullivan measures for relatively Anosov groups. *Preprint, [arXiv:2308.04023](http://arxiv.org/abs/2308.04023)*, 2023.
- [4] R. Canary, T. Zhang, and A. Zimmer. Patterson-Sullivan measures for transverse subgroups. *J. Mod. Dyn.*, 20:319–377, 2024.
- [5] S. Edwards, M. Lee, and H. Oh. Torus counting and self-joinings of Kleinian groups. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 807:151–185, 2024.
- [6] L. Flaminio and R. Spatzier. Geometrically finite groups, Patterson-Sullivan measures and Ratner's rigidity theorem. *Invent. Math.*, 99(3):601–626, 1990.
- [7] F. Guéritaud, O. Guichard, F. Kassel, and A. Wienhard. Anosov representations and proper actions. *Geom. Topol.*, 21(1):485–584, 2017.
- [8] O. Guichard and A. Wienhard. Anosov representations: domains of discontinuity and applications. *Invent. Math.*, 190(2):357–438, 2012.
- [9] M. Kapovich and B. Leeb. Discrete isometry groups of symmetric spaces. In *Handbook of group actions. Vol. IV*, volume 41 of *Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM)*, pages 191–290. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2018.
- [10] M. Kapovich, B. Leeb, and J. Porti. Anosov subgroups: dynamical and geometric characterizations. *Eur. J. Math.*, 3(4):808–898, 2017.
- [11] M. Kapovich, B. Leeb, and J. Porti. A Morse lemma for quasigeodesics in symmetric spaces and Euclidean buildings. *Geom. Topol.*, 22(7):3827–3923, 2018.
- [12] F. Kassel. Geometric structures and representations of discrete groups. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians—Rio de Janeiro 2018. Vol. II. Invited lectures*, pages 1115–1151. World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2018.
- [13] D. M. Kim, H. Oh, and Y. Wang. Properly discontinuous actions, growth indicators and conformal measures for transverse subgroups. *Preprint [arXiv:2306.06846](http://arxiv.org/abs/2306.06846)*, 2023.
- [14] D. M. Kim, H. Oh, and Y. Wang. Ergodic dichotomy for subspace flows in higher rank. *Preprint [arXiv:2310.19976](http://arxiv.org/abs/2310.19976)*, 2023.
- [15] F. Labourie. Anosov flows, surface groups and curves in projective space. *Invent. Math.*, 165(1):51–114, 2006.
- [16] M. Lee and H. Oh. Invariant measures for horospherical actions and Anosov groups. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (19):16226–16295, 2023.
- [17] S. Patterson. The limit set of a Fuchsian group. *Acta Math.*, 136(3-4):241–273, 1976.
- [18] J.-F. Quint. Divergence exponentielle des sous-groupes discrets en rang supérieur. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 77(3):563–608, 2002.
- [19] J.-F. Quint. Mesures de Patterson-Sullivan en rang sup´erieur. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 12(4):776–809, 2002.
- [20] A. Sambarino. A report on an ergodic dichotomy. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 44(1):236–289, 2024.
- [21] A. Selberg. On discontinuous groups in higher-dimensional symmetric spaces. In *Contributions to function theory (Internat. Colloq. Function Theory, Bombay, 1960)*, pages 147–164. Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1960.
- [22] D. Sullivan. The density at infinity of a discrete group of hyperbolic motions. *Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. ´* , (50):171–202, 1979.
- [23] A. Wienhard. An invitation to higher Teichmüller theory. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians—Rio de Janeiro 2018. Vol. II. Invited lectures*, pages 1013–1039. World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2018.

Department of Mathematics, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06511 *Email address*: dongryul.kim@yale.edu

Department of Mathematics, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06511 *Email address*: hee.oh@yale.edu