

# Aharonov–Casher theorems for manifolds with boundary and APS boundary condition

M. Fialová

## Abstract

The Aharonov–Casher theorem is a result on the number of the so-called zero modes of a system described by the magnetic Pauli operator in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . In this paper we are addressing the same question for the Dirac operator when  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is exchanged by a flat two-dimensional manifold with boundary. More concretely we are interested in the plane and a disc with a finite number of circular holes cut out. We consider a smooth compactly supported magnetic field on the manifold and an arbitrary magnetic field inside the holes. For the Dirac operator in this setting we take the domain given by the famous Atiyah–Patodi–Singer boundary condition.

**key words:** Dirac operator, APS boundary condition, APS index theorem,  $\text{Spin}^c$  spinor bundle

**Acknowledgment:** First and foremost I am grateful to Jan Philip Solovej for fruitful meetings during (and after) my PhD program, when this work was done. Further I would like to thank Joshua Hunt, Anna Sisak, Jakub Löwit, Błażej Ruba and Volodymir Riabov for valuable discussions on the geometry accompanying this problem. Many thanks belong to Rafael Benguria for hosting my visit, during which some of the work has been done. I am also grateful to Marina Prokhorova who first initiated the discussion of this project topic and to Annemarie Luger for her valuable comments during my PhD defence. I would like to acknowledge support for research on this paper from VILLUM FONDEN through the QMATH Centre of Excellence grant. nr. 10059. This project also received funding from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 101034413.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Dirac operator and the APS boundary condition . . . . .	3
1.2	Magnetic field and minimal coupling . . . . .	5
1.3	Problem setup . . . . .	7
<b>2</b>	<b>Main results</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1	Proof for unbounded region with holes . . . . .	11
2.2	Proof for the bounded region with holes . . . . .	15
2.3	More general choice of the APS boundary condition . . . . .	18
<b>3</b>	<b>Aharonov–Casher on a sphere with holes</b>	<b>19</b>
3.1	The Dirac operator with APS boundary condition in the conformal metric $g^W$ . . . . .	20
<b>4</b>	<b>Relation to the index theorem</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Berry–Mondragon boundary conditions</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>The Dirac operator under the stereographic projection</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>Remarks on Möbius transform</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>C</b>	<b>Computation of the <math>\eta</math>-invariant of the boundary operator</b>	<b>30</b>

## Notation

$\Omega_k$	Open ball in $\mathbb{C}$ with centre at $w_k \in \mathbb{C}$ and radius $R_k$ ,
$(r_k, \varphi_k)$	Polar coordinates around the point $w_k$ , we set $\varphi_k = 0$ to be the axis parallel with the Cartesian positive $x$ -axis
$Cl(V)$	Clifford algebra on a vector space $V$
$C_0^\infty(X)$	Smooth functions with compact support in $X$
$const$	A general constant which can be of different value from one (in)equality sign to another
$int \gamma$	Interior of a curve $\gamma$
$(\cdot, \cdot)_E$	Inner product on fibres of a bundle $E$
$\Gamma(M, E)$	Smooth sections of a bundle $E$ over a manifold $M$
$L^2(M, E)$	Square integrable sections of the bundle $E$ over a Riemannian manifold $M$
$L^2(M, g; \mathbb{C}^2)$	$\mathbb{C}^2$ -valued square integrable functions on a Riemannian manifold $M$ with metric $g$
$M^\circ$	Interior of a manifold $M$
$TM$	Tangent space of a manifold $M$
$T^*M$	Cotangent space of a manifold $M$
$(\cdot)^T$	vector transposition
$\partial X$	Boundary of a region $X$
$\bar{X}$	Closure of a subset $X \subset \mathbb{C}$
$\lfloor y \rfloor$	The biggest integer strictly less than $y \in \mathbb{R}$

## 1 Introduction

Inspired by work of Aharonov and Casher (AC), [1], discussing the number of zero modes (*i.e.* of eigenfunctions corresponding to the zero eigenvalue) of the Dirac operator with magnetic field, we extend their result on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to a plane with holes, Thm. 7, a disc with holes, Thm. 8, and finally to a sphere with holes, Thm. 23. In [1] the zero modes have a definite chirality, which depends on the sign of the flux  $\Phi$  of the magnetic field. The number of zero modes then depends on the magnitude of the flux, namely it is  $\lfloor \frac{|\Phi|}{2\pi} \rfloor$ . On the plane with holes we reproduce the same result. We would like to point out, that considering a self-adjoint realisation  $D$  of the Dirac operator, the zero modes of  $D$  coincide with the zero modes of its square  $H = D^2$ , the Pauli operator. The Pauli operator describes the non-relativistic limit of  $D$  and due to its positivity the zero energy states are also its ground states.

The analytic index of the Dirac operator is a closely related quantity as it computes the difference of the number of zero modes with positive and negative chirality. Atiyah and Singer proved in [7] that the analytic index is equal to the topological index of the underlying closed manifold. Using the stereographic projection, the AC theorem can be reformulated as a result on a sphere (see *e.g.* [17, Thm. 8.3.]). In that case it implies the index theorem and is a particular example of a vanishing theorem, telling us that only the positive or only the negative chirality zero modes contribute to the index. The zero modes and index appear in high-energy and condensed matter physics where they are important for detecting anomalies of a system, see *e.g.* [21, 22, 23, 14, 3].

A continuation of Atiyah and Singer's work resulted in the generalization of the index formula for manifolds with boundary by Atiyah, Patodi and Singer (APS) in the series of papers [4, 5, 6]. The authors introduced a boundary condition, nowadays known as the APS boundary condition, which we adopted here for the definition of the domain of our Dirac operator. It is a global boundary condition based on preservation of chirality upon reflection on the boundary. Although the global boundary conditions are positively appraised by Hortaçsu et al. in [31], recently the physics community shifted their interest from the global APS boundary condition to the so-called domain wall fermions (DWF). There are results rephrasing the APS theorem for the massive DWF, see *e.g.* [24, 26] and a mathematically more rigorous work [25].

The literature on zero modes is vast and we will mention only a couple of works generalizing the AC theorem. A proof of the result on a two sphere is due to Avron and Tomaras (but was not published)

and it can be found e.g. in [32] or [17, Appx. A.3]. For generalization to measure-valued magnetic fields see [18]. Singular Aharonov–Bohm type fields were considered by Hirokawa and Ogurisu in [30], by Person in [34] and by Geyler and Šťovíček in [27]. Rozenblum and Shirokov, [38], showed that for certain singular magnetic fields there could be an infinite dimensional space of zero modes with having possibly both spin up and spin down modes. Results for the case of even dimensional Euclidean spaces were discussed by Person in [35]. Bony, Espinoza and Raikov investigate almost periodic potentials in [13]. On a bounded domain with Dirichlet boundary condition the related result was studied in [16].

We briefly outline the content of this paper. In this introduction we give the definition of the Dirac operator on an orientable two dimensional Riemannian manifold, and the APS boundary condition. We further discuss the magnetic field. Introducing our particular setting we find an explicit form of the APS boundary condition and establish the gauge invariance of the problem in Lem. 6. It turns out that we can “gauge away” integer-multiples of  $2\pi$  of the flux inside the holes.

In Sec. 2 we state and prove the main results. To briefly summarise, we obtain the same result as Aharonov and Casher in the case of the Dirac operator on a plane with holes. On a disc with holes our statement is in accordance with the index theorem. We conclude the section with comments on an ambiguity of the APS boundary condition and the relevant reformulations of our main result.

We extend the Aharonov–Casher theorem to a sphere with holes in Sec. 3. Despite this being a direct consequence of our result on a disc with holes, due to the fact that the two cases are related by stereographic projection, we first need some theoretical preparation in form of treating the Dirac operator with APS boundary condition in a conformal metric. The proof also requires analysis of the spinors under the change of coordinates by the Möbius transform which we discuss in Appx. B.

In Sec. 4 we use the generalized index formula by Grubb [29] and Gilkey [28] of the index theorem on manifolds with boundary, as the original result in [4] cannot be applied directly since it was restricted to manifolds that have a product structure near the boundary, to compute the index of the magnetic Dirac operator and compare it to our result on the disc region.

Finally, we discuss the local Berry–Mondragon boundary condition [12] in Sec. 5. A simple computation suffices to deduce that there is only one zero mode on an annulus (with a specific choice of the boundary condition) which occurs only when the flux is an integer multiple of  $\pi$ . However, we cannot treat any other case in this setting, such as one randomly positioned hole or several holes.

Let us mention that this work is based on the author’s PhD thesis [20].

## 1.1 Dirac operator and the APS boundary condition

Let  $M$  be a two-dimensional oriented Riemannian manifold with compact boundary  $\partial M$  and metric  $g$ . Let further  $E$  be a two-dimensional complex vector bundle equipped with an inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)_E$  on the fibres of  $E$ . If there is a vector bundle map

$$\sigma : T^*M \rightarrow \text{End}(E)$$

which is Hermitian, *i.e.*  $\sigma(\zeta) = \sigma(\zeta)^*$  for all  $\zeta \in T^*M$ , and satisfies the Clifford relations

$$\sigma(\zeta)\sigma(\mu) + \sigma(\mu)\sigma(\zeta) = 2g(\zeta, \mu) \quad \text{for any } \zeta, \mu \in T^*M, \quad (1)$$

we call  $E$  a **Spin<sup>c</sup> spinor bundle**<sup>1</sup> over  $M$ . The mapping  $\sigma$  is called the Clifford multiplication. The Clifford multiplication further extends to a unique mapping from the bundle of Clifford algebras  $Cl(T^*M)$ . That is the quotient  $\otimes T^*M / I_g$  of the bundle of tensor algebras  $\otimes T^*M := \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} (T^*M)^{\otimes k}$  by the bundle of ideals  $I_g$  generated by  $\{\zeta \otimes \zeta - 2g(\zeta, \zeta) \mid \zeta \in T^*M\}$ . In even dimensions we call the  $i^{3 \dim(M)/2}$ -multiple of the Clifford multiplication by the volume form (which is an involution) the **chirality operator** and refer to its eigenvectors with eigenvalue  $+1$  (or  $-1$ ) as **spin up** (or **down**) vectors. Locally we can always choose the representation of  $Cl(\mathbb{R}^2)$  by the constant Pauli matrices

$$\sigma^1 = \sigma(dx) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^2 = \sigma(dy) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>One might rather call  $E$  a bundle of irreducible complex Clifford modules. These two concepts were, however, shown to be identical, see [15, Sec. 3].

Note that in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with the standard metric the chirality operator corresponds to the third Pauli matrix  $\sigma_3 = \text{diag}(1, -1)$ . In what follows we use the standard notation  $\Gamma(M, E)$  for smooth sections of the bundle  $E$  and  $L^2(M, E)$  for the square integrable sections w.r.t. the volume element generated by the Riemannian metric on  $M$ . Let us next equip  $E$  with a connection  $\nabla$  which we call a **Spin<sup>c</sup> connection** if it is

1. metric:

$$X(\zeta, \mu)_E = (\nabla_X \zeta, \mu)_E + (\zeta, \nabla_X \mu)_E,$$

for any sections  $\zeta, \mu \in \Gamma(M, E)$  and any vector field  $X \in TM$ , and,

2. compatible with the Clifford multiplication  $\sigma$ :

$$[\nabla_X, \sigma(\mu)] = \sigma(\nabla_X^{LC} \mu),$$

for all vector fields  $X$  and one-forms  $\mu$  on  $M$ . Here,  $\nabla^{LC}$  is the Levi-Civita connection on the cotangent space  $T^*M$  of  $M$ .

**Definition 1.** Let  $E$  be a Spin<sup>c</sup> spinor bundle over  $M$  with Clifford multiplication  $\sigma$  and a Spin<sup>c</sup> connection  $\nabla$ . The Dirac operator  $D : \Gamma(M, E) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, E)$  is the following composition

$$D = -i \sum_{j \leq 2} \sigma(e^j) \nabla_{e_j},$$

where  $(e_j)_{j \in \{1, 2\}}$  is an orthonormal basis on  $TM$  and  $(e^j)_{j \leq 2}$  is the corresponding dual basis on  $T^*M$ .

Note that the definition is independent of a particular choice of  $(e_j)_{j \leq 2}$ , so  $D$  is well defined globally. The Dirac operator is a first order operator which is elliptic, formally self adjoint and whose principal symbol is the Clifford multiplication. Furthermore it can be extended as a bounded linear map to the **maximal domain** of  $D$

$$\text{dom}(D^{max}) := \{u \in L^2(M, E) \mid Du \in L^2(M, E)\}. \quad (3)$$

To introduce the Atiyah–Patodi–Singer (APS) boundary condition we are following the formalism for elliptic boundary conditions used in [9, 8]. We, however, diverted with the convention for the Clifford multiplication which in the cited papers is considered to be anti-hermitian and satisfies the Clifford relations (1) with an extra minus sign on the right-hand side.

**Notation 2.** Let  $\nu^\sharp$  be the normalized inner normal vector field on  $\partial M$ . We will denote by  $\nu \in T^*M$  the local co-vector field on the boundary  $\partial M$  dual to  $\nu^\sharp$ . The local space of co-vectors tangent to the boundary is defined by

$$T^*\partial M := \{\xi \in T^*M \mid g(\xi, \nu) = 0\}.$$

We further write  $\xi^\sharp$  for the normalized tangent vector which is dual to  $\xi \in T^*\partial M$ .

With this notation we can locally in the neighbourhood of the boundary write the Dirac operator as

$$D = -i\sigma(\nu)(\nabla_{\nu^\sharp} + A_0) \quad \text{with} \quad A_0 = \sigma(\nu)\sigma(\xi)\nabla_{\xi^\sharp}, \quad (4)$$

where we used that by the Clifford relations  $\sigma(\nu)^2$  is the identity on fibres of  $E$  (restricted to  $\partial M$ ). As in the Appx. 2 of [9] we then define the canonical boundary operator which anti-commutes with  $\sigma(\nu)$ .

**Definition 3.** Let  $E$  be a Spin<sup>c</sup> spinor bundle over  $M$  with Clifford multiplication  $\sigma$  and a Spin<sup>c</sup> connection  $\nabla$  and let  $D$  be a Dirac operator on  $E$ . We define the **canonical boundary operator adapted to  $D$**  by

$$A := \frac{1}{2}(A_0 - \sigma(\xi)\nabla_{\xi^\sharp}\sigma(\nu)) = A_0 - \frac{\kappa}{2},$$

where  $\kappa$  is the eigenvalue of the shape operator<sup>2</sup> of the boundary w.r.t. the normal field  $\nu$ , i.e.  $\nabla_{\xi^\sharp}^{LC} \nu = \kappa \xi$ . In fact  $\kappa$  is the principal curvature of the boundary.

---

<sup>2</sup>We remark that there is no clear sign convention for the shape operator

Note, that  $A$  was chosen so that the anti-commutator  $\{A, \sigma(\nu)\}$  vanishes. The importance of boundary operators is that one can use them for a construction of elliptic boundary conditions which give rise to domains that are subsets of  $H_{loc}^1(M, E) = \{u \in L_{loc}^2(M, E) \mid \nabla u \in L_{loc}^2(M, E)\}$  and on which  $D$  is a Fredholm operator, therefore the name elliptic. Here  $L_{loc}^2(M, E)$  denotes sections of  $E$  that are square integrable over each compact subset  $K \subset M$  and, in particular, we may have  $K \cap \partial M \neq \emptyset$ . We also recall that Fredholm operator is an operator with closed range and finite dimensional kernel and cokernel.

Since  $A$  is an elliptic operator on the compact manifold  $\partial M$ , it has purely discrete spectrum. Let us denote by  $\{v_k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}\}$  a set of orthonormal eigenvectors of  $A$  corresponding to eigenvalues  $\lambda_k \neq 0$  and let  $\ker A = \text{span}\{v_0\} \oplus \text{span}\{\sigma(\nu)v_0\}$ . In general  $v_0$  could be a set of vectors but in our case it is only one vector. We define the **APS (Atiyah–Patodi–Singer) boundary condition** as the following closure of a subset of smooth sections on the boundary

$$BC_{APS} := \overline{\text{span}(\{v_k\}_{\lambda_k > 0} \cup v_0)}. \quad (5)$$

We point out that  $v_0$  and  $\sigma(\nu)v_0$  are exchangeable and that we are making a choice here (see also Remark 22). The closure in (5) is w.r.t. the norm

$$\left\| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k v_k \right\|_{\check{H}(A)}^2 := \sum_{\lambda_k < 0} |c_k|^2 (1 + \lambda_k^2)^{1/2} + \sum_{\lambda_k \geq 0} |c_k|^2 (1 + \lambda_k^2)^{-1/2}, \quad c_k \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (6)$$

Further,  $\check{H}(A)$  denotes the closure of  $C^\infty(\partial M, \mathbb{C}^2)$  in this norm. We call the realisation of  $D$  on the domain

$$\text{dom}(D) = \{u \in \text{dom}(D^{max}) \mid \gamma_0 u \in BC_{APS}\}, \quad (7)$$

the **Dirac operator with APS boundary condition**. The trace map  $\gamma_0 u = u|_{\partial M}$  is well defined for  $u \in C_0^\infty(M, \mathbb{C}^2)$ , in particular  $\text{supp } u \cap \partial M$  can be non-empty, and by [8, Thm. 1.7.(2)] it extends to a bounded linear map

$$\gamma_0 : \text{dom}(D^{max}) \rightarrow \check{H}(A).$$

Thm. 4.12. in [9] tells us that (7) is a self-adjoint realisation. Let us remark that there are also other choices of more general APS boundary conditions (see [8, Example 1.16(b)] and Sec. 2.3). If  $M$  is compact then  $D$  is coercive at infinity<sup>3</sup> and Thm. 5.3 in [9] implies that the Dirac operator  $D$  with the APS boundary condition is Fredholm. In this work we are also interested in  $M$  being a plane with holes. Our result Thm. 7 states that in such case there are zero modes which further by Remark 9 are smooth on  $M$  but not compactly supported, and hence, the condition for coercivity cannot be satisfied. In fact zero is an eigenvalue embedded in the essential spectrum and  $D$  does not have a closed range. Since we will consider manifolds with several components of boundary we also introduce the notation  $BC_{APS}|_{\partial\Omega_j}$  for the APS boundary condition on the component  $\partial\Omega_j$  of the boundary.

## 1.2 Magnetic field and minimal coupling

Let us consider the connection  $\nabla = d - i\alpha$  on the trivial bundle  $E$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  with fibre  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . The term  $-i\alpha$  is called the local connection one-form and it satisfies  $-i\alpha(Y) \in i\mathbb{R}$  for all vector fields  $Y$  on  $\mathbb{C}$ . Writing  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(a d\bar{z} + \bar{a} dz)$ ,  $a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C})$  and using the standard notation  $\partial_z = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x - i\partial_y)$ ,  $\partial_{\bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x + i\partial_y)$  we obtain the Dirac operator (cf. the representation of the Clifford multiplication (2))

$$D_a = -2i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \partial_z \\ \partial_{\bar{z}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bar{a} \\ a & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

known from physics to be (by the principle of correspondence and the minimal coupling) the Hamiltonian of a relativistic charged mass-less particle in a magnetic field of vector potential  $\alpha$ . The field

<sup>3</sup>that means that there exists a compact subset  $K \subset M$  such that for some constant  $C > 0$  and all smooth sections  $u$  of  $E$  with a compact support contained in  $M \setminus K$  it holds  $C\|u\| \leq \|Du\|$ .

strength is the closed two-form  $\beta = d\alpha$  and two different connection one-forms  $\alpha^{(1)}, \alpha^{(2)}$  correspond to the same magnetic field  $\beta$  if they differ by an exact form. This ambiguity is the well known gauge invariance. To put this in the context of the vector formalism we can write  $a = a_x + ia_y$ , for some  $a_x, a_y \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Then defining <sup>4</sup>  $a^j = g^{jk} a_k$  for  $j, k \in \{x, y\}$ , the vector potential  $\vec{a} = (a^x, a^y)$  corresponds to the magnetic field  $\vec{B} = (0, 0, B)$  such that  $\text{curl}(a^x, a^y, 0) = (0, 0, B)$  with  $\beta = B(z) \frac{i}{2} dz \wedge d\bar{z}$ . We will introduce the Aharonov–Casher gauge

$$\partial_z h(z) = -\frac{i\bar{a}}{2}, \quad (8)$$

using the scalar potential  $h$  satisfying  $-\Delta h = B$  on  $\mathbb{C}$ . For sufficiently fast decaying  $B$  at infinity (not necessarily smooth) we can write the solution of this Poisson equation

$$h(z) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \log|z - z'| B(z') \frac{i}{2} dz' \wedge \overline{dz'}. \quad (9)$$

Notice that this gauge is automatically divergence free

$$\partial_x a_x + \partial_y a_y = \text{Re}(2\partial_z \bar{a}) = \text{Re}(4i\partial_z \partial_z h) = \text{Re}(-iB) = 0.$$

Another quantity that describes the magnetic field is called the **magnetic flux**

$$\Phi := \int_{\mathbb{C}} B \frac{i}{2} dz \wedge d\bar{z}.$$

**Remark 4.** Let us now consider a smooth magnetic field  $B$  with compact support. By elliptic regularity (see e.g. [19, Sec. 6.3, Thm. 3]) the potential  $h$  is then also a smooth function. Note that the Poisson equation  $-\Delta h = B$  determines  $h$  up to an addition of a harmonic function and our particular choice corresponds to the unique gauge choice via the relation (8), yielding a divergence free vector potential  $a(z)$  bounded at infinity. We will refer to the choice (8), (9) of  $a(z)$  as the **Aharonov–Casher gauge**.

To see the boundedness, let  $R' > 0$  be such, that  $R' > 2|z'|$  for all  $z' \in \text{supp } B$  and taking  $|z| > R' > 2|z'|$  we can use the bound

$$\left| \frac{B(z')}{z - z'} \right| \leq \frac{2}{R'} |B(z')| \in L^1(\mathbb{C}), \quad z' \in \text{supp } B,$$

to apply the dominated convergence theorem and obtain

$$|\partial_z h(z)| \leq \frac{\text{const}}{|z|} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{|B(z')|}{1 - \frac{|z'|}{|z|}} \frac{i}{2} dz' \wedge \overline{dz'} \leq \frac{\text{const}}{|z|} \int_{\mathbb{C}} |B(z')| i dz' \wedge \overline{dz'} \leq \frac{\text{const}}{|z|},$$

for  $|z|$  large.

From this computation we immediately see the asymptotic behaviour of the scalar potential

$$h(z) = -\frac{\Phi}{2\pi} \log|z| + \mathcal{O}(|z|^{-1}), \quad (10)$$

as  $|z|$  tends to infinity. Moreover in the case of a spherically symmetric  $B$  there is no error term and for  $z$  outside of support of  $B$

$$h(z) = -\frac{\Phi}{2\pi} \log|z|,$$

by the Newton's law.

**Remark 5.** In the flat space  $\mathbb{R}^2$  we clearly have  $a_x = a^x$  and  $a_y = a^y$ . Notice that if we consider the polar coordinates  $(r, \varphi) = (\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}, \arctan \frac{y}{x})$  and write  $\alpha = (a_r, a_\varphi)$  for the components in the normalized basis  $(dr, r d\varphi)$  and  $\vec{a} = (a^r, a^\varphi)$  for the components in the dual basis  $(\partial_r, \frac{\partial_\varphi}{r})$  we also have  $a_r = a^r$  and  $a_\varphi = a^\varphi$ .

<sup>4</sup>  $g^{jk}$  here refers to the components of the metric  $g$  in the coordinate basis  $(dx, dy)$  of one-forms

### 1.3 Problem setup

We start by establishing some notation:

- Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be either the complex plane or a disc  $\Omega_{out} \subset \mathbb{C}$  with centre at the origin with radius  $R_{out}$ .
- $\Omega_j \subset \mathcal{M}, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$  refers to a ball with centre at  $w_j \in \mathcal{M}$  and radius  $R_j > 0$ .
- $M = \mathcal{M} \setminus \cup_{k \leq N} \Omega_k, N \in \mathbb{N}$  is our two-dimensional manifold of interest.
- $(r_j, \varphi_j)$  denote the polar coordinates at  $w_j \in \Omega_j$ .
- $B_j, j \leq N$  denotes the magnetic field with support inside  $\Omega_j$ , while  $B_0 \in C_0^\infty(M^\circ)$ .

Complementing the above notation for magnetic field on  $\mathcal{M}$  we denote

$$B = B_{sing} + B_0, \quad (11)$$

where  $\text{supp } B_{sing} \subset \cup_{k \leq N} \Omega_k$ . Later in Lem. 6 we will show that without loss of generality we may assume  $B_{sing} = \sum_{k \leq N} \Phi'_k \delta_{w_k}$ , where  $\Phi'_k \in [-\pi, \pi]$ <sup>5</sup> differs by an integer multiple of  $2\pi$  from the flux of  $B$  through the  $k$ -th hole

$$\Phi_k := \int_{\Omega_k} B(z) \frac{i}{2} dz \wedge d\bar{z}.$$

We refer to  $\Phi'_k$  as a normalized flux through the hole  $\Omega_k$ . The total flux is then the sum

$$\Phi := \Phi_0 + \sum_{k \leq N} \Phi'_k,$$

where  $\Phi_0 = \int_M B_0(z) \frac{i}{2} dz \wedge d\bar{z}$  is the flux through the bulk of  $M$ .

#### The Dirac operator and the APS boundary condition explicitly

For finding more concrete form of the APS boundary condition for the above described setting we will make use of the Dirac operator expressed in polar coordinates  $(r, \varphi)$

$$D_a = -i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{-i\varphi}(\partial_r - i\frac{\partial_\varphi}{r}) \\ e^{i\varphi}(\partial_r + i\frac{\partial_\varphi}{r}) & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{-i\varphi}(a_r - ia_\varphi) \\ e^{i\varphi}(a_r + ia_\varphi) & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where we use notation from Remark 5. Let us fix an index  $j \leq N$ . We choose the polar coordinates  $(r_j, \varphi_j)$  around the centre of the hole  $\Omega_j$ . Then noting that in our case  $\nabla_{v^\#} = \partial_{r_j} - ia_{\varphi_j}$  we obtain by (4)

$A_0|_{\partial\Omega_j} = \sigma^3 \left( \frac{i\partial_{\varphi_j}}{R_j} + a_{\varphi_j} \right)$  and consequently, Def. 3 yields

$$A|_{\partial\Omega_j} = \sigma^3 \left( \frac{i\partial_{\varphi_j}}{R_j} + a_{\varphi_j} \right) - \frac{1}{2R_j}. \quad (12)$$

Solving the eigenvalue problem for  $A|_{\partial\Omega_j}$  on the circle  $\partial\Omega_j$  we find

$$A|_{\partial\Omega_j} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_\ell^j \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \lambda_\ell^j \begin{pmatrix} \psi_\ell^j \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A|_{\partial\Omega_j} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \psi_\ell^j \end{pmatrix} = -\lambda_{\ell-1}^j \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \psi_\ell^j \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{cases} R_j \lambda_\ell^j = \Phi_j / 2\pi - 1/2 - \ell \\ \psi_\ell^j = e^{i\varphi_j \ell} e^{i \int_{\gamma_j} \vec{a} \cdot d\vec{s} - i \frac{\Phi_j}{2\pi} \varphi_j} \end{cases}, \quad (13)$$

<sup>5</sup>we can choose any interval of length  $2\pi$ , but this choice is the most convenient one for the purposes of our analysis

where  $\ell$  is an integer and the path  $\gamma_j \subset \partial\Omega_j$  connects the points  $(R_j, 0)$  and  $(R_j, \varphi_j) \in \partial\Omega_j$ . This further leads to the APS boundary condition (5) on our chosen component of the boundary

$$BC_{APS}|_{\partial\Omega_j} = \overline{\text{span} \left\{ \left[ \begin{pmatrix} \psi_\ell^j \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right]_{\ell > \frac{\Phi_j}{2\pi} - \frac{1}{2}}, \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \psi_\ell^j \end{pmatrix} \right]_{\ell \leq \frac{\Phi_j}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2}} \right\}}, \quad (14)$$

where the closure is in the norm of  $\check{H}(A|_{\partial\Omega_j})$  (see (6)). Denoting  $(r, \varphi)$  the polar coordinates at the origin we notice that the inner normal vector on the boundary  $\partial\Omega_{out}$  corresponds to  $-\partial_r$ . Taking this into account means that formally  $A|_{\partial\Omega_{out}}$  looks like (12) with a minus sign,  $A|_{\partial\Omega_{out}} = -\sigma^3 \left( \frac{i\partial_\varphi}{R_{out}} + a_\varphi \right) + \frac{1}{2R_{out}}$ . Therefore we infer the solution of the eigenvalue problem immediately from (13)

$$A|_{\partial\Omega_{out}} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_\ell \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = -\lambda_\ell \begin{pmatrix} \psi_\ell \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A|_{\partial\Omega_{out}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \psi_\ell \end{pmatrix} = \lambda_{\ell-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \psi_\ell \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{cases} R_{out}\lambda_\ell = \Phi/2\pi - 1/2 - \ell \\ \psi_\ell = e^{i\varphi\ell} e^{i\int_{\gamma_{out}} \tilde{a} \cdot d\vec{s} - i\frac{\Phi}{2\pi}\varphi} \end{cases}, \quad (15)$$

where  $\gamma_{out} \subset \partial\Omega_{out}$  connects the points  $(R_{out}, 0)$  and  $(R_{out}, \varphi)$ . The APS boundary condition on the outer component of the boundary thus reads

$$BC_{APS}|_{\partial\Omega_{out}} = \overline{\text{span} \left\{ \left[ \begin{pmatrix} \psi_\ell \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right]_{\ell < \frac{\Phi}{2\pi} - \frac{1}{2}}, \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \psi_\ell \end{pmatrix} \right]_{\ell \geq \frac{\Phi}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2}} \right\}}, \quad (16)$$

where the closure is in the norm of  $\check{H}(A|_{\partial\Omega_{out}})$  (see (6)).

The canonical APS boundary condition is gauge invariant in the sense of the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $D_a$  and  $D_{\tilde{a}}$  be two Dirac operators with the APS boundary condition on  $M$  corresponding to magnetic fields with fluxes  $\Phi$  and  $\tilde{\Phi}$  respectively such that*

$$\Phi = \sum_{j \leq N} \Phi_j + \Phi_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\Phi} = \sum_{j \leq N} \tilde{\Phi}_j + \Phi_0,$$

where  $\Phi_j$  and  $\tilde{\Phi}_j$  are the fluxes through the hole  $\Omega_j$ ,  $j \leq N$  of  $a$  and  $\tilde{a}$  respectively and  $\Phi_0$  is the flux of a smooth magnetic field supported inside the interior of  $M$ . If for all  $j \leq N$

$$\tilde{\Phi}_j = \Phi_j + m_j 2\pi,$$

for some  $m_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $D_a$  and  $D_{\tilde{a}}$  are unitarily equivalent

$$\mathcal{U}^* D_a \mathcal{U} = D_{\tilde{a}},$$

with the unitary operator

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U} &: L^2(M, \mathbb{C}^2) \rightarrow L^2(M, \mathbb{C}^2), \\ \mathcal{U} &: u \mapsto \exp \left[ i \int_\gamma (\tilde{a} - \tilde{a}) \cdot d\vec{s} \right] u, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma$  connects a fixed point  $z_0 \in M$  and the point  $z \in M$ .

*Proof.* First we notice that  $\mathcal{U}$  is independent of a particular choice of the path  $\gamma$  in its definition as for an arbitrary loop  $\gamma \subset M$  it is an identity operator  $\exp \left[ i \oint_\gamma (\tilde{a} - \tilde{a}) \cdot d\vec{s} \right] = 1$ . This we can see immediately from the equalities

$$\oint_\gamma (\tilde{a} - \tilde{a}) \cdot d\vec{s} = \int_{int \gamma} B - \tilde{B} = -2\pi \sum_{\{j | \Omega_j \subset int \gamma\}} m_j,$$

where in the first equality we used the relation  $\text{curl } \vec{a} = (0, 0, B)$  and Stokes theorem. Notice also that choosing another point  $z_1 \in M$  as a starting point of  $\gamma$  instead of  $z_0$  amounts to multiplication by a constant  $K = e^{-i \int_{z_1}^{z_0} (\vec{a} - \tilde{a}) \cdot d\vec{s}}$ . Since  $\bar{K} = K^{-1}$  the map  $K^{-1}\mathcal{U}$  is also unitary. An explicit computation of the partial derivatives

$$\partial_z \mathcal{U} = \frac{i}{2} \overline{(a - \tilde{a})}(z) \mathcal{U}, \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_{\bar{z}} \mathcal{U} = \frac{i}{2} (a - \tilde{a})(z) \mathcal{U}$$

shows, that the unitarily transformed Dirac operator is indeed the one with the potential  $\tilde{a}$ , as

$$\mathcal{U}^* D_a \mathcal{U} = D_a - 2i \mathcal{U}^* \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \partial_z \\ \partial_{\bar{z}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{U} = D_a - i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \overline{(a - \tilde{a})} \\ i(a - \tilde{a}) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover, taking our observation that  $\bar{K} = K^{-1}$  into account we see that the relation  $\mathcal{U}^* D_a \mathcal{U} = D_{\tilde{a}}$  holds independently of the starting point of  $\gamma$ .

Finally we need to check that the boundary condition is preserved by  $\mathcal{U}$ . To do so, we show that the boundary operators are unitarily equivalent

$$A(\tilde{a}) = \mathcal{U}^* A(a) \mathcal{U}. \quad (17)$$

Here  $A(a)$  denotes the canonical boundary operator adapted to  $D_a$  and  $\gamma$  in the definition of  $\mathcal{U}$  ends at a point on the boundary  $z \in \partial\Omega$ . From this we see that the restriction to the boundary of a spinor  $u|_{\partial\Omega_j}$  is in the negative spectral subspace of  $A(\tilde{a})$  if and only if  $(\mathcal{U}u)|_{\partial\Omega_j}$  is in the negative spectral subspace of  $A(a)$ . To see that (17) holds, we write  $\alpha = a_\rho \nu + a_s \xi$  with some smooth functions  $a_\rho, a_s$  on a neighbourhood of the boundary and consider  $z = \gamma(s) \in \partial\Omega_j$ . By path independence we have  $\mathcal{U} = K e^{i \int_0^s (a_s - \tilde{a}_s) \cdot ds}$  with  $s$  the arc parameter of  $\gamma \subset \partial\Omega_j$ . In this notation the boundary operator from Def. 3 reads

$$A(a) = \sigma(\nu) \sigma(\xi) (\partial_s - i a_s) - \kappa/2,$$

and we can easily compute its commutator with  $\mathcal{U}$  using the fundamental theorem of calculus

$$[A(a), \mathcal{U}] = \sigma(\nu) \sigma(\xi) \partial_s (\mathcal{U}) = \sigma(\nu) \sigma(\xi) \mathcal{U} (z) (i a_s(z) - i \tilde{a}_s(z)),$$

which yields  $A(a) \mathcal{U} u = \mathcal{U} A(a) u + [A(a), \mathcal{U}] u = \mathcal{U} A(\tilde{a}) u$ .  $\square$

Note that Lem. 6 holds also in case of a smooth non-circular boundary.

## 2 Main results

Using the setup introduced in Sec. 1.3 we are in a position to state the main theorems of this paper. The first result concerns an Aharonov–Casher type theorem for Dirac operators on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with circular holes. More precisely we will prove

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $M = \mathbb{C} \setminus \cup_{k \leq N} \Omega_k$  and let  $D_a$  be the Dirac operator with the magnetic field (11). If  $\Phi \neq 0$  then there are*

$$\left\lfloor \frac{|\Phi|}{2\pi} \right\rfloor$$

*zero modes of the operator  $D_a$  with the APS boundary conditions (14) on the inner components of the boundary. These states have spin up if  $\Phi > 0$  and spin down if  $\Phi < 0$ . We denoted by  $\lfloor y \rfloor$  the biggest integer strictly smaller than  $y$ . If  $\Phi = 0$  then there are no zero modes.*

For the case when the underlying manifold is a disc with holes the number of zero modes differs. In particular for the total flux in the range  $(\pi, 2\pi] \bmod 2\pi k$ , for  $0 \leq k \in \mathbb{Z}$  or  $[-2\pi, -\pi] \bmod 2\pi k'$ , for  $0 \geq k' \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we obtain an extra zero mode as opposed to the unbounded case, see Remark 9. The author is grateful to Annemarie Luger for pointing this out.

**Theorem 8.** Let  $M = \Omega_{\text{out}} \setminus \cup_{k \leq N} \Omega_k$  and let  $D_a$  be the Dirac operator with the magnetic field (11). Then there are

$$\left\lfloor \left\lfloor \frac{\Phi}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor \right\rfloor$$

zero modes of the operator  $D_a$  with the APS boundary conditions (14) on the inner components and (16) on the outer component of the boundary. In particular, there are no zero modes in the case  $\Phi \in (-\pi, \pi]$ . If  $\Phi > 0$  then all the zero modes have spin up. If  $\Phi < 0$  then they have spin down. As before we denoted by  $\lfloor y \rfloor$  the biggest integer strictly smaller than  $y$ .

**Remark 9.** • The particular form of the (non-normalised) zero modes of the Dirac operator in the Aharonov–Casher gauge with the APS boundary condition is also known from the proof. Depending on the sign of the total flux  $\Phi$ , they are purely spin up or purely spin down

$$\begin{pmatrix} u^+ \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ u^- \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$u^+(z) = e^{h(z)} \sum_{0 \leq n < \frac{\Phi}{2\pi} - 1} a_n z^n, \quad u^-(z) = e^{-h(z)} \sum_{0 \leq n < -\frac{\Phi}{2\pi} - 1} b_n \bar{z}^n, \quad \text{if } \mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C},$$

and,

$$u^+(z) = e^{h(z)} \sum_{0 \leq n < \frac{\Phi}{2\pi} - \frac{1}{2}} a'_n z^n, \quad u^-(z) = e^{-h(z)} \sum_{0 \leq n \leq -\frac{\Phi}{2\pi} - \frac{1}{2}} b'_n \bar{z}^n, \quad \text{if } \mathcal{M} = \Omega_{\text{out}}, \quad (18)$$

with some coefficients  $a_n, b_n, a'_n, b'_n \in \mathbb{C}$ .

- Notice that for certain values of fluxes there is an extra zero mode for the bounded region. This happens in particular if there exists an integer  $k$  such that

$$\frac{\Phi}{2\pi} = k + \epsilon \quad \text{with } \epsilon \in \begin{cases} (1/2, 1] & \text{if } k \geq 0 \\ [-1, -1/2] & \text{if } k \leq 0 \end{cases}. \quad (19)$$

If we let the radius of the disc  $\Omega_{\text{out}}$  grow to infinity we can compare the asymptotics of the zero modes (18) as  $|z| \rightarrow \infty$  and easily check that the zero mode with the highest power of  $z$  in  $g^+$  (or  $\bar{z}$  in  $g^-$  in case of spin down zero modes) satisfying the APS boundary condition would not be square integrable at infinity exactly for the values of fluxes in (19). To complete the picture we recall that for values  $\Phi/2\pi \in (-1/2, 1/2]$  there are no zero modes on either plane or a disc with holes.

The standard argument of Aharonov and Casher from [1] will be very useful for showing Thms. 8 and 7. We will first use it to prove the following

**Proposition 10.** Let  $D_a$  be the maximal extension (3) of the Dirac operator on  $M$  and let  $D_a u = 0$ . Then  $u = (u^+, u^-)$  with

$$u^\pm = e^{\pm h} g^\pm,$$

where  $g^+$  is analytic on  $M$  and  $g^-$  is anti-analytic on  $M$ .

*Proof.* To find solutions of  $D_a u = 0$ ,  $u = (u^+, u^-) \in H^1(M; \mathbb{C}^2)$  we rewrite the problem using the Aharonov–Casher gauge (8) as

$$e^h \partial_{\bar{z}} e^{-h} u^+ = \left[ \partial_{\bar{z}} - \frac{ia}{2} \right] u^+ = 0, \quad e^{-h} \partial_z e^h u^- = \left[ \partial_z - \frac{i\bar{a}}{2} \right] u^- = 0.$$

This is satisfied only if the function  $g^+ := e^{-h} u^+$  is analytic and  $g^- := e^h u^-$  is anti-analytic on  $M$ .  $\square$

## 2.1 Proof for unbounded region with holes

The main idea of the proofs of Theorems 8 and 7 is to show that if  $u \in \text{dom}(D_a)$  and hence,  $(u^+, u^-)^T$  satisfies the APS boundary condition (14), then the functions  $g^+$  and  $g^-$  from Prop. 10 can be extended analytically in  $z$  and  $\bar{z}$  respectively inside the holes of  $M$ . While this is a straightforward process in the case of one hole it requires a new approach if we have several holes. Let us fix an index  $j$  and denote  $\mathcal{A}$  an open annulus co-centred with the hole  $\Omega_j$  of inner radius  $R_j$  and outer radius  $R$  such that  $\mathcal{A} \cap \text{supp } B = \emptyset$  with  $B$  as in (11). In particular the scalar potential  $h(z)$  is bounded on  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Recall that  $g^+$  is analytical and  $g^-$  is anti-analytical on  $M$ . Thus on  $\mathcal{A}$  they have the Laurent series

$$g^+(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n (z - w_j)^n, \quad g^-(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} b_n \overline{(z - w_j)^n}, \quad (20)$$

with some  $a_n, b_n \in \mathbb{C}$ . To find the boundary values of  $u^\pm$  and compare them to the boundary condition (14), it is convenient to introduce functions  $G_j^\pm(z)$  with the following properties

1. The restriction of  $G_j^\pm(z)$  to the boundary  $\partial\Omega_j$  satisfies

$$G_j^\pm(z) |_{z \in \partial\Omega_j} = -i \int_{\gamma_j} \vec{a} \, d\vec{s} + i \frac{\Phi_j'}{2\pi} \varphi_j,$$

where  $\gamma_j \subset \partial\Omega_j$  is the curve connecting the points  $z_{0j} = w_j + R_j$  and  $z$  counter-clockwise.

2.  $F_j^+$  and  $F_j^-$  are analytic functions in  $z$  and  $\bar{z}$  on  $\mathcal{A} \cup \overline{\Omega_j}$  respectively, where

$$F_j^\pm(z) := \pm h(z) + G_j^\pm(z), \quad (21)$$

and  $h$  was defined in (9).

We stress that throughout the whole section we will, owing to Lem. 6, assume  $B|_{\Omega_j} = B_j = \Phi_j' \delta_{w_j}$ , with the normalised flux  $\Phi_j' \in [-\pi, \pi)$ . This, further, allows us to extend the definition of the vector potential  $a$  that is given by (8) inside the region  $\Omega_j \setminus \{w_j\}$ . For  $z \in \mathcal{A} \cup \overline{\Omega_j} \setminus \{w_j\}$  we then define the pair of functions

$$\begin{aligned} G_j^+(z) &= -i \int_{\gamma(z_{0j}, z)} \vec{a} \, d\vec{s} + \int_{\gamma(z_{0j}, z)} \frac{\Phi_j'}{2\pi(z' - w_j)} \, dz', \\ G_j^-(z) &= -i \int_{\gamma(z_{0j}, z)} \vec{a} \, d\vec{s} - \int_{\gamma(z_{0j}, z)} \frac{\Phi_j'}{2\pi(\overline{z' - w_j})} \, d\bar{z}', \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where by  $\gamma(z_{0j}, z) \subset \mathcal{A} \cup \overline{\Omega_j} \setminus \{w_j\}$  we denoted the path of integration with the endpoints  $z_{0j} = w_j + R_j$  and  $z \in \mathcal{A} \cup \overline{\Omega_j} \setminus \{w_j\}$ . Note that  $G_j^\pm$  defined by (22) clearly satisfy the condition on the restriction to the boundary. The lemma below shows that  $G_j^\pm(z)$  are well defined on  $\mathcal{A} \cup \overline{\Omega_j} \setminus \{w_j\}$ .

**Lemma 11.**  $G_j^\pm(z)$  are independent of the choice of the path  $\gamma(z_{0j}, z)$  contained in  $\mathcal{A} \cup \overline{\Omega_j} \setminus \{w_j\}$ .

*Proof.* We show the equivalent statement that  $G_j^\pm(z) = 0$  for any loop  $\gamma = \gamma(z_{0j}, z = z_{0j}) \subset \mathcal{A} \cup \overline{\Omega_j} \setminus \{w_j\}$ . By definition of the flux the first summands on the right-hand sides of (22) read

$$-i \int_{\gamma} \vec{a} \, d\vec{s} = -i\ell\Phi_j',$$

where  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$  is the winding number of the loop  $\gamma$  around the point  $w_j$ . The result then follows from the definition of the winding number

$$\ell := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{1}{z' - w_j} \, dz' = \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{1}{\overline{z' - w_j}} \, d\bar{z}'.$$

□

Now we show that with our choice of  $G_j^\pm$  the second requirement regarding  $F_j^\pm$  defined by (21) is satisfied.

**Proposition 12.** *The functions  $F_j^+(z)$  and  $F_j^-(z)$  defined by (21) are analytic on  $\mathcal{A} \cup \overline{\Omega_j}$  in  $z$  and  $\bar{z}$  respectively. And, in particular, there are the following series of the exponentials*

$$e^{F_j^+} = \sum_{k \geq 0} c_k^+(z - w_j)^k, \quad e^{F_j^-} = \sum_{k \geq 0} c_k^- \overline{(z - w_j)^k},$$

with  $c_0^\pm \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* The analyticity follows from the fact, which will be proved below, stating that  $F_j^\pm$  have the following forms on  $\mathcal{A} \cup \overline{\Omega_j} \setminus \{w_j\}$

$$\begin{aligned} F_j^+(z) &= h(z_{0j}) + \int_{\gamma(z_{0j}, z)} \sum_{\substack{k \leq N \\ k \neq j}} (2\partial_{z'} h_{[k]}) dz', \\ F_j^-(z) &= -h(z_{0j}) - \int_{\gamma(z_{0j}, z)} \sum_{\substack{k \leq N \\ k \neq j}} (2\partial_{\bar{z}'} h_{[k]}) d\bar{z}', \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where  $h_{[k]} = \frac{-\Phi_k}{2\pi} \log |z - w_k|$  for  $z \neq w_k$  is the scalar potential of the field  $B_k$  inside the hole  $\Omega_k$ . A direct computation (or the “defining” Poisson equation  $-\Delta h_{[k]} = B_k$  for  $h_{[k]}$ ) yields that the integrands  $\sum_{k \neq j} 2\partial_z h_{[k]}$  and  $\sum_{k \neq j} 2\partial_{\bar{z}} h_{[k]}$  are analytic on  $\mathcal{A} \cup \overline{\Omega_j}$  in  $z$  and  $\bar{z}$  respectively. It follows from the next remark, that this indeed implies analyticity of  $F_j^\pm$ .

**Remark 13.** *Let  $g$  be defined on a domain in  $\mathbb{C}$ . If either*

$$g_{an}(z) := \int_{\gamma} g(w) dw = \int_{\gamma} (g_1 + ig_2)(dt_1 + i dt_2),$$

or

$$g_{anti}(z) := \int_{\gamma} g(w) d\bar{w} = \int_{\gamma} (g_1 + ig_2)(dt_1 - i dt_2),$$

are independent of the path  $\gamma$  connecting a fixed point  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  and a point  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , then  $g_{an}$  or  $g_{anti}(z)$  are analytic in  $z$  or  $\bar{z}$  respectively.

Indeed, due to the independence on path  $\gamma$  it holds  $\partial_x \int_{\gamma} (g_1 + ig_2) dt_2 = 0$  and  $\partial_y \int_{\gamma} (g_1 + ig_2) dt_1 = 0$  so we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x \int_{\gamma} g(w) dw &= \partial_x \int_{\gamma} (g_1 + ig_2) dt_1 = g(z) \\ \partial_y \int_{\gamma} g(w) dw &= \partial_y \int_{\gamma} i(g_1 + ig_2) dt_2 = ig(z), \end{aligned}$$

where in the second equalities we used the fundamental theorem of calculus. Hence,  $\frac{1}{2}(\partial_x \pm i\partial_y) \int_{\gamma} g(w)(dt_1 \pm i dt_2) = 0$ .

Now we will show that the equalities (23) hold. To that end we use the relation (8), i.e.

$$a_x = \partial_y h, \quad a_y = -\partial_x h,$$

and write with the aid of the fundamental theorem of calculus

$$h(z) = h(z_{0j}) + \int_{\gamma(z_{0j}, z)} \partial_x h dx + \partial_y h dy,$$

where  $\gamma(z_{0j}, z) \subset \mathcal{A} \cup \overline{\Omega_j} \setminus \{w_j\}$  is an arbitrary path connecting  $z_{0j}$  and  $z$ . Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} h - i \int_{\gamma(z_{0j}, z)} \bar{a} d\bar{s} &= h(z_{0j}) + \int_{\gamma(z_{0j}, z)} \partial_x h dx + \partial_y h dy - i \partial_y h dx + i \partial_x h dy \\ &= h(z_{0j}) + 2 \int_{\gamma(z_{0j}, z)} \partial_{z'} h dz', \end{aligned}$$

and similarly (or by complex conjugation),  $-h - i \int_{\gamma(z_{0j}, z)} \bar{a} d\bar{s} = -h(z_{0j}) - 2 \int_{\gamma(z_{0j}, z)} \partial_{\bar{z}'} h d\bar{z}'$ .

Finally, we recall that the concrete form (9) of the potential function for  $B_j = \Phi_j' \delta_{w_j}$  is  $h_{[j]} = \frac{-\Phi_j'}{2\pi} \log |z - w_j|$  and compute the derivatives

$$\partial_z h_{[j]}(z) = \frac{-\Phi_j'}{4\pi} \partial_z \log |z - w_j|^2 = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\Phi_j'}{z - w_j}, \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_{\bar{z}} h_{[j]}(z) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\Phi_j'}{\overline{z - w_j}},$$

which together with the definitions (21) and (22) give (23).  $\square$

### 2.1.1 Trace of the eigenfunction

Using the properties of  $G_j^\pm$  we are able to find the trace  $\gamma_0$  of functions that have a form of the zero modes on  $\mathcal{A}$ . For brevity we will denote by  $\check{H}_j$  the space  $\check{H}(A|_{\partial\Omega_j})$ , recall (6) for the definition of the norm on  $\check{H}(A)$ . We will also use the notation  $\|\cdot\|_S$  for the norm on a space  $S$ .

**Lemma 14.** *Consider the function  $u = (u^+, u^-)^T = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (e^h a_n (z - w_j)^n, e^{-h} b_n (\overline{z - w_j})^n)^T \in L^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C}^2)$  with some complex coefficients  $a_n, b_n$ . Then we have the convergence*

$$u^Q = \begin{pmatrix} u^{+,Q} \\ u^{-,Q} \end{pmatrix} := \sum_{|n| \leq Q} \begin{pmatrix} e^h a_n (z - w_j)^n \\ e^{-h} b_n (\overline{z - w_j})^n \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} u^+ \\ u^- \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{as } Q \rightarrow \infty \quad (24)$$

in the operator graph norm  $\|\cdot\|_{D_a, \mathcal{A}} := \|\cdot\|_{L^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C}^2)}^2 + \|D_a(\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C}^2)}^2$ .

*Proof.* By Prop. 10 it holds that  $D_a u^Q = D_a u = 0$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Hence the operator graph norm of  $u$  has contribution only from  $\|u\|_{L^2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{C}^2)}^2 = \|u^+\|_{L^2(\mathcal{A})}^2 + \|u^-\|_{L^2(\mathcal{A})}^2$ . We compute the first summand.

$$\|u^+\|_{L^2(\mathcal{A})}^2 = 2\pi \int_{R_j}^R r dr \left( e^{2h} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_n|^2 r^{2n} \right) \geq C \left( |a_{-1}|^2 \ln \frac{R}{R_j} + \sum_{n \neq -1} |a_n|^2 \frac{R^{2n+2} - R_j^{2n+2}}{2n+2} \right),$$

where the constant  $C = 2\pi \min_{z \in \mathcal{A}} e^{2h(z)}$  is non-zero. This shows that the sum on the right-hand side is convergent and therefore

$$\|u^+ - u^{+,Q}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{A})}^2 \leq 2\pi \max_{z \in \mathcal{A}} e^{2h(z)} \sum_{n > Q} |a_n|^2 \frac{R^{2n+2} - R_j^{2n+2}}{2n+2} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } Q \rightarrow \infty.$$

The proof for  $u^-$  is a matter of substituting  $e^{2h}$  by  $e^{-2h}$  and the coefficients  $a_n$  by  $b_n$  in the computation above.  $\square$

As a corollary we obtain from [8, Thm. 1.7] the convergence in  $\check{H}_j$  of the traces of  $u^Q$  to the trace of the zero mode  $u$ .

**Lemma 15.** *Let  $u^Q$  be as in Lem. 14. In  $\check{H}_j$  we have the following convergence of the traces  $\gamma_0(u^Q)$*

$$\lim_{Q \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_0 \begin{pmatrix} u^{+,Q} \\ u^{-,Q} \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k \geq 0} R_j^{n+k} \begin{pmatrix} a_n c_k^+ \psi_{n+k}^j \\ b_n c_k^- \psi_{-(n+k)}^j \end{pmatrix} =: \begin{pmatrix} u_0^+ \\ u_0^- \end{pmatrix}. \quad (25)$$

The vectors  $\psi_\ell^j$  were introduced in (13) and  $c_k^\pm$  are the coefficients from Prop. 12.

*Proof.* First we show that the sum in (25) is an element of  $\check{H}_j$ . Note that due to the definite chirality of eigenvectors of  $A|_{\partial\Omega_j}$ , we have  $\|(v^+, v^-)\|_{\check{H}_j}^2 = \|(v^+, 0)\|_{\check{H}_j}^2 + \|(0, v^-)\|_{\check{H}_j}^2$  for any  $(v^+, v^-)^T \in \check{H}_j$ . Therefore we work out the proof only for the spin up part and leave out the spin down part which is analogous. Let  $u^+$  be as in Lem. 14. Then boundedness of  $e^{G_j^+}$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  implies  $e^{G_j^+} u^+ \in L^2(\mathcal{A})$ . We compute its norm explicitly

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{G_j^+} u^+\|_{L^2(\mathcal{A})}^2 &= \int_{R_j}^R dr \int_0^{2\pi} r d\varphi \left| e^{G_j^+ + h} g^+ \right|^2 = \int_{R_j}^R dr \int_0^{2\pi} r d\varphi \left| \sum_{\substack{k \geq 0 \\ n \in \mathbb{Z}}} a_n c_k^+ r^{k+n} e^{i\varphi(k+n)} \right|^2 \\ &= 2\pi \left| \sum_{\substack{k+n=-1 \\ k \geq 0}} a_n c_k^+ \right|^2 \ln \frac{R}{R_j} + 2\pi \sum_{\ell \neq -1} \left| \sum_{\substack{k+n=\ell \\ k \geq 0}} a_n c_k^+ \right|^2 \frac{1}{2\ell+2} (R^{2\ell+2} - R_j^{2\ell+2}), \end{aligned}$$

where in the second equality we used that  $e^{G_j^+(z)+h(z)} = e^{F_j^+}$  is the analytic function on  $\mathcal{A} \cup \overline{\Omega_j}$  from Prop. 12. This expression can be used to bound the  $\check{H}_j$  norm of the spin up component of (25)

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\substack{n,k \\ n+k=\ell}} R_j^\ell a_n c_k^+ \psi_\ell^j, 0 \right)^T \right\|_{\check{H}_j}^2 = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{\substack{n+k=\ell \\ k \geq 0}} R_j^\ell a_n c_k^+ \psi_\ell^j \right| (1 + |\lambda_\ell^j|^2)^s, \quad \begin{cases} s = 1/2 & \text{if } \lambda_\ell^j < 0 \\ s = -1/2 & \text{if } \lambda_\ell^j \geq 0 \end{cases}, \quad (26)$$

with  $\lambda_\ell^j$  from (13). Indeed, observing that

1. If  $K \in [-1, 0)$ , then for any  $n \geq 0$  and  $C < 1/\sqrt{5}$  it holds

$$n+1 \geq C \sqrt{(n-K)^2 + 1}, \quad \frac{1}{n+1} \geq C \frac{1}{\sqrt{(n+K)^2 + 1}}.$$

2. There exist constants  $C_{<,>,0}$  such that  $\ln \frac{R}{R_j} \geq \frac{C_0}{R_j^2}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} R^n - R_j^n &\geq \left( R R_j^{-1} \right)^n R_j^n \left( 1 - \left( R_j R^{-1} \right)^n \right) \geq C_{>} n^2 R_j^n, & \text{if } n > 0, \\ R_j^n - R^n &= R_j^n \left( 1 - \left( R_j R^{-1} \right)^{|n|} \right) \geq C_{<} R_j^n, & \text{if } n < 0, \end{aligned}$$

we deduce  $\|e^{G_j^+} u^+\|_{L^2(\mathcal{A})}^2 \geq \text{const} \|(u_0^+, 0)^T\|_{\check{H}_j}^2$ .

Applying Prop. 12 and using the definition of  $\psi_\ell^j$  we obtain

$$\gamma_0[(u^{+,Q}, 0)^T] = \sum_{|n| \leq Q} R_j^n a_n e^{in\varphi_j - G_j^+(z)} e^{(h+G_j^+)(z)} \Big|_{z \in \partial\Omega_j} = \sum_{|n| \leq Q} R_j^{n+k} a_n c_k^+ \psi_{n+k}^j$$

which with the convergence of the sum in (25) in  $\check{H}_j$  norm finishes the proof for the spin up component. Similarly one shows  $\|e^{G_j^-} u^-\|_{L^2(\mathcal{A})}^2 \geq \text{const} \|(0, u_0^-)^T\|_{\check{H}_j}^2$  and the convergence in the spin down component of (25).  $\square$

With these technical preliminaries we are ready to present a key statement for the proof of Thm. 7.

**Proposition 16.** *Let  $(u^+, u^-)$  be a zero mode of the Dirac operator with the magnetic field (11), that satisfies the APS boundary condition (14) on  $\partial\Omega_j$ . Then the functions  $g^+$  and  $g^-$  from Prop. 10 can be analytically extended inside the region  $\Omega_j$  in  $z$  and  $\bar{z}$  respectively.*

*Proof.* On  $\partial\Omega_j$  we compare the trace given by Lem. 15 with the APS boundary condition (14). We remind that we are using the normalized fluxes through the holes (see Lem. 6) which satisfy  $\Phi'_j \in [-\pi, \pi)$  and therefore

$$\gamma_0(u^+, u^-) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k \geq 0} R_j^{n+k} \begin{pmatrix} a_n c_k^+ \psi_{n+k}^j \\ b_n c_k^- \psi_{-(n+k)}^j \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{\ell \geq 0} \beta_\ell^+ \psi_\ell^j \\ \sum_{\ell \leq 0} \beta_\ell^- \psi_\ell^j \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here  $\beta_\ell^\pm \in \mathbb{C}$  are some constant coefficients. Hence  $a_n c_k^+ = b_n c_k^- = 0$  whenever  $n+k < 0$ . In particular, since  $c_0^\pm \neq 0$ , it holds  $a_n = b_n = 0$  for all  $n < 0$ . This in turn means that  $g^+$  and  $g^-$  can be analytically extended inside  $\Omega_j$  in variables  $z$  and  $\bar{z}$  respectively.  $\square$

Applying now the  $L^2$  integrability condition at infinity to  $u^\pm$  the Aharonov–Casher type result for our setting  $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}$  follows.

*Proof of Thm. 7.* By Prop. 16 the zero modes are of the form

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} e^h \sum_{n=0}^{n^+} a_n z^n, e^{-h} \sum_{n=0}^{n^-} b_n \bar{z}^n \end{pmatrix}^T$$

with  $a_n, b_n \in \mathbb{C}$  and some integers  $n^\pm$ . Since the requirement  $u \in \text{dom}(D_a)$  in particular implies square integrability at infinity, we obtain from the asymptotics (10) of the potential function  $h$  the conditions  $n^+ - \frac{\Phi}{2\pi} < -1$  and  $n^- + \frac{\Phi}{2\pi} < -1$ , where  $\Phi = \Phi_0 + \sum_{k \leq N} \Phi'_k$ . From this we infer that there are  $\lfloor \frac{\Phi}{2\pi} \rfloor$  zero modes of spin up and  $\lfloor -\frac{\Phi}{2\pi} \rfloor$  zero modes of spin down provided that  $|\Phi| > 2\pi$ .  $\square$

## 2.2 Proof for the bounded region with holes

In the case of the bounded domain the condition of the square integrability, responsible for cutting off the infinite series in the final step in proof of Thm. 7, is substituted by the APS boundary condition (16) on the outer boundary  $\partial\Omega_{out}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{A}^{out} \subset M$  an open annulus whose outer boundary is  $\partial\Omega_{out}$  such that it satisfies  $\mathcal{A}^{out} \cap \text{supp } B = \emptyset$  and by  $\tilde{\Omega}$  the union  $(\Omega_{out})^c \cup \mathcal{A}^{out}$  where  $(\cdot)^c$  stands for the complement in  $\mathbb{C}$ . To apply the boundary condition on  $\partial\Omega_{out}$  we follow a similar process as in the case of checking the boundary conditions on the inner components of the boundary, and define functions  $e^{G^\pm(z)}$  with the following properties

1. The restrictions of  $G^\pm(z)$  to the boundary  $\partial\Omega_{out}$  satisfy

$$G^\pm(z) |_{z \in \partial\Omega_{out}} = -i \int_{\gamma_{out}} \bar{a} \, d\bar{s} + i \frac{\Phi}{2\pi} \varphi,$$

where  $\gamma_{out} \subset \partial\Omega_{out}$  connects the points  $z_0^{out} = R_{out}$  and  $z \in \partial\Omega_{out}$ .

2. The functions  $F^+$  and  $F^-$  defined by

$$F^\pm(z) := \pm h(z) + G^\pm(z),$$

are analytic in  $z$  and  $\bar{z}$  on  $\tilde{\Omega}$  respectively and they are bounded at infinity.

Let  $\gamma(z_0^{out}, z)$  be a path connecting  $z_0^{out} = R_{out}$  and a point  $z \in \tilde{\Omega}$ . We define

$$\begin{aligned} G^+(z) &= -i \int_{\gamma(z_0^{out}, z)} \bar{a} \, d\bar{s} + \int_{\gamma(z_0^{out}, z)} \frac{\Phi}{2\pi z'} \, dz', \\ G^-(z) &= -i \int_{\gamma(z_0^{out}, z)} \bar{a} \, d\bar{s} - \int_{\gamma(z_0^{out}, z)} \frac{\Phi}{2\pi \bar{z}'} \, d\bar{z}'. \end{aligned}$$

Note that these particular choices indeed satisfy our first condition regarding the restriction to the boundary  $\partial\Omega_{out}$ . A direct adaptation of the proof of Lem. 11 shows that  $G^\pm(z)$  are independent of the choice of path  $\gamma(z_0^{out}, z)$  contained in  $\tilde{\Omega}$ .

Now we prove the required analyticity of  $F^\pm$  and their boundedness at infinity.

**Lemma 17.** *The functions  $F^+(z)$  and  $F^-(z)$  are analytic in  $z$  and  $\bar{z}$  respectively on  $\tilde{\Omega}$ . Moreover,  $F^\pm(z) \rightarrow \text{const}$  as  $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Similarly as in the proof of Prop. 12, it can be shown that it holds

$$\begin{aligned} F^+(z) &= h(z_0^{\text{out}}) + \int_{\gamma(z_0^{\text{out}}, z)} \left( 2\partial_{z'} h + \frac{\Phi}{2\pi z'} \right) dz' \\ F^-(z) &= -h(z_0^{\text{out}}) - \int_{\gamma(z_0^{\text{out}}, z)} \left( 2\partial_{\bar{z}'} h + \frac{\Phi}{2\pi \bar{z}'} \right) d\bar{z}', \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where  $\gamma(z_0^{\text{out}}, z) \subset \tilde{\Omega}$  is an arbitrary path connecting  $z_0^{\text{out}}$  and  $z$ . Then  $F^+$  is analytic on  $\tilde{\Omega}$  as  $2\partial_z h + \frac{\Phi}{2\pi z}$  is analytic, and  $F^-$  is anti-analytic as  $2\partial_{\bar{z}} h + \frac{\Phi}{2\pi \bar{z}}$  is anti-analytic on that region (recall the Poisson equation  $-\Delta h = B$  and Remark 13).

Since we are further interested in the limit  $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ , let us assume that  $|z| > R'$  for some  $R' > 2R_{\text{out}}$ . We will show that the absolute value of the integrand in (27) decays like  $|z|^{-2}$  when  $|z|$  tends to infinity. First for the singular parts of the magnetic field  $B_j = \Phi'_j \delta_{w_j}$  we have for any  $z \in \tilde{\Omega}$

$$2\partial_z h_{[j]} + \frac{\Phi'_j}{2\pi z} = \frac{-\Phi'_j}{2\pi} \frac{w_j}{z(z-w_j)}, \quad (28)$$

with  $h_{[j]} = \frac{-\Phi'_j}{2\pi} \log |z - w_j|$  as before, in the proof of Prop. 12. In particular the absolute value of the right hand side is indeed bounded by a constant multiple of  $|z|^{-2}$  for  $|z| > R'$ . For the bulk part of the magnetic field  $B_0 \in C_0^\infty(M)$  with the scalar potential  $h_0(z) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_M B_0(z') \log |z - z'|^{\frac{1}{2}} dz' \wedge \overline{dz'}$  on  $\tilde{\Omega}$  we compute the derivative  $\partial_z h_0$  using the dominated convergence theorem similarly as in Remark 4. Then using the definition of the flux  $\Phi_0$  we obtain the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \left| 2\partial_z h_0 + \frac{\Phi_0}{2\pi z} \right| &= \left| -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \left( \frac{B_0(z')}{z-z'} - \frac{B_0(z')}{z} \right) \frac{i}{2} dz' \wedge \overline{dz'} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \left| \frac{B_0(z') z'}{z(z-z')} \right| \frac{i}{2} dz' \wedge \overline{dz'} \leq \text{const} |z|^{-2}, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

for all  $|z| > R'$ . In the last inequality we used that  $\left| \frac{B_0(z') z'}{z(z-z')/|z|^2} \right| \leq 2|B_0(z') z'| \in L^1(\mathbb{C})$ . Let us define

$$C_0 := \int_0^\infty \left( 2\partial_z h(z_0^{\text{out}} + t) + \frac{\Phi}{2\pi(z_0^{\text{out}} + t)} \right) dt.$$

Then this is a well defined constant. Indeed, since an integral of analytic function along a bounded interval is bounded we have with use of (29) and (28)

$$|C_0| \leq C_1 + \int_{R'}^\infty \frac{C_2}{t^2} dt < \infty,$$

with some constants  $C_{1,2} > 0$ . Further by independence on the path  $\gamma \subset \tilde{\Omega}$  (we can choose  $\gamma$  along the real axis and then along the arc corresponding to  $|z| = \text{const}$ ) and by (29), (28) we estimate

$$\left| \int_\gamma 2\partial_{z'} h + \frac{\Phi}{2\pi z'} dz' - C_0 \right| \leq \text{const} \left| -\int_{|z|}^\infty \frac{dt}{t^2} + \frac{1}{|z|} \int_0^{\arg(z)} d\varphi \right|,$$

which is arbitrarily small as  $|z| \rightarrow \infty$  and hence concludes the proof for  $F^+$ . The proof of asymptotics for  $F^-$  at infinity is analogous.  $\square$

**Corollary 18.** *The exponentials of  $F^\pm$  have the following series on  $\tilde{\Omega}$*

$$e^{F^+(z)} = \sum_{n \leq 0} d_n^+ z^n \quad \text{and} \quad e^{F^-(z)} = \sum_{n \leq 0} d_n^- \bar{z}^n,$$

for some  $d_n^\pm \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $d_0^\pm \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* By the previous lemma and by analyticity of  $\exp(z)$  on  $\mathbb{C}$  the function  $e^{F^+(w^{-1})}$  is analytic and  $e^{F^-(w^{-1})}$  is anti-analytic on the interior of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \tilde{\Omega}$  and converge to a non-zero constant as  $w \rightarrow 0$ . This implies existence of the Taylor series  $e^{F^+(w^{-1})} = \sum_{k \geq 0} d_k^+ w^k$  and  $e^{F^-(w^{-1})} = \sum_{k \geq 0} d_k^- \bar{w}^k$  with  $d_0^\pm \neq 0$ . Thus on the complement  $\tilde{\Omega}$  we have

$$e^{F^+(z)} = \sum_{n \leq 0} d_n^+ z^n \quad \text{and} \quad e^{F^-(z)} = \sum_{n \leq 0} d_n^- \bar{z}^n.$$

□

To apply the boundary condition on the outer boundary we find the boundary values of a function that has the form of our zero modes when restricted to  $\mathcal{A}^{out} \subset M$ . For conciseness we denote by  $\check{H}_{out}$  the space  $\check{H}(A|_{\partial\Omega_{out}})$  and by  $\|\cdot\|_S$  the norm on a space  $S$ .

**Lemma 19.** *Let  $u = (u^+, u^-)^T = \sum_{n \geq 0} (e^h a_n z^n, e^{-h} b_n \bar{z}^n)^T \in L^2(\mathcal{A}^{out}, \mathbb{C}^2)$ . Then its trace on  $\partial\Omega^{out}$  is*

$$\gamma_0(u^+, u^-)^T = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{k \leq 0} R_{out}^{n+k} \begin{pmatrix} a_n d_k^+ \psi_{n+k} \\ b_n d_k^- \psi_{-(n+k)} \end{pmatrix} =: \begin{pmatrix} v_0^+ \\ v_0^- \end{pmatrix}. \quad (30)$$

The vectors  $\psi_\ell$  were introduced in (15) and the coefficients  $d_k^\pm$  are from Cor. 18.

*Proof.* It is not difficult to see that Lem. 14 holds also with  $\mathcal{A}$  substituted by  $\mathcal{A}^{out}$  and  $z - w_j$  substituted by  $z$ . Hence  $\gamma_0(u) = \lim_{Q \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{0 \leq n \leq Q} R_{out}^n (e^h a_n e^{i\varphi n}, e^{-h} b_n e^{-i\varphi n})^T$  in  $\check{H}_{out}$ . Mildly alternating the steps of the proof of Lem. 15 we find the bound

$$\|(e^{G^+} u^+, e^{G^-} u^-)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{A}^{out}, \mathbb{C}^2)} \geq \text{const} \|(v_0^+, v_0^-)^T\|_{\check{H}_{out}},$$

showing that the sum in (30) converges in  $\check{H}_{out}$ . The statement then follows from this convergence and by applying Cor. 18 to the expression

$$\sum_{0 \leq n \leq Q} R_{out}^n \left( a_n e^{i\varphi n} e^{-G^+(z)} e^{(h+G^+)(z)}, b_n e^{-i\varphi n} e^{-G^-(z)} e^{(h+G^-)(z)} \right)^T \Big|_{z \in \partial\Omega_{out}}.$$

□

The proof of the Aharonov–Casher result in the case of the bounded domain with holes and a circular outer boundary now comes out along the lines of the proof of Prop. 16.

*Proof of Thm. 8.* Since the zero modes need to satisfy the APS boundary condition on the inner components of the boundary,  $\partial\Omega_j$ ,  $j \leq N$ , they have by Prop. 16 and Prop. 10 the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} u^+ \\ u^- \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \begin{pmatrix} e^h a_n z^n \\ e^{-h} b_n \bar{z}^n \end{pmatrix}$$

on the interior of  $\Omega^{out}$ . Using Lem. 19 and the boundary condition (16) we have

$$\gamma_0 \begin{pmatrix} u^+ \\ u^- \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{k \leq 0} R_{out}^{n+k} \begin{pmatrix} a_n d_k^+ \psi_{n+k} \\ b_n d_k^- \psi_{-(n+k)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{\ell < \frac{\Phi}{2\pi} - \frac{1}{2}} \beta_\ell^+ \psi_\ell \\ \sum_{\ell \geq \frac{\Phi}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2}} \beta_\ell^- \psi_\ell \end{pmatrix},$$

with some  $\beta_\ell^\pm \in \mathbb{C}$ , which imposes the restrictions  $a_n d_k^+ = 0$  if  $n+k \geq \frac{\Phi}{2\pi} - \frac{1}{2}$  and  $b_n d_k^- = 0$  if  $-(n+k) < \frac{\Phi}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2}$ . We recall that  $d_0^\pm \neq 0$  to deduce that there are  $\lfloor \frac{\Phi}{2\pi} - \frac{1}{2} \rfloor + 1 = \lfloor \frac{\Phi}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor$  spin up and  $\lfloor -\frac{\Phi}{2\pi} - \frac{1}{2} \rfloor + 1 = \lfloor \frac{-\Phi}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor$  spin down zero modes. The symbol  $\{y\}$  denotes the biggest integer smaller or equal to  $y \geq 0$ . The proof is now concluded by noticing that the equality  $\lfloor y + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor = -\lfloor -y + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor$  holds for any  $y \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . □

### 2.3 More general choice of the APS boundary condition

Instead of the canonical APS boundary condition we can consider a more general APS boundary condition and add a field of hermitian endomorphisms on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  to the canonical boundary operator  $A$ . In particular, we will restrict ourselves to operators  $A^q$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{R}$ , such that  $A^q|_{\partial\Omega_j} = A|_{\partial\Omega_j} + \frac{q}{R_j}\sigma^3$ , and, in the case of bounded region,  $A^q|_{\partial\Omega_{out}} = A|_{\partial\Omega_{out}} - \frac{q}{R_{out}}\sigma^3$ . Here  $A$  is the canonical boundary operator from Def. 3.

With this choice we will later (in Sec. 4) be able to compare our result to the index formula by Gilkey [28], whose assumptions on the boundary operator require also that the boundary and chirality operators commute. Moreover  $A^q$  leaves  $D_a$  self-adjoint as  $\{A^q, \sigma(v)\} = 0$  (cf. [9, Thm. 3.12.]). In fact, one can view this as the modified APS boundary condition from [8, Example 1.16(b)]. A computation yields boundary conditions that correspond to exchanging the functions  $\psi_\ell$  by  $\psi_{\ell+q}$  in the boundary conditions (14) and (16).

Since  $\frac{q}{R_j}\sigma^3$  commutes with the unitary  $\mathcal{U}$  defined in Lem. 6 we have again gauge freedom in the sense of this lemma. We can thus follow the steps in our proofs of Thms. 7 and 8 with the choice of the normalized fluxes inside the holes

$$\Phi'_j \in [-q - 1/2, -q + 1/2] \times 2\pi, \quad (31)$$

for all  $j \leq N$  and conclude the following results.

**Theorem 20.** *Let  $M = \mathbb{C} \setminus \cup_{k \leq N} \Omega_k$  and let  $D_a$  be the Dirac operator with the magnetic field  $B$  as in (11). We set  $\Phi = \Phi_0 + \Phi'_j$  with  $\Phi_0$  the flux of the magnetic field in the bulk and  $\Phi'_j$  as in (31). If  $\Phi \neq 0$  then there are*

$$\left\lfloor \frac{|\Phi|}{2\pi} \right\rfloor$$

*zero modes of the operator  $D_a$  with the APS boundary condition given by the boundary operator  $A^q$ . These states have spin up if  $\Phi > 0$  and spin down if  $\Phi < 0$ . If  $\Phi = 0$  the system hosts no zero modes.*

Similarly in the case of the bounded domain we find

**Theorem 21.** *Let  $M = \Omega_{out} \setminus \cup_{k \leq N} \Omega_k$  and let  $D_a$  be the Dirac operator with the magnetic field  $B$  as in (11). We denote  $\Phi = \Phi_0 + \Phi'_j$  with  $\Phi_0$  the flux of the magnetic field in the bulk and  $\Phi'_j$  as in (31). Then there are*

$$\left\| \left\lfloor \frac{\Phi}{2\pi} + q + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor \right\|$$

*zero modes of the operator  $D_a$  with the APS boundary condition given by the boundary operator  $A^q$ . These states have spin up if the quantity  $\frac{\Phi}{2\pi} + q + \frac{1}{2}$  is positive and spin down if it is non-positive.*

Finally, we remark that there is a certain ambiguity one encounters when talking about the APS boundary condition.

**Remark 22.** *Defining the APS boundary condition we commented on the other choice of the “half kernel”  $v_0$  of  $A$ . The choice  $v'_0 = \sigma(v)v_0$  would lead to exchanging the vector  $(0, \psi_{k_0})^T$  by  $(\psi_{k_0-1}, 0)^T$ , for  $k_0 = \frac{\Phi_j}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2}$  in the boundary condition (14) provided that  $\frac{\Phi_j}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$  (and similarly with  $k_0 = \frac{\Phi}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2}$  in (16) in case  $\frac{\Phi}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$ ). In this alternative we can choose by Lem. 6 the normalized fluxes inside the holes  $\Phi'_j \in (-\pi, \pi]$  for all  $j \leq N$ . An adaptation of the proofs then shows the following. The result of Thm. 7 remains the same. The content of Thm. 8 states that there are*

$$\left\| \left\lfloor -\frac{\Phi}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor \right\|$$

*zero modes with spin up if  $\Phi > 0$  and spin down if  $\Phi < 0$  and, in particular, there are no zero modes if  $\Phi \in [-\pi, \pi)$ .*

### 3 Aharonov–Casher on a sphere with holes

In this section we will prove a version of the Aharonov–Casher theorem for the magnetic Dirac operator on a sphere with holes whose boundaries are equipped with APS boundary conditions. This corresponds to our setup from Sec. 1.3 putting  $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{S}^2$ . In particular, let us consider the manifold  $M = \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \cup_{k \leq N} \Omega_k$ , where  $\cup_{k \leq N} \Omega_k$  is a union of mutually disjoint open discs on  $\mathbb{S}^2$ . We again consider the magnetic field (11) on  $M$  for which we additionally pose a requirement that the overall flux on the sphere sums to zero

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} B_0 + B_{sing} = 0. \quad (32)$$

To motivate the condition (32), recall that the vector potential one-form  $\alpha$  is globally defined on  $M$  and therefore the flux through the  $N$ -th hole is  $\Phi_N = -\Psi$ , where  $\Psi$  is the total flux minus  $\Phi_N$ . This is so, since  $\int_{\partial\Omega_N} \alpha$  can be integrated either as  $-\Psi$  or as  $\Phi_N$  as  $\partial\Omega_N$  is boundary of both  $\Omega_N$  and  $\Omega_N^C$  which are both bounded regions. Here  $(\cdot)^C$  denotes the complement in  $\mathbb{S}^2$ . We will consider a semi-total flux which we define as the bulk contribution  $\Phi_0$  plus the normalised fluxes (cf. Sec. 1.3) through all the holes but one and we choose to omit the flux of the  $N$ -th hole

$$\widehat{\Phi} = \Phi_0 + \sum_{j \leq N-1} \Phi'_j, \quad \Phi'_j \in [-\pi, \pi).$$

The reasoning behind this comes from Lem. 27 establishing the gauge invariance of this problem which we state later. It turns out that the problem of finding the zero modes is again gauge invariant and one can gauge away integer multiples of  $2\pi$  of the flux inside each of the holes apart from exactly one. The number of zero modes then depends on the semi-total flux. Moreover the result does not depend on which hole was left out with non-normalised flux. More precisely the following theorem holds.

**Theorem 23.** *Let  $D$  be the Dirac operator on  $M$  with magnetic field (11) in the Aharonov–Casher gauge, that satisfies the condition (32). Then there are*

$$\left\lfloor \left\lfloor \frac{\widehat{\Phi}}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor \right\rfloor$$

*zero modes of the operator  $D$  with the domain given by the APS boundary conditions. If  $\widehat{\Phi} > 0$  then all the zero modes have spin up. If  $\widehat{\Phi} < 0$  then they have spin down.*

The definition of the Dirac operator on a sphere is covered in Appx. A which also includes a proof of the statement that it is unitarily equivalent to the Dirac operator on a disc with holes with a conformal metric.

*Proof.* We can rotate the sphere so that the centre of the hole  $\Omega_N$  becomes the north pole  $N'$ . Then we perform a transformation  $P$  which is the stereographic projection from  $N'$  composed with a reflection (see also (41)), to obtain a bounded region  $P(M) \subset \mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{R}^2$  whose all components of the boundary are circles. This way we get the Dirac operator  $D^W$  on the region  $P(M)$  with metric

$$g^W = W^2(dx^2 + dy^2), \quad \text{where} \quad W = \left(1 + \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4}\right)^{-1}, \quad (33)$$

which is unitarily equivalent to the Dirac operator on  $M$ , by Cor. 36 in Appx. A. The statement is then a direct consequence of Prop. 28 proved below.  $\square$

**Remark 24.** 1. Notice that in particular, there are no zero modes in the case  $\widehat{\Phi} \in (-\pi, \pi]$ .

2. Let us point out that the number  $\left\lfloor \left\lfloor \frac{\widehat{\Phi}}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor \right\rfloor$  where  $\widehat{\Phi} = \sum_{j \leq N-1} \Phi'_j$  does not depend on the numbering of the holes. This is because we sum only over the normalised values of the fluxes and due to the condition that the global flux is zero, expressed by (32). Hence if we fix an index  $j_0 \leq N-1$  and put

$$\Phi^I = \Phi'_{j_0} + \Phi_{rest},$$

where  $\Phi_{rest} = \sum_{j \leq N-1, j \neq j_0} \Phi'_j$ , we have by (32) the flux  $-\Phi^I$  through the hole  $\Omega_N$ . To normalise this value we note that for any  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  it holds  $y - \left\lfloor y + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ . Thus

$$\frac{\Phi'_N}{2\pi} = - \left( \frac{\Phi^I}{2\pi} - \left\lfloor \frac{\Phi^I}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor \right) \in \left[ -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right),$$

is the  $(\frac{1}{2\pi})$  multiple of the) normalised flux through the  $N$ -th hole. The total flux  $\Phi^{II} = \Phi_{rest} + \Phi'_N$ , i.e. omitting the contribution from  $j_0$ , then satisfies

$$\left\lfloor \frac{\Phi^{II}}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{\Phi_{rest}}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\Phi^I}{2\pi} + \left\lfloor \frac{\Phi^I}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor -\frac{\Phi'_{j_0}}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{\Phi^I}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{\Phi^I}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor,$$

where in the last equality we used that  $\frac{\Phi'_{j_0}}{2\pi} \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ .

To see that this result is a direct consequence of the bounded case we need to investigate the Dirac operator with the APS boundary condition under Möbius transform, which is a particular case of a conformal transform.

### 3.1 The Dirac operator with APS boundary condition in the conformal metric $g^W$

Let  $M$  be a two-dimensional manifold with metric  $g$  and let  $E$  be a  $\text{Spin}^c$  spinor bundle over  $M$  with Clifford multiplication  $\sigma$  and  $\text{Spin}^c$  connection  $\nabla$ . In [17, Sec. 4] the authors showed how  $\sigma$ ,  $\nabla$  and the Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla^{LC}$  are modified under a general conformal transformation taking the metric  $g$  to a metric  $g^W = W^2g$  for some  $W : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ . We summarise their results in the following proposition.

**Proposition 25.** *In the conformal metric  $g^W = W^2g$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^W(\mu) &= W^{-1}\sigma(\mu), \\ \nabla_{\mu^\sharp}^W u &= \nabla_{\mu^\sharp} u + \frac{1}{4}W^{-1}[\sigma(\mu), \sigma(dW)]u, \\ \nabla_{\mu^\sharp}^{LC,W}(\zeta) &= \nabla_{\mu^\sharp}^{LC}\zeta - W^{-1}\mu^\sharp(W)\zeta + W^{-1}(\zeta, dW)\mu - W^{-1}\zeta(\mu^\sharp)dW, \end{aligned}$$

for any spinor  $u$ , vector field  $\mu^\sharp$  and a one form  $\zeta$ . We denoted by  $\mu$  the one-form dual to  $\mu^\sharp$  with respect to the metric  $g$ .

We point out that for any  $\zeta \in T^*M$  it holds  $\sigma^W(W\zeta) = \sigma(\zeta)$  and that if  $\zeta$  is normalized in the metric  $g$  then  $W\zeta$  is normalized in the conformal metric  $g^W$ . As a consequence of Prop. 25 we then obtain the relations of the Dirac operators and their boundary operators under a conformal transform. In what follows, we will use the earlier introduced Notation 2 on page 4, where the normalization refers to normalization in metric  $g$ .

**Corollary 26.** *Consider a two-dimensional manifold  $M$  with the metric  $g$  which is conformally transformed to a manifold  $M^W$  with metric  $g^W = W^2g$ . The Dirac operators  $D$  on  $M$  and  $D^W$  on  $M^W$  and their respective adapted boundary operators are related by*

$$\begin{aligned} D^W &= W^{-3/2}DW^{1/2} \text{ and} \\ A^W &= W^{-1}A. \end{aligned}$$

*In particular we see that the APS boundary condition is not conformally invariant.*

*Proof.* The proof for  $D^W$  is presented in [17, Thm. 4.3] so we show only the relation for  $A^W$ . Writing locally on the boundary  $D = \sigma(\nu)\nabla_{\nu^\sharp} + \sigma(\xi)\nabla_{\xi^\sharp}$  and using  $\sigma(\nu)^2 = 1$  we recall that by Def. 3 the canonical boundary operator  $A$  adapted to  $D$  in the metric  $g$  reads

$$2A = \sigma(\nu)\sigma(\xi)\nabla_{\xi^\sharp} - \sigma(\xi)\nabla_{\xi^\sharp}\sigma(\nu).$$

Changing the metric from  $g$  to  $g^W = W^2g$  in this formula, Prop. 25 further gives

$$\begin{aligned} 2A^W &= \sigma(\nu)\sigma(\xi)W^{-1}\nabla_{\xi^\sharp}^W - \sigma(\xi)W^{-1}\nabla_{\xi^\sharp}^W\sigma(\nu) \\ &= W^{-1}\left(\sigma(\nu)\sigma(\xi)(\nabla_{\xi^\sharp} + \frac{1}{4}W^{-1}[\sigma(\xi), \sigma(dW)]) - \sigma(\xi)(\nabla_{\xi^\sharp} + \frac{1}{4}W^{-1}[\sigma(\xi), \sigma(dW)])\sigma(\nu)\right) \\ &= W^{-1}\left(\sigma(\nu)\sigma(\xi)\nabla_{\xi^\sharp} - \sigma(\xi)\nabla_{\xi^\sharp}\sigma(\nu)\right) + \frac{W^{-2}}{4}R = W^{-1}2A + \frac{W^{-2}}{4}R, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$R := \sigma(\nu)\sigma(\xi)[\sigma(\xi), \sigma(dW)] - \sigma(\xi)[\sigma(\xi), \sigma(dW)]\sigma(\nu) = -\sigma(\xi)\{[\sigma(\xi), \sigma(dW)], \sigma(\nu)\}.$$

Since the pair  $(\nu, \xi)$  forms a local orthonormal basis of the one forms we can write  $dW = (dW, \xi)\xi + (dW, \nu)\nu$  to obtain

$$[\sigma(\xi), \sigma(dW)] = (dW, \nu)(\{\sigma(\xi), \sigma(\nu)\} - 2\sigma(\nu)\sigma(\xi)) = -2(dW, \nu)\sigma(\nu)\sigma(\xi).$$

Therefore using the anti-commutation identity  $\{EF, G\} = E\{F, G\} - [E, G]F$  holding for any operators  $E, F, G$ , we infer  $R = 0$ , which concludes the proof of  $A^W = W^{-1}A$ .  $\square$

Let us restrict to the specific case of the Dirac operator on  $P(M)$  with  $M = \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \cup_{j \leq N} \Omega_j$  and  $P$  the stereographic projection composed with a reflection defined in Appx. A. Note, that  $P(M)$  is a conformal transformation of  $\Omega_{out} \setminus \cup_{j \leq N-1} \Omega_j$  and the new metric  $g^W$  is given by (33). As in the case of the standard metric we can use the arguments for gauge invariance from Lem. 6 for the holes  $P(\Omega_j)$ ,  $j \leq N-1$  to find the following.

**Lemma 27.** *Let  $a$  and  $\tilde{a}$  be two magnetic vector potentials whose fluxes differ by an integer multiple  $m_j$  of  $2\pi$  on the inner hole  $\Omega_j$ , for all  $j \leq N-1$ . Then we have the unitary equivalence between the Dirac operators on  $P(M)$  in the metric  $g^W$  with APS boundary condition, corresponding to the magnetic fields  $a$  and  $\tilde{a}$*

$$\mathcal{U}^* D_a^W \mathcal{U} = D_{\tilde{a}}^W,$$

with the unitary

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U} &: L^2(\mathbb{C}, g^W; \mathbb{C}^2) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{C}, g^W; \mathbb{C}^2) \\ \mathcal{U} &: u \mapsto \exp\left[i \int_{\gamma} (\vec{a} - \vec{\tilde{a}}) d\vec{s}\right] u, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma \subset P(M)$  is a curve connecting a fixed point  $z_0 \in P(M)$  and the point  $z$ .

We recall from the proof of Lem. 6, that replacing the starting point  $z_0$  of  $\gamma$  by a different point  $z_1 \in P(M)$  amounts merely to a multiplication by the constant  $K = \exp\left[i \int_{z_0}^{z_1} (\vec{a} - \vec{\tilde{a}}) d\vec{s}\right]$  satisfying  $\bar{K} = K^{-1}$ .

*Proof.* Taking the commutativity of  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $W$  into account, the statement follows directly from Lem. 6 and Cor. 26.  $\square$

By this lemma we can without loss of generality work with the normalized fluxes  $\Phi'_j \in [-\pi, \pi)$  inside the holes  $\Omega_j \subset \mathbb{S}^2$  for  $j \leq N-1$  as well as assume that the magnetic field inside the holes is modelled by such a normalized flux multiple of the Dirac delta function  $\delta_{w_j}$ , at the centre  $w_j$  of  $\Omega_j$ . Harvesting all this preparation we are able to find the zero modes of the conformal Dirac operator on  $\mathbb{C}$  and prove the following proposition whose immediate consequence is Thm. 23.

**Proposition 28.** *The zero modes of the Dirac operator  $D^W$  on  $P(M)$  in the metric  $g^W$  and magnetic field satisfying the condition 32 in the Aharonov–Casher gauge with the APS boundary condition are of the form*

$$\begin{pmatrix} u^+ \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad u^+(z) = W^{-1/2}(z)e^{h(z)} \sum_{0 \leq n < \frac{\Phi}{2\pi} - \frac{1}{2}} a_n z^n,$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ u^- \end{pmatrix}, \quad u^-(z) = W^{-1/2}(z)e^{-h(z)} \sum_{0 \leq n \leq -\frac{\Phi}{2\pi} - \frac{1}{2}} b_n \bar{z}^n$$

for some coefficients  $a_n, b_n \in \mathbb{C}$ .

*Proof.* Consider a zero mode  $u \in \ker(D^W)$ . Then by Cor. 26 we know that for  $v(z) = W(z)^{1/2}u$  it holds  $Dv(z) = 0$  on  $P(M)$  with  $D = W^{3/2}D^W W^{-1/2}$  being the Dirac operator on  $P(M)$  in the standard metric on  $\mathbb{C}$ . We choose coordinates  $\tilde{z}$  on  $P(\mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \{N'\})$  with origin at  $P((0, 0, -1)^T)$  and mark with tilde functions on  $P(M)$  expressed in these coordinates. Let us fix an arbitrary index  $j \leq N - 1$ . We write similarly  $f_j(z_j)$  for a function  $f$  on  $P(M)$  in the coordinates  $z_j$  obtained by the Möbius transform  $Y_{t_j} : \tilde{z} \mapsto z_j$  (see Appx. B and Lem. 37) with  $t_j$  being the antipodal point of the centre  $w_j$  of the hole  $\Omega_j \subset \mathbb{S}^2$ . An important observation is that  $W_j(z_j)$  is a positive constant on  $(Y_{t_j} \circ P)(\partial\Omega_j)$  and therefore  $u$  satisfies the APS boundary condition on  $(Y_{t_j} \circ P)(\partial\Omega_j)$  for  $D^W$  if and only if  $v$  satisfies the boundary condition (14) on  $(Y_{t_j} \circ P)(\partial\Omega_j)$ . By Prop. 10 the spin up component  $v^+$  takes the form

$$v_j^+(z) = e^{h_j(z)} g_j^+(z), \quad j \leq N - 1, \quad (34)$$

where  $g_j^+(z)$  is analytic on  $(Y_{t_j} \circ P)(M)$  and can be analytically extended to the hole  $(Y_{t_j} \circ P)(\Omega_j)$  by Prop. 16. In Appx. B we argue that under the change of coordinates given by the Möbius transform

$$Y_{t_j} : \tilde{z} \mapsto z_j = \frac{a\tilde{z} + b}{c\tilde{z} + d},$$

for some  $a, b, c, d$  complex numbers dependent on  $t_j$  (the number  $a$  here should not be confused with the vector potential  $a$ ), the spinor  $u$  needs to satisfy the relation (51), and therefore

$$W_j^{-1/2}(Y_{t_j}(\tilde{z}))v_j^+(Y_{t_j}(\tilde{z})) = \tilde{W}^{-1/2}(\tilde{z})\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(\tilde{z})\tilde{v}^+(\tilde{z}), \quad \mathcal{G}(z) = \frac{cz + d}{|cz + d|},$$

for all  $j \leq N - 1$ . Employing (49) and (34) this now leads to analyticity of  $\tilde{g}^+(\tilde{z})$  on  $P(\Omega_j)$  as

$$g_j^+(Y_{t_j}(\tilde{z})) = e^{\tilde{h}(\tilde{z}) - h_j(Y_{t_j}(\tilde{z}))} |c\tilde{z} + d| \frac{c\tilde{z} + d}{|c\tilde{z} + d|} \tilde{g}^+(\tilde{z}) = (c\tilde{z} + d)\tilde{g}^+(\tilde{z}),$$

where we used that the functions  $h_j(Y_{t_j}(\tilde{z}))$  and  $\tilde{h}(\tilde{z})$  are in fact the same function  $h$  expressed in different sets of coordinates. Hence using that  $(c\tilde{z} + d)^{-1}$  is analytic on  $P(\Omega_j)$ <sup>6</sup> and that  $j \leq N - 1$  is arbitrary we conclude that  $g^+$  is analytic on  $P(\mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \Omega_N)$ . Similarly as above thanks to  $W(\tilde{z}) = \text{const} > 0$  on  $P(\partial\Omega_N)$  the boundary conditions on  $P(\partial\Omega_N)$  for  $u \in \text{dom}(D^W)$  and  $v \in \text{dom}(D)$  coincide (see Cor. 26). Therefore we may apply the same steps as in the proof of Thm. 8 and obtain

$$u^+(z) = W^{-1/2}(z)e^{h(z)} \sum_{n < \frac{\Phi}{2\pi} - \frac{1}{2}} a_n z^n$$

on  $P(M)$ . The form of the modes  $u^-$  on  $P(M)$  is shown by an adaptation of the previous to be

$$u^-(z) = W^{-1/2}(z)e^{-h(z)} \sum_{n \leq -\frac{\Phi}{2\pi} - \frac{1}{2}} b_n \bar{z}^n.$$

Here both  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  are some complex coefficients. □

<sup>6</sup>Note that the point  $\tilde{z} = -d/c \notin P(\Omega_j)$  is in fact the image of the antipodal point  $t_j$  of  $w_j$  under the mapping  $P$ .

## 4 Relation to the index theorem

Here we assume for a moment that the dimension  $n$  of the base manifold  $M$  is even (not necessarily two). In that case a  $\text{Spin}^c$  spinor bundle  $E$  with Clifford multiplication  $\sigma$  and a  $\text{Spin}^c$  connection can be defined, provided that a certain topology condition<sup>7</sup> is imposed on  $M$ . We refer to e.g. [33, Appx. D] or [40, Sec. 10.8]) for the precise definitions of the above terms in dimension  $n > 2$ , for  $n = 2$  recall Sec. 1.1. Due to the Clifford relations the chirality operator then anti-commutes with  $\sigma(\zeta)$  for all  $\zeta \in T^*M \subset Cl(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $Cl(\mathbb{R}^n)$  denoting the Clifford algebra on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and induces thus a  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  grading of the bundle  $E$ . This means that we can write  $E = E_+ \oplus E_-$  where  $E_{\pm}$  are the  $\pm 1$  eigenspaces or the chirality operator. If  $D$  is the Dirac operator on  $E$ , it can be then written in the following form

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D_- \\ D_+ & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $D_{\pm} : \Gamma(E_{\pm}) \rightarrow \Gamma(E_{\mp})$  are mutual formal adjoints. We remark, that the Dirac operator is defined by the same formula as in two dimensions with the difference that now the index  $j$  in Def. 1 runs up to  $n$ . In the following we assume that  $D$  is a Fredholm operator.

**Definition 29.** We define the **analytical index** (or **index**) of the Dirac operator  $D$  by

$$\text{ind}(D) = \dim \ker(D_+) - \dim \ker(D_-). \quad (35)$$

Atiyah and Singer showed in [7] that if the manifold  $M$  is compact and has no boundary, then the analytical index is equal to the topological index

$$\text{ind}(D) = \int_M AS. \quad (36)$$

The integrand  $AS$  depends both on the Riemannian curvature  $R_M$  of  $M$  and the magnetic two-form<sup>8</sup>  $\beta$  on the bundle  $E$ . For flat manifolds, i.e.  $R_M = 0$ , it corresponds to the Chern character of the bundle  $AS = \text{Ch}(E)_{[n]} = \left( \exp \frac{\beta}{2\pi} \right)_{[n]}$ , where the subscript  $[n]$  refers to the  $n$ -th degree part of the form. The expression  $\exp$  is to be understood as the series expansions.

The index theorem was extended to manifolds with boundary in [4], where Atiyah, Patodi and Singer proved the formula for the index assuming, that  $M$  has a product structure near the boundary. Neglecting this assumption one obtains an additional boundary term that in the case of a product structure vanishes. The extended formula was proven by Grubb in [29, Cor. 5.3]. More explicit expression of the boundary term was given by Gilkey in [28]. In particular in our two-dimensional case we obtain by Thm. 8.4.d and Thm. 1.4 in [28]

$$\text{ind}(D) = \int_M AS - \frac{1}{2}(\eta([\tilde{A}]_{11}) + \dim \ker[\tilde{A}]_{11}) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\partial M} \text{Tr}(\sigma^3 \Psi), \quad (37)$$

where  $\sigma^3$  is the third Pauli matrix and  $\tilde{A}$  is the corresponding boundary operator related to the canonical boundary operator  $A$  by  $\tilde{A} = A - \Psi$  for some endomorphism  $\Psi$  on  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , and  $[\tilde{A}]_{11}$  is its top left component.

We will consider the Dirac operator  $D_a$  with magnetic field (11) and boundary operator  $\tilde{A} = A^q$  ( $A^q$  was defined in Sec. 2.3) for which  $\Psi = -\frac{q}{R_j} \sigma^3$  on  $\partial\Omega_j$  and  $\Psi = \frac{q}{R_j} \sigma^3$  on  $\partial\Omega_{out}$ . The last term in the index formula then reads

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\partial M} \text{Tr}(\sigma^3 \Psi) = (1 - N)q.$$

<sup>7</sup>For further details on this condition see [33, Thm. D.2]

<sup>8</sup>In a general dimension the magnetic two-form is the trace  $2^{-n/2} \text{Tr}(iR)$  of the  $\text{End}(E)$ -valued curvature  $R(X, Y) = \nabla_X \nabla_Y - \nabla_Y \nabla_X - \nabla_{[X, Y]}$  of the  $\text{Spin}^c$  connection  $\nabla$ . Here  $X, Y$  are arbitrary vector fields on  $E$ .

The integrand in the first term of (37) is the bulk contribution as in (36). Since in our case  $M$  is flat, and since we are in two dimensions we have  $\int_M AS = \int_M \frac{\beta}{2\pi} = \frac{\Phi_0}{2\pi}$ . The  $\eta$ -invariant  $\eta(A)$  is defined (see Appx. C) as the analytic extension of the function

$$\eta_s(A) = \sum_{\lambda \in \text{spec}(A) \setminus \{0\}} |\lambda|^{-s} \text{sgn}(\lambda),$$

at the value  $s = 0$  and is well defined for Dirac operators as was shown in [4]. The sum runs over the non-zero eigenvalues of the boundary operator  $A$ . For the simple case  $T = -i\partial_t - c$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , on the first Sobolev space  $H^1([0, 2\pi])$  with periodic boundary condition, we show in Prop. 39 that the analytic continuation yields

$$\eta(-i\partial_t - c) = \begin{cases} -1 + 2\langle c \rangle & \text{if } c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z} \\ 0 & \text{if } c \in \mathbb{Z} \end{cases},$$

where  $\langle c \rangle$  is the unique number  $\tilde{c} \in (0, 1)$  such that  $c - \tilde{c} \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Note that the eta-invariant  $\eta(T)$  depends only on the eigenvalues of  $T$  and hence we have  $\eta(T) = \eta(n - c)$ . Solving the eigenvalue problem for the top left component of the boundary operator  $[A_{11}]$  restricted to the inner and outer components of the boundary gives the spectra

$$\begin{aligned} \text{spec}([A^q|_{\partial\Omega_j}]_{11}) &= \{-R_j^{-1} \left( n - \frac{\Phi'_j}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2} - q \right) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\ \text{spec}([A^q|_{\partial\Omega_{out}}]_{11}) &= \{R_{out}^{-1} \left( n - \frac{\Phi}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2} - q \right) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Employing then the property  $\eta(cL) = \text{sgn}(c)\eta(L)$  for a constant  $c$  and an elliptic operator  $L$  one obtains

$$\eta([A^q|_{\partial\Omega_j}]_{11}) = 1 - 2 \left\langle \frac{\Phi'_j}{2\pi} - \frac{1}{2} + q \right\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \eta([A^q|_{\partial\Omega_{out}}]_{11}) = -1 + 2 \left\langle \frac{\Phi}{2\pi} - \frac{1}{2} + q \right\rangle$$

for all  $j \leq N$ . Let us denote by  $I_1$  the set of indices  $j$  such that  $1 = \dim \ker([A^q|_{\partial\Omega_j}]_{11}) \in \{0, 1\}$ , by  $|I_1|$  the number of elements in  $I_1$  and let  $I_0 = \dim([A^q|_{\partial\Omega_{out}}]_{11}) \in \{0, 1\}$ . We make the following observations

1. By (31) if  $j \notin I_1$  we have  $\left\langle \frac{\Phi'_j}{2\pi} - \frac{1}{2} + q \right\rangle = \frac{\Phi'_j}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2} + q$ .
2. For  $j \in I_1$  it holds  $\frac{\Phi'_j}{2\pi} - \frac{1}{2} + q = -1$  and thus,  $\sum_{j \in I_1} \left( \frac{\Phi'_j}{2\pi} + q \right) = -\frac{|I_1|}{2}$ .
3.  $\eta([A^q|_{\partial\Omega_{out}}]_{11}) + I_0 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } I_0 = 1 \\ -1 + 2 \left\langle \frac{\Phi}{2\pi} - \frac{1}{2} + q \right\rangle & \text{if } I_0 = 0 \end{cases}$ .

Omitting the last term and the outer boundary contribution in the index formula (37) for now, we then arrive at the expression

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M AS - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \leq N} (\eta([A^q|_{\partial\Omega_j}]_{11}) + \dim \ker[A^q|_{\partial\Omega_j}]_{11}) &= \frac{\Phi_0}{2\pi} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \notin I_1} -2 \left( \frac{\Phi'_j}{2\pi} + q \right) - \frac{|I_1|}{2} \\ &= \frac{\Phi_0}{2\pi} + \sum_{j \leq N} \left( \frac{\Phi'_j}{2\pi} + q \right) = \frac{\Phi}{2\pi} + Nq. \end{aligned}$$

Finally for the index of the Dirac operator  $D_a$  with magnetic field (11) and the boundary conditions given by the boundary operator  $A^q$  from Sec. 2.3 we have

$$\text{ind}(D_a) = \frac{\Phi}{2\pi} + q - \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } I_0 = 1 \\ -\frac{1}{2} + \left\langle \frac{\Phi}{2\pi} - \frac{1}{2} + q \right\rangle & \text{if } I_0 = 0 \end{cases} = \left\lfloor \frac{\Phi}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2} + q \right\rfloor,$$

where in the last equality we used that  $\frac{\Phi}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2} + q \in \mathbb{Z}$  if  $I_0 = 1$ . Note that this formula is in agreement with our result Thm. 21, by which we immediately infer:

**Corollary 30.** *Under the assumptions of Thm. 21 we obtain the index for  $D_a$  (defined by (35)),*

$$\text{ind}(D_a) = \left\lfloor \frac{\Phi}{2\pi} + q + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

## 5 Berry–Mondragon boundary conditions

In the last section we would like to comment on the Aharonov–Casher type result Thm. 8 when instead of the APS boundary condition we consider the following local boundary condition, introduced in [12],

$$u^- = -i(n_1 + in_2)S u^+, \quad (38)$$

where  $(n_1, n_2)$  are the components of the inward normal vector on the boundary  $\partial M$  and  $S : \partial M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ . For simplicity, we will only consider  $S$  being a constant on each component of the boundary. The most famous example being when  $S = 1$  is called the infinite mass boundary condition. This case was studied *e.g.* in [39, 37, 11, 10].

**Remark 31.** 1. *The usual convention is to consider the right-hand side with a plus sign and use the outward normal.*

2. *This condition is automatically gauge invariant since it is preserved by any  $U(1)$  transform. Therefore Lem. 6 holds also when instead of the APS boundary condition we consider the condition (38).*

We will analyse only a special case from the setting in Thm. 8 when  $M$  is an annulus  $\Omega_{out} \setminus \Omega_1$ , with inner radius  $R_1$  and outer radius  $R_{out}$  and magnetic field of flux  $\Phi$  is only inside the hole, *i.e.*  $\text{supp } B \subset \Omega_1$ . Let us use polar coordinates to rewrite the condition (38) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} u^- &= -S(R_1)ie^{i\varphi}u^+, & \text{on } \partial\Omega_1 \\ u^- &= S(R_{out})ie^{i\varphi}u^+, & \text{on } \partial\Omega_{out}. \end{aligned}$$

The zero modes  $(u^+, u^-)$  with  $u^\pm = e^{\pm h(z)}g^\pm$  (see Prop. 10) then have to satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} R_1^{\frac{\Phi}{2\pi}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} b_n R_1^n e^{-i\varphi n} &= -iS(R_1)R_1^{-\frac{\Phi}{2\pi}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n R_1^n e^{i\varphi(n+1)} \\ R_{out}^{\frac{\Phi}{2\pi}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} b_n R_{out}^n e^{-i\varphi n} &= iS(R_{out})R_{out}^{-\frac{\Phi}{2\pi}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n R_{out}^n e^{i\varphi(n+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

for some  $a_n, b_n \in \mathbb{C}$ , where we used the fact that by gauge invariance we can assume that  $B = \Phi\delta_0$  and thus  $h = -\frac{\Phi}{2\pi} \log |z|$ . Then we obtain the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} R_1^{\frac{\Phi}{\pi}} b_{-n} R_1^{-n} &= -iS(R_1)a_{n-1}R_1^{n-1} \\ R_{out}^{\frac{\Phi}{\pi}} b_{-n} R_{out}^{-n} &= iS(R_{out})a_{n-1}R_{out}^{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , leading to

$$R_1^{\frac{\Phi}{\pi}-2n+1} b_{-n} = -iS(R_1)a_{n-1} = \frac{-S(R_1)}{S(R_{out})} R_{out}^{\frac{\Phi}{\pi}-2n+1} b_{-n}. \quad (39)$$

Hence  $b_{-n} \neq 0$  only if the ratio  $-\frac{S(R_1)}{S(R_{out})} =: K$  is a positive constant such that  $R_1^{\frac{\Phi}{\pi}-2n+1} = KR_{out}^{\frac{\Phi}{\pi}-2n+1}$ . This in particular requires  $\frac{\Phi}{\pi} - 2n + 1 = 0$ . Therefore if the value of flux is an odd integer multiple of

$\pi$  and the constant function  $S$  has opposite signs on the inner and outer boundary we have one zero mode  $(u^+, u^-)^T$  with components

$$u^- = |z|^{\frac{\Phi}{2\pi}} \bar{z}^{-n}, u^+ = \frac{i|z|^{-\frac{\Phi}{2\pi}}}{S(R_1)} z^{n-1}, \quad n = \frac{\Phi}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

In accordance with results of Prokhorova, [37], we can form a family of operators  $D_{a(t)}$  where the flux of  $a(t) = \frac{2\pi t}{r}$  goes from zero to  $2\pi$  as  $t$  varies from 0 to 1. Then by Lem. 6 the endpoints of such operator family are unitarily equivalent

$$e^{i\varphi} D_{a(0)} e^{-i\varphi} = e^{i\varphi} D_0 e^{-i\varphi} = D_{a(1)}.$$

We can consider the number counting signed crossings of the eigenvalues of  $D_{a(t)}$  through the  $t$ -axis, known as the spectral flow  $SF(D_{a(t)})$ . A rigorous definition of the term for self-adjoint Fredholm operators can be found in [36]. Our computation above yields that the spectral flow of the family  $D_{a(t)}$  has to be 1 or  $-1$ , which agrees with the statement of Thm. 1 in [37] that, in fact,  $SF(D_{a(t)}) = 1$ .

**Remark 32.** 1. Note that we cannot follow the same procedure in case of a randomly positioned hole to conclude anything about the number of the zero modes, as expressing  $g^\pm$  in polar coordinates centred outside of the origin leads to different coefficients  $a_n, b_n$ , so we would not get a comparison similar to (39).

2. In the case of unbounded region with one hole there are no zero modes. Indeed, as before we have the relation of the coefficients on the boundary

$$R_1^{\frac{\Phi}{\pi} - 2n + 1} b_{-n} = -iS(R_1) a_{n-1}. \quad (40)$$

Moreover, the  $L^2$  integrability at infinity of  $u^\pm$  implies that  $a_n \neq 0$  or  $b_m \neq 0$  only if

$$n - \frac{\Phi}{2\pi} < -1 \quad \text{or} \quad m + \frac{\Phi}{2\pi} < -1.$$

Therefore the left-hand side of (40) is zero for  $n \leq 1 + \frac{\Phi}{2\pi}$  and the right-hand side is zero for  $n \geq \frac{\Phi}{2\pi}$  from which we conclude that all the coefficients are zero and we have no zero modes in this case.

## 6 Conclusion

We showed a version of the Aharonov–Casher theorem on some two-dimensional manifolds with boundary. In particular our manifolds are a plane with holes (Thm. 7), a disc with holes (Thm. 8) and a sphere with holes (Thm. 23). We consider the APS boundary condition on the boundary and show that the number of zero modes depends only on the sum of the flux corresponding to the smooth magnetic field on the manifold and the rational part of the fluxes through the holes. In particular our results imply the index theorem for these special choices of the manifolds. Moreover since the index is a topological invariant, the index theorem is implied also for arbitrarily shaped holes. To prove the Aharonov–Casher theorem, *i.e.*, that all zero modes have a definite chirality, is, for such domains still an open problem.

We found a zero mode also in one specific case of the local Berry–Mondragon type boundary condition on the annulus when the flux through the hole is an odd integer multiple of  $\pi$ . This is in agreement with results on spectral flow by Prokhorova in [37].

## A The Dirac operator under the stereographic projection

For conciseness we will write in the following  $\tilde{M} = \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \{N'\}$  for the sphere without the north pole  $N' = (0, 0, 1)^T \in \mathbb{S}^2$  and  $M = \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \cup_{j \leq N} \Omega_j$  for the sphere without the holes  $\Omega_j \subset \mathbb{S}^2$ ,  $j \leq N$ . It is convenient to map the Dirac operator on the sphere to the plane by the stereographic projection. Here

we will argue that due to this mapping we can perform the analysis for finding the zero modes of the Dirac operator on  $\mathbb{S}^2$  by investigating the problem on  $\mathbb{C}$  with a metric that is conformal to the standard metric on  $\mathbb{C}$ . We will denote by  $P : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  the stereographic projection from the north pole composed with reflection across the  $x$  axis. In particular a point

$$\omega = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi \sin \vartheta \\ \sin \varphi \sin \vartheta \\ \cos \vartheta \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vartheta \in (0, \pi], \varphi \in (0, 2\pi],$$

is mapped by  $P$  to the point  $P(\omega) = 2 \cot \frac{\vartheta}{2} e^{-i\varphi} \in \mathbb{C}$ , i.e.

$$x := (P(\omega))_x = 2 \cot(\vartheta/2) \cos \varphi, \quad y := (P(\omega))_y = -2 \cot(\vartheta/2) \sin \varphi. \quad (41)$$

**Lemma 33.** *The tangent map  $P_* : (T\tilde{M}, g^{\mathbb{S}^2}) \rightarrow (T\mathbb{R}^2, g^W)$ , where  $g^{\mathbb{S}^2}$  is the standard metric on  $\mathbb{S}^2$  and*

$$g^W = W^2(dx^2 + dy^2), \quad W = \left(1 + \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4}\right)^{-1}, \quad (42)$$

is an isometry.

*Proof.* Using the definition of the push-forward map  $(\cdot)_*$  the statement follows by a direct computation from (41).  $\square$

We further obtain a unitary between the square integrable functions over  $\mathbb{C}$  with the metric  $g^W$  and the square integrable functions over the pre-image  $P^{-1}(\mathbb{C})$  with the standard metric on  $\mathbb{S}^2$ .

**Lemma 34.** *The pullback of the stereographic projection composed with reflection across the  $x$  axis  $P^* : L^2(\mathbb{C}, g^W; \mathbb{C}^2) \rightarrow L^2(P^{-1}(\mathbb{C}), g^{\mathbb{S}^2}; \mathbb{C}^2)$  acting as  $(P^*u)(\omega) := u(P(\omega))$  is a unitary operator.*

*Proof.* Finding the differentials  $dx$  and  $dy$  from (41) one easily verifies that the volume form changes as

$$d\vartheta \wedge \sin \vartheta d\varphi = \sin^4(\vartheta/2) dx \wedge dy = \left(1 + \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4}\right)^{-2} dx \wedge dy.$$

With the notation  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathbb{S}^2}$  for the inner product on  $L^2(\mathbb{S}^2, g^{\mathbb{S}^2}; \mathbb{C}^2)$  and  $(\cdot, \cdot)_W$  for the inner product on  $L^2(\mathbb{C}, g^W; \mathbb{C}^2)$  we then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (P^*f_1, P^*f_2)_{\mathbb{S}^2} &= \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} f_1 \circ P(\vartheta, \varphi) \overline{f_2 \circ P(\vartheta, \varphi)} d\vartheta \wedge \sin \vartheta d\varphi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_1(x, y) \overline{f_2(x, y)} \left(1 + \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4}\right)^{-2} dx \wedge dy = (f_1, f_2)_W, \end{aligned}$$

for any  $f_{1,2} \in L^2(\mathbb{C}, g^W; \mathbb{C}^2)$  and for  $W$  given by (42).  $\square$

**Definition 35.** *We define the  $Spin^c$  spinor bundle over  $\tilde{M}$  as the pullback of the  $Spin^c$  spinor bundle  $\mathcal{S}$  over  $\mathbb{C} \sim \mathbb{R}^2$  by the stereographic projection composed with reflection  $P$*

$$P^*\mathcal{S} = \{(\omega, u) \in \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathcal{S} \mid \pi(u) = P(\omega)\},$$

where  $\pi$  is the bundle projection of  $\mathcal{S}$ . We have as in Lem. 34 the map  $P^* : \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\tilde{M}, P^*\mathcal{S})$  given by  $(P^*u)(\omega) = (u \circ P)(\omega)$ . The corresponding Clifford multiplication and the Clifford connection on such bundle are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{\tilde{M}}(P^*\zeta)P^* &:= P^*\sigma^W(\zeta), \quad \zeta \in T^*\mathbb{R}^2 \\ \nabla_X^{\tilde{M}}P^* &:= P^*\nabla_{P_*X}^W, \quad X \in T\tilde{M}, \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

where  $\sigma^W$  and  $\nabla^W$  refer to the Clifford multiplication and Clifford connection on  $\mathcal{S}$ .

Now we are ready to state a corollary which will reduce our analysis of the Dirac operator on the sphere with holes to the investigation of the corresponding Dirac operator on a disc with holes in a metric conformal to the standard metric on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \simeq \mathbb{C}$ .

**Corollary 36.** *The Dirac operator  $D^M$  on  $M$  is unitarily equivalent to the Dirac operator  $D^W$  on  $P(M) \subset (\mathbb{C}, g^W)$ ,*

$$D^M P^* = P^* D^W.$$

*Proof.* We denote by  $s^j, j = 1, 2$  an orthonormal (in  $g^{S^2}$ ) basis on  $T^*M$ , by  $s_j$  the dual basis and by  $e^j$  its counterpart on  $T^*\mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $P^*e^j = s^j$ . Note, that by Lem. 33 the last relation defines  $e^j$  that form an orthonormal frame on  $T^*\mathbb{R}^2$  in the metric  $g^W$ . Using the definitions (43) we obtain for any section  $u$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$

$$D^M P^* u = \sum_{j \leq 2} \sigma^M(s^j) \nabla_{s_j}^M P^* u = \sum_{j \leq 2} P^*(\sigma^W(e^j) \nabla_{e_j}^W u) = P^*(D^W u).$$

For the canonical boundary operators  $A^M$  on  $\partial M$  and  $A^W$  on  $P(\partial M)$  adapted to  $D^M$  and  $D^W$  respectively it holds again by (43)

$$\begin{aligned} 2A^M P^* &= \sigma^M(P^* \nu) \sigma^M(P^* \zeta) \nabla_X^M P^* - \sigma^M(P^* \zeta) \nabla_X^M \sigma^M(P^* \nu) P^* \\ &= P^*(\sigma^W(\nu) \sigma^W(\zeta) \nabla_{P^* X}^W) - P^*(\sigma^W(\zeta) \nabla_{P^* X}^W \sigma^W(\nu)) = 2P^* A^W, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\nu$  and  $\zeta$  are the normal and tangent co-vector fields on the boundary  $P(\partial M)$  and  $X$  is the dual vector field to  $P^* \zeta$ . We see that  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $A^W$  with eigenfunction  $v$  if and only if it is an eigenvalue of  $A^M$  with an eigenfunction  $P^* v$ . Hence  $\text{dom}(D^M) = P^* \text{dom}(D^W)$ .  $\square$

## B Remarks on Möbius transform

Möbius transform is a mapping  $Y : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  of the form  $Y(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$  such that  $ad - bc = 1$ . Notice that it is an analytic mapping on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{-\frac{d}{c}\}$  whose  $z$  derivative reads

$$\partial_z Y(z) = \frac{ad - bc}{(cz + d)^2} = \frac{1}{(cz + d)^2}. \quad (44)$$

Such transforms can be obtained by the composition of the inverse stereographic projection from the plane to a sphere, a rotation on the sphere and stereographic projecting back to the plane.

**Lemma 37.** *The Möbius transform  $Y_\omega = PRP^{-1}$ , where  $P$  is the stereographic projection from the north pole  $N'$  followed by the reflection across the  $x$  axis (see (41)) and  $R$  is the rotation on  $S^2$  along  $\varphi = \text{const}$  (i.e. along a certain meridian) which maps a point  $\omega \in S^2 \setminus \{N'\}$  to the north pole  $N'$*

$$\omega = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi_0 \sin \vartheta_0 \\ \sin \varphi_0 \sin \vartheta_0 \\ \cos \vartheta_0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto R(\omega) = N' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vartheta_0 \in (0, \pi], \varphi_0 \in (0, 2\pi],$$

has the form  $Y_\omega(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$  with the matrix of coefficients

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\vartheta_0}{2} & 2e^{-i\varphi_0} \sin \frac{\vartheta_0}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2}e^{i\varphi_0} \sin \frac{\vartheta_0}{2} & \cos \frac{\vartheta_0}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \det \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = 1.$$

Moreover, for the composition  $Y_{\omega_1} \circ Y_{\omega_2}$  for any  $\omega_{1,2} \in S^2 \setminus \{N'\}$ , the coefficients satisfy the following relations

$$a = \bar{d}, \quad b = -4\bar{c}, \quad |a|^2 + 4|c|^2 = |d|^2 + \frac{1}{4}|b|^2 = 1. \quad (45)$$

*Proof.* One can easily check that  $\pm 2ie^{-i\varphi_0}$  are the two fixed points of  $Y_\omega$ , which with the additional conditions

$$ad - bc = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad Y_\omega : P(\omega) = 2e^{-i\varphi_0} \cot \frac{\vartheta_0}{2} \mapsto \infty,$$

leads to the result

$$Y_\omega(z) = \frac{\cos \frac{\vartheta_0}{2} z + 2e^{-i\varphi_0} \sin \frac{\vartheta_0}{2}}{-\frac{1}{2}e^{i\varphi_0} \sin \frac{\vartheta_0}{2} z + \cos \frac{\vartheta_0}{2}}. \quad (46)$$

In particular, let us point out that the relations between the coefficients of the Möbius transform (46) satisfy (45). For a composition of two such Möbius transforms  $Y_{\omega_1} \circ Y_{\omega_2}$  for

$$\omega_j = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi_j & \sin \vartheta_j \\ \sin \varphi_j & \sin \vartheta_j \\ & \cos \vartheta_j \end{pmatrix}, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

we compute using (46)

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{\omega_1} \circ Y_{\omega_2}(z) &= \frac{az + b}{cz + d}, \quad \text{with} \\ a &= \cos \frac{\vartheta_1}{2} \cos \frac{\vartheta_2}{2} - e^{-i(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2)} \sin \frac{\vartheta_1}{2} \sin \frac{\vartheta_2}{2} \\ d &= \cos \frac{\vartheta_1}{2} \cos \frac{\vartheta_2}{2} - e^{i(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2)} \sin \frac{\vartheta_1}{2} \sin \frac{\vartheta_2}{2} = \bar{a} \\ b &= 2 \cos \frac{\vartheta_1}{2} \sin \frac{\vartheta_2}{2} e^{-i\varphi_2} + 2e^{-i\varphi_1} \sin \frac{\vartheta_1}{2} \cos \frac{\vartheta_2}{2} \\ c &= -\frac{1}{2} \cos \frac{\vartheta_1}{2} \sin \frac{\vartheta_2}{2} e^{i\varphi_2} - \frac{1}{2} e^{i\varphi_1} \sin \frac{\vartheta_1}{2} \cos \frac{\vartheta_2}{2} = -\frac{\bar{b}}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

□

In what follows the particular choice of the point  $\omega$  is not important so we will generally use the notation  $Y$  instead of  $Y_\omega$ . Notice that Lem. 33 implies that the tangent mapping  $Y_*$ , “pushforwarding” vectors at a point  $z$  to vectors at  $Y(z)$ , is an isometry on the tangent space of  $(\mathbb{C}, g^W)$  with the conformal metric  $g^W = W^2 g = (1 + |z|^2/4)^{-1} g$  where  $g$  is the standard metric on  $\mathbb{C}$ .

In the last part of this section we will find the relation between the spinor  $u$  expressed in a set of coordinates on  $\mathbb{C}$  and in the coordinates which are their Möbius transform. Let  $u$  be a section of the trivial  $Spin^c$  spinor bundle over  $\mathbb{C}$  and denote by  $u_j(z_j)$  this section in coordinates  $z_j$ ,  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ . Then we have the relation

$$u_1(z_1) = \mathcal{G}(z_2) u_2(z_2) \quad (47)$$

for some  $\mathcal{G} \in GL(2)$ .

**Remark 38.** In fact the structure group of a  $Spin^c$ -spinor bundle over  $M$  is the group  $Spin^c(2) := Spin(2) \times U(1) / \{\pm(1, 1)\}$ , where  $/\{\pm(1, 1)\}$  refers to the identification of classes  $[(1, 1)]$  and  $[(-1, -1)]$ , and,  $Spin(2) \simeq SO(2)$  is the spin group of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , so more precisely  $\mathcal{G} \in Spin^c(2) \subset GL(2)$ . More details on  $Spin$  and  $Spin^c$  groups can be found e.g. in [33, 40].

Assume further that the coordinates are related by the Möbius transform  $Y : z_2 \mapsto z_1 = \frac{az_2 + b}{cz_2 + d}$ . Since we know how the one-forms on  $\mathbb{C}$  transform under a change of coordinates, we can find  $\mathcal{G}$  by applying relation (47) on a spinor  $\sigma^W(\mathcal{T})u$ . Here  $\sigma^W(\mathcal{T})$  is the Clifford multiplication in metric  $g^W$  (see Prop. 25) by a real one form

$$\mathcal{T} = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\tau} \widehat{dz} + \tau \widehat{d\bar{z}}),$$

where  $\widehat{dz} = W(z) dz$  and similarly  $\widehat{d\bar{z}} = W(z) d\bar{z}$  denote the orthonormal basis of one forms on  $\mathbb{C}$  in metric  $g^W$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{T}_j = \text{Re}(\overline{\tau_j} \widehat{dz}_j)$  the one form  $\mathcal{T}$  in the bases  $(\widehat{dz}_j, \widehat{d\bar{z}}_j)$ ,  $j \in \{1, 2\}$  and note that

$$\tau_1(Y(z)) = \frac{W(z)}{W(Y(z))\overline{\partial_z Y(z)}} \tau_2(z) = \frac{|cz+d|^2}{(cz+d)^2} \tau_2(z). \quad (48)$$

The second equality is a result of (44) and the relations (45) for the coefficients of a Möbius transform as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{W(z)}{W(Y(z))} &= \frac{4|cz+d|^2 + |az+b|^2}{4+|z|^2} |cz+d|^{-2} = |cz+d|^{-2}, \quad \text{since} \\ |az+b|^2 &= |az|^2 + |b|^2 + 2\text{Re}(az\bar{b}) = 4+|z|^2 - 4|cz+d|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

By (47) (taking  $\sigma^W(\mathcal{T})u$  instead of  $u$ ) we now obtain

$$\sigma^W(\mathcal{T}_1)u_1(Y(z)) = \mathcal{G}(z)\sigma^W(\mathcal{T}_2)u_2(z) = \mathcal{G}(z)\sigma^W(\mathcal{T}_2)\mathcal{G}^{-1}(z)u_1(Y(z)).$$

Therefore we require

$$\mathcal{G}(z)^{-1}\sigma^W(\mathcal{T}_1)\mathcal{G}(z) = \sigma^W(\mathcal{T}_2). \quad (50)$$

Prop. 25 implies  $\sigma^W(\widehat{dz}) = \sigma(dz)$ ,  $\sigma^W(\widehat{d\bar{z}}) = \sigma(d\bar{z})$  and hence by (2)

$$\sigma^W(\mathcal{T}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \overline{\tau} \\ \tau & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can check that setting

$$\mathcal{G}(z) = |cz+d|^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} (cz+d) & 0 \\ 0 & (\overline{cz+d}) \end{pmatrix} \in SO(2),$$

it indeed solves (50), as

$$\mathcal{G}(z)^{-1}\sigma^W(\mathcal{T}_1)\mathcal{G}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{(cz+d)^2}{|cz+d|^2} \overline{\tau_1} \\ \frac{cz+d^2}{|cz+d|^2} \tau_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

corresponds to the correct transformation (48) of the components of the one form  $\mathcal{T}$  establishing the equality between the right-hand side and  $\sigma^W(\mathcal{T}_2)$ .

For a reference we write the transformation relation for spinors on  $\mathbb{C}$  under the Möbius transform once more with the particular form of  $\mathcal{G}(z)$

$$u_1(z_1) = |cz_2+d|^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} (cz_2+d) & 0 \\ 0 & (\overline{cz_2+d}) \end{pmatrix} u_2(z_2). \quad (51)$$

## C Computation of the $\eta$ -invariant of the boundary operator

The **eta-invariant** of an elliptic differential operator  $L$  on a compact manifold is the analytic extension to  $s = 0$  of the **eta function**

$$\eta_s(L) = \sum_{\lambda \neq 0} |\lambda|^{-s} \text{sgn}(\lambda),$$

where the sum runs over non-zero eigenvalues  $\lambda$  of the operator  $L$ . It is not straightforwardly seen that such analytic extension would exist, but by the Weyl law the sum is convergent for  $\text{Re } s$  sufficiently large. Atiyah, Patodi and Singer who introduced this notion in their series of papers [4, 5, 6] also showed (cf. [4, Thm. 4.2]) that for the Dirac operator on a Riemannian manifold with boundary the eta function

of its boundary operator is holomorphic for  $\operatorname{Re} s > -\frac{1}{2}$ , so in particular it has a finite value at  $s = 0$ . Their arguments are rather complicated and involve manipulations with the corresponding heat kernel. In the context of the problems considered in this paper we are interested only in the eta invariant of the operator  $T = -i\partial_t - c$  on the first Sobolev space  $H^1([0, 2\pi])$  with the periodic boundary condition and  $c$  being a real constant parameter. Recall that the spectrum of  $T$  is  $\{n - c, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Since by definition the  $\eta$ -invariant depends only on the spectrum we will also write  $\eta(n - c)$  for  $\eta(-i\partial_t - c)$ . Using elementary analysis methods one can show existence of the analytic extension of  $\eta_s(T)$  to  $\operatorname{Re} s > -1$  and find its value at  $s = 0$ .

**Proposition 39.** *If  $c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$  it holds*

$$\eta(-i\partial_t - c) = -1 + 2\langle c \rangle \in (-1, 1),$$

where  $\langle c \rangle$  is the unique number in  $(0, 1)$  which differs by an integer from  $c$ . If  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $\eta(-i\partial_t - c) = 0$ .

Note, that since  $\langle c \rangle + \langle -c \rangle = 1$ , Prop. 39 also asserts that  $\eta(-i\partial_t + c) = 1 - 2\langle c \rangle$ , for any  $c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ . We outline the ideas of the proof here but refer to [20, Appx. D] for the details. For  $\langle c \rangle \in (0, 1)$  we consider the following form of the eta-function

$$\eta_s(n - \langle c \rangle) = -\langle c \rangle^{-s} + \sum_{n \geq 1} (n - \langle c \rangle)^{-s} - (n + \langle c \rangle)^{-s}. \quad (52)$$

To see that this can be analytically extended to  $s = 0$  one approximates the sum by an integral expression which turns out to be analytic at  $s = 0$  and whose value at zero can be explicitly computed. For  $n \geq 1$  we define

$$\rho(s, \langle c \rangle, n) := (n - \langle c \rangle)^{-s} - (n + \langle c \rangle)^{-s} - \left( \int_n^{n+1} (x - \langle c \rangle)^{-s} dx - \int_n^{n+1} (x + \langle c \rangle)^{-s} dx \right). \quad (53)$$

Computing explicitly the integrals in (53)

$$\int_n^{n+1} (x \pm \langle c \rangle)^{-s} dx = \frac{(n + 1 \pm \langle c \rangle)^{-s+1} - (n \pm \langle c \rangle)^{-s+1}}{1 - s}$$

we use the expansion of  $(1 + x)^{-s}$  in  $|x| \in (0, 1)$  around zero for a parameter  $s \in \mathbb{C}$

$$e^{-s \log(1+x)} = 1 - sx + \frac{x^2}{2}s(s+1) - \frac{x^3}{3!}s(s+1)(s+2) + \frac{x^4}{4!} \frac{s(s+1)(s+2)(s+3)}{(1+\xi)^{s+4}}, \xi \in (0, x),$$

where the last term is the Lagrange form of the remainder in Taylor series, and obtain (see [20, Lem. 72] for details on the proof)

**Lemma 40.** *There exists  $n_0$  and  $R(s)$  such that for all  $n > n_0$  it holds*

$$\rho(s, \langle c \rangle, n) = s(s+1)\langle c \rangle n^{-s-2} + R(s)n^{-s-3}.$$

Moreover in the case  $n > 4$  and  $s \in (-1, 2)$  we have a bound  $|R(s)| \leq 11|s(s+1)(s+2)|$ .

With the aid of this lemma one can further show the analyticity of  $\eta_s(T)$  at  $s = 0$ .

**Proposition 41.** *The sum of the differences*

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} (n - \langle c \rangle)^{-s} - (n + \langle c \rangle)^{-s} \quad (54)$$

is an analytic function in  $\operatorname{Re} s > -1$ .

*Proof.* By Lem. 40 the sequence of the partial sums  $f_m = \sum_{n=1}^m \rho(s, c, n)$  converges uniformly on any compact subset of  $\operatorname{Re} s \in (-1, 2)$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . By Weierstrass theorem (see Thm. 1 in [2, Sec. V.1.1]) we conclude that this limit is an analytic function of  $s$  on  $\operatorname{Re} s \in (-1, 2)$ . Since also  $\int_1^\infty (x - c)^{-s} - (x + c)^{-s} dx$  is analytic for all  $s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$ , and, the limit for  $s \rightarrow 1$  of this integral exists by the l'Hopital rule, the sum (54) is a difference of two analytic functions and therefore analytic for  $\operatorname{Re} s \in (-1, 2)$ . The statement is clear for  $\operatorname{Re} s \geq 2$ .  $\square$

The value of  $\eta(n - \langle c \rangle)$  can now be computed as

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \left[ -\langle c \rangle^{-s} + \int_1^{\infty} (x - \langle c \rangle)^{-s} - (x + \langle c \rangle)^{-s} dx \right] = -1 + 2\langle c \rangle.$$

To see that Prop. 39 holds for  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  we list a couple of observations that emerge directly from the definition of  $\eta(n - c)$ .

1. If the parameter  $c = 0$  we have  $\eta(n) = 0$ , since  $\sum_{n>0} n^{-s} - \sum_{n<0} |n|^{-s} = 0$ .
2. We have invariance of  $\eta_s(n - c)$  under a shift of the parameter  $c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$  by an integer, in particular  $\eta_s(n - c) = \eta_s(n - \langle c \rangle)$ .
3. In the case  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  the two points above directly imply  $\eta(n - c) = 0$ .

## References

- [1] Y. Aharonov and A. Casher. The ground state of a spin 1/2 charged particle in a two-dimensional magnetic field. *Phys. Rev. A*, 19:2461–2462, 1979.
- [2] L. V. Ahlfors. *Complex analysis*. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, third edition, 1978. An introduction to the theory of analytic functions of one complex variable, International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics.
- [3] L. Alvarez-Gaumé, S. Della Pietra, and G. Moore. Anomalies and odd dimensions. *Ann. Physics*, 163(2):288–317, 1985.
- [4] M. F. Atiyah, V. K. Patodi, and I. M. Singer. Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry. I. In *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, volume 77, pages 43–69. Cambridge University Press, 1975.
- [5] M. F. Atiyah, V. K. Patodi, and I. M. Singer. Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry. II. In *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, volume 78, page 405, 1975.
- [6] M. F. Atiyah, V. K. Patodi, and I. M. Singer. Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry. III. In *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, volume 79, pages 71–99. Cambridge University Press, 1976.
- [7] M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer. The index of elliptic operators on compact manifolds. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 69(3):422–433, 1963.
- [8] C. Bär and W. Ballmann. Boundary value problems for elliptic differential operators of first order. In *Surveys in differential geometry. Vol. XVII*, volume 17 of *Surv. Differ. Geom.*, pages 1–78. Int. Press, Boston, MA, 2012.
- [9] C. Bär and W. Ballmann. Guide to elliptic boundary value problems for Dirac-type operators. In *Arbeitstagung Bonn 2013*, volume 319 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 43–80. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2016.
- [10] R. D. Benguria, S. Fournais, E. Stockmeyer, and H. Van Den Bosch. Self-adjointness of two-dimensional Dirac operators on domains. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 18(4):1371–1383, 2017.
- [11] R. D. Benguria, S. Fournais, E. Stockmeyer, and H. Van Den Bosch. Spectral gaps of Dirac operators describing graphene quantum dots. *Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.*, 20(2):Paper No. 11, 12, 2017.
- [12] M. V. Berry and R. J. Mondragon. Neutrino billiards: time-reversal symmetry-breaking without magnetic fields. *Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A*, 412(1842):53–74, 1987.
- [13] J. F. Bony, N. Espinoza, and G. Raikov. Spectral properties of 2D Pauli operators with almost-periodic electromagnetic fields. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 55(3):453–487, 2019.

- [14] C. G. Callan, Jr. and J. A. Harvey. Anomalies and fermion zero modes on strings and domain walls. *Nuclear Phys. B*, 250(3):427–436, 1985.
- [15] X. Chen. *Bundles of Irreducible Clifford Modules and the Existence of Spin Structures*. PhD thesis, Stony Brook University, New York, 2017.
- [16] D. M. Elton. Approximate zero modes for the Pauli operator on a region. *J. Spectr. Theory*, 6(2):373–413, 2016.
- [17] L. Erdős and J. P. Solovej. The kernel of Dirac operators on  $S^3$  and  $R^3$ . *Reviews in Mathematical Physics*, 13:1247–1280, 2001.
- [18] L. Erdős and V. Vougalter. Pauli operator and Aharonov–Casher theorem for measure valued magnetic fields. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 225(2):399–421, 2002.
- [19] L. C. Evans. *Partial differential equations*, volume 19 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, second edition, 2010.
- [20] M. Fialová. *Aharonov–Casher theorem for manifolds with boundary*. PhD thesis, University of Copenhagen, 2022.
- [21] K. Fujikawa. Path-integral measure for gauge invariant fermion theories. *Phys. Rev. Letters*, 42(18):1195–1198, 1979.
- [22] K. Fujikawa. Path integral for gauge theories with fermions. *Phys. Rev. D* (3), 21(10):2848–2858, 1980.
- [23] K. Fujikawa and H. Suzuki. *Path integrals and quantum anomalies*, volume 122 of *International Series of Monographs on Physics*. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2004. Translated from the 2001 Japanese original.
- [24] H. Fukaya. Understanding the index theorems with massive fermions. *Internat. J. Modern Phys. A*, 36(26):Paper No. 2130015, 29, 2021.
- [25] H. Fukaya, M. Furuta, S. Matsuo, T. Onogi, S. Yamaguchi, and M. Yamashita. The Atiyah–Patodi–Singer index and domain-wall fermion Dirac operators. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 380(3):1295–1311, 2020.
- [26] H. Fukaya, T. Onogi, and S. Yamaguchi. Atiyah–Patodi–Singer index from the domain-wall fermion Dirac operator. *Phys. Rev. D*, 96(12):125004, 22, 2017.
- [27] V. A. Geyler and P. Šťovíček. Zero modes in a system of Aharonov–Bohm fluxes. *Rev. Math. Phys.*, 16(7):851–907, 2004.
- [28] P. B. Gilkey. On the index of geometrical operators for Riemannian manifolds with boundary. *Advances in Mathematics*, 102(2):129–183, 1993.
- [29] G. Grubb. Heat operator trace expansions and index for general atiyah–patodi–singer boundary problems. *Communications in partial differential equations*, 17(11-12):2031–2077, 1992.
- [30] M. Hirokawa and O. Ogurusu. Ground state of a spin-1/2 charged particle in a two-dimensional magnetic field. *J. Math. Phys.*, 42(8):3334–3343, 2001.
- [31] M. Hortaçsu, K. D. Rothe, and B. Schroer. Zero-energy eigenstates for the Dirac boundary problem. *Nuclear Phys. B*, 171(3):530–542, 1980.
- [32] W. Kirsch, H. L. Cycon, R. G. Froese, and B. Simon. *Schrödinger operators*, 1987.
- [33] H. B. Lawson, Jr. and M.-L. Michelsohn. *Spin geometry*, volume 38 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989.

- [34] M. Persson. On the Dirac and Pauli operators with several Aharonov-Bohm solenoids. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 78(2):139–156, 2006.
- [35] M. Persson. Zero modes for the magnetic Pauli operator in even-dimensional Euclidean space. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 85(2-3):111–128, 2008.
- [36] J. Phillips. Self-adjoint Fredholm operators and spectral flow. *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, 39(4):460–467, 1996.
- [37] M. Prokhorova. The spectral flow for Dirac operators on compact planar domains with local boundary conditions. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 322(2):385–414, 2013.
- [38] G. Rozenblum and N. Shirokov. Infiniteness of zero modes for the Pauli operator with singular magnetic field. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 233(1):135–172, 2006.
- [39] E. Stockmeyer and S. Vugalter. Infinite mass boundary conditions for Dirac operators. *J. Spectr. Theory*, 9(2):569–600, 2019.
- [40] M. E. Taylor. *Partial differential equations II. Qualitative studies of linear equations*, volume 116 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. Springer, New York, second edition, 2011.