

CURVATURE BOUND FOR L_p MINKOWSKI PROBLEM

KYEONGSU CHOI, MINHYUN KIM, AND TAEHUN LEE

ABSTRACT. We establish curvature estimates for anisotropic Gauss curvature flows. By using this, we show that given a measure μ with a positive smooth density f , any solution to the L_p Minkowski problem in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} with $p \leq -n + 2$ is a hypersurface of class $C^{1,1}$. This is a sharp result because for each $p \in [-n + 2, 1)$ there exists a convex hypersurface of class $C^{1, \frac{1}{n+p-1}}$ which is a solution to the L_p Minkowski problem for a positive smooth density f . In particular, the $C^{1,1}$ regularity is optimal in the case $p = -n + 2$ which includes the logarithmic Minkowski problem in \mathbb{R}^3 .

1. INTRODUCTION

The Minkowski problem asks if there exists a convex body whose surface area measure is a prescribed measure on \mathbb{S}^n . This problem was first proposed and solved by Minkowski [60] for special cases, and later extended independently by Aleksandrov [1] and Fenchel–Jessen [36] to general measures. The regularity of solutions to the Minkowski problem has been established by Pogorelov [61, 62], Cheng–Yau [27], and Caffarelli [15, 16, 17, 18] from the viewpoint of differential geometry and partial differential equations.

The study of the L_p Minkowski problem was initiated by Lutwak [58] as an important variant of the Minkowski problem. The L_p Minkowski problem asks whether a given measure μ on \mathbb{S}^n arises as the L_p surface area measure S_p of a convex body Ω , i.e.,

$$S_p(\Omega, \cdot) = \mu, \quad (1.1)$$

see Section 2.1 for details. Besides the classical Minkowski problem ($p = 1$), there are some significant cases such as the logarithmic Minkowski problem ($p = 0$) that characterizes the cone volume, and the centro-affine Minkowski problem ($p = -n - 1$) that is invariant under affine transformations. The existence of solutions have been extensively studied over the last decades in various contexts, see [48, 58, 59] for $p > 1$, [6, 7, 11, 46, 53, 74] for $p \in (0, 1)$, [8, 10, 24, 26, 72] for $p = 0$, and [7, 44, 57, 73, 75] for $p < 0$. Most of the aforementioned references also concern the uniqueness problem. We refer the readers to [9, 12, 22, 23, 30, 64, 65, 70] for further uniqueness results and [25, 26, 47, 51, 71] for non-uniqueness results.

In this work, we focus on the regularity of solutions when the prescribed measure on \mathbb{S}^n has a density f , namely $d\mu = f d\sigma$, where σ is the spherical Lebesgue measure. In this case, the L_p Minkowski problem can be interpreted as a Monge–Ampère type equation

$$\det(u_{ij} + u\delta_{ij}) = fu^{p-1} \quad \text{on } \mathbb{S}^n \quad (1.2)$$

in terms of the support function u of a convex body, provided that u is positive. It is worth noting that the support function of a convex body is positive if and only if the origin lies in the interior

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 53E99, Secondary: 35B65, 35C06, 35K96, 53A05.

Key words and phrases. Minkowski problem, curvature flow, regularity estimates.

of a convex body, in which case the standard regularity theory by Caffarelli [16] can be applied to (1.2). Therefore, in order to study the regularity, we need to focus on cases where the origin lies on the boundary, that is, when the support function of a convex body is nonnegative.

If u is nonnegative, the equation (1.2) is understood in the generalized sense (of Aleksandrov). We say that the support function $u \in C(\mathbb{S}^n)$ of a convex body Ω or its convex hypersurface $\Sigma = \partial\Omega$ is called a *generalized solution of (1.2)* if Ω satisfies (1.1), namely,

$$\int_E dS_p(\Omega, z) = \int_E f d\sigma \quad \text{for all Borel sets } E \subset \mathbb{S}^n, \quad (1.3)$$

where σ is the spherical Lebesgue measure.

Let us briefly review the regularity results for the L_p Minkowski problem in the literature. When $p \in (-n-1, -n+1] \cup [n+1, \infty)$, Chou and Wang proved [33] that solutions to (1.2) have the origin in their interior, and therefore their support functions are of class $C^{2,\gamma}(\mathbb{S}^n)$, provided that $f \in C^\gamma(\mathbb{S}^n)$ is positive. In particular, solutions to (1.2) are smooth if f is smooth and positive. For the case $p \in (-\infty, -n-1]$, the smoothness of the solutions can be deduced from the result of Andrews [3]. We note that, for the affine critical case where $p = -n-1$ (in which the equation is invariant under affine transformations), solutions might not exist in general, as described in [33].

However, solutions are not necessarily smooth when $p \in (-n+1, 1) \cup (1, n+1)$. As indicated above, if the origin lies on the boundary of convex bodies, then one cannot apply the standard regularity theory by Caffarelli, which may allow non-smooth solutions. Indeed, the L_p Minkowski problem, even with sufficiently regular f could have a solution with the origin on its boundary. See [11, Example 1.6] for $p \in (-n+1, 1)$ and [48, Example 4.1] for $p \in (1, n+1)$. In these examples, the hypersurfaces are of class $C^{k,\gamma}$ with $\gamma \in (0, 1]$ for $k + \gamma = \frac{2n}{n+p-1}$. Here,

a complete hypersurface Σ embedded in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} is said to be of class $C^{k,\gamma}$,

if each $p \in \Sigma$ has a neighborhood U such that $\Sigma \cap U$ is a rotated graph of a $C^{k,\gamma}$ -function. See also [6, Example 4.2] for examples of convex bodies with a flat side although the associated f is not smooth.

We summarize the aforementioned known results in terms of $C^{1,1}$ regularity of hypersurfaces, which is equivalent to the boundedness of principal curvatures. For $p \in (-\infty, -n+1] \cup \{1\} \cup [n+1, \infty)$, solutions are smooth if f is smooth. However, for $p \in (1, n+1)$, there exist solutions that are not $C^{1,1}$ even though f is smooth. For the remaining range, $p \in (-n+1, 1)$, it was not known whether the solutions are $C^{1,1}$ or not when f is smooth.

In this paper, we completely investigate the $C^{1,1}$ regularity for the L_p Minkowski problem with $p \in (-n+1, 1)$ when f is smooth. More precisely, we show that any generalized solution to (1.2) is a hypersurface of class $C^{1,1}$ for $p \in (-n+1, -n+2]$; however, there exist solutions that are not $C^{1,1}$ when $p \in (-n+2, 1)$.

Theorem 1.1. *Given $p \in (-\infty, -n+2]$ and a positive and smooth function f , any generalized solution Σ to (1.2) is a hypersurface of class $C^{1,1}$. Moreover, its principal curvatures are uniformly bounded by a constant depending only on n , p , the diameter of Σ , $\|f\|_{C^2(\mathbb{S}^n)}$, and $\min_{\mathbb{S}^n} f$.*

This is a sharp result due to the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $p \in [-n+2, 1) \cup (1, n+1)$.*

- (i) If $p \in [-n + 2, 1)$, then there exists a generalized solution Σ to (1.2) such that Σ is a hypersurface of at most class $C^{1, \frac{1}{n+p-1}}$ and f is a positive smooth function.
- (ii) If $p \in (1, n + 1)$, then there exists a generalized solution Σ to (1.2) such that Σ is a hypersurface of at most class $C^{1, \frac{n-p+1}{n+p-1}}$ and f is a positive smooth function.

Remark 1.3. (i) By combining the known results with Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, we conclude the following: the L_p Minkowski problem enjoys $C^{1,1}$ regularity of hypersurfaces if and only if $p \in (-\infty, -n + 2] \cup \{1\} \cup [n + 1, \infty)$.

(ii) The $C^{1,1}$ regularity is optimal for the case of $p = -n + 2$, which includes the logarithmic Minkowski problem in \mathbb{R}^3 ($n = 2, p = 0$) as a special case.

(iii) Chen–Feng–Liu [22] established a uniform diameter estimate for the logarithmic Minkowski problem in \mathbb{R}^3 . Thus, the curvature bound in Theorem 1.1 depends only on $\|f\|_{C^2(\mathbb{S}^n)}$ and $\min_{\mathbb{S}^n} f$ in this case.

On the other hand, in the case $-n - 1 < p < 0$, there is no uniform diameter estimate depending on f by the result in Jian–Lu–Wang [51].

- (iv) Although the smoothness of solutions and curvature estimates are already known for $p \in (-\infty, -n + 1]$ in Andrews [3] and Chou–Wang [33], we included this range of p in Theorem 1.1 because our curvature estimates also work in this range and the proofs are new.
- (v) It was previously known in Chou–Wang [33] that when $p \in (-n + 1, 1)$, the associated convex hypersurface is of class C^1 (or $C^{1,\gamma}$, respectively) provided that $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{S}^n)$ (or $C^{0,1}(\mathbb{S}^n)$, respectively).

To prove Theorem 1.1, we utilize the anisotropic α -Gauss curvature flow, defined in [3], with $\alpha = \frac{1}{1-p}$. We say that a one-parameter family of complete convex hypersurfaces $\{M_t\}_{t \in I}$ is an *anisotropic α -Gauss curvature flow* if

$$\partial_t x = -f(\nu)K^\alpha \nu, \quad (1.4)$$

holds ν and K are the unit outward normal and the Gauss curvature of M_t at x , respectively. We study local behaviors of the flow (1.4) when $p \leq -n + 2$, or equivalently $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{n-1}]$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{n-1}]$, and let M_0 be a smooth, closed, and strictly convex hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Suppose f is a positive smooth function on \mathbb{S}^n . If $\{M_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ is the anisotropic Gauss curvature flow (1.4), then there exists a positive constant C depending only on n, α , the diameter of M_0 , the inradius of M_T , $\|f\|_{C^2(\mathbb{S}^n)}$, and $\min_{\mathbb{S}^n} f$ such that*

$$\max_{i=1, \dots, n} \lambda_i(\cdot, t) \leq C(1 + t^{-\frac{2+\alpha}{(n\alpha+1)\alpha}}) \quad \text{for all } 0 < t \leq T.$$

The flow approach has been applied to resolve various Minkowski problems, primarily focusing on asymptotic behavior. For instance, the classical Minkowski problem was studied using logarithmic Gauss curvature flow in [32, 69]. Moreover, the L_p Minkowski problem was investigated in [14, 44, 50]; the dual Minkowski problem, an important variant of the logarithmic Minkowski problem, was explored in [56]; and the L_p dual Minkowski problem was researched in [19, 20, 45]. The Christoffel-Minkowski problem, which extends the Gauss curvature in the Minkowski problem to σ_k curvature, was examined in [13]. For additional studies related to the L_p Minkowski problem with $f = 1$, we refer the readers to [2, 4, 5, 12, 34, 37, 42, 67] for contraction cases, to [38, 39, 49, 55, 63, 68] for expansion cases, and to [66] for both types of flows.

It is worth noting that all flows in the aforementioned references, after normalization, have uniform positive lower bounds for their support functions, which makes limiting solitons smooth. However, in our range of p with non-symmetric function f , the origin may lie on the limiting soliton, and therefore (1.2) becomes a degenerate Monge–Ampère type equation and may not have C^2 solutions.

Finding $C^{1,1}$ solutions has attracted much attention as partial regularity theorems of degenerate Monge–Ampère type equations. For the classical degenerate Monge–Ampère equation, $C^{1,1}$ solutions are found in [40, 43]. Similar results for Minkowski type problem can be found in [21, 41]. For the flows with degeneracy, we refer readers to [28, 29, 31, 35, 52] for surfaces with flat sides and [54] for surfaces with an obstacle.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide a brief overview of the L_p Minkowski problem and the anisotropic α -Gauss curvature flow. We also introduce the concept of viscosity solutions of (1.4) and define some notations. In Section 3, we present evolution equations of various geometric quantities under the flow. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.4, which provides a uniform upper bound for the principal curvatures of the flow. We extend the estimate in Theorem 1.4 to the viscosity solution of (1.4) and present the proof of Theorem 1.1 in Section 5. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is provided in Section 6.

Acknowledgments. KC has been supported by the KIAS Individual Grant MG078902, an Asian Young Scientist Fellowship, and the National Research Foundation (NRF) grants RS-2023-00219980 and RS-2024-00345403. MK has been supported by the research fund of Hanyang University HY-202300000001143 and the National Research Foundation of Korea grant RS-2023-00252297. TL has been supported by National Research Foundation of Korea grant RS-2023-00211258.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall precise definitions for the L_p Minkowski problem and the anisotropic α -Gauss curvature flow, which are closely related to each other. We also make some notations.

2.1. L_p Minkowski problem. The Minkowski problem asks for the construction of a *convex body*, a compact convex set in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} with a nonempty interior, whose Gauss curvature is prescribed. It is equivalent to finding a convex body Ω satisfying

$$S(\Omega, \cdot) = \mu$$

for a given measure μ on \mathbb{S}^n , where $S(\Omega, \cdot)$ is the *surface area measure* of Ω determined by the Aleksandrov variational formula

$$\left. \frac{dV(\Omega + t\Omega_0)}{dt} \right|_{t=0+} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} u_{\Omega_0}(z) dS(\Omega, z) \quad \text{for any convex body } \Omega_0.$$

Here, $V(\Omega)$ denotes the volume of Ω , and $u_{\Omega_0} : \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the *support function* of Ω_0 defined by $u_{\Omega_0}(z) = \max\{z \cdot x : x \in \Omega_0\}$.

To describe the L_p Minkowski problem, we recall Firey's [37] *p-linear combination* of convex bodies: for $p \geq 1$, $t_1, t_2 > 0$, and convex bodies Ω_1, Ω_2 containing the origin, $t_1 \cdot_p \Omega_1 +_p t_2 \cdot_p \Omega_2$

is defined by the convex body with support function $(t_1 u_{\Omega_1}^p + t_2 u_{\Omega_2}^p)^{1/p}$. Then, the L_p surface area measure $S_p(\Omega, \cdot)$ of a convex body Ω is characterized by

$$\left. \frac{dV(\Omega +_p t \cdot_p \Omega_0)}{dt} \right|_{t=0^+} = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} u_{\Omega_0}^p(z) dS_p(\Omega, z) \quad \text{for any convex body } \Omega_0.$$

It is known [58] that $S_p(\Omega, \cdot)$ is related to $S(\Omega, \cdot)$ by $S_p(\Omega, \cdot) = u_{\Omega}^{1-p} S(\Omega, \cdot)$, and this relation makes it possible to define the L_p surface area measure for all $p \in \mathbb{R}$. The L_p Minkowski problem is to find a convex body Ω satisfying

$$S_p(\Omega, \cdot) = \mu \tag{2.1}$$

for a given measure μ on \mathbb{S}^n . If μ has a density f so that $\mu = f d\sigma$, then (2.1) is equal to (1.2).

2.2. Anisotropic α -Gauss curvature flow. Let us consider an anisotropic Gauss curvature flow (1.4) for $\alpha > 0$ and a positive smooth function f defined on \mathbb{S}^n . It follows from the result of Andrews [3] that any closed convex hypersurface converges to a point in a finite time $T_{\max} > 0$ under the flow (1.4).

Theorem A (Andrews [3]). *Let $\alpha > 0$ and $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^n)$. If M_0 is a smooth, closed, strictly convex hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , then there exists a unique solution $\{M_t\}_{0 < t < T_{\max}}$ to (1.4) starting from M_0 such that M_t converges to a point as time t approaches its maximal existence time $T_{\max} < \infty$.*

Additionally, Andrews [3] defined viscosity solutions for the flow (1.4) that starts from general convex bodies. We adopt the definition to include generalized solutions to the L_p Minkowski problems.

Definition 2.1 (Viscosity Solution [3]). Let $\{\Omega_t\}_{0 < t \leq T}$ be a family of convex regions, and let f be a positive smooth function on \mathbb{S}^n . We say that $\{\Omega_t\}_{0 < t \leq T}$ is a viscosity solution to the flow (1.4) if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- (i) For any strictly convex, smooth hypersurface M_0^- contained in Ω_0 , the evolution M_t^- of M_0^- under the flow (1.4) is contained in Ω_t up to the maximal existence time of M_t^- .
- (ii) For any strictly convex, smooth hypersurface M_0^+ enclosing Ω_0 , the evolution M_t^+ of M_0^+ under the flow (1.4) encloses Ω_t for all $0 \leq t \leq T$.

We refer to $\{\partial\Omega_t\}_{0 < t \leq T}$ as a viscosity solution as well, by slight abuse of notation.

The existence and uniqueness of viscosity solutions are well-established in that paper.

Theorem B (Andrews, Theorem 15 in [3]). *Let $\alpha > 0$ and $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^n)$. If Ω_0 is an open bounded convex region, then there exists a unique viscosity solution $\{\Omega_t\}_{0 < t < T_{\max}}$, which converges to Ω_0 in Hausdorff distance as t approaches zero. Moreover, Ω_t converges to a point as t approaches its maximal existence time $T_{\max} < \infty$.*

Lastly, we recall the upper bound for the Gauss curvature provided in [3]. We denote by $\rho_-(M_T)$ the inradius of M_T .

Theorem C. *Let M_t be a strictly convex and smooth solution to the flow (1.4) for $t \in [0, T]$. Then there is a positive constant C depending only on n , α , $\max_{\mathbb{S}^n} f$, $\min_{\mathbb{S}^n} f$, $\text{diam}(M_0)$, and $\rho_-(M_T)$ such that*

$$K(\cdot, t) \leq C(1 + t^{-\frac{n}{n\alpha+1}}) \quad \text{for all } 0 < t \leq T.$$

2.3. Notations. Let Σ be a strictly convex hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Then, we denote by $\{g_{ij}\}$ and $\{h_{ij}\}$ the induced metric and the second fundamental form, respectively. The inverse of the metric is denoted by g^{ij} . Since Σ is strictly convex, $\{h_{ij}\}$ has the inverse matrix $\{b^{ij}\}$, namely

$$b^{ik}h_{kj} = \delta_{ij},$$

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta. Also, we denote

$$\text{tr}(b) = b^{ij}g_{ij}.$$

Given a function $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^n)$, we may extend its domain to $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ so that by abuse of notation we define $f(z) := f(z/|z|)$ for $z \neq 0$. We denote by Df the gradient of f with respect to the standard euclidean metric, and denote by $D_z f = \langle Df, z \rangle$ the directional derivative of f in the direction z . For the purpose of brevity, we define

$$f_i = D_{\nabla_i x} f, \quad f_{ij} = D_{\nabla_i x, \nabla_j x}^2 f$$

for $\nabla_i x, \nabla_j x \in T_x \Sigma$, and

$$f^i = g^{ij} f_j, \quad f^{ij} = g^{ik} g^{jl} f_{kl}.$$

Remark 2.2. The indices of f_i, f_{ij} are determined by local charts of Σ rather than by the fixed coordinates of the ambient space \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Namely, f_i would be different from $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} f(z)$.

On the other hand, we can observe $|\bar{\nabla}_{\mathbb{S}^n} f|^2 = f_i f^i$ and $|\bar{\nabla}_{\mathbb{S}^n}^2 f|^2 = f_{ij} f^{ij}$, where $\bar{\nabla}_{\mathbb{S}^n}$ is the Levi-Civita connection of the unit sphere.

Proposition 2.3. *The function $f(\nu)$ of the outward point unit normal vector ν satisfies*

$$\nabla_i f(\nu) = h_{ik} f^k, \quad \nabla_i \nabla_j f(\nu) = f^k \nabla_k h_{ij} + h_{ik} h_{jl} f^{kl}.$$

Proof. We directly compute $\nabla_i f = \langle \nabla_i \nu, Df \rangle = h_{ij} g^{jk} \langle \nabla_k x, Df \rangle = h_{ij} f^j$. We can obtain the second identity in the same manner. \square

We recall the linearized operator

$$\mathcal{L} = \alpha f K^\alpha b^{ij} \nabla_i \nabla_j + K^\alpha f^k \nabla_k,$$

and the associated inner product

$$\langle \nabla v, \nabla w \rangle_W = \alpha f K^\alpha b^{ij} \nabla_i v \nabla_j w$$

for $v, w \in C^1(\Sigma)$, where

$$\nabla v = \nabla_i v \nabla^i x.$$

Furthermore, we denote the support function and the speed of the flow (1.4) by

$$u = \langle x, \nu \rangle, \quad F = f K^\alpha,$$

respectively.

3. EVOLUTION EQUATIONS

In this section we obtain evolution equations for geometric quantities under the flow (1.4). The proofs are standard, and some of them can be found in [3], where the calculations are performed in the coordinates of \mathbb{S}^n with respect to the parametrization $x \circ \nu^{-1} : \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (see also [42] for the case $f \equiv 1$). We derive equations by using the covariant derivative on the hypersurface M_t .

Lemma 3.1. *Given a solution to the flow (1.4), the following equations hold:*

- (i) $\partial_t g_{ij} = -2Fh_{ij}$,
- (ii) $\partial_t \nu = \mathcal{L}\nu + \alpha FH\nu$,
- (iii) $\partial_t |x|^2 = \mathcal{L}|x|^2 + 2(n\alpha - 1)Fu - 2\alpha F \operatorname{tr}(b) - 2K^\alpha f^k \langle x, \nabla_k x \rangle$,
- (iv) $\partial_t h_{ij} = \mathcal{L}h_{ij} + (\alpha^2 b^{pq} b^{kl} - \alpha b^{kp} b^{lq}) F \nabla_i h_{pq} \nabla_j h_{kl} + h_{ik} f^k \nabla_j K^\alpha + h_{jk} f^k \nabla_i K^\alpha + K^\alpha f^{kl} h_{ik} h_{jl} - (n\alpha + 1) F h_{ik} h_j^k + \alpha FHh_{ij}$,
- (v) $\partial_t F = \mathcal{L}F + \alpha F^2 H$.

Proof. (i) Since $\langle \partial_j x, \nu \rangle = 0$, we have

$$\langle \partial_t \partial_i x, \partial_j x \rangle = \langle \partial_i \partial_t x, \partial_j x \rangle = \langle \partial_i (-F\nu), \partial_j x \rangle = -Fh_{ij}.$$

Similarly, we obtain $\langle \partial_i x, \partial_t \partial_j x \rangle = -Fh_{ij}$ and thus

$$\partial_t g_{ij} = \langle \partial_t \partial_i x, \partial_j x \rangle + \langle \partial_i x, \partial_t \partial_j x \rangle = -2Fh_{ij}$$

as desired.

(ii) We first compute

$$\langle \partial_t \nu, \partial_k x \rangle = -\langle \nu, \partial_k \partial_t x \rangle = -\langle \nu, \partial_k (-F\nu) \rangle = \partial_k F.$$

Thus we have

$$\partial_t \nu = \nabla F. \tag{3.1}$$

On the other hand, observing

$$\nabla_i \nabla_j \nu = \nabla_i (h_{jk} \nabla^k x) = \nabla_k h_{ij} \nabla^k x - h_i^k h_{jk} \nu,$$

we have

$$\alpha F b^{ij} \nabla_i \nabla_j \nu = f \nabla K^\alpha - \alpha FH\nu.$$

Also, Proposition 2.3 implies

$$K^\alpha f^k \nabla_k \nu = K^\alpha f^k h_{kl} \nabla^l x = K^\alpha \langle \nabla_l f, \nabla^l x \rangle = K^\alpha \nabla f.$$

Remembering $F = fK^\alpha$ and the definition of \mathcal{L} , the equations above give us

$$(\partial_t - \mathcal{L})\nu = \nabla(fK^\alpha) - f \nabla K^\alpha + \alpha FH\nu - K^\alpha \nabla f = \alpha FH\nu.$$

(iii) Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t |x|^2 &= 2 \langle x, x_t \rangle = -2Fu, \\ \nabla_i \nabla_j |x|^2 &= 2 \langle \nabla_i x, \nabla_j x \rangle + 2 \langle x, \nabla_i \nabla_j x \rangle = 2g_{ij} - 2h_{ij}u, \\ \alpha F b^{ij} \nabla_i \nabla_j |x|^2 &= 2\alpha F \operatorname{tr}(b) - 2n\alpha Fu, \\ K^\alpha f^k \nabla_k |x|^2 &= 2K^\alpha f^k \langle x, D_k x \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(\partial_t - \mathcal{L})|x|^2 = -2Fu - 2\alpha F \operatorname{tr}(b) + 2n\alpha Fu - 2K^\alpha D_x f.$$

(iv) We note that

$$\nabla_j \nabla F = \nabla_j (\nabla^k F \nabla_k x) = \nabla_j \nabla^k F \nabla_k x - (\nabla^k F) h_{kj} \nu,$$

and thus remembering $\nu_t = \nabla F$ in (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t h_{ij} &= \langle \nabla_i \partial_t x, \nabla_j \nu \rangle + \langle \nabla_i x, \nabla_j \partial_t \nu \rangle = \langle \nabla_i (-F\nu), \nabla_j \nu \rangle + \langle \nabla_i x, \nabla_j \nabla F \rangle \\ &= -F h_{ik} h_j^k + \nabla_i \nabla_j F. \end{aligned}$$

Using the Gauss equation $R_{ijkl} = h_{ik} h_{jl} - h_{il} h_{jk}$ and the Codazzi equation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_k \nabla_l h_{ij} &= \nabla_k \nabla_i h_{jl} = \nabla_i \nabla_k h_{jl} + R_{kijm} h_l^m + R_{kilm} h_j^m \\ &= \nabla_i \nabla_j h_{kl} + (h_{kj} h_{im} - h_{km} h_{ij}) h_l^m + (h_{kl} h_{im} - h_{km} h_{il}) h_j^m, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\alpha F b^{kl} \nabla_k \nabla_l h_{ij} = \alpha F b^{kl} \nabla_i \nabla_j h_{kl} + \alpha F (-H h_{ij} + n h_{im} h_j^m).$$

Hence

$$\partial_t h_{ij} = \alpha F b^{kl} \nabla_k \nabla_l h_{ij} - (n\alpha + 1) F h_{ik} h_j^k + \nabla_i \nabla_j F - \alpha F b^{kl} \nabla_i \nabla_j h_{kl} + \alpha F H h_{ij}.$$

By using $\nabla_j K^\alpha = \alpha K^\alpha b^{kl} \nabla_j h_{kl}$ and

$$\nabla_i \nabla_j K^\alpha = \alpha K^\alpha b^{kl} \nabla_i \nabla_j h_{kl} + \alpha \nabla_i (K^\alpha b^{kl}) \nabla_j h_{kl},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_i \nabla_j F - \alpha F b^{kl} \nabla_i \nabla_j h_{kl} &= K^\alpha \nabla_i \nabla_j f + \nabla_i f \nabla_j K^\alpha + \nabla_j f \nabla_i K^\alpha \\ &\quad + \alpha f \nabla_i (K^\alpha b^{kl}) \nabla_j h_{kl}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, combining above equations yields

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t h_{ij} &= \alpha F b^{kl} \nabla_k \nabla_l h_{ij} + (\alpha^2 b^{pq} b^{kl} - \alpha b^{kp} b^{lq}) F \nabla_i h_{pq} \nabla_j h_{kl} + K^\alpha \nabla_i \nabla_j f \\ &\quad + \nabla_i f \nabla_j K^\alpha + \nabla_j f \nabla_i K^\alpha - (n\alpha + 1) F h_{ik} h_j^k + \alpha F H h_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, applying Proposition 2.3 completes the proof.

(v) Since $\langle Df, \nu \rangle = 0$, the equation (ii) implies $\langle Df, (\partial_t - \mathcal{L})\nu \rangle = 0$. Thus, observing

$$\alpha F b^{ij} \nabla_i \nu \nabla_j \nu = \alpha F b^{ij} h_{ik} h_{jl} \nabla^k x \nabla^l x = \alpha F h_{kl} \nabla^k x \nabla^l x,$$

we have

$$(\partial_t - \mathcal{L})f = \langle Df, (\partial_t - \mathcal{L})\nu \rangle - \alpha F b^{ij} D^2 f \nabla_i \nu \nabla_j \nu = -\alpha F f^{kl} h_{kl}.$$

To derive the equation for K^α , we first check

$$\partial_t g^{ij} = -g^{ik} g^{jl} \partial_t g_{kl} = -2g^{ik} g^{jl} (-F h_{kl}) = 2F h^{ij}. \quad (3.2)$$

Since $K = \det(g^{ik} h_{kj})$, we have

$$(\partial_t - \mathcal{L})K^\alpha = \alpha K^\alpha g_{ij} \partial_t g^{ij} + \alpha K^\alpha b^{ij} (\partial_t - \mathcal{L})h_{ij} - \alpha F b^{kl} \nabla_k (K^\alpha b^{ij}) \nabla_l h_{ij}. \quad (3.3)$$

Using (3.2), the first term becomes

$$\alpha K^\alpha g_{ij} \partial_t g^{ij} = 2\alpha K^\alpha F H.$$

For the other two terms, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha K^\alpha b^{ij} (\alpha^2 b^{pq} b^{kl} - \alpha b^{kp} b^{lq}) F \nabla_i h_{pq} \nabla_j h_{kl} &= \alpha F b^{kl} \nabla_k (K^\alpha b^{ij}) \nabla_l h_{ij}, \\ \alpha K^\alpha b^{ij} (-(n\alpha + 1) F h_{ik} h_j^k + \alpha F H h_{ij}) &= -\alpha K^\alpha F H. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (3.3) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t K^\alpha &= \mathcal{L} K^\alpha + \alpha K^\alpha b^{ij} (2h_{ik} f^k \nabla_j K^\alpha + K^\alpha f^{kl} h_{ik} h_{jl}) + \alpha K^\alpha F H \\ &= \mathcal{L} K^\alpha + 2\alpha K^\alpha f^k \nabla_k K^\alpha + \alpha K^{2\alpha} f^{kl} h_{kl} + \alpha K^\alpha F H. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these equations for f and K^α , we obtain for $F = fK^\alpha$ that

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \mathcal{L})F &= f(\partial_t - \mathcal{L})K^\alpha + K^\alpha(\partial_t - \mathcal{L})f - 2\alpha F b^{ij} \nabla_i f \nabla_j K^\alpha \\ &= 2\alpha F f^k \nabla_k K^\alpha + \alpha K^\alpha F f^{kl} h_{kl} + \alpha F^2 H \\ &\quad - \alpha K^\alpha F f^{kl} h_{kl} - 2\alpha F b^{ij} h_{ik} f^k \nabla_j K^\alpha \\ &= \alpha F^2 H. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

4. PRINCIPAL CURVATURE BOUND

In this section we provide a uniform upper bound for principal curvatures. The estimate heavily relies on diameter and the upper bound on the Gauss curvature K in Theorem C. Since the minimum eigenvalue is not necessarily smooth, we need the following derivatives of a smooth approximation for the minimum eigenvalue.

Lemma 4.1. *Let μ be the multiplicity of the smallest principal curvature at a point x_0 on M_{t_0} for $t_0 > 0$ so that $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_\mu < \lambda_{\mu+1} \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$, where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are the principal curvatures. Suppose φ is a smooth function defined on $\mathcal{M} = \cup_{0 < t \leq t_0} M_t \times \{t\}$ such that $\varphi \leq \lambda_1$ on \mathcal{M} and $\varphi = \lambda_1$ at $X_0 = (x_0, t_0)$. Then, at the point X_0 with a chart of $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ and $h_{ij} = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}$, we have $\nabla_i h_{kl} = \nabla_i \varphi \delta_{kl}$ for $1 \leq k, l \leq \mu$,*

$$\nabla_i \nabla_i \varphi \leq \nabla_i \nabla_i h_{11} - \sum_{l > \mu} \frac{2(\nabla_i h_{1l})^2}{\lambda_l - \lambda_1}, \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_t \varphi \geq \partial_t h_{11} + 2F \lambda_1^2. \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. The identity for $\nabla \varphi$ and the inequality for $\nabla^2 \varphi$ are already given in [12]. To prove the inequality for $\partial_t \varphi$, we note that $\lambda_1 \leq h_{11}/g_{11}$. Indeed, if $\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis of the tangent space $T(M_{t_0})_{x_0}$ such that $L(E_j) = \lambda_j E_j$ for the Weingarten map L , then it follows by writing $\nabla_1 x = a_j E_j$ that

$$h_{11} = \langle L(\nabla_1 x), \nabla_1 x \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j a_j^2 \geq \lambda_1 \sum_{j=1}^n a_j^2 = \lambda_1 g_{11}.$$

Thus, $h_{11}/g_{11} - \varphi \geq 0$ and the minimum occurs at X_0 . Taking derivative in time, we obtain

$$0 \geq \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=t_0} \left(\frac{h_{11}}{g_{11}} - \varphi \right) = \frac{\partial_t h_{11}}{g_{11}} - \frac{h_{11} \partial_t g_{11}}{g_{11}^2} - \partial_t \varphi.$$

Then the conclusion follows from $g_{11} = 1$, $h_{11} = \lambda_1$, and $\partial_t g_{11} = -2F h_{11} = -2F \lambda_1$. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let M_t be a strictly convex and smooth solution to the flow (1.4) for $t \in [0, T]$, and let φ be the function satisfying the conditions in Lemma 4.1. Then the following holds at the maximum point of φ :*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(F\varphi^{-1}) &\leq \mathcal{L}(F\varphi^{-1}) - \frac{\alpha F^2}{\lambda_1^4} (\nabla_1 h_{11})^2 - \sum_{k>\mu} \frac{2\alpha F^2 (\nabla_k h_{11})^2}{\lambda_1^3 (\lambda_k - \lambda_1)} \\ &\quad - \frac{(\nabla_1 F)^2}{\lambda_1^2} - 2 \langle \nabla F, \nabla \varphi^{-1} \rangle_W + CF^2, \end{aligned}$$

where C is a constant depending only on n , α , $\|f\|_{C^2(\mathbb{S}^n)}$, and $\min_{\mathbb{S}^n} f$.

Proof. From the evolution equation of h_{ij} in Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \mathcal{L})h_{11} &= (\alpha^2 b^{pq} b^{kl} - \alpha b^{kp} b^{lq}) F \nabla_1 h_{pq} \nabla_1 h_{kl} + 2h_{1k} f^k \nabla_1 K^\alpha + K^\alpha f^{kl} h_{1k} h_{1l} \\ &\quad - (n\alpha + 1) F h_1^k h_{k1} + \alpha F H h_{11}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.1, we obtain at the point

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \mathcal{L})\varphi &\geq (\partial_t - \mathcal{L})h_{11} + \sum_k \sum_{l>\mu} \frac{2\alpha F (\nabla_k h_{1l})^2}{\lambda_k (\lambda_l - \lambda_1)} + 2F\lambda_1^2 \\ &= (\alpha^2 b^{pq} b^{kl} - \alpha b^{kp} b^{lq}) F \nabla_1 h_{pq} \nabla_1 h_{kl} + \sum_k \sum_{l>\mu} \frac{2\alpha F (\nabla_k h_{1l})^2}{\lambda_k (\lambda_l - \lambda_1)} + 2f_1 \lambda_1 \nabla_1 K^\alpha \\ &\quad + K^\alpha f_{11} \lambda_1^2 - (n\alpha - 1) F \lambda_1^2 + \alpha F H \lambda_1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\nabla_1 K^\alpha = \alpha K^\alpha b^{ij} \nabla_1 h_{ij}$, we have

$$\alpha^2 b^{pq} b^{kl} F \nabla_1 h_{pq} \nabla_1 h_{kl} = f K^{-\alpha} (\nabla_1 K^\alpha)^2.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 4.1 and the Codazzi equation, we get $\nabla_1 h_{ik} = 0$ for $1 < k \leq \mu$, which implies

$$\alpha b^{kp} b^{lq} F \nabla_1 h_{pq} \nabla_1 h_{kl} = \frac{\alpha F (\nabla_1 h_{11})^2}{\lambda_1^2} + \sum_{k>\mu} \frac{2\alpha F (\nabla_k h_{11})^2}{\lambda_1 \lambda_k} + \sum_{k,l>\mu} \frac{\alpha F (\nabla_1 h_{kl})^2}{\lambda_k \lambda_l},$$

and

$$\sum_k \sum_{l>\mu} \frac{2\alpha F (\nabla_k h_{1l})^2}{\lambda_k (\lambda_l - \lambda_1)} = \sum_{k>\mu} \frac{2\alpha F (\nabla_k h_{11})^2}{\lambda_1 (\lambda_k - \lambda_1)} + \sum_{k,l>\mu} \frac{2\alpha F (\nabla_1 h_{kl})^2}{\lambda_k (\lambda_l - \lambda_1)}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & - \alpha b^{kp} b^{lq} F \nabla_1 h_{pq} \nabla_1 h_{kl} + \sum_k \sum_{l>\mu} \frac{2\alpha F (\nabla_k h_{1l})^2}{\lambda_k (\lambda_l - \lambda_1)} \\ & \geq - \frac{\alpha F (\nabla_1 h_{11})^2}{\lambda_1^2} + \sum_{k>\mu} \frac{2\alpha F (\nabla_k h_{11})^2}{\lambda_k (\lambda_k - \lambda_1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, using Proposition 2.3 and $F = fK^\alpha$, we have

$$fK^{-\alpha} (\nabla_1 K^\alpha)^2 + 2\lambda_1 f_1 \nabla_1 K^\alpha = \frac{(\nabla_1 F)^2}{F} - \frac{K^\alpha (\lambda_1 f_1)^2}{f} = \frac{(\nabla_1 F)^2}{F} - \frac{F \lambda_1^2 f_1^2}{f^2}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \mathcal{L})\varphi &\geq \frac{(\nabla_1 F)^2}{F} - \alpha F \lambda_1^{-2} (\nabla_1 h_{11})^2 + \sum_{k>\mu} \frac{2\alpha F (\nabla_k h_{11})^2}{\lambda_k (\lambda_k - \lambda_1)} \\ &\quad + F \lambda_1^2 \left(\frac{f_{11}}{f} - \frac{f_1^2}{f^2} - n\alpha + 1 \right) + \alpha F H \lambda_1. \end{aligned}$$

Observing $\nabla_j \varphi^{-1} = -\varphi^{-2} \nabla_j \varphi$ and $\nabla_i \nabla_j \varphi^{-1} = -\varphi^{-2} \nabla_i \nabla_j \varphi + 2\varphi^{-3} \nabla_i \varphi \nabla_j \varphi$, we have

$$(\partial_t - \mathcal{L})\varphi^{-1} = -\lambda_1^{-2} (\partial_t - \mathcal{L})\varphi - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{2\alpha F (\nabla_k h_{11})^2}{\lambda_1^3 \lambda_k}.$$

Since

$$-\sum_{k>\mu} \frac{2\alpha F (\nabla_k h_{11})^2}{\lambda_1^2 \lambda_k (\lambda_k - \lambda_1)} - \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{2\alpha F (\nabla_k h_{11})^2}{\lambda_1^3 \lambda_k} \leq -\sum_{k>\mu} \frac{2\alpha F (\nabla_k h_{11})^2}{\lambda_1^3 (\lambda_k - \lambda_1)},$$

combining with (4.1) yields

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \mathcal{L})\varphi^{-1} &\leq -\frac{(\nabla_1 F)^2}{F \lambda_1^2} - \alpha F \lambda_1^{-4} (\nabla_1 h_{11})^2 - \sum_{k>\mu} \frac{2\alpha F (\nabla_k h_{11})^2}{\lambda_1^3 (\lambda_k - \lambda_1)} \\ &\quad - F \left(\frac{f_{11}}{f} - \frac{f_1^2}{f^2} - n\alpha + 1 \right) - \alpha F H \lambda_1^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by using the evolution equation of F in Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (F \varphi^{-1}) &= \mathcal{L}(F \varphi^{-1}) + F (\partial_t - \mathcal{L})\varphi^{-1} + \varphi^{-1} (\partial_t - \mathcal{L})F - 2 \langle \nabla F, \nabla \varphi^{-1} \rangle_W \\ &\leq \mathcal{L}(F \varphi^{-1}) - \frac{(\nabla_1 F)^2}{\lambda_1^2} - \frac{\alpha F^2}{\lambda_1^4} (\nabla_1 h_{11})^2 - \sum_{k>\mu} \frac{2\alpha F^2 (\nabla_k h_{11})^2}{\lambda_1^3 (\lambda_k - \lambda_1)} \\ &\quad - F^2 \left(\frac{f_{11}}{f} - \frac{f_1^2}{f^2} - n\alpha + 1 \right) - 2 \langle \nabla F, \nabla \varphi^{-1} \rangle_W. \end{aligned}$$

Then the conclusion follows from

$$\frac{f_{11}}{f} - \frac{f_1^2}{f^2} - n\alpha + 1 \geq -C \left(n, \alpha, \|f\|_{C^2(\mathbb{S}^n)}, \min_{\mathbb{S}^n} f \right).$$

□

We are now ready to establish a uniform upper bound for principal curvatures.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Since M_t shrinks under the flow (1.4), we have

$$R := 4 \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sup_{M_t} |x|^2 = 4 \sup_{M_0} |x|^2 < \infty.$$

We consider a continuous function

$$w := \frac{t f K^\alpha \lambda_{\min}^{-1}}{R - |x|^2}.$$

Since $w(\cdot, 0) = 0$, we can take its maximum point (x_0, t_0) such that $w \leq w_0 := w(x_0, t_0)$ holds for $t \leq t_0$. Clearly, $t_0 > 0$.

We claim that $w \leq C(1+t)$ holds for some C depending only on $\alpha, n, T, \|f\|_{C^2(\mathbb{S}^n)}$, $\min_{\mathbb{S}^n} f$, and R . If the claim is true, then by using $K^\alpha \lambda_{\min}^{-1} \geq \lambda_{\min}^{(n-1)\alpha-1} \lambda_{\max}^\alpha$, $\alpha \leq 1/(n-1)$, and Theorem C, we can obtain the desired result as follow.

$$\lambda_{\max}^\alpha \leq C(1+t^{-1})\lambda_{\min}^{1-(n-1)\alpha} \leq C(1+t^{-1})K^{\frac{1-(n-1)\alpha}{n}} \leq C(1+t^{-1})^{\frac{2+\alpha}{n\alpha+1}}.$$

Note that we can drop the dependence on T , because we can obtain $T \leq C(\alpha, n, \text{diam}M_0, \min_{\mathbb{S}^n} f)$ by applying the comparison principle with a shrinking ball satisfying $x_t = -(\min f)K^\alpha \nu$.

To prove the claim, we define

$$\varphi := \frac{tfK^\alpha w_0^{-1}}{R - |x|^2}.$$

Then, observing $w \leq w_0$ we have $\varphi \leq \lambda_{\min}$ on M_t for $t \in [0, t_0]$ as well as $\varphi(x_0, t_0) = \lambda_{\min}(x_0, t_0)$. Hence, the smooth function φ satisfies the assumptions in Lemma 4.1. We choose a chart satisfying $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ and $h_{ij} = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}$ at (x_0, t_0) , where $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_\mu < \lambda_{\mu+1} \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$. Then, by Lemma 4.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(F\varphi^{-1}) &\leq \mathcal{L}(F\varphi^{-1}) - \frac{\alpha F^2}{\lambda_1^4} (\nabla_1 h_{11})^2 - \sum_{k>\mu} \frac{2\alpha F^2 (\nabla_k h_{11})^2}{\lambda_1^3 (\lambda_k - \lambda_1)} \\ &\quad - \frac{(\nabla_1 F)^2}{\lambda_1^2} - 2 \langle \nabla F, \nabla \varphi^{-1} \rangle_W + CF^2 \end{aligned}$$

at (x_0, t_0) . Since $F\varphi^{-1} := w_0 t^{-1} (R - |x|^2)$, the equation of $|x|^2$ in Lemma 3.1 implies

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{w_0}{t_0^2} (R - |x_0|^2) + \frac{2(n\alpha - 1)w_0}{t_0} F u - \frac{2\alpha w_0}{t_0} F \text{tr}(b) - \frac{2w_0}{t_0} K^\alpha f^k \langle x_0, \nabla_k x \rangle \\ &\quad - \frac{\alpha F^2}{\lambda_1^4} (\nabla_1 h_{11})^2 - \sum_{k>\mu} \frac{2\alpha F^2 (\nabla_k h_{11})^2}{\lambda_1^3 (\lambda_k - \lambda_1)} - \frac{(\nabla_1 F)^2}{\lambda_1^2} - 2 \langle \nabla F, \nabla \varphi^{-1} \rangle_W + CF^2. \end{aligned}$$

at (x_0, t_0) . We define $I_k = F\lambda_1^{-2} \nabla_k h_{11}$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$. Then, we multiply the above inequality by t_0^2 to derive

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (R - |x|^2)w_0 + 2t_0(n\alpha - 1)Fuw_0 - 2\alpha t_0 F \text{tr}(b)w_0 - 2t_0 K^\alpha f^k \langle x_0, \nabla_k x \rangle w_0 \\ &\quad - \alpha t_0^2 I_1^2 - \sum_{k>\mu} \frac{2\alpha t_0^2 \lambda_1 I_k^2}{\lambda_k - \lambda_1} - \frac{t_0^2 (\nabla_1 F)^2}{\lambda_1^2} - 2t_0^2 \langle \nabla F, \nabla \varphi^{-1} \rangle_W + Ct_0^2 F^2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that we have $|x| \leq R^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $u \leq R^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Also, Theorem C says $tF \leq C$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq -2\alpha t_0 F \text{tr}(b)w_0 - \alpha t_0^2 I_1^2 - \sum_{k>\mu} \frac{2\alpha t_0^2 \lambda_1 I_k^2}{\lambda_k - \lambda_1} \\ &\quad - \frac{t_0^2 (\nabla_1 F)^2}{\lambda_1^2} - 2t_0^2 \langle \nabla F, \nabla \varphi^{-1} \rangle_W + Cw_0 + C. \end{aligned}$$

To replace $\nabla \varphi^{-1}$ and ∇F , remembering $\nabla_k h_{11} = F^{-1} \lambda_1^2 I_k$, we observe

$$\nabla \varphi^{-1} = -\lambda_1^{-2} \nabla h_{11} = -F^{-1} I_k \nabla_k x, \quad \nabla(t_0 F \varphi^{-1}) = -w_0 \nabla |x|^2.$$

Therefore, we have

$$t_0 \lambda_1^{-1} \nabla F = t_0 I_k \nabla_k x - w_0 \nabla |x|^2.$$

This implies

$$-t_0^2 \lambda_1^{-2} (\nabla_1 F)^2 = -t_0^2 I_1^2 + 2t_0 w_0 (\nabla_1 |x|^2) I_1 - w_0^2 |\nabla_1 |x|^2|^2,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} -2t_0^2 \langle \nabla F, \nabla \varphi^{-1} \rangle_W &= 2t_0 \langle t_0 \lambda_1 I_k \nabla_k x - \lambda_1 w_0 \nabla |x|^2, F^{-1} I_k \nabla_k x \rangle_W \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{2\alpha t_0^2 \lambda_1}{\lambda_k} I_k^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{2\alpha t_0 \lambda_1 \nabla_k |x|^2}{\lambda_k} w_0 I_k. \end{aligned}$$

Since Lemma 4.1 implies $I_k = 0$ for $1 < k \leq \mu$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq & -2\alpha t_0 F \operatorname{tr}(b) w_0 + (\alpha - 1) t_0^2 I_1^2 - \sum_{k>\mu} \left(\frac{2\alpha t_0^2 \lambda_1^2}{\lambda_k (\lambda_k - \lambda_1)} I_k^2 + \frac{2\alpha t_0 \lambda_1 \nabla_k |x|^2}{\lambda_k} w_0 I_k \right) \\ & + 2(1 - \alpha) t_0 w_0 (\nabla_1 |x|^2) I_1 - w_0^2 |\nabla_1 |x|^2|^2 + C w_0 + C. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Since $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{1}{n-1} \leq 1$, we have

$$(\alpha - 1) t_0^2 I_1^2 + 2(1 - \alpha) t_0 w_0 \nabla_1 |x|^2 I_1 \leq (1 - \alpha) w_0^2 |\nabla_1 |x|^2|^2.$$

Also, it follows from $\lambda_k - \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_k$ that

$$- \sum_{k>\mu} \left(\frac{2\alpha t_0^2 \lambda_1^2}{\lambda_k (\lambda_k - \lambda_1)} I_k^2 + \frac{2\alpha t_0 \lambda_1 \nabla_k |x|^2}{\lambda_k} w_0 I_k \right) \leq \sum_{k>\mu} \frac{\alpha}{2} w_0^2 |\nabla_k |x|^2|^2.$$

Furthermore, by using $\operatorname{tr}(b) = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k^{-1} \geq \lambda_1^{-1}$, we have

$$-2\alpha t_0 F \operatorname{tr}(b) w_0 \leq -2\alpha (R - |x|^2) w_0^2.$$

Putting these all together, (4.2) becomes

$$0 \leq \left(-2\alpha (R - |x|^2) + \sum_{k>\mu} \frac{\alpha}{2} |\nabla_k |x|^2|^2 - \alpha |\nabla_1 |x|^2|^2 \right) w_0^2 + C w_0 + C.$$

Since $|x|^2 - u^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n \langle x, \nabla_k x \rangle^2$, the coefficient of w_0^2 satisfies

$$-2\alpha (R - |x|^2) + \sum_{k>\mu} \frac{\alpha}{2} |\nabla_k |x|^2|^2 - \alpha |\nabla_1 |x|^2|^2 \leq -2\alpha (R - |x|^2) + 2\alpha |x|^2 \leq -\alpha R$$

by our choice of $R = 4 \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sup_{M_t} |x|^2$. Therefore, we arrive at

$$0 \leq -\alpha R w_0^2 + C w_0 + C.$$

This completes the proof. \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We first extend the result of Theorem 1.4 to viscosity solutions using an approximation argument (see Definition 2.1 for the definition of viscosity solutions).

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{n-1}]$, and let $M_0 = \partial\Omega_0$ be the boundary of a convex body Ω_0 in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Suppose f is a positive smooth function on \mathbb{S}^n . Then, there exists a unique viscosity solution $\{\Omega_t\}_{0 < t \leq T'}$ to the flow (1.4) which converges to Ω_0 in Hausdorff distance as $t \rightarrow 0$. For each $t \in (0, T']$, the corresponding hypersurface $M_t = \partial\Omega_t$ is of class $C^{1,1}$. Moreover, there exist constants C, T depending only on $n, \alpha, \text{diam } M_0, \rho_-(M_0), \|f\|_{C^2(\mathbb{S}^n)}$, and $\min_{\mathbb{S}^n} f$ such that*

$$\text{ess sup}_{M_t} \lambda_i \leq C(1 + t^{-\frac{1+\alpha}{n\alpha^2}}), \quad \text{for } 0 < t \leq T, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (5.1)$$

Proof. By Theorem B, it suffices to prove (5.1). Consider an increasing family of smooth, closed, strictly convex hypersurfaces M^ε that converge to M_0 in Hausdorff distance as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. By Theorem A, there exists a unique solution M_t^ε to (1.4) with initial data M^ε .

We choose an origin for \mathbb{R}^{n+1} and radii $0 < r < R$ such that, for sufficiently small ε , the hypersurfaces M^ε contain the ball $B_r(0)$ and are enclosed by the ball $B_R(0)$. Since M_t^ε shrinks, every M_t^ε with $t \geq 0$ is enclosed by $B_R(0)$. Also, there is a small time $T' > 0$ such that all hypersurfaces M_t^ε for $t \in [0, T']$ enclose the ball $B_{r/2}(0)$, by the comparison principle with a shrinking ball $B_{\rho(t)}(0)$ where $\rho' = -(\max_{\mathbb{S}^n} f)\rho^{-n\alpha}$.

We recall the identity $|x|^2 = u^2 + |\bar{\nabla}_{\mathbb{S}^n} u|^2$ of convex hypersurface, where x is the position vector, $u(\nu)$ is the support function, and $\bar{\nabla}_{\mathbb{S}^n}$ is the Levi-Civita connection of the unit sphere metric. Since the hypersurfaces M^ε are convex and have bounded diameter, the identity implies that the gradients of their support functions $u^\varepsilon(z, t)$ are uniformly Lipschitz on \mathbb{S}^n . Moreover, according to Theorem C, the speeds are bounded over $[\delta, T]$ for any $\delta > 0$. Thus, the functions u^ε are uniformly Hölder continuous on the space-time $\mathbb{S}^n \times [\delta, T]$. By the Arzela–Ascoli theorem, we can extract a subsequence ε_k such that u^{ε_k} converges uniformly to a limit function $u : \mathbb{S}^n \times (0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the same estimates. By the Blaschke selection theorem, each function $u(\cdot, t)$ is the support function of a convex hypersurface M_t . Applying Theorem 1.4 to M_t^ε , we obtain the desired estimate (5.1) for the hypersurfaces M_t . Finally, it can be shown by the comparison principle that the hypersurfaces M_t is a viscosity solution to (1.4). \square

Lemma 5.2. *If $p \in (-\infty, -n + 2]$ and f is a positive smooth function on \mathbb{S}^n , then any generalized solution Σ to (1.2) is a self-similar solution to the flow (1.4) with $\alpha = \frac{1}{1-p}$ and f^α instead of f .*

Proof. Consider the function $a(t) = (1 - (n\alpha + 1)t)^{\frac{1}{n\alpha+1}}$, and let $M_0 = \Sigma$ be a generalized solution to the L_p Minkowski problem with $p \leq -n + 2$. We define $M_t = a(t)M_0$. Since the viscosity solution to (1.4) is unique by Theorem 5.1, we only need to prove that M_t is a viscosity solution to the flow (1.4).

Let M_0^- be a strictly convex, closed, smooth hypersurface which is enclosed by M_0 . We may assume $M_0^- \cap M_0 = \emptyset$. Otherwise, we consider $(1 - \varepsilon)M_0^-$ and then take $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Assume that the evolution M_t^- of M_0^- under the flow (1.4) touches M_t for the first time $t = t_0 \in (0, 1/(n\alpha + 1))$ at $x_0 \in M_{t_0}^- \cap M_{t_0}$. Let ν_0 be the normal of $M_{t_0}^-$ at x_0 . If $u^-(\cdot, t)$ and $u(\cdot, t)$ are the support functions of M_t^- and M_t , respectively, then $u^-(\nu_0, t_0) = u(\nu_0, t_0) \geq 0$ since the origin is enclosed by M_t for all $0 \leq t \leq 1/(n\alpha + 1)$. If $u^-(\nu_0, t_0) = u(\nu_0, t_0) > 0$,

then $u > u^- > 0$ in a neighborhood of ν_0 and $0 \leq t < t_0$. Then by the standard regularity theory of Caffarelli [16], u and u^- satisfy (1.2) locally in a classical sense, which contradicts to $u^-(\nu_0, t_0) = u(\nu_0, t_0)$ by the comparison principle.

If $u^-(\nu_0, t_0) = u(\nu_0, t_0) = 0$, then $x_0 \in M_t$ for all $t \in [0, t_0]$ by definition of M_t . However, $M_{t_0}^-$ is strictly enclosed by M_t^- for any $t_0 > t \geq 0$, so x_0 lies in the interior of M_t^- for any $t \in [0, t_0)$, implying $M_0 \cap M_0^- \neq \emptyset$, which is a contradiction. This proves that M_t satisfies the first condition in Definition 2.1.

To prove that M_t satisfies the second condition in Definition 2.1, let M_0^+ be a strictly convex, closed, smooth hypersurface which encloses M_0 . As before, we may assume $M_0^+ \cap M_0 = \emptyset$. Otherwise, we consider $(1 + \varepsilon)M_0^+$ and then take $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Suppose that the evolution M_t^+ of M_0^+ under the flow (1.4) touches M_t for the first time $t = t_0 \in (0, 1/(n\alpha + 1))$ at $x_0 \in M_{t_0}^+ \cap M_{t_0}$. Let ν_0 be the normal of $M_{t_0}^+$ at x_0 . If $u^+(\cdot, t)$ is the support function of M_t^+ , then $u^+(\nu_0, t_0) = u(\nu_0, t_0) \geq 0$. If $u^+(\nu_0, t_0) = u(\nu_0, t_0) > 0$, then the standard regularity theory with the comparison principle gives a contradiction as above.

Suppose that $u^+(\nu_0, t_0) = u(\nu_0, t_0) = 0$. If x_0 is not the origin, then the line segment l connecting x_0 and the origin is contained in M_{t_0} . Consequently, l is enclosed by $M_{t_0}^+$. However, as $M_{t_0}^+$ is smooth, the normal vector ν_0 must be orthogonal to l . Thus, l is also contained in $M_{t_0}^+$, which contradicts the strict convexity of $M_{t_0}^+$. Therefore, x_0 must be the origin.

We can rotate the hypersurface M_{t_0} if necessary, so that $\nu_0 = (0, \dots, 0, -1)$. This implies that M_{t_0} lies in the half-space $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : x_{n+1} \geq 0\}$. Next, we consider a strip $S_\varepsilon = \{(x', x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : 0 \leq x_{n+1} \leq \varepsilon\}$ for each small $\varepsilon > 0$. Within the strip S_ε , both hypersurfaces M_{t_0} and $M_{t_0}^+$ are represented by graphs of functions U and U^+ respectively over subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n \times \{0\}$. Since $M_{t_0}^+$ encloses M_{t_0} , we have $0 \leq U^+ \leq U$, and the equality only holds at $x' = 0$. As $M_{t_0}^+$ is a strictly convex and smooth hypersurface, we can find a constant k such that $U^+ \geq \frac{k}{2}|x'|^2$.

Let G be the image of normals $M_{t_0} \cap S_\varepsilon$. By convexity, G contains the ball of radius $\sqrt{2k\varepsilon}$ since $U \geq \frac{k}{2}|x'|^2$. Then we have

$$\int_G f \geq C_n^{-1} f_{\min} (k\varepsilon)^{n/2} \quad (5.2)$$

for some dimensional constant $C_n > 0$.

Observe that $p \leq 2 - n \leq 0$ and that $u \leq \sqrt{2\varepsilon k^{-1}}$ on G . Then

$$\int_G u^{1-p} dS(M_{t_0}, \cdot) \leq (2\varepsilon k^{-1})^{-\frac{p}{2}} \int_G u dS(M_{t_0}, \cdot) = (n+1)(2\varepsilon k^{-1})^{-\frac{p}{2}} V(M_{t_0} \cap S).$$

Since $V(M_{t_0} \cap S) \leq C_n \varepsilon (2\varepsilon k^{-1})^{\frac{n}{2}}$, we obtain

$$\int_G u^{1-p} dS(M_{t_0}, \cdot) \leq C(n, k, p) \varepsilon^{\frac{n-p+2}{2}}.$$

This, together with (5.2) and the fact that M_t is a generalized solution to (1.2), implies

$$\varepsilon^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \geq C(n, k, p) f_{\min},$$

which is a contradiction if we take $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. This proves that M_t satisfies the second condition in Definition 2.1. \square

We next show that the inradius of a generalized solution (1.2) is controlled by its diameter.

Lemma 5.3. *For $p \leq -n + 2$, if a convex body Ω is a generalized solution to (1.2), then there is a constant dependent only on n , p , and $\text{diam}(\Omega)$ such that $\rho_-(\Omega) \geq c$.*

Proof. Let E be the John's ellipsoid associated to Ω so that $E \subset \Omega \subset (n+1)E$. This is the ellipsoid contained in Ω with maximal volume. If the principal radii of E are denoted by $0 < r_1 \leq \dots \leq r_{n+1}$, then $r_1 \leq \rho_-(E) \leq \rho_-(\Omega)$. With the use of $r_{n+1} \leq u_{\max}$, it follows that $V(E) \leq 2^{n+1}r_1 \cdots r_{n+1} \leq 2^{n+1}r_1 u_{\max}^n$. Since $V(E) \geq C_n V(\Omega)$, we can infer that

$$\rho_-(\Omega) \geq r_1 \geq C_n V(\Omega) u_{\max}^{-n}. \quad (5.3)$$

To complete the proof, we aim to show that the volume of Ω has a positive lower bound dependent only on the diameter of Ω . Note that $p \leq -n + 2 \leq 0$. Since Ω is a generalized solution to (1.2), we can see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f = \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} u^{1-p} dS(\Omega, \cdot) \leq u_{\max}^{-p} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} u dS(\Omega, \cdot) = (n+1)u_{\max}^{-p} V(\Omega)$$

which gives a positive lower bound on the volume of Ω in terms of diameter. Combining this with (5.3), we obtain the desired result. \square

Finally we prove the main result of the paper.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. As Σ is a generalized solution to (1.2), it follows from Lemma 5.2 that Σ is a self-similar solution to the flow (1.4) with $\alpha = \frac{1}{1-p}$ and f^α instead of f . Specifically, $M_t = a(t)\Sigma$ where $a(t) = (1 - (n\alpha + 1)t)^{\frac{1}{n\alpha + 1}}$ is the solution to the flow (1.4) starting from Σ with maximal existence time $T_{\max} = 1/(n\alpha + 1)$. By using Lemma 5.3, we have that $\rho_-(\Sigma)$ is controlled in terms of n , α , and $\text{diam}(\Sigma)$. Therefore, the dependence $\rho_-(M_0)$ on the constant C can be absorbed. Hence, applying Theorem 5.1 with some small $T < T_{\max}$ only depending on $\alpha, n, \max_{\mathbb{S}^n} f, \text{diam}(\Sigma)$, we obtain

$$\lambda_i(\Sigma) = a(T)\lambda_i(M_T) \leq a(T)C(1 + T^{-1}),$$

where the constant $C(\alpha, n, \|f\|_{C^2(\mathbb{S}^n)}, \min_{\mathbb{S}^n} f, \text{diam}(\Sigma))$ is given in Theorem 5.1. This completes the proof. \square

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

We finally prove Theorem 1.2 in this section. The proofs of Theorem 1.2 (i) and (ii) are provided in Section 6.1 and Section 6.2, respectively.

6.1. Contraction mapping. In this section, we provide the proof of Theorem 1.2 (i). Indeed, we prove a slightly more general statement:

Theorem 6.1. *If $-n + 1 < p < 1$, then there exists a generalized solution Σ to (1.2) such that Σ is a hypersurface of (at most) class $C^{k,\gamma}$ with $\gamma \in (0, 1]$ for $k + \gamma = \frac{n+p}{n+p-1}$, and f is a positive smooth function.*

Suppose that a *generalized solution* to the L_p Minkowski problem includes the graph of a convex function $v : U(\subset \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Suppose $v \in C^2(V)$ for some $V \subset U$. Then,

$$\frac{\det D^2 v}{(1 + |Dv|^2)^{\frac{n+2}{2}}} = f^{-1}(v) \left(\frac{x \cdot Dv - v}{\sqrt{1 + |Dv|^2}} \right)^{1-p}$$

holds in V , where $\nu = \frac{(Dv, -1)}{\sqrt{1+|Dv|^2}}$. We assume that $f = 1$ on the graph $\{(x, v(x)) : x \in U\}$, and $v : B_{1+R}(0) \setminus B_1(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a radial function. Then, the one-variable function $\bar{v}(r)$, defined by

$$v(x) = \bar{v}(|x| - 1),$$

satisfies

$$\bar{v}_{rr}\bar{v}_r^{n-1} = (\bar{v}_r + r\bar{v}_r - \bar{v})^{1-p}(1+r)^{n-1}(1+\bar{v}_r^2)^{\frac{n+p+1}{2}} \quad (6.1)$$

for $r \in (0, R)$. Note that if $|r| \ll 1$ and $|\bar{v}| \ll |\bar{v}_r| \ll 1$ then $\bar{v}_{rr}\bar{v}_r^{n-1} \approx \bar{v}_r^{1-p}$. Hence, it is useful to consider the solution

$$h(r) := \frac{m}{1+m} m^{\frac{1}{m}} r^{\frac{1+m}{m}}, \quad \text{where } m := n + p - 1,$$

to the model equation

$$h_{rr} = h_r^{1-m}. \quad (6.2)$$

In this section, we will show that given p, n with $m > 0$, there are $r_0 > 0$ and $\bar{v} : I_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $I_0 := (0, r_0]$, such that $\bar{v}(0) = \bar{v}_r(0) = 0$, $\bar{v} \in C^2(I_0)$, and

$$\bar{v} = (1 + O(r^\delta))h, \quad \bar{v}_r = (1 + O(r^\delta))h_r, \quad \bar{v}_{rr} = (1 + O(r^\delta))h_{rr},$$

where $\delta := \min\{1, \frac{2}{m}\}$. This will lead us to the proof of Theorem 1.2 (i).

Given $\varphi \in C^k(I)$ with an interval I , we define a weighted norm on $C_w^k(I)$ by

$$\|\varphi\|_{C_w^k(I)} = \max_{l=0, \dots, k} \|r^{l-1} h_r^{-1} \varphi^{(l)}\|_{L^\infty(I)},$$

where $\varphi^{(l)}$ is the l -th order derivative of φ . In addition, we define some linear operators

$$[\varphi]_r := \frac{\varphi_r}{h_r}, \quad [\varphi]_s := [\varphi]_r - \frac{\varphi}{r h_r}, \quad [\varphi]_{rr} := \frac{\varphi_{rr}}{h_{rr}}.$$

Let $w = \bar{v} - h$, and manipulate $\bar{v}_{rr}\bar{v}_r^{n-1} - h_{rr}h_r^{n-1}$ by using (6.1) and (6.2) to derive

$$h_r^{n-1} w_{rr} + (n-1)h_r^{n-2} h_{rr} w_r = (1-p)h_r^{-p} w_r + h_r^{1-p} E[w],$$

where

$$E[w] := P_1[w] + P_2[w] + Q[w] + R_1[w] - R_2[w] - R_3[w],$$

with

$$P_1[w] := ((1+r)^{n-1} - 1)(1 + h_r^2(1 + [w]_r)^2)^{\frac{m+2}{2}} (1 + \frac{1}{m+1}r + [w]_r + r[w]_s)^{1-p},$$

$$P_2[w] := ((1 + h_r^2(1 + [w]_r)^2)^{\frac{m+2}{2}} - 1)(1 + \frac{1}{m+1}r + [w]_r + r[w]_s)^{1-p},$$

$$Q[w] := (1 + \frac{1}{m+1}r + [w]_r + r[w]_s)^{1-p} - (1 + [w]_r)^{1-p},$$

and

$$R_1[w] := (1 + [w]_r)^{1-p} - 1 - (1-p)[w]_r,$$

$$R_2[w] := [w]_{rr}((1 + [w]_r)^{n-1} - 1),$$

$$R_3[w] := (1 + [w]_r)^{n-1} - 1 - (n-1)[w]_r.$$

Note that we utilized (6.2) for formulating R_2 and R_3 . Also, $Q \equiv R_1 \equiv 0$ holds in the case $p = 1$.

Next, by using (6.2) and $h_r = (mr)^{\frac{1}{m}}$, we can simplify the equation of w as

$$Lw := (r^{1-\frac{1}{m}} w_r)_r = m^{\frac{1}{m}-1} E[w]. \quad (6.3)$$

Let us provide the main result in this section.

Theorem 6.2. *Given p, n with $m > 0$, there are $C_0, r_0 > 0$ and $w \in C^2(I_0)$ with $I_0 := (0, r_0]$ such that w is a solution to (6.3) on I_0 satisfying*

$$\|w\|_{C_w^2((0,r])} \leq C_0 r^\delta \leq \frac{1}{10} \min\{m, m^{-1}\} \quad (6.4)$$

for every $r \leq r_0$, where $\delta := \min\{1, \frac{2}{m}\}$.

By using this theorem, we can prove Theorem 1.2 (i), which follows from Theorem 6.1.

Proof of Theorem 6.1. We recall w in Theorem 6.2 so that we define $\bar{v} = h + w$ and then define $v : B_{1+r_0}(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

- (1) $v(x) \equiv 0$ for $|x| \leq 1$,
- (2) $v(x) = \bar{v}(|x| - 1)$ for $|x| \in (1, r_0 + 1)$.

Then, (6.4) implies $\frac{|w|}{h}, \frac{|w_r|}{h_r}, \frac{|w_{rr}|}{h_{rr}} \leq \frac{1}{2}$, and therefore v is a positive and strictly convex function on $B_{1+r_0}(0) \setminus B_1(0)$. Also, $v(x)$ is smooth in $\{1 < |x| < 1 + r_0\}$ by the standard regularity theorems for the Monge–Ampère type equations. Thus, v is of class $C^{k,\gamma}$ with $\gamma \in (0, 1]$ for $k + \gamma = 1 + \frac{1}{m}$. Notice that the assumption $p < 1$ has not been used so far.

We next choose a rotationally symmetric convex body $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, +\infty)$ such that

- (1) $\partial\Omega \cap \{x_{n+1} \leq \frac{1}{2}\bar{v}(r_0)\} = \{(x, v(x)) : v(x) \leq \frac{1}{2}\bar{v}(r_0)\}$,
- (2) $\partial\Omega$ is smooth, and its Gauss curvature is positive in $\{x_{n+1} > 0\}$.

To prove that Ω is a generalized solution of (1.2), we need to show (1.3). Note that we have

$$\int_{E \cap \{v > 0\}} u^{1-p} dS(\Omega, z) = \int_{E \cap \{v > 0\}} f d\sigma \quad \text{for all Borel sets } E \subset \mathbb{S}^n$$

for some positive function $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^n)$, where u is the support function of Ω . Since $u = 0$ on $\{v = 0\}$ and $p < 1$, we obtain

$$\int_{E \cap \{v=0\}} u^{1-p} dS(\Omega, z) = 0. \quad (6.5)$$

Moreover, since $\{v = 0\} \subset \{-e_n\}$ we have

$$\int_{E \cap \{v=0\}} f d\sigma = 0. \quad (6.6)$$

Therefore, it follows from (6.5) and (6.6) that

$$\int_E u^{1-p} dS(\Omega, z) = \int_E f d\sigma \quad \text{for all Borel sets } E \subset \mathbb{S}^n,$$

which proves (1.2). We finish the proof by observing that $\partial\Omega$ is a hypersurface of at most class $C^{k,\gamma}$ with $\gamma \in (0, 1]$ for $k + \gamma = 1 + \frac{1}{m}$ as the regularity of v . \square

To prove Theorem 6.2, we employ the contraction mapping. We define $w_0 \equiv 0$ and

$$w_1(r) := \int_0^r s^{\frac{1}{m}-1} \int_0^s m^{\frac{1}{m}-1} E(w_0)(t) dt ds,$$

which solves $Lw_1 = m^{\frac{1}{m}-1}E(w_0)$. Then, for $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we inductively define

$$w_{i+1}(r) := w_i(r) + \int_0^r s^{\frac{1}{m}-1} \int_0^s m^{\frac{1}{m}-1} (E(w_i)(t) - E(w_{i-1})(t)) dt ds$$

so that $Lw_{i+1} = m^{\frac{1}{m}-1}E(w_i)$. Thus, it is important to estimate the error term E .

Lemma 6.3. *There is some constant C_1 depending only on n, p with $m > 0$ such that*

$$|E(w_0)(r)| \leq C_1 r^\delta$$

holds for every $r \leq 1$, where $\delta = \min\{1, \frac{2}{m}\}$.

Proof. Observe $R_1[0] = R_2[0] = R_3[0] = 0$, $Q[0] = (1 + \frac{1}{m+1}r)^{1-p} - 1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} P_1[0] &= ((1+r)^{n-1} - 1)(1 + h_r^2)^{\frac{m+2}{2}} (1 + \frac{1}{m+1}r)^{1-p}, \\ P_2[0] &= ((1 + h_r^2)^{\frac{m+2}{2}} - 1)(1 + \frac{1}{m+1}r)^{1-p}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $w_0 := 0$, we can obtain the desired result by the Taylor remainder theorem. \square

Proposition 6.4. *Given $a, b > 0$ and $q \in \mathbb{R}$, the following holds*

$$|a^q - b^q| \leq |q| |a - b| (a^{q-1} + b^{q-1}).$$

Proof. Let $a > b$ and $q > 1$. Then, the convexity of $f(x) = x^q$ implies

$$\frac{f(a)-f(b)}{a-b} \leq f'(a),$$

which is the desired result. In the same manner, we can complete the proof by using the convexity of $f(x) = x^q$ for $q < 0$ and the concavity of $f(x) = x^q$ for $0 < q < 1$. The cases $q = 0$ and $q = 1$ are trivial. \square

Lemma 6.5. *There is some constant C_2 depending only on n, p with $m > 0$ such that if some $\varphi, \psi \in C^2(I)$, where $I = (0, r]$ for some $r \leq 1$, satisfy $\|\varphi\|_{C_w^2(I)}, \|\psi\|_{C_w^2(I)} \leq \frac{1}{4}$, then the following hold: for $j = 1, 2$*

$$|P_j[\varphi](r) - P_j[\psi](r)| \leq C_2 r^\delta \|\varphi - \psi\|_{C_w^2(I)}, \quad (6.7)$$

and

$$|Q[\varphi](r) - Q[\psi](r)| \leq C_2 (r^\delta + \|\varphi\|_{C_w^2(I)} + \|\psi\|_{C_w^2(I)}) \|\varphi - \psi\|_{C_w^1(I)}, \quad (6.8)$$

and for $j = 1, 2, 3$

$$|R_j[\varphi](r) - R_j[\psi](r)| \leq C_2 (\|\varphi\|_{C_w^2(I)} + \|\psi\|_{C_w^2(I)}) \|\varphi - \psi\|_{C_w^2(I)}, \quad (6.9)$$

where $\delta = \min\{1, \frac{2}{m}\}$.

Proof. For the purpose of brevity, we define

$$X_w = 1 + h_r^2(1 + [w]_r)^2, \quad Y_w = 1 + \frac{1}{m+1}r + [w]_r + r[w]_s, \quad Z_w = 1 + [w]_r. \quad (6.10)$$

Note that if $\|w\|_{C_w^2(I)} \leq \frac{1}{4}$ we have

$$Y_w, Z_w \geq \frac{3}{4}.$$

We first show (6.7) for $j = 1$. Since $(1+r)^{n-1} \leq 1 + Cr$ for some $C(n)$ in $\{0 < r \leq 1\}$, remembering $1 \leq X_w \leq C$ and $\frac{3}{4} \leq Y_w \leq C$ we apply Proposition 6.4 so that

$$\begin{aligned} |P_1[\varphi] - P_1[\psi]| &\leq Cr \left(\left| X_\varphi^{\frac{m+2}{2}} - X_\psi^{\frac{m+2}{2}} \right| Y_\varphi^{1-p} + X_\psi^{\frac{m+2}{2}} \left| Y_\varphi^{1-p} - Y_\psi^{1-p} \right| \right) \\ &\leq Cr (|X_\varphi - X_\psi| + |Y_\varphi - Y_\psi|) \end{aligned}$$

for some $C(n, p)$. Thus, by observing

$$\begin{aligned} |X_\varphi - X_\psi| &\leq Ch_r^2 |\varphi - \psi|_r \leq Cr^{\frac{2}{m}} \|\varphi - \psi\|_{C_w^1(I)}, \\ |Y_\varphi - Y_\psi| &\leq C(|\varphi - \psi|_r + r|\varphi - \psi|_s) \leq C\|\varphi - \psi\|_{C_w^1(I)}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.11)$$

we can obtain (6.7) for $j = 1$. Similarly, we can derive

$$\begin{aligned} |P_2[\varphi] - P_2[\psi]| &\leq \left| X_\varphi^{\frac{m+2}{2}} - X_\psi^{\frac{m+2}{2}} \right| Y_\varphi^{1-p} + (X_\psi^{\frac{m+2}{2}} - 1) \left| Y_\varphi^{1-p} - Y_\psi^{1-p} \right| \\ &\leq C|X_\varphi - X_\psi| + C(X_\psi - 1)|Y_\varphi - Y_\psi|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, combining with $X_\psi - 1 = h_r^2 Z_\psi^2 \leq Cr^{\frac{2}{m}}$ and (6.11) yields (6.7) for $j = 2$. In the same manner, by using Proposition 6.4 with $Z_w \geq \frac{3}{4}$ and

$$|R_2[\varphi] - R_2[\psi]| \leq |[\varphi - \psi]_{rr}| |Z_\varphi^{n-1} - 1| + |[\psi]_{rr}| |Z_\varphi^{n-1} - Z_\psi^{n-1}|,$$

we can show (6.9) for $j = 2$.

Next, to show (6.9) for $j = 1$, we consider

$$g(t) = (1 + [\varphi]_r + t)^{1-p} - 1 - (1-p)([\varphi]_r + t),$$

and apply the Taylor's theorem so that we can obtain $C(p)$ satisfying

$$|g(t) - g(0) - (1-p)((1 + [\varphi]_r)^{-p} - 1)t| \leq C|t|^2$$

for $|t| \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Hence, putting $t = [\psi - \varphi]_r$, we get (6.9) for $j = 1$. Note that the proof for (6.9) with $j = 3$ is identical. To prove the last estimate (6.8), we consider

$$g(t) = (\hat{Y}_{\varphi, \psi} + t)^{1-p} - (1 + [\varphi]_r + t)^{1-p},$$

where

$$\hat{Y}_{\varphi, \psi} := 1 + \frac{1}{m+1}r + [\varphi]_r + r[\psi]_s.$$

Then, the Taylor remainder theorem says that there is $C(p)$ such that

$$|g(t) - g(0) - (1-p)[\hat{Y}_{\varphi, \psi}^{-p} - (1 + [\varphi]_r)^{-p}]t| \leq C|t|^2$$

holds for $|t| \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Observing $\hat{Y}_{\varphi, \psi}, Z_\varphi \geq \frac{3}{4}$, we apply Proposition 6.4 to get

$$|\hat{Y}_{\varphi, \psi}^{-p} - (1 + [\varphi]_r)^{-p}| \leq Cr.$$

Therefore, putting $t = [\psi - \varphi]_r$ yields

$$|Q[\psi] - g(0)| \leq C(r + \|\varphi - \psi\|_{C_w^1(I)})\|\varphi - \psi\|_{C_w^1(I)}.$$

On the other hand, using Proposition 6.4 and $\hat{Y}_{\varphi,\psi}, Y_\varphi \geq \frac{3}{4}$, we can obtain

$$|g(0) - Q[\varphi]| = |\hat{Y}_{\varphi,\psi}^{1-p} - Y_\varphi^{1-p}| \leq Cr|[\psi - \varphi]_s| \leq Cr\|\psi - \varphi\|_{C_w^1(I)}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 6.6. *There is some constant C_3 depending only on n, p with $m > 0$ such that if $|g(r)| \leq r^\delta$ then*

$$\hat{w}(r) := \int_0^r s^{\frac{1}{m}-1} \int_0^s m^{\frac{1}{m}-1} g(t) dt ds$$

satisfies $\|\hat{w}\|_{C_w^2((0,r])} \leq C_3 r^\delta$ for $r > 0$.

Proof. Since

$$m^{1-\frac{1}{m}} \hat{w}_{rr} = r^{\frac{1}{m}-1} g(r) + \left(\frac{1}{m} - 1\right) r^{\frac{1}{m}-2} \int_0^r g(t) dt,$$

we have

$$m^{1-\frac{1}{m}} |\hat{w}_{rr}| \leq r^{\frac{1}{m}-1} |g(r)| + \left|\frac{1}{m} - 1\right| r^{\frac{1}{m}-2} \int_0^r |g(t)| dt \leq Cr^{\frac{1}{m}-1+\delta}.$$

Similarly, we can compute $|\hat{w}|$ and $|\hat{w}_r|$ to obtain the desired result. \square

Proof of Theorem 6.2. We recall the constants C_1, C_2, C_3, δ in the above lemmas and we choose $r_0 \in (0, 1]$ satisfying

$$8C_1 C_3 r_0^\delta + 8C_2 C_3 (1 + 4C_1 C_3) r_0^\delta \leq 1. \quad (6.12)$$

Then, combining with Lemma 6.3 and Lemma 6.6 yields

$$2\|w_1\|_{C_w^2(I)} \leq 2C_1 C_3 r^\delta \leq \frac{1}{4} \quad (6.13)$$

for every $r \leq r_0$, where $I = (0, r]$.

Then, we will inductively show that for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\|w_i - w_{i-1}\|_{C_w^2(I)} \leq 2^{-(i-1)} \|w_1\|_{C_w^2(I)}, \quad (6.14)$$

and

$$\|w_i\|_{C_w^2(I)} \leq (2 - 2^{-(i-1)}) \|w_1\|_{C_w^2(I)} \leq \frac{1}{4}. \quad (6.15)$$

First of all, the base case $i = 1$ is obvious by $w_0 = 0$ and (6.13).

Next, we assume that the above inequalities hold for $i \leq l$. Then, remembering (6.15), we can apply Lemma 6.5 to obtain

$$|E[w_l] - E[w_{l-1}]| \leq 4C_2(r^\delta + 4\|w_1\|_{C_w^2(I)}) \|w_l - w_{l-1}\|_{C_w^2(I)}.$$

Therefore, (6.13) implies

$$|E[w_l] - E[w_{l-1}]| \leq 4C_2(1 + 4C_1 C_3) r^\delta \|w_l - w_{l-1}\|_{C_w^2(I)}.$$

Hence, by Lemma 6.6 and (6.12), we have

$$\|w_{l+1} - w_l\|_{C_w^2(I)} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|w_l - w_{l-1}\|_{C_w^2(I)}.$$

Thus, combining (6.14) with $i = l$ shows (6.14) for $i = l + 1$, and therefore we have (6.15) for $i = l + 1$.

To conclude, we add (6.14) for all $i \geq 1$ to get pointwise limits

$$\bar{w}^{[0]}(r) := \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} w_i(r), \quad \bar{w}^{[1]}(r) := \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{d}{dr} w_i(r), \quad \bar{w}^{[2]}(r) := \lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{d^2}{dr^2} w_i(r).$$

for $r \in (0, r_0]$. Moreover, for each $k = 1, 2$

$$\bar{w}^{[k-1]}(r_1) - \bar{w}^{[k-1]}(r_2) = \int_{r_2}^{r_1} \bar{w}^{[k]}(r) dr$$

holds whenever $0 < r_1 < r_2 \leq r_0$. Hence, $\bar{w}^{[0]}$ and $\bar{w}^{[1]}$ are continuous, and thus the fundamental theorem of calculus (FTC) says $\frac{d}{dr} \bar{w}^{[0]} = \bar{w}^{[1]}$. In addition, the equation (6.1) says

$$h_r^{m-1} Z_{\bar{w}^{[0]}}^{n-1} (h_{rr} + \bar{w}^{[2]}) = (1+r)^{n-1} X_{\bar{w}^{[0]}}^{\frac{m+2}{2}} Y_{\bar{w}^{[0]}}^{1-p}$$

where X, Y, Z are given in (6.10). Hence, $\bar{w}^{[2]}$ is also continuous, and therefore we have $\frac{d}{dr} \bar{w}^{[1]} = \bar{w}^{[2]}$ by the FTC again. Thus, $\bar{w}^{[0]} \in C^2$ is a solution to (6.3) satisfying

$$\|\bar{w}^{[0]}\|_{C_w^2((0,r])} \leq 2\|w_1\|_{C_w^2((0,r])} \leq 2C_1 C_3 r^\delta =: C_0 r^\delta$$

for every $r \in (0, r_0]$ as desired. Note that we may choose smaller r_0 to have

$$C_0 r_0^\delta \leq \frac{1}{10} \min\{m, m^{-1}\}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

6.2. Example revisited. In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2 (ii) by revisiting the example provided by Chou–Wang [33] and Bianchi–Böröczky–Colesanti [6]. While the example constructed in the previous section has a flat side, the example below does not.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. For each $p \in (-n+1, 1) \cup (1, n+1)$, Chou and Wang [33, Section 6] proved that there exists a generalized solution of (1.2) that is not smooth even for a smooth positive function f . Let us recall this example and prove that the associated hypersurface Σ is not of $C^{1,1}$ when $p \in (1, n+1)$. Let \tilde{u} be the restriction of u on the tangent hyperplane of the n -sphere at the south pole. If \tilde{u} is given by $\tilde{u}(y) = |y|^{2\alpha}$ with $\alpha = n/(n-p+1)$, then it satisfies

$$\det D^2 \tilde{u} = (2\alpha)^n (2\alpha - 1) \tilde{u}^{p-1} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n$$

in the generalized sense. Thus, u is a generalized solution of (1.2) near the south pole, with a smooth positive function f . Note that f is given by

$$f(z) = (2\alpha)^n (2\alpha - 1) \left(\frac{1}{|z_{n+1}|} \right)^{n+2p}$$

near the south pole.

We define

$$\bar{v}(r) = cr^{\frac{2\alpha}{2\alpha-1}} = cr^{\frac{2n}{n+p-1}}, \quad c = \frac{2\alpha - 1}{(2\alpha)^{2\alpha/(2\alpha-1)}},$$

and $v(x) = \bar{v}(|x|)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then, Σ is represented by $\{(x, v(x))\}$ near the south pole. Indeed, we have for $y = Dv(x)$

$$\tilde{u}(y) = |y|^{2\alpha} = (2\alpha)^{-\frac{2\alpha}{2\alpha-1}} |x|^{\frac{2\alpha}{2\alpha-1}} = |x| \bar{v}(|x|) - \bar{v}(|x|) = \langle (x, v(x)), (y, -1) \rangle.$$

We observe that v is of $C^{1, \frac{n-p+1}{n+p-1}}$, not $C^{1,1}$, provided $p \in (1, n+1)$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] ALEXANDROFF, A. Zur theorie der gemischten volumina von konvexen körpern. iii. die erweiterung zweier lehrsätze minkowskis über die konvexen polyeder auf die beliebigen konvexen flachen. *Matematicheskii Sbornik* 45, 1 (1938), 27–46.
- [2] ANDREWS, B. Gauss curvature flow: the fate of the rolling stones. *Invent. Math.* 138, 1 (1999), 151–161.
- [3] ANDREWS, B. Motion of hypersurfaces by Gauss curvature. *Pacific J. Math.* 195, 1 (2000), 1–34.
- [4] ANDREWS, B., AND CHEN, X. Surfaces moving by powers of Gauss curvature. *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* 8, 4 (2012), 825–834.
- [5] ANDREWS, B., GUAN, P., AND NI, L. Flow by powers of the Gauss curvature. *Adv. Math.* 299 (2016), 174–201.
- [6] BIANCHI, G., BÖRÖCZKY, K. J., AND COLESANTI, A. Smoothness in the L_p Minkowski problem for $p < 1$. *J. Geom. Anal.* 30, 1 (2020), 680–705.
- [7] BIANCHI, G., BÖRÖCZKY, K. J., COLESANTI, A., AND YANG, D. The L_p -Minkowski problem for $-n < p < 1$. *Adv. Math.* 341 (2019), 493–535.
- [8] BÖRÖCZKY, K. J., HEGEDŰS, P., AND ZHU, G. On the discrete logarithmic Minkowski problem. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, 6 (2016), 1807–1838.
- [9] BÖRÖCZKY, K. J., LUTWAK, E., YANG, D., AND ZHANG, G. The log-Brunn-Minkowski inequality. *Adv. Math.* 231, 3-4 (2012), 1974–1997.
- [10] BÖRÖCZKY, K. J., LUTWAK, E., YANG, D., AND ZHANG, G. The logarithmic Minkowski problem. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 26, 3 (2013), 831–852.
- [11] BÖRÖCZKY, K. J., AND TRINH, H. T. The planar L_p -Minkowski problem for $0 < p < 1$. *Adv. in Appl. Math.* 87 (2017), 58–81.
- [12] BRENDLE, S., CHOI, K., AND DASKALOPOULOS, P. Asymptotic behavior of flows by powers of the Gaussian curvature. *Acta Math.* 219, 1 (2017), 1–16.
- [13] BRYAN, P., IVAKI, M., AND SCHEUER, J. Christoffel-Minkowski flows. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 376, 4 (2023), 2373–2393.
- [14] BRYAN, P., IVAKI, M. N., AND SCHEUER, J. A unified flow approach to smooth, even L_p -Minkowski problems. *Anal. PDE* 12, 2 (2019), 259–280.
- [15] CAFFARELLI, L. A. Interior a priori estimates for solutions of fully nonlinear equations. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 130, 1 (1989), 189–213.
- [16] CAFFARELLI, L. A. Interior $W^{2,p}$ estimates for solutions of the Monge-Ampère equation. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 131, 1 (1990), 135–150.
- [17] CAFFARELLI, L. A. A localization property of viscosity solutions to the Monge-Ampère equation and their strict convexity. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 131, 1 (1990), 129–134.
- [18] CAFFARELLI, L. A. Some regularity properties of solutions of Monge Ampère equation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 44, 8-9 (1991), 965–969.
- [19] CHEN, C., HUANG, Y., AND ZHAO, Y. Smooth solutions to the L_p dual Minkowski problem. *Math. Ann.* 373, 3-4 (2019), 953–976.
- [20] CHEN, H., AND LI, Q.-R. The L_p dual Minkowski problem and related parabolic flows. *J. Funct. Anal.* 281, 8 (2021), Paper No. 109139, 65.
- [21] CHEN, L., TU, Q., WU, D., AND XIANG, N. $C^{1,1}$ regularity for solutions to the degenerate L_p dual Minkowski problem. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 60, 3 (2021), Paper No. 115, 13.
- [22] CHEN, S., FENG, Y., AND LIU, W. Uniqueness of solutions to the logarithmic Minkowski problem in \mathbb{R}^3 . *Adv. Math.* 411, part A (2022), Paper No. 108782, 18.
- [23] CHEN, S., HUANG, Y., LI, Q.-R., AND LIU, J. The L_p -Brunn-Minkowski inequality for $p < 1$. *Adv. Math.* 368 (2020), 107166, 21.
- [24] CHEN, S., AND LI, Q.-R. On the planar dual Minkowski problem. *Adv. Math.* 333 (2018), 87–117.
- [25] CHEN, S., LI, Q.-R., AND ZHU, G. On the L_p Monge-Ampère equation. *J. Differential Equations* 263, 8 (2017), 4997–5011.
- [26] CHEN, S., LI, Q.-R., AND ZHU, G. The logarithmic Minkowski problem for non-symmetric measures. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 371, 4 (2019), 2623–2641.
- [27] CHENG, S. Y., AND YAU, S. T. On the regularity of the solution of the n -dimensional Minkowski problem. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 29, 5 (1976), 495–516.

- [28] CHOI, B., CHOI, K., AND DASKALOPOULOS, P. Convergence of Gauss curvature flows to translating solitons. *Adv. Math.* 397 (2022), Paper No. 108207.
- [29] CHOI, B., CHOI, K., AND DASKALOPOULOS, P. Uniqueness of ancient solutions to Gauss curvature flow asymptotic to a cylinder. *J. Differential Geom.* 127, 1 (2024), 77–104.
- [30] CHOI, K. *The Gauss curvature flow: regularity and asymptotic behavior*. PhD thesis, Columbia University, 2017.
- [31] CHOI, K., DASKALOPOULOS, P., AND LEE, K.-A. Translating solutions to the gauss curvature flow with flat sides. *Analysis & PDE* 14, 2 (2021), 595–616.
- [32] CHOU, K.-S., AND WANG, X.-J. A logarithmic Gauss curvature flow and the Minkowski problem. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* 17, 6 (2000), 733–751.
- [33] CHOU, K.-S., AND WANG, X.-J. The L_p -Minkowski problem and the Minkowski problem in centroaffine geometry. *Adv. Math.* 205, 1 (2006), 33–83.
- [34] CHOW, B. Deforming convex hypersurfaces by the n th root of the Gaussian curvature. *J. Differential Geom.* 22, 1 (1985), 117–138.
- [35] DASKALOPOULOS, P., AND LEE, K.-A. Worn stones with flat sides all time regularity of the interface. *Invent. Math.* 156, 3 (2004), 445–493.
- [36] FENCHEL, W., AND JESSEN, B. *Mengenfunktionen und konvexe Körper*. 1938.
- [37] FIREY, W. J. Shapes of worn stones. *Mathematika* 21 (1974), 1–11.
- [38] GERHARDT, C. Flow of nonconvex hypersurfaces into spheres. *J. Differential Geom.* 32, 1 (1990), 299–314.
- [39] GERHARDT, C. Non-scale-invariant inverse curvature flows in Euclidean space. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 49, 1-2 (2014), 471–489.
- [40] GUAN, P. C^2 a priori estimates for degenerate Monge-Ampère equations. *Duke Math. J.* 86, 2 (1997), 323–346.
- [41] GUAN, P., AND LI, Y. $C^{1,1}$ estimates for solutions of a problem of Alexandrov. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 50, 8 (1997), 789–811.
- [42] GUAN, P., AND NI, L. Entropy and a convergence theorem for Gauss curvature flow in high dimension. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* 19, 12 (2017), 3735–3761.
- [43] GUAN, P., TRUDINGER, N. S., AND WANG, X.-J. On the Dirichlet problem for degenerate Monge-Ampère equations. *Acta Math.* 182, 1 (1999), 87–104.
- [44] GUANG, Q., LI, Q.-R., AND WANG, X.-J. The L_p -Minkowski problem with super-critical exponents. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.05099* (2022).
- [45] GUANG, Q., LI, Q.-R., AND WANG, X.-J. Flow by Gauss curvature to the L_p dual Minkowski problem. *Math. Eng.* 5, 3 (2023), Paper No. 049, 19.
- [46] HABERL, C., LUTWAK, E., YANG, D., AND ZHANG, G. The even Orlicz Minkowski problem. *Adv. Math.* 224, 6 (2010), 2485–2510.
- [47] HE, Y., LI, Q.-R., AND WANG, X.-J. Multiple solutions of the L_p -Minkowski problem. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 55, 5 (2016), Art. 117, 13.
- [48] HUG, D., LUTWAK, E., YANG, D., AND ZHANG, G. On the L_p Minkowski problem for polytopes. *Discrete Comput. Geom.* 33, 4 (2005), 699–715.
- [49] IVAKI, M. N. An application of dual convex bodies to the inverse Gauss curvature flow. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 143, 3 (2015), 1257–1271.
- [50] IVAKI, M. N. Deforming a hypersurface by Gauss curvature and support function. *J. Funct. Anal.* 271, 8 (2016), 2133–2165.
- [51] JIAN, H., LU, J., AND WANG, X.-J. Nonuniqueness of solutions to the L_p -Minkowski problem. *Adv. Math.* 281 (2015), 845–856.
- [52] KIM, L., LEE, K.-A., AND RHEE, E. α -Gauss curvature flows with flat sides. *J. Differential Equations* 254, 3 (2013), 1172–1192.
- [53] KIM, M., AND LEE, T. Diameter estimate for planar L_p dual Minkowski problem. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 152, 7 (2024), 3035–3049.
- [54] LEE, K.-A., AND LEE, T. Gauss curvature flow with an obstacle. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 60, 5 (2021), Paper No. 166, 23.
- [55] LI, Q.-R. Surfaces expanding by the power of the Gauss curvature flow. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 138, 11 (2010), 4089–4102.
- [56] LI, Q.-R., SHENG, W., AND WANG, X.-J. Flow by Gauss curvature to the Aleksandrov and dual Minkowski problems. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* 22, 3 (2020), 893–923.

- [57] LU, J., AND WANG, X.-J. Rotationally symmetric solutions to the L_p -Minkowski problem. *J. Differential Equations* 254, 3 (2013), 983–1005.
- [58] LUTWAK, E. The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory. I. Mixed volumes and the Minkowski problem. *J. Differential Geom.* 38, 1 (1993), 131–150.
- [59] LUTWAK, E., YANG, D., AND ZHANG, G. On the L_p -Minkowski problem. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 356, 11 (2004), 4359–4370.
- [60] MINKOWSKI, H. Volumen und Oberfläche. *Math. Ann.* 57, 4 (1903), 447–495.
- [61] POGORELOV, A. V. *Extrinsic geometry of convex surfaces*. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 35. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1973. Translated from the Russian by Israel Program for Scientific Translations.
- [62] POGORELOV, A. V. *The Minkowski multidimensional problem*. V. H. Winston & Sons, Washington, D.C.; Halsted Press [John Wiley & Sons], New York-Toronto-London, 1978. Translated from the Russian by Vladimir Oliker, Introduction by Louis Nirenberg, Scripta Series in Mathematics.
- [63] SCHNÜRER, O. C. Surfaces expanding by the inverse Gaußcurvature flow. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 600 (2006), 117–134.
- [64] STANCU, A. The discrete planar L_0 -Minkowski problem. *Adv. Math.* 167, 1 (2002), 160–174.
- [65] STANCU, A. On the number of solutions to the discrete two-dimensional L_0 -Minkowski problem. *Adv. Math.* 180, 1 (2003), 290–323.
- [66] STANCU, A. Centro-affine invariants for smooth convex bodies. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, 10 (2012), 2289–2320.
- [67] TSO, K. Deforming a hypersurface by its Gauss-Kronecker curvature. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 38, 6 (1985), 867–882.
- [68] URBAS, J. I. E. An expansion of convex hypersurfaces. *J. Differential Geom.* 33, 1 (1991), 91–125.
- [69] WANG, X. J. Existence of convex hypersurfaces with prescribed Gauss-Kronecker curvature. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 348, 11 (1996), 4501–4524.
- [70] XI, D., AND LENG, G. Dar’s conjecture and the log-Brunn-Minkowski inequality. *J. Differential Geom.* 103, 1 (2016), 145–189.
- [71] YAGISITA, H. Non-uniqueness of self-similar shrinking curves for an anisotropic curvature flow. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 26, 1 (2006), 49–55.
- [72] ZHU, G. The logarithmic Minkowski problem for polytopes. *Adv. Math.* 262 (2014), 909–931.
- [73] ZHU, G. The centro-affine Minkowski problem for polytopes. *J. Differential Geom.* 101, 1 (2015), 159–174.
- [74] ZHU, G. The L_p Minkowski problem for polytopes for $0 < p < 1$. *J. Funct. Anal.* 269, 4 (2015), 1070–1094.
- [75] ZHU, G. The L_p Minkowski problem for polytopes for $p < 0$. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 66, 4 (2017), 1333–1350.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, KOREA INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, 85 HOEGIRO, DONGDAEMUN-GU, SEOUL 02455, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
Email address: choiks@kias.re.kr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR NATURAL SCIENCES, HANYANG UNIVERSITY, 04763 SEOUL, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
Email address: minhyun@hanyang.ac.kr

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, KOREA INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, 85 HOEGIRO, DONGDAEMUN-GU, SEOUL 02455, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
Email address: taehun@kias.re.kr