

HARMONIC METRICS AND SEMI-SIMPLENESS

DI WU AND XI ZHANG

ABSTRACT. Given a flat vector bundle over a compact Riemannian manifold, Corlette [Co1] and Donaldson [Do3] proved that it admits harmonic metrics if and only if it is semi-simple. In this paper, we extend this equivalence to arbitrary vector bundles without any additional hypothesis, the result can be viewed as a Riemannian Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence. Furthermore, we also prove an equivalence of categories in Sasakian geometry, relating the category of projective flat complex vector bundles to the category of Higgs bundles.

1. INTRODUCTION

A unifying principle in geometric analysis predicts that existences of canonical metrics should be closely related to appropriate algebraic stability conditions on underlying geometric objects, one may refer to the works on Yau-Tian-Donaldson conjecture for extremal metrics in Kähler geometry and Donaldson-Uhlenbeck-Yau characterization(also referred as Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence or Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence) for Hermitian-Einstein metrics in holomorphic vector bundles. It is our aim in present paper to investigate the issue on general vector bundles. Unless indicated explicitly otherwise, vector bundles could be real or complex, whose metrics are Riemannian or Hermitian respectively.

Suppose that E is a smooth vector bundle over a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) and ∇ is a connection on E . We call (E, ∇) semi-simple(also called completely reducible or reductive in the literature) if it splits as a direct sum of simple sub-bundles, where simple(also called irreducible or stable in the literature) means that there exists no nontrivial ∇ -invariant sub-bundle. A vector bundle E is said to be semi-simple if (E, ∇) is semi-simple for some ∇ . Naturally there arises a fundamental problem: *Does there exist certain best canonical metrics on (E, ∇) ?* On the other hand, motivated by calculating the Euler characteristic number $\chi(E)$ via the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern formula and its ramifications, one may ask: *How to find metric compatible connections on E ?* For this purpose, we write for a metric K on E ,

$$(1.1) \quad \nabla = \nabla_K + \psi_K,$$

where ∇_K is a connection preserving K and ψ_K is a $\text{End}(E)$ -valued 1-form. We define

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{E}_\nabla(K) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\psi_K|^2 \, \text{dvol}_g,$$

and the point is to minimize $\mathcal{E}_\nabla(K)$ when K varies.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C07, 53C25, 32L05, 14J60.

Key words and phrases. Harmonic metric, Semi-simpleness, Sasakian manifold.

The research was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China 2020YFA0713100. Both authors are partially supported by NSF in China No.12141104. The first author is also supported by the Jiangsu Funding Program for Excellent Postdoctoral Talent 2022ZB282.

The canonical metric concerned in this paper is the critical point of \mathcal{E}_∇ which means

$$(1.3) \quad \nabla_H^* \psi_H = 0,$$

and we then call H a harmonic metric. Another reason for this candidate lies in the scenario of nonabelian Hodge theory in Kähler geometry [Si3], in which ∇ is assumed to be flat and the existence of harmonic metrics leads to the existence of Higgs structures. It is remarked that the flatness means any metric H corresponds to an equivariant map

$$(1.4) \quad f_H : \tilde{M} \rightarrow GL(r)/U(r),$$

where \tilde{M} is the universal covering space of M and $r = \text{rank}(E)$. Moreover, it is known that H being harmonic iff f_H being harmonic. So in general case, lacking of flatness may block off methods used in equivariant harmonic maps. Detecting harmonic metrics on vector bundles is a nonlinear system generalization of solving Laplace equations and obstructions would appear.

In this paper, we prove (see Theorem 2.1)

Theorem 1.1. *Let (E, ∇) be a vector bundle over a compact Riemannian manifold with an arbitrary connection ∇ , then it admits harmonic metrics if and only if it is semi-simple.*

Note that Theorem 1.1 is a Riemannian counterpart of the Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence in Kähler geometry [Do1, Do2, UY]. Concerning Theorem 1.1, there are a few previous works in the presence of extra assumptions which we should mention. As a postscript to Hitchin's paper [Hi] on self-duality equations, borrowing ideas from harmonic maps, Donaldson [Do3] proved that any vector bundle over a compact Riemannian surface equipped with a semi-simple flat connection must admit harmonic metrics. Deforming a semi-simple flat connection via an evolution equation and utilizing Uhlenbeck compactness [Uh], it is Corlette who proved Theorem 1.1 for flat vector bundles in [Co1]. Many works are constantly engaged in generalizations and applications on Corlette-Donaldson's tremendous criterion since then, see [CJY, Co2, JZ1, JZ2, KV, Li, Lo, Lü, Mo, PZZ, Si2, WZ]. Among other things, if (E, ∇) is non-Hermitian Yang-Mills (see [KV] for precise definition) instead of flatness and the base space is a compact Kähler manifold, Kaledin-Verbitsky conjectured ([KV, Conjecture 8.7]) that the existence of harmonic metrics is equivalent to a kind of stability condition, see [PSZ].

Given an automorphism $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(E)$, it acts on a connection ∇ by $\nabla \mapsto \sigma \circ \nabla \circ \sigma^{-1}$ and the space \mathcal{M}_S of isomorphism classes of simple connections is realized as the quotient

$$(1.5) \quad \{\text{Simple connections on } E\} / \text{Aut}(E).$$

We say a connection ∇ irreducible if there is no nontrivial ∇ -invariant endomorphism and the space $\mathcal{M}_{I,K}$ of isomorphism classes of irreducible connections with $\nabla_K^* \psi_K = 0$ is defined by

$$(1.6) \quad \{\text{Irreducible connections } \nabla \text{ on } E \text{ with } \nabla_K^* \psi_K = 0\} / U(E, K),$$

where $U(E, K) \subseteq \text{Aut}(E)$ is the sub-group preserving K .

Using Theorem 1.1, we have (see Corollary 2.1)

Corollary 1.1. *Assume (E, K) is a Hermitian vector bundle over a compact Riemannian manifold, then there is a bijection from \mathcal{M}_S to $\mathcal{M}_{I,K}$.*

Next we are concerned with vector bundles which have Sasakian manifolds as base spaces. Recall Sasakian manifolds were first introduced in [Sa], which is a subject lying on the intersection of contact, CR, Riemannian and Kähler geometry. A standard model of a compact

Sasakian manifold is the odd dimensional unit sphere S^{2n+1} . With the robust discovery of its relevance in string theory and anti-de Sitter space duality conjecture/conformal field theory in mathematical physics [Ma], there has been a considerable increase of interests in Sasakian manifolds of late, see [BG, GMSW, MS, MSY] and references therein.

An application of Theorem 1.1 emerges in Sasakian geometry, we prove (see Theorem 3.1)

Theorem 1.2. *For a compact Sasakian manifold $(M, T_{1,0}M, \eta)$ of dimension $2n + 1$, there exists an equivalence between the category of rank r semi-simple basic projective flat complex vector bundles over M and the category of rank r poly-stable basic Higgs bundles over M with*

$$(1.7) \quad \int_M \left(c_{2, B_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}}(E) - \frac{r-1}{2r} c_{1, B_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}}^2(E) \right) \wedge (d\eta)^{n-2} \wedge \eta = 0,$$

where ξ is the characteristic direction and \mathcal{F}_ξ is the associated foliation.

The existence of harmonic metrics is employed to produce the functor that from semi-simple basic projective flat complex vector bundles to basic Higgs bundles. Beyond that, it requires to prove the key property $\nabla_H(\psi_H(\xi)) = 0$ for harmonic metric H , known by Biswas-Kasuya [BK1] for flat vector bundles via modifying the spinorial proof of [Pe, Theorem 4.1]. Under the weaker condition $\nabla_{H, \xi} \nabla_H^* \psi_H = 0$, we shall give a simple maximum principle proof without involving special curvature theory of Tanaka-Webster connections on Sasakian manifolds and the commutative formula [Pe, Corollary 2.1] concerning the Dirac operator, see Lemma 3.2. The opposite direction is mainly inspired by [Si1] and Baraglia-Hekmati's work [BH] on a foliated Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence, where the notion of stability was addressed.

Our Theorem 1.2 extends the main result in [BK1] (see also [BM] for the case of quasi-regular Sasakian manifolds) to the nonvanishing Chern classes case. Note these are Sasakian analogues of the Corlette-Donaldson-Hitchin-Simpson correspondence built upon [Co1, Do3, Hi, Si1, Si3], dating back to Narasimhan-Seshadri [NS], Donaldson [Do1, Do2] and Uhlenbeck-Yau [UY]. Readers are also referred to [BK2, Ka, KM] for recent works concerning related topics.

2. HARMONIC METRICS ON VECTOR BUNDLES

2.1. Preliminaries. We assume E is a vector bundle over a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) and set $A^p(M, E) = \Gamma(\Lambda^p T^*M \otimes E)$, the space of p -forms on M with values in E . Associated to a connection ∇ on E , the exterior differential operator

$$(2.1) \quad D : A^p(M, E) \rightarrow A^{p+1}(M, E)$$

is defined by requiring $D(\alpha \otimes u) = d\alpha \otimes u + (-1)^p \alpha \wedge \nabla u$ for any $\alpha \in A^p(M)$ and $u \in \Gamma(E)$. Then the curvature is given by $F_\nabla = D \circ \nabla \in A^2(M, \text{End}(E))$. For $\omega \in A^p(M, E)$, we have

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} D\omega(e_0, \dots, e_p) &= \sum_{k=0}^p (-1)^k \nabla_{e_k} (\omega(e_0, e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_k, \dots, e_p)) \\ &+ \sum_{0 \leq k < l \leq p} (-1)^{k+l} \omega([e_k, e_l], e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_k, \dots, \hat{e}_l, \dots, e_p), \end{aligned}$$

where $e_1, \dots, e_p \in \Gamma(TM)$ and symbols covered by \wedge are omitted. If the tangent bundle TM is equipped with a connection ∇^{TM} , the action of ∇ may be further extended to tensorial

combinations of TM and E as well as their duals. Then it also holds

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} D\omega(e_0, \dots, e_p) &= \sum_{k=0}^p (-1)^k (\nabla_{e_k} \omega)(e_0, \dots, \hat{e}_k, \dots, e_p) \\ &\quad - \sum_{0 \leq k < l \leq p} (-1)^{k+l} \omega(T_{\nabla TM}(e_k, e_l), e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_k, \dots, \hat{e}_l, \dots, e_p), \end{aligned}$$

where $T_{\nabla TM}$ denotes the torsion. For a metric K on E , we have the pointwise inner-product

$$(2.4) \quad (\bullet, \bullet)_K : A^p(M, E) \times A^p(M, E) \rightarrow C^\infty(M),$$

$$(2.5) \quad (\omega, \theta) \mapsto \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_p} K(\omega(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_p}), \theta(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_p})),$$

where $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{\dim M}$ is an orthogonal unit basis of TM . Henceforth, we will omit the subscript K if there is no ambiguity. The generalized inner-product

$$(2.6) \quad K(\bullet, \bullet) : A^p(M, E) \times A^q(M, E) \rightarrow A^{p+q}(M)$$

is defined by requiring $K(\alpha \otimes u, \beta \otimes v) = (u, v)\alpha \wedge \beta$ for any $\alpha \in A^p(M)$, $\beta \in A^q(M)$ and $u, v \in \Gamma(E)$. In particular, for $\omega, \theta \in A^p(M, E)$ we have $K(\omega, *\theta) = (\omega, \theta) \text{dvol}_g$, where $*$ is the Hodge star operator acting on the form component.

Given above ∇ and K , we define $\psi_K \in A^1(M, \text{End}(E))$ by

$$(2.7) \quad K(\psi_K(u), v) = \frac{1}{2} (K(\nabla u, v) + K(u, \nabla v) - dK(u, v)),$$

where $u, v \in \Gamma(E)$. One can easily check ψ_K is self-adjoint and

$$(2.8) \quad \nabla_K = \nabla - \psi_K : A^0(M, E) \rightarrow A^1(M, E)$$

is a connection preserving K . The co-differential operator

$$(2.9) \quad D_K^* : A^p(M, E) \rightarrow A^{p-1}(M, E)$$

is determined by D_K , the exterior differential operator of ∇_K ,

$$(2.10) \quad \int_M (D_K \omega, \theta) \text{dvol}_g = \int_M (\omega, D_K^* \theta) \text{dvol}_g,$$

where $\omega \in A^{p-1}(M, E), \theta \in A^p(M, E)$. Then it holds

$$(2.11) \quad D_K^* \theta(e_1, \dots, e_{p-1}) = -\text{tr}_g \nabla_{K, \bullet} \theta(\bullet, e_1, \dots, e_{p-1}),$$

where for notational simplicity, ∇_K also denotes the connection on $\Lambda^p T^*M \otimes E$ which acts on $\Lambda^p T^*M$ by the Levi-Civita connection ∇^g .

For two metrics K and H on E , we denote by $f = K^{-1}H$ the endormorphism given by

$$(2.12) \quad H(\bullet, \bullet) = K(f(\bullet), \bullet).$$

By (2.7) and the fact that f is self-adjoint with respect to K and H , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.13) \quad H(\psi_H(u), v) &= \frac{1}{2} (H(\nabla u, v) + H(u, \nabla v) - dH(u, v)) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (K((f \circ \nabla)u, v) + K(f(u), \nabla v) - dK(f(u), v)) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (K((\nabla \circ f - \nabla f)u, v) + K(f(u), \nabla v) - dK(f(u), v)) \\
&= K((\psi_K \circ f)u, v) - \frac{1}{2} K((\nabla f)u, v) \\
&= H((f^{-1} \circ \psi_K \circ f)u, v) - \frac{1}{2} H((f^{-1} \nabla f)u, v) \\
&= H(\psi_K(u), v) + H((f^{-1}[\psi_K, f])u, v) - \frac{1}{2} H((f^{-1} \nabla f)u, v) \\
&= H(\psi_K(u), v) - \frac{1}{2} H((f^{-1} \delta_K f)u, v),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_K = \nabla_K - \psi_K$. It follows

$$(2.14) \quad \nabla_H = \nabla_K + \frac{1}{2} f^{-1} \delta_K f, \quad \psi_H = \psi_K - \frac{1}{2} f^{-1} \delta_K f.$$

According to the definition, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.15) \quad \nabla_H^* \psi_H &= -\text{tr}_g \nabla_H \psi_H \\
&= -\text{tr}_g (\nabla_K + \frac{1}{2} f^{-1} \delta_K f) (\psi_K - \frac{1}{2} f^{-1} \delta_K f) \\
&= -\text{tr}_g \nabla_K \psi_K + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}_g \nabla_K (f^{-1} \delta_K f) - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}_g [f^{-1} \delta_K f, \psi_K] \\
&= \nabla_K^* \psi_K - \frac{1}{2} \nabla_K^* (f^{-1} \delta_K f) + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}_g [\psi_K, f^{-1} \delta_K f] \\
&= \nabla_K^* \psi_K + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}_g \nabla (f^{-1} \delta_K f).
\end{aligned}$$

Set $f = h^{*K} h$ and $H(\bullet, \bullet) = K(h(\bullet), h(\bullet))$. Consider the gauge action $h(\bullet) = h \circ \bullet \circ h^{-1}$ and write $h(\nabla) = h(\nabla)_K + \psi_{h(\nabla), K}$ for the decomposition of $h(\nabla)$ in term of K , then

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.16) \quad dK(u, v) &= dH(h^{-1}(u), h^{-1}(v)) \\
&= H((\nabla_H \circ h^{-1})u, h^{-1}(v)) + H(h^{-1}(u), (\nabla_H \circ h^{-1})v) \\
&= K(h(\nabla_H)u, v) + K(u, h(\nabla_H)v),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.17) \quad K(\psi_{h(\nabla), K}(u), v) &= \frac{1}{2} (K(h(\nabla)u, v) + K(u, h(\nabla)v) - dK(u, v)) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} H((\nabla \circ h^{-1})u, h^{-1}(v)) + \frac{1}{2} H(h^{-1}u, (\nabla \circ h^{-1})v) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} dH(h^{-1}(u), h^{-1}(v)) \\
&= H((\psi_H \circ h^{-1})u, h^{-1}(v)) \\
&= K(h(\psi_H)u, v).
\end{aligned}$$

Namely, we have $h(\nabla)_K = h(\nabla_H)$, $\psi_{h(\nabla),K} = h(\psi_{\nabla,H})$, and hence it holds

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.18) \quad h(\nabla)_K^* \psi_{h(\nabla),K} &= -\operatorname{tr}_g h(\nabla)_K \psi_{h(\nabla),K} \\
&= -\operatorname{tr}_g h(\nabla_H) h(\psi_H) \\
&= -h(\operatorname{tr}_g \nabla_H \psi_H) \\
&= h(\nabla_H^* \psi_H).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by (2.2) we also know $h(D_H)$ is the exterior differential operator relative to $h(\nabla)_K$.

2.2. Harmonic metrics. We shall apply the method of continuity to detect harmonic metrics on a simple vector bundle (E, ∇) over a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) . Let us fix a background metric K and consider the following family of equations:

$$(2.19) \quad \nabla_{H_\epsilon}^* \psi_{H_\epsilon} = \epsilon \log f_\epsilon, \quad f_\epsilon = K^{-1} H_\epsilon.$$

Pick a metric K_0 and a function u with $\Delta_g u = -2 \operatorname{rank}(E)^{-1} \operatorname{tr} \nabla_{K_0}^* \psi_{K_0}$, where Δ_g denotes the Beltrami-Laplace. Define $\hat{K} = e^u K_0$, $K = \hat{K} \exp(-\nabla_{\hat{K}}^* \psi_{\hat{K}})$, and then by (2.15), we obtain

$$(2.20) \quad \operatorname{tr} \nabla_K^* \psi_K = \operatorname{tr} \nabla_{\hat{K}}^* \psi_{\hat{K}} = 0$$

Moreover, one easily concludes $f_1 = \exp \nabla_{\hat{K}}^* \psi_{\hat{K}}$ must solve (2.19) with $\epsilon = 1$.

We denote by I the set of $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$ such that (2.19) is solvable and thus $1 \in I$. For the openness of I , we consider the operator

$$(2.21) \quad \hat{L} : (0, 1] \times L_k^p(S_K^+) \rightarrow L_{k-2}^p(S_K), \quad (\epsilon, f) \mapsto f \circ (\nabla_{Kf}^* \psi_{Kf} - \epsilon \log f),$$

where $L_k^p(S_K)(L_k^p(S_K^+))$ is the Sobolev space of (positive)self-adjoint sections of $\operatorname{End}(E)$. Set

$$(2.22) \quad \nabla^f = \operatorname{Ad} f^{\frac{1}{2}} \circ \nabla \circ \operatorname{Ad} f^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \delta_K^f = \operatorname{Ad} f^{-\frac{1}{2}} \circ \delta_K \circ \operatorname{Ad} f^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $\operatorname{Ad} f^{\frac{1}{2}}(\bullet) = f^{\frac{1}{2}} \circ \bullet \circ f^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\operatorname{Ad} f^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is defined accordingly. In the normal coordinate,

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.23) \quad \operatorname{tr}_g \nabla^f \delta_K^f (f^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta f f^{-\frac{1}{2}}) &= \operatorname{tr}_g \nabla^f (f^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_K (\delta f f^{-1}) f^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\
&= \operatorname{tr}_g \operatorname{Ad} f^{\frac{1}{2}} (\nabla (f^{-1} \delta_K (\delta f f^{-1}) f)) \\
&= \operatorname{tr}_g \operatorname{Ad} f^{\frac{1}{2}} (\nabla (f^{-1} \delta_K \delta f) + \nabla (f^{-1} \delta f \delta_K f^{-1} f)) \\
&= \operatorname{tr}_g \operatorname{Ad} f^{\frac{1}{2}} (\nabla (f^{-1} \delta_K \delta f) + \nabla (\delta f^{-1} \delta_K f)) \\
&= 2 \operatorname{Ad} f^{\frac{1}{2}} (\delta \nabla_{Kf}^* \psi_{Kf}).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows for any (ϵ, f_ϵ) with $\nabla_{Kf_\epsilon}^* \psi_{Kf_\epsilon} - \epsilon \log f_\epsilon = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.24) \quad \delta_2 \hat{L}(\epsilon, f_\epsilon) &= \delta f_\epsilon (\nabla_{Kf_\epsilon}^* \psi_{Kf_\epsilon} - \epsilon \log f_\epsilon) + f \delta (\nabla_{Kf}^* \psi_{Kf} - \epsilon \log f) \\
&= f \delta \nabla_{Kf_\epsilon}^* \psi_{Kf_\epsilon} - \epsilon f_\epsilon \delta \log f_\epsilon \\
&= \frac{1}{2} f_\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \operatorname{tr}_g \nabla^{f_\epsilon} \delta_K^{f_\epsilon} (f_\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta f_\epsilon f_\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}) f_\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} - \epsilon f_\epsilon \delta \log f_\epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $d(u, v) = (\nabla^f u, v) + (u, \delta_K^f v)$ for $u, v \in \Gamma(\text{End}(E))$, we have for any $\phi \in S_K$ (where S_K is the space of self-adjoint sections of $\text{End}(E)$) with $\delta_2 \hat{L}(\epsilon, f_\epsilon)(\phi) = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.25) \quad \Delta_g |f_\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi f_\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}|^2 &= (\text{tr}_g \nabla^{f_\epsilon} \delta_K^{f_\epsilon}(f_\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi f_\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}), f_\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi f_\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \\
&\quad + (f_\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi f_\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \text{tr}_g \delta_K^{f_\epsilon} \nabla^{f_\epsilon}(f_\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi f_\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}})) \\
&\quad + |\nabla^{f_\epsilon}(f_\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi f_\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}})|^2 + |\delta_K^{f_\epsilon}(f_\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi f_\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}})|^2 \\
&\geq (\text{tr}_g \nabla^{f_\epsilon} \delta_K^{f_\epsilon}(f_\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi f_\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}), f_\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi f_\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \\
&\quad + (f_\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi f_\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}, (\text{tr}_g \nabla^{f_\epsilon} \delta_K^{f_\epsilon}(f_\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi f_\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}))^*) \\
&= 2(\text{tr}_g \nabla^{f_\epsilon} \delta_K^{f_\epsilon}(f_\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi f_\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}), f_\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi f_\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \\
&= 2(\epsilon f_\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta \log f_\epsilon(\phi) f_\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}, f_\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi f_\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \\
&\geq 2\epsilon |f_\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi f_\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the following pointwise inequality (see [LT, pp.69-70]):

$$(2.26) \quad (f_\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta \log f_\epsilon(\phi) f_\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}, f_\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi f_\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \geq |f_\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi f_\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}|^2.$$

So the maximum principle shows $\phi = 0$ and therefore one easily concludes that $\delta_2 \hat{L}(\epsilon, f_\epsilon)$ is an isomorphism. By the standard implicit function theorem, we get

Proposition 2.1. *I is a nonempty open subset.*

Lemma 2.1. *If $\|\log f_\epsilon\|_{C^0(M)}$ are uniform bounded, so are $\|f_\epsilon\|_{C^k(M)}$ for any $k \geq 1$.*

Proof. In the following, we shall use $C_j (j = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$ to denote uniform constants which may depend on $\|\log f_\epsilon\|_{C^0(M)}$ and also observe

$$(2.27) \quad |\psi_{H_\epsilon}|_{H_\epsilon}^2 \leq C_1 (|\psi_K|^2 + |\nabla f_\epsilon|^2),$$

$$(2.28) \quad |\nabla f_\epsilon|^2 \leq C_2 (|\psi_K|^2 + |\psi_{H_\epsilon}|_{H_\epsilon}^2).$$

First of all, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.29) \quad \Delta_g \text{tr} f_\epsilon &= \text{tr}_g dK(f_\epsilon^{-1} \delta_K f_\epsilon, f_\epsilon) \\
&= (\text{tr}_g \nabla(f_\epsilon^{-1} \delta_K f_\epsilon), f_\epsilon) + (f_\epsilon^{-1} \delta_K f_\epsilon, \delta_K f_\epsilon) \\
&= 2(\nabla_\epsilon^* \psi_{H_\epsilon} - \nabla_K^* \psi_K, f_\epsilon) + (f_\epsilon^{-1} \delta_K f_\epsilon, \delta_K f_\epsilon) \\
&\geq -C_3 + |\psi_{H_\epsilon}|_{H_\epsilon}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.30) \quad \Delta_g |\psi_{H_\epsilon}|_{H_\epsilon}^2 &= -2(\nabla_{H_\epsilon}^* \nabla_{H_\epsilon} \psi_{H_\epsilon}, \psi_{H_\epsilon})_{H_\epsilon} + 2|\nabla_{H_\epsilon} \psi_{H_\epsilon}|_{H_\epsilon}^2 \\
&= -2g^{ij} (F_{\nabla_{H_\epsilon}}(\bullet, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i})(\psi_{H_\epsilon}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j})), \psi_{H_\epsilon})_{H_\epsilon} \\
&\quad + 2g^{ij} (\psi_{H_\epsilon}(F_{\nabla_g}(\bullet, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i})(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j})), \psi_{H_\epsilon})_{H_\epsilon} + 2|\nabla_{H_\epsilon} \psi_{H_\epsilon}|_{H_\epsilon}^2 \\
&\quad - 2(\nabla_{H_\epsilon} \nabla_{H_\epsilon}^* \psi_{H_\epsilon}, \psi_{H_\epsilon})_{H_\epsilon} - 2(D_{H_\epsilon}^* D_{H_\epsilon} \psi_{H_\epsilon}, \psi_{H_\epsilon})_{H_\epsilon}.
\end{aligned}$$

We note the relation

$$(2.31) \quad \begin{cases} D_{H_\epsilon} \psi_{H_\epsilon} = \frac{1}{2}(F_\nabla + F_\nabla^{*H_\epsilon}), \\ F_{\nabla_{H_\epsilon}} + \psi_{H_\epsilon} \wedge \psi_{H_\epsilon} = \frac{1}{2}(F_\nabla - F_\nabla^{*H_\epsilon}). \end{cases}$$

It follows

$$(2.32) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_g |\psi_{H_\epsilon}|_{H_\epsilon}^2 &= (g^{ij} [[\psi_{H_\epsilon}, \psi_{H_\epsilon}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i})]] - (F_\nabla - F_\nabla^{*H_\epsilon})(\bullet, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}), \psi_{H_\epsilon}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j})), \psi_{H_\epsilon})_{H_\epsilon} \\ &\quad + 2(\psi_{H_\epsilon} \circ \text{Ric}_g, \psi_{H_\epsilon})_{H_\epsilon} + 2|\nabla_{H_\epsilon} \psi_{H_\epsilon}|_{H_\epsilon}^2 \\ &\quad - 2\epsilon(\nabla_{H_\epsilon} \log f_\epsilon, \psi_{H_\epsilon})_{H_\epsilon} - (D_{H_\epsilon}^*(F_\nabla + F_\nabla^{*H_\epsilon}), \psi_{H_\epsilon})_{H_\epsilon} \\ &\geq |[\psi_{H_\epsilon}, \psi_{H_\epsilon}]_{H_\epsilon}^2 - (g^{ij} [(F_\nabla - F_\nabla^{*H_\epsilon})(\bullet, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}), \psi_{H_\epsilon}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j})], \psi_{H_\epsilon})_{H_\epsilon} \\ &\quad - C_4 |\psi_{H_\epsilon}|_{H_\epsilon}^2 + 2|\nabla_{H_\epsilon} \psi_{H_\epsilon}|_{H_\epsilon}^2 \\ &\quad + |\nabla_{H_\epsilon} \log f_\epsilon|_{H_\epsilon}^2 + |D_{H_\epsilon}^*(F_\nabla + F_\nabla^{*H_\epsilon})|_{H_\epsilon} |\psi_{H_\epsilon}|_{H_\epsilon} \\ &\geq -C_5 - C_6 |\psi_{H_\epsilon}|_{H_\epsilon}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore it holds for suitable constants A and B ,

$$(2.33) \quad \Delta_g (A \text{tr} f_\epsilon + |\psi_{H_\epsilon}|_{H_\epsilon}^2) \geq -C_7 + B |\psi_{H_\epsilon}|_{H_\epsilon}^2,$$

and thus $|\psi_{H_\epsilon}|_{H_\epsilon}^2(x) \leq C_8$, where $A \text{tr} f_\epsilon + |\psi_{H_\epsilon}|_{H_\epsilon}^2$ attains its maximum at x . This implies

$$(2.34) \quad \max_M |\nabla f_\epsilon|^2 \leq C_9(1 + |\psi_{H_\epsilon}|_{H_\epsilon}^2(x)) \leq C_{10}.$$

Now for the Laplacian $\Delta_{\nabla_K} = \nabla_K^* \nabla_K + \nabla_K \nabla_K^*$, we have

$$(2.35) \quad \Delta_{\nabla_K} f_\epsilon = -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}_g(\nabla \delta_K f_\epsilon + \delta_K \nabla f_\epsilon) + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}_g[\psi_K, [\psi_K, f_\epsilon]].$$

The elliptic L^p -theory indicates

$$(2.36) \quad \begin{aligned} \|f_\epsilon\|_{L^2} &\leq C_{11}(\|f_\epsilon\|_{L^p} + \|\Delta_{\nabla_K} f_\epsilon\|_{L^p}) \\ &\leq C_{12}(1 + \|\text{tr}_g \nabla \delta_K f_\epsilon\|_{L^p}) \\ &\leq C_{13}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\nabla_K^* \psi_K + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}_g(\nabla f_\epsilon^{-1} \delta_K f_\epsilon + f_\epsilon^{-1} \nabla \delta_K f_\epsilon) = \epsilon \log f_\epsilon$. Finally, the higher order estimates follow from the elliptic regularity. \square

Lemma 2.2. *If $\limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \|\log f_\epsilon\|_{L^2} = \infty$, there is a nontrivial ∇ -invariant sub-bundle of E .*

Proof. We may assume $l_\epsilon = \|\log f_\epsilon\|_{L^2} \rightarrow \infty$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. In a straightforward way, we compute

$$(2.37) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_g |\log f_\epsilon|^2 &= 2 \text{tr}_g dK(\delta_K \log f_\epsilon, \log f_\epsilon) \\ &= 2 \text{tr}_g dK(f_\epsilon^{-1} \delta_K f_\epsilon, \log f_\epsilon) \\ &= 2(\text{tr}_g \nabla(f_\epsilon^{-1} \delta_K f_\epsilon), \log f_\epsilon) + 2(f_\epsilon^{-1} \delta_K f_\epsilon, \delta_K \log f_\epsilon) \\ &= 4(\nabla_{H_\epsilon}^* \psi_{H_\epsilon} - \nabla_K^* \psi_K, \log f_\epsilon) + 2(f_\epsilon^{-1} \delta_K f_\epsilon, \delta_K \log f_\epsilon) \\ &= 4(\nabla_{H_\epsilon}^* \psi_{H_\epsilon} - \nabla_K^* \psi_K, \log f_\epsilon) + 2(\Phi[\log f_\epsilon](\nabla \log f_\epsilon), \nabla \log f_\epsilon) \\ &\geq 4\epsilon |\log h_\epsilon|^2 - 4|\nabla_K^* \psi_K| |\log h_\epsilon|, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi(x, y) = (y - x)^{-1}(e^{y-x} - 1)$ for $x \neq y$, $\Phi(x, x) = 1$ and

$$(2.38) \quad \log f_\epsilon = \lambda_\alpha e_\alpha \otimes e^\alpha, \quad \nabla \log f_\epsilon = (\nabla \log f_\epsilon)_\beta^\alpha e_\alpha \otimes e^\beta,$$

$$(2.39) \quad \Phi[\log f_\epsilon](\nabla \log f_\epsilon) = \Phi(\lambda_\beta, \lambda_\alpha)(\nabla \log f_\epsilon)_\beta^\alpha e_\alpha \otimes e^\beta.$$

Let $\widetilde{\log f_\epsilon} = l_\epsilon^{-1} \log f_\epsilon$ and (2.37) implies

$$(2.40) \quad |\widetilde{\log f_\epsilon}| \leq l_\epsilon^{-1}(1 + l_i) \leq C,$$

$$(2.41) \quad \int_M l_\epsilon (\Phi[l_\epsilon \widetilde{\log f_\epsilon}](\nabla \widetilde{\log f_\epsilon}), \nabla \widetilde{\log f_\epsilon}) d\text{vol}_g = -2 \int_M (\nabla_{H_\epsilon}^* \psi_{H_\epsilon} - \nabla_{K_\epsilon}^* \psi_{K_\epsilon}, \widetilde{\log f_\epsilon}) d\text{vol}_g,$$

where C is a uniform constant independent on ϵ . Since

$$(2.42) \quad l\Phi(lx, ly) = \begin{cases} l, & x \leq y, \\ \frac{e^{l(y-x)} - 1}{y-x}, & x > y, \end{cases} \longrightarrow \begin{cases} \infty, & x \leq y, \\ (x-y)^{-1}, & x > y, \end{cases}$$

increases monotonically when $l \rightarrow \infty$, it holds

$$(2.43) \quad \int_M (\rho[\widetilde{\log f_\epsilon}](\nabla \widetilde{\log f_\epsilon}), \nabla \widetilde{\log f_\epsilon}) d\text{vol}_g \leq 2 \int_M (\nabla_{K_\epsilon}^* \psi_{K_\epsilon}, \widetilde{\log f_\epsilon}) d\text{vol}_g.$$

for any $\rho : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\rho(x, y) < (x - y)^{-1}$ whenever $x > y$ and ϵ is small enough. Due to the zeroth order estimate of $|\widetilde{\log f_\epsilon}|$, by taking small ρ , it follows $\|\nabla \widetilde{\log f_\epsilon}\|_{L^2_1}$ are uniform bounded. We may assume $\|u_\infty\|_{L^2} = 1$ and

$$(2.44) \quad \widetilde{\log f_\epsilon} \rightarrow u_\infty \in L^2_1 S_K,$$

weakly in L^2_1 -topology and strongly in L^2 -topology. Meanwhile, we have

$$(2.45) \quad \int_M (\rho[u_\infty](\nabla u_\infty), \nabla u_\infty) d\text{vol}_g \leq 2 \int_M (\nabla_{K_\epsilon}^* \psi_{K_\epsilon}, u_\infty) d\text{vol}_g < \infty.$$

As long as (2.45) is established, by using a similar discussion as [Si1, Lemma 5.5] we know the eigenvalues of u_∞ are constants almost everywhere. Since $u_\infty \neq 0$ and

$$(2.46) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_M \text{tr } u_\infty d\text{vol}_g &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_M \text{tr } \widetilde{\log f_\epsilon} d\text{vol}_g \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon^{-1} l_\epsilon^{-1} \int_M \text{tr } \nabla_{H_\epsilon}^* \psi_{H_\epsilon} d\text{vol}_g \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

it admits at least two distinct eigenvalues λ_k with $k = 1, \dots, \gamma$ and $2 \leq \gamma \leq r$ (we may assume $\text{rank}(E) = r > 1$). We construct smooth functions $f_s : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $s = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \gamma - 1$, such that $f_s(x) = 1$ if $x \leq \lambda_s$, and $f_s(x) = 0$ if $x > \lambda_s$. Set $\Pi_s = f_s[u_\infty]$ which means f_s acts on the eigenvalues of u_∞ , it is known that $\Pi_s \neq 0, \text{id}_E$ and

$$(2.47) \quad \Pi_s^* = \Pi_s = \Pi_s^2.$$

Applying (2.45) and a similar discussion as [Si1, Lemma 5.6], it yields

$$(2.48) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= (\text{id}_E - \Pi_s) \circ \nabla \Pi_s \\ &= (\text{id}_E - \Pi_s) \circ \nabla \circ \Pi_s \\ &= \nabla(\text{id}_E - \Pi_s) \circ \Pi_s, \end{aligned}$$

and taking adjoint gives

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.49) \quad 0 &= \delta_K \Pi_s \circ (\text{id}_E - \Pi_s) \\
&= -\Pi_s \circ \delta_K \circ (\text{id}_E - \Pi_s) \\
&= \Pi_s \circ \delta_K (\text{id}_E - \Pi_s).
\end{aligned}$$

Next we show each Π_s is smooth. Note Loftin [Lo] also considered the similar issue in the study of Donaldson-Uhlenbeck-Yau type theorem for flat vector bundles over special affine manifolds. It is also remarked that we are dealing with the real operator ∇ rather than $\bar{\partial}$. Indeed, it is purely a local matter, we first employ (2.48) and (2.49) to deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.50) \quad \nabla \Pi_s &= (\text{id}_E - \Pi_s) \circ \nabla \Pi_s + \Pi_s \circ \nabla \Pi_s \\
&= \Pi_s \circ \nabla \Pi_s \\
&= \Pi_s \circ \delta_K \Pi_s + 2\Pi \circ [\psi_K, \Pi_s] \\
&= \Pi_s \circ \delta_K (\text{id}_E - \Pi_s) + 2\Pi_s \circ [\psi_K, \Pi_s] \\
&= 2\Pi_s \circ [\psi_K, \Pi_s],
\end{aligned}$$

from which and $u_\infty \in L^\infty(S_K)$ we know $\Pi_s \in L_1^p(S_K)$ for any $p > 0$. Using

$$(2.51) \quad \nabla^2 \Pi_s = 2\nabla \Pi_s \circ [\psi_K, \Pi_s] + 2\Pi_s \circ \nabla[\psi_K, \Pi_s],$$

we have $\Pi_s \in L_2^p(S_K)$ for any $p > 0$. Applying the process repeatedly, we find $\Pi_s \in L_k^p(S_K)$ for any $k, p > 0$ and thus smooth.

Finally, since each $\Pi_s : E \rightarrow E$ is a nontrivial smooth homomorphism of bundles and has constant rank, it represents a nontrivial sub-bundle (see [Wel, Proposition 2.10])

$$(2.52) \quad F_s \triangleq \text{im } \Pi_s \hookrightarrow E.$$

Furthermore, (2.48) indicates F_s is preserved by ∇ , a contradiction to the simpleness. \square

Proposition 2.2. $I = [0, 1]$.

Proof. From (2.37), we see $|\log h_\epsilon| \leq \epsilon^{-1} |\nabla_K^* \psi_K|$, Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 2.1 show $I = (0, 1]$. For $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, the simpleness and Lemma 2.2 imply $\|\log f_\epsilon\|_{L^2}$ are uniformly bounded, from this and (2.37) we know $\|\log f_\epsilon\|_{C^0(M)}$ must be uniformly bounded. We conclude the a priori estimates up to arbitrary order in view of Lemma 2.1 and hence $0 \in I$. \square

2.3. Consequences. A triple (E, ∇, H) will be called a harmonic bundle if H is harmonic. The semi-simpleness of a harmonic bundle follows from a vector bundle analogue of Gauss-Codazzi equations. In fact, for any ∇ -invariant sub-bundle F , we write $E = F \oplus F^\perp$ orthogonally and ∇ takes the following form

$$(2.53) \quad \nabla = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_F & \beta_F \\ 0 & \nabla_{F^\perp} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\nabla_F(\nabla_{F^\perp})$ is the induced connection on $F(F^\perp)$ and $\beta_F \in A^1(M, \text{Hom}(F^\perp, F))$ is referred as the second fundamental form. It is easily known that

$$(2.54) \quad \nabla_H = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_{F,H} & \frac{1}{2}\beta_F \\ -\frac{1}{2}\beta_F^* & \nabla_{F^\perp,H} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi_H = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{F,H} & \frac{1}{2}\beta_F \\ \frac{1}{2}\beta_F^* & \psi_{F^\perp,H} \end{pmatrix},$$

where we decompose $\nabla_F = \nabla_{F,H} + \psi_{F,H}$ and $\nabla_{F^\perp} = \nabla_{F^\perp,H} + \psi_{F^\perp,H}$. We may choose a local normal coordinate and deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.55) \quad \nabla_H^* \psi_H &= \sum_{i=1}^{\dim M} \left[\begin{pmatrix} \psi_{F,H}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}) & \frac{1}{2}\beta_F(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}) \\ \frac{1}{2}\beta_F^*(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}) & \psi_{F^\perp,H}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_{F,H}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} & \frac{1}{2}\beta_F(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}) \\ -\frac{1}{2}\beta_F^*(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}) & \nabla_{F^\perp,H}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \end{pmatrix} \right] \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_{F,H}^* \psi_{F,H} & \frac{1}{2}\nabla_{(F^\perp)^* \otimes F, H}^* \beta_F \\ \frac{1}{2}\nabla_{F^* \otimes F^\perp, H}^* \beta_F^* & \nabla_{F^\perp, H}^* \psi_{F^\perp, H} \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{\dim M} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}\beta_F(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}) \circ \beta_F^*(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}) & \frac{1}{2}[\psi_{(F^\perp)^* \otimes F, H}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}), \beta_F(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i})] \\ -\frac{1}{2}[\psi_{F^* \otimes F^\perp, H}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}), \beta_F^*(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i})] & \frac{1}{2}\beta_F^*(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}) \circ \beta_F(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}) \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

By the harmonicity of H , we have

$$(2.56) \quad 2\nabla_{F,H}^* \psi_{F,H} = \sum_{i=1}^{\dim M} \beta_F(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}) \circ \beta_F^*(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}).$$

Taking trace on both sides and integrating over M indicate $\beta_F = 0$, therefore

$$(2.57) \quad (E, \nabla, H) = (F, \nabla_F, H) \oplus (F^\perp, \nabla_{F^\perp}, H)$$

splits as harmonic bundles. By an induction argument we conclude that (E, ∇) is semi-simple and combing this with Proposition 2.2 yields

Theorem 2.1. *The existence of harmonic metrics and the semi-simpleness are equivalent.*

Corollary 2.1. *Given a Hermitian vector bundle (E, K) , we have a natural bijection:*

$$(2.58) \quad I_K : \mathcal{M}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{I,K}.$$

Proof. Given a simple connection ∇ with $\nabla f = 0$ for $f \in \Gamma(\text{End}(E))$. Let

$$(2.59) \quad g(\lambda) = (\lambda - \lambda_1)^{m_1} \dots (\lambda - \lambda_s)^{m_s}$$

be the characteristic polynomial of f , where λ_j are the s mutually different eigenvalues of f . It is known that $\text{tr } f^k$ must be constants since $d \text{tr } f^k = \text{tr } \nabla f^k = 0$. In particular, we conclude λ_j, m_j are constants and we decompose E into the eigenspaces of f :

$$(2.60) \quad (E, \nabla) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s (E_{\lambda_i}, \nabla|_{E_{\lambda_i}}).$$

If $s \geq 2$ one finds at least one nontrivial ∇ -invariant sub-bundle, contradicting to the simple-ness. Therefore f only has one eigenvalue, saying λ . However the simpleness again implies $\ker(f - \lambda \text{id}_E) = E$, $f = \lambda \text{id}_E$ and hence ∇ is irreducible. Furthermore, there is a connection in the $\text{Aut}(E)$ -orbit through ∇ such that K is harmonic. In fact, we pick H , the harmonic metric given in Theorem 2.1 and it follows for $K^{-1}H = h^{*K}h$,

$$(2.61) \quad h(\nabla)_K^* \psi_{h(\nabla), K} = h(\nabla_H^* \psi_H) = 0.$$

If $K^{-1}H = \tilde{h}^{*K}\tilde{h}$, $\tilde{h}(\nabla)$ is isomorphic to $h(\nabla)$ via $\tilde{h} \circ h^{-1} \in \text{U}(E, K)$. Moreover, let H_1, H_2 be two harmonic metrics and $h_{12} = H_1^{-1}H_2$, we have

$$(2.62) \quad \Delta_g \text{tr } h_{12} = (h_{12}^{-1} \delta_{H_1} h_{12}, \delta_{H_1} h_{12})_{H_1} = |h_{12}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{H_1} h_{12}|_{H_1}^2,$$

from which and the simpleness we know that h_{12} is a constant multiple of id_E . Consequently, ∇ uniquely corresponds to an element, saying $I_K(\nabla) = [h(\nabla)] \in \mathcal{M}_{I,K}$. In addition, assuming $I_K(\nabla) = [h_1(\nabla)]$ and $I_K(g(\nabla)) = [h_2(g(\nabla))]$, it is not hard to see

$$(2.63) \quad h_2(g(\nabla)) = (h_2 \circ g \circ h_1^{-1})(h_1(\nabla)), \quad h_2 \circ g \circ h_1^{-1} \in \text{U}(E, K).$$

That is, I_K desends to a bijection from \mathcal{M}_S to $\mathcal{M}_{I,K}$, as required. \square

3. AN APPLICATION IN SASAKIAN GEOMETRY

3.1. Sasakian manifolds in pseudo-Hermitian geometry. We start with some basic facts on Sasakian manifolds from the viewpoint of pseudo-Hermitian geometry, more details can be found in [BG, DT]. Let M be a $2n + 1$ -dimensional smooth manifold, a CR structure on M is an integrable rank n complex sub-bundle

$$(3.1) \quad T_{1,0}M \subseteq T^{\mathbb{C}}M = TM \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

satisfying $T_{1,0}M \cap T_{0,1}M = \{0\}$ for $T_{0,1}M = \overline{T_{1,0}M}$. We then call $(M, T_{1,0}M)$ a CR manifold and its maximal complex or Levi, distribution is the real rank $2n$ real sub-bundle

$$(3.2) \quad HM = \text{Re}\{T_{1,0}M \oplus T_{0,1}M\} \subseteq TM.$$

It carries a complex structure $J : HM \rightarrow HM$ given by

$$(3.3) \quad J(X + \bar{X}) = \sqrt{-1}(X - \bar{X}), \quad X \in T_{1,0}M.$$

Assume M to be orientable, we define

$$(3.4) \quad E_x = \{\omega \in T_x^*M, HM_x \subseteq \ker \omega\} \subseteq T_x^*M,$$

then E a real line bundle and any globally defined nowhere vanishing section η is called a pseudo-Hermitian structure and the associated Levi form L_η is defined by

$$(3.5) \quad L_\eta(X, \bar{Y}) = -\sqrt{-1}d\eta(X, \bar{Y}), \quad X, Y \in T_{1,0}M.$$

An orientable CR manifold $(M, T_{1,0}M)$ is nondegenerate if L_η is nondegenerate for a pseudo-Hermitian structure η and $(M, T_{1,0}M, \eta)$ is called a pseudo-Hermitian manifold. Moreover, $(M, T_{1,0}M, \eta)$ is said to be strictly pseudoconvex CR manifold if L_η is positive definite.

For a pseudo-Hermitian manifold $(M, T_{1,0}M, \eta)$, $d\eta$ is nondegenerate on HM and thus there is a unique nonvanishing vector field ξ (referred to as the characteristic direction) such that

$$(3.6) \quad \eta(\xi) = 1, \quad d\eta(\xi, \bullet) = 0,$$

and ξ is transverse to the Levi distribution (that is $TM = HM \oplus \mathbb{R}\xi$). Define a bilinear form

$$(3.7) \quad G_\eta(X, Y) = d\eta(X, JY), \quad X, Y \in HM,$$

the integrability of $T_{1,0}M$ implies that G_η is J -invariant and thus symmetric. One may extend G_η to a semi-Riemannian (Riemannian if strictly pseudoconvex CR) metric via

$$(3.8) \quad g_\eta(X, Y) = G_\eta(X, Y), \quad g_\eta(X, \xi) = 0, \quad g_\eta(\xi, \xi) = 1, \quad X, Y \in HM,$$

and we call it the Webster metric.

Proposition 3.1 ([Ta, Web], see also [DT]). *For a pseudo-Hermitian manifold $(M, T_{1,0}M, \eta)$, we extend J to an endomorphism of TM by requiring $J\xi = 0$. There exists a unique affine connection ∇^{TM} (called Tanaka-Webster connection) on TM such that*

- (1) HM is parallel with respect to ∇^{TM} ,
- (2) $\nabla^{TM}g_\eta = 0$, $\nabla^{TM}J=0$ and $\nabla^{TM}\xi = 0$,
- (3) The torsion $T_{\nabla^{TM}}$ is pure:
 - (a) $\tau_{\nabla^{TM}} \circ J + J \circ \tau_{\nabla^{TM}} = 0$,
 - (b) $T_{\nabla^{TM}}(X, Y) = 0$, $T_{\nabla^{TM}}(X, \bar{Y}) = d\eta(X, \bar{Y})\xi$,
 where $\tau_{\nabla^{TM}} = T_{\nabla^{TM}}(\xi, \bullet)$ (called the pseudo-Hermitian torsion) and $X, Y \in T_{1,0}M$.

As an endomorphism, it is known that

$$(3.9) \quad \text{tr } \tau_{\nabla^{TM}} = 0, \quad \tau_{\nabla^{TM}}(T_{1,0}M) \subseteq T_{0,1}M.$$

On the other hand, the relation between the Levi-Civita connection ∇^{g_η} and the Tanaka-Webster connection ∇^{TM} is given by (see [DT])

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_X^{g_\eta} Y - \nabla_X^{TM} Y &= (g_\eta(X, J(Y)) - g_\eta(\tau_{\nabla^{TM}}(X), Y)) \xi \\ &+ \tau_{\nabla^{TM}}(X)\eta(Y) + \eta(X)J(Y) + \eta(Y)J(X), \end{aligned}$$

for two vector fields X, Y . Define a vector field $U = \text{tr}_{g_\eta}(\nabla^{g_\eta} - \nabla^{TM})$ and it follows

Lemma 3.1. *For a strictly pseudoconvex CR manifold $(M, T_{1,0}M, \eta)$, we have $U = 0$.*

Definition 3.1. *A strictly pseudoconvex CR manifold $(M, T_{1,0}M, \eta)$ with vanishing pseudo-Hermitian torsion $\tau_{\nabla^{TM}}$ is called a Sasakian manifold.*

3.2. A vanishing result. In what follows we always assume $\{e_1 = \xi, e_2, \dots, e_{2n+1}\}$ is a local frame of TM and $\{e^1 = \eta, e^2, \dots, e^{2n+1}\}$ is a local frame of T^*M . For a vector bundle E over M with a connection ∇ and a metric K on E , we shall use ∇_K to denote the connection on $\Lambda^\bullet T^*M \otimes E$ which acts on $\Lambda^\bullet T^*M$ by ∇^{TM} and acts on E by ∇_K . We take the notation

$$(3.11) \quad \nabla_{H,X,Y}^2 \omega = \nabla_{H,X} \nabla_{H,Y} \omega - \nabla_{H, \nabla_X^{TM} Y} \omega,$$

for two vector fields X, Y and $\omega \in A^\bullet(E)$.

A connection ∇ on a complex vector bundle E is called projective flat if the curvature satisfies $\sqrt{-1}F_\nabla = \alpha \otimes \text{id}_E$ for a 2-form α .

Lemma 3.2. *Let (E, ∇) be a projective flat complex vector bundle over a compact Sasakian manifold $(M, T_{1,0}M, \eta)$ and H be a metric on E with $\nabla_{H,\xi} \nabla_H^* \psi_H = 0$, then $\nabla_H(\psi_H(\xi)) = 0$.*

Proof. We first note Lemma 3.1 implies

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_H^* \psi_H &= g_\eta^{ij} \nabla_{H,e_i}(\psi_H(e_j)) - g_\eta^{ij} \psi_H(\nabla_{e_i}^g e_j) \\ &= g_\eta^{ij} \nabla_{H,e_i}(\psi_H(e_j)) - g_\eta^{ij} \psi_H(\nabla_{e_i}^{TM} e_j) \end{aligned}$$

The projective flatness means $D_H \psi_H = 0$, $\sqrt{-1}(F_{\nabla_H} + \psi_H \wedge \psi_H) = \alpha \otimes \text{id}_E$ for $\alpha \in A^2(M)$. Next we deduce the symmetry

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_{H,e_i}(\psi_H(\xi)) &= \nabla_{H,e_i} \psi_H(\xi) + \psi_H(\nabla_{e_i}^{TM} \xi) \\ &= \nabla_{H,\xi} \psi_H(e_i) - \psi_H(\tau_{\nabla^{TM}}(e_i)) - D_H \psi_H(\xi, e_i) \\ &= \nabla_{H,\xi}(\psi_H(e_i)), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\nabla^{TM}\xi = 0$ and the vanishing of pseudo-Hermitian torsion. Using these properties again, (3.12) and (3.13) repeatedly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.14) \quad \operatorname{tr}_{g_\eta} \nabla_H^2(\psi_H(\xi)) &= g_\eta^{ij} \nabla_{H,e_i} \nabla_{H,e_j}(\psi_H(\xi)) - g_\eta^{ij} \nabla_{H,\nabla_{e_i}^{TM}e_j}(\psi_H(\xi)) \\
&= g_\eta^{ij} \nabla_{H,e_i,\xi}^2(\psi_H(e_j)) - g_\eta^{ij} \nabla_{H,\nabla_{e_i}^{TM}e_j}(\psi_H(\xi)) \\
&= g_\eta^{ij} \nabla_{H,\xi,e_i}^2(\psi_H(e_j)) - g_\eta^{ij} \nabla_{H,\nabla_{e_i}^{TM}e_j}(\psi_H(\xi)) \\
&\quad + g_\eta^{ij} \left([F_{\nabla_H}(e_i, \xi), \psi_H(e_j)] + \nabla_{H,\tau_{\nabla^{TM}}(e_i)}(\psi_H(e_j)) \right) \\
&= g_\eta^{ij} \nabla_{H,\xi,e_i}^2(\psi_H(e_j)) - g_\eta^{ij} \nabla_{H,\nabla_{e_i}^{TM}e_j}(\psi_H(\xi)) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} g_\eta^{ij} [[\psi_H(e_i), \psi_H(\xi)], \psi_H(e_j)] \\
&= g_\eta^{ij} \nabla_{H,\xi} \nabla_{H,e_i}(\psi_H(e_j)) - g_\eta^{ij} \nabla_{H,\xi}(\psi_H(\nabla_{e_i}^{TM}e_j)) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} g_\eta^{ij} [[\psi_H(e_i), \psi_H(\xi)], \psi_H(e_j)] \\
&= -\nabla_{H,\xi} \nabla_H^* \psi_H - \frac{1}{2} g_\eta^{ij} [[\psi_H(e_i), \psi_H(\xi)], \psi_H(e_j)].
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.1 and the assumption on H , it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.15) \quad \Delta_{g_\eta} |\psi_H(\xi)|_H^2 &= \operatorname{div}(\nabla^{TM} |\psi_H(\xi)|_H^2) \\
&= 2(\operatorname{tr}_{g_\eta} \nabla_H^2(\psi_H(\xi)), \psi_H(\xi))_H + 2|\nabla_H(\psi_H(\xi))|_H^2 \\
&= -(g_\eta^{ij} [[\psi_H(e_i), \psi_H(\xi)], \psi_H(e_j)], \psi_H(\xi))_H^2 + 2|\nabla_H(\psi_H(\xi))|_H^2 \\
&= |[\psi_H(\xi), \psi_H]|_H^2 + 2|\nabla_H(\psi_H(\xi))|_H^2,
\end{aligned}$$

and we thus conclude $\nabla_H(\psi_H(\xi)) = 0$ by maximum principle. \square

Under the setting in Lemma 3.2, we define $\mathcal{D} = g_\eta^{ij} (e^i \wedge \nabla_{H,e_j} - \iota(e_i) \nabla_{H,e_j})$, the Dirac operator on $\Lambda^* T^* M \otimes \operatorname{End}(E)$. By (3.12) and the projective flatness, we have

$$(3.16) \quad \mathcal{D}\psi_H = g_\eta^{ij} e^i \wedge \nabla_{H,e_j} \psi_H + \nabla_H^* \psi_H,$$

and for any $X, Y \in TM$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.17) \quad (g_\eta^{ij} e^i \wedge \nabla_{H,e_j} \psi_H)(X, Y) &= \nabla_{H,X} \psi_H(Y) - \nabla_{H,Y} \psi_H(X) \\
&= \psi_H(T_{\nabla^{TM}}(X, Y)) + D_H \psi_H(X, Y) \\
&= \psi_H(T_{\nabla^{TM}}(X, Y)).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have $\mathcal{D}\psi_H = d\eta \otimes \psi_H(\xi) + \nabla_H^* \psi_H$ due to Proposition 3.1 and the vanishing of pseudo-Hermitian torsion. Furthermore it holds

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.18) \quad \mathcal{D}^2 \psi_H &= g_\eta^{ij} (e^i \wedge \nabla_{H,e_j} (d\eta \otimes \psi_H(\xi)) - (\nabla_{H,e_j} (d\eta \otimes \psi_H(\xi)))(e_i)) \\
&= g_\eta^{ij} (e^i \wedge d\eta \otimes \nabla_{H,e_j}(\psi_H(\xi)) - d\eta(e_i, \bullet) \otimes \nabla_{H,e_j}(\psi_H(\xi))),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\nabla^{TM} d\eta = 0$. Thanks to Lemma 3.2, we find $\mathcal{D}\psi_H = 0$ since \mathcal{D} is formal self-adjoint(see [Pe, Proposition 2.1]). Hence, we have the following vanishing result which is essential to construct basic Higgs structures.

Proposition 3.2. *Let (E, ∇) be a projective flat complex vector bundle over a compact Sasakian manifold $(M, T_{1,0}M, \eta)$ and H be a metric on E with $\nabla_{H, \xi} \nabla_H^* \psi_H = 0$, then H is exactly harmonic and satisfies $\psi_H(\xi) = 0$.*

3.3. Correspondence between projective flat bundles and Higgs bundles. Below we assume the base space is a compact Sasakian manifold $(M, T_{1,0}M, \eta)$ and denote by $A_{B_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}}^*(M)$ the space of basic forms, meaning that any $\omega \in A_{B_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}}^*(M)$ satisfies $\iota_\xi \omega = 0$ and $L_\xi \omega = 0$. Since $\ker \eta \otimes \mathbb{C} = T_{1,0}M \oplus T_{0,1}M$, we similar have the decomposition

$$(3.19) \quad A_{B_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}}^k(M) \otimes \mathbb{C} = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} A_{B_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}}^{p,q}(M),$$

where $A_{B_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}}^{p,q}(M)$ is the sub-space of basic forms of type (p, q) . It is easy to see the exterior differential preserves basic forms and hence $d = \partial_\xi + \bar{\partial}_\xi$ on $A_{B_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}}^k(M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$, such that

$$(3.20) \quad \partial_\xi : A_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}^{p,q}(M) \rightarrow A_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}^{p+1,q}(M), \quad \bar{\partial}_\xi : A_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}^{p,q}(M) \rightarrow A_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}^{p,q+1}(M).$$

In the presence of the CR structure $T_{1,0}M$ and the complex structure J , there is a transverse holomorphic structure on M (with the transverse Kähler structure $d\eta$) as well as the transverse Hodge theory on the foliated manifold (M, \mathcal{F}_ξ) , see [To, Chapter 7] and [BK1, pp.275].

Let E be a complex vector bundle over M , it is called transverse holomorphic if it can be trivialized by an open cover $M = \bigcup_\alpha U_\alpha$ so that each transition function $f_{\alpha\beta}$ is basic and holomorphic (that is, $\bar{\partial}_\xi f_{\alpha\beta} = 0$). We have the canonical Dolbeault operator

$$(3.21) \quad \bar{\partial}_E : A_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}^{p,q}(M, E) \rightarrow A_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}^{p,q+1}(M, E),$$

which satisfies $\bar{\partial}_E^2 = 0$ and for $\alpha \in A_{B_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}}^{p,q}(M)$, $u \in A_{B_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}}^0(M, E)$,

$$(3.22) \quad \bar{\partial}_E(\alpha \wedge u) = \bar{\partial}_\xi \alpha \otimes u + (-1)^{p+q} \alpha \wedge \bar{\partial}_E u.$$

A basic Higgs bundle (E, θ) consists of a transverse holomorphic bundle E and a Higgs field $\theta \in A_{B_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}}^{1,0}(M, \text{End}(E))$ satisfying $\bar{\partial}_E \theta = 0$, $\theta \wedge \theta = 0$. Assume further E admits a basic Hermitian metric H , one may take all nontrivial sub-Higgs sheaves to define the notion of poly-stability on (E, θ) in the manner of [BH, Section 3.3]. We say a connection ∇ on a vector bundle E is basic if the associated D restricts to a homomorphism

$$(3.23) \quad D : A_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}^k(M, E) \rightarrow A_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}^{k+1}(M, E),$$

and the pair (E, ∇) is said to be a basic vector bundle. For a basic connection ∇ on E , we may define $c_{k, B_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}}(E, \nabla) \in A_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}^{2k}(M, E)$ by

$$(3.24) \quad \det\left(I + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} F_\nabla\right) = \sum_{k=0}^n c_{k, B_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}}(E, \nabla).$$

For each k , the class $c_{k, B_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}}(E, \nabla)$ in $H_{B_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}}^*(M)$, the cohomology of complex

$$(3.25) \quad (A_{B_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}}^*(M), d|_{A_{B_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}}^*(M)}),$$

is independent of the choice of basic connections and we just write $c_{k, B_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}}(E)$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $(M, T_{1,0}M, \eta)$ be a compact Sasakian manifold of dimension $2n + 1$ and (E, ∇) be a rank r semi-simple basic projective flat complex vector bundle over M , then it determines a poly-stable basic Higgs bundle (E, θ) over M such that*

$$(3.26) \quad \int_M \left(c_{2, B_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}}(E) - \frac{r-1}{2r} c_{1, B_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}}^2(E) \right) \wedge (d\eta)^{n-2} \wedge \eta = 0.$$

Proof. We employ Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 3.2 to find a harmonic metric H on (E, ∇) satisfying $\psi_H(\xi) = 0$. It follows that ∇_H induces a homomorphism

$$(3.27) \quad D_H : A_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}^k(M, E) \rightarrow A_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}^{k+1}(M, E).$$

We decompose $D_H = \partial_{H,\xi} + \bar{\partial}_{H,\xi}$ and $\psi_H = \theta_{H,\xi} + \bar{\theta}_{H,\xi}$ such that

$$(3.28) \quad \partial_{H,\xi} : A_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}^{p,q}(M, E) \rightarrow A_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}^{p+1,q}(M, E), \quad \bar{\partial}_{H,\xi} : A_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}^{p,q}(M, E) \rightarrow A_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}^{p,q+1}(M, E),$$

and $\theta_{H,\xi} \in A_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}^{1,0}(M, \text{End}(E))$, $\bar{\theta}_{H,\xi} \in A_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}^{0,1}(M, \text{End}(E))$.

The projective flatness implies

$$(3.29) \quad \partial_{H,\xi} \theta_{H,\xi} = 0, \quad \bar{\partial}_{H,\xi} \bar{\theta}_{H,\xi} = 0, \quad \partial_{H,\xi} \bar{\theta}_{H,\xi} + \bar{\partial}_{H,\xi} \theta_{H,\xi} = 0,$$

$$(3.30) \quad \partial_{H,\xi}^2 = -\frac{1}{2}[\theta_{H,\xi}, \theta_{H,\xi}], \quad \bar{\partial}_{H,\xi}^2 = -\frac{1}{2}[\bar{\theta}_{H,\xi}, \bar{\theta}_{H,\xi}],$$

$$(3.31) \quad [\partial_{H,\xi}, \bar{\partial}_{H,\xi}] = -[\theta_{H,\xi}, \bar{\theta}_{H,\xi}] + \frac{\text{tr } F_\nabla}{r} \otimes \text{id}_E.$$

Set $D''_{H,\xi} = \bar{\partial}_{H,\xi} + \theta_{H,\xi}$ and $G_{H,\xi} = D''_{H,\xi} \circ D''_{H,\xi}$, it follows

$$(3.32) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{tr}(G_{H,\xi} \wedge G_{H,\xi}) \wedge (d\eta)^{n-2} &= -2 \text{tr}(\bar{\theta}_{H,\xi} \wedge \bar{\theta}_{H,\xi} \wedge \theta_{H,\xi} \wedge \theta_{H,\xi}) \wedge (d\eta)^{n-2} \\ &\quad - \text{tr}(\bar{\partial}_{H,\xi} \theta_{H,\xi} \wedge \partial_{H,\xi} \bar{\theta}_{H,\xi}) \wedge (d\eta)^{n-2} \\ &= -2 \text{tr}(\bar{\theta}_{H,\xi} \wedge \bar{\theta}_{H,\xi} \wedge \theta_{H,\xi} \wedge \theta_{H,\xi}) \wedge (d\eta)^{n-2} \\ &\quad - \bar{\partial} \text{tr}(\theta_{H,\xi} \wedge \partial_{H,\xi} \bar{\theta}_{H,\xi}) \wedge (d\eta)^{n-2} \\ &\quad + \text{tr}(\theta_{H,\xi} \wedge [[\theta_{H,\xi}, \bar{\theta}_{H,\xi}] - \frac{\text{tr } F_\nabla}{r} \otimes \text{id}_E, \bar{\theta}_{H,\xi}]) \wedge (d\eta)^{n-2} \\ &= -\bar{\partial} (\text{tr}(\theta_{H,\xi} \wedge \partial_{H,\xi} \bar{\theta}_{H,\xi}) \wedge (d\eta)^{n-2}). \end{aligned}$$

The harmonicity of H is equivalent to $\Lambda_\xi G_{H,\xi} = 0$, meaning $G_{H,\xi}$ is primitive, where Λ_ξ is the adjoint of the multiplication of $d\eta$. Thus

$$(3.33) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{tr}(G_{H,\xi} \wedge G_{H,\xi}) \wedge (d\eta)^{n-2} &= 2C_1 \text{tr}(G_{H,\xi}^{2,0} \wedge *_\xi G_{H,\xi}^{0,2}) - C_1 \text{tr}(G_{H,\xi}^{1,1} \wedge *_\xi G_{H,\xi}^{1,1}) \\ &= 2C_1 \text{tr}(G_{H,\xi}^{2,0} \wedge *_\xi (G_{H,\xi}^{2,0})^{*H}) + C_1 \text{tr}(G_{H,\xi}^{1,1} \wedge *_\xi (G_{H,\xi}^{1,1})^{*H}) \\ &= C_1 \text{tr}(G_{H,\xi} \wedge *_\xi G_{H,\xi}^{*H}), \end{aligned}$$

for a constant C_1 , where $*_\xi$ is the basic Hodge star operator given by $*_\xi \beta = *(\eta \wedge \beta)$ for $\beta \in A_{B_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}}^*(M)$. Therefore integrating $\text{tr}(G_{H,\xi} \wedge G_{H,\xi}) \wedge (d\eta)^{n-2} \wedge \eta$ over M yields $G_{H,\xi} = 0$,

meaning (E, θ) is a basic Higgs bundle with canonical Dolbeault operator $\bar{\partial}_{H, \xi}$. In addition, the calculation in [Si1, Proposition 3.4] shows for a constant C_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.34) \quad & \int_M \left(c_{2, B_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}}(E) - \frac{r-1}{2r} c_{1, B_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}}^2(E) \right) \wedge (d\eta)^{n-2} \wedge \eta \\
& = C_2 \int_M \left(|F_{\nabla_H + \theta_{H, \xi} + \bar{\theta}_{H, \xi}}^\perp|_H^2 - |\Lambda_\xi F_{\nabla_H + \theta_{H, \xi} + \bar{\theta}_{H, \xi}}^\perp|_H^2 \right) \text{dvol}_{g_\eta} \\
& = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

by the projective flatness. Using the basic metric H , the stability of basic Higgs bundle can be well-defined and one easily concludes the poly-stability, see [BH, Theorem 4.7]. \square

Proposition 3.4. *Let $(M, T_{1,0}M, \eta)$ be a compact Sasakian manifold of dimension $2n+1$ and (E, θ) be a rank r poly-stable basic Higgs bundle satisfying (3.26) over M , then it determines a semi-simple basic projective flat complex vector bundle (E, ∇) over M .*

Proof. The Sasakian analogue of [Si1, Theorem 1.1] shows that there exists a basic Hermitian metric H on (E, θ) such that (see [BK1])

$$(3.35) \quad \Lambda_\xi F_{\nabla}^\perp = 0, \quad \nabla = \nabla_H + \theta + \theta^{*H},$$

where ∇_H is the canonical basic Chern connection. Now by (3.34) it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.36) \quad 0 & = \int_M \left(c_{2, B_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}}(E) - \frac{r-1}{2r} c_{1, B_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}}^2(E) \right) \wedge (d\eta)^{n-2} \wedge \eta \\
& = C_2 \int_M \left(|F_{\nabla}^\perp|_H^2 - |\Lambda_\xi F_{\nabla}^\perp|_H^2 \right) \text{dvol}_{g_\eta} \\
& = C_2 \int_M |F_{\nabla}^\perp|_H^2 \text{dvol}_{g_\eta}.
\end{aligned}$$

This means (E, ∇) is a semi-simple basic projective flat complex vector bundle. \square

Suppoe that (F, ∇) is a flat vector bundle with a harmonic metric H , over a compact Sasakian manifold $(M, T_{1,0}M, \eta)$. Define $H_{DR, \mathcal{F}_\xi}^0(M, F)$ to be the space of ∇ -parallel elements of $A_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}^0(M, F)$ and $H_{Dol, \mathcal{F}_\xi}^0(M, F)$ to be the space of $D_H^{0,1} + \psi_H^{1,0}$ -parallel elements of $A_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}^0(M, F)$.

In view of Proposition 3.3, Proposition 3.4 and the natural isomorphism

$$(3.37) \quad H_{DR, \mathcal{F}_\xi}^0(M, F) \cong H_{Dol, \mathcal{F}_\xi}^0(M, F),$$

via transverse Kähler identities (just as [Si3, Lemma 1.2]), we conclude

Theorem 3.1. *For a compact Sasakian manifold $(M, T_{1,0}M, \eta)$ of dimension $2n+1$, there exists an equivalence between the following two categories:*

- *Semi-simple basic projective flat complex vector bundles over M .*
- *Poly-stable basic Higgs bundles satisfying (3.26) over M .*

REFERENCES

- [BH] D. Baraglia and P. Hekmati, A foliated Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence. *Adv. Math.* **408**(2022), part B, Paper No. 108661, 47 pp.
- [BK1] I. Biswas and H. Kasuya, Higgs bundles and flat connections over compact Sasakian manifolds. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **385**(2021), no. 1, 267-290.

- [BK2] I. Biswas and H. Kasuya, Higgs bundles and flat connections over compact Sasakian manifolds, II: quasi-regular bundles. arXiv: 2110.10644, 2021.
- [BM] I. Biswas and M. Mj, Higgs bundles on Sasakian manifolds. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN.* 2018, no. 11, 3490-3506.
- [BG] C.P. Boyer and K. Galicki, *Sasakian geometry*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2008. xii+613 pp. ISBN: 978-0-19-856495-9.
- [CJY] T.C. Collins, A. Jacob and S.T. Yau, Poisson metrics on flat vector bundles over non-compact curves. *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **27**(2019), no. 3, 529-597.
- [Co1] K. Corlette, Flat G -bundles with canonical metrics. *J. Differential Geom.* **28**(1988), no. 3, 361-382.
- [Co2] K. Corlette, Archimedean superrigidity and hyperbolic geometry. *Ann. of Math. (2).* **135**(1992), no. 1, 165-182.
- [Do1] S.K. Donaldson, Anti self-dual Yang-Mills connections over complex algebraic surfaces and stable vector bundles. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3).* **50**(1985), no. 1, 1-26.
- [Do2] S.K. Donaldson, Infinite determinants, stable bundles and curvature. *Duke Math. J.* **54**(1987), no. 1, 231-247.
- [Do3] S.K. Donaldson, Twisted harmonic maps and the self-duality equations. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3).* **55**(1987), no. 1, 127-131.
- [DT] S. Dragomir and G. Tomassini, *Differential geometry and analysis on CR manifolds*. Progress in Mathematics, 246. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2006. xvi+487 pp. ISBN: 978-0-8176-4381-1; 0-8176-4388-5.
- [GMSW] J.P. Gauntlett, D. Martelli, J. Sparks and D. Waldram, Sasaki-Einstein metrics on $S^2 \times S^3$. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **8**(2004), no. 4, 711-734.
- [Hi] N.J. Hitchin, The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3).* **55**(1987), no. 1, 59-126.
- [JZ1] J. Jost and K. Zuo, Harmonic maps and $Sl(r, \mathbb{C})$ -representations of fundamental groups of quasiprojective manifolds. *J. Algebraic Geom.* **5**(1996), no. 1, 77-106.
- [JZ2] J. Jost and K. Zuo, Harmonic maps of infinite energy and rigidity results for representations of fundamental groups of quasiprojective varieties. *J. Differential Geom.* **47**(1997), no. 3, 469-503.
- [KV] D. Kaledin and M. Verbitsky, Non-Hermitian Yang-Mills connections. *Selecta Math. (N.S.).* **4**(1998), no. 2, 279-320.
- [Ka] H. Kasuya, Almost-formality and deformations of representations of the fundamental groups of Sasakian manifolds. arXiv: 2007.14544, 2020.
- [KM] H. Kasuya and N. Miyatake, Uniformizations of compact Sasakian manifolds. arXiv: 2211.16713v2, 2022.
- [Li] J. Li, Hitchin's self-duality equations on complete Riemannian manifolds. *Math. Ann.* **306**(1996), no. 3, 419-428.
- [Lo] J. Loftin, Affine Hermitian-Einstein metrics. *Asian J. Math.* **13**(2009), no. 1, 101-130.
- [Lü] M. Lübke, Einstein metrics and stability for flat connections on compact Hermitian manifolds, and a correspondence with Higgs operators in the surface case. *Doc. Math.* **4**(1999), 487-512.
- [LT] M. Lübke and A. Teleman, *The Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence*. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1995. x+254 pp. ISBN: 981-02-2168-1.
- [Ma] J. Maldacena, The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2**(1998), no. 2, 231-252.

- [MS] D. Martelli and J. Sparks, Toric geometry, Sasaki-Einstein manifolds and a new infinite class of AdS/CFT duals. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **262**(2006), no. 1, 51-89.
- [MSY] D. Martelli, J. Sparks and S.T. Yau, Sasaki-Einstein manifolds and volume minimisation. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **28**(2008), no. 3, 611-673.
- [Mo] T. Mochizuki, Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence for tame harmonic bundles. II. *Geom. Topol.* **13**(2009), no. 1, 359-455.
- [NS] M.S. Narasimhan and C.S. Seshadri, Stable and unitary vector bundles on a compact Riemann surface. *Ann. of Math. (2)*. **82**(1965), 540-567.
- [PSZ] C. Pan, Z. Shen and X. Zhang, On the existence of harmonic metrics on non-Hermitian Yang-Mills bundles. Preprint, 2022.
- [PZZ] C. Pan, C. Zhang and X. Zhang, Semi-stable Higgs bundles and flat bundles over non-Kähler manifolds. arXiv: 1911.03593v1, 2019.
- [Pe] R. Petit, Harmonic maps and strictly pseudoconvex CR manifolds. *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **10**(2002), no. 3, 575-610.
- [Sa] S. Sasaki, On differentiable manifolds with certain structures which are closely related to almost contact structure. I. *Tohoku Math. J. (2)*. **12**(1960), 459-476.
- [Si1] C.T. Simpson, Constructing variations of Hodge structure using Yang-Mills theory and applications to uniformization. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **1**(1988), no. 4, 867-918.
- [Si2] C.T. Simpson, Harmonic bundles on noncompact curves. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **3**(1990), no. 3, 713-770.
- [Si3] C.T. Simpson, Higgs bundles and local systems. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* No. **75**(1992), 5-95.
- [Ta] N. Tanaka, A differential geometric study on strongly pseudo-convex manifolds. Lecture in Mathematics, Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University, No. 9. Kinokuniya Book Store Co., Ltd., Tokyo, 1975. iv+158 pp.
- [To] P. Tondeur, Geometry of foliations. *Monographs in Mathematics*, 90. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1997. viii+305 pp. ISBN: 3-7643-5741-X.
- [Uh] K.K. Uhlenbeck, Connections with L^p bounds on curvature. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **83**(1982), no. 1, 31-42.
- [UY] K.K. Uhlenbeck and S.T. Yau, On the existence of Hermitian-Yang-Mills connections in stable vector bundles. *Frontiers of the mathematical sciences: 1985* (New York, 1985). *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **39**(1986), no. S, Suppl., S257-S293.
- [Web] S.M. Webster, Pseudo-Hermitian structures on real hypersurface. *J. Differential Geometry.* **13**(1978), no. 1, 25-41.
- [Wel] R. Wells, *Differential analysis on complex manifolds*. Third edition. With a new appendix by Oscar Garcia-Prada. *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, 65. Springer, New York, 2008. xiv+299 pp. ISBN: 978-0-387-73891-8.
- [WZ] D. Wu and X. Zhang, Poisson metrics and Higgs bundles over noncompact Kähler manifolds. arXiv: 2109.01776, 2021.

DI WU, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, NANJING 210094, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
Email address: wudi123@mail.ustc.edu.cn

XI ZHANG, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, NANJING 210094, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
Email address: mathzx@ustc.edu.cn