

ON THE OHSAWA–TAKEGOSHI L^2 EXTENSION THEOREM AND REMOVABLE SINGULARITIES OF PLURISUBHARMONIC FUNCTIONS

XIEPING WANG

To Li and Rui'an

ABSTRACT. The celebrated Ohsawa–Takegoshi extension theorem for L^2 holomorphic functions on bounded pseudoconvex domains in \mathbb{C}^n is a fundamental result in several complex variables and complex geometry. Ohsawa conjectured in 1995 that the same theorem still holds for more general bounded complete Kähler domains in \mathbb{C}^n . Recently, Chen–Wu–Wang confirmed this conjecture in a special case. In this paper we extend their result to the case of holomorphic sections of twisted canonical bundles over relatively compact complete Kähler domains in Stein manifolds. As an application we prove a Hartogs type extension theorem for plurisubharmonic functions across a compact complete pluripolar set, which is complementary to a classical result of Shiffman and can be seen as an analogue of the Skoda–El Mir extension theorem for plurisubharmonic functions—a result that has been vacant since at least 1985.

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the most fundamental results in several complex variables is the so-called Ohsawa–Takegoshi L^2 extension theorem, which, in a less general form, can be stated as follows:

Theorem A (see [OT87]). *Let Ω be a bounded pseudoconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^n and H be a complex linear subspace of \mathbb{C}^n intersecting Ω . Then for every plurisubharmonic function φ on Ω and every holomorphic function f on $\Omega \cap H$ such that*

$$\int_{\Omega \cap H} |f|^2 e^{-\varphi} dV_H < \infty,$$

there exists a holomorphic function F on Ω such that $F|_{\Omega \cap H} = f$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} |F|^2 e^{-\varphi} dV \leq C \int_{\Omega \cap H} |f|^2 e^{-\varphi} dV_H,$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant depending only on the diameter of Ω and the codimension of H in \mathbb{C}^n , and dV (resp. dV_H) denotes the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{C}^n (resp. H).

Since the seminal work of Ohsawa–Takegoshi [OT87], there has been a great deal of work in the literature on the above theorem and its various generalizations and variants in different contexts, as well as applications. The Ohsawa–Takegoshi L^2 extension theorem has proved to be an indispensable and very effective tool for solving many important problems in several complex variables, complex analytic and algebraic geometry. We refer

Date: July 17, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 32D15, 32D20, 32U30, 32U05; Secondary 32W05, 32Q15.

Key words and phrases. L^2 extension, $\bar{\partial}$ -equation, complete Kähler manifolds, removable singularities, plurisubharmonic functions, complete pluripolar sets.

The author was partially supported by NSFC grants 12001513 and 12371083, and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

the reader to [Ber10, Bło14, Dem12b, MV15, Ohs18, Ohs20, Pau10, Siu02] for a comprehensive overview of the subject, and to [Bło13, GZ15a, GZ15b] for significant recent developments. In particular, Guan–Zhou [GZ15a] established an optimal constant version of the Ohsawa–Takegoshi L^2 extension theorem in a very general setting, with many impressive applications. After this, there is also a considerable amount of work closely related to the Ohsawa–Takegoshi L^2 extension theorem; see [BL16, CPB24, CC22, DWZZ24, DNWZ23, Hos20, Kim23, LXZ23, LX24, RZ21, ZZ18, ZZ20] and others.

Despite the above achievements, a number of questions about the L^2 extension of holomorphic functions remain to be answered, including the following long-standing one posed by Ohsawa himself:

Question B (see [Ohs95]). ¹ Does Theorem A still hold for more general bounded *complete Kähler* domains in \mathbb{C}^n ?

For the background and motivation for asking this question, we refer the reader to Ohsawa’s original paper [Ohs95] (and his recent book [Ohs18]). We only remark here that, as has been amply demonstrated by the work of Demailly [Dem82], Hörmander’s weighted L^2 theory for the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator on Stein manifolds works equally well on more general complete Kähler manifolds, and thus it is reasonable to expect that the L^2 extension theory of Ohsawa–Takegoshi, which builds on the former, does as well. At present, it seems difficult to answer Question B in full generality; to the best of our knowledge, the only known result so far is the one recently obtained by Chen–Wu–Wang [CWW15], who gave an affirmative answer in the special case where H is 0-dimensional, i.e., H is a single point. This result has found a few unexpected applications; see [CWW15] for details.

In this paper we first extend the above result of Chen–Wu–Wang to the case of holomorphic sections of twisted canonical bundles over relatively compact complete Kähler domains in Stein manifolds, and then present some new applications. Our first main result reads as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be an n -dimensional Stein manifold with a continuous volume form dV_X and Ω be a relatively compact complete Kähler domain in X . Suppose L is a holomorphic line bundle over Ω and h is a singular Hermitian metric on L with semi-positive curvature current. Then for every $x_0 \in \Omega$ and $s_{x_0} \in \Lambda^n T_{x_0}^* \Omega \otimes L_{x_0}$, there is an L -valued holomorphic n -form s on Ω such that $s(x_0) = s_{x_0}$ and*

$$\int_{\Omega} \sqrt{-1}^{n^2} \{s, s\}_h \leq C \frac{\sqrt{-1}^{n^2} \{s_{x_0}, s_{x_0}\}_h}{dV_X(x_0)}$$

provided the right-hand side is finite, where $C > 0$ is a constant depending only on n , Ω and dV_X .

Here $\{ , \}_h$ denotes the sesquilinear product combining the wedge product of scalar valued forms with the Hermitian metric h on L (see Section 4 below for a precise definition).

We now sketch the idea of the proof of Theorem 1.1. Following the strategy of Ohsawa [Ohs95] and Chen–Wu–Wang [CWW15], we reduce Theorem 1.1 to an Ohsawa–Takegoshi type L^2 existence theorem for the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator on Hermitian holomorphic line bundles, subject to certain curvature constraints, over *complete Kähler* manifolds (see Theorem 3.2 below). Since the Hermitian metric on the line bundle under consideration is allowed to be *singular*, the proof of Theorem 3.2 relies heavily on Demailly’s approximation theory [Dem82, Dem92, Dem94], in which there are mainly two approximation theorems for singular Hermitian metrics:

¹Although formulated slightly differently, this question is actually equivalent to Ohsawa’s original question, as can be easily seen by induction on n .

- one is a local approximation by Hermitian metrics that are smooth on the complement of suitably chosen complex subvarieties in the manifold, with arbitrarily small loss of positivity in curvature;
- the other is a global approximation by Hermitian metrics that are smooth on the entire manifold, but with less controllable loss of positivity in curvature.

The first approximation theorem has been used by Zhou–Zhu [ZZ18] (see also [ZZ20]) to establish a singular metric (and optimal constant) version of the Ohsawa–Takegoshi–Manivel L^2 extension theorem on weakly pseudoconvex Kähler manifolds [Dem00]. In the more general case of complete Kähler manifolds, the lack of pseudoconvexity however makes it hard to apply the first approximation theorem. Instead we make use of the second one, which combined with the a priori inequality for the twisted $\bar{\partial}$ -operator due to Ohsawa–Takegoshi [OT87] allows us to solve the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation with two error terms by an induction argument, thus proving Theorem 3.2. This idea of arguing by induction is inspired by the work of Demailly [Dem82].

Next, we present an interesting application of Theorem 1.1 to the removable singularities of plurisubharmonic (psh for short) functions. Specifically, we prove the following

Theorem 1.2. *Let Ω be a domain in a Stein manifold of dimension ≥ 2 and K be a compact complete pluripolar subset of Ω . Then every psh function on $\Omega \setminus K$ admits a unique psh extension to Ω .*

Recently, Chen [Che17] showed that the well-known Hartogs extension theorem for holomorphic functions is also valid for pluriharmonic functions (see also [Wan22a]). However, it has long been known that this is not the case for psh functions (see, e.g., [BT88]). Given this situation, it is worth proving a Hartogs extension theorem of a slightly different type for psh functions, such as Theorem 1.2. Our motivation for such a result also stems from a renewed interest in the original Hartogs extension theorem in recent years; for details see the most recent work [BDP22, Vij22] and the references therein.

To put Theorem 1.2 in historical context, we recall that there are two landmark results in the extension theory of closed positive currents, namely the Harvey extension theorem [Har74] and the Skoda–El Mir extension theorem [Sko82, EIM84], which are complementary to each other and either of which unifies and generalizes many important results previously proved by Bishop, Remmert–Stein, Shiffman, Siu and others; for more information see the original papers just mentioned and also [HP75, Har77, Sib85]. Corresponding to Harvey’s extension theorem there is an extension theorem for psh functions due to Shiffman [Shi72], which states that every psh function on an n -dimensional complex manifold extends plurisubharmonically across a closed set of Hausdorff $(2n - 2)$ -measure zero. Theorem 1.2 can be seen more or less as an analogue of the Skoda–El Mir extension theorem for psh functions, which seems to have been missing until this paper, at least as far as we have found.

To say more about Theorem 1.2, we also recall a standard fact in pluripotential theory that pluripolar sets in an n -dimensional complex manifold have Hausdorff dimension at most $2n - 2$, and mention the existence of compact complete pluripolar sets with positive (finite or infinite) Hausdorff $(2n - 2)$ -measure in \mathbb{C}^n ; see Subsection 2.1 for precise references. These two facts show that Theorem 1.2 is complementary to Shiffman’s theorem as described above, meaning that either of the two theorems can be applied to certain cases where the other cannot. Furthermore, we point out that Theorem 1.2 is global in nature, whereas Shiffman’s theorem (as well as many other related results in the literature; see [Siu74, HP75, CWW15], etc.) is local in nature.

Interestingly, the proof of Theorem 1.2 involves a *combination* of the L^2 theory for the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator (more specifically, Theorem 1.1), pluripotential theory, and some basic geometric measure theory; see Sect. 5 for details. In contrast, in most of the previous work on the extension of closed positive currents (particularly, complex varieties) and psh functions, the L^2 method and the geometric measure-theoretic method have often been used *separately*, notably by Siu [Siu74] and Shiffman [Shi68], Harvey [Har74], respectively. The use of Theorem 1.1 in the proof of Theorem 1.2 is inspired by the work of Chen–Wu–Wang [CWW15] and Demailly [Dem92]. It should also be mentioned that a weaker version of Theorem 1.2, with some additional assumptions, was already proved by the author in his previous work [Wan22b] by quite a different method.

We now pose the following fairly natural question concerning Theorem 1.2:

Question 1.3. Does Theorem 1.2 still hold when K is only assumed to be a compact pluripolar subset of Ω ?

The answer to this question is likely to be positive, although it remains out of reach at the time of writing. We also refer the interested reader to [CWW15, Conjecture 4.1] for a different but closely related problem.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we review some necessary notions and results that will be used in this paper. We then prove in Section 3 an Ohsawa–Takegoshi type L^2 existence theorem for the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator on complete Kähler manifolds, which is in turn used in Section 4 to prove Theorem 1.1. Finally, the proof of Theorem 1.2 is carried out in Section 5.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Professor Bo-Yong Chen for drawing his attention to the question of Ohsawa as described in the Introduction to this paper. The author would also like to thank Professor Xiangyu Zhou, his mentor when he was a postdoctoral fellow at the Institute of Mathematics, AMSS, Chinese Academy of Sciences from 2017 to 2019, for his interest in this work, and for his constant support and encouragement over the years.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we fix some necessary notation and collect some fundamental results from pluripotential theory and the L^2 theory of the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator, which will be used in subsequent sections.

2.1. Complete pluripolar sets and their defining functions. We begin by recalling the definition of complete pluripolar sets. Let X be a complex manifold and $\text{Psh}(X)$ denote the set of all psh functions on X .

Definition 2.1. A set $E \subset X$ is called *complete pluripolar* if for every point $x \in E$ there exists a neighborhood U of x and a function $\varphi \in \text{Psh}(U)$ such that

$$E \cap U = \varphi^{-1}(-\infty).$$

The set of all complex subvarieties of X forms a particularly important class of (closed) complete pluripolar sets, but complete pluripolar sets are much more general: for instance, the Cartesian product of finitely many (possibly distinct) Cantor type sets of logarithmic capacity zero in the complex plane is a *compact* complete pluripolar set in the corresponding complex Euclidean space (see, e.g., [Ran95]), but obviously not complex-analytic. One may also consult [EIM84, LMP92, Edl04, DM14] and the references therein for many other nontrivial, constructive examples of *compact* complete pluripolar sets in \mathbb{C}^n , especially those of minimal Hausdorff codimension (i.e., of Hausdorff codimension two). Using these

examples and the Bishop–Narasimhan–Remmert embedding theorem, one can construct a large number of compact complete pluripolar sets in general Stein manifolds.

On Stein manifolds, Coltoiu [Col90] proved the following important result concerning the existence of a global defining function for a closed complete pluripolar set.

Theorem 2.2 (see [Col90]). *Let X be a Stein manifold and $E \subset X$ be a closed complete pluripolar set. Then there is a function $\rho \in \text{Psh}(X) \cap C^\infty(X \setminus E)$ such that $\rho^{-1}(-\infty) = E$ and $\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\rho > 0$ on $X \setminus E$.*

As we shall see later, this result is crucial in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

2.2. Twisted Bochner–Kodaira–Nakano inequality. Let (X, ω) be an n -dimensional Kähler manifold and (L, h) be a Hermitian holomorphic line bundle over X . Given integers $0 \leq p, q \leq n$, we let $L^2(X, \Lambda^{p,q}T^*X \otimes L; \omega, h)$ denote the space of L -valued (p, q) -forms u with measurable complex coefficients on X , satisfying

$$\|u\|_{\omega, h} := \left(\int_X |u|_{\omega, h}^2 dV_\omega \right)^{1/2} < \infty,$$

where $|\cdot|_{\omega, h}^2$ is the pointwise norm on $\Lambda^{p,q}T^*X \otimes L$ induced by ω and h , and $dV_\omega = \omega^n/n!$ is the volume form on X induced by ω . We also denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\omega, h}$ (resp. $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_{\omega, h}$) the pointwise (resp. global) inner product corresponding to $|\cdot|_{\omega, h}^2$ (resp. $\|\cdot\|_{\omega, h}^2$). Obviously $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_{\omega, h}$ makes $L^2(X, \Lambda^{p,q}T^*X \otimes L; \omega, h)$ a Hilbert space, which contains as a dense subspace the space $\mathcal{D}(X, \Lambda^{p,q}T^*X \otimes L)$ of L -valued C^∞ (p, q) -forms with compact support in X . For our later purposes, we also need to consider the maximal weak extension of the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator to $L^2(X, \Lambda^{p,q}T^*X \otimes L; \omega, h)$, which we still denote by $\bar{\partial}$. Then it is a closed, densely defined operator, and so is its Hilbert adjoint $\bar{\partial}_{\omega, h}^*$ with respect to $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_{\omega, h}$.

Sometimes it is necessary to consider L -valued (p, q) -forms with local square-integrability on X , instead of (global) square-integrability. The space consisting of all such forms is obviously independent of the choice of the C^∞ metrics ω and h , and we simply denote it by $L_{\text{loc}}^2(X, \Lambda^{p,q}T^*X \otimes L)$.

We now record the following a priori inequality due to Ohsawa–Takegoshi [OT87] (see also [Ohs95, Dem00, Dem12b]), which plays a fundamental role in the whole L^2 theory of the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator.

Lemma 2.3. *Let (X, ω) be an n -dimensional Kähler manifold and (L, h) be a Hermitian holomorphic line bundle over X . Given two C^∞ functions $\eta, \lambda > 0$ on X , we then have*

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\| \sqrt{\eta + \lambda} \bar{\partial}_{\omega, h}^* u \right\|_{\omega, h}^2 + \left\| \sqrt{\eta} \bar{\partial} u \right\|_{\omega, h}^2 \\ & \geq \left\langle\left\langle [\eta \sqrt{-1} \Theta_h(L) - \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \eta - \lambda^{-1} \sqrt{-1} \partial \eta \wedge \bar{\partial} \eta, \Lambda_\omega] u, u \right\rangle\right\rangle_{\omega, h} \end{aligned}$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{D}(X, \Lambda^{n,q}T^*X \otimes L)$, $q \geq 1$, where $\sqrt{-1} \Theta_h(L)$ denotes the Chern curvature form of (L, h) and Λ_ω is the pointwise adjoint of the Lefschetz operator $L_\omega = \omega \wedge \cdot$.

Inequality (2.1) also applies to the case where (L, h) is a Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle over X . Since we only use the case of line bundles in this paper, we restrict ourselves to this particular case.

3. AN OHSAWA–TAKEGOSHI TYPE L^2 EXISTENCE THEOREM

In this section we present an Ohsawa–Takegoshi type L^2 existence theorem for the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator on complete Kähler manifolds, which contains as a special case Demailly’s far-reaching generalization of the L^2 existence theorems due to Hörmander and Andreotti–Vesentini (cf. [Dem82, Théorème 5.1] and also Remark 3.3 (iii)). Such an existence result

is more or less known to experts, but since being unable to locate a good reference for its proof, we will include a detailed argument for the reader's convenience. (Here we are not claiming any credit.)

To begin with, we recall the notion of singular Hermitian metrics. Let L be a holomorphic line bundle over a complex manifold X .

Definition 3.1. A (possibly) *singular Hermitian metric* h on L is a measurable Hermitian metric that can be expressed on U as $e^{-\varphi}$, where $U \subset X$ is an open set over which L is trivial, and $\varphi \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(U)$.

It is easy to see that in terms of a given smooth Hermitian metric h_0 , every singular Hermitian metric h on L can be written exactly in the form $h = h_0 e^{-\varphi}$, where $\varphi \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(X)$; and the curvature current

$$\sqrt{-1}\Theta_h(L) := -\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\log h$$

of (L, h) is semi-positive exactly when the weight $\varphi = -\log(h/h_0)$ of h (with respect to h_0) satisfies

$$\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_0}(L) + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi \geq 0 \quad \text{on } X$$

in the sense of currents.

Given a singular Hermitian metric h on L , we can also define $L^2(X, \Lambda^{p,q}T^*X \otimes L; \omega, h)$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\omega, h}$ in a similar way as in Subsection 2.2. We now state and prove the following

Theorem 3.2. *Let X be an n -dimensional complete Kähler manifold with a (not necessarily complete) Kähler metric ω and L be a holomorphic line bundle over X with a singular Hermitian metric h . Suppose there are bounded C^∞ functions $\eta, \lambda > 0$ on X such that*

$$(3.1) \quad \eta\sqrt{-1}\Theta_h(L) - \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\eta - \lambda^{-1}\sqrt{-1}\partial\eta \wedge \bar{\partial}\eta \geq \Theta$$

*in the sense of currents, where Θ is a continuous positive $(1, 1)$ -form on X . Then for every $\bar{\partial}$ -closed $v \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(X, \Lambda^{n,q}T^*X \otimes L)$, $q \geq 1$, such that*

$$\int_X \langle [\Theta, \Lambda_\omega]^{-1}v, v \rangle_{\omega, h} dV_\omega < \infty,$$

*there exists $u \in L^2(X, \Lambda^{n,q-1}T^*X \otimes L; \omega, h)$ such that $\bar{\partial}u = v$ and*

$$(3.2) \quad \int_X (\eta + \lambda)^{-1} |u|_{\omega, h}^2 dV_\omega \leq \int_X \langle [\Theta, \Lambda_\omega]^{-1}v, v \rangle_{\omega, h} dV_\omega.$$

We are of course mainly interested in the case $q = 1$ but the general statement is needed in the proof.

Proof. First of all, a standard monotonicity argument as in [Dem82] allows us to reduce the theorem to the case when ω is complete, which we shall henceforward assume.

We next make use of Demailly's approximation theorem [Dem82, Théorème 9.1], according to which there is an increasing sequence $\{h_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of C^∞ Hermitian metrics on L such that

- (i) $h_j \nearrow h$ on X as $j \rightarrow \infty$, and
- (ii) the curvature of each h_j satisfies

$$(3.3) \quad \eta\sqrt{-1}\Theta_{h_j}(L) - \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\eta - \lambda^{-1}\sqrt{-1}\partial\eta \wedge \bar{\partial}\eta \geq \Theta - \delta_j\omega,$$

where $\{\delta_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a decreasing sequence of continuous functions on X that converges to 0 *almost everywhere* on X as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

Since (X, ω) is complete, we can easily construct a C^∞ exhaustion function ρ for X with $|d\rho|_\omega \leq 1$ on X . Choose also a C^∞ cut-off function $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$ on \mathbb{R} satisfying $\chi|_{(-\infty, 1)} = 1$, $\chi|_{(2, \infty)} = 0$ and $|\chi'| \leq 2$ on \mathbb{R} . Define

$$\chi_j := \chi(\rho/j), \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We now proceed to establish several necessary estimates. For notational simplicity, we set

$$\begin{aligned} L^2(X, \Lambda^{n, q} T^* X \otimes L) &= L^2(X, \Lambda^{n, q} T^* X \otimes L; \omega, h), \\ L^2(X, \Lambda^{n, q} T^* X \otimes L)_k &= L^2(X, \Lambda^{n, q} T^* X \otimes L; \omega, h_k) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_{\omega, h}, \quad \langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_k = \langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_{\omega, h_k}$$

as well as $\bar{\partial}_k^* = \bar{\partial}_{\omega, h_k}^*$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The same simplification applies to other notation, and we may even omit subscripts when no confusion arises. Let $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Given v as in the theorem we have

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} |\langle\langle \chi_j v, w \rangle\rangle_k|^2 &\leq \langle\langle [\Theta, \Lambda]^{-1} v, v \rangle\rangle_k \langle\langle [\Theta, \Lambda](\chi_j w), \chi_j w \rangle\rangle_k \\ &\leq \langle\langle [\Theta, \Lambda]^{-1} v, v \rangle\rangle \langle\langle [\Theta, \Lambda](\chi_j w), \chi_j w \rangle\rangle_k \end{aligned}$$

for all $w \in \text{Dom } \bar{\partial} \cap \text{Dom } \bar{\partial}_k^*$, in view of the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and the fact that $h_k \leq h$. Since $\chi_j w \in \text{Dom } \bar{\partial} \cap \text{Dom } \bar{\partial}_k^*$ as easily checked by definition, Ohsawa–Takegoshi’s a priori inequality (2.1) together with (3.3) and Andreotti–Vesentini’s density theorem (see, e.g., [Dem12a, Chap. VIII]) implies

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\sqrt{\eta + \lambda} \bar{\partial}_k^*(\chi_j w)\|_k^2 + \|\sqrt{\eta} \bar{\partial}(\chi_j w)\|_k^2 &\geq \langle\langle [\Theta - \delta_k \omega, \Lambda](\chi_j w), \chi_j w \rangle\rangle_k \\ &= \langle\langle [\Theta, \Lambda](\chi_j w), \chi_j w \rangle\rangle_k - q \|\chi_j \delta_k^{1/2} w\|_k^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that $[\omega, \Lambda] = q \text{Id}$ on $\Lambda^{n, q} T^* X$. Observe also that

$$\bar{\partial}(\chi_j w) = \chi_j \bar{\partial} w + \bar{\partial} \chi_j \wedge w$$

and

$$\bar{\partial}_k^*(\chi_j w) = \chi_j \bar{\partial}_k^* w - (\text{grad } \chi_j)^{0,1} \lrcorner w,$$

where \lrcorner denotes the contraction operator and $(\text{grad } \chi_j)^{0,1}$ is the $(0, 1)$ -part of the gradient of χ_j under the metric corresponding to ω . Substituting these two identities into (3.5) and applying the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we get

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle\langle [\Theta, \Lambda](\chi_j w), \chi_j w \rangle\rangle_k &\leq q \|\chi_j \delta_k^{1/2} w\|_k^2 + \left(1 + \frac{1}{j}\right) \left(\|\sqrt{\eta + \lambda} \bar{\partial}_k^* w\|_k^2 + \|\sqrt{\eta} \bar{\partial} w\|_k^2\right) \\ &\quad + (1 + j) \left(\|\sqrt{\eta + \lambda} (\text{grad } \chi_j)^{0,1} \lrcorner w\|_k^2 + \|\sqrt{\eta} \bar{\partial} \chi_j \wedge w\|_k^2\right) \\ &\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{j}\right) \left(\|\sqrt{\eta + \lambda} \bar{\partial}_k^* w\|_k^2 + \|\sqrt{\eta} \bar{\partial} w\|_k^2 + \frac{C}{j} \|w\|_k^2\right) \\ &\quad + q \|\chi_j \delta_k^{1/2} w\|_k^2 \end{aligned}$$

with $C = 2 \sup_X (2\eta + \lambda)$, where in the last inequality we have used the facts that

$$|\bar{\partial} \chi_j \wedge w|_k \leq |\bar{\partial} \chi_j| |w|_k \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{j} |w|_k$$

and

$$|(\text{grad } \chi_j)^{0,1} \lrcorner w|_k \leq |\bar{\partial} \chi_j| |w|_k \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{j} |w|_k.$$

Now combining (3.4) with (3.6) we conclude that for $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$ the estimate

$$(3.7) \quad |\langle \chi_j v, w \rangle_k|^2 \leq C_j \left(\|\sqrt{\eta + \lambda} \bar{\partial}_k^* w\|_k^2 + \|\sqrt{\eta} \bar{\partial} w\|_k^2 + q \|\chi_j \delta_k^{1/2} w\|_k^2 + \frac{C}{j} \|w\|_k^2 \right)$$

holds for all $w \in \text{Dom } \bar{\partial} \cap \text{Dom } \bar{\partial}_k^*$, with

$$C_j := \left(1 + \frac{1}{j}\right) \langle [\Theta, \Lambda]^{-1} v, v \rangle.$$

This estimate enables us to prove the theorem by induction on $n - q$, as we shall now explain. The idea is due to Demailly [Dem82], as mentioned in the Introduction.

When $q = n$, (3.7) reads

$$|\langle \chi_j v, w \rangle_k|^2 \leq C_j \left(\|\sqrt{\eta + \lambda} \bar{\partial}_k^* w\|_k^2 + q \|\chi_j \delta_k^{1/2} w\|_k^2 + \frac{C}{j} \|w\|_k^2 \right)$$

for all $w \in \text{Dom } \bar{\partial}_k^*$. This shows that the anti-linear functional $w \mapsto \langle \chi_j v, w \rangle_k$ is continuous on the Hilbert space

$$\left(\text{Dom } \bar{\partial}_k^*, \|\sqrt{\eta + \lambda} \bar{\partial}_k^* \cdot\|_k^2 + q \|\chi_j \delta_k^{1/2} \cdot\|_k^2 + \frac{C}{j} \|\cdot\|_k^2 \right)$$

with norm at most $\sqrt{C_j}$. Thus by the Riesz representation theorem, there exist

$$u_{jk} \in L^2(X, \Lambda^{n, n-1} T^* X \otimes L)_k$$

and

$$v_{jk}, w_{jk} \in L^2(X, \Lambda^{n, n} T^* X \otimes L)_k$$

such that

$$(3.8) \quad \|(\eta + \lambda)^{-\frac{1}{2}} u_{jk}\|_k^2 + \|v_{jk}\|_k^2 + \|w_{jk}\|_k^2 \leq C_j$$

and

$$\langle u_{jk}, \bar{\partial}_k^* w \rangle_k + \sqrt{q} \langle v_{jk}, \chi_j \delta_k^{1/2} w \rangle_k + \sqrt{C/j} \langle w_{jk}, w \rangle_k = \langle \chi_j v, w \rangle_k$$

for all $w \in \text{Dom } \bar{\partial}_k^*$. This means that $u_{jk} \in \text{Dom } \bar{\partial}_k^{**} = \text{Dom } \bar{\partial}$ and

$$\bar{\partial} u_{jk} + \sqrt{q} \chi_j \delta_k^{1/2} v_{jk} + \sqrt{C/j} w_{jk} = \chi_j v$$

for all $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, since $\{h_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is increasing, (3.8) and the Banach–Alaoglu theorem imply that $\{u_{jk}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ (resp. $\{w_{jk}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$) has a subsequence that converges weakly to some u_j (resp. w_j) in $L_{\text{loc}}^2(X, \Lambda^{n, n-1} T^* X \otimes L)$ (resp. $L_{\text{loc}}^2(X, \Lambda^{n, n} T^* X \otimes L)$). Also since $\{\delta_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ decreases to 0 almost everywhere on X , it follows readily from (3.8), the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and the dominated convergence theorem that $\{\chi_j \delta_k^{1/2} v_{jk}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to 0 in $L_{\text{loc}}^1(X, \Lambda^{n, n} T^* X \otimes L)$. Consequently

$$\bar{\partial} u_j + \sqrt{C/j} w_j = \chi_j v$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \left((\eta + \lambda)^{-1} |u_j|_l^2 + |w_j|_l^2 \right) dV &\leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \left((\eta + \lambda)^{-1} |u_{jk}|_l^2 + |w_{jk}|_l^2 \right) dV \\ &\leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\|(\eta + \lambda)^{-\frac{1}{2}} u_{jk}\|_k^2 + \|w_{jk}\|_k^2 \right) \\ &\leq C_j \end{aligned}$$

for all $j, l \in \mathbb{N}$ and open sets $\Omega \subset\subset X$. Letting first $l \rightarrow \infty$ and then $\Omega \rightarrow X$ we obtain

$$\|(\eta + \lambda)^{-\frac{1}{2}} u_j\|^2 + \|w_j\|^2 \leq C_j$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, in view of Levi's theorem. Taking a weak limit point u of $\{u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $L^2_{\text{loc}}(X, \Lambda^{n, n-1} T^* X \otimes L)$, we then conclude immediately that $\bar{\partial}u = v$ and

$$\|(\eta + \lambda)^{-\frac{1}{2}}u\|^2 \leq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} C_j = \langle\langle [\Theta, \Lambda]^{-1}v, v \rangle\rangle.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem for the case $q = n$.

Now assume that $1 \leq q \leq n - 1$ and that the conclusion of the theorem is true for all $\bar{\partial}$ -closed $(n, q + 1)$ -forms with the prescribed integrability. We proceed to show that the conclusion is also true for all (n, q) -forms with the same property. Let v be such a form. Then by the induction hypothesis, for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $v_j \in L^2(X, \Lambda^{n, q} T^* X \otimes L)$ such that

$$\bar{\partial}v_j = \bar{\partial}(\chi_j v) = \bar{\partial}\chi_j \wedge v$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\eta + \lambda)^{-\frac{1}{2}}v_j\|^2 &\leq \langle\langle [\Theta, \Lambda]^{-1}(\bar{\partial}\chi_j \wedge v), \bar{\partial}\chi_j \wedge v \rangle\rangle \\ &\leq \sup_X |\bar{\partial}\chi_j|^2 \langle\langle [\Theta, \Lambda]^{-1}v, v \rangle\rangle \\ (3.9) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\leq \frac{2}{j^2} \langle\langle [\Theta, \Lambda]^{-1}v, v \rangle\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Also for every $w \in \text{Dom } \bar{\partial}_k^*$ we have the orthogonal decomposition $w = w_1 + w_2$, where

$$w_1 \in \text{Ker } \bar{\partial} \quad \text{and} \quad w_2 \in (\text{Ker } \bar{\partial})^\perp \subset \text{Ker } \bar{\partial}_k^*.$$

Since

$$v_j \in L^2(X, \Lambda^{n, q} T^* X \otimes L) \subset L^2(X, \Lambda^{n, q} T^* X \otimes L)_k$$

and $\chi_j v - v_j \in \text{Ker } \bar{\partial}$, it then follows that

$$\langle\langle \chi_j v - v_j, w \rangle\rangle_k = \langle\langle \chi_j v - v_j, w_1 \rangle\rangle_k,$$

whence

$$\langle\langle \chi_j v, w \rangle\rangle_k = \langle\langle v_j, w \rangle\rangle_k + \langle\langle \chi_j v - v_j, w_1 \rangle\rangle_k = \langle\langle \chi_j v, w_1 \rangle\rangle_k + \langle\langle v_j, w_2 \rangle\rangle_k.$$

Applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to this and taking (3.9) into account, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle\langle \chi_j v, w \rangle\rangle_k|^2 &\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{j}\right) |\langle\langle \chi_j v, w_1 \rangle\rangle_k|^2 + (1 + j) \|v_j\|^2 \|w_2\|_k^2 \\ &\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{j}\right) \left(|\langle\langle \chi_j v, w_1 \rangle\rangle_k|^2 + \frac{2}{j} \sup_X (\eta + \lambda) \langle\langle [\Theta, \Lambda]^{-1}v, v \rangle\rangle \|w\|_k^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $w_1 \in \text{Ker } \bar{\partial} \cap \text{Dom } \bar{\partial}_k^*$ and $w_2 \in \text{Ker } \bar{\partial}_k^*$, it follows from (3.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle\langle \chi_j v, w_1 \rangle\rangle_k|^2 &\leq C_j \left(\|\sqrt{\eta + \lambda} \bar{\partial}_k^* w_1\|_k^2 + q \|\chi_j \delta_k^{1/2} w_1\|_k^2 + \frac{C}{j} \|w_1\|_k^2 \right) \\ &\leq C_j \left(\|\sqrt{\eta + \lambda} \bar{\partial}_k^* w\|_k^2 + q \|\chi_j \delta_k^{1/2} w_1\|_k^2 + \frac{C}{j} \|w\|_k^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with the preceding inequalities we arrive at

$$|\langle\langle \chi_j v, w \rangle\rangle_k|^2 \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{j}\right) C_j \left(\|\sqrt{\eta + \lambda} \bar{\partial}_k^* w\|_k^2 + q \|\chi_j \delta_k^{1/2} P_k w\|_k^2 + \frac{2C}{j} \|w\|_k^2 \right)$$

for all $w \in \text{Dom } \bar{\partial}_k^*$, where P_k denotes the orthogonal projection from $L^2(X, \Lambda^{n, q} T^* X \otimes L)_k$ onto $\text{Ker } \bar{\partial}$. Then the Riesz representation theorem applies and implies that there exist

$$u_{jk} \in L^2(X, \Lambda^{n, q-1} T^* X \otimes L)_k$$

and

$$v_{jk}, w_{jk} \in L^2(X, \Lambda^{n,q} T^* X \otimes L)_k$$

such that

$$(3.10) \quad \|(\eta + \lambda)^{-\frac{1}{2}} u_{jk}\|_k^2 + \|v_{jk}\|_k^2 + \|w_{jk}\|_k^2 \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{j}\right) C_j$$

and

$$\langle\langle u_{jk}, \bar{\partial}_k^* w \rangle\rangle_k + \sqrt{q} \langle\langle v_{jk}, \chi_j \delta_k^{1/2} P_k w \rangle\rangle_k + \sqrt{2C/j} \langle\langle w_{jk}, w \rangle\rangle_k = \langle\langle \chi_j v, w \rangle\rangle_k$$

for all $w \in \text{Dom } \bar{\partial}_k^*$. It follows that

$$\bar{\partial} u_{jk} + \sqrt{q} P_k (\chi_j \delta_k^{1/2} v_{jk}) + \sqrt{2C/j} w_{jk} = \chi_j v$$

for all $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Now what remains is essentially to show that for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(3.11) \quad f_{jk} := P_k (\chi_j \delta_k^{1/2} v_{jk}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{weakly in } L_{\text{loc}}^2(X, \Lambda^{n,q} T^* X \otimes L)$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Once this is proved, an argument analogous to the one in the second half of the previous paragraph leads to the existence of a solution u to equation $\bar{\partial} u = v$ together with the estimate

$$\|(\eta + \lambda)^{-\frac{1}{2}} u\|^2 \leq \langle\langle [\Theta, \Lambda]^{-1} v, v \rangle\rangle,$$

thus completing the induction procedure (and hence the proof of the theorem).

To prove (3.11), observe first that by (3.10),

$$(3.12) \quad \|f_{jk}\|_k \leq \|\chi_j \delta_k^{1/2} v_{jk}\|_k \leq \sup_X (\chi_j \delta_1^{1/2}) \|v_{jk}\|_k \leq \text{const}_j$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where const_j denotes a constant depending only on j . This observation is crucial in the following argument and will be used repeatedly. For the time being, combined with the Banach–Alaoglu theorem, it implies that the set of weak limit points of $\{f_{jk}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is non-empty. Thus what we need to show is equivalent to saying that 0 is the only element of the set. To establish the validity of the latter, we may assume without loss of generality that the sequence $\{f_{jk}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ itself is weakly convergent in $L_{\text{loc}}^2(X, \Lambda^{n,q} T^* X \otimes L)$, with limit, say f_j . Let H_k (resp. H) denote the only positive definite Hermitian operator on (L, h_1) that satisfies $|H_k \zeta|_1 = |\zeta|_k$ (resp. $|H \zeta|_1 = |\zeta|$) for all $\zeta \in L$. Then

$$\text{Id}_L \leq H_k \leq H_{k+1}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\{H_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to H almost everywhere on X . Extending H_k and H to $\Lambda^{n,q} T^* X \otimes L$ in a natural way, we claim that

$$H_k f_{jk} \rightarrow H f_j \quad \text{weakly in } L_{\text{loc}}^2(X, \Lambda^{n,q} T^* X \otimes L)$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. To show this, it suffices to check that $H f_j$ is the only weak limit point of $\{H_k f_{jk}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$. Again by (3.12) and the Banach–Alaoglu theorem, we may assume that the sequence $\{H_k f_{jk}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ itself is weakly convergent in $L_{\text{loc}}^2(X, \Lambda^{n,q} T^* X \otimes L)$, with limit, say g_j . Observe that for every compactly supported $\varphi \in L^2(X, \Lambda^{n,q} T^* X \otimes L)_1$,

$$\left| \langle\langle H_k f_{jk}, (H_k^{-1} - H^{-1}) \varphi \rangle\rangle_1 \right| \leq \|f_{jk}\|_k \|(H_k^{-1} - H^{-1}) \varphi\|_1 \leq \text{const}_j \|(H_k^{-1} - H^{-1}) \varphi\|_1 \rightarrow 0$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$, in view of (3.12), the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and the dominated convergence theorem. Then

$$\langle\langle f_j, \varphi \rangle\rangle_1 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle\langle H_k f_{jk}, H_k^{-1} \varphi \rangle\rangle_1 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle\langle H_k f_{jk}, H^{-1} \varphi \rangle\rangle_1 = \langle\langle g_j, H^{-1} \varphi \rangle\rangle_1,$$

hence $g_j = Hf_j$ and the claim follows. Moreover by (3.12) and the definition of H_k , we see that $Hf_j \in L^2(X, \Lambda^{n,q}T^*X \otimes L)_1$. Now using (3.12) again and a standard $\varepsilon/3$ -argument, we further conclude that

$$H_k f_{jk} \rightarrow Hf_j \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(X, \Lambda^{n,q}T^*X \otimes L)_1$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. In particular

$$\|f_j\|^2 = \|Hf_j\|_1^2 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle H_k f_{jk}, Hf_j \rangle_1 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle H_k f_{jk}, H_k f_j \rangle_1,$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that

$$\left| \langle H_k f_{jk}, (H_k - H)f_j \rangle_1 \right| \leq \|f_{jk}\|_k \|(H_k - H)f_j\|_1 \leq \text{const}_j \|(H_k - H)f_j\|_1 \rightarrow 0$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Equivalently,

$$\|f_j\|^2 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle f_{jk}, f_j \rangle_k.$$

On the other hand, since $\bar{\partial}f_{jk} = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows immediately that $\bar{\partial}f_j = 0$ as well, hence

$$\langle f_{jk}, f_j \rangle_k = \langle \chi_j \delta_k^{1/2} v_{jk}, f_j \rangle_k.$$

Moreover

$$\left| \langle \chi_j \delta_k^{1/2} v_{jk}, f_j \rangle_k \right| \leq \|v_{jk}\|_k \|\chi_j f_j \delta_k^{1/2}\|_k \leq \text{const}_j \|\chi_j f_j \delta_k^{1/2}\| \rightarrow 0$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$, in view of (3.10) and the dominated convergence theorem. Consequently $\|f_j\| = 0$, i.e., $f_j = 0$ almost everywhere on X . This establishes the desired weak convergence of $\{f_{jk}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and hence the theorem. \square

Remark 3.3. A few remarks are in order:

- (i) When $q = 1$, the estimate in (3.2) is independent of the choice of the Kähler metric ω . In fact, Demailly [Dem82] and Ohsawa [Ohs95] have respectively explained in detail why this is the case for the right-hand side of (3.2); as for the left-hand side, things are relatively simple, since it is easy to see that $\int_X |u|_{\omega,h}^2 dV_\omega$ can be expressed in an intrinsic way in terms of $\{ , \}_h$ (to be recalled in Section 4):

$$(3.13) \quad |u|_{\omega,h}^2 dV_\omega = \sqrt{-1}^{n^2} \{u, u\}_h.$$

Moreover in the case $q = 1$, if the form v in Theorem 3.2 is smooth then every solution to equation $\bar{\partial}u = v$ is smooth as well. This follows immediately from the ellipticity of $\bar{\partial}$ as a differential operator acting on $(n, 0)$ -forms.

- (ii) In [Dem00] (see also [Ohs95, Dem12b]), Demailly proved using Ohsawa–Takegoshi’s a priori inequality (2.1) an abstract L^2 existence theorem for the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator under the assumption that the metric h on L is *smooth* and v satisfies the additional L^2 integrability

$$\int_X |v|_{\omega,h}^2 dV_\omega < \infty.$$

Compared with this result, the point of Theorem 3.2 is that it allows the metric h to be *singular*. This is crucial in proving Theorem 1.1 (and hence Theorem 1.2).

- (iii) As the preceding proof indicates, the curvature condition (3.1) can be weakened to an analogous one as in [Dem82, Théorème 5.1] and the bundle L can also be allowed to have higher rank. We restrict ourselves to the present case in order to make the argument as less technical as possible. As we shall see in the next section, Theorem 3.2 is sufficient for the purpose of the present paper.

(iv) In the special case when X is a bounded complete Kähler domain in \mathbb{C}^n and

$$(L, h) = (X \times \mathbb{C}, e^{-\varphi})$$

with $\varphi \in \text{Psh}(X)$, Theorem 3.2 was first proved by Chen–Wu–Wang² in [CWW15] using a different method, namely by first solving the twisted Laplace equation with Dirichlet boundary condition on a sequence of smooth subdomains increasing to X and then using these solutions to approximate the desired solution of the twisted $\bar{\partial}$ -equation on X with L^2 estimate. Although quite interesting, this approach does not seem to work in the manifold case, since – as far as the author finds – it requires that the *strict positivity* of the curvature term on the left-hand side of (3.1) be preserved during the regularization process of the singular Hermitian metric h , but in general the loss of positivity (i.e., the error term $\delta_j \omega$ in (3.3)) coming from any regularization sequence $\{h_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is inevitable and difficult to control even locally.

4. OHSAWA–TAKEGOSHI L^2 EXTENSION THEOREMS: THE COMPLETE KÄHLER CASE

Let Ω be a domain in a complex manifold and $C^\infty(\Omega, \Lambda^{p,q} T^* \Omega \otimes L)$ denote the set of all L -valued C^∞ (p, q) -forms on Ω . Given a Hermitian holomorphic line bundle (L, h) over Ω , there is a canonical sesquilinear map

$$\{ , \}_h : C^\infty(\Omega, \Lambda^{p,q} T^* \Omega \otimes L) \times C^\infty(\Omega, \Lambda^{r,s} T^* \Omega \otimes L) \rightarrow C^\infty(\Omega, \Lambda^{p+s, q+r} T^* \Omega)$$

which combines the wedge product of scalar valued forms with the Hermitian metric h on L . More explicitly, for any given $u \in C^\infty(\Omega, \Lambda^{p,q} T^* \Omega \otimes L)$ and $v \in C^\infty(\Omega, \Lambda^{r,s} T^* \Omega \otimes L)$, if we write

$$u|_U = f \otimes \zeta \quad \text{and} \quad v|_U = g \otimes \zeta$$

where ζ is a frame of L over an open subset U of Ω , then

$$\{u, v\}_h|_U = f \wedge \bar{g} |\zeta|_h^2.$$

Clearly the definition of $\{ , \}_h$ is pointwise and hence makes sense for $u \in \Lambda^{p,q} T_{x_0}^* \Omega \otimes L_{x_0}$ and $v \in \Lambda^{r,s} T_{x_0}^* \Omega \otimes L_{x_0}$ with $x_0 \in \Omega$, even when h is merely a singular Hermitian metric on L .

With the above necessary notion we can now turn to the main goal of this section, namely proving Theorem 1.1, whose statement we recall for ease of reference.

Theorem 4.1. *Let X be an n -dimensional Stein manifold with a continuous volume form dV_X and Ω be a relatively compact complete Kähler domain in X . Suppose L is a holomorphic line bundle over Ω and h is a singular Hermitian metric on L with semi-positive curvature current. Then for every $x_0 \in \Omega$ and $s_{x_0} \in \Lambda^n T_{x_0}^* \Omega \otimes L_{x_0}$, there is an L -valued holomorphic n -form s on Ω such that $s(x_0) = s_{x_0}$ and*

$$\int_{\Omega} \sqrt{-1}^{n^2} \{s, s\}_h \leq \text{const}_{n, \Omega, dV_X} \frac{\sqrt{-1}^{n^2} \{s_{x_0}, s_{x_0}\}_h}{dV_X(x_0)}$$

provided the right-hand side is finite.

Here and henceforward we use $\text{const}_{n, \Omega, dV_X}$ to denote a generic constant which depends only on n , Ω and dV_X , and may be different in different appearances. We shall also use similar notation with obvious meaning in the context.

²They only considered the case when v is smooth and of type $(n, 1)$, but their method actually works equally well for not necessarily smooth (n, q) -forms v , at least under the additional integrability assumption $\int_X |v|_{\omega}^2 e^{-\varphi} dV_{\omega} < \infty$.

We now prove the above theorem. Since $\bar{\Omega}$ is compact in X , we can associate to every point $x \in \Omega$ a local holomorphic coordinate chart $z_x = (z_x^1, \dots, z_x^n)$ of X defined on a neighborhood of the closure of $B_x := \{|z_x| < 1\}$ such that $z_x(x) = 0$ and

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{\sqrt{-1}^{n^2} dz_x \wedge d\bar{z}_x}{dV_X} \geq \text{const}_{n, \Omega, dV_X} \quad \text{on } B_x,$$

where $dz_x := dz_x^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_x^n$. Set

$$\varepsilon B_x = \{|z_x| < \varepsilon\}$$

for $x \in \Omega$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. We claim the following

Lemma 4.2. *Given $x_0 \in \Omega$, $f_{x_0} \in \Lambda^n T_{x_0}^* \Omega$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, $\varphi \in \text{Psh}(\varepsilon B_{x_0})$, there is a holomorphic n -form f_ε on εB_{x_0} such that $f_\varepsilon(x_0) = f_{x_0}$ and*

$$\varepsilon^{-2n} \int_{\varepsilon B_{x_0}} \sqrt{-1}^{n^2} f_\varepsilon \wedge \bar{f}_\varepsilon e^{-\varphi} \leq \text{const}_{n, \Omega, dV_X} \frac{\sqrt{-1}^{n^2} f_{x_0} \wedge \bar{f}_{x_0}}{dV_X(x_0)} e^{-\varphi(x_0)}.$$

Proof. Write $f_{x_0} = c dz_{x_0}$ with $c \in \mathbb{C}$. As in [CWW15], an application of the Ohsawa–Takegoshi extension theorem shows that for every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ there is a holomorphic n -form f_ε on εB_{x_0} such that $f_\varepsilon(x_0) = f_{x_0}$ and

$$\varepsilon^{-2n} \int_{\varepsilon B_{x_0}} \sqrt{-1}^{n^2} f_\varepsilon \wedge \bar{f}_\varepsilon e^{-\varphi} \leq \text{const}_n |c|^2 e^{-\varphi(x_0)}.$$

Combining this with inequality (4.1) yields the desired result immediately. \square

We now proceed to prove Theorem 4.1. With Theorem 3.2 in hand, we do this by adapting the argument in the proof of [CWW15, Theorem 1.3].

Without loss of generality we may assume that $dV_X = \omega^n/n!$, ω being a Kähler form on X . Fix an arbitrary point $x_0 \in \Omega$. Let us write $(B, z) = (B_{x_0}, z_{x_0})$ for the sake of simplicity, and pick a function $\rho \in C^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})$ which is positive, strictly log-psh on $X \setminus \{x_0\}$ and satisfies $\rho < e^{-1}$ on $\bar{\Omega}$ and $\rho = |z|^2$ on a small neighborhood of x_0 . Similarly as in [Ohs95, Che11, CWW15] we set

$$\psi_\varepsilon = \log(\rho + \varepsilon^2) \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_\varepsilon = -\psi_\varepsilon + \log(-\psi_\varepsilon)$$

for $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, where $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ is chosen so small that $\psi_{\varepsilon_0} < -1$ on $\bar{\Omega}$ and the given Hermitian holomorphic line bundle (L, h) is trivial over $\varepsilon_0 B$. A straightforward calculation shows

$$-\partial\eta_\varepsilon = (1 - \psi_\varepsilon^{-1})\partial\psi_\varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad -\partial\bar{\partial}\eta_\varepsilon = (1 - \psi_\varepsilon^{-1})\partial\bar{\partial}\psi_\varepsilon + \psi_\varepsilon^{-2}\partial\psi_\varepsilon \wedge \bar{\partial}\psi_\varepsilon,$$

whence

$$-\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\eta_\varepsilon - \lambda_\varepsilon^{-1}\sqrt{-1}\partial\eta_\varepsilon \wedge \bar{\partial}\eta_\varepsilon \geq \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\psi_\varepsilon \quad \text{on } \Omega,$$

where $\lambda_\varepsilon = (1 - \psi_\varepsilon)^2$.

Now let $s_{x_0} \in \Lambda^n T_{x_0}^* \Omega \otimes L_{x_0}$ be given such that

$$\frac{\sqrt{-1}^{n^2} \{s_{x_0}, s_{x_0}\}_h}{\omega^n(x_0)} < \infty.$$

Take a holomorphic frame ζ of L over $\varepsilon_0 B$ and write s_{x_0} as $s_{x_0} = f_{x_0} \otimes \zeta_{x_0}$. Let $\{f_\varepsilon\}_{0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0}$ be a family of holomorphic n -forms as in Lemma 4.2, corresponding to the weight function

$$\varphi = -\log |\zeta|_h^2,$$

which is psh on $\varepsilon_0 B$ because of the semi-positivity of $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_h(L)$. Consider

$$\Theta_\varepsilon = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}(\rho + \psi_\varepsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad v_\varepsilon = \bar{\partial}(\chi(|z|^2/\varepsilon^2)f_\varepsilon) \otimes \zeta,$$

where χ is a C^∞ function on \mathbb{R} satisfying $\chi|_{(-\infty, 1/4)} = 1$, $\chi|_{(3/4, \infty)} = 0$ and $|\chi'| \leq 4$. Observe that

$$\Theta_\varepsilon \geq \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\psi_\varepsilon = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\log(|z|^2 + \varepsilon^2) \geq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{(|z|^2 + \varepsilon^2)^2} \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}|z|^2$$

on a neighborhood of x_0 . Using this and [Dem82, Lemme 3.2] (see also the paragraph following Proposition 1.5 in [Ohs95]), we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle\langle [\Theta_\varepsilon, \Lambda_\omega]^{-1}v_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon \rangle\rangle_{\omega, h e^{-(\rho+n\log\rho)}} \\ &= \int_{\text{supp } v_\varepsilon} \langle [\Theta_\varepsilon, \Lambda_{\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}|z|^2}]^{-1}v_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon \rangle_{\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}|z|^2, h} e^{-(\rho+n\log\rho)} \frac{(\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}|z|^2)^n}{n!} \\ &\leq \int_{\text{supp } v_\varepsilon} \frac{(|z|^2 + \varepsilon^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} |v_\varepsilon|_{\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}|z|^2, h}^2 e^{-(\rho+n\log\rho)} \frac{(\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}|z|^2)^n}{n!} \\ &\leq \|\chi'\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}^2 \int_{\frac{\sqrt{3}\varepsilon}{2}B \setminus \frac{\varepsilon}{2}B} \frac{|z|^2}{\varepsilon^4} \frac{(|z|^2 + \varepsilon^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} \sqrt{-1}^{n^2} f_\varepsilon \wedge \bar{f}_\varepsilon |z|^{-2n} e^{-\varphi - |z|^2} \\ &\leq \text{const}_n \varepsilon^{-2n} \int_{\varepsilon B} \sqrt{-1}^{n^2} f_\varepsilon \wedge \bar{f}_\varepsilon e^{-\varphi} \\ &\leq \text{const}_{n, \Omega, \omega} \frac{\sqrt{-1}^{n^2} f_{x_0} \wedge \bar{f}_{x_0}}{\omega^n(x_0)} e^{-\varphi(x_0)} \\ &= \text{const}_{n, \Omega, \omega} \frac{\sqrt{-1}^{n^2} \{s_{x_0}, s_{x_0}\}_h}{\omega^n(x_0)} \end{aligned}$$

for all sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$. In this way, $(\Omega, \omega, L, h e^{-(\rho+n\log\rho)}, \eta_\varepsilon, \lambda_\varepsilon, \Theta_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon)$ fits into the framework of Theorem 3.2. Therefore, there is a solution u_ε to equation $\bar{\partial}u = v_\varepsilon$ with the estimate

$$(4.2) \quad \int_{\Omega} \frac{\sqrt{-1}^{n^2} \{u_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon\}_h}{\eta_\varepsilon + \lambda_\varepsilon} e^{-(\rho+n\log\rho)} \leq \text{const}_{n, \Omega, \omega} \frac{\sqrt{-1}^{n^2} \{s_{x_0}, s_{x_0}\}_h}{\omega^n(x_0)}.$$

Here we have used the identity in (3.13). Define

$$s_\varepsilon := \chi(|z|^2/\varepsilon^2) f_\varepsilon \otimes \zeta - u_\varepsilon.$$

Then s_ε is an L -valued holomorphic n -form on Ω . Moreover, since u_ε is holomorphic on a small neighborhood of x_0 and $e^{-n\log\rho}$ is not integrable near x_0 (since it is equal to $|z|^{-2n}$ there), it follows from the finiteness of the left-hand side of (4.2) that $u_\varepsilon(x_0) = 0$ and hence

$$s_\varepsilon(x_0) = f_{x_0} \otimes \zeta_{x_0} = s_{x_0}.$$

Also recalling that $\rho < e^{-1}$ on $\bar{\Omega}$ and taking into account the trivial fact that

$$\eta_\varepsilon + \lambda_\varepsilon \leq 6\psi_\varepsilon^2 \leq 6\log^2\rho,$$

we conclude that

$$(\eta_\varepsilon + \lambda_\varepsilon)^{-1} e^{-(\rho+n\log\rho)} \geq \frac{\text{const}}{\rho^n \log^2\rho} \geq \text{const}_n.$$

Hence (4.2) implies

$$\int_{\Omega} \sqrt{-1}^{n^2} \{u_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon\}_h \leq \text{const}_{n, \Omega, \omega} \frac{\sqrt{-1}^{n^2} \{s_{x_0}, s_{x_0}\}_h}{\omega^n(x_0)}.$$

Now combining this with the choice of f_ε and applying the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we end up with

$$\int_{\Omega} \sqrt{-1}^{n^2} \{s_\varepsilon, s_\varepsilon\}_h \leq \text{const}_{n, \Omega, \omega} \frac{\sqrt{-1}^{n^2} \{s_{x_0}, s_{x_0}\}_h}{\omega^n(x_0)}.$$

Consequently $s = s_\varepsilon$ is a holomorphic section of $\Lambda^n T^* \Omega \otimes L$ as desired in the theorem, provided $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Applying Theorem 4.1 to the trivial line bundle $L = \Omega \times \mathbb{C}$ equipped with the metric $e^{-\varphi}$, $\varphi \in \text{Psh}(\Omega)$, we get immediately the following

Theorem 4.3. *Let X be an n -dimensional Stein manifold with a continuous volume form dV_X and Ω be a relatively compact complete Kähler domain in X . Then for every $x_0 \in \Omega$, $f_{x_0} \in \Lambda^n T_{x_0}^* \Omega$ and $\varphi \in \text{Psh}(\Omega)$, there exists a holomorphic n -form f on Ω such that $f(x_0) = f_{x_0}$ and*

$$(4.3) \quad \int_{\Omega} \sqrt{-1}^{n^2} f \wedge \bar{f} e^{-\varphi} \leq \text{const}_{n, \Omega, dV_X} \frac{\sqrt{-1}^{n^2} f_{x_0} \wedge \bar{f}_{x_0}}{dV_X(x_0)} e^{-\varphi(x_0)}.$$

Remark 4.4. Note that the conclusion of Theorem 4.3 holds trivially when the right-hand side of (4.3) is infinite: in this case every holomorphic n -form f on Ω that takes value f_{x_0} at x_0 automatically satisfies the desired estimate (4.3), and such an f always exists (even on X) since X is Stein. Incidentally, as Theorem 4.3 (and also Theorem 4.1) itself implies, in order for its conclusion to hold it is sufficient to assume that dV_X is defined on a neighborhood of $\bar{\Omega}$.

On the other hand, as indicated by a result of Chen–Wu–Wang [CWW15], the conclusion of Theorem 4.3 (and hence Theorem 4.1) does not hold in general when the complete Kählerness assumption of Ω is dropped.

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

The key ingredients of the proof are Theorem 4.3 and some basic geometric measure theory. As already mentioned in the Introduction, the idea of using Theorem 4.3 in the proof is inspired by the work of Chen–Wu–Wang [CWW15] (and Demailly [Dem92]). To get the idea off the ground, we first need to prove the following result:

Theorem 5.1. *Let X be a Stein manifold and $E \subset X$ be a closed complete pluripolar set. Then $X \setminus E$ carries a complete Kähler metric.*

In case $E \subset X$ is a complex subvariety, this result is well-known and due to Grauert [Gra56] (see also Demailly [Dem82] for closely related results). Note that even in this special case, $X \setminus E$ cannot be Stein unless E is empty or purely one-codimensional, in view of the second Riemann extension theorem.

Proof. The result is essentially an easy consequence of Theorem 2.2, as we now explain below.

Let φ be a C^∞ strictly psh exhaustion function for X and let $\psi : X \setminus E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^∞ function such that

$$\psi = -\log(-\rho) \quad \text{on } U \setminus E,$$

where ρ is a psh function as in Theorem 2.2 with $\sup_X \rho > -1$ and $U := \{\rho < -1\}$. Then one can construct a C^∞ convex, rapidly increasing function χ on \mathbb{R} such that

$$\omega := \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} (\chi \circ \varphi) + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi \geq \omega_0 \quad \text{on } X \setminus E$$

for some complete Kähler metric ω_0 on X .

We claim that ω is complete on $X \setminus E$. For this, we may assume without loss of generality that X itself is connected (and so is $X \setminus E$). Suppose $\{x_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a bounded sequence in the metric space $(X \setminus E, \omega)$. Then there is a sequence of smooth curves $\{\gamma_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C^\infty([0, 1], X \setminus E)$ with uniformly bounded lengths with respect to ω , joining every x_j to a (fixed) reference point in $X \setminus \bar{U}$. Since $\omega \geq \omega_0$ on $X \setminus E$ and ω_0 is complete on X , we may assume that the sequence $\{x_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ itself converges in X by passing to a subsequence if necessary. What now remains is to show that the limit of $\{x_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ lies outside E . Suppose the contrary and set

$$t_j := \inf\{t \in [0, 1] : \gamma_j([t, 1]) \subset U\}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Clearly $0 < t_j < 1$ and $\gamma_j(t_j) \in \partial U = \{\rho = -1\}$ for all sufficiently large j . Observe also that

$$\omega \geq \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} (-\log(-\rho)) \geq \sqrt{-1} \partial \log(-\rho) \wedge \bar{\partial} \log(-\rho) \quad \text{on } U \setminus E.$$

It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{2} \text{length}_\omega(\gamma_j) &\geq \int_{t_j}^1 |(d \log(-\rho))(\gamma'(t))| dt \geq \int_{t_j}^1 (d \log(-\rho))(\gamma'(t)) dt \\ &= \log(-\rho(x_j)) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

contradicting the boundedness of $\{\text{length}_\omega(\gamma_j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$. Therefore the limit of $\{x_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ lies outside E , and hence ω is complete on $X \setminus E$. \square

Remark 5.2. If we denote by d_ω and d_{ω_0} the distance functions on $X \setminus E$ and X associated to ω and ω_0 , respectively, and by $\chi_{U \setminus E}$ the characteristic function of $U \setminus E$, then the preceding argument actually shows the estimate

$$d_\omega(x, x_0) \geq \max \left\{ d_{\omega_0}(x, x_0), \chi_{U \setminus E}(x) \log(-\rho(x)) / \sqrt{2} \right\}, \quad x \in X \setminus E, x_0 \in X \setminus \bar{U}.$$

This, of course, implies the completeness of ω .

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The uniqueness part of the theorem is clear, since two psh functions on Ω which coincide almost everywhere are actually equal everywhere. So it suffices to prove the existence part.

Let us first reduce the problem to the case when $\Omega \supset K$ is a relatively compact Stein domain in the ambient manifold, which we denote by X . To this end, let ρ be a psh function on X , continuous outside K and satisfying $\rho^{-1}(-\infty) = K$ (see Theorem 2.2). Choose an open set $U \subset X$ such that $K \subset U \subset\subset X$, and set

$$\tilde{\rho} := \begin{cases} \max \{ \rho, \inf_{\partial U} \rho \} & \text{on } X \setminus \bar{U}; \\ \rho & \text{on } \bar{U}. \end{cases}$$

Then $\tilde{\rho}$ is a psh function on X with $\tilde{\rho}^{-1}(-\infty) = K$. Replacing Ω by any connected component of $\{\tilde{\rho} < \inf_{\partial U} \rho\}$, we may assume in what follows that Ω is a relatively compact Stein domain in X .

Now $\Omega \setminus K$ is a relatively compact complete Kähler domain in X , in view of Theorem 5.1. Write $n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X$ and fix a continuous volume form dV_X on X . Given $\varphi \in \text{Psh}(\Omega \setminus K)$, we can therefore invoke Theorem 4.3 to assign to every point $x \in \Omega \setminus K$ a holomorphic n -form f_x on $\Omega \setminus K$ with the property that

$$(5.1) \quad \frac{\sqrt{-1}^{n^2} f_x \wedge \bar{f}_x}{dV_X}(x) = e^{\varphi(x)} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega \setminus K} \sqrt{-1}^{n^2} f_x \wedge \bar{f}_x e^{-\varphi} \leq \text{const},$$

where the constant involved is independent of $x \in \Omega \setminus K$. By the Hartogs extension theorem for holomorphic forms (see, e.g., [Ser55, p. 22, Corollaire du Théorème 3]), each such f_x extends holomorphically to Ω . With a slight abuse of notation, we still denote the extension by f_x .

We next prove the psh extendibility of φ across K . By a classical result of Lelong, it suffices to show that every point of K admits a small neighborhood on which φ is bounded above. Fix an arbitrary point $x_0 \in K$. Since the problem is local, we may assume without loss of generality that Ω is a bounded pseudoconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^n containing x_0 . Let $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ be the coordinate of Ω . Identifying x with its coordinate $z = z(x)$ and f_x with $f_x/dz_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n$, we conclude from (5.1), after shrinking Ω if necessary, that

$$(5.2) \quad e^{\varphi(z)} \leq \text{const} |f_z(z)|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega \setminus K} |f_z|^2 e^{-\varphi} \leq \text{const},$$

where the integral involved is taken against the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{C}^n . To proceed with the proof we now recall that as a polar subset of $\mathbb{C}^n \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, K has Hausdorff dimension at most $2n - 2$ (see, e.g., [AG01, Theorem 5.9.6]). This enables us to make use of a result of Shiffman (see [Har77, Lemma 2.3] or [Dem12a, Chapter 3, Lemma 4.7]), according to which we can find by suitably selecting affine linear coordinates for \mathbb{C}^n a polydisc $\Delta' \times \Delta'' \subset \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}$ centered at $z_0 = z(x_0) =: (z'_0, z''_0)$ such that

$$(\Delta' \times \partial\Delta'') \cap K = \emptyset.$$

By shrinking Δ' if necessary, we further arrive at

$$(\Delta' \times (\Delta'' \setminus (1 - \varepsilon)\overline{\Delta''})) \cap K = \emptyset$$

for some sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$. The remaining argument is the same as in the last paragraph of the proof of [CWW15, Theorem 1.2]. Choose $R, r > 0$ such that

$$1 - \varepsilon < r < R < 1$$

and ball $B \subset \subset \Delta'$ centered at z'_0 . Then the Cauchy estimate for holomorphic functions and inequalities in (5.2) imply

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\varphi(z)} &\leq \text{const} |f_z(z)|^2 \leq \text{const}_{B, R, r, \varepsilon} \int_{B \times (R\Delta'' \setminus r\Delta'')} |f_z|^2 \\ &\leq \text{const}_{B, R, r, \varepsilon} \sup_{B \times (R\Delta'' \setminus r\Delta'')} e^{\varphi} \int_{B \times (R\Delta'' \setminus r\Delta'')} |f_z|^2 e^{-\varphi} \\ &\leq C \sup_{B \times (R\Delta'' \setminus r\Delta'')} e^{\varphi} \end{aligned}$$

for all $z \in ((1 - \varepsilon)(B \times \Delta'')) \setminus K$, where $C > 0$ is a constant independent of z . Consequently, φ is bounded above on $(1 - \varepsilon)(B \times \Delta'') \ni z_0$ outside K . This completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [AG01] D. H. Armitage and S. J. Gardiner, *Classical Potential Theory*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2001.
- [BT88] E. Bedford and B. A. Taylor, *Smooth plurisubharmonic functions without subextension*, Math. Z. **198** (1988), 331–337.
- [Ber10] B. Berndtsson, *An introduction to things $\bar{\partial}$* , in *Analytic and Algebraic Geometry*, pp. 7–76, IAS/Park City Math. Ser., vol. 17, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010.
- [BL16] B. Berndtsson and L. Lempert, *A proof of the Ohsawa–Takegoshi theorem with sharp estimates*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **68** (2016), 1461–1472.
- [Bł13] Z. Błocki, *Suita conjecture and the Ohsawa–Takegoshi extension theorem*, Invent. Math. **193** (2013), 149–158.

- [Bło14] Z. Błocki, *Cauchy–Riemann meet Monge–Ampère*, Bull. Math. Sci. **4** (2014), 433–480.
- [BDP22] A. Boggess, R. Dwiłewicz and E. Porten, *On the Hartogs extension theorem for unbounded domains in \mathbb{C}^n* , Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **72** (2022), 1185–1206.
- [CPB24] J. Cao, M. Păun and B. Berndtsson, *On the Ohsawa–Takegoshi extension theorem*, J. Geom. Anal. **34** (2024), Paper No. 25, 47 pp.
- [CC22] T. O. M. Chan and Y.-J. Choi, *Extension with log-canonical measures and an improvement to the plt extension of Demailly–Hacon–Păun*, Math. Ann. **383** (2022), 943–997.
- [Che11] B.-Y. Chen, *A simple proof of the Ohsawa–Takegoshi extension theorem*, arXiv:1105.2430.
- [Che17] B.-Y. Chen, *Hardy–Sobolev type inequalities and their applications*, arXiv:1712.02044v2.
- [CWW15] B.-Y. Chen, J. Wu and X. Wang, *Ohsawa–Takegoshi type theorem and extension of plurisubharmonic functions*, Math. Ann. **362** (2015), 305–319.
- [Col90] M. Coltoiu, *Complete locally pluripolar sets*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **412** (1990), 108–112.
- [Dem82] J.-P. Demailly, *Estimations L^2 pour l’opérateur $\bar{\partial}$ d’un fibré vectoriel holomorphe semi-positif au-dessus d’une variété kählérienne complète*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **15** (1982), 457–511.
- [Dem92] J.-P. Demailly, *Regularization of closed positive currents and intersection theory*, J. Algebraic Geom. **1** (1992), 361–409.
- [Dem94] J.-P. Demailly, *Regularization of closed positive currents of type $(1, 1)$ by the flow of a Chern connection*, in Contributions to Complex Analysis and Analytic Geometry, pp. 105–126, Aspects Math., E26, Friedr. Vieweg, Braunschweig, 1994.
- [Dem00] J.-P. Demailly, *On the Ohsawa–Takegoshi–Manivel L^2 extension theorem*, in Complex Analysis and Geometry (Paris, 1997), pp. 47–82, Prog. Math., vol. 188, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2000.
- [Dem12a] J.-P. Demailly, *Complex Analytic and Differential Geometry*, electronically accessible at <https://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/~demailly/books.html>.
- [Dem12b] J.-P. Demailly, *Analytic Methods in Algebraic Geometry*, Surveys of Modern Mathematics, Higher Education Press, Beijing, 2012.
- [DNWZ23] F. Deng, J. Ning, Z. Wang and X. Zhou, *Positivity of holomorphic vector bundles in terms of L^p -estimates of $\bar{\partial}$* , Math. Ann. **385** (2023), 575–607.
- [DWZZ24] F. Deng, Z. Wang, L. Zhang and X. Zhou, *New characterization of plurisubharmonic functions and positivity of direct image sheaves*, Amer. J. Math. **146** (2024), 751–768.
- [DM14] N. Q. Dieu and P. V. Manh, *Complete pluripolar graphs in \mathbb{C}^N* , Ann. Polon. Math. **112** (2014), 85–100.
- [Edl04] T. Edlund, *Complete pluripolar curves and graphs*, Ann. Polon. Math. **84** (2004), 75–86.
- [ElM84] H. El Mir, *Sur le prolongement des courants positifs fermés*, Acta Math. **153** (1984), 1–45.
- [Gra56] H. Grauert, *Charakterisierung der Holomorphiegebiete durch die vollständige Kählersche Metrik*, Math. Ann. **131** (1956), 38–75.
- [GZ15a] Q. Guan and X. Zhou, *A solution of an L^2 extension problem with an optimal estimate and applications*, Ann. of Math. **181** (2015), 1139–1208.
- [GZ15b] Q. Guan and X. Zhou, *A proof of Demailly’s strong openness conjecture*, Ann. of Math. **182** (2015), 605–616.
- [Har74] R. Harvey, *Removable singularities for positive currents*, Amer. J. Math. **96** (1974), 67–78.
- [Har77] R. Harvey, *Holomorphic chains and their boundaries*, in Several Complex Variables (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XXX, Part 1, Williams Coll., Williamstown, Mass., 1975), pp. 309–382. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1977.
- [HP75] R. Harvey and J. Polking, *Extending analytic objects*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **28** (1975), 701–727.
- [Hos20] G. Hosono, *The optimal jet L^2 extension of Ohsawa–Takegoshi type*, Nagoya Math. J. **239** (2020), 153–172.
- [Kim23] D. Kim, *L^2 extension of holomorphic functions for log canonical pairs*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), **177** (2023), 198–213.
- [LMP92] N. Levenberg, G. Martin and E. A. Poletsky, *Analytic disks and pluripolar sets*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **41** (1992), 515–532.
- [LXZ23] Z. Li, W. Xu and X. Zhou, *On Demailly’s L^2 extension theorem from non-reduced subvarieties*, Math. Z. **305** (2023), Paper No. 23, 22 pp.
- [LX24] Z. Liu and W. Xu, *Characterizations of Griffiths positivity, pluriharmonicity and flatness*, J. Funct. Anal. **287** (2024), Paper No. 110532, 32 pp.

- [MV15] J. D. McNeal and D. Varolin, L^2 estimates for the $\bar{\partial}$ operator, *Bull. Math. Sci.* **5** (2015), 179–249.
- [Ohs95] T. Ohsawa, *On the extension of L^2 holomorphic functions III: negligible weights*, *Math. Z.* **219** (1995), 215–225.
- [Ohs18] T. Ohsawa, *L^2 Approaches in Several Complex Variables, Towards the Oka–Cartan theory with precise bounds*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, Tokyo, 2018.
- [Ohs20] T. Ohsawa, *A survey on the L^2 extension theorems*, *J. Geom. Anal.* **30** (2020), 1366–1395.
- [OT87] T. Ohsawa and K. Takegoshi, *On the extension of L^2 holomorphic functions*, *Math. Z.* **195** (1987), 197–204.
- [Pau10] M. Păun, *Quantitative extensions of twisted pluricanonical forms and non-vanishing*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Volume II, pp. 540–557, Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, 2010.
- [Ran95] T. Ransford, *Potential Theory in the Complex Plane*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 28. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [RZ21] S. Rao and R. Zhang, *L^2 extension theorem for jets with variable denominators*, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **359** (2021), 181–193.
- [Ser55] J.-P. Serre, *Un théorème de dualité*, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **29** (1955), 9–26.
- [Shi68] B. Shiffman, *On the removal of singularities of analytic sets*, *Michigan Math. J.* **15** (1968), 111–120.
- [Shi72] B. Shiffman, *Extension of positive line bundles and meromorphic maps*, *Invent. Math.* **15** (1972), 332–347.
- [Sib85] N. Sibony, *Quelques problèmes de prolongement de courants en analyse complexe*, *Duke Math. J.* **52** (1985), 157–197.
- [Siu74] Y.-T. Siu, *Analyticity of sets associated to Lelong numbers and the extension of closed positive currents*, *Invent. Math.* **27** (1974), 53–156.
- [Siu02] Y.-T. Siu, *Extension of twisted pluricanonical sections with plurisubharmonic weight and invariance of semipositively twisted plurigenera for manifolds not necessarily of general type*, in *Complex Geometry (Göttingen, 2000)*, pp. 223–277, Springer, Berlin, 2002.
- [Sko82] H. Skoda, *Prolongement des courants, positifs, fermés de masse finie*, *Invent. Math.* **66** (1982), 361–376.
- [Vij22] V. Vijiitu, *On Hartogs’ extension*, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **201** (2022), 487–498.
- [Wan22a] X. Wang, *Bott–Chern cohomology and the Hartogs extension theorem for pluriharmonic functions*, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)* **25** (2024), 605–610.
- [Wan22b] X. Wang, *Complete pluripolar sets and removable singularities of plurisubharmonic functions*, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, to appear; see also arXiv:2210.01416.
- [ZZ18] X. Zhou and L. Zhu, *An optimal L^2 extension theorem on weakly pseudoconvex Kähler manifolds*, *J. Differential Geom.* **110** (2018), 135–186.
- [ZZ20] X. Zhou and L. Zhu, *Siu’s lemma, optimal L^2 extension and applications to twisted pluricanonical sheaves*, *Math. Ann.* **377** (2020), 675–722.

CAS WU WEN-TSUN KEY LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICS AND SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA, HEFEI 230026, ANHUI, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

Email address: xpwang008@ustc.edu.cn