

Child Care, Time Allocation, and Life Cycle: The Case of the Japanese Women

Hirokuni IIBOSHI * Daikuke OZAKI † Yui YOSHII ‡§

September 2023

Abstract

This research investigates the impact of gender-related differences in preferences and efficiency in household tasks on the distribution of time throughout the course of marriages, aiming to uncover the underlying reasons behind gender-based discrepancies in labor earnings, especially with regard to childcare duties. By utilizing aggregated data from Japan's "Survey on Time Use and Leisure Activities," this study enhances the life cycle model originally introduced by [Blundell et al. \(2018\)](#) by integrating a heterogeneous range of ages for a child, covering her growth from infancy to adulthood. The outcomes derived from the model are then aligned with actual data through a fitting process, followed by simulations of policies that cater to varying educational backgrounds within married couples. Our model's calculations indicate a reduction in maternal earnings subsequent to childbirth, consistent with the findings of the empirical investigation known as the "child penalty." However, a notable disparity emerges between the projected outcomes of the model and the observed data during the subsequent phase of maternal earnings recovery, with a disparity of approximately 40%. Furthermore, our calculations demonstrate that a 25% increase in the income replacement rate for parental leave results in an almost 20% increase in the utilization of parental leave. In contrast, a steady 10% rise in wages leads to a modest 2.5% increase in the utilization of parental leave.

Keywords: Family model, Joint utility function, Life cycle model, Childcare, Work-life balance, VFI Toolkit

JEL Classification: C61, D15, J12, J13, J17

*Corresponding author; College of Economics, Nihon University E-mail: iiboshi.hirokuni@nihon-u.ac.jp

†Tokyo Metropolitan University E-mail: ozaki-daisuke@ed.tmu.ac.jp

‡Japan International Cooperation Agency E-mail: b.kp.milov.e.y721@icloud.com

§Iiboshi is grateful for financial support from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science 21K01464.

1 Introduction

In the 21st century, women's workforce participation rates have become more equal to those of men, not only in Western countries but also in Asian regions, including Japan. Nevertheless, the enduring gender wage gap continues to be considerable. In recent times, there has been a notable increase in academic research focused on understanding the factors that contribute to this disparity (Cortés and Pan (2023)). Particularly, the "child penalty," which predominantly affects women, has emerged as a key determinant of this gap in advanced economies. This phenomenon refers to women's earnings remaining stagnant and taking longer to recover after childbirth, unlike their non-childbearing counterparts (Kleven et al. (2019b), Kleven et al. (2019a)). This effect has also been observed in Japan (Komura (2021), Kleven et al. (2023)).

Numerous studies have highlighted the significant time allocation disparity between women and men, with women dedicating a considerable amount of time to household responsibilities alongside paid work. This disparity contributes notably to the gender gap in the labor market. The examination of gender-specific time allocation dates back to the foundational work of Becker (1965) and Ghez and Becker (1975) in family economics. They emphasized the impact of childbirth and caregiving responsibilities on time and resource distribution among parents. Recent investigations, such as Cortés and Pan (2023) study, have shed light on the connection between the gender gap and childcare, particularly concerning gender-based differences in preferences and domestic productivity. These revelations revolve around parental time allocation. Moreover, researchers have often noted the complex relationship between maternal career progression and childcare, which can pose challenges for working mothers.

This study conducts a thorough analysis of how time allocation evolves across different life stages, focusing primarily on childcare in Japan. This context is significant due to the increasing number of working mothers. To achieve this, we adapt Blundell et al. (2018) life cycle model, originally designed for the United States, and apply it to Japanese aggregate data. We enhance their model by incorporating a nuanced life cycle approach that accounts for the child's age, spanning from birth to adulthood. This enhancement is crucial for comprehensively understanding how married couples allocate their time between work, leisure, and parenting throughout their journey as parents.

Prior research, including the work of Blundell et al. (2018), hasn't explicitly addressed the influence of a child's age on parental time allocation. This study aims to bridge this research gap by employing a more intricate life cycle model. The overarching goal is to provide a theoretical foundation that explains the intricate mechanisms influencing time allocation at various stages of parenthood and child development. Additionally, this study seeks to evaluate how parental income inequality, based on educational attainment, affects maternal time allocation.

Our research employs a quantitative approach, utilizing parameter estimates from Blundell et al. (2018) empirical analysis in the United States. To validate these parameters, we calibrate

them using the "Survey on Time Use and Leisure Activities" (STULA), a dataset from a survey involving approximately 200,000 respondents in Japan. With a model tailored to this dataset, we conduct policy simulations to quantitatively assess the impact of income compensation for parental leave on parenting time, as well as the effect of nursery school utilization on parental work hours and leisure time.

Our calibration results reveal valuable insights. Our model's outcomes align with the 70 % reduction in maternal earnings observed in the context of the child penalty phenomenon after childbirth. However, notable disparities between our model's calculated results and empirical data arise during the subsequent phase of maternal earnings recovery. This incongruity becomes prominent after about three years post-childbirth, with a peak deviation of around 40 %. This suggests that the extent of earnings reduction linked to the child penalty is due to mothers' constrained involvement in the labor market. During this period, they allocate more time to childcare, particularly within the first two years. In contrast, the decline in maternal earnings beyond the third year post-childbirth cannot be solely attributed to reduced working hours for childcare. The persistence of these deviations may arise from factors such as mothers transitioning to roles with flexible working hours and discriminatory wage structures.

Furthermore, our calculations indicate that compared to high school graduates, couples with a college education experience significant shifts. Amplified lifetime earnings and accumulated assets result in an 8 % increase in women's utilization of parental leave and a reduction of approximately 20 minutes in daily working hours. In contrast, implementing nursery facilities increases women's voluntary work hours by an average of about two hours, largely due to reduced childcare responsibilities. However, this adoption also leads to about a 0.25 % decline in women's utilization of parental leave. Notably, a 25 % increase in the income replacement rate for parental leave corresponds to an almost 20 % rise in its utilization. Similarly, a consistent 10 % increase in wages results in a 2.5 % increase. Moreover, higher family assets are linked to reduced female work hours and increased childcare hours.

Survey papers on women's labor supply, time allocation, and related policies are addressed by [Albanesi et al. \(2023\)](#) and [Bertrand \(2020\)](#). [Greenwood \(2018\)](#) also explores these topics in their textbook. In the context of the Gender Gap in Time Allocation, U.S. studies are covered by [Aguiar and Hurst \(2007\)](#), [Aguiar et al. \(2013\)](#), and [Ramey and Ramey \(2010\)](#), while [Campaña et al. \(2023\)](#) provides a comparison of major Western industrialized countries. [Albanesi and Olivetti \(2007\)](#) additionally offers a theoretical analysis of the allocation of time between women's domestic work and participation in the job market. Furthermore, [Albanesi and Olivetti \(2016\)](#) is among those who attribute the increase in women's labor force participation to technological advancements in healthcare and childcare. Similarly, [Greenwood et al. \(2016\)](#) and [Greenwood et al. \(2023\)](#) examine family structures and the nature of women's labor through the lens of long-term technological changes. For a theoretical exploration of women's roles in society and the influence of social norms, [Bertrand et al. \(2021\)](#)'s work is recommended. For an analytical perspective on fe-

male labor supply using the Life Cycle Model, refer to [Blundell and Shaw \(2016\)](#), [Blundell et al. \(2016\)](#), [Blundell et al. \(2021\)](#).

Relocating our focus to Japan, a country emblematic of the global trends discussed earlier, we observe a burgeoning body of research in recent years dedicated to the economic analysis of women's household chores and their participation in the labor market. Among these studies, [Kitao and Nakakuni \(2023\)](#) employs the same dataset as ours to quantitatively scrutinize how technological innovations in household chores have influenced Japanese women's time allocation patterns over time. [Yamaguchi \(2019\)](#) utilizes panel data to measure, in quantitative terms, the impact of changes in the income replacement rate associated with parental leave. In a study by [Kawaguchi and Toriyabe \(2022\)](#), it is underscored that women's earnings do not reflect their skills when compared to men's earnings, revealing the existence of a gender wage disparity. [Kobayashi et al. \(2016\)](#) investigates how the division of household responsibilities between spouses influences women's job satisfaction, while [Molina and Usui \(2023\)](#) delves into the repercussions of heightened parental expectations for sons compared to daughters on the gender gap. In [Kawaguchi and Miyazaki \(2009\)](#) it is reported that sons raised by working mothers are more inclined to support their wives in pursuing gainful employment. Finally, [Kobayashi and Usui \(2017\)](#) study demonstrates how the work dynamics between wives and husbands can influence the duration of breastfeeding their children.

The paper's structure is as follows: Section 2 provides a summary of the aggregated time allocation data for Japan and presents statistical evidence derived from data regression. In Section 3, the model employed in this study is elaborated upon. Section 4 delineates the methodology and parameter configurations adopted in the numerical computations. The findings of the numerical calculations are presented in Section 5, followed by the policy simulation described in Section 6. Lastly, Section 7 encapsulates the conclusions drawn from the study.

2 Statistical Evidence from Aggregate Data

2.1 Time Allocation during the Last Decade

In this study, we source data on time allocation in Japan from the Survey on Time Use and Leisure Activities (STULA), conducted by the Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications from 2011 to 2021. This dataset is compiled through a comprehensive survey conducted every five years, involving around 200,000 individuals. It captures their daily time allocation patterns and participation in various leisure activities, such as studying, self-development, volunteering, sports, hobbies, and travel. This survey also sheds light on the balance between work and personal life, making it a crucial measure for achieving gender equality.

Our investigation categorizes daily time into four distinct groups: (1) working time, which includes activities like commuting and work; (2) childcare time, involving caregiving and childcare duties; (3) household production time, encompassing tasks like housework; and (4) leisure

time, covering periods outside of work and household tasks. These allocations are further analyzed based on gender, age, and life stages, including scenarios like being single, married without children, and families with children of varying ages, from infancy to over 18 years old.

Figure 1 offers a comprehensive overview of the survey findings. Panel (a) presents time allocation patterns for mothers, while panel (b) depicts those for fathers. The horizontal axis of the graph displays data aggregated by the youngest child's age, categorized by color codes representing different stages of child development. The light blue shade represents the parental leave phase, followed by the light red shade illustrating the nursery period, and finally, the light gray shade covering the age up to 18, representing active child-rearing years.

Panel (a) reveals a clear correlation between parenting time and children's age for mothers. At childbirth, parents devote around 6-7 hours daily to childcare, resulting in reduced market work and leisure activities. These trends remain fairly stable from 2011 to 2016. Childcare time gradually increases over time, while housework hours decline. In Panel (b), similar trends emerge for fathers, with childcare time aligned with child age. However, fathers dedicate limited time to childcare, typically ranging from one to two hours. Simultaneously, time invested in housework by men has increased over the past decade but remains below 30 minutes. Market work hours have decreased by nearly two hours. Notably, durations of market work and leisure remain consistent regardless of child age.

2.2 Additional Evidence by Regression

As additional supporting evidence, we will further investigate the connection between parental time distribution and children's attendance at nursery schools or parental earnings through regression analysis. Figure 2 displays the results of the regression analysis estimating parental time allocation, using data from the two most recent years, 2016 and 2021. In each graph, the markers and error bars represent OLS estimates and the corresponding 95 % confidence intervals, respectively.¹


Panel (a) demonstrates the regression results for four categories of parental time allocation (measured in minutes per day) across eight groups based on the youngest child's age. These groups are: (1) Age 0, (2) Age 1–2, (3) Age 3–5, (4) Age 6–8, (5) Age 9–11, (6) Age 12–14, (7) Age 15–17, and (8) Age 18 and older. Age 0 serves as the baseline for dummy variables. Control variables include the parent's gender and whether both parents are earners. The findings suggest that as the youngest child's age decreases, parents require more childcare hours, leading to reduced leisure time. However, no significant relationship is observed between the child's age and parental market work or housework hours.

Moving to Panel (b), it presents regression outcomes concerning four categories of time allocation in relation to children's attendance at nursery schools. The time allocation categories are

¹We control all regressions by the sample size corresponding with every group and its squared value as their regressors, since the data are aggregated by the groups.

Figure 1: Data: Time Allocation

(a) Mother



(b) Father






Figure 2: Regression: Time Allocation


(a) Age of the Youngest Child



(b) Time Spent at the Nursery



(c) Annual Earning



divided into five tiers: (1) no usage, (2) 4 hours or fewer, (3) 5–7 hours, (4) 8–10 hours, and (5) 11 hours or more. "No usage" is the baseline for dummy variables, and the parent's gender is a control variable. The analysis reveals that an increase in children's nursery school attendance corresponds to a decrease in parents' childcare hours and an increase in their market work hours. However, this rise in nursery school attendance does not impact parents' housework or leisure time.²

Panel (c) delves into the regression results concerning parental time allocation in relation to individual annual income. Annual income is divided into fourteen categories, such as: 0, less than 0.5 million yen, 0.5–1.99 million yen, 2–2.49 million yen, 2.5–2.99 million yen, and seven subsequent categories ranging from 3 to 9.99 million yen, each separated by 1 million yen. There are also categories for 10–14.99 million yen and 15 million yen or higher. Control variables include the parent's gender and the sample size of these income categories. The outcomes show that an increase in parents' income leads to more market work hours and less leisure time. However, changes in annual income do not show a clear correlation with childcare or housework time, indicated by the inclusion of zero within the error margins.

In conclusion, the empirical results from the most recent years, 2016 and 2021, consistently highlight the substantial influence of the youngest child's age on parental time allocation. This emphasizes the necessity of constructing a model that effectively incorporates the connection between a child's age and parental time allocation, thereby providing insights into household decisions throughout the lifecycle.

3 Model

We use a life cycle model incorporating the child's growth period from age zero to age 18 into the utility function with a family structure, including childcare, adopted by [Blundell et al. \(2018\)](#). In this section, we first describe the unitary model and then the extended life cycle model.³

3.1 Family Model with Childcare

Let the utility function of a married couple with childcare be set up as follows, where C is consumption, L and T are leisure time and parenting time, respectively. The subscripts 1 and 2 denote the husband's and wife's, respectively.

$$u(\cdot) = \exp(\Phi_c) \frac{C^{1-1/\eta}}{1-1/\eta} - \frac{1}{1-\rho_L} \left[\exp(\Phi_{L1}) L_1^{1-1/\varphi_{L1}} + \exp(\Phi_{L2}) L_2^{1-1/\varphi_{L2}} \right]^{1-\rho_L} \quad (1)$$

$$- \frac{1}{1-\rho_T} \left[\exp(\Phi_{T1}(j_c)) T_1^{1-1/\varphi_{T1}} + \exp(\Phi_{T2}(j_c)) T_2^{1-1/\varphi_{T2}} \right]^{1-\rho_T}$$

²Because there are few observed households using nursery school for more than 11 hours in the 2021 data, their estimate is not shown in the graph.

³A survey paper that explains the utility function consisting of the members of a family is [Almas et al. \(2023\)](#).

where Φ_c , Φ_L , and Φ_T are the shift parameters for consumption, leisure, and parenting, respectively, indicating the strength of these preferences. Note that the shift parameter for parenting is assumed to depend on the child's age, j_c .

The values of η , ψ_{L1} , ψ_{L2} , ψ_{T1} , and ψ_{T2} are the marginal rates of substitution for the preferences corresponding to consumption, leisure, and parenting of a husband and a wife. ρ_L and ρ_T represent the shared relationship between the married couple. In cases where these values are positive, they represent a complementary relationship between the married couple, whereas in cases where they are negative, they represent a substitutive relationship. In other words, when the values are positive, the married couple increases their utility when both spend time together. On the other hand, when they are negative, only one spends more time, which also increases the utility of the other. The estimation results of [Blundell et al. \(2018\)](#) show that ρ_L , indicating the sharing of leisure time, is positive, while ρ_T , meaning the sharing of childcare time, is negative. Our calculation follows them.

3.2 Life Cycle Model

The following Bellman equation is set up as a life cycle model. The married couple decides on six matters: (1) consumption, (2) leisure time, (3) childcare time in the current period, (4) assets in the next period, (5) whether or not to have a baby at age 30 ($K = 1$ or 0), and (6) whether or not to take parental leave ($PL = 1$ or 0).

$$V(a, z_1, z_2, e_1, e_2, j) = \max_{c, L, T, a', K, PL} u(\cdot) + s_j \beta \mathbb{E} \left[V(a', z'_1, z'_2, e'_1, e'_2, j+1) \mid z_1, z_2 \right] \quad (2)$$

$$+ (1 - s_j) \beta \mathbb{I}(j > Jr + 10) WG$$

where $u(\cdot)$ is the utility function of the unitary model described above. j is the age of the parents. To simplify the model, parents are assumed to be the same age; Jr is the age at which they retire; s_j is the survival probability of the married couple. The couple is assumed to die at the same time. z_1 and z_2 are idiosyncratic shocks with persistence in the married couple's labor productivity, respectively, whereas e_1 and e_2 are temporary idiosyncratic shocks to productivity. These shocks represent future uncertainty. The magnitude of uncertainty is supposed to impact lifetime planning significantly.

The budget constraint equation is set up with two equations before and after the retirement age Jr , respectively, as follows. if $j < Jr$, then

$$c + f \times \mathbb{I}(K = 1, 2 \leq j_c \leq 6) + a' = (1 + r)a + w(1 - \tau)\kappa_{j,1}z_1e_1H_1 \quad (3)$$

$$+ w(1 - \tau)\kappa_{j,2}z_2e_2H_2 + y \times \mathbb{I}(PL = 1, j_c < 2) \quad (4)$$

if $j \geq Jr$, then

$$c + a' = (1 + r)a + pension \quad (5)$$

where a is the married couple's assets, r is the interest rate, w is the wage, $\kappa_{j,1}$ and $\kappa_{j,2}$ are the married couple's respective labor productivity, which depends on age j . H_1 and H_2 are hours worked. The f denotes the cost of attending nursery school, and y is the income compensation for taking parental leave.

The sum of leisure time (L_i), work time (H_i), and childcare time (T_i) is constant at 24 hours (\bar{L}) for each individual, i.e., husband or wife, of a married couple.

$$L_i + H_i + T_i = \bar{L}, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2 \quad (6)$$

Next, idiosyncratic shocks, which represent the labor productivity of married couples, are expressed as follows.

$$\begin{bmatrix} z'_1 \\ z'_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \rho_{11} & \rho_{12} \\ \rho_{21} & \rho_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \end{bmatrix} \sim N(0, \Sigma), \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \end{bmatrix} \sim N(0, \Sigma). \quad (8)$$

4 Methods and Parameters Setting

4.1 Methods

The Value Function Iteration (VFI) Toolkit by [Kirkby \(2017\)](#) is set for the numerical calculations in our study. We use the tool by [Kirkby \(2022\)](#) to calculate our life cycle model. The advantage of this tool is to implement fast parallel computing by using a Graphical Processor Unit (GPU) in addition to a CPU. The value function we are computing has six dimensions and will suffer from the so-called "curse of dimensionality". The use of GPUs is a powerful tool that can address this drawback.

In calculating the value function of the Bellman equation, we have the five control variables determined by the agents. The number of grids for leisure time and parenting time is 11 for each, and two grids are assigned for childbirth and parental leave for each. For the state variables, we have 51 grids for assets, three grids for the persistent, idiosyncratic shocks for individuals of the couple, and three grids for two temporary shocks. The married couple is set to be at age 20 and survive to a maximum of age 100, so the number of grids is 81. Thus, the total number of grids in the value function is $51 \times 3^2 \times 3^2 \times 81 = 334,611$.

4.2 Parameters Setting

Parameter values are established based on the estimates provided by [Blundell et al. \(2018\)](#). The specific values for individual parameters are outlined in [Table 1](#). It is important to note that the values in the "Calibration" column are employed for our calibration process, whereas those listed

Table 1: Parameter Setting

(a) MRS parameters

	Leisure and Consumption			Parental Time	
	calibration	Blundell et al (2018)		calibration	Blundell et al (2018)
ψ_{L1}	0.2	0.211	ψ_{T1}	0.1	0.115
ψ_{L2}	0.15	0.162	ψ_{T2}	0.5	0.503
ρ_L	0.5	0.535	ρ_T	-0.2	-0.197
η	0.5	0.903			

(b) Preference Shifters

	With Children		without Children	
	calibration	Blundell et al (2018)	calibration	Blundell et al (2018)
$\Phi_{L1}(Age20)$	-8.5	-8.925	-7.0	-7.680
$\Phi_{L2}(Age20)$	-8.5	-9.397	-8.0	-8.816
$\Phi_{T1}(Age30)$	-20.0	-23.993	na	na
$\Phi_{T2}(Age30)$	-3.0	-3.957	na	na
Φ_C	-1.0	0.132	-1.0	0


Note: (1) the preference for leisure time is set equal for husbands and wives of married couples, i.e., $\Phi_{L1} = \Phi_{L2}$. (2) Wives have more preferable choices for child care time than leisure time, i.e., $\Phi_{T2} > \Phi_{L2}$. (3) And wives have a more extensive selection for child care than the husband, i.e., $\Phi_{T2} > \Phi_{T1}$. (4) childcare preferences are assumed to be determined by the child's age, with a maximum at the child's age zero (or Parental age 30) and decreasing linearly until the child reaches age 18 as shown in Figure 3

under "Blundell et al. (2018)" in Table 1 represent the estimates presented by Blundell et al. (2018). A positive value for ρ_L indicates that the married couple shares leisure time, contributing to their overall utility. Conversely, the negative value of ρ_T suggests that childcare responsibilities are divided between the couple rather than being jointly performed.

In Panel (b), the preference shift parameter is conceptualized differently from the estimates proposed by Blundell et al. (2018). Specifically, the preference for leisure time is considered equal for both husbands and wives within married couples, denoted as $\Phi_{L1} = \Phi_{L2}$. Wives exhibit a stronger inclination towards childcare time compared to leisure time, signifying $\Phi_{T2} > \Phi_{L2}$. Furthermore, wives demonstrate a more extensive preference for child care than husbands, indicating $\Phi_{T2} > \Phi_{T1}$. Additionally, childcare preferences are assumed to be influenced by the child's age, peaking at age zero or parental age 30, and gradually decreasing in a linear manner until the child reaches the age of 18, as illustrated in Figure 3.

Regarding the parameters that vary with parent age, denoted as j , we determine the child care preference parameter for each gender, $\Phi_{T,i}$, the leisure preference parameter for each gender, $\Phi_{L,i}$, the labor productivity, $\kappa_{j,i}$, and the survival probability, s_j . The age-dependent variations of the aforementioned preference parameters are illustrated in Figure 3. As shown in Figure 3, the

Figure 3: age-dependent variations of Preference Shifter



parental burden remains constant until the child reaches four years of age, followed by a linear decline until the child reaches 18 years of age. Additionally, both parents exhibit an increasing leisure preference as their parental ages advance. The age-dependent values of labor productivity and survival probability are derived from actual data sourced from Japan, which is further elaborated upon in the subsequent section.


Conclusively, we define the configuration for the remaining fixed parameters. The time discount rate, denoted as β , is set at 0.96, while the interest rate is established at 5%. The retirement age, J_r , is determined as 65. The income compensation ratio provided during parental leave (income replacement rate) is designated as 50%, and the nursery school attendance fee is equivalent to one-fourth of the mother's income. Pension payments are stipulated to amount to 30% of the working-age family's income.

4.3 Wages and Survival Rates

In this section, we outline the data that underpin the demographics and labor productivity profiles of the agents in our study. The demographic information is grounded in age and gender-specific survival rates, while labor productivity is derived from gender and education-based hourly wage data.

To begin, we elucidate the agent categories and the corresponding labor productivity for each category. These distinctions are established using the Basic Survey on Wage Structure Statistics (2019) provided by the Ministry of Health, Labour, and Welfare. To account for gender and educational diversity among the agents, we distribute the population across four categories in accordance with the composition ratios detailed in the dataset. By employing this data, we calculate the hourly wages pertinent to each agent category and subsequently gauge labor productivity using the method introduced by Hansen (1993), and employed by Braun et al. (2006), Yamada (2011), ,

Figure 4: Data: Labor Productivity and Survival Rates



and others. Figure 4, Panel (a), showcases the age-related distribution of labor productivity across the span from age 20 to 65, classified by gender and education. The solid blue and red lines represent the labor productivity of males and females possessing education beyond the college level, while the dashed blue and red lines denote the labor productivity of males and females with an education level below that of a college degree.

Moving forward, we consider agents distinguished by age- and gender-dependent survival rates, derived from the population distribution and mortality rate projections provided by the National Institute of Population and Social Security Research (IPSS; 2017 estimates). This dataset enables us to account for life cycle variations in discounts by determining gender-specific age-related survival rates and integrating them with the discount rate within the Bellman equation. Panel (b) of Figure 4 illustrates that women exhibit consistently higher survival rates across the life cycle, and this gender disparity becomes more pronounced, particularly beyond the age of 60.

5 Numerical Results

5.1 Calculations of Policy Functions

Figure 5 illustrates the policy function derived from numerical calculations. The horizontal axis represents parental age, while the vertical axis indicates the household's asset level. Panel (a) offers insights into the determination of women's work hours. As a family's assets increase, the wife's work hours decrease correspondingly. In contrast, Panel (b) clarifies women's choices regarding parenting time. With higher assets, more time is allocated to childcare responsibilities. Panel (c) elaborates on the decision-making process for parental leave. Notably, parental leave is not taken if the household's assets fall below the threshold of 0.1. Moving to Panel (d), it depicts the distribution of assets across different age groups. We observe a limited accumulation of assets within the 30 age group. However, beyond the 50 age group, the asset distribution significantly

widens for diverse households of the same age.

5.2 Time Allocation through Life Cycle

In Panel (a) of Figure 6, the calculations focus on a scenario involving a married couple categorized as "college graduates" who use a nursery school. It's important to emphasize that the income replacement rate for parental leave is set at 50%. The values shown in all graphs represent averages derived from various individuals within each parental age group. The graph in the top left corner displays the likelihood of choosing parental leave. The top right graph visually displays how the mother divides her time among leisure activities, work, and childcare responsibilities. Shifting to the bottom left graph, it illustrates the combined income from labor for both the husband and wife. Lastly, the bottom right graph depicts the total assets of the married couple.

In the upper left plot of Panel (a), our model reveals that diverse individuals have an almost 100% higher probability of choosing to have a child at the age of 30. Furthermore, they exhibit an approximate 80% increased likelihood of opting for parental leave. This underscores that, for almost all individuals, the utility function value resembling that proposed by Blundell et al. (2018) is greater when choosing to have children compared to not having them. In the top right graph, the red dashed line represents the average time allocated to childcare by different individuals. This line shows a significant decrease in maternal childcare time as parental leave ends and the child starts attending nursery school at age two. Subsequently, childcare time increases from the child's age of 7, corresponding to the end of nursery school usage. On the other hand, the blue dashed line illustrates maternal working hours. Notably, there is a significant reduction in working hours primarily during the first year of childbearing, followed by a plateau in working hours. This observation suggests that the increase in working hours mainly compensates for the decrease in childcare hours due to nursery school attendance.


The labor earnings depicted in the lower left graphs are calculated based on gender and educational background-specific labor productivity data sourced from the Basic Survey on Wage Structure Statistics, as detailed in the previous section. Following childbirth, women work approximately six hours per day, shorter than the eight hours per day for men. As a result, female earnings, represented by the red dashed line, show a relatively steady trajectory as age advances. In contrast, male labor earnings consistently rise until the age of 55, regardless of having children. These computational findings align with the empirical analysis of the "child penalty" phenomenon, which has recently gained attention among labor economists (e.g., [Kleven et al. \(2019b\)](#)).

In the lower right graph, the accumulation of household assets is visualized. While assets peak at age 65, they remain relatively stable until age 70. This stability is mainly attributed to pension disbursements and interest income from assets.


Moving to the scenario where both married couples are "high school graduates" and do not use a nursery school, as presented in Panel (b), we conduct a concise examination. The subsequent section will further elaborate on the impact of higher education levels on the life cycle. For

Figure 5: Heat Map of Decision of Heterogenous Agents by Ages and Assets


(a) Labor Time of Women



(b) Childcare Time of Mother Using Nursery



(c) Decision of Parental Leave of Mother



(d) Distribution of Asset of Family





Figure 6: Average of Decision of Heterogenous Agents by Ages

(a) College Graduate Parents Using Nursery



(b) High-School Graduate Parents Not Using Nursery



now, we briefly examine the patterns. The allocation of time spent exhibits a notable similarity to that observed among college graduates. Although differences in income and asset accumulation are noticeable, the age-related trends align with those identified in the college graduates' scenario. Thus, it appears that the influence of income disparity due to varying educational levels on maternal time allocation would have a negligible impact.

5.3 Model Validation for Female Time Allocation

Figure 7 presents the computed working hours, parenting hours, and leisure time from the model, compared with the aggregated data from the Survey on Time Use and Leisure Activities, as explained in Section 2 (indicated by the red line with markers). Panel (a) examines the situation of a married couple with a college degree who use a nursery, while panel (b) focuses on a married couple with a high school degree and no nursery use.

The first row of graphs in Panels (a) and (b) displays the data for market work hours (shown by the dashed red line with marker "X") and the total hours combining market work and housework (depicted by the solid red line with marker "O"). The model-calculated working hours (solid blue line) align significantly with the data. These calculated hours fall between the market work hours and the total combined work hours after the age of 30.


Moving to the second row of graphs in Panel (a), it becomes apparent that when a nursery is used, the model-estimated parenting time falls below the data when the child is between two and four years old (and the parent is between 33 and 35 years old). Subsequently, the model-projected parenting time surpasses the data by around two hours (indicated by the red marker "X"). In contrast, in Panel (b), where nursery school attendance is absent, the parenting time predicted by the model exceeds the corresponding data throughout the child's journey to adulthood.

5.4 Model Validation for Earning and Child Penalty

To validate the model conclusively, we will perform a comparative analysis of women's post-childbirth labor earnings in scenarios where they choose to work or not, simulating the child penalty. To enable this comparison, we will utilize the findings presented by Komura (2021) as empirical reference points. Illustrated in Figure 8, the horizontal axis represents the years following childbirth. The empirical results, shown by the black dashed line with markers "O," depict a labor earnings decline of about 70 % after childbirth. Seven years later, this value only partially recovers to around 50 %. In contrast, our model, even without considering nursery services, demonstrates a income reduction of only 40 % from the second year, followed by a swift recovery from the third year onwards. To explain the notable difference between our model and empirical data, potential contributing factors include (1) women transitioning to lower-paying roles with greater work-hour flexibility due to career changes, (2) wage structures reflecting gender bias by employers, and (3) entrenched gender norms, as suggested by the survey conducted by Cortés

Figure 7: Model Validation for Female Time Allocation

(a) College Graduate Parents Using Nursery



(b) High-School Graduate Parents Not Using Nursery





Figure 8: Comparison between Model and data of Child Penalty



and Pan (2023).

6 Policy Simulations

In this section, we present the findings from four types of simulations conducted using the calibrated model, as depicted in Figures 9 and 10. Similar to Section 5, we compute the likelihood of childbirth, the likelihood of taking parental leave (PL), female time allocation, and disparities in labor earnings and assets across the life cycle within the existing PL program, where the income replacement rate of PL is maintained at 50%. In the first two simulations, we explore (1) differences between parents with high school and college education levels, and (2) disparities based on the use of nursery schools. These simulations provide a valuable opportunity to validate shifts in household behavior and income over their life course in light of a new governmental policy. The subsequent two counterfactual simulations are directly related to the new governmental policy. The third simulation illustrates changes in the household life cycle resulting from an increase in the income replacement rate for PL benefits, rising from 50% to 75%. The fourth simulation calculates the adjustments resulting from a 10% increase in labor earnings for both spouses throughout the life cycle.

6.1 Effects of College Graduation

To commence, our analysis aims to investigate the impact of higher education levels. We achieve this by contrasting outcomes between parents with high school diplomas and college degrees across various age groups. Panel (a) of Figure 9 illustrates the differences for college graduates

compared to high school graduates. Notably, the contrast in educational attainment reflects disparities in labor productivity, as shown in Figure 4. Essentially, due to the enhanced labor returns associated with a college degree, households with college graduates demonstrate higher labor earnings throughout the life cycle.

The top left graph depicts variations in the likelihood of childbirth and the probability of taking parental leave. This graph highlights that the probability of childbirth (represented by the blue solid line) is approximately one percentage point higher for college graduates than for their high school counterparts in their 30s. Simultaneously, the probability of taking parental leave (indicated by the red dashed line) is about eight percentage points greater for college graduates. Shifting our focus to maternal time allocation, the top right graph illustrates differences in the allocation of a wife's time for work and childcare. It becomes evident that college graduates increase their commitment to childcare (red dashed line) by roughly 20 minutes (0.3 hours) more per day compared to high school graduates upon the birth of their child. In contrast, they reduce their working hours (blue solid line) by approximately 40 minutes (0.6 hours) more per day than high school graduates.

The bottom left plot reveals disparities in the labor income profiles of husbands and wives. Regarding labor earnings, wives with college degrees experience an increase of over 30 % throughout their lives. Likewise, when both spouses within a marriage hold college degrees, there is a gradual rise from 20 % at age 30 to 50 % at age 50. This pattern arises due to husbands' labor earnings being significantly influenced by the seniority system tied to their age. The bottom right graph illustrates variations in household asset profiles. The notable contrast in labor earnings based on educational background is reflected in household assets, which grow from 2.5 % before age 30 to over 30 % after age 45.

In summary, the key findings presented above can be distilled as follows: College graduates, benefiting from higher incomes, demonstrate a higher likelihood of choosing childbirth and parental leave, resulting in temporary income reductions compared to high school graduates. Moreover, the partners of college graduates display fewer working hours and allocate more time to childcare.


6.2 Effects of Using Nursery

We delve into the influence of incorporating nursery schools within the context of parents with college degrees. Panel (b) of Figure 9 illustrates the contrast between the calibrated outcomes when nursery schools are utilized and when they are not. The graph demonstrates that while the likelihood of childbirth decreases by around 1.5 percentage points, the probability of taking parental leave remains unchanged with the inclusion of nursery school services. Notably, between ages 32 and 35, the introduction of nursery schools leads to a reduction of over two hours of childcare time per day, accompanied by a substantial increase in working hours.


Regarding labor earnings, the utilization of nursery schools results in an increase of nearly 20 percentage points in the earnings of college-educated wives during their early 30s, as opposed

Figure 9: Current Policy Evaluations

(a) Effects of College Graduation



(b) Effects of Using Nursery



to not using them. Consequently, household income sees an enhancement of approximately 5 percentage points. In terms of household assets, the intensified working hours of parents when their child attends preschool contribute to a slightly greater accumulation of assets through the adoption of nursery school services.

6.3 Effects of RR 25% Up of PL

In the following sections, we conduct a counterfactual analysis by assuming a significant increase in household income. The initial simulation focuses on the changes in household behavior resulting from raising the parental leave benefit from 50 % to 75 %. This adjustment results in a 25-percentage-point decrease in income loss during the parental leave period compared to the current program.

Panel (a) of Figure 10 presents the contrast between calibrated outcomes after the policy change and those before it. The top left graph illustrates that the probability of taking parental leave increases by approximately 20 percentage points due to the enhanced parental leave benefit, while the likelihood of childbirth remains unchanged. Moreover, the rise in parental leave benefits and the resulting higher likelihood of taking parental leave contribute to a maximum increase of around 30 minutes (0.5 hours) in childcare time during the early 30s, accompanied by a reduction of about 1.5 hours in working time. Additionally, in line with the increased probability of taking parental leave, women's average earnings are lower by approximately 25 percentage points, leading to a decline of around ten percentage points in household earnings within this period.

6.4 Effects of Wage 10% Up permanently


Finally, we examine the changes in agent behavior resulting from a permanent 10 % increase in the incomes of both spouses throughout their life cycle. Panel (b) of Figure 10 depicts the contrast between calibrated outcomes after the 10 % income increase and those before it. The top left graph illustrates that the probability of taking parental leave increases by around 2.5 percentage points due to the augmented parental leave benefit. At the same time, there is no significant change in the likelihood of childbirth. Shifting the focus to alterations in the wife's time allocation, childcare time experiences a maximum increase of six minutes (0.1 hours), while labor time slightly decreases by 12 minutes (0.2 hours). These results suggest that as their income steadily rises, wives allocate less time to leisure activities and more time to childcare. The trajectory of household assets also follows the pattern of increased earnings.

7 Conclusion


By extending the life cycle model introduced by [Blundell et al. \(2018\)](#) to a more specific framework that focuses on diverse child ages spanning from birth to adulthood, our objective is to provide

Figure 10: Counterfactual Policy Evaluations

(a) Effects of RR 25% Up for Parental Leave



(b) Effects of Wage 10% Up



a detailed analysis. And also we aim to comprehend the preferences for time allocation among couples, particularly wives, across various life stages, utilizing aggregated Japanese data.

We utilized parameter values estimated by [Blundell et al. \(2018\)](#), validating their suitability by calibrating them against the Survey on Time Use and Leisure Activities in Japan. This dataset encompasses responses from approximately 200,000 participants in Japan. Additionally, we employed the Wage Structure Survey to explore how income disparities related to education impact time allocation. Employing a model aligned with this dataset, we conducted policy simulations to quantitatively assess the impact of income compensation for parental leave on childcare time and the effects of nursery school utilization on parental working hours and leisure time.

Our calibration results provide the following insights. The computed outcome from our model corresponds to the 70 % reduction in maternal earnings observed during childbirth, commonly referred to as the "child penalty." However, disparities between our model's results and empirical data become apparent in the subsequent recovery phase of maternal earnings. This inconsistency becomes more pronounced after three years of childbirth, reaching a peak discrepancy of around 40 %. Thus, the pace of earnings decline, as indicated by the concept of the child penalty, seems to be linked to mothers' reduced involvement in the labor market, particularly in the period from birth to around two years when they allocate a larger portion of their time to childcare responsibilities. On the other hand, the decline in maternal earnings beyond the third year post-childbirth cannot be solely attributed to a reduction in working hours due to childcare duties.

In comparison to scenarios involving high school graduation, cases where a married couple holds a college education show an eight percent increase in women's utilization of parental leave, accompanied by a reduction of approximately 20 minutes per day in working hours. In contrast, the utilization of nursery schools leads to an average rise of about two hours in women's voluntary working hours, stemming from a concurrent reduction in childcare hours. However, this also results in a decrease of around 0.25 % in women's utilization of parental leave. Notably, a 25 % increase in the income replacement rate for parental leave translates to an almost 20 % uptake in parental leave, while a consistent 10 % increase in wages results in a 2.5 % rise. Another significant finding is that increased family assets are associated with reduced female working hours and increased childcare hours.

References

- Aguiar, Mark and Erik Hurst (2007) "Measuring Trends in Leisure: The Allocation of Time over Five Decades," *Q.J.E.*, 122 (3), 969–1006.
- Aguiar, Mark, Erik Hurst, and Loukas Karabarbounis (2013) "Time Use during the Great Recession," *A.E.R.*, 103 (5), 1664–96.
- Albanesi, Stefania and Claudia Olivetti (2007) "Home production, market production and the gender wage gap: Incentives and expectations," *Review of Economic Dynamics*, 12 (1), 80–107.
- (2016) "Gender Roles and Medical Progress," *Journal of Political Economy*, 124 (3), 650–695.

- Albanesi, Stefania, Claudia Olivetti, and Barbara Petrongolo (2023) "Families, Labor markets and Policy," in *Handbook of the Economics of the Family*, 1, Chap. 5, 255–326: Elsevier.
- Almas, Ingvild, Orazio Attanasio, and Pedro Carneiro (2023) "Household decisions and intra-household distributions," in *Handbook of the Economics of the Family*, 1, Chap. 3, 255–326: Elsevier.
- Becker, Gary S. (1965) "A Theory of the Allocation of Time," *Econ. J.*, 75 (299), 493–517.
- Bertrand, Marianne (2020) "Gender in the Twenty-First Century," *AEA Papers and Proceedings*, 110, 1–24.
- Bertrand, Marianne, Patricia Cortés, Claudia Olivetti, and Jessica Pan (2021) "Social Norms, Labor Market Opportunities, and the Marriage Gap for Skilled Women," *Review of Economic Studies*, 88 (4), 1936–1978.
- Blundell, M. C. Dias C. Meghir, R. and J. Shaw (2016) "Female labor supply, human capital, and welfare reform," *Econometrica*, 84 (5), 1705–1753.
- Blundell, R., L. Pistaferri, and I. Saporta-Eksten (2016) "Consumption inequality and family labor supply," *American Economic Review*, 106 (2), 387–435.
- Blundell, Richard, Monica Costa-Dias, David Goll, and Costas Meghir (2021) "Wages, Experience, and Training of Women over the Life Cycle," *Journal of Labor Economics*, 39 (S1), S275–S315.
- Blundell, Richard, Luigi Pistaferri, and Itay Saporta-Eksten (2018) "Children, Time Allocation, and Consumption Insurance," *Journal of Political Economy*, 126 (S1), S73–S115.
- Braun, R. Anton, Daisuke Ikeda, and Douglas H. Joines (2006) "Saving and Interest Rates in Japan: Why They Have Fallen and Why They Will Remain Low," *Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco, Working Paper Series*, 1–33.
- Campaña, J.C., J.I. Gimenez-Nadal, and Velilla (2023) "Measuring Gender Gaps in Time Allocation in Europe," *Soc Indic Res*, 165, 519–553.
- Cortés, Patricia and Jessica Pan (2023) "Children and the Remaining Gender Gaps in the Labor Market," *Journal of Economic Literature*, forthcoming.
- Ghez, Gilbert and Gary S. Becker (1975) in *The Allocation of Time and Goods over the Life Cycle*: Columbia Univ. Press (for NBER).
- Greenwood, Jeremy (2018) in *Evolving Households*: MIT press.
- Greenwood, Jeremy, Nezh Guner, Georgi Kocharkov, and Cezar Santos (2016) "Technology and the changing family: a unified model of marriage, divorce, educational attainment, and married female labor-force participation," *American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics*, 8 (1), 1–41.
- Greenwood, Jeremy, Nezh Guner, and Ricardo Marto (2023) "The great transition: Kuznets facts for family-economists," in *Handbook of the Economics of the Family*, 1, Chap. 7, 255–326: Elsevier.
- Hansen, Gary D. (1993) "The Cyclical and Secular Behaviour of the Labour Input: Comparing Efficiency Units and Hours Worked," *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 8 (1), 71–80.
- Kawaguchi, Daiji and Junko Miyazaki (2009) "Working mothers and sons' preferences regarding female labor supply: Direct evidence from stated preferences," *Journal of Population Economics*, 22 (1), 115–130.
- Kawaguchi, Daiji and Takahiro Toriyabe (2022) "Measurements of Skill and Skill-use using PIAAC," *Labour Economics*, 78 (3), 969–1006.
- Kirkby, Robert (2017) "A Toolkit for Value Function Iteration," *Computational Economics*, 49 (1), 1–15.
- (2022) "An Introduction to Life-Cycle Models," Working Paper.
- Kitao, Sagiri and Kanato Nakakuni (2023) "On the Trends of Technology, Family Formation, and Women's Time Allocations."
- Kleven, Henrik, Camille Landais, and Gabriel Leite-Mariante (2023) "The Child Penalty Atlas," *mimeo*.
- Kleven, Henrik, Camille Landais, Johanna Posch, Andreas Steinhauer, and Josef Zweimuller (2019a) "Child Penalties across Countries: Evidence and Explanations," *AEA Papers and Proceedings*, 109, 122–126.
- Kleven, Henrik, Camille Landais, and Jakob Egholt Sogaard (2019b) "Children and Gender Inequality: Evidence from Denmark," *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 11 (4), 181–209.

- Kobayashi, Mayu, Miki Kobayashi, Tsunao Okumura, and Emiko Usui (2016) "Sharing Housework between Husbands and Wives: How to Improve Marital Satisfaction for Working Wives in Japan," *IZA Journal of Labor Policy*, 5 (18).
- Kobayashi, Miki and Emiko Usui (2017) "Breastfeeding practices and parental employment in Japan," *Review of Economics of the Household*, 15 (2).
- Molina, Teresa and Emiko Usui (2023) "Female Labor Market Opportunities and Gender Gaps in Aspirations," *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 211, 165–187.
- Ramey, Garey and Valerie A. Ramey (2010) "The Rug Rat Race," *Brookings Papers Econ. Activity*, 1 (1), 129–76.
- Yamada, Tomoaki (2011) "A Politically Feasible Social Security Reform with a Two-Tier Structure," *Journal of the Japanese and International Economies*, 25 (3), 199–224.
- Yamaguchi, Shintaro (2019) "Effects of Parental Leave Policies on Female Career and Fertility Choices," *Quantitative Economics*, 10 (3), 1195–1232.