Why magnetic monopole becomes dyon in topological insulators

Shoto Aoki, Hidenori Fukaya, Naoto Kan, Mikito Koshino, and Yoshiyuki Matsuki Department of Physics, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan

Abstract

The Witten effect predicts that a magnetic monopole gains a fractional electric charge inside topological insulators. In this work, we give a microscopic description for this phenomenon, as well as an analogous two-dimensional system with a vortex. We solve a regularized Dirac equation both analytically in continuum and numerically on a lattice, adding the Wilson term to make the sign of the fermion mass well-defined and smearing the singular gauge field in a finite range of radius r_1 to make the analysis UV finite. We find that the Wilson term locally gives a positive mass shift with a size of $1/r_1$, which dynamically creates a finite-sized domain-wall around the monopole/vortex. We can identify the chiral edge-localized zero modes sitting on the created domain-wall as the origin of the electric charge. The fact that the charge origin is not a point-like singularity but a small codimension-one domain-wall makes the topological meaning of the zero modes clearer: they are protected by the Atiyah-Singer index theorem on the wall, which is essential to show that only a half of the wave function is captured by the monopole/vortex.

I. INTRODUCTION

A magnetic monopole has been a fascinating object in particle physics. It explains why elementary particles have quantized electric charges [\[1\]](#page-36-0), makes the Maxwell theory dual under exchange of electric and magnetic fields and appears as a stable solitonic state in grand unified theories [\[2,](#page-37-0) [3\]](#page-37-1). Although it has not been discovered yet, even its absence plays an essential role in supporting the inflation scenario of the early universe, where the density of the monopoles became extremely small [\[4\]](#page-37-2).

In this article we focus on one of remarkable theoretical predictions about a monopole that it gains a nonzero electric charge in the so-called θ vacuum with a nonzero angle, as a consequence of a direct coupling between electric and magnetic fields. This is known as the Witten effect [\[5\]](#page-37-3), which has given significant insights into understanding quantum field theory and string theory [\[6–](#page-37-4)[13\]](#page-37-5).

Nontrivial θ dependence may be found when it comes from a non-zero expectation value of the axion field background. Such a possibility and its interesting theoretical consequence have been actively discussed in Refs. [\[14–](#page-37-6)[19\]](#page-38-0). Our universe is, however, essentially in the $\theta = 0$ vacuum, where the CP symmetry with C transformation (exchanging electrons and positrons) and P transformation (exchanging left-handed and right-handed spins) is not broken^{[1](#page-1-0)}. The only exception is topological insulators, in which we can realize a nontrivial $\theta = \pi$ vacuum where the CP and time-reversal(T) symmetries are protected in a nontrivial way.

Then an interdisciplinary question between particle and condensed matter physics arises: "What will happen to a magnetic monopole when we put it inside a topological insulator?" If the prediction in Ref. [\[5\]](#page-37-3) is correct, it will become a dyon with a half-integral electric charge. See Refs. [\[20–](#page-38-1)[22\]](#page-38-2) for macroscopic understanding of the Witten effect in the condensed matter physics. In this work, we try to microscopically understand the mechanism how the electric charge is captured by the monopole. Although the θ term added in the Maxwell theory hardly tells about the origin of the electric charge, it is almost obvious that the electron is the only candidate inside condensed matter. Then the issues we should address are to clarify 1) how a monopole can capture an electron state, 2) why this does not happen in normal insulators, and 3) why the charge is not an integer but a half integer.

¹ In this work we ignore a tiny CP violation coming from the weak interaction.

In this work, we solve the Dirac equation in the presence of a monopole both analytically in continuum and numerically on a lattice. We also investigate a vortex in a two-dimensional topological insulator, which is also known to capture a half-integral charge [\[23,](#page-38-3) [24\]](#page-38-4). As we will see below, the microscopic mechanism for their electron capture is exactly the same. In our analysis, we introduce the Wilson term in the Dirac operator, to manifestly distinguish the normal and topological insulators and to eliminate UV divergence near the monopole/vortex. We also smear the magnetic charge/flux distribution in a finite range with a radius r_1 to carefully investigate the origin of the nontrivial boundary condition at the singularity of the gauge fields. Our computation is, therefore, UV finite everywhere, so that we can safely take the $r_1 \rightarrow 0$ limit without any ambiguity.

Our key finding in this work is dynamical creation of a domain-wall around the monopole/vortex with a finite radius. Inside the domain-wall, the electron is in the trivial phase, due to additive mass shift produced by the dense magnetic field in the Wilson term. Namely, the neighborhood of the monopole/vortex is a normal insulator island. The electron zero mode near the monopole/vortex is captured not to the zero-dimensional defect [\[25–](#page-38-5)[29\]](#page-38-6) but to this tiny codimension-one domain-wall around the monopole/vortex as a chiral edge mode. The chiral boundary condition is thus a dynamical consequence of the domain-wall creation, rather than an artificial boundary condition imposed by hand.

We find that the chiral modes localized at the monopole/vortex follow a massless Dirac equation in lower dimensions, in which a gravitational connection [\[30–](#page-38-7)[32\]](#page-38-8) is induced due to a strong curvature of the domain-wall. The gravitational effect naturally gives a gap in the Dirac Hamiltonian, which is, however, canceled in our system by the magnetic field of the monopole/vortex to have zero eigenvalue. We also find that the chiral zero modes are topologically related via the Atiyah-Singer(AS) index [\[33,](#page-38-9) [34\]](#page-39-0) (or mod-two AS index [\[35\]](#page-39-1) for the vortex) to the total magnetic flux inside the domain-wall. The existence of the zero modes is, therefore, topologically protected.

The cobordism invariance of the AS index requires existence of another topologically protected zero mode with the opposite chirality at the surface of the topological insulator, which was empirically known in numerical studies [\[28,](#page-38-10) [29,](#page-38-6) [32,](#page-38-8) [36\]](#page-39-2). Since the two zero modes must mix keeping the \pm symmetry of the Dirac Hamiltonian spectrum, we can naturally understand that only one of the two (near) zero modes is occupied in the half-filling state, and the only half of its amplitude is located near the monopole/vortex, making the charge at its vicinity 1/2 of the unit electron charge.

Furthermore, creation of the domain-wall offers an interesting re-interpretation of the original θ vacuum approach in the Maxwell theory. The defect in the θ term does not necessarily require a point-like magnetic charge. If we can insert a thin solenoid with a very dense magnetic flux into a topological insulator, we may be able to experimentally confirm that the magnetic monopole-"like" configuration captures a half electric charge. In this work, we numerically simulate this system smoothly increasing the magnetic flux of the solenoid.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Sec[.II,](#page-3-0) we review the original semiclassical effective theory approach with the θ term and Chern-Simons term in the Maxwell theory in three and two dimensions, respectively. Our analysis starts from a vortex in a twodimensional topological insulator in Sec. [III](#page-5-0) and continue to our main target: a monopole in a three-dimensional topological insulator in Sec. [IV.](#page-15-0) In Sec. [V,](#page-23-0) we numerically confirm pairing of the zero modes: one located at the monopole and the other at the surface of the topological insulator. Then we try to reinterpret the original effective theory approach in Sec. [VI,](#page-28-0) simulating a solenoid whose end produces the essentially same phenomenon as the Witten effect. In Sec. [VII,](#page-33-0) we give a summary and discussion.

II. EFFECTIVE THEORY DESCRIPTION OF MONOPOLE/VORTEX GAINING ELECTRIC CHARGES

First we review the standard understanding how the monopole becomes a dyon when the effective theory contains the $\theta \neq 0$ term. Let us consider a Dirac fermion determinant with a mass m in four dimensions regularized by a Pauli-Villars(PV) field^{[2](#page-3-1)} with a mass M_{PV} ,

$$
Z = \det\left(\frac{D+m}{D+M_{\rm PV}}\right),\tag{1}
$$

where $D = \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} = \gamma^{\mu} (\partial_{\mu} + i A_{\mu})$ is the Dirac operator with the $U(1)$ electro-magnetic gauge field A_{μ} . Here we take M_{PV} positive and $m < 0$ in a T-symmetry protected topological insulator.

When we perform an axial $U(1)$ rotation to flip the mass sign, the anomaly produces the ² In order to fully regularize the theory, we need multiple PV fields. However, for the tree-level computation in this work, one bosonic spinor field is enough.

 $\theta = \pi$ term,

$$
Z = \det\left(\frac{D + |m|}{D + M_{\rm PV}}\right) e^{iS_{\rm top}}\,,\tag{2}
$$

where the topological action S_{top} is

$$
S_{\text{top.}} = \pi \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \int d^4x F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu},\tag{3}
$$

where $F_{\mu\nu}$ is the field strength of the $U(1)$ gauge field, and $\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\rho\sigma}$ with the antisymmetric Levi-Civita tensor $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$. Reducing the cutoff $M_{\rm PV} \to |m|$, or equivalently integrating out the fermions, the remaining effective action is the $\theta = \pi$ term.

The θ term modifies the Maxwell equation in the vacuum to

$$
\partial_{\mu}F^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{\theta}{8\pi^2}\partial_{\mu}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}.\tag{4}
$$

The $\nu = 0$ component relates the divergence of the electric field \boldsymbol{E} to that of the magnetic field \bm{B} . The Gauss law around the monopole is then

$$
q_e = \int d^3x \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{E} = -\frac{\theta}{4\pi^2} \int d^3x \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{B} = -\frac{\theta q_m}{2\pi}.
$$
 (5)

When the magnetic charge q_m is nonzero, the electric charge q_e is also nonzero. In particular, when the monopole has a unit magnetic charge $q_m = 1$, the electric charge^{[3](#page-4-0)} at $\theta = \pi$ is $-1/2$.

In a similar way, the $2 + 1$ -dimensional effective action of a single Dirac fermion in a topological phase is the Chern-Simons action with level $k = 1$, which modifies the Maxwell equation to

$$
\partial_{\mu}F^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{k}{8\pi^2} \epsilon^{\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\rho\sigma},\tag{6}
$$

and the vortex with flux α gains an electric charge through the Gauss law

$$
q_e = \int d^2x \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{E} = -\frac{k}{2\pi} \int d^2x \epsilon^{0\rho\sigma} F_{\rho\sigma} = -k\alpha. \tag{7}
$$

When $\alpha = 1/2$ and k is odd, the electric charge is fractional.

This effective theory description is quite simple, but cannot answer to the questions: 1) What is the origin of electric charge? 2) If the origin is electron field, why is it bounded to monopole/vortex? and 3) Why is the electric charge fractional? In the following sections, we try to answer these questions revealing the microscopic features of the electron field in the presence of the monopole/vortex.

³ From the 2π periodicity of θ , $-1/2$ mod \mathbb{Z} is allowed.

III. TWO-DIMENSIONAL VORTEX

We start our analysis from a two-dimensional massive Dirac fermion, which represents a model of electron fields in a two-dimensional topological insulator. As will be shown below, the microscopic mechanism how a vortex gains an electric charge is essentially the same as what happens on a monopole in a three-dimensional topological insulator. Summary of analytic results and some numerical analysis on a lattice were already presented in Refs. [\[31,](#page-38-11) [32\]](#page-38-8) by two of the authors.

A. A naive Dirac equation

First we review the standard analysis of the Dirac equation in the literature [\[37\]](#page-39-3) to clarify the problems and our goals. Let us consider a two-dimensional fermion system described by the Dirac Hamiltonian with a mass m. We put a $U(1)$ gauge flux located at the origin, whose vector potential is given by

$$
A_1(x, y) = -\alpha \frac{y}{r^2}, \quad A_2(x, y) = \alpha \frac{x}{r^2}, \tag{8}
$$

where $r^2 = x^2 + y^2$ and the index $i (= 1, 2)$ of A_i denotes the direction of the vector (x and y components). The field strength describing the vortex or a point-like flux at the origin is

$$
F_{12} = \partial_1 A_2 - \partial_2 A_1 = 2\pi \alpha \delta(x) \delta(y). \tag{9}
$$

The Dirac Hamiltonian with the polar coordinate (r, θ) is

$$
H = \sigma_3 \left(\sum_{i=1,2} \sigma_i (\partial_i - i A_i) + m \right) = \sigma_3 \left(m \frac{m}{e^{i\theta} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + i \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\alpha}{r} \right)} e^{-i\theta} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} - i \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\alpha}{r} \right) \right).
$$
 (10)

Noting that H conserves the angular momentum $J = -i\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3$, the general solution for $H\psi^{E,j} = E\psi^{E,j}$ at $r \neq 0$, which exponentially decays at large r is given by

$$
\psi^{E,j}(r,\theta) = C \left(\frac{(m+E)K_{j-\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}(\sqrt{m^2 - E^2}r)e^{i(j-\frac{1}{2})\theta}}{\sqrt{m^2 - E^2}K_{j+\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}(\sqrt{m^2 - E^2}r)e^{i(j+\frac{1}{2})\theta}} \right),
$$
\n(11)

where C is the normalization constant, and j is an eigenvalue of J , taking a half integer: $j \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$.

In the r = 0 limit, the Bessel function is expanded as $K_{\nu}(Mr) \sim O(1/r^{\nu l})$, and the solution $\psi^{E,j}(r,\theta)$ is normalizable only when $j = \alpha^4$ $j = \alpha^4$. The $U(1)$ flux which allows the bound state of the electron is thus quantized.

The energy E must be also quantized since the wave function is limited in a tiny region around $r = 0$. If we smear the delta function in a region $r \sim r_1$, the energy will be discretized in units of $1/r_1$. From the condition $E^2 < m^2$, the only surviving energy value in the $r_1 \to 0$ limit is $E = 0$.

To summarize, for the vortex with $\alpha = 1/2 + n$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the system can have a unique square-integrable mode localized at the vortex,

$$
\psi^{E=0,(2n+1)/2}(r,\theta) = C \left(m \atop |m|e^{i\theta} \right) e^{in\theta} K_{\frac{1}{2}}(|m|r), \tag{12}
$$

whose energy is zero. It is important to note that this mode is a "chiral" eigenstate of

$$
\sigma_r = \frac{x}{r}\sigma_1 + \frac{y}{r}\sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{-i\theta} \\ e^{i\theta} & 0 \end{pmatrix},
$$
\n(13)

with the eigenvalue $sign(m)$.

The solution explains that the vortex can capture an electron state without any energy loss, with which we can interpret that the vortex is "charged". However, it is not sufficient to fully explain the physics in detail. First, the solution does not describe why this happens in the topological insulator with $m < 0$ but does not in the normal insulator $(m > 0)$. One may impose a boundary condition $\sigma_r \psi = \text{sign}(m) \psi$ by hand for the topological insulator and $\sigma_r \psi = -\text{sign}(m)\psi$ for the normal insulator, but the choice looks ad-hoc and any relation to the $U(1)$ gauge flux is unclear. Second, the solution is square-integrable but its radial derivative $\partial_r \psi$ is not. This may be related to the singularity of the $U(1)$ gauge field but it is difficult to identify the origin. Third, the Witten effect suggests that the charge that the defect gains is a half integer. But the above solution does not have any information about the fractional charge.

B. Wilson term and smearing of the $U(1)$ flux

In the standard perturbative computation in continuum theory, we take the Dirac equation as it is in the classical field theory but higher derivative terms are introduced through

⁴ Note that $\int_{r_1} drrr^{-2\beta}$ is finite in the $r_1 \to 0$ limit only when $\beta \leq 1/2$.

the Pauli-Villars or heat-kernel regularization to make loop integrals finite. In lattice gauge theory, on the other hand, we must regularize the Dirac operator with the so-called Wilson term. The Wilson term corresponds to a covariant Laplacian, which is needed even at the tree-level to avoid fermion doubling.

The Wilson term can be interpreted as a correction from the Pauli-Villars(PV) field in Eq. [\(1\)](#page-3-2). The "regularized" Dirac operator is given by

$$
D_{\text{reg}} = M_{\text{PV}} \frac{D + m}{D + M_{\text{PV}}} = D + m - \frac{1}{M_{\text{PV}}} D_{\mu} D^{\mu}, \tag{14}
$$

where $O(1/M_{\rm PV}^2, m/M_{\rm PV}, F_{\mu\nu}/M_{PV})$ terms are omitted. Note that the overall factor $M_{\rm PV}$ is multiplied to keep the standard normalization. If we identify $1/M_{PV}$ as the lattice spacing, the additional term corresponds to the Wilson term.

The Wilson term seems to play no role in the continuum limit $M_{PV} \rightarrow \infty$ as it monotonically vanishes. However, as shown below, when the gauge field is focused in a smaller range compared to $1/M_{\text{PV}}$, it gives a nontrivial effect. It is important to note that the sign of the mass m is well-defined once the sign of M_{PV} is fixed. The Dirac equation is manifestly different between positive and negative m . As mentioned in the previous sections, negative m represents the topological insulator, while $m > 0$ is in the trivial phase.

In the following analysis, we consider the Dirac Hamiltonian rather than the Dirac operator containing temporal derivative. The Wilson term is introduced only for the spacial directions, which corresponds to taking the continuum limit in the time direction first^{[5](#page-7-0)}.

Let us also regularize the gauge field by considering the $U(1)$ flux with a finite size:

$$
A_1(x, y) = -\alpha \frac{y}{r_1^2}, \quad A_2(x, y) = \alpha \frac{x}{r_1^2} \quad \text{(for } r \le r_1\text{)},
$$
\n
$$
A_1(x, y) = -\alpha \frac{y}{r^2}, \quad A_2(x, y) = \alpha \frac{x}{r^2} \quad \text{(for } r > r_1\text{)},
$$
\n
$$
\tag{15}
$$

where we assume $r_1 < 1/M_{\text{PV}}$. The field strength F_{12} is zero outside of the circle $r > r_1$, while it is smeared to a constant $F_{12} = 2\alpha/r_1^2$ inside $r \le r_1$, keeping the total flux

$$
\int_{r < r_1} d^2x F_{12} = 2\pi\alpha,\tag{16}
$$

⁵ In our study where $A_0 = 0$ and $A_{1,2}$ are t-independent, there is no contribution from the temporal covariant derivative.

unchanged. In the polar coordinate, it is equivalent to set the gauge field in the angular direction by

$$
A_{\theta} = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha r}{r_1^2} & \text{(for } r \le r_1) \\ \frac{\alpha}{r} & \text{(for } r > r_1) \end{cases} \tag{17}
$$

Note that the vector potential is regular at $r = 0$. In the above set up, the covariant Laplacian is given by

$$
D_i D^i = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \left(-i \frac{1}{r} \partial_\theta - A_\theta \right)^2.
$$
 (18)

C. Solving the regularized Dirac equation

We are now ready to solve the regularized Dirac equation

$$
H_{\text{reg}}\psi = \left[H - \frac{1}{M_{\text{PV}}} \sigma_3 D_i D^i\right] \psi = E\psi,
$$
\n(19)

where we denote the energy by E . Since the system still respects the rotational symmetry: the modified Dirac Hamiltonian commutes with $J = -i\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}\sigma_3$, we can take the eigenfunction in the form

$$
\psi^{E,j} = \begin{pmatrix} f(r)e^{i\theta(j-1/2)} \\ g(r)e^{i\theta(j+1/2)} \end{pmatrix},\tag{20}
$$

where j takes a half integer. On the (f, g) space, H_{reg} acts as

$$
\left(m - \frac{1}{M_{\rm PV}} \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \left(\frac{j - 1/2}{r} - A_\theta \right)^2 \right] -m + \frac{1}{M_{\rm PV}} \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \left(\frac{j + 1/2}{r} - A_\theta \right)^2 \right] \right). \tag{21}
$$

1. Solution at $r > r_1$

In the region $r > r_1$, $A_\theta = \alpha/r$. Noting that the modified Bessel equation guarantees that $K_{\nu}(\kappa r)$ (as well as $I_{\nu}(\kappa r)$) for any positive value of κ is the eigenstates of the Laplacian:

$$
\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{\nu^2}{r^2}\right] K_{\nu}(\kappa r) = \kappa^2 K_{\nu}(\kappa r),\tag{22}
$$

the solution to the Dirac equation can still be in the form

$$
\begin{pmatrix} aK_{j-1/2-\alpha}(\kappa r) \\ bK_{j+1/2-\alpha}(\kappa r) \end{pmatrix}
$$
 (23)

with the coefficients a and b .

The general solution is thus a linear combination of the two solutions

$$
\psi_{\text{out}}^{E,j}(r,\theta) = C \left(\frac{\left(m + E - \frac{\kappa_-^2}{M_{\text{PV}}} \right) K_{j-\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}(\kappa_- r) e^{i(j-\frac{1}{2})\theta}}{\kappa_- K_{j+\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}(\kappa_- r) e^{i(j+\frac{1}{2})\theta}} \right) + D \left(\frac{\left(m + E - \frac{\kappa_+^2}{M_{\text{PV}}} \right) K_{j-\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}(\kappa_+ r) e^{i(j-\frac{1}{2})\theta}}{\kappa_+ K_{j+\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}(\kappa_+ r) e^{i(j+\frac{1}{2})\theta}} \right) \tag{24}
$$

with the coefficients C and D , where

$$
\kappa_{\pm} = M_{\rm PV} \sqrt{\frac{(1 + 2m/M_{\rm PV}) \pm \sqrt{(1 + 2m/M_{\rm PV})^2 - 4(m^2 - E^2)/M_{\rm PV}^2}}{2}}.\tag{25}
$$

The other two solutions given by I_{ν} are not square integrable at $r \to \infty$.

2. Solution at $r \leq r_1$

Next, we consider the $r \leq r_1$ region. In this case, $A_{\theta} = \alpha r/r_1^2$ and the covariant Laplacian operates as

$$
D_i D^i = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{r^2} \left[\left(j \mp \frac{1}{2} \right) - \frac{\alpha r^2}{r_1^2} \right]^2, \tag{26}
$$

to the $\sigma_3 = \pm 1$ component.

Let us look at the upper spinor component $f(r)$. Assuming $j > 0$ and taking the following form

$$
f(r) = ar^{j-1/2}e^{-\frac{\alpha r^2}{2r_1^2}}F(r),
$$
\n(27)

with a constant a, we find with the change of the variable $t = \alpha r^2/r_1^2$ that

$$
D_i D^i f(r) = ar^{j-1/2} e^{-\frac{\alpha r^2}{2r_1^2}} \frac{4\alpha}{r_1^2} \left[t \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \left\{ \left(j + \frac{1}{2} \right) - t \right\} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \left(-\frac{r_1^2}{4\alpha} L \right) \right] F(r)
$$

+
$$
ar^{j-1/2} e^{-\frac{\alpha r^2}{2r_1^2}} \left(-L - \frac{2\alpha}{r_1^2} \right) F(r),
$$
 (28)

where we can take an arbitrary constant L (which cancels out at the right-hand side). If we take $F(r)$ to be a confluent hypergeometric function: $F_1(-r_1^2L/4\alpha, j + 1/2; \alpha r^2/r_1^2)$, then the first term vanishes and $f(r)$ is an eigenstate of the Laplacian:

$$
D_i D^i f(r) = \left(-L - \frac{2\alpha}{r_1^2}\right) f(r). \tag{29}
$$

Taking the lower spinor component as

$$
g(r) = b \frac{L}{2j+1} r^{j+1/2} e^{-\frac{\alpha r^2}{2r_1^2}} {}_1F_1(-r_1^2 L/4\alpha + 1, j+3/2; \alpha r^2/r_1^2), \tag{30}
$$

the Dirac equation is in a closed form^{[6](#page-10-0)} with respect to the coefficients (a, b) :

$$
\begin{pmatrix} m + \frac{1}{M_{\text{PV}}} \left(L + \frac{2\alpha}{r_1^2} \right) & L \\ 1 & -m - \frac{1}{M_{\text{PV}}} \left(L - \frac{2\alpha}{r_1^2} \right) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = E \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (35)

Note that the same equation is obtained for negative j . The general solution is thus a linear combination of the two solutions

$$
\psi_{\text{in}}^{E,j}(r,\theta) = A \left(\frac{\left(m + E + \frac{L_{-}}{M_{\text{PV}}} - \frac{2\alpha}{M_{\text{PV}}r_1^2} \right) f_{-}^j(r)e^{i(j-\frac{1}{2})\theta}}{g_{-}^j(r)e^{i(j+\frac{1}{2})\theta}} \right) + B \left(\frac{\left(m + E + \frac{L_{+}}{M_{\text{PV}}} - \frac{2\alpha}{M_{\text{PV}}r_1^2} \right) f_{+}^j(r)e^{i(j-\frac{1}{2})\theta}}{g_{+}^j(r)e^{i(j+\frac{1}{2})\theta}} \right),
$$
(36)

with constant coefficients A and B , where

$$
L_{\pm} = M_{\rm PV}^2 \left[\frac{-(1 + 2m/M_{\rm PV}) \pm \sqrt{(1 + 2m/M_{\rm PV})^2 - 4\left\{m^2 - (E - \frac{2\alpha}{M_{\rm PV}r_1^2})^2\right\}/M_{\rm PV}^2}}{2} \right],
$$
\n(37)

⁶ In the computations, we have used the following formulas about the confluent hypergeometric functions (here we omit the subscripts).

$$
\partial_z F(\alpha, \gamma; z) = -\frac{\alpha}{\gamma} F(\alpha + 1, \gamma + 1; z),\tag{31}
$$

$$
z\partial_z F(\alpha, \gamma + 1; z) = \gamma \left[F(\alpha, \gamma; z) - F(\alpha, \gamma + 1; z) \right],\tag{32}
$$

$$
zF(\alpha+1,\gamma+1;z) = (1-\gamma/\alpha)F(\alpha,\gamma+1;z) + \frac{\gamma}{\alpha}F(\alpha,\gamma;z),
$$
\n(33)

$$
(\alpha - \gamma)F(\alpha, \gamma + 1; z) = \alpha F(\alpha + 1, \gamma + 1; z) - \gamma F(\alpha, \gamma; z).
$$
\n(34)

and

$$
f_{\pm}^{j}(r) = \begin{cases} r^{j-1/2}e^{-\frac{\alpha r^{2}}{2r_{1}^{2}}+F_{1}(-r_{1}^{2}L_{\pm}/4\alpha, j+1/2; \alpha r^{2}/r_{1}^{2})} & (\text{for } j > 0) \\ r^{-j+1/2}e^{-\frac{\alpha r^{2}}{2r_{1}^{2}}+F_{1}(-r_{1}^{2}L_{\pm}/4\alpha-j+1/2, -j+3/2; \alpha r^{2}/r_{1}^{2})} & (\text{for } j < 0) \\ g_{\pm}^{j}(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{L_{\pm}}{2j+1}r^{j+1/2}e^{-\frac{\alpha r^{2}}{2r_{1}^{2}}+F_{1}(-r_{1}^{2}L_{\pm}/4\alpha+1, j+3/2; \alpha r^{2}/r_{1}^{2})} & (\text{for } j > 0) \\ (2j-1)r^{-j-1/2}e^{-\frac{\alpha r^{2}}{2r_{1}^{2}}+F_{1}(-r_{1}^{2}L_{\pm}/4\alpha-j+1/2, -j+1/2; \alpha r^{2}/r_{1}^{2})} & (\text{for } j < 0) \end{cases} \end{cases}
$$
(39)

The other two solutions given by Tricomi's hypergeometric function $U(a, b, z)$ are not square integrable.

3. Connecting in and out

Let us connect the exact solutions at $r = r_1$. In the standard analysis, one requires the eigenfunction and its first derivative to be continuous. In the above case where both solutions are eigenfunctions of the Laplacian, it is equivalent to impose the two condition

$$
\psi_{\text{in}}^{E,j}(r_1,\theta) = \psi_{\text{out}}^{E,j}(r_1,\theta), \quad D_i D^i \psi_{\text{in}}^{E,j}(r_1,\theta) = D_i D^i \psi_{\text{out}}^{E,j}(r_1,\theta), \tag{40}
$$

together with the normalization condition to determine the coefficients A, B, C, D and the energy eigenvalue E.

In the limit $|M_{\text{PV}}| \ll 1/r_1$, we can analytically show the existence of a deep bound state near $E \sim 0$. To this end, let us first rewrite the eigenfunction as

$$
\psi_{\text{in}}^{E,j}(r_1,\theta) = A\psi_{\text{in}}^- + B\psi_{\text{in}}^+, \quad \psi_{\text{out}}^{E,j}(r_1,\theta) = C\psi_{\text{out}}^- + D\psi_{\text{out}}^+, \tag{41}
$$

and that with the Laplacian multiplied accordingly. The explicit form for each component is given in Eqs. [\(24\)](#page-9-0) and [\(36\)](#page-10-1). In order for the coefficients A, B, C, D to have nontrivial solutions, the energy E must satisfy

$$
\det \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{\text{in}}^- & \psi_{\text{in}}^+ & -\psi_{\text{out}}^- & -\psi_{\text{out}}^+ \\ D_i D^i \psi_{\text{in}}^- & D_i D^i \psi_{\text{in}}^+ & -D_i D^i \psi_{\text{out}}^- & -D_i D^i \psi_{\text{out}}^+ \end{pmatrix} = 0.
$$
 (42)

In the $|M_{\rm PV}| \ll 1/r_1$ limit^{[7](#page-11-0)} where $L_{\pm} \sim \pm \frac{2\alpha}{r_1^2} - \frac{M_{\rm PV}(M_{\rm PV} + 2m)}{2}$ $\frac{1_{\text{PV}}+2m}{2}$, a term containing the lower component of $D_i D^i \psi_{\text{in}}^-$ and the upper component of $D_i D^i \psi_{\text{in}}^+$ gives the dominant contribution

⁷ A simpler limit $m \ll |M_{\rm PV}| \ll 1/r_1$ was investigated in [\[32\]](#page-38-8) by two of the authors.

to the determinant. Therefore, Eq. [\(42\)](#page-11-1) reduces to

$$
\det\left(-\psi_{\text{out}}^{-} - \psi_{\text{out}}^{+}\right) = 0,\tag{43}
$$

which requires ψ_{out}^- and ψ_{out}^+ to be parallel to each other.

It is important to note that when $\alpha = j$, the Dirac operator becomes real : $\sigma_3 H^* =$ $(\sigma_1 e^{-i(2j\theta)})^{-1} \sigma_3 H(\sigma_1 e^{-i(2j\theta)})$. For the one-dimensional edge modes, this real structure is identified as the T symmetry. Since $H^* = -(\sigma_1 e^{-i(2j\theta)})^{-1} H(\sigma_1 e^{-i(2j\theta)})$, any eigenmode of H with a non-zero eigenvalue $\lambda: H\psi_{\lambda} = \lambda \psi_{\lambda}$, makes a pair with $\sigma_1 e^{-i(2j\theta)} \psi_{\lambda}^*$, whose eigenvalue is $-\lambda$. Therefore, if the eigenvalue below |m| is unique, $E = 0$ is the only possible choice, to keep the spectrum \pm symmetric.

Let us explicitly confirm the above argument taking the $r_1 \rightarrow 0$ limit and $\alpha - [\alpha] =$ $(1+\epsilon)/2$, while keeping m and M_{PV} arbitrary under the condition $mM_{\text{PV}} < 0$. The condition Eq. [\(43\)](#page-12-0) leads to

$$
\frac{\kappa_+^{1+\epsilon} - \kappa_-^{1+\epsilon}}{\kappa_+^{-1+\epsilon} - \kappa_-^{-1+\epsilon}} = M_{\text{PV}}(m - E). \tag{44}
$$

In the small ϵ expansion, the energy is given by

$$
E = \epsilon \frac{2|m|}{\sqrt{1 + 4m/M_{\rm PV}}} \log \left[\frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4m/M_{\rm PV}}}{\sqrt{1 + 4m/M_{\rm PV}}} \right],\tag{45}
$$

which becomes zero in the $\epsilon \to 0$ limit.

In the same $r_1 \rightarrow 0$ limit with finite M_{PV} and m, setting $\alpha = j$ (and $E = 0$), let us also compute the coefficients A, B, C , and D. It is sufficient to consider the angle $\theta = 0$. In this limit, we have $\kappa_{\pm} = M_{\rm PV} (1 \pm \sqrt{1 + 4m/M_{\rm PV}})/2$ (where we have assumed $m < 0$) and $(m - \kappa_{\pm}^2/M) = -\kappa_{\pm}$. From the connection condition for $D_i D^i \psi_{\text{in/out}}^{E=0,j}(r_1, \theta = 0)$, we obtain

$$
A = r_1^{3-j} A' \frac{(j+1/2)e^{j/2}}{(2j)^2 {}_1F_1(3/2, j+3/2; j)}, \quad B = r_1^{2-j} A' \frac{e^{j/2}}{j M_{\text{PV1}} F_1(-1/2, j+1/2; j)},\tag{46}
$$

with

$$
A' = -\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} (C\kappa_-^{5/2} + D\kappa_+^{5/2}).
$$
\n(47)

It is interesting to note that we can immediately conclude $\psi_{\text{in}}^{E=0,j}(r_1,\theta) = 0$ in the limit $r_1 = 0$ with the coefficients A and B above. Then we finally obtain from the condition $0 = \sqrt{r_1} \psi_{\text{out}}^{E=0,j}(r_1, \theta=0)$ that $D = -\sqrt{\kappa_+/\kappa_+}C$ and the bound state's eigenfunction

$$
\psi_{\text{out}}^{E=0,j}(r,\theta) = C' \left[\sqrt{\kappa_-} K_{\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa_- r) - \sqrt{\kappa_+} K_{\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa_+ r) \right] \begin{pmatrix} e^{i(j-1/2)\theta} \\ -e^{i(j+1/2)\theta} \end{pmatrix},
$$
(48)

where $C' = -C\sqrt{\kappa_{-}}$ is a dimensionless normalization constant.

Note in the large M_{PV} and limit, $\kappa_+ \to M_{\text{PV}}$, while $\kappa_- \to |m|$. Therefore, the κ_+ component decays quickly and the only κ _− component survives converging to Eq. [\(11\)](#page-5-1).

D. Microscopic understanding and why the charge is fractional

We have obtained an exact solution of the regularized Dirac equation with the Wilson term with a finite radius r_1 of the $U(1)$ flux. Here we give a microscopic interpretation of the results.

The Wilson term makes the sign of the fermion mass well-defined. Since every eigenvalue of the covariant Laplacian $-D_i D^i$ is positive for any configuration of the gauge field, inside topological insulators or in the case $m < 0$ taking M_{PV} positive, it is possible to locally flip the sign of the "effective" mass of the fermion

$$
m_{\text{eff}} = \left\langle m + \frac{-D_i D^i}{M_{\text{PV}}} \right\rangle,\tag{49}
$$

by a strong magnetic flux. In Ref. [\[32\]](#page-38-8), we numerically confirmed that the vortex makes a small island of the positive mass region.

With the $U(1)$ flux analyzed above, the solution at $r < r_1$ has a dominant component whose eigenvalue of $-D_i D^i$ is $O(1/r_1)$. Therefore, for any value of $m < 0$, the $r_1 \to 0$ limit guarantees creation of a domain-wall around $r = r_1$ on which a single chiral edge-localized mode appears, while the wall never appears inside normal insulators with $m > 0$. This is the unique bound state that the vortex captures in the topological insulators. The sharp change of the mass can be identified as the origin of the steep r dependence of the wave function.

Moreover, when $\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \mod \mathbb{Z}$, the Dirac operator becomes real in the $r_1 \to 0$ limit. Then the binding energy must be zero to make the spectrum \pm symmetric. For the onedimensional edge-localized effective Hamiltonian, this is the T-symmetric point where the T transformation is given by the complex conjugate operation. For this real Dirac operator, the number of zero modes is a topological invariant known as the mod-two Atiyah-Singer index [\[35\]](#page-39-1).

In order to discuss the topological feature of the fermion zero mode, we also need an infra-red regularization of the whole system. So far we have assumed an infinite flat space

 \mathbb{R}^2 . In particle physics, the system is often regularized by the one-point compactification identifying the infinite points $r = \infty$. However, this does not work in our case. If we succeeded in the one-point compactification, the topological insulator $m_{\text{eff}} < 0$ region would have a topology of a disk with a small $S¹$ boundary at $r = r₁$. This contradicts the fact that the mod-two AS index is a cobordism invariant, which cannot be nonzero if the target manifold is a boundary of some higher-dimensional manifold. The resolution is given by setting $m > 0$ region outside to create another domain-wall at, say, $r = r_0$. In physics, this treatment is obvious since for any topological insulator, there exists its outside in the normal phase^{[8](#page-14-0)}.

Once the new domain-wall is set, the cobordism requires another zero mode at $r = r_0$ to keep the total index trivial. Then the two zero eigenmodes, the bound state at the vortex and the one at the new domain-wall, mix by tunneling effect and the eigenvalues are split to some symmetric small values $\pm \varepsilon$ (still keeping the T symmetry of the edges). The edgelocalized modes on a domain-wall with radius $r_0 \gg r_1$ were analytically obtained in Ref. [\[32\]](#page-38-8) by two of the authors. The zero energy mode at $\alpha = j$ is a chiral eigenstate with $\sigma_r = +1$, which is opposite to that the solution in Eq. (48) has.

The 50% of the mixed state is located at the vortex, while the other 50% is sitting at the domain-wall. In Ref. [\[32\]](#page-38-8), two of the authors numerically confirmed this splitting on a square lattice. For the half-filling situation where we put the Fermi energy to zero, only one of the two split zero modes is occupied. Then the charge expectation value around the vortex is $-1/2$ of the unit charge, while the other $-1/2$ is distributed on the surface of the topological insulator.

This is our microscopic interpretation of the mechanism how the vortex in the twodimensional topological insulator gains a half of the electric charge. As shown below, the same mechanism explains the Witten effect that a magnetic monopole in a three-dimensional topological insulator becomes a dyon with a half-integral electric charge.

⁸ Another consistent treatment is to put the same number of vortices and anti-vortices with even number of domain-walls in the system.

IV. A MONOPOLE IN THREE DIMENSIONS

Next let us consider a magnetic monopole in three dimensions. The vector potential of the Dirac monopole is given by

$$
A_1 = \frac{-q_m y}{r(r+z)}, \quad A_2 = \frac{q_m x}{r(r+z)}, \quad A_3 = 0,
$$
\n(50)

(the subscript 3 denotes the z-direction) of which field strength is

$$
\partial_i A_j - \partial_j A_i = q_m \epsilon_{ijk} \frac{x_k}{r^3} - 4\pi q_m \delta(x) \delta(y) \Theta(-z) \epsilon_{ij3},\tag{51}
$$

where we denote $(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x, y, z)$. The second term represents the so-called Dirac string with the step function $\Theta(-z) = +1$ for $z \le 0$, and $\Theta(-z) = 0$, otherwise. In order to eliminate the Dirac string, we may introduce another vector potential, which is regular in the thin cone around the Dirac string and glue to the first one, from which the quantization condition of the magnetic charge is required. However, in this work, we regard the Dirac string as a physical object between the monopole and anti-monopole at a long distance. Here and in the following, we assume $q_m = n/2$ with an integer n.

When the Dirac string has no physical effect, the monopole configuration has an $SO(3)$ rotational symmetry. Therefore, it is convenient to introduce the orbital angular momentum operator

$$
L_i = -i\epsilon_{ijk}x_j(\partial_k - iA_k) - n\frac{x_i}{2r},\tag{52}
$$

which satisfies $[L_i, L_j] = i\epsilon_{ijk}L_k$. Their explicit form in the polar coordinate is given by

$$
L_{\pm} = L_1 \pm iL_2 = e^{\pm i\phi} \left(\pm \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + i \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} + \frac{n \cos \theta - 1}{2 \sin \theta} \right),\tag{53}
$$

$$
L_3 = -i\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} - \frac{n}{2}.\tag{54}
$$

The highest and lowest states of L_3 are obtained from the equation $L_{\pm}g_{\pm}=0$ as

$$
g_{+} = \sin^{l} \theta \left(\frac{1 - \cos \theta}{1 + \cos \theta} \right)^{\frac{n}{4}} e^{i(l + \frac{n}{2})\theta} = (\sin \theta e^{i\phi})^{l + \frac{n}{2}} (1 + \cos \theta)^{-\frac{n}{2}}, \tag{55}
$$

$$
g_{-} = \sin^{l} \theta \left(\frac{1 + \cos \theta}{1 - \cos \theta} \right)^{\frac{n}{4}} e^{i(l + \frac{n}{2})\theta} = (\sin \theta e^{-i\phi})^{l - \frac{n}{2}} (1 + \cos \theta)^{\frac{n}{2}}, \tag{56}
$$

for which the two conditions $l \pm \frac{n}{2}$ $\frac{n}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$ from the periodicity of ϕ , and $l - |\frac{n}{2}| \geq 0$ required from regularity at $\theta \to 0$, must be satisfied. Consequently, l must be a half integer not smaller than $\left|\frac{n}{2}\right|$ $\frac{n}{2}$, which is in contrast to the free fermion case with an integer l.

A. Naive Dirac equation

With the monopole background obtained above, let us review the study of a bound state of the naive Dirac Hamiltonian [\[25\]](#page-38-5) without the Wilson term,

$$
H = \gamma_0 \left(\gamma^i (\partial_i - i A_i) + m \right) = \begin{pmatrix} m & \sigma^i (\partial_i - i A_i) \\ -\sigma^i (\partial_i - i A_i) & -m \end{pmatrix}, \tag{57}
$$

where we have set $\gamma_0 = \sigma_3 \otimes 1$ and $\gamma_i = \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_i$. It is important to note that H anti-commutes with a "chirality"^{[9](#page-16-0)} operator $\bar{\gamma} = \sigma_1 \otimes 1$.

The orbital angular momentum operator alone does not commute with the Dirac Hamiltonian but the total angular momentum

$$
J_i = L_i + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_i, \quad [J_i, J_j] = i\epsilon_{ijk}J_k,\tag{58}
$$

does: $[1 \otimes J_i, H] = 0$ follows from $[J_i, \sigma^j(\partial_j - iA_j)] = 0$. Except for the lowest eigenvalue $j = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$ $\frac{n}{2}$ | - 1/2, where the degeneracy is $2j + 1 = |n|$, there are $2(2j + 1)$ degenerate states. In the following analysis, we use with $\sigma_r = x^j \sigma_j / r$ that

$$
[J_i, \sigma_r] = 0, \quad \sigma^i L_i = J^2 - L^2 - 3/4. \tag{59}
$$

There is another operator which commutes with H . To find this, let us define

$$
D^{S^2} := \sigma^i \left(L_i + \frac{n \, x_i}{2 \, r} \right) + 1 \tag{60}
$$

whose physical meaning will be discussed later. Using the following equalities,

$$
[J_i, D^{S^2}] = 0, \quad \{D^{S^2}, \sigma_r\} = 0, \quad \left[D^{S^2}\right]^2 = \left(j + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{n^2}{4}.
$$
 (61)

it is not difficult to show $[\sigma_3 \otimes D^{S^2}, H] = 0$.

For $j > \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$ $\frac{n}{2}$ | - 1/2 let us introduce the eigenstates of D^{S^2} which satisfies

$$
D^{S^2} \chi_{j,j_3,\pm}(\theta,\phi) = \pm \sqrt{\left(j+\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{n^2}{4}} \chi_{j,j_3,\pm}(\theta,\phi). \tag{62}
$$

Then for any function $f(r)$ and $g(r)$, the vector of the form

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{r}}\left(\frac{f(r)\chi_{j,j_3,\pm}(\theta,\phi)}{g(r)\sigma_r\chi_{j,j_3,\pm}(\theta,\phi)}\right),\tag{63}
$$

⁹ $\bar{\gamma}$ is different from the standard chirality operator $\gamma_5 = -\gamma_0 \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_3 = \sigma_2 \otimes 1$. The extra symmetry with $\bar{\gamma}$ comes from absence of the scalar potential.

is an eigenstate of $\sigma_3 \otimes D^{S^2}$. Here the overall factor 1/ √ \overline{r} is introduced just for later convenience.

The $j = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$ $\frac{n}{2}$ | - 1/2 state we denote by $\chi_{j,j_3,0}$ is special and does not make \pm pair of D^{S^2} . We note that $\chi_{j,j_3,0}$ is an eigenstate of σ_r :

$$
\sigma_r \chi_{j,j_3,0}(\theta,\phi) = \text{sign}(n) \chi_{j,j_3,0}(\theta,\phi). \tag{64}
$$

Since the degeneracy at each j is exhausted, the θ and ϕ dependence is completely obtained.

The remaining r dependence determines the energy eigenvalue E of H. For $j > \frac{n}{2}$ $\frac{n}{2}$ | $-1/2$, we find no normalizable solution localized at the monopole. The only candidate for the bound state that the monopole can capture is, therefore, the state with $j = \frac{n}{2}$ $\frac{n}{2}$ | $-1/2$, which should have the form

$$
\frac{1}{r}\left(\frac{f(r)\chi_{j,j_3,0}(\theta,\phi)}{g(r)\chi_{j,j_3,0}(\theta,\phi)}\right).
$$
\n(65)

and the equation reduces to

$$
\begin{pmatrix} m & \text{sign}(n)\partial_r \\ -\text{sign}(n)\partial_r & -m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f(r) \\ g(r) \end{pmatrix} = E \begin{pmatrix} f(r) \\ g(r) \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (66)

Noting that H^2 operates diagonally and identically on f and g, we can deduce that $g = \pm f$, or equivalently $\bar{\gamma} = \sigma_1 \otimes 1 = \pm 1$ and $E = 0$. The solution is $f(r) = \exp(-|m|r)$ and the possible bound state is, thus,

$$
\frac{C_{j,j_3,0}}{r} \exp(-|m|r) \left(\frac{1}{\text{sign}(m)\text{sign}(n)}\right) \chi_{j,j_3,0}(\theta,\phi),\tag{67}
$$

which is a chiral eigenstate of $\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_r$ with the eigenvalue sign(*m*). Here the normalization constant is denoted by $C_{j,j_3,0}$.

For a unit magnetic charge $n = 1$, $j = j_3 = 0$ the possible bound state above is unique. However, we cannot distinguish normal and topological insulators unless we specify the chiral boundary condition at $r = 0$. In the above analysis, the origin of the chirality is not clear, either. In Ref. [\[25\]](#page-38-5), a half electric charge is obtained by summing up all the charges in the Dirac sea and comparing with that without monopole, but only after a regularization which breaks conservation of the charge.

B. Wilson term

Let us introduce the Wilson term to the Dirac Hamiltonian in the presence of a monopole,

$$
H = \gamma_0 \left(\gamma^i (\partial_i - iA_i) + m - \frac{D_i D^i}{M_{\text{PV}}} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} m - D_i D^i / M_{\text{PV}} & \sigma^i (\partial_i - iA_i) \\ -\sigma^i (\partial_i - iA_i) & -m + D_i D^i / M_{\text{PV}} \end{pmatrix} . \tag{68}
$$

First, we examine the symmetry of the modified Dirac Hamiltonian. Using

$$
\left[\sigma^i(\partial_i - iA_i)\right]^2 = D_i D^i + \frac{n}{2r^2} \sigma_r,\tag{69}
$$

 $[J_i, \sigma_r] = 0$, and $[J_i, \sigma^j(\partial_j - iA_j)] = 0$ we can show that $[D_i D^i, J_i] = 0$ and the rotational symmetry is maintained: $[H, 1 \otimes J_i] = 0$.

However,

$$
\left[D^{S^2}, D_i D^i\right] \neq 0,\tag{70}
$$

which indicates that the $2(2j + 1)$ degenerate states for $j > |n/2| - 1/2$ are split to two $(2j + 1)$ degenerate sets.

It is remarkable that $\bar{\gamma} = \sigma_1 \otimes 1$ still anti-commutes with H, which indicates that if the bound state around the monopole is unique, its energy eigenvalue E must be zero and it must be a chiral eigenstate of $\bar{\gamma}$.

Before the exact calculation, let us determine the chirality of the bound state. Let us assume that $M_{PV} > 0$, $m < 0$ and the point-like magnetic monopole charge is smeared in the region $r < r_1$. In condensed matter set up, the PV mass M_{PV} corresponds to the lattice spacing around 10^{-10} m between the atoms, while the size of the monopole is less than 10^{-20} m, assuming the monopole energy where the theory of 't Hooft–Polyakov [\[2,](#page-37-0) [3\]](#page-37-1) applies is higher than 10 TeV. From a dimensional analysis, $-D_i D^i \sim 1/r_1^2$ and under the condition $r_1 \ll 1/M_{\text{PV}}$, it is natural to assume that $m_{\text{eff}}(r) = \langle m - D_i D^i / M_{\text{PV}} \rangle$ is effectively positive for some region near the origin, while it is kept negative at large r. Namely, a domain-wall is created around the monopole. Then the bound state should satisfy^{[10](#page-18-0)}

$$
\left[\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_r \partial_r + m_{\text{eff}}(r)\right] \psi = 0,\tag{71}
$$

¹⁰ Here we treat the effect of the Wilson term as a mean-field for the Dirac equation. See the exact treatment in the next subsection.

to which the normalizable solution is given by

$$
\psi \sim \exp\left[\int_{r_1}^r dr' m_{\text{eff}}(r')\right],\tag{72}
$$

which requires $\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_r = -1$. It is interesting to note that the both chiralities $\bar{\gamma} = \pm 1$ are possible as long as the lower-dimensional chirality takes the same eigenvalue $-1 \otimes \sigma_r = \pm 1$. This reflects the fact that the Atiyah-Singer index on the two-dimensional domain-wall is not \mathbb{Z}_2 but \mathbb{Z} . In fact, we will show below that the index is equal to the magnetic charge n.

C. The solution to the regularized Dirac equation

Let us derive the bound state described by the regularized Dirac equation. Here we assume that $j = |n/2| - 1/2$, the edge-localized states have a chirality $\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_r = -1$ at r_1 , and take the $r_1 \rightarrow 0$ limit.

We employ the ansatz for the (nearest) zero modes to have the following form with $s = sign(n)$

$$
\psi = \frac{f(r)}{r} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -s \end{pmatrix} \otimes \chi_{j,j_3,0}(\theta,\phi), \tag{73}
$$

which has $2j + 1 = |n|$ degeneracy, having different values of j_3 . It is important to note that ψ is a simultaneous eigenstate of $-\bar{\gamma} = -\sigma_1 \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes \sigma_r$ sharing the same eigenvalue s. From the anti-commutation relation $\{H, \bar{\gamma}\}=0$, one can conclude that the eigenvalue of H is exactly zero, as long as it is isolated.

With a further change of the functional form to $f(r) = \sqrt{r}e^{-M_{\rm PV}r/2}g(\kappa r)$ with $\kappa =$ $M_{\rm PV}$ $\frac{q_{\text{PV}}}{2}\sqrt{1+4m/M_{\text{PV}}}$ it is not difficult to show that $g(\kappa r)$ satisfies the modified Bessel equation. Here we have assumed that $4|m|/M_{\text{PV}} < 1$. Therefore, we obtain the general solution as

$$
\psi = \frac{e^{-\frac{M_{\rm PV}r}{2}}}{\sqrt{r}} \left[A K_{\nu}(\kappa r) + B I_{\nu}(\kappa r) \right] \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -s \end{pmatrix} \otimes \chi_{j,j_3,0}(\theta,\phi), \tag{74}
$$

where $\nu = (\sqrt{2|n|+1})/2$, with the constant coefficients A and B.

In order to connect ψ and $D_i D^i \psi \sim \psi/r$ (indicated by the Bessel equation) at finite $r = r_1$ and take the $r_1 \rightarrow 0$ limit, the steep $1/r_1$ dependence requires (in the same way as

explicitly shown in the two-dimensional vortex case in Sec. [III\)](#page-5-0) that $A = O(r_1^{\nu})$ (ψ vanishes at $r_1 \rightarrow 0$).

We finally obtain the localized state to the monopole^{[11](#page-20-0)},

$$
\psi_{j,j_3}^{\text{mono}} = \frac{Be^{-\frac{M_{\text{PV}}r}{2}}}{\sqrt{r}} I_{\nu}(\kappa r) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -s \end{pmatrix} \otimes \chi_{j,j_3,0}(\theta,\phi), \tag{75}
$$

with a normalization constant B. As is expected, in the $M_{\text{PV}} \to \infty$ limit, where $I_{\nu}(\kappa r) \sim$ $\exp\left(\frac{M_{\rm PV}}{2}r - |m|r\right)/$ √ $\overline{\pi r M_{\text{PV}}}$, ψ converges to the naive Dirac solution in Eq. [\(67\)](#page-17-0). The comparison of the two results is shown in Fig. [1](#page-20-1) where we choose $n = 1, m = 0.1$ and $M_{\rm PV} = 10$. Remarkable differences is that the Wilson term yields a peak at $r = c_{\nu}/M_{\rm PV}$ with $c_{\nu} = (4\nu^2 - 1)/4 = |n|/2$ and makes the wave function zero at $r = 0$.

In contrast to the vortex system in Sec. [III](#page-5-0) the magnetic field is nonzero even outside r_1 . In fact, as shown in Fig. [1](#page-20-1) the peak of the edge mode wave function in Eq. [\(75\)](#page-20-2) is located at $r \sim 1/M_{\text{PV}}$. The scale $1/M_{\text{PV}}$ corresponds to the atomic lattice spacing $\sim 10^{-10}$ m of the topological insulator, which will be much larger than the size of the monopole $\sim 10^{-20}$ m. We conclude by this exact solution that the domain-wall with a finite radius $r \sim 1/M_{\text{PV}}$ is created by the monopole, on which the $|n|$ chiral edge modes appear with energy zero. These are the origin of the electric charge the magnetic monopole gains.

FIG. 1. The comparison of the solution with the Wilson term in Eq. [\(75\)](#page-20-2) (solid curve) and the one in the previous work (dashed curve) in Eq. [\(67\)](#page-17-0). Here we choose the parameters $n = 1, m = 0.1$ and $M_{\text{PV}} = 10$.

¹¹ Our solution coincides the result obtained in Refs.[\[28,](#page-38-10) [29\]](#page-38-6) where the Wilson term is introduced but smearing of the point-like singularity of the monopole and dynamical mass shift were not considered.

D. Atiyah-Singer index theorem and zero mode pairing

In the previous subsection, we have obtained $|n| = 2j + 1$ zero modes with the chirality $1 \otimes \sigma_r = s = \text{sign}(n)$. The fact that these zero modes are located not on the pointsingularity of the monopole but on a finite two-dimensional spherical domain-wall makes the topological property of the solutions clearer. Note that they are also the zero modes of the operator $D^{S^2} = \sigma^i (L_i + \frac{n}{2})$ 2 $\overline{x_i}$ $(\frac{r_i}{r})+1$. In fact, this operator can be identified as the effective Dirac operator on the two-dimensional sphere around the monopole with a radius we denote by $r_2 \sim 1/M_{\text{PV}}$. With a local Lorentz (or $Spin^c$ to be precise) transformation $R(\theta, \phi) = \exp(i\theta \sigma_2/2) \exp(i\phi(\sigma_3 + 1)/2)$, we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{r_2} D^{S^2} := \frac{1}{r_2} R(\theta, \phi) D^{S^2} R(\theta, \phi)^{-1} = -\frac{1}{r_2} \sigma_3 \left[\sigma_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \sigma_2 \left(\frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} + i \hat{A}_{\phi} + i \hat{A}_{\phi}^s \right) \right], \quad (76)
$$

where $\hat{A}_{\phi} = \frac{n}{2}$ 2 $\sin \theta$ $\frac{\sin \theta}{1+\cos \theta}$ is the vector potential (in units of r_2) generated by the monopole, and

$$
\hat{A}^s_{\phi} = \frac{1}{2\sin\theta} - \frac{\cos\theta}{2\sin\theta}\sigma_3,\tag{77}
$$

is the induced $Spin^c$ connection on the sphere which is strongly curved with the small radius r_2 [\[32\]](#page-38-8). It is also important to note that the same transformation changes the chirality operator to $R(\theta, \phi)\sigma_r R(\theta, \phi)^{-1} = \sigma_3$. Namely, these zero modes are the chiral zero modes of D^{S^2} .

As shown in Ref. [\[32\]](#page-38-8), the gravitational effect on a sphere generally gives a gap in the massless Dirac operator spectrum with a size of its inverse radius. The $U(1)$ gauge connection from the monopole cancels the gravity to have the zero eigenvalues. Since the degeneracy of the zero modes is |n| with the chirality $\sigma_3 = \text{sign}(n)$, we can conclude that the index of the Dirac operator D^{S^2} is n.

Stability of the chiral zero modes is topologically protected by the AS index theorem. On the two-dimensional sphere of radius r_2 , we can explicitly compute that

$$
\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} d^2x \epsilon^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} = n. \tag{78}
$$

Note that the $Spin^c$ connection \hat{A}^s_{ϕ} does not contribute to the index in two dimensions.

Since the AS index is a cobordism invariant, a similar discussion about the zero mode pairing as in the case of the vortex in two dimensions in Sec. [III](#page-5-0) applies here. The conventional one-point compactification of $r = \infty$ points does not work, since the magnetic flux

coming from the monopole becomes infinitely dense again at the compactified point, which is equivalent to put another monopole with the opposite magnetic charge $q_m = -n/2$. This second monopole creates another domain-wall, which is cobordant to the one around the original monopole. The argument implies that there must exist a region of normal phase before reaching $r = \infty$: outside of the topological insulator is always a normal insulator. On the outer domain-wall, |n| zero modes with the same chirality of $1 \otimes \sigma_r$ as the monopolelocalized zero modes must appear as a consequence of the cobordism invariance of the AS $index¹²$ $index¹²$ $index¹²$.

Let us consider another domain-wall at large radius r_0 , giving a position-dependent mass term $m(r) = |m|\epsilon(r - r_0)$ where $\epsilon(r - r_0) = \pm 1$ for $r \ge r_0$. The region $r > r_0$ corresponds to a normal insulator. The edge-localized state in each region is obtained as

$$
\psi_{j,j_3}^{\text{DW}} = \begin{cases}\n\frac{\exp\left(\frac{M_{\text{PV}}r}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{r}} \left(e^{\kappa_r r_0} B' K_{\nu}(\kappa_r r) + e^{-\kappa_r r_0} C' I_{\nu}(\kappa_r r)\right) \begin{pmatrix} 1\\s \end{pmatrix} \otimes \chi_{j,j_3,0}(\theta,\phi), (r < r_0), \\
\frac{D' \exp\left(\kappa_r r_0 + \frac{M_{\text{PV}}r}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{r}} K_{\nu}(\kappa_r r) \begin{pmatrix} 1\\s \end{pmatrix} \otimes \chi_{j,j_3,0}(\theta,\phi), (r > r_0), \\
(r > r_0),\n\end{cases} (79)
$$

where $\kappa_{\pm} = \frac{M_{\rm PV}}{2}$ $\frac{L_{\text{PV}}}{2} \sqrt{1 \pm 4|m|/M_{\text{PV}}}$. The ratios C'/B' and D'/B' are determined from the connecting condition of the wave function, as well as that for its derivative at the domainwall $r = r_0$. In the large r_0m limit, we find C' $\frac{C'}{B'} = \frac{\kappa_{-} \left(K_{\nu-1}(\kappa_{-}r_{0})+K_{\nu+1}(\kappa_{-}r_{0})\right) K_{\nu}(\kappa_{+}r_{0}) - \kappa_{+} \left(K_{\nu-1}(\kappa_{+}r_{0})+K_{\nu+1}(\kappa_{+}r_{0})\right) K_{\nu}(\kappa_{-}r_{0})}{\kappa_{-} \left(I_{\nu-1}(\kappa_{-}r_{0})+I_{\nu+1}(\kappa_{-}r_{0})\right) K_{\nu}(\kappa_{+}r_{0}) + \kappa_{+} \left(K_{\nu-1}(\kappa_{+}r_{$ $\kappa_{-}\left(I_{\nu-1}(\kappa_{-}r_{0})+I_{\nu+1}(\kappa_{-}r_{0})\right)K_{\nu}(\kappa_{+}r_{0})+\kappa_{+}\left(K_{\nu-1}(\kappa_{+}r_{0})+K_{\nu+1}(\kappa_{+}r_{0})\right)I_{\nu}(\kappa_{-}r_{0})$ $e^{2\kappa-r_0}$ $\sim \pi \frac{\kappa_- - \kappa_+}{\sqrt{\frac{2}{\kappa_-} \kappa_+^2}}$ $\kappa_+ + \kappa_+$, (80)

and

$$
\frac{D'}{B'} = \frac{\kappa_{-}\left\{ (I_{\nu-1}(\kappa_{-}r_{0}) + I_{\nu+1}(\kappa_{-}r_{0})) K_{\nu}(\kappa_{-}r_{0}) + (K_{\nu-1}(\kappa_{-}r_{0}) + K_{\nu+1}(\kappa_{-}r_{0})) I_{\nu}(\kappa_{-}r_{0}) \right\}}{\kappa_{-}\left(I_{\nu-1}(\kappa_{-}r_{0}) + I_{\nu+1}(\kappa_{-}r_{0})\right) K_{\nu}(\kappa_{+}r_{0}) + \kappa_{+}\left(K_{\nu-1}(\kappa_{+}r_{0}) + K_{\nu+1}(\kappa_{+}r_{0})\right) I_{\nu}(\kappa_{-}r_{0})} e^{(\kappa_{-}-\kappa_{+})r_{0}}
$$
\n
$$
\sim \frac{2\sqrt{\kappa_{-}\kappa_{+}}}{\kappa_{-}+\kappa_{+}}.
$$
\n(81)

These edge-localized modes have the same $|n| = 2j + 1$ degeneracy with the zero modes captured by the monopole but with the opposite chirality: $\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_r = +1$.

¹² The cobordism invariance of the AS index can be understood by the Stokes theorem. Suppose ∂X_1 and $-\partial X_2$ (where the negative sign indicates the opposite orientation to X_1) are two disjoint boundaries of a three-dimensional manifold X. In the differential form, we can show $0 = \int_X dF = \int_{\partial X_1} F - \int_{-\partial X_2} F$.

In the $M_{PV} \gg m$ limit, the zero mode above takes a simpler form with $D'/B' \sim 1$ and $C'/B' \sim 0$:

$$
\psi_{j,j_3}^{\text{DW}} = \frac{B''}{r} \exp[-|m||r - r_0|] \left(\frac{1}{s} \right) \otimes \chi_{j,j_3,0}(\theta,\phi). \tag{82}
$$

At finite r_0 , the paired zero mode (having the same j_z) near the monopole at r_1 and the domain-wall r_0 mix to give symmetric splitting to the Dirac spectrum. Let us perturbatively estimate this mixing following the method given in Ref. [\[28\]](#page-38-10). When $|m|r_0$ is large enough, the zero mode around the monopole $\psi_{j,j_3}^{\text{mono}}$ in Eq. [\(75\)](#page-20-2) and that near the domain-wall ψ_{j,j_3}^{DW} in Eq. [\(79\)](#page-22-1) are still approximate eigenstates of H. As the total angular momentum J_i commutes with H , each eigenstate of H after mixing the two is given by

$$
\psi = \alpha \psi_{j,j_3}^{\text{mono}} + \beta \psi_{j,j_3}^{\text{DW}},\tag{83}
$$

where α and β are constants. Note here that $\psi_{j,j_3}^{\text{mono}}$ and ψ_{j,j_3}^{DW} are both eigenstates of the chirality operator $\bar{\gamma} = \sigma_1 \otimes 1$, which anti-commutes with H. We can immediately obtain the diagonal parts $(\psi_{j,j_3}^{\text{mono}})^{\dagger} H \psi_{j,j_3}^{\text{mono}} = (\psi_{j,j_3}^{\text{DW}})^{\dagger} H \psi_{j,j_3}^{\text{DW}} = 0$. We can also show that the off-diagonal part is real and symmetric: $(\psi_{j,j_3}^{\text{mono}})^{\dagger} H \psi_{j,j_3}^{\text{DW}} = (\psi_{j,j_3}^{\text{DW}})^{\dagger} H \psi_{j,j_3}^{\text{mono}} =: \Delta$. Then we can show that $\alpha = \pm \beta$ and the split energy is $E = \pm \Delta$. This result, having 50% amplitude around the monopole, is valid at any large value of r_0 . The normal insulator outside and the edge modes on it cannot be ignored from the theory no matter how long they are separated.

When we set the Fermi energy zero, only one of each zero mode pairs is occupied. Since only a half of the amplitude is distributed around the monopole, the charge expectation value around $r = r_1$ will be $-|n|/2$, while the other half is spread on the surface at $r = r_0$. Thus we complete the microscopic description how the monopole gains a half electric charge. In the next section, we nonperturbatively examine the above scenario on a lattice taking r_0 finite.

V. NUMERICAL ANALYSIS OF MONOPOLE ON A LATTICE

In this section, we numerically investigate the monopole system in a topological insulator with a lattice regularization. In particular, we try to confirm creation of the domain-wall, or the surface of a normal insulator island around the monopole. We will also quantify the zero mode pairing of the monopole-captured state and the edge-localized state at the spherical domain-wall with finite radius $r = r_0$ and their tunneling effects, as well as the electric charge expectation value around the monopole.

A. Lattice setup

On three-dimensional hyper-cubic lattices with size $L = 23,31$ and 47 (where the number of sites in each direction is 24, 32 and 48, respectively), with open boundary conditions, we put a monopole at $\mathbf{x}_m = (x_m, y_m, z_m) = (L/2, L/2, L/2)$ with a magnetic charge $n/2$. We also put an anti-monopole at $x_a = (x_a, y_a, z_a) = (L/2, L/2, 1/2)$ with the opposite charge $-n/2$ to ensure the Gauss law constraint in the continuum limit. The continuum vector potential at $\mathbf{x} = (x, y, z)$ is then given by

$$
A_1(\boldsymbol{x}) = q_m \left[\frac{-(y - y_m)}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_m| (|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_m| + (z - z_m))} - \frac{-(y - y_a)}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_a| (|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_a| + (z - z_a))} \right],
$$

\n
$$
A_2(\boldsymbol{x}) = q_m \left[\frac{(x - x_m)}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_m| (|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_m| + (z - z_m))} - \frac{(x - x_a)}{|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_a| (|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_a| + (z - z_a))} \right],
$$

\n
$$
A_3(\boldsymbol{x}) = 0,
$$
\n(84)

with $q_m = n/2$. Note that the Dirac string extends from x_a to x_m .

The link variables on the lattice is given by exponentiated line integrals of the vector potential:

$$
U_j(\boldsymbol{x}) = \exp\left[i \int_0^1 A_j(\boldsymbol{x}') dl\right],\tag{85}
$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (x, y, z)$ having integer-valued components denotes the lattice coordinate, and the line integral with respect to $x' = x + e_j l$ where e_j is the unit vector in the j direction is taken from x to $x + e_j$ along the link. Here and in the following, we take the lattice spacing unity.

For the fermion field, we assign a position-dependent mass term $m(\boldsymbol{x}) = -m_0$ for $r =$ $\sqrt{|x-x_m|} \leq r_0 = \frac{3}{8}$ $\frac{3}{8}L$ and $m(\boldsymbol{x}) = +m_0$ otherwise. The constant $m_0(L+1) = 14$ is fixed to take the continuum limit. In this setup, the monopole is located at the center of a spherical topological insulator with radius r_0 , while the anti-monopole sits in the normal insulator region with the mass $+m_0$. Outside of the lattice with open boundary condition corresponds to a "laboratory" with $m(\mathbf{x}) = +\infty$. Since the $m(\mathbf{x}) = +m_0$ region and that

with $m(x) = +\infty$ are both in the trivial phase, we do not expect any edge-localized modes to appear at the boundaries $x_{i=1,2,3} = 0$ or $x_{i=1,2,3} = L$. Our lattice setup with $L = 23$ is sketched in Fig. [2.](#page-26-0) The symbol "N" and "S" represent monopole and anti-monopole, respectively, which are connected by the Dirac string shown by the thick line. Inside the spherical domain-wall of radius $r_0 = 9$, we put a negative mass $-m_0 = -7/12$, while it is $+7/12$ outside.

The Wilson Dirac Hamiltonian is given by

$$
H_W = \gamma^0 \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \left[\gamma^i \frac{\nabla_i^f + \nabla_i^b}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla_i^f \nabla_i^b \right] + m(\boldsymbol{x}) \right), \tag{86}
$$

where $\nabla_i^f \psi(\boldsymbol{x}) = U_i(\boldsymbol{x}) \psi(\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{e}_i) - \psi(\boldsymbol{x})$ denotes the forward covariant difference and $\nabla^b_i \psi(\boldsymbol{x}) \ = \ \psi(\boldsymbol{x}) \, - \, U_i^\dagger$ $\mathbf{f}_i^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{e}_i)\psi(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{e}_i)$ is the backward difference. The gamma matrices are the same as in the continuum: $\gamma_0 = \sigma_3 \otimes 1$, $\gamma_i = \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_i$. It is important to note that H_W anti-commutes with $\bar{\gamma} = \sigma_1 \otimes 1$ even on a lattice. In the analysis below, we compute the eigenvalues denoted by E_k and their eigenfunction $\phi_k(x)$ of H_W and study their local amplitude normalized by r^2 ,

$$
A_k(\boldsymbol{x}) = \phi_k(\boldsymbol{x})^\dagger \phi_k(\boldsymbol{x}) r^2, \tag{87}
$$

as well as local distribution of operators.

B. Numerical results

In Fig. [3,](#page-29-0) we plot the Dirac eigenvalue spectrum on the $L = 31$ lattice in units of r_0 with circle symbols. On the left panel, the result with $n = 1$ is presented while that with $n = -2$ is shown in the right panel. Our results are consistent with a similar numerical analysis presented in Ref. [\[29\]](#page-38-6). For comparison, we also plot the continuum results (without the Wilson term) with crosses for the edge-localized modes on the domain-wall at r_0 . The gradation of the symbols for each eigen mode $\phi_k(x)$ represents the chirality expectation value measured by

$$
\sum_{\boldsymbol{x}} \phi_k^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}) \left[\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_r \right] \phi_k(\boldsymbol{x}). \tag{88}
$$

The edge-localized modes between $\pm m_0$ have chirality $\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_r \sim +1$, except for the modes in the vicinity of zero.

FIG. 2. Our lattice setup on a $L = 23$ lattice (at the $y = 0$ slice) is presented. The symbol "N" and "S" represent monopole and anti-monopole, respectively, which are connected by the Dirac string shown by the thick line. Inside the shadowed sphere of radius $r_0 = 9$, we put a negative mass $-m_0 = -7/12$, while it is $+7/12$ outside.

Let us focus on the (near-)zero modes which are apparently not chiral. The number of these modes is doubled compared to the continuum prediction of the edge-localized modes around the domain-wall $r = r_0$. As explained in the previous section, any chiral zero mode localized at the domain-wall must appear in a pair with the mode with the opposite chirality localized at the inner domain-wall dynamically created by the monopole. The paired chiral zero modes are mixed due to the tunneling effect. The near zero-mode doubling in our numerical data is consistent with this scenario. For the negative nearest-zero mode in the case of $n = 1$ (whose eigenfunction is denoted by $\phi_1(\mathbf{x})$) we plot in the left panel of Fig. [4](#page-29-1) the amplitude $A_1(x)$ at the $z = (L + 1)/2$ slice. For comparison we also present the same plot in the right panel but for the second nearest-zero mode $A_2(x)$. The gradation of the symbols shows the local chirality,

$$
\phi_k^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x})\left[\sigma_1\otimes\sigma_r\right]\phi_k(\boldsymbol{x})/\phi_k^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x})\phi_k(\boldsymbol{x}),\tag{89}
$$

with $k = 1$ or 2. We can see only in the left panel that the amplitude has two peaks around $r = |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_m| = 0$ and $r = r_0$ and the local chirality near each peak is ~ -1 and +1, respectively, although the total chirality is close to zero.

Figure [5](#page-30-0) shows the same amplitudes $A_0(x)$ (left panel) and $A_2(x)$ (right) but with $n = -2$ and at the $x = (L + 1)/2$ slice. As is expected, these are the two near-zero modes whose wave function is partly captured by the monopole. The shape of the wave function becomes asymmetric due to the anti-monopole located at $x_a = (x_a, y_a, z_a) = (L/2, L/2, 1/2)$, though.

Next let us directly confirm creation of the domain-wall near the monopole. In Fig. [7](#page-31-0) distribution of the "effective mass" (normalized by m_0) locally measured at the $z = (L+1)/2$ slice,

$$
m_{\text{eff}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \phi_0(\boldsymbol{x})^{\dagger} \left[-\sum_{i=1,2,3} \frac{1}{2} \nabla_i^f \nabla_i^b + m(\boldsymbol{x}) \right] \phi_0(\boldsymbol{x}) \Big/ \phi_0(\boldsymbol{x})^{\dagger} \phi_0(\boldsymbol{x}), \tag{90}
$$

with a unit monopole charge $n = 1$ (left panel) and that with $n = -2$ (right) is plotted. The data are shown with gradation to make the two regions of normal phase with $m_{\text{eff}}/m_0 \sim +1$ in dark mesh and the one for topological phase with $m_{\text{eff}}/m_0 \sim -1$ in light mesh, explicit. We can see that a small island of a normal insulator or a positive mass region appears around the monopole, and we thus confirm that a domain-wall is dynamically created.

As discussed above about Figs. [4](#page-29-1) and [5,](#page-30-0) the distribution of the chirality $\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_r$ has no significant difference between $n = 1$ and $n = -2$ *i.e.*, the wave function near the monopole is $\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_r \sim -1$, while that near the domain-wall at $r = r_0$ has $\sim +1$ chirality. However, for the two-dimensional chiral operator $1 \otimes \sigma_r$, the result is sensitive to the sign of n. As shown in Fig. [6](#page-30-1) where the distribution of

$$
\phi_0^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x})\left[1\otimes\sigma_r\right]\phi_0(\boldsymbol{x})/\phi_0^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x})\phi_0(\boldsymbol{x})\tag{91}
$$

is indicated by the gradation of the plot, the local two-dimensional chirality is uniformly $\sim +1$ for $n = 1$ and ~ -1 for $n = -2$. This is consistent with the cobordism property of the AS index that the spherical domain-wall at $r = r_0$ and that near the monopole must share the same value n .

So far we have used the lattice size $L = 31$. In order to estimate the systematics due to the lattice spacing a, we also compute the Dirac eigenvalues on $L = 23$ and $L = 47$ lattices with the same physical set up. In Fig. [8](#page-32-0) we present the lattice cut-off a/L dependence of negative nearest-zero eigenvalues. They show a mild linear dependence, which is comparable to what observed in the previous analysis in the free fermion case by two of the authors [\[31\]](#page-38-11).

Finally let us quantify the electric charge that the monopole gains. In Fig[.9,](#page-32-1) we plot the cumulative distribution

$$
C_k(r) = \int_{|\mathbf{x}| < r} d^3x \phi_k(\mathbf{x})^\dagger \phi_k(\mathbf{x}), \qquad (92)
$$

of the 2|n| nearest-zero modes with magnetic charge $n = 1$ (left panel) and $n = -2$ (right). They all show a stable plateau in the middle range $4 < r < 9 = 3r_0/4$ at $C_k(r) \sim \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$. Therefore, we can conclude that under the half-filling condition, the monopole gains $|n|/2$ electric charge capturing the half of the occupied $|n|$ zero-mode states of the electron.

VI. EFFECTIVE THEORY REVISITED AND SIMULATION WITH NON-INTEGRAL MAGNETIC CHARGE

A. Reinterpretation of the effective action description

The above analysis suggests an interesting reinterpretation of the original argument in the effective Lagrangian of the Maxwell theory in Sec. [II.](#page-3-0) When we integrate out the electron fields in the presence of a single monopole, the effective action contains a position-dependent θ term:

$$
S_{\text{top.}} = \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \int d^4x \theta(r) F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu},\tag{93}
$$

$$
\theta(r) = \pi \Theta(r - r_2)\Theta(r_0 - r) = \begin{cases} \pi & \text{for } r_2 < r < r_0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},
$$
\n(94)

where $\Theta(r)$ denotes the step function. As is in the previous section, r_2 is the size of the positive mass region around the monopole, while r_0 is the location of the surface when the topological insulator is spherical^{[13](#page-28-1)}.

Then the Maxwell equation is modified as

$$
\partial_{\mu}F^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\partial_{\mu}[\theta(r)\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}].
$$
\n(95)

When we evaluate the volume integral inside the topological insulator $r < r_0$, we have

$$
q_e = \int_{r < r_0} d^3 x \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{E} = -\frac{\theta}{4\pi^2} \int_{r < r_0} d^3 x \nabla \theta(r) \cdot \boldsymbol{B} = -\frac{\theta}{4\pi^2} \int_{r < r_0} d^3 x \pi \delta(r - r_1) \boldsymbol{e}_r \cdot \boldsymbol{B} = -\frac{1}{2}.
$$
\n(96)

¹³ The shape of the outer surface is not important here.

FIG. 3. Low-lying eigenvalue spectrum of H_W with the monopole charge $n = 1$ (left panel) and $n = -2$ (right). Circle symbols represent our lattice data, while crosses are continuum predictions for the edge-localized modes on the outer domain-wall. See the main text for details of other numerical setup.

FIG. 4. Left panel: the amplitude of the negative nearest-zero mode $A_1(x)$ at the $z = (L+1)/2$ slice in the presence of a unit monopole $n = 1$ is plotted. Right: the same as the left panel but for the second negative nearest-zero mode $A_2(x)$. The gradation represents the locally measured chirality of $\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_r$.

The result is consistent with Eq. [\(5\)](#page-4-1) but it does not require $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} \neq 0$, provided contribution from the Dirac string can be safely neglected.

The same argument applies to the case with a two-dimensional vortex with $\alpha = 1/2$.

FIG. 5. Left panel: the amplitude of the negative nearest-zero mode $A_1(x)$ at the $x = (L + 1)/2$ slice with monopole charge $n = -2$ is plotted. Right: the same as the left panel but for the second negative nearest-zero mode $A_2(\boldsymbol{x})$.

FIG. 6. The same plot as the left panel of Fig. [4](#page-29-1) and [5](#page-30-0) but with the gradation indicating the two-dimensional chirality $1 \otimes \sigma_r$ locally measured.

The effective action after integrating out the fermion can be treated as the Chern-Simons action with a position dependent level $k(r) = \Theta(r - r_1)\Theta(r_0 - r)$ and the Maxwell equation

FIG. 7. The local effective mass (defined in the main text) normalized by m_0 at the $z = (L+1)/2$ slice for the negative nearest-zero mode ϕ_1 . The results for the magnetic charge $n = +1$ (left panel) and $n = -2$ (right) are plotted. The data are shown with gradation to make the two regions of normal phase with $m_{\text{eff}}/m_0 \sim +1$ in dark mesh and the one for topological phase with $m_{\text{eff}}/m_0 \sim -1$ in light mesh, explicit.

becomes

$$
\partial_{\mu}F^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\partial_{\mu}[k(r)\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}A_{\rho}].\tag{97}
$$

The electric charge around the vortex

$$
q_e = \int_{r < r_0} d^2 x \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{E} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{r < r_0} d^2 x \partial_r k(r) A_\theta
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{r < r_0} d^2 x \delta(r - r_1) A_\theta = -\frac{r_1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta A_\theta = -\frac{1}{2},\qquad(98)
$$

is again consistent with Eq. [\(7\)](#page-4-2) but it does not require any singularity of the gauge field.

B. Non-integral magnetic charge

Knowing the fact that the singularity of the gauge field: $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} \neq 0$ is not necessarily required to gain the electric charge, we would like to propose an interesting (thought) experiment that may allow us to observe the Witten effect without true monopoles.

FIG. 8. The lattice cut-off a/L dependence of the negative nearest-zero eigenvalues. The results for $n = 1$ (left panel) and those for $n = -2$ (right) are shown.

FIG. 9. Cumulative eigenfunction distribution of the nearest-zero modes inside r , which gives an estimate for the electric charge captured by the monopole. The case with $n = 1$ (left panel) and that with $n = -2$ (right) are plotted. At $4 < r < 9 = 3r_0/4$ we observe a stable plateau at 1/2.

Suppose we have a thin solenoid whose radius is comparable to the crystal spacing of a topological insulator. Inserting one end of the solenoid inside the topological insulator while the other end is put outside, we can mimic the monopole-anti-monopole system with a fine-tuned electric current around the solenoid. The solenoid represents the Dirac string.

This experiment can be simulated with our lattice setup continuously varying the value of n from 0 to 1. The n dependence of the amplitude weighted by r^2 of the positive nearest zero mode at the slice $x = (L + 1)/2$ is presented in Fig. [10.](#page-34-0)

At $n = 0$ the energy eigenvalue is nonzero $E \sim 0.08$ and the amplitude is uniformly

distributed around the sphere of radius $r = r_0$. Increasing n, a part of the wave function is gradually swallowed into the topological insulator from bottom of the sphere. At $n = 0.5$, the amplitude along the Dirac string reaches maximum, and the energy eigenvalue is reduced to $E \sim 0.05$. For larger $n > 0.5$ the wave function is separated into two, one half is attracted by the monopole, while the other half stays at the original domain-wall. At $n = 1$, the energy is reduced to almost zero.

In this process let us focus on a two-dimensional slice at a fixed z coordinate along the Dirac string. The slice corresponds to the two-dimensional topological insulator with a vortex discussed in Sec. [III.](#page-5-0) Note that the flux of the vortex is equal to the monopole charge $\alpha = n$. In Sec. [III,](#page-5-0) we have learned that the energy of the electron is minimized at $\alpha = 1/2$ where the Aharanov–Bohm (AB) effect is maximized and the wave function is localized at the vortex. This explains why the amplitude of the electron at $n = 0.5$ is attracted by the Dirac string. At $\alpha = n = 1$, the AB effect becomes zero and the Dirac string cannot attract the electron field any longer, while the binding force from the monopole is maximized to capture the half of the electron field amplitude.

For $1 < n < 2$ a similar cycle starts on the second nearest zero mode. The same continues for $n > 3$ and the charge proportional to $|n|/2$ is, thus, pumped from the outer domain-wall to the monopole.

It is also interesting to trace the topology change of the domain-wall shown in Fig. [11.](#page-35-0) Increasing n from zero to $1/2$, the mass shift along the Dirac string becomes large, making a bridge of normal insulators connecting the $r > r_0$ region and the location of the monopole. By the time the monopole charge reaches $n = 1$, the Dirac string neighbor becomes back to the topological insulator again, and the bridge is disconnected to end up with two spherical domain-walls: one at the original $r = r_0$ and the other at the vicinity of the monopole.

VII. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

In this work, we have investigated the microscopic mechanism how a vortex/monopole in topological insulators becomes a dyon gaining a half-integral electric charge. In our analysis we have added the Wilson term to the Dirac equation, compared to which the sign of the electron mass has a physical meaning. We have also smeared the singularity of the gauge field in a finite region of radius r_1 , which makes our computations always UV finite. In this

FIG. 10. The amplitude (weighted by r^2) of the nearest-zero eigenfunction of H_W at the slice $x = (L + 1)/2$. By a continuous deformation of the monopole charge from $n = 0$ to $n = 1$, a half of the wave function goes into the vicinity of the monopole through the Dirac string.

set up, we have obtained the (near-)zero mode solutions both analytically in continuum and numerically on a lattice.

The key finding in this work is dynamical creation of a domain-wall around the monopole/vortex. We have confirmed that the local mass shift from the Wilson term is of size $1/r_1$ that is pos-

FIG. 11. Schematic image of topology change of the domain-wall. One spherical domain-wall at $n = 0$ is extended via the Dirac string into the location of the monopole at $n \sim 0.5$, and is separated into two domain-walls at $n = 1$.

itive. This mass shift is strong enough to make a small island of a normal insulator around the monopole/vortex. The edge-localized modes on the domain-wall can be identified as the origin of the electric charge captured by the monopole/vortex. In the $r_1 = 0$ limit with a quantized magnetic charge $q_m = 1/2 \mod \mathbb{Z}/2$ or flux $\alpha = 1 \mod \mathbb{Z}$, the only chiral modes survive with the energy zero.

The fact that the created domain-wall is not a point-like singularity but a codimensionone sphere having the same dimensions that the outer surface of the target topological insulator has, makes the topological meaning of the zero modes clearer. In mathematics, the two manifolds of the same dimension are cobordant if their disjoint union is the boundary of a compact manifold one dimension higher. In our physics target, it is clear that the dynamically created small domain-wall at $r = r_1$ around the vortex or the one at $r = r_2 \sim$ $1/M_{\text{PV}}$ around the monopole, and the surface at $r = r_0$ of the topological insulator are cobordant since they are the boundaries of the same topological insulator having a negative mass. We have also identified the number of the chiral zero modes as the Atiyah-Singer or mod-two Atiyah-Singer index, which is a cobordism invariant: they must appear in pairs on the domain-wall $r = r_1$ or r_2 and on the one at $r = r_0$.

For each set of the two zero modes paired by the cobordism, we have computed their mixing amplitude both analytically and numerically. Due to the chiral symmetry of the total system, at whatever large distance r_0 , the mixing is always maximum: 50% vs. 50%, which explains why the electric charge expectation value around the monopole/vortex is $1/2$ in the half-filling state, where only one of the two split near zero modes is occupied.

From our microscopic analysis we have suggested an interesting reinterpretation of the effective theory approach. After integrating out the massive electron fields, the system contains a defect not in the gauge field but in the θ parameter or the level k of the Chern-Simons action. The modified Maxwell equation still gives the same half-integral electric charge but does not require any singularity of the gauge field, since the monopole/vortex is located in the trivial $\theta = 0$ or $k = 0$ region.

This implies that the Witten effect can be observed in our laboratory even without true monopoles. We have simulated a thin solenoid, which corresponds to the Dirac string, with one end inserted into a topological insulator and the other end outside. Increasing the magnetic flux of the solenoid, we have observed that a bridge of normal insulators along the solenoid appears between the surface and the monopole. Then a pair of the near-zero edge modes on the surface gradually crosses the bridge towards the monopole decreasing its energy to zero. By the time the magnetic flux reaches that of a unit magnetic monopole, a half of the wave function arrives at the monopole, and the bridge disappears. In this process, a single domain-wall sphere is separated into two, to populate a pair of chiral zero modes.

It is interesting to investigate how stable our results are against perturbation of the Hamiltonian due to impurities or external interference. While in the original discussion in Ref. [\[5\]](#page-37-3) the half integral charge depends only on the T-symmetric value of $\theta = \pi/2$, our analysis has relied on an additional chiral symmetry with $\bar{\gamma}$ to show topological stability of the zero modes. It is a good challenge to examine if breaking of the chiral symmetry keeping the T symmetry makes the results unchanged.

We thank Mikio Furuta, Masahiro Hotta, Takuto Kawakami, Tsunehide Kuroki, Okuto Morikawa, Tetsuya Onogi, Shigeki Sugimoto, Kazuki Yamamoto, Masahito Yamazaki, and Ryo Yokokura for useful discussions. The work of SA was supported by JST SPRING, Grant Number JPMJSP2138. The work of HF and NK was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP22H01219. The work of MK was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP21H05236, JP21H05232, JP20H01840, and JP20H00127, and by JST CREST Grant Number JPMJCR20T3, Japan.

^[1] P. A. M. Dirac, Quantised singularities in the electromagnetic field,, [Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1931.0130) 133[, 60 \(1931\).](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1931.0130)

- [2] G. 't Hooft, Magnetic Monopoles in Unified Gauge Theories, [Nucl. Phys. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(74)90486-6) 79, 276 (1974).
- [3] A. M. Polyakov, Particle Spectrum in Quantum Field Theory, JETP Lett. 20, 194 (1974).
- [4] A. H. Guth, The Inflationary Universe: A Possible Solution to the Horizon and Flatness Problems, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.23.347) 23, 347 (1981).
- [5] E. Witten, Dyons of Charge $e\theta/2\pi$, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(79)90838-4) 86, 283 (1979).
- [6] C. G. Callan, Jr., Disappearing Dyons, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.25.2141) 25, 2141 (1982).
- [7] C. G. Callan, Jr., Dyon-Fermion Dynamics, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.26.2058) 26, 2058 (1982).
- [8] V. A. Rubakov, Adler-Bell-Jackiw Anomaly and Fermion Number Breaking in the Presence of a Magnetic Monopole, [Nucl. Phys. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(82)90034-7) 203, 311 (1982).
- [9] J. A. Harvey, Magnetic monopoles, duality and supersymmetry, in ICTP Summer School in High-energy Physics and Cosmology (1996) [arXiv:hep-th/9603086.](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9603086)
- [10] L. Alvarez-Gaume and F. Zamora, Duality in quantum field theory and string theory, [AIP](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.55087) [Conf. Proc.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.55087) 423, 46 (1998), [arXiv:hep-th/9709180.](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9709180)
- [11] M. Pretko, Higher-Spin Witten Effect and Two-Dimensional Fracton Phases, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.125151) 96[, 125151 \(2017\),](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.125151) [arXiv:1707.03838 \[cond-mat.str-el\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1707.03838)
- [12] N. Yamamoto, Magnetic monopoles and fermion number violation in chiral matter, (2020), [arXiv:2005.05028 \[hep-th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.05028)
- [13] M. Abe, O. Morikawa, and H. Suzuki, Fractional topological charge in lattice Abelian gauge theory, PTEP 2023[, 023B03 \(2023\),](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptad009) [arXiv:2210.12967 \[hep-th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.12967)
- [14] P. Sikivie, On the Interaction of Magnetic Monopoles With Axionic Domain Walls, [Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(84)91731-3) B 137[, 353 \(1984\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(84)91731-3)
- [15] Y. Hidaka, M. Nitta, and R. Yokokura, Higher-form symmetries and 3-group in axion electrodynamics, Phys. Lett. B 808[, 135672 \(2020\),](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2020.135672) [arXiv:2006.12532 \[hep-th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.12532)
- [16] H. Fukuda and K. Yonekura, Witten effect, anomaly inflow, and charge teleportation, [JHEP](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2021)119) 01[, 119,](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2021)119) [arXiv:2010.02221 \[hep-th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.02221)
- [17] P. Agrawal, K. V. Berghaus, J. Fan, A. Hook, G. Marques-Tavares, and T. Rudelius, Some open questions in axion theory, in 2022 Snowmass Summer Study (2022) [arXiv:2203.08026](https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.08026) [\[hep-ph\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.08026)
- [18] Y. Hamada, R. Kitano, R. Matsudo, and K. Mukaida, Understanding anomalous particle production in massless QED via time-varying θ angle, [JHEP](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2022)218) 09, 218, [arXiv:2206.07402 \[hep](https://arxiv.org/abs/2206.07402)[th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2206.07402)
- [19] Y. Choi, H. T. Lam, and S.-H. Shao, Non-invertible Gauss Law and Axions, (2022), [arXiv:2212.04499 \[hep-th\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.04499)
- [20] X.-L. Qi, T. Hughes, and S.-C. Zhang, Topological Field Theory of Time-Reversal Invariant Insulators, Phys. Rev. B 78[, 195424 \(2008\),](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.195424) [arXiv:0802.3537 \[cond-mat.mes-hall\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/0802.3537)
- [21] T. Sasaki, E. Imai, and I. Kanazawa, Witten effect and fractional electric charge on the domain wall between topological insulators and spin ice compounds, [Journal of Physics: Conference](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/568/5/052029) Series 568[, 052029 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/568/5/052029)
- [22] H.-G. Zirnstein and B. Rosenow, Topological magnetoelectric effect: Nonlinear time-reversalsymmetric response, witten effect, and half-integer quantum hall effect, physica status solidi (b) 257 (2020).
- [23] E. Lee, A. Furusaki, and B.-J. Yang, Fractional charge bound to a vortex in two dimensional topological crystalline insulators, Phys. Rev. B 101[, 241109 \(2019\),](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.101.241109) [arXiv:1903.02737 \[cond](https://arxiv.org/abs/1903.02737)[mat.mes-hall\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1903.02737)
- [24] C.-Y. Hou, C. Chamon, and C. Mudry, Electron fractionalization in two-dimensional graphenelike structures, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.186809) 98, 186809 (2007), [arXiv:cond-mat/0609740.](https://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/0609740)
- [25] H. Yamagishi, THE FERMION MONOPOLE SYSTEM REEXAMINED, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.27.2383) 27, [2383 \(1983\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.27.2383)
- [26] B. Grossman, DOES A DYON LEAK?, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.50.464) **50**, 464 (1983).
- [27] H. Yamagishi, Fermion-monopole system reexamined. ii, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.28.977) 28, 977 (1983).
- [28] Y.-Y. Zhao and S.-Q. Shen, A magnetic monopole in topological insulator: exact solution (2012), [arXiv:1208.3027 \[cond-mat.mes-hall\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1208.3027)
- [29] A. C. Tyner and P. Goswami, Part II: Witten effect and Z-classification of axion angle $\theta = n\pi$, (2022), [arXiv:2206.10636 \[cond-mat.mes-hall\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2206.10636)
- [30] K.-I. Imura and Y. Takane, Protection of the surface states in topological insulators: Berry phase perspective, Phys. Rev. B 87[, 205409 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.87.205409)
- [31] S. Aoki and H. Fukaya, Curved domain-wall fermions, PTEP 2022[, 063B04 \(2022\),](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptac075) [arXiv:2203.03782 \[hep-lat\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.03782)
- [32] S. Aoki and H. Fukaya, Curved domain-wall fermion and its anomaly inflow, [PTEP](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptad023) 2023, [033B05 \(2023\),](https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptad023) [arXiv:2212.11583 \[hep-lat\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.11583)
- [33] M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer, The index of elliptic operators on compact manifolds, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society 69, 422 (1963).
- [34] M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer, The index of elliptic operators: I, [Annals of Mathematics](http://www.jstor.org/stable/1970715) 87, [484 \(1968\).](http://www.jstor.org/stable/1970715)
- [35] M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer, The index of elliptic operators: V, [Annals of Mathematics](http://www.jstor.org/stable/1970757) 93, [139 \(1971\).](http://www.jstor.org/stable/1970757)
- [36] G. Rosenberg and M. Franz, Witten effect in a crystalline topological insulator, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.035105) 82[, 035105 \(2010\),](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.035105) [arXiv:1001.3179 \[cond-mat.mes-hall\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1001.3179)
- [37] V. R. Khalilov, Bound states of massive fermions in Aharonov-Bohm-like fields, [Eur. Phys. J.](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2708-z) C 74[, 2708 \(2014\),](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2708-z) [arXiv:1401.3948 \[quant-ph\].](https://arxiv.org/abs/1401.3948)