

Fracton-elasticity duality on curved manifolds

Lazaros Tsaloukidis,^{1,2,*} José J. Fernández-Melgarejo,^{3,†} Javier Molina-Vilaplana,^{4,‡} and Piotr Surówka^{5,§}

¹Max Planck Institute for the Physics of Complex Systems, Nöthnitzer Straße 38, 01187 Dresden, Germany

²Würzburg-Dresden Cluster of Excellence ct.qmat, 01187 Dresden, Germany

³Departamento de Electromagnetismo y Electrónica, Universidad de Murcia, Campus de Espinardo, 30100 Murcia, Spain

⁴Departamento de Automática, Universidad Politécnica de Cartagena, Calle Dr. Fleming, S/N, 30202 Cartagena, Spain

⁵Institute for Theoretical Physics, Wrocław University of Science and Technology, 50-370, Wrocław, Poland

(Dated: April 25, 2023)

Mechanical properties of crystals on curved substrates mix elastic, geometric and topological degrees of freedom. In order to elucidate properties of such crystals we formulate the low-energy effective action that combines metric degrees of freedom with displacement fields and defects. We propose new dualities for elasticity coupled to curved geometry formulated in terms of tensor gauge theories. We show that the metric degrees of freedom, evolving akin to linearized gravity are mapped to tensors with three indices. When coupled to crystals these degrees of freedom become gapped and, in the presence of dislocations and disclinations, multivalued. The elastic degrees of freedom remain gapless and mapped to symmetric gauge fields with two indices. In the dual formulation, topological defects, which act as sources for the gauge fields, are fractons or excitations with restricted mobility. We show that mobility restrictions are manifest only when singularities in both displacement fields and metric are taken into account.

Introduction—Two-dimensional crystals on curved substrates emerge in a variety of systems including colloids [1], metamaterials [2] and biomatter [3]. In order to elucidate mechanical properties of such systems one requires a consistent framework of crystallography on curved manifolds. Crystallization of atoms on non-flat topographies naturally leads to the emergence of topological defects. This follows from the fact that the equilibrium structure of crystals is encoded in the arrangement of disclinations and dislocations. The goal of this Letter is to formulate the low-energy effective description of curved crystalline fields and investigate the dynamics of defects. In order to achieve this goal we propose a new duality between elasticity and gauge theories that incorporates both the metric degrees of freedom and elastic displacements.

Flat-space theory of elasticity serves as a description of deformed solid bodies. In the traditional formulation the deformation is parameterized by a displacement field that captures the departure from the equilibrium configuration. The microscopic intuition behind the displacement field is given in terms of fluctuations of atoms around the equilibrium arrangement of their position on the lattice. The crystalline lattice results from a spontaneous symmetry breaking of translations in space. During crystal growth atoms can migrate from their lattice positions and a mismatch in crystal planes can occur. This leads to the formation of defects. Vacancies in the lattice structure are point defects that do not cause additional stresses. On the other hand line or plane irregularities within a crystal create non-local stresses. We call such quantities topological. These stresses cannot be removed without cutting the crystal and global rearrangements of the lattice structure. In two dimensions topological defects are represented as points or singularities of the elastic fields. In most of materials the

defects are created because of impurities contained in the sample. However, in two dimensions crystallization on a curved substrate provides another mechanism, that necessarily leads to the formation of defects (See Fig. (1)). This conforms to the usual lore that topological defects are sources of curvature and torsion. Therefore a consistent description of a crystal on a curved substrate should account for geometry, defects and fluctuations of atoms forming the crystal [4].

Our construction extends modern developments in the theory of elasticity, namely fracton-elasticity dualities used to reformulate elasticity as gauge theories [5–16] and crystal gravity employed to marry the dynamics of metric degrees of freedom with spontaneous symmetry breaking of crystals [17]. We introduce topological defects as singularities that lead to multivalued fields both in elasticity and gravity. This extends earlier developments in this direction [18]. Our main results are the following: 1) elasticity on curved backgrounds admits the dual formulation in terms of tensor gauge fields, 2) dislocations in symmetric elasticity on curved manifolds are fractons, exhibiting mobility restrictions. As a byproduct of our analysis we show that linearized gravity can be formulated as a gauge theory.

Our theory opens up many directions in elasticity in curved substrates. First of all it gives a consistent low-energy field theory approach to the problem. This is in contrast to previous developments that are rather phenomenological and do not fall in the effective field theory paradigm [19–21]. In addition our work avoids many difficulties with the physical interpretation, in terms of spontaneous symmetry breaking, of the proposals in the mathematical literature [22] or in general relativity [23, 24], which aim at a direct covariant formulation of elasticity. We can consistently study the dynamics of elastic fields and defects on curved backgrounds and provide a clear physical interpretation of the resulting dynamics. The formalism also allows for extensions to account for new degrees of freedom both in passive and active solids. It complements developments in soft matter physics, that focus on geometric properties of crystal shells (see e.g. [25, 26]).

* Itsalouk@pks.mpg.de

† melgarejo@um.es

‡ javi.molina@upct.es

§ piotr.surowka@pwr.edu.pl




FIG. 1: a) As an example of a crystal on a curved manifold we start with a sphere. b) We allow atoms to break the rotation symmetry spontaneously by creating a crystal on its surface. c) Curvature is visible by the necessity of including pentagons in a hexagonal lattice, which unfolded on a plane shows angle deficiency.

Dual formulation of linearized metric fluctuations—As a first step before considering crystalline structures with curvature we start with a membrane described by a linearized theory of metric fluctuations in $2 + 1$ dimensions

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^2x dt [(\partial_t h_{ij})^2 - \kappa(\partial_k h_{ij})^2], \quad (1)$$

where h_{ij} refers to a small metric fluctuation around a fixed background and κ is a constant parameter. This is the Fierz-Pauli action in the helical projection when the time components are omitted. In general κ could be a constant tensor, however, this renders the expressions more cumbersome. Since it does not affect the dualization procedure, we only choose to work with the most asymmetric term comprising the theory. Notice that similar models have been considered in the context of polymerized membranes [27] or linearized gravity (see e.g. [28]). In our case, Eq. (1) can be viewed as a toy model to set the stage for elasticity on a curved background and illustrate the steps that are necessary to construct the duality for a theory that contains a metric. We ask the question if this theory has a dual formulation as a gauge theory. In fact such a question in the context of gravity has a long history with different approaches that range from rewriting gravity in terms of gauge variables [29] to developments based on gauging the algebraic structure of gravity [30–34]. In this work, having in mind applications to elasticity, we perform a Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation on Eq. (1), which is at the core of elasticity/gauge theory dualities. For given sets of conjugate tensor fields ϕ and ψ we can compactly write it as

$$\exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \psi M \psi \right] = \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \int D\phi \exp \left[\frac{-1}{2} \phi M^{-1} \phi + \psi \phi \right], \quad (2)$$

where \mathcal{N} is a normalization factor. Here we take the conjugate fields to be $L_{ij} = \partial_t h_{ij}$, $K_{kij} = \kappa \partial_k h_{ij}$. So far we have assumed that the metric is smooth. We can also introduce

singularities by the following splitting $h_{ij} = \bar{h}_{ij} + h_{ij}^{(s)}$, with (s) denoting the singular part. The dual action is

$$S_{\text{HS}} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^2x dt \left[\frac{1}{\kappa} (K_{kij})^2 - (L_{ij})^2 - 2K_{kij} \partial_k h_{ij}^{(s)} + 2L_{ij} \partial_t h_{ij}^{(s)} \right]. \quad (3)$$

In deriving Eq. (3), we have integrated out the smooth part, leading to the conservation law

$$\partial_t L_{ij} - \partial_k K_{kij} = 0. \quad (4)$$

The defects of the theory are points in space where partial derivatives do not commute. Their density form, as well as the current associated with them, are given:

$$\tilde{\rho}_{ij} = \epsilon_{kl} \partial_l \partial_k h_{ij}^{(s)}, \quad (5a)$$

$$\tilde{J}_{kij} = \epsilon_{kl} (\partial_l \partial_t - \partial_t \partial_l) h_{ij}^{(s)}. \quad (5b)$$

The defect density is described by a tensor density. Eq. (4) is a continuity equation that, as it will be shown below, can be resolved in terms of gauge fields $\hat{A}_{lij} \tilde{\phi}_{ij}$. We present the details in a combined theory, in which the coupling of h_{ij} to elasticity has been introduced.

Elasticity on curved background—Crystallization occurs as a spontaneous symmetry breaking of the translation symmetry. Such a process does not require the underlying manifold to be flat. However, if a lattice forms on a curved substrate the curvature is encoded in topological defects that cannot be avoided. It is important to note that defects are singularities in the macroscopic fields, which in this case correspond to displacements or lattice vibrations and the metric. As a result, contrary to flat lattices, the equilibrium configuration contains defects. If new defects are created in the equilibrium configuration, they affect both metric and displacement degrees of

freedom. Before we study the dynamics of defects we focus on a region of space without defects. Such a configuration can be understood similarly to the spontaneous symmetry breaking present in superconductors. Here, the strain field, plays the role of the order parameter for the metric [17]. The low-energy theory that describes both the rigidity of the solid plate and the linearized fluctuations of the metric is given by

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^2x dt [\rho_d (\partial_t u_i)^2 + (\partial_t h_{ij})^2 - (u_{ij} + h_{ij}) C_{ijkl} (u_{kl} + h_{kl}) - \kappa (\partial_k h_{ij})^2].$$

In the above, ρ_d is the material density, u_i is the displacement field, $u_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_i u_j + \partial_j u_i)$ the strain field and C_{ijkl} the usual 4-rank elasticity tensor whose exact form is dictated by the symmetries present in the crystal. For simplicity we expand around flat space, however, the procedure can be generalized as an expansion around arbitrarily curved substrates. An important aspect of this theory is that the metric degrees of freedom, or "gravitons" become gapped, while the fluctuations of atoms remain gapless. Spontaneous symmetry breaking of elasticity requires massive Stückelberg fields as already anticipated in [13, 35]. Here the tensor of elastic coefficients plays the role of mass parameters. We note that a generic displacement fluctuation does not belong to the original manifold and has to be accompanied by a fluctuation of geometry.

We now extend the theory to include defects in the picture. In order to do this consistently we need to account both for singularities of the displacement field and the metric. An attempt in this direction was already done in [18], where a purely geometric theory was constructed. However, we find it convenient to keep both the displacement fields and the metric. Thus we proceed by splitting down the displacement field into its singular and smooth phonon pieces. Analogously the metric is also divided into singular and smooth parts. We dualize the resulting effective action by means of the Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation to obtain

$$S_{\text{HS}} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^2x dt \left[T_{ij} C_{ijkl}^{-1} T_{kl} - \frac{1}{\rho_d} (P_i)^2 + \frac{1}{\kappa} (K_{kij})^2 - (L_{ij})^2 + 2P_i \partial_t u_i^{(s)} + 2L_{ij} \partial_t h_{ij}^{(s)} - 2w_{ij}^{(s)} T_{ij} - 2\partial_k h_{ij}^{(s)} K_{kij} \right], \quad (6)$$

where now, T_{ij} is the generalized stress-tensor, P_i is the momentum density and K_{kij} and L_{ij} are their linearized gravity counterparts. The dual formulation of this theory parallels Cosserat elasticity [13], where gapless displacements are supplemented with gapped bond angles. By performing integration by parts on the last four terms of Eq. (6), we obtain the two dynamical laws governing the system

$$\partial_t P_i - \partial_j T_{ij} = 0, \quad (7)$$

$$\partial_t L_{ij} - \partial_k K_{kij} = T_{ij}. \quad (8)$$

Equation (7) above is the well-known Hooke's law with the stress tensor containing contributions from the bending of the

plate besides stretching and shearing. It is resolved by introducing the first set of gauge fields (ϕ_i, A_{ij})

$$P_i = \epsilon_{kl} \partial_k A_{li}, \quad T_{ij} = \epsilon_{jk} (\partial_t A_{ki} + \partial_k \phi_i), \quad (9)$$

Now, as it is commonly done in the context of elasticity/gauge duality, it is convenient to define the generalized electric and magnetic fields as

$$B_i = P_i, \quad E_{ij} = \epsilon_{ik} \epsilon_{jl} T_{kl} = \epsilon_{ik} (\partial_t A_{jk} + \partial_j \phi_k). \quad (10)$$

This way Eq. (7) takes the Faraday equation form. The second equation at hand, Eq. (8), captures the dynamics of defects from the metric fluctuations with the stress-tensor acting as a source. We introduce here the second set of gauge fields $(\tilde{\phi}_{ij}, \tilde{A}_{ijk})$, firstly by plugging the T_{ij} from Eq. (9), to get:

$$\tilde{B}_{ij} = L_{ij} - \epsilon_{jk} A_{ki} = \epsilon_{kl} \partial_k \tilde{A}_{lij}, \quad (11)$$

$$\tilde{E}_{kij} = K_{kij} + \epsilon_{jk} \phi_i = \epsilon_{kl} (\partial_t \tilde{A}_{lij} + \partial_l \tilde{\phi}_{ij}). \quad (12)$$

Both the rank-2 magnetic field tensor \tilde{B}_{ij} and the rank-3 electric field tensor \tilde{E}_{kij} are symmetric under the permutation $(i \leftrightarrow j)$. So, in total the theory includes four gauge fields in close similarity to the Cosserat theory of elasticity.

Eq. (8) means that L_{ij} is not a conserved quantity, however, both L_{ij} and K_{kij} are observables of the theory and they should be invariant under a specific class of gauge transformations that leaves both of these quantities unchanged, i.e. $\delta L_{ij} = 0$ and $\delta K_{kij} = 0$. These gauge transformations can be constructed explicitly

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \phi_i &= \partial_t \partial_i f, & \delta A_{ij} &= -\partial_i \partial_j f, \\ \delta \tilde{\phi}_{ij} &= -\partial_t \tilde{f}_{ij}, & \delta \tilde{A}_{lij} &= -\delta_{jl} \partial_i f + \partial_l \tilde{f}_{ij}, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where $f(\vec{x}, t)$ is any space-time scalar function and $\tilde{f}_{ij}(\vec{x}, t)$ is another space-time dependent tensor that is symmetric under exchange $i \leftrightarrow j$. By using equations (11) and (12) now, we can formulate the dual Lagrangian of the theory as function of the gauge fields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{dual}} &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\tilde{C}_{mnab} E_{mn} E_{ab} - \frac{1}{\rho_d} B_i B^i + \frac{1}{\kappa} (\tilde{E}_{kij} - \epsilon_{jk} \phi_i)^2 - (\tilde{B}_{ij} + \epsilon_{jk} A_{ki})^2 + \rho_i \phi_i - A_{ij} J_{ij} + \tilde{\rho}_{ij} \tilde{\phi}_{ij} - \tilde{A}_{kij} \tilde{J}_{kij} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where we define $\tilde{C}_{mnab}^{-1} = \epsilon_{mi} \epsilon_{nj} \epsilon_{ak} \epsilon_{bl} C_{ijkl}^{-1}$ for the rotated inverse elasticity tensor and we have also introduced the sources of defects, ρ and $\tilde{\rho}$ and currents J and \tilde{J} in our theory. The first two terms and the respective sources in the above equations are the familiar theory of fracton-elasticity duality [9]. From Eq. (14) the continuity equations read

$$\partial_t \rho_i + \partial_j J_{ij} = 0, \quad (15)$$

$$\partial_t \tilde{\rho}_{ij} + \partial_k \tilde{J}_{kij} = 0, \quad (16)$$

with the following sources and currents

$$\rho_i = \epsilon_{jk}(\partial_k u_{ij}^{(s)} + 2\partial_k h_{ij}^{(s)}), \quad (17a)$$

$$J_{ki} = \epsilon_{jk}[(\partial_j \partial_t - \partial_t \partial_j)u_i^{(s)} - 2\partial_t h_{ij}^{(s)}], \quad (17b)$$

and $\tilde{\rho}$ and \tilde{J} given by Eqns. (5a) and (5b) respectively. Equation (15) describes the dislocation defects, represented by a vector charge, that are able to only move along their respective Burgers vector (glide motion). Remarkably, the fracton vector source ρ_i and the current J_{ij} here depend on both singular parts of the strain tensor as well as the linearized metric tensor. These relations thus generalize fractonic behavior of elastic defects to curved manifolds. This is seen as follows: firstly we begin by calculating the canonical momentum conjugate to the tensor field $\delta S/\delta(\partial_t A_{ij}) = \tilde{C}_{ijkl}^{-1} E_{kl} = \Pi_{ij}$. This leads to the Gauss law for the vector charge theory describing dislocations.

$$\partial_j \tilde{C}_{ijkl}^{-1} E_{kl} = \partial_j \Pi_{ij} = \rho_i. \quad (18)$$

The reduced Gauss law is given by acting on the above expression with the derivative operator $\partial_i \partial_j \Pi_{ij} = \rho$, where

$$\partial_i \rho_i = \rho = \epsilon_{jk}(\partial_i \partial_k u_{ij}^{(s)} + 2\partial_i \partial_k h_{ij}^{(s)}) \quad (19)$$

describes now the scalar immobile charges of the theory, i.e. disclinations. The scalar density ρ captures contributions from the orientational defects in the crystal and their counterparts arising from the metric fluctuations. One can also rewrite the

above equation in terms of variables used in symmetric elasticity and the defect density associated with the metric

$$\partial_t(\rho^* - 2\tilde{\rho}_{ii}) + \partial_k \partial_i J_{ki}^* = 0, \quad (20)$$

where $\rho^* = \epsilon_{jk} \partial_i \partial_k u_{ij}^{(s)}$ and $J_{ki}^* = \epsilon_{jk}(\partial_j \partial_t - \partial_t \partial_j)u_i^{(s)}$. In analogy with Cosserat elasticity we see that in order to correctly capture the defect dynamics and their fractonic nature we need to take into account gapped fluctuations of the curved manifold. In this sense defect dynamics on an elastic shell provides a physical realization of fractons on curved backgrounds.

Discussion—In this work we have shown that elasticity on curved manifolds can be formulated in terms of tensor gauge variables. Elastic defects remain fractonic, i.e. they exhibit mobility constraints. In accord with previous expectations we show that the duality does not map elasticity to tensor gauge theories coupled to curvature, which would break gauge invariance [36–38]. A resolution of this puzzle is that in the dual language metric fluctuations are also mapped to tensor gauge variables.

Acknowledgments—J.J.F.-M. and J.M.-V. thanks the financial support of Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación PID2021-125700NAC22. P.S. acknowledges support from the Polish National Science Centre (NCN) Sonata Bis grant 2019/34/E/ST3/00405. P.S. thanks University of Murcia for hospitality and support from the Talento program. L.T. has been supported in part by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft through the cluster of excellence ct.qmat (Exzellenzcluster 2147, Project 390858490).

-
- [1] V. Koning and V. Vitelli, Crystals and Liquid Crystals Confined to Curved Geometries, in *Fluids, Colloids and Soft Materials*, edited by A. Fernandez-Nieves and A. M. Puertas (Wiley, Hoboken, NJ, 2016) pp. 369–386.
- [2] K. Bertoldi, V. Vitelli, J. Christensen, and M. van Hecke, Flexible mechanical metamaterials, *Nature Reviews Materials* **2**, 1 (2017).
- [3] T. Lecuit and P.-F. Lenne, Cell surface mechanics and the control of cell shape, tissue patterns and morphogenesis, *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology* **8**, 633 (2007).
- [4] H. Kleinert, *Gauge fields in condensed matter* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1989).
- [5] H. Kleinert, Duality transformation for defect melting, *Physics Letters A* **91**, 295 (1982).
- [6] H. Kleinert, Double gauge theory of stresses and defects, *Physics Letters A* **97**, 51 (1983).
- [7] A. J. Beekman, J. Nissinen, K. Wu, and J. Zaanen, Dual gauge field theory of quantum liquid crystals in three dimensions, *Physical Review B* **96**, 165115 (2017).
- [8] A. Beekman, J. Nissinen, K. Wu, K. Liu, R.-J. Slager, Z. Nussinov, V. Cvetkovic, and J. Zaanen, Dual gauge field theory of quantum liquid crystals in two dimensions, *Physics Reports* **683**, 1 (2017).
- [9] M. Pretko and L. Radzihovsky, Fracton-Elasticity Duality, *Physical Review Letters* **120**, 195301 (2018).
- [10] M. Pretko, Z. Zhai, and L. Radzihovsky, Crystal-to-fracton tensor gauge theory dualities, *Physical Review B* **100**, 134113 (2019).
- [11] L. Radzihovsky and M. Hermele, Fractons from Vector Gauge Theory, *Physical Review Letters* **124**, 050402 (2020).
- [12] L. Radzihovsky, Quantum Smectic Gauge Theory, *Physical Review Letters* **125**, 267601 (2020).
- [13] A. Gromov and P. Surówka, On duality between Cosserat elasticity and fractons, *SciPost Physics* **8**, 065 (2020).
- [14] P. Surówka, Dual gauge theory formulation of planar quasicrystal elasticity and fractons, *Physical Review B* **103**, L201119 (2021).
- [15] Y. Hirono and Y.-H. Qi, Effective field theories for gapless phases with fractons via a coset construction, *Physical Review B* **105**, 205109 (2022).
- [16] A. Caddeo, C. Hoyos, and D. Musso, Emergent dipole gauge fields and fractons, *Physical Review D* **106**, L111903 (2022).
- [17] A. Beekman, F. Balm, and J. Zaanen, Crystal gravity, *SciPost Physics* **13**, 039 (2022).
- [18] H. Kleinert, *Multivalued Fields* (World Scientific, Singapore, 2008).
- [19] E. Efrati, E. Sharon, and R. Kupferman, Elastic theory of unconstrained non-Euclidean plates, *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids* **57**, 762 (2009).
- [20] M. Moshe, E. Sharon, and R. Kupferman, Elastic interactions between two-dimensional geometric defects, *Physical Review E* **92**, 062403 (2015).

- [21] S. Li, R. Zandi, and A. Travesset, Elasticity in curved topographies: Exact theories and linear approximations, *Physical Review E* **99**, 063005 (2019).
- [22] P. G. Ciarlet, *Theory of Shells* (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2000).
- [23] B. Carter and H. Quintana, Foundations of general relativistic high-pressure elasticity theory, *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. A. Mathematical and Physical Sciences* **331**, 57 (1972).
- [24] R. Beig and B. G. Schmidt, Relativistic elasticity, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **20**, 889 (2003).
- [25] R. D. Kamien, The geometry of soft materials: a primer, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **74**, 953 (2002).
- [26] M. J. Bowick and L. Giomi, Two-dimensional matter: order, curvature and defects, *Advances in Physics* **58**, 449 (2009).
- [27] M. Paczuski, M. Kardar, and D. R. Nelson, Landau Theory of the Crumpling Transition, *Physical Review Letters* **60**, 2638 (1988).
- [28] H. W. Hamber, *Quantum Gravitation* (Springer, Berlin, 2008).
- [29] E. Witten, 2 + 1 dimensional gravity as an exactly soluble system, *Nuclear Physics B* **311**, 46 (1988).
- [30] R. Utiyama, Invariant Theoretical Interpretation of Interaction, *Physical Review* **101**, 1597 (1956).
- [31] T. W. B. Kibble, Lorentz Invariance and the Gravitational Field, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **2**, 212 (1961).
- [32] D. W. Sciama, On the analogy between charge and spin in general relativity, in *Recent Developments in General Relativity* (Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1962).
- [33] E. A. Ivanov and J. Niederle, Gauge formulation of gravitation theories. I. The Poincaré, de Sitter, and conformal cases, *Physical Review D* **25**, 976 (1982).
- [34] G. Grignani and G. Nardelli, Gravity and the Poincaré group, *Physical Review D* **45**, 2719 (1992).
- [35] F. Peña-Benítez, Fractons, symmetric gauge fields and geometry, *Physical Review Research* **5**, 013101 (2023).
- [36] K. Slagle, A. Prem, and M. Pretko, Symmetric tensor gauge theories on curved spaces, *Annals of Physics* **410**, 167910 (2019).
- [37] A. Jain and K. Jensen, Fractons in curved space, *SciPost Physics* **12**, 142 (2022).
- [38] L. Bidussi, J. Hartong, E. Have, J. Musaeus, and S. Prohazka, Fractons, dipole symmetries and curved spacetime, *SciPost Physics* **12**, 205 (2022).