On Using Non-Kekulé Triangular Graphene Quantum Dots for Scavenging Hazardous Sulfur Hexafluoride Components

Vaishali Roondhe1,*, Basant Roondhe² , Sumit Saxena² , Rajeev Ahuja3,4,* and Alok Shukla1,* ¹Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Mumbai-400076, Maharashtra, India ²Department of Metallurgical Engineering and Materials Science, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Mumbai-400076, Maharashtra, India ³Materials Theory Division, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Uppsala University, Box 516, Uppsala 75120, Sweden ⁴Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Ropar-140001, Punjab, India

Abstract

The goal of present study is to explore how the size and functionalization of graphene quantum dots (GQDs) affect their sensing capabilities. Specifically, we investigated the adsorption of SO_2 , SO_2F_2 , SO_2F_2 , and SF_6 on GQDs that were functionalized with -CH₃, -COCH3, and -NH2. We used density functional theory to analyse the electronic properties of these functionalized GQDs and found that the functionalization significantly altered their electronic properties. For example, the B3LYP H-L gap of pristine triangulene was 3.9eV, while the H-L gap of functionalized triangulene ranged from 2.8 eV-3.6 eV (using the B3LYP functional). Our results indicate that $-NH₂$ functionalized phenalenyl and triangulene provide strong interaction with SO_2 , with adsorption energies of -0.429 eV and -0.427 eV, respectively. These adsorption properties exhibit physisorption, leading to high gas sensitivity and superior recovery time. The findings of this study provide new insights into the potential use of GQDs for detecting the decomposed constituents of sulfur hexafluoride, which can be beneficial for assessing the operation status of $SF₆$ insulated devices. Overall, our calculations suggest that functionalized GQDs can be employed in gas insulated systems for partial discharge detection.

 \overline{a}

^{*} Corresponding Author:

Email addresses: shukla@phy.iitb.ac.in (A. Shukla), oshivaishali@gmail.com (V. Roondhe), rajeev.ahuja@physics.uu.se (R. Ahuja)

KEYWORDS: graphene quantum dot, sulfur hexafluoride, density functional theory, chemical functionalization, electronic properties

1. Introduction

Sulfur hexafluoride (SF_6) is an odourless, nontoxic, non-flammable, colourless and inert gas that possesses excellent insulation and strong chemical stability [1-2]. Its dielectric strength and chemical inertness make it a crucial medium for electrical insulators and in gasinsulated switchgear (GIS) for power systems as an arc-quenching medium [3-4]. However, in certain cases, partial discharge can occur when the electric field is intensified over time, leading to the decomposition of SF6. The resulting products, such as SO_2 , H_2S , SO_2F_2 , CF_4 , and SOF2, are responsible for equipment corrosion and can potentially lead to system failure [5-9]. Current methods used to detect these decomposed products of $SF₆$ include ultrasonic, ultrahigh frequency, gas sensing and optical measurement methods [10-12]. Among these, the gas sensing method is highly recommended due to its high sensitivity in less volume and the most important thing, it is cost effective $[13-16]$. However, gases such as SO_2 , SOF_2 , and $SO₂F₂$, which have extremely low concentrations on the order of parts per million (PPM), are challenging to detect with conventional sensors [17].

Nanomaterials based on graphene have received significant attention in the scientific community following the successful fabrication of graphene [18]. For both fundamental science and practical applications, graphene oxide (GO), reduced graphene oxide (rGO), and graphene quantum dots (GQD) have been extensively studied [19-22]. Within the graphene family, GQD possess superior properties such as chemical inertness, low cytotoxicity, size and shape dependent photoluminescence etc. [23-25], make them useful for various applications in electronics (super capacitor, flash memory etc.), optical (photodetector, phototransistor etc.), medical (drug delivery, cancer phototherapy etc.) and energy [26-32]. Due to large surface-to-volume ratio, GQD also have superior sensing properties [24,33] making them useful in electrical and optical gas sensing devices [33-34]. A group of GQD have closed-shell electronic structures, while some, due to their unique topology, may have open-shell structure with high-spin ground states [35-36]. Open-shell GQD with π-electrons near the Fermi level have a delocalized radical character, which is useful for spintronics [37- 39] and energy-related applications [40]. Closed-shell graphene fragments have closed-shell electronic configuration (no unpaired electrons) with π -electrons in bonding orbitals. However, open-shell graphene fragments have unpaired electrons or partially unpaired electrons, which results in non-bonding single occupied molecular orbitals (SOMO) in molecular orbital theory. For example, graphene fragments with two unpaired electrons are also known as biradical hydrocarbons, in which the ground state has two nonbonding molecular orbitals filled by two unpaired electrons [41]. Open-shell graphene fragments are unique in nature, possessing intriguing optical, electronic, magnetic properties and crystalline structures as compared to their closed-shell counterparts. As a result, open-shell graphene fragments have numerous potential applications in photovoltaic devices [42], spintronic devices [43-44] etc. As stated by Lambert, "The future of these biradical PAHs clearly lies in materials science" [45]. Although useful in their pristine form, oxygen (−OH, −O−, −OCH3, −COOH etc), amine (−NH2) and methyl (−CH3) functionalized GQDs generally have improved solubility and superior quantum yield (QY) due to changes in their electronic structure caused by functionalization [46-48].

The study of sensing SF_6 decomposition products on GQDs is motivated by the need to monitor and detect the breakdown of $SF₆$, a commonly used gas in electrical equipment such as switchgear and circuit breakers. $SF₆$ is a powerful insulator and arc quencher, but it is also a potent greenhouse gas with a global warming potential of $23,500$ times that of $CO₂$. SF₆ decomposition products can indicate the presence of partial discharges, corona discharges, or other electrical faults in the equipment, which can lead to equipment failure if left unaddressed. Sensing SF_6 decomposition products on GQDs can provide a sensitive and selective method for detecting these breakdowns, and thus, enabling early maintenance and preventing equipment failure. In this current work, we computationally study the sensing properties of three different GQDs (phenalenyl, triangulene, and extended triangulene) for gases SO_2 , SO_2 , SO_2 F₂ and SF_6 using a DFT methodology.

2. Computational Methodology

As mentioned earlier, we employed the Gaussian16 suit of programs [49] to perform all the DFT calculations presented in this work. In the first step, we optimized the geometries of −CH3, −COCH³ and −NH2 functionalized phenalenyl, triangulene and extended triangulene. In the simulation process the charge is neutral for all the considered structures along with the molecules adsorbated. The multiplicity of doublet, triplet and quartet is utilized for phenalenyl, triangulene and extended-triangulene, respectively, due to presence of one, two and three unpaired electrons. To address the significant role played by van der Waals (vdW) interactions in systems involving adsorption, we utilized the ωB97XD functional. This functional incorporates London dispersion corrections that account for the long-range interactions that arise during the adsorption process. The ωB97XD functional includes Grimme's van der Waals (vdW) correction term of $-C_6/R^6$, also known as the GD2 dispersion model. The mathematical expression for the dispersion correction term is as follows:

$$
E_{disp}^{D2} = -S_6 \sum_{i>j}^{Natoms} \frac{c_6^{ij}}{(R_{ij})^6} f_{damp}(R_{ij})
$$
 (1)

Here, $f_{damp}(R_{ij}) = \frac{1}{1 + a(R_{ij}/R_r)^{-12}}$ represents the damping function. R_r is the sum of vdW radii of the atomic pair ij , and the parameter, a , governs the power of dispersion corrections. The number of atoms in the system is denoted by N_{atoms} in Eqn. (1). Additionally, C_6^1 represents the dispersion coefficient for the atom pair ij , while R_{ij} denotes the interatomic distance between them. The value of the fitting parameter S_6 , used in the damping function to

account for the correlation of this additive dispersion term, was included in the ωB97XD functional, and set to 1.0 [50] In addition, we utilized the hybrid functional B3LYP [51-52], which is a combination of the Becke exchange functional (Becke three parameter) with the Hartree-Fock exchange term. The hybrid B3LYP functional incorporates a non-local correlation functional from LYP (Lee, Yang and Parr) and a local correlation functional of the form VWN (Vosko, Wilk, and Nusair). We employed a 6-31G (D) split-valence basis set, which consists of six Gaussian functions for describing inner-shell orbitals and a split-valence set of four Gaussians for the valence orbitals, with subsets of 3 and 1. The gases to be adsorbed were initially placed parallel to the surfaces of the functionalized GQDs, and the whole systems (GOD+adsorbed gas) were then permitted to relax until the gradient forces achieved the predetermined threshold of 0.00045 Hartree. The optimized structure and the molecular orbitals were visualized with GaussView (version 6). The adsorption energy (E_{ad}) of SO_2 , SO_2F_2 , SO_2F_2 and SF_6 molecules on the three functionalized GQDs was computed using the equation [53-54]:

$$
E_{ad} = E_{g+GQDs} - (E_g + E_{GQDs})
$$
\n⁽²⁾

where E_{g+GQDs} is the optimized total energy for the gas molecule $g = SO_2$, SOF_2 , SO_2F_2 and $SF₆$ adsorbed over $GQDs$ = phenalenyl, triangulene and extended triangulene, E_g is the optimized total energy of individual gas molecule g and E_{GQDs} is the optimized energy of pristine GQDs (phenalenyl, and triangulene and extended triangulene). Using the definition, negative value of E_{ad} value shows a stable adsorption complex on the GQD. To further check the effect of higher basis set, we have evaluated the E_{ad} using def2-TZVPP triple zeta basis set and the discussion is presented in supplementary material. The formation energy E_f are calculated using equation:

$$
E_f = \frac{1}{N} \left[E_{functionalized\ GQDs} - nE_c - nE_H - nE_{O/N} \right]
$$
 (3)

where $E_{functionalized GODs}$ is the total energy of the functionalized phenalenyl, triangulene and extended triangulene system, E_c , E_H and $E_{O/N}$ is the total energy of individual carbon, hydrogen, oxygen or nitrogen. N represents total number of atoms in the system while, \boldsymbol{n} represents individual number of atom respectively. We observed negative formation energy for all systems, conforming thermodynamical stability and also suggest finite possibility of these materials to be synthesize experimentally. The results obtained using ωB97XD functional are presented in the main text, while those corresponding to B3LYP are presented in the supplementary material.

3. Results and Discussion

In this study, we focus on three triangular GQDs with unique topology (i) phenalenyl, which have three fused benzene rings and a total spin quantum number of 1/2, (ii) triangulene which has six fused benzene rings and a total spin quantum number of, and (iii) extended triangulene, which has ten fused benzene rings and a total spin quantum number of 3/2. These GQDs have unusual topologies that prevent the formation of an aromatic structure without the presence of unpaired electrons, resulting in high-spin ground states. Additionally, to investigate the effect of different functional groups on the sensing of gases SO_2 , SO_2F_2 , SO_2F_2 and $SF₆$ gases, we functionalize phenalenyl, triangulene and extended triangulene with three different groups: methyl (-CH_3), ketone (COCH₃) and amino (NH₂). These functional groups are chosen based on previous experimental and theoretical studies [23,48,55]. For simplicity, phenalenyl with functional group is denoted as $Phe+CH_3$, $Phe+COCH_3$ and Phe+NH₂ triangulene with functional group is denoted as $Tri+CH_3$, $Tri+COCH_3$ and Tri+NH2, and the extended triangulene as Ex-Tri+CH3, Ex-Tri+COCH3 and Ex-Tri+NH2. Our results were obtained using the ωB97XD functional, which includes long-range corrections for a more accurate description of adsorption properties. The results of the B3LYP functional can be found in the supplementary material.

3.1 Structural Properties

Figure 1: Optimized structures of SO_2 **,** SO_2F_2 **,** SO_2F_2 **, and** SF_6 **molecules. The green, red and purple balls represent sulfur, oxygen and fluorine atoms respectively.**

Before examining the adsorption process, the structures of the four gas molecules and the three GQDs functionalized with various groups were optimized individually to obtain their most stable configurations. First, we will discuss the optimized structures of the individual gas molecules SO_2 , SO_2F_2 , SO_2F_2 and SF_6 (see Fig. 1(a-d)). In the case of the SO_2 molecule, the bond length of S-O bond is 1.469 Å with bond angle of 119.127°. In SOF₂, sulfur is the centre atom bonding with both fluorine atoms and oxygen atom, comprising sp^2 hybridization. The S-O and S-F bond lengths are 1.445 Å and 1.627 Å respectively. The F-S-O bond angle is 107.07°, while F-S-F bond angle is 92.652 °. In the case of SO_2F_2 sulfur is the centre atom bonding with both fluorine atoms and oxygen atoms leading to $sp³$ hybridization. The S-O and S-F bond lengths are 1.434 Å and 1.586 Å, respectively. In the case of $SF₆$, sulfur is the central atom bonding with six fluorine atoms comprising F-S bond length of 1.6 Å and F-S-F bond angles 90˚. These results are in good agreement with the previous reports [56-57].

Next, we will discuss the optimized structures of the functionalized GQDs both with and without the adsorbed molecules, followed by their electronic properties. For the adsorption process, initially the molecules are placed parallel to the GQDs so as to provide them with more access to the surface areas.

Figure 2: Optimized structures of SO2, SOF2, SO2F2, and SF6 over CH3 edge-functionalized phenalenyl calculated with wB97XD functional.

3.1.1 Molecule adsorption on phenalenyl

In Figure 2, we present the optimized structures of isolated $Phe + CH_3$, and the structures with various gas molecules adsorbed on it. For the $SO₂$ molecule, it is located slightly towards the centre of phenalenyl with its oxygen atoms over both hexagonal rings with S-C bond distance of 3.1 Å. The SO_2 structure does not present any noticeable modification in the bond lengths, however, the O–S–O bond angle has decreased from 119.127° to 118.42°. In the case of SOF₂ adsorption on Phe+CH₃, the S-C adsorption distance changes from 2 \AA to 3.2 \AA with no modification in S–O and S–F bond lengths. The bond angle of F–S–O decreases from 107.07˚ to 106.50° and the bond angle of F–S–F changes from 92.652° to 92.126° . When SO_2F_2 is adsorbed on Phe+CH₃, the S-C adsorption distance becomes 3.5 \AA with minor to no change in bond lengths and bond angles of the gas molecule. The SO_2F_2 molecule is slightly shifted with fluorine atom tilting towards the carbon atoms. In the case of $SF₆$ adsorption on Phe+CH₃, the S-C adsorption distance is the highest with 4.47 \AA , as compared to all other cases considered here. The bond lengths and bond angles of $SF₆$ depict no change in its structure as molecule is shifted far away and towards the edge of Phe+CH3.

Figure 3: Optimized structures of SO2, SOF2, SO2F2, and SF6 over COCH3 edge-functionalized calculated with wB97XD functional.

In Figure 3, we present the optimized structure of $Phe+COCH₃$ with various gas molecules (SO_2 , SO_2F_2 , SO_2F_2 and SF_6) adsorbed on it. Similar to the case of SO_2 adsorption on Phe+CH3, the molecule is located slightly towards the edge, closer to the oxygen atom of functional group. The O–S–O bond angle has decreased from 119.127˚ to 118.35˚ (lower than Phe+CH₃). In the case of SOF_2 adsorption on Phe+COCH₃, the molecule is slightly shifted with S-C distance 3.2 Å, similar to the case of SOF_2 over Phe+CH₃. The bond angle of F–S– O decrease from 107.07˚ to 106.62˚, and bond angle of F–S–F changes from 92.652˚ to 92.28°. In the case of SO_2F_2 molecule over Phe+COCH₃, the adsorbed molecule has not been shifted, but the adsorption distance changes to 3.5 Å with fluorine atom facing the GQD. Similar to SF_6 adsorption over Phe+CH₃, SF_6 is attracted towards the functional group making the initial S-C distance of 4.7 Å with no noticeable alteration in bond lengths and bond angles.

Figure 4: Optimized structures of SO2, SOF2, SO2F2, and SF6 over NH2 edge-functionalized phenalenyl calculated with wB97XD functional.

Figure 4 shows the optimized structures of gas molecules on Phe+NH₂. In the case of SO_2 adsorption, the molecule positions itself slightly towards the edge of the GQD, with the sulfur atom facing the hydrogen atom of $-NH₂$ functional group. The adsorption distance between the sulfur and nitrogen atom is 2.62 Å and the O–S–O bond angle has decreased from 119.127 \degree to 117.88 \degree . For the SOF₂ molecule, the adsorption is similar to that on both –CH₃ and –COCH₃ group, but on the opposite side of the Phe+NH₂ GQD. The bond angle of F–S– O decreases from 107.07˚ to 106.5˚ and the bond angle of F–S–F changes from 92.652˚ to 92.09°. The SO_2F_2 molecule adsorbed on Phe+NH₂ presents similar results as on Phe+CH₃. In contrast, $SF₆$ adsorption on Phe+NH₂ results in contrasting structural outcomes as compared to the other two functional groups, $-CH_3$ and $-COCH_3$. The molecule is repelled away from the GOD, with the S-F distance increasing from 2 \AA to 2.9 \AA .

3.1.2 Molecule adsorption on triangulene

Figure 5: Optimized structures of SO2, SOF2, SO2F2, and SF6 over CH3 edge-functionalized triangulene calculated with wB97XD

Figure 5 presents the optimized structure of Tri+CH₃ with and without gas molecules. The adsorption of SO_2 on Tri+CH₃ is similar to that on Phe+CH₃, with a similar adsorption distance and position after optimization. The bond angles are also analogous to those of the SO2 molecule adsorbed on Phe+CH3. The SOF2 molecule realigns itself to the opposite edge of functional group –CH3 with no changes in the S–O and S–F bond lengths. The bond angle of F–S–O decreases from 107.07˚ to 106.64˚ and bond angle of F–S–F changes from 92.652˚ to 92.23°. Similarly, to SOF_2 , SO_2F_2 is also repelled from the $-CH_3$ functional group to the edges of Tri+CH₃. In contrast to the SF_6 adsorption on Phe+CH₃, SF_6 on Tri+CH₃ is moved slightly away from the functional group with the adsorption distance of 2.9 Å. However, similar to SF_6 on Phe+CH₃, there are no obvious changes in bond lengths or bond angles.

Figure 6: Optimized structures of SO2, SOF2, SO2F2, and SF6 over COCH3 edge-functionalized triangulene calculated with wB97XD.

Figure 6 presents the optimized structure of Tri+COCH₃ along with gases. Unlike the adsorption of SO_2 over Phe+COCH₃, the molecule positions itself towards the methyl group of Tri+COCH3. The O–S–O bond angle has decreased from 119.127˚ to 118.42˚. The structure of SOF₂ and SO₂F₂ are similar to their adsorption on Tri+CH₃. In contrast to SF₆ adsorption over Phe+COCH₃, $SF₆$ is repelled away from the system, with a final adsorption distance of 3.9 Å.

Figure 7 presents the optimized structures of SO_2 , SO_2F_2 , SO_2F_2 and SF_6 adsorbed over Tri+NH₂. The sulfur atom of SO_2 molecule is attracted towards the nitrogen atom of the – $NH₂$ group in Tri+NH₂ with an adsorption distance of 2.62 Å. The O–S–O bond angle has decreased from 119.127° to 117.87°. The structural properties of $SOF₂$ are similar to its adsorption on Tri+COCH₃. Additionally, the structure of SO_2F_2 molecule when adsorbed on Tri+NH₂ is similar to its adsorption on Tri+COCH₃. The adsorption of SF_6 on Tri+NH₂ is similar to its adsorption on Phe+NH₂, with the $SF₆$ molecule slightly attracted towards the edge of Tri+NH2, with an adsorption distance of 4.6 Å.

Figure 7: Optimized structures of SO2, SOF2, SO2F2, and SF6 over NH2 edge-functionalized triangulene calculated with wB97XD functional.

3.1.3 Molecule adsorption on extended triangulene

Figure 8 presents the optimized structures of pristine Ex-Tri+CH3, along with those with the gas molecules adsorbed on it. For SO_2 , the molecule slightly tilts over the carbon atom, resulting in an S-C bond distance of 3.1 Å, which is similar to the case of Phe+CH₃. The SO₂ structure does not lead to any noticeable changes in the bond lengths, but the O–S–O bond angle decreases from 119.127° to 118.48°. SOF₂ adsorption over Ex-Tri+CH₃ is similar to that of $SOF₂$ over Tri+CH₃.

Figure 8: Optimized structures of SO2, SOF2, SO2F2, and SF6 over CH3 edge-functionalized extended-triangulene calculated with wB97XD functional.

When SO_2F_2 is adsorbed over Ex-Tri+CH₃, the S-C adsorption distance becomes 3.4 Å with minor to no change in bond lengths and bond angles of the gas molecule. Analogous to Phe+CH₃, the SO_2F_2 molecule slightly shifts towards the edges of Ex-Tri+CH₃. The SF₆ adsorption on Ex-Tri+CH₃ results in a similar structure as in the case of Phe+CH₃. Similar adsorption properties are observed when SO_2 and SOF_2 are adsorbed over Ex-Tri+COCH₃ (See Fig. 9). However, both SO_2F_2 and SF_6 are shifted towards the edge of GQD after adsorption, with similar adsorption distances as in case of Ex-Tri+CH3.

Figure 9: Optimized structures of SO2, SOF2, SO2F2, and SF6 over COCH3 edge-functionalized extended-triangulene calculated with wB97XD.

Fig 10 presents the optimized structures of SO_2 , SO_2F_2 , SO_2F_2 and SF_6 adsorption over Ex-Tri+NH₂. SO₂ is attracted towards the NH₂ functional group with adsorption distance between S and C atom 3.01 Å. The adsorption of SOF₂, SO_2F_2 and SF_6 results in similar configurations except for the adsorption distances, which, for the three molecules become 3.19 Å, 3.4 Å, and 3.9 Å, respectively.

To confirm the thermodynamical stability, we have calculated the formation energy (E_f) using Eq (3) for functionalized GQDs. The E_f for phenalenyl functionalized with -CH₃, -COCH₃ and -NH₂ are -6.314 eV, -6.957 eV and -6.388 eV, respectively. While in case of E_f for triangulene functionalized with -CH₃, -COCH₃ and -NH₂ are -6.729 eV, -7.153 eV and -6.79 eV, respectively. Further, E_f for extended triangulene functionalized with -CH₃, -COCH₃ and -NH₂ are -7.029 eV, -7.072 eV and -7.090 eV, respectively. The negative E_f for all GQDs confirms their thermodynamical stability and possible fabrication experimentally. Raman calculation is a powerful analytical tool for characterizing the structural stability of materials. The Raman spectrum is sensitive to the vibrational modes of a material and can provide information about the chemical bond structure and symmetry of a material. By monitoring changes in the Raman plot, researchers can determine if a material is undergoing structural changes or if its structure is remaining stable. The Raman plot can be used as a measure of the stability of the structure of a material over time. Raman analysis (presented in Fig S8 of Supplementary material) suggests that all the systems are dynamically stable as there are no imaginary frequencies.

Figure 10: Optimized structures of SO2, SOF2, SO2F2, and SF6 over NH2 edge-functionalized extended-triangulene calculated with wB97XD functional.

3.2 Electronic and Adsorption Properties

Calculations regarding the sensing ability are made using the principle that as the adsorbed gas molecules interact with the GQDs, the electron distribution in the system must change. This electron reorganization should manifest as a measurable change in the ability to conduct an electrical current, known as conductivity. The fundamental parameter that can be calculated using this is the molecular orbits or HOMO-LUMO gap. The electron donating and accepting ability of a system can be defined using the value of HOMO and LUMO energy. These molecular orbitals play vital role in electronic and optical properties, luminescence, photochemical reaction, UV-VIS, quantum chemistry etc. The molecular orbitals help in predicting the most reactive position of the studied system. Further, Mulliken charge transfer is calculated and tabulated in Tables 1-3, confirming the sensitivity of gas molecules SO_2 , SOF_2 , SO_2F_2 and SF_6 over phenalenyl, triangulene and extended triangulene.

Figure 11: Density of states of adsorption of SO_2 **,** SO_2F_2 **,** SO_2F_2 **, and** SF_6 **with (a) phenalenyl (b) triangulene and (c) extended-triangulene systems with wB97XD functional.**

Figure 11(a-c) depicts total density of states (TDOS) to further analyse the electronic structure from the perspective of size of the GQDs (phenalenyl, triangulene and extended triangulene), functional groups, and adsorbed molecules SO_2 , SO_2F_2 , SO_2F_2 and SF_6 . The total density of states gives the number of states present per energy range. The discrete levels are modified using Gaussian $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\alpha} \exp[-\frac{(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_i)^2}{2\alpha^2}]$ $\frac{a_1 - a_1}{2\alpha^2}$ as the broadening function. The adsorption of these gas molecules on Phe+CH3 leads to minor changes in highest occupied molecular orbitals (HOMO) and lowest unoccupied molecular orbitals (LUMO). However, the highest

peak of Phe+CH3 located around -13eV in valence band shifts and even splits in case of Phe+CH₃+SF₆. The HOMO and LUMO in Phe+CH₃+SOF₂ and Phe+CH₃+SO₂F₂ depict minor changes attributable to slight alteration in their structural properties. The energy HOMO-LUMO gap(H-L gap) of all considered systems are presented in Table 1.

The DOS plots of the gas molecules adsorbed on Phe+COCH₃ show that the HOMO exhibit trivial difference in all cases except Phe+COCH3+SO2. However, the LUMOs are shifted slightly towards higher energies. The molecules adsorbed over Phe+NH2 largely show similar features except that a shift in HOMO is observed for the case of $Phe + NH_2 + SO_2$. In all three cases of functionalized phenalenyl, SF_6 adsorbed systems acquire the highest peak in the valence region. The H-L gap changes significantly in case of Phe+NH₂ as compared to others.

Table 1: Energy H-L gap(Eg), Adsorption Energy (Ead) and Recovery time (τ) of structure of SO2, SOF2, SO2F2, and SF6 adsorption on phenalenyl.

Structure	Charge (e)	E_{σ} (eV)		E_{ad} (eV)		τ (ps)	
		B3LYP	ω B97XD	B3LYP	ωB97XD	B3LYP	ωB97XD
$Phe + CH3$		3.913	7.511		$\overline{}$		
$Phe + CH3+SO2$	$+0.03462$	3.595	7.544	-0.124	-0.256	121	19988
$Phe + CH3+SOF$	$+0.02214$	3.907	7.516	-0.137	-0.268	200	31796
Phe+CH ₃ +SO ₂ F ₂	$+0.00311$	3.901	7.537	-0.066	-0.227	12	6509
$Phe + CH_3 + SF_6$	-0.00998	3.696	7.502	-0.074	-0.184	17	1233
$Phe+COCH3$		4.083	7.71		-		
$Phe+COCH3+SO2$	$+0.04581$	4.108	7.40	-0.26	-0.241	23332	11188
$Phe+COCH3+SOF2$	$+0.01746$	3.956	7.71	-0.128	-0.252	141	17121
$Phe+COCH3+SO2F2$	$+0.00183$	4.041	7.724	-0.064	-0.223	11	5576
$Phe+COCH3+SF6$	-0.01299	4.08	7.714	-0.032	-0.201	3	2381
$Phe+NH2$		2.847	6.38				
$Phe+NH2+SO2$	$+0.09231$	3.359	7.01	-0.37	-0.429	1644093	16112404
$Phe+NH2+SOF2$	$+0.02352$	2.924	6.562	-0.247	-0.33	14110	349899
$Phe+NH2+SO2F2$	$+0.00294$	3.002	6.62	-0.122	-0.28	112	50579
$Phe+NH_2+SF_6$	-0.0028	2.549	6.58	-0.141	-0.166	233	614

The middle row in Fig. 11 shows the results of DOS for triangulene functionalized systems.

The energy gap changes noticeably only in case of $Tri+CH_3+SO_2$, from 7.18 eV to 7.02 eV. The minimum change in the gap is observed in case of $Tri+CH_3+SF_6$. Similar changes are also seen also in SO_2 , SO_2F_2 , SO_2F_2 and SF_6 adsorbed Tri+COCH₃ systems. In the case of Tri+NH₂, a drastic modification is observed when SO_2 is adsorbed, leading to a change in the energy H-L gap from 6.31 eV to 6.85 eV. The minimum H-L gap change is observed in $SOF₂$

adsorbed systems. The energy H-L gap values of Ex-Triangulene are presented in Table 3 with B3LYP and ω B97XD. The largest HOMO-LUMO gap (E_o) is found for Ex-Tri+NH₂ among all functionalized GQDs. The ωB97XD (long range corrected) predicted energy gaps are considerably larger than the B3LYP predicted gap values. This is mainly due to the inclusion of full Hartree–Fock exchange term in the ωB97XD functional at long distances [58]. It is concluded that for electronic properties such as the HOMO-LUMO gaps, B3LYP shows better results; however, ωB97XD shows better results for studying the adsorption mechanism. The sizes and functionalization of GQDs strongly influence its structural and electronic properties. The energy gap value is a crucial parameter in defining the electrical conductivity σ) of materials because the energy required to take out an electron from the outer shell to become a free portable charge carrier is proportional to the H-L gap. The link between the E_g and σ of a material can be mathematically represented by the following formula [59]:

$$
\sigma \propto e^{\frac{-E_g}{2kT}} \tag{4}
$$

where k and T are the Boltzmann's constant and the temperature respectively. The conductivity of a material is inversely proportional to its H-L gap, as shown by equation (4). Therefore, a smaller H-L gap value leads to higher σ at a given temperature T. Consequently, when gas molecules are adsorbed onto the surfaces of graphene quantum dots, a significant decrease in their H-L gap value leads to an increase in their conductivity. The reduction of E_g is observed in case of Phe+CH₃+SF₆, Phe+COCH₃+SO₂, Tri+CH₃+SO₂, Tri+COCH₃+SO₂, Ex-Tri+CH₃+SO₂ and Ex-Tri+COCH₃+SO₂. As a result, the conductivity of the functionalized GQDs increases, providing evidence of the robust interaction between the adsorbed gas molecule and the GQDs. This alteration in the molecular orbitals of the GQDs because of the adsorbed molecules could be identified electronically, which suggests its potential application in sensor technology. The adsorption energies calculated through

equation (2) for all optimized systems are given in Table 1, Table 2 and Table 3. The gas molecules get adsorbed on the energetically stable structures of functionalized GQDs, typically at distances in the range 2.46-4.47 Å. These considerably large adsorption distances prohibit the formation of chemical bonds, resulting in physisorption [60-64].

Structure Charge(e) $\frac{E_g (eV)}{PQI V P}$ $\frac{E_{ad} (eV)}{PQI V P}$ $\frac{E_{ad} (eV)}{PQI V P}$ $\frac{E_{ad} (eV)}{PQI V P}$ **B3LYP ωB97XD B3LYP ωB97XD B3LYP ωB97XD** Tri+CH₃ - 3.669 7.182 - - - - - - - $Tri+CH₃+SO₂$ +0.03745 3.23 7.025 -0.119 -0.261 99 24253 $Tri+CH_{3}+SOF_{2}$ +0.01667 3.62 7.192 -0.111 -0.282 73 54644 $Tri+CH_3+SO_2$ F_2 +0.00028 3.653 7.190 -0.065 -0.257 12 20774 $Tri+CH_{3}+SF_{6}$ -0.00356 3.668 7.180 -0.021 -0.145 2 272 Tri+COCH₃ - 3.734 7.267 - - - - - - - - - - $Tri+COCH₃+SO₂$ +0.03396 3.261 6.93 -0.109 -0.247 67 14110 Tri+COCH₂+SOF₂ $+SOF₂$ $+0.0145$ 3.698 7.301 -0.127 -0.275 136 41680 Tri+COCH₃+SO₂F₂ -0.0048 3.72 7.288 -0.061 -0.261 10 24253 $Tri+COCH_{3}+SF_{6}$ -0.01106 3.73 7.265 -0.03 -0.243 3 12088 Tri+NH₂ $-$ 2.808 6.312 - - - - - - - $Tri+NH_2+SO_2$ +0.09266 3.275 6.853 -0.365 -0.427 1354983 14912345 $Tri+NH_{2}+SOF_{2}$ $+SOF₂$ $+0.01645$ 2.918 6.488 -0.177 -0.335 940 424556 $Tri+NH_2+SO_2$ F_2 +0.00027 3.017 6.504 -0.162 -0.389 526 3428728 $Tri+NH_{2}+SF_{6}$ -0.00811 2.973 6.493 -0.09 -0.254 32 18499

 Table 2: Energy H-L gap **(Eg), Adsorption Energy (Ead) and Recovery time (τ) of structure of SO2, SOF2, SO2F2, and SF6 adsorption on triangulene.**

The adsorption energy (E_{ad}) calculated for SO_2 , SO_2F_2 , SO_2F_2 and SF_6 over Phe+CH₃ is -0.256 eV, -0.268 eV, -0.227 eV and -0.184 eV respectively. The lower adsorption energy in SO_2F_2 and $SF₆$ can be attributed to the relatively larger adsorption distances. In Phe+COCH₃, the highest adsorption energy is observed for the sensing of $SOF₂$ with the value -0.252 eV. Of all the gas molecules adsorbed on various functionalized GQDs, the largest adsorption energy of -0.429 eV is achieved for the case of SO_2 over Phe+NH₂. The adsorption energies of functionalized triangulene for various gas molecules are presented in Table 2. For sensing of SO₂, Tri+NH₂ (-0.427 eV) provides superior adsorption energy as compared to Tri+CH₃ (-0.261 eV) and Tri+COCH₃ (-0.247 eV). Similarly, Tri+NH₂ is energetically better for sensing of SOF_2 , SO_2F_2 and SF_6 in comparison with other triangulene functionalizations. In Table 3,

we present the adsorption energies of all the functionalized GQDs for various gas molecules. It is obvious from the table that for GQDs functionalized with the groups CH_3 , COCH₃ and $NH₂$, $SOF₂$ shows high adsorption energies -0.285 eV, -0.273 eV, and -0.344 eV, respectively. To understand the electronic interaction between the gas molecules and functionalized GQDs, we plot in Fig. 12 the frontier molecular orbitals.

Structure	Charge (e)	E_{φ} (eV)		E_{ad} (eV)		τ	
		B3LYP	ωB97XD	B3LYP	ωB97XD	B3LYP	ω B97XD
$Ex-Tri+CH2$		1.342	4.566				
$Ex-Tri+CH3+SO2$	$+0.04094$	1.329	4.432	-0.180	-0.261	1055	24253
$Ex-Tri+CH_{3}+SOF_{2}$	$+0.01486$	1.367	4.527	-0.133	-0.285	171	61371
Ex-Tri+CH ₃ +SO ₂ F ₂	-0.00064	1.429	4.555	-0.102	-0.260	51	23332
Ex-Tri+CH ₃ +SF ₆	-0.01252	1.404	4.570	-0.039	-0.256	$\overline{4}$	19988
Ex-Tri+COCH ₂		1.386	4.544				
$Ex-Tri+COCH3+SO2$	$+0.0358$	1.183	4.407	-0.142	-0.246	242	13575
$Ex-Tri+COCH3+SOF2$	$+0.01236$	1.448	4.520	-0.077	-0.273	19	38580
$Ex-Tri+COCH3+SO2F2$	-0.00098	1.382	4.503	-0.042	-0.262	5	25210
Ex-Tri+COCH ₃ +SF ₆	-0.01315	1.401	4.543	-0.034	-0.261	3	24253
$Ex-Tri+NH2$		2.767	6.22				
$Ex-Tri+NH_{2}+SO_{2}$	$+0.05453$	2.491	6.215	-0.23	-0.320	7310	237660
$Ex-Tri+NH_{2}+SOF_{2}$	$+0.01644$	2.873	6.395	-0.219	-0.344	4777	601390
Ex-Tri+NH ₂ +SO ₂ F ₂	-0.000093	2.968	6.405	-0.161	-0.324	506	277423
Ex-Tri+NH ₂ +SF ₆	-0.01191	2.927	6.394	-0.067	-0.324	13	277423

Table 3: Energy H-L gap **(Eg), Adsorption Energy (Ead) and Recovery time (τ) of structure of SO2, SOF2, SO2F2, and SF6 adsorption on extended triangulene.**

It is clear from the figure that SOF_2 , SO_2F_2 and SF_6 molecules do not contribute to the formation of either HOMO or LUMO. Instead, these orbitals are completely confined to the surfaces of the functionalized GQDs, confirming the physisorption character of the adsorption processes. Furthermore, the HOMO-LUMO gap of SOF_2 , SO_2F_2 and SF_6 over the functionalized GQDs is close to the corresponding pristine values (See Table 1, 2 and 3). In contrast, the behaviour of SO_2 on the functionalized GQDs is qualitatively different (as shown in Fig 12). The HOMO (in the case of Phe+CH₃, Phe+COCH₃, Phe+NH₂, Tri+NH₂) and LUMO (in the case of Tri+CH₃, Tri+COCH₃, Ex-Tri+CH₃, Ex-Tri+COCH₃, Ex-Tri+NH2) are shared indicating strong hybridization.

$Phe + CH3$	$Phe + CH3+SO2$	$Phe + CH3+SOF2$	$Phe + CH3+SO2F2$	$Phe + CH3+SF6$
			$^{\circ}$ a	
Phe+COCH ₃	$Phe+COCH3+SO2$		Phe+COCH ₃ +SOF ₂ Phe+COCH ₃ +SO ₂ F ₂	$Phe+COCH3+SF6$
				33.
$Phe+NH2$	$Phe+NH2+SO2$	$Phe+NH2+SOF2$	Phe+NH ₂ +SO ₂ F ₂	$Phe+NH_2+SF_6$
$Tri+CH3$				
	$Tri+CH_3+SO_2$	$Tri+CH_3+SOF_2$	$Tri+CH3+SO2F2$	$Tri+CH_3+SF_6$
Tri+COCH ₃	$Tri+COCH3+SO2$			
		$Tri+COCH3+SOF2$	$Tri+COCH3+SO2F2$	$Tri+COCH3+SF6$
				\bullet \bullet
$Tri+NH2$	$Tri+NH2+SO2$	$Tri+NH2+SOF2$	$Tri+NH_2+SO_2F_2$	$Tri+NH2+SF6$
$Ex-Tri+CH3$	$Ex-Tri+CH_3+SO_2$		Ex-Tri+CH ₃ +SOF ₂ Ex-Tri+CH ₃ +SO ₂ F ₂	Ex-Tri+CH ₃ +SF ₆
				x
Ex-Tri+COCH ₃	$Ex-Tri+COCH3+SO2$		Ex-Tri+COCH ₃ +SOF ₂ Ex-Tri+COCH ₃ +SO ₂ F ₂ Ex-Tri+COCH ₃ +SF ₆	
$Ex-Tri+NH2$	$Ex-Tri+NH2+SO2$	$Ex-Tri+NH2+SOF2$	Ex-Tri+NH ₂ +SO ₂ F ₂	Ex-Tri+NH ₂ +SF ₆
	-20			

Figure 12: HOMO-LUMO plot of adsorption of SO2, SOF2, SO2F2, and SF6 with phenalenyl, triangulene and extended-triangulene systems with wB97XD functional.

As a result, the HOMO-LUMO gap is altered for these cases. Additionally, non-covalent interaction (NCI) analyses were performed using Multiwfn [64] and VMD [65] packages at the same level of theory to account for H-bonds and non-covalent interactions and are presented in Fig. 13. The strong attraction and repulsion are represented by blue and red region respectively. The van der Waals interaction is shown by the green region. The coloration of the isosurfaces is determined by the values of sign (λ_2) (a.u.), ranging from 0.03 to 0.02 a.u. It is observed that strong attractions (blue region) are found in SO_2 over NH₂ functionalized phenalenyl and triangulene. Steric effect or strong repulsion is found between the hexagonal rings in GQDs, however, van der Waals interaction were observed between the gas molecules and GQDs systems.

3.3 Recovery Time

The recovery characteristics of a gas sensor are crucial for determining its usefulness and reusability. The traditional method for evaluating recovery time is by heating the substrate, however, strong chemical reactions or chemisorption between gases and the substrate can result in long recovery times. Theoretical calculations to determine recovery time (τ) can be performed using transition state theory and the Van't-Hoff-Arrhenius expression [66-67], resulting in the expression:

$$
\tau = v^{-1} e^{-E_{ad}/KT} \tag{5}
$$

Here, v is defined as the attempt frequency, K and T denote Boltzmann constant $(\sim 8.318 * 10^{-3} \text{ kJ/mol K})$, and temperature respectively. In the present cases, 10^{12} s^{-1} of attempt frequency and 298 K temperature is taken for the recovery time calculation [68-69].

Figure 13: NCI plots with wB97XD functional. Blue represents strong attractive interactions, green indicates interactions and red indicates repulsive/steric interactions.

The van der Waals interactions between functionalized GQDs and gas molecules have a significant impact on the recovery time of a gas sensor. This is because van der Waals interactions can strongly influence the adsorption and desorption of gas molecules on the surface of the GQDs. The strength of these interactions can determine the amount of energy required for a gas molecule to adsorb or desorb from the surface, which in turn can affect the recovery time of the sensor. Additionally, the van der Waals interactions can also contribute to a shift in the adsorption energy, which can further impact the performance of the sensor. Therefore, understanding and controlling the van der Waals interactions between functionalized GQDs and gas molecules is crucial for optimizing the performance of gas sensors. Comparing the $-CH_3$, $-COCH_3$ and $-NH_2$ functionalized GQDs according to recovery time, the gas molecules are challenging to desorb from $Phe+NH_2$, $Tri+NH_2$ and Ex-Tri+NH₂. The recovery times calculated through equation (5) are given in Tables 1, 2 and 3. In Phe+CH₃, SO_2F_2 and SF_6 have lower recovery times of 6509 ps and 1233 ps, resulting in better desorption property. Similarly for Phe+COCH₃, SO_2F_2 and SF_6 have lower recovery time of 5576 ps and 2381 ps. In summary, both Phe $+CH_3$ and Phe $+COCH_3$ have superior recovery properties and therefore perform better at lower temperatures as compared to Phe+NH₂. For sensing SO_2 and SOF_2 , Phe+NH₂ and Phe+COCH₃ are not very suitable as they require relatively high working temperature for desorption. Similarly to $-NH_2$ functionalized phenalenyl system, $Tri+NH₂$ and $Ex-Tri+NH₂$ also have high recovery times for SO_2 , SO_2F_2 , SO_2F_2 and SF_6 gases-making them unsuitable for use. In order to put the performance of functionalized GQDs in perspective, we briefly discuss the recovery times of a few other adsorbing materials for the same gas molecules. The α -arsenene with SO_2 , SOF_2 and SO_2F_2 shows recovery times of 0.23s, 0.68ms and 0.44 μ s, however β-arsenene with SO_2 , SOF₂ and SO₂F₂ provides 3.65 μs, 0.33 μs and 0.05 μs of recovery times, respectively [70]. The SO_2 , SOF_2 and SO_2F_2 have long recovery times of 400 s, 669 μs and 5.9 ns at 298K,

respectively for Ni-BNNT system [71]. It is found that in Pt-MoS₂ and Au-MoS₂, SO₂ and $SOF₂$ are difficult to desorb unless increasing the working temperature [72]. These recovery times are higher as compared to GQDs (in ps) in the present study leading to their superiority and high detection limit.

4. Conclusions

In this study, we employed density functional theory (DFT) to investigate the adsorption behaviour and gas sensing properties of size and edge-functionalized graphene quantum dots (GQDs), specifically phenalenyl, triangulene, and extended triangulene. We analyzed properties such as adsorption energy, adsorption distance, recovery time, and density of states. Our results show that functionalization of phenalenyl, triangulene, and extended triangulene alters their electronic properties. However, the adsorption of SO_2 , SO_2F_2 , SO_2F_2 , and $SF₆$ gases on Phe+CH₃ leads to minor changes in HOMO and LUMO. A significant change is observed when SO_2 is adsorbed on -NH₂ functionalized triangulene, resulting in a change in the energy gap. Furthermore, -NH2 functionalized phenalenyl, triangulene, and extended triangulene show superior adsorption energies for $SO₂$ sensing compared to other functionalizations. In terms of recovery time, it is challenging to desorb the gases $(SO₂)$, SOF₂, SO₂F₂, and SF₆) from Phe+NH₂, Tri+NH₂, and Ex-Tri+NH₂ when using -CH₃, -COCH3, and -NH2 functionalized phenalenyl and triangulene. In conclusion, this study offers a microscopic understanding of the ultrafast recovery times of GQDs and their potential applications in sensing toxic environmental gases.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

See supplementary material for the initial configurations for gas molecules adsorption on functionalized phenalenyl and triangulene. Results of B3LYP functional and Raman plots of wB97XD are also presented in supplementary material.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Vaishali Roondhe: Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing original draft. **Basant Roondhe**: Methodology, Software. **Sumit Saxena**: Supervision, review & editing. **Rajeev Ahuja**: Supervision, review & editing, **Alok Shukla**: Supervision, Conceptualization, Resources, review & editing.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Data availability

Correspondence and requests for data should be addressed to V.R.

Acknowledgements

Authors VR and BR would like to acknowledge the supported by the Institute Post-Doctoral

Fellowship (IPDF) of Indian Institute of Technology Bombay. This article is financially

supported by the Swedish Research Council (VR-2016-06014 & VR-2020-04410) and J.

Gust. Richert stiftelse, Sweden (2021-00665).

References

- 1. J. Tang, F. Liu, X. Zhang, Q. Meng, J. Zhou, Partial discharge recognition through an analysis of SF_6 decomposition products part 1: decomposition characteristics of SF_6 under four different partial discharges, *IEEE Trans. Dielectr. Electr. Insul.*, 2012, **19**, 29–36.
- 2. H. You, Q. Zhang, C. Guo, P. Xu, J. Ma, Y. Qin, et al., Motion and discharge characteristics of metal particles existing in GIS under DC voltage, *IEEE Trans. Dielectr. Electr. Insul.*, 2017, **24**, 876–885.
- 3. J. Suehiro, G. B. Zhou, M. Hara, Detection of partial discharge in SF6 gas using a carbon nanotube-based gas sensor, *Sens. Actuators B,* 2005, **105**, 164–169.
- 4. R-J Ph, M. Yousfi, New breakdown electric field calculation for SF6 high voltage circuit breaker applications, *Plasma Sci Technol*, 2007, **9**, 690-694.
- 5. X. Zhang, B. Yang, W. Liu, J. Zhang, Detection of partial discharge in SF6 decomposition gas based on modified carbon nanotubes sensors, *Procedia Eng*, 2012 **29**, 4107–4111.
- 6. R. Irawan, G. B. Scelsi, G. A. Woolsey, Optical fiber sensing of SF6 degradation in high-voltage switchgear, *J Nonlinear Optical Phys Mater*, 2012, **10**, 181–195.
- 7. T. Ju, F. Min, Z. Tan, C. Sun, Crossover response processing technology of photoacoustic spectroscopy signal of $SF₆$ decomposition components under partial discharge, *High Voltage Eng*, 2013, **39**, 257–264.
- 8. C. Beyer, H. Jenett, D. Klockow, Influence of reactive SFxgases on electrode surfaces after electrical discharges under SF6 atmosphere, *IEEE Trans Dielectr Electr Insul*, 2000, **7**, 234–240.
- 9. J. M. Braun, F. Y. Chu, R. Seethapathy, Characterization of GIS spacers exposed to SF6 decomposition products, *IEEE Trans Electr Insul EI*, 1987, **22**, 187–193.
- 10. J. Li, X. Han, Z. Liu, X. Yao, A novel GIS partial discharge detection sensor with integrated optical and UHF methods, *IEEE Trans. Power Delivery*, 2018 **33**, 2047– 2049.
- 11. G.-m. Ma, H.-y. Zhou, M. Zhang, C.-r. Li, B.-y. Cui, Y.-y. Wu, "Optical fiber acoustic emission sensor for GIS partial discharge detection," in Optical Sensors and Sensing Congress (ES, FTS, HISE, Sensors) (Optical Society of America, San Jose, California, 2019), p. STh3A.5.
- 12. X. Zhang, H. Cui, Y. Gui, Synthesis of graphene-based sensors and application on detecting SF6 decomposing products: A review, *Sensors*, 2017, **17**, 363.
- 13. X. Zhou, S. Lee, Z. Xu, J. Yoon, Recent progress on the development of chemosensors for gases, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 7944–8000.
- 14. Y. Gui, J. Shi, P. Yang, T. Li, C. Tang, L. Xu, Platinum modified MoS2 monolayer for adsorption and gas sensing of SF6 decomposition products: a DFT study, *High Voltage*, 2020, **5**, 454-462.
- 15. L. Xu, Y. Gui, W. Li, Q. Li, X. Chen, Gas-sensing properties of Pt_n -doped WSe2 to SF6 decomposition products, *J Ind Eng Chem*, 2021, **97**, 452-459.
- 16. Y. Gui, J. Shi, L. Xu, L. Ran, X. Chen, Au_n (n = 1–4) cluster doped MoSe2 nanosheet as a promising gas-sensing material for C2H4 gas in oil-immersed transformer, *App. Surf. Science*, 2021, **541**, 148356
- 17. D. Chen, X. Zhang, J. Tang, Z. Cui, H. Cui, Pristine and Cu decorated hexagonal InN monolayer, a promising candidate to detect and scavenge $SF₆$ decompositions based on first-principle study, *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2019, **363**, 346–357.
- 18. K. S. Novoselov, A. K. Geim, S. V. Morozov, D. Jiang, Y. Zhang, S. V. Dubonos, et al., Electric Field Effect in Atomically Thin Carbon Films, *Science*, 2004, **306**, 666−669.
- 19. J. J. Hernández Rosas, R. E. Ramírez Gutiérrez, A. Escobedo-Morales, E. C. Anota, First principles calculations of the electronic and chemical properties of graphene, graphane, and graphene oxide, J Mol Model 2011, **17**, 1133–1139.
- 20. S. Shi, F. Chen, E. B. Ehlerding, W. Cai, Surface Engineering of Graphene-Based Nanomaterials for Biomedical Applications, *Bioconjugate Chem.*, 2014, **25** 1609−1619.
- 21. V. Sharma, N. N. Som, S. B. Pillai, P. K. Jha, Utilization of doped GQDs for ultrasensitive detection of catastrophic melamine: A new SERS platform, *Spectrochim. Acta A*, 2020, **224**, 117352.
- 22. V. Sharma, H. L. Kagdada, D. K. Singh, P. K. Jha, In: Singh D., Das S., Materny A. (eds) Advances in Spectroscopy: Molecules to Materials. Springer Proceedings in Physics, vol 236. Springer, Singapore (2019).
- 23. L. Li, G. Wu, G. Yang, J. Peng, J. Zhao, J.-J. Zhu, Focusing on Luminescent Graphene Quantum Dots: Current Status and Future Perspectives, *Nanoscale*, 2013, **5**, 4015−4039.
- 24. J. Shen, Y. Zhu, X. Yang, C. Li, Graphene Quantum Dots: Emergent Nanolights for Bioimaging, Sensors, Catalysis and Photovoltaic Devices, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 3686−3699.
- 25. M. Bacon, S. J. Bradley, T. Nann, Graphene Quantum Dots, *Part. Part. Syst. Charact.*, 2014, 31, 415−428.
- 26. W.-W. Liu, Y.-Q. Feng, X.-B. Yan, J.-T. Chen, Q.-J. Xue, Superior Micro-Supercapacitors Based on Graphene Quantum Dots, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2013, **23**, 4111−4122.
- 27. S. S. Joo, J. Kim, S. S. Kang, S. Kim, S.-H. Choi, S. W. Hwang, Graphene-Quantum-Dot Nonvolatile Charge-Trap Flash Memories, *Nanotechnology*, 2014, **25**, 255203.
- 28. C. O. Kim, S. W. Hwang, S. Kim, D. H. Shin, S. S. Kang, J. M. Kim et al., High-Performance Graphene-Quantum-Dot Photodetectors, *Sci. Rep.*, 2014, **4**, 5603.
- 29. M. Nurunnabi, Z. Khatun, G. R. Reeck, D. Y. Lee, Y. Lee, Photoluminescent Graphene Nanoparticles for Cancer Phototherapy and Imaging, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 12413−12421.
- 30. X. Wang, X. Sun, J. Lao, H. He, T. Cheng, M. Wang, et al., Multifunctional Graphene Quantum Dots for Simultaneous Targeted Cellular Imaging and Drug Delivery, *Colloids Surf. B*, 2014, **122***,* 638−644.
- 31. H. Fei, R. Ye, G. Ye, Y. Gong, Z. Peng, X. Fan, et al., Boron- and Nitrogen-Doped Graphene Quantum Dots/Graphene Hybrid Nanoplatelets as Efficient Electrocatalysts for Oxygen Reduction, *ACS Nano*, 2014, **8**, 10837−10843.
- 32. V. Sharma, H. L. Kagdada, Jinlan Wang, P. K. Jha, Hydrogen adsorption on pristine and platinum decorated graphene quantum dot: A first principle study, *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy*, 2020, **45**, 23977-23987.
- 33. H. Sun, L. Wu, W. Wei, X. Qu, Recent advances in graphene quantum dots for sensing, *Mater. Today.*, 2013, **16**, 433–442.
- 34. S. Basu, P. Bhattacharyya, Recent developments on graphene and graphene oxide based solid state gas sensors, *Sensors Actuators B Chem.*, 2012, **173**, 1–21.
- 35. R. C. Haddon, Design of organic metals and superconductors, *Nature*, 1975, **256**, 394–396.
- 36. Z. Sun, J. Wu, Open-Shell Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons, *J .Mater. Chem.*, 2012, **22,** 4151−4160.
- 37. Y.-W. Son, M. L. Cohen, S. G. Louie, Half-Metallic Graphene Nanoribbons, *Nature*, 2006, **444**, 347–349.
- 38. V. Barone, O. Hod, J. E. Peralta, G. E. Scuseria, Accurate Prediction of the Electronic Properties of Low-Dimensional Graphene Derivatives Using a Screened Hybrid Density Functional, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2011, **44**, 269–279.
- 39. C. Tao, L. Jiao, O. V. Yazyev, Y.-C. Chen, J. Feng, X. Zhang, et al., Spatially resolving edge states of chiral graphene nanoribbons, *Nat. Phys.*, 2011, **7**, 616–620.
- 40. Y. Morita, S. Nishida, T. Murata, M. Moriguchi, A. Ueda, M. Satoh, et al., Organic tailored batteries materials using stable open-shell molecules with degenerate frontier orbitals, *Nat. Mater.*, 2011, **10**, 947–951.
- 41. J. Kolc, J. Michl, .pi.,.pi.-Biradicaloid hydrocarbons. Pleiadene family. I. Photochemical preparation from cyclobutene precursors, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1973, 95, 7391-7401.
- 42. M. B. Smith, J. Michl, Singlet Fission, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 6891-6936.
- 43. E. Coronado and A. J. Epstein, Molecular spintronics and quantum computing, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2009, **19**, 1670-1671.
- 44. O. V. Yazyev and M. I. Katsnelson, Magnetic Correlations at Graphene Edges: Basis for Novel Spintronics Devices, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2008, **100**, 047209.
- 45. C. Lambert, Towards Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons with a Singlet Open-Shell Ground State, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 1756.
- 46. L. Wang, S.-J. Zhu, H.-Y. Wang, S.-N. Qu, Y.-L. Zhang, J.-H. Zhang, et al., Common Origin of Green Luminescence in Carbon Nanodots and Graphene Quantum Dots, *ACS Nano*, 2014, **8**, 2541−2547.
- 47. S. H. Jin, D. H. Kim, G. H. Jun, S. H. Hong, S. Jeon, Tuning the Photoluminescence of Graphene Quantum Dots through the Charge Transfer Effect of Functional Groups, *ACS Nano*, 2013, **7**, 1239−1245.
- 48. Y. Li, H. Shu, X. Niu, J. Wang, Electronic and Optical Properties of Edge-Functionalized Graphene Quantum Dots and the Underlying Mechanism, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2015, **119**, 24950−24957.
- 49. M. J. Frisch, et al., Gaussian 16, Revision C.01, Gaussian Inc. Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2016.
- 50. J.-D. Chai, M. Head-Gordon, Long-range corrected hybrid density functionals with damped atom-atom dispersion corrections, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2008, **10**, 6615-6620.
- 51. A. D. Becke, Completely numerical calculations on diatomic molecules in the localdensity approximation, *Phys. Rev. A.*, 1986, **33**, 2786–2788.
- 52. C. Lee, W. Yang, R. G. Parr, Development of the Colle-Salvetti correlation-energy formula into a functional of the electron density, *Phys. Rev. B.*, 1988, **37**, 785–789.
- 53. R. Deji, R. Jyoti, A. Verma, B. C. Choudhary, R. K. Sharma, A theoretical study of HCN adsorption and width effect on co-doped armchair graphene nanoribbon, Comput. Theor. Chem., 2022, **1209**, 113592-11.
- 54. R. Deji, A. Verma, N. Kaur, B. C. Choudhary, R. K. Sharma, Adsorption chemistry of co-doped graphene nanoribbon and its derivatives towards carbon based gases for gas sensing applications: Quantum DFT investigation, Mater Sci Semicond Process, 2022, **146**, 106670-13.
- 55. H. Tetsuka, R. Asahi, A. Nagoya, K. Okamoto, I. Tajima, R. Ohta et. al, Optically Tunable Amino‐Functionalized Graphene Quantum Dots, *Adv. Mater.*, 2012, **24**, 5333−5338.
- 56. H. Cui, X. Zhang, J. Zhang, J. Tang, Adsorption behaviour of SF6 decomposed species onto Pd4-decorated single-walled CNT: a DFT study, *Molecular Physics*, 2018, **116**, 1749.
- 57. S. Pi, X. Zhang, D. Chen, J. Tang, Sensing properties of Ni-doped boron nitride nanotube to SF6 decomposed components: A DFT study, *AIP Advances*, 2019, **9**, 095101-9.
- 58. P. N. Samanta, K. K. Das, Structural and electronic properties of covalently functionalized 2-aminoethoxy-metallophthalocyanine–graphene hybrid materials: a computational study, *RSC Advances*, 2015, **5**, 85730-85740.
- 59. S. S. Li, *Semiconductor Physical Electronics*, Springer Science, United States, 1997
- 60. R. Deji, B. C. Choudhary, R. K. Sharma, Novel hydrogen cyanide gas sensor: A simulation study of graphene nanoribbon doped with boron and phosphorus, Physica E Low Dimens. Syst. Nanostruct., 2021, **134**, 114844-9.
- 61. R. Deji, R. Jyoti, B. C. Choudhary, R. Singh, R. K. Sharma, Enhanced sensitivity of graphene nanoribbon gas sensor for detection of oxides of nitrogen using boron and phosphorus co-doped system: A first principles study, Sens. Actuator A Phys., 2021, **331**, 112897-10.
- 62. R. Deji, B. C. Choudhary, R. K. Sharma, Hydrogen sulfide gas sensor using osmium doped graphene nanoribbon: An insights from DFT study, Mater. Lett., 2022, **306**, 130986-5.
- 63. R. Deji, A. Verma, B. C. Choudhary, R. K. Sharma, New insights into NO adsorption on alkali metal and transition metal doped graphene nanoribbon surface: A DFT approach, J. Mol. Graph. Model., 2022, **111**, 108109-12.
- 64. T. Lu, F. Chen, Multiwfn: A multifunctional wavefunction analyser. *J. Comput. Chem.*, 2012, **33**, 580-592
- 65. W. Humphrey, A. Dalke, K. Schulten, VMD: visual molecular dynamics, *J. Mol. Graphics*, 1996, **14**, 33–38
- 66. Y. H. Zhang, Y. B. Chen, K. G. Zhou, C. H. Liu, J. Zeng, H. L. Zhang et. al, Improving gas sensing properties of graphene by introducing dopants and defects: a first-principles study, *Nanotechnology*, 2009, **20**, 185504.
- 67. B. Roondhe, P. K. Jha, "Haeckelite", a new low dimensional cousin of boron nitride for biosensing with ultra-fast recovery time: a first principles investigation, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2018, **6**, 6796-6807.
- 68. K. Patel, B. Roondhe, S. D. Dabhi, P. K. Jha, A new flatland buddy as toxic gas scavenger: A first principles study, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2018, **351**, 337–345.
- 69. B. Roondhe, K. Patel, P. K. Jha, Two-dimensional metal carbide comrade for tracing CO and CO2, *App. Surf. Science*, 2019, **496**, 143685.
- 70. X. Wang, G. Fan, X. Tu, X. Liu, C. Li, H. Xu, Sc doped arsenene as adsorbent for the detection and removal of SF6 decomposition gases: A DFT study, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, **552**, 149449.
- 71. S. Pi, X. Zhang, D. Chen, J. Tang, Sensing properties of Ni-doped boron nitride nanotube to SF6 decomposed components: A DFT study, AIP Advances, 2016, **9**, 095101.
- 72. D. Chen, X. Zhang, J. Tang, H. Cui, Y. Li, Noble metal (Pt or Au)-doped monolayer MoS2 as a promising adsorbent and gas-sensing material to SO2, SOF2 and SO2F2: a DFT study. *Applied Physics A*, 2018, **124**, 194.

On Using Non-Kekulé Triangular Graphene Quantum Dots for Scavenging Hazardous Sulfur Hexafluoride Components

Vaishali Roondhe1,*, Basant Roondhe² , Sumit Saxena² , Rajeev Ahuja3,4,* and Alok Shukla1,*

¹Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Mumbai-400076, Maharashtra, India

²Department of Metallurgical Engineering and Materials Science, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Mumbai-400076, Maharashtra, India ³Materials Theory Division, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Uppsala University, Box 516, Uppsala 75120, Sweden

⁴Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Ropar-140001, Punjab, India

Top View $\text{Phe} + \text{CH}_3 + \text{SO}_2$ $\text{Phe+ CH}_{3} + \text{SOF}_{2}$ **Phe+ CH 3 +SO² F 2 + SF Phe + CH 6 3 Phe+ CH 3 Side View**

Supplementary Figures

Figure S1: Optimized structures of SO2, SOF2, SO2F2, and SF6 with CH3 edge-functionalized phenalenyl with B3LYP functional. The yellow, grey, green, red and purple balls represent carbon, hydrogen, sulfur, oxygen and fluorine atoms respectively.

Corresponding Author:

 \overline{a}

Email addresses: shukla@phy.iitb.ac.in (A. Shukla), oshivaishali@gmail.com (V. Roondhe), rajeev.ahuja@physics.uu.se (R. Ahuja)

Figure S2: Optimized structures of SO2, SOF2, SO2F2, and SF6 with COCH3 edge-functionalized phenalenyl with B3LYP functional.

Figure S3: Initial structures of SO2, SOF2, SO2F2, and SF6 with NH2 edge-functionalized phenalenyl with B3LYP functional.

Top View

Figure S4: Optimized structures of SO2, SOF2, SO2F2, and SF6 with CH3 edge-functionalized triangulene with B3LYP functional.

Figure S5: Optimized structures of SO2, SOF2, SO2F2, and SF6 with COCH3 edge-functionalized triangulene with B3LYP functional.

Figure S6: Optimized structures of SO2, SOF2, SO2F2, and SF6 with NH2 edge-functionalized triangulene with B3LYP functional.

Figure S7: Density of states of adsorption of SO2, SOF2, SO2F2, and SF6 with (a) phenalenyl and (b) triangulene systems with B3LYP functional.

Figure S8: Raman plot of adsorption of SO2, SOF2, SO2F2, and SF6 with phenalenyl (upper row) and triangulene (middle row) and extended triangulene (lower row) systems with wB97XD functional.

Basis set effect on adsorption energy:-

We have also done the comparative study on the adsorption energy using higher basis set for all considered GQDs with 6-31G (D) basis set. We found, in our present study, the E_{ad} value with 6-31G (D) basis set is higher as compared to def2-TZVPP triple zeta basis set with single-point calculations. It is possible for the adsorption energy to be lower when using the def2-TZVPP triple zeta basis set as compared to the 6-31G (D) basis set, although the magnitude of the difference will depend on the individual systems being studied. The adsorption energy is a function of many factors, including the electronic structure of the adsorbate and substrate, as well as the adsorption geometry. It is also important to note that the adsorption energy is influenced by many other factors, such as the choice of functional and the accuracy of the geometry optimization. The E_{ad} values obtained using def2-TZVPP triple zeta basis set are tabulated in Table S1.

Table S1: Adsorption Energy (E_{ad}) of structure of SO_2 , SOF_2 , SO_2F_2 , and SF_6 **adsorption on phenalenyl, triangulene and extended triangulene using def2-TZVPP basis set.**

Structure	E_{ad} (eV)	Structure	E_{ad} (eV)	Structure	E_{ad} (eV)
$Phe + CH3$		$Tri+CH2$		$Ex-Tri+CH$,	
$Phe + CH_3 + SO_2$	-0.22	$Tri+CH_{3}+SO_{2}$	-0.23	$Ex-Tri+CH3+SO2$	-0.23
$Phe + CH_3 + SOF_2$	-0.21	$Tri+CH_{3}+SOF_{2}$	-0.22	$Ex-Tri+CH2+SOF2$	-0.21
Phe+CH ₃ +SO ₂ F ₂	-0.15	$Tri+CH3+SO2F2$	-0.18	$Ex-Tri+CH3+SO2F2$	-0.18
$Phe + CH_3 + SF_6$	-0.12	$Tri+CH_{3}+SF_{6}$	-0.11	$Ex-Tri+CH3+SF6$	-0.19
$Phe+COCH3$	\sim	Tri+COCH ₂	\sim	Ex-Tri+COCH ₂	
$Phe+COCH3+SO2$	-0.20	$Tri+COCH3+SO2$	-0.21	$Ex-Tri+COCH2+SO2$	-0.21
$Phe+COCH3+SOF2$	-0.19	Tri+COCH ₃ +SOF ₂	-0.22	$Ex-Tri+COCH3+SOF2$	-0.21
$Phe+COCH3+SO2F2$	-0.15	$Tri+COCH3+SO2F2$	-0.19	$Ex-Tri+COCH3+SO2F2$	-0.18
$Phe+COCH3+SF6$	-0.13	$Tri+COCH_{3}+SF_{6}$	-0.17	Ex-Tri+COCH ₃ +SF ₆	-0.19
$Phe+NH2$	۰.	$Tri+NH2$		$Ex-Tri+NH2$	
$Phe+NH2+SO2$	-0.33	$Tri+NH_{2}+SO_{2}$	-0.33	$Ex-Tri+NH_{2}+SO_{2}$	-0.27
$Phe + NH_2 + SOF_2$	-0.25	$Tri+NH_{2}+SOF_{2}$	-0.26	Ex-Tri+NH ₂ +SOF ₂	-0.27
Phe+NH ₂ +SO ₂ F ₂	-0.19	$Tri+NH,+SO,F,$	-0.22	Ex-Tri+NH ₂ +SO ₂ F ₂	-0.23
$Phe+NH2+SF6$	-0.13	$Tri+NH_{2}+SF_{6}$	-0.17	$Ex-Tri+NH2+SF6$	-0.23