

SHARP BOUNDS FOR THE FIRST TWO EIGENVALUES OF AN EXTERIOR STEKLOV EIGENVALUE PROBLEM

CHANGWEI XIONG

ABSTRACT. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 3$) be an exterior Euclidean domain with smooth boundary ∂U . We consider the Steklov eigenvalue problem on U . First we derive a sharp lower bound for the first eigenvalue in terms of the support function and the distance function to the origin of ∂U . Second under various geometric conditions on ∂U we obtain sharp upper bounds for the first eigenvalue. Along the proof, we get a sharp upper bound for the capacity of ∂U when $n = 3$ and ∂U is connected. Last we also discuss an upper bound for the second eigenvalue.

1. INTRODUCTION

The investigation on various eigenvalue problems is one of the most important and extensively-studied topics in the fields of the differential geometry, partial differential equations, etc. See e.g. the excellent surveys [3, 7, 14, 23] on different types of eigenvalue problems. In this paper we are concerned with an exterior Steklov eigenvalue problem in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 3$). The classical (interior) Steklov eigenvalue problem has received considerable attention since it was introduced by Steklov [30] around 1900; see [18] for a historical introduction and [14] for a specialized review. In contrast, the exterior Steklov eigenvalue problem has not been much studied; see e.g. [4, 22] for some results on it. However, besides in the differential geometry and partial differential equations, the exterior Steklov eigenvalue problem also plays an indispensable role in the potential theory, the mathematical physics, the functional analysis etc. So we believe it is desirable to contribute and draw more attention to this eigenvalue problem.

To describe the exterior Steklov eigenvalue problem let us first set the context. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 3$) be an exterior domain in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n . Namely, U is a non-empty connected open set in \mathbb{R}^n such that $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus U$ is non-empty and compact. Suppose further that the origin $O \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{U}$ and

Date: April 25, 2023.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35P15, 58C40.

Key words and phrases. Exterior Euclidean domain; Steklov eigenvalue problem; Capacity.

This research was supported by National Key R and D Program of China 2021YFA1001800, NSFC Grant no. 12171334, and the funding (no. 1082204112549) from Sichuan University.

the boundary ∂U is the union of finitely many disjoint, closed, Lipschitz hypersurfaces, each of finite hypersurface area.

We consider the following exterior Steklov eigenvalue problem:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta\varphi = 0, & \text{in } U, \\ -\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\nu} = \xi\varphi, & \text{on } \partial U, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where ν is the unit normal vector along ∂U pointing into U and φ belongs to the space $E^1(U)$ of functions on U having finite energy. We refer to Section 2 for the precise definition for $E^1(U)$. This eigenvalue problem is well-posed and has a discrete spectrum:

$$0 < \xi_1 \leq \xi_2 \leq \cdots \nearrow +\infty.$$

The variational characterization for ξ_i ($i \geq 1$) reads

$$\xi_i = \inf_{\substack{\varphi \in E^1(U) \\ \int_{\partial U} \varphi \varphi_j da = 0, j=1,2,\dots,i-1}} \frac{\int_U |\nabla\varphi|^2 dx}{\int_{\partial U} \varphi^2 da}, \quad (1.2)$$

where φ_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, i-1$) are the first $(i-1)$ eigenfunctions. For the analytic setting and basic properties of the eigenvalue problem (1.1), we refer to the work [4].

In this paper we first derive the following sharp lower bound for the first eigenvalue ξ_1 .

Theorem 1. *Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 3$) be an exterior domain in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n with C^1 boundary. Suppose the origin $O \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{U}$. Then there holds*

$$\xi_1 \geq (n-2) \min_{\partial U} \frac{\langle x, \nu \rangle}{|x|^2}, \quad (1.3)$$

with the equality if and only if U is the exterior domain of some centered ball B_R ($R > 0$), i.e., $U = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{B_R}$.

Remark 2. The bound (1.3) is meaningful only when the boundary ∂U is star-shaped with respect to the origin, i.e., $\langle x, \nu \rangle > 0$ on ∂U . And if the boundary is only Lipschitz, the lower bound becomes (cf. Theorem 13 below)

$$\xi_1 \geq (n-2) \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\partial U} \frac{\langle x, \nu \rangle}{|x|^2}.$$

In view of the variational characterization (1.2) for ξ_1 , we get the following Poincaré–trace inequality.

Corollary 3. *Assumptions are as in Theorem 1. There holds*

$$\int_U |\nabla\varphi|^2 dx \geq (n-2) \min_{\partial U} \frac{\langle x, \nu \rangle}{|x|^2} \int_{\partial U} \varphi^2 da, \quad \varphi \in E^1(U), \quad (1.4)$$

with the equality if and only if U is the exterior domain of some centered ball B_R ($R > 0$), i.e., $U = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{B_R}$, and φ is its first exterior Steklov eigenfunction.

To the best of our knowledge, Theorem 1 is the first lower bound for the first exterior Steklov eigenvalue. We note a remark by L. E. Payne in [23], “Upper bounds for physically interesting eigenvalues are usually not difficult to obtain, but in most cases lower bounds are far more important” (Page 461 in [23]). In light of his remark, we hope our Theorem 1 would motivate more studies on the lower bounds of the exterior Steklov eigenvalues. As for the proof of Theorem 1, our method is inspired by the work [25] where nice lower bounds for the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of elliptic operators were derived. Two main ingredients in our proof are the variational characterization (1.2) for ξ_1 and a suitably chosen vector field on \bar{U} .

Second we shall obtain the following sharp upper bounds for the first eigenvalue ξ_1 .

Theorem 4. *Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 3$) be an exterior domain with smooth boundary.*

(1) *If ∂U is convex, then*

$$\xi_1 \leq \frac{1}{|\partial U|} \frac{1}{\int_0^\infty (\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{\partial U} \sigma_i da \cdot t^i)^{-1} dt}, \quad (1.5)$$

where σ_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$) denotes the i th mean curvature of the boundary (e.g., σ_1 is the summation of the principal curvatures of the boundary).

(2) *If ∂U is star-shaped with respect to the origin (i.e., the support function $\langle x, \nu \rangle > 0$), then*

$$\xi_1 \leq \frac{n-2}{|\partial U|} \int_{\partial U} \langle x, \nu \rangle^{-1} da. \quad (1.6)$$

(3) *If ∂U is mean convex and outer-minimizing (i.e., ∂U minimizes area among all hypersurfaces homologous to ∂U in U), then*

$$\xi_1 \leq \frac{n-2}{(n-1)|\partial U|} \int_{\partial U} \sigma_1 da. \quad (1.7)$$

(4) *If ∂U is mean convex and star-shaped, then*

$$\xi_1 \leq \frac{n-2}{(n-1)|\partial U|} \int_{\partial U} \sigma_1 da. \quad (1.8)$$

(5) *If $n = 3$ and $\Sigma = \partial U$ is connected, then*

$$\xi_1 \leq \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{|\Sigma|}} \frac{\sqrt{\frac{\int_{\Sigma} H^2 da}{16\pi} - 1}}{\operatorname{arsinh} \sqrt{\frac{\int_{\Sigma} H^2 da}{16\pi} - 1}}, \quad H := \sigma_1. \quad (1.9)$$

(6) *If ∂U is only smooth, then*

$$\xi_1 \leq (n-2) \left(\frac{\int_{\partial U} |\sigma_1 / (n-1)|^{(2n-3)/(n-1)} da}{|\partial U|} \right)^{(n-1)/(2n-3)}. \quad (1.10)$$

Moreover, the equality holds in (1.5), (1.7), (1.8), (1.9) or (1.10) if and only if U is the exterior domain of a round ball; the equality holds in (1.6) if and only if U is the exterior domain of a round ball centered at the origin.

The proof for Theorem 4 is the combination of the observation

$$\xi_1 \leq \frac{\text{Cap}(\partial U)}{|\partial U|} \quad (1.11)$$

by Payne [22], and various estimates for the electrostatic capacity $\text{Cap}(\partial U)$ for the boundary ∂U . Here the electrostatic capacity of ∂U is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cap}(\partial U) &:= \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla f|^2 dx : f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), f \geq 1 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus U \right\} \\ &= \int_U |\nabla u|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

where the infimum is achieved by the so-called electrostatic capacity potential $u \in E^1(U)$, i.e., the unique solution in $E^1(U)$ of the PDE

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = 0, & \text{in } U, \\ u = 1, & \text{on } \partial U. \end{cases}$$

We collect known estimates for $\text{Cap}(\partial U)$ in Theorem 14 of Section 4. Our new estimate for $\text{Cap}(\partial U)$ is in Theorem 16. For the proof of Theorem 16, we employ a classical approach which may go back to [24, 31] and has been applied successfully in some papers, e.g., in [8, 12, 21, 35–37]. In particular, we mainly follow the argument in Bray and Miao's [8] where the weak inverse mean curvature flow developed by Huisken and Ilmanen and the monotonicity of the Hawking mass of the evolving surfaces along this flow [15] comprise two of the key tools. The proof of our Theorem 16 relies on the introduction of a modified Hawking mass of the evolving surfaces which is inspired by the work [16].

Next, for the second exterior Steklov eigenvalue ξ_2 , we obtain the following upper bound by use of good test functions in the min-max variational characterization for ξ_2 . Our result generalizes the corresponding one in Payne's [22] for the 3-dimensional case to the higher dimensional case.

Theorem 5. *Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 3$) be an exterior domain with smooth boundary. Assume that the virtual mass potential $w[e]$ (see (6.1) for its definition) in the direction $e \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ satisfies*

$$\int_{\partial U} w[e] da = 0, \quad \forall e \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}. \quad (1.12)$$

Then we have

$$\xi_2 \leq \max \left\{ \frac{\text{Cap}(\partial U)}{|\partial U|}, \frac{(n-1)|\partial U|}{nV} \right\}, \quad (1.13)$$

where $\text{Cap}(\partial U)$ is the electrostatic capacity of ∂U and V denotes the volume of the set $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus U$.

Remark 6. The virtual mass corresponding to the domain U is an important physical quantity; see e.g. [2, 28] for an introduction. The assumption (1.12) indicates some kind of symmetry of the domain U . We do not know exactly for which domains it is satisfied. See Remark 19 for a further comment on it.

When ∂U is mean convex and star-shaped, it was proved in [36] (see Theorem 14 in Section 4) that

$$\text{Cap}(\partial U) \leq \frac{n-2}{n-1} \int_{\partial U} \sigma_1 da. \quad (1.14)$$

If we assume further that ∂U is convex, then we are allowed to use the Alexandrov–Fenchel inequality (see [29])

$$\frac{n}{n-1} \int_{\partial U} \sigma_1 da \leq \frac{|\partial U|^2}{V}. \quad (1.15)$$

Therefore by combining (1.14), (1.15), Theorem 5 and Theorem 4, we immediately conclude the following sharp upper bounds for ξ_1 and ξ_2 .

Corollary 7. *Assumptions are as in Theorem 5. Suppose further that ∂U is convex. Then we have*

$$\xi_1 \leq \frac{(n-2)|\partial U|}{nV}, \quad \xi_2 \leq \frac{(n-1)|\partial U|}{nV}. \quad (1.16)$$

Both equalities hold if U is the exterior domain of a round ball.

Remark 8. When $n = 3$, Corollary 7 can be obtained by combining Payne’s work [22] and Schiffer’s work [27]. But for $n \geq 4$, new techniques, e.g. the inverse mean curvature flow (see [13, 32]), are required first to derive (1.14), in order to prove Corollary 7.

Remark 9. It is worth mentioning that (1.16) was conjectured to be true for any smooth exterior domain U by Payne in [22].

Last, we give some remarks on the problem to get sharp upper bounds for ξ_1 , towards the conjecture mentioned in Remark 9. The natural idea is to use suitable test functions in the variational characterization for ξ_1 , i.e.,

$$\xi_1 \leq \frac{\int_U |\nabla f|^2 dx}{\int_{\partial U} f^2 da}, \quad f \in E^1(U). \quad (1.17)$$

Let $\Omega := \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{U}$. Assume the origin $O \in \Omega$. Then the first candidate is

$$f_1(x) = \frac{1}{|x|^{n-2}}. \quad (1.18)$$

The second candidate is the gravitational potential for Ω , i.e.,

$$f_2(x) = -\frac{1}{(n-2)\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|y-x|^{n-2}} dy, \quad (1.19)$$

where $\omega_{n-1} = |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|$. The third candidate is the single layer potential for $\partial\Omega$, i.e.,

$$f_3(x) = -\frac{1}{(n-2)\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{1}{|y-x|^{n-2}} dy. \quad (1.20)$$

Note that all f_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) are harmonic outside Ω and have the right decay rate at ∞ . Moreover, when Ω is a Euclidean ball, all of them are indeed the first Steklov eigenfunctions. So the question is how to estimate the Rayleigh quotient in (1.17) for f_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$).

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we review the setting of the exterior Steklov eigenvalue problem and discuss the case of the exterior domain of a round ball. In Section 3 we prove the lower bound for the first eigenvalue. In Section 4 we obtain the various upper bounds for the first eigenvalue. In the next Section 5 we discuss an upper bound for the second eigenvalue. In the last section we present some auxiliary and related results used in the paper.

2. PRELIMINARIES

For the setting of the exterior Steklov eigenvalue problem, we mainly follow the work [4].

Let U be an exterior domain in \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 3$). In other words, U is a non-empty, open, connected set in \mathbb{R}^n such that its complement $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus U$ is a non-empty compact set. Moreover, we assume that the origin $O \in \Omega := \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{U}$ and the boundary ∂U is the union of finitely many disjoint, closed, Lipschitz hypersurfaces, each of finite hypersurface area. Let u be a Lebesgue measurable extended real-valued function on U . We say that u *decays at infinity* if for each $c > 0$, the set

$$S_c(u) := \{x \in U : |u(x)| \geq c\}$$

has finite Lebesgue measure. Furthermore, we say that u has *finite energy*, if u decays at infinity, $u \in L^1(U_R)$ for each $R > R_b$ and $|\nabla u| \in L^2(U)$. Here $U_R := U \cap B_R$ and $R_b := \sup\{|x| : x \in \partial U\}$ with B_R denoting the Euclidean ball of the radius R . Now we define $E^1(U)$ to be the set of all functions having finite energy on U . The set $E^1(U)$ is the main space of functions we will use in this paper.

Next we introduce the harmonic function in $E^1(U)$. A function $u \in E^1(U)$ is called *harmonic* if it satisfies

$$\int_U \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi dx = 0$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c^1(U)$. Let $\mathcal{H}(U)$ be the subspace of $E^1(U)$ of all harmonic functions. In [4, Section 12] and [4, Section 5], respectively, the existence and uniqueness of solutions to a harmonic Neumann problem and a harmonic Dirichlet problem, respectively, on U is proved. For later use, we state them here as follows.

Proposition 10 (Thm. 12.1 in [4]). *For any $\eta \in L^q(\partial U)$ with $q \geq 2(n-1)/n$, there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{H}(U)$ such that $\nabla_\nu u = \eta$ on ∂U .*

Proposition 11 (Thm. 5.1 in [4] and the paragraph following it). *For any $f \in H^{1/2}(\partial U)$, there exists a unique $u \in \mathcal{H}(U)$ such that $u = f$ in the sense of traces on ∂U .*

Here the fractional Sobolev space $H^{1/2}(\partial U)$ is defined to be the set of functions $f \in L^2(\partial U)$ satisfying

$$\int_{\partial U} \int_{\partial U} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^2}{|x - y|^n} da(x) da(y) < +\infty.$$

Thanks to the work [4], the eigenvalues of the exterior Steklov problem admit the following variational characterization

$$\xi_i = \inf_{\substack{\varphi \in E^1(U) \\ \int_{\partial U} \varphi \varphi_j da = 0, j=1,2,\dots,i-1}} \frac{\int_U |\nabla \varphi|^2 dx}{\int_{\partial U} \varphi^2 da}, \quad i \geq 1,$$

where φ_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, i-1$) are the first $(i-1)$ eigenfunctions.

Next we discuss the exterior Steklov eigenvalue problem on the special domain, the exterior domain of a Euclidean ball.

Example 1. *For the case of the Euclidean ball, i.e. $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{U} = B_R$ for some $R > 0$, the eigenvalues and the corresponding eigenfunctions can be computed explicitly. In fact, by separation of variables, any exterior Steklov eigenfunction φ can be expressed as $r^{2-n-m} \cdot \omega_m(p)$ in the polar coordinate, where $\omega_m(p)$ ($p \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$) is a spherical harmonic on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} of degree $m \geq 0$.*

For readers' convenience, let us recall some knowledge on spherical harmonics. Given a spherical harmonic ω_m on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} of degree $m \geq 0$, it can be regarded as the restriction on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} of a harmonic homogeneous polynomial $\tilde{\omega}_m$ on \mathbb{R}^n of the same degree m . For each $m \geq 0$, let \mathcal{D}_m be the space of harmonic homogeneous polynomials on \mathbb{R}^n of degree m and μ_m be the dimension of \mathcal{D}_m . For example, we know

$$\mathcal{D}_0 = \text{span}\{1\}, \quad \mu_0 = 1,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_1 = \text{span}\{x_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}, \quad \mu_1 = n,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_2 = \text{span}\{x_i x_j, x_1^2 - x_k^2, 1 \leq i < j \leq n, 2 \leq k \leq n\}, \quad \mu_2 = \frac{n^2 + n - 2}{2},$$

and $\mu_m = C_{n+m-1}^{n-1} - C_{n+m-3}^{n-1}$ for $m \geq 2$. See [5] for basic facts concerning \mathcal{D}_m and μ_m . For a spherical harmonic ω_m on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} of degree $m \geq 0$, one of its basic properties is that $-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \omega_m = \tau_m \omega_m$ with $\tau_m = m(n-2+m)$.

Therefore, back to our exterior Steklov problem for $U = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{B_R}$, we can list its first $(n+1)$ eigenvalues and their corresponding eigenfunctions as

follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_1 &= \frac{n-2}{R}, & \varphi_1(x) &= \frac{1}{|x|^{n-2}}, \\ \xi_2 = \cdots = \xi_{n+1} &= \frac{n-1}{R}, & \varphi_i(x) &= \frac{x_{i-1}}{|x|^n}, \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, n+1.\end{aligned}$$

Using the above example, we can get the following useful fact concerning the decay rate of functions in $\mathcal{H}(U)$.

Proposition 12. *Let $u \in \mathcal{H}(U)$. Then we have the decay rate for $u(x)$ and its derivatives*

$$u(x) = O(|x|^{2-n}), \quad \nabla^k u(x) = O(|x|^{2-n-k}), \quad k \geq 1, \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. Take a large ball B_R containing the boundary ∂U of the domain U . As in the above example, let $\{\varphi_i(x)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be the family of the exterior Steklov eigenfunctions for $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{B_R}$ such that its restriction on ∂B_R forms an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\partial B_R)$, i.e.,

$$\int_{\partial B_R} \varphi_i \varphi_j da = \delta_{ij}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots \quad (2.2)$$

Then we can decompose the restriction on ∂B_R of $u \in \mathcal{H}(U)$ as

$$u|_{\partial B_R} = \sum_{k \geq 1} c_k \varphi_k|_{\partial B_R}, \quad c_k \in \mathbb{R}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

We claim that

$$u = \sum_{k \geq 1} c_k \varphi_k, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R.$$

In fact, both u and $\sum_{k \geq 1} c_k \varphi_k$ lie in $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{B_R})$, and they admit the same boundary value on ∂B_R . Then Proposition 11 implies the claim.

By the claim and the expressions of φ_i ($i \geq 1$) in the above example, the conclusion follows. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

In this section we first prove a more general result.

Theorem 13. *Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 3$) be an exterior domain in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n with Lipschitz boundary. Let ξ_1 be the first exterior Steklov eigenvalue and φ a corresponding eigenfunction. Assume that the vector field $\vec{P}(x)$ consisting of n smooth functions $P_i(x)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, on \overline{U} satisfies*

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial B_R} \langle \varphi^2 \vec{P}, \nu \rangle da = 0, \quad (3.1)$$

where B_R denotes the centered ball with the radius R , and

$$\operatorname{div} \vec{P} - |\vec{P}|^2 \geq 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Then we have

$$\xi_1 \geq \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\partial U} \langle \vec{P}, \nu \rangle.$$

Proof. First we note

$$\xi_1 \int_{\partial U} \varphi^2 da = \int_U |\nabla \varphi|^2 dx.$$

For the vector field $\vec{P}(x)$ on \bar{U} , we get by use of the divergence theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_1 \int_{\partial U} \varphi^2 da - \int_{\partial U} \varphi^2 \langle \vec{P}, \nu \rangle da &= \int_U |\nabla \varphi|^2 dx - \int_{\partial U} \varphi^2 \langle \vec{P}, \nu \rangle da \\ &= \int_U |\nabla \varphi|^2 dx + \int_{B_R \setminus \bar{\Omega}} \operatorname{div}(\varphi^2 \vec{P}) dx - \int_{\partial B_R} \langle \varphi^2 \vec{P}, \nu \rangle da, \end{aligned}$$

where B_R is a ball containing $\bar{\Omega}$ and recall $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{U}$.

Using (3.1) and the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we see

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_1 \int_{\partial U} \varphi^2 da - \int_{\partial U} \varphi^2 \langle \vec{P}, \nu \rangle da &= \int_U \left(|\nabla \varphi|^2 + 2 \sum_i P_i \varphi_i \varphi + \operatorname{div} \vec{P} \varphi^2 \right) dx \\ &\geq \int_U \left(\operatorname{div} \vec{P} - |\vec{P}|^2 \right) \varphi^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Finally noting the condition (3.2), we finish the proof. \square

Now for the proof of Theorem 1, we choose

$$P_i(x) = (n-2) \frac{x_i}{|x|^2}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Then for (3.1), we see that

$$\int_{\partial B_R} \langle \varphi^2 \vec{P}, \nu \rangle da = (n-2) \frac{1}{R} \int_{\partial B_R} \varphi^2 da \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty,$$

since $\varphi(x) = O(|x|^{2-n})$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ in view of Proposition 12.

Next (3.2) becomes

$$\operatorname{div} \vec{P} - |\vec{P}|^2 = \frac{(n-2)^2}{|x|^2} - \frac{(n-2)^2}{|x|^2} = 0.$$

So we get the inequality in Theorem 1.

Now assume the equality holds. Then from the proof above, we see that $\nabla \varphi = -\varphi \vec{P}$ and $\langle x, \nu \rangle / |x|^2$ is a constant along the boundary ∂U . Then $\varphi(x) = c|x|^{2-n}$, and along ∂U the radial function $|x|$ is constant (considering the maximum and minimum points of $|x|$ on the C^1 boundary ∂U). So we conclude that U is the exterior domain of a centered ball. So we finish the proof of Theorem 1.

4. ESTIMATES FOR THE ELECTROSTATIC CAPACITY AND PROOF OF
THEOREM 4

For the proof of Theorem 4, we employ the following observation by Payne [22]:

$$\xi_1 \leq \frac{\text{Cap}(\partial U)}{|\partial U|}. \quad (4.1)$$

So it suffices to get nice upper bounds for $\text{Cap}(\partial U)$. For that purpose we recall the following results.

Theorem 14. *Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 3$) be an exterior domain with smooth boundary.*

(1) *If ∂U is convex, then ([19, 24, 31, 37])*

$$\text{Cap}(\partial U) \leq \frac{1}{\int_0^\infty (\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{\partial U} \sigma_i da \cdot t^i)^{-1} dt},$$

with the equality if and only if U is the exterior domain of a round ball.

(2) *If ∂U is star-shaped with respect to the origin, then ([19, 21, 24])*

$$\text{Cap}(\partial U) \leq (n-2) \int_{\partial U} \langle x, \nu \rangle^{-1} da, \quad (4.2)$$

with the equality if and only if U is the exterior domain of a round ball centered at the origin.

(3) *If ∂U is mean convex and outer-minimizing, then ([12, Theorem 2 (a)])*

$$\text{Cap}(\partial U) \leq \frac{n-2}{n-1} \int_{\partial U} \sigma_1 da,$$

with the equality if and only if U is the exterior domain of a round ball.

(4) *If ∂U is mean convex and star-shaped, then ([36, Theorem 3.1])*

$$\text{Cap}(\partial U) \leq \frac{n-2}{n-1} \int_{\partial U} \sigma_1 da,$$

with the equality if and only if U is the exterior domain of a round ball.

(5) *If $n = 3$ and ∂U is connected, then ([8, Corollary 2])*

$$\text{Cap}(\partial U) \leq \sqrt{\pi} \sqrt{|\partial U|} \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{\int_{\partial U} H^2 da}{16\pi}} \right), \quad H := \sigma_1, \quad (4.3)$$

with the equality if and only if U is the exterior domain of a round ball.

(6) *If ∂U is only smooth, then ([1, Corollary 4.4])*

$$\text{Cap}(\partial U) \leq (n-2)|\partial U| \left(\frac{\int_{\partial U} |\sigma_1 / (n-1)|^{(2n-3)/(n-1)} da}{|\partial U|} \right)^{(n-1)/(2n-3)},$$

with the equality if and only if U is the exterior domain of a round ball.

Remark 15. By checking the proof of [1, Corollary 4.4], we may conclude

$$\text{Cap}(\partial U) \leq \frac{n-2}{n-1} |\partial U| \max_{\partial U} \sigma_1,$$

with the rigidity statement. Note that here the upper bound involves $\max_{\partial U} \sigma_1$, not $\max_{\partial U} |\sigma_1|$.

In this paper, we provide a new sharp upper bound for $\text{Cap}(\partial U)$ in the case that $n = 3$ and ∂U is connected. Compared with (4.3), our upper bound (4.5) below is better, since there holds the elementary inequality

$$2 \frac{\sqrt{s}}{\text{arsinh} \sqrt{s}} < 1 + \sqrt{s+1}, \quad \forall s > 0. \quad (4.4)$$

Precisely, we prove the following.

Theorem 16. *Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be an exterior domain with smooth boundary. If $\Sigma = \partial U$ is connected, then*

$$\text{Cap}(\partial U) \leq 2(\pi|\Sigma|)^{1/2} \frac{\sqrt{\frac{\int_{\Sigma} H^2 da}{16\pi} - 1}}{\text{arsinh} \sqrt{\frac{\int_{\Sigma} H^2 da}{16\pi} - 1}}, \quad (4.5)$$

with the equality if and only if U is the exterior domain of a round ball.

Remark 17. The right-hand side of (4.5) is understood as a limit when $\int_{\Sigma} H^2 da = 16\pi$. In addition, the Willmore energy $\int_{\Sigma} H^2 da$ of a closed surface $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is known to satisfy

$$\int_{\Sigma} H^2 da \geq 16\pi,$$

with the equality if and only if Σ is a round sphere (see e.g. [11, 26, 34]).

Proof. By [15], there exists a proper, Lipschitz function $\phi \geq 0$ on \overline{U} , called the solution to the weak inverse mean curvature flow with the initial surface Σ , satisfying the following properties:

- (1) The function ϕ has value $\phi|_{\Sigma} = 0$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x) = \infty$. For $t > 0$, the sets $\Sigma_t = \partial\{\phi \geq t\}$ and $\Sigma'_t = \partial\{\phi > t\}$ define two increasing families of $C^{1,\alpha}$ surfaces.
- (2) For $t > 0$, the surfaces Σ_t (Σ'_t , resp.) minimize (strictly minimize, resp.) area among surfaces homologous to Σ_t in the region $\{\phi \geq t\}$. The surface $\Sigma' = \partial\{\phi > 0\}$ strictly minimizes area among surfaces homologous to Σ in U .
- (3) For almost all $t > 0$, the weak mean curvature H of Σ_t is well defined and equals $|\nabla\phi|$, which is positive for almost all $x \in \Sigma_t$.
- (4) For each $t > 0$, the area $|\Sigma_t| = e^t |\Sigma'|$; and $|\Sigma_t| = e^t |\Sigma|$ if Σ is outer-minimizing (i.e., Σ minimizes area among all surfaces homologous to Σ in U).

(5) All the surfaces Σ_t ($t > 0$) remain connected. The Hawking mass

$$m_H(\Sigma_t) = \sqrt{\frac{|\Sigma_t|}{16\pi}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{\Sigma_t} H^2 da_t \right) \quad (4.6)$$

satisfies $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} m_H(\Sigma_t) \geq m_H(\Sigma')$ and its right-lower derivative satisfies (see (5.24) in [15]; but note the misprints on some coefficients there, and the correct coefficients are as in (5.22) in [15])

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{D}_+ m_H(\Sigma_t) &:= \liminf_{s \rightarrow t^+} \frac{m_H(\Sigma_s) - m_H(\Sigma_t)}{s - t} \\ &\geq \sqrt{\frac{|\Sigma_t|}{16\pi}} \frac{1}{16\pi} \left(8\pi - 4\pi\chi(\Sigma_t) + \int_{\Sigma_t} (2|\nabla \log H|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2) da_t \right), \end{aligned}$$

where λ_1 and λ_2 are the weak principal curvatures of Σ_t and $\chi(\Sigma_t)$ is the Euler characteristic of Σ_t . See [15, Section 5] for more details.

Now we define a modified Hawking mass

$$\tilde{m}_H(\Sigma_t) := \sqrt{\frac{|\Sigma_t|}{16\pi}} m_H(\Sigma_t) = \frac{|\Sigma_t|}{16\pi} \left(1 - \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{\Sigma_t} H^2 da_t \right). \quad (4.7)$$

Then we can check $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \tilde{m}_H(\Sigma_t) \geq \tilde{m}_H(\Sigma')$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{D}_+ \tilde{m}_H(\Sigma_t) &= \sqrt{\frac{|\Sigma_t|}{16\pi}} \frac{1}{2} m_H(\Sigma_t) + \sqrt{\frac{|\Sigma_t|}{16\pi}} \underline{D}_+ m_H(\Sigma_t) \\ &\geq \frac{|\Sigma_t|}{(16\pi)^2} \left(\left(8\pi - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_t} H^2 da_t \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 8\pi - 4\pi\chi(\Sigma_t) + \int_{\Sigma_t} (2|\nabla \log H|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2) da_t \right) \\ &= \frac{|\Sigma_t|}{(16\pi)^2} \left(16\pi - 4\pi\chi(\Sigma_t) + \int_{\Sigma_t} (2|\nabla \log H|^2 - 2\lambda_1\lambda_2) da_t \right) \\ &= \frac{|\Sigma_t|}{(16\pi)^2} \left(16\pi - 8\pi\chi(\Sigma_t) + \int_{\Sigma_t} 2|\nabla \log H|^2 da_t \right) \\ &\geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality holds because of the weak Gauss–Bonnet formula (Page 403 in [15]) and the last inequality is due to the fact the surfaces Σ_t remain connected.

Remark 18. The introduction of the modified Hawking mass is inspired by the work [16].

Now we choose the test function $f(x) = \bar{f}(\phi(x))$ for some C^1 function $\bar{f} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\bar{f}(0) = 1$ and $\bar{f}(\infty) = 0$ to be determined. Therefore

$$\text{Cap}(\partial U) \leq \int_U |\nabla f|^2 dx = \int_U (\bar{f}'(\phi(x)))^2 |\nabla \phi|^2 dx.$$

Using the co-area formula, we get

$$\int_U (\bar{f}'(\phi(x)))^2 |\nabla \phi|^2 dx = \int_0^\infty (\bar{f}'(t))^2 \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla \phi| da_t dt.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma_t} |\nabla \phi| da_t &= \int_{\Sigma_t} H da_t \leq \left(\int_{\Sigma_t} H^2 da_t \right)^{1/2} |\Sigma_t|^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(16\pi - e^{-t} (16\pi - \int_{\Sigma'} H'^2 da) \right)^{1/2} e^{\frac{1}{2}t} |\Sigma'|^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the Hölder inequality and the monotonicity of the modified Hawking mass. Here H' denotes the mean curvature of the surface Σ' . Thus we get

$$\int_U |\nabla f|^2 dx \leq \int_0^\infty (\bar{f}'(t))^2 \left(16\pi e^t + \left(\int_{\Sigma'} H'^2 da - 16\pi \right) \right)^{1/2} dt \cdot |\Sigma'|^{1/2}.$$

Meanwhile, note that by the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= (\bar{f}(0))^2 = \left(- \int_0^\infty \bar{f}'(t) dt \right)^2 \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty (\bar{f}'(t))^2 \left(16\pi e^t + \left(\int_{\Sigma'} H'^2 da - 16\pi \right) \right)^{1/2} dt \\ &\quad \times \int_0^\infty \left(16\pi e^t + \left(\int_{\Sigma'} H'^2 da - 16\pi \right) \right)^{-1/2} dt, \end{aligned}$$

with the equality when

$$\bar{f}'(t) = c \left(16\pi e^t + \left(\int_{\Sigma'} H'^2 da - 16\pi \right) \right)^{-1/2}, \quad c \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Set

$$s := \frac{\int_{\Sigma'} H'^2 da}{16\pi} - 1.$$

Note

$$\int_t^\infty \left(e^{t'} + s \right)^{-1/2} dt' = \frac{2}{\sqrt{s}} \operatorname{arsinh}(\sqrt{s} e^{-t/2}).$$

So noticing $\bar{f}(0) = 1$ and $\bar{f}(\infty) = 0$ we may choose

$$\bar{f}(t) = \frac{\operatorname{arsinh}(\sqrt{s} e^{-t/2})}{\operatorname{arsinh}\sqrt{s}}.$$

Then in this case we get

$$\int_U |\nabla f|^2 dx \leq (16\pi)^{1/2} |\Sigma'|^{1/2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sqrt{s}}{\operatorname{arsinh}\sqrt{s}}.$$

As a result, we have

$$\operatorname{Cap}(\partial U) \leq 2\pi^{1/2} |\Sigma'|^{1/2} \frac{\sqrt{s}}{\operatorname{arsinh}\sqrt{s}}.$$

Next we replace Σ' by Σ . First recall that Σ' strictly minimizes area among all surfaces homologous to Σ . So $|\Sigma'| \leq |\Sigma|$.

Second, because Σ is C^2 , the surface Σ' is $C^{1,1}$ and moreover C^∞ where Σ' does not contact Σ . Besides, the mean curvature H' of Σ' satisfies

$$H' = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma' \setminus \Sigma, \text{ and } H' = H \geq 0 \text{ a.e. on } \Sigma' \cap \Sigma.$$

Therefore we see

$$\int_{\Sigma'} H'^2 da \leq \int_{\Sigma} H^2 da.$$

In conclusion, we derive (noting that $\sqrt{s}/\operatorname{arsinh}\sqrt{s}$ is increasing in s)

$$\operatorname{Cap}(\partial U) \leq 2(\pi|\Sigma|)^{1/2} \frac{\sqrt{\frac{\int_{\Sigma} H^2 da}{16\pi} - 1}}{\operatorname{arsinh}\sqrt{\frac{\int_{\Sigma} H^2 da}{16\pi} - 1}}.$$

Next we consider the equality case. If $\int_{\Sigma} H^2 da = 16\pi$, then Σ is a round sphere. Now suppose $\int_{\Sigma} H^2 da > 16\pi$. Then checking the above proof, we see that

$$|\Sigma'| = |\Sigma|, \quad \int_{\Sigma'} H'^2 da = \int_{\Sigma} H^2 da, \quad \tilde{m}_H(\Sigma_t) = \tilde{m}_H(\Sigma'), \quad \forall t > 0,$$

and $f(x) = \bar{f}(\phi(x))$ is the electrostatic capacity potential on U with $f|_{\Sigma} = 1$ and $f(\infty) = 0$. So Σ is outer-minimizing and the modified Hawking mass $\tilde{m}_H(\Sigma_t)$ is equal to $\tilde{m}_H(\Sigma)$ for all t . Moreover, since $f(x)$ is harmonic in U , any level set of $f(x)$ can not have non-empty interior, and so the surfaces Σ_t and Σ do not jump to Σ'_t and Σ' respectively, in the sense of [15] (meaning $\Sigma_t = \Sigma'_t$ and $\Sigma = \Sigma'$). Next fix any $t > 0$ and consider the exterior domain of Σ_t in U . Using the fact $f(x)$ is constant on Σ_t and Σ_t is at least C^1 , by the Hopf boundary lemma, we see that ∇f never vanishes on Σ_t . So $\phi = \bar{f}^{-1} \circ f$ is a smooth function on U with $\nabla \phi \neq 0$. It follows that the surfaces $\{\Sigma_t\}$ evolve smoothly by the inverse mean curvature flow. Then the equality case of $\tilde{m}_H(\Sigma_t) \geq \tilde{m}_H(\Sigma)$ implies that H is constant on Σ_t , and so Σ_t is a round sphere. So Σ itself is a round sphere, which contradicts $\int_{\Sigma} H^2 da > 16\pi$. Therefore when $\int_{\Sigma} H^2 da > 16\pi$, we have the strict inequality

$$\operatorname{Cap}(\partial U) < (4\pi|\Sigma|)^{1/2} \frac{\sqrt{\frac{\int_{\Sigma} H^2 da}{16\pi} - 1}}{\operatorname{arsinh}\sqrt{\frac{\int_{\Sigma} H^2 da}{16\pi} - 1}}.$$

So the proof of Theorem 16 is complete. \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 5

Recall the min-max variational characterization of the Steklov eigenvalues

$$\xi_i = \inf_{\substack{V \subset E^1(U) \\ \dim V = i}} \sup_{0 \neq \varphi \in V} \frac{\int_U |\nabla \varphi|^2 dx}{\int_{\partial U} \varphi^2 da}, \quad i \geq 1.$$

In this section we focus on the second Steklov eigenvalue ξ_2 .

Let $u \in E^1(U)$ be the electrostatic capacitary potential of ∂U . Namely, $u \in E^1(U)$ uniquely solves (Proposition 11)

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = 0, & \text{in } U, \\ u = 1, & \text{on } \partial U. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, for any unit vector $e \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, there exists a unique solution, the virtual mass potential $w[e] \in \mathcal{H}(U)$ to the partial differential equation (Proposition 10)

$$\begin{cases} \Delta w[e] = 0, & \text{in } U, \\ \frac{\partial w[e]}{\partial \nu} = -\frac{\partial \langle x, e \rangle}{\partial \nu} = -\langle \nu, e \rangle, & \text{on } \partial U. \end{cases}$$

Then the function $w[e]$ and the electrostatic capacitary potential u satisfy

$$\int_U \langle \nabla w[e], \nabla u \rangle dx = - \int_{\partial U} \frac{\partial w[e]}{\partial \nu} u da = \int_{\partial U} \langle e, \nu \rangle da = 0. \quad (5.1)$$

So $w[e]$ and u are linearly independent, and then $\{aw[e] + bu : a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a 2-dimensional linear space in $E^1(U)$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_2 &\leq \sup_{a^2+b^2 \neq 0} \frac{\int_U |\nabla(aw[e] + bu)|^2 dx}{\int_{\partial U} (aw[e] + bu)^2 da} \\ &= \sup_{a^2+b^2 \neq 0} \frac{a^2 \int_U |\nabla w[e]|^2 dx + b^2 \int_U |\nabla u|^2 dx}{a^2 \int_{\partial U} w[e]^2 da + b^2 |\partial U|}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (5.1) and the assumption in Theorem 5

$$\int_{\partial U} w[e] u da = \int_{\partial U} w[e] da = 0, \quad \forall e \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}. \quad (5.2)$$

Choosing respectively $a = 0$ and $b = 0$, we conclude

$$\xi_2 \leq \max \left\{ \frac{\text{Cap}(\partial U)}{|\partial U|}, \frac{\int_U |\nabla w[e]|^2 dx}{\int_{\partial U} w[e]^2 da} \right\},$$

where we recall that $\text{Cap}(\partial U) = \int_U |\nabla u|^2 dx$ is the electrostatic capacity of ∂U .

Remark 19. At the bottom of Page 537 in [22], Payne claimed that with the proper choice of the origin the following (in our notation) holds,

$$\int_{\partial U} w[e] da = 0, \quad \forall e \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

We can not follow this claim, since the quantity $\int_{\partial U} w[e] da$ should be unchanged under the translation of the origin. Instead, we have to impose this assumption.

Assume $\xi_2 > \text{Cap}(\partial U)/|\partial U|$. Then for any $e \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_2 &\leq \frac{(\int_U |\nabla w[e]|^2 dx)^2}{\int_{\partial U} w[e]^2 da} \frac{1}{\int_U |\nabla w[e]|^2 dx} \\ &= \frac{(\int_{\partial U} \langle \nabla w[e], \nu \rangle w[e] da)^2}{\int_{\partial U} w[e]^2 da} \frac{1}{\int_U |\nabla w[e]|^2 dx} \\ &\leq \frac{\int_{\partial U} \langle \nabla w[e], \nu \rangle^2 da}{\int_U |\nabla w[e]|^2 dx} \\ &= \frac{\int_{\partial U} \langle e, \nu \rangle^2 da}{\int_U |\nabla w[e]|^2 dx}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$nW_{ave} \xi_2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\partial U} \langle \partial_i, \nu \rangle^2 da = |\partial U|.$$

Here ∂_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) is the standard coordinate vector and $W_{ave} := \sum_{i=1}^n \int_U |\nabla w[\partial_i]|^2 dx / n$ is the average virtual mass corresponding to U . We refer to Section 6.1 for its precise definition and basic properties.

By the lower bound (6.6) on W_{ave} , we conclude

$$\xi_2 \leq \max \left\{ \frac{\text{Cap}(\partial U)}{|\partial U|}, \frac{(n-1)|\partial U|}{nV} \right\}.$$

So we finish the proof of Theorem 5.

6. AUXILIARY AND RELATED RESULTS

In this section we generalize the results in [27, 33] of the case $n = 3$ to the higher dimensional case $n > 3$. Since some coefficients appearing in the generalization depends on the dimension n , we find it may be worth providing all the details for the convenience of readers. Our presentation mainly follows that in [33]. Besides, in this section the Einstein convention on the summation of indices is used unless otherwise stated, i.e., repeated indices mean the summation over them.

6.1. Virtual mass. Now we consider the virtual mass of the domain $\Omega := \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{U}$. For any unit vector $e \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, there exists a unique solution, the virtual mass potential $w[e] \in E^1(U)$ to the partial differential equation (Proposition 10)

$$\begin{cases} \Delta w[e] = 0, & \text{in } U, \\ \frac{\partial w[e]}{\partial \nu} = -\frac{\partial \langle x, e \rangle}{\partial \nu} = -\langle \nu, e \rangle, & \text{on } \partial U. \end{cases} \quad (6.1)$$

Definition 1 ([2, 28]). The virtual mass matrix W_{ij} ($i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) is defined as

$$W_{ij} := \int_U \langle \nabla w[\partial_i], \nabla w[\partial_j] \rangle dx,$$

where ∂_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) is the standard coordinate vector. The geometric invariant $\text{tr } W/n$ is called the average virtual mass W_{ave} .

The goal of this subsection is to derive a sharp lower bound for the matrix W . For that purpose we need to use the gravitational potential for $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{U}$. In \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 3$), the gravitational potential of Ω is defined as

$$\Psi(x) := -\frac{1}{(n-2)\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|y-x|^{n-2}} dy,$$

where $\omega_{n-1} = |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|$. By direct verification we note

$$\Delta \Psi = 0, \text{ in } U; \quad \Delta \Psi = 1, \text{ in } \Omega. \quad (6.2)$$

Define

$$\bar{\Psi}_{ij} := \frac{\int_{\Omega} \Psi_{ij} dx}{|\Omega|}.$$

For later use, first we have an observation.

Lemma 20. *For the matrix $\bar{\Psi}_{ij}$, we have*

$$0 < \bar{\Psi} < I.$$

Proof. First, using (6.2) and the divergence theorem (the integral term on the boundary of a large ball B_R vanishes as $R \rightarrow \infty$ due to Proposition 12), we note

$$\begin{aligned} \int_U \Psi_{ik} \Psi_{kj} dx &= \int_U (\Psi_{ik} \Psi_j)_k dx \\ &= - \int_{\partial U} \Psi_{ik}^{out} \Psi_j \nu_k da \\ &= - \int_{\partial U} (\Psi_{ik}^{in} - \nu_i \nu_k) \Psi_j \nu_k da \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} \Psi_{ik} \Psi_{kj} dx + \int_{\Omega} \Psi_{ij} dx, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the relation

$$\Psi_{ik}^{in}(x_0) - \Psi_{ik}^{out}(x_0) = \nu_i(x_0) \nu_k(x_0), \quad x_0 \in \partial U \quad (6.3)$$

from Proposition 29 below. Here and in the sequel we use the notations

$$\Psi_{ij}^{out}(x_0) := \lim_{U \ni x \rightarrow x_0} \Psi_{ij}(x), \quad \Psi_{ij}^{in}(x_0) := \lim_{\Omega \ni x \rightarrow x_0} \Psi_{ij}(x). \quad (6.4)$$

Therefore we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \Psi_{ij} dx = \int_{U \cup \Omega} \Psi_{ik} \Psi_{kj} dx > 0.$$

Second we note

$$\int_{\Omega} (\delta_{ik} - \Psi_{ik})(\delta_{kj} - \Psi_{kj}) dx = \int_{\Omega} (\delta_{ij} - 2\Psi_{ij} + \Psi_{ik} \Psi_{kj}) dx.$$

Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (\delta_{ij} - \Psi_{ij}) dx &= \int_{\Omega} (\delta_{ik} - \Psi_{ik})(\delta_{kj} - \Psi_{kj}) dx + \int_{\Omega} \Psi_{ij} dx - \int_{\Omega} \Psi_{ik} \Psi_{kj} dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (\delta_{ik} - \Psi_{ik})(\delta_{kj} - \Psi_{kj}) dx + \int_U \Psi_{ik} \Psi_{kj} dx > 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Then we can prove the following sharp bound for W .

Proposition 21. *For any bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 3$) with smooth boundary, we have the matrix inequality*

$$\frac{W}{|\Omega|} \geq (I - \bar{\Psi})^{-1} - I. \quad (6.5)$$

Remark 22. Proposition 21 in the case $n = 3$ appeared in [33]. We generalize here the result in [33] to the higher dimensional case.

Taking trace on (6.5) and using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we obtain the following.

Corollary 23. *For any bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 3$) with smooth boundary, we have*

$$W_{ave} \geq \frac{1}{n-1} |\Omega|. \quad (6.6)$$

Remark 24. When $n = 3$, the bound (6.6) is due to [27].

Remark 25. In the literature, there are extensive works concerning the generalized polarization which includes the virtual mass (and the polarization in Section 6.2) as a limit case. The generalized polarization (see e.g. [2]) defined for a bounded set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ involves a parameter $0 < k \neq 1 < \infty$, the conductivity of Ω . When $k = 0$ (Ω is insulated), the generalized polarization reduces to the virtual mass; while when $k \rightarrow \infty$ (Ω is perfectly conducting), it reduces to the polarization in Section 6.2. See [2, Page 89]. The inequality for $0 < k \neq 1 < \infty$ corresponding to (6.6) was obtained in [6, 9, 20]; see [10] for a survey. In [6, 9, 20], different methods from the one in the proof of Proposition 21 were used. Moreover, the equality (rigidity) case for $0 < k \neq 1 < \infty$ and $n = 3$ was handled in [17].

Proof of Proposition 21. For a constant symmetric matrix $A = [a_{ij}]$ to be determined, define a family of functions \tilde{w}_i by

$$\tilde{w}_i(x) = a_{ij} \Psi_j(x).$$

We have the matrix inequality

$$\int_U \langle \nabla(w[\partial_i] - \tilde{w}_i), \nabla(w[\partial_j] - \tilde{w}_j) \rangle dx \geq 0,$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
W_{ij} &\geq \int_U (\langle \nabla w[\partial_i], \nabla \tilde{w}_j \rangle + \langle \nabla w[\partial_i], \nabla \tilde{w}_j \rangle - \langle \nabla \tilde{w}_i, \nabla \tilde{w}_j \rangle) dx \\
&= \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{w}_i}{\partial \nu} \tilde{w}_j - \frac{\partial w[\partial_i]}{\partial \nu} \tilde{w}_j - \frac{\partial w[\partial_j]}{\partial \nu} \tilde{w}_i \right) da \\
&= \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(a_{ip} a_{jq} \frac{\partial \Psi_p^{out}}{\partial \nu} \Psi_q + \langle \nu, \partial_i \rangle a_{jq} \Psi_q + \langle \nu, \partial_j \rangle a_{ip} \Psi_p \right) da \\
&= a_{ip} a_{jq} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial \Psi_p^{out}}{\partial \nu} \Psi_q da + a_{jq} \int_{\Omega} \Psi_{iq} dx + a_{ip} \int_{\Omega} \Psi_{jp} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

For the first term, we use Proposition 29 below to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial \Psi_p^{out}}{\partial \nu} \Psi_q da &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \Psi_{pk}^{out} \nu_k \Psi_q da = \int_{\partial\Omega} (\Psi_{pk}^{in} - \nu_p \nu_k) \nu_k \Psi_q da \\
&= \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial \Psi_p^{in}}{\partial \nu} \Psi_q da - \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle \Psi_q \partial_p, \nu \rangle da \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \Psi_{pk} \Psi_{qk} dx - \int_{\Omega} \Psi_{pq} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Recall

$$\bar{\Psi}_{ij} := \frac{\int_{\Omega} \Psi_{ij} dx}{|\Omega|}.$$

Note that we have the matrix inequality

$$\int_{\Omega} (\Psi_{ik} - \bar{\Psi}_{ik})(\Psi_{jk} - \bar{\Psi}_{jk}) dx \geq 0,$$

which is the same as

$$\int_{\Omega} \Psi_{pk} \Psi_{qk} dx \geq \bar{\Psi}_{pk} \bar{\Psi}_{qk} |\Omega|.$$

Therefore we conclude

$$\frac{W_{ij}}{|\Omega|} \geq a_{ip} a_{jq} (\bar{\Psi}_{pk} \bar{\Psi}_{qk} - \bar{\Psi}_{pq}) + a_{jq} \bar{\Psi}_{iq} + a_{ip} \bar{\Psi}_{jp}.$$

Now we choose

$$A = -(I - \bar{\Psi})^{-1}.$$

So we get the matrix inequality

$$\frac{W}{|\Omega|} \geq (I - \bar{\Psi})^{-1} - I.$$

□

6.2. Polarization. As a byproduct, let us consider the parallel results for the polarization of those in Section 6.1. For any unit vector $e \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, there exists a unique solution, the polarization potential $v[e] \in E^1(U)$ (together with a unique constant $c[e]$) to the partial differential equation (Proposition 11)

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v[e] = 0, & \text{in } U, \\ v[e] = \langle x, e \rangle + c[e], & \text{on } \partial U, \\ v[e] = O(|x|^{1-n}), & \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases}$$

Definition 2 ([2, 28]). The polarization matrix P_{ij} ($i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) is defined as

$$P_{ij} := \int_U \langle \nabla v[\partial_i], \nabla v[\partial_j] \rangle dx.$$

The geometric invariant $\text{tr } P/n$ is called the average polarization P_{ave} .

Now we prove the following sharp bound for P .

Proposition 26. *For any bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 3$) with smooth boundary, we have*

$$\frac{P}{|\Omega|} \geq \bar{\Psi}^{-1} - I. \quad (6.7)$$

Remark 27. Proposition 26 in the case $n = 3$ appeared in [33]. Again we generalize here the result in [33] to the higher dimensional case.

Taking trace and using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we get the following.

Corollary 28. *For any bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 3$) with smooth boundary, we have*

$$P_{ave} \geq (n-1)|\Omega|. \quad (6.8)$$

Proof of Proposition 26. For a constant symmetric matrix $B = [b_{ij}]$ to be determined, define a family of functions \tilde{v}_i by

$$\tilde{v}_i(x) = b_{ij}\Psi_j(x).$$

We have the matrix inequality

$$\int_U \langle \nabla(v[\partial_i] - \tilde{v}_i), \nabla(v[\partial_j] - \tilde{v}_j) \rangle dx \geq 0,$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{ij} &\geq \int_U (\langle \nabla v[\partial_i], \nabla \tilde{v}_j \rangle + \langle \nabla v[\partial_i], \nabla \tilde{v}_j \rangle - \langle \nabla \tilde{v}_i, \nabla \tilde{v}_j \rangle) dx \\
&= \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{v}_i}{\partial \nu} \tilde{v}_j - \frac{\partial \tilde{v}_j}{\partial \nu} v[\partial_i] - \frac{\partial \tilde{v}_i}{\partial \nu} v[\partial_j] \right) da \\
&= \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(b_{ip} b_{jq} \frac{\partial \Psi_p^{out}}{\partial \nu} \Psi_q - b_{jq} \frac{\partial \Psi_q^{out}}{\partial \nu} (\langle x, \partial_i \rangle + c[\partial_i]) - b_{ip} \frac{\partial \Psi_p^{out}}{\partial \nu} (\langle x, \partial_j \rangle + c[\partial_j]) \right) da \\
&= b_{ip} b_{jq} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial \Psi_p^{out}}{\partial \nu} \Psi_q da - b_{jq} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial \Psi_q^{out}}{\partial \nu} \langle x, \partial_i \rangle da - b_{ip} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial \Psi_p^{out}}{\partial \nu} \langle x, \partial_j \rangle da.
\end{aligned}$$

For the first term, we use Proposition 29 below to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial \Psi_p^{out}}{\partial \nu} \Psi_q da &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \Psi_{pk}^{out} \nu_k \Psi_q da = \int_{\partial\Omega} (\Psi_{pk}^{in} - \nu_p \nu_k) \nu_k \Psi_q da \\
&= \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial \Psi_p^{in}}{\partial \nu} \Psi_q da - \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle \Psi_q \partial_p, \nu \rangle da \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \Psi_{pk} \Psi_{qk} dx - \int_{\Omega} \Psi_{pq} dx \\
&\geq \overline{\Psi}_{pk} \overline{\Psi}_{qk} |\Omega| - \int_{\Omega} \Psi_{pq} dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where the inequality appeared in the proof of Proposition 21. For the second term, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial \Psi_q^{out}}{\partial \nu} \langle x, \partial_i \rangle da &= \int_{\partial\Omega} (\Psi_{qk}^{in} - \nu_q \nu_k) \nu_k \langle x, \partial_i \rangle da \\
&= \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial \Psi_q^{in}}{\partial \nu} \langle x, \partial_i \rangle da - \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle \nu, \partial_q \rangle \langle x, \partial_i \rangle da \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \Psi_{iq} dx - \delta_{iq} |\Omega|.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we conclude

$$\frac{P_{ij}}{|\Omega|} \geq b_{ip} b_{jq} (\overline{\Psi}_{pk} \overline{\Psi}_{qk} - \overline{\Psi}_{pq}) - b_{jq} (\overline{\Psi}_{iq} - \delta_{iq}) - b_{ip} (\overline{\Psi}_{jp} - \delta_{jp}).$$

Now we choose

$$B = \overline{\Psi}^{-1}.$$

So we get the matrix inequality

$$\frac{P}{|\Omega|} \geq \overline{\Psi}^{-1} - I.$$

□

6.3. Gravitational potential. In \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 3$), define the gravitational potential as

$$\Psi(x) := -\frac{1}{(n-2)\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|y-x|^{n-2}} dy,$$

where $\omega_{n-1} = |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|$.

This subsection is devoted to proving the following.

Proposition 29. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 3$) be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n with smooth boundary. Fix $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$. For the second derivatives of $\Psi(x)$, we have*

$$\lim_{\Omega \ni x \rightarrow x_0} \Psi_{ij}(x) - \lim_{U \ni x \rightarrow x_0} \Psi_{ij}(x) = \nu_i(x_0)\nu_j(x_0). \quad (6.9)$$

Proof. Note that for $y \neq x$, we have

$$\operatorname{div}_y \left(\frac{y-x}{|y-x|^{n-2}} \right) = \frac{2}{|y-x|^{n-2}}.$$

So for $x \in U$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(x) &= -\frac{1}{2(n-2)\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}_y \left(\frac{y-x}{|y-x|^{n-2}} \right) dy \\ &= -\frac{1}{2(n-2)\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\langle y-x, \nu \rangle}{|y-x|^{n-2}} da(y); \end{aligned}$$

while for $x \in \Omega$, by choosing a small ball $B_r(x) \subset \Omega$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(x) &= -\frac{1}{(n-2)\omega_{n-1}} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\Omega \setminus B_r(x)} \frac{1}{|y-x|^{n-2}} dy \\ &= -\frac{1}{2(n-2)\omega_{n-1}} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\Omega \setminus B_r(x)} \operatorname{div}_y \left(\frac{y-x}{|y-x|^{n-2}} \right) dy \\ &= -\frac{1}{2(n-2)\omega_{n-1}} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\langle y-x, \nu \rangle}{|y-x|^{n-2}} da(y) - \int_{\partial B_r(x)} \frac{\langle y-x, \nu \rangle}{|y-x|^{n-2}} da(y) \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2(n-2)\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\langle y-x, \nu \rangle}{|y-x|^{n-2}} da(y). \end{aligned}$$

In either case, we have

$$\Psi(x) = -\frac{1}{2(n-2)\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\langle y-x, \nu \rangle}{|y-x|^{n-2}} da(y).$$

Then we obtain its first derivatives

$$\Psi_i(x) = -\frac{1}{2(n-2)\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\frac{-\nu_i}{|y-x|^{n-2}} + (n-2) \frac{\langle y-x, \nu \rangle (y_i - x_i)}{|y-x|^n} \right) da(y),$$

and its second derivatives

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_{ij}(x) &= -\frac{1}{2\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\frac{\nu_i(x_j - y_j) + \nu_j(x_i - y_i)}{|y - x|^n} - \delta_{ij} \frac{\langle y - x, \nu \rangle}{|y - x|^n} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + n \langle y - x, \nu \rangle \frac{(x_i - y_i)(x_j - y_j)}{|y - x|^{n+2}} \right) da(y) \\ &=: -\frac{1}{2\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle \nu, X_{ij}(y) \rangle da(y),\end{aligned}$$

where we defined a vector field $X_{ij}(y)$ for $y \neq x$ as

$$X_{ij}(y) = \frac{(x_j - y_j)\partial_i + (x_i - y_i)\partial_j}{|y - x|^n} - \delta_{ij} \frac{y - x}{|y - x|^n} + n(y - x) \frac{(x_i - y_i)(x_j - y_j)}{|y - x|^{n+2}}.$$

Note that for $y \neq x$, by direct computation we have

$$\operatorname{div}_y(X_{ij}(y)) = 2 \left(n \frac{(y_i - x_i)(y_j - x_j)}{|y - x|^{n+2}} - \frac{\delta_{ij}}{|y - x|^n} \right).$$

So for $x \in U$, we can conclude

$$\Psi_{ij}(x) = -\frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\Omega} \left(n \frac{(y_i - x_i)(y_j - x_j)}{|y - x|^{n+2}} - \frac{\delta_{ij}}{|y - x|^n} \right) dy;$$

while for $x \in \Omega$, by choosing again a small ball $B_r(x) \subset \Omega$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_{ij}(x) &= -\frac{1}{2\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle \nu, X_{ij}(y) \rangle da(y) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\omega_{n-1}} \left(\int_{\Omega \setminus B_r(x)} \operatorname{div}_y(X_{ij}(y)) dy + \int_{\partial B_r(x)} \langle \nu, X_{ij}(y) \rangle da(y) \right).\end{aligned}$$

Direct computation yields

$$\int_{\partial B_r(x)} \langle \nu, X_{ij}(y) \rangle da(y) = -\frac{2}{n} \omega_{n-1} \delta_{ij}.$$

So for $x \in \Omega$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_{ij}(x) &= -\frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\Omega \setminus B_r(x)} \left(n \frac{(y_i - x_i)(y_j - x_j)}{|y - x|^{n+2}} - \frac{\delta_{ij}}{|y - x|^n} \right) dy + \frac{1}{n} \delta_{ij} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\Omega} \left(n \frac{(y_i - x_i)(y_j - x_j)}{|y - x|^{n+2}} - \frac{\delta_{ij}}{|y - x|^n} \right) dy + \frac{1}{n} \delta_{ij},\end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we took $r \rightarrow 0+$.

Without loss of generality, we choose x_0 as the origin and ν as the positive x_n -direction.

Note first that for $r > 0$ sufficiently small, the intersection set $\Omega \cap B_r(x_0)$ is approximately a half n -ball $B_r^-(x_0)$. Fix a small $r > 0$. Then we intend to compare the integrals in the expression of $\Psi_{ij}(x)$ over $\Omega \cap B_r(x)$ and over $\Omega \setminus B_r(x)$ for $x \in U$ or $x \in \Omega$.

For the integral over $\Omega \setminus B_r(x)$, it is continuous with respect to x . It remains to consider the integral over $\Omega \cap B_r(x)$, namely,

$$I_{ij}(x) := \int_{\Omega \cap B_r(x)} f_{ij}(x, y) dy,$$

where

$$f_{ij}(x, y) := n \frac{(y_i - x_i)(y_j - x_j)}{|y - x|^{n+2}} - \frac{\delta_{ij}}{|y - x|^n}.$$

When $i \neq j$, since

$$\int_{B_r^-(x_0)} \left(n \frac{(y_i - (x_0)_i)(y_j - (x_0)_j)}{|y - x_0|^{n+2}} \right) dy = 0,$$

both $\lim_{\Omega \ni x \rightarrow x_0} I_{ij}(x)$ and $\lim_{U \ni x \rightarrow x_0} I_{ij}(x)$ can be as close to zero as possible, as long as r is chosen at first very small. So we finish the proof of (6.9) for $i \neq j$.

Next we consider $i = j$. It suffices to consider the two cases $i = j = 1$ and $i = j = n$. Define the approximating quantities

$$\tilde{I}_{ij}(x) := \int_{\{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid y_n < 0\} \cap B_r(x)} \left(n \frac{(y_i - x_i)(y_j - x_j)}{|y - x|^{n+2}} - \frac{\delta_{ij}}{|y - x|^n} \right) dy.$$

We only need to compute

$$\begin{aligned} A_- &:= \lim_{\mathbb{R}_-^n \ni x \rightarrow 0} \tilde{I}_{11}(x), & A_+ &:= \lim_{\mathbb{R}_+^n \ni x \rightarrow 0} \tilde{I}_{11}(x), \\ B_- &:= \lim_{\mathbb{R}_-^n \ni x \rightarrow 0} \tilde{I}_{nn}(x), & B_+ &:= \lim_{\mathbb{R}_+^n \ni x \rightarrow 0} \tilde{I}_{nn}(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{R}_-^n = \mathbb{R}^n \cap \{x_n < 0\}$ and $\mathbb{R}_+^n = \mathbb{R}^n \cap \{x_n > 0\}$.

Note that we have the following identities,

$$\begin{aligned} A_- + A_+ &= \int_{B_r(0)} \left(n \frac{y_1^2}{|y|^{n+2}} - \frac{1}{|y|^n} \right) dy \\ &= \int_{B_r(0)} \left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n y_k^2}{|y|^{n+2}} - \frac{1}{|y|^n} \right) dy = 0, \\ B_- + B_+ &= \int_{B_r(0)} \left(n \frac{y_n^2}{|y|^{n+2}} - \frac{1}{|y|^n} \right) dy = 0, \\ (n-1)A_- + B_- &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_-^n \cap B_r(0)} \left(n \frac{|y|^2}{|y|^{n+2}} - \frac{n}{|y|^n} \right) dy = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So we only need to compute one of them. Let us compute B_+ . Equivalently, we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
B_+ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{B_r(0) \cap \{y_n > \varepsilon\}} \left(n \frac{y_n^2}{|y|^{n+2}} - \frac{1}{|y|^n} \right) dy \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\varepsilon}^r dy_n \int_{|x'| < \sqrt{r^2 - y_n^2}} \left(n \frac{y_n^2}{(|x'|^2 + y_n^2)^{(n+2)/2}} - \frac{1}{(|x'|^2 + y_n^2)^{n/2}} \right) dx' \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\varepsilon}^r dy_n \int_0^{\sqrt{r^2 - y_n^2}} \left(n \frac{y_n^2}{(s^2 + y_n^2)^{(n+2)/2}} - \frac{1}{(s^2 + y_n^2)^{n/2}} \right) \omega_{n-2} s^{n-2} ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $s = y_n \sinh t$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
B_+ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\varepsilon}^r \frac{\omega_{n-2}}{y_n} dy_n \int_0^{\operatorname{arsinh}(\sqrt{r^2 - y_n^2}/y_n)} \frac{n - \cosh^2 t}{\cosh^{n+1} t} \sinh^{n-2} t dt. \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\varepsilon}^r \frac{\omega_{n-2}}{y_n} dy_n \frac{\sinh^{n-1} t}{\cosh^n t} \Big|_0^{\operatorname{arsinh}(\sqrt{r^2 - y_n^2}/y_n)} \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\varepsilon}^r \frac{\omega_{n-2}}{y_n} \frac{(\sqrt{r^2 - y_n^2}/y_n)^{n-1}}{(1 + (\sqrt{r^2 - y_n^2}/y_n)^2)^{n/2}} dy_n \\
&= \omega_{n-2} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\varepsilon}^r r^{-n} (r^2 - y_n^2)^{(n-1)/2} dy_n \\
&= \omega_{n-2} \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^n t dt = \omega_{n-2} \frac{n-1}{n} \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^{n-2} t dt \\
&= \frac{n-1}{2n} \omega_{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we can deduce

$$B_- = -\frac{n-1}{2n} \omega_{n-1}, \quad A_- = \frac{1}{2n} \omega_{n-1}, \quad A_+ = -\frac{1}{2n} \omega_{n-1}.$$

Now we are ready to prove the remaining conclusion. Let $\eta > 0$ be arbitrary. Then we can choose r small enough such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \lim_{U \ni x \rightarrow x_0} \int_{B_r(x) \cap \Omega} f_{nn}(x, y) dy - B_+ \right| < \omega_{n-1} \frac{\eta}{2}, \\
& \left| \lim_{\Omega \ni x \rightarrow x_0} \int_{B_r(x) \cap \Omega} f_{nn}(x, y) dy - B_- \right| < \omega_{n-1} \frac{\eta}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{U \ni x \rightarrow x_0} \Psi_{nn}(x) &= -\frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\Omega \setminus B_r(x_0)} f_{nn}(x_0, y) dy \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}} \lim_{U \ni x \rightarrow x_0} \int_{B_r(x) \cap \Omega} f_{nn}(x, y) dy, \\ \lim_{\Omega \ni x \rightarrow x_0} \Psi_{nn}(x) &= -\frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\Omega \setminus B_r(x_0)} f_{nn}(x_0, y) dy \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}} \lim_{\Omega \ni x \rightarrow x_0} \int_{B_r(x) \cap \Omega} f_{nn}(x, y) dy + \frac{1}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

So we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \lim_{\Omega \ni x \rightarrow x_0} \Psi_{nn}(x) - \lim_{U \ni x \rightarrow x_0} \Psi_{nn}(x) - 1 \right| \\ & < \left| -\frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}} (B_- - B_+) + \frac{1}{n} - 1 \right| + \eta = \eta, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\lim_{\Omega \ni x \rightarrow x_0} \Psi_{nn}(x) = \lim_{U \ni x \rightarrow x_0} \Psi_{nn}(x) + 1.$$

Similar arguments show that

$$\lim_{\Omega \ni x \rightarrow x_0} \Psi_{ii}(x) = \lim_{U \ni x \rightarrow x_0} \Psi_{ii}(x), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

So we finish the proof of the Proposition 29. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Virginia Agostiniani and Lorenzo Mazziere, *Monotonicity formulas in potential theory*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **59** (2020), no. 1, Paper No. 6, 32 pp. 10, 11
- [2] Habib Ammari and Hyeonbae Kang, *Polarization and moment tensors, with applications to inverse problems and effective medium theory*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, **162**, Springer, New York, 2007. x+312 pp. 5, 16, 18, 20
- [3] Mark S. Ashbaugh and Rafael D. Benguria, *Isoperimetric inequalities for eigenvalues of the Laplacian*, Spectral theory and mathematical physics: a Festschrift in honor of Barry Simon's 60th birthday, 105–139, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **76**, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007. 1
- [4] Giles Auchmuty and Qi Han, *Representations of solutions of Laplacian boundary value problems on exterior regions*, Appl. Math. Optim. **69** (2014), no. 1, 21–45. 1, 2, 6, 7
- [5] Sheldon Axler, Paul Bourdon, and Wade Ramey, *Harmonic function theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **137**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992. xii+231 pp. 7
- [6] Alexei Yu. Belyaev and Sergei M. Kozlov, *Hierarchical structures and estimates for homogenized coefficients*, Russian J. Math. Phys. **1** (1993), no. 1, 5–18. 18
- [7] Rafael D. Benguria, Helmut Linde, and Benjamín Loewe, *Isoperimetric inequalities for eigenvalues of the Laplacian and the Schrödinger operator*, Bull. Math. Sci. **2** (2012), no. 1, 1–56. 1
- [8] Hubert Bray and Pengzi Miao, *On the capacity of surfaces in manifolds with nonnegative scalar curvature*, Invent. Math. **172** (2008), no. 3, 459–475. 4, 10

- [9] Yves Capdeboscq and Michael S. Vogelius, *Optimal asymptotic estimates for the volume of internal inhomogeneities in terms of multiple boundary measurements*, M2AN Math. Model. Numer. Anal. **37** (2003), no. 2, 227–240. 18
- [10] Yves Capdeboscq and Michael S. Vogelius, *A review of some recent work on impedance imaging for inhomogeneities of low volume fraction*, Partial differential equations and inverse problems, 69–87, Contemp. Math., **362**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004. 18
- [11] Bang-yen Chen, *On the total curvature of immersed manifolds. I. An inequality of Fenchel-Borsuk-Willmore*, Amer. J. Math. **93** (1971), 148–162. 11
- [12] Alexandre Freire and Fernando Schwartz, *Mass-capacity inequalities for conformally flat manifolds with boundary*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **39** (2014), no. 1, 98–119. 4, 10
- [13] Claus Gerhardt, *Flow of nonconvex hypersurfaces into spheres*, J. Differential Geom. **32** (1990), no. 1, 299–314. 5
- [14] A. Girouard and I. Polterovich, *Spectral geometry of the Steklov problem*, J. Spectr. Theory **7** (2017), no. 2, 321–359. 1
- [15] Gerhard Huisken and Tom Ilmanen, *The inverse mean curvature flow and the Riemannian Penrose inequality*, J. Differential Geom. **59** (2001), no. 3, 353–437. 4, 11, 12, 14
- [16] Pei-Ken Hung and Mu-Tao Wang, *Inverse mean curvature flows in the hyperbolic 3-space revisited*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **54** (2015), no. 1, 119–126. 4, 12
- [17] Hyeonbae Kang and Graeme W. Milton, *Solutions to the Pólya–Szegő conjecture and the weak Eshelby conjecture*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **188** (2008), no. 1, 93–116. 18
- [18] N. Kuznetsov, T. Kulczycki, M. Kwaśnicki, A. Nazarov, S. Poborchi, I. Polterovich, and B. Siudeja, *The legacy of Vladimir Andreevich Steklov*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **61** (2014), no. 1, 9–22. 1
- [19] Ruixuan Li and Changwei Xiong, *Sharp bounds for the anisotropic p -capacity of Euclidean compact sets*, J. Differential Equations **317** (2022), 196–224. 10
- [20] Robert Lipton, *Inequalities for electric and elastic polarization tensors with applications to random composites*, J. Mech. Phys. Solids **41** (1993), no. 5, 809–833. 18
- [21] Monika Ludwig, Jie Xiao, and Gaoyong Zhang, *Sharp convex Lorentz–Sobolev inequalities*, Math. Ann. **350** (2011), no. 1, 169–197. 4, 10
- [22] L. E. Payne, *New isoperimetric inequalities for eigenvalues and other physical quantities*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **9** (1956), 531–542. 1, 4, 5, 10, 15
- [23] L. E. Payne, *Isoperimetric inequalities and their applications*, SIAM Rev. **9** (1967), 453–488. 1, 3
- [24] G. Pólya and G. Szegő, *Isoperimetric Inequalities in Mathematical Physics*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, no. 27, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1951. xvi+279 pp. 4, 10
- [25] M. H. Protter, *Lower bounds for the first eigenvalue of elliptic equations*, Ann. of Math. (2) **71** (1960), 423–444. 3
- [26] Manuel Ritoré and Carlo Sinestrari, *Mean curvature flow and isoperimetric inequalities*, Edited by Vicente Miquel and Joan Porti. Advanced Courses in Mathematics. CRM Barcelona. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2010. viii+113 pp. 11
- [27] Menahem Schiffer, *Sur la polarisation et la masse virtuelle* (French), C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **244** (1957), 3118–3121. 5, 16, 18
- [28] M. Schiffer and G. Szegő, *Virtual mass and polarization*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **67** (1949), 130–205. 5, 16, 20
- [29] Rolf Schneider, *Convex bodies: the Brunn–Minkowski theory*, Second expanded edition, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 151, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014. 5

- [30] W. Stekloff, *Sur les problèmes fondamentaux de la physique mathématique*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (3) **19** (1902), 191–259. 1
- [31] G. Szegő, *Über einige neue Extremaleigenschaften der Kugel*, Math. Z. **33** (1931), no. 1, 419–425. 4, 10
- [32] John I. E. Urbas, *On the expansion of starshaped hypersurfaces by symmetric functions of their principal curvatures*, Math. Z. **205** (1990), no. 3, 355–372. 5
- [33] L. J. Walpole, *Polarization, Virtual Mass, and Analogous Elastic Properties*, In: Weng G. J., Taya M., Abé H. (eds) *Micromechanics and Inhomogeneity*. Springer, New York, NY, 1990. 16, 18, 20
- [34] T. J. Willmore, *Mean curvature of immersed surfaces*, An. Şti. Univ. “All. I. Cuza” Iaşi Sect. I a Mat. (N.S.) **14** (1968), 99–103. 11
- [35] Jie Xiao, *The p -harmonic capacity of an asymptotically flat 3-manifold with non-negative scalar curvature*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **17** (2016), no. 8, 2265–2283. 4
- [36] Jie Xiao, *P -capacity vs surface-area*, Adv. Math. **308** (2017), 1318–1336. 4, 5, 10
- [37] Jie Xiao, *A maximum problem of S.-T. Yau for variational p -capacity*, Adv. Geom. **17** (2017), no. 4, 483–496. 4, 10

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SICHUAN UNIVERSITY, CHENGDU 610065, SICHUAN, P. R. CHINA
Email address: changwei.xiong@scu.edu.cn