Deep Transfer Learning for Automatic Speech Recognition: Towards Better Generalization

Hamza Kheddar^a, Yassine Himeur^b, Somaya Al-Maadeed^b, Abbes Amira^{c,d} and Faycal Bensaali^e

^aLSEA Laboratory, Electrical Engineering Department, University of Medea, Algeria

^bCollege of Engineering and Information Technology, University of Dubai, Dubai, UAE

^cDepartment of Computer Science, University of Sharjah, UAE

^dInstitute of Artificial Intelligence, De Montfort University, Leicester, United Kingdom

^eDepartment of Electrical Engineering, Qatar University, Doha, Qatar

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Automatic speech recognition Deep transfer learning Fine-tuning Domain adaptation Model combination

ABSTRACT

Automatic speech recognition (ASR) has recently become an important challenge when using deep learning (DL). It requires large-scale training datasets and high computational and storage resources. Moreover, DL techniques and machine learning (ML) approaches in general, hypothesize that training and testing data come from the same domain, with the same input feature space and data distribution characteristics. This assumption, however, is not applicable in some real-world artificial intelligence (AI) applications. Moreover, there are situations where gathering real data is challenging, expensive, or rarely occurring, which can not meet the data requirements of DL models. deep transfer learning (DTL) has been introduced to overcome these issues, which helps develop high-performing models using real datasets that are small or slightly different but related to the training data. This paper presents a comprehensive survey of DTL-based ASR frameworks to shed light on the latest developments and helps academics and professionals understand current challenges. Specifically, after presenting the DTL background, a well-designed taxonomy is adopted to inform the state-of-the-art. A critical analysis is then conducted to highlight the current challenges before deriving opportunities for future research.

1. Introduction

1.1. Preliminary

The human-machine interaction (HMI) has become increasingly ubiquitous with the development of AI techniques that can reproduce speech ready for transmission to a system that executes actions. Automatic speech recognition is considered as a cutting-edge communication technology in HMI. Large companies and even service providers widely use ASR-based systems, where orders or transactions can be completed by communicating with some AI servers, such as a chat robot or virtual assistant. Spoken language is the basis of these communications, which is a critical component to properly consider when building an AI-based system dedicated to ASR. ASR takes into account (i) acoustic, lexical, and syntactic information; and (ii) semantic knowledge. Acoustic model (AM) processing includes speech coding [1], speech enhancement [2], source separation [2, 3], speech security (e.g, steganography [4-6] and watermarking [7–9]), and other technologies that can all be used in audio analysis. On the other hand, semantic model (SM), commonly known in the literature as language model (LM) processing, includes all techniques of natural language processing (NLP). This branch of AI is concerned with teaching computers to comprehend and interpret human language. It is the foundation of music information retrieval [10], collecting sound files based on similar content [11], audio tagging and sound event detection [12], converting speech to text and vise versa [13], hate speech detection [14], etc. When NLP is employed as a tool in various domains, AI models can understand humans and respond to them

appropriately, revealing immense research possibilities in a variety of sectors.

ASR has significantly benefited from the latest advances made possible by deep learning (DL) algorithms, where a plethora of DL models have been proposed in the literature, offering promising performance and outperforming actual state-of-the-art techniques [15, 16]. However, using DL in ASR is a challenging task that plays a crucial role in natural HMI. Despite all its advantages, its suffers from different problems. The complexity of DL models is enormous due to the huge amounts of training data required for their training to achieve excellent performance. Thus, DL models require high computational and storage resources [17]. Moreover, data scarcity is among the challenges of ASR, which refers to the case of having insufficient quantities of training data to develop and completely explore complex DL algorithms [18]. Additionally, the lack of annotated data is another issue that impedes building supervised DL-based ASR models. On the other hand, generally, DL models (and ML tools) assume that training and testing data come from the same domain, with the same input feature space and data distribution characteristics. This assumption, however, is not applicable in some real-world applications [19]. Consequently, DL models can not perform well if (i) small training datasets are utilized and; (ii) there is a discrepancy or data distribution inconsistency between training and test data [20].

Deep transfer learning (DTL) targets the mentioned problems by pooling knowledge from the source domain (SD)/task and transferring it to a target domain (TD)/task or multiple domains/tasks. This concept can also be named the teacher-to-student (T/S) knowledge transfer [21]. Put differently, in DTL, a pre-trained model is reused as the starting point for a DTL model on a new task, which helps (i) optimizing and rapidly progressing the second task, (ii)

kheddar.hamza@univ-medea.dz (H. Kheddar); yhimeur@ud.ac.ae (Y. Himeur); s_alali@qu.edu.qa (S. Al-Maadeed); aamira@sharjah.ac.ae (A. Amira); f.bensaali@qu.edu.qa (F. Bensaali)

ORCID(s):



Figure 1: Summary of the main speech processing disciplines where DTL can be applied.

achieving higher performance on small datasets, and (iii) reducing the effect of overfitting. Furthermore, DTL can merge pre-trained knowledge from different domains and tasks to deal with data distribution inconsistency. In DL, some trainable neurons and hyperparameters can be frozen to better preserve the knowledge learned from the original datasets [22]. Fig. 1 points out some critical areas in speech processing that can apply DL and DTL. Accordingly, ASR, speech emotion recognition (SER), NLP, and speech security (SS) domains are significantly related. ASR provides the acoustic parameters to NLP, which provides the semantic details to ASR. Frequently, SER is similar to ASR but with a form recognition (FR) module. Additionally, ASR can be used in the SS domain, as a steganalytic process, to check the integrity of the speech [4, 23].

To that end, the importance of DTL to resolve the abovementioned problems encountered with the use of DL tools in ASR has motivated the scientists to propose numerous DTLbased ASR solutions for different applications. Typically, DTL methodologies have been widely applied in speech processing, such as speaker verification (SV) [24], ASR [25], SER [18, 26, 27] and NLP field [28]. Additionally, DTL has been widely used in the speech-based medical disease diagnosis, such as the heart sound classification [29] and early diagnosis of Parkinson's disease (PD) [30], Speechbased depression prediction [31].

1.2. DTL conceptual background

Overall, DTL consists of training a DL model on a specific domain (or task) and then transferring the acquired knowledge to a new, similar domain (or task). In what follows, we present some of the definitions that are essential to understand the principle of DTL for ASR applications.

Def. 1 - Domain: Let us consider a specific dataset $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \in \chi$, in which χ represents the feature space,

and P(X) refers to the marginal probability distribution of X. A domain is defined as $\mathbb{D} = \{X, P(X)\}$. In DTL, the domain that contains the initial knowledge is defined as the SD, where it is represented by \mathbb{D}_S . By contrast, the domain including the unknown knowledge to be learnt is named the TD, it is corresponding to \mathbb{D}_T [32].

Def. 2 - Task: Considering the previously defined dataset $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \in \chi$, which corresponds to a set of labels $Y = \{y1, \dots, yn\} \in \gamma$, where γ represents the label space. A task can be defined as $\mathbb{T} = \{Y, F(X)\}$, where *F* denotes the learning objective predictive function that could be represented as well as a conditional distribution P(Y|X). Following the definition of task, the label spaces of the source and TDs are represented as γ_s and γ_T , respectively [33].

Def. 3 - **DTL**: A learned function \mathbb{F}_S may be viewed as the knowledge gained in \mathbb{D}_S using \mathbb{T}_S if we consider a SD \mathbb{D}_S and its related task \mathbb{T}_S . When domains or tasks differ, the purpose of DTL is to find the target predictive function \mathbb{F}_T for the target task \mathbb{T}_T with the TD \mathbb{D}_T . To put it another way, DTL tries to increase the performance of \mathbb{F}_T by leveraging the knowledge \mathbb{F}_S , where $\mathbb{D}_S \neq \mathbb{D}_T$ and $\mathbb{T}_S \neq \mathbb{T}_T$ are used. As a result, DTL may be written as follows [34]:

$$\mathbb{D}_{S} = \{X_{S}, P(X_{S})\}, \ \mathbb{T}_{S} = \{Y_{S}, P(Y_{S}/X_{S})\} \rightarrow$$
$$\mathbb{D}_{T} = \{X_{T}, P(X_{T})\}, \ \mathbb{T}_{T} = \{Y_{T}, P(Y_{T}/X_{T})\}$$
(1)

Def. 4 - Domain adaptation (DA): Considering the SD \mathbb{D}_S for the task \mathbb{T}_S and the TD \mathbb{D}_T for the task \mathbb{T}_T , where $\mathbb{D}_S \neq \mathbb{D}_T$. DA tries to learn a prediction function \mathbb{F}_T that can be utilized to improve \mathbb{F}_T using the knowledge gained from \mathbb{D}_S and \mathbb{T}_S . In other words, in \mathbb{F}_T , the domain divergence is adapted [35].



Figure 2: Difference between conventional DL and DTL techniques for multiple tasks: (a) conventional DL and (b) DTL.

All in all, classifying data where $\mathbb{D}_S \neq \mathbb{D}_T$ or $\mathbb{T}_S \neq \mathbb{T}_T$ is the main challenge that DTL algorithms attempt to meet. One popular idea to do so is by reducing the difference between domains or tasks, which ensures certain similarity between the corresponding feature or label spaces [36]. Fig. 2 explains the difference between conventional DL and DTL techniques.

1.3. Contribution of the paper

This paper overviews existing DTL-based ASR frameworks published in the 2016-2022 period. Accordingly, a well-defined taxonomy is introduced to classify them into various categories based on different aspects, including the nature of transferred knowledge, availability of labels in the source and TDs and adopted strategy. To the best of the authors' knowledge, to date, there is no research paper that goes into the details and reviews critically DTL-based ASR contributions. Moreover, this review is conducted by focusing on different aspects: i) presenting the background of DTL, introducing the issue of ASR and expounding the importance of DTL for it; (ii) analyzing the DTL frameworks and identifying their limitations and comparing their performance; (iii) discussing the importance applications of DTLbased ASR; (iv) clarifying the methodological merits and elucidating the main DTL challenges and issues; and (v) suggesting future directions to further improve the performance of DTL-based ASR solutions and predicting the prospective development of DTL for ASR applications in the near future.

On the other hand, despite that, there were some attempts to survey the generic applications of transfer learning (TL), most of them did not investigate recent DTL advances for ASR tasks. Table 1 summarizes some of the main contributions of the proposed study compared to other TL surveys. It is clearly seen that this survey article has numerous major enhancements and additions since it merge works that employ both ASR and DTL as compared to previous TL surveys. It also provides a quantitative analysis of existing ASR-based DTL solutions, discusses the application of DTL-based ASR for medical diagnosis and describes DTL-based ASR attacks and security. Moreover, actual current challenges such as the negative transfer (NT), knowledge gain measurement and unification of DTL have been covered. The main contributions of this article can be summarized as follows:

- Describing the evaluation metrics and datasets used for validation of DTL-based ASR schemes.
- Presenting a well-defined taxonomy of DTL-based ASR methodologies with regard to AM and LM domains.
- Investigating for the first time adversarial DTL-based ASR methods and summarizing DA techniques used in ASR applications.
- Explaining the relationship between DTL-based ASR and other application fields, such as speech translation (ST), speech evaluation, medical diagnosis, etc.
- Identifying DTL-based ASR challenges and gaps, such as NT, knowledge gain measurement and unification of DTL.
- Suggesting future directions to further improve the performance of DTL-based ASR solutions and predicting the prospective development of DTL for ASR

The remainder of this survey article is structured as follows. Section 2 explains the review methodology of our survey. Moving on, existing DTL-based ASR are reviewed in Section 3 using a well-defined taxonomy. Next, Section 4 describes the main contributions made in different DTLbased ASR-based applications, including acoustic models, language models, cross-domain ASR, medical diagnosis, and attacks and security. After that, Section 5 provides a critical discussion and outlines the open challenges before identifying future research directions in Section 6. Lastly, concluding remarks are derived in Section 7.

Survey	Description	TL	Quantitative	TL-ASR	ASR attacks		Current challen	ges	Future
		Backg.	analysis	in medical	and security	Negative	Knowledge	Unification	Directions
				diagnosis		transfer	gain	of TL	
[34]	TL for computational intelligence	X	X	X	x	X	x	×	X
[37]	General information on TL	1	×	×	×	X	x	×	x
[20]	Generic TL contributions	X	×	×	×	X	x	×	x
[38]	Categorization and general	X	×	×	×	X	x	×	x
	applications of TL								
[39]	Focus on homogeneous TL	1	×	×	×	X	×	×	×
[40]	TL for NLP	1	×	×	×	X	×	×	×
[41]	TL in EEG	1	×	1	×	X	×	×	×
[42]	TL for text data	1	×	×	×	X	×	×	X
[43]	TL for EEG	×	×	×	×	X	×	×	X
Ours	DTL for ASR applications	1	\checkmark	1	1	1	1	1	1

Contribution comparison of the proposed study against other TL surveys. The tick mark (\checkmark) indicates that the specific field has been addressed, whereas the cross mark (\checkmark) means addressing the specific fields has been missed.

2. Review methodology

The methodology of conducting this survey is outlined in this section, where the search strategy is discussed first, followed by a section on study selection. The inclusion criteria is then described, including the keyword match, creativity and effect, and uniqueness. All these procedures contribute to the development of our paper quality assessment protocol.

2.1. Literature search strategy

To identify and determine existing DTL-based ASR studies, a thorough search has been conducted on the popular publication databases, which are considered as the main source of high-quality scientific research articles. Thus, the search has been done in Scopus, Web of Science, Elsevier, IEEE, ACM Digital Library, Wiley and IET Digital Library.

2.2. Selection study

The following three criteria have been considered to search and select the studies included in this review.

1. Keyword match: the preliminary references' keywords were manually extracted and grouped. These publications were grouped using "theme clustering" based on keywords that construct to the following query:

References=SELECT(Papers WHERE keywords=("Transfer learning" OR "Knowledge transfer" OR "Model adaptation" OR "domain adaptation" "Model combination" OR "Fine-tuning") AND ("Automatic speech recognition" OR "Speech processing" OR "Natural language processing" OR "Spoken language" OR "Applications")).

2. Innovation and impact: the publications have been filtered according to ASR-based innovation, the quality of the study and presented contributions and results, and the nature of publication (i.e., journal papers, conference proceedings articles and book chapters). The articles that present repetitive contributions (or highly similar content) or not written in English, have been eliminated. 3. Novelty: only the research contributions published during the 2016-2022 period have been included.

Table 2 summarises the number of included papers per database after applying the selection protocol.

2.3. Quantitative analysis

With the advance of DL, a large number of studies have been introduced to improve ASR, in which a significant part has been reserved for treating DTL-based contributions. Fig. 3 presents the statistics extracted from the Scopus database, with reference to the (i) yearly published papers using adopted keywords (including "ASR & knowledge transfer", "ASR & domain adaptation" and "ASR & transfer learning"), (ii) included papers per keyword, and (iii) published DTL-based ASR articles compared to the overall ASR papers. In general, the number of published DTL-based ASR studies increases yearly, and this was clear even by using different keywords as depicted in Figs. 3 (a), (b) and (c). In addition, most included contributions have been found using the "transfer learning & ASR", "domain adaptation & ASR" and "knowledge transfer & ASR" keywords, as depicted in Fig. 3 (d). Besides, it can be seen from Fig. 3 (e) that DTL-based ASR contributions stand for 17% of all existing ASR studies. Moreover, it is worth noting that there is an overlapping between these keywords, where some articles search by one keyword could be found by the others.

3. Overview of DTL techniques for speech recognition

3.1. Taxonomy of existing DTL techniques

To date, there is no standardized and comprehensive technique for classifying DTL into categories. However, DTL algorithms could be classified into several types depending on *what*, *when*, and *how* knowledge is transferred: (a) What knowledge is transferred? Enquires about which characteristics of knowledge are transferable across domains or tasks. Some information is particular to certain domains or tasks, while other knowledge is shared across domains and can aid increase performance in the target task or domain. Based on this definition, DTL could be feature-based, instance-based, relation-based, or model-based.

Database	Research Articles	Conference Papers	Book Chapter	Total
ACM	5	1	_	6
Elsevier	26	-	-	26
Springer	23	_	10	33
IEEE	39	28	_	67
Others	44	29	-	70

Table 2Literature acquisition databases.



Figure 3: The number of publications on ASR and DTL-based ASR (source "Scopus database"), where: (a) papers searched using ASR and Knowledge transfer keywords, (b) papers searched using ASR and DA keywords, (c) papers searched using ASR and transfer learning keywords, (d) comparison-based Keywords search, and (e) pure ASR vs. TL-based ASR papers search.

(b) How is knowledge transferred? Enquires about which learning algorithms must be implemented to transfer the knowledge.

(c) When is knowledge transferred? Inquires as to when and under what circumstances knowledge should or should not be transferred.

Moreover, some studies have attempted to introduce a taxonomy of DTL-based ASR techniques. For example, Niu et al. [20] divide the DTL methods into two levels. The first one is divided into four sub-groups based on (i) the availability of labeled data; and (ii) the data modality in the source and TDs. Typically, this has resulted in inductive DTL, transductive DTL, cross-modality DTL and unsupervised DTL [44]. Table 3 summarises these possibilities. Going deeper, each sub-group in the first level can be further subdivided into four distinct learning types, including learning on instances, learning on features, learning on parameters, and learning on relations.

3.2. Background

3.2.1. Structure of ASR systems

The speech signal is embedded in an ASR module, which in turn, converts the speech to a list of words (text format). A list of candidate texts is generated throughout the ASR process, and the most suitable text for the original sound signal is eventually chosen. An acoustic front-end processes the speech data to extract usable characteristics in a conventional ASR system before creating a feature vector. In doing so, different kinds of features can be extracted using the principle component analysis (PCA) characteristics, Cepstral mean subtraction (CMS) data, linear predictive coding (LPC), independent component analysis (ICA), linear discriminant analysis (LDA), Cepstral analysis, filterbank analysis, Mel-frequency cepstral coefficients (MFCC), kernal-based feature extraction, wavelet analysis dynamic feature extraction, spectral subtraction [45]. Moving on, a decoder (search algorithm) uses the acoustic lexicon and LM to construct the hypothesized word or phoneme in the processing stage, as demonstrated in Fig. 4.

The AM comprises acoustic characteristics for each of the different phonetic units. It often refers to the operation of generating statistical measures for characteristic vector

DTL possibilities. whereas the mark (\subsetneq) indicates that the domains/tasks are different but related, (\exists !) indicates that there exists one and only one domain/task, and (\cong) indicates that domains, tasks, or spaces are not always equals.

	Domains	Tasks	Math. propriety	Sub-categories / Usage
Traditional ML/DL	D. – D.	T. – T.	$X_S \neq X_T$,	ASR model trained with X_S database and
	$D_S - D_T$	$^{u}S = ^{u}T$	$Y_S = Y_T$	used to recognise X_T database.
Inductive DTI	$\square \sim \square$	$\mathbb{T} \neq \mathbb{T}$	$X_S \neq X_T$,	If $Y_S \exists$, DTL is multitask learning. If $Y_S \nexists$,
	$\mathbb{D}_S \equiv \mathbb{D}_T$	$^{\square}S \neq ^{\square}T$	$Y_S \exists, Y_T \exists$	DTL is self-taught learning, thus $\chi_S \cong \chi_T$.
			$P(X_S) \neq P(X_T)$,	When $\chi_S = \chi_T$, DTL is is related to DA.
Transductive DTL	$\mathbb{D}_S \neq \mathbb{D}_T$	$\mathbb{T}_S = \mathbb{T}_T$	$Y_S \exists, Y_T \nexists$,	If $\mathbb{D}_T \exists !$ and $\mathbb{T}_T \exists !$, DTL is used for sample
			$\chi_S = \chi_T$	selection bias or covariate shift.
			$P(Y_S / X_S) \neq$	is the dataset V of \mathbb{D} is speech data and
Cross-modality DTL	$\mathbb{D}_S \neq \mathbb{D}_T$	$\mathbb{T}_S \neq \mathbb{T}_T$	$P(Y_T / X_T)$,	the dataset X of \mathbb{D} is text data
			$Y_S \neq Y_T, \ \chi_S \neq \chi_T$	the dataset A_T of \mathbb{D}_T is text data.
Unsupervised DTI			VIVI	DTL used for clustering, dimensionality re-
	$\mathbb{D}_S \neq \mathbb{D}_T$	$S \neq T$	$I_S \not =, I_T \not =$	duction, and density estimation, etc.



Figure 4: Flowchart of end-to-end ASR framework.

sequences of the audio waveform. Typically, HMM is one of the most frequently utilized AMs. Segmental and super segmental models, neural networks, maximum entropy models, and conditional random fields are some of the other auditory models. A file containing statistical measures of various speeches that make up a word is known as an AM. The lexicon comprises terms from the current application's vocabulary. The limits connected with the word sequence that is acceptable in a specific language form the LM [45]. The Stanford research institute language modeling and statistical language modeling. Using appropriate models, the decoder attempts to identify the most likely word sequences that match the audio stream. The decoding algorithms then generate the n-best list [45].

3.2.2. Evaluation criteria in ASR

ASR techniques, including voice search, games, and interactive systems in the context of ASR, have substantially improved human-machine communication in recent years. However, as claimed by [46, 47], ASR performance is influenced by a variety of parameters that may be classified as follows:

- 1. Variability in speaker: usually, an AM is produced from a low set of utterance samples that describes the speakers at a particular time. However, the voice's tone can alter with time due to aging, feelings, illness, exhaustion, and other circumstances. Consequently, the AM may not precisely represent all speakers' possible states. Variability may not be addressed entirely, which has a detrimental impact on the reliability of ASR algorithms.
- 2. Variability in spoken language: ASR is recognized to be dependent on spontaneous and accented speech, as well as a significant level of accent diversity due to dialects and co-articulation. Moreover, gathering sufficient data for training LMs is becoming challenging with a vast vocabulary. As a result, sub-words models are frequently employed rather than word models, which substantially reduce ASR performance.

3. **Recording variability:** a fundamental issue for ASR is the mismatch in registration settings between training and testing, particularly when the speech signal is received through mobile/telephone lines, with variances in phone handset, background noise, recording equipment, and transmission channel. This can cause recording inconsistencies and degrade the quality of the prediction.

For this purpose, several methods have been adopted by the ASR research community to assess the quality and generalizability of ASR techniques, which are as follows:

• WER: the proportion of wrong words in terms of the total number of words processed represents the word error rate (WER), which is the most often used statistic for ASR assessment. This has been used in a large number of studies, such as in [48–51]. It is described as:

WER =
$$\frac{S+D+I}{N} = \frac{S+D+I}{H+S+D}$$
. (2)

Where I, D, S, H, and N represent the number of insertions, deletions, substitutions, hits, and input words, respectively. The greater the performance of an ASR system, the lower the WER (i.e., better AM or LM). There are schemes that process character-by-character instead of word-by-word in the ASR evaluation, such as in [51, 16, 52]. To that end, the character error rate (CER) has been used instead of WER, although the evaluation principle remains the same. Besides, WER is also called phoneme error rate (PER) in schemes that adopt phoneme as a unit of measure rather than a word [53–55]. The word recognition rate (WRR) is a version of WER that may be used to assess ASR performance such that WRR = 1 - WERand N - (S + D) is the total number of successfully predicted words [25].

Despite being the most widely utilized, WER has different pitfalls or weaknesses. For example, it does not indicate how excellent a system is because there is no scale for comparison. Furthermore, it may reach 100% under noisy situations since it gives more weight to I than D because WER is not D/I symmetric.

• **RIL:** relative information lost (RIL) is determined using the Shannon entropy H and is based on mutual information I [46], which evaluates the statistical dependency between the input words X and output words Y (Equation 3).

$$RIL = \frac{H(Y/X)}{H(Y)},$$
(3)

where

$$H(Y) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} P(y_i) log P(y_i), \qquad (4)$$

and

$$H(X/Y) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} P(x_i, y_i) log P(x_i, y_i).$$
 (5)

However, the RIL is still so far from becoming a good performance metric because it is challenging to assess zero error for any one-to-one mapping of input/output words, which does not meet the criteria for an ideal ASR assessment metric. The alternative is called word information lost (WIL), and it's a rough approximation of RIL. WIL, on the other hand, is easy to use since it is based only on WER parameters and is presented as [46]:

WIL =
$$1 - \frac{H^2}{(H + S + D)(H + S + I)}$$
. (6)

• Accuracy, recall, precision, and specificity: to test the efficiency of a suggested method in ASR field, the classification *accuracy*, *recall* (sensitivity) or commonly known as true positive rate (TPR), *precision* (known also as positive predictive value), and *specificity* (commonly known as true negative rate (TNR)) are often used as assessment criteria for experiment results, such as in [56, 30, 57]. The accuracy rate is defined as the proportion of properly assessed samples to the total number of samples. Three often used metrics to illustrate the accuracy of ASR tests are recall, precision, and specificity. The above-mentioned indications could well be written as follows:

Accuracy(%) =
$$\frac{\text{TP} + \text{TN}}{\text{TP} + \text{FP} + \text{TN} + \text{FN}} \cdot 100, (7)$$

$$\operatorname{Recall}(\%) = \frac{\mathrm{TP}}{\mathrm{TP} + \mathrm{FN}} \cdot 100, \qquad (8)$$

$$Precision(\%) = \frac{TP}{TP + FP} \cdot 100,$$
(9)

Specificity(%) =
$$\frac{\text{TN}}{\text{TN} + \text{FP}} \cdot 100.$$
 (10)

Where TP, TN, FP, and FN refer to true positive, true negative, false positive, and false negative, respectively. Equal error rate (EER) is a metric used to predetermine the threshold values for its false acceptance rate and false rejection rate. The EER has been adopted as a metric in many ASR frameworks, such as in [58, 59]. It is calculated using the following formula:

$$\text{EER} = 1 - \frac{1}{2}(\text{specificity} + \text{sensitivity}).$$
 (11)

Where, $\frac{1}{2}$ (specificity + sensitivity) is called the area under curve metric (AUC). The lower the EER value, the higher the accuracy of the ASR algorithm. If the measure of recall and precision at a pre-specified global threshold *Th*, the metric is called term weighted value (TWV) [60], such that:

$$TWV(\theta, Th) = 1 - \frac{1}{|Th|} \sum_{t \in Th} (P_{mis}(t, \theta) + \beta P_{fa}(t, \theta)).$$
(12)

Where, $P_{mis}(t, \theta)$ and $P_{fa}(t, \theta)$ are the probabilities of misses and false alarms respectively, and β is a parameter that controls the relative costs of false alarms and misses.

Another famous metric inspired by measures of recall and precision metrics is F-measure (or F1-score), which is calculated as follows:

$$F - measure(\%) = \frac{2 \cdot Precision \cdot Recall}{Precision + Recall} \cdot 100. (13)$$

The F1 score replaces the accuracy metric when the FN and FP are crucial; besides, when imbalanced class distributions exist, the F1 score is a much better metric to evaluate ASR models, as explained in [61–63].

• Unweighted average recall (UAR): it is also called the balanced accuracy. It is calculated by averaging the recall of all the classes regardless of how many samples exist in each class. UAR gives the correct expectation on class predictions despite the correlation between UAR and accuracy. UAR is defined as follows [64, 65, 40]:

$$UAR = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N_c} Recall_i}{N_c},$$
 (14)

where N_c is the number of classes.

- Mean opinion score (MOS): is widely used as *subjective* metric for assessing the naturalness of audio and audiovisual quality. The MOS is usually a single rational number between 1 and 5, with 1 being the worst and 5 being the best-perceived quality. In [66], MOS has been used as a subjective means to assess text-to-speech (TTS) conversion using DTL. In [67], MOS has been used to subjectively evaluate the performance of the proposed WaveNet-based speech synthesizers, LSTM-RNN-based statistical parametric, and HMM-driven unit selection concatenative schemes.
- Perceptual evaluation of speech quality (PESQ): is an *objective* voice automated evaluation of speech quality as perceived by a telecommunication system user [68]. It provides raw scores in the range -0.5 to 4.5. For example, in [69], PESQ is used to assess speech enhancement concerning ASR. Besides, PESQ is used to evaluate DTL-based ASR scheme dedicated for PD patients in [51]. On the other hand, mapping between MOS and PESQ results in a new evaluation metric called mean opinion score-listening quality objective (MOS-LQO), also known as PESQ Rec.862.1, that allows a linear comparison of PESQ with MOS [70]. The mapping function is expressed as follows:

 $MOS - LQO = 0.999 + \frac{4.999 - 0.999}{1 + e^{-1.4945.PESQ + 4.6607}}.$ (15)

Moving on, different frameworks, including [4, 23, 70] have utilized ASR principles as a steganalysis process and MOS-LQO as an assessment tool to check the integrity and/or the steganography quality-loss (SQ-Loss) between the original unprocessed speech and degraded speech version that has been passed through the steganography distorting system.

• Other metrics: the real-time factor (RTF) and the average processing time (APT) are frequently used to evaluate speed [52]. RTF is a standard metric for assessing an ASR system's processing time cost. It is the average processing time for a one-second speech, which is defined as follows:

$$RTF = \frac{\text{Total Processing Time}}{\text{Total Duration}}$$
(16)

Computing the APT helps account for the impact of utterance duration on processing time. Moreover, using APT helps demonstrate how quickly one utterance can be processed. It is estimated as:

$$APT = \frac{\text{Total Processing Time}}{\text{Total Number of Utterance}}$$
(17)

3.2.3. ASR datasets

Many datasets have been used in the literature for different ASR tasks. Table 4 lists some of the datasets used for DTL-based ASR applications and their characteristics. Typically, only publicly available repositories are reported in this table. It is also worth noting that some of these datasets have been updated many times and have become more developed over time.

4. DTL-based ASR applications

As detailed in Section 1, the ASR field is split into two main axes, LM and AM. The LM in the ASR algorithm guides applicant searches and evaluates decoding output quality. Conventional statistical LMs, like the backoff ngram LM, have been used in this field for decades because of their simplicity and reliability [48]. The work in [87] proposes the bidirectional encoder representations from transformers (BERT), which uses the popular attention model for an LM. It has been demonstrated that bidirectionally trained LMs have a better meaning of language context and flow than single-direction LMs. In terms of AM, DLbased AMs like the deep neural network-hidden Markov model (DNN-HMM) have made significant progress in the ASR research field, such as in [88-90]. The connectionist temporal classification (CTC), as suggested in [88, 91, 92], is a fully end-to-end AM training method that does not need data pre-alignment and only requires one input and output sequence to train. The challenge of ASR is simply a direct conversion problem between two variable-length sequences. The excellent model structure and outstanding performance of the sequence-to-sequence (Seq2Seq) [93] model allow the voice recognition problem to be solved without using an LM or a pronunciation dictionary.

On the other hand, ASR systems often offer "one-modelfits-all" to all users. Due to the disparity between trained and tested data, "one-model-fits-all" ASR systems invariably

Table 4				
List of publicly	[,] available datas	ets used for D	OTL-based ASF	applications R

Dataset	Used by	Default ASK task	Characteristics
TIMIT [71]	[54, 56, 72]	Acoustic-phonetic knowledge	630 speakers speak 10 phonetically-rich phrases,
		and ASR evaluation	reflecting eight major dialect divisions of American
			English.
LibriSpeech [73]	3, 13, 24,	Train and evaluate speech	corpus comprises 1000 hours of speech sampled at
	74]	recognition systems	16 kHz and is derived from audiobooks that are part
]		of the LibriVox project.
MuST-C [75]	[13]	Facilitate the training of end-	Contains at least 385 hours of audio recordings from
		to-end systems from English	English Talks that are automatically matched with
		into 8 languages	their hand transcriptions and translations at the
		into o languages	sentence level
	[24]	English multi speaker cornus	Speech data from 110 English speekers with diverse
	[24]	familie alamin a	Speech data from 110 English speakers with diverse
		for voice cioning	accents is included. Approximately 400 sentences are
	r1		read by each speaker.
GlobalPhone [77]	[78]	Multilingual speech process-	Contains more than 400 hours of transcribed audio
		ing dictionary resources	record from over than 2000 native participants in 20
			different languages.
IARPA Babel [79]	[60, 80]	Analysis of cross-language	Consists of 25 languages with approximately 40
			hours of transcribed speech.
CinC [81]	[64]	Classification of heart sound	It contains 2,435 heart sound recordings from 1,297
[-]			healthy and sick people, including those with heart
			valve dysfunction and coronary artery disease.
UASpeech [82]	[83 84]	Dysarthric speakers classifica-	There are 15 dysarthric speakers with cerebral palsy
	[00, 01]	tion	and 13 normal speakers. Each speaker has three
		tion	black of encode
		A	
DCASE [85]	[12, 62, 86]	Acoustic scene classification	Binaural recordings from 15 distinct sound settings.
		and sound event detection	

experience substantial performance loss in certain circumstances. Meanwhile, because the quantity and variety of utterances used to train the ASR algorithm are crucial for AM rendering, the speech data kept on users' devices (due to security and property protection reasons) is an excellent resource for ASR researchers looking to improve their ASR framework. With tight data privacy protection, ASR researchers have confronted problems in getting speech data generated in real-life circumstances. As a result, developing new frameworks that effectively incorporate DTL is becoming increasingly important to evade the above issues and achieve excellent performances. To date, numerous research studies have focused on investigating how to enhance the performance of existing ASR schemes, and many frameworks have suggested applying DTL and DA. Fig. 5 summarises the existing state-of-the-art DTL-based ASR subcategories.

4.1. DTL for acoustic models (AMs)

The end-to-end and layered DNN-HMM models are two types of current DL-based AMs. In AM, DNN extracts highlevel features from acoustic signals, e.g., MFCCs and HMM lexical sequences required for decoding into transcripts. The DNN takes acoustic characteristics as input and outputs context-dependent lexical units (tri-phonemes) that correspond to the input of the HMM component downstream. On the other hand, the end-to-end model is purely a DNN technique that receives acoustic characteristics (features) as input and immediately outputs the recognition rate. A typical end-to-end framework for voice recognition is shown in Fig. 6. The neural network creates embeddings from input features, passing to a stack of recurrent layers. The recurrent layers create a final output by looking for patterns based on prior and current input information. Backpropagation is used to train the network with the CTC loss [94]. Generally, three main DTL strategies have been used with AMs:

4.1.1. Feature normalisation based-DTL

The idea behind the linear transformation is that speech characteristics can be normalized by linear mapping. To perform linear mapping, a transformation network (or transformation layer) is simply added to an existing network. It is a prominent neural network adaption approach. The last hidden layer is generally designed to be a bottleneck in order to limit the number of parameters to adjust (less neurons), as described in Fig. 7. The transformation can be a linear input network or a linear output network.

If the last hidden network (LHN) is considered as a feature extractor and the output layer as a discriminative source model (M_S) . The weights of the output layer's linear transform matrix, W_L , represent the target model, M_T , parameters. M_T may now be written as follows [95]:

$$M_{\rm T} = \text{softmax}(W_{\rm L}M_{\rm S}). \tag{18}$$

Where the activation at the last hidden layer of M_S can be used as the new feature representation extracted by the hidden layers in M_T . Applying a transformation matrix W_{LHN} on the model parameters to generate an adapted model parameter set is equal to adding an extended LHN after the last hidden layer [95]:

$$M_{\rm T} = \text{softmax}(W_{\rm LHN}W_{\rm L}M_{\rm S}). \tag{19}$$



Figure 5: Summary of DTL-based ASR subcategories and their most used models.



Figure 6: An example of end-to-end source model for DTLbased ASR [94].

Many existing ASR research studies adopt the linear transformation strategy. For instance, the authors in [96] attempt to improve current models of a computer-aided language learner (CAPL) system that teaches Arabic pronunciation for Quran recitation regulations. They implemented four significant improvements: first, they used speaker adaptive training (SAT) to reduce inter-speaker variability; second, they integrated a hybrid DNN-HMM model to improve the AM and reduce the PER. Third, they combined the hybrid DNN with minimum phone error (MPE). Finally, they employed a grammar-based decoding graph in the testing phase to narrow the search area to the most common sorts of mistakes. In [97], a multi-target learning approach is used to present a combined optimization technique for the output of denoising auto-encoder (DAE) and the input of DNN. The output of DAE is trained in the first stage to reduce the mistakes propagated by the input of DNN. After that, the DAE and DNN unified network is fine-tuned for the phone state ASR objective, with an additional target of input voice augmentation placed on the DAE portion. Besides, in [98], the authors included an adaptation layer for fine-tuning and employed non-linearity to learn a function that is considerably more complicated than the linear transformation in the softmax layer. In the pre-trained model, they adjusted the cluster softmax and NNadapt layers during the finetuning phase while leaving the settings of the other layers unchanged. More schemes that employ linear transformation

techniques and their performance details are summarised in Table 5.

4.1.2. Conservative training for DTL

Since trained models and only a limited quantity of spoken data can be used to accomplish performance adaptation, conservative training has become a widely used method of accent adaption. It is efficient and does not require excessive data to provide a reasonable outcome. However, it requires too many parameters, which may disrupt the model's structure. KL-Divergence (KLD) is a widely used conservative DNN-based DTL algorithm for ASR. KLD regularization has a neat and distinct mathematical model, essential for DL training. By minimizing the loss, KLD-regularized adaptation aims to make the output distributions of the source model M_S and target model M_T more similar. The KLdivergence prevents overfitting and keeps the adapted M_T closed to the M_S domain [48, 99]. Assuming that the loss functions in the source and TDs for training DNNs are \mathbb{D}_{S} and \mathbb{D}_{T} , respectively, the conservative approach can be mathematically summarized in terms of model-based DTL with KLD-regularization as follows [48, 99]:

$$\mathbb{D}_T^{KLD} = (1-\rho)\mathbb{D}_S + \frac{\rho}{N}\sum P_S(x_T/x_T)\log P_T(y_T/x_T),$$
(20)

where (x_T, y_T) is the speech sample collected from the \mathbb{D}_T , N is the number of speech samples in \mathbb{D}_T , and ρ is a hyper-parameter that controls the transfer ratio from \mathbb{D}_S . For example, DTL-based ASR using conservative training and a KLD-regularization algorithm have been employed in [48] to build target AM. In [99], both KLD and LHN have been employed for speaker adaptation using a pre-trained seq2seq ASR model. Other techniques deploying conservative techniques along with their performance details are summarised in Table 5.

4.1.3. Subspace-based DTL

It aims to identify a subspace of every model parameter or transformation and creates them as a point in the subspace.



Figure 7: The fundamentals of transformation in DTL. The weights connected with the links in the dashed rectangles are estimated, while the rest of the weights are left unaltered. (a) Feature normalisation for mono-task DTL. (b) Feature normalisation for multi-task DTL.

PCA, singular value decomposition (SVD), and nonnegative matrix factorization (NMF) are unsupervised approaches for data dimensionality reduction. They are widely used in subspace-based DTL for ASR applications. PCA is an orthogonal transformation that maps higher-dimensional data to smaller-dimensional subspaces while keeping the original variables' correlation and the original data's maximum variance on the lower-dimensional representation. When data comes to dimensionality reduction, SVD and PCA are practically similar. Only a specific number of singular values are chosen while using SVD to condense networks. The target weight matrix has several nonlinearities in the leftsingular and right-singular matrices. As a result, neglecting some matrix components to build a linear project layer might result in harmful losses in some cases. In contrast to SVD, practically all NMF algorithms require at least one nonnegative matrix. As a result, the target matrix might be defined as the weighted sum of the base matrix's columns. This is an important limitation since it reduces the importance of the coefficient matrix when it simply needs to maintain the fundamental component of the original matrix. This can explain why NMF is more interpretable than SVD, and PCA [54]. Convex nonnegative matrix factorization (CNMF), a variant of NMF, has been used in [54] to extract high-level features. Then, a DTL is applied for both high and lowlevel features through multilingual training and multi-task learning. These techniques produce an incredibly relative performance compared to state-of-the-art speech ASR studies. Other studies that employ subspace techniques and their performance details are summarised in Table 5.

4.2. DTL for language models (LMs)

The backoff n-gram model is widely used as an LM since it is the most prevalent option in ASR systems. The backoff n-gram LM may be defined as a collection of tuples containing an n-gram and its related logarithm probability. In [48], the LM of the source M_S , which is considered the teacher model, is used to perform a DTL by linearly interpolating the LM trained on student speech (X_T) with the teacher LM already trained on teacher speech (X_S) to get target model M_T (or student LM), the process can be

expressed as follows:

$$P(w) = \lambda P_{LM}^{S}(w) + (1 - \lambda)P_{LM}^{T}(w), \qquad (21)$$

where P(w) and $P_{LM}^{T}(w)$ represent the probability of the n-gram w, provided by the source (teacher) LM, and the probability provided by the LM trained on the student data, respectively. λ is a hyper-parameter that adapts the teacher and student semantic model's weights. This type of DTL works well to increase the probability of n-grams and simultaneously maintain a broad coverage of general-purpose n-grams.

Besides, it is persistent in the field of data mining to transfer information from pre-trained models to new tasks [100]. According to [101], n-gram LMs are based on relative frequencies of n-gram events. Therefore, the adaptation techniques can further be broadly classified (in addition to the model interpolation) into (i) the *constraint specification*, which entails the combination of multiple sources of data in the form of characteristics using methods, such as exponential models and the maximum entropy criterion; and (ii) the *mixture language models* that extract topic information from the implicit text data to calculate the sub-models weights. Besides n-gram LM, LM-based ASR is built based on other state-of-the-art pillar models:

4.2.1. BERT-based DTL

It is based on using the BERT model [100], which pretrains LMs and shows that they perform better on a variety of downstream tasks. DTL approaches for LM, utilized in the voice recognition sector, are known as the LM adaptation. They attempt to narrow the gap between the \mathbb{D}_S and the \mathbb{D}_T . Song et al. [121] present a unique learning-to-rescore (L2RS) process, which relies on (i) using various textual data from the state-of-the-art NLP models, including the BERT model, and (ii) automatically selecting their weights to rescore the N-best lists for ASR algorithms.

4.2.2. LDA-based DTL

For discrete data collection, generative probabilistic models, such as the latent Dirichlet allocation (LDA), are used. Typically, LDA is a three-level hierarchical Bayesian model in which each item in a collection is modeled as a

A summary of the recent state-of-the-art frameworks organized by the pre-trained models employed , the tasks addressed, the sort of DTL technique utilised, and the performance metric used. Whereas the marks (\nearrow) and (\searrow) indicate improvement and reduction, respectively. If many scenarios have been conducted in one metric, only the best result is mentioned.

Scheme	Model-based	ASR Tasks $(\mathbb{T}_T) \nearrow$	DTL	LM/AM	Adaptation	Metric
[48]	TFE	Vendor-client	Inductive	Both	KLD and	≃ 50% WER ∖
		ecosystem			Linear norm.	(Half of vendor AM)
[52]	BERT	LASO	Cross-	Both	Linear transform.	APT 50× ∖,
	(Text model)	(speech model)	modality			12% CER 🧏
[54]	CTC-attention	learning-based	Multilingual	LM	NMF	03.90% PER
		end-to-end	Multi-task			
58	ResNet-34	Speaker verification	Inductive	AM	Feature norm.	38.6% EER 🔪
	(Near-Field)	(Far-field)			and space-level	
[59]	PLDA	Speaker verification	Transductive	AM	KLD	6.6% EER
[61]	AlexNet	Marine mammal	Inductive	AM	Fine-tuning	Accuracy=99.96%
		sounds classification				
40	ResNet34	Speech affect	Cross-lingual	AM	Fine-tuning	12.05% UAR 🖊
	(English)	(Urdu)	-		-	
[64]	PANNs	Heart sound	Transductive	AM	Fine-tuning	89.7% UAR
[66]	LSTM (Tacoron	TTS (Arabic speech)	Transductive	LM	Linear transform.	0.32 MOS ∕*
	2)	· · · /				
[99]	Seq2Seq	Speaker adapt.	Transductive	Both	KLD and LHN	25.0% WER
[101]	RNNLM	Multi-genre broadcast	Fine-tuning	Both	LHN with	16.0% WER
		-	-		K-Component	02.0% F−measure ∕
102	LDA	DSTM	Inductive	LM	Fine-tuning	1.48 % WER
103	RNNLM	LDA	Feature norm.	LM	LHUC	16.0% WER
104	DNN-HMM	Children's speech	Transductive	Both	LDA, STC, and	15% CER
					fMLLR	
105	DARTS-ASR	Multilingual adapt.	Transductive	LM	Sub-spacing	10.2% CER 🔪
106	Clean speech	Noisy speech testing	Unsupervised	AM	DDA	37.8% WER
	training		·			
[107]	LF-MMI	LF-MMI	Multi-task	LM	TDNN with	02.0% WER
					i-vectors	
108	CD-DNN-HMM	Speaker adapt.	Sequential	AM	Feature norm.	02.16% WER
			and Multi-task			
[109]	CNN/HMM	Throat microphones	Cross-domain	LM	SDA	12 % PER 🔪
[84]	S-CNN	Dysarthric speech	Inductive	AM	Fine-tuning	67% Accuracy 🖊
110	DNN adult's	Children's speech	Cross-domain	AM	SAT	14.66% WER
	speech				VTLN	03.25% WER
[111]	VGGFace2	Audiovisual	Cross-	AM	BiVAE	≃ 2.79% Accuracy ∕
			modality			
[112]	PIT	Speech separation	Self-transfer	AM	KLD and T/S	30% WER 🔪
113	Seq2Seq	BABEL speech	Multilingual	LM	Fine-tuning	6% WER, 4%CER 📐
[114]	AllenNLP	Low-resource	Multi-level	LM	Neural adapters	12.21% F1–score⊅
		languages	cross-lingual			
[115]	MCNN	Air traffic control	Cross-	AM	Fine-tuning	250% CER 🔪
			modality		-	
[116]	Wav2vec	WSJ data speech	Unsupervised	AM	affine transform.	36% WER 🔪
117	TDNN-LSTM	Arabic MGB-3	Multi-task	LM	Weights transfer	32.78% WER
[118]	BLSTM	Part of speech tagging	Cross Lingual	LM	Fine tuning	Accuracy= 93.26%
119	ARoBERT	Spoken language un-	Self-supervised	LM	Fine tuning	F1-score=92.56%
		derstanding			<u> </u>	
[120]	Speech-XLNet	Speech representation	Unsupervised	AM	Fine tuning	68% WER
		learning			-	

finite mixture over a set of underlying topics. Every topic is then modeled as an infinite mixture of topic probabilities. To catch the connection between words and construct LMs of a specific document, topic model-based techniques, e.g., LDA, have been applied in [102]. In [103], LDA features are transformed by a linear layer with the weight matrix and bias vector; then, they are used as features in the LHN input during the network training and evaluation.

4.2.3. NNLM-based DTL

In many tasks, neural network LMs (NN-LMs) outperform count-based LM models in ASR. Specifically, when applied to N-best rescoring, NN-LMs achieve a lower WER [103]. In this context, adapting NN-LM to new domains is a research challenge, and current approaches can be classified as model-based or feature-based. The input of an NN-LM is augmented with auxiliary features in feature-based adaptation, whereas the model-based adaptation includes finetuning and network layers adaptation. The authors in [101]



Figure 8: DTL-based RNNLM with different adaptation techniques, where the out-of-vocabulary (OOV) node represents an input word that does not belong to the specified vocabulary but can be included in the input. Similarly, out-of-shortlist (OOS) nodes can also be included in the output: (a) RNNLM with LHN adaptation layer. (b) RNNLM with feature-based adaptation layer.

develop a recurrent-neural-network-based LM (RNN-LM) approach, where both types of adaptation are investigated. Considering this study as an example, Figs. 8 (a) and (b) explain in details the adopted RNN-based DTL. Moving forward, the authors in [104] propose a DNN-based model to modify the LM for ASR, where a factorized time-delay neural network (TDNN-F) has been considered. Concretely, it is trained using a combination of cross-entropy and lattice-free maximum mutual information objective functions (LF-MMI). The TDNN-F is shown to be effective in recognizing English child speech [104].

4.2.4. LSTM-based DTL

Generally, the NNLM models used in ASR are still trained on a sentence-level corpus, despite the attempts to train them at the document level. This is due to various factors; for example, a more extended context may not be relevant for enhancing next-word prediction in conventional ASR systems. It is also challenging to gather training data representing extended session contexts in many conversational circumstances. Long-span models are becoming more common in scenarios where they are beneficial. Long-span models will likely help scenarios, such as transcriptions of conversations and meetings, human-to-human communication, and document production by voice [122]. LSTM models are widely employed, and their architectures are well-suited to variable-length sequences. Therefore, they can exploit extreme long-range dependencies without using n-gram approximation. For instance, by employing equal context, the authors in [123] demonstrate that the deep 4gram LSTM outperforms big interpolated count models by performing considerably better backing off and smoothing. In another example, the central part of a shared encoder is constructed using BLSTM [113].

4.2.5. DTL-based sequence-to-sequence model

Recent advances in seq2seq models have demonstrated their promising outcomes for training monolingual ASR systems. The CTC [91] and encoder-decoder models [124-126] are two popular architectures for end-to-end speech recognition. Moreover, end-to-end architectures have been further investigated by jointly training these designs in a multi-task hybrid approach [127, 128], where it has been discovered that they can increase the overall model's performance. For example, the architecture portrayed in Fig. 9, aims to build a seq2seq model [94]. The seq2seq's encoder network consists of a stack of RNNs that create embedding vectors. The RNN decoder collects the embedding vectors and generates final results. The RNN, on the other hand, has access to the prior prediction $(P_i, i = 0, ..., n)$. As a result, the succeeding prediction has a better chance of being accurate.



Figure 9: An example of sequence-to-sequence source model for DTL-based ASR [94].

Moving on, combining AM and LM techniques can improve or build an efficient DTL-based ASR model, such as in [52, 48, 99, 101, 104]. Table 5 summarises the most recent DTL-based ASR techniques used in the LM domain.

A summary of the recent ASR-based cross-language DTL technique. Whereas the marks (\nearrow) and (\searrow) indicate improvement and reduction, respectively. If many scenarios has been conducted in one metric, only the best result is mentioned.

Scheme	Model-based	ASR Tasks (\mathbb{T}_T)	Characteristic	Performance
[60]	EDML	Framework of performing the DTL that reduces the impact of the prevalence of out-of vocabulary terms.	query-by-example task	74% TWV≯
[129]	Prognets	Improving ASR scheme quality by overcoming the data sparsity problems by means of high-resource languages.	Fine-tuning LHN adapt.	38.6% WER∖_
[130]	FNN and CNN ar- chitectures	Indo-European speech samples used to improve the identification of African languages.	Using PLP coeff. Fine-tuning	2.1% EER\
[78]	DNN	Weighted averaging schemes are used to combine the ensemble's constituents, with the combination weights being trained to minimize the cross-entropy objective function.	Weights interpola- tion	7.7% WER∖
[131]	CNN-GMM-HMM	Fully-automatic segmentation, semi-supervised training of ASR systems for five-lingual code-switched speech	Semi-supervised	1.1 % WER\

4.3. Cross-domain ASR

4.3.1. Cross-language DTL

Cross-language DTL is one of the application of crossmodality DTL. It is one of the most common methods for constructing ASR models for low resource languages from a model trained for another language, and it is based on the assumption that phoneme features can be shared across languages. A generic ASR model can also be adapted to another narrow domain using DTL. With the help of highresource languages, several knowledge transfer methods are investigated in [129] to overcome the data sparsity problem. The first is the DTL and fine-tuning techniques, which uses a well-trained neural network to initialize the LHN parameters. Second, progressive neural networks (Prognets) are examined. The latter is immune to the forgetting effect and excel at knowledge transfer owing to lateral connections in the network architecture. Finally, using cross-lingual DNN, bottleneck features are extracted as an enhanced feature to boost the effectiveness of the ASR system. Tables 5 and 6 summarise most recent schemes related to ASR using crosslanguage DTL and their performances.

4.3.2. Cross-corpus SER (CC-SER)

In SER, it is usually assumed that speech utterances in training and testing domains are recorded at the same conditions. However, in real-world, this is not the case since speech data is frequently gathered from different environments or devices. Thus, a discrepancy exists between the two domains making a negative impact on recognition performance. To that end, the problem of cross-corpus SER (CC-SER) has recently been investigated, where different DTL models have been deployed. For instance, a transfer linear subspace learning (TLSL) scheme is proposed in [132] to develop a CC-SER framework, enabling learning of shared feature space for source and TDs. Accordingly, to estimate the similarity between different corpora, a nearest neighbor graph algorithm is utilized. Moreover, with the aim of dividing emotional features into two high transferable part (HTP) and low transferable part (LTP), a feature grouping method is developed. Moving on, in [133], unsupervised CC-SER is explored, where only the training data is annotated. Specifically, a domain-adaptive subspace learning (DoSL) technique is introduced to learn a projection matrix

that allows transforming the source and target speech data from the initial domain to the labeled domain. In doing so, the classifier learned on the labeled SD data can efficiently forecast the emotional states of the unlabeled TD data. Similarly, in [134], the DoSL-based CC-SER method has further been improved by using a transfer subspace learning (TRaSL). In [135], a semi-supervised CC-SER approach is designed using non-negative matrix factorization (NMF). It is built upon the idea of incorporating the information of training corpus labels into NMF, and seeking a latent low-rank feature space, where the conditional and marginal distribution differences between the two corpora can be minimized simultaneously.

Moving forward, a transfer sparse discriminant subspace learning (TSDSL) is introduced in [136] to learn a shared feature subspace of various corpora by initiating the ℓ_{21} norm penalty and discriminative learning. This has helped in learning the most discriminative characteristics across multiple corpora. Besides, in [137], a non-negative matrix factorization-based transfer subspace learning (NMFTSL) scheme is proposed, which aims at minimizing the distances in the common subspace between the marginal distributions and conditional distributions. Typically, these distances have been estimated using the maximum mean discrepancy (MMD) criterion. In [138], a joint transfer subspace learning and regression (JTSLR) technique is adopted, which learns a latent subspace using the discriminative MMD as the discrepancy metric. Next, a regression function is put in the latent subspace to model the relationships between features and related annotations. Also, a label graph is considered for better transferring the knowledge from relevant SD data to TD data.

Similarly, a target-adapted subspace learning (TaSL) approach for CC-SER is proposed in [139], which aims at finding a projection subspace to enable the features regressing the labels more accurately. Moreover, this helps efficiently bridge the gap of feature distributions in the TD and SD. Moving forward, the ℓ_1 -norm and $\ell_{2,1}$ -norm penalty terms have been mixed with other regularization terms to get a more optimal projection matrix. In the same way, Zhang et al. [140] use sparse subspace transfer learning (SSTL) to develop a CC-SER technique by learning a robust common subspace projection using discriminative subspace learning.

Then, knowledge from the source corpus is transferred to the target corpus using a sparse reconstruction based on $\ell_{2,1}$ -norm. In this case, the target samples are appropriately represented as a linear combination of the SD data.

On the other hand, the impact of of cross-corpus data complementation and data augmentation is investigated in [141] on the performance of SER models in from the same corpus and different corpus. The investigations have been conducted on six emotional speech corpora, including (i) single and multiple speakers, and (ii) variations in emotion style (natural, elicited, and acted).

4.4. Adversarial TL-based ASR

In most cases, the source model is trained in multiple languages (multilingual training) for which there is a large amount of speech data [54, 113]. Multilingual training can be thought of as a series of shared hidden layers (SHL) and language-specific layers or classifier layers for various languages. The source model's SHL serve as a feature converter, converting various language features to a common feature space [129]. However, some language-dependent features may exist in the common feature space, which is not a positive factor for cross-lingual knowledge transfer. Language-adversarial training can effectively address this problem. Adversarial training aids in the creation of a language invariant feature space. After preparing the source model, the first n SHL can be transferred to the target model of an unknown language. Authors in [80], proposed language-adversarial TL as a solution to the problem of target model performance degradation caused by shared features that may contain unnecessary language-dependent information. Fig. 10 illustrate the suggested language-adversarial TL method's architecture [80]. The adversarial SHL-model, also known as the source model, is on the left. The target model is the correct one. The adversarial SHL Model implies the presence of an exta language discriminator in the SHL-model. The completely connected layer is denoted by the letter FC. The gradient reversal layer (GRL) ensures that the feature distributions across all languages are as similar as feasible for the language discriminator. The language discriminator's output labels are language labels.

To achieve better ASR effectiveness in low-resource situations, the authors in [49] combined both semi-supervised training and language adversarial TL. The work in [142] proposes using adversarial TL to improve punctuation prediction performance. A pre-trained BERT model is used to transfer bidirectional representations to punctuation prediction models. The proposed approach has been applied on ASR application as the target task. Table 7 summarises the performance of the most recent work in ASR-based adversarial TL.

4.5. DTL-based ASR for medical diagnosis

The field of ASR, especially the DTL-based ASR, has provided a qualitative leap in the field of medicine for the early detection of diseases. This progress is in several medical areas summarised in Table 8, including:



Figure 10: An example of proposed model architecture language-adversarial TL for limited ASR resource [80]. Senones refers to feature cluster's name, representing similar acoustic states/events.

4.5.1. Heart sound classification

The heart sound is made up of several components. the first (S1) and second (S2) heart sounds are considered normal, while the third (S3) and fourth (S4) heart sounds are often associated with murmurs, and ejection clicks are usually associated with some illnesses or abnormalities. Koike et al. [64] proposed a novel DTL PANNs-based model pre-trained on large scale audio data for a heart sound classification task. Another scheme for heart sound classification is proposed by Boulares et al. [143]. Without any denoising or cleaning steps, DTL is applied to the Pascal public dataset to provide an experimental benchmark. The main goal is to produce a set of experimental results that can be used as a starting point for future cardiovascular disease (CVD) recognition research that uses cardiac cycle vibration sound and is based on phonological cardiogram (PCG). The proposed scheme addresses the lack of a CVD recognition benchmark, as well as the fact that classification results are highly variable and cannot be compared objectively.

4.5.2. Parkinson disease detection

PD is a progressive neurodegenerative disease that affects millions of people globally. The diagnosis of PD is critical for enhancing the quality of workday activities and prolonging the patients' lives. Because each person's symptoms and disease progression differ so much, it is difficult to predict how PD symptoms will change over time and how they will affect the patient's life. Traditional PD detection techniques, on the other hand, are frequently handcrafted and require specialized knowledge. Over 90% of patients with PD have distinctive patterns of language disability and atrophy, which is one of the early symptoms of the disease. The voice becomes hushed, and the speech becomes monotonous and rapid. With time, the patient's voice becomes less audible, and in later stages of the disease, the patient can only whisper. Mumbling can be a warning sign of illness. Karaman et al. [30] developed a robust automated PD detection relies on DTL-based ASR. The pre-trained models SqueezeNet1_1, ResNet101, and DenseNet161 were fine-tuned and retrained. The proposed scheme presents

Scheme	Model-based	ASR Tasks (\mathbb{T}_T)	Characteristic	Performance
[49]	Adversarial SHL-Mode	Use three Indian languages (Hindi,	Semi-supervised	WER=5.5%
	(SincNet-CNN-LiGRU)	Marathi, and Bengali) cross-lingual to improve Hindi ASR		(25.65% WER ∖)
[80]	SHL Model	Improve the performance of low-resource ASR	Cross-lingual	10.1 % WER 🔪
[142]	BERT	Improve the performance of punctuation predicting	Multi-task	9.4% F1-score ∕

A summary of the recent ASR-based adversarial-language TL technique. Whereas the marks (\nearrow) and (\searrow) indicate improvement and reduction, respectively. If many scenarios has been conducted in one metric, only the best result is mentioned.

an acceptable PD detection. In order to solve the scarcity of speech-based PD, and the existence of inconsistency in the distribution between subjects, a novel two-step unsupervised DTL algorithm called two-step sparse transfer learning (TSTL) [56] is proposed to deal with the above two mentioned problems. The method can assist in extracting useful information from large amounts of unlabeled speech data, aligning the distribution of the training and test sets, and preserving the original structure between samples all at the same time. Another strategy proposed by Qing et al. [51] which is based on pre-trained long short-term memory (LSTM) neural network model. The aim of the proposed scheme is to enhance ASR for Parkinson patient. To alleviate the over-fitting problem and reduce the WER, the frequency spectrogram masking data augmentation method was used in the latter mentioned scheme.

4.5.3. Other medical diagnosis

The proposed technique in [31] investigated a speechbased DTL method that employs a lightweight encoder and only transfers the encoder weights, allowing for a simplified run-time model for speech-based depression prediction. For speakers with dysarthria, an improved DTL framework was applied to robust personalised speech recognition models is proposed by Xiong et al. [83]. With the limited data available from target dysarthric speakers, the CNN-TDNN-F ASR AM is adapted onto the TD via neural network weight adaptation. Another framework for dysarthria speaking identification, Takashima et al. [144] propose a method for Japanese people with articulation disorders to transfer two types of knowledge corresponding to different datasets: the language-dependent (phonetic and linguistic) characteristic of unimpaired speech, which corresponds to nondysarthric speech data, and the language-independent characteristic of dysarthric speech, which corresponds to non-Japanese dysarthric speech data. The work in [145] presents a novel feature representation for end-to-end DTL-based ASR framework for health states identification. The use of ASR DNNs as feature extractors, the fusion of several extracted feature representations using compact bilinear pooling (CBP), and finally inference using a specially optimized RNN classifier are all part of latter proposed approach.

(a) DTL-based ASR for emotion recognition: When language information is combined with acoustic data, multiple investigations have found that SER accuracy improves. As a result, it would be beneficial to combine both systems in order to improve ASR systems' ability to cope with emotional speech while also giving linguistic input to SER systems. Fig. 11 depicts an ASR-SER System in hybrid form [146]. For example, this can be realised when a spectrogram is input into shared convolutional layers, which are then followed by a number of specialized layers that share one or more levels in order to interact.

The scheme in [147] used the internal representation of a speech-to-text system to investigate the relationship between valence/arousal and different modalities by the means of DTL. A speech-to-text system or ASR system learns a mapping between two modalities: an audio speech signal and its transcription. DTL is used to estimate valence and arousal using features learned for an ASR task, the proposed method has the advantage of allowing large datasets of speech with transcriptions to be combined with smaller datasets annotated in emotional dimensions. Another work in [148] finetune the speaker recognition TDNN-based model on the task of emotion detection using the multi-modal emotion dataset crema-D with the canonical label clustering. The work in [149] aims to extract features from the audio segments with the most extreme positive and negative ratings, and the audio's ending, they used the peak-end rule and DTL approach to extract the acoustic features. They used a pretrained CNN speech model named YAMNet and a linear SVM to perform binary classification of partner valence.

4.6. DTL-based ASR attacks and security

Adversarial examples are produced by slight perturbation, a valid audio file or speech characteristics, with a few quantities of noise in order to either boost or fool ASR systems. Even though the added noise is imperceptible to humans auditory system or are only perceived as faint background noises by the ASR model, they can cause the inputs to be well-classified or misclassified. For example adding a small disruption to the following speech: "At the still point, there the dance is" makes an ASR generate "At the tail point, there the tense is", which is a wrong result [150]. The key idea behind attacking ASR scheme is that the model can be easily fooled or boosted by adversarial examples. As a result, it naturally motivates speech researchers to create speech adversarial examples. Based of this, researchers can generate adversarial examples for time and/or frequency speech representations, which represent different speech features and can all be used as inputs to neural networks, allowing the developers to enhance or decrease ASR performances. DTL aims to realise the concept of transferability, this latter allows adversarial examples targeting a source model to gain the potential to attack the target models classifying the same kind of data. For that, the adversarial attacks for DTL-based ASR models can be divided into two categories as shown in Fig. 12, which are:

A summary of a DTL-based ASR technique in medical diagnosis, whereas the marks (\nearrow) and (\searrow) indicate the improvement and reduction, respectively. If many scenarios have been conducted in one metric, only the best result is mentioned.

Scheme	Model-based	ASR Tasks $(\mathbb{T}_T) \nearrow$	DTL Type	Performance
[30]	DenseNet-161	PD detection	Fine-tuning	Accuracy= 91.17%
[56]	TSTL-based CSC&SF	PD speech diagnosis	Unsupervised	Accuracy= 97.50%
[51]	Proposed four layers	PD speech	Fine-tuning	13.5% WER 🔪
[64]	PANN CNN14	Heart sound classification	Fine-tuning	UAR= 89.7%
[143]	InceptionResNet-v2	PCG-based CVD classifi-	Fine-tuning	Accuracy= 0.89%
		cation		
[31]	EH-AC	Depression prediction	LHN (encoder weights)	27% AUC 🥕
[83]	CNN-TDNN-F	Dysarthric speech	Neural weight adapter	11.6% WER
[144]	LAS	Dysarthric Speech	Multilingual	45.9% PER 🔪
[145]	Wav2Letter and	Health states classifica-	Transductive	UAR= 73.0% (8.6%
	DeepSpeech	tion		∕)



Figure 11: A linguistic-paralinguistic hybrid system [146]. (a) ASR-SER Hybrid system. (b) An example of DTL-based ASR-SER hybrid system.

4.6.1. Positive adversarial attacks

Includes all methods that *increase* or *secure* the effectiveness of existing ASR schemes. In [151], the authors proposed, using natural data combined with adversarial data, to train robust AM. They focused on MFCC features and used the gradient sign based method to generate adversarial MFCC features based on the network model and inputs parameters for each mini-batch. The neural network was then trained using teacher/student training concept on natural data that had been supplemented with adversarial data. Experiments on CHiME-4 and Aurora-4 tasks using a customized CNN validated their scheme.

4.6.2. Negative adversarial attacks

Includes all methods that *decrease* or *threatens* the effectiveness of existing ASR schemes. In [152], the authors suggest a framework that employs google (Phone) as the source model and examined the impact of adversarial attacks on target model (deep-speech 1). The adversarial attacks have been applied to audio waveform just after signal decomposition and thresholding processes, and the resulting output fed the ASR source model.

According to [150], the adversarial attack model can be divided into two sorts based on the adversary's objective, knowledge, and background, which are (Fig. 12):

• Adversary knowledge: It is divided into:



Figure 12: Possible adversarial attacks in DTL-based ASR schemes.

A summary of the recent DTL-based ASR for adversarial attacks. Whereas the marks (\nearrow) and (\searrow), indicate improvement and reduction respectively.

Scheme	Model-based	$\begin{array}{ll} ASR & Tasks \\ (\mathbb{T}_T) \end{array}$	Target object	Adversary knowledge	Adversarial speci- ficity	Performance
[151]	Aurora-4	Boost	MFCC	White-box	Targeted	23% WER 📐
[153]	DNN-HMM (Kaldi)	Boost	Waveform	White-box	Targeted	Accuracy= 98 %
[154]	DeepSpeech	Fool	Waveform	White-box	Targeted	4-5% WER≯
[155]	VGG13	Fool (Dense_mel)	Mel-spectrogram	White-box	Non-targeted	SNR=29.06 dB
[156]	DeepSpeech	Fool (Speech- to-Text)	Waveform	White-box	Targeted	Attack success rate= 100%
[152]	Google	Fool	Waveform	Black-box	Non-targeted	Attack success
	(Phone)	(Deep-Speech 1)				rate=87%
[157]	DeepSpeech	Fool (victime DeepSpeech)	Mel-frequency cepstrum	White-box	Targeted	Attack success rate=91.67%)

- White-box attack: Assumes the adversary has complete knowledge of M_T , including its architecture, type, training weights, and the values of all parameters, among other things.
- Black-box attack: Assumes the adversary has no access to M_T and acts as if he or she is a regular user who only knows the model's output.
- Adversarial specificity: It is divided into:
 - Non-targeted attack: Aims to make the adversarial example's M_T predict any incorrect class. Its sole goal is to take out the ASR algorithm.
 - Targeted attack: Its goal is to deceive M_T into assigning the adversarial example to a specific class (selected by the attacker). An attack like this imposes an ASR scheme to carry out specific instructions.

Moving on, Table 9 shows a summary of DTL-based adversarial models for existing works.

4.7. DTL-based ASR for other applications

DTL-based ASR is applied in different fields other than those mentioned above. For example, the work in [12] examines the value of DTL for two types of sound recognition tasks: audio tagging and sound event detection. The authors adapt a baseline system that only uses spectral acoustic inputs to include pre-trained auditory and visual features extracted from networks built for different tasks and trained with external data using feature fusion. Another work in [62] employ the concept of DTL to address the lack of large annotated databases for real-life audio event detection. For deep speech enhancement application, the scheme in [72] proposes an environment adaptation technique to enhance deep speech enhancement models by minimizing the KLD between posterior probabilities obtained by a multicondition senone classifier (teacher) fed with noisy speech features and a clean-condition senone classifier (student) injected with clean speech characteristics. In [86], DTL has been employed for a wearable device for long-term social speech evaluations. The authors use social sensing to measure a person's mental health by extracting and analyzing

speech characteristics in completely natural daily situation. DTL is used to transfer the model to the audio segmentation process using the following characteristics: formant, energy, brightness, and entropy. The output results showed promise in classifying several acoustic scenarios in normal conditions.

In [158], the authors investigate whether self-supervised pre-trained speech can help with the ST in both high and low resource features, i.e., whether they can be transferred to other languages, and whether they can be effectively merged with other schemes for improving low-resource end-to-end ST, e.g., using a pre-trained high-resource ASR framework. Results show that self-supervised pre-trained features can always enhance ST performance, and cross-lingual DTL allows the ease of expansion to many languages with little or no tuning. Most end-to-end ST models performed poorly in the absence of source speech information. As a result, the authors in [159] propose a self-supervised bidirectional distillation processing system for low-resource non-native ST. It improves speech ST performance by combining a large amount of untagged speech and text with source information in a complementary manner. The framework is based on an seq2seq model that guides the encoder in reconstructing the acoustic representation using wav2vec2.0 pre-training model. For SV field, the authors in [59] make the assumption that SV of short utterances, in particular, can be thought of as a task in a domain with a limited number of long utterances. DTL for probabilistic linear discriminant analysis (PLDA) can thus be used to learn discriminative information from domains with a large number of long utterances. AlexNet pre-trained model was used in [61] to efficiently recognize and classify killer whale noises, pilot whales, harp seals, and long-finned, in very overlapping living areas using DTL. Because the training samples were insufficient, DTL was employed to prevent the over-fitting problem of deep networks. The proposed method was tested using a challenging dataset containing both target and non-target sounds. Even though the sounds used in the test dataset were completely independent of the sounds used in the training dataset, the proposed method identifies well the real distinctions between the sounds of several marine mammals. The paper in [160] uses hierarchical DTL to implement multilingual TTS using DNNs for low-resource languages. Using the same model architecture, a pre-trained monolingual TTS on the high-resource language is fine-tuned on the low-resource language. Then, A partial network-based DTL is used on a pre-trained monolingual TTS model to generate multilingual TTS model.

5. Discussion of key challenges

The reviewed studies have demonstrated the efficiency of DTL-based ASR methods in different application scenarios since they can promote computation efficiency, and their performance can outperform existing ML algorithms. This is primarily when TD samples significantly diverge from those used in the training process used to train the ML models. However, other open challenges need to be addressed to improve further the performance of the generalization of DTL-based ASR systems. For example, most DTL-based studies have ignored the description of the selection process of SD samples to enhance the learning at the TD. Typically, the success of DTL algorithms relies on upon well defining the similarity between the SD and TD in most situations. Consequently, the missing of a sufficient level of similarity between the SD and TD can considerably reduce the benefits or DTL or even worse result in NT [37, 161]. Moreover, most DL frameworks depend on pre-processing, e.g., timescale domain feature calculation, time-frequency domain transformation, or frequency-domain analysis, where a high similarity between the SD and TD is required in addition to having consistency between their dimensions. Unfortunately, few works have concentrated on tracking the data inconsistency between SD and TD data dimensions. In this context, Hu et al. [162] propose a DTL approach to investigate a knowledge transfer among heterogeneous domains. This section sheds light on the most pressing issues that attract increasing attention in the actual time.

5.1. The problem of NT

However, the effectiveness of DTL can be affected by NT, which refers to the deterioration of the learning performance when data/knowledge is transferred from the SDs to TD. In [163], NT was first discovered through experiments, where the author demonstrated that DTL could deteriorate performance if a significant discrepancy exists between the SD and TD. Additionally, it has been proved in this study that the "inductive bias" learned from the additional tasks can decrease the target task performance. Moving on, a mathematical definition of NT has been given in [164], where the negative transfer gap (NTG) has been proposed to identify whether NT occurs or not.

Besides, in [165], the authors introduce different quantitative and qualitative analyses to investigate the hidden NT generated due to knowledge transfer from the "News" domain to the "Tweets" domain for natural language processing (NLP) applications. Besides, positive and NT in a multi-domain ASR scenario is explored in [166]. This study uses submodular functions based on the acoustic similarities between the source and target sets. Thus, the positive transfer has been utilized for improving performance across domains while, at the same time, alleviating the effect of NT. Additionally, it has been proved that the quality and performance of TL are related to the Kullback-Leibler divergence estimated between the SDs and TD [167].

5.2. The problem of overfitting

Overcoming overfitting when developing DTL-based ASR schemes is among the key goals and challenges. Although DTL can manage overfitting better than other ML and DL models, this issue can be significant when developed models learn noises from the SDs, which negatively impacts their outputs [168]. Typically, in DTL, we can not remove the network layers for identifying with confidence the appropriate classification/prediction parameters of DL models. Accordingly, if the first layers are removed, the dense layers can negatively be impacted as the number of trainable parameters will change. On another hand, we can reduce the number of dense layers, although analyzing the number of layers to be removed while alleviating model overfitting is computationally costly and laborious.

Besides, it is doable to partially overcome overfitting in DTL by introducing regularization methods, including dropout techniques for DL models [169, 170] and least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) regularization for multiple linear regressions [171]. Additionally, overfitting can be alleviated by elaborately designing a model development scheme. This means using cross-validation to optimize model' parameters, where a whole dataset is divided into three groups for training, validation, and testing. Additionally, it is possible to address overfitting using data augmentation techniques to generate synthetic data for better training DL models [172, 173].

5.3. Reproducibility of DTL-based ASR results

With the increasing attention put into developing DTLbased solutions, there are new challenges that still hinder the extensive use of DTL models and largely affect their reproducibility. Consequently, empirical comparisons of the performance of DTL-based ASR techniques is still a challenge because of (i) the difficulties encountered when evaluating the generalisability of DTL networks since some studies have been tested on private customized datasets which are not available online; (ii) the absence of an online platforms that integrate previous DTL-based ASR algorithms and corresponding datasets. This is because of the limited number of existing open-source, benchmarked datasets; and (iii) the diversity of the evaluation metrics and parameters used to measure the performance of DTL models or quantify the distances between the SDs and TD. Therefore, the aforementioned issues make a fair comparison between DTL-based ASR solutions a complex, even impossible task.

5.4. Measuring knowledge gains

It is of utmost importance to measure the knowledge gained when a DTL model is adopted to conduct specific ASR tasks, although this problem has not gained its merit. Typically, few research works have been proposed to tackle this issue. The authors in [174] analyze the manner we quantify DTL gain, where four evaluation metrics have been proposed for quantifying knowledge gain, including the transfer ratio, transfer loss, transfer error, and in-domain ratio. Although these metrics have the ability to avoid the interpretation issues, corresponding to the performance results achieved when different SDs are considered, it is difficult to predict their behavior in other DTL-based techniques. For example, the case of ASR tasks where class sets are distinct from a problem to another. Additionally, non-definite performance can be produced when a perfect baseline network is derived.

In this regard, simpler evaluation metric have been broadly utilized to assess the performance of DTL-based ASR solutions, such as the accuracy, MSE, RMSE, MAE, PIR, F1 score and other statistical measures providing additional information, including the class agreement. besides, a realistic assumption for quantifying the similarity between two domains using a universal and domain-independent distance is studied in [175]. This has enabled intelligently selecting adequate model and assessing the performance of DTL techniques; however, its application is still limited. For instance, in [176], the authors quantify knowledge gain using WER when a pre-trained wav2vec 2.0 [177, 178] model is fine-tuned on a rich set of L1 and L2 training conditions. Similarly, WER is used in [83] to quantify knowledge gain of a CNN-TDNN-F ASR acoustic model. The latter has been trained on SD data before shifting its knowledge to a target dysarthric speaker dataset using neural network weight adaptation.

5.5. Unification of DTL

Another key issue impeding the development of effective and reliable DTL-based ASR strategies is the large diversity of mathematical formulations utilized to describe the background of DTL-based SRT techniques. For example, in [162] Hu et al. promote the idea of heterogeneous DTL while Fan et al. [169] opt for statistical investigations of DTL-based methodologies, and [179-181] concentrate on deep DA. Despite that the studies reviewed in this article share the same DTL idea, they differ in their definitions and implementations based on the considered scenarios. Put differently, various terminologies and their variants have been deployed, which can confuse the reader. To that end, it is significant to unify DTL definitions and background formulation to avoid confusion. Patricia et al. [182] have attempted to unify DTL formulation and definitions [182], but still, more effort is required.

5.6. Other challenges

The speech-based DTL processing looks to be more difficult than image-based DTL processing due to potential of a large mismatch between the source and target databases corresponding to various languages, speakers, age groups, ethnicity, and, last but not least, acoustic surroundings [61, 30]. Thus, even with the introduction of DTL, ASR still suffers, in some cases, from limited resource conditions and the scarcity of clean data.

Despite the fact that CTC has shown great promise in end-to-end speech recognition, it is constrained by the assumptions of frame independence, in which the output of one frame has no effect on the outputs of subsequent frames, similar to the unary potential of conditional random fields [50].

The difficulties of cross-lingual DTL can be divided into two categories: (i) Different degrees of linguistic characteristics from several sources should be included in multilingual shared knowledge for DTL. It is critical to define and acquire information in a consistent manner. (ii) Integration at each level of the knowledge hierarchy should be pursued, with linguistic differences taken into account [114]. Besides, the computational burden is an essential challenge in DTL deep DA processes. Transfers between SD and TD can result in more computational cost. Moreover, DTL techniques rely on deep architectures, which intrinsically suffer from further computational burden.

6. Future directions in DTL-based ASR

6.1. Overcoming NT and measuring the cross-domain transferability

While different research perspectives can be derived to improve DTL-based ASR solutions and facilitate their implementation in smart cities, two important directions are (i) to overcome the NT and (ii) to measure the cross-domain transferability, especially when there is a discrepancy between SDs and TD. It is expected that addressing these challenges will attract significant research and development in the near and far future. Besides, there is increasing interest from the AI research community to investigate NT from different sides, such as NT mitigation, distant transfer, domain similarity estimation, and secure transfer [183, 164, 184]. Additionally, some approaches have been proposed to alleviate the impact of NT; for example, when the domain similarity is measured, different methods can be selected according to the similarity level. First, if the similarity level is high, the SD and TD data can be directly concatenated to train DTL algorithms. Second, if the similarity level is medium, an NT mitigation scheme can be adopted. Third, if the similarity level is low, a distant transfer or no transfer should be considered [185].

6.2. Privacy preservation

With ML, DL, and DTL advances, ASR systems have become more practical and more scalable. However, serious privacy threats can be posed since speech is a rich source of sensitive acoustic and textual information. Although opensource and offline ASR systems can eliminate the privacy risks, online DTL-based systems can increase these threats. Moreover, offline and open-source ASR systems' transcription performance is inferior to that of cloud-based ASR systems, particularly in real-world scenarios [186]. Besides, in this case, the SD data can encompass vulnerable data that might be safeguarded. Accordingly, the knowledge transfer from the SD to the TD that can preserve users' privacy is a primary issue. Future research efforts must be considered by suggesting to integrate effective security and privacy protection strategies, e.g., decentralized DTL using blockchain [187, 188] and federated DTL [189, 190].

6.3. Interpretation of DTL models

Although DTL-based ASR models have achieved great success, they are still perceived as "black box" schemes that lack interpretation. This does not provide convincing insights into "how" and "why" they can reach final decisions [191]. This can doubt the credibility of reached decisions and lack compelling evidence for convincing users or companies that these algorithms can work repeatedly. Moreover, applications of speech processing in general, and ASR in particular, have strict requirements for privacy preservation and accuracy. Thus, explaining the reasonableness of the prediction decisions is essential. Recently, the theory of explainable and interpretable ML/DL is attracting the growing interest of academic scientists not only for speech processing but also for other applications [192, 193]. For instance, the study in [194] presents the first attempt to introduce interpretable explanations for DTL in sequential tasks. Accordingly, an agent was set to explain how it learns a new task given prior common knowledge. This can then help in enhancing users' trust and acceptance of the system results and enabling iterative feedback to improve the system. Moving on, interpretable features are defined in [195] and used to train a DTL algorithm for a new task. Typically, the relationship between the SD and TD in a DTL task has been explained, and the interpretability of the pretrained DTL has been examined.

Besides, lee et al. [196] introduce a knowledge distillation approach that (i) generates interpretable embedding procedure (IEP) knowledge based on PCA, and (ii) distills it in a form that can be transferred to the student network using a message passing neural network. Following, the teacher's knowledge and student network's target task have been trained via multi-task learning. Moreover, a DTL scheme that provides accurate, explainable classification results of optical coherence tomography (OCT) scans using a small sample size of images is proposed in [197]. It has been named interpretable staged TL (iSTL). The latter has significantly outperformed DTL techniques for unseen data, for which attention maps have shown that iSTL utilized clinical features for making predictions (and not uninterpretable abstractions).

6.4. Online DTL

An important issue that can be raised in ASR is related to how to transfer useful knowledge from the universal classifier trained on the SD data to personalize the speech recognition of each user in an online learning manner (TD data). To that end, online transfer learning (ODTL), which aims at transferring knowledge from an offline SD to an online TD learning task (represented by a similar or different feature space), can be explored. ODTL is challenging, especially if the data in the SD and TD can be different in terms of their class distributions as well as their feature representations. In this case, ODTL will assume that the SD feature space is a subset of the TD [198].

To overcome these problems, some studies have investigated two different solutions based on ODTL. The first is based on homogeneous ODTL that relies upon a common feature space for SD and TD. In contrast, the second refers to the heterogeneous ODTL, which considers domains of different feature spaces [199]. For instance, to overcome the problem of heterogeneous ODTL in [200], unlabeled cooccurrence instances are considered as intermediate supplementary data to link the SD and TD before performing knowledge transition. In the same context, an ODTL scheme with an extreme learning machine is introduced in [201]. Typically, to address the data scarcity problem in the TD, a transfer learning with lag (TLL) technique that relies on embedded shallow neural networks is adopted. The latter enables knowledge transfer when the number of active features changes.

7. Conclusion

Conclusively, this survey has provided insights on the relation between the DTL methodology and ASR, and has shed light on the latest advances of DTL-based ASR strategies. Accordingly, DTL and DA are indeed enjoying an increasing interest among ASR community as they take benefit from the pre-learned knowledge to perform power target tasks on different but related TDs. Typically, this survey has explained the challenges encountered with DL-based ASR applications and summarized the inner mechanism of DTL to explain why this strategy is appropriate for solving these issues. Moreover, this survey has described the DTL methodologies in various cross-domain scenarios and the knowledge transfer modes for ASR to reveal which categories of this strategy have been investigated. Additionally, this survey has discussed the DTL-based ASR applications for both AMs and LMs to discuss how this strategy develops for the presented problematic. Next, current challenges of DTL for ASR applications have been identified to elucidate what prospective will be for this technology to overcome these issues. Lastly, the future directions outlining where the future reach will be headed have been derived.

Overall, it has been seen that the intersection between DL/DTL and ASR in this era will significantly speed up the research advancement of speech technology in general and particularly ASR and NLP. Typically, the speech technology and AI scientific communities look forward to the substantial advances and progressive significance of DTL technology not only for the ASR problematic but also for other research fields, including medical diagnosis, energy, smart cities, fault and anomaly detection, etc.

Lastly, it is worth noting that DTL, as a promising area in ML, has shown an ensemble of benefits over conventional ML and DL, including less computational cost in some scenarios, less data dependence, less label dependence and better performance in some case studies. However, further research and development effort still need to be devoted to improve the generalizability and overall performance of DTL models. We hope this study will help the AI and speech processing communities better understand the research status and the research ideas of using DTL for ASR applications.

References

- H. Haneche, A. Ouahabi, B. Boudraa, Compressed sensing-speech coding scheme for mobile communications, Circuits, Systems, and Signal Processing (2021) 1–21.
- [2] D. Michelsanti, Z.-H. Tan, S.-X. Zhang, Y. Xu, M. Yu, D. Yu, J. Jensen, An overview of deep-learning-based audio-visual speech enhancement and separation, IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing (2021).
- [3] Y. Luo, C. Han, N. Mesgarani, Group communication with context codec for lightweight source separation, IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing 29 (2021) 1752–1761.
- [4] H. Kheddar, M. Bouzid, D. Megías, Pitch and fourier magnitude based steganography for hiding 2.4 kbps melp bitstream, IET Signal Processing 13 (3) (2019) 396–407.
- [5] H. Kheddar, A. C. Mazari, G. H. Ilk, Speech steganography based on double approximation of lsfs parameters in amr coding, in: 2022 7th International Conference on Image and Signal Processing and their Applications (ISPA), IEEE, 2022, pp. 1–8.
- [6] H. Kheddar, D. Megias, M. Bouzid, Fourier magnitude-based steganography for hiding 2.4 kbpsmelp secret speech, in: 2018

International Conference on Applied Smart Systems (ICASS), IEEE, 2018, pp. 1–5.

- [7] H. Yassine, B. Bachir, K. Aziz, A secure and high robust audio watermarking system for copyright protection, International Journal of Computer Applications 53 (17) (2012) 33–39.
- [8] M. Yamni, H. Karmouni, M. Sayyouri, H. Qjidaa, Efficient watermarking algorithm for digital audio/speech signal, Digital Signal Processing 120 (2022) 103251.
- [9] H. Chen, B. D. Rouhani, F. Koushanfar, Specmark: A spectral watermarking framework for ip protection of speech recognition systems., in: INTERSPEECH, 2020, pp. 2312–2316.
- [10] M. Olivieri, R. Malvermi, M. Pezzoli, M. Zanoni, S. Gonzalez, F. Antonacci, A. Sarti, Audio information retrieval and musical acoustics, IEEE Instrumentation & Measurement Magazine 24 (7) (2021) 10–20.
- [11] E. Wold, T. Blum, D. Keislar, J. Wheaten, Content-based classification, search, and retrieval of audio, IEEE multimedia 3 (3) (1996) 27–36.
- [12] W. Boes, et al., Audiovisual transfer learning for audio tagging and sound event detection, Proceedings Interspeech 2021 (2021).
- [13] Y. Tang, J. Pino, C. Wang, X. Ma, D. Genzel, A general multi-task learning framework to leverage text data for speech to text tasks, in: ICASSP 2021-2021 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), IEEE, 2021, pp. 6209– 6213.
- [14] F. M. Plaza-del Arco, M. D. Molina-González, L. A. Ureña-López, M. T. Martín-Valdivia, Comparing pre-trained language models for spanish hate speech detection, Expert Systems with Applications 166 (2021) 114120.
- [15] D. Meghraoui, B. Boudraa, T. Merazi, P. G. Vilda, A novel preprocessing technique in pathologic voice detection: Application to parkinson's disease phonation, Biomedical Signal Processing and Control 68 (2021) 102604.
- [16] Y.-Y. Lin, W.-Z. Zheng, W. C. Chu, J.-Y. Han, Y.-H. Hung, G.-M. Ho, C.-Y. Chang, Y.-H. Lai, A speech command control-based recognition system for dysarthric patients based on deep learning technology, Applied Sciences 11 (6) (2021) 2477.
- [17] Y. Kumar, S. Gupta, W. Singh, A novel deep transfer learning models for recognition of birds sounds in different environment, Soft Computing 26 (3) (2022) 1003–1023.
- [18] S. Padi, S. O. Sadjadi, R. D. Sriram, D. Manocha, Improved speech emotion recognition using transfer learning and spectrogram augmentation, in: Proceedings of the 2021 International Conference on Multimodal Interaction, 2021, pp. 645–652.
- [19] Y. Himeur, M. Elnour, F. Fadli, N. Meskin, I. Petri, Y. Rezgui, F. Bensaali, A. Amra, Next-generation energy systems for sustainable smart cities: Roles of transfer learning, Sustainable Cities and Society (2022) 1–35.
- [20] S. Niu, Y. Liu, J. Wang, H. Song, A decade survey of transfer learning (2010–2020), IEEE Transactions on Artificial Intelligence 1 (2) (2020) 151–166.
- [21] M. Gerczuk, S. Amiriparian, S. Ottl, B. W. Schuller, Emonet: A transfer learning framework for multi-corpus speech emotion recognition, IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing (2021) 1–1doi: 10.1109/TAFFC.2021.3135152.
- [22] M. A. Kermanshahi, A. Akbari, B. Nasersharif, Transfer learning for end-to-end asr to deal with low-resource problem in persian language, in: 2021 26th International Computer Conference, Computer Society of Iran (CSICC), 2021, pp. 1–5. doi:10.1109/CSICC52343. 2021.9420540.
- [23] H. Kheddar, D. Megías, High capacity speech steganography for the g723. 1 coder based on quantised line spectral pairs interpolation and cnn auto-encoding, Applied Intelligence (2022) 1–19.
- [24] Y. Jia, Y. Zhang, R. J. Weiss, Q. Wang, J. Shen, F. Ren, Z. Chen, P. Nguyen, R. Pang, I. L. Moreno, et al., Transfer learning from speaker verification to multispeaker text-to-speech synthesis, arXiv preprint arXiv:1806.04558 (2018).
- [25] M. Malik, M. K. Malik, K. Mehmood, I. Makhdoom, Automatic speech recognition: a survey, Multimedia Tools and Applications 80 (6) (2021) 9411–9457.
- [26] D. Hazarika, S. Poria, R. Zimmermann, R. Mihalcea, Conversational transfer learning for emotion recognition, Information Fusion 65 (2021) 1–12.

- [27] N. Vryzas, L. Vrysis, R. Kotsakis, C. Dimoulas, A web crowdsourcing framework for transfer learning and personalized speech emotion recognition, Machine Learning with Applications 6 (2021) 100132.
- [28] S. Malhotra, V. Kumar, A. Agarwal, Bidirectional transfer learning model for sentiment analysis of natural language, Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing (2021) 1–21.
- [29] R. Hettiarachchi, U. Haputhanthri, K. Herath, H. Kariyawasam, S. Munasinghe, K. Wickramasinghe, D. Samarasinghe, A. De Silva, C. U. Edussooriya, A novel transfer learning-based approach for screening pre-existing heart diseases using synchronized ecg signals and heart sounds, in: 2021 IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems (ISCAS), IEEE, 2021, pp. 1–5.
- [30] O. Karaman, H. Çakın, A. Alhudhaif, K. Polat, Robust automated parkinson disease detection based on voice signals with transfer learning, Expert Systems with Applications 178 (2021) 115013.
- [31] A. Harati, E. Shriberg, T. Rutowski, P. Chlebek, Y. Lu, R. Oliveira, Speech-based depression prediction using encoder-weight-only transfer learning and a large corpus, in: ICASSP 2021-2021 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), IEEE, 2021, pp. 7273–7277.
- [32] Y. Lu, Z. Tian, R. Zhou, W. Liu, A general transfer learning-based framework for thermal load prediction in regional energy system, Energy 217 (2021) 119322.
- [33] P. Z. Ramirez, A. Tonioni, S. Salti, L. D. Stefano, Learning across tasks and domains, in: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, 2019, pp. 8110–8119.
- [34] J. Lu, V. Behbood, P. Hao, H. Zuo, S. Xue, G. Zhang, Transfer learning using computational intelligence: A survey, Knowledge-Based Systems 80 (2015) 14–23.
- [35] S. Li, T. T. Cai, H. Li, Transfer learning in large-scale gaussian graphical models with false discovery rate control, arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.11037 (2020).
- [36] D. Tuia, C. Persello, L. Bruzzone, Domain adaptation for the classification of remote sensing data: An overview of recent advances, IEEE geoscience and remote sensing magazine 4 (2) (2016) 41–57.
- [37] K. Weiss, T. M. Khoshgoftaar, D. Wang, A survey of transfer learning, Journal of Big data 3 (1) (2016) 1–40.
- [38] N. Agarwal, A. Sondhi, K. Chopra, G. Singh, Transfer learning: Survey and classification, in: Smart Innovations in Communication and Computational Sciences, Springer, 2021, pp. 145–155.
- [39] F. Zhuang, Z. Qi, K. Duan, D. Xi, Y. Zhu, H. Zhu, H. Xiong, Q. He, A comprehensive survey on transfer learning, Proceedings of the IEEE 109 (1) (2020) 43–76.
- [40] S. Durrani, U. Arshad, Transfer learning from high-resource to lowresource language improves speech affect recognition classification accuracy, arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.11764 (2021).
- [41] Z. Wan, R. Yang, M. Huang, N. Zeng, X. Liu, A review on transfer learning in eeg signal analysis, Neurocomputing 421 (2021) 1–14.
- [42] S. Bashath, N. Perera, S. Tripathi, K. Manjang, M. Dehmer, F. E. Streib, A data-centric review of deep transfer learning with applications to text data, Information Sciences 585 (2022) 498–528.
- [43] W. Li, W. Huan, B. Hou, Y. Tian, Z. Zhang, A. Song, Can emotion be transferred?–a review on transfer learning for eeg-based emotion recognition, IEEE Transactions on Cognitive and Developmental Systems (2021).
- [44] H. Kheddar, Y. Himeur, A. I. Awad, Deep transfer learning applications in intrusion detection systems: A comprehensive review, arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.10550 (2023).
- [45] F. Filippidou, L. Moussiades, A benchmarking of ibm, google and wit automatic speech recognition systems, in: IFIP International Conference on Artificial Intelligence Applications and Innovations, Springer, 2020, pp. 73–82.
- [46] R. Errattahi, A. El Hannani, H. Ouahmane, Automatic speech recognition errors detection and correction: A review, Procedia Computer Science 128 (2018) 32–37.
- [47] S. Alharbi, M. Alrazgan, A. Alrashed, T. AlNomasi, R. Almojel, R. Alharbi, S. Alharbi, S. Alturki, F. Alshehri, M. Almojil, Automatic speech recognition: Systematic literature review, IEEE Access (2021).
- [48] D. Jiang, C. Tan, J. Peng, C. Chen, X. Wu, W. Zhao, Y. Song, Y. Tong, C. Liu, Q. Xu, et al., A gdpr-compliant ecosystem for speech recognition with transfer, federated, and evolutionary learning, ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems and Technology (TIST) 12 (3) (2021) 1–19.

- [49] A. Kumar, R. K. Aggarwal, An exploration of semi-supervised and language-adversarial transfer learning using hybrid acoustic model for hindi speech recognition, Journal of Reliable Intelligent Environments (2021) 1–16.
- [50] W. Chan, N. Jaitly, Q. Le, O. Vinyals, Listen, attend and spell: A neural network for large vocabulary conversational speech recognition, in: 2016 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), IEEE, 2016, pp. 4960–4964.
- [51] Q. Yu, Y. Ma, Y. Li, Enhancing speech recognition for parkinson's disease patient using transfer learning technique, Journal of Shanghai Jiaotong University (Science) (2021) 1–9.
- [52] Y. Bai, J. Yi, J. Tao, Z. Tian, Z. Wen, S. Zhang, Fast end-toend speech recognition via non-autoregressive models and crossmodal knowledge transferring from bert, IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing 29 (2021) 1897–1911.
- [53] Y. Zhang, M. Pezeshki, P. Brakel, S. Zhang, C. L. Y. Bengio, A. Courville, Towards end-to-end speech recognition with deep convolutional neural networks, arXiv preprint arXiv:1701.02720 (2017).
- [54] C.-X. Qin, D. Qu, L.-H. Zhang, Towards end-to-end speech recognition with transfer learning, EURASIP Journal on Audio, Speech, and Music Processing 2018 (1) (2018) 1–9.
- [55] X. Zhu, H. Huang, End-to-end amdo-tibetan speech recognition based on knowledge transfer, IEEE Access 8 (2020) 170991– 171000.
- [56] Y. Li, X. Zhang, P. Wang, X. Zhang, Y. Liu, Insight into an unsupervised two-step sparse transfer learning algorithm for speech diagnosis of parkinson's disease, Neural Computing and Applications (2021) 1–18.
- [57] R. A. Ramadan, Detecting adversarial attacks on audio-visual speech recognition using deep learning method, International Journal of Speech Technology (2021) 1–7.
- [58] L. Zhang, Q. Wang, K. A. Lee, L. Xie, H. Li, Multi-level transfer learning from near-field to far-field speaker verification, arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.09320 (2021).
- [59] Q. Hong, L. Li, J. Zhang, L. Wan, H. Guo, Transfer learning for plda-based speaker verification, Speech Communication 92 (2017) 90–99.
- [60] B. Yusuf, B. Gundogdu, M. Saraclar, Low resource keyword search with synthesized crosslingual exemplars, IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing 27 (7) (2019) 1126– 1135.
- [61] T. Lu, B. Han, F. Yu, Detection and classification of marine mammal sounds using alexnet with transfer learning, Ecological Informatics 62 (2021) 101277.
- [62] P. Arora, R. Haeb-Umbach, A study on transfer learning for acoustic event detection in a real life scenario, in: 2017 IEEE 19th International Workshop on Multimedia Signal Processing (MMSP), IEEE, 2017, pp. 1–6.
- [63] G. A. Kumar, J. H. William, Development of visual-only speech recognition system for mute people, Circuits, Systems, and Signal Processing (2021) 1–21.
- [64] T. Koike, K. Qian, Q. Kong, M. D. Plumbley, B. W. Schuller, Y. Yamamoto, Audio for audio is better? an investigation on transfer learning models for heart sound classification, in: 2020 42nd Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine & Biology Society (EMBC), IEEE, 2020, pp. 74–77.
- [65] M. Markitantov, Transfer learning in speaker's age and gender recognition, in: International Conference on Speech and Computer, Springer, 2020, pp. 326–335.
- [66] F. K. Fahmy, M. I. Khalil, H. M. Abbas, A transfer learning end-toend arabic text-to-speech (tts) deep architecture, in: IAPR Workshop on Artificial Neural Networks in Pattern Recognition, Springer, 2020, pp. 266–277.
- [67] A. v. d. Oord, S. Dieleman, H. Zen, K. Simonyan, O. Vinyals, A. Graves, N. Kalchbrenner, A. Senior, K. Kavukcuoglu, Wavenet: A generative model for raw audio, arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.03499 (2016).
- [68] I.-T. Recommendation, Perceptual evaluation of speech quality (pesq): An objective method for end-to-end speech quality assessment of narrow-band telephone networks and speech codecs, Rec. ITU-T P. 862 (2001).

- [69] S. Siddiqui, G. Rasool, R. P. Ramachandran, N. C. Bouaynaya, Using deep speech recognition to evaluate speech enhancement methods, in: 2020 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN), IEEE, 2020, pp. 1–7.
- [70] J. Peng, Y. Jiang, S. Tang, F. Meziane, Security of streaming media communications with logistic map and self-adaptive detection-based steganography, IEEE Transactions on Dependable and Secure Computing (2019).
- [71] J. S. Garofolo, L. F. Lamel, W. M. Fisher, J. G. Fiscus, D. S. Pallett, Darpa timit acoustic-phonetic continous speech corpus cd-rom. nist speech disc 1-1.1, NASA STI/Recon technical report n 93 (1993) 27403.
- [72] S. Wang, W. Li, S. M. Siniscalchi, C.-H. Lee, A cross-task transfer learning approach to adapting deep speech enhancement models to unseen background noise using paired senone classifiers, in: ICASSP 2020-2020 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), IEEE, 2020, pp. 6219–6223.
- [73] V. Panayotov, G. Chen, D. Povey, S. Khudanpur, Librispeech: an asr corpus based on public domain audio books, in: 2015 IEEE international conference on acoustics, speech and signal processing (ICASSP), IEEE, 2015, pp. 5206–5210.
- [74] Q. Yu, Y. Ma, Y. Li, Enhancing speech recognition for parkinson's disease patient using transfer learning technique, Journal of Shanghai Jiaotong University (Science) 27 (1) (2022) 90–98.
- [75] M. A. Di Gangi, R. Cattoni, L. Bentivogli, M. Negri, M. Turchi, Must-c: a multilingual speech translation corpus, in: 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Association for Computational Linguistics, 2019, pp. 2012–2017.
- [76] C. Veaux, J. Yamagishi, K. MacDonald, et al., Superseded-cstr vctk corpus: English multi-speaker corpus for cstr voice cloning toolkit (2017).
- [77] T. Schultz, N. T. Vu, T. Schlippe, Globalphone: A multilingual text & speech database in 20 languages, in: 2013 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, IEEE, 2013, pp. 8126–8130.
- [78] R. Sahraeian, D. Van Compernolle, Cross-entropy training of dnn ensemble acoustic models for low-resource asr, IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing 26 (11) (2018) 1991–2001.
- [79] W. Hartmann, D. Karakos, R. Hsiao, L. Zhang, T. Alumäe, S. Tsakalidis, R. Schwartz, Analysis of keyword spotting performance across iarpa babel languages, in: 2017 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), IEEE, 2017, pp. 5765–5769.
- [80] J. Yi, J. Tao, Z. Wen, Y. Bai, Language-adversarial transfer learning for low-resource speech recognition, IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing 27 (3) (2018) 621–630.
- [81] C. Liu, D. Springer, Q. Li, B. Moody, R. A. Juan, F. J. Chorro, F. Castells, J. M. Roig, I. Silva, A. E. Johnson, et al., An open access database for the evaluation of heart sound algorithms, Physiological Measurement 37 (12) (2016) 2181.
- [82] H. Kim, M. Hasegawa-Johnson, A. Perlman, J. Gunderson, T. S. Huang, K. Watkin, S. Frame, Dysarthric speech database for universal access research, in: Ninth Annual Conference of the International Speech Communication Association, 2008.
- [83] F. Xiong, J. Barker, Z. Yue, H. Christensen, Source domain data selection for improved transfer learning targeting dysarthric speech recognition, in: ICASSP 2020-2020 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), IEEE, 2020, pp. 7424–7428.
- [84] S. R. Shahamiri, Speech vision: An end-to-end deep learning-based dysarthric automatic speech recognition system, IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering 29 (2021) 852– 861.
- [85] A. Mesaros, T. Heittola, T. Virtanen, Tut database for acoustic scene classification and sound event detection, in: 2016 24th European Signal Processing Conference (EUSIPCO), IEEE, 2016, pp. 1128– 1132.
- [86] Y. Chen, B. Gao, L. Jiang, K. Yin, J. Gu, W. L. Woo, Transfer learning for wearable long-term social speech evaluations, IEEE Access 6 (2018) 61305–61316.
- [87] J. Devlin, M.-W. Chang, K. Lee, K. Toutanova, Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding (2019).

arXiv:1810.04805.

- [88] D. Wang, X. Wang, S. Lv, An overview of end-to-end automatic speech recognition, Symmetry 11 (8) (2019) 1018.
- [89] J. Novoa, J. Fredes, V. Poblete, N. B. Yoma, Uncertainty weighting and propagation in dnn–hmm-based speech recognition, Computer Speech & Language 47 (2018) 30–46.
- [90] M. S. Fahad, A. Deepak, G. Pradhan, J. Yadav, Dnn-hmm-based speaker-adaptive emotion recognition using mfcc and epoch-based features, Circuits, Systems, and Signal Processing 40 (1) (2021) 466–489.
- [91] T. Nakatani, Improving transformer-based end-to-end speech recognition with connectionist temporal classification and language model integration, in: Proc. Interspeech 2019, 2019, pp. 1408–1412.
- [92] J. Salazar, K. Kirchhoff, Z. Huang, Self-attention networks for connectionist temporal classification in speech recognition, in: ICASSP 2019-2019 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), IEEE, 2019, pp. 7115–7119.
- [93] C.-C. Chiu, T. N. Sainath, Y. Wu, R. Prabhavalkar, P. Nguyen, Z. Chen, A. Kannan, R. J. Weiss, K. Rao, E. Gonina, et al., Stateof-the-art speech recognition with sequence-to-sequence models, in: 2018 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), IEEE, 2018, pp. 4774–4778.
- [94] M. Mridha, A. Q. Ohi, M. A. Hamid, M. M. Monowar, A study on the challenges and opportunities of speech recognition for bengali language, Artificial Intelligence Review (2021) 1–25.
- [95] Z. Huang, S. M. Siniscalchi, I.-F. Chen, J. Wu, C.-H. Lee, Maximum a posteriori adaptation of network parameters in deep models, arXiv preprint arXiv:1503.02108 (2015).
- [96] M. S. Elaraby, M. Abdallah, S. Abdou, M. Rashwan, A deep neural networks (dnn) based models for a computer aided pronunciation learning system, in: International Conference on Speech and Computer, Springer, 2016, pp. 51–58.
- [97] M. Mimura, S. Sakai, T. Kawahara, Joint optimization of denoising autoencoder and dnn acoustic model based on multi-target learning for noisy speech recognition., in: Interspeech, 2016, pp. 3803–3807.
- [98] M. Ma, M. Nirschl, F. Biadsy, S. Kumar, Approaches for neuralnetwork language model adaptation., in: INTERSPEECH, 2017, pp. 259–263.
- [99] F. Weninger, J. Andrés-Ferrer, X. Li, P. Zhan, Listen, attend, spell and adapt: Speaker adapted sequence-to-sequence asr, arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.04916 (2019).
- [100] J. Devlin, M.-W. Chang, K. Lee, K. Toutanova, Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding, arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805 (2018).
- [101] S. Deena, M. Hasan, M. Doulaty, O. Saz, T. Hain, Recurrent neural network language model adaptation for multi-genre broadcast speech recognition and alignment, IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing 27 (3) (2018) 572–582.
- [102] Y. Song, D. Jiang, X. Wu, Q. Xu, R. C.-W. Wong, Q. Yang, Topicaware dialogue speech recognition with transfer learning., in: IN-TERSPEECH, 2019, pp. 829–833.
- [103] M. Hentschel, M. Delcroix, A. Ogawa, T. Nakatani, Feature-based learning hidden unit contributions for domain adaptation of rnn-lms, in: 2018 Asia-Pacific Signal and Information Processing Association Annual Summit and Conference (APSIPA ASC), IEEE, 2018, pp. 1692–1696.
- [104] S.-I. Ng, W. Liu, Z. Peng, S. Feng, H.-P. Huang, O. Scharenborg, T. Lee, The cuhk-tudelft system for the slt 2021 children speech recognition challenge, arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.06239 (2020).
- [105] Y.-C. Chen, J.-Y. Hsu, C.-K. Lee, H.-y. Lee, Darts-asr: Differentiable architecture search for multilingual speech recognition and adaptation, arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.07029 (2020).
- [106] S. Sun, B. Zhang, L. Xie, Y. Zhang, An unsupervised deep domain adaptation approach for robust speech recognition, Neurocomputing 257 (2017) 79–87.
- [107] P. Ghahremani, V. Manohar, H. Hadian, D. Povey, S. Khudanpur, Investigation of transfer learning for asr using lf-mmi trained neural networks, in: 2017 IEEE Automatic Speech Recognition and Understanding Workshop (ASRU), IEEE, 2017, pp. 279–286.
- [108] Z. Huang, S. M. Siniscalchi, C.-H. Lee, A unified approach to transfer learning of deep neural networks with applications to speaker adaptation in automatic speech recognition, Neurocomputing 218 (2016) 448–459.

- [109] M. T. Turan, E. Erzin, Improving phoneme recognition of throat microphone speech recordings using transfer learning, Speech Communication 129 (2021) 25–32.
- [110] P. G. Shivakumar, P. Georgiou, Transfer learning from adult to children for speech recognition: Evaluation, analysis and recommendations, Computer speech & language 63 (2020) 101077.
- [111] H. M. Sayed, H. E. ElDeeb, S. A. Taie, Bimodal variational autoencoder for audiovisual speech recognition, Machine Learning (2021) 1–26.
- [112] Z. Chen, J. Droppo, J. Li, W. Xiong, Progressive joint modeling in unsupervised single-channel overlapped speech recognition, IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing 26 (1) (2017) 184–196.
- [113] J. Cho, M. K. Baskar, R. Li, M. Wiesner, S. H. Mallidi, N. Yalta, M. Karafiat, S. Watanabe, T. Hori, Multilingual sequence-tosequence speech recognition: architecture, transfer learning, and language modeling, in: 2018 IEEE Spoken Language Technology Workshop (SLT), IEEE, 2018, pp. 521–527.
- [114] K. He, W. Xu, Y. Yan, Multi-level cross-lingual transfer learning with language shared and specific knowledge for spoken language understanding, IEEE Access 8 (2020) 29407–29416.
- [115] Y. Lin, Q. Li, B. Yang, Z. Yan, H. Tan, Z. Chen, Improving speech recognition models with small samples for air traffic control systems, Neurocomputing 445 (2021) 287–297.
- [116] S. Schneider, A. Baevski, R. Collobert, M. Auli, wav2vec: Unsupervised pre-training for speech recognition, arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.05862 (2019).
- [117] V. Manohar, D. Povey, S. Khudanpur, Jhu kaldi system for arabic mgb-3 asr challenge using diarization, audio-transcript alignment and transfer learning, in: 2017 IEEE Automatic Speech Recognition and Understanding Workshop (ASRU), IEEE, 2017, pp. 346–352.
- [118] J.-K. Kim, Y.-B. Kim, R. Sarikaya, E. Fosler-Lussier, Cross-lingual transfer learning for pos tagging without cross-lingual resources, in: Proceedings of the 2017 conference on empirical methods in natural language processing, 2017, pp. 2832–2838.
- [119] C. Wang, S. Dai, Y. Wang, F. Yang, M. Qiu, K. Chen, W. Zhou, J. Huang, Arobert: An asr robust pre-trained language model for spoken language understanding, IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing (2022).
- [120] X. Song, G. Wang, Z. Wu, Y. Huang, D. Su, D. Yu, H. Meng, Speechxlnet: Unsupervised acoustic model pretraining for self-attention networks, arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.10387 (2019).
- [121] Y. Song, D. Jiang, X. Zhao, Q. Xu, R. C.-W. Wong, L. Fan, Q. Yang, L2rs: a learning-to-rescore mechanism for automatic speech recognition, arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.11496 (2019).
- [122] S. Parthasarathy, W. Gale, X. Chen, G. Polovets, S. Chang, Longspan language modeling for speech recognition, arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.04571 (2019).
- [123] Z. Tüske, R. Schlüter, H. Ney, Investigation on lstm recurrent n-gram language models for speech recognition, in: Interspeech, 2018, pp. 3358–3362.
- [124] G. I. Winata, A. Madotto, C.-S. Wu, P. Fung, Code-switched language models using neural based synthetic data from parallel sentences, arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.08582 (2019).
- [125] L. Dong, S. Xu, B. Xu, Speech-transformer: a no-recurrence sequence-to-sequence model for speech recognition, in: 2018 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), IEEE, 2018, pp. 5884–5888.
- [126] G. I. Winata, S. Cahyawijaya, Z. Lin, Z. Liu, P. Fung, Lightweight and efficient end-to-end speech recognition using low-rank transformer, in: ICASSP 2020-2020 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), IEEE, 2020, pp. 6144–6148.
- [127] S. Kim, M. L. Seltzer, Towards language-universal end-to-end speech recognition, in: 2018 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), IEEE, 2018, pp. 4914–4918.
- [128] B. Milde, C. Schmidt, J. Köhler, Multitask sequence-to-sequence models for grapheme-to-phoneme conversion., in: INTERSPEECH, 2017, pp. 2536–2540.
- [129] D. Liu, J. Xu, P. Zhang, Y. Yan, Investigation of knowledge transfer approaches to improve the acoustic modeling of vietnamese asr system, IEEE/CAA Journal of Automatica Sinica 6 (5) (2019) 1187– 1195.

- [130] K. Feng, T. Chaspari, Low-resource language identification from speech using transfer learning, in: 2019 IEEE 29th International Workshop on Machine Learning for Signal Processing (MLSP), IEEE, 2019, pp. 1–6.
- [131] N. Wilkinson, A. Biswas, E. Yılmaz, F. De Wet, E. van der Westhuizen, T. R. Niesler, Semi-supervised acoustic modelling for fivelingual code-switched asr using automatically-segmented soap opera speech, arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.06480 (2020).
- [132] p. song, Transfer linear subspace learning for cross-corpus speech emotion recognition, IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing 10 (2) (2019) 265–275. doi:10.1109/TAFFC.2017.2705696.
- [133] N. Liu, Y. Zong, B. Zhang, L. Liu, J. Chen, G. Zhao, J. Zhu, Unsupervised cross-corpus speech emotion recognition using domainadaptive subspace learning, in: 2018 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), IEEE, 2018, pp. 5144–5148.
- [134] N. Liu, B. Zhang, B. Liu, J. Shi, L. Yang, Z. Li, J. Zhu, Transfer subspace learning for unsupervised cross-corpus speech emotion recognition, IEEE Access 9 (2021) 95925–95937.
- [135] H. Luo, J. Han, Cross-corpus speech emotion recognition using semi-supervised transfer non-negative matrix factorization with adaptation regularization., in: INTERSPEECH, 2019, pp. 3247– 3251.
- [136] W. Zhang, P. Song, Transfer sparse discriminant subspace learning for cross-corpus speech emotion recognition, IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing 28 (2019) 307– 318.
- [137] H. Luo, J. Han, Nonnegative matrix factorization based transfer subspace learning for cross-corpus speech emotion recognition, IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing 28 (2020) 2047–2060.
- [138] W. Zhang, P. Song, D. Chen, C. Sheng, W. Zhang, Cross-corpus speech emotion recognition based on joint transfer subspace learning and regression, IEEE Transactions on Cognitive and Developmental Systems (2021).
- [139] X. Chen, X. Zhou, C. Lu, Y. Zong, W. Zheng, C. Tang, Targetadapted subspace learning for cross-corpus speech emotion recognition, IEICE TRANSACTIONS on Information and Systems 102 (12) (2019) 2632–2636.
- [140] K. Zhao, P. Song, W. Zhang, W. Zhang, S. Li, D. Chen, W. Zheng, Cross-corpus speech emotion recognition based on sparse subspace transfer learning, in: Chinese Conference on Biometric Recognition, Springer, 2021, pp. 466–473.
- [141] N. Braunschweiler, R. Doddipatla, S. Keizer, S. Stoyanchev, A study on cross-corpus speech emotion recognition and data augmentation, in: 2021 IEEE Automatic Speech Recognition and Understanding Workshop (ASRU), 2021, pp. 24–30. doi:10.1109/ASRU51503.2021. 9687987.
- [142] J. Yi, J. Tao, Y. Bai, Z. Tian, C. Fan, Adversarial transfer learning for punctuation restoration, arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.00248 (2020).
- [143] M. Boulares, T. Alafif, A. Barnawi, Transfer learning benchmark for cardiovascular disease recognition, IEEE Access 8 (2020) 109475– 109491.
- [144] Y. Takashima, R. Takashima, T. Takiguchi, Y. Ariki, Knowledge transferability between the speech data of persons with dysarthria speaking different languages for dysarthric speech recognition, IEEE Access 7 (2019) 164320–164326.
- [145] B. Sertolli, Z. Ren, B. W. Schuller, N. Cummins, Representation transfer learning from deep end-to-end speech recognition networks for the classification of health states from speech, Computer Speech & Language 68 (2021) 101204.
- [146] H. M. Fayek, A deep learning framework for hybrid linguisticparalinguistic speech systems, 2nd Doctoral Consortium at Interspeech 2016 (2016) 1–2.
- [147] N. Tits, K. E. Haddad, T. Dutoit, Asr-based features for emotion recognition: A transfer learning approach, arXiv preprint arXiv:1805.09197 (2018).
- [148] A. Ananthram, K. K. Saravanakumar, J. Huynh, H. Beigi, Multimodal emotion detection with transfer learning, arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.07065 (2020).
- [149] G. Boateng, L. Sels, P. Kuppens, P. Hilpert, T. Kowatsch, Speech emotion recognition among couples using the peak-end rule and transfer learning, in: Companion Publication of the 2020 International Conference on Multimodal Interaction, 2020, pp. 17–21.

- [150] S. Hu, X. Shang, Z. Qin, M. Li, Q. Wang, C. Wang, Adversarial examples for automatic speech recognition: Attacks and countermeasures, IEEE Communications Magazine 57 (10) (2019) 120– 126.
- [151] S. Sun, C.-F. Yeh, M. Ostendorf, M.-Y. Hwang, L. Xie, Training augmentation with adversarial examples for robust speech recognition, arXiv preprint arXiv:1806.02782 (2018).
- [152] H. Abdullah, M. S. Rahman, W. Garcia, K. Warren, A. S. Yadav, T. Shrimpton, P. Traynor, Hear" no evil", see" kenansville"*: Efficient and transferable black-box attacks on speech recognition and voice identification systems, in: 2021 IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy (SP), IEEE, 2021, pp. 712–729.
- [153] L. Schönherr, K. Kohls, S. Zeiler, T. Holz, D. Kolossa, Adversarial attacks against automatic speech recognition systems via psychoacoustic hiding, arXiv preprint arXiv:1808.05665 (2018).
- [154] P. Żelasko, S. Joshi, Y. Shao, J. Villalba, J. Trmal, N. Dehak, S. Khudanpur, Adversarial attacks and defenses for speech recognition systems, arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.17122 (2021).
- [155] V. Subramanian, A. Pankajakshan, E. Benetos, N. Xu, S. McDonald, M. Sandler, A study on the transferability of adversarial attacks in sound event classification, in: ICASSP 2020-2020 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), IEEE, 2020, pp. 301–305.
- [156] N. Carlini, D. Wagner, Audio adversarial examples: Targeted attacks on speech-to-text, in: 2018 IEEE Security and Privacy Workshops (SPW), IEEE, 2018, pp. 1–7.
- [157] H. Kwon, Y. Kim, H. Yoon, D. Choi, Selective audio adversarial example in evasion attack on speech recognition system, IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security 15 (2019) 526– 538.
- [158] A. Wu, C. Wang, J. Pino, J. Gu, Self-supervised representations improve end-to-end speech translation, arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.12124 (2020).
- [159] W. Zhu, H. Jin, J. Chen, L. Luo, J. Wang, Q. Lu, A. Li, Conwst: Nonnative multi-source knowledge distillation for low resource speech translation, in: International Conference on Cognitive Systems and Signal Processing, Springer, 2021, pp. 127–141.
- [160] K. Azizah, M. Adriani, W. Jatmiko, Hierarchical transfer learning for multilingual, multi-speaker, and style transfer dnn-based tts on low-resource languages, IEEE Access 8 (2020) 179798–179812.
- [161] X. Xu, Z. Meng, A hybrid transfer learning model for short-term electric load forecasting, Electrical Engineering 102 (3) (2020) 1371–1381.
- [162] W. Hu, Y. Luo, Z. Lu, Y. Wen, Heterogeneous transfer learning for thermal comfort modeling, in: Proceedings of the 6th ACM International Conference on Systems for Energy-Efficient Buildings, Cities, and Transportation, 2019, pp. 61–70.
- [163] M. T. Rosenstein, To transfer or not to transfer, in: NIPS 2005 Workshop on Transfer Learning, 2005.
- [164] Z. Wang, Z. Dai, B. Póczos, J. Carbonell, Characterizing and avoiding negative transfer, in: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, 2019, pp. 11293–11302.
- [165] S. Meftah, N. Semmar, Y. Tamaazousti, H. Essafi, F. Sadat, On the hidden negative transfer in sequential transfer learning for domain adaptation from news to tweets, in: Proceedings of the Second Workshop on Domain Adaptation for NLP, 2021, pp. 140–145.
- [166] M. Doulaty, O. Saz, T. Hain, Data-selective transfer learning for multi-domain speech recognition, arXiv preprint arXiv:1509.02409 (2015).
- [167] R. Sousa, L. M. Silva, L. A. Alexandre, J. Santos, J. M. De Sá, Transfer learning: current status, trends and challenges, in: 20th Portuguese Conference on Pattern Recognition, RecPad, 2014, pp. 57–58.
- [168] A. Delfosse, G. Hebrail, A. Zerroug, Deep learning applied to nilm: is data augmentation worth for energy disaggregation?, in: ECAI 2020, IOS Press, 2020, pp. 2972–2977.
- [169] C. Fan, Y. Sun, F. Xiao, J. Ma, D. Lee, J. Wang, Y. C. Tseng, Statistical investigations of transfer learning-based methodology for short-term building energy predictions, Applied Energy 262 (2020) 114499.
- [170] A. Alghamdi, M. Hammad, H. Ugail, A. Abdel-Raheem, K. Muhammad, H. S. Khalifa, A. El-Latif, A. Ahmed, Detection of myocardial infarction based on novel deep transfer learning methods for urban healthcare in smart cities, Multimedia tools and applications (2020)

1–22.

- [171] B. Das, B. Nair, V. K. Reddy, P. Venkatesh, Evaluation of multiple linear, neural network and penalised regression models for prediction of rice yield based on weather parameters for west coast of india, International journal of biometeorology 62 (10) (2018) 1809–1822.
- [172] D. Jha, K. Choudhary, F. Tavazza, W.-k. Liao, A. Choudhary, C. Campbell, A. Agrawal, Enhancing materials property prediction by leveraging computational and experimental data using deep transfer learning, Nature communications 10 (1) (2019) 1–12.
- [173] W. Zhao, Research on the deep learning of the small sample data based on transfer learning, in: AIP Conference Proceedings, Vol. 1864, AIP Publishing LLC, 2017, p. 020018.
- [174] X. Glorot, A. Bordes, Y. Bengio, Domain adaptation for large-scale sentiment classification: A deep learning approach, in: ICML, 2011.
- [175] D. Cook, K. D. Feuz, N. C. Krishnan, Transfer learning for activity recognition: A survey, Knowledge and information systems 36 (3) (2013) 537–556.
- [176] P. Sullivan, T. Shibano, M. Abdul-Mageed, Improving automatic speech recognition for non-native english with transfer learning and language model decoding, arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.05209 (2022).
- [177] A. Baevski, Y. Zhou, A. Mohamed, M. Auli, wav2vec 2.0: A framework for self-supervised learning of speech representations, Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 33 (2020) 12449–12460.
- [178] Q. Xu, A. Baevski, T. Likhomanenko, P. Tomasello, A. Conneau, R. Collobert, G. Synnaeve, M. Auli, Self-training and pre-training are complementary for speech recognition, in: ICASSP 2021-2021 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), IEEE, 2021, pp. 3030–3034.
- [179] J. Lin, J. Ma, J. Zhu, H. Liang, Deep domain adaptation for nonintrusive load monitoring based on a knowledge transfer learning network, IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid (2021).
- [180] Y. Zhang, J. Yan, Domain-adversarial transfer learning for robust intrusion detection in the smart grid, in: 2019 IEEE International Conference on Communications, Control, and Computing Technologies for Smart Grids (SmartGridComm), 2019, pp. 1–6. doi:10. 1109/SmartGridComm.2019.8909793.
- [181] Y. Zhang, J. Yan, Semi-supervised domain-adversarial training for intrusion detection against false data injection in the smart grid, in: 2020 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN), IEEE, 2020, pp. 1–7.
- [182] N. Patricia, B. Caputo, Learning to learn, from transfer learning to domain adaptation: A unifying perspective, in: Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2014, pp. 1442–1449.
- [183] A. Paul, K. Vogt, F. Rottensteiner, J. Ostermann, C. Heipke, A comparison of two strategies for avoiding negative transfer in domain adaptation based on logistic regression, International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences-ISPRS Archives 42 (2018), Nr. 2 42 (2) (2018) 845–852.
- [184] S. A. H. Minoofam, A. Bastanfard, M. R. Keyvanpour, Trcla: A transfer learning approach to reduce negative transfer for cellular learning automata, IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems (2021) 1–10doi:10.1109/TNNLS.2021.3106705.
- [185] W. Zhang, L. Deng, L. Zhang, D. Wu, A survey on negative transfer, arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.00909 (2020).
- [186] S. Ahmed, A. R. Chowdhury, K. Fawaz, P. Ramanathan, Preech: A system for {Privacy-Preserving} speech transcription, in: 29th

USENIX Security Symposium (USENIX Security 20), 2020, pp. 2703–2720.

- [187] A. ul Haque, M. S. Ghani, T. Mahmood, Decentralized transfer learning using blockchain & ipfs for deep learning, in: 2020 International Conference on Information Networking (ICOIN), IEEE, 2020, pp. 170–177.
- [188] X. Wang, S. Garg, H. Lin, M. J. Piran, J. Hu, M. S. Hossain, Enabling secure authentication in industrial iot with transfer learning empowered blockchain, IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics 17 (11) (2021) 7725–7733.
- [189] P. Zhang, H. Sun, J. Situ, C. Jiang, D. Xie, Federated transfer learning for iiot devices with low computing power based on blockchain and edge computing, Ieee Access 9 (2021) 98630–98638.
- [190] S. Maurya, S. Joseph, A. Asokan, A. A. Algethami, M. Hamdi, H. T. Rauf, Federated transfer learning for authentication and privacy preservation using novel supportive twin delayed ddpg (s-td3) algorithm for iiot, Sensors 21 (23) (2021) 7793.
- [191] M. A. Arefeen, S. Tabassum Nimi, M. Y. Sarwar Uddin, Y. Lee, Transjury: Towards explainable transfer learning through selection of layers from deep neural networks, in: 2021 IEEE International Conference on Big Data (Big Data), 2021, pp. 978–984. doi:10. 1109/BigData52589.2021.9671723.
- [192] M. Kirchhof, L. Schmid, C. Reining, M. t. Hompel, M. Pauly, Chances of interpretable transfer learning for human activity recognition in warehousing, in: International Conference on Computational Logistics, Springer, 2021, pp. 163–177.
- [193] F. Incahuanaco-Quispe, E. Hinojosa-Cardenas, D. A. Pilares-Figueroa, C. A. Beltrán-Castañón, Coffeese: Interpretable transfer learning method for estimating the severity of coffee rust, in: Annual International Conference on Information Management and Big Data, Springer, 2022, pp. 340–355.
- [194] R. Ramakrishnan, J. Shah, Towards interpretable explanations for transfer learning in sequential tasks (2016).
- [195] D. Kim, W. Lim, M. Hong, H. Kim, The structure of deep neural network for interpretable transfer learning, in: 2019 IEEE International Conference on Big Data and Smart Computing (BigComp), 2019, pp. 1–4. doi:10.1109/BIGCOMP.2019.8679150.
- [196] S. Lee, B. C. Song, Interpretable embedding procedure knowledge transfer via stacked principal component analysis and graph neural network, in: Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, Vol. 35, 2021, pp. 8297–8305.
- [197] T. Carr, J. Sanderson, D. Broadway, S. Sami, Interpretable staged transfer learning improves oct classification and clinical explanation of retinal diseases from small sample sizes, Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science 62 (8) (2021) 2119–2119.
- [198] P. Zhao, S. C. Hoi, J. Wang, B. Li, Online transfer learning, Artificial intelligence 216 (2014) 76–102.
- [199] Q. Wu, H. Wu, X. Zhou, M. Tan, Y. Xu, Y. Yan, T. Hao, Online transfer learning with multiple homogeneous or heterogeneous sources, IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering 29 (7) (2017) 1494–1507.
- [200] H. Wu, Y. Yan, Y. Ye, H. Min, M. K. Ng, Q. Wu, Online heterogeneous transfer learning by knowledge transition, ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems and Technology (TIST) 10 (3) (2019) 1–19.
- [201] R. Alasbahi, X. Zheng, An online transfer learning framework with extreme learning machine for automated credit scoring, IEEE Access 10 (2022) 46697–46716. doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3171569.