

# Complete $\lambda$ -submanifolds in Gauss spaces

Doan The Hieu

Department of Mathematics  
College of Education, Hue University, Hue, Vietnam

dthieu@hueuni.edu.vn

April 20, 2023

## Abstract

In this paper, we study  $\lambda$ -submanifolds of arbitrary codimensions in Gauss spaces. These submanifolds can be seen as natural generalizations of self-shrinker and  $\lambda$ -hypersurfaces. Using a divergence type theorem and some Simons' type identities, we prove some halfspace type theorems and gap theorems for complete proper  $\lambda$ -submanifolds. These generalized our as well as the others' results for self-shrinker or  $\lambda$ -hypersurfaces to  $\lambda$ -submanifolds.

**AMS Subject Classification (2020):** Primary 53C21; Secondary 35J60

**Keywords:**  $\lambda$ -submanifolds, self shrinkers, halfspace type theorem, gap theorems

## 1 Introduction

A manifold with density is a Riemannian manifold  $M$  endowed with a positive function (density)  $e^{-f}$  used to weigh both volume and perimeter. The weighted volume and perimeter elements are defined as  $e^{-f}dV$  and  $e^{-f}dA$ , where  $dV$  and  $dA$  are the Riemannian volume and perimeter elements. We refer the reader to [10], [11], [25] for more details about manifolds with density.

Manifolds with density appeared in mathematics long ago and is a special case of “mm spaces”, studied earlier by Gromov [19]. Following Gromov ([19, p. 213]), the natural generalization of the mean curvature of a hypersurface  $\Sigma$  in a manifold  $M$  with density  $e^{-f}$  is defined as

$$H_f = H + \langle \nabla f, \mathbf{n} \rangle, \quad (1)$$

where  $H$  is the classical mean curvature and  $\mathbf{n}$  is the normal vector field of  $\Sigma$ .  $H_f$  is called weighted mean curvature, or curvature with density, or  $f$ -curvature of  $\Sigma$  by some mathematicians nowadays. A hypersurface  $\Sigma$  with  $H_f = 0$  everywhere is called weighted minimal or  $f$ -minimal. If  $H_f = \lambda$  (a constant), then  $\Sigma$  is called a  $\lambda$ -hypersurface.

A typical example of manifolds with density is Gauss space  $\mathbb{G}^m$ , that is  $\mathbb{R}^m$  with Gaussian probability density  $(2\pi)^{-\frac{m}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}}$ , where  $X$  is the position vector. Gauss space has many applications to probability and statistics. In Gauss space,  $f$ -minimal submanifolds are nothing but self-shrinkers, self-similar solutions to the mean curvature flow (MCF) that play an important role in the study singularities of the MCF. The study of self-shrinkers and  $\lambda$ -hypersurfaces attracts the attention of many mathematicians. For more information about self-shrinkers as well as singularities of the MCF, we refer the readers to [7], [14], [15], . . . , and references therein. For some results concerning  $\lambda$ -hypersurfaces, see [1], [6], [18], [29], [30].

Some halfspace type theorems for self-shrinker of codimension 1 or for  $\lambda$ -hypersurfaces were proved in [24], [16], [2], [17], [28] and for self-shrinkers of arbitrary codimension in [13].

In 2011, Le-Seum [21] prove a gap theorem for self-shrinkers of codimension 1. Soon after, in 2012, Cao-Li [3] generalized the result to arbitrary codimension case (see also [9]). They proved

**Theorem 1** ([3], Theorem 1.1). *If  $\Sigma^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+p}$  ( $p \geq 1$ ) is an  $n$ -dimensional complete self-shrinker without boundary, with polynomial volume growth, and satisfies*

$$|A|^2 \leq 1,$$

*then  $\Sigma$  is one of the following:*

1. *a hyperplane in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ,*
2. *a round sphere  $S^n(\sqrt{n})$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ,*
3. *a cylinder  $S^k(\sqrt{k}) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ , in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ .*

*Here  $|A|^2$  is the squared norm of the second fundamental form of  $\Sigma$ .*

For  $\lambda$ -hypersurfaces, with density  $e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4}}$ , Guang [18] prove the following classification theorem.

**Theorem 2** ([18], Theorem 1.3). *If  $\Sigma^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is a smooth complete embedded  $\lambda$ -hypersurface with polynomial volume growth and satisfies*

$$|A| \leq \frac{\sqrt{\lambda^2 + 2} - |\lambda|}{2},$$

*then  $\Sigma$  is one of the following:*

1. *a hyperplane in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ,*
2. *a round sphere  $S^n$ ,*
3. *a cylinder  $S^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ .*

And Wei-Peng [30] proved

**Theorem 3** ([30], Theorem 1.2). *If  $X : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is an  $n$ -dimensional complete  $\lambda$ -hypersurface with polynomial area growth and satisfies  $|A|^2$  bounded and*

$$H(H - \lambda)|A|^2 \leq H^2,$$

*then  $\Sigma$  is one of the following:*

1. a hyperplane  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,
2. a round sphere  $S^n(r)$ ,
3. a cylinder  $S^k(r) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ .

Here  $H$  is the mean curvature of  $\Sigma$ .

In this paper, we generalize some results (for self shrinkers or  $\lambda$ -hypersurfaces) including all theorems mentioned above and the others to  $\lambda$ -submanifolds. We compute some Simon's type inequalities for  $\lambda$ -submanifolds and from there set up the conditions to infer the desired results. The computations in the higher codimensions case are, of course, more complicated than the codimension 1 case.

Different from the proofs of previous similar results (for self shrinker and  $\lambda$ -hypersurfaces), we use a divergence type theorem instead of integral estimates. That makes the proofs simpler and shorter.

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Definitions

Let  $\Sigma^n$  be a submanifold in a manifold  $M^{n+p}$  endowed with a density  $e^{-f}$ . Naturally, we can define the  $f$ -mean curvature vector of  $\Sigma$  as (see [17])

$$\mathbf{H}_f = \mathbf{H} + (\nabla f)^\perp \quad (2)$$

where  $\mathbf{H}$  is the mean curvature vector of  $\Sigma$ . If  $\mathbf{H}_f \equiv 0$ , then  $\Sigma$  is called a weighted or an  $f$ -minimal submanifold. If  $|\mathbf{H}_f| = \lambda$ , a constant, then  $\Sigma$  is called a  $\lambda$ -submanifold.

Weighted laplacian of a function  $u : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , denoted by  $\Delta_f(u)$  is defined as

$$\Delta_f(u) = e^f \operatorname{div}_\Sigma(e^{-f} \nabla_\Sigma(u)).$$

A direct computation shows that

$$\Delta_f(u) = \Delta_\Sigma u - \langle \nabla_\Sigma f, \nabla_\Sigma u \rangle. \quad (3)$$

Since the density in Gauss spaces is  $(2\pi)^{-\frac{m}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}}$ , it is easy to see that

1. the equation for self-shrinkers is

$$\mathbf{H} = -X^\perp;$$

2. the  $f$ -mean curvature vector (2) becomes

$$\mathbf{H}_f = \mathbf{H} + X^\perp;$$

3. the equation for  $\lambda$ -submanifolds is

$$|\mathbf{H}_f| = |\mathbf{H} + X^\perp| = \lambda,$$

where  $\lambda \geq 0$  is a constant;

4. and weighted laplacian (3) becomes

$$\Delta_f(u) = \Delta_\Sigma u - \langle X, \nabla_\Sigma u \rangle.$$

**Remark 1.** 1. 0-submanifolds are self-shrinkers of codimension  $p$ .

2. In Gauss spaces, the weighted Laplacian,  $\Delta_f$ , is nothing but  $\mathcal{L}$ -operator introduced first by Colding and Minicozzi in [7].

3. In the definition of a  $\lambda$ -submanifold,  $\lambda \geq 0$  while in the definition of  $\lambda$ -hypersurface,  $\lambda$  can be negative. But we can see that a  $\lambda$ -hypersurface is a  $|\lambda|$ -submanifold of codimension 1.

## 2.2 Examples

**Example 1** ( $n$ -planes). Let  $\Sigma$  be an  $n$ -plane in  $\mathbb{G}^{n+p}$  and  $H$  be the orthogonal projection of the origin  $O$  onto  $\Sigma$ . The weighted mean curvature vector of  $\Sigma$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_f &= \mathbf{H} + X^\perp = X^\perp \\ &= \overrightarrow{OH}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\Sigma$  is a  $\lambda$ -submanifold of codimension  $p$ , where  $\lambda = d(O, \Sigma)$ . If  $O \in \Sigma$ , then  $\Sigma$  is a self-shrinker.

**Example 2** (Spheres with center  $O$ ). Let  $S^n(r)$  be an  $n$ -sphere with center  $O$  and radius  $r$  in  $\mathbb{G}^{n+p}$ . The mean curvature vector of  $S^n(r)$  is

$$\mathbf{H} = -\frac{n}{r^2}X.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_f &= \mathbf{H} + X^\perp = -\frac{n}{r^2}X + X \\ &= \frac{r^2 - n}{r^2}X. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $S^n(r)$  is a  $\lambda$ -submanifold, where  $\lambda = \left| \frac{r^2 - n}{r} \right|$  and  $r = \frac{\pm\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4n}}{2}$ .

**Example 3** (Spheres with center  $I \neq O$ ). Let  $S^n(I, r)$  be an  $n$ -sphere center  $I$  and radius  $r$  in  $\mathbb{G}^{n+p}$ . Suppose that  $\overrightarrow{OI}$  is perpendicular to the  $(n+1)$ -plane  $P$  containing the sphere and  $d(O, I) = h$ .

The mean curvature vector of  $S^n(I, r)$  is

$$\mathbf{H} = -\frac{n}{r^2}\overrightarrow{IX}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_f &= \mathbf{H} + X^\perp = \mathbf{H} + X \\ &= -\frac{n}{r}e_1 + (re_1 + he_2) \\ &= \left(-\frac{n}{r} + r\right)e_1 + he_2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $e_1 = \frac{\overrightarrow{IX}}{|\overrightarrow{IX}|}$ ,  $e_2 = \frac{\overrightarrow{OI}}{|\overrightarrow{OI}|}$ . Because

$$\mathbf{H}_f^2 = \left(-\frac{n}{r} + r\right)^2 + h^2 := \lambda^2,$$

$S^n(I, r)$  is a  $\lambda$ -surface,  $\lambda = \sqrt{\left(-\frac{n}{r} + r\right)^2 + h^2} \geq h$ .

**Remark 2.** 1.  $h \leq \lambda$ , means the bigger  $h$  is, the bigger  $\lambda$  is.

2. It is not hard to show that if  $\overrightarrow{OI}$  is not perpendicular to the plane  $P$ ,  $|\mathbf{H}_f|$  is not a constant, i.e.  $S^n(I, r)$  is not a  $\lambda$ -submanifold.

**Example 4** (Cylinders). Let  $S^k(I, r)$  be a  $k$ -sphere,  $k < n$ , center  $I$  and radius  $r$  in  $\mathbb{G}^{n+p}$  and  $P$  be the linear  $(n-k)$ -subspace perpendicular to the  $(k+1)$ -plane containing  $S^k$ . Consider the cylinder  $\mathcal{C} = S^k(I, r) \times P$  and suppose that  $I \in P$  (we can assume that  $I \equiv O$ ). In this case,

$$|\mathbf{H}| = \frac{k}{r}, \quad |X^\perp| = r,$$

$\mathbf{H}$  and  $X^\perp$  are in opposite direction. Therefore  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\lambda$ -submanifold, where  $\lambda = \left|r - \frac{k}{r}\right|$  and  $r = \frac{\pm\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4k}}{2}$ .

**Remark 3.** 1. When  $r = \sqrt{k}$ ,  $\Sigma$  is a self-shrinker.

2. We can check that if  $P$  does not contain  $I$ ,  $S^n(I, r)$  is not a  $\lambda$ -submanifold.

**Example 5** (CMC submanifolds on spheres). Let  $\Sigma^n$  be a complete submanifold immersed in  $S^{n+p-1}(r) \subset \mathbb{G}^{n+p}$ . We choose a local orthonormal frame  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n+p}\}$  in  $\mathbb{G}^{n+p}$  such that  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  are tangent to  $\Sigma$ ,  $\{e_{n+1}, e_{n+2}, \dots, e_{n+p-1}\}$  are in the normal bundle of  $\Sigma$  in  $S^{n+p-1}(r)$ , and  $e_{n+p}$  is outward normal to  $S^{n+p-1}(r)$ . Levi-Civita connections on  $S^{n+p-1}(r)$  (on  $\mathbb{G}^{n+p}$ ) is denoted by  $\nabla$  (by  $\overline{\nabla}$ ) and the mean curvature vector of  $\Sigma$  in  $S^{n+p-1}(r)$  (in  $\mathbb{G}^{n+p}$ ) is denoted by  $\mathbf{H}$  (by  $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$ ). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathbf{H}} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=n+1}^{n+p-1} \langle \overline{\nabla}_{e_i} e_i, e_j \rangle e_j + \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \overline{\nabla}_{e_i} e_i, e_{n+p} \rangle e_{n+p} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=n+1}^{n+p-1} \langle \nabla_{e_i} e_i, e_j \rangle e_j + \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \overline{\nabla}_{e_i} e_i, e_{n+p} \rangle e_{n+p} \\ &= \mathbf{H} + \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \overline{\nabla}_{e_i} e_i, e_{n+p} \right\rangle e_{n+p}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\overline{\nabla}_v X = v$  for every vector  $v$ , and  $e_{n+p} = \frac{1}{r}X = \frac{1}{r}X^\perp$ ,

$$\langle \overline{\nabla}_{e_i} e_i, e_{n+p} \rangle = -\langle e_i, \overline{\nabla}_{e_i} \frac{1}{r}X \rangle = -\langle e_i, \frac{1}{r}e_i \rangle = -\frac{1}{r}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Therefore,

$$\overline{\mathbf{H}} = \mathbf{H} - \frac{n}{r}e_{n+p} = \mathbf{H} - \frac{n}{r} \frac{X}{r} = \mathbf{H} - \frac{n}{r^2}X,$$

i.e.

$$\overline{\mathbf{H}}_f = \overline{\mathbf{H}} + X^\perp = \mathbf{H} + \left[ \frac{r^2 - n}{r} \right] e_{n+p}.$$

Thus,

1.  $\Sigma$  is a  $\lambda$ -submanifold if and only if it is a CMC submanifold of  $S^{n+p-1}(r)$ .
2. If  $r = \frac{\pm\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4n}}{2}$ ,  $\lambda$ -submanifolds are minimal submanifolds of  $S^{n+p-1}(r)$  and vice versa.

**Example 6** (Product of  $\lambda$ -submanifolds). Let  $\Sigma_1^{n_1}$  and  $\Sigma_2^{n_2}$  be submanifolds in  $\mathbb{G}^{N_1}$  and  $\mathbb{G}^{N_2}$ , respectively. Consider the product  $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2 \subset \mathbb{G}^{N_1+N_2}$ . We can see that

$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_1 + \mathbf{H}_2,$$

and

$$\mathbf{H}_f = \mathbf{H}_{1,f} + \mathbf{H}_{2,f};$$

where  $\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H}_1, \mathbf{H}_2$  are mean curvature vectors and  $\mathbf{H}_f, \mathbf{H}_{1,f}, \mathbf{H}_{2,f}$  are weighted mean curvature vectors of  $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2, \Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$ , respectively. It follows that if  $\Sigma_1$  is a  $\lambda_1$ -submanifold and  $\Sigma_2$  is a  $\lambda_2$ -submanifold, then  $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^{N_1+N_2}$  is a  $(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$ -submanifold.

Typical examples of products of  $\lambda$ -submanifolds are cylinders (see Example 4), Clifford tori in higher dimension or more generally, products of spheres.

### 2.3 Properness vs. polynomial volume growth

The volume growth is an important property for a complete non-compact manifold. An  $n$ -dimensional submanifold in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+p}$  has Euclidean volume growth if there exist a constant  $C$  such that for all  $r \geq 1$ ,

$$\text{Vol}(B(r) \cap \Sigma) = \int_{B(r) \cap \Sigma} d\mu \leq Cr^n.$$

It is said to have polynomial volume growth if there exist constants  $C$  and  $d$  such that for all  $r \geq 1$ ,

$$\text{Vol}(B(r) \cap \Sigma) = \int_{B(r) \cap \Sigma} d\mu \leq Cr^d,$$

where  $B(r) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+p}$  is the ball with radius  $r$  and centered at the origin. For the case of codimension 1, Cheng-Zhou ([5], Theorem 1.3) proved that a complete non-compact properly immersed self-shrinker  $\Sigma^n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is proper if and only if it has Euclidean volume growth (and therefore polynomial) at most. The same result holds for the case of  $\lambda$ -hypersurfaces ([6], Theorems 5.1, 5.2) and for self-shrinkers of arbitrary codimension ([8], Theorem 1.1).

Halldorsson ([12], Theorem 5.1) has proved that there exist complete self-shrinker curves  $\Gamma$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , which is contained in an annulus around the origin and whose image is dense in the annulus. Since such a complete self-shrinker curve  $\Gamma$  is not proper,  $\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  is a complete self-shrinker in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , which does not have polynomial volume growth.

We observe that Cheng-Wei's proof of Theorem 5.1 in [6] also applies for  $\lambda$ -submanifolds with a little change. For the sake of completeness, we include the proof here.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $\Sigma^n \subset \mathbb{G}^{n+p}$  be a complete and non-compact properly immersed  $\lambda$ -submanifolds. Then, there is a positive constant  $C$  such that for  $r \geq 1$ ,*

$$\text{Area}(B_r(0) \cap X(M)) = \int_{B_r(0) \cap X(M)} dA \leq Cr^{n+\frac{\lambda^2}{2}-2\beta-\frac{\inf H^2}{2}},$$

where  $\beta = \frac{1}{4} \inf |\mathbf{H}_f - \mathbf{H}|^2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $h = \frac{|X|^2}{4} - \beta$  and  $k = \frac{n}{2} + \frac{\lambda^2}{4} - \beta - \frac{\inf H^2}{4}$ . We will check that  $h$  satisfies the conditions ( $h - |\nabla_\Sigma h|^2 \geq 0$  and  $\Delta_\Sigma h + h \leq k$ ) of Theorem 2.1 in [5]. So by this theorem, the proof is done. Indeed,

1.

$$\begin{aligned} h - |\nabla_\Sigma h|^2 &= \frac{|X|^2}{4} - \beta - \frac{|X^T|^2}{4} \\ &= \frac{|X^\perp|^2}{4} - \beta \\ &= \frac{1}{4} |\mathbf{H}_f - \mathbf{H}|^2 - \beta \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

2. Since

$$\Delta_\Sigma h = \Delta_\Sigma \frac{|X|^2}{4} = \frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{H}, X^\perp \rangle,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\Sigma h + h &= \Delta_\Sigma h - |\nabla_\Sigma h|^2 + h \\ &= \frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{H}, X^\perp \rangle + \frac{1}{4} |\mathbf{H}_f - \mathbf{H}|^2 - \beta \\ &= \frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H}_f - \mathbf{H} \rangle + \frac{1}{4} |\mathbf{H}_f - \mathbf{H}|^2 - \beta \\ &= \frac{n}{2} + \frac{\lambda^2}{4} - \beta - \frac{H^2}{4} \\ &\leq \frac{n}{2} + \frac{\lambda^2}{4} - \beta - \frac{\inf H^2}{4} = k. \end{aligned}$$

□

## 2.4 A divergence type theorem

Let  $\Sigma$  be an  $n$ -dimensional complete (without boundary)  $\lambda$ -submanifolds properly, i.e. has polynomial volume growth, immersed in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+p}$ .

The condition of polynomial volume growth is essential for using an integral formula that is similar to the generalized divergence theorem for compact manifolds. We have the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $u$  be a smooth function on  $\Sigma$ . Assume that there exist positive constants  $C$  and  $d$  such that  $|\Delta_\Sigma u(X)| \leq C|X|^d$ . Then*

$$\int_\Sigma e^{\frac{|X|^2}{2}} \Delta_f u(X) dV = 0.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\Sigma$  is inside a ball, since it is proper it must be compact and the theorem holds true by divergence theorem. Now suppose that  $\Sigma$  is not inside any ball, i.e.  $\partial(B_R \cap \Sigma) \neq \emptyset$  when  $R$  is large enough. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial(B_R \cap \Sigma)} e^{\frac{|X|^2}{2}} \Delta_f u(X) dV &= \int_{B_R \cap \Sigma} \operatorname{div}_\Sigma (e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{2}} \nabla_\Sigma u(X)) dV \\ &= e^{-\frac{R^2}{2}} \int_{\partial(B_R \cap \Sigma)} \langle \nabla_\Sigma u(X), \nu \rangle dA. \end{aligned}$$

Because

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\frac{R^2}{2}} \left| \int_{\partial(B_R \cap \Sigma)} \langle \nabla_\Sigma u(X), \nu \rangle dA \right| &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\frac{R^2}{2}} \left| \int_{B_R \cap \Sigma} \Delta_\Sigma u(X) dV \right| \\ &\leq \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\frac{R^2}{2}} C R^d \int_{B_R \cap \Sigma} dV \\ &\leq \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\frac{R^2}{2}} C_1 C R^{d+n} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

the theorem is proved □

### 3 Halfspace type Theorems

In this section,  $\Sigma$  is always assumed to be an  $n$ -dimensional complete (without boundary)  $\lambda$ -submanifold properly immersed in  $\mathbb{G}^{n+p}$ ,  $p \geq 1$ .

Halfspace type theorems in this section can be seen as an application of the Theorem 4 and Lemma 5 bellow.

Let  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n+p}$  be the coordinate vector fields for  $\mathbb{G}^{n+p}$  and  $X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+p})$  be the position vector field. By a straightforward computation (see [13] for the case  $\lambda = 0$ ), we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.** 1.

$$\Delta_\Sigma x_i = -x_i |e_i^\perp|^2 + \lambda_i; \quad (4)$$

$$\Delta_f x_i = -x_i + \lambda_i. \quad (5)$$

2.

$$\Delta_\Sigma \frac{|X|^2}{2} = n + \sum \lambda_i x_i - |X^\perp|^2; \quad (6)$$

$$\Delta_f \frac{|X|^2}{2} = n + \sum \lambda_i x_i - |X|^2. \quad (7)$$

3.

$$\Delta_\Sigma \frac{x_i^2}{2} = |e_i^T|^2 + \lambda_i x_i - \frac{1}{2} x_i^2 |e_i^\perp|^2; \quad (8)$$

$$\Delta_f \frac{x_i^2}{2} = |e_i^T|^2 + \lambda_i x_i - \frac{1}{2} x_i^2. \quad (9)$$



### 3.1 Halfspace type Theorem w.r.t. hyperplanes

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $P$  be a hyperplane in  $\mathbb{G}^{n+p}$ , such that  $d(O, P) = \lambda$ . If  $\Sigma$  lies in the side of  $P$  not containing the origin, then  $\Sigma \subset P$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we can suppose that  $P$  is the hyperplane  $x_{n+p} = \lambda$  and  $\Sigma$  is in the closed half space  $\{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+p}) : x_{n+p} \geq \lambda\}$ . By (4) and (5)

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{\Sigma}x_{n+p} &= -x_{n+p}|e_{n+p}^{\perp}|^2 + \lambda_{n+p}, \\ \Delta_f x_{n+p} &= -x_{n+p} + \lambda_{n+p},\end{aligned}$$

where  $\lambda_{n+p} = \langle \mathbf{H}_f, e_{n+p} \rangle$ . We can check that  $x_{n+p}$  satisfies the condition in Theorem 4 and because  $x_{n+p} \geq \lambda$  on  $\Sigma$ , we get

$$0 \leq \int_{\Sigma} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} (x_{n+p} - \lambda) dV \leq \int_{\Sigma} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} (x_{n+p} - \lambda_{n+p}) dV = 0.$$

It follows that  $x_{n+p} = \lambda$ , i.e.  $\Sigma \subset P$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.** 1. *If  $p = 1$ , then  $\Sigma = P$  (see Theorem 1.4 in [2]).*

2. *The case  $\lambda = 0$  was proved in [13] (Theorem 7).*

With the same arguments as in the proof of Corollary 9-10 in [13] we have

**Corollary 7.** *If there exist  $p$  orthonormal vectors  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p$  such that for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ ,  $|\langle X, v_i \rangle| \geq \lambda$ , then  $\Sigma$  is an  $n$ -plane  $P$ , with  $d(O, P) = \lambda$ .*

**Corollary 8** (A Bernstein type theorem). *Let  $F = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p) : \mathbb{G}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{G}^p$  be a smooth function and  $\Sigma = \{(\mathbf{x}, F(\mathbf{x})) \in \mathbb{G}^{n+p} : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{G}^n\}$  be its graph that is a  $\lambda$ -submanifold. If there exist at least  $(p-1)$  functions  $f_i$  such that  $|f_i| \geq \lambda$ , then  $\Sigma$  is an  $n$ -plane.*

### 3.2 $\lambda$ -submanifolds inside or outside a ball

Denote by  $B^{n+p}(r) = \{x \in \mathbb{G}^{n+p} : x^2 < r\}$  the standard ball with radius  $r$  and  $E^{n+p}(r) = \{x \in \mathbb{G}^{n+p} : x^2 \geq r\}$  the complement of  $B^{n+p}(r)$ .

**Theorem 9.** *If  $\Sigma^n \subset E^{n+p}(r_2)$ , where  $r_2 = \frac{\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4n}}{2}$ , then  $\Sigma$  is compact and  $\Sigma \subset S^{n+p-1}(r_2)$ , i.e.  $\Sigma$  is a minimal submanifold of  $S^{n+p-1}(r_2)$ . Moreover, if  $p = 1$ , then  $\Sigma = S^n(r_2)$ .*

*Proof.* From (6) we can see that we can apply Theorem 4 for function  $\frac{|X|^2}{2}$ , and by (7)

$$\int_{\Sigma} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} (n + \lambda|X| - |X|^2) dV \geq \int_{\Sigma} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} (n + \sum \lambda_i x_i - |X|^2) dV = 0. \quad (10)$$

If  $\Sigma \subset E^{n+p}(r_2)$ , then  $n + \lambda|X| - |X|^2 \leq 0$ . From (10), it follows that  $n + \lambda|X| - |X|^2 = 0$ , i.e.  $\Sigma \subset S^{n+p-1}(r_2)$  and it is a minimal submanifold of  $S^{n+p-1}(r_2)$  (see Example 5). Since  $\Sigma$  is proper, it must be compact. The case  $p = 1$  is obvious.  $\square$

Because

$$|X| \geq |X^\perp| = |\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{H}_f|. \quad (11)$$

we have

**Corollary 10.** *If*

$$|\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{H}_f| \geq \frac{\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4n}}{2}, \quad (12)$$

then  $|\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{H}_f| = \frac{\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4n}}{2}$ , and  $\Sigma$  is a minimal submanifold in the sphere  $S^{n+p-1}(\frac{\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4n}}{2})$ .

**Remark 5.** When  $\lambda = 0$ , (12) becomes  $H \geq \sqrt{n}$ , the corollary was proved in [3] (Proposition 5.1).

Since

$$n - \lambda|X| - |X|^2 \leq n + \sum \lambda_i x_i - |X|^2,$$

by a similar proof, we have

**Theorem 11.** *If  $\Sigma \subset \overline{B^{n+p}(\sqrt{r_1})}$ , where  $r_1 = \frac{-\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4n}}{2}$ , then  $\Sigma$  is compact,  $\Sigma \subset S^{n+p-1}(r_1)$ , and it is a minimal submanifold of  $S^{n+p-1}(r_1)$ . Moreover, if  $p = 1$ , then  $\Sigma = S^n(r_1)$ .*

**Remark 6.** 1. The case  $\lambda = 0$ , i.e.  $r_1 = r_2$  was proved in [13] (Theorem 11).

2. The following example shows that the case  $\lambda \neq 0$  is quite different with the case  $\lambda = 0$ . There exists a  $\lambda$ -submanifold is outside  $S^n(r_1)$  and inside  $S^n(r_2)$ .

In  $\mathbb{G}^6$  consider  $\Sigma = S^4(I, 2) \subset \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_6 : x_6 = 3)\}$ , where  $I(0, 0, \dots, 0, 3)$ .

In this case  $n = 4$  and  $\Sigma$  is a  $\lambda$ -submanifold,  $\lambda = 3$ , lying on the sphere  $S^5(\sqrt{13})$ . It is easy to check that  $\Sigma$  is outside the sphere  $S^5(r_1)$  and inside the sphere  $S^5(r_2)$ , where

$$r_1 = \frac{-\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4n}}{2} = 1,$$

$$r_2 = \frac{\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4n}}{2} = 4.$$

### 3.3 Halfspace type results w. r. t. cylinders

**Theorem 12.** *Let  $k \in \{p, p+1, \dots, n+p-2\}$ ,  $q = n+p-k-1$  and  $r = \frac{-\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4(n-q)}}{2}$ . If  $\Sigma \subset \overline{B^{k+1}(r)} \times \mathbb{R}^q$ , then  $\Sigma \subset S^k(r) \times \mathbb{R}^q$ .*

*Proof.* From (8), we can see that the function  $\frac{x_i^2}{2}$  satisfies the condition in Theorem 4. Therefore,

$$\int_{\Sigma} e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{2}} (x_i^2 - \lambda_i x_i) dV = 2 \int_{\Sigma} e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{2}} |e_i^T|^2 dV. \quad (13)$$

Suppose that  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{k+1}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$  and  $\{e_{k+2}, e_{k+3}, \dots, e_{n+p}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^q$ . Write  $X = (u, v)$ , where  $u \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ ,  $v \in \mathbb{R}^q$ .

Combining (10) and (13), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \int_{\Sigma} e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{2}} \left[ q - \sum_{i=k+2}^{n+p} |e_i^T|^2 \right] dV \\
&= \int_{\Sigma} e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{2}} \left[ q + |X|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{n+p} \lambda_i x_i - n + \sum_{i=k+2}^{n+p} \lambda_i x_i - \sum_{i=k+2}^{n+p} x_i^2 \right] dV \\
&= \int_{\Sigma} e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{2}} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} x_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \lambda_i x_i - n + q \right] dV \\
&\leq \int_{\Sigma} e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{2}} [|u|^2 + \lambda|u| - (n - q)] dV.
\end{aligned}$$

The assumption that  $\Sigma \subset \overline{B^{k+1}(r)} \times \mathbb{R}^q$ , means  $|u| \leq r$ , i.e.  $|u|^2 + \lambda|u| - (n + q) \leq 0$ . It implies that  $|u| = r$ , i.e.  $\Sigma \subset S^k(r) \times \mathbb{R}^q$ .  $\square$

**Remark 7.** 1. We see in the above proof that  $e_i^\perp = 0$ , i.e.  $e_i = e_i^T, i = k + 2, \dots, n + p$ . Therefore,  $\Sigma = \Gamma \times \mathbb{R}^q$ , where  $\Gamma \subset S^k(r)$  is an  $(n - q)$ -dimensional  $\lambda$ -submanifold, i.e. an  $(n - q)$ -dimensional CMC submanifold of  $S^k(r)$ .

2. If  $\lambda = 0$ , this is Theorem 14 in [13].

3. If  $p = 1$ , we obtain Theorem 1.5 in [2].

If we use the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\geq - \int_{\Sigma} e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{2}} \sum_{i=k+2}^{n+p} |e_i^T|^2 dV \\
&= \int_{\Sigma} e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{2}} \left[ |X|^2 - n - \sum_{i=1}^{n+p} \lambda_i x_i + \sum_{i=k+2}^{n+p} \lambda_i x_i - \sum_{i=k+2}^{n+p} x_i^2 \right] dV \\
&\geq \int_{\Sigma} e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{2}} [|u|^2 - \lambda|u| - n] dV,
\end{aligned}$$

then by the same arguments as in the above proof, we have

**Theorem 13.** Let  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n + p - 2\}$ . If  $\Sigma \subset \overline{E^{k+1}(r)} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+p-k-1}$ , where  $r = \frac{\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4n}}{2}$ , then  $\Sigma \subset S^k(r) \times \mathbb{R}^{n+p-k-1}$ .

## 4 Rigidity and gap results

In this section  $\Sigma^n \subset \mathbb{G}^{n+p}, p \geq 1$ , is an  $n$ -dimensional complete proper  $\lambda$ -submanifold without boundary and  $\lambda > 0$ .

We choose a local orthonormal frame field  $\{e_A\}_{A=1}^{n+p}$  in  $\mathbb{G}^{n+p}$  with dual coframe field  $\{\omega_A\}_{A=1}^{n+p}$ , such that when restricted to  $\Sigma$ ,  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  are tangent to  $\Sigma$  and  $e_{n+p} = \frac{\mathbf{H}_f}{|\mathbf{H}_f|}$ . The indices will be used in the paper as follow:

$$1 \leq A, B, C, D \leq n+p, \quad 1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n, \quad n+1 \leq \alpha, \beta, \gamma \leq n+p.$$

Denoted by

1.  $A = \sum_{\alpha, i, j} h_{ij}^\alpha \omega_i \otimes \omega_j \otimes e_\alpha$ , the second fundamental form;
2.  $A^\alpha = \sum_{i, j} h_{ij}^\alpha \omega_i \otimes \omega_j \otimes e_\alpha$ , the second fundamental form corresponding to  $e_\alpha$ ;
3.  $H^\alpha = \sum_i h_{ii}^\alpha$ , the mean curvature corresponding to  $e_\alpha$ ;
4.  $\mathbf{H} = \sum_\alpha H^\alpha e_\alpha = \sum_a (\sum_i h_{ii}^\alpha) e_\alpha$ , the mean curvature vector field of  $\Sigma$ ;
5.  $|A^\alpha|^2 = \sum_{i, j} (h_{ij}^\alpha)^2$ , the squared norm of  $A^\alpha$ ;
6.  $|A|^2 = \sum_{\alpha, i, j} (h_{ij}^\alpha)^2$ , the squared norm of  $A$ ;
7.  $h_{ij}^\alpha = \nabla_k h_{ij}^\alpha$ ,  $h_{ijkl}^\alpha = \nabla_l \nabla_k h_{ij}^\alpha$ , where  $\nabla$  is the Levi-Civita connection on  $\Sigma$ .

We have known that (see, e.g., [22]), [3], [4], [26])

$$h_{ij}^\alpha = h_{ji}^\alpha.$$

$$h_{ijk} = h_{ikj}.$$

The latter equality is the Codazzi equation.

First, we establish some Simon's type identities for  $\lambda$ -submanifolds that we need for proving gap theorems.

**Lemma 14.** 1.

$$\Delta H^2 = 2|\nabla H|^2 + 2H^2 - 2 \sum_{\alpha, \beta, i, k} H^\alpha (H^\beta - \lambda_\beta) h_{ik}^\alpha h_{ik}^\beta + 2 \sum_{\alpha, k} H^\alpha H_{,k}^\alpha \langle X, e_k \rangle. \quad (14)$$

2.

$$\Delta_f H^2 = 2|\nabla H|^2 + 2H^2 - 2 \sum_{\alpha, \beta} H^\alpha (H^\beta - \lambda_\beta) \langle A^\alpha, A^\beta \rangle. \quad (15)$$

3.

$$\Delta |A|^2 = 2|\nabla A|^2 + \langle \mathbf{H}, ij, A_{ij} \rangle + \langle A_{ik}, \mathbf{H} \rangle \langle A_{il}, A_{kl} \rangle - \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} |[A^{e_\alpha}, A^{e_\beta}]|^2 - \sum_{\alpha, \beta} S_{\alpha\beta}^2. \quad (16)$$

4.

$$\Delta_f |A|^2 = 2|\nabla A|^2 + 2|A|^2 + 2\langle \mathbf{H}_f, A_{ik} \rangle A_{jk}, A_{ij} - 2 \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} |[A^{e_\alpha}, A^{e_\beta}]|^2 - 2 \sum_{\alpha, \beta} S_{\alpha\beta}^2. \quad (17)$$

*Proof.* 1. Since  $\mathbf{H}_f = \mathbf{H} + X^\perp$ ,

$$H^\alpha = -\langle X, e_\alpha \rangle + \lambda_\alpha, \quad (18)$$

where  $\lambda_\alpha = 0$ ,  $\alpha \neq n+p$  and  $\lambda_{n+p} = \lambda$  (because  $e_{n+p} = \frac{\mathbf{H}_f}{|\mathbf{H}_f|}$ ).

Taking covariant derivative of (18) with respect to  $e_i$

$$H_{,i}^\alpha = \sum_k h_{ik}^\alpha \langle X, e_k \rangle, \quad (19)$$

and taking covariant derivative of (19) with respect to  $e_j$

$$\begin{aligned} H_{,ij}^\alpha &= \sum_k h_{ikj}^\alpha \langle X, e_k \rangle + h_{ij}^\alpha + \sum_{\beta,k} h_{ik}^\alpha h_{kj}^\beta \langle X, e_\beta \rangle \\ &= \sum_k h_{ijk}^\alpha \langle X, e_k \rangle + h_{ij}^\alpha + \sum_{\beta,k} (-H^\beta + \lambda_\beta) h_{ik}^\alpha h_{kj}^\beta, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta H^2 &= 2|\nabla H|^2 + 2 \sum_{\alpha,i} H^\alpha H_{,ii}^\alpha \\ &= 2|\nabla H|^2 + 2 \sum_\alpha H^\alpha \left( \sum_k H_{,k}^\alpha \langle X, e_k \rangle + H^\alpha + \sum_{\beta,i,k} (-H^\beta + \lambda_\beta) h_{ik}^\alpha h_{ki}^\beta \right) \\ &= 2|\nabla H|^2 + 2H^2 - 2 \sum_{\alpha,\beta,i,k} H^\alpha (H^\beta - \lambda_\beta) h_{ik}^\alpha h_{ik}^\beta + 2 \sum_{\alpha,k} H^\alpha H_{,k}^\alpha \langle X, e_k \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

2.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_f H^2 &= \Delta H^2 - \langle X, \nabla H^2 \rangle \\ &= \Delta H^2 - 2 \sum_{\alpha,k} H^\alpha H_{,k}^\alpha \langle X, e_k \rangle \\ &= 2|\nabla H|^2 + 2H^2 - 2 \sum_{\alpha,\beta,i,k} H^\alpha (H^\beta - \lambda_\beta) h_{ik}^\alpha h_{ik}^\beta \\ &= 2|\nabla H|^2 + 2H^2 - 2 \sum_{\alpha,\beta} H^\alpha (H^\beta - \lambda_\beta) \langle A^\alpha, A^\beta \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

3. To keep the formulas short, summation convention is used in the proof. By Proposition 2.1 in [27]

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta A)_{ij} &= \mathbf{H}_{,ij} + \langle A_{ik}, \mathbf{H} \rangle A_{jk} - \langle A_{ij}, A_{kl} \rangle A_{kl} + 2\langle A_{il}, A_{jk} \rangle A_{kl} \\ &\quad - \langle A_{jk}, A_{kl} \rangle A_{il} - \langle A_{ik}, A_{kl} \rangle A_{jl}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$A_{ij} = (\overline{\nabla}_{e_i} e_j)^\perp = h_{ij}^\alpha e_\alpha,$$

and let  $S_{\alpha\beta} = h_{ij}^\alpha h_{ij}^\beta$ . Then  $|A|^2 = \sum_\alpha S_{\alpha\alpha}$ .

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Delta A, A \rangle &= \langle \mathbf{H}_{,ij}, A_{ij} \rangle + \langle A_{ik}, \mathbf{H} \rangle \langle A_{jk}, A_{ij} \rangle - \langle A_{ij}, A_{kl} \rangle \langle A_{kl}, A_{ij} \rangle \\
&\quad + 2\langle A_{il}, A_{jk} \rangle \langle A_{kl}, A_{ij} \rangle - \langle A_{jk}, A_{kl} \rangle \langle A_{il}, A_{ij} \rangle - \langle A_{ik}, A_{kl} \rangle \langle A_{jl}, A_{ij} \rangle \\
&= \langle \mathbf{H}_{,ij}, A_{ij} \rangle + \langle A_{ik}, \mathbf{H} \rangle \langle A_{jk}, A_{ij} \rangle - \langle A_{ij}, A_{kl} \rangle \langle A_{kl}, A_{ij} \rangle \\
&\quad + 2\langle A_{il}, A_{jk} \rangle \langle A_{kl}, A_{ij} \rangle - 2\langle A_{jk}, A_{kl} \rangle \langle A_{il}, A_{ij} \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Noting

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle A_{ij}, A_{kl} \rangle \langle A_{kl}, A_{ij} \rangle &= h_{kl}^\alpha h_{ij}^\alpha h_{ij}^\beta h_{kl}^\beta = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} S_{\alpha\beta}^2, \\
2\langle A_{il}, A_{jk} \rangle \langle A_{kl}, A_{ij} \rangle - 2\langle A_{jk}, A_{kl} \rangle \langle A_{il}, A_{ij} \rangle \\
&= 2 \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} (A^{e\beta} A^{e\alpha}, A^{e\alpha} A^{e\beta} - 2A^{e\beta} A^{e\alpha}, A^{e\beta} A^{e\alpha}) = \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} |[A^{e\alpha}, A^{e\beta}]|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\langle \Delta A, A \rangle = \langle \mathbf{H}_{,ij}, A_{ij} \rangle + \langle A_{ik}, \mathbf{H} \rangle \langle A_{il}, A_{kl} \rangle - \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} |[A^{e\alpha}, A^{e\beta}]|^2 - \sum_{\alpha, \beta} S_{\alpha\beta}^2.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta |A|^2 &= |\nabla A|^2 + 2\langle \Delta A, A \rangle \\
&= 2|\nabla A|^2 + 2\langle \mathbf{H}_{,ij}, A_{ij} \rangle + 2\langle A_{ik}, \mathbf{H} \rangle \langle A_{il}, A_{kl} \rangle - 2 \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} |[A^{e\alpha}, A^{e\beta}]|^2 - 2 \sum_{\alpha, \beta} S_{\alpha\beta}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

4. The summation convention is still used in the proof. From (18)

$$H_{,j} = \langle X, e_k \rangle A_{jk}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{H}_{,ij} &= A_{ij} + \langle X, A_{ik} \rangle A_{jk} + \langle X, e_k \rangle A_{jki} \\
&= A_{ij} + \langle \mathbf{H}_f - \mathbf{H}, A_{ik} \rangle A_{jk} + \langle X, e_k \rangle A_{ijk}
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

(21) and (22) yield

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_f |A|^2 &= 2|\nabla A|^2 + 2|A|^2 + 2\langle \mathbf{H}_f, A_{ik} \rangle \langle A_{il}, A_{kl} \rangle \\
&\quad - 2 \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} |[A^\alpha, A^\beta]|^2 - 2 \sum_{\alpha, \beta} S_{\alpha\beta}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 15.** *If  $|A|$  is bounded and satisfies*

$$|A|^2 |\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{H}_f| \leq H, \tag{23}$$

then  $\Sigma$  is one of the following:

1. an  $n$ -plane  $P$  with  $d(O, P) = \lambda$  (see Example 1);

2. a round sphere  $S^n(\sqrt{r}) \subset P$ , where  $P$  is an  $(n+1)$ -plane,  $d(O, P) = h < \lambda$ ,  
 $r = \frac{\pm\mu + \sqrt{\mu^2 + 4n}}{2}$  and  $\mu = \sqrt{\lambda^2 - h^2}$  (see Example 2 and 3);
3. a cylinder  $S^k(\sqrt{r}) \times P$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , where  $P$  is an  $(n-k)$ -linear subspace  
and  $r = \frac{\pm\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4k}}{2}$  (see Example 4).

*Proof.* Since  $|A|$  is bounded,  $h_{ij}^\alpha, |H|$  are bounded, too. It follows that  $H^2$  satisfies the condition in Theorem 4, therefore

$$\int_{\Sigma} \Delta_f H^2 dV = 0.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{\Sigma} \Delta_f H^2 dV \\ &= \int_{\Sigma} (2|\nabla H|^2 + 2H^2 - 2 \sum_{\alpha, \beta} H^\alpha (H^\beta - \lambda_\beta) \langle A^\alpha, A^\beta \rangle) dV \\ &\geq \int_{\Sigma} (2|\nabla H|^2 + 2H^2 - 2 \sum_{\alpha, \beta} H^\alpha (H^\beta - \lambda_\beta) |A^\alpha| |A^\beta|) dV \quad (24) \\ &= \int_{\Sigma} (2|\nabla H|^2 + 2H^2 - 2(\sum_{\alpha} H^\alpha |A^\alpha|)(\sum_{\beta} (H^\beta - \lambda_\beta) |A^\beta|)) dV \\ &\geq \int_{\Sigma} (2|\nabla H|^2 + 2H^2 - 2H|A|^2 |\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{H}_f|) dV. \end{aligned}$$

Condition (23) of the theorem together (24) implies that

$$H^2 - H|A|^2 |\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{H}_f| = 0, \quad (25)$$

and  $\nabla H = 0$ , i.e.  $H = \text{const.}$  We have two cases.

1.  $H = 0$ . We have  $|\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{H}_f| = |\mathbf{H}_f| = \lambda \neq 0$ . By (23),  $|A| = 0$ , i.e.  $\Sigma$  is totally geodesic and therefore an  $n$ -plane and  $d(O, \Sigma) = \lambda$  (see Example 1).
2.  $H \neq 0$ . By (25),  $|\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{H}_f| \neq 0$  and  $|A| \neq 0$ . Because the equalities hold in (24),  $(H^\alpha)$ ,  $(H^\alpha - \lambda_\alpha)$  and  $(A^\alpha)$  are proportional. It follows that

$$H^\alpha = 0, \quad A^\alpha = 0, \quad \alpha \neq n+p, \quad (26)$$

$$|A|^2 = \sum_{i,j} h_{ij}^{n+p} h_{ij}^{n+p} = |A^{n+p}|^2 \neq 0, \quad (27)$$

$$H = H^{n+p} \neq 0. \quad (28)$$

Thus,  $\mathbf{H} = H^{n+p} e_{n+p}$  and  $\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{H}_f = (H^{n+p} - \lambda) e_{n+p}$  are constants and therefore  $|A|$  is constant.

Now (25) becomes

$$H^{n+p} - |A|^2 |H^{n+p} - \lambda| = 0. \quad (29)$$

From (20)

$$H_{,ij}^{n+p} = h_{ij}^{n+p} - (H^{n+p} - \lambda)h_{ik}^{n+p}h_{jk}^{n+p} + \langle X, e_k \rangle h_{ijk}^{n+p}. \quad (30)$$

Multiplying both sides of (30) by  $h_{ij}^{n+p}$  and summing over  $i, j$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k,i,j} (H^{n+p} - \lambda)h_{ik}^{n+p}h_{kj}^{n+p}h_{ij}^{n+p} &= \sum_{k,i,j} h_{ijk}^{n+p}h_{ij}^{n+p} \langle X, e_k \rangle + \sum_{i,j} h_{ij}^{n+p}h_{ij}^{n+p} \\ &= \sum_k \frac{1}{2} A_{,k}^{n+p} \langle X, e_k \rangle h_{ij}^{n+p} + \sum_{i,j} h_{ij}^{n+p}h_{ij}^{n+p} = |A|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Delta A, A \rangle &= \langle \Delta A^{n+p}, A^{n+p} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i,j} H_{,ij}^{n+p} h_{ij}^{n+p} + \sum_{i,j} H^{n+p} h_{ik}^{n+p} h_{il}^{n+p} h_{kl}^{n+p} - |[A^{n+p}, A^{n+p}]|^2 - S_{(n+p)(n+p)}^2 \\ &= 0 + |A|^4 - 0 - |A|^4 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \Delta |A|^2 = 2|\nabla A|^2 + \langle \Delta A, A \rangle \\ &= 2|\nabla A|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Because of (26), by Theorem 1 of Yau in [31],  $\Sigma$  lies some  $(n+1)$ -dimensional linear subspace  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . From (31),  $\nabla A \equiv 0$  and by Theorem 4 of Lawson in [20],  $\Sigma$  (up to isometries) must be  $S^k(r) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . Furthermore, the  $\lambda$ -submanifolds equation (9) implies that the  $k$ -dimensional sphere  $S^k(r)$  should have the radius  $r = \frac{\pm\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4k}}{2}$  (see Example 3 and 4).

□

**Remark 8.** 1. When  $\lambda = 0$ , Condition (23) becomes

$$A^2 H \leq H,$$

the theorem was proved in [3], Theorem 1.1 (see also Proposition 3.1 in [9]).

2. When  $p = 1$ , the theorem generalizes Theorem 1.2 in [30] for the case  $\lambda$ -hypersurfaces. (see also Theorem 1.2 in [1]).

We have known that (Lemma 14)

$$\Delta_f |A|^2 = 2|\nabla A|^2 + 2|A|^2 + 2\langle \langle \mathbf{H}_f, A_{ik} \rangle A_{jk}, A_{ij} \rangle - 2 \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} |[A^{e_\alpha}, A^{e_\beta}]|^2 - 2 \sum_{\alpha, \beta} S_{\alpha\beta}^2 \quad (32)$$

In codimension 1 case,  $\sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} |[A^{e_\alpha}, A^{e_\beta}]|^2 = 0$ ,  $\sum_{\alpha, \beta} S_{\alpha\beta}^2 = |A|^4$  and (32) becomes (see Lemma 2.1 in [18]).

$$\Delta_f |A|^2 = 2|\nabla A|^2 + 2|A|^2 - 2\lambda \langle A^2, A \rangle - 2|A|^4.$$



We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \mathbf{H}_f, A_{ik} \rangle \langle A_{jk}, A_{ij} \rangle &= \lambda_\alpha A_{ik}^\alpha A_{jk}^\beta A_{ij}^\beta \\
&= \lambda_\alpha A_{ik}^\alpha [A_{ik}^\beta]^2 \\
&\leq \left[ \sum_{\alpha, i, k} \lambda_\alpha^2 (A_{ik}^\alpha)^2 \right]^{1/2} |A^2| \\
&\leq |\lambda| |A| |A^2| = \lambda |A|^3.
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

In general, by Lemma 5.3.1 in [26]

$$\sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} |[A^\alpha, A^\beta]|^2 + \sum_{\alpha, \beta} S_{\alpha\beta}^2 \leq \left(2 - \frac{1}{p}\right) |A|^4. \tag{34}$$

Combining (32), (33), (34), we have

$$\Delta_f |A|^2 \geq 2|\nabla A|^2 + 2|A|^2 - 2\lambda|A|^3 - 2\left(2 - \frac{1}{p}\right) |A|^4. \tag{35}$$

This is Simons' type inequality for  $\lambda$ -submanifolds. When the codimension  $m = 1$ , the above Simons type inequality for  $\lambda$ -hypersurfaces is much more simpler

$$\Delta_f |A|^2 \geq 2|\nabla A|^2 + 2|A|^2 (1 - \lambda|A| - |A|^2).$$

If

$$|A| \leq \frac{-\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4}}{2}, \tag{36}$$

then  $1 - \lambda|A| - |A|^2 \geq 0$ . Therefore, apply Theorem 4 to  $|A|^2$  we obtain

$$|\nabla A|^2 = 0, \tag{37}$$

and

$$|A|^2(1 - \lambda|A| - |A|^2) = 0. \tag{38}$$

Because of (37), by Theorem 4 of Lawson [20], Guang (Theorem 1.3, [18]) claims that  $\Sigma$  must be a product of a sphere and a linear space, i.e either a round sphere  $S^n$ , or a cylinder  $S^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ , or a hyperplane in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ .

But because of (38),  $|A|^2 = 0$ , i.e  $\Sigma$  is a hyperplane, or  $1 - \lambda|A| - |A|^2 = 0$ , i.e.  $|A| = \frac{-\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4}}{2}$ . In the later case,  $\Sigma$  must be a cylinder  $S^1(r) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ , where  $r = \frac{\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4}}{2}$  (see Examples 2 and 4).

Thus, we can restate Theorem 1.3 in [18], in a more precise form, as follows

**Theorem 16.** *If  $\Sigma^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is a smooth complete embedded  $\lambda$ -hypersurfaces with polynomial volume growth, which satisfies*

$$|A| \leq \frac{-\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4}}{2}, \tag{39}$$

then  $\Sigma$  is one of the following:

1. a hyperplane,
2. a cylinder  $S^1(r) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ , where  $r = \frac{\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 4}}{2}$ .

When the codimension  $p \geq 2$ , inequality (34) is refined as follows (Theorem 1 in [23])

$$\sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} |[A^\alpha, A^\beta]|^2 + \sum_{\alpha, \beta} S_{\alpha\beta}^2 \leq \frac{3}{2}|A|^4. \quad (40)$$

In this case, we get

$$\Delta_f |A|^2 \geq 2|\nabla A|^2 + 2|A|^2 - 2\lambda|A|^3 - 3|A|^4, \quad (41)$$

and obtain the following.

**Theorem 17.** *If*

$$|A| \leq \frac{-\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 6}}{3}, \quad (42)$$

*then  $\Sigma$  is an  $n$ -plane.*

*Proof.* Apply Theorem 4 to  $|A|^2$  we imply that

$$|A| = \frac{-\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda^2 + 6}}{3}, \quad (43)$$

and all inequalities in (33) and (40) become equalities. It implies that all of the matrices  $A^1, A^2, \dots, A^p$  are zero, i.e.  $\Sigma$  is an  $n$ -plane.  $\square$

## References

- [1] S. Ancari and I. Miranda, *Rigidity theorems for complete  $\lambda$ -hypersurfaces*. Arch. Math. (Basel) 117 (2021), no. 1, 105-120.
- [2] M. P. Cavalcante and J. M. Espinar, *Halfspace type theorems for self-shrinkers*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 48 (2016), no. 2, 242-250.
- [3] H-D. Cao and H. Li, *A gap theorem for self-shrinkers of the mean curvature flow in arbitrary codimension*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 46 (2013), no. 3-4, 879-889.
- [4] Q-M. Cheng and Y. Peng, *Complete self-shrinkers of the mean curvature flow*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 52 (2015), no. 3-4, 497-506.
- [5] X. Cheng and D. Zhou, *Volume estimate about shrinkers*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 141 (2013), no. 2, 687-696.
- [6] Q. Cheng, G. Wei, *Stability and area growth of  $\lambda$ -hypersurfaces*, Comm. Anal. Geom., to appear.
- [7] T. H. Colding, and W. P. Minicozzi, II, *Generic mean curvature flow I: generic singularities*, Ann. of Math. (2) 175 (2012), no. 2, 755-833
- [8] Q. Ding and Y. L. Xin, *Volume growth, eigenvalue and compactness for self-shrinkers*, Asian J. Math. 17 (2013), no. 3, 443-456.
- [9] Q. Ding and Y. L. Xin, *The rigidity theorems of self-shrinkers*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 366 (2014), no. 10, 5067-5085.

- [10] F. Morgan, *Manifolds with density*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **52** (2005), 853-858.
- [11] F. Morgan, *Geometric Measure Theory: a Beginner's Guide*, 4th ed. Academic Press, London, 2009.
- [12] H. Halldorsson, *Self-similar solutions to the curve shortening flow*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **364**, 5285-5309 (2012).
- [13] D. T. Hieu and N.T. M. Duyen, *Halfspace type theorems for self-shrinkers in arbitrary codimension*, Collectanea Mathematica (2023).
- [14] G. Huisken, *Local and global behaviour of hypersurfaces moving by mean curvature*, In: Differential Geometry: Partial Differential Equations on Manifolds, Los Angeles, CA, 1990, (eds. R. Greene and S.-T. Yau), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **54**, Amer. Math. Soc, Providence RI, 1993.
- [15] G. Huisken, Asymptotic behavior for singularities of the mean curvature flow, J. Differ. Geom. **31** (1990), no. 1, 285-299.
- [16] A. Hurtado, V. Palmer and C. Rosales, *Parabolicity criteria and characterization results for submanifolds of bounded mean curvature in model manifolds with weights*, Nonlinear Anal. **192** (2020), 111681, 32 pp.
- [17] D. Impera, S. Pigola and M. Rimoldi, *The Frankel property for self-shrinkers from the viewpoint of elliptic PDE's*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **773** (2021), 1-20.
- [18] Q. Guang, *Gap and rigidity theorems of  $\lambda$ -hypersurfaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **146** (2018), no. 10, 4459-4471.
- [19] M. Gromov, *Isoperimetry of waists and concentration of maps*, Geom. Funct. Anal., **13** (2003), 178-215.
- [20] H. B. Lawson Jr., *Local rigidity theorems for minimal hypersurfaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) **89** (1969), 187-197.
- [21] N. Q. Le and N. Sesum, *Blow-up rate of the mean curvature during the mean curvature flow and a gap theorem for self-shrinkers*, Comm. Anal. Geom **19**(4), 633-659 (2011)
- [22] H. Li, *Willmore submanifolds in a sphere*, Math. Res. Lett. **9** (2002), no. 5-6, 771-790.
- [23] An-min Li and Jimin Li, *An Intrinsic Rigidity Theorem for Minimal Submanifolds in a Sphere*, Arch. Math., **58** (1992), 582-594.
- [24] S. Pigola and M. Rimoldi, *Complete self-shrinkers confined into some regions of the space*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **45** (2014) 47-65.
- [25] C. Rosales, A. Cañete, V. Bayle and F. Morgan, *On the isoperimetric problem in Euclidean space with density*, Calc. Var. PDE **31** (2008),27-46.
- [26] J. Simons, *Minimal varieties in Riemannian manifolds*, Ann. Math. **88** (1968), 62-105.

- [27] Y. Xin, *Mean curvature flow with convex Gauss image*. *Chin. Ann. Math.*, Ser. B 29 (2008), no. 2, 121-134.
- [28] M. Vieira and D. Zhou, *Geometric properties of self-shrinkers in cylinder shrinking Ricci solitons*, *J. Geom. Anal.* 28 (2018), no. 1, 170-189.
- [29] H. Wang, H. Xu, and E. Zhao, *Gap theorems for complete  $\lambda$ -hypersurfaces*, *Pacific J. Math.* 288 (2017), no. 2, 453-474.
- [30] G. Wei and Y. Peng, *A note on rigidity theorem of  $\lambda$ -hypersurfaces*, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* 149 (2019), no. 6, 1595-1601.
- [31] S.T. Yau, *Submanifolds with constant mean curvature*, *Amer. J. Math.*, 96(2) (1974), 346- 366.