

AN EXTENSION OF PRESCRIBED PROBLEMS ON THE CONFORMAL CLASSES OF COMPLETE METRICS

RIRONG YUAN

ABSTRACT. We solve prescribed problems for modified Schouten tensors in the conformal classes of smooth complete metrics, which extends the results obtained in prequel [21]. The key ingredient is to confirm the uniform ellipticity of operators under an assumption, which is sharp as shown by obstructions from topology and function theory.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (M, g) be a smooth connected Riemannian manifold of dimension n with Levi-Civita connection ∇ . Let ∂M denote the boundary of M , $\bar{M} = M \cup \partial M$. (Notice $\bar{M} = M$ if $\partial M = \emptyset$). Let Ric_g and R_g denote the Ricci and scalar curvature of the metric g , respectively. For $n \geq 3$ we denote the modified Schouten tensor by

$$A_g^{\tau, \alpha} = \frac{\alpha}{n-2} \left(Ric_g - \frac{\tau}{2(n-1)} R_g \cdot g \right), \quad \alpha = \pm 1, \quad \tau \in \mathbb{R}.$$

When $\tau = \alpha = 1$, it is the Schouten tensor

$$A_g = \frac{1}{n-2} \left(Ric_g - \frac{1}{2(n-1)} R_g \cdot g \right).$$

Given a smooth positive function ψ on M , it is natural to consider the problem of finding a smooth complete metric $\tilde{g} = e^{2u}g$ satisfying

$$(1.1) \quad f(\lambda(\tilde{g}^{-1}A_{\tilde{g}}^{\tau, \alpha})) = \psi.$$

Here as in [5], f is a *smooth, symmetric* function defined in an *open, symmetric* and *convex* cone $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with vertex at the origin, $\partial\Gamma \neq \emptyset$, and

$$\Gamma_n := \{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : \text{each } \lambda_i > 0\} \subseteq \Gamma.$$

We call Γ a type 1 cone if $(0, \dots, 0, 1) \in \partial\Gamma$; otherwise Γ is a type 2 cone.

The problem was studied extensively. The most important case is the scalar curvature equation ($f = \sigma_1$), which is closely related to Yamabe problem proved by Aubin [1], Schouten [19] and Trudinger [20]. A singular Yamabe problem was studied by Loewner-Nirenberg [17] on spheres, and further extended by Aviles-McOwen [3] to general closed Riemannian manifolds. In contrast with the resolution of Yamabe problem on closed Riemannian manifolds, the complete noncompact version of Yamabe problem is not always solvable as shown by Jin [14]. Consequently, one could only expect the solvability of prescribed curvature problem in the conformal class of complete metrics under proper additional assumptions.

When imposing fairly strong restrictions to the asymptotic ratio of prescribed functions and curvature of background manifolds, Aviles-McOwen [2] and Jin [15] investigated prescribed scalar curvature equation on negatively curved complete noncompact Riemannian manifolds.

The fully nonlinear equation (1.1) on closed manifolds has been investigated by many mathematicians over the past years since the work of [11], in which the assumption $\tau < 1$, $\alpha = -1$ plays important roles. When the background manifold is compact with boundary, this is a fully nonlinear version of Loewner-Nirenberg problem. The case $f = \sigma_k^{1/k}$ was studied by Guan [8] and Gursky-Streets-Warren [10] for negative Ricci tensor, and by Li-Sheng [16] for $\tau > n - 1$, $\alpha = 1$. When (M, g) is a complete noncompact manifold, the equation (1.1) with $f = \sigma_k^{1/k}$ was considered by Fu-Sheng-Yuan [6] for $\alpha = -1$, $\tau < 1$, imposing certain restrictions to the asymptotic ratio of prescribed functions and curvature of background manifolds.

Their results were extended by [21], in which the author introduced κ_Γ for Γ

$$(1.2) \quad \kappa_\Gamma = \max \left\{ k : \left(\overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^{k\text{-entries}}, \overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^{(n-k)\text{-entries}} \right) \in \Gamma \right\}$$

in order to measure the partial uniform ellipticity of fully nonlinear equations. More precisely, the author solved the prescribed problems in the conformal class of smooth complete metrics under the assumptions that

$$(1.3) \quad f \text{ is concave in } \Gamma,$$

$$(1.4) \quad f > 0 \text{ in } \Gamma, \quad f = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Gamma,$$

$$(1.5) \quad f(t\lambda) = t^\varsigma f(\lambda), \quad \forall \lambda \in \Gamma, \text{ for some } 0 < \varsigma \leq 1,$$

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{cases} \tau < 1 & \text{if } \alpha = -1, \\ \tau > 1 + (n-2)/(1 + n\kappa_\Gamma\vartheta_\Gamma) & \text{if } \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$

Henceforth ϑ_Γ is given by (2.8) below.

Remark 1.1. A somewhat surprising fact to us is that the following condition is not required throughout this paper

$$f_i(\lambda) := \frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda_i}(\lambda) > 0 \text{ in } \Gamma, \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

The subject of this article is to extend the results. To this end, for Γ we denote ϱ_Γ the constant with

$$(1.7) \quad (1, \dots, 1, 1 - \varrho_\Gamma) \in \partial\Gamma.$$

In this paper we solve the prescribed problems, replacing the condition (1.6) by

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{cases} \tau < 1 & \text{if } \alpha = -1, \\ \tau > 1 + (n-2)\varrho_\Gamma^{-1} & \text{if } \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$

The case $\tau = 1$, $\alpha = -1$ is allowed when Γ is of type 2. Moreover, this is the optimal condition in the sense that the equation (1.1) is uniformly elliptic at any admissible metrics.

Remark 1.2. For $\Gamma = \Gamma_k$, $\varrho_{\Gamma_k} = \frac{n}{k}$. Moreover, using Theorem 2.4 we can check that

$$\varrho_{\Gamma} \geq 1 + n\kappa_{\Gamma}\vartheta_{\Gamma} \geq (1 - \kappa_{\Gamma}\vartheta_{\Gamma})^{-1}.$$

So the condition (1.8) is broader than (1.6).

The notions of admissible, pseudo-admissible, quasi-admissible, and maximal metrics are given as in the following:

Definition 1.3. For the equation (1.1), we say g is *admissible* if

$$\lambda(g^{-1}A_g^{\tau,\alpha}) \in \Gamma \text{ in } \bar{M}.$$

Similarly, we call g a *pseudo-admissible* metric if

$$\lambda(g^{-1}A_g^{\tau,\alpha}) \in \bar{\Gamma} \text{ in } \bar{M}.$$

Meanwhile, we say a metric g is *quasi-admissible* if

$$\lambda(g^{-1}A_g^{\tau,\alpha}) \in \bar{\Gamma} \text{ in } \bar{M}, \text{ and } \lambda(g^{-1}A_g^{\tau,\alpha}) \in \Gamma \text{ at some } p_0 \in \bar{M}.$$

Moreover, we say $\tilde{g} = e^{2u}g$ is a *maximal* admissible metric to the equation (1.1), if $u \geq w$ in M for any admissible conformal metric $e^{2w}g$ satisfying the same equation.

Accordingly, we have notions of *admissible*, *pseudo-admissible*, *quasi-admissible* and *maximal* solutions for more general fully nonlinear elliptic equations.

Building on Proposition 3.1 via partial uniform ellipticity, together with the results in prequel [21], we obtain the following results.

The first result is to solve (1.1) on closed manifolds with quasi-admissible metric.

Theorem 1.4. *Suppose (f, Γ) satisfies (1.3), (1.4), (1.5), and assume (α, τ) satisfies (1.8). Assume that M is a closed connected manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ with a C^2 quasi-admissible Riemannian metric g . Then for any $0 < \psi \in C^\infty(M)$, there is a unique smooth conformal admissible metric \tilde{g} satisfying (1.1).*

Next we solve a fully nonlinear version of the Loewner-Nirenberg problem.

Theorem 1.5. *In addition to (1.3), (1.4), (1.5) and (1.8), we assume (M, g) is a compact connected Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ with smooth boundary and supports a C^2 compact pseudo-admissible conformal metric. Then for any $0 < \psi \in C^\infty(\bar{M})$, there exists at least one smooth complete metric $\tilde{g} = e^{2u}g$ satisfying (1.1).*

The assumption of pseudo-admissible metric can be further removed in some context.

Theorem 1.6. *In Theorem 1.5 the assumption on the existence of a compact pseudo-admissible conformal metric can be removed if (α, τ) further satisfies*

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{cases} \tau \leq 2 - \frac{2}{\varrho_{\Gamma}} & \text{if } \alpha = -1, \\ \tau \geq 2 & \text{if } \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$

Remark 1.7. In the case $\kappa_\Gamma \leq n - 3$, we may verify condition (1.9) for $\alpha = 1$ under the assumption (1.8). See Corollary 5.4.

Finally we address a fully nonlinear version of Yamabe problem on a complete noncompact manifold, under the asymptotic assumption at infinity: There exists a complete pseudo-admissible metric $\underline{g} = e^{2u}g$ with

$$(1.10) \quad f(\lambda(\underline{g}^{-1}A_{\underline{g}}^{\tau,\alpha})) \geq \Lambda_0\psi \text{ holds uniformly in } M \setminus K_0.$$

Here Λ_0 is a uniform positive constant, and K_0 is a compact subset of M .

Theorem 1.8. *Let (M, g) be a complete noncompact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$. Suppose (f, Γ) satisfies (1.3), (1.4) and (1.5). Let (α, τ) obey (1.8). Given a positive smooth function ψ , we assume that (M, g) carries a C^2 complete pseudo-admissible conformal metric subject to (1.10). Then there exists a unique smooth complete maximal conformal admissible metric satisfying (1.1).*

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we summarize some notations, formulas, and results on Morse functions and partial uniform ellipticity. Also we present some existence results of fully nonlinear uniformly elliptic equations for Schouten tensor. In Section 3 we complete the proof by constructing operators of uniform ellipticity under the assumption (1.8). In Section 4 we present some obstructions to indicate that the assumption on τ we impose is sharp. In Section 5 we briefly discuss the cones and then prove some properties for ϱ_Γ and κ_Γ .

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Notations and Formulas. Given a cone Γ , we denote Γ_∞ the projection of Γ to the subspace of former $n - 1$ subscripts, that is

$$(2.1) \quad \Gamma_\infty := \{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}) : (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}, \lambda_n) \in \Gamma\}.$$

Let e_1, \dots, e_n be a local frame on M . Denote

$$\langle X, Y \rangle = g(X, Y), \quad g_{ij} = \langle e_i, e_j \rangle, \quad \{g^{ij}\} = \{g_{ij}\}^{-1}.$$

Under Levi-Civita connection of (M, g) , $\nabla_{e_i}e_j = \Gamma_{ij}^k e_k$, and Γ_{ij}^k denote the Christoffel symbols. For simplicity we write

$$\nabla_i = \nabla_{e_i}, \quad \nabla_{ij} = \nabla_i \nabla_j - \Gamma_{ij}^k \nabla_k, \quad \nabla_{ijk} = \nabla_i \nabla_{jk} - \Gamma_{ij}^l \nabla_{lk} - \Gamma_{ik}^l \nabla_{jl}, \text{ etc.}$$

Under the conformal change $\tilde{g} = e^{2u}g$, one has (see e.g. [4] or [11])

$$(2.2) \quad A_{\tilde{g}}^{\tau,\alpha} = A_g^{\tau,\alpha} + \frac{\alpha(\tau-1)}{n-2} \Delta u g - \alpha \nabla^2 u + \frac{\alpha(\tau-2)}{2} |\nabla u|^2 g + \alpha du \otimes du.$$

In particular, the Schouten tensor obeys

$$(2.3) \quad A_{\tilde{g}} = A_g - \nabla^2 u - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 g + du \otimes du.$$

Throughout this paper, Δu , $\nabla^2 u$ and ∇u are the Laplacian, Hessian and gradient of u with respect to g , respectively. For simplicity, we denote

$$(2.4) \quad V[u] = \Delta u g - \varrho \nabla^2 u + \gamma |\nabla u|^2 g + \varrho du \otimes du + A,$$

$$(2.5) \quad \varrho = \frac{n-2}{\tau-1}, \quad \gamma = \frac{(\tau-2)(n-2)}{2(\tau-1)}, \quad A = \frac{n-2}{\alpha(\tau-1)} A_g^{\tau, \alpha}.$$

Here $V[u] = \frac{n-2}{\alpha(\tau-1)} A_g^{\tau, \alpha}$, $\tilde{g} = e^{2u}g$. Moreover, by the straightforward computation

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} V[\underline{u} + w] &= V[w] + \Delta \underline{u} g - \varrho \nabla^2 \underline{u} + \gamma |\nabla \underline{u}|^2 g + \varrho d\underline{u} \otimes d\underline{u} \\ &\quad + 2\gamma \langle \nabla w, \nabla \underline{u} \rangle g + \varrho (d\underline{u} \otimes dw + dw \otimes d\underline{u}). \end{aligned}$$

We summarize more useful notion. Let Sec_g stand for the sectional curvature of g , let G_g be the Einstein tensor

$$G_g = \text{Ric}_g - \frac{R_g}{2} g.$$

In dimension three the Einstein tensor is closely related to sectional curvature.

Proposition 2.1. Fix $x \in M^3$, let $\Sigma \subset T_x M$ be a tangent 2-plane, $\vec{n} \in T_x M$ the unit normal vector to Σ , then

$$(2.7) \quad G_g(\vec{n}, \vec{n}) = -\text{Sec}_g(\Sigma).$$

Remark 2.2. This formula motivates Gursky-Streets-Warren [9] to prove that any Riemannian 3-manifold with smooth boundary admits a complete conformal metric of ‘‘almost negative’’ curvature, via a Monge-Ampère type equation.

2.2. Some result on Morse function. The following lemma asserts that any compact manifold with boundary carries a Morse function without any critical point.

Lemma 2.3. *Let (M, g) be a compact connected Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$ with smooth boundary. Then there is a smooth function v without any critical points, that is $dv \neq 0$.*

Proof. The construction is more or less standard in differential topology. Let X be the double of M . Let w be a smooth Morse function on X with the critical set $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^{m+k}$, among which p_1, \dots, p_m are all the critical points being in \bar{M} . Pick $q_1, \dots, q_m \in X \setminus \bar{M}$ but not the critical point of w . By homogeneity lemma (see [18]), one can find a diffeomorphism $h : X \rightarrow X$, which is smoothly isotopic to the identity, such that

- $h(p_i) = q_i, 1 \leq i \leq m.$
- $h(p_i) = p_i, m+1 \leq i \leq m+k.$

Then $v = w \circ h^{-1}|_{\bar{M}}$ is the desired function. □

2.3. On the nonlinear operators. Let κ_Γ be as in (1.2). As in [21] for Γ we introduce ϑ_Γ as in the following:

$$(2.8) \quad \vartheta_\Gamma = \begin{cases} 1/n, & \Gamma = \Gamma_n, \\ \sup_{(-\alpha_1, \dots, -\alpha_{\kappa_\Gamma}, \alpha_{\kappa_\Gamma+1}, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \Gamma; \alpha_i > 0} \frac{\alpha_1/n}{\sum_{i=\kappa_\Gamma+1}^n \alpha_i - \sum_{i=2}^{\kappa_\Gamma} \alpha_i}, & \Gamma \neq \Gamma_n. \end{cases}$$

For any concave and symmetric function f obeying

$$(2.9) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} f(t\lambda) > f(\mu), \quad \forall \lambda, \mu \in \Gamma,$$

the author [21] proved the following result concerning partial uniform ellipticity.

Theorem 2.4 ([21]). *Suppose (1.3) and (2.9) hold. Then for any $\lambda \in \Gamma$ with $\lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$,*

- (1) $f_i(\lambda) \geq 0, \forall 1 \leq i \leq n, \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\lambda) > 0.$
- (2) $f_i(\lambda) \geq n\vartheta_\Gamma f_1(\lambda) \geq \vartheta_\Gamma \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(\lambda), \forall 1 \leq i \leq 1 + \kappa_\Gamma.$

Moreover, the assertion of $(\kappa_\Gamma + 1)$ -uniform ellipticity cannot be improved.

In particular, we have the following conclusion.

Lemma 2.5 ([21]). *Suppose (f, Γ) obeys (1.3) and (2.9). Then the following are equivalent:*

- Γ is of type 2. That is $\Gamma_\infty = \mathbb{R}^{n-1}.$
- There is a uniform constant θ such that

$$(2.10) \quad f_i(\lambda) \geq \theta \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(\lambda) > 0 \text{ in } \Gamma, \forall 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

We may verify (2.9) in the assumptions imposed in main results.

Lemma 2.6 ([21]). *Assume, in addition to (1.3), that $\sup_\Gamma f = +\infty$ and*

$$(2.11) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} f(t\lambda) > -\infty, \forall \lambda \in \Gamma.$$

Then (f, Γ) satisfies (2.9).

2.4. Some geometric conclusions. In [21] the author proved the following results.

Theorem 2.7 ([21]). *Suppose f satisfies (1.3), (1.4), (1.5) and (2.10) in Γ . Let (M, g) be a compact connected Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ with smooth boundary and support a C^2 conformal metric with $\lambda(-g^{-1}A_g) \in \Gamma$ in \bar{M} . Then for any $0 < \psi \in C^\infty(\bar{M})$, there exists at least one smooth complete metric $\tilde{g} = e^{2u}g$ satisfying $f(\lambda(-\tilde{g}^{-1}A_{\tilde{g}})) = \psi$ and $\lambda(-g^{-1}A_{\tilde{g}}) \in \Gamma$ in M .*

Theorem 2.8 ([21]). *Suppose (f, Γ) satisfies (1.3), (1.4), (1.5) and (2.10). Let (M, g) be a complete noncompact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ and with a C^2 complete conformal metric \underline{g} subject to*

$$(2.12) \quad f(\lambda(-\underline{g}^{-1}A_{\underline{g}})) \geq \Lambda_1 \psi, \lambda(-g^{-1}A_{\underline{g}}) \in \Gamma, \text{ in } M$$

where $0 < \psi \in C^\infty(M)$ and Λ_1 is a uniform positive constant. Then there exists a unique smooth maximal complete metric $\tilde{g} = e^{2u}g$ satisfying $f(\lambda(-\tilde{g}^{-1}A_{\tilde{g}})) = \psi$ and $\lambda(-g^{-1}A_{\tilde{g}}) \in \Gamma$.

3. PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS

3.1. Analytic optimal condition and construction of uniformly elliptic operators. From (2.2) equation (1.1) ($\tau \neq 1$) can be reduced to an equation of the form

$$(3.1) \quad f(\lambda[g^{-1}(\Delta u g - \varrho \nabla^2 u + A(x, u, \nabla u))]) = \psi(x, u, \nabla u).$$

We draw the optimal analytic condition under which the equation (3.1) is of uniform ellipticity.

Given a cone Γ , we have ϱ_Γ that is defined in (1.7). Take a constant ϱ satisfying

$$(3.2) \quad \varrho < \varrho_\Gamma \text{ and } \varrho \neq 0.$$

So $\varrho < n$. We let

$$(3.3) \quad \mu_i = \frac{1}{n-\varrho} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j - \varrho \lambda_i \right), \text{ i.e. } \lambda_i = \frac{1}{\varrho} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \mu_j - (n-\varrho)\mu_i \right),$$

$$(3.4) \quad \tilde{\Gamma} = \left\{ (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) : \lambda_i = \frac{1}{\varrho} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \mu_j - (n-\varrho)\mu_i \right), (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in \Gamma \right\}.$$

We can check that $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is also an open symmetric convex cone in \mathbb{R}^n . Note that $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i$, we know $\tilde{\Gamma} \subseteq \Gamma_1$. For any $\lambda \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ there exists a unique $\mu \in \Gamma$ such that

$$\lambda_i = \frac{1}{\varrho} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \mu_j - (n-\varrho)\mu_i \right).$$

One has a symmetric concave function \tilde{f} on $\tilde{\Gamma}$ as follows:

$$(3.5) \quad \tilde{f}(\lambda) = f(\mu).$$

In practice, Theorem 2.4 (or Lemma 2.5) gives the following analytic optimal condition, under which (3.1) is uniformly elliptic at each admissible functions.

Proposition 3.1. Let (f, Γ) satisfy (1.3) and (2.9). Given a constant ϱ satisfying (3.2), we define $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{\Gamma})$ as in (3.4) and (3.5). Then \tilde{f} is of uniform ellipticity in $\tilde{\Gamma}$, that is there exists a uniform positive constant θ such that

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial \lambda_i}(\lambda) \geq \theta \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial \lambda_j}(\lambda) > 0, \quad \forall \lambda \in \tilde{\Gamma}, \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Proof. Since $\varrho < \varrho_\Gamma$, we get $(1, \dots, 1, 1-\varrho) \in \Gamma$. This is equivalent to $(0, \dots, 0, 1) \in \tilde{\Gamma}$, as required. \square

As a result, one can reduce prescribed curvature equation (1.1) to a uniformly elliptic equation for conformal deformation of Schouten tensor. To do this, let $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{\Gamma})$ be as in (3.4)-(3.5), let $\varrho = \frac{n-2}{\tau-1}$ be as in (2.5). We can check

$$\text{tr} \left(g^{-1}(-A_g) \right) g - \varrho (-A_g) = \frac{n-2}{\alpha(\tau-1)} A_g^{\tau, \alpha}.$$

Thus, when f is homogeneous of degree ς , equation (1.1) is equivalent to

$$(3.6) \quad \tilde{f}(\lambda(-g^{-1}A_{\tilde{g}})) = \left(\frac{n-2}{\alpha(n\tau+2-2n)} \right)^{\varsigma} \psi e^{2\varsigma u},$$

in which \tilde{f} is of fully uniform ellipticity in $\tilde{\Gamma}$, according to Proposition 3.1.

Remark 3.2. Let ϱ_Γ be as in (1.7). Clearly $1 \leq \varrho_\Gamma \leq n$. In addition,

- $\varrho_\Gamma = 1$ if and only if $\Gamma = \Gamma_n$.
- $\varrho_\Gamma = n$ if and only if $\Gamma = \Gamma_1$.

Remark 3.3. Due to the obstructions to the existence of complete metrics with (uniformly) positive scalar curvature, one could not expect the solvability of

$$(3.7) \quad \tilde{f}(\lambda(\tilde{g}^{-1}A_{\tilde{g}})) = \psi$$

in the conformal class of complete admissible metrics. On the other hand, the Dirichlet problem for (3.7) was solved by Guan [7], given an admissible subsolution.

3.2. Construction of admissible metrics. According to Proposition 3.1, Theorems 2.7 and 2.8, it requires only to construct admissible conformal metrics. The constructions are given in [21]. We present them here for completeness.

Given a C^2 -smooth function w on M , we denote the critical set by $C(w) = \{x \in M : dw(x) = 0\}$. Also we use the notation denoted in (2.4) and (2.5).

Proposition 3.4. Let (α, τ) satisfy (1.8). Assume M is a closed connected manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ and suppose a C^2 quasi-admissible Riemannian metric g . Then there exists an admissible metric being conformal to g .

Proof. By the openness of Γ and the assumption of quasi-admissible metric, there exists a uniform positive constant r_0 such that

$$(3.8) \quad \lambda(g^{-1}A) \in \Gamma \text{ in } \overline{B_{r_0}(p_0)}.$$

Take a smooth Morse function w with the critical set

$$C(w) = \{p_1, \dots, p_m, p_{m+1} \dots p_{m+k}\}$$

among which p_1, \dots, p_m are all the critical points being in $M \setminus \overline{B_{r_0/2}(p_0)}$. Pick $q_1, \dots, q_m \in B_{r_0/2}(p_0)$ but not the critical point of w . By the homogeneity lemma, one can find a diffeomorphism $h : M \rightarrow M$, which is smoothly isotopic to the identity, such that

- $h(p_i) = q_i, 1 \leq i \leq m$.
- $h(p_i) = p_i, m+1 \leq i \leq m+k$.

Then we obtain a Morse function

$$(3.9) \quad v = w \circ h^{-1}.$$

One can check that

$$(3.10) \quad C(v) = \{q_1, \dots, q_m, p_{m+1} \dots p_{m+k}\} \subset \overline{B_{r_0/2}(p_0)}.$$

Next we complete the proof. Assume $v \leq -1$. Take $\underline{u} = e^{Nv}$, $\underline{g} = e^{2\underline{u}}g$, then

$$(3.11) \quad V[\underline{u}] = A + N^2 e^{Nv} \left((\Delta v g - \varrho \nabla^2 v) / N + (1 + \gamma e^{Nv}) |\nabla v|^2 g + \varrho (e^{Nv} - 1) dv \otimes dv \right).$$

Notice that

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \lambda(g^{-1}((1 + \gamma e^{Nv}) |\nabla v|^2 g + \varrho (e^{Nv} - 1) dv \otimes dv)) \\ &= |\nabla v|^2 \left[(1, \dots, 1, 1 - \varrho) + e^{Nv} (\gamma, \dots, \gamma, \gamma + \varrho) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(3.13) \quad (1, \dots, 1, 1 - \varrho) + e^{Nv}(\gamma, \dots, \gamma, \gamma + \varrho) \in \Gamma \text{ for } N \gg 1.$$

(Notice $v \leq -1$ and Γ is open).

Case 1: $x \in \overline{B_{r_0}(p_0)}$. By (3.8) and the openness of Γ ,

$$(3.14) \quad \lambda(g^{-1}(A + Ne^{Nv}(\Delta v g - \varrho \nabla^2 v))) \in \Gamma \text{ in } \overline{B_{r_0}(p_0)}.$$

Combining (3.12) and (3.13),

$$\lambda(g^{-1}V[\underline{u}]) \in \Gamma \text{ in } \overline{B_{r_0}(p_0)}.$$

Case 2: $x \notin \overline{B_{r_0}(p_0)}$. By (3.10) there is a uniform positive constant m_0 such that $|\nabla v|^2 \geq m_0$ in $M \setminus \overline{B_{r_0}(p_0)}$. By (3.12), (3.13), and the openness of Γ , as well as the existence of quasi-admissible metric

$$\lambda(g^{-1}V[\underline{u}]) \in \Gamma \text{ in } M \setminus \overline{B_{r_0}(p_0)}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Based on Lemma 2.3, we have an analogue of Proposition 3.4 for manifolds with boundary.

Proposition 3.5. Let (α, τ) satisfy (1.8). Suppose (M, g) is a compact connected Riemannian manifold with smooth boundary and carries a C^2 pseudo-admissible conformal metric. Then there is an admissible conformal metric.

Next, we construct a complete noncompact admissible metric satisfying an asymptotic property.

Proposition 3.6. Let (f, Γ) and (α, τ) be as in Theorem 1.8. Suppose (M, g) is a complete noncompact Riemannian manifold. Given a positive smooth function ψ , we assume (M, g) carries a C^2 complete pseudo-admissible conformal metric subject to (1.10). Then there is a complete noncompact admissible conformal metric \hat{g} with

$$(3.15) \quad f(\lambda(\hat{g}^{-1}A_{\hat{g}}^{\tau, \alpha})) \geq \Lambda_1 \psi \text{ in } M$$

for some positive constant Λ_1 . Moreover, $\hat{u} \geq \underline{u} - C_0$ for some constant $C_0 > 0$, where \underline{u} is as in (1.10).

Proof. Without loss of generality, by (2.6) one only consider the case $\underline{u} = 0$. Let K_0 be as in (1.10) the compact subset. From (1.10), (1.4) and the positivity of ψ , we know g is admissible when restricted to $M \setminus K_0$.

Pick two n -dimensional compact submanifolds M_1, M_2 with smooth boundary and with $K_0 \subset\subset M_1 \subset\subset M_2$. Let v be a smooth function with $dv \neq 0$ and $v \leq 0$ on \bar{M}_2 (by Lemma 2.3). Similar to the proof of Proposition 3.4, as in Proposition 3.5, $e^{2w}g$ is admissible on \bar{M}_2 if $w = e^{t(v-1)}$, $t \gg 1$. Choose a cutoff function satisfying

$$\zeta \in C_0^\infty(M_2), 0 \leq \zeta \leq 1 \text{ and } \zeta|_{M_1} = 1.$$

Take $\hat{g} = e^{2\hat{u}}g$ where $\hat{u} = e^{Nh}$,

$$h = \begin{cases} \zeta^v - 1 & \text{if } x \in M_2, \\ -1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Notice g is admissible in $M \setminus K_0$, and \hat{g} is admissible when restricted to $M_1 \cup (M \setminus M_2)$. Similar to the proof of Proposition 3.4, we can check for $N \gg 1$ that \hat{g} is an admissible metric and also satisfies (3.15). \square

The pseudo-admissible metric assumption imposed in Proposition 3.5 can be further removed in some cases.

Proposition 3.7. Let (M, g) be a compact connected Riemannian manifold with smooth boundary. Given (α, τ) satisfying (1.8). Let γ and ϱ be as in (2.5). Assume

$$(3.16) \quad (\gamma, \dots, \gamma, \gamma + \varrho) \in \bar{\Gamma}.$$

Then there exists a smooth conformal admissible metric on \bar{M} .

Proof. According to Lemma 2.3, there exists a smooth function v with $v \geq 0$ and

$$|\nabla v|^2 \geq a_0 > 0 \text{ in } \bar{M}$$

for some positive constant a_0 . Set $\underline{u} = e^{Nv}$. By (3.11) and (3.12), $\lambda(g^{-1}V[\underline{u}]) \in \Gamma$ in \bar{M} for $N \gg 1$. Thus $\underline{g} = e^{2\underline{u}}g$ is an admissible metric. \square

Confirm the condition (3.16). Next we confirm (3.16) in some cases. By a simple computation

$$\gamma = \frac{(n-2)(\tau-2)}{2(\tau-1)}, \quad \gamma + \varrho = \frac{\tau(n-2)}{2(\tau-1)}.$$

Thus under the assumption

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{cases} \tau \leq 0 & \text{if } \alpha = -1, \\ \tau \geq 2 & \text{if } \alpha = 1, \end{cases}$$

we obtain $\gamma \geq 0$ and $\gamma + \varrho \geq 0$.

On the other hand, for $0 < \tau < 1$ and $\tau \leq 2 - \frac{2}{\varrho\tau}$, one can check that

$$(\gamma, \dots, \gamma, \gamma + \varrho) = \gamma(1, \dots, 1, 1 + \frac{\varrho}{\gamma}) \in \bar{\Gamma}.$$

Remark 3.8. In [21] we have constructed admissible metrics under the assumption (3.17). Obviously, the condition (1.9) is broader than (3.17), which hence extends some of results in [21].

4. GEOMETRIC OPTIMAL CONDITION

For the prescribed curvature equation (1.1), as we discussed in Subsection 3.1

- The equation (1.1) has the form of (3.1).
- The equation (1.1) can be further reduced to the equation of the form

$$(4.1) \quad f(\lambda(-\tilde{g}^{-1}A_{\tilde{g}})) = \psi.$$

Remark 4.1. Notice that in (4.1), f is different from that of (1.1), with the same notation.

- Even for $f = \sigma_1$, in general one could not expect the solvability of

$$f(\lambda(\tilde{g}^{-1}A_{\tilde{g}})) = \psi$$

in the conformal class of complete admissible metrics, due to the obstruction to the existence of complete metrics with positive scalar curvature.

In addition, we prove that

- *Analytic optimal condition:* (3.2) is the optimal condition, under which the equation (3.1) is of uniform ellipticity according to Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 2.5. Consequently, (1.8) is the sharp condition so that the equation (1.1) can be reduced to (4.1) being of uniform ellipticity.
- *Uniform ellipticity \Rightarrow Solvability:* The results presented in Subsection 2.4 reveal that the uniform ellipticity implies the solvability of (4.1) (and thus of (1.1)) in the conformal class of smooth complete admissible metrics.

A natural question to raise is as follows.

Problem 4.2. Can we drop the uniform ellipticity assumption in Theorem 2.7?

This section is devoted to answering this problem via presenting obstructions. More precisely, we show that the uniform ellipticity assumption (2.10) imposed in Theorem 2.7 cannot be further dropped in general. Also this shows that assumption (1.8) on τ and α is sharp.

In prequel [21] the author solved the following equation when $\Gamma \neq \Gamma_n$

$$(4.2) \quad f(\lambda(\tilde{g}^{-1}G_{\tilde{g}})) = \psi.$$

Unlike the case $\Gamma \neq \Gamma_n$, at least in dimension three, the equation (4.2) with $\Gamma = \Gamma_n$ is in general unsolvable in the conformal class of smooth complete metrics, as shown by a topological obstruction. Nevertheless, this topological obstruction relies crucially on (2.7), the relation between sectional curvature and Einstein tensor which holds only in dimension three.

Below we remove the dimension restriction via some obstruction from function theory. In addition we extend the topological obstruction to general equation (4.1) with type 1 cone. To this end, in this paper we prove the following key ingredients.

Lemma 4.3. *For any $\lambda \in \Gamma$, we have*

$$\lambda_{i_1} + \cdots + \lambda_{i_{k_{\Gamma}+1}} > 0, \quad \forall 1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_{k_{\Gamma}+1} \leq n.$$

Proof. The proof is based on the convexity, symmetry and openness of Γ . □

Specifically, we obtain

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose Γ is of type 1. Then for any $\lambda \in \Gamma$ there holds*

$$\sum_{j \neq i} \lambda_j > 0, \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

As a consequence, we derive

Proposition 4.5. *Suppose Γ is of type 1. If $\lambda(-g^{-1}A_g) \in \Gamma$ then $G_g > 0$.*

Obstructions. With this at hand, we may give some obstructions from topology and function theory to show that, whenever the corresponding cone Γ is of type 1 (equivalently f obeys (2.10) in Γ by Lemma 2.5), the equation (4.1) is in general unsolvable in the conformal class of smooth complete admissible metrics.

Denote $B_r(a) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - a|^2 < r^2\}$. Suppose $\bar{B}_{r_1}(a_1), \dots, \bar{B}_{r_m}(a_m)$ are pairwise disjoint. Pick $r \gg 1$ so that $\cup_{i=1}^m B_{r_i}(a_i) \subset B_r(0)$. Then we denote

$$\Omega = B_{r+1}(0) \setminus (\cup_{i=1}^m \bar{B}_{r_i}(a_i)).$$

On Ω , one may pick g the Euclidean metric, which is conformal to $\frac{\delta_{ij} dx^i \otimes dx^j}{((r+2)^2 - |x|^2)^2}$.

(1) *Topological obstruction:* Assume $n = 3$. If Theorem 2.7 holds for

$$f(\lambda(-\tilde{g}^{-1}A_{\tilde{g}})) = \psi$$

with some type 1 cone Γ , according to Propositions 2.1 and 4.5, the solution on Ω is then a complete metric with negative sectional curvature. This contradicts to the Cartan-Hadamard theorem.

(2) *Obstruction from function theory:* Suppose that the following problem

$$f(\lambda(D^2 u)) = \psi e^u \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = +\infty \text{ on } \partial\Omega$$

with some type 1 cone Γ (i.e. $0 \leq \kappa_\Gamma \leq n-2$), has an admissible solution $u \in C^\infty(\Omega)$. According to Lemma 4.3, u is a strictly $(\kappa_\Gamma + 1)$ -plurisubharmonic function. This is a contradiction by some results of Harvey-Lawson [12, 13].

The above obstructions reveal that the uniform ellipticity assumption (2.10) imposed in Theorem 2.7 cannot be further dropped.

5. FURTHER REMARKS ON OPEN SYMMETRIC CONVEX CONES

For purpose of verifying (1.9) or (3.16), which is imposed as a proper condition to construct admissible metrics without pseudo-admissible metric assumption, it seems necessary to estimate ϱ_Γ and $\varrho_{\bar{\Gamma}}$.

For this reason, the cones with $\varrho_{\bar{\Gamma}} \geq 2$ are of particular interest. Below we will prove some related results. First we prove a key ingredient by projection. Let Γ_∞ be as in (2.1) the projection of Γ to the subspace of former $n-1$ subscripts. As noted by [5], when Γ is of type 1, Γ_∞ is an open symmetric convex cone in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} and with $\Gamma_\infty \neq \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. From the construction of Γ_∞ , we can verify that

Lemma 5.1. *If Γ is of type 1 (if and only if $\kappa_\Gamma \leq n - 2$), then*

$$\kappa_{\Gamma_\infty} = \kappa_\Gamma, \varrho_\Gamma \leq \varrho_{\Gamma_\infty}.$$

This is a key ingredient for estimating ϱ_Γ from above. Inspired by this lemma, we will construct certain cones iteratively via projection. To do this we assume $\kappa_\Gamma \leq n - 2$ and then obtain Γ_∞ . When $\kappa_\Gamma = \kappa_{\Gamma_\infty} \leq n - 3$, i.e., Γ_∞ is also of type 1, similarly we further construct a cone, denoted by

$$\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}^{n-2}}^\infty \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-2},$$

which is the projection of Γ_∞ to the subspace of former $n - 2$ subscripts. Accordingly, we can construct the cones by projection as follows:

$$(5.1) \quad \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}^{n-3}}^\infty \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-3}, \dots, \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}^{\kappa_\Gamma+1}}^\infty \subset \mathbb{R}^{\kappa_\Gamma+1}.$$

For simplicity, we denote

$$\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}^\infty := \Gamma_\infty.$$

In fact for $\kappa_\Gamma + 1 \leq k \leq n - 1$, one can check that

$$(5.2) \quad \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}^k}^\infty = \left\{ (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k : (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k, \overbrace{R, \dots, R}^{(n-k)\text{-entries}}) \in \Gamma \text{ for some } R > 0 \right\}.$$

This construction was also considered in prequel [21, Section 3].

For $1 \leq k \leq n$ we denote

$$(5.3) \quad \mathcal{P}_k = \{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) : \lambda_{i_1} + \dots + \lambda_{i_k} > 0, \forall 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n\}.$$

First we can check that

Lemma 5.2. *If $\Gamma = \mathcal{P}_k$ for some $1 \leq k \leq n$, then $\kappa_\Gamma = k - 1$ and $\varrho_\Gamma = k$. In particular, $\varrho_\Gamma = 1 + \kappa_\Gamma$.*

According to Lemma 5.1 we conclude the following proposition.

Proposition 5.3. For any Γ , we have

$$\varrho_\Gamma \leq \kappa_\Gamma + 1,$$

with equality if and only if

$$\Gamma = \mathcal{P}_k \text{ for some } 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

Proof. For $\kappa_\Gamma = n - 1$, the statement is obvious. Next we assume $\kappa_\Gamma \leq n - 2$. It suffices to prove rigidity. If $\varrho_\Gamma = \kappa_\Gamma + 1$, then $\varrho_{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}^{\kappa_\Gamma+1}}^\infty} = \kappa_\Gamma + 1$. This implies

$$\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}^{\kappa_\Gamma+1}}^\infty = \left\{ (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{1+\kappa_\Gamma}) : \sum_{j=1}^{\kappa_\Gamma+1} \lambda_j > 0 \right\},$$

and then $\Gamma = \mathcal{P}_{\kappa_\Gamma+1}$. □

As a consequence, we may verify condition (1.9) in some case.

Corollary 5.4. Given a cone Γ with $\kappa_\Gamma \leq n - 3$, we have $1 + (n - 2)\varrho_\Gamma^{-1} \geq 2$.

Moreover, together with Lemma 5.2 we may rewrite Lemma 4.3 as follows:

Lemma 5.5. *Suppose Γ is a cone of $\kappa_\Gamma = k$ with $1 \leq k \leq n - 2$. Then $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{k+1}$.*

Then we revise a question raised in [21].

Problem 5.6. Let Γ be a cone of $\kappa_\Gamma = k$ with $1 \leq k \leq n - 2$. For such Γ , is $\Gamma_{n-k} \subseteq \Gamma$ correct? If so then $\varrho_\Gamma \geq \frac{n}{n-\kappa_\Gamma}$.

From Subsection 3.2 it is interesting to compute $\varrho_{\tilde{\Gamma}}$ or give a lower bound.

Proposition 5.7. Given a cone Γ and a constant $\varrho \leq \varrho_\Gamma$ with $\varrho \neq 0$, we assume $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is the corresponding cone as in (3.4). Then we have

- (1) If $\varrho < 0$ then $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is of type 2 and $\varrho_{\tilde{\Gamma}} = \varrho_\Gamma + \frac{\varrho_\Gamma(n-\varrho_\Gamma)}{\varrho_\Gamma-\varrho}$.
- (2) If $0 < \varrho \leq \varrho_\Gamma$ then
 - When Γ is of type 1, $\varrho_{\tilde{\Gamma}} = n - \varrho$. In particular, $\varrho_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \geq n - \varrho_\Gamma \geq n - \kappa_\Gamma - 1$.
 - When Γ is of type 2, $\varrho_{\tilde{\Gamma}} > n - \varrho$. In particular, $\varrho_{\tilde{\Gamma}} + \varrho_\Gamma > n$.

Proof. Case 1: $\varrho < 0$. Let $\mu = (1, \dots, 1, 1 - \varrho_\Gamma) \in \partial\Gamma$. The corresponding vector

$$\lambda = \frac{\varrho - \varrho_\Gamma}{\varrho}(1, \dots, 1, 1 - \frac{\varrho_\Gamma(n - \varrho)}{\varrho_\Gamma - \varrho}) \in \partial\tilde{\Gamma}.$$

Case 2: $0 < \varrho \leq \varrho_\Gamma$. Set $\mu = (0, \dots, 0, 1) \in \tilde{\Gamma}$. Accordingly we have

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{\varrho}(1, \dots, 1, 1 - (n - \varrho)) \in \tilde{\Gamma}.$$

If Γ is of type 1, then $\varrho_{\tilde{\Gamma}} = n - \varrho$. So $\varrho_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \geq n - \varrho_\Gamma \geq n - \kappa_\Gamma - 1$ by Proposition 5.3. In particular, when $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is of type 2 (if and only if $\varrho < \varrho_\Gamma$), we obtain $\varrho_{\tilde{\Gamma}} > n - \varrho$.

If Γ is of type 2, then $\varrho_{\tilde{\Gamma}} > n - \varrho$.

□

Corollary 5.8. Fix a cone Γ . Let ϱ be as in (2.5), and assume $\varrho \leq \varrho_\Gamma$. As in (3.4) we obtain $\tilde{\Gamma}$. We have $\varrho_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \geq 2$, provided either one of the following holds.

- (1) (α, τ) obeys (1.9).
- (2) $\tau > 1$ and $\kappa_\Gamma \leq n - 3$.

However, one could not expect that one can obtain an effective estimate for all type 2 cones as shown by the following:

Corollary 5.9. For any $1 < t < n$, there is a type 2 cone $\tilde{\Gamma}$ with $\varrho_{\tilde{\Gamma}} = t$.

Proof. Given $1 < t < n$, there is a unique $\varrho < 0$ so that $\frac{n-\varrho}{1-\varrho} = t$. For such ϱ we get a type 2 cone

$$\tilde{\Gamma} = \left\{ (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j - \varrho \lambda_i > 0, 1 \leq i \leq n \right\}.$$

By Proposition 5.7, $\varrho_{\tilde{\Gamma}} = 1 + \frac{n-1}{1-\varrho} = t$.

□

Acknowledgements. The author wishes to express his gratitude to Professor Yi Liu for answering questions related to the proof of Lemma 2.3. The author also wishes to thank Ze Zhou for useful discussion on the homogeneity lemma.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Aubin, *Équations différentielles non linéaires et problème de Yamabe concernant la courbure scalaire*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **55** (1976), 269–296.
- [2] P. Aviles and R. McOwen, *Conformal deformation to constant negative scalar curvature on noncompact Riemannian manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **27** (1988), 225–239.
- [3] P. Aviles and R. McOwen, *Complete conformal metrics with negative scalar curvature in compact Riemannian manifolds*, Duke Math. J. **56** (1988), 395–398.
- [4] A. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.
- [5] L. Caffarelli, L. Nirenberg and J. Spruck, *The Dirichlet problem for nonlinear second-order elliptic equations III: Functions of eigenvalues of the Hessians*, Acta Math. **155** (1985), 261–301.
- [6] J.-X. Fu, W.-M. Sheng and L.-X. Yuan, *Prescribed k -curvature problems on complete noncompact Riemannian manifolds*, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2020, no. 23, 9559–9592.
- [7] B. Guan, *Conformal metrics with prescribed curvature functions on manifolds with boundary*, Amer. J. Math. **129** (2007), 915–942.
- [8] B. Guan, *Complete conformal metrics of negative Ricci curvature on compact manifolds with boundary*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2008, Art. ID rnn 105, 25 pp.
- [9] M. Gursky, J. Streets and M. Warren, *Conformally bending three-manifolds with boundary*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **60** (2010), 2421–2447.
- [10] M. Gursky, J. Streets and M. Warren, *Existence of complete conformal metrics of negative Ricci curvature on manifolds with boundary*, Calc. Var. PDE. **41** (2011), 21–43.
- [11] M. Gursky and J. Viaclovsky, *Fully nonlinear equations on Riemannian manifolds with negative curvature*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **52** (2003), 399–419.
- [12] F. Harvey and H. Lawson, *Geometric plurisubharmonicity and convexity: an introduction*, Adv. Math. **230** (2012), 2428–2456.
- [13] F. Harvey and H. Lawson, *p -convexity, p -plurisubharmonicity and the Levi problem*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **62** (2013), 149–169.
- [14] Z.-R. Jin, *A counterexample to the Yamabe problem for complete noncompact manifolds*. Lecture Notes in Math. vol. 1306, pp. 93–101, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1988.
- [15] Z.-R. Jin, *Prescribing scalar curvatures on the conformal classes of complete metrics with negative curvature*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **340** (1993), 785–810.
- [16] Q.-R. Li and W.-M. Sheng, *Some Dirichlet problems arising from conformal geometry*, Pacific J. Math. **251** (2011), 337–359.
- [17] C. Loewner and L. Nirenberg, *Partial differential equations invariant under conformal or projective transformations*. Contributions to analysis, pp. 245–272. Academic Press, New York, 1974.
- [18] J. W. Milnor, *Topology from the differentiable viewpoint*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1997.
- [19] R. Schoen, *Conformal deformation of a Riemannian metric to constant scalar curvature*, J. Differential Geom. **20** (1984), 479–495.
- [20] N. Trudinger, *Remarks concerning the conformal deformation of Riemannian structures on compact manifolds*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (3) **22** (1968), 265–274.
- [21] R.-R. Yuan, *The partial uniform ellipticity and prescribed problems on the conformal classes of complete metrics*, arXiv:2203.13212.