

Coset space dimensional reduction of Yang–Mills theory on non-compact symmetric spaces

Mahir Ertürk and Gabriel Picanço Costa

Institut für Theoretische Physik
Leibniz Universität Hannover
Appelstraße 2, 30167 Hannover, Germany

Abstract

In this work, we analyze the coset space dimensional reduction scheme to construct pure Yang–Mills fields on maximally symmetric spacetimes given as cylinders over cosets. Particular cases of foliations using H^n , dS_n and AdS_n slices as non-compact symmetric spaces are solved, compared to previous results in the literature, and generalized in a structured fashion. Coupling to General Relativity in FLRW-type universes is introduced via the cosmological scale factor. For the hyperbolic slicing, the dynamics of the Einstein–Yang–Mills system is analytically solved and discussed. Finally, we generalize the analysis to warped foliations of the cylinders, which enlarge the range of possible spacetimes while also introducing a Hubble friction-like term in the equation of motion for the Yang–Mills field.

1 Introduction and summary

The Yang–Mills (YM) equation, as a set of non-linear partial differential equations, is, in general, rather difficult to solve analytically. Therefore, methods allowing one to construct its solutions may play a crucial role in obtaining a better understanding of the properties of non-abelian gauge theories. One common approach to constructing such analytic solutions is to simplify the problem by imposing additional symmetry conditions on the gauge field, frequently related to the structure of the underlying spacetime at hand, thus possibly reducing the degrees of freedom of the Yang–Mills system.

In particular, if the underlying spacetime consists partially of a Lie group or cosets thereof, the additional structure introduced by the group’s presence can be used to simplify the situation. Such approaches have found applications again quite recently. For example, in [1], four-dimensional de Sitter space dS_4 was foliated with spacelike $S^3 \cong \text{SU}(2)$ slices to obtain, through conformal invariance of Yang–Mills theory in four dimensions, an infinite basis of knotted electromagnetic solutions on Minkowski space, generalizing the celebrated Hopf–Rañada solution [2]. Another instance of this is the case where the spacetime again is a cylinder over a Lie group $M \cong \mathbb{R} \times G$, but now invariance of the gauge field under the natural G -action on M is imposed. In these cases, the Yang–Mills equation reduces to a system of ordinary, albeit still non-linear, matrix differential equations, sometimes with the number of degrees of freedom reducing to one. Multiple instances of this were, for example, used to construct analytic solutions on four-dimensional (anti-)de Sitter space (and hence by conformal invariance on Minkowski space) in [3–5]. Especially the case $M \cong \mathbb{R} \times \text{SU}(2)$ saw applications related to cosmology and the electroweak epoch, where the Yang–Mills field was coupled to the scale factor of Friedmann–Lemaître–Robertson–Walker-type (FLRW) closed geometry [6–9]. Another related approach is an example of the coset space dimensional reduction (CSDR) scheme [10], where G -invariant Yang–Mills fields are considered on a spacetime which is foliated with cosets of G , that is, $M \cong \mathbb{R} \times G/H$, where H is some Lie subgroup of G . Recent applications of this approach include, for example, [11–14]. Especially in [13], the $n+1$ -dimensional de Sitter space dS_{n+1} was foliated using warped cylinders over S^n written as cosets of the orthogonal-, unitary-, and spin-groups; the first one, $S^n \cong \text{SO}(n+1)/\text{SO}(n)$ also being a symmetric space. Also, non-compact cosets, and hence structure groups, made an appearance in [7] to construct $\text{SO}(1,3)$ invariant solutions on Minkowski space by gluing solutions together, each part obtained on cylinders where different subgroups were modded out of the Lorentz group.

In this work, we consider the CSDR scheme applied both to straight $\mathbb{R} \times G/H$ as well as warped $\mathbb{R} \times_a G/H$ cylinders over (non-compact) symmetric spaces, where a is a warping function. In particular, we also consider pure Yang–Mills theory with gauge group G with the cosets G/H being hyperbolic space $H^n \cong \text{SO}(1,n)/\text{SO}(n)$, de Sitter space $dS_n \cong \text{SO}(1,n)/\text{SO}(1,n-1)$, and anti-de Sitter space $\text{AdS}_n \cong \text{SO}(2,n-1)/\text{SO}(1,n-1)$. The general treatment and geometrical construction for straight cylinders is developed at the beginning of Section 2, where it will already become clear that, for the cases here considered, the dynamics of the gauge field reduce to that of a one-dimensional Newtonian particle subject to a quartic potential. After that, we derive the reduced Lagrangians and equations of motion for the three at hand. We naturally find that the complementary case of $S^n \cong \text{SO}(n+1)/\text{SO}(n)$ discussed in [13] fits neatly into the results obtained for the three non-compact cosets, which we will from there on out be able to include into all later considerations. Subsequently, we compute a closed expression for the energy-momentum tensor of these scenarios, revealing their perfect fluid structure for the two Riemannian slicings at hand. Finally, we give the analytic solutions to the equations of motion for the three systems, as they reduce to the dynamics of a Newtonian particle moving in an inverted or non-inverted double well. In Section 3, we couple the $\mathbb{R} \times H^3$ case to gravity by considering an FLRW-type open hyperbolic cosmology. This is in analogy with the previous considerations of the $\mathbb{R} \times \text{SU}(2)$ case mentioned above. Naturally, the conformal invariance of Yang–Mills theory in four dimensions simplifies the Einstein–Yang–Mills system to a one-way coupling which in the end amounts to the Wheeler–DeWitt constraint, hence allowing us to solve the system analytically. In Section 4, we generalize our CSDR setup to warped cylinders $\mathbb{R} \times_a G/H$. We show that the introduction of such a warping results in the addition of a Hubble–friction term in the equation of motion for the analog particle. A hyperbolic slicing of AdS_{n+1} is briefly discussed and compared to the aforementioned spherical slicing of dS_{n+1} as a simple example of the developments above derived.

2 CSDR on non-compact symmetric spaces

2.1 Geometrical setup

Coset space dimensional reduction of Yang–Mills theories can be formulated in a variety of ways. Here we consider pure Yang–Mills theory with a principal G bundle $P = G \times M$ with a Lorentzian cylinder $M = \mathbb{R} \times G/H$ over a symmetric space G/H as the base space. To begin our discussion, let us briefly review the geometrical construction of this setting.

Given a Lie group G and a closed (Lie-)subgroup $H \subset G$, we define the coset space $\tilde{M} := G/H$. Let \mathfrak{g} and $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebras of G and H respectively. We then denote the Killing form on \mathfrak{g} as

$$K(X, Y) := \varepsilon_K \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{ad}_X \circ \operatorname{ad}_Y) \equiv \varepsilon_K \operatorname{tr}_{\operatorname{adj}}(XY), \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}, \quad (2.1)$$

where we have introduced a factor $\varepsilon_K = \pm 1$ to adjust the overall sign of K . It can be used to remove a sign ambiguity which arises when we work with semi-simple groups G , that is, with indefinite Killing forms. As we will work with symmetric spaces, and thus reductive ones, we are able to decompose the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} into Killing-orthogonal parts

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m} \quad (2.2)$$

with the properties

$$[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] \subset \mathfrak{h}, \quad [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{m}] \subset \mathfrak{m}, \quad \text{and} \quad [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] \subset \mathfrak{h}. \quad (2.3)$$

Moving on, let $\{I_A\} = \{I_\alpha\} \cup \{I_a\}$ be an orthogonal basis of \mathfrak{g} , such that $\operatorname{span}\{I_\alpha\} = \mathfrak{h}$ and $\operatorname{span}\{I_a\} = \mathfrak{m}$. We choose these bases in such a way that

$$K(I_A, I_B) = \varepsilon_K \mathcal{D}_n \tilde{\eta}_{AB}, \quad (2.4)$$

where \mathcal{D}_n is some normalization depending on the dimension $n := \dim(\mathfrak{m})$ of the coset, and where

$$\tilde{\eta}_{AB} := \frac{\operatorname{tr}_{\operatorname{adj}}(I_A I_B)}{|\operatorname{tr}_{\operatorname{adj}}(I_A I_B)|} = \tilde{\eta}_{\alpha\beta} \oplus \tilde{\eta}_{ab} \quad (2.5)$$

is the normalized diagonal version of $\operatorname{tr}_{\operatorname{adj}}(I_A I_B)$. Now let $\{\hat{e}^A\} = \{\hat{e}^\alpha\} \cup \{\hat{e}^a\} \subset \Omega^1(G)$ be the corresponding basis of left invariant one-forms on G .¹ With these, we get the Cartan–Killing metric on G via

$$g^{(\text{CK})} = \tilde{\eta}_{AB} \hat{e}^A \otimes \hat{e}^B. \quad (2.6)$$

Turning our attention to the coset, let $\sigma : G/H \rightarrow G$ be a (possibly local) section and consider the pullbacks $e^A := \sigma^* \hat{e}^A \in \Omega^1(G/H)$. Since $\dim(G/H) = \dim(G) - \dim(H) = \dim(\mathfrak{m})$, they will be linearly dependent, with the $\{e^a\}$ forming a basis on the coset such that $e^\alpha = \chi_b^\alpha e^b$ for some functions $\chi_b^\alpha \in \Omega^0(G/H)$. Choosing the section appropriately, we obtain the ‘canonical’ left-invariant (pseudo-)Riemannian metric on the coset via

$$g_{\tilde{M}} = \tilde{\eta}_{ab} e^a \otimes e^b. \quad (2.7)$$

We remark that the orthonormality of the forms on the coset imply the first Cartan structure equation

$$de^a + \omega^a_b \wedge e^b = 0, \quad (2.8)$$

where ω^a_b is the Levi–Civita connection form, whilst the left invariance of the forms on G implies the Maurer–Cartan equations both on G and on the coset,

$$de^A = -\frac{1}{2} f_{BC}^A e^B \wedge e^C. \quad (2.9)$$

Due to the fact that G/H is symmetric, the equation above decomposes into two sets of independent equations. Both of these properties together can be used to express the Levi–Civita connection ω^a_b on G/H , and thus all related curvature quantities such as the Riemann tensor, the Ricci tensor, and the

¹That is, the dual basis to the left invariant vector fields \hat{E}_A generated by left translation of the I_A .

Ricci scalar purely in terms of the structure constants f_{BC}^A and of the functions χ_b^α .

With the geometric structure of the coset laid down, let us now define our spacetime as the flat cylinder $M := \mathbb{R} \times G/H$. For the metric on M , we consider a Lorentzian product metric

$$g := \varepsilon_g \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} e^\mu \otimes e^\nu = \varepsilon_g (\tilde{g}_{00} e^0 \otimes e^0 + \tilde{\eta}_{ab} e^a \otimes e^b) , \quad (2.10)$$

where $e^0 \equiv e^u := du$, with $u \in \mathbb{R}$, is the foliation parameter and $\tilde{g}_{00} = \pm 1$ is chosen depending on the signature of $\tilde{\eta}_{ab}$. If the coset metric $\tilde{\eta}_{ab}$ is already Lorentzian, the foliation parameter will then be space-like. Otherwise, it will be time-like. We again introduce a factor $\varepsilon_g = \pm 1$ to keep track of the overall sign of g . With the spacetime M , we then consider the principal G bundle $P = G \times M$ to develop a gauge theory over M .

We can expand both the gauge field $\mathcal{A} \in \Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{g})$ and its field strength $\mathcal{F} = d\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A} \in \Omega^2(M, \mathfrak{g})$ in terms of the basis defined above such that

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_\alpha e^\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{0\alpha} e^0 \wedge e^\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_{ab} e^a \wedge e^b , \quad (2.11)$$

where we chose to work on the ‘temporal’ gauge $\mathcal{A}_0 \equiv 0$, which we will keep throughout this work. As we are working in a foliation over a reductive coset space, imposing left G -invariance on the gauge field yields [10]

$$\mathcal{A} = I_\alpha e^\alpha + \underbrace{X_a(u)}_{\in \mathfrak{m}} e^a \quad (2.12)$$

together with the constraint

$$[I_\alpha, X_a(u)] = f_{\alpha a}^b X_b(u) , \quad (2.13)$$

that is, that the components $X_a(u)$ lie in the adjoint representation $\text{adj}(\mathfrak{h})|_{\mathfrak{m}}$ of the subalgebra on the orthogonal complement². The constraint is solved by introducing a ‘scalar’ degree of freedom $\phi_i(u)$ for each irreducible representation \mathcal{R}_i of $\text{ad}(\mathfrak{h})|_{\mathfrak{m}} = \mathcal{R} = \bigoplus_i \mathcal{R}_i$. This is accomplished by first changing the basis $\{I_a\}$ into new sub-bases $\bigoplus_i \{\bar{I}_{a_i}\}$, which block-diagonalize the representations, then scaling each sub-basis with $\phi_i(u)$ and finally transforming back to obtain the $X_a(u)$. Notice that this will in general imply that the $X_a(u)$ will mix generators from different irreps (see e.g. [11, 13]). However in all the cases considered in this work, the adjoint representation $\text{ad}(\mathfrak{h})|_{\mathfrak{m}}$ will always be the vector representation of \mathfrak{h} and thus irreducible. Hence, we will always reduce the system down to a single degree of freedom $\phi(u)$, thus allowing to set $X_a(u) = \phi(u)I_a$. In this case, the ansatz for the gauge field reads

$$\mathcal{A} = I_\alpha e^\alpha + \phi(u) I_a e^a , \quad (2.14)$$

from which we straightforwardly get the components of the field strength

$$\mathcal{F}_{0\alpha} = \dot{\phi} I_\alpha , \quad \mathcal{F}_{ab} = (\phi^2 - 1) [I_a, I_b] , \quad (2.15)$$

where the dot denotes ∂_u . Thus, the color-magnetic components \mathcal{F}_{ab} lie in \mathfrak{h} and the color-electric components $\mathcal{F}_{0\alpha}$ lie in \mathfrak{m} . It is worth noticing that, for $\dim(M) = 4$, this implies that there will be no (anti-)self-dual solutions which are G invariant. Finally, the Yang–Mills action reads

$$S = \frac{1}{4\alpha} \int_M K(\mathcal{F} \wedge * \mathcal{F}) = \frac{1}{8\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{G/H} K(\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}, \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}) d\text{Vol} . \quad (2.16)$$

To obtain the reduced equation of motion for $\phi(u)$, we can now either plug the ansatz directly into the Yang–Mills equation $d^A * \mathcal{F} = 0$ or we can first plug it in the action and then extremize the reduced action

$$S[\phi] = \text{Vol}(G/H) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{8\alpha} K(\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}, \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu})}_{\mathcal{L}(\phi, \dot{\phi})} du . \quad (2.17)$$

²More precisely, the $X_a(u)$ must transform like the basis elements $\{I_a\}$.

The equivalence of these two routes is guaranteed by the principle of symmetric criticality [15], as G^3 will be semi-simple, connected and analytic in all cases considered. We will thus stick with the second option and evaluate the reduced action, or equivalently the reduced Lagrangian \mathcal{L} , and then compute the corresponding Euler–Lagrange equation. Before proceeding to derive the concrete expressions, we remark that from (2.15) and the fact that the basis of generators is orthogonal, we can already anticipate that the structure of the reduced Lagrangian will be that of a one-dimensional Newtonian particle subject to a quartic potential, that is, $\mathcal{L} \sim \dot{\phi}^2 - V(\phi)$, with $V(\phi)$ being an even polynomial of degree four.

2.2 Application to H^n , dS_n and AdS_n

In this section we want to apply the CSDR scheme described above to cylinders over hyperbolic space H^n , de Sitter space dS_n and anti-de Sitter space AdS_n . All three can be realized as non-compact symmetric spaces via

$$H^n \cong \text{SO}(1, n)/\text{SO}(n) , \quad (2.18)$$

$$dS_n \cong \text{SO}(1, n)/\text{SO}(1, n-1) , \quad \text{and} \quad (2.19)$$

$$AdS_n \cong \text{SO}(2, n-1)/\text{SO}(1, n-1) , \quad (2.20)$$

which are all quotients of different orthogonal groups of indefinite signatures. As mentioned before, all three cases have irreducible representations $\text{ad}(\mathfrak{h})|_{\mathfrak{m}}$, reducing their respective dynamics to a single degree of freedom $\phi(u)$. Hence, we will treat the derivation of the reduced Lagrangians (2.17) for all three cases in parallel.

Working with the definitions for the Killing form (2.4), the metric on the cylinder (2.10), and the ansatz (2.15), we obtain for the Lagrangian (2.17)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\phi, \dot{\phi}) &= \frac{1}{8\alpha} K(\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}, \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}) \\ &= \frac{1}{8\alpha} (2K(\mathcal{F}_{0a}, \mathcal{F}_{0b})g^{00}g^{ab} + K(\mathcal{F}_{ma}, \mathcal{F}_{nb})g^{mn}g^{ab}) \\ &= \frac{1}{8\alpha} \mathcal{D}_n \varepsilon_K (2\tilde{\eta}(\mathcal{F}_{0a}, \mathcal{F}_{0b})\tilde{g}^{00}\tilde{\eta}^{ab} + \tilde{\eta}(\mathcal{F}_{ma}, \mathcal{F}_{nb})\tilde{\eta}^{mn}\tilde{\eta}^{ab}) \\ &= \frac{1}{8\alpha} \mathcal{D}_n \varepsilon_K \left(2\dot{\phi}^2 n\tilde{g}^{00} + (\phi^2 - 1)^2 \tilde{\eta}([I_a, I_b], [I_a, I_b])\tilde{\eta}^{aa}\tilde{\eta}^{bb} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\alpha} \mathcal{D}_n n \varepsilon_K \tilde{g}^{00} \left(\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{\tilde{g}^{00}}{4n} \mathcal{S}_n (\phi^2 - 1)^2 \right) , \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

where we used that $\tilde{\eta}^{ab}\tilde{\eta}_{ab} = \dim(\mathfrak{m}) = n$. Thus, as we could anticipate before, the Lagrangians reduce to that of a Newtonian particle subject to a quartic potential whose coefficient depends on the case

$$V(\phi) = -\frac{\tilde{g}^{00}}{4n} \mathcal{S}_n (\phi^2 - 1)^2 , \quad (2.22)$$

where we abbreviated

$$\mathcal{S}_n := \tilde{\eta}([I_a, I_b], [I_a, I_b])\tilde{\eta}^{aa}\tilde{\eta}^{bb} = \sum_{I, J \in \mathfrak{m}} \|[I, J]\|_{\tilde{\eta}}^2 \|[I, J]\|_{\tilde{\eta}}^2 . \quad (2.23)$$

Hence, to obtain the reduced Lagrangians for all three cases, we simply have to calculate the Killing forms, which fix each \tilde{g}_{00} , then evaluate the double sum (2.23). We begin with the Killing forms. Working in the defining matrix representations, we use

$$\text{tr}_{\text{adj}}(XY) = (p + q - 2)\text{tr}_{\text{def}}(XY) , \quad (2.24)$$

which holds on $SO(p, q)$ with $p + q \geq 3$, $p, q \geq 1$. From this, we easily get

$$H^n : \tilde{\eta}_{AB} = \tilde{\eta}_{\alpha\beta} \oplus \tilde{\eta}_{ab} = -\mathbb{1}_{\binom{n}{2}} \oplus \mathbb{1}_n , \quad (2.25)$$

$$dS_n : \tilde{\eta}_{AB} = \tilde{\eta}_{\alpha\beta} \oplus \tilde{\eta}_{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1}_{n-1} & \\ & -\mathbb{1}_{\binom{n-1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & -\mathbb{1}_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} , \quad \text{and} \quad (2.26)$$

$$AdS_n : \tilde{\eta}_{AB} = \tilde{\eta}_{\alpha\beta} \oplus \tilde{\eta}_{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1}_{n-1} & \\ & -\mathbb{1}_{\binom{n-1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1}_{n-1} & \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix} , \quad (2.27)$$

³Or, equivalently, the connected component of the identity.

with Killing-normalization (2.4) which works out to be $\mathcal{D}_n = 2(n-1)$ for both of our structure groups. Naturally, the de Sitter case is just a reordering of the hyperbolic case. Now, from the coset part of these expressions we can see that \tilde{g}_{00} has to be -1 for both H^n and dS_n and $+1$ for AdS_n , which makes the ‘bare’ metrics $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ on the cylinders (2.10) mostly plus, mostly minus and mostly plus, respectively (which can be adapted as desired from \tilde{g} to g using ε_g). Now we need to evaluate the double sum (2.23). We avoid working with structure constants explicitly and resort to combinatoric arguments. The main issue one encounters in the sum is the indefiniteness of the Killing forms. Since commutators are involved, one has to keep track of the three signs arising when summing over all generators, thus, we will simply look at all possible combinations that can occur. To this end, let us call generators which have $\tilde{\eta}$ -square -1 ‘compact’ and those with $\tilde{\eta}$ -square $+1$ ‘non-compact’⁴. Hence, we first need to determine which type of generators, i.e. (non-)compact, commute into each other. For the Lorentz algebra $\mathfrak{so}(1, n)$, it is well known that

$$\begin{aligned} [C, C] &= C & [-, -] &= - \\ [C, -C] &= -C & \Leftrightarrow [-, +] &= + \\ [-C, -C] &= C & [+, +] &= - \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

where C and $-C$ refer to compact and non-compact respectively. Hence, for $I \neq J$, we have

$\ [I, J]\ _{\tilde{\eta}}^2$	$\ I\ _{\tilde{\eta}}^2$	$\ J\ _{\tilde{\eta}}^2$	Π
-	-	-	-
+	+	-	-
+	-	+	-
-	+	+	-

where $\Pi = \|[I, J]\|_{\tilde{\eta}}^2 \|I\|_{\tilde{\eta}}^2 \|J\|_{\tilde{\eta}}^2$ is the summand, which is -1 for all non-trivial ($I \neq J$) cases. Since hyperbolic space and de Sitter space have the same big group, this will hold for both of these cases. With this, the double sum becomes trivial, resulting in $\mathcal{S}_n = -2\binom{n}{2}$, which in turn yields

$$V_{H^n}(\phi) = V_{\text{dS}_n}(\phi) = -\frac{1}{8}\mathcal{D}_n(\phi^2 - 1), \quad (2.29)$$

where we have used that $2\binom{n}{2}/(4n) = (n-1)/4 = \mathcal{D}_n/8$. For the anti-de Sitter space we proceed analogously. By either using the block structure of the defining representation of $\mathfrak{so}(2, n-1)$ or direct calculation, it is straightforward to obtain all non-trivial combinations as

$\ [I, J]\ _{\tilde{\eta}}^2$	$\ I\ _{\tilde{\eta}}^2$	$\ J\ _{\tilde{\eta}}^2$	Π
+	+	-	-
+	-	+	-
-	+	+	-

There is no non-trivial case with both I and J $\tilde{\eta}$ -squaring to -1 since here there is only one compact generator, which is clear from (2.27). The sum becomes trivial, as in the previous cases, but this time with $\tilde{g}_{00} = +1$, which yields

$$V_{\text{AdS}_n}(\phi) = +\frac{1}{8}\mathcal{D}_n(\phi^2 - 1). \quad (2.30)$$

Thus we have obtained the reduced Lagrangians for the three cases at hand. The potentials for the quasi-Newtonian degrees of freedom $\phi(u)$ turn out to be inverted double wells for the hyperbolic and the de Sitter space and an usual double well for the anti-de Sitter space. For $n=3$, it matches previous results in [14], where the special cases H^3 and dS_3 were discussed. Not only do the three systems behave similarly but the Lagrangians and potentials respectively are all structurally identical, with

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{\alpha}\varepsilon_K \tilde{g}_{00} \frac{n}{2}\mathcal{D}_n \left(\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 - V(\phi) \right) \quad \text{and} \quad V(\phi) = \tilde{g}_{00} \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{D}_n(\phi^2 - 1)^2, \quad (2.31)$$

where the only difference is \tilde{g}_{00} . Furthermore it is easy to see that all of the discussion also applies to the case $\text{SO}(n+1)/\text{SO}(n) \cong S^n$ discussed in [13], completing the picture with the curvature-dual of

⁴Naturally, this definition lines up with the generators being (anti-)symmetric in the defining representation (as matrices).

hyperbolic space. Translating the latter into our notation amounts to setting $\varepsilon_K = +1$, $\varepsilon_g = -1$ and $\tilde{g}_{00} = +1$. Hence, we can include this case into our picture and arrive at the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} V_{S^n} &= -V_{H^n} \\ \parallel &\quad \parallel \\ V_{\text{AdS}_n} &= -V_{\text{dS}_n} \end{aligned}$$

2.3 Energy-momentum tensors

In the same way as for the action, we can compute a closed expression for the energy-momentum tensors of all cases at once, including for the sphere, as mentioned above. Here we use the standard, divergence free and gauge invariant Yang–Mills energy-momentum tensor given by

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left(K(\mathcal{F}_{\mu\sigma}, \mathcal{F}_{\nu\rho}) g^{\sigma\rho} - \frac{1}{4} g_{\mu\nu} K(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha\beta}, \mathcal{F}^{\alpha\beta}) \right), \quad T = T_{\mu\nu} e^\mu \otimes e^\nu. \quad (2.32)$$

The calculation itself is straightforward and relies on a similar combinatoric consideration as for the potentials. Let us begin with the first term. The 00-component is easily obtained as

$$K(\mathcal{F}_{0\sigma}, \mathcal{F}_{0\rho}) g^{\sigma\rho} = n \mathcal{D}_n \varepsilon_K \varepsilon_g \dot{\phi}^2. \quad (2.33)$$

For the coset components we get

$$\begin{aligned} K(\mathcal{F}_{a\sigma}, \mathcal{F}_{b\rho}) g^{\sigma\rho} &= \varepsilon_g \tilde{g}^{00} K(\mathcal{F}_{a0}, \mathcal{F}_{b0}) + K(\mathcal{F}_{am}, \mathcal{F}_{bn}) g^{mn} \\ &= \varepsilon_g \tilde{g}^{00} \varepsilon_K \mathcal{D}_n \tilde{\eta}_{ab} \dot{\phi}^2 + (\phi^2 - 1)^2 \mathcal{D}_n \varepsilon_K \tilde{\eta}([I_a, I_m], [I_b, I_n]) \varepsilon_g \tilde{\eta}^{mn}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.34)$$

We again encounter a term which sums over products of $\tilde{\eta}$ -squares,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\eta}([I_a, I_m], [I_b, I_n]) \tilde{\eta}^{mn} &= \sum_{I \in \mathfrak{m}} \tilde{\eta}([I, I_a], [I, I_b]) \|I\|_{\tilde{\eta}}^2 \\ &= \sum_{I \in \mathfrak{m}} \|[I, I_a]\|_{\tilde{\eta}}^2 \|I\|_{\tilde{\eta}}^2 \delta_{ab} =: \mathcal{C}_a \delta_{ab}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.35)$$

where in the last line we have used that $\text{ad}(I) \equiv [I, \cdot] : \{I_\alpha\} \rightarrow \{I_\alpha\}$ is injective. Again, we have to analyze the signs. From our previous computations, we know that the relations (2.28) hold for all cases, spheres included (which only have compact generators). We can thus name all the combinations as follows:

$\ [I_a]\ _{\tilde{\eta}}^2$	$\ I\ _{\tilde{\eta}}^2$	$\ [I, I_a]\ _{\tilde{\eta}}^2$	$\ I\ _{\tilde{\eta}}^2 \ [I, I_a]\ _{\tilde{\eta}}^2$
+	+	−	−
+	−	+	−
−	+	+	+
−	−	−	+

For fixed a , the summand is either always -1 or $+1$, except for the trivial $I = I_a$ case where it is zero. So we get

$$\mathcal{C}_a = (n-1) \begin{cases} -1, & a \text{ is } -C \\ +1, & a \text{ is } C \end{cases}. \quad (2.36)$$

combining this with the δ_{ab} yields

$$\mathcal{C}_a \delta_{ab} = -(n-1) \tilde{\eta}_{ab}. \quad (2.37)$$

Plugging this back in (2.34), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} K(\mathcal{F}_{a\sigma}, \mathcal{F}_{b\rho})g^{\sigma\rho} &= \varepsilon_g \tilde{g}^{00} \varepsilon_K \mathcal{D}_n \tilde{\eta}_{ab} \dot{\phi}^2 - (\phi^2 - 1)^2 \mathcal{D}_n \varepsilon_K \varepsilon_g (n-1) \tilde{\eta}_{ab} \\ &= \varepsilon_K \mathcal{D}_n g_{ab} \tilde{g}_{00} \left(\dot{\phi}^2 - 4V(\phi) \right) , \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

where in the last step we have used $\tilde{g}_{00}^2 = 1$. Finally, the other mixed components all vanish,

$$K(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{m}) \equiv 0 . \quad (2.39)$$

The second term in the energy-momentum tensor is just proportional to the Lagrangian itself. Hence, putting both parts together, we obtain the energy-momentum tensor as

$$\begin{aligned} T_{00} &= \frac{1}{2\alpha} \varepsilon_K \tilde{g}_{00} n \mathcal{D}_n E g_{00} , \\ T_{ab} &= -\frac{1}{2\alpha} \varepsilon_K \tilde{g}_{00} \mathcal{D}_n \left(\left(\frac{n}{2} - 1 \right) \dot{\phi}^2 + (4-n)V(\phi) \right) g_{ab} , \text{ and} \\ T_{0a} &= 0 , \end{aligned} \quad (2.40)$$

where we defined the ‘energy’ of the Yang–Mills field as

$$E := \frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 + V(\phi) . \quad (2.41)$$

Notice that the sign of T depends both on the overall sign of the metric ε_g , which is expected, and also on the overall sign of the Killing form ε_K . Hence, there is freedom to choose (especially) the sign of the energy density. A practical choice may be $\varepsilon_K = -\tilde{g}_{00}$, as we will see later on.

For the particular cases of Riemannian cosets S^n and H^n the spacetimes are homogeneous and isotropic. In this case, the spatially homogeneous Yang–Mills fields actually yield perfect fluid energy-momentum tensors. Of course, this is not true anymore for the slicings with the Lorentzian cosets (A)dS $_n$. Also notice that, since the foliation parameter is spacelike in the latter case, the energy density will not reside in T_{00} , but in T_{aa} for the timelike a . For $n = 3$, that is, four dimensional spacetime, the energy-momentum tensors read

$$T = \rho \left(g_{00} e^0 \otimes e^0 - \frac{1}{3} g_{ab} e^a \otimes e^b \right) , \quad \text{with } \rho := \frac{6}{\alpha} \varepsilon_K \tilde{g}_{00} E , \quad (2.42)$$

again matching [14] for hyperbolic and de Sitter spaces. The energy-momentum tensors are traceless and for the Riemannian slicings with S^3 and H^3 they are also of perfect fluid radiation type, as expected.

2.4 Solutions to equation of motion

The reduced equations of motion in every case are that of an one-dimensional Newtonian particle subject to either a double well or inverted double well potential. Alternatively, we can work with the mechanical system for ϕ via conservation of mechanical energy, with

$$\frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 \pm \frac{1}{8} \mathcal{D}_n (\phi^2 - 1)^2 = E . \quad (2.43)$$

Increasing the dimension n of the coset, the potential scales with an overall factor $\mathcal{D}_n = 2(n-1)$. The equations can then be solved analytically both for the double well and for the inverted double well. Using time translation invariance to fix $\dot{\phi}(u=0) = 0$, the solutions can be parametrized by the overall energy E and the initial value $\phi_* := \phi(u=0)$.

For the double well $V(\phi) = +\frac{1}{8} \mathcal{D}_n (\phi^2 - 1)^2$ and $E \geq 0$, the solutions are

$$\phi(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{k}{\varepsilon} \operatorname{cn} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{D}_n}}{2\varepsilon} u, k^2 \right) & , \tilde{E} \in (\frac{1}{2}, \infty) \leftrightarrow k^2 \in (1, \frac{1}{2}) \\ \sqrt{2} \operatorname{sech} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{D}_n}{2}} u \right) & , \tilde{E} = \frac{1}{2} \leftrightarrow k^2 = 1 \\ 0 & , \tilde{E} = \frac{1}{2} \leftrightarrow k^2 = 1 \\ \pm \frac{k}{\varepsilon} \operatorname{dn} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{D}_n}}{2\varepsilon} k u, \frac{1}{k^2} \right) & , \tilde{E} \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \leftrightarrow k^2 \in (\infty, 1) \\ \pm 1 & , \tilde{E} = 0 \leftrightarrow k^2 = \infty \end{cases} , \quad (2.44)$$

where

$$\tilde{E} = 4 \frac{E}{\mathcal{D}_n} \geq 0, \quad \varepsilon^2 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\tilde{E}}}, \quad k^2 = \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon^2, \quad (2.45)$$

and cn and dn denote Jacobi elliptic functions. For scale adapted energies $\tilde{E} \in (\frac{1}{2}, \infty)$, the field oscillates through the whole potential. For $\tilde{E} = \frac{1}{2}$ we have both the bouncing solution, asymptotically tending to the local maximum, and the unstable trivial solution $\phi = 0$ corresponding to the Maurer-Cartan form of H , $\mathcal{A} = I_\alpha e^\alpha$, rendering the field strength purely magnetic. For $\tilde{E} \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ the solution is restricted to one of the two wells, depending on the initial value ϕ_* . Finally, for $\tilde{E} = 0$, we have two stable solutions $\phi \equiv \pm 1$ corresponding to two gauge equivalent versions of the Maurer-Cartan form of G , $\mathcal{A} = I_\alpha e^\alpha \pm I_a e^a$. The latter are pure gauge thus making them flat, i.e. $\mathcal{F} \equiv 0$.

Solutions for the inverted potential $V(\phi) = -\frac{1}{8}\mathcal{D}_n(\phi^2 - 1)^2$ and $E \leq 0$ are obtained via a Wick-rotation of the non-inverted case⁵:

$$\phi(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{k}{\varepsilon} \text{nc} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{D}_n}}{2\varepsilon} u, 1 - k^2 \right) & , \quad \tilde{E} \in (\frac{1}{2}, \infty) \leftrightarrow k^2 \in (1, \frac{1}{2}) \\ \sqrt{2} \sec \left(\sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{D}_n}{2}} u \right) & , \quad \tilde{E} = \frac{1}{2} \leftrightarrow k^2 = 1 \\ 0 & , \quad \tilde{E} = \frac{1}{2} \leftrightarrow k^2 = 1 \\ \frac{k}{\varepsilon} \text{dc} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{D}_n}}{2\varepsilon} k u, 1 - \frac{1}{k^2} \right) & , \quad \tilde{E} \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \leftrightarrow k^2 \in (\infty, 1) \\ i \frac{k'}{\varepsilon} \text{dc} \left(i \frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{D}_n}}{2\varepsilon} k' u, 1/k^2 \right) & , \quad \tilde{E} \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \leftrightarrow k^2 \in (\infty, 1) \\ \pm 1 & , \quad \tilde{E} = 0 \leftrightarrow k^2 = \infty \end{cases}, \quad (2.46)$$

where

$$\tilde{E} = -4 \frac{E}{\mathcal{D}_n} \geq 0, \quad \varepsilon^2 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\tilde{E}}}, \quad k^2 = \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon^2, \quad (2.47)$$

and nc and dc again denote Jacobi elliptic functions. In contrast to the previous case, the solutions for energies $\tilde{E} \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ are different depending on the initial position $\phi_*^2 = 1 \pm \sqrt{2\tilde{E}}$ being inside or outside the well. The former results in bounded, oscillatory solutions whereas the latter runs off to infinity. Switching between these initial positions can be realized by sending $\varepsilon^2 \mapsto -\varepsilon^2 \Rightarrow k^2 \mapsto k'^2$.⁶

3 Coupling to Einstein - Hyperbolic cosmology

Considering the perfect fluid structure of the energy-momentum tensors (2.42), we can easily couple the Yang-Mills system to the corresponding FLRW-type Einstein equations. Indeed, for the spacelike foliations with S^3 and H^3 the geometry aligns with the standard cosmological models. Furthermore, due to the invariance of Yang-Mills theory in four dimensions under conformal transformations, the coupled Einstein-Yang-Mills system reduces to a one way coupling, making it much more approachable. This idea has been utilized in [6, 7] for the FLRW-type closed universe, that is, the $S^3 \cong \text{SU}(2)$ case. We will now extend the picture by considering the Einstein-Yang-Mills system for the FLRW-type open-hyperbolic universe, that is, the H^3 case.

The general FLRW metric reads

$$g = -dt \otimes dt + a^2(t) g_{\tilde{M}}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $a(t)$ is the scale factor and $g_{\tilde{M}}$ is the ‘canonical’, $\text{SO}(3)$ invariant, Riemannian metric of constant (normalized) sectional curvature for $\tilde{M} = S^3, H^3, \mathbb{R}^3$ corresponding respectively to $\text{sec} \equiv k = \pm 1, 0$. Such a warped cylinder can always be made conformally flat by introducing a conformal time τ ⁷ via

$$d\tau = \frac{1}{a} dt \Rightarrow \tau(t) = \int dt \frac{1}{a(t)} \quad (3.2)$$

⁵Or equivalently $\mathcal{D}_n \mapsto -\mathcal{D}_n$.

⁶To be precise, the distinction between outer- and inner starting solutions applies to both the inverted and the non-inverted cases for $\tilde{E} = \frac{1}{2}$ leading to the $\text{sec}(h)$.

⁷Sometimes also called arc parameter.

such that

$$g = a^2(\tau)(-d\tau \otimes d\tau + g_{\tilde{M}}) . \quad (3.3)$$

Identifying the foliation parameter u in the Yang–Mills construction with the conformal time, the energy-momentum tensors (2.42) will transform under the rescaling as

$$T = -\frac{\rho}{a^2}(d\tau \otimes d\tau + \frac{1}{3}g_{H^3}) . \quad (3.4)$$

The overall minus appears due to the fact that we are explicitly working in mostly plus signature. The Einstein equations in the vicinity of such a traceless perfect fluid,

$$\mathcal{G} + \Lambda g = \kappa T , \quad \text{where } \mathcal{G} = Ric - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}g \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa = 8\pi G , \quad (3.5)$$

can be reduced to the trace- and the time-time parts, also called Friedmann equations, which yield two independent equations for the scale factor. In conformal time they read

$$\text{tr}_g(\mathcal{G} + \Lambda g) = 0 = -\mathcal{R} + 4\Lambda \Leftrightarrow \ddot{a} + W'(a) = 0 \quad (3.6)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{00} + \Lambda g_{00} = \kappa T_{00} \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{2}\dot{a}^2 + W(a) = \frac{1}{6}\kappa T_{00} =: E_{GR} , \quad (3.7)$$

where the dot here denotes differentiation with respect to conformal time τ . Hence, the equations of motion for the scale factor are, like for the Yang–Mills system, that of a Newtonian particle subject to a quartic cosmological potential $W(a)$. The coupling to the Yang–Mills system is given solely through the ‘energy balance’

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\dot{a}^2 + W(a) &= -\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}\varepsilon_K \tilde{g}_{00} \left(\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + V(\phi) \right) \\ \Leftrightarrow E_{GR} &= -\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}\varepsilon_K \tilde{g}_{00} E_{YM} , \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

which is also known as the Wheeler–DeWitt constraint. The cosmological potential $W(a)$ and hence the range of possible dynamics of the spacetime is determined by the sectional curvature k of the spacial slicing and the sign of the cosmological constant Λ ,

$$W(a) = \frac{k}{2}a^2 - \frac{\Lambda}{6}a^4 , \quad (3.9)$$

which is illustrated in Figure 1.




Figure 1: Plots of the cosmological potential $W(a)$ for different values of $k = \pm 1, 0$ and cosmological constant $\Lambda = +1$ (left), $\Lambda = -1$ (right).

In [6, 7], the case of $k = +1 \leftrightarrow \tilde{M} = S^3 \cong \text{SU}(2)$ with $\Lambda > 0$ was considered. In that case, the Yang–Mills system was subject to a double well and the scale factor to an inverted double well, resulting either in periodic or in blow-up solutions for $a(\tau)$. Now, for the case $k = -1 \leftrightarrow \tilde{M} = H^3$, that is, open-type hyperbolic cosmologies, the Yang–Mills system is subject to an inverted double well and the dynamics of the scale factor depend on the sign of Λ . For the latter, there are two possibilities:

- (i) $\Lambda > 0 \Rightarrow W(a)$ is concave $\rightarrow E_{GR} \neq 0$ yields only blow-up solutions and $E_{GR} = 0$ yields one trivial solution $a \equiv 0$ and ‘big crunch’ scenarios.
- (ii) $\Lambda < 0 \Rightarrow W(a)$ double well \rightarrow Oscillatory solutions both with and without ‘big crunch’ and stationary solution at potential minima.

Now lets focus on the $\Lambda < 0$ case. Fixing $\dot{a}(0) = 0$, the solutions for the scale factor in conformal time can be parametrized by the initial energy E_{GR} and initial condition $a(0)$. We have then

$$a(\tau) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{-3}{2\Lambda} \frac{k}{\varepsilon}} \text{cn} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\varepsilon}} \tau, k^2 \right) & , E_{GR} \in (\infty, 0) \leftrightarrow k^2 \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1) \\ \sqrt{\frac{-3}{\Lambda}} \text{sech} \left(\frac{\tau}{2} \right) & , E_{GR} = 0 \leftrightarrow k^2 = 1 \\ 0 & , E_{GR} = 0 \leftrightarrow k^2 = 1 \\ \sqrt{\frac{-3}{2\Lambda} \frac{k}{\varepsilon}} \text{dn} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\varepsilon}} k \tau, \frac{1}{k^2} \right) & , E_{GR} \in (0, \frac{3}{8\Lambda}) \leftrightarrow k^2 \in (1, \infty) \\ \pm \sqrt{\frac{-3\Lambda}{2}} & , E_{GR} = \frac{3}{8\Lambda} \leftrightarrow k^2 = \infty \end{cases} , \quad (3.10)$$

with

$$E_{GR} \in \left(\frac{3}{8\Lambda}, \infty \right) , \quad \varepsilon^2 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1 - \frac{8\Lambda}{3} E_{GR}}} , \quad \text{and} \quad k^2 = \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon^2 . \quad (3.11)$$

Going back to the energy balancing (3.8), we notice that there is a freedom to choose the sign ε_K with which the energy of the analog particle ϕ , E_{YM} , couples to the spacetime dynamics, with E_{GR} . As mentioned before, a natural choice may be $\varepsilon_K = -\tilde{g}_{00}$ which preserves the sign of E_{YM} . Indeed, for the bounded solutions $\phi(\tau)$ of the inverted double well, the energy is always non-positive, thus yielding bounded solutions around the local minima for the scale factor. More precisely, we have that

$$E_{YM} \in [-\frac{1}{2}, 0] \Leftrightarrow -\frac{\alpha}{\kappa} \varepsilon_K \tilde{g}_{00} E_{GR} \in [-\frac{1}{2}, 0] , \quad (3.12)$$

which yields two possibilities:

- (i) $\varepsilon_K = -\tilde{g}_{00} \rightarrow$ energy couples directly $E_{GR} \in [-\frac{\kappa}{2\alpha}, 0]$
- (ii) $\varepsilon_K = \tilde{g}_{00} \rightarrow$ energy couples inverted $E_{GR} \in [0, \frac{\kappa}{2\alpha}]$




Figure 2: Energy balancing of YM field and scale factor depending on the choice of $\varepsilon_K = \pm\tilde{g}_{00}$ for $\Lambda = -1$, $\alpha = \frac{8}{6}$ (minimal) and $\kappa = 1$.

The second choice is, of course, always possible. If big bang initial conditions are chosen, the solution becomes trivial $a \equiv 0$, whereas starting with non-zero scale factor yields one solution at $E_{GR} = 0$ with shrinking scale factor reaching zero at infinite conformal time and a set of solutions oscillating through the well passing through zero (big crunch) for $E_{GR} > 0$. The first choice on the other hand provides a relation between the cosmological constant Λ and the Yang–Mills coupling α . Since the energy of the

scale factor is bounded from below by $E_{\text{GR}}^{(\text{crit})} = \frac{3}{8\Lambda} < 0$, we may not allow arbitrarily low values for the Yang–Mills energy. If we still want to allow for the Yang–Mills field to be able to sit in its local minimum, we get the condition

$$\frac{3}{8\Lambda} \leq -\frac{\kappa}{2\alpha} \Leftrightarrow \alpha \geq -\frac{1}{2} \frac{8\Lambda}{3\kappa} . \quad (3.13)$$

If the condition is guaranteed (e.g. by choosing Λ or α appropriately), any stable Yang–Mills solution will yield a sensible cosmological solution, those being oscillations around one of the minima of $W(a)$.

4 The case of general warping

Up until now we have only considered trivial products of $\mathbb{R} \times G/H$, that is, the metric did not include any warping function. The simplicity of our particular CSDR construction makes it easy to generalize to the warped case, which is the topic of this section. A particular choice of warping was used in [13] to express the de Sitter space dS_{n+1} via the well known spacelike S^n slicing thus obtaining gauge fields on dS_{n+1} from the $\mathbb{R} \times S^n$ CSDR construction. In that case, the warping resulted in a time-dependent friction term for $\phi(t)$. We will see that this idea generalizes for arbitrary warping, resulting in Hubble-friction terms. At the end, we will consider a particular choice of warping to obtain equations on the Anti-de Sitter space AdS_{n+1} from the well known hyperbolic slicing $\mathbb{R} \times H^n$, alluding to the aforementioned ‘dual’ case.

4.1 Warpings as conformal transformations

The metric of a generally warped cylinder $\mathbb{R} \times_a \tilde{M}$ with warping function $a(u)$ is given by

$$g = du \otimes du - a^2(u)g_{\tilde{M}} . \quad (4.1)$$

As in the FLRW case, these can always be made conformally flat by the introduction of a conformal ‘time’ τ via $d\tau = \frac{1}{a}du$ such that

$$g = a^2(\tau)(d\tau \otimes d\tau - g_{\tilde{M}}) . \quad (4.2)$$

Since this is always possible, we will consider such conformal rescalings instead of rescalings of the hypersurfaces⁸. Now let g be the flat cylinder metric of our CSDR construction (2.10). Under a conformal transformation

$$g \mapsto e^{2\sigma(u)}g \quad (4.3)$$

the reduced CSDR action (2.17) transforms as

$$S[\phi] = \text{Vol}(G/H) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{L} du \mapsto \text{Vol}(G/H) \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{(n-3)\sigma(u)} \mathcal{L} du =: S^{(\sigma)}[\phi] . \quad (4.4)$$

Introducing the ‘conformal Hubble parameter’ $\mathcal{H}(u)$ as

$$\mathcal{H}(u) := e^{-\sigma(u)} \frac{d}{du} e^{\sigma(u)} = \dot{\sigma}(u) , \quad (4.5)$$

the equations of motion for the warped case become

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} - \frac{d}{du} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\phi}} = (n-3)\mathcal{H}(u) \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\phi}} , \quad (4.6)$$

where the conformal invariance of Yang–Mills theory in four spacetime dimensions is conveniently captured in the factor $(n-3)$. Hence, the equations of motion change by the addition of a Hubble friction term. For the systems discussed in section 2.2, also including $\text{SO}(n+1)/\text{SO}(n) \cong S^n$, the equation reads

$$\ddot{\phi} + V'(\phi) - (n-3)\mathcal{H}(u)\dot{\phi} = 0 . \quad (4.7)$$

It is thus possible to generate equations of motion for G -invariant Yang–Mills fields for any spacetime conformally equivalent to $\mathbb{R} \times G/H$, where G/H can be H^n , S^n , dS_n or AdS_n . In this simple approach they will all reduce to a single scalar-like degree of freedom subjected to a double well or inverted double well potential together with the corresponding Hubble friction term.

⁸That is, our foliation parameter $u \in \mathbb{R}$ is set to be the conformal ‘time’.

4.2 Hyperbolic slicing of AdS_n

A particular example of warping is the hyperbolic slicing of the Anti-de Sitter space AdS_n. As mentioned before, the ‘dual’ case to this one was discussed in [13], where the de Sitter space dS_{n+1} was foliated with spheres Sⁿ via the warping

$$g_{\text{dS}_{n+1}} = dt \otimes dt - \cosh^2 t g_{S^n} = \frac{1}{\cos^2 u} (du \otimes du - g_{S^n}) . \quad (4.8)$$

For the simplest case $S^n \cong \text{SO}(n+1)/\text{SO}(n)$, where only one degree of freedom is left, the resulting equation of motion is

$$\ddot{\phi} - (n-3) \tan u \dot{\phi} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}_n(\phi^2 - 1)}_{V_{S^n}(\phi)} \phi = 0 , \quad (4.9)$$

agreeing with (4.7), as expected.

In the same fashion, we can now slice AdS_{n+1} with Hⁿ with the well known warping

$$g_{\text{AdS}_{n+1}} = dt \otimes dt - \cos^2 t g_{H^n} = \frac{1}{\cosh^2 u} (du \otimes du - g_{H^n}) . \quad (4.10)$$

The equation of motion then becomes

$$\ddot{\phi} + (n-3) \tanh u \dot{\phi} - \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}_n(\phi^2 - 1)}_{V_{H^n}(\phi)} \phi = 0 , \quad (4.11)$$

whose solutions yield SO(1, n) invariant gauge fields on AdS_{n+1}.

Naturally, these equations are difficult to solve analytically. However, we can extract some qualitative features of the dynamics of the two systems. For the spherical slicings of dS_{n+1} [13], the friction term is dissipative for $n > 3$, making any solution freeze into one of the extrema of the double well, whereas for $n < 3$ the ‘negative friction’ term leads to a blow up of ϕ . In the AdS_{n+1} case the situation is reversed, as the sign of the friction term is flipped. Also, in this case ϕ is subject to an inverted double well instead of an usual one. For $n > 3$, despite the fact that the conformal Hubble parameter stays bounded in this case, the inverted double well causes practically any initial condition, besides the field resting in one of the potential extrema, to blow up in finite conformal time, as the negative friction works to push ϕ out of the well. On the other hand, for $n = 2$, the friction enlarges the set of initial conditions for which ϕ stays bounded. This can be understood intuitively, since, with an adequate tuning of initial position and velocity, ϕ can start with initial energy bigger than the height of the inverted double well in such a way that ϕ will find itself inside the well after enough dissipation, that is, when its energy reaches the height of the well. Consequently, in this case it will end up in the origin of the phase space⁹. The region of initial conditions resulting in bounded solutions depends on the initial (conformal) time u_0 and can be evaluated numerically, as illustrated in the Figure 3 for $u_0 = 0$.

5 Conclusion

In previous works using geometric methods to construct non-trivial Yang–Mills fields, the reduction of the dynamics from a system of non-linear partial differential matrix equations to a single ‘scalar’ ordinary differential equation was already seen for particular cases, where the full dynamics emerge from that of a Newtonian particle subjected to a (inverted or not) quartic potential.

In this work, using the coset space dimensional reduction scheme, we showed that this is also the case for a variety of spacetimes given by (warped or not) cylinders over the three non-compact symmetric spaces Hⁿ, dS_n, and AdS_n. The orientation of said quartic potential, to which the remaining degree of freedom is subject, is shown to be dependent on the way in which compact and non-compact generators are split between the subgroup and the coset space. We started by developing the CSDR construction

⁹evidently, there is also a set of measure zero of initial conditions such that the particle ends up exactly at one of the two maxima of the potential, which is the boundary of region of initial conditions with bounded solutions.




Figure 3: Regions in phase space of initial conditions resulting in bounded (blue) or unbounded (gray) trajectories for the $\text{AdS}_3 \cong \mathbb{R} \times H^2$ warped case and initial (conformal) time $u_0 = 0$.

with a more general approach for cylinders over symmetric spaces constructed from semi-simple Lie groups. The generality of the approach allowed us to systematically deal with the three aforementioned cases in parallel, and also to include previously obtained results from the literature into the picture. Furthermore, we derived the energy-momentum tensors for all these cases and uncovered their perfect fluid structure for the Riemannian slicings. The coupling to General Relativity via the cosmological scale factor was performed for the slicing with three dimensional hyperbolic space but could also be generalized to any other of the slicings, including the non-Riemannian ones. Subsequently, the geometric setup was generalized to cylinders which include a warping function. Utilizing that the latter is equivalent to a conformal rescaling of the cylinders, we showed that the effect of the warping on the remaining degree of freedom is the addition of a Hubble friction-like term in the equation of motion. Naturally, the friction term drops out in four spacetime dimensions, since in this case Yang–Mills theory is indeed conformally invariant. Finally, a brief analysis of the influence of the friction term appearing from the previously done spherical slicings of de Sitter spaces and newly considered hyperbolic slicings of anti-de Sitter spaces is done.

As we have seen throughout this work, the CSDR approach over cylinders is a useful tool to derive analytic, non-trivial solutions of the Yang–Mills equations, even when coupled to gravity. For future works, one could apply or extend the general discussion at the beginning of Section 2 to cylinders over other symmetric spaces. Furthermore, the analysis in Section 3 can be extended for the other slicings considered in this paper, even including $n \neq 3$, that is, two or higher dimensional GR. The latter, combined with the fact that the equation of motion for the Yang–Mills field reduce to that of a G -invariant scalar field including a Hubble friction-like term, may be of particular interest for related fields like dark matter or inflation physics.

Acknowledgements

ME wants to thank Prof. Olaf Lechtenfeld for many important discussions and advising his master thesis.

References

- [1] O. Lechtenfeld and G. Zhilin, *Phys. Lett. A* **382**, 1528 (2018), [arXiv:1711.11144 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [2] A. F. Rañada, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **18**, 97 (1989).
- [3] T. A. Ivanova, O. Lechtenfeld, and A. D. Popov, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 061601 (2017), [arXiv:1704.07456 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [4] T. A. Ivanova, O. Lechtenfeld, and A. D. Popov, *JHEP* **11**, 017 (2017), [arXiv:1708.06361 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [5] S. Hirpara, K. Kumar, O. Lechtenfeld, and G. Picanço Costa, (2023), [arXiv:2301.03606 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [6] D. Friedan, (2020), [arXiv:2005.05349 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#) .
- [7] K. Kumar, O. Lechtenfeld, and G. P. Costa, *Nuclear Physics B* **973**, 115583 (2021).
- [8] D. Friedan, (2022), [arXiv:2203.12405 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#) .
- [9] D. Friedan, (2022), [arXiv:2211.02881 \[physics.gen-ph\]](#) .
- [10] D. Kapetanakis and G. Zoupanos, *Phys. Rept.* **219**, 4 (1992).
- [11] I. Bauer, T. A. Ivanova, O. Lechtenfeld, and F. Lubbe, *JHEP* **10**, 044 (2010), [arXiv:1006.2388 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [12] T. A. Ivanova, O. Lechtenfeld, A. D. Popov, and T. Rahn, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **89**, 231 (2009), [arXiv:0904.0654 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [13] O. Lechtenfeld and G. Ünal, *Physical Review D* **98** (2018).
- [14] K. Kumar, O. Lechtenfeld, G. P. Costa, and J. Röhrig, *Phys. Lett. B* **835**, 137564 (2022), [arXiv:2206.12009 \[hep-th\]](#) .
- [15] R. S. Palais, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **69**, 19 (1979).