

Hidden AR Process and Adaptive Kalman Filter

YURY A. KUTOYANTS

Le Mans University, Le Mans, France

National Research University “MPEI”, Moscow, Russia

Tomsk State University, Tomsk, Russia

Abstract

The model of partially observed linear system depending on some unknown parameters is considered. An approximation of the unobserved component is proposed. This approximation is realized in three steps. First an estimator of the method of moments of unknown parameter is constructed. Then this estimator is used for defining the One-step MLE-process and finally the last estimator is substituted to the equations of Kalman filter. The solution of obtained equations provide us the approximation (adaptive K-B filter). The asymptotic properties of all mentioned estimators and MLE and Bayesian estimators of the unknown parameters are described. The asymptotic efficiency of adaptive filtering is discussed.

MSC 2000 Classification: 62M02, 62G10, 62G20.

Key words: Partially observed linear system, hidden Markov process, Kalman filter, parameter estimation, method of moments estimators, MLE and Bayesian estimators, One-step MLE-process, on-line approximation, adaptive Kalman filter.

1 Introduction

We are given a linear partially observed system

$$X_t = f Y_{t-1} + \sigma w_t, \quad X_0, \quad t = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (1)$$

$$Y_t = a Y_{t-1} + b v_t, \quad Y_0, \quad (2)$$

where $X^T = (X_0, X_1, \dots, X_T)$ are observations and auto regressive process (AR) $Y_t, t \geq 0$ is a hidden process. Here $w_t, t \geq 1$ and $v_t, t \geq 1$ are independent standard Gaussian random variables, i.e., $w_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, $v_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. The initial values are $X_0 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, d_x^2)$ and $Y_0 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, d_y^2)$ and can be correlated with correlation $\rho_{xy} = \mathbf{E}X_0Y_0$. The system is defined by the parameters $a, b, f, \sigma^2, d_x^2, d_y^2$. It will be convenient for instant to denote $\vartheta = (a, b, f, \sigma^2)$.

Denote \mathfrak{F}_t^X the σ -algebra generated by the first $t + 1$ observations X_0, X_1, \dots, X_t . The conditional expectation $m(\vartheta, t) = \mathbf{E}_\vartheta(Y_t | \mathfrak{F}_t^X)$ according to the equations of Kalman filter (see, e.g., Theorem 13.4 in [19]) satisfies the equation

$$m(\vartheta, t) = a m(\vartheta, t-1) + \frac{af\gamma(\vartheta, t-1)}{\sigma^2 + f^2\gamma(\vartheta, t-1)} [X_t - fm(\vartheta, t-1)], \quad t \geq 1. \quad (3)$$

The initial value is $m(\vartheta, 0) = \mathbf{E}_\vartheta(Y_0 | X_0)$.

The mean square error $\gamma(\vartheta, t) = \mathbf{E}_\vartheta(Y_t - m(\vartheta, t))^2$ is described by the equation

$$\gamma(\vartheta, t) = a^2\gamma(\vartheta, t-1) + b^2 - \frac{a^2f^2\gamma(\vartheta, t-1)^2}{\sigma^2 + f^2\gamma(\vartheta, t-1)}, \quad t \geq 1 \quad (4)$$

with the initial value $\gamma(\vartheta, 0) = \mathbf{E}_\vartheta(Y_0 - m(\vartheta, 0))^2$.

We suppose that the observations X^T are given and that some of the parameters are unknown, but their values are always satisfy the condition

$$\mathcal{A}_0 : \quad a^2 \in [0, 1), \quad b^2 > 0, \quad f^2 > 0, \quad \sigma^2 > 0. \quad (5)$$

This condition is uniform in the following sense. If, for example, the unknown parameter is $f \in (\alpha_f, \beta_f)$, then $\alpha_f > 0$ or $\beta_f < 0$. Our goal is to propose an approximation of $m(\vartheta, t), t \geq 1$ in such situations and to describe the error of approximation in the asymptotic of *large samples*, i.e., as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

The consistent estimation of the parameters (d_x^2, d_y^2) is impossible and we suppose that these parameters are known. If these values are unknown, then the system (3)-(4) will be solved with some wrong initial values, but due to robustness of the solutions the difference between solutions with true and wrong initial values under condition \mathcal{A}_0 is asymptotically negligible. Note as well that the consistent estimation of the parameters $\vartheta = (f, b)$ or $\vartheta = (f, a, b)$ is impossible because the model (1)-(2) depends on the product fb .

This work is devoted to the problem of estimation of $m(\vartheta, t), t = 1, \dots, T$ in the situations, where some of the parameters of the model (1)-(2) are unknown. As usual in such problems we first estimate the unknown parameter and then this estimator is substituted in the equations (3)-(4). The obtained in such a way equations will describe *adaptive Kalman filter*. There existe a wide literature on adaptive filtering for such and similar partially observed systems. The difference between them is in the construction of parameter estimators and in the description of the corresponding errors of approximations of $m(\vartheta, \cdot)$, see, e.g., [1],[2],[4],[6],[8],[20], [21],[22],[23] and references there in. There is a large diversity of the models (linear and non linear), different limits (small noise or large samples) and the methods of adaptive filtering. For the words ‘‘adaptive Kalman filter’’ Google Scholar gives half million references. Of course not all of them are exactly in what we need but nevertheless in some sens it gives the idea how important this subject is. We propose one else algorithm which realizes such procedure. Note that since the work of Kalman [11]

the equations (1)-(2) are considered in more general forms, where X_t, Y_t and w_t, v_t are vectors and f, σ, a, b are matrices. Our choice of this simplest model was motivated by the simplicity of calculations and the same time the obtained results nevertheless seems to be non trivial. We suppose that the proposed algorithms can be extended on more complicate models and the results for these models will be similar to the presented in this work ones.

Note that the problems of parameter estimation for such systems of observations is a part of more general class of problems of parameter estimation for hidden Markov processes, see, e.g., the works [3] and [5] and references there in.

We are interested by the problem of on-line estimation of the conditional expectation (random function) $m(\vartheta, t), 0 < t \leq T$ in the different situations, where ϑ is unknown. For example, $f = \vartheta$ and a, b are known. The usual behavior in such situations is to estimate first the unknown parameters and then to substitute these estimators in the equations (3)-(4). The most interesting are of course the algorithms of on-line recurrent adaptive filters. The studied algorithms are mainly verified with the help of numerical simulations, which show the reasonable behavior of the adaptive filters.

Our goal is to obtain a good recurrent approximation $m_t^*, 0 < t \leq T$ of the process $m(\vartheta, t), 0 < t \leq T$ in the case of the homogeneous partially observed system (1)-(2) and to discuss the question of asymptotic efficiency of adaptive filters.

The estimation of $m(\vartheta, t), t \in (0, T]$ in this work is realized following the program:

1. Calculate a preliminary estimator $\bar{\vartheta}_\tau$ on relatively small interval of observations $[0, \tau]$.
2. Using $\bar{\vartheta}_\tau$ construct the One-step MLE-process $\vartheta_{t,T}^*, \tau < t \leq T$.
3. As approximation of $m(\vartheta, t)$ we propose m_t^* obtained with the help of K-B equations, where ϑ is replaced by $\vartheta_{t,T}^*, \tau < t \leq T$.
4. Estimate the error $m_t^* - m(\vartheta, t), \tau < t \leq T$.
5. Discuss the asymptotic efficiency of the adaptive filter.

This means that we have no on-line approximation on the time interval $[0, \tau]$, but $\tau/T \rightarrow 0$. Note that the used here One-step MLE-process is the well-known Le Cam's One-step MLE, in which we consider the upper limit of the integral (time t) as variable.

Introduce continuous time model

$$dX_t = f(\vartheta) Y_t dt + \sigma dW_t, \quad X_0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad (6)$$

$$dY_t = -a(\vartheta) Y_t dt + b(\vartheta) dV_t, \quad Y_0, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (7)$$

where $W_t, V_t, t \geq 0$ are independent Wiener processes, $f(\vartheta), a(\vartheta), b(\vartheta)$ are known smooth functions and $\vartheta \in \Theta \subset \mathcal{R}^d$ is the unknown parameter. Suppose that the observations are $X^T = (X_t, 0 \leq t \leq T)$ and the Markov process $Y^T = (Y_t, 0 \leq t \leq T)$ is hidden.

We already applied this construction (steps 1-2, or steps 1-4, or steps 1-5) to 4 different models of observations. To the model of continuous time observations like (6)-(7) with small noises in the both equations [13], [18] (1-4). To the model (6)-(7) with small noise in the equation (6) only [15], [16] (1-5). To the model of hidden telegraph process [12] (1-2). To the model of observations (6)-(7) in the asymptotics $T \rightarrow \infty$ [14] (1-2), [17] (1-5).

Note that in the Kalman filtering theory the model of observations is slightly different and can be written in our case as follows

$$\begin{aligned} X_t &= f Y_t + \sigma w_t, & X_0, & \quad t = 1, 2, \dots, & (8) \\ Y_{t+1} &= a Y_t + b v_{t+1}, & Y_0. & & (9) \end{aligned}$$

The link between these two models and the modified equations (3)-(4) can be found in [19], Corollary 3 of Theorem 13.4. Remark that if we consider the discrete time model as discrete time approximation of the model (6)-(7), then it seems the equations (1)-(2) feet better than (8)-(9).

In this work we propose the adaptive filter for the model (1)-(2) (steps 1-5). The construction of preliminary method of moments estimators follows [12] and the exposition is in some sense similar to the exposition in the continuous time case of the work [17], where the model of observations is (6)-(7).

In the next section we study the method of moments estimators (preliminary estimators) of the parameters of the system (23)-(24). Then the different Fisher informations are calculated for different parameters (section 3). Having preliminary estimator and Fisher information we introduce the One-step MLE-processes and study their asymptotic properties (section 4). The properties of MLE and Bayesian estimator are described in the section 5. The One-step MLE-process is substituted in the equation (3)-(4) and this provides us the adaptive filter (section 6). The last seventh section is devoted to the question of asymptotic efficiency of the proposed adaptive filters.

2 Method of moments estimators

Introduce three statistics

$$\begin{aligned} S_{1,T}(X^T) &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (X_t - X_{t-1})^2, & S_{2,T}(X^T) &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=2}^T (X_t - X_{t-1})(X_{t-1} - X_{t-2}), \\ S_{3,T}(X^T) &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=3}^T (X_t - X_{t-1})(X_{t-2} - X_{t-3}) \end{aligned}$$

and study their asymptotic ($T \rightarrow \infty$) behavior. We suppose always that the condition \mathcal{A}_0 is fulfilled and the true value is denoted as ϑ_0 .

Denote

$$\Phi_1(\vartheta) = \frac{2f^2b^2}{1+a} + 2\sigma^2, \quad \Phi_2(\vartheta) = \frac{f^2b^2(a-1)}{1+a} - \sigma^2, \quad \Phi_3(\vartheta) = \frac{f^2b^2a(a-1)}{(1+a)}.$$

Lemma 1. *We have the limits*

$$S_{1,T}(X^T) \longrightarrow \Phi_1(\vartheta_0), \quad (10)$$

$$S_{2,T}(X^T) \longrightarrow \Phi_2(\vartheta_0), \quad (11)$$

$$S_{3,T}(X^T) \longrightarrow \Phi_3(\vartheta_0), \quad (12)$$

and there exist constants $C_1 > 0$, $C_2 > 0$, $C_3 > 0$ such that

$$\mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} |S_{1,T}(X^T) - \Phi_1(\vartheta_0)|^2 \leq \frac{C_1}{T}, \quad \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} |S_{2,T}(X^T) - \Phi_2(\vartheta_0)|^2 \leq \frac{C_2}{T}, \quad (13)$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} |S_{3,T}(X^T) - \Phi_3(\vartheta_0)|^2 \leq \frac{C_3}{T}. \quad (14)$$

Proof. According to (23)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (X_t - X_{t-1})^2 &= \frac{f_0^2}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (Y_{t-1} - Y_{t-2})^2 + \frac{2f_0\sigma_0}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (Y_{t-1} - Y_{t-2})(w_t - w_{t-1}) \\ &\quad + \frac{\sigma_0^2}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (w_t - w_{t-1})^2. \end{aligned}$$

The Gaussian time series $Y_t, t \geq 1$ is exponentially mixing with the stationary (invariant) Gaussian distribution $\mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{b_0^2}{1-a_0^2}\right)$ and it is independent of $w_t, t \geq 1$. Therefore by the law of large numbers we have the convergences

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f_0^2}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (Y_{t-1} - Y_{t-2})^2 &= \frac{f_0^2}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T ((a_0 - 1)Y_{t-2} + b_0v_{t-1})^2 \\ &= \frac{f_0^2(1-a_0)^2}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T Y_{t-2}^2 - \frac{2b_0(1-a_0)f_0^2}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T Y_{t-2}v_{t-1} + \frac{f_0^2b_0^2}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T v_{t-1}^2 \\ &\longrightarrow \frac{f_0^2b_0^2(1-a_0)^2}{1-a_0^2} + f_0^2b_0^2 = \Phi_1(\vartheta_0) - 2\sigma_0^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{2f_0\sigma_0}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (Y_{t-1} - Y_{t-2})(w_t - w_{t-1}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

$$\frac{\sigma_0^2}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (w_t - w_{t-1})^2 \longrightarrow 2\sigma_0^2,$$

which proves (10).

To prove (11) we write

$$\begin{aligned}
& (X_t - X_{t-1})(X_{t-1} - X_{t-2}) \\
&= [f_0(Y_{t-1} - Y_{t-2}) + \sigma_0(w_t - w_{t-1})][f_0(Y_{t-2} - Y_{t-3}) + \sigma_0(w_{t-1} - w_{t-2})] \\
&= [f_0(a_0 - 1)Y_{t-2} + f_0b_0v_{t-1} + \sigma_0(w_t - w_{t-1})] \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{a_0} [f_0(a_0 - 1)Y_{t-2} + f_0b_0a_0v_{t-2} + \sigma_0a_0(w_{t-1} - w_{t-2})].
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=2}^T (X_t - X_{t-1})(X_{t-1} - X_{t-2}) &= \frac{f_0^2(a_0 - 1)^2}{a_0T} \sum_{t=2}^T Y_{t-2}^2 + \frac{f_0^2b_0(a_0 - 1)}{T} \sum_{t=2}^T Y_{t-2}v_{t-2} \\
&\quad + \frac{\sigma_0^2}{T} \sum_{t=2}^T (w_t - w_{t-1})(w_{t-1} - w_{t-2}) + o(1).
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=2}^T Y_{t-2}v_{t-2} &= \frac{a_0}{T} \sum_{t=2}^T Y_{t-3}v_{t-2} + \frac{a_0b_0}{T} \sum_{t=2}^T v_{t-2}^2 \longrightarrow a_0b_0, \\
\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=2}^T (w_t - w_{t-1})(w_{t-1} - w_{t-2}) &\longrightarrow -1.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=2}^T (X_t - X_{t-1})(X_{t-1} - X_{t-2}) &\longrightarrow \frac{f_0^2b_0^2(a_0 - 1)^2}{a_0(1 - a_0^2)} + f_0^2b_0^2a_0(a_0 - 1) - \sigma^2 \\
&= \Phi_2(\vartheta_0).
\end{aligned}$$

The last convergence (12) we obtain using the similar arguments as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (X_t - X_{t-1})(X_{t-2} - X_{t-3}) \\
&= [f_0(Y_{t-1} - Y_{t-2}) + \sigma_0(w_t - w_{t-1})][f_0(Y_{t-3} - Y_{t-4}) + \sigma_0(w_{t-2} - w_{t-3})] \\
&= [f_0(a_0Y_{t-2} - Y_{t-2}) + f_0b_0v_{t-1} + \sigma_0(w_t - w_{t-1})] \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{a_0} [f_0(a_0Y_{t-3} - Y_{t-3}) + f_0b_0v_{t-3} + a_0\sigma_0(w_{t-2} - w_{t-3})].
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used the equation (24) and the equality $a_0Y_{t-4} = Y_{t-3} - b_0v_{t-3}$. Further, as $w_t, t \geq 1$ are independent of $Y_t, t \geq 1$ and $v_t, t \geq 1$ we can write

$$S_{3,T}(X^T) = \frac{1}{a_0T} \sum_{t=3}^T [f_0(a_0 - 1)Y_{t-2} + f_0b_0v_{t-1}][f_0(a_0 - 1)Y_{t-3} + f_0b_0v_{t-3}] + o(1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{a_0 T} \sum_{t=3}^T [f_0 (a_0 - 1) a_0 Y_{t-3} + f (a_0 - 1) v_{t-2} + f_0 b_0 v_{t-1}] \\
&\quad \times [f_0 (a_0 - 1) Y_{t-3} + f_0 b_0 v_{t-3}] + o(1) \\
&= \frac{f_0^2 (a_0 - 1)^2}{T} \sum_{t=3}^T Y_{t-3}^2 + \frac{f_0^2 (a_0 - 1) b_0}{T} \sum_{t=3}^T Y_{t-3} v_{t-3} + o(1) \\
&= \frac{f_0^2 (a_0 - 1)^2}{T} \sum_{t=3}^T Y_{t-3}^2 + \frac{f_0^2 b_0^2 (a_0 - 1)}{T} \sum_{t=3}^T v_{t-3}^2 + o(1) \\
&\rightarrow \frac{f_0^2 b_0^2 (1 - a_0)}{1 + a_0} + f_0^2 b_0^2 (a_0 - 1) = \Phi_3(\vartheta_0).
\end{aligned}$$

As the Gaussian AR process $Y_t, t \geq 1$ has exponentially decreasing correlation function the convergences (13),(14) follow from the standard arguments. For the higher moments see Rosenthal-type inequalities [7].

□

Remark 1. From the proofs it follows that the estimates (12) are valid uniformly on compacts $\mathbb{K} \subset \Theta$ too, i.e.,

$$\sup_{\vartheta_0 \in \mathbb{K}} \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} |S_{1,T}(X^T) - \Phi_1(\vartheta_0)|^2 \leq \frac{C}{T}, \quad \sup_{\vartheta_0 \in \mathbb{K}} \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} |S_{2,T}(X^T) - \Phi_2(\vartheta_0)|^2 \leq \frac{C}{T} \quad (15)$$

$$\sup_{\vartheta_0 \in \mathbb{K}} \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} |S_{3,T}(X^T) - \Phi_3(\vartheta_0)|^2 \leq \frac{C}{T}. \quad (16)$$

Remark 2. More detailed analysis allows to verify the asymptotic normality

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{T} (S_{1,T}(X^T) - \Phi_1(\vartheta_0)) &\implies \mathcal{N}(0, D_1(\vartheta_0)^2), \\
\sqrt{T} (S_{2,T}(X^T) - \Phi_2(\vartheta_0)) &\implies \mathcal{N}(0, D_2(\vartheta_0)^2), \\
\sqrt{T} (S_{3,T}(X^T) - \Phi_3(\vartheta_0)) &\implies \mathcal{N}(0, D_3(\vartheta_0)^2)
\end{aligned}$$

but we do not prove these convergences because we need these MMEs just for construction of One-step MLE-processes and the estimates (15),(16) are sufficient for these problems.

All parameters of the model (23)-(24) can be estimated with the help of the introduced statistics $S_{1,T}(X^T), S_{2,T}(X^T), S_{3,T}(X^T)$. Below the method of moments estimators (MME) of the parameters f, a, b, σ^2 are proposed and their asymptotic behavior is described.

2.1 Estimation of the parameter f .

Suppose that the parameters a, b, σ^2 are known and we have to estimate $\vartheta = f \in (\alpha_f, \beta_f)$, $\alpha_f > 0$. Then the MME can be defined as follows

$$f_T^* = \alpha_f \mathbb{I}_{\{\mathbb{B}_{1,T}\}} + \bar{f}_T \mathbb{I}_{\{\mathbb{B}_{2,T}\}} + \beta_f \mathbb{I}_{\{\mathbb{B}_{3,T}\}}. \quad (17)$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{f}_T &= \left(\frac{(S_{1,T}(X^T) - 2\sigma^2)(1+a)}{2b^2} \right)^{1/2}, \\ \mathbb{B}_{1,T} &= \left\{ \text{The event : } S_{1,T}(X^T) \leq \frac{2\alpha_f^2 b^2}{1+a} + 2\sigma^2 \right\}, \\ \mathbb{B}_{2,T} &= \left\{ \text{The event : } \frac{2\alpha_f^2 b^2}{1+a} + 2\sigma^2 < S_{1,T}(X^T) \leq \frac{2\beta_f^2 b^2}{1+a} + 2\sigma^2 \right\}, \\ \mathbb{B}_{3,T} &= \left\{ \text{The event : } S_{1,T}(X^T) \geq \frac{2\beta_f^2 b^2}{1+a} + 2\sigma^2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $f_T^* \in [\alpha_f, \beta_f]$. As

$$S_{1,T}(X^T) \longrightarrow \Phi_1(\vartheta_0) = \frac{2f_0^2 b^2}{1+a} + 2\sigma^2$$

the probabilities

$$\mathbf{P}_{f_0}(\mathbb{B}_{1,T}) \longrightarrow 0, \quad \mathbf{P}_{f_0}(\mathbb{B}_{2,T}) \longrightarrow 1, \quad \mathbf{P}_{f_0}(\mathbb{B}_{3,T}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

and below we omit the representations like (17) for the other MMEs.

It is easy to see that by Lemma 1 and Remark 1 the MME f_T^* is consistent, i.e., $f_T^* \rightarrow f_0$.

Let us verify the upper bound

$$\sup_{f_0 \in \mathbb{K}} \mathbf{E}_{f_0} |f_T^* - f_0|^2 \leq \frac{C}{T}.$$

Put $\eta_T = \sqrt{T}(S_{1,T}(X^T) - \Phi_1(\vartheta_0))$. Note that thanks to the definition (17) of f_T^* it is sufficient to study the statistic $S_{1,T}(X_T)$ on the set \mathbb{B}_2 only and therefore we have the estimates

$$\frac{2\alpha_f^2 b^2}{1+a} + 2\sigma^2 \leq S_{1,T}(X_T) \leq \frac{2\beta_f^2 b^2}{1+a} + 2\sigma^2. \quad (18)$$

Then

$$f_T^* = \sqrt{\frac{1+a}{2b^2}} [\Phi_1(\vartheta_0) - 2\sigma^2 + T^{-1/2}\eta_T]^{1/2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sqrt{\frac{1+a}{2b^2}} [\Phi_1(\vartheta_0) - 2\sigma^2]^{1/2} + \sqrt{\frac{1+a}{2b^2}} [\Phi_1(\vartheta_0) - 2\sigma^2 + sT^{-1/2}\eta_T]^{-1/2} T^{-1/2}\eta_T \\
&= f_0 + \sqrt{\frac{1+a}{2b^2}} [\Phi_1(\vartheta_0) - 2\sigma^2 + sT^{-1/2}\eta_T]^{-1/2} T^{-1/2}\eta_T,
\end{aligned}$$

where $s \in (0, 1)$ and therefore

$$|f_T^* - f_0| \leq \frac{(1+a)}{2b^2\alpha_f} T^{-1/2}\eta_T.$$

Here we used (18). Therefore, by Lemma 1

$$\sup_{f_0 \in \mathbb{K}} \mathbf{E}_{f_0} |f_T^* - f_0|^2 \leq C T^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{f_0} |\eta_T|^2.$$

Remark 3. Of course, the statistics $S_{2,T}(X^T)$ and $S_{3,T}(X^T)$ as well can be used for the construction of the MME of f . For example, we can solve the equation

$$S_{2,T}(X^T) = \frac{f^2 b^2 (a-1)}{1+a} - \sigma^2$$

with respect to f and to put

$$f_T^{**} = \left(\frac{(S_{2,T}(X^T) + \sigma^2)(1+a)}{b^2(a-1)} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Note that the statistic $S_{2,T}(X^T)$ takes negative values and the expression under square root brackets is positive with probability tending to 1. This MME has the asymptotic properties similar to that of f_T^* .

2.2 Estimation of the parameter b .

The estimation of b is almost the same as the estimation of f because these parameters in $\Phi_1(\vartheta)$, $\Phi_2(\vartheta)$ and $\Phi_3(\vartheta)$ are in the products bf only. Therefore the MME of b has the properties

$$b_T^* = \left(\frac{(S_{1,T}(X^T) - 2\sigma^2)(1+a)}{2f^2} \right)^{1/2} \longrightarrow b_0, \quad \sup_{b_0 \in \mathbb{K}} \mathbf{E}_{b_0} |b_T^* - b_0|^2 \leq \frac{C}{T} \quad (19)$$

et ctr.

2.3 Estimation of the parameter a .

The solution of the equation

$$S_{1,T}(X^T) = \frac{2f^2b^2}{1+a} + 2\sigma^2$$

leads to the MME

$$a_T^* = \frac{2f^2b^2}{S_{1,T}(X^T) - 2\sigma^2} - 1.$$

By Lemma 1 we have

$$a_T^* \longrightarrow a_0, \quad \sup_{a_0 \in \mathbb{K}} \mathbf{E}_{a_0} |a_T^* - a_0|^2 \leq \frac{C}{T}.$$

2.4 Estimation of the parameter σ^2 .

The MME

$$\sigma_T^{2*} = \frac{1}{2}S_{1,T}(X^T) - \frac{f^2b^2}{1+a}$$

is consistent and

$$\sup_{\sigma_0^2 \in \mathbb{K}} \mathbf{E}_{\sigma_0^2} |\sigma_T^{2*} - \sigma_0^2|^2 \leq \frac{C}{T}.$$

2.5 Estimation of the parameter $\vartheta = (a, f)$.

The MME $\vartheta_T^* = (a_T^*, f_T^*)$ is solution of the system of equations

$$S_{1,T}(X^T) = \Phi_1(\vartheta_T^*), \quad S_{2,T}(X^T) = \Phi_2(\vartheta_T^*)$$

and has the following form

$$a_T^* = \frac{S_{1,T}(X^T) + S_{2,T}(X^T) - \sigma^2}{S_{1,T}(X^T) - 2\sigma^2}, \quad (20)$$

$$f_T^* = \left(\frac{S_{1,T}(X^T)(1+a_T^*) - 2\sigma^2}{2b^2} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (21)$$

With the help of Lemma 1 it can be shown that

$$(a_T^*, f_T^*) \longrightarrow (a_0, f_0)$$

and

$$\mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \|\vartheta_T^* - \vartheta_0\|^2 \leq \frac{C}{T}. \quad (22)$$

2.6 Estimation of the parameter $\vartheta = (a, f, \sigma^2)$.

In this case we have three equations

$$\begin{aligned} S_{1,T}(X^T) &= \frac{2f^2b^2}{1+a} + 2\sigma^2, & S_{2,T}(X^T) &= \frac{f^2b^2(a-1)}{1+a} - \sigma^2, \\ S_{3,T}(X^T) &= \frac{f^2b^2a(a-1)}{(1+a)}. \end{aligned}$$

The MME $\vartheta_T^* = (a_T^*, f_T^*, \sigma_T^{2*})$ is the following solution of this system:

$$\begin{aligned} a_T^* &= \frac{2S_{3,T}(X^T)}{S_{1,T}(X^T) + 2S_{2,T}(X^T)} + 1, \\ f_T^* &= \frac{S_{3,T}(X^T)(1+a_T^*)}{b^2a_T^*(a_T^*-1)}, \\ \sigma_T^{2*} &= \frac{1}{2}S_{1,T}(X^T) - \frac{(f_T^*)^2b^2}{1+a_T^*}. \end{aligned}$$

Once more we have the consistency of ϑ_T^* and the bound like (22).

Almost similar result we have in the case of estimation $\vartheta = (a, b, \sigma^2)$.

Remark 4. Of course, it is possible to define and study the MMEs of the parameters $\vartheta = (a, b)$, $\vartheta = (f, \sigma^2)$ and $\vartheta = (b, \sigma^2)$. The only forbidden couple of parameters is $\vartheta = (f, b)$. In this case the consistent estimation of ϑ is impossible. Indeed, the stationary AR process $Y_t, t = \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots$ admits the representation

$$Y_t = b \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a^k v_{t-k}$$

and therefore the observed process is

$$X_t = fb \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a^k v_{t-k} + \sigma w_t, \quad k = \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots$$

Here we introduced the sequence $v_t, t = \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots$ of i.i.d. standard Gaussian r.v.'s. The model depends on the product fb and therefore these parameters can not be estimated separately.

3 Fisher informations

We have the same model of observations

$$X_t = fY_{t-1} + \sigma w_t, \quad X_0, \quad t \geq 1,$$

$$Y_t = aY_{t-1} + b v_t, \quad Y_0, \quad t \geq 1,$$

where $w_t, v_t, t \geq 1$ are independent standard Gaussian r.v.'s and f, σ^2, a, b are parameters of the model. As before, we suppose that some of these parameters are unknown and we have to estimate the unknown parameters $\vartheta \in \Theta$ by the observations $X^T = (X_0, X_1, \dots, X_T)$.

The MMEs studied above are consistent, but not asymptotically efficient. That is why we propose below the construction of One-step MLE-process, which allow us to solve two problems: first we obtain asymptotically efficient estimators of these parameters and the second - we describe the approximation of the conditional expectation $m(\vartheta, t), t \geq 1$.

The construction of One-step MLE-processes requires the knowledge of Fisher information. That is why we calculate below the Fisher informations related with different parameters. To do this we recall first some known properties of $\gamma(\vartheta, t)$ and describe the likelihood ratio function for this model.

Consider the model of partially observed time series

$$X_t = f Y_{t-1} + \sigma w_t, \quad X_0, \quad t = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (23)$$

$$Y_t = a Y_{t-1} + b v_t, \quad Y_0, \quad (24)$$

where $X^T = (X_0, X_1, \dots, X_T)$ are observations and auto regressive process (AR) $Y_t, t \geq 0$ is a hidden process. Here $w_t, t \geq 1$ and $v_t, t \geq 1$ are independent standard Gaussian random variables, i.e., $w_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, $v_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. The initial values are $X_0 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, d_x^2)$ and $Y_0 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, d_y^2)$. The system is defined by the parameters $a, b, f, \sigma^2, d_x^2, d_y^2$. We suppose that some of these parameters are unknown and have to be estimated by observations X^T .

It will be convenient for instant to denote $\vartheta = (a, b, f, \sigma^2)$.

Denote \mathfrak{F}_t^X the σ -algebra generated by the first $t + 1$ observations X_0, X_1, \dots, X_t . The conditional expectation $m(\vartheta, t) = \mathbf{E}_\vartheta(Y_t | \mathfrak{F}_t^X)$ according to the equations of Kalman filter (see, e.g., [11], [19]) satisfies the equation

$$m(\vartheta, t) = a m(\vartheta, t-1) + \frac{af\gamma(\vartheta, t-1)}{\sigma^2 + f^2\gamma(\vartheta, t-1)} [X_t - fm(\vartheta, t-1)], \quad t \geq 1. \quad (25)$$

The initial value is $m(\vartheta, 0) = \mathbf{E}_\vartheta(Y_0 | X_0)$.

The mean square error $\gamma(\vartheta, t) = \mathbf{E}_\vartheta(Y_t - m(\vartheta, t))^2$ is described by the equation

$$\gamma(\vartheta, t) = a^2\gamma(\vartheta, t-1) + b^2 - \frac{a^2f^2\gamma(\vartheta, t-1)^2}{\sigma^2 + f^2\gamma(\vartheta, t-1)}, \quad t \geq 1 \quad (26)$$

with the initial value $\gamma(\vartheta, 0) = \mathbf{E}_\vartheta(Y_0 - m(\vartheta, 0))^2$.

If some of the mentioned parameters are unknown, then, of course, we can not use (25)-(26) for calculation of $m(\vartheta, t), t \geq 1$.

We suppose that the observations $X^T = (X_0, X_1, \dots, X_T)$ are given and that some of the parameters are unknown, but their values are always satisfy the condition \mathcal{A}_0 . Our goal is to propose an approximation of $m(\vartheta, t)$, $t \geq 1$ in such situations and to describe the error of approximation in the asymptotic of *large samples*, i.e., as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

The consistent estimation of the parameters (d_x^2, d_y^2) is impossible and we suppose that these parameters are known. If these values are unknown, then the system (25)-(26) will be solved with some wrong initial values, but due to robustness of the solutions the difference between solutions with true and wrong initial values in our problems is asymptotically negligible.

As before, the proposed program is consists in several steps. First on some learning interval $[0, \tau_T]$ of negligible length ($\tau_T/T \rightarrow 0$) we construct a consistent preliminary estimator $\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*$. Then this estimator is used for defining the One-step MLE-process $\vartheta_T^* = (\vartheta_{t,T}^*, t = \tau_T + 1, \dots, T)$ and finally the approximation $m_T^* = (m_{t,T}^*, t = \tau_T + 1, \dots, T)$ is obtained by substituting ϑ_T^* in the equations (25)-(26). The last step is to evaluate the error $m_{t,T}^* - m(\vartheta, t)$.

Remark that the function $\gamma(\vartheta, t)$ converges to the value

$$\gamma_*(\vartheta) = \frac{f^2 b^2 - \sigma^2(1-a^2)}{2f^2} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{\sigma^2(1-a^2)}{f^2} - b^2 \right)^2 + \frac{4b^2\sigma^2}{f^2} \right]^{1/2} \quad (27)$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$ (see Example 3 in section 14.4, [19]). The value $\gamma_*(\vartheta)$ is obtained as a positive solution of the equation (26), where we put $\gamma(\vartheta, t) = \gamma(\vartheta, t-1) = \gamma_*(\vartheta)$, which becomes

$$\gamma_*(\vartheta)^2 + \left[\frac{\sigma^2(1-a^2)}{f^2} - b^2 \right] \gamma_*(\vartheta) - \frac{b^2\sigma^2}{f^2} = 0.$$

Below we study the asymptotic ($T \rightarrow \infty$) properties of estimators. That is why to simplify the exposition we suppose that the initial value $\gamma(\vartheta, 0) = \gamma_*(\vartheta)$. Then for any $t \geq 1$ we have $\gamma(\vartheta, t) = \gamma_*(\vartheta)$. Of course, this is condition on correlation between X_0 and Y_0 and the values d_x^2, d_y^2 .

Therefore the equation (25) is replaced by the equation

$$m_t(\vartheta) = a m_{t-1}(\vartheta) + \frac{af\gamma_*(\vartheta)}{\sigma^2 + f^2\gamma_*(\vartheta)} [X_t - f m_{t-1}(\vartheta)], \quad t \geq 1 \quad (28)$$

with the corresponding initial value, providing $\gamma(\vartheta, 0) = \gamma_*(\vartheta)$. Recall that equation (25) is stable w.r.t. the initial value, i.e., for the wrong initial condition the difference $m(\vartheta, t) - m_t(\vartheta) \rightarrow 0$.

Note as well that if we denote ϑ_0 the true value, then

$$\zeta_t(\vartheta_0) = \frac{X_t - f_0 m_{t-1}(\vartheta_0)}{\sqrt{\sigma_0^2 + f_0^2 \gamma_*(\vartheta_0)}}, \quad t \geq 1$$

are i.i.d. standard Gaussian random variables (see Theorem 13.5 in [19]). This means that the equation of observations (23) can be written as follows

$$X_t = f_0 m_{t-1}(\vartheta_0) + \sqrt{\sigma_0^2 + f_0^2 \gamma_*(\vartheta_0)} \zeta_t(\vartheta_0), \quad t \geq 1.$$

Using this representation we can rewrite the equation (28) too

$$\begin{aligned} m_t(\vartheta) &= a m_{t-1}(\vartheta) + \frac{a f \gamma_*(\vartheta)}{\sigma^2 + f^2 \gamma_*(\vartheta)} [f_0 m_{t-1}(\vartheta_0) - f m_{t-1}(\vartheta)] \\ &\quad + \frac{a f \gamma_*(\vartheta) \sqrt{\sigma_0^2 + f_0^2 \gamma_*(\vartheta_0)}}{\sigma^2 + f^2 \gamma_*(\vartheta)} \zeta_t(\vartheta_0), \quad t \geq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

The likelihood function is

$$\begin{aligned} L(\vartheta, X^T) &= (2\pi (\sigma^2 + f^2 \gamma_*(\vartheta)))^{-T/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{(X_t - f m_{t-1}(\vartheta))^2}{\sigma^2 + f^2 \gamma_*(\vartheta)}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi P(\vartheta)}\right)^{T/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{(X_t - f m_{t-1}(\vartheta))^2}{P(\vartheta)}\right), \quad \vartheta \in \Theta. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Here

$$P(\vartheta) = \sigma^2 + f^2 \gamma_*(\vartheta)$$

and Θ is an open, bounded, convex set of the possible values of the parameter ϑ .

3.1 Unknown parameter b

We start with one-dimensional case, say, $\vartheta = b \in \Theta = (\alpha_b, \beta_b)$, $\alpha_b > 0$. As above we suppose that $f \neq 0$, $a^2 \in [0, 1)$. Therefore the system is

$$\begin{aligned} X_t &= f Y_{t-1} + \sigma w_t, & X_0, & \quad t = 1, 2, \dots, \\ Y_t &= a Y_{t-1} + \vartheta v_t, & Y_0, & \end{aligned}$$

Introduce the notation:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\vartheta) &= f^2 \gamma_*(\vartheta), & P(\vartheta) &= \sigma^2 + \Gamma(\vartheta), & A(\vartheta) &= \frac{a\sigma^2}{P(\vartheta)}, \\ B(\vartheta, \vartheta_0) &= \frac{a\Gamma(\vartheta) \sqrt{P(\vartheta_0)}}{P(\vartheta)}, & \dot{B}_b(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0) &= \frac{\partial B(\vartheta, \vartheta_0)}{\partial \vartheta} \Big|_{\vartheta=\vartheta_0} = \frac{a\sigma^2 \dot{\Gamma}(\vartheta_0)}{P(\vartheta_0)^{3/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\inf_{\vartheta \in \Theta} \dot{\Gamma}(\vartheta_0) > 0$ (see (44) below). Another estimate which we will use is

$$\sup_{\vartheta \in \Theta} |A(\vartheta)| < 1. \quad (31)$$

Let us show the well known fact that it is always fulfilled if $a^2 \in [0, 1)$. We have

$$P(\vartheta) = \frac{f^2\vartheta^2 + \sigma^2 + \sigma^2 a^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left[(\sigma^2(1-a^2) - f^2\vartheta^2)^2 + 4f^2\vartheta^2\sigma^2 \right]^{1/2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |A(\vartheta)| &= 2|a|\sigma^2 \left(f^2\vartheta^2 + \sigma^2 + \sigma^2 a^2 + \left[(\sigma^2(1-a^2) - f^2\vartheta^2)^2 + 4f^2\vartheta^2\sigma^2 \right]^{1/2} \right)^{-1} \\ &< \frac{2|a|\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + \sigma^2 a^2} = \frac{2|a|}{1+a^2} < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 1. *The Fisher information is*

$$I_b(\vartheta_0) = \frac{\dot{P}_b(\vartheta_0)^2 [P(\vartheta_0)^2 + a^2\sigma^4]}{2P(\vartheta_0)^2 [P(\vartheta_0)^2 - a^2\sigma^4]}. \quad (32)$$

Proof. The equation (29) we multiply by f , denote $M_t(\vartheta) = fm_t(\vartheta)$ and rewrite as follows

$$\begin{aligned} M_t(\vartheta) &= \frac{a\sigma^2}{P(\vartheta)} M_{t-1}(\vartheta) + \frac{a\Gamma(\vartheta)}{P(\vartheta)} M_{t-1}(\vartheta_0) + \frac{a\Gamma(\vartheta)\sqrt{P(\vartheta_0)}}{P(\vartheta)} \zeta_t(\vartheta_0) \\ &= A(\vartheta) M_{t-1}(\vartheta) + [a - A(\vartheta)] M_{t-1}(\vartheta_0) + B(\vartheta, \vartheta_0) \zeta_t(\vartheta_0), \quad t \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

The Fisher score is (see (30))

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \ln L(\vartheta, X^T)}{\partial \vartheta} &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta} \sum_{t=1}^T \left[\frac{(X_t - M_{t-1}(\vartheta))^2}{2P(\vartheta)} + \frac{1}{2} \ln P(\vartheta) \right] \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^T \left[\frac{[X_t - M_{t-1}(\vartheta)]}{P(\vartheta)} \dot{M}_{t-1}(\vartheta) + \frac{[X_t - M_{t-1}(\vartheta)]^2}{2P(\vartheta)^2} \dot{P}(\vartheta) - \frac{\dot{P}(\vartheta)}{2P(\vartheta)} \right] \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^T \left[\frac{[X_t - M_{t-1}(\vartheta)]}{\sqrt{P(\vartheta)}} \frac{\dot{M}_{t-1}(\vartheta)}{\sqrt{P(\vartheta)}} + \frac{[X_t - M_{t-1}(\vartheta)]^2}{P(\vartheta)} \frac{\dot{P}(\vartheta)}{2P(\vartheta)} - \frac{\dot{P}(\vartheta)}{2P(\vartheta)} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{P(\vartheta)}} \sum_{t=1}^T \left[\zeta_t(\vartheta) \dot{M}_{t-1}(\vartheta) + [\zeta_t(\vartheta)^2 - 1] \frac{\dot{P}(\vartheta)}{2\sqrt{P(\vartheta)}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\dot{M}_{t-1}(\vartheta) = \partial M_{t-1}(\vartheta) / \partial \vartheta$, $\dot{P}(\vartheta) = \partial P(\vartheta) / \partial \vartheta$ and

$$\zeta_t(\vartheta_0) = \frac{X_t - M_{t-1}(\vartheta_0)}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 + \Gamma(\vartheta_0)}} = \frac{X_t - M_{t-1}(\vartheta_0)}{\sqrt{P(\vartheta_0)}}, \quad t \geq 1$$

are independent standard Gaussian random variables ($\zeta_t(\vartheta_0) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$).

The equation for derivative $\dot{M}_t(\vartheta), t \geq 1$ is

$$\dot{M}_t(\vartheta) = A(\vartheta) \dot{M}_{t-1}(\vartheta) + \dot{A}(\vartheta) [M_{t-1}(\vartheta) - M_{t-1}(\vartheta_0)] + \dot{B}_b(\vartheta, \vartheta_0) \zeta_t(\vartheta_0)$$

with the initial value $\dot{M}_0(\vartheta)$. If $\vartheta = \vartheta_0$, then

$$\dot{M}_t(\vartheta_0) = A(\vartheta_0) \dot{M}_{t-1}(\vartheta_0) + \dot{B}_b(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0) \zeta_t(\vartheta_0), \quad \dot{M}_0(\vartheta_0), \quad t \geq 1.$$

The stationary version of the process $\dot{m}_t(\vartheta_0), t \geq 1$ can be written as a sum of i.i.d. variables

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{M}_t(\vartheta_0) &= \dot{B}_b(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A(\vartheta_0)^k \zeta_{t-k}(\vartheta_0), \\ \dot{M}_0(\vartheta_0) &= \dot{B}_b(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A(\vartheta_0)^k \zeta_{-k}(\vartheta_0), \end{aligned}$$

where we introduced i.i.d. r.v.'s $\zeta_k(\vartheta_0) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1), k = 0, -1, -2, \dots$. The real process $\dot{M}(\vartheta_0, t), 0 \leq t \leq T$ has the similar representation with the finite sum, but as we are interested by asymptotic ($T \rightarrow \infty$) properties of estimators we write immediately this infinite sum and the difference between these two representations for these processes and for several other similar processes below are asymptotically negligible. We have

$$\mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \dot{M}_t(\vartheta_0)^2 = \dot{B}_b(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0)^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A(\vartheta_0)^{2k} = \frac{\dot{B}_b(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0)^2}{1 - A(\vartheta_0)^2} = \frac{a^2 \sigma^4 \dot{P}(\vartheta_0)^2}{P(\vartheta_0)^3 (1 - A(\vartheta_0)^2)}.$$

For the second moment of the score-function we have the following expression

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \left[\frac{\partial \ln L(\vartheta, X^T)}{\partial \vartheta} \right]_{\vartheta=\vartheta_0}^2 &= \frac{1}{P(\vartheta_0)} \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \left(\sum_{t=1}^T \left[\zeta_t(\vartheta_0) \dot{M}_{t-1}(\vartheta_0) + \frac{1}{2} [\zeta_t(\vartheta_0)^2 - 1] \dot{P}(\vartheta_0) P(\vartheta_0)^{-1/2} \right] \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{P(\vartheta_0)} \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \sum_{t=1}^T \left(\zeta_t(\vartheta_0) \dot{M}_{t-1}(\vartheta_0) + \frac{1}{2} [\zeta_t(\vartheta_0)^2 - 1] \dot{P}(\vartheta_0) P(\vartheta_0)^{-1/2} \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

because (below $t > s$ and for simplicity we omit $\frac{1}{2} P(\vartheta_0)^{-1/2}$)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \left(\zeta_t(\vartheta_0) \dot{M}_{t-1}(\vartheta_0) + [\zeta_t(\vartheta_0)^2 - 1] \dot{P}(\vartheta_0) \right) \\ \times \left(\zeta_s(\vartheta_0) \dot{M}_{s-1}(\vartheta_0) + [\zeta_s(\vartheta_0)^2 - 1] \dot{P}(\vartheta_0) \right) \\ = \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \zeta_t(\vartheta_0) \dot{M}_{t-1}(\vartheta_0) \zeta_{s-1}(\vartheta_0) \dot{M}_{s-1}(\vartheta_0) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \dot{P}(\vartheta_0) \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \zeta_t(\vartheta_0) \dot{M}_{t-1}(\vartheta_0) [\zeta_s(\vartheta_0)^2 - 1] \\
& + \dot{P}(\vartheta_0) \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \zeta_s(\vartheta_0) \dot{M}_{s-1}(\vartheta_0) [\zeta_t(\vartheta_0)^2 - 1] \\
& + \dot{P}(\vartheta_0)^2 \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} [\zeta_t(\vartheta_0)^2 - 1] [\zeta_s(\vartheta_0)^2 - 1] = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used the equalities like

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \left[\zeta_t(\vartheta_0) \dot{M}_{t-1}(\vartheta_0) \zeta_s(\vartheta_0) \dot{M}_{s-1}(\vartheta_0) \right] \\
& = \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \left[\dot{M}_{t-1}(\vartheta_0) \zeta_s(\vartheta_0) \dot{M}_{s-1}(\vartheta_0) \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0}(\zeta_t(\vartheta_0) | \mathfrak{F}_{t-1}^X) \right] = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Further

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \left(\zeta_t(\vartheta_0) \dot{M}_{t-1}(\vartheta_0) + [\zeta_t(\vartheta_0)^2 - 1] \frac{\dot{P}(\vartheta_0)}{2\sqrt{P(\vartheta_0)}} \right)^2 \\
& = \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \zeta_t(\vartheta_0)^2 \dot{M}_{t-1}(\vartheta_0)^2 + \frac{\dot{P}(\vartheta_0)^2}{4P(\vartheta_0)} \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} [\zeta_t(\vartheta_0)^2 - 1]^2 \\
& = \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \dot{M}_{t-1}(\vartheta_0)^2 + \frac{\dot{P}(\vartheta_0)^2}{2P(\vartheta_0)} \\
& = \frac{\dot{B}_b(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0)^2}{1 - A(\vartheta_0)^2} + \frac{\dot{P}(\vartheta_0)^2}{2P(\vartheta_0)} \\
& = \frac{a^2 \sigma^4 \dot{P}(\vartheta_0)^2}{P(\vartheta_0)^3 (1 - A(\vartheta_0)^2)} + \frac{\dot{P}(\vartheta_0)^2}{2P(\vartheta_0)} \\
& = \frac{\dot{P}(\vartheta_0)^2}{2P(\vartheta_0)} \left[\frac{2a^2 \sigma^4}{P(\vartheta_0)^2 - a^2 \sigma^4} + 1 \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, even if we have a non stationary at the beginning processes the limit will be

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \left[\frac{\partial \ln L(\vartheta, X^T)}{\partial \vartheta} \right]_{\vartheta=\vartheta_0}^2 = \mathbf{I}_b(\vartheta_0).$$

□

Remark 5. If the unknown parameter is $\vartheta = f$ and all other parameters are known, then the filtration equations are almost the same

$$\begin{aligned}
M_t(\vartheta) &= a M_{t-1}(\vartheta) + \frac{a\Gamma(\vartheta)}{\sigma^2 + \Gamma(\vartheta)} [X_t - M_{t-1}(\vartheta)], \quad M_t(\vartheta) = \vartheta m_t(\vartheta), \quad t \geq 1, \\
\Gamma(\vartheta) &= \frac{1}{2} [\vartheta^2 b^2 - \sigma^2 (1 - a^2)] + \frac{1}{2} [(\sigma^2 (1 - a^2) - \vartheta^2 b^2)^2 + 4\vartheta^2 b^2 \sigma^2]^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that the score-function has the same form but of course the derivation now is w.r.t. $\vartheta = f$

$$\frac{\partial L(\vartheta, X^T)}{\partial \vartheta} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{P(\vartheta)}} \sum_{t=1}^T \left[\zeta_t(\vartheta) \dot{M}_{t-1}(\vartheta) + [\zeta_t(\vartheta)^2 - 1] \frac{\dot{P}_f(\vartheta)}{2\sqrt{P(\vartheta)}} \right].$$

Here $P(\vartheta) = \sigma^2 + \Gamma(\vartheta)$.

To calculate the Fisher information we have to use the following equation for the derivative $\dot{M}_t(\vartheta)$

$$\dot{M}_t(\vartheta_0) = A(\vartheta_0) \dot{M}_{t-1}(\vartheta_0) + \dot{B}_f(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0) \zeta_t(\vartheta_0), \quad t \geq 1$$

with the function

$$A(\vartheta) = \frac{a\sigma^2}{P(\vartheta)}, \quad B_f(\vartheta, \vartheta_0) = \frac{a\Gamma(\vartheta) \sqrt{P(\vartheta_0)}}{P(\vartheta)}.$$

This gives us the Fisher information

$$I_f(\vartheta_0) = \frac{\dot{P}_f(\vartheta_0)^2 [P(\vartheta_0)^2 + a^2\sigma^4]}{2P(\vartheta_0)^2 [P(\vartheta_0)^2 - a^2\sigma^4]}. \quad (33)$$

3.2 Unknown parameter a

Suppose that the values of $f > 0, \sigma^2 > 0, b > 0$ are known and the parameter $\vartheta = a \in \Theta = (\alpha_a, \beta_a), -1 < \alpha_a < \beta_a < 1$ is unknown. Therefore the partially observed system is

$$\begin{aligned} X_t &= f Y_{t-1} + \sigma w_t, & X_0, & \quad t = 1, 2, \dots, \\ Y_t &= \vartheta Y_{t-1} + b v_t, & Y_0, & \end{aligned}$$

and the Kalman filter for $M_t(\vartheta) = \vartheta m_t(\vartheta)$ is given by the relations

$$\begin{aligned} M_t(\vartheta) &= \left[\vartheta - \frac{\vartheta\Gamma(\vartheta)}{\sigma^2 + \Gamma(\vartheta)} \right] M_{t-1}(\vartheta) + \frac{\vartheta\Gamma(\vartheta)}{\sigma^2 + \Gamma(\vartheta)} X_t, \\ &= \frac{\vartheta\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + \Gamma(\vartheta)} M_{t-1}(\vartheta) + \frac{\vartheta\Gamma(\vartheta)}{\sigma^2 + \Gamma(\vartheta)} X_t, \\ &= A(\vartheta) M_{t-1}(\vartheta) + \frac{\vartheta\Gamma(\vartheta)}{P(\vartheta)} M_{t-1}(\vartheta_0) + B(\vartheta, \vartheta_0) \zeta_t(\vartheta_0), \quad t \geq 1, \\ \Gamma(\vartheta) &= \frac{1}{2} [f^2 b^2 - \sigma^2 (1 - \vartheta^2)] + \frac{1}{2} [(\sigma^2 (1 - \vartheta^2) - f^2 b^2)^2 + 4b^2 \sigma^2]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$A(\vartheta) = \frac{\vartheta\sigma^2}{P(\vartheta)}, \quad B(\vartheta, \vartheta_0) = \frac{\vartheta\Gamma(\vartheta) \sqrt{P(\vartheta_0)}}{P(\vartheta)},$$

and

$$\zeta_t(\vartheta_0) = \frac{X_t - M_{t-1}(\vartheta_0)}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 + \Gamma(\vartheta_0)}}, \quad t \geq 1$$

are independent standard Gaussian random variables.

We present the corresponding score-function and Fisher information without detailed proofs.

We have

$$\frac{\partial \ln L(\vartheta, X^T)}{\partial \vartheta} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{P(\vartheta)}} \sum_{t=1}^T \left[\zeta_t(\vartheta) \dot{M}_{t-1}(\vartheta) + [\zeta_t(\vartheta)^2 - 1] \frac{\dot{P}(\vartheta)}{2\sqrt{P(\vartheta)}} \right].$$

The equation for $\dot{M}_t(\vartheta_0) = f \partial m_t(\vartheta_0) / \partial a$ is

$$\dot{M}_t(\vartheta_0) = A(\vartheta_0) \dot{M}_{t-1}(\vartheta_0) + M_{t-1}(\vartheta_0) + \dot{B}_a(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0) \zeta_t(\vartheta_0), \quad t \geq 1,$$

where

$$\dot{B}_a(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0) = \frac{\Gamma(\vartheta_0) P(\vartheta_0) + \vartheta_0 \sigma^2 \dot{P}(\vartheta_0)}{P(\vartheta_0)^{3/2}}.$$

Therefore, using stationarity of all processes we write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \dot{M}_t(\vartheta_0)^2 &= A(\vartheta_0)^2 \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \dot{M}_{t-1}(\vartheta_0)^2 + \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} M_{t-1}(\vartheta_0)^2 + \dot{B}_a(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0)^2 \\ &\quad + 2A(\vartheta_0) \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \dot{M}_{t-1}(\vartheta_0) M_{t-1}(\vartheta_0) \\ &= (1 - A(\vartheta_0)^2)^{-1} \left[\mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} M_{t-1}(\vartheta_0)^2 + \dot{B}_a(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2A(\vartheta_0) \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \dot{M}_{t-1}(\vartheta_0) M_{t-1}(\vartheta_0) \right] \end{aligned}$$

The equations for $M_t(\vartheta_0)$ and $\dot{M}_t(\vartheta_0)$ allow us to calculate the following moments

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} M_{t-1}(\vartheta_0)^2 &= \frac{\vartheta_0^2 \Gamma(\vartheta_0)^2}{P(\vartheta_0)^2 (1 - \vartheta_0^2)}, \\ \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \dot{M}_{t-1}(\vartheta_0) M_{t-1}(\vartheta_0) &= \frac{\vartheta_0 \Gamma(\vartheta_0)^2}{P(\vartheta_0)^2 (1 - \vartheta_0 A(\vartheta_0))} \left[\frac{\vartheta_0}{(1 - A(\vartheta_0)^2)} + P(\vartheta_0) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\vartheta_0 \sigma^2 \dot{P}(\vartheta_0)}{\Gamma(\vartheta_0)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \dot{M}_t(\vartheta_0)^2 = (1 - A(\vartheta_0)^2)^{-1} \left[\frac{\vartheta_0^2 \Gamma(\vartheta_0)^2}{P(\vartheta_0)^2 (1 - \vartheta_0^2)} + \frac{[\Gamma(\vartheta_0) P(\vartheta_0) + \sigma^2 \dot{P}(\vartheta_0)]^2}{P(\vartheta_0)^3} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{2A(\vartheta_0)\vartheta_0\Gamma(\vartheta_0)^2}{P(\vartheta_0)^2(1-\vartheta_0A(\vartheta_0))} \left(\frac{\vartheta_0}{(1-A(\vartheta_0)^2)} + P(\vartheta_0) + \frac{\vartheta_0\sigma^2\dot{P}(\vartheta_0)}{\Gamma(\vartheta_0)} \right) \Big] \\
& \equiv Q(\vartheta_0).
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that the Fisher information is

$$\begin{aligned}
I_a(\vartheta_0) &= \frac{1}{T} \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \left(\left. \frac{\partial \ln L(\vartheta, X^T)}{\partial \vartheta} \right|_{\vartheta=\vartheta_0} \right)^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{TP(\vartheta)} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \left[\zeta_t(\vartheta) \dot{M}_{t-1}(\vartheta) + [\zeta_t(\vartheta)^2 - 1] \frac{\dot{P}(\vartheta)}{2\sqrt{P(\vartheta)}} \right]^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{P(\vartheta)} \left[\mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \dot{M}_{t-1}(\vartheta_0)^2 + \frac{\dot{P}(\vartheta_0)^2}{2P(\vartheta_0)} \right] \\
&= \frac{2Q(\vartheta_0) + \dot{P}(\vartheta_0)^2}{2P(\vartheta_0)}. \tag{34}
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 6. The similar calculations allow us to write the score-function and Fisher information in the situation where $\vartheta = \sigma^2$, but we will not write it here.

3.3 Unknown parameter $\vartheta = (f, a)$

Consider the system

$$\begin{aligned}
X_t &= \theta_1 Y_{t-1} + \sigma w_t, & X_0, & & t = 1, 2, \dots, \\
Y_t &= \theta_2 Y_{t-1} + b v_t, & Y_0. & &
\end{aligned}$$

Here the unknown parameter is $\vartheta = (\theta_1, \theta_2)$. Let us denote $M(\vartheta, t) = \theta_1 m_t(\vartheta)$, $\Gamma(\vartheta) = \theta_1^2 \gamma_*^*(\vartheta)$. The Kalman filter we write as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
M(\vartheta, t) &= \frac{\theta_2 \sigma^2}{P(\vartheta)} M(\vartheta, t-1) + \frac{\theta_2 \Gamma(\vartheta)}{P(\vartheta)} X_t \\
&= A(\vartheta) M(\vartheta, t-1) + E(\vartheta) M(\vartheta_0, t-1) + B(\vartheta, \vartheta_0) \zeta_t(\vartheta_0), & t \geq 1, \\
\Gamma(\vartheta) &= \frac{1}{2} [\theta_1^2 b^2 - \sigma^2 (1 - \theta_2^2)] + \frac{1}{2} [(\sigma^2 (1 - \theta_2^2) - \theta_1^2 b^2)^2 + 4b^2 \sigma^2]^{1/2},
\end{aligned}$$

where $P(\vartheta) = \sigma^2 + \Gamma(\vartheta)$,

$$A(\vartheta) = \frac{\theta_2 \sigma^2}{P(\vartheta)}, \quad E(\vartheta) = \frac{\theta_2 \Gamma(\vartheta)}{P(\vartheta)}, \quad B(\vartheta, \vartheta_0) = \frac{\theta_2 \Gamma(\vartheta) \sqrt{P(\vartheta_0)}}{P(\vartheta)}. \tag{35}$$

The equations for derivatives $\dot{M}_f(\vartheta_0, t)$, $\dot{M}_a(\vartheta_0, t)$ are

$$\dot{M}_f(\vartheta_0, t) = A(\vartheta_0) \dot{M}_f(\vartheta_0, t-1) + \dot{B}_f(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0) \zeta_t(\vartheta_0),$$

$$\dot{M}_a(\vartheta_0, t) = A(\vartheta_0) \dot{M}_a(\vartheta_0, t-1) + M(\vartheta_0, t-1) + \dot{B}_a(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0) \zeta_t(\vartheta_0),$$

where recall that

$$M(\vartheta_0, t-1) = \theta_{0,2} M(\vartheta_0, t-2) + B(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0) \zeta_{t-1}(\vartheta_0),$$

$$\dot{B}_f(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0) = \frac{\theta_{0,2} \sigma^2 \dot{P}_f(\vartheta_0)}{P(\vartheta_0)^{3/2}}, \quad \dot{B}_a(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0) = \frac{\Gamma(\vartheta_0) P(\vartheta_0) + \theta_{0,2} \dot{P}_a(\vartheta_0)}{P(\vartheta_0)^{3/2}}.$$

Here we used the relation $A(\vartheta) + E(\vartheta) = \theta_2$. The vector of score-function is

$$\left. \frac{\partial L(\vartheta, X^T)}{\partial \theta_1} \right|_{\vartheta=\vartheta_0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{P(\vartheta_0)}} \sum_{t=1}^T \left[\zeta_t(\vartheta_0) \dot{M}_f(\vartheta_0, t-1) + [\zeta_t(\vartheta_0)^2 - 1] \frac{\dot{P}_f(\vartheta_0)}{2P(\vartheta_0)} \right],$$

$$\left. \frac{\partial L(\vartheta, X^T)}{\partial \theta_2} \right|_{\vartheta=\vartheta_0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{P(\vartheta_0)}} \sum_{t=1}^T \left[\zeta_t(\vartheta_0) \dot{M}_a(\vartheta_0, t-1) + [\zeta_t(\vartheta_0)^2 - 1] \frac{\dot{P}_a(\vartheta_0)}{2P(\vartheta_0)} \right].$$

Using the stationarity of the underlying processes we write

$$\mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \left(\left. \frac{\partial L(\vartheta, X^T)}{\partial \theta_1} \right|_{\vartheta=\vartheta_0} \left. \frac{\partial L(\vartheta, X^T)}{\partial \theta_2} \right|_{\vartheta=\vartheta_0} \right)$$

$$= \frac{T}{P(\vartheta_0)} \left[\mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \dot{M}_f(\vartheta_0, t-1) \dot{M}_a(\vartheta_0, t-1) + \frac{\dot{P}_f(\vartheta_0) \dot{P}_a(\vartheta_0)}{2P(\vartheta_0)^2} \right].$$

Further

$$\mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \left[\dot{M}_a(\vartheta_0, t-1) \dot{M}_f(\vartheta_0, t-1) \right] = A(\vartheta_0)^2 \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \left[\dot{M}_a(\vartheta_0, t-2) \dot{M}_f(\vartheta_0, t-2) \right]$$

$$+ A(\vartheta_0) \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \left[M(\vartheta_0, t-2) \dot{M}_f(\vartheta_0, t-2) \right] + \dot{B}_a(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0) \dot{B}_f(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(1-A(\vartheta_0)^2)} \left[\frac{A(\vartheta_0) \dot{B}_f(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0) B(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0)}{(1-\theta_{0,2}A(\vartheta_0))} + \dot{B}_a(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0) \dot{B}_f(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0) \right]$$

$$= \frac{A(\vartheta_0) B(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0) \dot{B}_f(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0) + (1-\theta_{0,2}A(\vartheta_0)) \dot{B}_a(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0) \dot{B}_f(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0)}{(1-\theta_{0,2}A(\vartheta_0))(1-A(\vartheta_0)^2)} \equiv K(\vartheta_0)$$

because

$$\mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \left[M(\vartheta_0, t-2) \dot{M}_f(\vartheta_0, t-2) \right] = \frac{B(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0) \dot{B}_f(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0)}{(1-\theta_{0,2}A(\vartheta_0))}.$$

Hence

$$I_{12}(\vartheta_0) = \frac{2P(\vartheta_0)^2 K(\vartheta_0) + \dot{P}_a(\vartheta_0) \dot{P}_f(\vartheta_0)}{2P(\vartheta_0)^3}. \quad (36)$$

The Fisher information matrix is

$$\mathbf{I}(\vartheta_0) = \begin{pmatrix} I_{11}(\vartheta_0) & I_{12}(\vartheta_0) \\ I_{12}(\vartheta_0) & I_{22}(\vartheta_0) \end{pmatrix},$$

where the values $I_{11}(\vartheta_0)$ and $I_{22}(\vartheta_0)$ are given in (33) and (34) respectively.

4 One-step MLE-process

We consider the construction of One-step MLE-process in the case of unknown parameter $\vartheta = b \in \Theta = (\alpha_b, \beta_b), \alpha_b > 0$. Let us fix a learning interval of observations $X^{\tau_T} = (X_0, X_1, \dots, X_{\tau_T})$, where $\tau_T = [T^\delta]$, $\delta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ and $[A]$ here is the integer part of A . As preliminary estimator we take the MME $\vartheta_{\tau_T}^* = b_{\tau_T}^*$ defined in (19)

$$\vartheta_{\tau_T}^* = 2^{-1/2} f^{-1} \left([S_{1, \tau_T}(X^{\tau_T}) - 2\sigma^2] (1+a) \right)^{1/2}.$$

One-step MLE-process is

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_{t,T}^* = \vartheta_{\tau_T}^* + \frac{1}{I_b(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) (t - \tau_T)} \sum_{s=\tau_T+1}^t \left[\frac{[X_s - f m_{s-1}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)]}{P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)} f \dot{m}_{s-1}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) \right. \\ \left. + \left([X_s - f m_{s-1}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)]^2 - P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) \right) \frac{\dot{P}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)}{2P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)^2} \right], \quad t \in [\tau_T + 2, T]. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Theorem 1. *If $t = [vT]$, $v \in (0, 1]$ and $T \rightarrow \infty$, then the following convergences*

$$\sqrt{t} (\vartheta_{t,T}^* - \vartheta_0) \implies \mathcal{N}(0, I_b(\vartheta_0)^{-1}), \quad t \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} (\vartheta_{t,T}^* - \vartheta_0)^2 \longrightarrow I_b(\vartheta_0)^{-1}.$$

hold uniformly on compacts $\mathbb{K} \subset \Theta$.

Proof. To study this estimate we need the bounds on the first and second derivatives of $m_t(\vartheta)$ presented in the next lemma.

Lemma 2. *For any $p > 1$ there exist constants $C_1 > 0, C_2 > 0$ not depending on $\vartheta_0 \in \Theta$ and $t \geq 1$ such that for*

$$\sup_{\vartheta \in \Theta} \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} |\dot{m}_t(\vartheta)|^p < C_1, \quad \sup_{\vartheta \in \Theta} \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} |\ddot{m}_t(\vartheta)|^p < C_2. \quad (38)$$

Proof. The equation for the second derivative $\ddot{m}(\vartheta, t)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{m}_t(\vartheta) = A(\vartheta) \ddot{m}_{t-1}(\vartheta) + 2\dot{A}(\vartheta) \dot{m}_{t-1}(\vartheta) + \ddot{A}(\vartheta) m_{t-1}(\vartheta) \\ - \ddot{A}(\vartheta) m_{t-1}(\vartheta_0) + \ddot{B}^*(\vartheta, \vartheta_0) \zeta_t(\vartheta_0). \end{aligned}$$

Note that here $B^*(\vartheta, \vartheta_0) = af\gamma_*(\vartheta) \sqrt{P(\vartheta_0)} P(\vartheta)^{-1}$.

Recall that the stationary versions of the random functions $m(\vartheta_0, t-1)$, $\dot{m}(\vartheta, t-1)$, $\ddot{m}(\vartheta, t-1)$ are

$$m_{t-1}(\vartheta_0) = B^*(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a^k \zeta_{t-k-1},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
m_{t-1}(\vartheta) &= A(\vartheta) m_{t-2}(\vartheta) + [a - A(\vartheta)] B^*(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a^k \zeta_{t-k-2} + B^*(\vartheta, \vartheta_0) \zeta_{t-k-1} \\
&= [a - A(\vartheta)] B^*(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A(\vartheta)^j \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a^k \zeta_{t-j-k-2} + B^*(\vartheta, \vartheta_0) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A(\vartheta)^j \zeta_{t-j-1}, \\
\dot{m}_{t-1}(\vartheta) &= B^*(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0) \dot{A}(\vartheta) A(\vartheta)^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} [aj - A(\vartheta)(j+1)] A(\vartheta)^j \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a^k \zeta_{t-j-k-2} \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left[\dot{B}^*(\vartheta, \vartheta_0) + jB^*(\vartheta, \vartheta_0) \dot{A}(\vartheta) A(\vartheta)^{-1} \right] A(\vartheta)^j \zeta_{t-j-1}, \\
\ddot{m}_t(\vartheta) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} [F_0(\vartheta, \vartheta_0) + jF_1(\vartheta, \vartheta_0) + j^2F_2(\vartheta, \vartheta_0)] A(\vartheta)^j \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a^k \zeta_{t-j-k-2} \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} [H_0(\vartheta, \vartheta_0) + jH_1(\vartheta, \vartheta_0) + j^2H_2(\vartheta, \vartheta_0)] A(\vartheta)^j \zeta_{t-j-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

The bounded functions $F_i(\vartheta, \vartheta_0), H_i(\vartheta, \vartheta_0), i = 0, 1, 2$, can be easily calculated by the formal differentiation of $\dot{m}_{t-1}(\vartheta)$.

From these representations, (31), condition $a^2 \in (0, 1)$ and boundedness of the functions $F_i(\cdot, \cdot), H_i(\cdot, \cdot)$ it follows that the Gaussian processes $\dot{m}_{t-1}(\vartheta)$ and $\ddot{m}_{t-1}(\vartheta)$ have bounded variances and fast decreasing covariance functions.

For example,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j^2 A(\vartheta)^j \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a^k \zeta_{t-j-k-2} \right)^2 \\
&= \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j^2 A(\vartheta)^j \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a^k \zeta_{t-j-k-2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} l^2 A(\vartheta)^l \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a^m \zeta_{t-m-l-2} \right) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j^2 A(\vartheta)^j \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} l^2 A(\vartheta)^l \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a^k a^m \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \left(\zeta_{t-j-k-2} \zeta_{t-m-l-2} \right) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j^2 A(\vartheta)^j \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} l^2 A(\vartheta)^l a^{j-l} \sum_{k \geq l-j \geq 0} a^{2k} \\
&= \frac{1}{1-a^2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j^2 A(\vartheta)^j \sum_{l \geq j} l^2 A(\vartheta)^l a^{j-l} a^{2(l-j)} \\
&= \frac{1}{1-a^2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j^2 A(\vartheta)^j a^{-j} \sum_{l \geq j} l^2 [aA(\vartheta)]^l.
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l \geq j} l^2 [aA(\vartheta)]^l &= [\ln aA(\vartheta)]^{-2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \sum_{l \geq j} [aA(\vartheta)]^{xl} \Big|_{x=1} \\ &= [\ln aA(\vartheta)]^{-2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left(\frac{[aA(\vartheta)]^{xj}}{1 - [aA(\vartheta)]^x} \right) \Big|_{x=1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the moments $\mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \dot{m}_t(\vartheta)^2$ and $\mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \ddot{m}_t(\vartheta)^2$ can be calculated exactly and the constants C_1, C_2 in (38) can be chosen not depending on $\vartheta_0 \in \Theta$ and $t \geq 0$. □

Consider the normalized difference

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{T} (\vartheta_{t,T}^* - \vartheta_0) &= \sqrt{T} (\vartheta_{\tau_T}^* - \vartheta_0) \\ &+ \frac{\sqrt{T}}{\mathbf{I}_b(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) (t - \tau_T)} \sum_{s=\tau_T+1}^t \left[\frac{[X_s - fm_{s-1}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)]}{P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)} fm_{s-1}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) \right. \\ &\left. + \left([X_s - fm_{s-1}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)]^2 - P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) \right) \frac{\dot{P}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)}{2P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)^2} \right], \quad t \in [\tau_T + 2, T]. \end{aligned}$$

We can write

$$\begin{aligned} X_s - fm_{s-1}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) &= X_s - fm_{s-1}(\vartheta_0) + f [m_{s-1}(\vartheta_0) - m_{s-1}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)] \\ &= \sqrt{P(\vartheta_0)} \zeta_s(\vartheta_0) - (\vartheta_{\tau_T}^* - \vartheta_0) f \dot{m}_{s-1}(\vartheta_0) - \frac{f}{2} (\vartheta_{\tau_T}^* - \vartheta_0)^2 \ddot{m}_{s-1}(\tilde{\vartheta}), \\ P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) &= P(\vartheta_0) + (\vartheta_{\tau_T}^* - \vartheta_0) \dot{P}(\vartheta_0) + \frac{1}{2} (\vartheta_{\tau_T}^* - \vartheta_0)^2 \ddot{P}(\tilde{\vartheta}), \\ \mathbf{I}_b(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) &= \mathbf{I}_b(\vartheta_0) + O(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^* - \vartheta_0) \\ [X_s - fm_{s-1}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)]^2 - P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) &= P(\vartheta_0) [\zeta_s(\vartheta_0)^2 - 1] - (\vartheta_{\tau_T}^* - \vartheta_0) \dot{P}(\vartheta_0) \\ &\quad - 2(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^* - \vartheta_0) \sqrt{P(\vartheta_0)} \zeta_s(\vartheta_0) \dot{m}_{s-1}(\vartheta_0) + O((\vartheta_{\tau_T}^* - \vartheta_0)^2). \end{aligned}$$

The substitution of these relations in (37) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_{t,T}^* &= \vartheta_{\tau_T}^* \\ &+ \sum_{s=\tau_T+1}^t \frac{[2\zeta_s(\vartheta_0) f \dot{m}_{s-1}(\vartheta_0) \sqrt{P(\vartheta_0)} + [\zeta_s(\vartheta_0)^2 - 1] \dot{P}(\vartheta_0)]}{2(t - \tau_T) \mathbf{I}_b(\vartheta_0) P(\vartheta_0)} \left(1 + O(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^* - \vartheta_0) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$- \frac{(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^* - \vartheta_0)}{(t - \tau_T) \mathbf{I}_b(\vartheta_0)} \sum_{s=\tau_T+1}^t \left(\frac{f^2 \dot{m}_{s-1}(\vartheta_0)^2}{P(\vartheta_0)} + \frac{\dot{P}(\vartheta_0)^2}{2P(\vartheta_0)^2} \right) + O\left((\vartheta_{\tau_T}^* - \vartheta_0)^2\right).$$

Note that if $t \rightarrow \infty$ then by LLN and by the CLT uniformly on compacts $\mathbb{K} \subset \Theta$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_{1,T} &= \frac{1}{t} \sum_{s=\tau_T+1}^t \left(\frac{f^2 \dot{m}_{s-1}(\vartheta_0)^2}{P(\vartheta_0)} + \frac{\dot{P}(\vartheta_0)^2}{2P(\vartheta_0)^2} \right) \longrightarrow \mathbf{I}_b(\vartheta_0), \\ R_{2,T} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \sum_{s=\tau_T+1}^t \left(\mathbf{I}_b(\vartheta_0) - \frac{f^2 \dot{m}_{s-1}(\vartheta_0)^2}{P(\vartheta_0)} - \frac{\dot{P}(\vartheta_0)^2}{2P(\vartheta_0)^2} \right) \implies \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{D}(\vartheta_0)^2), \\ R_{3,T} &= \frac{1}{t} \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \left[\sum_{s=\tau_T+1}^t \left(\frac{f^2 \dot{m}_{s-1}(\vartheta_0)^2}{P(\vartheta_0)} + \frac{\dot{P}(\vartheta_0)^2}{2P(\vartheta_0)^2} - \mathbf{I}_b(\vartheta_0) \right) \right]^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{tP(\vartheta_0)^2} \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \left[\sum_{s=\tau_T+1}^t \left(f^2 [\dot{m}_{s-1}(\vartheta_0)^2 - \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \dot{m}_{s-1}(\vartheta_0, t)^2] \right) \right]^2 \leq C, \end{aligned}$$

where the constant $C > 0$ does not depend on t and $\vartheta_0 \in \mathbb{K}$. We have as well the uniform convergence

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \sum_{s=\tau_T+1}^t \frac{[2\zeta_s(\vartheta_0) f \dot{m}_{s-1}(\vartheta_0) \sqrt{P(\vartheta_0)} + [\zeta_s(\vartheta_0)^2 - 1] \dot{P}(\vartheta_0)]}{2(t - \tau_T) \mathbf{I}_b(\vartheta_0) P(\vartheta_0)} \implies \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I}_b(\vartheta_0)^{-1}).$$

The value $\sup_{\vartheta_0 \in \Theta} \mathbf{D}(\vartheta_0)^2 < \infty$ can be calculated too, but we need not it. Therefore if we put $t = vT$, $v \in (T^{\delta-1}, 1]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{t}(\vartheta_{t,T}^* - \vartheta_0) &= \sqrt{t}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^* - \vartheta_0) \\ &+ \sum_{s=\tau_T+1}^t \frac{[2\zeta_s(\vartheta_0) f \dot{m}_{s-1}(\vartheta_0) \sqrt{P(\vartheta_0)} + [\zeta_s(\vartheta_0)^2 - 1] \dot{P}(\vartheta_0)]}{2(\sqrt{t} - \tau_T) \mathbf{I}_b(\vartheta_0) P(\vartheta_0)} \left(1 + O\left(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^* - \vartheta_0\right)\right) \\ &- \frac{\sqrt{t}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^* - \vartheta_0)}{(t - \tau_T) \mathbf{I}_b(\vartheta_0)} \sum_{s=\tau_T+1}^t \left(\frac{f^2 \dot{m}_{s-1}(\vartheta_0)^2}{P(\vartheta_0)} + \frac{\dot{P}(\vartheta_0)^2}{2P(\vartheta_0)^2} \right) + O\left(\sqrt{t}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^* - \vartheta_0)^2\right). \end{aligned}$$

Remind that $\delta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ and the MME $\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*$ satisfies (19). Hence, for any fixed $v \in (0, 1]$

$$\sqrt{t} \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \left| \vartheta_{\tau_T}^* - \vartheta_0 \right|^2 \leq \frac{C\sqrt{t}}{\tau_T^2} \leq \frac{C\sqrt{v}T^{1/2}}{T^{2\delta}} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\sqrt{t} \left(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^* - \vartheta_0 \right)}{\mathbb{I}_b(\vartheta_0)} \left[\mathbb{I}_b(\vartheta_0) - \frac{1}{t} \sum_{s=\tau_T+1}^t \left(\frac{f^2 \dot{m}_{s-1}(\vartheta_0)^2}{P(\vartheta_0)} + \frac{\dot{P}(\vartheta_0)^2}{2P(\vartheta_0)^2} \right) \right] \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{t} \left(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^* - \vartheta_0 \right)}{\mathbb{I}_b(\vartheta_0)} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{s=\tau_T+1}^t \left[\frac{f^2 \dot{B}^*(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0)^2}{[1 - A(\vartheta_0)^2] P(\vartheta_0)} - \frac{f^2 \dot{m}_{s-1}(\vartheta_0)^2}{P(\vartheta_0)} \right] \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{t} \left(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^* - \vartheta_0 \right) f^2}{\mathbb{I}_b(\vartheta_0) P(\vartheta_0)} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{s=\tau_T+1}^t \left[\frac{\dot{B}^*(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0)^2}{[1 - A(\vartheta_0)^2]} - \dot{m}_{s-1}(\vartheta_0)^2 \right] \\
&\longrightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore for any fixed $v \in (0, 1)$ and $t = vT$ uniformly on $\vartheta_0 \in \mathbb{K}$

$$\sqrt{t} \left(\vartheta_{t,T}^* - \vartheta_0 \right) \Longrightarrow \mathcal{N} \left(0, \mathbb{I}_b(\vartheta_0)^{-1} \right),$$

and

$$t \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \left(\vartheta_{t,T}^* - \vartheta_0 \right)^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}_b(\vartheta_0)^{-1}.$$

□

Remark 7. The One-step MLE-processes in the cases $\vartheta = f$, $\vartheta = a$, $\vartheta = \sigma^2$ can be constructed following the same lines. We do not present the corresponding calculations because it will be mainly repetition of the given above proof.

If the unknown parameter is two-dimensional, say, $\vartheta = (f, a)^\top = (\theta_1, \theta_2)^\top$, then two-dimensional One-step MLE-process $\vartheta_{t,T}^* = (\theta_{1,t,T}^*, \theta_{2,t,T}^*)^\top$ can be constructed as follows. Introduce MMEs $\theta_{\tau_T}^* = (\theta_{1,\tau_T}^*, \theta_{2,\tau_T}^*)^\top$, $\theta_{1,\tau_T}^* = f_{\tau_T}^*$ and $\theta_{2,\tau_T}^* = a_{\tau_T}^*$, where $\tau_T = [T^\delta]$, $\delta \in (1/2, 1]$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta_{1,\tau_T}^* &= 2^{-1/2} b^{-1} \left[S_{1,\tau_T}(X^{\tau_T}) (1 + \theta_{2,\tau_T}^*) - 2\sigma^2 \right]^{1/2}, \\
\theta_{2,\tau_T}^* &= \frac{S_{1,\tau_T}(X^{\tau_T}) + S_{2,\tau_T}(X^{\tau_T}) - \sigma^2}{S_{1,\tau_T}(X^{\tau_T}) - 2\sigma^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$M(\vartheta, t) = \theta_1 m_t(\vartheta), \quad \dot{M}(\vartheta, t) = \left(\dot{M}_f(\vartheta, t), \dot{M}_a(\vartheta, t) \right)^\top, \quad \dot{P}(\vartheta) = \left(\dot{P}_f(\vartheta), \dot{P}_a(\vartheta) \right)^\top.$$

The equations for $\dot{M}_f(\vartheta, t)$ and $\dot{M}_a(\vartheta, t)$ we obtain by differentiation of the equation

$$M(\vartheta, t) = A(\vartheta) M(\vartheta, t-1) + E(\vartheta) M(\vartheta_0, t-1) + B(\vartheta, \vartheta_0) \zeta_t(\vartheta_0), \quad t \geq 1,$$

by θ_1 and θ_2 correspondingly. For definition of the functions $A(\cdot)$, $E(\cdot)$ and $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ see (35). Recall that the Fisher information matrix $\mathbf{I}(\vartheta)$ is defined by the relations (33), (34) and (36). Suppose that this matrix is uniformly on $\vartheta \in \Theta$ non degenerate. Then the One-step MLE-process is given by the equality

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_{t,T}^* &= \theta_{\tau_T}^* + \frac{\mathbf{I}(\theta_{\tau_T}^*)^{-1}}{t - \tau_T} \sum_{s=\tau_T+1}^t \left[\frac{[X_s - M(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*, s-1)]}{P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)} \dot{M}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*, s-1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left([X_s - M(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*, s-1)]^2 - P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) \right) \frac{\dot{P}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)}{2P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)^2} \right], \quad t \in [\tau_T + 2, T]. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

It can be shown that for any $v \in (0, T]$, if we put $t = vT$ then the normalized difference is asymptotically normal:

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{t} (\vartheta_{t,T}^* - \vartheta_0) &\implies \xi(\vartheta_0) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I}(\theta_0)^{-1}), \\ t \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \|\vartheta_{t,T}^* - \vartheta_0\|^2 &\longrightarrow \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \|\xi(\vartheta_0)\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

5 MLE and BE

Consider the model of observations (23)-(24), where the unknown parameter is $\vartheta = b \in \Theta = (\alpha_b, \beta_b)$, $\alpha_b > 0$. Below we study the MLE $\hat{\vartheta}_T$ and BE $\tilde{\vartheta}_T$ defined by the usual relations

$$L(\hat{\vartheta}_T, X^T) = \sup_{\vartheta \in \Theta} L(\vartheta, X^T), \quad \tilde{\vartheta}_T = \frac{\int_{\Theta} \vartheta p(\vartheta) L(\hat{\vartheta}, X^T) d\vartheta}{\int_{\Theta} p(\vartheta) L(\hat{\vartheta}, X^T) d\vartheta}.$$

Here $p(\vartheta)$, $\vartheta \in \Theta$ is continuous, positive on Θ density a priory.

Recall the notation

$$\begin{aligned} P(\vartheta) &= \sigma^2 + f^2 \gamma_*(\vartheta), & A(\vartheta) &= \frac{a\sigma^2}{P(\vartheta)^2} \\ B^*(\vartheta, \vartheta_0) &= \frac{af\gamma_*(\vartheta) \sqrt{P(\vartheta_0)}}{P(\vartheta)}, & \dot{B}^*(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0) &= \left. \frac{\partial B^*(\vartheta, \vartheta_0)}{\partial \vartheta} \right|_{\vartheta=\vartheta_0} = \frac{af\sigma^2 \dot{\gamma}_*(\vartheta_0)}{P(\vartheta_0)^{3/2}}. \\ \mathbf{I}_b(\vartheta_0) &= \frac{f^2 \dot{B}^*(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0)^2}{[1 - A(\vartheta_0)^2] P(\vartheta_0)} + \frac{\dot{P}(\vartheta_0)^2}{2P(\vartheta_0)^2} \\ &= \frac{f^2 \dot{\gamma}_*(\vartheta_0)^2}{P(\vartheta_0)} \left[\frac{a^2 \sigma^4}{P(\vartheta_0)^2 - a^2 \sigma^4} + \frac{1}{2P(\vartheta_0)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Below it is shown (see (40)-(41)) that the family of measures is LAN. Therefore we have Hajek-Le Cam's lower bound: for any estimator $\bar{\vartheta}_T$ and any $\vartheta_0 \in \Theta$

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow 0} \underline{\lim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|\vartheta - \vartheta_0| \leq \nu} T \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta} (\bar{\vartheta}_T - \vartheta)^2 \geq \mathbf{I}_b(\vartheta_0)^{-1}.$$

This bound allows us to give the following definition

Define the asymptotically efficient estimator ϑ_T° as estimator for which the equality

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow 0} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|\vartheta - \vartheta_0| \leq \nu} T \mathbf{E}_\vartheta (\vartheta_T^\circ - \vartheta)^2 = \mathbf{I}_b(\vartheta_0)^{-1}.$$

holds for all $\vartheta_0 \in \Theta$.

Theorem 2. *The MLE and BE are consistent, asymptotically normal,*

$$\sqrt{T} \left(\hat{\vartheta}_T - \vartheta_0 \right) \Longrightarrow \mathcal{N} \left(0, \mathbf{I}_b(\vartheta_0)^{-1} \right), \quad \sqrt{T} \left(\tilde{\vartheta}_T - \vartheta_0 \right) \Longrightarrow \mathcal{N} \left(0, \mathbf{I}_b(\vartheta_0)^{-1} \right),$$

the polynomial moments converge and the both estimators are asymptotically efficient.

Proof. The mentioned properties of the estimators we will proof with the help of the general Theorems 3.1.1 and 3.2.1 in [9], i.e., we verify the conditions of these theorems for our model of observations. Introduce the normalized ($\varphi_T = T^{-1/2}$) likelihood ratio function

$$Z_T(u) = \frac{L(\vartheta_0 + \varphi_T u, X^T)}{L(\vartheta_0, X^T)}, \quad u \in \mathbb{U}_T = \left(\sqrt{T}(\alpha_T - \vartheta_0), \sqrt{T}(\beta_T - \vartheta_0) \right)$$

Therefore we have to prove the following properties of the process $Z_T(u)$, $u \in \mathbb{U}_T$.

1. *The process $Z_T(\cdot)$ admits the representation*

$$Z_T(u) = \exp \left(u \Delta_T(\vartheta_0, X^T) - \frac{u^2}{2} \mathbf{I}_b(\vartheta_0) + r_T(\vartheta_0, u, X^T) \right), \quad u \in \mathbb{U}_T, \quad (40)$$

where uniformly on compacts $\mathbb{K} \subset \Theta$ the convergence $r_T(\vartheta_0, u, X^T) \rightarrow 0$ holds,

$$\Delta_T(\vartheta_0, X^T) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{TP}(\vartheta_0)} \sum_{t=1}^T \left[\zeta_t(\vartheta_0) f \dot{m}_{t-1}(\vartheta_0) + [\zeta_t(\vartheta_0)^2 - 1] \frac{\dot{P}(\vartheta_0)}{2\sqrt{P}(\vartheta_0)} \right]$$

and uniformly on \mathbb{K}

$$\Delta_T(\vartheta_0, X^T) \Longrightarrow \mathcal{N} \left(0, \mathbf{I}_b(\vartheta_0) \right). \quad (41)$$

2. *There exists constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{\vartheta_0 \in \mathbb{K}} \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \left| Z_T(u_2)^{1/2} - Z_T(u_1)^{1/2} \right|^2 \leq C |u_1 - u_2|^2. \quad (42)$$

3. *There exists a constant $\kappa > 0$ and for any $N > 0$ there is a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{\vartheta_0 \in \mathbb{K}} \mathbf{P}_{\vartheta_0} \left(Z_T(u) > e^{-\kappa u^2} \right) \leq \frac{C}{|u|^N}. \quad (43)$$

Let us verify (40)-(41). Using Taylor expansions we can write (below $\vartheta_u = \vartheta_0 + \varphi_T u$)

$$\begin{aligned}\ln Z_T(u) &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \left[\frac{(X_t - fm_{t-1}(\vartheta_u))^2}{P(\vartheta_u)} + \ln \frac{P(\vartheta_u)}{P(\vartheta_0)} - \frac{(X_t - fm_{t-1}(\vartheta_0))^2}{P(\vartheta_0)} \right] \\ &= \frac{u}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{t=1}^T \left[\frac{\zeta_t(\vartheta_0) f \dot{m}_{t-1}(\vartheta_0)}{\sqrt{P(\vartheta_0)}} + \frac{(\zeta_t(\vartheta_0)^2 - 1) \dot{P}(\vartheta_0)}{2P(\vartheta_0)} \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{u^2}{2T} \left[\frac{f^2 \dot{m}_{t-1}(\vartheta_0)^2}{P(\vartheta_0)} + \frac{\zeta_t(\vartheta_0)^2 \dot{P}(\vartheta_0)^2}{P(\vartheta_0)^2} - \frac{\dot{P}(\vartheta_0)^2}{2P(\vartheta_0)^2} \right] + O(T^{-1/2}).\end{aligned}$$

Now the representation (40)-(41) follows from the CLT and the LLN:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{t=1}^T \left[\frac{\zeta_t(\vartheta_0) f \dot{m}_{t-1}(\vartheta_0)}{\sqrt{P(\vartheta_0)}} + \frac{(\zeta_t(\vartheta_0)^2 - 1) \dot{P}(\vartheta_0)}{2P(\vartheta_0)} \right] &\implies \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I}_b(\vartheta_0)), \\ \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \left[\frac{f^2 \dot{m}_{t-1}(\vartheta_0)^2}{P(\vartheta_0)} + \frac{\zeta_t(\vartheta_0)^2 \dot{P}(\vartheta_0)^2}{P(\vartheta_0)^2} - \frac{\dot{P}(\vartheta_0)^2}{2P(\vartheta_0)^2} \right] &\longrightarrow \mathbf{I}_b(\vartheta_0).\end{aligned}$$

Let us denote

$$\Pi(u) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \left[\frac{(X_t - fm_{t-1}(\vartheta_u))^2}{P(\vartheta_u)} + \ln \frac{P(\vartheta_u)}{P(\vartheta_0)} - \frac{(X_t - fm_{t-1}(\vartheta_0))^2}{P(\vartheta_0)} \right]$$

and calculate its derivative

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\Pi}(u) = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \Pi(u) &= \frac{\varphi_T}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \left[\frac{2(X_t - fm_{t-1}(\vartheta_u)) f \dot{m}_{t-1}(\vartheta_u)}{P(\vartheta_u)} - \frac{\dot{P}(\vartheta_u)}{P(\vartheta_u)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{(X_t - fm_{t-1}(\vartheta_u))^2 \dot{P}(\vartheta_u)}{P(\vartheta_u)^2} \right].\end{aligned}$$

Note that $X_t - fm_{t-1}(\vartheta_u) = \zeta_t(\vartheta_u) \sqrt{P(\vartheta_u)}$, $t \geq 1$, where $\zeta_t(\vartheta_u)$, $t \geq 1$ under measure \mathbf{P}_{ϑ_u} are i.i.d. r.v.'s $\zeta_t(\vartheta_u) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. Therefore, with \mathbf{P}_{ϑ_u} probability 1 we have

$$\dot{\Pi}(u) = \varphi_T \sum_{t=1}^T \left[\frac{\zeta_t(\vartheta_u) f \dot{m}_{t-1}(\vartheta_u)}{\sqrt{P(\vartheta_u)}} + \frac{[\zeta_t(\vartheta_u)^2 - 1] \dot{P}(\vartheta_u)}{2P(\vartheta_u)} \right]$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_u} \dot{\Pi}(u)^2 &= \varphi_T^2 \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_u} \left[\frac{\zeta_t(\vartheta_u) f \dot{m}_{t-1}(\vartheta_u)}{\sqrt{P(\vartheta_u)}} + \frac{[\zeta_t(\vartheta_u)^2 - 1] \dot{P}(\vartheta_u)}{2P(\vartheta_u)} \right]^2 \\ &= \varphi_T^2 \sum_{t=1}^T \left[\frac{f^2 \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_u} [\zeta_t(\vartheta_u)^2 \dot{m}_{t-1}(\vartheta_u)^2]}{P(\vartheta_u)} + \frac{\dot{P}(\vartheta_u)^2 \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_u} [\zeta_t(\vartheta_u)^2 - 1]^2}{4P(\vartheta_u)^2} \right]\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \left[\frac{f^2 \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_u} [\dot{m}_{t-1}(\vartheta_u)^2]}{P(\vartheta_u)} + \frac{\dot{P}(\vartheta_u)^2}{2P(\vartheta_u)^2} \right] \\
&= \frac{f^2 \dot{B}(\vartheta_u, \vartheta_u)^2}{P(\vartheta_u)(1-A(\vartheta_u))^2} + \frac{\dot{P}(\vartheta_u)^2}{2P(\vartheta_u)^2} \leq C.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \left| Z_T(u_2)^{1/2} - Z_T(u_1)^{1/2} \right|^2 &= \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \left| \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} Z_T(u)^{1/2} du \right|^2 \\
&\leq \frac{(u_2 - u_1)}{4} \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} Z_T(u) \dot{\Pi}(u)^2 du = \frac{(u_2 - u_1)}{4} \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_u} \dot{\Pi}(u)^2 du \\
&\leq C(u_2 - u_1)^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where the constant $C > 0$ can be chosen not depending on ϑ_0 . The estimate (42) is proved too.

To verify the last estimate (43) we write

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}_{\vartheta_0} \left(Z_T(u) > e^{-\kappa u^2} \right) &= \mathbf{P}_{\vartheta_0} \left(\Pi(u) > -\kappa u^2 \right) \\
&= \mathbf{P}_{\vartheta_0} \left(\Pi(u) - \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \Pi(u) > -\kappa u^2 - \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \Pi(u) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
-2\mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \Pi(u) &= \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{\mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \left[\zeta_t(\vartheta_0) \sqrt{P(\vartheta_0)} - f[m_{t-1}(\vartheta_u) - m_{t-1}(\vartheta_0)] \right]^2}{P(\vartheta_u)} \\
&\quad + T \ln \frac{P(\vartheta_u)}{P(\vartheta_0)} - \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \zeta_t(\vartheta_0)^2 \\
&= \frac{f^2}{P(\vartheta_u)} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} [m_{t-1}(\vartheta_u) - m_{t-1}(\vartheta_0)]^2 \\
&\quad + T \left(1 + \ln \frac{P(\vartheta_0)}{P(\vartheta_u)} - \frac{P(\vartheta_0)}{P(\vartheta_u)} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Consider two regions of u . First suppose that $|u\varphi_T| \leq \nu$ with some small $\nu > 0$. Then using expansions at the vicinity of ϑ_0 we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
-\mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \Pi(u) &= \left(\frac{u^2 f^2 \dot{B}^*(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0)^2}{2P(\vartheta_u)(1-A(\vartheta_0)^2)} + \frac{u^2 \dot{P}(\vartheta_0)^2}{2P(\vartheta_0)^2} \right) (1 + o(\nu)) \\
&= \frac{u^2}{2} \mathbf{I}_b(\vartheta_0) (1 + o(\nu)) \geq \frac{u^2}{4} \mathbf{I}_b(\vartheta_0) \geq \kappa_1 u^2
\end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently small ν . Remark that

$$\inf_{\vartheta \in \Theta} I_b(\vartheta) > 0$$

and the constant κ_1 can be chosen not depending of ϑ_0 .

Let $|u\varphi_T| \geq \nu$. Consider the difference of two equations

$$\begin{aligned} m_{t-1}(\vartheta_0) &= am_{t-2}(\vartheta_0) + B^*(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0) \zeta_{t-1}(\vartheta_0), \\ m_{t-1}(\vartheta_u) &= A(\vartheta_u) m_{t-2}(\vartheta_u) + [a - A(\vartheta_u)] m_{t-2}(\vartheta_0) + B^*(\vartheta_u, \vartheta_0) \zeta_{t-1}(\vartheta_0), \end{aligned}$$

and write

$$\begin{aligned} m_{t-1}(\vartheta_u) - m_{t-1}(\vartheta_0) &= A(\vartheta_u) [m_{t-2}(\vartheta_u) - m_{t-2}(\vartheta_0)] \\ &\quad + [B^*(\vartheta_u, \vartheta_0) - B^*(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0)] \zeta_{t-1}(\vartheta_0). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} [m_{t-1}(\vartheta_u) - m_{t-1}(\vartheta_0)]^2 &= A(\vartheta_u)^2 \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} [m_{t-2}(\vartheta_u) - m_{t-2}(\vartheta_0)]^2 \\ &\quad + [B^*(\vartheta_u, \vartheta_0) - B^*(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0)]^2. \end{aligned}$$

We suppose that $m_t(\vartheta_u)$ and $m_t(\vartheta_0)$ are stationary processes. Hence

$$\mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} [m_{t-1}(\vartheta_u) - m_{t-1}(\vartheta_0)]^2 = \frac{[B^*(\vartheta_u, \vartheta_0) - B^*(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0)]^2}{1 - A(\vartheta_u)^2}$$

and

$$-2\mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \Pi(u) = \frac{Tf^2 [B^*(\vartheta_u, \vartheta_0) - B^*(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0)]^2}{1 - A(\vartheta_u)^2} + T \left(1 + \ln \frac{P(\vartheta_0)}{P(\vartheta_u)} - \frac{P(\vartheta_0)}{P(\vartheta_u)} \right).$$

Denote

$$G(\vartheta_u, \vartheta_0) = \frac{f^2 [B^*(\vartheta_u, \vartheta_0) - B^*(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0)]^2}{2(1 - A(\vartheta_u)^2)} + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \ln \frac{P(\vartheta_0)}{P(\vartheta_u)} - \frac{P(\vartheta_0)}{P(\vartheta_u)} \right)$$

and show that

$$g(\vartheta_0, \nu) = \inf_{|\vartheta - \vartheta_0| \geq \nu} G(\vartheta, \vartheta_0) > 0.$$

To do this it is sufficient to verify that

$$\inf_{|\vartheta - \vartheta_0| \geq \nu} |P(\vartheta) - P(\vartheta_0)| > 0.$$

As $|P(\vartheta) - P(\vartheta_0)| = f^2 |\gamma_*(\vartheta) - \gamma_*(\vartheta_0)|$ we check the condition $\dot{\gamma}_*(\vartheta) > 0$. We have (see (27))

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\gamma}_*(\vartheta) &= \vartheta + \frac{(4[f^2\vartheta^2 - \sigma^2(1-a^2)]f^2\vartheta + 8\vartheta\sigma^2f^2)}{f^2[(\sigma^2(1-a^2) - f^2\vartheta^2)^2 + 4\vartheta^2\sigma^2f^2]^{1/2}} \\ &= \vartheta + \frac{[4f^2\vartheta^2 + 4\sigma^2(1+a^2)]f^2\vartheta}{f^2[(\sigma^2(1-a^2) - f^2\vartheta^2)^2 + 4\vartheta^2\sigma^2f^2]^{1/2}} > 0\end{aligned}\quad (44)$$

and

$$\inf_{\vartheta_0 \in \Theta} g(\vartheta_0, \nu) > 0.$$

Therefore, using the relation $T \geq u^2 / (\beta_b - \alpha_b)^2$ we can write

$$\inf_{|u| \geq \nu\sqrt{T}} \left(-\mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \Pi(u) \right) \geq g(\vartheta_0, \nu) T \geq \frac{g(\vartheta_0, \nu) u^2}{(\beta_b - \alpha_b)^2} = \kappa_2 u^2.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi(u) - \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \Pi(u) &= \sum_{t=1}^T [\zeta_t(\vartheta_0)^2 - 1] \left(\frac{P(\vartheta_u) - P(\vartheta_0)}{2P(\vartheta_u)} \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{f\sqrt{P(\vartheta_0)}}{P(\vartheta_u)} \zeta_t(\vartheta_0) [m_{t-1}(\vartheta_u) - m_{t-1}(\vartheta_0)] \\ &\quad - \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{f^2 ([m_{t-1}(\vartheta_u) - m_{t-1}(\vartheta_0)]^2 - \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} [m_{t-1}(\vartheta_u) - m_{t-1}(\vartheta_0)]^2)}{2P(\vartheta_u)} \\ &= \Pi_1(u) + \Pi_2(u) - \Pi_3(u),\end{aligned}$$

where for any integer $N \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} |\Pi_1(u)|^{2N} &= \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \left| \sum_{t=1}^T [\zeta_t(\vartheta_0)^2 - 1] \left(\frac{P(\vartheta_u) - P(\vartheta_0)}{2P(\vartheta_u)} \right) \right|^{2N} \\ &= \left| u\varphi_T \dot{P}(\tilde{\vartheta}_u) \right|^{2N} \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \left| \sum_{t=1}^T [\zeta_t(\vartheta_0)^2 - 1] \right|^{2N} \leq C |u|^{2N}, \quad (45) \\ \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} |\Pi_2(u)|^{2N} &= \frac{f^{2N} P(\vartheta_0)^N}{P(\vartheta_u)^{2N}} \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \left| \sum_{t=1}^T \zeta_t(\vartheta_0) [m_{t-1}(\vartheta_u) - m_{t-1}(\vartheta_0)] \right|^{2N} \\ &\leq C |u|^{2N} T^{-N} \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \left| \sum_{t=1}^T \zeta_t(\vartheta_0) \dot{m}_{t-1}(\tilde{\vartheta}_u) \right|^{2N} \leq C |u|^{2N},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} |\Pi_3(u)|^{2N} &= \frac{f^{4N} |u|^{4N} \varphi_T^{4N}}{2^{2N} P(\vartheta_u)^{2N}} \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \left| \sum_{t=1}^T \left[\dot{m}_{t-1}(\tilde{\vartheta}_u)^2 - \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \dot{m}_{t-1}(\tilde{\vartheta}_u)^2 \right] \right|^{2N} \\ &\leq C |u|^{4N} \varphi_T^{4N} T^N = C |u|^{2N} \frac{|u|^{2N}}{T} \leq C |u|^{2N}. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

Here we twice used the estimate

$$\mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \left| \sum_{t=1}^T K_t(\vartheta) \right|^{2N} \leq CT^N,$$

which is valid for centered Gaussian time series $K_t(\vartheta)$, $t \geq 1$ with bounded variance and exponential mixing. We used as well the relation $|u\varphi_T| < \beta_b - \alpha_b$. Remark that all constants $C > 0$ in (45)-(46) can be chosen not depending of ϑ_0 .

Denote $\kappa = 2(\kappa_1 \wedge \kappa_2)$. Now by Chebyshev inequality and (45)-(46)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_{\vartheta_0} \left(Z_T(u) > e^{-\kappa u^2} \right) &\leq \mathbf{P}_{\vartheta_0} \left(|\Pi(u) - \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \Pi(u)| \geq \frac{\kappa u^2}{2} \right) \\ &\leq \mathbf{P}_{\vartheta_0} \left(|\Pi_1(u)| \geq \frac{\kappa u^2}{6} \right) + \mathbf{P}_{\vartheta_0} \left(|\Pi_2(u)| \geq \frac{\kappa u^2}{6} \right) + \mathbf{P}_{\vartheta_0} \left(|\Pi_3(u)| \geq \frac{\kappa u^2}{6} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{6^{2N}}{\kappa u^{2N}} \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} |\Pi_1(u)|^{2N} + \frac{6^{2N}}{\kappa u^{2N}} \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} |\Pi_2(u)|^{2N} + \frac{6^{2N}}{\kappa u^{2N}} \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} |\Pi_3(u)|^{2N} \leq \frac{C}{u^{2N}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the conditions (40)-(43) are verified and the estimators $\hat{\vartheta}_T$ and $\tilde{\vartheta}_T$ by Theorems 3.1.1 and 3.2.1 in [9] have all mentioned in Theorem 2 properties. □

6 Adaptive filter

We are given the partially observed system

$$\begin{aligned} X_t &= f Y_{t-1} + \sigma w_t, & X_0, & & t = 1, 2, \dots, \\ Y_t &= a Y_{t-1} + b v_t, & Y_0. & & \end{aligned}$$

Recall that if all parameters of the model $\vartheta = (f, \sigma^2, a, b)$ are known, then the stationary version $m_t(\vartheta)$ of the conditional expectation $m(\vartheta, t) = \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta}(Y_t | X_s, s \leq t)$ satisfies the equation (see (28), (27))

$$\begin{aligned} m_t(\vartheta) &= a m_{t-1}(\vartheta) + \frac{a f \gamma_*(\vartheta)}{\sigma^2 + f^2 \gamma_*(\vartheta)} [X_t - f m_{t-1}(\vartheta)], \quad m_0(\vartheta), \quad t \geq 1, \quad (47) \\ \gamma_*(\vartheta) &= \frac{1}{2f^2} [f^2 b^2 - \sigma^2 (1 - a^2)] + \frac{1}{2f^2} \left[(\sigma^2 (1 - a^2) - b^2 f^2)^2 + 4f^2 b^2 \sigma^2 \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the problem of approximation of the random function $m_t(\vartheta)$, $t \geq 1$ when one of the parameters is unknown.

6.1 Unknown parameter b

Suppose that the values $f > 0$, $a^2 \in [0, 1)$ and $\sigma^2 > 0$ are known and the only unknown parameter is $\vartheta = b \in \Theta = (\alpha_b, \beta_b)$, $\alpha_b > 0$. The proposed below construction is a direct analogue of the similar solutions discussed in [16] and [17] for the models in continuous time like (8)-(9). First by observations $X_0, X_1, \dots, X_{\tau_T}$ we calculate the MME $\vartheta_{\tau_T}^* = b_{\tau_T}^*$, $\tau_T = \lceil T^\delta \rceil$, $\delta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ (see (19))

$$\vartheta_{\tau_T}^* = 2^{-1/2} f^{-1} \left[\left(\frac{1}{\tau_T} \sum_{s=1}^{\tau_T} [X_s - X_{s-1}]^2 + \sigma^2 \right) (1 + a) \right]^{1/2}. \quad (48)$$

Then we define the One-step MLE-process (see (37))

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_{t,T}^* &= \vartheta_{\tau_T}^* + \frac{1}{\mathbf{I}_b(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) (t - \tau_T)} \sum_{s=\tau_T+1}^t \left[\frac{[X_s - fm_{s-1}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)]}{P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)} fm_{s-1}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left([X_s - fm_{s-1}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)]^2 - P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) \right) \frac{\dot{P}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)}{2P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)^2} \right], \quad t \in [\tau_T + 1, T]. \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

Here $m_{s-1}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*, s-1)$, $s \geq \tau_T + 1$ satisfies the equation (47), where b is replaced by $\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*$ and $\dot{m}_{s-1}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*, s-1)$, $s \geq \tau_T + 1$ is obtained by differentiation of (47) on b and once more replacing b by $\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*$. Therefore for $s > \tau_T + 1$ we can write

$$m_{s-1}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) = P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)^{-1} \left[a\sigma^2 m_{s-2}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) + af\gamma_*(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) X_{s-1} \right], \quad (50)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{m}_{s-1}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) &= P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)^{-2} a\sigma^2 \left[P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) \dot{m}_{s-2}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*, s-2) - f^2 \dot{\gamma}_*(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) m_{s-2}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{af\sigma^2 \dot{\gamma}_*(\vartheta)}{P(\vartheta)^2} X_{s-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

Here $P(\vartheta) = \sigma^2 + f^2 \gamma_*(\vartheta)$ and the Fisher information is

$$\mathbf{I}_b(\vartheta) = \frac{\dot{P}_b(\vartheta_0)^2 [P(\vartheta_0)^2 + a^2 \sigma^4]}{2P(\vartheta_0)^2 [P(\vartheta_0)^2 - a^2 \sigma^4]},$$

where $A(\vartheta) = P(\vartheta)^{-1} a\sigma^2$ (see (32)).

The adaptive Kalman filter we introduce with the help of the process $m_{t,T}^*$ defined as follows

$$\hat{m}_{t,T} = P(\vartheta_{t-1,T}^*)^{-1} \left[a\sigma^2 m_{t-1,T}^* + af\gamma_*(\vartheta_{t-1,T}^*) X_t \right], \quad t \in [\tau_T + 1, T]. \quad (52)$$

We have to compare $m_{t,T}^*$ with $m(\vartheta_0, t)$ for large values of T . Below $\eta_{t,T} = \sqrt{t} [\vartheta_{t,T}^* - \vartheta_0]$ and $\dot{B}^*(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0) = -f^{-1} \sqrt{P(\vartheta_0)} \dot{A}(\vartheta_0) = P(\vartheta_0)^{-3/2} af\sigma^2 \dot{\gamma}_*(\vartheta_0)$.

Theorem 3. Let $t = [vT], v \in (0, 1], k = t - \tau_T + 2$ and $T \rightarrow \infty$. Then the following relations hold

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{t} [m_{t,T}^* - m_t(\vartheta_0)] &= \dot{B}^*(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0) \sum_{m=0}^k A(\vartheta_0)^m \eta_{t-m-1,T} \zeta_{t-m}(\vartheta_0) + o(1), \\ t\mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} [m_{t,T}^* - m_t(\vartheta_0)]^2 &\longrightarrow S_b^*(\vartheta_0)^2 \equiv \frac{\dot{B}^*(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0)^2}{\mathbf{I}_b(\vartheta_0) (1 - A(\vartheta_0)^2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

Here $o(1)$ and (53) converge uniformly on compacts $\mathbb{K} \subset \Theta$.

Proof. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} m_t(\vartheta_0) &= A(\vartheta_0)m_{t-1}(\vartheta_0) + f^{-1}[a - A(\vartheta_0)]X_t, \\ m_{t,T}^* &= A(\vartheta_{t-1,T}^*)\hat{m}_{t-1,T} + f^{-1}[a - A(\vartheta_{t-1,T}^*)]X_t. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore for $\delta_{t,T} = \sqrt{t} [m_{t,T}^* - m_t(\vartheta_0)]$ we have the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{t,T} &= A(\vartheta_{t-1,T}^*)\delta_{t-1,T} + \sqrt{t} [A(\vartheta_{t-1,T}^*) - A(\vartheta_0)] m_{t-1}(\vartheta_0) \\ &\quad + f^{-1}\sqrt{t} [A(\vartheta_0) - A(\vartheta_{t-1,T}^*)] X_t \\ &= A(\vartheta_{t-1,T}^*)\delta_{t-1,T} + f^{-1}\sqrt{P(\vartheta_0)}\sqrt{T} [A(\vartheta_0) - A(\vartheta_{t-1,T}^*)] \zeta_t(\vartheta_0) \\ &= A(\vartheta_{t-1,T}^*)\delta_{t-1,T} - f^{-1}\sqrt{P(\vartheta_0)}\dot{A}(\vartheta_{t-1})\sqrt{t} [\vartheta_{t-1,T}^* - \vartheta_0] \zeta_t(\vartheta_0) \\ &= A(\vartheta_0) \delta_{t-1,T} + \dot{B}^*(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0) \eta_{t-1,T} \zeta_t(\vartheta_0) + \varepsilon_t, \quad t \in [\tau_T + 1, T], \end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon_t = O(\vartheta_{t-1,T}^* - \vartheta_0) = O(T^{-1/2})$.

Let us denote $A \equiv A(\vartheta_0), \dot{B}^* \equiv \dot{B}^*(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0), \zeta_t \equiv \zeta_t(\vartheta_0)$ and make $k = t - \tau_T + 2$ iterations

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{t,T} &= A \delta_{t-1,T} + \dot{B}^* \eta_{t-1,T} \zeta_t + \varepsilon_t \\ &= A^2 \delta_{t-2,T} + A\dot{B}^* \eta_{t-2,T} \zeta_{t-1} + \dot{B}^* \eta_{t-1,T} \zeta_t + A\varepsilon_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t \\ &= \dots\dots\dots \\ &= A^{k+1}\delta_{t-k,T} + \dot{B}^* \sum_{m=0}^k A^m \eta_{t-m-1,T} \zeta_{t-m} + \sum_{m=0}^k A^m \varepsilon_{t-m}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore for the large values of t and $k = t - \tau_T + 2$ we have the representation,

$$\delta_{t,T} = \dot{B}^* \sum_{m=0}^k A^m \eta_{t-m-1,T} \zeta_{t-m} + o(1).$$

Note that $\eta_{t-m-1,T}$ and ζ_{t-m} are independent. Hence, if $t = [vT], T \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$\mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} \delta_{t,T}^2 = \dot{B}^{*2} \sum_{m=0}^k \sum_{l=0}^k A^{m+l} \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} [\eta_{t-m-1,T} \zeta_{t-m} \eta_{t-l-1,T} \zeta_{t-l}] + o(1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \dot{B}^{*2} \sum_{m=0}^k A^{2m} \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} [\eta_{t-m-1,T}^2 \zeta_{t-m}^2] + o(1) = \dot{B}^{*2} \sum_{m=0}^k A^{2m} \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta_0} [\eta_{t-m-1,T}^2] + o(1) \\
&= \frac{\dot{B}^{*2}}{\mathbb{I}_b(\vartheta_0)} \sum_{m=0}^k A^{2m} \frac{t}{t-m-1} + o(1) \\
&\rightarrow \frac{\dot{B}^*(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0)^2}{\mathbb{I}(\vartheta_0)} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A^{2m} = \frac{\dot{B}^*(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0)^2}{\mathbb{I}_b(\vartheta_0) (1 - A(\vartheta_0)^2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 8. The adaptive Kalman filter is given by the relations (48)-(52), where the only One-step MLE-process (49) is in non recurrent form. Let us write this estimator in recurrent form too. Denote

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{t,T}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) &= \frac{1}{\mathbb{I}_b(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) (t - \tau_T)} \sum_{s=\tau_T+1}^t \left[\frac{[X_s - fm_{s-1}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)]}{P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)} f \dot{m}_{s-1}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left([X_s - fm_{s-1}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)]^2 - P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) \right) \frac{\dot{P}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)}{2P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)^2} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\vartheta_{t,T}^* &= \vartheta_{\tau_T}^* + S_{t,T}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) = \frac{\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*}{t - \tau_T} + \frac{(t-1-\tau_T)}{(t-\tau_T)} [\vartheta_{\tau_T}^* + S_{t-1,T}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\mathbb{I}_b(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) (t - \tau_T)} \left[\frac{[X_t - fm_{t-1}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)]}{P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)} f \dot{m}_{t-1}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left([X_t - fm_{t-1}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)]^2 - P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) \right) \frac{\dot{P}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)}{2P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)^2} \right] \\
&= \frac{\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*}{t - \tau_T} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{t - \tau_T} \right) \vartheta_{t-1,T}^* + \frac{[X_t - fm_{t-1}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)] f \dot{m}_{t-1}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)}{\mathbb{I}_b(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) (t - \tau_T) P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)} \\
&\quad + \frac{\left([X_t - fm_{t-1}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)]^2 - P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) \right) \dot{P}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)}{2\mathbb{I}_b(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) (t - \tau_T) P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)^2}, \quad t \in [\tau_T + 1, T].
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the recurrent equation for the One-step MLE-process is

$$\vartheta_{t,T}^* = \frac{\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*}{t - \tau_T} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{t - \tau_T} \right) \vartheta_{t-1,T}^* + \frac{[X_t - fm_{t-1}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)] f \dot{m}_{t-1}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)}{\mathbb{I}_b(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) (t - \tau_T) P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)}$$

$$+ \frac{\left(\left[X_t - f m_{t-1}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) \right]^2 - P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) \right) \dot{P}(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)}{2\mathbb{I}_b(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*) (t - \tau_T) P(\vartheta_{\tau_T}^*)^2}, \quad t \in [\tau_T + 1, T]. \quad (54)$$

Now the adaptive Kalman filter (48),(50) -(52), (54) is in recurrent form.

Remark 9. The cases $\vartheta = f$, $\vartheta = a$ and $\vartheta = \sigma^2$ can be studied similarly. If we consider the two-dimensional cases, say, $\vartheta = (f, a)$, then the corresponding adaptive Kalman filter can be written as well. The preliminary MME estimator $\vartheta_{\tau_T}^* = (f_T^*, a_T^*)^\top$ was defined in (20)-(21), for the Fisher information matrix see (33),(34) and (36), One-step MLE-process is given in (39), the equations for $\dot{m}_f(\vartheta_T, t)$ and $\dot{m}_a(\vartheta_T, t)$ can be easily written. The equation for $m_{t,T}^*$ has exactly the same form as the given above in (52).

Remark 10. It is possible as well to verify the asymptotic normality

$$\sqrt{t} (m_{t,T}^* - m(\vartheta_0, t)) \implies \mathcal{N}(0, S_b^*(\vartheta_0)^2).$$

7 Asymptotic efficiency

We have the same system

$$\begin{aligned} X_t &= f Y_{t-1} + \sigma w_t, & X_0, & \quad t = 1, 2, \dots, \\ Y_t &= a Y_{t-1} + b v_t, & Y_0. & \end{aligned}$$

where the parameters f, a, b, σ^2 satisfy the condition \mathcal{A}_0 .

The adaptive filter is given by (52) and we would like to know if the error of approximation $\mathbf{E}_\vartheta |m_{t,T}^* - m(\vartheta, t)|^2$ is asymptotically minimal? As usual in such situations we propose a lower minimax bound on the risks of all estimators \bar{m}_t supposing that \bar{m}_t is based on the observations up to time t , i.e., these estimators depend on $X^t = (X_s, s = 0, 1, \dots, t)$.

Recall some notations

$$A(\vartheta_0) = \frac{a\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + f^2\gamma_*(\vartheta_0)}, \quad B^*(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0) = \frac{a\sigma^2 f \dot{\gamma}_*(\vartheta_0)}{[\sigma^2 + f^2\gamma_*(\vartheta_0)]^{3/2}}$$

and the equations for $\dot{m}(\vartheta_0, \cdot)$

$$\dot{m}(\vartheta, t) = A(\vartheta_0) \dot{m}(\vartheta_0, t-1) + B^*(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_0) \zeta_t(\vartheta_0),$$

Recall as well the asymptotic representations for $\eta_{t,T}^*(\vartheta_0) = \sqrt{vT} (\vartheta_{t,T}^* - \vartheta_0)$, $t = vT, v \in (0, 1]$

$$\eta_{t,T}^*(\vartheta_0) = \frac{\mathbb{I}(\vartheta_0)^{-1}}{\sqrt{tP(\vartheta_0)}} \sum_{s=1}^t \left[\zeta_s(\vartheta_0) f \dot{m}_{s-1}(\vartheta_0) + [\zeta_s(\vartheta_0)^2 - 1] \frac{\dot{P}(\vartheta_0)}{2\sqrt{P(\vartheta_0)}} \right] + o(1). \quad (55)$$

The similar representations for the MLE $\hat{\eta}_t(\vartheta_0) = \sqrt{t}(\hat{\vartheta}_t - \vartheta_0)$, $v \in (0, 1]$ and BE $\tilde{\eta}_t(\vartheta_0) = \sqrt{t}(\tilde{\vartheta}_t - \vartheta_0)$, $t = vT$, $v \in (0, 1]$ are

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\eta}_t(\vartheta_0) &= \frac{\mathbf{I}(\vartheta_0)^{-1}}{\sqrt{tP(\vartheta_0)}} \sum_{s=1}^t \left[\zeta_s(\vartheta_0) f \dot{m}_{s-1}(\vartheta_0) + [\zeta_s(\vartheta_0)^2 - 1] \frac{\dot{P}(\vartheta_0)}{2\sqrt{P(\vartheta_0)}} \right] + o(1), \\ \tilde{\eta}_t(\vartheta_0) &= \frac{\mathbf{I}(\vartheta_0)^{-1}}{\sqrt{tP(\vartheta_0)}} \sum_{s=1}^t \left[\zeta_s(\vartheta_0) f \dot{m}_{s-1}(\vartheta_0) + [\zeta_s(\vartheta_0)^2 - 1] \frac{\dot{P}(\vartheta_0)}{2\sqrt{P(\vartheta_0)}} \right] + o(1).\end{aligned}\quad (56)$$

The properties (40)-(43) of the normalized LR $Z_T(\cdot)$ established in the Theorem 2 correspond to the sufficient conditions of Theorems 3.1.2 and 3.2.2 in [9], where such representations were proved in the general case.

Introduce the limit (53)

$$S_b^*(\vartheta)^2 = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta} [\dot{m}(\vartheta, t)^2 \tilde{\eta}_t(\vartheta)^2] = \frac{\dot{B}^*(\vartheta, \vartheta)^2}{\mathbf{I}(\vartheta)(1 - A(\vartheta)^2)}.\quad (57)$$

As the asymptotic representations of $\tilde{\eta}_{t,T}(\vartheta)$ and $\eta_{t,T}^*(\vartheta)$ are similar (see (55) and (56)) hence the limits (53) and (57) coincide too.

Theorem 4. *Let the conditions of Theorem 2 be fulfilled. Then we have the following lower minimax bound: for any estimator $\bar{m}_{t,T}$ of $m(\vartheta, t)$ (below $t = vT$)*

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow 0} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|\vartheta - \vartheta_0| \leq \nu} t \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta} |\bar{m}_{t,T} - m(\vartheta, t)|^2 \geq S_b^*(\vartheta_0)^2.$$

Proof. The given below proof is based on the proof of Theorem 1.9.1 in [9] and was published in [17] in the case of continuous time observations. It is quite short and we repeat it here for convenience of reading. We have the elementary estimate

$$\sup_{|\vartheta - \vartheta_0| \leq \nu} \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta} |\bar{m}_{t,T} - m(\vartheta, t)|^2 \geq \int_{\vartheta_0 - \nu}^{\vartheta_0 + \nu} \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta} |\bar{m}_{t,T} - m(\vartheta, t)|^2 p_{\nu}(\vartheta) d\vartheta.$$

Here the function $p_{\nu}(\vartheta)$, $\vartheta_0 - \nu < \vartheta < \vartheta_0 + \nu$ is a positive continuous density on the interval $[\vartheta_0 - \nu, \vartheta_0 + \nu]$. If we denote \tilde{m}_t Bayesian estimator of $m(\vartheta, t)$, which corresponds to this density $p_{\nu}(\cdot)$, then

$$\tilde{m}_t = \int_{\vartheta_0 - \nu}^{\vartheta_0 + \nu} m(\theta, t) p_{\nu}(\theta | X^t) d\theta, \quad p_{\nu}(\theta | X^t) = \frac{p_{\nu}(\theta) L(\theta, X^t)}{\int_{\vartheta_0 - \nu}^{\vartheta_0 + \nu} p_{\nu}(\theta) L(\theta, X^t) d\theta}$$

and

$$\int_{\vartheta_0 - \nu}^{\vartheta_0 + \nu} \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta} |\bar{m}_{t,T} - m(\vartheta, t)|^2 p_{\nu}(\vartheta) d\vartheta \geq \int_{\vartheta_0 - \nu}^{\vartheta_0 + \nu} \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta} |\tilde{m}_t - m(\vartheta, t)|^2 p_{\nu}(\vartheta) d\vartheta.$$

The asymptotic behavior of BE \tilde{m}_t can be described as follows (below $\theta_u = \vartheta + \varphi_t u, \varphi_t = t^{-1/2}, \mathbb{U}_\nu = (\sqrt{t}(\vartheta_0 - \nu - \vartheta), \sqrt{t}(\vartheta_0 + \nu - \vartheta))$)

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{m}_t &= \frac{\int_{\vartheta_0 - \nu}^{\vartheta_0 + \nu} m(\theta, t) p_\nu(\theta) L(\theta, X^t) d\theta}{\int_{\vartheta_0 - \nu}^{\vartheta_0 + \nu} p_\nu(\theta) L(\theta, X^t) d\theta} = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{U}_\nu} m(\theta_u, t) p_\nu(\theta_u) L(\theta_u, X^t) du}{\int_{\mathbb{U}_\nu} p_\nu(\theta_u) L(\theta_u, X^t) du} \\ &= m(\vartheta, t) + \varphi_t \dot{m}(\vartheta, t) \frac{\int_{\mathbb{U}_\nu} u p_\nu(\theta_u) \frac{L(\theta_u, X^t)}{L(\vartheta, X^t)} du}{\int_{\mathbb{U}_\nu} p_\nu(\theta_u) \frac{L(\theta_u, X^t)}{L(\vartheta, X^t)} du} (1 + o(1)) \\ &= m(\vartheta, t) + \varphi_t \dot{m}(\vartheta, t) \frac{\int_{\mathbb{U}_\nu} u p_\nu(\vartheta) Z_t(u) du}{\int_{\mathbb{U}_\nu} p_\nu(\vartheta) Z_t(u) du} (1 + o(1)).\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{t}(\tilde{m}_t - m(\vartheta, t)) &= \dot{m}(\vartheta, t) \frac{\int_{\mathbb{U}_\nu} u Z_t(u) du}{\int_{\mathbb{U}_\nu} Z_t(u) du} (1 + o(1)) \\ &= \dot{m}(\vartheta, t) \frac{\Delta_t(\vartheta, X^t)}{\mathbb{I}(\vartheta)} (1 + o(1)),\end{aligned}$$

where (see Lemma 1)

$$\Delta_t(\vartheta, X^t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{tP(\vartheta_0)}} \sum_{s=1}^t \left[\zeta_s(\vartheta_0) f \dot{m}_{s-1}(\vartheta_0) + [\zeta_s(\vartheta_0)^2 - 1] \frac{\dot{P}(\vartheta_0)}{2\sqrt{P(\vartheta_0)}} \right].$$

Recall that $Z_t(u) \Rightarrow Z(u) = \exp\left(u\Delta(\vartheta) - \frac{u^2}{2}\mathbb{I}(\vartheta)\right)$ and

$$\frac{\int_{\mathcal{R}} u Z(u) du}{\int_{\mathcal{R}} Z(u) du} = \frac{\Delta(\vartheta)}{\mathbb{I}(\vartheta)}.$$

Moreover the uniform on compacts $\mathbb{K} \subset (\vartheta_0 - \nu, \vartheta_0 + \nu)$ convergence of moments of BE allows us to write

$$t \mathbf{E}_\vartheta (\tilde{m}_t - m(\vartheta, t))^2 \rightarrow \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t \mathbf{E}_\vartheta \left[\dot{m}(\vartheta, t)^2 (\tilde{\vartheta}_t - \vartheta)^2 \right] = S_b^*(\vartheta)^2$$

holds too.

The detailed proof of written above relations can be found in the proofs of Theorems 3.2.1 and 3.2.2 in [9]

Therefore

$$t \int_{\vartheta_0 - \nu}^{\vartheta_0 + \nu} \mathbf{E}_\vartheta |\tilde{m}_t - m(\vartheta, t)|^2 p_\nu(\vartheta) d\vartheta \longrightarrow \int_{\vartheta_0 - \nu}^{\vartheta_0 + \nu} S_b^*(\vartheta)^2 p_\nu(\vartheta) d\vartheta$$

and as $\nu \rightarrow 0$

$$\int_{\vartheta_0 - \nu}^{\vartheta_0 + \nu} S_b^*(\vartheta)^2 p_\nu(\vartheta) d\vartheta \longrightarrow S_b^*(\vartheta_0)^2.$$

□

We call the estimator $m_{t,T}^\circ, \tau_T < t \leq T$ *asymptotically efficient* if for all $\vartheta_0 \in \Theta$, $t = vT$, any $v \in [\varepsilon_0, 1]$

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow 0} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|\vartheta - \vartheta_0| \leq \nu} t \mathbf{E}_\vartheta |m_{t,T}^\circ - m(\vartheta, t)|^2 = S_b^*(\vartheta_0)^2.$$

Here $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$.

Theorem 5. *The estimator $m_t^*, \tau_T < t \leq T$ is asymptotically efficient.*

Proof. The proof follows from the uniform convergence (53) of the Theorem 3.

□

References

- [1] Almagbile, A., Wang, J. and Ding, W. (2010) Evaluating the performances of adaptive Kalman filter methods in GPS/INS integration. *J. Global Positioning Systems.* 9, 1, 33-40.
- [2] Bell, B.M. and Cathey, F. (1993) The iterated Kalman filter update as a Gauss-Newton method. *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, 38, 2, 294-297.
- [3] Bickel, P.J., Ritov, Y. and Rydén, T. (1998) Asymptotic normality of the maximum likelihood estimator for general hidden Markov models. *Ann. Statist.*, 26, 4, 1614-1635.
- [4] Brown, S.D. and Rutan, S.C. (1985) Adaptive Kalman filtering. *Journal of Research of the national bureau of standards.* 90, 6, 403-407.
- [5] Cappé, O., Moulines, E. and Rydén, T. (2005) *Inference in Hidden Markov Models.* Springer, N.Y.
- [6] Chui, C.K. and Chen, G. (1987) *Kalman Filtering with Real-time Applications.* Springer, Berlin.
- [7] Dedecker, J., Doukhan, P., Lang, G., Leon, J.R., Louhichi, S. and Prieur, C. (2007) *Weak Dependence: With Examples and Applications.* Springer, N.Y.
- [8] Haykin, S. (2014) *Adaptive Filter Theory.* Fifth Ed., Pearson Education, Boston.

- [9] Ibragimov, I.A. and Khasminskii R. Z. (1981) *Statistical Estimation — Asymptotic Theory*. Springer, N.Y.
- [10] Jazwinski, A.H. (1970) *Stochastic Processes and Filtering Theory*. Academic Press, N.Y.
- [11] Kalman, R.E. (1960) A new approach to linear filtering and prediction problems. *ASME Journal of Basic Engineering*, 82, 35-45.
- [12] Khasminskii, R.Z. and Kutoyants, Yu.A. (2018) On parameter estimation of hidden telegraph process. *Bernoulli*, 24, 3, 2064-2090.
- [13] Kutoyants, Yu.A. (1994) *Identification of Dynamical Systems with Small Noise*. Kluwer Academic Publisher, Dordrecht.
- [14] Kutoyants, Yu.A. (2019) On parameter estimation of the hidden ergodic Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process. *Electronic Journal of Statistics*, 13, 4508-4526.
- [15] Kutoyants, Yu.A. (2019) On parameter estimation of the hidden Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process. *J. Multivar. Analysis*, 169, 1, 248-269.
- [16] Kutoyants, Yu. A. (2022) Volatility estimation of hidden Markov process and adaptive filtration", *arXiv:2010.07603*, submitted
- [17] Kutoyants, Yu. A. (2023) Hidden ergodic Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process and adaptive filter. *arXiv:2304.08857*, submitted.
- [18] Kutoyants, Yu.A. and Zhou, L. (2021) On parameter estimation of the hidden Gaussian process in perturbed SDE, *Electr. J. of Stat.*, 15, 211-234.
- [19] Liptser, R.S. and Shiriyayev, A.N. (2001) *Statistics of Random Processes, I. General Theory*. 2nd Ed., Springer, N.Y.
- [20] Mohamed, A.H. and Schwarz, K.P. (1999) Adaptive Kalman filtering for INS/GPS *J. Geod.*, 73, May, 193-203.
- [21] Sayed, A.H. (2008) *Adaptive Filters*. John Wiley & Sons, New Jersey.
- [22] Yang, Y. and Gao, W. (2006) An optimal adaptive Kalman filter. *J. Geod.*, 80, 177-183.
- [23] Yu, X. and Li, J. (2021) Adaptive Kalman filter for linear systems with additive and multiplicative noises. *J. Latex Class Files*. 14, 8, 1-11.