# A note on local search for hitting sets

Zdeněk Dvořák\*

#### Abstract

Let  $\pi$  be a property of pairs (G, Z), where G is a graph and  $Z \subseteq V(G)$ . In the minimum  $\pi$ -hitting set problem, given an input graph G, we want to find a smallest set  $X \subseteq V(G)$  such that X intersects every set  $Z \subseteq V(G)$  such that (G, Z) has the property  $\pi$ . An important special case is that  $\pi$  is satisfied by (G, Z) exactly if G[Z] is isomorphic to one of graphs in a finite set  $\mathcal{F}$ ; in this *minimum*  $\mathcal{F}$ -*hitting set* problem, X needs to hit all appearances of the graphs from  $\mathcal{F}$  as induced subgraphs of G. In this note, we show that the local search argument of Har-Peled and Quanrud gives a PTAS for the minimum  $\mathcal{F}$ -hitting set problem for graphs from any class with polynomial expansion. Moreover, we argue that the local search argument applies more generally to all properties  $\pi$  such that one can test whether X is a  $\pi$ -hitting set in polynomial time and G[Z]has bounded diameter whenever (G, Z) satisfies  $\pi$ ; this is a common generalization of the minimum  $\mathcal{F}$ -hitting set problem and minimum r-dominating set problem. Finally, we note that the analogous claim also holds for the dual problem of finding the maximum number of disjoint sets Z such that (G, Z) has the property  $\pi$ ; this generalizes maximum F-matching, maximum induced F-matching, and maximum r-independent set problems.

Let H and G be graphs. If a graph isomorphic to H is obtained from a subgraph of G by contracting pairwise vertex-disjoint subgraphs, each of radius at most r, we say that H is an r-shallow minor of G. The density of a graph H is |E(H)|/|V(H)|. For a function  $f : \mathbb{Z}_0^+ \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ , a graph G has

<sup>\*</sup>Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic. E-mail: rakdver@iuuk.mff.cuni.cz. Supported by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No. 810115).

expansion bounded by f if for every non-negative integer r, every r-shallow minor of G has density at most f(r). A class of graphs  $\mathcal{G}$  has expansion bounded by f if all graphs from  $\mathcal{G}$  do. We say  $\mathcal{G}$  has bounded expansion if  $\mathcal{G}$ has expansion bounded by some function f, and polynomial expansion if  $\mathcal{G}$ has expansion bounded by a polynomial.

Let  $\pi$  be a property of pairs (G, Z), where G is a graph and  $Z \subseteq V(G)$ is non-empty. The *diameter* of  $\pi$  is the maximum diameter of G[Z] over all pairs (G, Z) satisfying the property  $\pi$ , or  $\infty$  if there exist such pairs with the diameter of G[Z] arbitrarily large (this includes the case that G[Z] is disconnected for some (G, Z) satisfying  $\pi$ ). For a graph G, a set  $X \subseteq V(G)$ is a  $\pi$ -hitting set if X intersects every  $Z \subseteq V(G)$  such that (G, Z) satisfies  $\pi$ . Let  $\gamma_{\pi}(G)$  denote the minimum size of a  $\pi$ -hitting set in G. For a graph class  $\mathcal{G}$ , we say that  $\pi$ -hitting set is efficiently testable in  $\mathcal{G}$  if there exists a polynomial-time algorithm that for a graph  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  and a set  $X \subseteq V(G)$ decides whether X is a  $\pi$ -hitting set. Let us mention two important examples:

- For a finite set  $\mathcal{F}$  of graphs, if  $\pi$  is the property that G[Z] is isomorphic to a graph in  $\mathcal{F}$ , we obtain the  $\mathcal{F}$ -hitting set problem of finding a smallest set  $X \subseteq V(G)$  such that G - X is  $\mathcal{F}$ -free, i.e., does not contain any induced subgraph isomorphic to an element of  $\mathcal{F}$ . The diameter of  $\pi$  is equal to the maximum of diameters of the graphs in  $\mathcal{F}$ .
- Let r be a positive integer, and let  $\pi$  be satisfied by (G, Z) iff there exists  $z \in V(G)$  such that Z consists exactly of vertices at distance at most r from z in G. Then X is a  $\pi$ -hitting set iff it is an r-dominating set, i.e., every vertex of G is at distance at most r from G. The diameter of  $\pi$  is at most 2r.

In both cases,  $\pi$ -hitting set is efficiently testable in all graphs.

For any  $\pi$  such that  $\pi$ -hitting set is efficiently testable and a positive integer c, one can use the following *c*-local search heuristic to find a (hopefully small)  $\pi$ -hitting set:

- 1. Let X = V(G).
- 2. Iterate over all sets  $Y \subseteq V(G)$  of size at most c such that  $|X \triangle Y| < |X|$ :
  - If  $X \triangle Y$  is a  $\pi$ -hitting set, then let  $X := X \triangle Y$  and repeat the step 2.

Of course, there are in general no guarantees that the result of local search is close to optimal. However, Har-Peled and Quanrud [4] proved the following claim for minimum vertex cover ( $\{K_2\}$ -hitting set) and for minimum r-dominating set: For every class of graphs  $\mathcal{G}$  with polynomial expansion and every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $c_{\varepsilon}$  such that  $c_{\varepsilon}$ -local search results in a  $\pi$ -hitting set of size at most  $(1 + \varepsilon)\gamma_{\pi}(G)$  for every graph  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ . That is, local search gives a PTAS when resticted to any graph class with polynomial expansion. The main result of this note is the following common generalization of these results.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a class of graphs with polynomial expansion. For every property  $\pi$  of finite diameter and every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists c such that c-local search results in a  $\pi$ -hitting set of size at most  $(1 + \varepsilon)\gamma_{\pi}(G)$ .

It is also natural to consider the dual notion. A set  $\mathcal{P}$  of pairwise disjoint subsets of vertices of G is a  $\pi$ -packing if (G, P) has the property  $\pi$  for every  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ ; it is an *induced*  $\pi$ -packing if additionally no edge of G has ends in distinct elements of  $\mathcal{P}$ . For example:

- For a graph F, if  $\pi$  is the property that G[P] is isomorphic to F, we obtain the *F*-matching (and induced *F*-matching) problem of finding the maximum number of disjoint (and non-adjacent) copies of F in the input graph.
- Let r be a positive integer, and let  $\pi$  be satisfied by (G, Z) iff there exists  $z \in V(G)$  such that Z consists exactly of vertices at distance at most r from z in G. Then a  $\pi$ -packing corresponds to a 2r-independent set (a set of vertices is *t*-independent if the distance between any distinct elements is greater than t), and an induced  $\pi$ -packing corresponds to a (2r + 1)-independent set.

Let  $\alpha_{\pi}(G)$  denote the maximum size of a  $\pi$ -packing and  $\alpha'_{\pi}(G)$  the maximum size of an induced  $\pi$ -packing in G. For a graph class  $\mathcal{G}$ , we say that *(induced)*  $\pi$ -packing extension is tractable in  $\mathcal{G}$  if for every positive integer c, there exists a polynomial-time algorithm that for a graph  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  and a set  $T \subseteq V(G)$ finds an (induced)  $\pi$ -packing  $\mathcal{P}_0$  of size at least c and such  $T \cap \bigcup \mathcal{P}_0 = \emptyset$ , or decides no such  $\mathcal{P}_0$  exists. If  $\pi$  has this property, we can run the following maximization variant of the c-local search algorithm:

1. Let  $\mathcal{P} = \emptyset$ .

- 2. Iterate over all  $Y \subseteq \mathcal{P}$  of size less than c:
  - If  $\mathcal{P} \setminus Y$  can be extended to an (induced)  $\pi$ -packing  $\mathcal{P}'$  of size greater than  $|\mathcal{P}|$ , then let  $\mathcal{P} := \mathcal{P}'$  and repeat the step 2.

Step 2 is implemented by testing whether there exists an (induced)  $\pi$ -packing  $\mathcal{P}_0$  of size |Y| + 1 disjoint from  $\bigcup_{P \in \mathcal{P} \setminus Y} P$  (or this set and its neighbors in the induced case). As our second result, we note that this algorithm again gives a PTAS in any class of graphs with polynomial expansion; the argument is less involved and essentially implicitly appears in [4].

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a class of graphs with polynomial expansion. For every property  $\pi$  of finite diameter and every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists c such that the (induced) maximization c-local search results in an (induced)  $\pi$ -packing of size at least  $(1 - \varepsilon)\alpha_{\pi}(G)$  in the non-induced case and at least  $(1 - \varepsilon)\alpha'_{\pi}(G)$ in the induced case.

The time complexity of the algorithms from Theorems 1 and 2 in a straightforward implementation is  $O(n^{c+1})$  times the complexity of testing or extension, and thus the exponent of the time complexity depends on the desired precision; i.e., we obtain a PTAS, not an FPTAS. However, in all the examples that we considered, the property  $\pi$  is *FO-definable* in the following sense: There exists a formula  $\varphi$  in the first-order logic (allowing quantification over vertices, but not over sets of vertices or over edges) using the adjacency predicate, with free variables  $x_1, \ldots, x_m$  and z such that (G, Z)with Z non-empty has the property  $\pi$  if and only if for some  $v_1, \ldots, v_m \in G$ ,

$$Z = \{ v \in V(G) : G \models \varphi(v_1, \dots, v_m, v) \}.$$

E.g., for the property  $\pi$  used to define *r*-dominating and 2*r*-independent set problems, we can set  $\varphi(x_1, z) \equiv \operatorname{dist}_r(x_1, z)$ , where

$$\operatorname{dist}_r(x,y) \equiv (\exists p_0, \dots, p_r) \ x = p_0 \land y = p_r \land \bigwedge_{i=1}^r (p_{i-1} = p_i \lor p_{i-1} p_i \in E).$$

In this case, we can express the testing and extension in first-order logic. Let us demonstrate this on the most difficult example, the step 2 of the *c*-local search for maximum  $\pi$ -packing. Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the current  $\pi$ -packing. For each element P of  $\mathcal{P}$ , color the edges of a BFS spanning tree of G[P] red; we will access this information about edge colors using a new binary predicate R. Let  $\operatorname{dist}_{r}^{R}(x, y)$  be the predicate defined analogously to  $\operatorname{dist}_{r}(x, y)$ , but with E replaced by R. Let T be the unary predicate interpreted as  $\bigcup \mathcal{P}$ . We can delete at most c-1 elements from  $\mathcal{P}$  and add c other elements to obtain a  $\pi$ -packing exactly if the following formula is satisfied:

$$(\exists r_1, \dots, r_{c-1}, x_1^1, \dots, x_m^1, \dots, x_1^c, \dots, x_m^c)$$

$$\bigwedge_{j=1}^c (\exists z) \varphi(x_1^j, \dots, x_m^j, z)$$

$$\wedge \bigwedge_{j=1}^c (\forall z) \left( \varphi(x_1^j, \dots, x_m^j, z) \land z \in T \Rightarrow \bigvee_{i=1}^{c-1} r_i \in T \land \operatorname{dist}_{2r}^R(z, r_i) \right)$$

$$\wedge \bigwedge_{1 \le j_1 < j_2 \le c} (\forall z_1, z_2) \left( \varphi(x_1^{j_1}, \dots, x_m^{j_1}, z_1) \land \varphi(x_1^{j_2}, \dots, x_m^{j_2}, z_2) \Rightarrow z_1 \neq z_2 \right).$$

The elements to be removed from  $\mathcal{P}$  are those intersected by  $r_1, \ldots, r_{c-1}$ , while those added are given by the formula  $\varphi$  with parameters  $x_1^j, \ldots, x_m^j$  for  $j \in \{1, \ldots, c\}$ .

- The second line expresses that for each j, the formula  $\varphi$  parameterized by the *m*-tuple  $x_1^j, \ldots, x_m^j$  defines a non-empty set  $Z_j$ .
- The third line states that  $Z_j$  intersects T only in the elements containing  $r_1, \ldots, r_{c-1}$  that are to be removed from  $\mathcal{P}$ .
- The final line describes that the sets  $Z_1, \ldots, Z_m$  are disjoint.

Dvořák, Král' and Thomas [1] described a data structure that for each graph from a fixed class with bounded expansion after a linear-time preprocessing allows one in constant time perform the following operations:

- Recolor an edge.
- Change the membership of a vertex in a set interpreting an unary predicate.
- Test whether a fixed first-order sentence  $\psi$  is satisfied, and
- if so, find a satisfying assignment for the initial segment of existential quantifiers of  $\psi$ .

With this data structure, we conclude that for a FO-definable property of bounded diameter, n-vertex graph G from any fixed class with bounded expansion, and any fixed c, we can run

- c-local search for minimum  $\pi$ -hitting in time O(n), and
- (induced) c-local search for maximum  $\pi$ -packing in time  $O(n^2)$ .

The reason for the quadratic time in the second case is due to the need to recolor the red edges after each iteration, which may result in O(n) time in case the sets of the (induced)  $\pi$ -packing have unbounded size. In case they have bounded size, e.g., for the maximum (induced) *F*-matching problem, the time complexity becomes O(n). Moreover, even if the elements of the packing have unbounded size, it may be possible to use a different way how to describe the packing in the first-order logic (e.g., in the case of maximum *t*-independent set, we can just mark the vertices forming the set), again resulting in total time O(n).

### 1 Tools

To prove Theorem 1, we use several standard tools from the theory of classes with bounded expansion.

### Generalized coloring numbers

Let  $\prec$  be a linear ordering of vertices of a graph G. For a non-negative integer r, a vertex u is weakly  $(r, \prec)$ -reachable from a vertex  $v \succeq u$  if there exists a path P from v to u in G of length at most r such that all vertices in  $V(P) \setminus \{u\}$  are greater than u in the ordering  $\prec$ . Let  $L_{r,\prec}(v)$  denote the set of all vertices that are weakly  $(r, \prec)$ -reachable from v, and let  $R_{r,\prec}(u)$  denote set of all vertices v from which u is weakly  $(r, \prec)$ -reachable. The weak r-coloring number of  $(G, \prec)$  is the maximum of  $|L_{r,\prec}(v)|$  over all vertices  $v \in V(G)$ . The weak r-coloring number wcol<sub>r</sub>(G) of G is the minimum weak r-coloring number of  $(G, \prec)$  over all linear orderings  $\prec$  of V(G).

**Theorem 3** (Zhu [5]). For every class  $\mathcal{G}$  with bounded expansion and every  $r \geq 0$ , there exists an integer b such that  $wcol_r(G) \leq b$  for every  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ .

### Expansion of shallow packings

A collection  $\mathcal{C}$  of subsets of vertices of a graph G is  $(\omega, t)$ -shallow if every vertex of G appears in at most  $\omega$  elements of  $\mathcal{C}$  and if G[C] has radius at most t for every  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ . The packing graph  $G[\mathcal{C}]$  is defined as the graph with vertex set  $\mathcal{C}$  where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are adjacent if there exist  $v_1 \in C_1$  and  $v_2 \in C_2$ such that  $v_1 = v_2$  or  $v_1v_2 \in E(G)$ . In particular, an r-shallow minor is the packing graph of a (1, r)-shallow collection.

**Theorem 4** (Har-Peled and Quanrud [4]). Let G be a graph with expansion bounded by a function f. For every  $(\omega, t)$ -shallow collection C of subsets of vertices of G, the graph G[C] has expansion bounded by the function

$$f'(r) = 5\omega^2 (2t+1)^2 (2r+1)^2 f((2t+1)r+t).$$

**Corollary 5.** For every class  $\mathcal{G}$  with polynomial expansion and all nonnegative integers  $\omega$  and t, there exists a class  $\mathcal{G}'$  with polynomial expansion such that the packing graph of any  $(\omega, t)$ -shallow collection of subsets of vertices of a graph  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{G}'$ .

#### Polynomial expansion and strongly sublinear separators

A balanced separator in a graph G is a set  $S \subseteq V(G)$  such that each component of G - S has at most  $\frac{2}{3}|V(G)|$  vertices. For a function  $s : \mathbb{Z}^+ \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ , a class of graphs  $\mathcal{G}$  has *s*-separators if for every  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ , every subgraph H of G has a balanced separator of size at most s(|V(H)|). A class  $\mathcal{G}$  has strongly sublinear separators if there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a function  $s(n) = O(n^{1-\varepsilon})$  such that  $\mathcal{G}$  has *s*-separators.

**Theorem 6** (Dvořák and Norin [2]). A class of graphs has strongly sublinear separators if and only if it has polynomial expansion.

Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a system of subsets of vertices of a graph G. We say that  $\mathcal{K}$  is a cover of G if  $\bigcup_{K \in \mathcal{K}} G[K] = G$ . For  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ , let  $\partial K$  be the set of vertices of K that belong to more than one element of  $\mathcal{K}$ . The excess of a cover  $\mathcal{K}$ is  $\sum_{K \in \mathcal{K}} |\partial K|$ . The following lemma is folklore (and the proof can be found e.g. in [3]).

**Lemma 7.** Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a class of graphs with strongly sublinear separators. There exists a polynomial p such that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , every graph  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  has a cover with excess at most  $\varepsilon |V(G)|$  consisting of sets of size at most  $p(1/\varepsilon)$ .

## 2 The proof

The proof of Theorem 1 follows the idea of [4], with the following crucial difference: Instead of comparing the actual solution with the optimal one, we compare it with a suitably chosen enlargement of the optimal solution. For a set O of vertices of a graph G, a linear ordering  $\prec$  of V(G), and a non-negative integers r and m, we say a vertex v is  $(r, \prec, m, O)$ -rich if  $|R_{r,\prec}(v) \cap O| \ge m$ .

**Observation 8.** Let G be a graph, O a set of vertices of G, r and m nonnegative integers, and  $\prec$  a linear ordering of vertices of G. Let O' be the set of all  $(r, \prec, m, O)$ -rich vertices. If the weak r-coloring number of  $(G, \prec)$  is at most b, then

$$|O'| \le \frac{b}{m}|O|.$$

Proof. By the definition of richness, there are at least m|O'| pairs of vertices (v, u) such that  $v \in O'$  and  $u \in R_{r,\prec}(v) \cap O$ . However, if  $u \in R_{r,\prec}(v)$ , then  $v \in L_{r,\prec}(u)$ , and  $|L_{r,\prec}(u)| \leq b$  by the assumptions. Hence, the number of such pairs is also at most b|O|.

Let us consider the setting of Observation 8, and let A be another set of vertices of G. Let  $\mathcal{C}_{r,\prec,m,O,A} = \{C_v : v \in A \cup O \cup O'\}$  be a system of subsets of vertices of G defined as follows:

- For  $v \in O'$ , let  $C_v = R_{r,\prec}(v)$ .
- For  $v \in O \setminus O'$ , let

$$C_v = \bigcup_{x \in L_{r,\prec}(v) \setminus O'} R_{r,\prec}(x).$$

• For  $v \in A \setminus (O \cup O')$ , let  $C_v = \{v\}$ .

**Lemma 9.** Let G be a graph, A and O sets of vertices of G, r and m nonnegative integers, and  $\prec$  a linear ordering of vertices of G. Let O' be the set of all  $(r, \prec, m, O)$ -rich vertices. Let H be the packing graph of  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_{r,\prec,m,O,A}$ . If F is a subgraph of G of diameter at most r and  $V(F) \cap O \neq \emptyset$ , then there exists  $u \in V(F) \cap (O \cup O')$  such that  $C_u C_v \in E(H)$  for every  $v \in V(F) \cap A \setminus (O \cup O')$ . Moreover, if the weak r-coloring number of  $(G, \prec)$  is at most b, then  $\mathcal{C}$  is (bm + 1, 2r)-shallow. *Proof.* Let x be the smallest vertex of V(F) in the ordering  $\prec$ . Since F has diameter at most r, for every  $y \in V(F)$ , there exists a path from y to x of length at most r in F, and by the choice of x, the vertices of this path appear after x in the ordering  $\prec$ . Consequently  $y \in R_{r,\prec}(x)$  and  $x \in L_{r,\prec}(y)$ .

If  $x \in O'$ , let u = x, otherwise choose u as an arbitrary vertex of  $V(F) \cap O$ . In the former case, we have  $V(F) \subseteq R_{r,\prec}(u) = C_u$ . In the latter case, we have  $x \in L_{r,\prec}(u) \setminus O'$ , and thus  $V(F) \subseteq R_{r,\prec}(x) \subseteq C_u$ . Hence,  $C_u C_v \in E(H)$  for every  $v \in V(F) \cap A \setminus (O \cup O')$ .

Next, let us bound the diameter of the sets in  $\mathcal{C}$ . For any  $z \in V(G)$  and for every vertex w of  $R_{r,\prec}(z)$ , there is a path of length at most r from w to z with all vertices appearing after z in  $\prec$ ; observe that the vertices of this path also belong to  $R_{r,\prec}(z)$ . Therefore, every vertex of  $R_{r,\prec}(z)$  is at distance at most r from z in  $G[R_{r,\prec}(z)]$ , and thus  $G[R_{r,\prec}(z)]$  has diameter at most 2r. For  $v \in O \cup O'$ , we conclude that  $C_v$  is the union of vertex sets of graphs of diameter at most 2r, each of them containing v, and thus  $G[C_v]$  has radius at most 2r. For  $v \in A \setminus (O \cup O')$ ,  $G[C_v]$  has radius 0.

Finally, let us argue that no vertex belongs to too many of the sets in  $\mathcal{C}$ . If a vertex z belongs to  $C_v$  for  $v \in O'$ , then  $z \in R_{r,\prec}(v)$ , and thus v one of at most b vertices in  $L_{r,\prec}(z)$ . If z belongs to  $C_v$  for  $v \in O \setminus O'$ , then  $z \in R_{r,\prec}(x)$  for some  $x \in L_{r,\prec}(v) \setminus O'$ ; there are at most b choices for x in  $L_{r,\prec}(z)$ , and for fixed  $x \notin O'$ , there are less than m vertices  $v \in O$  such that  $x \in L_{r,\prec}(v)$  (or equivalently,  $v \in R_{r,\prec}(x) \cap O$ ). Finally, vertex z belongs to  $C_v$  for at most  $one v \in A \setminus (O \cup O')$ . Therefore, z belongs to  $C_v$  for at most b + b(m-1) + 1 vertices  $v \in A \cup O \cup O'$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{C}$  is (bm + 1, 2r)-shallow.

We are now ready to prove the main result.

Proof of Theorem 1. Without loss of generality, assume that  $\varepsilon \leq 1$ . Let r be the diameter of  $\pi$ . By Theorem 3, there exists b such that  $\operatorname{wcol}_r(G) \leq b$  for every  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ . Let  $m = \lceil 3b/\varepsilon \rceil$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}'$  be the class of graphs with polynomial expansion given by Corollary 5 with  $\omega = bm + 1$  and t = 2r. Let p be the polynomial from Lemma 7 for this class  $\mathcal{G}'$ . Let us define  $c = \lceil p(18/\varepsilon) \rceil$ .

Consider any graph  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ . Let O be a  $\pi$ -hitting set in G of size  $\gamma_{\pi}(G)$ , and let A be the  $\pi$ -hitting set returned by the c-local search algorithm. Let O' be the set of all  $(r, \prec, m, O)$ -rich vertices in G; by Observation 8, we have  $|O'| \leq \frac{b}{m}|O| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}|O|$ . Let  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_{r,\prec,m,O,A}$ ; by Lemma 9,  $\mathcal{C}$  is (bm + 1, 2r)shallow, and by Corollary 5, the packing graph  $H = G[\mathcal{C}]$  belongs to  $\mathcal{G}'$ . For any  $Y \subseteq V(H)$ , let  $\overline{Y} = \{v \in V(G) : C_v \in Y\}$ . By Lemma 7, there exists a cover  $\mathcal{K}$  of H with excess at most  $\frac{\varepsilon}{18}|V(H)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{18}(|A| + |O| + |O'|) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{6}|A|$ such that each element of  $\mathcal{K}$  has size at most  $p(18/\varepsilon) \leq c$ .

Consider any  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ , and let  $A' = (A \setminus (K \setminus \partial K)) \cup ((O \cup O') \cap \overline{K})$ . We claim that A' is a  $\pi$ -hitting set. Indeed, suppose for a contradiction that there exists  $Z \subseteq V(G)$  such that (G, Z) satisfies the property  $\pi$ , but  $A' \cap Z = \emptyset$ . Since A is a  $\pi$ -hitting set, there exists  $v \in A \cap Z$ , and since  $v \notin A'$ , we have  $v \in (\overline{K \setminus \partial K}) \setminus (O \cup O')$ . Since O is a  $\pi$ -hitting set, we have  $Z \cap O \neq \emptyset$ , and by Lemma 9 applied to F = G[Z], there exists  $u \in Z \cap (O \cup O')$  such that  $C_u C_v \in E(H)$ . Since  $\mathcal{K}$  is a cover of H, there exists  $K' \in \mathcal{K}$  such that  $C_u C_v \in E(H[K'])$ . Since  $C_v \in K \setminus \partial K$ , it follows that K' = K, and thus this implies  $C_u \in K$ . Therefore, we have  $u \in (O \cup O') \cap \overline{K}$ , and  $u \in A' \cap Z$ , which is a contradiction.

Note that  $A \triangle A' \subseteq K$ , and thus  $|A \triangle A'| \leq c$ . Hence, the *c*-local search algorithm considered the solution A' and did not improve A to A', which implies that  $|A'| \geq |A|$ . We conclude that

$$|(O \cup O') \cap \overline{K}| \ge |A \cap (K \setminus \partial K)|$$

for every  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ . Therefore, denoting by s the excess of  $\mathcal{K}$ , we have

$$\begin{split} |A| &\leq \sum_{K \in \mathcal{K}} |A \cap \overline{K}| \leq s + \sum_{K \in \mathcal{K}} |A \cap (\overline{K \setminus \partial K})| \\ &\leq s + \sum_{K \in \mathcal{K}} |(O \cup O') \cap \overline{K}| \leq 2s + \sum_{K \in \mathcal{K}} |(O \cup O') \cap (\overline{K \setminus \partial K})| \\ &\leq 2s + |O| + |O'| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} |A| + (1 + \varepsilon/3)|O|. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$|A| \le \frac{1 + \varepsilon/3}{1 - \varepsilon/3} |O| \le (1 + \varepsilon)|O|,$$

as required.

The proof for the packing version is much simpler.

Proof of Theorem 2. Without loss of generality, assume that  $\varepsilon \leq 1$ . Let r be the diameter of  $\pi$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}'$  be the class of graphs with polynomial expansion given by Corollary 5 with  $\omega = 2$  and t = r. Let p be the polynomial from Lemma 7 for this class  $\mathcal{G}'$ . Let us define  $c = \lceil p(4/\varepsilon) \rceil$ .

Consider any graph  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ . Let O be an (induced)  $\pi$ -packing in G of size  $\alpha_{\pi}(G)$  (or  $\alpha'_{\pi}(G)$ ), and let A be the (induced)  $\pi$ -packing returned by the

*c*-local search algorithm. Let  $\mathcal{C} = A \cup O$ , and note that  $\mathcal{C}$  is (2, r)-shallow. By Corollary 5, the packing graph  $H = G[\mathcal{C}]$  belongs to  $\mathcal{G}'$ . By Lemma 7, there exists a cover  $\mathcal{K}$  of H with excess at most  $\frac{\varepsilon}{4}|V(H)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}(|A| + |O|) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}|O|$ such that each element of  $\mathcal{K}$  has size at most  $p(4/\varepsilon) \leq c$ .

Consider any  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ , and let  $A' = (A \setminus K) \cup (O \cap (K \setminus \partial K))$ . We claim that A' is an (induced)  $\pi$ -packing. Indeed, since both A and O have this property, the only way how this could be false is if there existed  $P \in A \setminus K$ and  $P' \in O \cap (K \setminus \partial K)$  that intersect (or contain adjacent vertices in the induced case). However, then  $PP' \in E(H)$ , which is a contradiction since  $P' \in K \setminus \partial K$  and  $P \notin K$ . Observe also that  $|A'| \leq |A|$ , as otherwise the *c*-local search algorithm would extend  $A \setminus K$  to a solution larger than A (if |A'| > |A|, then  $K \not\subseteq A$ , and thus  $A \setminus K$  is obtained from A by deleting less than *c* elements).

We conclude that

$$|A \cap K| \ge |O \cap (K \setminus \partial K)|$$

for every  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ . Therefore, denoting by s the excess of  $\mathcal{K}$ , we have

$$\begin{split} |A| &\geq \sum_{K \in \mathcal{K}} |A \cap (K \setminus \partial K)| \geq -s + \sum_{K \in \mathcal{K}} |A \cap K| \\ &\geq -s + \sum_{K \in \mathcal{K}} |O \cap (K \setminus \partial K)| \geq -2s + \sum_{K \in \mathcal{K}} |O \cap K| \\ &\geq -2s + |O| \geq (1 - \varepsilon)|O|, \end{split}$$

as required.

### Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Abhiruk Lahiri for discussions about these problems.

## References

 DVOŘÁK, Z., KRÁL', D., AND THOMAS, R. Testing first-order properties for subclasses of sparse graphs. *Journal of the ACM (JACM) 60*, 5 (2013), 36.

- [2] DVOŘÁK, Z., AND NORIN, S. Strongly sublinear separators and polynomial expansion. SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics 30 (2016), 1095–1101.
- [3] HAR-PELED, S., AND QUANRUD, K. Notes on approximation algorithms for polynomial-expansion and low-density graphs. arXiv 1603.03098 (2016).
- [4] HAR-PELED, S., AND QUANRUD, K. Approximation algorithms for polynomial-expansion and low-density graphs. SIAM Journal on Computing 46, 6 (2017), 1712–1744.
- [5] ZHU, X. Colouring graphs with bounded generalized colouring number. Discrete Math. 309, 18 (2009), 5562–5568.