

Chern-Simons Theory, Ehrhart Polynomials, and Representation Theory

Chao Ju
Berkeley Center of Theoretical Physics

April 25, 2023

Abstract

The Hilbert space of level q Chern-Simons theory of gauge group G of the ADE type quantized on T^2 can be represented by points that lie on the weight lattice of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} up to some discrete identifications. Of special significance are the points that also lie on the root lattice. The generating functions that count the number of such points are quasi-periodic Ehrhart polynomials which coincide with the generating functions of $SU(q)$ representation of the ADE subgroups of $SU(2)$ given by the McKay correspondence.

1 Introduction

The 3-dimensional Chern-Simons theory is a remarkably rich tool that has applications in both mathematics and physics. For example, Witten showed that Chern-Simons theory is connected to the Jones polynomial and knot invariants [1]. It is also applied in the study of S-duality[2][3], a subject related to the geometric Langlands program[4]. In terms of the more tangible physics applications, Chern-Simons theory has been used extensively to study physics on 2-dimensional surfaces. For example, it was used to endow particles in 2+1 dimensions with fractional statistics[6][7], so that upon exchanging two identical particles the wave function of the two particles can end up with a phase different from ± 1 . In addition, Laughlin explained fractional quantum hall effect by applying Chern-Simons theory¹[8].

The fact that Chern-Simons theory is important for 2+1 dimensional physics is no accident. The Chern-Simons action

$$S = \frac{q}{4\pi} \int \text{tr} \left(A \wedge dA + \frac{2}{3} A \wedge A \wedge A \right) \quad (1)$$

is the unique relativistically invariant action of the gauge field A that has only one derivative acting on A . In this paper, we take the convention that A is \mathfrak{g} valued 1-form and the trace is taken in the representation such that q is a quantized positive integer. We take \mathfrak{g} to be simply laced, namely $\mathfrak{su}(N)$, $\mathfrak{so}(2N)$, \mathfrak{e}_6 , \mathfrak{e}_7 , and \mathfrak{e}_8 . The global property of the gauge group will not concern us in this paper. The geometry we are interested in is $T^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ where \mathbb{R} is the time direction. Upon quantizing the Chern-Simons theory in this geometry, one gets a discrete set of states that can be identified with points on the lattice[14]

$$\frac{\Lambda_w}{W \ltimes q\Lambda_r} \quad (2)$$

where Λ_w , Λ_r are the weight and the root lattice of \mathfrak{g} , and W is the Weyl group.

In this paper, we study the counting of a special class of states that lie on the lattice

$$\frac{\Lambda_w}{W \ltimes q\Lambda_r} \cap \Lambda_r \quad (3)$$

We will show that the counting of such states leads to a curious connection to Ehrhart polynomials, McKay correspondence, and representation theory.

¹For a review of Chern-Simons theory and fractional quantum hall effect, see [5] and the references therein.

Ehrhart polynomials were first constructed to count lattice points in rational polytopes[10], a problem that is in general NP-hard[11] to solve by computer. Ehrhart polynomials have been found to connect different areas of mathematics such as number theory, geometry, and topology². Since closed-form Ehrhart polynomials are rare and are only for very special geometries, our computation in this paper will add more examples to the known collection of Ehrhart polynomials.

We will also show that the Ehrhart polynomials we obtain from the geometric point of view can also be obtained from a representation theory point of view. In fact, the latter comes from a dual formulation of the problem. The two approaches are connected by the McKay correspondence[15], which gives a ADE Dynkin diagram classification of discrete subgroups of $SU(2)$ and their irreducible representations. In another paper[13], we use techniques from string theory to show that the two approaches are dual to each other, and that this duality has deep implications for S-duality.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2, we review the quantization of Chern-Simons theory on T^2 and formulate the Hilbert space geometrically in terms of points on the lattice. To illustrate the rather abstract notation, we give an example of states of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(3)$. In Sec. 3, we pose the problem of counting the number of the special class of states on the lattice defined in eqn (3). We shall find that the problem is the same as counting lattice points in rational polytopes, and that the generating function for counting the states is exactly the corresponding Ehrhart polynomial. In Sec. 4, we compute the explicit form of the Ehrhart polynomial for a specific case by using the Ω operator introduced by MacMahon[16]. The general case is solved by reverse-engineering some representation theory formulas in Sec. 5. We will see that the Ehrhart polynomial that counts the special states at level q with gauge algebra \mathfrak{g} is the same as the generating function for the $SU(q)$ representation of the ADE subgroup given by the McKay correspondence. In Sec. 6, we extend our result to the D-series where the gauge algebra of Chern-Simons theory is $\mathfrak{so}(2(N+2))$, $N \geq 1$. In Sec.7, we discuss some curious representation theory properties implied by the inverse of Cartan matrices modulo 1 for ADE Lie algebras by focusing on the exceptional series \mathfrak{e}_6 , \mathfrak{e}_7 , and \mathfrak{e}_8 .

²For an extensive introduction, see the book [12]

2 Quantization of Chern-Simons Theory on T^2 and the State Lattice

The Chern-Simons theory with gauge algebra \mathfrak{g} on T^2 can be quantized in the standard quantization procedure by choosing a gauge $A_0 = 0$ and impose the constraint $\delta S/\delta A_0 = 0$, where S is defined in (1). The constraint gives the condition that the connections are flat $F = dA + A \wedge A = 0$. Imposing the constraint, using the remaining freedom to gauge transform the connection A into the maximal torus of \mathfrak{g} , and imposing the canonical commutation relation, one obtains that the states are in one-to-one correspondence with points on the lattice[14] mentioned at the previous section:

$$\frac{\Lambda_w}{W \times q\Lambda_r} \quad (4)$$

In words, states are points on the weight lattice of \mathfrak{g} with two states being identified if they differ by some combinations of Weyl transformation and q times the root lattice translation. We shall henceforth call this lattice the state lattice. Notice that because of the identification by $q\Lambda_r$, the number of states is finite, a fact that can also be seen from the compactness of the phase space. In the large q limit, the number of states is given by

$$\frac{q^r \det C}{|W|} \quad (5)$$

where r is the rank of \mathfrak{g} , C is the Cartan matrix, and $|W|$ is the size of the Weyl group. This formula can either be derived from (4) by noting that $\det C = |\Lambda_w/\Lambda_r|$ or going back to canonical quantization and demanding that the phase space contains one state per 2π cell (we set $\hbar = 1$).

To give an example of the state lattice of $\mathfrak{su}(3)$ Chern-Simons theory at level $q = 1$ and $q = 2$, we present the figure from [17]³.

³In [17], a more pedestrian way of quantizing the Chern-Simons theory with a few low rank gauge algebra is proposed.




Figure 1: In both pictures, e_1 and e_2 are the simple roots, and d_1 and d_2 are the fundamental weights. In the top picture, three black dots represent the three unique states of the level $q = 1$ theory. In the bottom picture, the six black dots are the unique states of the level $q = 2$ theory. It is easy to convince oneself that one can reach the white dots or other weight lattice points through a combination of Weyl reflections and q times the root lattice translation on the black dots. Figure retrieved from [17].

The figure shows the unique states in the Hilbert space for the level 1 and the level 2 theory, respectively. Notice that the pattern continues to all levels: all states lie in the fundamental Weyl chamber, and that as the level increases, the number of state increases quadratically (roughly as the area of the shaded region). In fact, the number of states for the $\mathfrak{su}(3)$ theory at

level q is

$$\frac{q(q+1)}{2}$$

This formula can be derived as follows. For simply laced algebra (which is our only focus in this paper), the root lattice Λ_r is the same as the coroot lattice Λ_{cr} . A theory in affine Lie algebra shows that the state lattice (4) is simply the highest weight representation of the corresponding affine Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ at level q [18]. Let the state be labeled by the Dynkin label (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r) , where each a_i is some nonnegative integer. The highest weight states satisfy the inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^r c_i a_i \leq q \tag{6}$$

where $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is the coefficient multiplying the i th simple root in constructing the highest root. For the case of $\mathfrak{su}(3)$, the numbers are $c_1 = c_2 = 1$, so we are looking for the number of solutions to

$$a_1 + a_2 \leq q$$

and this gives exactly the quadratic formula.

Although the example is given for $\mathfrak{su}(3)$, the reader should keep in mind the generalization of the picture to other ADE gauge algebras. In the next section, we look at a special class of states on the state lattice.

3 A special class of states

3.1 The geometry and the counting problem

The special states we want to focus on are those that lie on the lattice

$$Q_q = \frac{\Lambda_w}{W \ltimes q\Lambda_r} \cap \Lambda_r \tag{7}$$

namely states that also lie on the root lattice. The physical motivation for considering such states is mentioned in [13]. Following the $\mathfrak{su}(3)$ example, at level 1 there is one state that lies on Q_q , the state at the origin. At level 2 there are two states, the additional one being at the position $d_1 + d_2$.

The question we pose is: given \mathfrak{g} and level q , how many states lie on Q_q ?

Let the Cartan matrix of \mathfrak{g} be C . In the basis of Dynkin labels, the rows of C give the representation of the simple roots. Since we are dealing with

simply laced Lie algebras, $C^T = C$, so that the columns of C also give the representation of the simple roots. If $y \in Q_q$, y being the Dynkin label (as a column vector) of some state in the theory, then $y \in \Lambda_r$, so that it can be represented as a linear combination of the simple roots:

$$y = Cx$$

where $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r$ is a column of nonnegative integers. The nonnegativity of x is because y represents dominant weights. The first constraint on y is that the entries are nonnegative (note that $x \geq 0$ does not imply $y \geq 0$). The second constraint is the level q constraint in eqn (6). In terms of x , the constraints read

$$\sum_{j=1}^r C_{ij}x_j \geq 0 \quad (8)$$

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^r c_i C_{ij}x_j \leq q \quad (9)$$

The above constraints define a rational polytope, shapes whose vertices have rational coordinates. By adding slack variables, any rational polytope can be represented as a system of linear equations[12]

$$Ax' = b$$

for some $A \in \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n$, $b \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, and $x' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$.

For our problem, we need $r + 1$ slack variables $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{r+1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, so that the system of inequalities reduces to the system of equalities:

$$\sum_{j=1}^r C_{ij}x_j - k_i = 0 \quad (10)$$

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^r c_i C_{ij}x_j + k_{r+1} = q \quad (11)$$

Stacking the vector x and k into $x' = (x, k)$, we have the system of linear

equation $Ax' = b$ where A is $r + 1$ by $2r + 1$ and b is r by 1:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & \dots & C_{1r} & -1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ C_{21} & C_{22} & \dots & C_{2r} & 0 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ C_{r1} & C_{r2} & \dots & C_{rr} & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ \sum_i c_i C_{i1} & \sum_i c_i C_{i2} & \dots & \sum_i c_i C_{ir} & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (12)$$

$$b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ q \end{pmatrix} \quad (13)$$

The problem is then transformed into counting the solutions to this system of linear equations.

3.2 Ehrhart Polynomials

What we did in the previous section is under the guise of Ehrhart polynomials [10]. They are generating functions for counting lattice points in polytopes. Let us formulate the theory following the notation of [12]. Let a rational polytope \mathcal{P} be specified by a system of linear equations with slack variables added:

$$\mathcal{P} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^d : Ax = b\} \quad (14)$$

for some integer valued matrix A and integer valued vector b . One considers the t th dilate of \mathcal{P} , defined as

$$t\mathcal{P} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^d : Ax = tb\} \quad (15)$$

where $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Let $L_{\mathcal{P}}(t)$ denote the number of lattice points contained in the $t\mathcal{P}$:

$$L_{\mathcal{P}}(t) = \#\{x \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d : Ax = tb\} \quad (16)$$

The Ehrhart polynomial associated to the polytope \mathcal{P} is defined as

$$\text{Ehr}_{\mathcal{P}}(z) = 1 + \sum_{t \geq 1} L_{\mathcal{P}}(t) z^t \quad (17)$$

In our problem, the polytope is given as the q th dilate of

$$Ax' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with A and x' defined in the previous section (see eqn (13)). We call this base polytope $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{g}}$, where the dependence on the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is made explicit as each simply laced Lie algebra has its unique polytope. Since we are interested in computing the number of special states for each level $q > 0$, we want to find the number of lattice points contained in the q th dilate of $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ for each positive q . Therefore, the question posed in the previous section can be now phrased as finding the Ehrhart polynomial of $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

$$\text{Ehr}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{g}}}(z) = ?$$

This concludes the first formulation of our problem. The dual formulation of the problem in terms of the inverse of Cartan matrices will be introduced in Sec. 5. In the next section, we develop a formal method to compute $\text{Ehr}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{g}}}(z)$ in the most general way possible.

4 Computation of $\text{Ehr}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{g}}}(z)$

In this section and the next, we compute $\text{Ehr}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{g}}}(z)$ for all simply laced \mathfrak{g} . We give two approaches to this computation. The first approach uses MacMahon's Ω operator method [16] but quickly becomes tedious when the level q becomes large. However, the merit of this approach is that it is general: it can be applied to solve for all Ehrhart polynomials given the constraints, and it will be amiss if we do not discuss the general solution. Due to the computational difficulty, we shall only use this approach to give the explicit formula for $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(2)$. MacMahon's method is reviewed in Sec. 4.1 and the computation for $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ is done in Sec. 4.2.

The second approach uses a hint from representation theory, and leads to the expression of $\text{Ehr}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{g}}}(z)$ in one full sweep. The second approach is inspired from a duality relation constructed from string theory [13], without which it is not obvious how one can make a connection of $\text{Ehr}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{g}}}(z)$ to being solved by representation theory.

4.1 The Ω Operator

When one wants to compute the number of ways of partitioning some integer u into a sum of n nonnegative integers $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n = u$, the order of the integers in the sum does not matter. Therefore, the problem of counting n -partitions of u is quite different from the problem of counting solutions to the equation $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n = u$, where the solution is the coefficient of the x^u term in the function

$$\frac{1}{(1-x)^n} \quad (18)$$

In this subsection, we deal with the number partition problem, and we can assume an ordering of a_i to be $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_n$ without loss of generality. One way to impose this ordering constraint is to consider the expression[16]

$$\Omega_{\geq} \frac{1}{(1-\lambda_1 x)(1-\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} x)(1-\frac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_2} x) \dots (1-\frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_{n-1}} x)} \quad (19)$$

where the notation Ω_{\geq} means restricting terms that have only nonnegative powers of each λ and setting each λ to be one in the end. This is easily verified by expanding each fraction in a power series.

The Ω_{\geq} leads to many identities. For example,

$$\Omega_{\geq} \frac{1}{(1-\lambda x^{p_1})(1-\frac{x^{p_2}}{\lambda})} = \frac{1}{(1-x^{p_1})(1-x^{p_1+p_2})} \quad (20)$$

Repeated use of this identity shows that eqn (19) is equal to

$$\frac{1}{(1-x)(1-x^2)(1-x^3) \dots (1-x^n)} \quad (21)$$

which is exactly the generating function to count the n -partition of some integer.

One can also compose the Ω_{\geq} operation. We modify the notation accordingly if there is any ambiguity with extra variables:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\lambda \geq \mu \geq} \frac{1}{(1-\lambda \mu x)(1-\frac{y}{\lambda^2 \mu})} &= \Omega_{\lambda \geq} \frac{1}{(1-\lambda x)(1-\frac{xy}{\lambda})} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-x)(1-x^2 y)} \end{aligned}$$

This expression counts the number of partition into two nonnegative integers a_1 and a_2 such that the constraint $a_1 \geq 2a_2$ is satisfied.

In fact, one can also have two other operators $\underline{\underline{\Omega}}$ and $\underline{\underline{\Omega}}$, defined in a self-explanatory way. As we shall see, we will be interested in identities involving $\underline{\underline{\Omega}}$. The three operators satisfy some useful algebraic relations listed in [16], and can be used to compute $\underline{\underline{\Omega}}$. For example, let $F(\lambda)$ be some polynomial depending on λ as the variable used in the Ω operators, the following expression

$$\underline{\underline{\Omega}}F(\lambda) = \underline{\underline{\Omega}}_{\geq}F(\lambda) + \underline{\underline{\Omega}}_{\leq}F(\lambda^{-1}) - F(1) \quad (22)$$

can be used to derive identities involving $\underline{\underline{\Omega}}$ in terms of the identities involving $\underline{\underline{\Omega}}$. In the next subsection we will use an identity $\underline{\underline{\Omega}}$ to compute $\text{Ehr}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{g}}}(z)$ for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(2)$. We will also sketch the idea of computing $\text{Ehr}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{g}}}(z)$ for a general simply laced \mathfrak{g} .

4.2 Applying the Ω Operator

To illustrate the use of the Ω operator, we use it to write down a formal expression for the Ehrhart polynomial $\text{Ehr}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{g}}}(z)$. Recall that the base polytope is given by

$$Ax' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (23)$$

where A ($r + 1$ by $2r + 1$) and x' ($2r + 1$ by 1) were defined in (12) and (13). Let $\mathbf{z} \equiv (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_r, z)$. The Ehrhart polynomial is computed by the formal expression

$$\text{Ehr}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{g}}}(z) = \underline{\underline{\Omega}}_{z_1=z_2=\dots=z_r=} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{2r+1} \frac{1}{1 - z_1^{A_{1i}} z_2^{A_{2i}} \dots z_r^{A_{ri}} z^{A_{r+1,i}}} \right) \quad (24)$$

where a composition of r $\underline{\underline{\Omega}}$ is applied, each time restricting the polynomial to the 0th order term of some z_i . This formula can be derived as follows. The term involving the product, upon expansion, gives the generating function for counting the combinations of Ax' . Imposing the constraint that the right hand side of the equation has r vanishing entries means that one must

restrict to the 0th order term of z_1, \dots, z_r . Since the last entry of the column on the right hand side is 1, the number of solutions to the q th dilate of the polytope is then the coefficient of the z^q term. This completes the argument that the formal expression in (24) computes the Ehrhart polynomial.

It is nice to have a formal expression like (24) for the Ehrhart polynomial. If one wants to compute the first few terms then a computer can easily do the job. However, we want to take a step further and obtain a closed form solution, which as we shall see exists for all simply laced \mathfrak{g} .

To illustrate how one might obtain a closed form solution using the current formalism, we first focus on the case of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$. The Cartan matrix for $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ is simply 2, the coefficient for the highest root is $c_1 = 1$. Therefore the A matrix is

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (25)$$

Using (24), the Ehrhart polynomial is given by

$$\text{Ehr}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{su}(2)}}(z) = \Omega_{z_1=1} \left(\frac{1}{1 - z_1^2 z^2} \frac{1}{1 - z_1^{-1}} \frac{1}{1 - z} \right) \quad (26)$$

Using the Ω operator identity [16]

$$= \Omega_{\lambda=(1-\lambda^2 x)(1-y\lambda^{-1})} \frac{1}{1 - xy^2} = \frac{1}{1 - xy^2} \quad (27)$$

we find that the Ehrhart polynomial for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(2)$ is

$$\text{Ehr}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{su}(2)}}(z) = \frac{1}{(1-z)(1-z^2)} \quad (28)$$

Now let us generalize to arbitrary \mathfrak{g} . The first thing to note is that one needs more general Ω identities in addition to (27), since a variable can appear more than two times in the product in (24). However, the Ω identities for a general polynomial are not documented and do not have clean solutions. This problem has been solved by [19], where the authors developed the Omega Package in Mathematica to compute Ω identities for a general polynomial

$$\frac{P(x_1, \dots, x_n; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - x_i \lambda_1^{v_1(i)} \dots \lambda_r^{v_r(i)})} \quad (29)$$

For our problem, we can apply the program r times to eliminate z_1, \dots, z_r to find $\text{Ehr}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{g}}}(z)$ of rank r . In the next section, we will use a trick from representation theory to compute all $\text{Ehr}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{g}}}(z)$ by hand, bypassing the need for the computer program computation.

5 Ehr $_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{g}}}(z)$ From Representation Theory

To connect Ehr $_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{g}}}(z)$ to representation theory, we briefly summarize the McKay correspondence[15], which associates any simply laced \mathfrak{g} to some discrete subgroup $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})$ of $SU(2)$. More specifically,

$$\Gamma(\mathfrak{su}(N)) = \mathbb{Z}_N \tag{30}$$

$$\Gamma(\mathfrak{so}(2(N+2))) = \text{Dic}_N \tag{31}$$

$$\Gamma(\mathfrak{e}_i) = E_i \quad i=6,7,8 \tag{32}$$

where \mathbb{Z}_N is the cyclic group of order N , Dic_N is the dicyclic (binary dihedral)group of order $4N$, and E_6, E_7, E_8 are the binary tetrahedral group, binary octahedral group, and the binary icosahedral group, respectively (also called T, O , and I).

For some simply laced \mathfrak{g} , consider the homomorphism $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow SU(q)$. Let the number of inequivalent homomorphisms $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow SU(q)$ be b_q , so that one forms the generating function

$$\Phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(z) \equiv 1 + \sum_{i=1} b_i z^i \tag{33}$$

The proposal put forward in [13] can be phrased as

$$\boxed{\text{Ehr}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{g}}}(z) = \Phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(z)} \tag{34}$$

This beautiful equation connects geometry (left hand side) with representation theory (right hand side) via the McKay correspondence and string theory⁴ (the equality sign). The rest of this subsection is devoted to proving this equality and using this equality to compute the closed form Ehr $_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{g}}}(z)$ for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(N)$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(2(N+2))$. The formulae for the exceptional Lie algebras are computed in the appendix of [13].

The strategy we use here is to look at the dual formulation by starting with weights, expressing them in terms of the simple roots, and imposing the constraints. This reverse process is carried out using the inverse the Cartan matrix. Since the inverse of Cartan matrices in general has fractional entries, this dual formulation is less suited for geometric arguments. Instead, we will use purely algebraic arguments to prove (34).

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(r+1)$ and the corresponding Cartan matrix be C . Start with some weight x in the state lattice which we reproduce here for convenience

$$\frac{\Lambda_w}{W \times q\Lambda_r}$$

⁴The duality proposed in [13] is established using string theory techniques

We can represent x as a vector in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r$ by using its Dynkin label (x_1, \dots, x_r) . It satisfies the constraint

$$\sum_{i=1}^r x_i \leq q \quad (35)$$

as argued in Sec. 2.

Since the simple roots span \mathbb{R}^r , the weight x has a unique expansion in terms of the simple roots $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$:

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^r l_i \alpha_i \quad (36)$$

The expansion coefficients l_j are simply given by multiplying x (as a vector) by the inverse of the Cartan matrix[18]

$$l_i = \sum_{j=1}^r C_{ij}^{-1} x_j \quad (37)$$

Since we want x to lie on the root lattice Λ_r as well, the only other constraint we need to impose is that each l_j is an integer

$$l_i = \sum_{j=1}^r C_{ij}^{-1} x_j \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (38)$$

The inverse of the Cartan matrix for $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ algebra has an interesting structure. Here, we display C^{-1} for $\mathfrak{su}(4)$ and $\mathfrak{su}(7)$:

$$C_{\mathfrak{su}(4)}^{-1} = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 4 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \quad (39)$$

$$C_{\mathfrak{su}(7)}^{-1} = \frac{1}{7} \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 5 & 10 & 8 & 6 & 4 & 2 \\ 4 & 8 & 12 & 9 & 6 & 3 \\ 3 & 6 & 9 & 12 & 8 & 4 \\ 2 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 10 & 5 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \end{pmatrix} \quad (40)$$

In general, the formula for $C_{\mathfrak{su}(N)}^{-1}$ is[20]

$$C_{\mathfrak{su}(N),ij}^{-1} = \frac{1}{N} [\min(i, j) \times N - ij] \quad (41)$$

Even though we have r number of constraints from eqn (38), we shall see that because of the peculiar property of $C_{\mathfrak{su}(N)}^{-1}$, there is effectively only one constraint, the one imposed by the last row of the matrix:

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} ix_i \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (42)$$

A quick proof that constraint (21) implies the rest of the constraints is as follows. The matrix elements $C_{\mathfrak{su}(N),ki}^{-1}$ of the k th row are

$$C_{\mathfrak{su}(N),ki}^{-1} = \begin{cases} \frac{(N-k)i}{N} & k > i \\ \frac{(N-i)k}{N} & k \leq i \end{cases}$$

Using this, we obtain the constraint imposed by the k th row:

$$\begin{aligned} l_k &= \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} C_{\mathfrak{su}(N),kj}^{-1} x_j \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{(N-k)i}{N} x_i + \sum_{i=k}^{N-1} \frac{(N-i)k}{N} x_i \\ &= -\frac{k}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} ix_i \pmod{1} \end{aligned}$$

Up to integers, the constraint imposed by the k th row is simply k times that of the constraint imposed by the last row. Therefore, the only unique constraint in (38) is the one given by the last row. In summary, there are two constraints on x :

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} x_i \leq q \quad (43)$$

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} ix_i \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (44)$$

We would now like to argue that this is exactly the same constraints satisfied by $SU(q)$ representations of $\Gamma(\mathfrak{su}(N)) = \mathbb{Z}_N$.

5.1 $SU(q)$ representations of $\Gamma(\mathfrak{su}(N)) = \mathbb{Z}_N$

Since finite dimensional representations are built up from irreducible representations, we first look at the irreducible representations of \mathbb{Z}_N . There are

in total N 1-dimensional irreducible representations. The k th irreducible representation is given by the k th power of the N th root of unity

$$\omega_k = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i k}{N}\right) \quad (45)$$

Here, k runs from 0 to $N-1$, with $k=0$ being the trivial representation. The $SU(q)$ representations are constructed by inserting x_k copies of the k th irreducible representation. By definition, the determinant of any $SU(q)$ matrix must be 1, so we have

$$\prod_{k=0}^{N-1} \omega_k^{x_k} = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} k x_k\right) = 1 \quad (46)$$

But this is equivalent to (44):

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} i x_i \in \mathbb{Z}$$

The constraint that the dimensions of the irreducible representations add up to q is

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} x_i = q \quad (47)$$

However, treating x_0 as a slack variable, this constraint is equivalent to (43)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} x_i \leq q$$

Therefore, we have shown that the two counting problems satisfy the same constraints and are secretly one and the same. This concludes the proof of (34) for the case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(N)$:

$$\text{Ehr}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{g}}}(z) = \Phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(z)$$

In the next subsection, we give explicit formulae for $\text{Ehr}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{g}}}(z)$ by computing $\Phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(z)$.

5.2 Computation of $\Phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(z)$

We want to find the generating function (33)

$$\Phi_{\mathfrak{g}}(z) = 1 + \sum_i b_i z^i$$

in which b_q counts the number of inequivalent $SU(q)$ representations of \mathbb{Z}_N . We saw in the previous subsection that the N irreducible representations are given by the N th roots of unity. Consider the function⁵

$$\frac{1}{(1-z)(1-wz)(1-w^2z)\dots(1-w^{N-1}z)} \quad (48)$$

The coefficient of z^q is in general a sum of n terms, representing n ways of building a q -dimensional representation. Each of the n terms has some coefficient w^m for some integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, which represents the determinant of that representation. We want to retain terms of determinant 1 only. To project out terms of non-unit determinant, we simply have to sum over w in w^m and divide by N , since we know from Fourier analysis that

$$\sum_w w^m = \begin{cases} N & m = 0 \pmod{N} \\ 0 & m \neq 0 \pmod{N} \end{cases}$$

where the sum is taken over the N th root of unity. Therefore, the generating function is

$$\Phi_{\mathfrak{su}(N)}(z) = 1 + \sum_i b_i z^i = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{(1-z)(1-w^i z)(1-w^{2i} z)\dots(1-w^{(N-1)i} z)} \quad (49)$$

One can check that b_i is a quasi-polynomial in i , a property shared by Ehrhart polynomial. Finally, we use the theorem proved in the last subsection to give the explicit expression for the Ehrhart polynomial

$$\boxed{\text{Ehr}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{su}(N)}}(z) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{(1-z)(1-w^i z)(1-w^{2i} z)\dots(1-w^{(N-1)i} z)}} \quad (50)$$

For example, let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(2)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ehr}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{su}(2)}}(z) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{(1-z)(1-z)} + \frac{1}{(1-z)(1+z)} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-z)(1-z^2)} \end{aligned}$$

which agrees with what we obtained in (28) using the Ω operator calculus.

⁵We thank O. Ganor for pointing out this trick.

6 Ehrhart Polynomial for $\mathfrak{so}(2(N+2))$

In the previous subsections, we obtained the Ehrhart polynomial for $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ using the \mathbb{Z}_N representation. We now use the same method to compute the Ehrhart polynomial for $\mathfrak{so}(2(N+2))$, $N \geq 1$. Here, N is shifted by 2 because of convenience. The Dynkin diagram associated to $\mathfrak{so}(2(N+2))$ is D_{N+2} . According to the McKay correspondence, we should be looking for the representation of the discrete group Dic_N , the dicyclic (or binary dihedral) group of order N . Since Dic_N is less well-known than \mathbb{Z}_N , we analyze the group structure in detail and derive the irreducible representations in the next subsection. After that, we will use the irreducible representations to construct the $SU(k)$ representation of Dic_N and obtain the generating function $\Phi(z)$. We prove that, in a spirit similar to what we did for the $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ case, the generating function $\Phi(z)$ coincides with the Ehrhart polynomial for $\mathfrak{so}(2(N+2))$.

6.1 $SU(q)$ representation of Dic_N

The group Dic_N is defined by the following multiplication rules:

$$\begin{aligned} r^{2N} &= e \\ s^2 &= r^N \\ s^{-1}rs &= r^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

where e is the identity element. The reader may have noticed a similarity to the dihedral group of order $2N$, identifying r with the fundamental rotation and s with the reflection. The difference here is that the reflection s does not square to the identity. Instead, it squares to a central element of the group.

To analyze the irreducible representations of this group, we need to understand the conjugacy classes. For Dic_N , there are $N+3$ conjugacy classes:

$$\{e\}, \{r, r^{-1}\}, \{r^2, r^{-2}\}, \dots, \{r^{N-1}, r^{-N+1}\}, \{r^N\}, \{sr^{2k}\}, \{sr^{2k+1}\} \quad (51)$$

where for the last two conjugacy classes, k is an integer running from 0 to $2N-1$. Therefore, there are $N+3$ irreducible representations. The affine Dynkin diagram of D_{N+2} is [18]




Figure 2: The affine Dynkin diagram for D_{N+2} . The number (x, y) indicates the x th simple root with (co)mark y . The mark is the same as the comark here because the algebra is simply-laced. By the McKay correspondence, the mark also indicates the dimension of the corresponding irreducible representation. Therefore, there are four 1-dimensional irreducible representations and $N - 1$ 2-dimensional irreducible representations.

By the McKay correspondence[15] or by abelianizing the group, one sees that there are four 1-dimensional irreducible representations and $N - 1$ 2-dimensional irreducible representations. The 1-dimensional irreducible representations for Dic_N behave differently for N even and N odd. In the following, we restrict N to be an even integer, since the case for N odd can be treated analogously. We present the character table:

$\{e\}$	$\{r, r^{-1}\}$	$\{r^2, r^{-2}\}$...	$\{r^{N-1}, r^{-N+1}\}$	$\{r^N\}$	$\{sr^{2k}\}$	$\{sr^{2k+1}\}$
1	1	1	...	1	1	1	1
1	1	1	...	1	1	-1	-1
1	-1	1	...	-1	1	1	-1
1	-1	1	...	-1	1	-1	1
2	$w + w^{-1}$	$w^2 + w^{-2}$...	$w^{N-1} + w^{-(N-1)}$	-2	0	0
2	$w^2 + w^{-2}$	$w^4 + w^{-4}$...	$w^{2(N-1)} + w^{-2(N-1)}$	2	0	0
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
2	$w^{N-1} + w^{-N+1}$	$w^{2(N-1)} + w^{-2(N-1)}$...	$w^{(N-1)^2} + w^{-(N-1)^2}$	-2	0	0

Table 1: Character table for the Dic_N group. The first four lines are the characters for the four 1-dimensional irreducible representations. Note that the first line is the trivial 1-dimensional representation. The rest of the irreducible representations are 2-dimensional. w represents the $2N$ th root of unity $\exp(\pi i/N)$. One can check that the character orthogonality relation holds.

For the $N - 1$ 2-dimensional irreducible representations, we note that r

and s take on the following form:

$$r = \begin{pmatrix} \exp(m\pi i/N) & 0 \\ 0 & \exp(-m\pi i/N) \end{pmatrix} \quad m = 1, \dots, N-1$$

$$s = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, m \text{ odd} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ +1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, m \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

In particular, for the 2-dimensional irreducible representations, $\det r = 1$, and a non-unit determinant can only come from s . The formula for s shows that the determinant of the 2-dimensional representations⁶ alternates between 1 and -1. For example, Dic_2 has one 2-dimensional irreducible representation with determinant 1, whereas Dic_4 has three 2-dimensional irreducible representations, with determinant 1, -1, 1, respectively.

We now use the above information to compute the generating function for the $SU(q)$ representation of Dic_N . The $SU(q)$ representation is constructed out of the $N+3$ irreducible representations so that the dimensions add up to q . Let the number of the four 1-dimensional representations be $x_0, x_1, x_{N+1}, x_{N+2}$, respectively⁷. Let the number of 2-dimensional representations be labeled by x_2, x_3, \dots, x_N . The constraint on the size of the representation is

$$x_0 + x_1 + 2x_2 + 2x_3 + \dots + 2x_N + x_{N+1} + x_{N+2} = q \quad (52)$$

In addition to the size constraint, we also have the unit-determinant constraint. From the character table, we see that a -1 determinant can only come from three columns⁸: $\{r, r^{-1}\}$, $\{sr^{2k}\}$, and $\{sr^{2k+1}\}$. The unit-

⁶In our case, we define the determinant of a representation as follows. If all conjugacy classes in the representation have determinant 1, we say that the representation has determinant 1. If some conjugacy classes have non-unit determinant, we pick the determinant D that, in the polar decomposition, has the smallest angle $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ and call D the determinant of the representation. For example, if ω is the 3rd root of unity, $\omega = \exp(2\pi i/3)$. Suppose there are two conjugacy classes with non-unit determinant, one with determinant ω and the other ω^2 . Because ω has a smaller polar angle than ω^2 , we say that the representation has determinant ω .

⁷The numbering here is to make connection with the numbering of the nodes in the affine Dynkin diagram.

⁸Note that the entries in the character table contain the trace of the conjugacy classes. The determinant coincides with the trace for 1-dimensional representations. For 2-dimensional representations the determinant in this case was computed earlier by looking at the explicit matrix representations.

determinant constraints coming from the three columns are

$$\frac{x_{N+1} + x_{N+2}}{2} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (53)$$

$$\frac{x_1 + x_3 + x_5 + \dots + x_{N-1} + x_{N+2}}{2} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (54)$$

$$\frac{x_1 + x_3 + x_5 + \dots + x_{N-1} + x_{N+1}}{2} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (55)$$

Note that the constraints are not independent, since (54) and (55) imply (53). Therefore, we effectively only have two determinant constraints.

To write down the generating function $\Phi_{\mathfrak{so}(2(N+2))}$, we can use the independent constraints (53) and (54). The idea is similar to the $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ case. Consider the function

$$\frac{1}{(1-z)(1-w_1z)(1-w_2z)(1-w_1w_2z)(1-z^2)^{N/2-1}(1-w_1z^2)^{N/2-1}} \quad (56)$$

Here, $w_1, w_2 \in \{1, -1\}$. The first four terms represent the contributions of inserting the four 1-dimensional representations, and the last two terms represent the contributions of inserting the $N-1$ copies of the 2-dimensional representations. If we expand the fractions into a power series, a generic term would look like

$$cw_1^{k_1}w_2^{k_2}z^n \quad (57)$$

where $c, k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. The $w_2^{k_2}$ term represents the determinant contribution from the two 1-dimensional representations labeled by $N+1$ and $N+2$ in eqn (52). We want to retain the term that satisfies $w_2^{k_2} = 1$. This can be done by a projection similar to what we did for the $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ case, except now we need to sum over $\omega_2 \in \{1, -1\}$ and divide by 2. We also need to do a similar projection on w_1 . In fact, the two projections help us retain the terms that satisfy the constraints (53) and (54). Therefore, we need to compute

$$\Phi_{\mathfrak{so}(2(N+2))}(z) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{w_1, w_2} \frac{1}{(1-z)(1-w_1z)(1-w_2z)(1-w_1w_2z)(1-z^2)^{N/2-1}(1-w_1z^2)^{N/2-1}}$$

which gives us the answer for $\Phi_{\mathfrak{so}(2(N+2))}(z)$:

$$\frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{(1-z)^4(1-z^2)^{N-2}} + \frac{1}{(1-z^2)^2(1-z^4)^{N/2-1}} + \frac{1}{(1-z^2)^N} + \frac{1}{(1-z^2)^{N/2+1}(1+z^2)^{N/2-1}} \right) \quad (58)$$

We will show in the next subsection that this is exactly the Ehrhart polynomial $\text{Ehr}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{so}(2(N+2))}}(z)$ for the D_{N+2} polytope defined in a similar fashion as in 3.1.

6.2 Equivalence to the Ehrhart polynomial

We are looking at the level q highest weight representations of $\mathfrak{so}(2(N+2))$ which also lie on the root lattice. To show that the Ehrhart polynomial coincides with the generating function obtained in the previous subsection, we simply show that the two systems have the same constraints. Let the Dynkin label of some highest weight representation be $\lambda = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{N+2})$, each term some nonnegative integer. The level q constraint yields

$$(\lambda, \theta) = x_1 + 2x_2 + 2x_3 + \dots + 2x_N + x_{N+1} + x_{N+2} \leq q \quad (59)$$

where θ is the highest root whose expansion coefficients in terms of the simple roots can be read off from the affine Dynkin diagram. By adding a slack variable to turn the inequality into an equality, we reproduce the first constraint (52). Next, we need to demand that the weights are expressed as integer combinations of simple roots:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N+2} C_{ij}^{-1} x_j \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (60)$$

The inverse of the Cartan matrix for the D-series has an interesting form[21]. Since the matrix is symmetric, we only give the values for the upper half of the matrix. Let C^{-1} be the inverse of the Cartan matrix for D_{N+2} . We have

$$C_{ij}^{-1} = \begin{cases} i, & 1 \leq i \leq j \leq N \\ i/2, & i \leq N, j = N+1 \text{ or } N \\ \frac{N}{4}, & i = N+1, j = N+2 \\ \frac{N+2}{4}, & i = j = N+1 \text{ or } N+2 \end{cases}$$

We give an example of C_{ij}^{-1} for D_6 where $N = 4$:

$$C_{\mathfrak{so}(12)}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 3/2 & 3/2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 2 & 2 \\ 1/2 & 1 & 3/2 & 2 & 3/2 & 1 \\ 1/2 & 1 & 3/2 & 2 & 1 & 3/2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that the N by N block is integer-valued, so it does not enter into the constraint (60). We only have to worry about the last two columns and the last two rows (which are the same as the last two columns by symmetry).

For our case, N is an even number, so the only fraction that enter into the constraints modulo 1 is $1/2$. Restricting our attention to the last two columns, we see that as the row number increases from 1 to N , the values of the last two columns alternate between being half-integer valued and integer valued. Therefore, from the first N rows of C^{-1} , we effectively get only 1 constraint:

$$\frac{x_{N+1} + x_{N+2}}{2} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (61)$$

The constraints coming from the last two rows can be deduced similarly. Restricting ourselves to the last two rows, as the column number j increases from 1 to N , the values of $C_{N+1,j}^{-1}$ and $C_{N+2,j}^{-1}$ alternate between being half-integer valued and integer valued. Taking into account of the last 2 columns, the constraints are

$$\frac{x_1 + x_3 + x_5 + \dots + x_{N-1} + x_{N+2}}{2} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (62)$$

$$\frac{x_1 + x_3 + x_5 + \dots + x_{N-1} + x_{N+1}}{2} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (63)$$

We see that (61), (62), and (63) are exactly the same constraints we obtained in the last subsection. This establishes the equivalence of the generating function for $SU(q)$ representation of Dic_N group and the Ehrhart polynomial for the $\mathfrak{so}(2(N+2))$ polytope. In fact, one can repeat the same argument to show that the equivalence holds for the exceptional Lie algebras as well. This concludes the proof of remarkable formula (34).

7 A new perspective on the McKay correspondence

Let C be some Cartan matrix of an ADE type Lie algebra of rank r . Let G_C be the discrete $SU(2)$ subgroup corresponding to C according to the McKay correspondence. Define $[C^{-1}]$ as C^{-1} modulo 1, where modulo 1 is done element-wise. Our computation in the previous two sections shows that a great deal of information is hidden in this object. In particular, $[C^{-1}]$ can tell us about the determinant⁹ of the irreducible representations of the group G_C . To make it more precise, we define the following \vee operator

⁹The determinant of a representation is defined in footnote 6.

acting on the rational numbers in the *congruence class* of 1 as

$$a \vee b = \begin{cases} a + b, & \text{if } a = 0 \text{ or } b = 0 \\ a, & \text{if } b \text{ is a nonzero integer multiple of } a \\ b, & \text{if } a \text{ is a nonzero integer multiple of } b \end{cases}$$

Note that this definition comes with a priority structure: there could be cases where condition 2 and 3 are both satisfied. In that case, we stick with condition 2 and demand that $a \vee b = a$. For example, bearing in mind that we are working within the congruence class of 1, the above rules imply

$$\begin{aligned} 2/3 \vee 1/3 &= 2/3 && \text{because } 1/3 \text{ is 2 times } 2/3 \\ 5/7 \vee 6/7 &= 5/7 && \text{because } 6/7 \text{ is 4 times } 5/7 \\ 1/4 \vee 0 &= 1/4 \\ 1/2 \vee 1/2 &= 1/2 \end{aligned}$$

As we shall see, we never have to worry about the case when a and b do not satisfy the three cases. To use the \vee operator, let us define X_i to be the i th row of $[C^{-1}]$. Let the operator \vee act element-wise on X_i . Define the row vector X as

$$X \equiv X_1 \vee X_2 \vee \dots \vee X_r \tag{64}$$

The dual group G_C has $r + 1$ irreducible representations, among which 1 of them is the trivial representation. We claim that the determinant of the rest of the r non-trivial representations, encoded in the row vector $D = (d_1, \dots, d_r)$, can be found by

$$D = \exp 2\pi i X \tag{65}$$

where the exponential is taken element-wise on X , producing another row vector.

This claim can be proved by checking for all ADE Lie algebras. The information presented in the previous sections is enough for the readers to check the claim for the A and the D series. Here we will focus on the exceptional series \mathfrak{e}_6 , \mathfrak{e}_7 , and \mathfrak{e}_8 . The character tables for the dual group are worked out in [13]. We give a brief summary.

- \mathfrak{e}_6 . The dual group has six nontrivial irreducible representations, of which two have determinant $\exp(2\pi i/3)$ and two have determinant $\exp(4\pi i/3)$. The other two have determinant 1.
- \mathfrak{e}_7 . The dual group has seven nontrivial irreducible representations, of which three have determinant -1 while the rest has determinant 1.

- \mathfrak{e}_8 . All representations of the dual group have unit determinant.

Let us now compare the prediction made by eqn (65) to the facts cited above.

The most trivial case to check is \mathfrak{e}_8 , whose inverse Cartan matrix modulo 1 vanishes. In this case, $X_1 = X_2 = \dots = X_8 = 0$, so according to the formula above, all irreducible representations of the binary icosahedral group have unit determinant.

For \mathfrak{e}_7 , $[C^{-1}]$ is

$$[C_{\mathfrak{e}_7}^{-1}] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/2 & 0 & 1/2 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix}$$

The \vee sum of all the rows gives $(0, 0, 0, 1/2, 0, 1/2, 1/2)$. Eqn (65) shows that the determinants are $(1, 1, 1, -1, 1, -1, -1)$, agreeing with the facts above.

For \mathfrak{e}_6 , $[C^{-1}]$ is

$$[C_{\mathfrak{e}_6}^{-1}] = \begin{pmatrix} 1/3 & 2/3 & 0 & 1/3 & 2/3 & 0 \\ 2/3 & 1/3 & 0 & 2/3 & 1/3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/3 & 2/3 & 0 & 1/3 & 2/3 & 0 \\ 2/3 & 1/3 & 0 & 2/3 & 1/3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The \vee sum of all the rows gives $(1/3, 2/3, 0, 1/3, 2/3, 0)$. Eqn (65) shows that the determinants are $(w, w^2, 1, w, w^2, 1)$, where w is the third root of unity. This also agrees with the facts cited above, and completes the proof of (65).

8 Conclusion

We have shown that the counting of root lattice states of level q Chern-Simons theory Hilbert space on T^2 can be solved by computing the exact generating function using either the Ω operator calculus or the duality proposed in [13]. The reader might wonder why we framed the problem in terms

of the Ehrhart polynomials. First, the number of root lattice states grows as some quasi-periodic polynomial, a property shared by Ehrhart polynomials. As we saw in Sec. 3.1, the geometric formulation of the counting problem leads very naturally to the idea of Ehrhart polynomials. Second, and most importantly, the recent development of mathematics and physics shows that it is always fruitful to find connections between different subfields of mathematics and physics. Since Ehrhart polynomials connect various branches of mathematics such as number theory and topology, formulating the problem using Ehrhart polynomials is an attempt at achieving more unification.

Despite being a decades-old subject, Chern-Simons theory is at the heart of the inter-connectedness explored in this paper. In fact, as shown in [13], the Chern-Simons theory arise naturally at the long distance limit of some holographic system in string theory. Another key ingredient is the McKay correspondence [15]. However, the correspondence itself does not shed light on why the root lattice states should behave like $SU(q)$ representation of the McKay dual group. This is explained using string theory in [13]. In fact, we saw in Sec. 7 that the simple Lie algebras know a lot more than the content of the McKay correspondence through the inverse of the Cartan matrices.

Finally, we pose some open questions: Chern-Simons theory can be quantized on geometries other than T^2 . It seems that the nice lattice interpretation of states is lost upon quantizing on other Riemann surfaces. What would the special states considered in this paper correspond to for other geometries? Are there other classes of states in the Chern-Simons theory that have similarly interesting properties? In this paper, we explored the $SU(q)$ representation of the dual group, but one can also ponder over representations over other compact Lie groups such as $SO(q)$ or $Sp(2q)$. What special states in the Chern-Simons theory would these representations correspond to?

9 Acknowledgement

We would like to thank Emil Albrychiewicz, Ori Ganor, Yasunori Nomura, and Tong Zhou for useful discussions. In particular, we thank Ori Ganor for pointing out the potential significance of the states considered in this paper.

References

- [1] E. Witten, “Quantum field theory and the Jones polynomial,” *Comm. Math. Phys.* **121**(3): 351-399 (1989).
- [2] O.J. Ganor and Y.P. Hong, “Selfduality and Chern-Simons Theory,” arXiv:0812.1213 [hep-th]
- [3] D. Gaiotto and E. Witten, “Supersymmetric Boundary Conditions in $N = 4$ Super Yang-Mills Theory,” arXiv:0804.2902 [hep-th]
- [4] A. Kapustin, E. Witten, “Electric-Magnetic Duality And The Geometric Langlands Program,” arXiv:hep-th/0604151
- [5] A. Zee, “Quantum Hall Fluids,” arXiv:cond-mat/9501022
- [6] F. Wilczek, “Magnetic Flux, Angular Momentum, and Statistics,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48**, 1144. (1982).
- [7] F. Wilczek and A. Zee, “Linking Numbers, Spin, and Statistics of Solitons,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **51**, 2250. (1983).
- [8] R.B. Laughlin, “Anomalous Quantum Hall Effect: An Incompressible Quantum Fluid with Fractionally Charged Excitations,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **50**, 1395. (1983).
- [9] E. Witten, “2 + 1 dimensional gravity as an exactly soluble system,” *Nucl.Phys.B* 311 (1988) 46.
- [10] E. Ehrhart, “Sur les polyèdres rationnels homothétiques à n dimensions”, *Comptes rendus de l’Académie des Sciences*, 254: 616?618.
- [11] J.A. De Loera, “The many aspects of counting lattice points in polytopes,” *Mathematische Semesterberichte* volume 52, pages175?195 (2005)
- [12] M. Beck and S. Robins, “Computing the Continuous Discretely,” Springer, 2015.
- [13] O.J. Ganor and C. Ju, work in progress.
- [14] S. Elitzur, G. Moore, A. Schwimmer, N. Seiberg, “Remarks on the canonical quantization of the Chern-Simons-Witten theory,” *Nucl Phys B*, V. 326, Issue 1, 1989, 108-134.

- [15] J. McKay, "Graphs, singularities, and finite groups," Proc. Symp. Pure Math. Vol. 37. No. 183. 1980.
- [16] P.A. MacMahon, "Combinatory Analysis, Volume II," Cambridge The University Press, 1916.
- [17] X.G. Wen and A. Zee, "Topological Degeneracy of Quantum Hall Fluids," arXiv:cond-mat/9711223 [cond-mat.mes-hall]
- [18] V. Kac, "Inifnite Dimensional Lie Algebras," Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [19] G.E. Andrews, P. Paul, and A.Riese, "MacMahon?s Partition Analysis: The Omega Package," Europ. J. Combinatorics (2001) 22, 887?904.
- [20] E.B. Dynkin, "Semisimple Subalgebras of Semisimple Lie Algebras," American Mathematical Society Translations: Series 2, vol. 6. (1957)
- [21] Y. Wei and Y.M. Zou, "Inverses of Cartan Matrices of Lie Algebras and Lie Superalgebras," arXiv:1711.01294 [math.RT]