

HIGHER RESIDUES AND CANONICAL PAIRING ON THE TWISTED DE RHAM COHOMOLOGY

HOIL KIM AND TAEJUNG KIM

ABSTRACT. We describe an explicit formula of the canonical pairing on the twisted de Rham cohomology associated with the category of local matrix factorizations and by characterizing its relation to Saito's higher residue pairings we reprove the conjecture of Shklyarov.

1. INTRODUCTION

Higher residue pairings introduced by K. Saito have played an important role in the development of the theory of Frobenius manifold [5, 17, 21, 22] and the concept of higher residue pairings starts to appear in a computation of intersection numbers of cohomology classes through the Feynman integrals with the graphs and super potentials in the recent trends of the scattering theory; see [14, 23]. While their importance has kept growing in mathematics and physics, information about a definite formula describing them does not seem to be readily available in the literature. In this paper, we obtain their explicit formula and apply it to solve the problems related to the canonical pairing in the dg category of matrix factorizations. More specifically, we describe the composition of following maps explicitly:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.1) \quad & \mathbb{H}_f^{(0)} \times \mathbb{H}_f^{(0)} \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times (-1)^n} \mathbb{H}_f^{(0)} \times \mathbb{H}_{-f}^{(0)} \\
 & \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{H}_n(\Omega_{Q_m/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet[[u]], -df + ud) \times \mathbb{H}_n(\Omega_{Q_m/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet[[u]], df + ud) \\
 & \xleftarrow{\cong} \mathbb{H}_n R\Gamma_m(\Omega_{Q_m/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet[[u]], -df + ud) \times \mathbb{H}_n R\Gamma_m(\Omega_{Q_m/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet[[u]], df + ud) \\
 & \xrightarrow{\wedge \circ \text{kun}} \mathbb{H}_{2n}(\mathcal{C}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \otimes_{Q_m} (\Omega_{Q_m/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet[[u]], ud)) \\
 & \xrightarrow{\text{res}} \mathbb{C}[[u]]
 \end{aligned}$$

where kun is the Künneth map; for the descriptions of the rest of notations in the above, see Section 2.1. The explicit formula of the composition of maps in (1.1) is given in (2.12). From this, in Theorem 4.4 we establish that (2.12) multiplied by u^n is in fact the explicit formula of Saito's higher residue pairings. In [20], D. Shklyarov gives a categorical interpretation of Saito's higher residue pairings with the ambiguity of sign. The determination of the sign is known as the conjecture of Shklyarov. We discuss about the relation between (2.12) and Shklyarov's conjecture in Section 4.2.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14A22; Secondary 16E40, 18G80.

Key words and phrases. Matrix factorizations, local cohomology, twisted de Rham cohomology, canonical pairing, Saito's higher residue pairings.

H. Kim was supported by NRF-2018R1D1A1B07044575 and T. Kim was supported by NRF-2018R1D1A3B07043346.

1.1. Outline of paper. In Section 2.1 after briefly explaining relevant facts about the local cohomology and Grothendieck's residue map, we describe the composition of maps in (1.1). In Section 2.2, we calculate its explicit formula (2.12) with (2.16). In Section 3.1 we introduce the characteristic equation (3.2) of the canonical pairing, which one can take as a definition of the canonical pairing without looking over its typical definition found in [19, 20]. From the properties in Section 3.2, the explicit formula (2.12) and Equation (3.2) enable us to deduce that (2.12) is the canonical pairing in Section 3.3. Section 4.1 deals with the equivalence between (2.12) and Saito's higher residue pairings; see Theorem 4.4. As a byproduct, we give another proof of the conjecture of Shklyarov in Theorem 4.6 in Section 4.2.

2. LOCAL COHOMOLOGY AND HIGHER RESIDUE PAIRINGS \mathcal{H}_f

2.1. Local cohomology. Let $Q = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. The k th local cohomology associated with the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of a complex $(\Omega_{Q/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet[[u]], -df + ud)$ where u is a formal variable of degree 2 is by definition the k th hypercohomology $\mathbb{H}_k R\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(\Omega_{Q/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet[[u]], -df + ud)$. That is, after taking an injective resolution $\mathcal{I}^{\bullet\bullet}$ of a complex $(\Omega_{Q/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet[[u]], -df + ud)$, one takes the k th homology of the total complex $\bigoplus_n \bigoplus_{p+q=n} \mathcal{I}^{p,q}$. Note that for a local cohomology of a Q -module M , we simply write $\mathbb{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^k(M)$. On the other hand, it can be also calculated using the Čech complex as follows. Let

$$\mathcal{C}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^n \mathcal{C}^j \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{C}^j = \bigoplus_{i_1 < \dots < i_j} Q \left[\frac{1}{x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_j}} \right] \alpha_{i_1} \dots \alpha_{i_j}$$

where $\alpha_i^2 = 0$, $\alpha_i \alpha_j = -\alpha_j \alpha_i$, and $|\alpha_i| = 1$. Then $(\mathcal{C}(x_1, \dots, x_n), \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i)$ is a complex and it turns out that the k th homology of the total complex

$$(\mathcal{C}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \otimes_Q \Omega_{Q/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet[[u]], -df + ud + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i)$$

is isomorphic to the k th hypercohomology $\mathbb{H}_k R\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(\Omega_{Q/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet[[u]], -df + ud)$; see [7, Chapter 7] for details.

Let $f \in Q = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and assume that $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is the only critical point of the map $f : \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$. Let

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{H}_f^{(0)} &:= \mathbb{H}_n(\Omega_{Q/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet[[u]], -df + ud) \\ \mathbb{H}_{-f}^{(0)} &:= \mathbb{H}_n(\Omega_{Q/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet[[u]], df + ud) \end{aligned}$$

where u is a formal variable of degree 2. Since f has an isolated singularity, it is known that

$$\mathbb{H}_f^{(0)} \cong (\Omega_{Q/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet[[u]], -df + ud) \cong \frac{\Omega_{Q/\mathbb{C}}^n}{(-df \wedge) \Omega_{Q/\mathbb{C}}^{n-1}}[[u]].$$

Moreover, letting $Q_{\mathfrak{m}}$ be the localization of Q at \mathfrak{m} , under the condition $\text{Sing}(f) = \mathfrak{m}$ we have

$$(2.2) \quad (\Omega_{Q/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet[[u]], -df + ud) \cong (\Omega_{Q_{\mathfrak{m}}/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet[[u]], -df + ud).$$

Since the local cohomology functor $R\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is the right adjoint of the canonical inclusion functor, we have

$$(\Omega_{Q_{\mathfrak{m}}/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet[[u]], -df + ud) \cong R\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(\Omega_{Q/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet[[u]], -df + ud).$$

Definition 1. Grothendieck's residue map $\text{Res}^G : H_m^n(\Omega_{Q_m/\mathbb{C}}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the unique \mathbb{C} -linear map such that

$$(2.3) \quad \text{Res}^G \left[\frac{dx_1 \cdots dx_n}{x_1^{a_1}, \dots, x_n^{a_n}} \right] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a_i = 1 \text{ for all } i, \text{ and} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In particular, we will denote $\text{Res}^G \left[\frac{\psi_1 \psi_2 dx_1 \cdots dx_n}{f_1, \dots, f_n} \right]$ by $\text{Res}_f(\psi_1 dx_1 \cdots dx_n, \psi_2 dx_1 \cdots dx_n)$ where $f_i := \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$. For the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded Q_m -module $\Omega_{Q_m/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet$, it induces a map $\overline{\text{Res}}^G : H_m^n(\Omega_{Q_m/\mathbb{C}}^n/d\Omega_{Q_m/\mathbb{C}}^{n-1}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$; see [12, Section 5]. By abuse of notation, letting its $\mathbb{C}[[u]]$ -linear extension be Res^G again, we define

$$\text{res} =: \text{res}_{Q_m} : \mathbb{H}_{2n} R\Gamma_m(\Omega_{Q_m/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet[[u]], ud) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[[u]]$$

by the composition of

$$\mathbb{H}_{2n} R\Gamma_m(\Omega_{Q_m/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet[[u]], ud) \rightarrow H_m^n(\Omega_{Q_m/\mathbb{C}}^n/d\Omega_{Q_m/\mathbb{C}}^{n-1})[[u]] \xrightarrow{\text{Res}^G} \mathbb{C}[[u]].$$

2.2. Higher residue pairings. Let $f_j := \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}$. We define for $j = 1, \dots, n$

$$(2.4) \quad \Phi_{j,f}(h(x_1, \dots, x_n)) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k,j,f}(x_1, \dots, x_n) u^k$$

where $a_{0,j,f} := -\frac{h}{f_j}$ and $a_{n,j,f} := \frac{1}{f_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} a_{n-1,j,f}$. More explicitly, one has

$$(2.5) \quad \Phi_{j,f}(h) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{f_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right)^k \left(-\frac{h}{f_j} \right) u^k.$$

We extend $\Phi_{j,f} \in \mathbb{C}[[u]]$ -linearly. Hence, it makes sense to say

$$\Phi_{j,f} \circ \Phi_{i,f}(h).$$

In particular, we have the followings.

Lemma 2.1.

$$\Phi_{j,f} \circ \Phi_{i,f}(h) = \Phi_{i,f} \circ \Phi_{j,f}(h).$$

Proof. From (2.5), we see that

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi_{j,f} \circ \Phi_{i,f}(h) &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{f_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right)^l \left(-\frac{\Phi_{i,f}(h)}{f_j} \right) u^l \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l+m=k} \left(\frac{1}{f_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right)^l \left(\frac{1}{f_i} \left(\frac{1}{f_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right)^m \left(\frac{h}{f_i} \right) \right) u^k. \end{aligned}$$

Observing

$$\sum_{l+m=k} \left(\frac{1}{f_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right)^l \left(\frac{1}{f_i} \left(\frac{1}{f_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right)^m \left(\frac{h}{f_i} \right) \right) = \sum_{l+m=k} \left(\frac{1}{f_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right)^l \left(\frac{1}{f_j} \left(\frac{1}{f_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right)^m \left(\frac{h}{f_j} \right) \right),$$

we establish the proof. \square

Lemma 2.2. *We have*

$$(-f_i dx_i + u dx_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}) \Phi_{i,f}(h) = h dx_i.$$

In particular,

$$(-f_i dx_i + u dx_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}) \Phi_{i,f}(\Phi_{j,f}(h)) = \Phi_{j,f}(h) dx_i.$$

Proof. An easy computation establishes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.3. *We have that*

$$h(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_1 \cdots dx_n \in \mathbb{H}_n(\Omega_{\mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet[[u]], -df + ud)$$

corresponds to $\omega_f(h) \in \mathbb{H}_n(\mathcal{C}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_m} (\Omega_{\mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet[[u]], -df + ud))$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_f(h) &:= h(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_1 \cdots dx_n \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} \Phi_{i,f}(h) \alpha_i dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n \\ &+ \sum_{j < i}^n (-1)^{i+j+3} \Phi_{j,f} \circ \Phi_{i,f}(h) \alpha_j \alpha_i dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx}_j \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n \\ &+ \sum_{k < j < i}^n (-1)^{i+j+k+6} \Phi_{k,f} \circ \Phi_{j,f} \circ \Phi_{i,f}(h) \alpha_k \alpha_j \alpha_i dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx}_k \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx}_j \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n \\ &+ \cdots + (-1)^{n(n+1)} \Phi_{1,f} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{n,f}(h) \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It suffices to show that $\omega_f(h)$ is closed under $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i - df \wedge + ud$. A computation using Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 shows that

$$\begin{aligned} &(-df + ud) \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n} (-1)^\dagger \Phi_{i_1,f} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{i_k,f}(h) \alpha_{i_1} \cdots \alpha_{i_k} dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx}_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx}_{i_k} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n \\ &= - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \right) \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_{k-1} \leq n} (-1)^\ddagger \Phi_{i_1,f} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{i_{k-1},f}(h) \alpha_{i_1} \cdots \alpha_{i_{k-1}} dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx}_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx}_{i_{k-1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n \end{aligned}$$

where $\dagger = i_1 + \cdots + i_k + \frac{k(k+1)}{2}$ and $\ddagger = i_1 + \cdots + i_{k-1} + \frac{(k-1)k}{2}$, which establishes the proof. \square

By taking all $\alpha_i = 0$, Lemma 2.3 shows in (1.1) how to identify

$$(2.7) \quad \mathbb{H}_n(\Omega_{\mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet[[u]], -df + ud) \leftrightarrow \mathbb{H}_n(\mathcal{C}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_m} (\Omega_{\mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet[[u]], -df + ud)).$$

Moreover, from Lemma 2.3, we see that the composition of maps in (1.1)

$$(2.8) \quad \mathbb{H}_f^{(0)} \times \mathbb{H}_{-f}^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{2n}(\mathcal{C}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_m} (\Omega_{\mathbb{Q}_m/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet[[u]], ud))$$

gives $\wedge \circ \text{kun}(\omega_f(h), \omega_{-f}(g))$. For $i_1 < \cdots < i_k$ and $j_1 < \cdots < j_{n-k}$ such that $\{1, \dots, n\} / \{i_1, \dots, i_k\} = \{j_1, \dots, j_{n-k}\}$, we let

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}) &:= \alpha_{i_1} \cdots \alpha_{i_k} \\ \alpha(\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}^c) &:= \alpha_{j_1} \cdots \alpha_{j_{n-k}}. \end{aligned}$$

We define $d\mathbf{x}(\{i_1, \dots, i_k\})$ and $d\mathbf{x}(\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}^c)$ in a similar way. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.9) \quad & \wedge \circ \text{kun}(\omega_f(h), \omega_{-f}(g)) \\
&= \frac{1}{2^n} \left(h \cdot \Phi_{1,-f} \circ \dots \circ \Phi_{n,-f}(g) dx_1 \cdots dx_n \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \Phi_{1,f} \circ \dots \circ \Phi_{n,f}(h) \cdot g \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n dx_1 \cdots dx_n \right. \\
&+ \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} (-1)^* \Phi_{i_1,f} \circ \dots \circ \Phi_{i_k,f}(h) \cdot \Phi_{j_1,-f} \circ \dots \circ \Phi_{j_{n-k},-f}(g) \\
&\quad \left. \alpha(\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}) d\mathbf{x}(\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}^c) \alpha(\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}^c) d\mathbf{x}(\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2^n} \left((-1)^n h \cdot \Phi_{1,-f} \circ \dots \circ \Phi_{n,-f}(g) + \Phi_{1,f} \circ \dots \circ \Phi_{n,f}(h) \cdot g \right. \\
&+ \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} (-1)^{n-k} \Phi_{i_1,f} \circ \dots \circ \Phi_{i_k,f}(h) \cdot \Phi_{j_1,-f} \circ \dots \circ \Phi_{j_{n-k},-f}(g) \left. \right) \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n dx_1 \cdots dx_n
\end{aligned}$$

where $*$ = $\frac{n(n+1)}{2} + \frac{k(k+1)}{2} + \frac{(n-k)(n-k+1)}{2} = k(n-k)$.

Lemma 2.4. *In $\mathbb{H}_{2n}(\mathcal{C}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \otimes_{Q_m} (\Omega_{Q_m/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet[[u]], ud))$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.10) \quad & (-1)^{n-k} \Phi_{i_1,f} \circ \dots \circ \Phi_{i_k,f}(h) \cdot \Phi_{j_1,-f} \circ \dots \circ \Phi_{j_{n-k},-f}(g) \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n dx_1 \cdots dx_n \\
&= \text{boundary} + (-1)^n h \cdot \Phi_{1,-f} \circ \dots \circ \Phi_{n,-f}(g) \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n dx_1 \cdots dx_n \\
&= \text{boundary} + \Phi_{1,f} \circ \dots \circ \Phi_{n,f}(h) \cdot g \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n dx_1 \cdots dx_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. A computation using Lemma 2.2 shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{i_1,f} \circ \dots \circ \Phi_{i_k,f}(h) \cdot \Phi_{j_1,-f} \circ \dots \circ \Phi_{j_{n-k},-f}(g) \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n dx_1 \cdots dx_n \\
&= -\Phi_{i_1,f} \circ \dots \circ \Phi_{i_k,f} \circ \Phi_{j_1,f}(h) \cdot \Phi_{j_2,-f} \circ \dots \circ \Phi_{j_{n-k},-f}(g) \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n dx_1 \cdots dx_n \\
&+ (ud + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i) (\Phi_{i_1,f} \circ \dots \circ \Phi_{i_k,f} \circ \Phi_{j_1,f}(h) \cdot \Phi_{j_1,-f} \circ \Phi_{j_2,-f} \circ \dots \circ \Phi_{j_{n-k},-f}(g)) \\
&\quad \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n dx_1 \cdots \widehat{dx_{j_1}} \cdots dx_n.
\end{aligned}$$

It implies

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-1)^{n-k} \Phi_{i_1,f} \circ \dots \circ \Phi_{i_k,f}(h) \cdot \Phi_{j_1,-f} \circ \dots \circ \Phi_{j_{n-k},-f}(g) \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n dx_1 \cdots dx_n \\
&= \text{boundary} + \Phi_{1,f} \circ \dots \circ \Phi_{n,f}(h) \cdot g \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n dx_1 \cdots dx_n.
\end{aligned}$$

A similar observation also shows the other equality. \square

From (2.9) and Lemma 2.4, (2.8) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
& (h dx_1 \cdots dx_n, g dx_1 \cdots dx_n) \\
&\rightarrow \frac{1}{2} (\Phi_{1,f} \circ \dots \circ \Phi_{n,f}(h) \cdot g + (-1)^n h \cdot \Phi_{1,-f} \circ \dots \circ \Phi_{n,-f}(g)) \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n dx_1 \cdots dx_n.
\end{aligned}$$

For $h \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, letting

$$(2.11) \quad \Phi_{1,f} \circ \dots \circ \Phi_{n,f}(h) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_{i,f}(h) u^i,$$

we may see that the composition of maps in (1.1) on $H_f^{(0)} \times H_f^{(0)}$ is given by
(2.12)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_f(hdx_1 \cdots dx_n, gdx_1 \cdots dx_n) &:= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\text{res}((-1)^n b_{i,f}(h) g \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n dx_1 \cdots dx_n) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \text{res}(b_{i,-f}(g) h \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n dx_1 \cdots dx_n) \right) u^i. \end{aligned}$$

For $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in H_f^{(0)}$, we extend this pairing $\mathbb{C}[[u]]$ -sesquilinearly. Letting $d\mathbf{x} := dx_1 \cdots dx_n$ and

$$(2.13) \quad \mathcal{H}_f^i(hd\mathbf{x}, gd\mathbf{x}) := \frac{1}{2} \left(\text{Res}^G((-1)^n b_{i,f}(h) gd\mathbf{x}) + \text{Res}^G(b_{i,-f}(g) hd\mathbf{x}) \right),$$

one can rewrite the composition of maps in (1.1), called higher residue pairings, as

$$(2.14) \quad \mathcal{H}_f(hd\mathbf{x}, gd\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{H}_f^i(hd\mathbf{x}, gd\mathbf{x}) u^i.$$

We note that

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} b_{0,f}(h) &= (-1)^n \frac{h}{f_1 \cdots f_n} \\ b_{1,f}(h) &= (-1)^n \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{f_1 \cdots f_{i-1}} \cdot \frac{1}{f_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{h}{f_i \cdots f_n} \right) \\ &= (-1)^n \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{h_i}{f_i (f_1 \cdots f_n)} + (-1)^{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i}^n \frac{h f_{ji}}{f_i f_j (f_1 \cdots f_n)} \\ b_{2,f}(h) &= (-1)^n \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{f_1 \cdots f_{i-1}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{f_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 \left(\frac{h}{f_i \cdots f_n} \right) \\ &\quad + (-1)^n \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{1}{f_1 \cdots f_{i-j-1}} \cdot \frac{1}{f_{i-j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i-j}} \left(\frac{1}{f_{i-j} \cdots f_{i-1}} \cdot \frac{1}{f_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{h}{f_i \cdots f_n} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

In general, the formula for $b_{k,f}(h)$ is given as follows:

$$(2.16) \quad b_{k,f}(h) = (-1)^n \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)} \left(\frac{\partial}{f_1 \partial x_1} \right)^{a_1} \frac{1}{f_1} \cdots \left(\frac{\partial}{f_k \partial x_k} \right)^{a_k} \frac{1}{f_k} \cdots \left(\frac{\partial}{f_n \partial x_n} \right)^{a_n} \frac{h}{f_n}$$

where the sum is over all (a_1, \dots, a_n) such that $a_1 + \cdots + a_n = k$ with non-negative integers a_i .

3. EQUIVALENCE BETWEEN THE CANONICAL PAIRING AND $(-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \mathcal{H}_f$.

3.1. Characteristic equation of the canonical pairing. For a smooth and proper \mathbb{C} -linear dg category \mathcal{A} , the canonical pairing $\langle -, - \rangle_{HP(\mathcal{A}) \otimes HP(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}})}$ on $HP(\mathcal{A}) \otimes HP(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}})$ can be seen as the inverse to the Chern character $\text{Ch}_{HP}(\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}) \in HP(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{A})$ where $\Delta_{\mathcal{A}} \in \text{Perf}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \otimes \mathcal{A})$ is the diagonal functor $\Delta_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Perf}(\mathbb{C})$ given by $\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}(E \otimes F^\vee) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(F, E)$. More specifically, we have

$$(3.1) \quad \left(\langle -, - \rangle_{HP(\mathcal{A}) \otimes HP(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}})} \otimes \text{id}_{HP(\mathcal{A})} \right) \circ (\gamma \otimes \text{Ch}_{HP}(\Delta_{\mathcal{A}})) = \gamma \text{ for all } \gamma \in HP_*(\mathcal{A});$$

see [15, page 1875] and [19, page 25]. Via the Künneth isomorphism, after writing

$$\mathrm{Ch}_{HP}(\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}) = \sum_i T^i \otimes T_i \text{ for some } T^i \in HP_*(\mathcal{A}^{op}) \text{ and } T_i \in HP_*(\mathcal{A}),$$

it is known that $\langle -, - \rangle_{HP(\mathcal{A}) \otimes HP(\mathcal{A}^{op})}$ is a unique non-degenerate bilinear pairing $\langle -, - \rangle$ satisfying

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_i \langle \gamma, T^i \rangle \langle T_i, \gamma' \rangle = \langle \gamma, \gamma' \rangle \text{ for every } \gamma \in HP_*(\mathcal{A}) \text{ and } \gamma' \in HP_*(\mathcal{A}^{op});$$

see [19, Proposition 4.2] and [9, Section 2.5]. We call Equation (3.2) the characteristic equation of the canonical pairing on $HP(\mathcal{A}) \otimes HP(\mathcal{A}^{op})$ and use Equation (3.2) to check whether a given explicit formula for a pairing is the canonical pairing $\langle -, - \rangle_{\mathrm{can}}$ on $H_f^{(0)} \times H_f^{(0)}$ or not.

3.2. The properties of Grothendieck's residue. From [4, 12], we recall two properties of Grothendieck's residue; Transitivity law and Transformation law:

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Res}^G \left[\frac{d\mathbf{x} \wedge d\mathbf{y}}{f_1(\mathbf{x})^{m_1}, \dots, f_n(\mathbf{x})^{m_n}, f_1(\mathbf{y}), \dots, f_n(\mathbf{y})} \right] \\ &= \mathrm{Res}^G \left[\frac{\mathrm{Res}^G \left[\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{f_1(\mathbf{x})^{m_1}, \dots, f_n(\mathbf{x})^{m_n}} \right] \wedge d\mathbf{y}}{f_1(\mathbf{y}), \dots, f_n(\mathbf{y})} \right] \\ &= \mathrm{Res}^G \left[\frac{(-1)^{n+b} d(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) \wedge d\mathbf{y}}{(f_1(\mathbf{y}) - f_1(\mathbf{x}))^{m_1}, \dots, (f_n(\mathbf{y}) - f_n(\mathbf{x}))^{m_n}, f_1(\mathbf{y}), \dots, f_n(\mathbf{y})} \right] \end{aligned}$$

where $b = m_1 + \dots + m_n$, $m_i \geq 1$, and $d\mathbf{x} = dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$.

3.3. The equivalence. From [8]; also see [15, Proposition 4.1.1], we know

$$\mathrm{ch}_{HH}(\Delta_{Q_m}) = (-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \cdot \det(\Delta_j(\partial_i f)) d\mathbf{x} \otimes d\mathbf{y} \in H_{2n}(\Omega_{Q_m \otimes Q_m}^\bullet, -d\tilde{f});$$

where $\tilde{f} = f(\mathbf{y}) - f(\mathbf{x})$ and

$$\Delta_j f := \frac{f(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, y_j, y_{j+1}, \dots, y_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j, y_{j+1}, \dots, y_n)}{y_j - x_j}.$$

We note that there is a formula for $\mathrm{ch}_{HN}(\Delta_{Q_m})$ in [1] by using a connection ∇ . Since Q_m is a local ring, we may take a Levi-Civita connection, i.e., $\nabla^2 = 0$; see [1, Remark 5.11] for the definition. Thus, one still has

$$\mathrm{ch}_{HN}(\Delta_{Q_m}) = (-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \cdot \det(\Delta_j(\partial_i f)) d\mathbf{x} \otimes d\mathbf{y} \in H_{2n}(\Omega_{Q_m \otimes Q_m}^\bullet[u], ud - d\tilde{f});$$

see [1, 3, 11] for details. Similar to [15, Proposition 4.1.2], we have the followings.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\delta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) := \det(\Delta_j(\partial_i f))$ and $[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} g_i(\mathbf{x}) u^i d\mathbf{x}] \in H_f^{(0)}$. Under the assumption that the only singularity of $f \in Q$ is \mathfrak{m} , on $H_f^{(0)} \times H_f^{(0)}$ we have*

$$(3.4) \quad (\mathcal{H}_f(\cdot, (-1)^{n \cdot}) \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ ([\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} g_i(\mathbf{x}) u^i d\mathbf{x}] \otimes [(-1)^n \delta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{x} \otimes d\mathbf{y}]) = [\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} g_i(\mathbf{y}) u^i d\mathbf{y}].$$

That is, $(-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \mathcal{H}_f(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the canonical pairing $\langle -, - \rangle_{\mathrm{can}}$ on $H_f^{(0)} \times H_f^{(0)}$.

Proof. From the non-degeneracy of the residue pairing Res_f and the sesquilinearity with respect to u , it suffices to prove that for all $h \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{y}]$, we have

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \text{Res}_f (h(\mathbf{y})d\mathbf{y}, (\mathcal{H}_f(\cdot, (-1)^n \cdot) \otimes \text{id}) \circ (g_i(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x} \otimes (-1)^n \delta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})d\mathbf{x} \otimes d\mathbf{y})) u^i \\ = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \text{Res}_f (h(\mathbf{y})d\mathbf{y}, g_i(\mathbf{y})d\mathbf{y}) u^i.$$

That is, we need to prove that for all $h \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{y}]$

$$(3.6) \quad \text{Res}_f \left(h(\mathbf{y})d\mathbf{y}, (\mathcal{H}_f(\cdot, (-1)^n \cdot) \otimes \text{id}) \circ (g(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x} \otimes (-1)^n \delta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})d\mathbf{x} \otimes d\mathbf{y}) \right) = \text{Res}_f (h(\mathbf{y})d\mathbf{y}, g(\mathbf{y})d\mathbf{y}).$$

From Lemma 2.4, we see that on $H_f^{(0)} \times H_f^{(0)}$

$$(3.7) \quad \mathcal{H}_f(hdx_1 \cdots dx_n, gdx_1 \cdots dx_n) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \text{Res}^G ((-1)^n b_{i,f}(h)gdx_1 \cdots dx_n) u^i.$$

Using Formula (3.7) we see that the left side of Equation (3.6) becomes

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \text{Res}^G \left[\frac{h(\mathbf{y}) \text{Res}^G [(-1)^n b_{i,f}(g(\mathbf{x})) \delta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{x}] u^i d\mathbf{y}}{f_1(\mathbf{y}), \dots, f_n(\mathbf{y})} \right].$$

For $i = 0$, from [15, Proposition 4.1.2] we already know that

$$\text{Res}^G \left[\frac{h(\mathbf{y}) \text{Res}^G [(-1)^n b_{0,f}(g(\mathbf{x})) \delta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{x}] d\mathbf{y}}{f_1(\mathbf{y}), \dots, f_n(\mathbf{y})} \right] = \text{Res}^G \left[\frac{h(\mathbf{y})g(\mathbf{y})d\mathbf{y}}{f_1(\mathbf{y}), \dots, f_n(\mathbf{y})} \right].$$

Thus, we need to show that for $i \geq 1$,

$$(3.8) \quad \text{Res}^G \left[\frac{h(\mathbf{y}) \text{Res}^G [(-1)^n b_{i,f}(g(\mathbf{x})) \delta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{x}] d\mathbf{y}}{f_1(\mathbf{y}), \dots, f_n(\mathbf{y})} \right] = 0.$$

Now observe that from Formula (2.16), for $g \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]$ we see that $b_{i,f}(g)$ with $i \geq 1$ is the summation of the followings

$$\frac{\Psi(g, f)(\mathbf{x})}{f_1^{m_1} \cdots f_n^{m_n}}$$

where Ψ consists of the derivatives of f and g and the exponents in the denominator are bigger than or equal to 1 and in particular at least some $m_j > 1$. Using the transitivity law and the transformation law of Grothendieck's residue in (3.3), we

see the followings:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Res}^G \left[\frac{h(\mathbf{y}) \text{Res}^G [(-1)^n b_{i,f}(g(\mathbf{x})) \delta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{x}] d\mathbf{y}}{f_1(\mathbf{y}), \dots, f_n(\mathbf{y})} \right] \\
&= \text{Res}^G \left[\frac{(-1)^b h(\mathbf{y}) \Psi(g, f)(\mathbf{x}) \delta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) d(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{y}}{(f_1(\mathbf{y}) - f_1(\mathbf{x}))^{m_1}, \dots, (f_n(\mathbf{y}) - f_n(\mathbf{x}))^{m_n}, f_1(\mathbf{y}), \dots, f_n(\mathbf{y})} \right] \\
&= \text{Res}^G \left[\frac{(-1)^b h(\mathbf{y}) \text{Res}_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{y}}^G \left[\frac{\Psi(g, f)(\mathbf{x}) \delta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) d(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x})}{(f_1(\mathbf{y}) - f_1(\mathbf{x}))^{m_1}, \dots, (f_n(\mathbf{y}) - f_n(\mathbf{x}))^{m_n}} \right] d\mathbf{y}}{f_1(\mathbf{y}), \dots, f_n(\mathbf{y})} \right] \\
&= \text{Res}^G \left[\frac{(-1)^b h(\mathbf{y}) \text{Res}_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{y}}^G \left[\frac{\Psi(g, f)(\mathbf{x}) d(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x})}{(f_1(\mathbf{y}) - f_1(\mathbf{x}))^{m_1-1}, \dots, (f_n(\mathbf{y}) - f_n(\mathbf{x}))^{m_n-1}, y_1 - x_1, \dots, y_n - x_n} \right] d\mathbf{y}}{f_1(\mathbf{y}), \dots, f_n(\mathbf{y})} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

where $b = m_1 + \dots + m_n$. Since at least some $m_j > 1$, we see that

$$\text{Res}_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{y}}^G \left[\frac{\Psi(g, f)(\mathbf{x}) d(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x})}{(f_1(\mathbf{y}) - f_1(\mathbf{x}))^{m_1-1}, \dots, (f_n(\mathbf{y}) - f_n(\mathbf{x}))^{m_n-1}, y_1 - x_1, \dots, y_n - x_n} \right] = 0,$$

which establishes (3.8). Hence, $(-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \mathcal{H}_f(\cdot, (-1)^n \cdot)$ is the canonical pairing on $H_f^{(0)} \times H_f^{(0)}$. \square

Remark 3.2. In [10], we consider the composition of the global counterparts of maps in (1.1) and establish that it is a canonical pairing.

4. SAITO'S HIGHER RESIDUE PAIRINGS \mathcal{H}_f AND $u^n \mathcal{H}_f$.

4.1. Equivalence. Recall that Saito's higher residue pairings $\mathcal{H}_f : H_n(\Omega_{\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet((u)), -df + ud) \times H_n(\Omega_{\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet((u)), -df + ud) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}((u))$ satisfy

- 1) $\mathbb{C}((u))$ -sesquilinear, i.e., $\mathcal{H}_f(u\omega_1, \omega_2) = \mathcal{H}_f(\omega_1, -u\omega_2) = u \mathcal{H}_f(\omega_1, \omega_2)$
- 2) $\mathcal{H}_f(\omega_1, \omega_2) = (-1)^n \mathcal{H}_f(\omega_2, \omega_1)^\star$ where $\lambda(u)^\star := \lambda(-u) \in \mathbb{C}((u))$
- 3) $\partial_u \mathcal{H}_f(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \mathcal{H}_f(\nabla_{\partial_u}^f \omega_1, \omega_2) - \mathcal{H}_f(\omega_1, \nabla_{\partial_u}^f \omega_2)$ with $\nabla_{\partial_u}^f = \partial_u + \frac{f}{u^2}$
- 4) the restriction of \mathcal{H}_f to $H_f^{(0)}$ takes a value in $\mathbb{C}[[u]]u^n$
- 5) for $\omega_i = \psi_i dx_1 \cdots dx_n + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \omega_{i,k} u^k$ with $i = 1, 2$,

$$\mathcal{H}_f(\omega_1, \omega_2) = u^n \text{Res}^G \left[\frac{\psi_1 \psi_2 dx_1 \cdots dx_n}{f_1, \dots, f_n} \right] + O(u^{n+1});$$

see [5, 6, 20] for details. On $H_f^{(0)}$, Saito's higher residue pairings \mathcal{H}_f can be written as

$$\mathcal{H}_f(\omega_1, \omega_2) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} K_f^i(\omega_1, \omega_2) u^{n+i} \text{ where } K_f^i(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \mathbb{C}.$$

The characterization of higher residue pairings on $H_f^{(0)}$ is that they are unique if they satisfy 1) to 5) and the flatness conditions in Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3. We remark that without checking 5) the uniqueness holds up to a constant; see [17, Theorem 5.1], [18, Appendix], and [20] for details. Indeed, Shklyarov's conjecture is about the determination of the ambiguity of the constant with regard to checking 5) in the process of the comparison of the two higher residue pairings in [20]. The explicit formula (2.12) facilitates to check 5) and the other properties directly, which leads to the resolution of the indeterminacy in the comparison; see Theorem 4.6.

We also note that this strategy is the generalization of the work about the canonical pairing on the Hochschild homology of the category of matrix factorizations by A. Polishchuk and A. Vaintrob [15]; see [20, Section 1.2] for details and compare with [2, 9, 10].

By construction it is clear that

- \mathcal{H}_f is $\mathbb{C}((u))$ -sesquilinear
- $\mathcal{H}_f^0(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \text{Res}_f(\omega_1, \omega_2)$.

Since $b_{i,-f}(g) = (-1)^{n+i}b_{i,f}(g)$ from (2.16), Equation (2.13) implies that \mathcal{H}_f^i is $(-1)^i$ -symmetric, i.e., $\mathcal{H}_f^i(hd\mathbf{x}, gd\mathbf{x}) = (-1)^i \mathcal{H}_f^i(gd\mathbf{x}, hd\mathbf{x})$, which implies that

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \mathcal{H}_f^i(hd\mathbf{x}, gd\mathbf{x})u^i = \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \mathcal{H}_f^i(gd\mathbf{x}, hd\mathbf{x})u^i \right)^*.$$

From this and the $\mathbb{C}((u))$ -sesquilinearity of \mathcal{H}_f , in general for $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbf{H}_f^{(0)}$ we see that

$$u^n \mathcal{H}_f(\omega_1, \omega_2) = (-1)^n (u^n \mathcal{H}_f(\omega_2, \omega_1))^*.$$

Lemma 4.1. *For $\omega_i \in \mathbf{H}_f^{(0)}$ let $\mathcal{R}_f(\omega_1, \omega_2) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_f^k(\omega_1, \omega_2)u^{n+k}$. Then*

$$\mathcal{R}_f(\nabla_{\partial_u}^f \omega_1, \omega_2) - \mathcal{R}_f(\omega_1, \nabla_{\partial_u}^f \omega_2) = \frac{d}{du} \mathcal{R}_f(\omega_1, \omega_2) \text{ where } \nabla_{\partial_u}^f = \frac{d}{du} + \frac{f}{u^2}.$$

Proof. Let $\omega_1 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \omega_{1,i}u^i$ and $\omega_2 = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \omega_{2,j}u^j$ where $\omega_{1,i}, \omega_{2,j} \in \Omega_{\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{C}}^n$. By the $\mathbb{C}((u))$ -sesquilinearity, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} (4.1) \quad & \frac{d}{du} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_f^k \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \omega_{1,i}u^i, \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \omega_{2,j}u^j \right) u^{k+n} = \frac{d}{du} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=i+j+k} (-1)^j \mathcal{H}_f^k(\omega_{1,i}, \omega_{2,j}) u^{l+n} \\ & = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=i+j+k} (-1)^j \left(\mathcal{H}_f^k(i\omega_{1,i}, \omega_{2,j}) + \mathcal{H}_f^k(\omega_{1,i}, j\omega_{2,j}) \right) u^{l+n-1} \\ & \quad + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=i+j+k} (-1)^j (k+n) \mathcal{H}_f^k(\omega_{1,i}, \omega_{2,j}) u^{l+n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} (4.2) \quad & \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=i+j+k} (-1)^j \left(\mathcal{H}_f^k(i\omega_{1,i}, \omega_{2,j}) + \mathcal{H}_f^k(\omega_{1,i}, j\omega_{2,j}) \right) u^{l+n-1} \\ & = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\mathcal{H}_f^k \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i\omega_{1,i}u^{i-1}, \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \omega_{2,j}u^j \right) - \mathcal{H}_f^k \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \omega_{1,i}u^i, \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j\omega_{2,j}u^{j-1} \right) \right) u^{k+n} \\ & = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_f^k \left(\frac{d}{du} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \omega_{1,i}u^i, \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \omega_{2,j}u^j \right) u^{k+n} - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_f^k \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \omega_{1,i}u^i, \frac{d}{du} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \omega_{2,j}u^j \right) u^{k+n}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we see that

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_f^k \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f \omega_{1,i} u^{i-2}, \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \omega_{2,j} u^j \right) u^{k+n} - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_f^k \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \omega_{1,i} u^i, \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f \omega_{2,j} u^{j-2} \right) u^{k+n} \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=i+j+k} (-1)^j \left(\mathcal{H}_f^k(f\omega_{1,i}, \omega_{2,j}) - \mathcal{H}_f^k(\omega_{1,i}, f\omega_{2,j}) \right) u^{l+n-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, in order to show

$$\mathcal{R}_f(\nabla_{\partial_u}^f \omega_1, \omega_2) - \mathcal{R}_f(\omega_1, \nabla_{\partial_u}^f \omega_2) = \frac{d}{du} \mathcal{R}_f(\omega_1, \omega_2) \text{ where } \nabla_{\partial_u}^f = \frac{d}{du} + \frac{f}{u^2},$$

it suffices to show that

$$(4.4) \quad \mathcal{H}_f^0(f\omega_{1,0}, \omega_{2,0}) - \mathcal{H}_f^0(\omega_{1,0}, f\omega_{2,0}) = 0$$

$$(4.5) \quad \mathcal{H}_f^{k+1}(f\omega_{1,i}, \omega_{2,j}) - \mathcal{H}_f^{k+1}(\omega_{1,i}, f\omega_{2,j}) = (k+n)\mathcal{H}_f^k(\omega_{1,i}, \omega_{2,j}) \text{ for } k \geq 0.$$

(4.4) is clear from the definition of \mathcal{H}_f^0 in (2.13) and (2.15). Note that by some calculation using (2.15) and (2.13), we easily see that

$$\mathcal{H}_f^1(f\omega_{1,i}, \omega_{2,j}) - \mathcal{H}_f^1(\omega_{1,i}, f\omega_{2,j}) = n\mathcal{H}_f^0(\omega_{1,i}, \omega_{2,j}).$$

For general $k \geq 1$ for (4.5), applying the Leibniz rule to the formula of $b_{k+1,f}$ in (2.16) and $\frac{\partial}{f_i \partial x_i} f = 1$, we see that there is a nonnegative integer C satisfying

$$b_{k+1,f}(f\omega_{1,i}) - fb_{k+1,f}(\omega_{1,i}) = C \cdot b_{k,f}(\omega_{1,i}).$$

Since the number of terms in $b_{k+1,f}$ in the summation is the number of solutions (a_1, \dots, a_n) of $a_1 + \dots + a_n = k+1$ with non-negative integers a_i , it is $\binom{n+k}{k+1}$ by combination by repetition. Thus, using $\frac{\partial}{f_i \partial x_i} f = 1$ and the Leibniz rule again, we deduce that C must satisfy

$$(k+1) \binom{n+k}{k+1} = C \cdot \binom{n+k-1}{k}.$$

With a similar observation for $b_{k+1,-f}$ and $b_{k,-f}$, we conclude that

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} b_{k+1,f}(f\omega_{1,i}) - fb_{k+1,f}(\omega_{1,i}) &= (k+n)b_{k,f}(\omega_{1,i}) \\ fb_{k+1,-f}(\omega_{2,j}) - b_{k+1,-f}(f\omega_{2,j}) &= (k+n)b_{k,-f}(\omega_{2,j}). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, (4.5) follows from (4.6) and (2.13). \square

Let η_1, \dots, η_μ be a basis of

$$Q/(f_1, \dots, f_n) = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_n).$$

Consider

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} H_{f,\eta}^{(0)} &:= H_n(\Omega_{Q/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet[z_1, \dots, z_\mu][[u]], -df - \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} z_i d\eta_i + ud) \\ H_{-f,-\eta}^{(0)} &:= H_n(\Omega_{Q/\mathbb{C}}^\bullet[z_1, \dots, z_\mu][[u]], df + \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} z_i d\eta_i + ud). \end{aligned}$$

Let $F := f(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} z_i \eta_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. For $h, g \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n][z_1, \dots, z_{\mu}]$, by replacing f with F in Equation (2.12), the extended higher residue pairings on $\mathbf{H}_{f,\eta}^{(0)} \times \mathbf{H}_{f,\eta}^{(0)}$ is given by

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_F(hd\mathbf{x}, gdx) &:= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left((-1)^n \operatorname{res}(b_{k,F}(h)g\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n dx_1 \cdots dx_n) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \operatorname{res}(b_{k,-F}(g)h\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n dx_1 \cdots dx_n) \right) u^k. \end{aligned}$$

We also let

$$\mathcal{H}_F^k(hd\mathbf{x}, gdx) := \frac{1}{2} \left((-1)^n \operatorname{Res}^G(b_{k,F}(h)gdx) + \operatorname{Res}^G(b_{k,-F}(g)hd\mathbf{x}) \right)$$

and we note that \mathcal{H}_F^k is $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_{\nu}]$ -valued. The formula for $b_{k,F}$ is given as follows:

$$(4.9) \quad b_{k,F}(h) = (-1)^n \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)} \left(\frac{\partial}{F_1 \partial x_1} \right)^{a_1} \frac{1}{F_1} \cdots \left(\frac{\partial}{F_k \partial x_k} \right)^{a_k} \frac{1}{F_k} \cdots \left(\frac{\partial}{F_n \partial x_n} \right)^{a_n} \frac{h}{F_n}$$

where $F_i := \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}$ and the sum is over all (a_1, \dots, a_n) such that $a_1 + \cdots + a_n = k$ with non-negative integers a_i .

For $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbf{H}_{f,\eta}^{(0)}$ letting $\mathcal{R}_F(\omega_1, \omega_2) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_F^k(\omega_1, \omega_2) u^{n+k}$ and replacing f with F in Lemma 4.1, we have the followings.

Lemma 4.2.

$$\mathcal{R}_F(\nabla_{\partial_u}^F \omega_1, \omega_2) - \mathcal{R}_F(\omega_1, \nabla_{\partial_u}^F \omega_2) = \frac{d}{du} \mathcal{R}_F(\omega_1, \omega_2) \text{ where } \nabla_{\partial_u}^F = \frac{d}{du} + \frac{F}{u^2}.$$

Lemma 4.3. For $i = 1, \dots, \mu$, we have

$$(4.10) \quad \mathcal{R}_F(\nabla_{\partial_{z_i}}^F \omega_1, \omega_2) + \mathcal{R}_F(\omega_1, \nabla_{\partial_{z_i}}^F \omega_2) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \mathcal{R}_F(\omega_1, \omega_2) \text{ where } \nabla_{\partial_{z_i}}^F = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} - \frac{\eta_i}{u}.$$

Proof. From (4.8), (4.9), the Leibniz rule, and the sesquilinear extension of $\mathbb{C}((u))$, without loss of generality it suffices to show that for $h, g \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$,

$$(4.11) \quad \mathcal{H}_F^0(\eta_i h d\mathbf{x}, gdx) - \mathcal{H}_F^0(hd\mathbf{x}, \eta_i gdx) = 0$$

$$(4.12) \quad \mathcal{H}_F^{k+1}(\eta_i h d\mathbf{x}, gdx) - \mathcal{H}_F^{k+1}(hd\mathbf{x}, \eta_i gdx) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \mathcal{H}_F^k(hd\mathbf{x}, gdx) \text{ for } k \geq 0.$$

From the formula in (4.9), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_F^0(\eta_i h d\mathbf{x}, gdx) - \mathcal{H}_F^0(hd\mathbf{x}, \eta_i gdx) \\ = \operatorname{Res}^G \left(\frac{\eta_i h g}{F_1 \cdots F_n} d\mathbf{x} \right) - \operatorname{Res}^G \left(\frac{\eta_i h g}{F_1 \cdots F_n} d\mathbf{x} \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

For (4.12), we observe the followings. Using the Leibniz law and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} F_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \eta_i,$$

we observe that for $\Theta(x_1, \dots, x_n, z_1, \dots, z_{\mu}) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n][z_1, \dots, z_{\mu}]$,

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \left(\left(\frac{\partial}{F_k \partial x_k} \right)^{a_k} \left(\frac{1}{F_k} \cdot \Theta \right) \right) \\ &= \eta_i \left(\frac{\partial}{F_k \partial x_k} \right)^{a_k+1} \left(\frac{1}{F_k} \cdot \Theta \right) - \left(\frac{\partial}{F_k \partial x_k} \right)^{a_k+1} \eta_i \left(\frac{1}{F_k} \cdot \Theta \right) + \left(\frac{\partial}{F_k \partial x_k} \right)^{a_k} \left(\frac{1}{F_k} \cdot \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial z_i} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.13), we deduce that for $h \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and nonnegative integers a_k ,

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \left(\left(\frac{\partial}{F_1 \partial x_1} \right)^{a_1} \frac{1}{F_1} \cdots \left(\frac{\partial}{F_k \partial x_k} \right)^{a_k} \frac{1}{F_k} \cdots \left(\frac{\partial}{F_n \partial x_n} \right)^{a_n} \frac{h}{F_n} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial}{F_1 \partial x_1} \right)^{a_1} \frac{1}{F_1} \cdots \eta_i \left(\frac{\partial}{F_k \partial x_k} \right)^{a_k+1} \frac{1}{F_k} \cdots \left(\frac{\partial}{F_n \partial x_n} \right)^{a_n} \frac{h}{F_n} \\ & \quad - \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial}{F_1 \partial x_1} \right)^{a_1} \frac{1}{F_1} \cdots \left(\frac{\partial}{F_k \partial x_k} \right)^{a_k+1} \eta_i \frac{1}{F_k} \cdots \left(\frac{\partial}{F_n \partial x_n} \right)^{a_n} \frac{h}{F_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, (4.14) and (4.9) show that for $i = 1, \dots, \mu$ and $h, g \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$,

$$(4.15) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} (b_{k,F}(h)) = -b_{k+1,F}(\eta_i h) + \eta_i b_{k+1,F}(h).$$

Replacing F with $-F$, a similar observation shows that

$$(4.16) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} (b_{k,-F}(g)) = b_{k+1,-F}(\eta_i g) - \eta_i b_{k+1,-F}(g).$$

From (4.8), we see that (4.15) and (4.16) imply (4.12). \square

From Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3, we establish the followings.

Theorem 4.4. $u^n \mathcal{H}_f$ is Saito's higher residue pairings, i.e.,

$$u^n \mathcal{H}_f = \mathcal{H}_f.$$

Remark 4.5. One can compare the explicit ones in (2.13) from (2.15) with a couple of the explicit formulae of Saito's higher residue pairings found in [16, Section 2]. We note that there is an investigation about a similar result in terms of polyvector fields instead of differential forms in [13].

4.2. The conjecture of Shklyarov. A work [20] by D. Shklyarov sheds light on a relation between the canonical pairing in the dg category of matrix factorizations of an isolated singularity and Saito's higher residue pairings on the twisted de Rham cohomology associated with the singularity. According to D. Shklyarov [19, 20], the periodic cyclic homology $HP(\mathcal{A})$ of any proper \mathbb{C} -linear dg category \mathcal{A} has a canonical $\mathbb{C}((u))$ -sesquilinear pairing

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}} : HP_*(\mathcal{A}) \otimes HP_*(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}((u)).$$

We remark that $\langle -, - \rangle_{HP(\mathcal{A}) \otimes HP(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}})}$ in Section 3.1 is $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ after composing an isomorphism $HP(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow HP(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}})$; see [20, Section 2.2] for the isomorphism.

Let $Q := \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and assume that $\mathfrak{m} := (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is the only critical point of the map $f : \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$. The differential $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded category $\text{MF}(Q, f)$ of matrix factorizations is proper and there is a natural embedding

$$HN_*(\text{MF}(Q, f)) \hookrightarrow HP_*(\text{MF}(Q, f));$$

see [20, 10] for the definitions of matrix factorizations, the negative cyclic homology, and the periodic cyclic homology. It is known that there are isomorphisms

$$I_f : HN_*(\text{MF}(Q, f)) \rightarrow H_f^{(0)} \quad \text{and} \quad I_{-f} : HN_*(\text{MF}(Q, -f)) \rightarrow H_{-f}^{(0)};$$

see [20] for details. Denoting the restriction of the canonical pairing to the negative cyclic homology $HN_*(\text{MF}(Q, f))$ by \mathcal{H}_{MF} , in [20] D. Shklyarov proves that

$$c_f \cdot u^n \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\text{MF}}(I_f^{-1}(-), I_f^{-1}(-)) = \mathcal{H}_f(-, -)$$

and conjectures that $c_f = (-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}$. The first proof of the conjecture appears from the work of M. Brown and M. Walker in [2]. With a different method, for a global matrix factorization the conjecture is proved by B. Kim; see [9, Theorem 1.2] and also see [10] about the investigation about the negative cyclic case and the periodic cyclic case. With different techniques from [2, 9], we reprove the conjecture of Shklyarov.

Theorem 4.6 (The conjecture of Shklyarov).

$$(-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \cdot u^n \cdot \mathcal{K}_{\text{MF}}(I_f^{-1}(-), I_f^{-1}(-)) = \mathcal{K}_f(-, -).$$

Proof. From the uniqueness of the canonical pairing, we obtain that

$$\langle -, - \rangle_{\text{can}} = \mathcal{K}_{\text{MF}}(I_f^{-1}(-), I_f^{-1}(-)).$$

By Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 4.4, the proof of the conjecture is established.

Q.E.D.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the anonymous referee for careful reading of the manuscript and the helpful comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Brown and M. Walker, A Chern-Weil formula for the Chern character of a perfect curved module, *J. Noncommut. Geom.* **14** (2020), no. 2, 709–772.
- [2] ———, A proof of a conjecture of Shklyarov, *J. Noncommut. Geom.* **16** (2022), no. 4, 1479–1523.
- [3] K. Chung, B. Kim, and T. Kim, A Chain-level HKR-type map and a Chern character formula, arXiv:2109.14372.
- [4] R. Hartshorne, *Residues and Duality*. Lecture Notes in Math. 20, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1966.
- [5] C. Hertling, *Frobenius manifolds and moduli spaces for singularities*, vol. 151, Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [6] ———, Formes bilinéaires et hermitiennes pour des singularités: un aperçu. In: *Singularités* (ed. D. Barlet), Institut Élie Cartan Nancy **18**, 2006, 1–17.
- [7] S. Iyengar, G. Leuschke, A. Leykin, C. Miller, E. Miller, A. Singh, and U. Walther, *Twenty-four hours of local cohomology*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 87, AMS, 282 p. (2007).
- [8] A. Kapustin and L. Rozansky, On the relation between open and closed topological strings. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 252 (2004), 393–414.
- [9] B. Kim, Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch for global matrix factorizations, arXiv:2106.00435.
- [10] H. Kim and T. Kim, Canonical pairing and Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch formula for matrix factorizations, arXiv:2304.11675.
- [11] T. Kim, Globalization of Chern characters and canonical pairings, Submitted to Proceedings of the Memorial conference; A Tribute to the Life and Work of Professor Bumsig Kim: Categorical and Enumerative Aspects of Mirror Symmetry, September 19-23, 2022, KIAS.
- [12] E. Kunz, *Residues and duality for projective algebraic varieties*. With the assistance of and contributions by David A. Cox and Alicia Dickenstein. (English) University Lecture Series 47. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS). xiii, 158 p. (2008).
- [13] C. Li, S. Li, and K. Saito, Primitive forms via polyvector fields, arxiv:math.AG/1311.1659.
- [14] S. Mizera and A. Pokraka, From infinity to four dimensions: higher residue pairings and Feynman integrals, Published 2020, *Journal of High Energy Physics(SISSA)*.
- [15] A. Polishchuk and A. Vaintrob, Chern characters and Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch formula for matrix factorizations, *Duke Mathematical Journal* **161** (2012), no. 10, 1863–1926.
- [16] K. Saito, Period Mapping Associated to a Primitive Form, *Publ. RIMS. Kyoto Uni.* 19 (1983), 1231–1264.

- [17] K. Saito and A. Takahashi, From primitive forms to Frobenius manifolds, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 78 (2008), pp. 31-48.
- [18] M. Saito, On the structure of Brieskorn lattices II, Journal of Singularities volume 18 (2018), 248-271.
- [19] D. Shklyarov, Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch-type formula for DG algebras. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 106 (2013), no. 1, 1-32.
- [20] ———, Matrix factorizations and higher residue pairings, Adv. Math. **292** (2016), 181–209.
- [21] J. Tu, Categorical Saito theory. I: A comparison result, Adv. Math. **383** (2021), 32 p.
- [22] ———, Categorical Saito theory. II: Landau-Ginzburg orbifolds, Adv. Math. **384** (2021), 36 p.
- [23] P. Vanhove, Feynman integrals, toric geometry and mirror symmetry. Elliptic integrals, elliptic functions and modular forms in quantum field theory, 415–458, Texts Monogr. Symbol. Comput., Springer, Cham, 2019.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYUNGPOOK NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, TAEGU 702-701, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Email address: `hikim@knu.ac.kr`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, KOREA NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, 250 TAESEONGTABYEON-RO, GANGNAE-MYEON, HEUNGDEOK-GU, CHEONGJU-SI, CHUNGBUK 28173, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Email address: `tjkim@kias.re.kr`