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#### Abstract

In this note, we give a simple proof of the Todorov's surjectivity result on the period map of K3 surfaces in a differential geometric setting. Our proof makes use of collasping geometry of hyperkähler 4-manifolds developped by Sun-Zhang in [4], and does not rely on the solution to the Calabi conjecture.


On an oriented smooth 4-manifold, a hyperkähler metric $g$ is a Riemannian metric with holonomy contained in $S U(2)$. This is equivalent to saying that the bundle of self-dual forms is flat and trivial, which implies that there is a triple $\boldsymbol{\omega}=\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}\right)$ of closed 2-forms satisfying $\omega_{\alpha} \wedge \omega_{\beta}=2 \delta_{\alpha \beta} \mathrm{dVol}_{g}$. Such a triple is often referred to as a hyperkähler triple.

Let $\mathcal{K}$ be the K3 manifold, which is by definition the unique oriented smooth 4-manifold underlying a complex K3 surface. It is simply connected and the intersection form on $\Lambda \equiv H^{2}(\mathcal{K} ; \mathbb{Z})$ has signature (3,19). Denote by $\mathcal{N}$ the set of all hyperkähler metrics on $\mathcal{K}$ with diameter 1 . Given $g \in \mathcal{N}$, the space $\mathbb{H}_{g}^{+}$of self-dual harmonic 2-forms with respect to $g$ is a 3 -dimensional subspace in $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}} \equiv H^{2}(\mathcal{K} ; \mathbb{R})$ which is positive definite with respect to the intersection form. Indeed, any choice of a hyperkähler triple gives rise to a basis of $\mathbb{H}_{g}^{+}$, and they are up to a constant $O(3)$ rotation.

Define the positive Grassmannian $G r^{+}$to be the space of all 3-dimensional positive definite subspaces of $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$. It is an open subset in the standard Grassmannian $\operatorname{Gr}\left(3, \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}\right)$. We define the period map

$$
\mathcal{P}: \mathcal{N} \rightarrow G r^{+} ; g \mapsto \mathbb{H}_{g}^{+}
$$

The diffeomorphism group $\operatorname{Diff}(\mathcal{K})$ acts on $\mathcal{N}$ by $\varphi . g=\varphi^{*} g$, which induces a homomorphism $\Phi: \operatorname{Diff}(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Aut}(\Lambda)$, where $\Gamma:=\operatorname{Aut}(\Lambda)$ is the automorphism group of the lattice $\Lambda$ preserving the intersection form. There is a natural action of $\Gamma$ on $G r^{+}$, hence $\mathcal{P}$ induces a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\mathcal{P}}: \mathcal{M}=\mathcal{N} / \operatorname{Diff}(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \equiv G r^{+} / \Gamma \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The left-hand side is the set of isometry classes of hyperkähler metrics on $\mathcal{K}$. It is endowed with a natural Cheeger-Gromov topology. A sequence $\left[g_{j}\right]$ converges to $\left[g_{\infty}\right]$ if there are $\varphi_{j} \in \operatorname{Diff}(\mathcal{K})$ such that $\varphi_{j}^{*} g_{j}$ converges smoothly to $g_{\infty}$. Since hyperkähler metrics are Ricci-flat, it follows from the Cheeger-Colding theory that this topology coincides with the Gromov-Hausdorff topology. We also endow the period domain $\mathcal{D}$ with the quotient topology. One can check that $\underline{\mathcal{P}}$ is continuous, and the $\Gamma$ action on $G r^{+}$is properly discontinous.

For any homology class $\delta \in H_{2}(\mathcal{K} ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^{2}(\mathcal{K} ; \mathbb{Z})=\Lambda$ with $\delta . \delta=-2$, we define $\xi^{\perp}$ to be subspace in $G r^{+}$ consisting of hyperkähler metrics $g$ such that $\int_{\delta} \xi=0$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{H}_{g}^{+}$. We denote

$$
G r^{+, \circ}=G r^{+} \backslash \bigcup_{\delta \in \Lambda, \delta . \delta=-2} \delta^{\perp}
$$

and $\mathcal{D}^{\circ}=G r^{+, \circ} / \Gamma$.
Theorem 1. The image of $\underline{\mathcal{P}}$ is $\mathcal{D}^{\circ}$.
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We prove Theorem 1 in a few steps.
Step 1. We show that the image of $\mathcal{P}$ is contained in $G r^{+, \circ}$. In particular, the image of $\underline{\mathcal{P}}$ is contained in $\mathcal{D}^{\circ}$.

The proof that we know uses some complex geometry. Suppose there is a $g \in \mathcal{N}$ and $0 \neq \delta \in H_{2}(\mathcal{K} ; \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\delta . \delta \geq-2$ and $\int_{\delta} \xi=0$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{H}_{g}^{+}$. Choose a hyperkähler triple $\boldsymbol{\omega}=\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}\right)$ for $g$. Then with respect to a compatible complex structure $J$ we see $\omega_{1}$ is a Kähler form, and $\Omega=\omega_{2}+\sqrt{-1} \omega_{3}$ is a holomorphic volume form. By the Hodge decomposition it follows that $\delta$ is a $(1,1)$ class with respect to $J$. So
$\delta=c_{1}(L)$ for some non-trivial holomorphic line bundle $L$. By the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem it follows that $h^{0}(\mathcal{K}, L)+h^{0}\left(\mathcal{K}, L^{-1}\right)=h^{1}(\mathcal{K}, L)+2+\frac{1}{2} \delta^{2}>0$. Without loss of generality we assume $L$ has a non-zero holomorphic section $S$. Its zero set is a complex curve dual to $\delta$. It follows that $\int_{\delta} \omega>0$. Contradiction.

Step 2: We show that $\mathcal{P}$ is an open map. In particular, the image of $\underline{\mathcal{P}}$ is open.
This follows from the standard deformation theory. We outline the argument, see [4] for details. Suppose $g \in \mathcal{N}$. We fix a hyperkähler triple $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ associated to $g$. In the following, we will often identify an element in $\Omega_{+}^{2} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{3}$ (i.e., a triple of self-dual 2-forms) with a $3 \times 3$ matrix-valued function in $\Omega^{0} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}: \eta \in \Omega_{+}^{2} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{3}$ corresponds to $\boldsymbol{A}=\left(A_{\alpha \beta}\right) \in \Omega^{0} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ if $\eta_{\alpha}=\sum_{\beta=1}^{3} A_{\alpha \beta} \omega_{\beta}$, or concisely $\boldsymbol{\eta}=\boldsymbol{A} . \boldsymbol{\omega}$. We claim that for any fixed small triple of anti-self-dual harmonic 2-forms $\boldsymbol{h}^{-} \in \mathbb{H}_{g}^{-} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{3}, \boldsymbol{\omega}^{\prime}:=\boldsymbol{\omega}+\boldsymbol{h}^{-}+\boldsymbol{h}^{+}+d d^{*}(\boldsymbol{f}$. $\boldsymbol{\omega})$ defines a hyperkähler triple for some small $\left(\boldsymbol{h}^{+}, \boldsymbol{f}\right) \in \mathbb{H}_{g}^{+} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{3} \oplus C^{2, \gamma}\left(\Omega_{+}^{2} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$.

Denote $\mathscr{S}_{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ the set of trace-free $3 \times 3$ symmetric matrices, and $\mathfrak{F}$ the inverse of the map $\mathscr{S}_{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{S}_{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, $A \mapsto \operatorname{tf}\left(A+A^{T}+A A^{T}\right)$ near 0 , where $\operatorname{tf}(B)=B-\frac{1}{3} \operatorname{Tr}(B)$. Denote $\mathfrak{A} \subset C^{2, \gamma}\left(\Omega_{+}^{2} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{3}\right), \mathfrak{B} \subset C^{\gamma}\left(\Omega_{+}^{2} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{3}\right), \mathfrak{C} \subset$ $\mathbb{H}_{g}^{+} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{3}$ denote the subspace consisting of trace-free symmetric matrices, respectively. For $\boldsymbol{u}=\left(\boldsymbol{h}^{+}, \boldsymbol{f}\right) \in \mathfrak{C} \oplus \mathfrak{A}$, define $\mathscr{F}: \mathfrak{C} \oplus \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ by $\mathscr{F}(\boldsymbol{u}):=\boldsymbol{h}^{+}+d^{+} d^{*}(\boldsymbol{f} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega})-\mathfrak{F}\left(-\operatorname{tf}\left(S_{\boldsymbol{h}^{-}+d^{-} d^{*}(\boldsymbol{f} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}}\right)\right)$, where $S_{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{-}}=\left(\theta_{\alpha}^{-} \wedge \theta_{\beta}^{-} / 2 \mathrm{dVol}_{g}\right)$. The condition $\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\prime}$ being hyperkähler is equivalent to the equation $\mathscr{F}(\boldsymbol{u})=0$.

To solve the equation, we write $\mathscr{F}(\boldsymbol{u})=\mathscr{L}(\boldsymbol{u})+\mathscr{N}(\boldsymbol{u})$, where $\mathscr{L}(\boldsymbol{u})=\boldsymbol{h}^{+}+d^{+} d^{*}(\boldsymbol{f} . \boldsymbol{\omega})=\boldsymbol{h}^{+}+\left(\Delta_{g} \boldsymbol{f}\right) . \boldsymbol{\omega}$, $\mathscr{N}(\boldsymbol{u})=-\mathfrak{F}\left(-\operatorname{tf}\left(S_{\boldsymbol{h}^{-}+d^{-} d^{*}(\boldsymbol{f} . \boldsymbol{\omega})}\right)\right)$. Then by standard elliptic theory that $\mathscr{L}$ is a bounded linear map which is surjective with a bounded right inverse, and $\|\mathscr{N}(\boldsymbol{u})-\mathscr{N}(\boldsymbol{v})\| \leq C\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{h}^{-}\right\|+\|\boldsymbol{u}\|+\|\boldsymbol{v}\|\right)(\|\boldsymbol{u}-\boldsymbol{v}\|)$. Then the implicit function theorem implies that there exists a $\delta>0$ such that for any $\left\|\boldsymbol{h}^{-}\right\|<\delta, \mathscr{F}(\boldsymbol{u})=0$ has a solution $\boldsymbol{u}$ with $\|\boldsymbol{u}\|<C(\delta)$, which finishes the proof of the claim. Now the map $\Psi: \mathbb{H}_{g}^{-} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow G r^{+}$, $\boldsymbol{h}^{-} \mapsto \operatorname{span}\left\{\boldsymbol{\omega}+\boldsymbol{h}^{-}\right\}$defines a homeomorphism from a neighborhood of 0 to a neighborhood of $\mathcal{P}(g)$, and $\operatorname{span}\left\{\boldsymbol{\omega}+\boldsymbol{h}^{-}\right\}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\boldsymbol{\omega}+\boldsymbol{h}^{-}+\boldsymbol{h}^{+}+d d^{*}(\boldsymbol{f} . \boldsymbol{\omega})\right\}$ as elements in $G r^{+}$, it follows that the image of a neighborhood of $g$ under $\mathcal{P}$ contains a neighborhood of $\mathcal{P}(g)$, hence $\mathcal{P}$ is an open map.

Step 3. We show that $\underline{\mathcal{P}}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\circ}$ is a proper map.
Suppose otherwise, then we can find a sequence of hyperkähler metrics $g_{j} \in \mathcal{N}$ which do not converge smoothly modulo $\operatorname{Diff}(\mathcal{K})$, but there exist $\gamma_{j} \in \Gamma$ such that $\gamma_{j} . \mathcal{P}\left(g_{j}\right)$ converges to a positive 3 -dimensional subspace $P_{\infty}$ in $G r^{+, \circ}$. Choose a hyperkähler triple $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{j}=\left(\omega_{j, 1}, \omega_{j, 2}, \omega_{j, 3}\right)$ for $g_{j}$. Denote $v_{j}^{4}=2 \operatorname{Vol}\left(g_{j}\right)$. We define the renormalized triple $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{j}=v_{j}^{-2} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{j}$, then $\int_{\mathcal{K}} \widetilde{\omega}_{j, \alpha} \wedge \widetilde{\omega}_{j, \beta}=\delta_{\alpha \beta}$.

Now we fix an norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$. By abusing notation we also denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the standard norm on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, or the induced norm on $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{3}$.

## Lemma 2. $\left\|\gamma_{j} \cdot\left[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{j}\right]\right\|$ is uniformly bounded.

Proof. Otherwise, by passing to a subsequence and $O(3)$ rotations we may assume only the first component of $\gamma_{j}$. [ $\left.\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{j}\right]$ is non-zero and $\| \gamma_{j}$.[ $\left.\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{j}\right] \|=\lambda_{j} \rightarrow \infty$. Denote $\zeta_{j}=\lambda_{j}^{-1} \gamma_{j}$. [ $\left.\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{j}\right]$, then passing to a subsequence we may assume $\zeta_{j}$ converges to an element $\zeta_{\infty}$ in $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ with $\left\|\zeta_{\infty}\right\|=1$ and with $\zeta_{\infty} \cup \zeta_{\infty}=0$. But the line spanned by $\zeta_{\infty}$ is contained in $P_{\infty}$ which is positive definite with respect to the intersection form. Contradiction.

Given the Lemma, by passing to a subsequence we may assume $\gamma_{j} .\left[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{j}\right]$ converges to a limit $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\infty}$ in $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{3}$. Notice $\left[\eta_{\infty, \alpha}\right] \cup\left[\eta_{\infty, \beta}\right]=\delta_{\alpha \beta}$, so $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\infty}$ forms a basis for $P_{\infty}$.

Proposition 3. Passing to a subsequence, for $j$ large, there exists a non-zero homology class $C_{j} \in H_{2}(\mathcal{K}, \mathbb{Z})$ satisfying $C_{j} . C_{j} \in\{0,-2\}$, and $\left\|\int_{C_{j}} \widetilde{\omega}_{j}\right\| \rightarrow 0$.
Proof. Passing to a subsequence we may assume $\left(\mathcal{K}, g_{j}\right)$ converges to a Gromov-Hausdorff limit $X_{\infty}$, which is a compact metric space.

If $v_{j} \geq \epsilon>0$ for all $j$, then it follows from the classical results $([1,2,5])$ that $X_{\infty}$ is a hyperkähler orbifold. Let $p_{j} \in \mathcal{K}$ be such that $\lambda_{j}:=\max _{\mathcal{K}}\left|\operatorname{Rm}\left(g_{j}\right)\right|$ is achieved at $p_{j}$. By assumption $\lambda_{j} \rightarrow \infty$. Then passing to a subsequence we can take a pointed Gromov-Hausdorff limit of ( $\mathcal{K}, p_{j}, \lambda_{j}^{1 / 2} g_{j}$ ) to get a complete ALE hyperkähler 4-manifold $Z$. By Kronheimer's classification [3] we know $Z$ must contain a homology class $C_{\infty}$ with $C_{\infty} . C_{\infty}=-2$. This gives rise to a sequence of -2 class $C_{j}$ in $\mathcal{K}$ such that $\left\|\int_{C_{j}} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{j}\right\| \rightarrow 0$, so $\left\|\int_{C_{j}} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{j}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as well. Notice here we only need the topological classification in Kronheimer's result.

If $v_{j} \rightarrow 0$, then the conclusion follows from the results of Sun-Zhang [4]. The point is that away from finitely many points, the hyperkähler triple $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{j}$ (up to $O(3)$ rotations) has almost local nilpotent symmetry, and it can be perturbed to a new hyperkähler triple $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{j}^{\prime}$ such that $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{j}^{\prime}$ has local nilpotent symmetry and $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{j}-\boldsymbol{\omega}_{j}^{\prime}$ is exact. In particular, $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{j}^{\prime}$ has explicit expression and the integration of $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{j}^{\prime}$ over a cycle is the same as the integration of
$\omega_{j}$ over that cycle. We divide into 3 cases. The first two cases only use the analysis over the regular region in [4].

- $\operatorname{dim} X_{\infty}=2$. In this case $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{j}^{\prime}$ is locally $T^{2}$-invariant. Take $C_{j}$ to be the class of a $T^{2}$ fiber. Then we get $\int_{C_{j}} \omega_{j, 1}=\int_{C_{j}} \omega_{j, 2}=0$ and $\int_{C_{j}} \omega_{j, 3} \sim v_{j}^{4}$. We get $\left\|\int_{C_{j}} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{j}\right\| \sim v_{j}^{2} \rightarrow 0$.
- $\operatorname{dim} X_{\infty}=1$. Locally there are two cases, either there is a $T^{3}$ symmetry or a Heisenberg symmetry. In the former case the metric corresponding to $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{j}^{\prime}$ is locally a flat product $S^{1}\left(r_{j, 1}\right) \times S^{1}\left(r_{j, 2}\right) \times S^{1}\left(r_{j, 3}\right) \times I$, where $I$ is an open interval and we assume $r_{j, 1} \leq r_{j, 2} \leq r_{j, 3}$, then we take $C_{j}$ to be the homology class of $S^{1}\left(r_{j, 1}\right) \times S^{1}\left(r_{j, 2}\right)$, then $\int_{C_{j}} \omega_{j, 1}=\int_{C_{j}} \omega_{j, 2}=0$ and $0<\int_{C_{j}} \omega_{j, 3}=r_{j, 1} r_{j, 2}$ whereas $v_{j}^{4} \sim r_{j, 1} r_{j, 2} r_{j, 3}$. So $\left\|\int_{C_{j}} \widetilde{\omega}_{j}\right\| \sim r_{j, 1}^{1 / 2} r_{j_{2}}^{1 / 2} r_{j, 3}^{-1 / 2} \rightarrow 0$. In the latter case the metric corresponding to $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{j}^{\prime}$ is locally given by the Gibbons-Hawking ansatz applied to a nonconstant linear function on $T^{2} \times I$. Take $C_{j}$ to be the homology class of the 2 -torus given by the total space of the corresponding circle bundle over a circle $S^{1}$ in $T^{2} \times I$, then one can arrange that $\int_{C_{j}} \omega_{j, 1}=\int_{C_{j}} \omega_{j, 2}=0$ and $0<\int_{C_{j}} \omega_{j, 3} \sim r_{j, 1} r_{j, 2}$, where $r_{j, 1}$ is the size of the $S^{1}$ fiber in the Gibbons-Hawking construction, and $r_{j, 2}$ is the size of the flat $T^{2}$ base. Notice the volume $v_{j}^{4} \sim r_{j, 1} r_{j, 2}^{2}$. So $\left\|\int_{C_{j}} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{j}\right\| \sim r_{j, 1}^{1 / 2} \rightarrow 0$.
- $\operatorname{dim} X_{\infty}=3$. Here we need some global result from [4]. It is proved there that $X_{\infty}$ must be a flat orbifold $T^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. Then $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{j}^{\prime}$ is given by Gibbons-Hawking construction on the complement of a small neighborhood of the orbifold points. We can take $C_{j}$ to be the total space of the circle bundle over a closed geodesic $S^{1} \subset T^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. Then we may arrange that $\int_{C_{j}} \omega_{j, 1}=\int_{C_{j}} \omega_{j, 2}=0$ while $0<\int_{C_{j}} \omega_{j, 3}=r_{j} \sim v_{j}^{4}$. So $\left\|\int_{C_{j}} \widetilde{\omega}_{j}\right\| \sim r_{j}^{1 / 2} \rightarrow 0$.

Now we derive a contradiction. Denote $C_{j}^{\prime}=\gamma_{j}^{-1}$. $C_{j}$. Since $C_{j}^{\prime}$ is integral and non-zero, we know $\left\|C_{j}^{\prime}\right\|$ has a uniform positive lower bound. By passing to a subsequence we may assume $\left\|C_{j}^{\prime}\right\|^{-1} C_{j}^{\prime}$ converges to a limit $C_{\infty}^{\prime} \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ with $\left\|C_{\infty}^{\prime}\right\|=1$. First suppose $C_{j} . C_{j}=0$, then $C_{\infty}^{\prime} . C_{\infty}^{\prime}=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{C_{\infty}^{\prime}} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{\infty}=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|C_{j}^{\prime}\right\|^{-1} \int_{C_{j}^{\prime}} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{\infty}=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|C_{j}^{\prime}\right\|^{-1} \int_{C_{j}^{\prime}} \gamma_{j} \cdot\left[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{j}\right]=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\|C_{j}^{\prime}\right\|^{-1} \int_{C_{j}} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{j}=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This contradicts the fact that the intersection form on $\Lambda_{\mathbb{R}}$ has signature $(3,19)$. Now suppose $C_{j}$. $C_{j}=-2$. If $\left\|C_{j}^{\prime}\right\|$ is unbounded, then $C_{\infty}^{\prime} \cdot C_{\infty}^{\prime}=0$, and we have $\int_{C_{\infty}^{\prime}} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{\infty}=0$ as in (2), hence we obtain a contradiction in the same way. If $\left\|C_{j}^{\prime}\right\|$ is bounded, by passing to a further subsequence we may assume $C_{j}^{\prime}$ converges to a limit $C_{\infty}^{\prime \prime} \in \Lambda$ with $C_{\infty}^{\prime \prime} . C_{\infty}^{\prime \prime}=-2$ and $\int_{C_{\infty}^{\prime \prime}} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{\infty}=0$. This contradicts to $P_{\infty} \in G r^{+, \circ}$ and finishes the proof of Step 3.

Finally, $\underline{\mathcal{P}}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\circ}$ being proper and $\mathcal{D}^{\circ}$ being locally compact, Hausdorff imply $\underline{\mathcal{P}}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\circ}$ is a closed map. Together with the image of $\underline{\mathcal{P}}$ being open, $\mathcal{D}^{\circ}$ being connected, we conclude $\underline{\mathcal{P}}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\circ}$ is surjective.
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