

CHEEGER-MÜLLER THEOREM FOR A WEDGE SINGULARITY ALONG AN EMBEDDED SUBMANIFOLD

LUIZ HARTMANN AND BORIS VERTMAN

ABSTRACT. In this note we equate the analytic Ray-Singer and the intersection Reidemeister torsions on compact spaces with a specific type of wedge singularities, which arise by turning the disc cross-sections in the tubular neighborhood of an embedded submanifold of even codimension into cones. Our result is related to a similar equation, in a setting disjoint from ours, which was previously obtained by Albin, Rochon and Sher [ARS22].

CONTENTS

1. Introduction and statement of the main result	1
2. Analytic Ray-Singer torsion for wedges along a submanifold	4
3. Intersection R-torsion for wedges along a submanifold	6
4. Cheeger-Müller theorem for wedges along a submanifold	10
References	10

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE MAIN RESULT

1.1. Spaces with wedge singularities. Such spaces are commonly known as spaces with non-isolated conical singularities. They are the fundamental examples of smoothly Thom-Mather stratified spaces with iterated cone-edge metrics. We refer the reader to Albin, Leichtnam, Mazzeo and Piazza [ALMP12, Definition 1 and 5] for a careful definition of those. Spaces with wedge singularities are stratified spaces of depth 1 and we recall their definition here explicitly.

Definition 1.1. Let \widetilde{M} be an oriented compact manifold with boundary ∂M , which is the total space of a fibration $\phi : \partial M \rightarrow B$ with base B and typical fibre F

Date: April 20, 2023.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 58J52; Secondary 57Q10.

Key words and phrases. wedge singularity, analytic torsion, Reidemeister torsion.

Partially support by FAPESP: 2021/09534-4.

compact smooth manifolds. Write M for the open interior of \widetilde{M} . Consider a collar $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}} \cong [0, 1) \times \partial M$ of the boundary, write $x \in [0, 1)$ for its radial coordinate and set

$$\mathcal{U} := \widetilde{\mathcal{U}} \cap M \cong (0, 1) \times \partial M.$$

We say that (M, g) is a compact space with a wedge singularity, if the Riemannian metric g in the open interior M has the form $g = g_0 + h$, with the rigid part

$$g_0 \upharpoonright \mathcal{U} = dx^2 + x^2 \kappa + \phi^* g_B,$$

where g_B is a Riemannian metric on B , κ is a symmetric positive definite bilinear form on $T\partial M \cong \ker d\phi \oplus \phi^*TB$, restricting to a Riemannian metric on fibres $\ker d\phi \cong TF$, and vanishing on the second component. The higher order term h satisfies $|h|_{g_0} = O(x)$ as $x \rightarrow 0$.

Notation: We will use $\widetilde{M}, \overline{M}, M$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}, \overline{\mathcal{U}}, \mathcal{U}$ as follows:

- \widetilde{M} is compact with boundary ∂M and collar $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}} \cong [0, 1) \times \partial M$ is a fibration of cylinders $[0, 1) \times F$ over B .
- M is the open interior of \widetilde{M} and $\mathcal{U} = \widetilde{\mathcal{U}} \cap M \cong (0, 1) \times \partial M$ is a fibration of open cylinders $(0, 1) \times F$ over B .
- \overline{M} is the metric space completion of (M, d_g) , where the distance metric d_g is defined with respect to g . Then $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$ is a fibration of cones $C(F) = [0, 1) \times F / (\{0\} \times F)$ over B .

In the setup of smoothly Thom-Mather stratified spaces as in [ALMP12], \widetilde{M} is the resolution of a compact stratified space \overline{M} . Within the scope of this note, we will impose the following assumption, which has been imposed before by Mazzeo and the second named author [MAVE12] in their analysis of analytic torsion on compact spaces with a wedge singularities.

Assumption 1.2. Consider local edge coordinates (x, y, z) on \mathcal{U} , where $y = (y_i)$ is the lift of a local coordinate system on B and $z = (z_j)$ restrict to local coordinates on each fibre F . We assume that the higher order term h is "even", i.e., has an expansion in even powers of x as $x \rightarrow 0$, when acting on the local vector fields $\{\partial_x, \partial_y, \partial_z\}$, except for the cross-terms $h(\partial_x, \partial_y)$ and $h(\partial_x, \partial_z)$, which are assumed to have an expansion in odd powers of x , as $x \rightarrow 0$.

This assumption is well-defined only within a particular even equivalence class of coordinate charts in \mathcal{U} . A local coordinate system (x', y', z') in \mathcal{U} is said to be in the even equivalence class of a coordinate chart (x, y, z) if the asymptotics of x'/x , y' and z' near the wedge admits only powers of x^2 , with coefficients in the expansions depending smoothly on y and z . We name coordinates within a fixed even equivalence class *special* coordinates and will stay within that class henceforth.

1.2. Spaces with wedges along a submanifold. In this note we are actually interested in a very specific type of wedge singularities, when \overline{M} can be given a smooth structure and the singularity is entirely in the metric. Such spaces have been studied for instance by Atiyah and Lebrun [ATLE13], and their advantage lies in the fact that can be deformed into smooth compact Riemannian manifolds. We define such spaces here, taking reference to the classical tubular neighborhood theorem as in Lee [LEE18, Theorem 5.24].

Definition 1.3. Consider an oriented compact Riemannian manifold (\overline{M}^m, g_0) with a compact embedded submanifold $B^b \subset \overline{M}$. Consider an open tubular neighborhood $\overline{U} \subset \overline{M}$ of B , the total space of a fibration $\pi : \overline{U} \rightarrow B$ with typical fibre $B_\delta(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^{m-b}$ for some $\delta > 0$. Denote by $\chi \in [0, 1)$ the radial function of the disc. We write $\phi := \pi|_{\partial\overline{U}}$. We can always find g_0 such that

$$g_0 \upharpoonright \overline{U} = dx^2 + \chi^2 \kappa + \phi^* g|_B,$$

where κ is a symmetric positive definite bilinear form on $T\partial\overline{U} \cong \ker d\phi \oplus \phi^*TB$, restricting to a sphere metric $g_{\mathbb{S}^n}$ on the fibres $\ker d\phi$, where $n = m - b - 1$. We define a family of wedge metrics on $M = \overline{M} \setminus B$ by specifying

$$g_\varepsilon \upharpoonright \overline{U} \setminus B = dx^2 + (1 - \varepsilon^2)\chi^2 \kappa + \phi^* g|_B,$$

and extending smoothly to $\overline{M} \setminus \overline{U}$. We call such (M, g_ε) a smooth family of wedge spaces with singularity along an embedded submanifold.

Note that each (M, g_ε) is a compact space with a wedge singularity in the sense of Definition 1.1, with ϕ being a fibration of spheres \mathbb{S}^n .

1.3. Statement of the main result. The main result of this note equates the analytic Ray-Singer and the intersection Reidemeister torsions on smooth family (M, g_ε) of wedge spaces with singularity along an embedded submanifold. We twist by flat vector bundles associated to an orthogonal representation of the fundamental group $\pi_1(\overline{M})$, but omit the vector bundle from the notation. A similar equation for different flat vector bundles, a situation disjoint from ours, as explained in Remark 1.5, is obtained in [ARS22] using microlocal analysis and singular degeration arguments for general wedges of Definition 1.1 with $\dim F$ odd. Our result is as follows.

Theorem 1.4. Consider an oriented compact Riemannian manifold (\overline{M}, g_0) with a compact embedded submanifold $B \subset \overline{M}$. Consider the family (M, g_ε) , $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ of wedge spaces with singularity along B , obtained by deforming disc cross-sections in the tubular neighborhood \overline{U} of $B \subset \overline{M}$ into cones. Assume that $\dim M$ and $\dim B$ are both odd.

- (i) Consider the scalar analytic torsion $T(M, g_\varepsilon)$, defined in [MAVE12].

(ii) Consider the scalar intersection Reidemeister torsion $I^p\tau(\overline{M})$ of the stratified space \overline{M} , where p is the upper or lower middle perversity. Then

$$T(M, g_\varepsilon) = I^p\tau(\overline{M}, h_M(\varepsilon)),$$

where $h_M(\varepsilon) \in \det H_*(\overline{M})$ is chosen such that $R^{-1}(h_M(\varepsilon)) \in \det H_{(2)}^*(M, g_\varepsilon)$ is of L^2 norm one. The determinant line $\det H_*(\overline{M})$ and the integration map R will be defined below in §3 and (3.3).

Remark 1.5. Theorem 1.4 holds with no changes, if we twist by a flat vector bundle E associated to an orthogonal representation

$$\rho : \pi_1(\overline{M}) \rightarrow O(r, \mathbb{R}).$$

Note that the fibres $C(F_y), F_y \cong \mathbb{S}^n$ of the fibration $\phi : \overline{U} \rightarrow B$ retract to the cone tip $y \in B$, and hence the restriction of E to each fibre is trivial. In particular, in our setting, we always have

$$H^*(F_y, E) = H^*(F_y, \mathbb{R}^r) \neq \{0\}.$$

On the other hand, [ARS22] deals with representations of $\pi_1(M) \cong \pi_1(\widetilde{M})$ and assumes in [ARS22, eq. (8)] that $H^*(F_y, E) = \{0\}$. Hence the set of cases, where our theorem applies, is disjoint from the cases, where [ARS22, Theorem 1] holds.

Our proof works by relating $T(M, g_\varepsilon)$ to the classical analytic torsion of (\overline{M}, g_0) , introduced by Ray and Singer in [RASi71], and $I^p\tau(\overline{M}, h_M(\varepsilon))$ to the classical Reidemeister torsion $\tau(\overline{M}, h_M(\varepsilon))$ of \overline{M} , introduced by Reidemeister [REI35] and Franz [FRA35]. We can then apply the result of Cheeger [CHE79] and Müller [MÜL78]. We have the following chain of equalities (we actually prove them for torsions as norms on determinant line bundles in cohomology)

$$T(M, g_\varepsilon) \stackrel{\doteq}{=} T(\overline{M}, g_0) = \tau(\overline{M}, h_M(\varepsilon)) \stackrel{\doteq}{=} I^p\tau(\overline{M}, h_M(\varepsilon)).$$

While the middle equality is the Cheeger-Müller theorem, the left and right equalities form the main contribution of this note.

2. ANALYTIC RAY-SINGER TORSION FOR WEDGES ALONG A SUBMANIFOLD

Consider a wedge manifold (M, g) with a wedge singularity at B as in Definition 1.1. Consider the differential forms $\Omega^*(M)$ and denote their completion with respect to the L^2 -scalar product by $L_*^2(M, g)$. Let d_p^t denote the formal adjoint of d_p , acting on $\Omega_0^p(M)$, and consider the Hodge-Laplace operator

$$\Delta_p := d_p^t d_p + d_{p-1} d_{p-1}^t : \Omega_0^p(M, E) \rightarrow \Omega_0^p(M, E).$$

We define the maximal closed extension of d_p in $L_p^2(M, g)$ with domain

$$\mathcal{D}(d_{p,\max}) := \{\omega \in L_p^2(M, g) \mid d\omega \in L_{p-1}^2(M, g)\}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $d\omega$ is defined distributionally. We may also define the minimal closed extension of d in $L_p^2(M, g)$ as the domain of the graph closure of d_p acting on smooth compactly supported functions $\Omega_0^p(M)$. More precisely, the minimal domain is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(d_{p,\min}) := \{ & \omega \in \mathcal{D}(d_{p,\max}) \mid \exists (\omega_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \Omega_0^p(M) : \mathbf{u}_n := \omega - \omega_n \\ & \|\mathbf{u}_n\|_{d_p} := \|d_p \mathbf{u}_n\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_n\|_{L^2}^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty\}. \end{aligned}$$

In the setting of isolated cones ($\dim B = 0$), the following was observed by Cheeger [CHE83], cf. also Brüning and Lesch [BRLE93, Theorem 3.7, 3.8]: for $\dim M - \dim B$ even, $\mathcal{D}(d_{p,\min}) = \mathcal{D}(d_{p,\max})$ for all p . Same holds for $\dim M - \dim B = 2\nu + 1$ odd, except for $p = \nu$, in which case

$$\mathcal{D}(d_{\nu,\max}) / \mathcal{D}(d_{\nu,\min}) \cong H^\nu(F).$$

The same relations hold also for wedges by an argument, similar to the proof of [MAVE12, Lemma 2.2], where we identify $\mathcal{D}(d_{p,\min})$ as conditions on asymptotic expansions of elements in the maximal domain, that hold for isolated conical singularities but also for wedges in the weak sense, *i.e.*, when paired with smooth functions on the wedge B . We can now introduce relative and absolute self-adjoint extensions of Δ_p

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{p,\text{rel}} &:= d_{p,\min}^* d_{p,\min} + d_{p-1,\min} d_{p-1,\min}^*, \\ \Delta_{p,\text{abs}} &:= d_{p,\max}^* d_{p,\max} + d_{p-1,\max} d_{p-1,\max}^*. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

One can also define, following [BRLE93, (3.3a)], the Friedrichs self adjoint extension of Δ by setting

$$\Delta^{\mathcal{F}} := (d_p + d_{p-1}^t)_{\max} (d_p + d_{p-1}^t)_{\min}$$

As a consequence of [MAVE12, Proposition 4.3]

$$\zeta(s, \Delta_p^{\mathcal{F}}) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} \left(\text{Tre}^{-t\Delta_p^{\mathcal{F}}} - \dim \ker \Delta_p^{\mathcal{F}} \right) dt, \quad \text{Re}(s) \gg 0, \quad (2.3)$$

is well-defined and extends meromorphically to \mathbb{C} , with $s = 0$ being a regular point. We can therefore proceed with the following

Definition 2.1. *The scalar analytic torsion of (M, g) is denoted by $T(M, g) \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and defined by specifying its logarithmic value as follows*

$$\log T(M, g) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=0}^m (-1)^p p \left. \frac{d}{ds} \right|_{s=0} \zeta(s, \Delta_p^{\mathcal{F}})$$

Let $H_{(2)}^p(M, g) := \ker \Delta_p^{\mathcal{F}}$ denote the space harmonic forms in $L_p^2(M, g)$. The determinant line of L^2 -cohomology is defined by

$$\det H_{(2)}^*(M, g) := \bigotimes_{p=0}^m \det H_{(2)}^p(M, g)^{(-1)^{p+1}}, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\text{where } \det H_{(2)}^p(M, g) := \Lambda^{\text{top}} \left(H_{(2)}^p(M, g) \right),$$

and V^{-1} denotes the dual of a finite-dimensional vector space V . The L^2 -inner product of $L_*^2(M, g)$ yields a norm on $H_{(2)}^*(M, g)$ and hence also on the determinant line $\det H_{(2)}^*(M, g)$, which we denote by $\| \cdot \|_{\det H_{(2)}^*(M, g)}$.

Definition 2.2. *The analytic torsion of (M, g) is a norm on $\det H_{(2)}^*(M, g)$ given by*

$$\| \cdot \|_{(M, g)}^{\text{RS}} := T(M, g) \| \cdot \|_{\det H_{(2)}^*(M, g)}.$$

Theorem 2.3. *Consider a smooth family (M, g_ε) of wedge spaces with singularity along B , as in Definition 1.3. Assume that $\dim M$ and $\dim B$ are both odd. Then*

$$\| \cdot \|_{(M, g_\varepsilon)}^{\text{RS}} = \| \cdot \|_{(M, g_0)}^{\text{RS}}. \quad (2.5)$$

Proof. Note that under the dimensional restriction of $\dim M - \dim B$ being even, $\mathcal{D}(d_{p, \max}) = \mathcal{D}(d_{p, \min})$ for all degrees p . In particular, we obtain equality for the relative, absolute and the Friedrichs self-adjoint extensions of the Hodge Laplacian Δ_p in all degrees p

$$\Delta_{p, \text{rel}} = \Delta_{p, \text{abs}} = \Delta_p^{\mathcal{F}}. \quad (2.6)$$

We can now apply [MAVE12, Theorem 1.4] to (M, g_ε) . The metrics g_ε satisfy Assumption 1.2. This implies that for $\dim M - \dim B$ even, the norms $\| \cdot \|_{(M, g_\varepsilon)}^{\text{RS}}$ do not depend on $\varepsilon \in [0, 1)$, *i.e.*,

$$\frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \| \cdot \|_{(M, g_\varepsilon)}^{\text{RS}} = 0.$$

This proves the theorem. □

3. INTERSECTION R-TORSION FOR WEDGES ALONG A SUBMANIFOLD

Consider \overline{M} as a stratified space with singular stratum B and a tubular neighborhood \overline{U} being a fibre bundle of spheres over B . In this section we study the intersection Reidemeister torsion of \overline{M} . We begin with algebraic preliminaries, for a complete description see e.g. Müller [MÜL93].

Let V be a finite dimensional vector space. If $\mathbf{v} = \{v_1, \dots, v_{\dim V}\}$ and $\mathbf{w} = \{w_1, \dots, w_{\dim V}\}$ are two bases of V , we denote by (\mathbf{w}/\mathbf{v}) the matrix of

base change from \mathbf{v} to \mathbf{w} , so that for all $i = 1, \dots, \dim V$

$$w_i = \sum_{j=1}^{\dim V} (\mathbf{w}/\mathbf{v})_{ij} v_j.$$

We denote by $[\mathbf{w}/\mathbf{v}]$ the absolute value of the determinant of (\mathbf{w}/\mathbf{v}) . Consider a finite chain complex $\mathfrak{C} = (C_\bullet, \partial_\bullet)$ of finite dimensional vector spaces

$$\mathfrak{C} : 0 \longrightarrow C_m \xrightarrow{\partial_m} C_{m-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{m-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial_2} C_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} C_0 \longrightarrow 0.$$

Define, for any $q = 0, \dots, m$,

$$Z_q := \ker \partial_q, \quad B_q := \text{Im} \partial_{q+1}, \quad H_q(\mathfrak{C}) := Z_q/B_q.$$

Choose a preferred basis \mathbf{c}_q for each C_q and a basis \mathbf{h}_q for each $H_q(\mathfrak{C})$. Choose representatives $\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_q$ in C_q of the homology classes \mathbf{h}_q . Choose a linearly independent set $\mathbf{b}_q \subset C_q$, such that $\partial_q(\mathbf{b}_q)$ is a basis of B_{q-1} . Then $(\partial_{q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{q+1}) \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_q \mathbf{b}_q)$ is a basis of C_q and it makes sense to consider $[\partial_{q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{q+1}) \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_q \mathbf{b}_q/\mathbf{c}_q]$. We will denote this positive number by

$$[\partial_{q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{q+1}) \mathbf{h}_q \mathbf{b}_q/\mathbf{c}_q] := [\partial_{q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{q+1}) \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_q \mathbf{b}_q/\mathbf{c}_q],$$

as it depends only on \mathbf{b}_q , \mathbf{b}_{q+1} and \mathbf{h}_q , and is independent of the choice $\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_q$.

Definition 3.1. *The scalar Reidemeister torsion of a chain complex \mathfrak{C} with fixed choice of homology basis $\mathbf{h} = (\mathbf{h}_q)_q$ and $\mathbf{c} = (\mathbf{c}_q)_q$ is the positive real number*

$$\tau(\mathfrak{C}; \mathbf{h}; \mathbf{c}) := \prod_{q=0}^m [\partial_{q+1}(\mathbf{b}_{q+1}) \mathbf{h}_q \mathbf{b}_q/\mathbf{c}_q]^{(-1)^q} \in \mathbb{R}_+. \quad (3.1)$$

This is well-defined, since the number $\tau(\mathfrak{C}; \mathbf{h}; \mathbf{c})$ does not depend on the choice of \mathbf{b}_q and \mathbf{b}_{q+1} . It does depend on the choice of \mathbf{h} and \mathbf{c} though. We make the construction independent of \mathbf{h} in the same manner as in Definition 2.2. We introduce the following notation

$$\det \mathbf{h}_q \in \det H_q(\mathfrak{C}), \quad \det \mathbf{h} := \bigotimes_{q=0}^m (\det \mathbf{h}_q)^{(-1)^q} \in \det H_\bullet(\mathfrak{C}).$$

Choosing $\det \mathbf{h}$ as a unit element defines a norm $\|\cdot\|_{\det \mathbf{h}}$ on $\det H_\bullet(\mathfrak{C})$. We now define the abstract Reidemeister torsion of a complex in the same way as in Definition 2.2.

Definition 3.2. *The Reidemeister metric of \mathfrak{C} is a norm on $\det H_\bullet(\mathfrak{C})$ given by*

$$\|\cdot\|_{\det H_\bullet(\mathfrak{C})}^{\mathbb{R}} := \tau(\mathfrak{C}; \mathbf{h}; \mathbf{c}) \|\cdot\|_{\det \mathbf{h}}. \quad (3.2)$$

The Reidemeister metric, viewed as a norm on $\det H_\bullet(\mathfrak{C})$ is independent of the choice of \mathbf{h} . Now we apply this definition to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$.

Definition 3.3. Consider \overline{M} as a stratified space with singular stratum B and a tubular neighborhood \overline{U} being a fibre bundle of spheres over B . Then (3.2) defines Reidemeister torsions on \overline{M} , that is viewed either as a stratified space or as a smooth manifold, as follows

- (i) Consider a cellular intersection chain complex $\mathfrak{C} := (\mathrm{IC}_\bullet^{\mathfrak{p}}(\overline{M}), \partial_\bullet)$ on \overline{M} , where \mathfrak{p} stands for lower or upper middle perversity. Let \mathfrak{c} be a basis of chains as in Remark 3.4 below. Then (3.1) defines scalar intersection Reidemeister torsion $\mathrm{I}^{\mathfrak{p}}\tau(\overline{M}, \mathfrak{h})$, which is invariant under cellular subdivision by Dar [DAR87]. Moreover, (3.2) defines the intersection Reidemeister torsion norm $\|\cdot\|_{\overline{M}, \mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{IR}}$.
- (ii) Consider a cellular chain complex $\mathfrak{C} := (\mathrm{C}_\bullet(\overline{M}), \partial_\bullet)$, coming from regular cellular decomposition \overline{M} . Let \mathfrak{c} be a basis of cells. Then (3.1) defines scalar Reidemeister torsion $\tau(\overline{M}, \mathfrak{h})$, independent of the choice of the cellular subdivision, see e.g. Milnor [MIL66]. Moreover, (3.2) defines the (classical) Reidemeister torsion norm $\|\cdot\|_{\overline{M}}^{\mathrm{R}}$.

Remark 3.4. Since the cellular intersection chain complex $(\mathrm{IC}_\bullet^{\mathfrak{p}}(\overline{M}), \partial_\bullet)$ is a subcomplex of the cellular chain complex $(\mathrm{C}_\bullet(\overline{M}), \partial_\bullet)$ we can not always fix the preferred basis as cell base like in the smooth case. Therefore, we choose as preferred basis each cell that satisfies the intersection condition, if they exist, and to complete this set of generators to a basis, we choose integral chains, in the sense of the first named author and Spreafico [HASp20, Lemma 7.3], *i.e.*, cell chains with coefficients integer numbers.

Since \overline{M} is smooth, it is a homology manifold and the Poincaré duality holds. Thus the intersection homology $\mathrm{IH}_*^{\mathfrak{p}}(\overline{M}) := \mathrm{H}_*(\mathrm{IC}_\bullet^{\mathfrak{p}}(\overline{M}), \partial_\bullet)$ from Goresky and MacPherson [GoMA80] coincides with the usual homology theory $\mathrm{H}_*(\overline{M}) := \mathrm{H}_*(\mathrm{C}_\bullet(\overline{M}), \partial_\bullet)$, and the de Rham integration map together with duality defines an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{R} : \det \mathrm{H}_{(2)}^*(M, g_\varepsilon) \equiv \det \mathrm{H}_{(2)}^*(M, g) \xrightarrow{\sim} \det \mathrm{IH}_*^{\mathfrak{p}}(\overline{M}) \equiv \det \mathrm{H}_*(\overline{M}). \quad (3.3)$$

Nevertheless, the intersection chain complex $(\mathrm{IC}_\bullet^{\mathfrak{p}}(M), \partial_\bullet)$ needs not to be equal to the chain complex $(\mathrm{C}_\bullet(\overline{M}), \partial_\bullet)$. Therefore a priori the corresponding Reidemeister torsions need not be the same and their equality is a theorem, which we now prove.

Theorem 3.5. Consider a smooth manifold \overline{M} with an embedded submanifold B as above. Then the intersection and the classical Reidemeister torsion coincide

$$\|\cdot\|_{\overline{M}, \mathfrak{p}}^{\mathrm{IR}} = \|\cdot\|_{\overline{M}}^{\mathrm{R}}.$$

Proof. Both Reidemeister torsions satisfy a gluing formula, as in [MIL66, Theorem 3.2]): Consider $\overline{M} = M_1 \cup M_2$ a covering by two subsets and the

gluing sequence

$$0 \hookrightarrow M_1 \cap M_2 \longrightarrow M_1 \sqcup M_2 \twoheadrightarrow \overline{M} \longrightarrow 0. \quad (3.4)$$

The gluing formula for combinatorial torsions yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I}^p \tau(\overline{M}; \mathbf{h}_M) &= \frac{\mathbb{I}^p \tau(M_1; \mathbf{h}_{M_1}) \cdot \mathbb{I}^p \tau(M_2; \mathbf{h}_{M_2})}{\tau(M_1 \cap M_2; \mathbf{h}_{M_1 \cap M_2}) \cdot \tau(\mathfrak{H})}, \\ \tau(\overline{M}; \mathbf{h}_M) &= \frac{\tau(M_1; \mathbf{h}_{M_1}) \cdot \tau(M_2; \mathbf{h}_{M_2})}{\tau(M_1 \cap M_2; \mathbf{h}_{M_1 \cap M_2}) \cdot \tau(\mathfrak{H})}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where $\tau(\mathfrak{H})$ is the torsion of the following long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_q(M_1 \cap M_2) \rightarrow H_q(M_1) \oplus H_q(M_2) \rightarrow H_q(\overline{M}) \rightarrow H_{q-1}(M_1 \cap M_2) \rightarrow \cdots.$$

This can be reformulated as a gluing formula for Reidemeister torsion norms as follows. This long exact sequence defines an isomorphism between determinant lines in homology

$$\Phi : \det H_*(\overline{M}) \rightarrow \det H_*(M_1) \otimes \det H_*(M_2) \otimes \det H_*(M_1 \cap M_2)^{-1}. \quad (3.6)$$

A tedious, but straightforward combinatorial computation shows

$$\Phi(\det \mathbf{h}_M) = \tau(\mathfrak{H}) \cdot \det \mathbf{h}_{M_1} \otimes \det \mathbf{h}_{M_2} \otimes (\det \mathbf{h}_{M_1 \cap M_2})^{-1}. \quad (3.7)$$

From here we conclude from (3.5), (3.2) and (3.7)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi^{-1}(\alpha \otimes \beta \otimes \gamma^{-1})\|_{\overline{M}, p}^{\mathbb{I}^R} &= \frac{\|\alpha\|_{M_1, p}^{\mathbb{I}^R} \cdot \|\beta\|_{M_2, p}^{\mathbb{I}^R}}{\|\gamma\|_{M_1 \cap M_2, p}^{\mathbb{I}^R}}, \\ \|\Phi^{-1}(\alpha \otimes \beta \otimes \gamma^{-1})\|_{\overline{M}}^R &= \frac{\|\alpha\|_{M_1}^R \cdot \|\beta\|_{M_2}^R}{\|\gamma\|_{M_1 \cap M_2}^R}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

for any $\alpha \in \det H_*(M_1)$, $\beta \in \det H_*(M_2)$ and $\gamma \in \det H_*(M_1 \cap M_2)$. Thus, we can reduce our proof from the whole \overline{M} to the tubular neighborhood \overline{U} of the singular stratum B . Cutting \overline{U} into trivalizable local bundles of cones $C(\mathbb{S}^n)$, we can reduce the claim to $W \times C(\mathbb{S}^n)$, where W is any smooth manifold. The statement now follows from Lemma 3.6 below. \square

Lemma 3.6. *Let W be a smooth Riemannian manifold. Then*

$$\|\cdot\|_{W \times C(\mathbb{S}^n), p}^{\mathbb{I}^R} = \|\cdot\|_{W \times C(\mathbb{S}^n)}^R.$$

Proof. The Künneth equivalence of chain complexes, see King [KIN85, Theorem 4] for the analogous statement on intersection complexes, implies product formulas for combinatorial torsions

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(W \times C(\mathbb{S}^n); \mathbf{h}_W \otimes \mathbf{h}_{C(\mathbb{S}^n)}) &= (\tau(W; \mathbf{h}_W))^{X(C(\mathbb{S}^n))} \cdot (\tau(C(\mathbb{S}^n); \mathbf{h}_{C(\mathbb{S}^n)})^{X(W)}), \\ \mathbb{I}^p \tau(W \times C(\mathbb{S}^n); \mathbf{h}_W \otimes \mathbf{h}_{C(\mathbb{S}^n)}) &= (\tau(W; \mathbf{h}_W))^{X(C(\mathbb{S}^n))} \cdot (\mathbb{I}^p \tau(C(\mathbb{S}^n); \mathbf{h}_{C(\mathbb{S}^n)})^{X(W)}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the statement follows once we prove the following equality

$$\tau(C(\mathbb{S}^n); \mathbf{h}_{C(\mathbb{S}^n)}) = \mathbb{I}^p \tau(C(\mathbb{S}^n); \mathbf{h}_{C(\mathbb{S}^n)}). \quad (3.9)$$

The classical Reidemeister torsion on the left hand side can be computed similar to de Melo, the first named author and Spreafico [DMHS12, Proposition 2], so that

$$\tau(C(\mathbb{S}^n); \mathbf{h}_{C(\mathbb{S}^n)}) = [\mathbf{h}_0 / \mathbf{n}_0],$$

where \mathbf{n}_0 is a generator of $H_0(\mathbb{S}^n; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ over \mathbb{Z} . Now, the same result holds for the intersection Reidemeister torsion with perversity \mathfrak{p} by [HASP20, Theorem 7.7]. Hence (3.9) holds and the statement follows. \square

4. CHEEGER-MÜLLER THEOREM FOR WEDGES ALONG A SUBMANIFOLD

We can now prove our main result, Theorem 1.4 on equality of analytic and intersection Reidemeister torsions for manifolds with wedges along an embedded submanifold. We phrase the result in terms of norms on determinant lines in (co-)homology.

Theorem 4.1. *Let (M, g_ϵ) be a family of wedge space with singularity along an embedded submanifold B . If $\dim M$ and $\dim B$ are both odd, then the Cheeger-Müller theorem holds, i.e., for R induced by the de Rham integration map and duality as in (3.3), and \mathfrak{p} denoting lower or upper middle perversity, we have*

$$\|\cdot\|_{(M; g_\epsilon)}^{\text{RS}} = \|R(\cdot)\|_{M, \mathfrak{p}}^{\text{IR}}. \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. The claim is a consequence of Theorems 2.3 and 3.5, as well as the Cheeger-Müller theorem on closed smooth manifolds. Indeed, we have the following sequence of equations

$$\|\cdot\|_{(M; g_\epsilon)}^{\text{RS}} \stackrel{\text{Theorem 2.3}}{=} \|\cdot\|_{(M; g_0)}^{\text{RS}} = \|R(\cdot)\|_M^{\text{R}} \stackrel{\text{Theorem 3.5}}{=} \|R(\cdot)\|_{M, \mathfrak{p}}^{\text{IR}},$$

where the middle equality is simply the Cheeger-Müller theorem of Cheeger [CHE79] and Müller [MÜL78]. \square

REFERENCES

- [ALMP12] P. ALBIN, E. LEICHTNAM, R. MAZZEO, and P. PIAZZA, *The signature package on Witt spaces*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **45** (2012), no. 2, 241–310. MR 2977620
- [ARS22] P. ALBIN, F. ROCHON, and D. SHER, *A Cheeger-Müller theorem for manifolds with wedge singularities*, Anal. PDE **15** (2022), no. 3, 567–642. MR 4442836
- [AtLe13] M. ATIYAH and C. LEBRUN, *Curvature, cones and characteristic numbers*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **155** (2013), no. 1, 13–37. MR 3065256
- [BrLe93] J. BRÜNING and M. LESCH, *Kähler-Hodge theory for conformal complex cones*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **3** (1993), no. 5, 439–473. MR 1233862
- [CHE79] J. CHEEGER, *Analytic torsion and the heat equation*, Ann. of Math. (2) **109** (1979), no. 2, 259–322. MR 528965

- [CHE83] ———, *Spectral geometry of singular Riemannian spaces*, J. Differential Geom. **18** (1983), no. 4, 575–657 (1984). MR 730920
- [DAR87] A. DAR, *Intersection R-torsion and analytic torsion for pseudomanifolds*, Math. Z. **194** (1987), no. 2, 193–216. MR 876230
- [DMHS12] T. DE MELO, L. HARTMANN, and M. SPREAFICO, *The analytic torsion of a disc*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **42** (2012), no. 1, 29–59. MR 2912667
- [FRA35] W. FRANZ, *über die torsion einer überdeckung*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **173** (1935), 245–254.
- [GoMA80] M. GORESKY and R. MACHPHERSON, *Intersection homology theory*, Topology **19** (1980), 135–162.
- [HASP20] L. HARTMANN and M. SPREAFICO, *Intersection torsion and analytic torsion of spaces with conical singularities*, 2020. arXiv:2001.07801
- [KIN85] H. C. KING, *Topological invariance of intersection homology without sheaves*, Topology Appl. **20** (1985), no. 2, 149–160. MR 800845
- [LEE18] J. M. LEE, *Introduction to Riemannian manifolds*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 176, Springer, Cham, 2018, Second edition of [MR1468735]. MR 3887684
- [MAVE12] R. MAZZEO and B. VERTMAN, *Analytic torsion on manifolds with edges*, Adv. Math. **231** (2012), no. 2, 1000–1040. MR 2955200
- [MIL66] J. MILNOR, *Whitehead torsion*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1966), 358–426. MR 0196736
- [MÜL78] W. MÜLLER, *Analytic torsion and R-torsion of Riemannian manifolds*, Adv. in Math. **28** (1978), no. 3, 233–305. MR 498252
- [MÜL93] ———, *Analytic torsion and R-torsion for unimodular representations*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **6** (1993), no. 3, 721–753. MR 1189689
- [RAS71] D. B. RAY and I. M. SINGER, *R-torsion and the Laplacian on Riemannian manifolds*, Advances in Math. **7** (1971), 145–210. MR 0295381
- [REI35] K. REIDEMEISTER, *Homotopieringe und Linsenräume*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **11** (1935), no. 1, 102–109. MR 3069647

UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE SÃO CARLOS (UFSCAR), BRAZIL

Email address: hartmann@dm.ufscar.br, luizhartmann@ufscar.br

URL: <http://www.dm.ufscar.br/profs/hartmann>

UNIVERSITÄT OLDENBURG, GERMANY

Email address: boris.vertman@uni-oldenburg.de

URL: <https://uol.de/boris-vertman/>