

THE AUTOMORPHISM GROUP OF KODAIRA SURFACES

ANDREA CATTANEO

ABSTRACT. In this paper we give an explicit description of the automorphism group of a primary Kodaira surface X in terms of suitable liftings to the universal cover \mathbb{C}^2 . As it happens for complex tori, the automorphism group of X is an extension of a finite cyclic group related to the action of the automorphisms on the tangent bundle of X by a group of automorphisms which acts on X as translations (both on the base and on the fibres of the elliptic fibration of X). We can then characterize those automorphisms acting symplectically and those acting trivially on cohomology. Finally, we describe the fixed locus of an automorphism and we show that, if not empty, it is the union of a finite number of fibres of the elliptic fibration of X . As a byproduct, we provide a different proof of Borcea's description of the moduli space of Kodaira surfaces.

CONTENTS

| | |
|---|----|
| 1. Introduction | 1 |
| 2. Preliminaries | 4 |
| 2.1. The Albanese map | 4 |
| 3. Constructions of Kodaira surfaces | 5 |
| 3.1. The fundamental group of a Kodaira surface | 6 |
| 3.2. Surjective endomorphisms of Kodaira surfaces | 11 |
| 4. A description of moduli | 15 |
| 5. Explicit generators for cohomologies | 19 |
| 5.1. Čech cohomology | 19 |
| 5.2. De Rham cohomology | 22 |
| 5.3. Dolbeault cohomology | 23 |
| 6. Automorphisms of Kodaira surfaces | 23 |
| 6.1. Automorphisms acting trivially on the base | 24 |
| 6.2. The automorphism group of a Kodaira surface | 26 |
| 7. Action on cohomology | 34 |
| 8. Fixed loci | 37 |
| References | 40 |

1. INTRODUCTION

The automorphism group of a manifold is a group naturally attached to that manifold, which measures how much (or how little) symmetric the manifold is. It is then not a surprise that the structure of this group is then intimately related and deeply influenced by the geometry of the original manifold.

The automorphism group of curves reflects the trichotomy of Kodaira dimension. In fact it is known that $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1) \simeq \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ is a non-compact 3-dimensional Lie group, while for a genus 1 curve E we have that $\text{Aut}(E) \simeq E \rtimes F$ (F is a finite

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 14J50, Secondary 32J15.
Key words and phrases. Kodaira surfaces, automorphisms.

cyclic group depending on E) is a compact 1-dimensional Lie group, and finally for curves C with $g(C) \geq 2$ the group $\text{Aut}(C)$ is finite.

In higher dimension the picture becomes more complicated. For example, it is still true that $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^n) \simeq \text{PGL}(n+1, \mathbb{C})$ and that the automorphism group of an algebraic manifold of general type is finite (see [Mat63, Corollari 2]).

Let us focus on the case of compact complex surfaces, and in particular of surfaces X of Kodaira dimension 0. The case where X is a complex torus is classical and well known ($\text{Aut}(X)$ is an extension of the group of group endomorphisms of X by X acting on itself by translations), if X is a bielliptic surface then $\text{Aut}(X)$ was explicitly described in [BM90, Proposition 3.1]. In the case where X is a $K3$ surface the study of maps between $K3$ surfaces with an emphasis to isomorphisms, due to the obvious connection with the study of their moduli space, has a milestone in the Torelli theorem of Piatetski-Shapiro and Shafarevich (see [Pvv71]) in the algebraic case, which was later generalized to the complex case by Burns and Rapoport (see [BR75, Theorem 1]). This generated a very large literature on the subject: thanks to Nikulin's work (e.g., [Nik79]) it was possible to describe and produce in a very explicit way automorphisms of $K3$ surfaces with given properties (e.g., [GS07], [AST11]), from a more theoretical point of view Sterk showed in [Ste85, Proposition 2.2] that the automorphism group of a $K3$ surface is finitely generated. For Enriques surfaces a description of $\text{Aut}(X)$ can be found in [BP83, Theorem].

The knowledge of the automorphism group of manifolds of low dimension provides a useful tool for the construction of higher dimensional manifolds. For example Borcea and Voisin, independently in [Bor97] and [Voi93], used a product/quotient construction to obtain a Calabi–Yau threefold starting from a $K3$ surface and an elliptic curve, both endowed with a suitable automorphism, which is part of the construction. This method was later used also in [CG16] and [Dil12] and adapted to produce Calabi–Yau fourfolds in [CGP19].

In higher dimension, the automorphism group of hyperkähler manifolds has been intensively studied by many authors (e.g., [BCNWS16], [BKMS19], [CGM19], [CCC21], [BCS16], [MTW18]) and as hyperkähler manifolds are higher dimensional analogous of $K3$ surfaces it seems reasonable that many of the results for automorphisms of $K3$ surfaces find an extension in the hyperkähler context. As an example of this, consider the finite presentation of the automorphism group of a hyperkähler manifold proved in [CF19, Theorem 1.6]. Let us observe that also the automorphism group of holomorphically symplectic manifolds of non-Kähler type have started to attract attention, see, e.g., [BKKY22].

In this paper we deal with primary Kodaira surfaces, and we give an explicit description of the structure of their automorphism groups. Following [FN05, §6] we are able to describe the automorphisms of a primary Kodaira surface X in terms of special liftings to its universal cover \mathbb{C}^2 . With this description, and exploiting the structure of principal bundle of X over a genus 1 curve B we are able to describe the subgroup of automorphisms inducing the identity on the base B . Our final result is that (as it happens for complex tori) the autpmorphism group of X is an extension of a finite cyclic group which depends on the base curve B and which essentially encodes the behaviour of the automorphism on the tangent bundle of B by a group of automorphisms acting as translations both on the base B and on the fibres. Then we will focus on some property of automorphisms, such as their fixed loci or their action on the Dolbeault cohomology of X .

The knowledge of the automorphism group of primary Kodaira surfaces may serve as a first step for the construction of new manifolds adapting, e.g., the already mentioned Borcea–Voisin construction and for the understanding the automorphism group of secondary Kodaira surfaces, which have the same relationship to primary

Kodaira surfaces as Enriques surfaces have with $K3$ surfaces. With respect to higher dimensional manifolds, there are natural generalizations of Kodaira surfaces, the so called Kodaira manifolds introduced in [FPPS04] and [GMPP04], and it is our belief that the study of their group of automorphisms will be a subject of great interest.

Structure of the paper — In Section 2 we recall some general facts on primary Kodaira surfaces, the most important is the fact that the elliptic fibration of a primary Kodaira surface X given by its algebraic reduction coincides with the Albanese map of X (Theorem 2.5).

In Section 3 we recall the construction of Kodaira surfaces proposed by Fujimoto and Nakayama in [FN05, §6], which is one of our main tools. In Section 3.2 we follow Fujimoto and Nakayama's argument to define suitable liftings for surjective endomorphisms of X : we must point out here that this is in fact the content of [FN05, Proposition 6.4], but we found an inaccuracy in their proof which we amend in Theorem 3.18 (see also Examples 3.14 and 3.15).

In Section 4 we show how it is possible to obtain a description of the moduli space of Kodaira surfaces from Fujimoto–Nakayama's description, thus providing a new proof of an old result in Theorem 4.8.

In Section 5 we give explicit generators for the de Rham and Dolbeault cohomology of X in terms of suitable invariant forms on the universal cover \mathbb{C}^2 .

In Section 6 we describe the automorphism group of a Kodaira surface, using the special liftings provided by Theorem 3.18. In particular, we can give an explicit description of the subgroup of automorphisms acting trivially on the base of the elliptic fibration (Corollary 6.8) and we show that $\text{Aut}(X)$ is an extension of a suitable finite cyclic group by a group of automorphisms acting as translations on both the base and the fibres of the elliptic fibration. In this regards, $\text{Aut}(X)$ resembles the automorphism group of a genus 1 curve.

Finally the last two sections, Section 7 and 8, give a description of the action of an automorphism on the cohomology of X , giving an explicit characterization of symplectic automorphisms (Proposition 7.1) and of these automorphisms acting trivially on cohomology (Proposition 7.4), and a description of their fixed loci, which is either empty or the union of a finite number of fibres of the elliptic fibration (Corollary 8.2 and Proposition 8.10).

Notations and conventions — We denote by \mathcal{H} the upper half-plane in \mathbb{C} , namely

$$\mathcal{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Im}(z) > 0\}.$$

For $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$ we denote by Λ_τ the lattice in \mathbb{C}

$$\Lambda_\tau = \mathbb{Z} \cdot \tau \oplus \mathbb{Z}.$$

With the only exceptions of Proposition 2.3 and Definition 2.4, the letter X always denotes a primary Kodaira surface. The elliptic fibration is denoted by $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ and its typical fibre is E .

A primitive n^{th} root of unity will be denoted by ω_n (e.g., $\omega_n = e^{\frac{2\pi}{n}\sqrt{-1}}$).

Acknowledgement — The author is a member of GNSAGA of INdAM. He wants to acknowledge the geometry group of the Math Department of Università di Parma, for their participation to an exposition of these results during the final stage of the writing of the paper, which helped to put them in a clearer form.

He also wants to warmly acknowledge professor N. Nakayama, who sent to the author a different proof of Theorem 3.18.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we recall the definition of Kodaira surfaces, their basic properties and how we can construct them. Our main references for this part are Kodaira's original paper [Kod64, §6], [Bor84] and [BHPVdV04].

Definition 2.1 (Primary Kodaira surface) — A *primary Kodaira surface* is a compact complex surface X with trivial canonical bundle $\omega_X \simeq \mathcal{O}_X$ and such that $b_1(X) = 3$.

In the sequel we will drop the word 'primary' and refer to such surfaces simply as 'Kodaira surfaces'.

Let X be a Kodaira surface. Then X is a minimal surface as it has trivial canonical bundle and its Hodge diamond is

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & h^{2,2}(X) & & 1 \\
 & & & & \\
 & h^{2,1}(X) & & h^{1,2}(X) & & 2 & 1 \\
 h^{2,0}(X) & & h^{1,1}(X) & & h^{0,2}(X) & = & 1 & 2 & 1 \\
 & h^{1,0}(X) & & h^{0,1}(X) & & & 1 & 2 \\
 & & h^{0,0}(X) & & & & & 1,
 \end{array}$$

so we immediately see that $\chi_{\text{top}}(X) = 0$.

From [Kod64, Theorem 11] and [Kod64, Theorem 4] we also have that X has algebraic dimension $a(X) = 1$ and that it is an elliptic surface. In fact X admits a *unique* elliptic fibration $\pi : X \rightarrow B$, where B is a smooth curve of genus 1, which is induced by any non-constant meromorphic function on X (see [BHPVdV04, Proposition VI.5.1]) and so coincides with the algebraic reduction of X .

The elliptic fibration π has neither multiple nor singular fibres and so it follows from [BHPVdV04, Theorem III.15.4] that π is locally trivial, and we call E any fibre. Elliptic fibre bundles over a genus 1 curve are studied in [BHPVdV04, p. 197 et seq.] and one can conclude that $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ is not just an elliptic fibration, but also a principal E -bundle over B .

2.1. The Albanese map. In this section we show that the elliptic fibration $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ on a Kodaira surface X is not only the algebraic reduction of X , but it also coincides with its Albanese morphism.

Definition 2.2 ([Uen75, Definition 9.6]) — The pair $(A(V), \alpha)$ is an *Albanese torus* for the compact complex manifold V if

- (1) $A(V)$ is a complex torus and $\alpha : V \rightarrow A(V)$ is a morphism;
- (2) for every morphism $g : V \rightarrow T$ from V to a complex torus T there exists a unique Lie group homomorphism $h : A(V) \rightarrow T$ and a unique element $a \in T$ such that $g(x) = h(\alpha(x)) + a$ for every $x \in V$.

By [Uen75, Theorem 9.7] every compact complex manifold admits an Albanese torus, and it follows from the definition that this torus is well defined only up to translations.

The main ingredient in our proof of the fact that $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ is the Albanese morphism of X is the following result by Blanchard.

Proposition 2.3 ([Bla56, Proposition I.2.2]) — *Let X and B be compact connected complex analytic manifolds and let $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ be an analytic map. We assume that π is a fibration in the sense of Serre (see [Ser51, p. 443]), that every fibre F of π is a complex analytic submanifold of X and that every point of the base B has*

a neighbourhood over which there exists an analytic section for the fibred space X . Then the following diagram is an exact sequence of Lie groups

$$A(F) \xrightarrow{i_*} A(X) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} A(B) \longrightarrow 0.$$

For convenience, we recall here the definition of a *fibration in the sense of Serre*.

Definition 2.4 ([Ser51, p. 443]) — A *fibration in the sense of Serre* is a triple (X, π, B) where X and B are topological spaces and π is a continuous function of X onto B with the property that for each pair of continuous functions $f : P \times I \rightarrow B$ and $g : P \rightarrow X$ (where P is a finite polyhedron and $I = [0, 1]$) such that $\pi(g(x)) = f(x, 0)$ for every $x \in P$ there exists a unique continuous function $h : P \times I \rightarrow X$ such that $\pi \circ h = f$ and $h(x, 0) = g(x)$ for every $x \in P$.

We are now ready to prove that the elliptic fibration on a Kodaira surface X is the Albanese morphism of X .

Theorem 2.5 — *Let X be a Kodaira surface, and let $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ be its elliptic fibration. Then (B, π) is the Albanese torus of X .*

Proof. Denote by E the fibre of the elliptic fibration π and let $(A(X), \alpha)$ be the Albanese torus of X . From Blanchard's Theorem (see Theorem 2.3) we have the exact sequence of Lie groups

$$E \xrightarrow{i_*} A(X) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} B \longrightarrow 0,$$

and so we deduce that $\dim(A(X)) \geq \dim(B) = 1$. On the other hand we have $\dim(A(X)) \leq \dim(H^0(X, \Omega_X^1)) = 1$ by [Uen75, Lemma 9.22]; hence $\dim(A(X)) = \dim(B) = 1$ and $A(X)$ and B are isogenous elliptic curves.

We want to show that π_* is an isomorphism. Let $K = \ker(\pi_*)$, then we have the following exact sequences:

- (1) $E \xrightarrow{i_*} K \longrightarrow 0$;
- (2) $0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow A(X) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} B \longrightarrow 0$.

Since π_* is onto and $\dim(A(X)) = \dim(B) = 1$ we have that K is finite. Finally, since E is connected we deduce that $K = i_*(E)$ is connected as well. Hence $K = 0$ and π_* is an isomorphism. \square

3. CONSTRUCTIONS OF KODAIRA SURFACES

There are several ways to construct Kodaira surfaces. The first goes back to Kodaira's original paper [Kod64, §6], where he showed that every Kodaira surface can be obtained as a quotient of the plane \mathbb{C}^2 by the action of a group of affine transformations. Alternatively (see [Bor84] or [Thu76]), one can consider \mathbb{C}^2 with the group law

$$(z, \zeta) * (z', \zeta') = (z + \zeta, \zeta + \bar{z}'z + \zeta')$$

and in this case a Kodaira surface is a quotient of \mathbb{C}^2 by the action of a cocompact lattice $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{C}^2$, which shows that Kodaira surfaces are complex nilmanifolds.

In [FN05, §6] Fujimoto and Nakayama show another construction for Kodaira surfaces. Let

$$\Lambda_{\tau_B} = \mathbb{Z} \cdot \tau_B \oplus \mathbb{Z}, \quad \Lambda_{\tau_E} = \mathbb{Z} \cdot \tau_E \oplus \mathbb{Z}, \quad \tau_B, \tau_E \in \mathcal{H},$$

choose $c \in \Lambda_{\tau_E} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{C}$ and define Π as the group of affine transformations of \mathbb{C}^2 generated by

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \gamma_1(z, \zeta) &= (z + \tau_B, \zeta + cz + \delta), & \gamma_2(z, \zeta) &= (z + 1, \zeta), \\ \gamma_3(z, \zeta) &= (z, \zeta + \tau_E), & \gamma_4(z, \zeta) &= (z, \zeta + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \langle \gamma_3, \gamma_4 \rangle \longrightarrow \Pi \longrightarrow \langle \bar{\gamma}_1, \bar{\gamma}_2 \rangle \longrightarrow 1,$$

and $\mathbb{C}^2 / \langle \gamma_3, \gamma_4 \rangle \simeq \mathbb{C} \times E$. The residual actions $\bar{\gamma}_1, \bar{\gamma}_2$ descend to $\mathbb{C} \times E$, and we can then form the quotient

$$X_{c,\delta} = (\mathbb{C} \times E) / \langle \bar{\gamma}_1, \bar{\gamma}_2 \rangle = \mathbb{C}^2 / \langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4 \rangle.$$

It is quite easy to see that all the surfaces $X_{c,\delta}$ constructed with this method are Kodaira surfaces. Actually, any Kodaira surface can be obtained in this way.

Lemma 3.1 ([FN05, Lemma 6.1]) — *Let X be a primary Kodaira surface. Then there exist $\tau_B, \tau_E \in \mathcal{H}$, $c \in \Lambda_{\tau_E} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that X is isomorphic to $X_{c,\delta}$.*

Remark 3.2 — *From the proof of Lemma 3.1 one can see that $c \in \Lambda_{\tau_E}$ has a cohomological meaning: it is the image in $H^2(B, \Lambda_{\tau_E}) \simeq \Lambda_{\tau_E}$ of the cocycle in $H^1(B, \mathcal{E}_B)$ defining the principal bundle $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ (\mathcal{E}_B denotes the sheaf of germs of holomorphic functions on B with values in E).*

Remark 3.3 — *The group $\Pi = \langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4 \rangle$ is therefore naturally identified with the fundamental group $\pi_1(X)$ seen as the group of deck transformations of the universal cover \mathbb{C}^2 . We will describe $\pi_1(X)$ more in detail in Section 3.1.*

Definition 3.4 — According to Lemma 3.1 we will say that a Kodaira surface X corresponds to the data $(\tau_B, \tau_E, c, \delta)$ to mean that $\tau_B, \tau_E \in \mathcal{H}$, $c \in \Lambda_{\tau_E} \setminus \{0\}$, $\delta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $X \simeq X_{c,\delta}$.

The projection on the first factor $\mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is equivariant with respect to the action of the group Π on \mathbb{C}^2 and the action of the group Λ_{τ_B} as group of translations of \mathbb{C} . As a consequence it descends to the quotient and induces

$$\pi : X \rightarrow B$$

which is the elliptic fibration of X , making it a principal bundle over B . Given an element $e \in E$ we denote by τ_e the automorphism of the principal bundle X induced by e and we write $x \cdot e = \tau_e(x)$. As it can be seen by a direct computation, a lift for τ_e to \mathbb{C}^2 is provided by the affine map

$$\begin{aligned} T_{e'} : \mathbb{C}^2 &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 \\ (z, \zeta) &\longmapsto (z, \zeta + e'), \end{aligned}$$

where $e' \in \mathbb{C}$ is any lift for $e \in E = \mathbb{C} / \Lambda_{\tau_E}$.

3.1. The fundamental group of a Kodaira surface. Let X be a Kodaira surface, corresponding to the data $(\tau_B, \tau_E, c, \delta)$. As we recalled in Remark 3.3 the fundamental group of X is the group of affine transformations generated by (3.1):

$$\pi_1(X) = \langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4 \rangle.$$

The only non trivial commutator among these generators is $\gamma_1 \circ \gamma_2 \circ \gamma_1^{-1} \circ \gamma_2^{-1}$, in fact

$$(z, \zeta) \xrightarrow{\gamma_1 \circ \gamma_2 \circ \gamma_1^{-1} \circ \gamma_2^{-1}} (z, \zeta + c) \quad \text{and so} \quad \gamma_1 \circ \gamma_2 \circ \gamma_1^{-1} \circ \gamma_2^{-1} \in \langle \gamma_3, \gamma_4 \rangle.$$

As $\pi_1(X)$ is a group of affine transformations we can see its elements as triangular matrices. Following [FN05, §6] let

$$T(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ x_1 & 1 & 0 \\ x_3 & x_2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{C},$$

then $\pi_1(X)$ can be identified with the subgroup of triangular matrices generated by

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= T(\tau_B, \delta, c), & T_2 &= T(1, 0, 0), \\ T_3 &= T(0, 0, \tau_E), & T_4 &= T(0, 0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.5 — *Let X be a Kodaira surface, corresponding to the data $(\tau_B, \tau_E, c, \delta)$. Every element $\gamma \in \pi_1(X)$ can be written as*

$$(3.2) \quad \gamma = \gamma_1^{m_1} \circ \gamma_2^{m_2} \circ \gamma_3^{m_3} \circ \gamma_4^{m_4}, \quad m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4 \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and the exponents m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4 are uniquely determined by γ (the γ_i 's are defined in (3.1)).

Proof. We have already observed that γ_3 and γ_4 are central elements of $\pi_1(X)$ and $\gamma_1 \circ \gamma_2 \circ \gamma_1^{-1} \circ \gamma_2^{-1} \in \langle \gamma_3, \gamma_4 \rangle$. This implies that each element $\gamma \in \pi_1(X)$ can be written as

$$\gamma = \gamma_1^{m_1} \circ \gamma_2^{m_2} \circ \gamma_3^{m_3} \circ \gamma_4^{m_4}$$

for suitable $m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4 \in \mathbb{Z}$. We only need to prove that the exponents are uniquely determined by γ .

It is easy to prove by induction that

$$\begin{aligned} T_1^{m_1} &= T\left(m_1\tau_B, m_1c, \frac{m_1(m_1-1)}{2}c\tau_B + m_1\delta\right) \\ T_2^{m_2} &= T(m_2, 0, 0) \\ T_3^{m_3} &= T(0, 0, m_3\tau_E) \\ T_4^{m_4} &= T(0, 0, m_4), \end{aligned}$$

hence that

$$(3.3) \quad T_1^{m_1} T_2^{m_2} T_3^{m_3} T_4^{m_4} = T(x_1, x_2, x_3) \text{ with } \begin{aligned} x_1 &= m_1\tau_B + m_2, \\ x_2 &= m_1c, \\ x_3 &= m_1m_2c + \frac{m_1(m_1-1)}{2}c\tau_B + \\ &\quad + m_1\delta + m_3\tau_E + m_4. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, this shows that m_1, m_2 are uniquely determined by γ .

Assume now that we can write $\gamma = \gamma_1^{m_1} \circ \gamma_2^{m_2} \circ \gamma_3^{m_3} \circ \gamma_4^{m_4} = \gamma_1^{n_1} \circ \gamma_2^{n_2} \circ \gamma_3^{n_3} \circ \gamma_4^{n_4}$. Since the exponent of γ_1 and γ_2 are uniquely determined by γ we have that $m_1 = n_1$ and $m_2 = n_2$, which implies that $\gamma_3^{m_3} \circ \gamma_4^{m_4} = \gamma_3^{n_3} \circ \gamma_4^{n_4}$. This implies that

$$T(0, 0, m_3\tau_E + m_4) = T_3^{m_3} T_4^{m_4} = T_3^{n_3} T_4^{n_4} = T(0, 0, n_3\tau_E + n_4)$$

hence that also $m_3 = n_3$ and $m_4 = n_4$. So all the exponents are uniquely determined by γ . \square

Lemma 3.5 says that the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Z}^4 &\longrightarrow \pi_1(X) \\ (m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4) &\longmapsto \gamma_1^{m_1} \circ \gamma_2^{m_2} \circ \gamma_3^{m_3} \circ \gamma_4^{m_4} \end{aligned}$$

is a bijection. Since \mathbb{Z}^4 is in bijection also with $\Lambda_{\tau_B} \times \Lambda_{\tau_E}$ via

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Z}^4 &\longrightarrow \Lambda_{\tau_B} \times \Lambda_{\tau_E} \\ (m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4) &\longmapsto (m_1\tau_B + m_2, m_3\tau_E + m_4) \end{aligned}$$

we see that $\pi_1(X)$ is also in bijection with $\Lambda_{\tau_B} \times \Lambda_{\tau_E}$, hence we can use this correspondence to define on $\Lambda_{\tau_B} \times \Lambda_{\tau_E}$ a group law \star making $(\Lambda_{\tau_B} \times \Lambda_{\tau_E}; \star)$ isomorphic

to $\pi_1(X)$. In order to write this group law explicitly in terms of $(x, y) \in \Lambda_{\tau_B} \times \Lambda_{\tau_E}$ we use the following bilinear form.

Definition 3.6 — Let $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\Lambda_\tau = \mathbb{Z} \cdot \tau \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. We define $D_\tau : \Lambda_\tau \times \Lambda_\tau \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ as the unique skew-symmetric bilinear form on Λ_τ such that $D_\tau(\tau, 1) = 1$.

Thanks to this map we see that for every $x \in \Lambda_{\tau_B}$ we have

$$(3.4) \quad x = D_{\tau_B}(x, 1)\tau_B - D_{\tau_B}(x, \tau_B)$$

and similarly for Λ_E , hence we can describe the inverse map $\Lambda_{\tau_B} \times \Lambda_{\tau_E} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^4$.

Proposition 3.7 — Let X be a Kodaira surface, corresponding to $(\tau_B, \tau_E, c, \delta)$. Then $\pi_1(X)$ is isomorphic to $(\Lambda_{\tau_B} \times \Lambda_{\tau_E}; \star)$, where

$$(x, y) \star (x', y') = (x + x', y + y' + D_{\tau_B}(x, \tau_B)D_{\tau_B}(x', 1)c).$$

The identity element is $(0, 0)$ and $(x, y)^{-1} = (-x, -y + D_{\tau_B}(x, \tau_B)D_{\tau_B}(x, 1)c)$.

Proof. Let $(m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4), (n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$. Using the commutation rules for $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4$, in particular the fact that $\gamma_1 \circ \gamma_2 \circ \gamma_1^{-1} \circ \gamma_2^{-1} = \gamma_3^\alpha \circ \gamma_4^\beta$ for suitable $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have that

$$(\gamma_1^{m_1} \circ \gamma_2^{m_2} \circ \gamma_3^{m_3} \circ \gamma_4^{m_4}) \circ (\gamma_1^{n_1} \circ \gamma_2^{n_2} \circ \gamma_3^{n_3} \circ \gamma_4^{n_4}) = \gamma_1^{m_1} \circ \gamma_2^{m_2} \circ \gamma_1^{n_1} \circ \gamma_2^{n_2} \circ \gamma_3^{m_3+n_3} \circ \gamma_4^{m_4+n_4}$$

$$\text{and } \gamma_2^{m_2} \circ \gamma_1^{n_1} = \gamma_1^{n_1} \circ \gamma_2^{m_2} \circ \gamma_3^{-n_1 m_2 \alpha} \circ \gamma_4^{-n_1 m_2 \beta}.$$

The element $(x, y) \in \Lambda_{\tau_B} \times \Lambda_{\tau_E}$ corresponds to the element

$$(3.5) \quad \gamma_1^{D_{\tau_B}(x, 1)} \circ \gamma_2^{-D_{\tau_B}(x, \tau_B)} \circ \gamma_3^{D_{\tau_E}(y, 1)} \circ \gamma_4^{-D_{\tau_E}(y, \tau_E)} \in \pi_1(X),$$

and similarly $(x', y') \in \Lambda_{\tau_B} \times \Lambda_{\tau_E}$. Using the previous equalities with $\alpha = D_{\tau_E}(c, 1)$ and $\beta = -D_{\tau_E}(c, \tau_E)$ then leads to

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y) \star (x', y') &= ((D_{\tau_B}(x, 1) + D_{\tau_B}(x', 1))\tau_B - D_{\tau_B}(x, \tau_B) - D_{\tau_B}(x', \tau_B), \\ &\quad (D_{\tau_E}(y, 1) + D_{\tau_E}(y', 1) + D_{\tau_B}(x', 1)D_{\tau_B}(x, \tau_B)D_{\tau_E}(c, 1))\tau_E + \\ &\quad - D_{\tau_E}(y, \tau_E) - D_{\tau_E}(y', \tau_E) + \\ &\quad - D_{\tau_B}(x', 1)D_{\tau_B}(x, \tau_B)D_{\tau_E}(c, \tau_E)) = \\ &= (x + x', y + y' + D_{\tau_B}(x', 1)D_{\tau_B}(x, \tau_B)c). \end{aligned}$$

The final statement is easy to verify. \square

As a consequence we see that the action of $\pi_1(X)$ as group of deck transformations of \mathbb{C}^2 translates to the following action of $(\Lambda_{\tau_B} \times \Lambda_{\tau_E}; \star)$: $(x, y) \in \Lambda_{\tau_B} \times \Lambda_{\tau_E}$ corresponds to the element defined by (3.5) in $\pi_1(X)$, hence to the matrix

$$\begin{aligned} T(x_1, x_2, x_3) \text{ with } & \begin{aligned} x_1 &= x, \\ x_2 &= D_{\tau_B}(x, 1)c, \\ x_3 &= y - D_{\tau_B}(x, 1)D_{\tau_B}(x, \tau_B)c + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}D_{\tau_B}(x, 1)(D_{\tau_B}(x, 1) - 1)c\tau_B + D_{\tau_B}(x, 1)\delta. \end{aligned} \end{aligned}$$

So $(x, y) \in \Lambda_{\tau_B} \times \Lambda_{\tau_E}$ acts on \mathbb{C}^2 as

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \gamma_{(x, y)}(z, \zeta) &= (z + x, \zeta + D_{\tau_B}(x, 1)cz + y - D_{\tau_B}(x, 1)D_{\tau_B}(x, \tau_B)c + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}D_{\tau_B}(x, 1)(D_{\tau_B}(x, 1) - 1)c\tau_B + D_{\tau_B}(x, 1)\delta) = \\ &= (z + x, \zeta + D_{\tau_B}(x, 1)cz + y + \\ &\quad + D_{\tau_B}(x, 1)(\delta - \frac{1}{2}\tau_B c - \frac{1}{2}D_{\tau_B}(x, \tau_B)c + \frac{1}{2}cx)) \end{aligned}$$

where we used (3.4) in the last equality.

The following propositions are well known. We include them since we want to give proofs which make use of Proposition 3.7.

Proposition 3.8 — *Let X be a Kodaira surface, corresponding to $(\tau_B, \tau_E, c, \delta)$. Then $Z(\pi_1(X)) = \langle \gamma_3, \gamma_4 \rangle$.*

Proof. We want to show that an element $(x, y) \in \Lambda_{\tau_B} \times \Lambda_{\tau_E}$ is central (with respect to \star) if and only if $x = 0$.

One implication is trivial: if we consider $(0, y) \in \Lambda_{\tau_B} \times \Lambda_{\tau_E}$ then for any other $(x', y') \in \Lambda_{\tau_B} \times \Lambda_{\tau_E}$

$$(0, y) \star (x', y') = (x, y + y') = (x', y') \star (0, y).$$

Now we show that, vice versa, if $(x, y) \in \Lambda_{\tau_B} \times \Lambda_{\tau_E}$ is central then $x = 0$. Since (x, y) is central we have that

$$(1 + x, y - D_{\tau_B}(x, 1)c) = (1, 0) \star (x, y) = (x, y) \star (1, 0) = (x + 1, y),$$

so we deduce that $D_{\tau_B}(x, 1) = 0$. On the other hand we also have

$$(x + \tau_B, y + D_{\tau_B}(x, \tau_B)c) = (x, y) \star (\tau_B, 0) = (\tau_B, 0) \star (x, y) = (\tau_B + x, y),$$

from which $D_{\tau_B}(x, \tau_B) = 0$. So

$$x = D_{\tau_B}(x, 1)\tau_B - D_{\tau_B}(x, \tau_B) = 0.$$

□

Consider the Kodaira surface X corresponding to the data $(\tau_B, \tau_E, c, \delta)$. Since $c \in \Lambda_{\tau_E} \setminus \{0\}$ we can write

$$(3.7) \quad c = m(p\tau_E + q) \quad \text{with } m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \text{ and } \gcd(p, q) = 1$$

in a unique way for a primitive element $p\tau_E + q \in \Lambda_{\tau_E}$.

Proposition 3.9 — *Let X be a Kodaira surface, corresponding to $(\tau_B, \tau_E, c, \delta)$. Write $c = m(p\tau_E + q)$ as in (3.7). Then*

$$\pi_1(X)/[\pi_1(X), \pi_1(X)] \simeq \mathbb{Z}^3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. The generators of $(\Lambda_{\tau_B} \times \Lambda_{\tau_E}; \star)$ which correspond to (3.1) are $(\tau_B, 0)$, $(1, 0)$, $(0, \tau_E)$ and $(0, 1)$ respectively. The only non trivial commutator of these generators is

$$(\tau_B, 0) \star (1, 0) \star (\tau_B, 0)^{-1} \star (1, 0)^{-1} = (0, c) = (0, m(p\tau_E + q)) = (0, p\tau_E + q)^m,$$

hence $[\pi_1(X), \pi_1(X)]$ corresponds to $\langle (0, c) \rangle = \{(0, nc) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

Since $\gcd(p, q) = 1$ we can find $h, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $ph - qk = 1$ and so we can consider the elements $(0, p\tau_E + q)$ and $(0, k\tau_E + h)$. Then $(\tau_B, 0)$, $(1, 0)$, $(0, p\tau_E + q)$ and $(0, k\tau_E + h)$ are other generators for $(\Lambda_{\tau_B} \times \Lambda_{\tau_E}; \star)$. As a consequence we find that $\pi_1(X)/[\pi_1(X), \pi_1(X)] \simeq \mathbb{Z}^3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, where the three copies of \mathbb{Z} are generated (respectively) by the images of $(\tau_B, 0)$, $(1, 0)$ and $(0, k\tau_E + h)$, while $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ is generated by the image of $(0, p\tau_E + q)$. □

Definition 3.10 — The abelianization of the fundamental group of X coincides with the first singular homology group of X , so we will refer to the integer m in Proposition 3.9 as *the torsion coefficient* of $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$. As a consequence, Proposition 3.9 establishes a connection between a topological invariant of X and a cohomological invariant of the structure of principal bundle on X .

We conclude this section with a last result of topological nature, which can easily adapted to the contest of smooth differentiable manifolds or complex manifolds. We know that if $Y \rightarrow X$ is the universal covering of the topological space X , then any continuous map $X \rightarrow X$ lifts to a continuous map $Y \rightarrow Y$. Here we address the inverse problem, i.e., we recall the condition ensuring that a map $Y \rightarrow Y$ descends to X .

Theorem 3.11 — *Let $Y \rightarrow X$ be the universal cover of the topological space X and let Φ be a homeomorphism of Y . Then:*

- (1) Φ descends to X if and only if $\Phi \circ \pi_1(X) \circ \Phi^{-1} \subseteq \pi_1(X)$;
- (2) Φ descends to a homeomorphism of X if and only if $\Phi \circ \pi_1(X) \circ \Phi^{-1} = \pi_1(X)$.

Proof. We denote by $[\cdot] : Y \rightarrow X$ the covering map.

For the first equivalence, we start assuming that we have a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & X \end{array}$$

where $f(x) = [F(y)]$ for any $y \in Y$ such that $x = [y]$. For any $\gamma \in \pi_1(X)$ we have that

$$[\Phi(\gamma(\Phi^{-1}(y)))] = f([\gamma(\Phi^{-1}(y))]) = f(\Phi^{-1}(y)) = [\Phi(\Phi^{-1}(y))] = [y]$$

which shows that $\Phi \circ \gamma \circ \Phi^{-1}$ is a deck transformation, i.e., $\Phi \circ \gamma \circ \Phi^{-1} \in \pi_1(X)$. Assume now that Φ normalizes $\pi_1(X)$ and define $f : X \rightarrow X$ by $f(x) = [F(y)]$ for any $y \in Y$ such that $x = [y]$. Observe that if $y, y' \in Y$ are such that $x = [y] = [y']$ then $y' = \gamma(y)$ for some $\gamma \in \pi_1(X)$ and so, using the fact that $\Phi \circ \gamma = \gamma' \circ \Phi$ for a suitable $\gamma' \in \pi_1(X)$ we have that

$$[\Phi(y')] = [\Phi(\gamma(y))] = [\gamma'(\Phi(y))] = [\Phi(y)],$$

which shows that f is well defined. It is then straightforward to conclude that such f is continuous, open and surjective.

For the second equivalence, assume that Φ descends to a homeomorphism of X . Then we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \Gamma & & \\ & & \curvearrowright & & \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & Y & \xrightarrow{\Phi^{-1}} & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & X & \xrightarrow{f^{-1}} & X \\ & & \curvearrowleft & & \\ & & \text{id}_X & & \end{array}$$

and we know from the previous point that $\Phi \circ \pi_1(X) \circ \Phi^{-1} \subseteq \pi_1(X)$. The diagram shows that $\Phi^{-1} \circ \pi_1(X) \circ \Phi = \Gamma \in \pi_1(X)$. Since Φ^{-1} also descends to X we deduce as before that $\Phi^{-1} \circ \pi_1(X) \circ \Phi \subseteq \pi_1(X)$, hence that $\pi_1(X) \subseteq \Phi \circ \pi_1(X) \circ \Phi^{-1}$. Finally, assume that $\Phi \circ \pi_1(X) \circ \Phi^{-1} = \pi_1(X)$. By the previous point we know that Φ descends to X , inducing a map $f : X \rightarrow X$ which is surjective, open and continuous. To prove that f is a homeomorphism we only need to show that it is also injective. Let $x_1, x_2 \in X$ be such that $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$, and let $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ be lifts to Y of x_1, x_2 respectively. Then $[\Phi(y_1)] = [\Phi(y_2)]$ and so there exists $\gamma \in \pi_1(X)$ such that $\Phi(y_1) = \gamma(\Phi(y_2))$. By hypothesis there exists $\gamma' \in \pi_1(X)$ such that $\gamma \circ \Phi = \Phi \circ \gamma'$ and so we have

$$\Phi(y_1) = \gamma(\Phi(y_2)) = \Phi(\gamma'(y_2)).$$

As Φ is bijective this means that $y_1 = \gamma'(y_2)$ and so $x_1 = [y_1] = [\gamma'(y_2)] = [y_2] = x_2$, i.e., f is injective. \square

3.2. Surjective endomorphisms of Kodaira surfaces. In this section we recall a result of Fujimoto and Nakayama, [FN05, Proposition 6.4], which is a key ingredient for our study of automorphisms of Kodaira surfaces. Their result concerns *surjective endomorphisms* of a Kodaira surface X and gives a canonical form for a lifting to the universal cover \mathbb{C}^2 .

Unfortunately, there is a bug in the proof of this result and so their statement is stronger than it should be (see also Remark 3.22). We recall their proposition in Theorem 3.12, and show in Example 3.14 and Example 3.15 that there is a problem with this statement. Then we provide a weaker version of the same result which amends the inaccuracy in their proof in Theorem 3.18.

Theorem 3.12 ([FN05, Proposition 6.4]) — *Let $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ be a Kodaira surface, corresponding to the data $(\tau_B, \tau_E, c, \delta)$, and let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a surjective holomorphic map. Let $h : B \rightarrow B$ be the induced morphism, and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that $h_* : H^0(B, TB) \rightarrow H^0(B, TB)$ is given by the multiplication by α , with $\alpha\Lambda_{\tau_B} \subseteq \Lambda_{\tau_B}$. Then f has a lift to \mathbb{C}^2 of the form*

$$\Phi_{\alpha, v}(z, \zeta) = \left(\alpha z + \frac{\alpha - 1}{c} \frac{2\delta - c\tau_B}{2}, |\alpha|^2 \zeta + D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1) \alpha \left(\frac{c}{2} z^2 + \frac{2\delta - c\tau_B}{2} z \right) + v \right),$$

for some $v \in \mathbb{C}$.

Remark 3.13 — *Observe that the same surjective endomorphism $f : X \rightarrow X$ may have more than one lift to \mathbb{C}^2 . Fujimoto–Nakayama’s Theorem provides just one of them, of a very simple form.*

The following examples show that this result is wrong.

Example 3.14 — Let X be the Kodaira surface which corresponds to the data $(\tau_B, \tau_E, c, \delta)$. Choose any $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $c\beta \in \Lambda_{\tau_E}$ and consider the automorphism Φ of \mathbb{C}^2 defined by

$$\Phi(z, \zeta) = (z + \beta, \zeta).$$

We can then see that conjugation with Φ has the following effect on the generators $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$ and γ_4 for the fundamental group of X (see (3.1)): let $\Gamma_i = \Phi \circ \gamma_i \circ \Phi^{-1}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_1(z, \zeta) &= (z + \tau_B, \zeta + cz + \delta - c\beta), \\ \Gamma_2(z, \zeta) &= (z + 1, \zeta) & \implies \Gamma_2 = \gamma_2, \\ \Gamma_3(z, \zeta) &= (z, \zeta + \tau_E) & \implies \Gamma_3 = \gamma_3, \\ \Gamma_4(z, \zeta) &= (z, \zeta + 1) & \implies \Gamma_4 = \gamma_4. \end{aligned}$$

Since we chose $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $c\beta \in \Lambda_{\tau_E}$, we see that $\Phi \circ \pi_1(X) \circ \Phi^{-1} = \pi_1(X)$ and so Φ descends to X and induces an automorphism $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$ (see Theorem 3.11). It is easy to see that the automorphism induced on the base B of the elliptic fibration is the translation by β . According to Theorem 3.12, if $g \in \text{Aut}(X)$ is an automorphism which induces a translation on the base of the elliptic fibration, then this translation must be id_B , so we find a contradiction as soon as $\beta \notin \Lambda_{\tau_B}$.

Example 3.15 — Let X be a Kodaira surface, which corresponds to the data $(\tau_E, \tau_E, c, \delta)$ (we choose $\tau_B = \tau_E$). Consider the automorphism Φ of \mathbb{C}^2 defined by

$$\Phi(z, \zeta) = (z, \zeta + 2z).$$

We can then see that conjugation with Φ has the following effect on the generators $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$ and γ_4 for the fundamental group of X (see (3.1)): let $\Gamma_i = \Phi \circ \gamma_i \circ \Phi^{-1}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_1(z, \zeta) &= (z + \tau_E, \zeta + cz + \delta + 2\tau_E) &\implies \Gamma_1 &= \gamma_1 \circ \gamma_3^2, \\ \Gamma_2(z, \zeta) &= (z + 1, \zeta + 2) &\implies \Gamma_2 &= \gamma_2 \circ \gamma_4^2, \\ \Gamma_3(z, \zeta) &= (z, \zeta + \tau_E) &\implies \Gamma_3 &= \gamma_3, \\ \Gamma_4(z, \zeta) &= (z, \zeta + 1) &\implies \Gamma_4 &= \gamma_4. \end{aligned}$$

So $\Phi \circ \pi_1(X) \circ \Phi^{-1} = \pi_1(X)$ and Φ descends to X and induces an automorphism $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$ (see Theorem 3.11). It is easy to see that the automorphism induced on the base B of the elliptic fibration is the identity. According to Theorem 3.12, if $g \in \text{Aut}(X)$ is an automorphism which induces the identity on the base of the elliptic fibration, it has a lift of the form $\Phi'(z, \zeta) = (z, \zeta + v)$ and so $g(x) = x \cdot v'$ is the translation by the constant element $v' \in E$ identified by v . On the contrary, we see that our f acts with a different element on each fibre, as $f(x) = x \cdot (2\pi(x))$.

Example 3.16 — Let X be the Kodaira surface associated to $(\tau_B, \tau_E, m, 0)$ with $\tau_B = \sqrt{-1}$ and $\tau_E = \sqrt{-1}\pi$ (or any other irrational multiple of $\sqrt{-1}$). It is easy to see using Theorem 3.11 that the automorphisms of \mathbb{C}^2 of the form

$$\Phi(z, \zeta) = (z + \beta, \zeta), \quad \text{with } \beta \in \frac{1}{m}\Lambda_{\tau_E}$$

descend to automorphisms of X and that the corresponding automorphism of the base B of the elliptic fibration is the translation by $\beta \bmod \Lambda_{\tau_B}$. Since π is irrational, all the points of the form $\beta_h = h \frac{\pi}{m} \sqrt{-1} \in \frac{1}{m}\Lambda_{\tau_E}$ define different points on $B = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_{\tau_B}$, and so we conclude that there is an infinite number of different translations of B that are induced by automorphisms of X . Notice that this is in contrast with [Shr19, Corollary 3.4], but we observe here that [Shr19, Corollary 3.4] was deduced as an immediate consequence of the particular form of the lifts provided by Theorem 3.12.

Remark 3.17 — As we pointed out in Example 3.16 there is an issue in [Shr19, Corollary 3.4] (and in [Shr19, Theorem 1.1(iv)]). Anyway the main result of that paper, namely the fact that $\text{Aut}(X)$ admits finite subgroups of arbitrary large cardinality which can be found in $\ker(\Psi)$ (see [Shr19, Lemma 3.1] and [Shr19, Theorem 1.1(i)]) is correct. The same issue appears also in [PS20a, Lemma 2.4].

This is the weaker version of Theorem 3.12: we state it in this section while its proof will be given in the next subsection.

Theorem 3.18 (Weak Fujimoto–Nakayama’s Theorem) — Let $X \xrightarrow{\pi} B$ be a Kodaira surface, corresponding to the data $(\tau_B, \tau_E, c, \delta)$, and let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a surjective holomorphic map. Then f can be lifted to an automorphism of the universal covering \mathbb{C}^2 of X of the form

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi(z, \zeta) &= \left(\alpha z + \beta, |\alpha|^2 \zeta + \frac{1}{2} D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1) c \alpha z^2 + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\sigma_{1,0} + D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1) (c\beta + \varepsilon - \frac{1}{2} D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, \tau_B) c)) z + v \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon = \delta - \frac{1}{2} c \tau_B$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ is such that $|\alpha|^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\beta, \sigma_{1,0}, v \in \mathbb{C}$. Moreover, a function $\Phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ of the form (3.8) descends to a surjective endomorphism $f : X \rightarrow X$ if and only if

- (1) $\sigma_{1,0} \in \Lambda_{\tau_E}$;
- (2) $\sigma_{1,0} \tau_B - \bar{\alpha} (c\beta + (1-\alpha)\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{2} c D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1) \left(D_{\tau_B}(\alpha \tau_B, 1) |\tau_B|^2 - D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, \tau_B) \tau_B \right) \in \Lambda_{\tau_E}$.

Corollary 3.19 — *Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a surjective endomorphism which induces a translation on the base B . Then $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$ and it has a lift to \mathbb{C}^2 of the form*

$$(3.9) \quad \Phi(z, \zeta) = (z + \beta, \zeta + \sigma_{1,0}z + v)$$

for suitable $\beta, v \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\sigma_{1,0} \in \Lambda_{\tau_E}$ such that $\sigma_{1,0}\tau_B - c\beta \in \Lambda_{\tau_E}$.

Proof. The fact that f induces a translation on B means that $\alpha = 1$, so we get all the conclusions of the corollary directly from Theorem 3.18, except that $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$. To see that this is indeed the case, just observe that by a direct computation on the generators of $\pi_1(X)$ of $\Phi \circ \pi_1(X) \circ \Phi^{-1}$ we have that $\Phi \circ \pi_1(X) \circ \Phi^{-1} = \pi_1(X)$. By Theorem 3.11 this means that Φ descends to an automorphism of X . \square

Remark 3.20 — *All the maps of the form (3.8) are automorphisms of \mathbb{C}^2 . Those of the form (3.9) are particular since their inverse*

$$\Phi^{-1}(z, \zeta) = (z - \beta, \zeta - \sigma_{1,0}z + \sigma_{1,0}\beta - v)$$

also satisfies the requirements to descend to X if Φ does, and so Φ^{-1} induces the automorphism f^{-1} .

Remark 3.21 — *We obtain a more gentle form for a lift if we require that $\text{Im}(\alpha) = 0$, i.e., that $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$. In this case we see that*

$$\Phi(z, \zeta) = (\alpha z + \beta, \alpha^2 \zeta + \sigma_{1,0}z + v)$$

descends to a surjective endomorphism of X if and only if $\sigma_{1,0} \in \Lambda_{\tau_E}$ and $\sigma_{1,0}\tau_B - \alpha(c\beta + (1 - \alpha)\varepsilon) \in \Lambda_{\tau_E}$.

Remark 3.22 — *Observe that all the automorphisms of \mathbb{C}^2 of the form expressed in Theorem 3.12 are of the form (3.8) for the choices*

$$\beta = \frac{\alpha - 1}{c}\varepsilon, \quad \sigma_{1,0} = \frac{1}{2}D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1)D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, \tau_B)c.$$

Hence they define a surjective endomorphism of the quotient Kodaira surface if and only if

- (1) $\frac{1}{2}D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1)D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, \tau_B)c \in \Lambda_{\tau_E}$;
- (2) $\frac{1}{2}D_{\tau_B}(\alpha\tau_B, 1)D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1)c|\tau_B|^2 \in \Lambda_{\tau_E}$.

In particular, if c is 2-divisible (by Remark 4.4 this is equivalent to require that the torsion coefficient m is even) then these two conditions are always satisfied, and the same is true if $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$.

3.2.1. Proof of Theorem 3.18. The strategy of our proof is essentially the same as the one proposed for [FN05, Proposition 6.4].

The map $\Psi(f) : B \rightarrow B$ is surjective, hence we can lift it to an affine map $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of the form $z \mapsto \alpha z + \beta$, with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\alpha \cdot \Lambda_{\tau_B} \subseteq \Lambda_{\tau_B}$ and $\alpha \neq 0$. As a consequence, we can find a lifting Ψ for f to \mathbb{C}^2 of the form $\Phi(z, \zeta) = (\alpha z + \beta, \rho \zeta + \varphi(z))$ for a suitable $\rho \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\rho \cdot \Lambda_{\tau_E} \subseteq \Lambda_{\tau_E}$, $\rho \neq 0$ and $\varphi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic.

When we identify $\pi_1(X)$ with the group of deck transformations of the universal covering \mathbb{C}^2 , the action of f_* on $\pi_1(X)$ is given by conjugation with Φ . Recall that a biholomorphic map $\Phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ descends to a surjective holomorphic endomorphism of X if and only if $\Phi \circ \pi_1(X) \circ \Phi^{-1} \subseteq \pi_1(X)$ (see Theorem 3.11), hence by a direct computation we find that the image of the element $\gamma_{(x,y)} \in \pi_1(X)$

corresponding to $(x, y) \in \Lambda_{\tau_B} \times \Lambda_{\tau_E}$ is $g_{(\alpha x, \sigma(x, y))}$ where $\sigma(x, y) \in \Lambda_{\tau_E}$ satisfies (3.10)

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho D_{\tau_B}(x, 1)cz + \rho(y + D_{\tau_B}(x, 1)(\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}cx) - \frac{1}{2}D_{\tau_B}(x, 1)D_{\tau_B}(x, \tau_B)) + \\ & + \varphi(z + x) = \varphi(z) + D_{\tau_B}(\alpha x, 1)c(\alpha z + \beta) + \sigma(x, y) + D_{\tau_B}(\alpha x, 1)(\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}c\alpha x) + \\ & - \frac{1}{2}D_{\tau_B}(\alpha x, 1)D_{\tau_B}(\alpha x, \tau_B)c \end{aligned}$$

for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

We discuss here briefly some property of the function $\sigma : \Lambda_{\tau_B} \times \Lambda_{\tau_E} \rightarrow \Lambda_{\tau_E}$ which arises in this context. Since conjugation with Φ defines an automorphism of $\pi_1(X)$ we deduce that

$$\sigma((x, y) \star (x', y')) = \sigma(x, y) + \sigma(x', y') + D_{\tau_B}(\alpha x, \tau_B)D_{\tau_B}(\alpha x', 1)c$$

for every $(x, y), (x', y') \in \Lambda_{\tau_B} \times \Lambda_{\tau_E}$. In particular, since $(x, y) = (x, 0) \star (0, y)$ for every $(x, y) \in \Lambda_{\tau_B} \times \Lambda_{\tau_E}$ we have that

$$\sigma(x, y) = \sigma(x, 0) + \sigma(0, y).$$

By (3.10) we can explicitly compute that $\sigma(0, y) = \rho y$ and so

$$\sigma(x, y) = \sigma(x, 0) + \rho y.$$

Following the proof of [FN05, Proposition 6.4], from the fact that $D_{\tau_B}(\alpha x, 1) = D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1)x + D_{\tau_B}(x, 1)\bar{\alpha}$, we see that (3.10) when we choose $(x, y) = (x, 0)$ can be written as

(3.11)

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(z + x) - \varphi(z) = & (D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1)\alpha x + (|\alpha|^2 - \rho))cz + \\ & + \sigma(x, 0) + \frac{1}{2}cD_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1)\alpha x^2 + D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1)(c\beta + \varepsilon)x + \\ & + D_{\tau_B}(x, 1)(\bar{\alpha}c\beta + (\bar{\alpha} - \rho)\varepsilon) + (|\alpha|^2 - \rho)\frac{1}{2}D_{\tau_B}(x, 1)cx + \\ & - \frac{1}{2}c(D_{\tau_B}(\alpha x, 1)D_{\tau_B}(\alpha x, \tau_B) - D_{\tau_B}(x, 1)D_{\tau_B}(x, \tau_B)\rho). \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, $\varphi'(z + x) - \varphi'(z) = (D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1)\alpha x + (|\alpha|^2 - \rho))c$ and so $\varphi''(z + x) - \varphi''(z) = 0$. This means that φ'' factors through B , which is compact, and so by Liouville's Theorem $\varphi''(z) = \lambda$ is a constant.

So $\varphi'(z) = \lambda z + u$ for some $u \in \mathbb{C}$, hence $\varphi'(z + x) - \varphi'(z) = \lambda x$. Comparing this expression with the one we have obtained previously in the case $x = 1$ leads us to $\lambda = D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1)c\alpha$ and we obtain also that $\rho = |\alpha|^2$. From this we deduce that

$$|\alpha|^2 = \rho \in \mathbb{R} \cap \Lambda_{\tau_E} = \mathbb{Z}.$$

Integrating $\varphi'(z)$ we find that $\varphi(z)$ is of the form

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{1}{2}D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1)c\alpha z^2 + uz + v$$

for some $v \in \mathbb{C}$. Using this expression for φ in (3.11) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(x, 0) = & ux - D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1)(c\beta + \varepsilon)x - D_{\tau_B}(x, 1)\bar{\alpha}(c\beta + (1 - \alpha)\varepsilon) + \\ & + \frac{1}{2}c(D_{\tau_B}(\alpha x, 1)D_{\tau_B}(\alpha x, \tau_B) - D_{\tau_B}(x, 1)D_{\tau_B}(x, \tau_B)|\alpha|^2). \end{aligned}$$

For $x = 1$ this means that

$$u = \sigma(1, 0) + D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1) \left(c\beta + \varepsilon - \frac{1}{2}D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, \tau_B)c \right).$$

It follows that we can find an explicit expression for $\sigma(x, y)$:

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma(x, y) = & \sigma(1, 0)x - D_{\tau_B}(x, 1)\bar{\alpha}(c\beta + (1 - \alpha)\varepsilon) + \\ & + \frac{1}{2}c(D_{\tau_B}(\alpha x, 1)D_{\tau_B}(\alpha x, \tau_B) - D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1)D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, \tau_B))x + \\ & - |\alpha|^2 D_{\tau_B}(x, 1)D_{\tau_B}(x, \tau_B) + |\alpha|^2 y. \end{aligned}$$

This shows the first part of Theorem 3.18, namely that we can find a lifting for f of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(z, \zeta) = & \left(\alpha z + \beta, |\alpha|^2 \zeta + \frac{1}{2}D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1)c\alpha z^2 + \right. \\ & \left. + (\sigma_{1,0} + D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1)(c\beta + \varepsilon - \frac{1}{2}D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, \tau_B)c))z + v \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ is such that $|\alpha|^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\beta, \sigma_{1,0}, v \in \mathbb{C}$.

We have now to understand when a function of this form defined on \mathbb{C}^2 descends to X . Given such a function, we consider the map

$$\sigma : \Lambda_{\tau_B} \times \Lambda_{\tau_E} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

defined by the expression (3.12) (with $\sigma(1, 0)$ replaced by $\sigma_{1,0}$). As a consequence the action of Φ by conjugation on $\pi_1(X) \simeq \Lambda_{\tau_B} \times \Lambda_{\tau_E}$ is given by

$$\gamma_{(x,y)} \longmapsto \gamma_{(\alpha x, \sigma(x,y))}$$

when we identify $\pi_1(X)$ with $(\Lambda_{\tau_B} \times \Lambda_{\tau_E}; \star)$ as in Proposition 3.7. By Theorem 3.11 it follows that Φ descends to X if and only if $\sigma(\tau_B, 0), \sigma(1, 0), \sigma(0, \tau_E), \sigma(0, 1) \in \Lambda_{\tau_E}$. The conditions $\sigma(0, \tau_E) \in \Lambda_{\tau_E}$ and $\sigma(0, 1) \in \Lambda_{\tau_E}$ are always satisfied as

$$\sigma(0, \tau_E) = |\alpha|^2 \tau_E, \quad \sigma(0, 1) = |\alpha|^2$$

and $|\alpha|^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Finally we compute that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\tau_B, 0) = & \sigma_{1,0}\tau_B - \bar{\alpha}(c\beta + (1 - \alpha)\varepsilon) + \\ & + \frac{1}{2}cD_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1)\left(D_{\tau_B}(\alpha\tau_B, 1)|\tau_B|^2 - D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, \tau_B)\tau_B\right), \\ \sigma(1, 0) = & \sigma_{1,0} \end{aligned}$$

which justifies the last conditions expressed in the statement of the theorem.

4. A DESCRIPTION OF MODULI

In this section we want to show that a given Kodaira surface X can be described as the Kodaira surface associated to the data $(\tau_B, \tau_E, c, \delta)$ for a very simple choice of the parameters involved in the construction. At the end we deduce a description of the moduli space of Kodaira surfaces, thus providing a different approach from the one used by Borcea (see [Bor84]).

Proposition 4.1 — *Let X be a Kodaira surface, corresponding to $(\tau_B, \tau_E, c, \delta)$. Then X is isomorphic to the surface corresponding to the data $(\tau_B, \tau_E, c, 0)$.*

Proof. We know by Lemma 3.1 that X is the Kodaira surface associated to some data $(\tau_B, \tau_E, c, \delta)$, where we recall that $\tau_B, \tau_E \in \mathcal{H}$, $c \in \Lambda_{\tau_E} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{C}$.

Consider the biholomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} F : \mathbb{C}^2 & \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 \\ (z, \zeta) & \longmapsto \left(z + \frac{\delta}{c}, \zeta \right) \end{aligned}$$

and use it to conjugate each of the γ_i 's in (3.1). We find that $F \circ \gamma_i \circ F^{-1} = \gamma_i$ for $i = 2, 3, 4$, while $(F \circ \gamma_1 \circ F^{-1})(z, \zeta) = (z + \tau_B, \zeta + cz)$. This shows that in fact F induces an isomorphism $X_{c,\delta} \simeq X_{c,0}$. \square

Remark 4.2 — The map F in the proof of Proposition 4.1 descends to the translation $f : B \rightarrow B$ by the quantity $\frac{\delta}{c}$. Hence we see that the isomorphism $X_{c,\delta} \simeq X_{c,0}$ is not an isomorphism as principal bundles in general, unless $\frac{\delta}{c} \in \Lambda_{\tau_B}$. What is true is that, as principal E -bundles over the curve B , $X_{c,\delta}$ is the pull-back of $X_{c,0}$ via f : this is easy to check using the explicit representation of the cocycle of $X_{c,\delta}$ and of $X_{c,0}$ computed in Section 5.1.

We want to present now a similar result, concerning a way to normalize the parameter c . As we recalled in Remark 3.2, the parameter $c \in \Lambda_{\tau_E}$ corresponds to the image in $H^2(B, \Lambda_{\tau_E}) \simeq \Lambda_{\tau_E}$ of the cocycle in $H^1(B, \mathcal{E}_B)$ corresponding to the principal bundle $\pi : X \rightarrow B$.

Proposition 4.3 — Let X be a Kodaira surface, corresponding to $(\tau_B, \tau_E, c, \delta)$, and denote by $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ the torsion coefficient of $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$. Then X is isomorphic to the surface corresponding to the data $(\tau_B, \tau'_E, m, \frac{m}{c}\delta)$ for a suitable choice of τ'_E .

Proof. We can write $c = m(p\tau_E + q)$ in a unique way for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\gcd(p, q) = 1$ as in (3.7). Complete $p\tau_E + q$ to a \mathbb{Z} -basis for Λ_{τ_E} adding the element $k\tau_E + h$, which can be chosen in such a way that $qk - ph = 1$. It is then straightforward to check that $\tau'_E = \frac{k\tau_E + h}{p\tau_E + q}$ satisfies $\text{Im}(\tau'_E) > 0$ and that the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ \zeta &\longmapsto \frac{1}{p\tau_E + q}\zeta = \frac{m}{c}\zeta \end{aligned}$$

sends the lattice Λ_{τ_E} to the lattice $\Lambda_{\tau'_E}$. So it induces the following isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_{\tau_E} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_{\tau'_E} \\ [\zeta]_{\Lambda_{\tau_E}} &\longmapsto \left[\frac{m}{c}\zeta\right]_{\Lambda_{\tau'_E}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F : \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_{\tau_E} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_{\tau'_E} \\ \left(z, [\zeta]_{\Lambda_{\tau_E}}\right) &\longmapsto \left(z, \left[\frac{m}{c}\zeta\right]_{\Lambda_{\tau'_E}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now we consider the residual action $\tilde{\gamma}_1$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_2$ of γ_1 and γ_2 (see (3.1)) on the quotient $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_{\tau_E}$ and conjugate it by the isomorphism F to obtain an action on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_{\tau'_E}$: we find that

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad (F \circ \tilde{\gamma}_1 \circ F^{-1}) \left(z, [\zeta]_{\Lambda_{\tau'_E}}\right) &= \left(z + \tau_B, \left[\zeta + mz + \frac{m}{c}\delta\right]_{\Lambda_{\tau'_E}}\right); \\ (2) \quad (F \circ \tilde{\gamma}_2 \circ F^{-1}) \left(z, [\zeta]_{\Lambda_{\tau'_E}}\right) &= \left(z + 1, [\zeta]_{\Lambda_{\tau'_E}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

So we have seen that starting from a description of E and B given by τ_E and τ_B respectively and the data c and δ to construct X , then we are able to produce a new (equivalent) description of E by τ'_E which allows us to see that X is in fact isomorphic to the quotient of \mathbb{C}^2 by the action of the group generated by

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma'_1(z, \zeta) &= \left(z + \tau_B, \zeta + mz + \frac{m}{c}\delta\right), & \gamma'_2(z, \zeta) &= (z + 1, \zeta), \\ \gamma'_3(z, \zeta) &= (z, \zeta + \tau'_E), & \gamma'_4(z, \zeta) &= (z, \zeta + 1), \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof. \square

Remark 4.4 — It follows from the proof of Proposition 4.3 that the image of $c \in \Lambda_{\tau_E}$ in $\Lambda_{\tau'_E}$ is the torsion coefficient m .

Remark 4.5 — Observe that given $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\gcd(p, q) = 1$, then the equation $qk - ph = 1$ is a diophantine equation in k, h . If \bar{k}, \bar{h} is a solution of this equation then all the other solutions are $k = \bar{k} + pt$, $h = \bar{h} + qt$ for $t \in \mathbb{Z}$; as a consequence we can make the ‘suitable choice for τ'_E ’ more precise: it is of the form $\tau'_E = \tau_E + t$ for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let X and X' be two Kodaira surfaces, with elliptic fibrations $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ and $\pi' : X' \rightarrow B'$ respectively. Call E the typical fibre of π and E' that of π' . If $f : X \rightarrow X'$ is an isomorphism, then it is easy to see that f induces isomorphisms $B \simeq B'$ and $E \simeq E'$. As a consequence, if X is the Kodaira surface associated to the data $(\tau_B, \tau_E, c, \delta)$ it is interesting to see how these data change if we change the parameter τ_B and τ_E used to describe B and E .

Corollary 4.6 — *Let X and X' be the Kodaira surfaces associated to the data $(\tau_B, \tau_E, m, 0)$ and $(\tau_B, \tau'_E, m, 0)$ respectively. Then $X \simeq X'$ if and only if $\tau'_E = \tau_E + t$ for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Assume that $X \simeq X'$. As we observed this implies that

$$\tau'_E = \frac{k\tau_E + h}{p\tau_E + q}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} k & h \\ p & q \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}),$$

so we define $F : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ by $F(z, \zeta) = (z, (p\tau_E + q)\zeta)$ and we let $\tilde{\gamma}_i = F \circ \gamma_i \circ F^{-1}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Observe that $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4$ are the generators for $\pi_1(X)$ obtained as in (3.1) using the data $(\tau_B, \tau'_E, m, 0)$. Explicitly we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\gamma}_1(z, \zeta) &= (z + \tau_B, \zeta + (p\tau_E + q)mz), \\ \tilde{\gamma}_2(z, \zeta) &= (z + 1, \zeta), \\ \tilde{\gamma}_3(z, \zeta) &= (z, \zeta + k\tau_E + h), \\ \tilde{\gamma}_4(z, \zeta) &= (z, \zeta + p\tau_E + q). \end{aligned}$$

The group generated by these four elements is $\pi_1(X') \simeq \pi_1(X)$ when we use as generators the transformations obtained from the data $(\tau_B, \tau_E, m, 0)$. In particular, this means that $(z, \zeta) \mapsto (z + \tau_B, \zeta + mz)$ can be written in terms of $\tilde{\gamma}_1, \tilde{\gamma}_2, \tilde{\gamma}_3, \tilde{\gamma}_4$, which implies that $p\tau_E + q = 1$ and so that

$$\begin{pmatrix} k & h \\ p & q \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & h \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

But this means precisely that $\tau'_E = \tau_E + h$.

Vice versa, assume that $\tau'_E = \tau_E + t$ for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows from Proposition 4.3 and Remark 4.5 that $(\tau_B, \tau_E + t, m, 0)$ defines the same Kodaira surface as $(\tau_B, \tau_E, m, 0)$ (up to isomorphism). \square

Proposition 4.7 — *Let $\tau_B, \tau'_B \in \mathcal{H}$ be such that*

$$\tau'_B = \frac{k\tau_B + h}{p\tau_B + q}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} k & h \\ p & q \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Let X be the Kodaira surface associated to the data $(\tau'_B, \tau_E, c, \delta)$. Then X is associated also to the data $(\tau_B, \tau_E, c, \delta')$ with

$$\delta' = \frac{1}{2}q(q-1)c\tau'_B + q\delta + \frac{1}{2}\frac{pc}{p\tau_B + q}\tau_B^2 - \left(\frac{1}{2}p(p-1)c\tau'_B - p\delta + \frac{1}{2}\frac{pc}{p\tau_B + q} \right)\tau_B.$$

Proof. It is well known that the map $m_{p\tau_B+q} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $m_{p\tau_B+q}(z) = (p\tau_B + q)z$ induces an isomorphism between $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda_{\tau'_B}$ and $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda_{\tau_B}$. Let now

$$\begin{aligned} F : \quad \mathbb{C}^2 &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 \\ (z, \zeta) &\longmapsto ((p\tau_B + q)z, \zeta), \end{aligned}$$

we want to see how conjugation by F changes the generators $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4$ of $\pi_1(X)$ associated to $(\tau'_B, \tau_E, c, \delta)$. For $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ let $\tilde{\gamma}_i = F \circ \gamma_i \circ F^{-1}$, then

$$\tilde{\gamma}_3(z, \zeta) = (z, \zeta + \tau_E) = \gamma_3(z, \zeta), \quad \tilde{\gamma}_4(z, \zeta) = (z, \zeta + 1) = \gamma_4(z, \zeta)$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\gamma}_1(z, \zeta) &= \left(z + k\tau_B + h, \zeta + \frac{c}{p\tau_B+q}z + \delta \right), \\ \tilde{\gamma}_2(z, \zeta) &= (z + p\tau_B + q, \zeta). \end{aligned}$$

Define $\Gamma_1 = \tilde{\gamma}_2^{-h} \circ \tilde{\gamma}_1^q$ and $\Gamma_2 = \tilde{\gamma}_2^k \circ \tilde{\gamma}_1^{-p}$, we can then see that

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_1(z, \zeta) &= \left(z + \tau_B, \zeta + \frac{qc}{p\tau_B+q}z + \frac{1}{2}q(q-1)c\tau'_B + q\delta \right), \\ \Gamma_2(z, \zeta) &= \left(z + 1, \zeta - \frac{pc}{p\tau_B+q}z + \frac{1}{2}p(p+1)c\tau'_B - p\delta \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, following the proof of [FN05, Lemma 6.1] (and using the same notation), we consider the holomorphic functions $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow E = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_{\tau_E}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} x_{\tau_B}(z) &= \left[\frac{qc}{p\tau_B+q}z + \frac{1}{2}q(q-1)c\tau'_B + q\delta \right]_{\Lambda_{\tau_E}}, \\ x_1 &= \left[-\frac{pc}{p\tau_B+q}z + \frac{1}{2}p(p+1)c\tau'_B - p\delta \right]_{\Lambda_{\tau_E}}, \end{aligned}$$

which identify the cocycle for the principal bundle structure on X and we observe that the function

$$\phi(z) = \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{pc}{p\tau_B + c} z^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2} p(p+1)c\tau'_B - p\delta + \frac{1}{2} \frac{pc}{p\tau_B + q} \right) \right)$$

is such that

$$x_1(z) = \phi(z+1) - \phi(z), \quad x_{\tau_B}(z) = cz + \delta' + \phi(z + \tau_B) - \phi(z),$$

where δ' is as in the statement. As a consequence, X is isomorphic to the Kodaira surface associated to the data $(\tau_B, \tau_E, c, \delta')$. \square

We can then provide a description of the moduli space of Kodaira surfaces. As we have already recalled this result dates back to Borcea's paper [Bor84].

Theorem 4.8 ([Bor84, Theorem 4]) — *Let $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < 1\}$ and $\Delta^* = \Delta \setminus \{0\}$. The moduli space of Kodaira surfaces X with a fixed torsion coefficient $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ can be identified with $\mathbb{C} \times \Delta^*$.*

Proof. Let X and X' be two Kodaira surfaces, which correspond to the data $(\tau_B, \tau_E, c, \delta)$ and $(\tau'_B, \tau'_E, c', \delta')$ respectively. Assume that $X \simeq X'$, then the bases B and B' of the elliptic fibrations of X and X' are also isomorphic and so by Proposition 4.7 we have that X' is associated to the data $(\tau_B, \tau'_E, c', \delta')$. Applying Proposition 4.3 first and then Proposition 4.1 to the two sets of data, we see that X and X' are associated to

$$(\tau_B, \tau_E + t, m, 0) \quad \text{and} \quad (\tau_B, \tau'_E + t', m, 0).$$

respectively. By Corollary 4.6 we deduce that then $\tau'_E = \tau_B + T$ for a suitable $T \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Vice versa, assume that $\tau'_B = \frac{k\tau_B+h}{p\tau_B+q}$ and $\tau'_E = \tau_E + T$ for a suitable $\begin{pmatrix} k & h \\ p & q \end{pmatrix} \in$

$\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and $T \in \mathbb{Z}$. Applying Proposition 4.3 and Proposition 4.1 to the first data and Proposition 4.7, Proposition 4.3 and Proposition 4.1 to the second data we see that X and X' can be described respectively by $(\tau_B, \tau_E + t, m, 0)$ and $(\tau_B, \tau_E + t', m, 0)$. By Corollary 4.6, this means that $X \simeq X'$.

This shows that any Kodaira surface with torsion coefficient m can be described by a single pair of parameters, namely $(\tau_B, \tau_E) \in \mathcal{H}^2$, and that two such pairs (τ_B, τ_E) and (τ'_B, τ'_E) define isomorphic Kodaira surfaces if and only if

$$\tau'_B = \frac{k\tau_B + h}{p\tau_B + q}, \quad \tau'_E = \tau_E + t$$

for suitable $\begin{pmatrix} k & h \\ p & q \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. A moduli space for Kodaira surfaces

with torsion coefficient m is then the quotient of \mathcal{H}^2 by this action of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{Z}$, and this is given by the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^2 &\longmapsto \mathbb{C} \times \Delta^* \\ (\tau_B, \tau_E) &\longmapsto \left(j(\tau_B), e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\tau_E} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where j is the usual j -invariant function. \square

Remark 4.9 — Let $(j, \iota) \in \mathbb{C} \times \Delta^*$. For any choice $(\tau_B, \tau_E) \in \mathcal{H}^2$ such that $j(\tau_B) = j$ and $e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\tau_E} = \iota$ we have that the Kodaira surface associated to the data $(\tau_B, \tau_E, m, 0)$ is a representative for the isomorphism class of Kodaira surfaces with torsion coefficient m represented by (j, ι) .

5. EXPLICIT GENERATORS FOR COHOMOLOGIES

In this section we describe the de Rham cohomology and the Dolbeault cohomology of a Kodaira surface X in term of some $\pi_1(X)$ -invariant forms on the universal cover \mathbb{C}^2 . We also describe the cohomology space $H^1(B, \mathcal{E}_B)$ using Čech cycles. These results will be used in Section 7 to study the action of automorphisms on cohomology.

Since we use Fujimoto–Nakayama’s description of Kodaira surfaces, the $\pi_1(X)$ -invariant forms on \mathbb{C}^2 we use are different from the one introduced by Borcea in [Bor84, §3].

5.1. Čech cohomology. Let X be a Kodaira surface, corresponding to the data $(\tau_B, \tau_E, c, \delta)$, and consider its principal bundle structure $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ with structure group E . As in Section 2, recall that $\tau_B, \tau_E \in \mathcal{H}$ and

$$\Lambda_{\tau_B} = \mathbb{Z} \cdot \tau_B \oplus \mathbb{Z}, \quad \Lambda_{\tau_E} = \mathbb{Z} \cdot \tau_E \oplus \mathbb{Z},$$

are lattices such that $B = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_{\tau_B}$ and $E = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_{\tau_E}$. Define the following four open sets of \mathbb{C}

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 &= \{s\tau_B + t \mid 0 < s, t < 1\}, & V_2 &= \{s\tau_B + t - \frac{1}{2} \mid 0 < s, t < 1\}, \\ V_3 &= \{s\tau_B + t - \frac{1}{2}\tau_B \mid 0 < s, t < 1\}, & V_4 &= \{s\tau_B + t - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\tau_B \mid 0 < s, t < 1\}, \end{aligned}$$

and let $U_i \subseteq B$ be the image of V_i in B for $i = 1, \dots, 4$. Then the set

$$(5.1) \quad \mathcal{U}_{\tau_B} = \{U_1, U_2, U_3, U_4\}$$

is an open cover of B by simply connected open sets, with the property that the intersection of any number of them is the disjoint union of at most four simply connected open sets.

Remark 5.1 — The quotient map $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_{\tau_B}$ induces homeomorphisms $V_i \rightarrow U_i$ for every $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

Remark 5.2 — As the notation suggests, the covering \mathcal{U}_{τ_B} depends on the choice of τ_B . When it is clear from the context we will simply write \mathcal{U} instead of \mathcal{U}_{τ_B} .

Lemma 5.3 — Let B, E be two smooth curves of genus 1 and denote by \mathcal{E}_B the sheaf of germs of holomorphic functions on B with values in E . For any simply connected open subset $U \subseteq B$ we have that

$$H^q(U, \mathcal{E}_B) = 0, \quad q = 1, \dots, 4.$$

Proof. As pointed out in [BHPVdV04], the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda_{\tau_E} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$$

induces the exact sequence of sheaves of holomorphic functions on B

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda_{\tau_B} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_B \rightarrow 0.$$

Restrict this sequence to U and consider its induced long exact sequence. We see that

- (1) $H^q(U, \Lambda_{\tau_E}) = 0$ for $q \geq 1$ since U is simply connected and $\Lambda_{\tau_E} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2$;
- (2) $H^q(U, \mathcal{O}_B) = 0$ for $q \geq 1$ by the $\bar{\partial}$ -Poincaré Lemma (see [Huy05, Proposition 1.3.8]).

Hence $H^q(U, \mathcal{E}_B) = 0$ for $q > 0$. □

Corollary 5.4 — Let B, E be two smooth curves of genus 1 and denote by \mathcal{E}_B the sheaf of germs of holomorphic functions on B with values in E . Then the cohomology space $H^i(B, \mathcal{E}_B)$ for $i \geq 0$ can be computed using the Čech cohomology of the open covering \mathcal{U} defined in (5.1).

Proof. As we observed, any intersection $U_{i_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{i_q}$ of elements in \mathcal{U} is the disjoint union of at most four simply connected open sets. By Lemma 5.3 we deduce that \mathcal{E}_B is acyclic with respect to the open covering \mathcal{U} and so we can apply Leray's Theorem (see [Voi07, Theorem 4.41] or [GH94, Leray Theorem (p. 40)]) to get the result. □

Fujimoto and Nakayama's description of Kodaira surfaces (see Section 3) is useful to describe the cocycle $\eta \in H^1(B, \mathcal{E}_B)$ of $\pi : X \rightarrow B$.

Let $(\tau_B, \tau_E, c, \delta)$ be data corresponding to the Kodaira surface X . By Corollary 5.4 we can describe the cocycle η by means of a Čech cocycle on the open covering $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_{\tau_B}$. To fix the notation, observe that π is trivialized on each $U_i \in \mathcal{U}$ and recall that $\eta = \{\eta_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq 4}$ is described by the *transition functions* of the principal bundle π , namely the holomorphic functions $\eta_{ij} : U_{ij} = U_i \cap U_j \rightarrow E$ which are defined requiring that the point $(P, Q) \in U_j \times E$ corresponds to the point $(P, Q + \eta_{ij}(P)) \in U_i \times E$. We only need to compute η_{ij} for $i < j$, in fact $\eta_{ii} = 0$ while for $i > j$ we have that $\eta_{ij} = -\eta_{ji}$.

As we mentioned before, $U_i \cap U_j$ is the union of at most four simply connected open sets. In particular, $U_1 \cap U_2$, $U_1 \cap U_3$, $U_2 \cap U_4$ and $U_3 \cap U_4$ consist of the union of two simply connected open sets, while $U_1 \cap U_3$ and $U_2 \cap U_4$ consist of the union of four simply connected open sets. In these last cases, the four components are

the image in B of the following open subsets of V_1 :

$$\begin{aligned} V_1^{(i)} &= \{s\tau_B + t \mid \frac{1}{2} < s, t < 1\}, & V_1^{(ii)} &= \{s\tau_B + t \mid 0 < t < \frac{1}{2} < s < 1\}, \\ V_1^{(iii)} &= \{s\tau_B + t \mid 0 < s < \frac{1}{2} < t < 1\}, & V_1^{(iv)} &= \{s\tau_B + t \mid 0 < s, t < \frac{1}{2}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since our aim is not only to compute the η_{ij} 's explicitly but also to compute $c(\eta) \in H^2(B, \Lambda_{\tau_E})$, we will describe η_{ij} on each component $V_1^{(i)}$, $V_1^{(ii)}$, $V_1^{(iii)}$, $V_1^{(iv)}$ and we will use the following convention: for a point $P \in U_{ij}$ we let $z \in V_1$ be the unique lift of P to V_1 and we write $P = [z]_{\Lambda_{\tau_B}}$.

With these notations it is then straightforward to compute that η is described in the following way:

- (1) on U_{12} we have $\eta_{12} = 0$;
- (2) on U_{13} we have

$$\eta_{13}(P) = \begin{cases} [cz - c\tau_B + \delta]_{\Lambda_{\tau_E}} & \text{for } z \in V_1^{(i)}, \\ [cz - c\tau_B + \delta]_{\Lambda_{\tau_E}} & \text{for } z \in V_1^{(ii)}, \\ 0 & \text{for } z \in V_1^{(iii)}, \\ 0 & \text{for } z \in V_1^{(iv)}; \end{cases}$$

- (3) on U_{14} we have

$$\eta_{14}(P) = \begin{cases} [cz - c\tau_B + \delta]_{\Lambda_{\tau_E}} & \text{for } z \in V_1^{(i)}, \\ [cz - c\tau_B + \delta]_{\Lambda_{\tau_E}} & \text{for } z \in V_1^{(ii)}, \\ 0 & \text{for } z \in V_1^{(iii)}, \\ 0 & \text{for } z \in V_1^{(iv)}; \end{cases}$$

- (4) on U_{23} we have

$$\eta_{23}(P) = \begin{cases} [cz - c\tau_B + \delta]_{\Lambda_{\tau_E}} & \text{for } z \in V_1^{(i)}, \\ [cz - c\tau_B + \delta]_{\Lambda_{\tau_E}} & \text{for } z \in V_1^{(ii)}, \\ 0 & \text{for } z \in V_1^{(iii)}, \\ 0 & \text{for } z \in V_1^{(iv)}; \end{cases}$$

- (5) on U_{24} we have

$$\eta_{24}(P) = \begin{cases} [cz - c\tau_B + \delta]_{\Lambda_{\tau_E}} & \text{for } z \in V_1^{(i)}, \\ [cz - c\tau_B + \delta]_{\Lambda_{\tau_E}} & \text{for } z \in V_1^{(ii)}, \\ 0 & \text{for } z \in V_1^{(iii)}, \\ 0 & \text{for } z \in V_1^{(iv)}; \end{cases}$$

- (6) on U_{34} we have $\eta_{34} = 0$.

Remark 5.5 — We show here the explicit computation of η_{14} as an example. Let $P \in U_4$ be a point whose representative z in V_1 lies in $V_1^{(i)}$: this means that the representative of P in V_4 is of the form $z - \tau_B - 1$. As a consequence, a point $(z - \tau_B - 1, [\zeta]_{\Lambda_{\tau_E}}) \in V_4 \times E$ is identified first with the point $(z - \tau_B, [\zeta]_{\Lambda_{\tau_E}})$ and then with the point $(z, [\zeta + cz - c\tau_B + \delta]_{\Lambda_{\tau_E}}) \in V_1 \times E$. Hence $\eta_{14}(P) = [cz - c\tau_B + \delta]_{\Lambda_{\tau_E}}$ if $z \in V_1^{(i)}$. If $P \in U_4$ is such that $P = [z]_{\Lambda_{\tau_B}}$ with $z \in V_1^{(ii)}$, then we have $P = [z - \tau_B]_{\Lambda_{\tau_B}}$ with $z - \tau_B \in V_4$. So a point $(z - \tau_B, [\zeta]_{\Lambda_{\tau_E}}) \in V_4 \times E$ is identified

with $(z, [\zeta + cz - c\tau_B + \delta]_{\Lambda_{\tau_E}}) \in V_1 \times E$ and so $\eta_{14}(P) = [cz - c\tau_B + \delta]_{\Lambda_{\tau_E}}$ if $z \in V_1^{(ii)}$. If $P \in U_4$ is such that $P = [z]_{\Lambda_{\tau_B}}$ with $z \in V_1^{(iii)}$, then we have $P = [z - 1]_{\Lambda_{\tau_B}}$ with $z - 1 \in V_4$. So a point $(z - 1, [\zeta]_{\Lambda_{\tau_E}}) \in V_4 \times E$ is identified with $(z, [\zeta]_{\Lambda_{\tau_E}}) \in V_1 \times E$ and so $\eta_{14}(P) = 0$ if $z \in V_1^{(iii)}$. Finally, if $P \in U_4$ is such that $P = [z]_{\Lambda_{\tau_B}}$ with $z \in V_1^{(iv)}$, then we have $P = [z]_{\Lambda_{\tau_B}}$ with $z \in V_4$ and so a point $(z, [\zeta]_{\Lambda_{\tau_E}}) \in V_4 \times E$ is identified with itself, i.e., with $(z, [\zeta]_{\Lambda_{\tau_E}}) \in V_1 \times E$. As a consequence $\eta_{14}(P) = 0$ if $z \in V_1^{(iv)}$.

The other cocycles are computed in the same way, we only want to point out that $\eta_{24}(P)$ on $V_1^{(i)}$ is a little different from the others: in this case we have that a point $(z - \tau_B - 1, [\zeta]_{\Lambda_{\tau_E}}) \in V_4 \times E$ is identified with $(z - 1, [\zeta + cz - c\tau_B - c + \delta]_{\Lambda_{\tau_E}}) \in V_2 \times E$, so we can compute that $\eta_{24}(P) = [cz - c\tau_B - c + \delta]_{\Lambda_{\tau_E}} = [cz - c\tau_B + \delta]_{\Lambda_{\tau_E}}$ since $c \in \Lambda_{\tau_E}$.

The image of the cocycle η in $H^2(B, \Lambda_{\tau_E})$ is then easy to compute: with the same convention as above, $c(\eta) = \{c(\eta)_{ijk} : U_{ijk} \rightarrow \Lambda_{\tau_E}\}_{1 \leq i, j, k \leq 4}$ is the trivial cocycle, except for

$$\begin{aligned} c(\eta)_{124} &= -c(\eta)_{142} = c(\eta)_{412} = -c(\eta)_{421} = c(\eta)_{241} = -c(\eta)_{214} = -c, \\ c(\eta)_{234} &= -c(\eta)_{243} = c(\eta)_{423} = -c(\eta)_{432} = c(\eta)_{342} = -c(\eta)_{324} = c. \end{aligned}$$

5.2. De Rham cohomology. In this section we want to give explicit generators for the de Rham cohomology of a Kodaira surface, which are described by differential forms on \mathbb{C}^2 invariant with respect to the action described by Fujimoto and Nakayama. The Betti numbers of a Kodaira surface X are well known, and they are

$$b_0(X) = b_4(X) = 1, \quad b_1(X) = b_3(X) = 3, \quad b_2(X) = 4.$$

Let $(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ and identify \mathbb{C}^2 with \mathbb{R}^4 by means of

$$(x, y, u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \mapsto (z, \zeta) = (x + \sqrt{-1}y, u + \sqrt{-1}v) \in \mathbb{C}^2.$$

The maps $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4$ of (3.1) act on \mathbb{R}^4 as

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1(x, y, u, v) &= (x + \operatorname{Re}(\tau_B), y + \operatorname{Im}(\tau_B), \\ &\quad u + \operatorname{Re}(c)x - \operatorname{Im}(c)y + \operatorname{Re}(\delta), v + \operatorname{Im}(c)x + \operatorname{Re}(c)y + \operatorname{Im}(\delta)), \\ \gamma_2(x, y, u, v) &= (x + 1, y, u, v), \\ \gamma_3(x, y, u, v) &= (x, y, u + \operatorname{Re}(\tau_E), v + \operatorname{Im}(\tau_E)), \\ \gamma_4(x, y, u, v) &= (x, y, u + 1, v). \end{aligned}$$

It is then straightforward to check that the 1-forms

$$\begin{aligned} e^1 &= dx, & e^3 &= du - \frac{\operatorname{Re}(c)}{\operatorname{Im}(\tau_B)}ydx + \frac{\operatorname{Im}(c)}{\operatorname{Im}(\tau_B)}ydy, \\ e^2 &= dy, & e^4 &= dv - \frac{\operatorname{Im}(c)}{\operatorname{Im}(\tau_B)}ydx - \frac{\operatorname{Re}(c)}{\operatorname{Im}(\tau_B)}ydy, \end{aligned}$$

are invariant, and so they descend on the quotient Kodaira surface X (we will use the same name for the corresponding forms on X).

Observe that e^1 and e^2 are d -closed, while

$$de^3 = \frac{\operatorname{Re}(c)}{\operatorname{Im}(\tau_B)}e^1 \wedge e^2, \quad de^4 = -\frac{\operatorname{Im}(c)}{\operatorname{Im}(\tau_B)}e^1 \wedge e^2.$$

Let

$$\varepsilon^1 = e^1, \quad \varepsilon^2 = e^2, \quad \varepsilon^3 = \operatorname{Im}(c)e^3 - \operatorname{Re}(c)e^4, \quad \varepsilon^4 = \operatorname{Re}(c)e^3 + \operatorname{Im}(c)e^4,$$

then in Table 1 we can see a set of generators for the de Rham cohomology of X .

| k | Generators of $H_{dR}^k(X, \mathbb{C})$ |
|-----|--|
| 0 | 1 |
| 1 | $\varepsilon^1 = dx$ $\varepsilon^2 = dy$ $\varepsilon^3 = \frac{ c ^2}{\text{Im}(\tau_B)} y dy + \text{Im}(c) du - \text{Re}(c) dv$ |
| 2 | $\varepsilon^1 \wedge \varepsilon^3 = \frac{ c ^2}{\text{Im}(\tau_B)} y dx \wedge dy + \text{Im}(c) dx \wedge du - \text{Re}(c) dx \wedge dv$ $\varepsilon^1 \wedge \varepsilon^4 = \text{Re}(c) dx \wedge du + \text{Im}(c) dx \wedge dv$ $\varepsilon^2 \wedge \varepsilon^3 = \text{Im}(c) dy \wedge du - \text{Re}(c) dy \wedge dv$ $\varepsilon^2 \wedge \varepsilon^4 = \frac{ c ^2}{\text{Im}(\tau_B)} y dx \wedge dy + \text{Re}(c) dy \wedge du + \text{Im}(c) dy \wedge dv$ |
| 3 | $\varepsilon^1 \wedge \varepsilon^2 \wedge \varepsilon^3 = \text{Im}(c) dx \wedge dy \wedge du - \text{Re}(c) dx \wedge dy \wedge dv$ $\varepsilon^1 \wedge \varepsilon^3 \wedge \varepsilon^4 = \frac{ c ^2}{\text{Im}(\tau_B)} y (\text{Re}(c) dx \wedge dy \wedge du + \text{Im}(c) dx \wedge dy \wedge dv)$ $\varepsilon^2 \wedge \varepsilon^3 \wedge \varepsilon^4 = -\frac{ c ^2}{\text{Im}(\tau_B)} y (\text{Im}(c) dx \wedge dy \wedge du - \text{Re}(c) dx \wedge dy \wedge dv)$ |
| 4 | $\varepsilon^1 \wedge \varepsilon^2 \wedge \varepsilon^3 \wedge \varepsilon^4 = c ^2 dx \wedge dy \wedge du \wedge dv$ |

TABLE 1. Generators for the de Rham cohomology of a Kodaira surface X .

5.3. **Dolbeault cohomology.** From the complex point of view, the holomorphic 1-forms on \mathbb{C}^2

$$\varphi^1 = dz, \quad \varphi^2 = -\frac{c}{\tau_B - \bar{\tau}_B} (z - \bar{z}) dz + d\zeta$$

are invariant, and so they descend to holomorphic 1-forms on X (with the same name).

These forms satisfy

$$d\varphi^1 = 0, \quad d\varphi^2 = \bar{\partial}\varphi^2 = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \frac{c}{\text{Im}(\tau_B)} \varphi^1 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^1,$$

and can be used to describe the Dolbeault cohomology of X , according to Table 2.

We observe here that $\varphi^1 \wedge \varphi^2$ is a holomorphic symplectic form on X .

6. AUTOMORPHISMS OF KODAIRA SURFACES

Let X, X' be Kodaira surfaces. As we showed in Section 2.1 the elliptic fibrations π and π' of X and X' respectively are also their Albanese morphisms. As a consequence every holomorphic map $f : X \rightarrow X'$ induces a map $B \rightarrow B'$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & X' \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi' \\ B & \longrightarrow & B' \end{array}$$

commutes. In particular, when we have $X = X'$ and $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$ then the induced map $\Psi(f)$ is an automorphism of the base curve B , and we have a group homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : \text{Aut}(X) &\longrightarrow \text{Aut}(B) \\ f &\longmapsto \Psi(f). \end{aligned}$$

| (p, q) | Generators of $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(X)$ |
|----------|--|
| $(0, 0)$ | 1 |
| $(1, 0)$ | $\varphi^1 = dz$ |
| $(0, 1)$ | $\bar{\varphi}^1 = d\bar{z}$ $\bar{\varphi}^2 = -\frac{\bar{c}}{\tau_B - \bar{\tau}_B}(z - \bar{z})d\bar{z} + d\bar{\zeta}$ |
| $(2, 0)$ | $\varphi^1 \wedge \varphi^2 = dz \wedge d\zeta$ |
| $(1, 1)$ | $\varphi^1 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^2 = -\frac{c}{\tau_B - \bar{\tau}_B}(z - \bar{z})dz \wedge d\bar{z} + dz \wedge d\bar{\zeta}$ $\varphi^2 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^1 = -\frac{c}{\tau_B - \bar{\tau}_B}(z - \bar{z})dz \wedge d\bar{z} + d\zeta \wedge d\bar{z}$ |
| $(0, 2)$ | $\bar{\varphi}^1 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^2 = d\bar{z} \wedge d\bar{\zeta}$ |
| $(2, 1)$ | $\varphi^1 \wedge \varphi^2 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^1 = dz \wedge d\zeta \wedge d\bar{z}$ $\varphi^1 \wedge \varphi^2 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^2 = -\frac{\bar{c}}{\tau_B - \bar{\tau}_B}(z - \bar{z})dz \wedge d\zeta \wedge d\bar{z} + dz \wedge d\zeta \wedge d\bar{\zeta}$ |
| $(1, 2)$ | $\varphi^2 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^1 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^2 = -\frac{c}{\tau_B - \bar{\tau}_B}(z - \bar{z})dz \wedge d\bar{z} \wedge d\bar{\zeta} + d\zeta \wedge d\bar{z} \wedge d\bar{\zeta}$ |
| $(2, 2)$ | $\varphi^1 \wedge \varphi^2 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^1 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^2 = dz \wedge d\zeta \wedge d\bar{z} \wedge d\bar{\zeta}$ |

TABLE 2. Generators for the Dolbeault cohomology of a Kodaira surface X .

To study $\text{Aut}(X)$ we will study first the group $\ker(\psi)$ and then $\text{im}(\psi)$.

6.1. Automorphisms acting trivially on the base. In this section we will study the group $\ker(\psi)$ in detail. We recall here the notation used throughout the section: X denotes a Kodaira surface, whose principal bundle structure is $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ where B is a smooth genus 1 curve while the structure group is an elliptic curve E .

Our starting point is the following almost tautological lemma (of which we omit the proof).

Lemma 6.1 — *We have $\ker(\psi) = \{f \in \text{Aut}(X) \mid \pi \circ f = \pi\}$.*

As E is the structure group of the principal bundle structure on X , we have $E \subseteq \text{Aut}(X)$ (actually, $E \subseteq \ker(\psi)$). Recall that the action of an element $e \in E$ on X is denoted by $\tau_e(x) = x \cdot e$.

Proposition 6.2 — *We have $E = \text{Aut}^\circ(X)$, i.e., E is the connected component of the identity in the group $\text{Aut}(X)$.*

Proof. The surface X is holomorphically symplectic, hence we have a natural isomorphism between the holomorphic tangent bundle and the holomorphic cotangent bundle: $TX \simeq \Omega_X^1$. In particular $h^0(X, TX) = h^0(X, \Omega_X^1) = h^{1,0}(X) = 1$ and so

$$\dim(\text{Aut}(X)) = 1.$$

Moreover E is a connected subgroup of $\text{Aut}(X)$, so we have that $E \subseteq \text{Aut}^\circ(X)$. Since these two groups are connected and of the same dimension they must coincide (see, e.g., [SM21, Proposition 6.6]). \square

Our next step is to show that $\ker(\psi)$ is the group of gauge transformations of π . Recall that a gauge transformation of a principal bundle is an automorphism f of X which induces the identity on B and commutes with the action of E , i.e., it such that $f \circ \tau_e = \tau_e \circ f$ for every $e \in E$ (equivalently, $f(x \cdot e) = f(x) \cdot e$).

Proposition 6.3 — *Let $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ be a Kodaira surface. An automorphism $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$ induces the identity on the base B if and only if it is a gauge automorphism of π .*

Proof. If $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$ is a gauge automorphism of π , then $\Psi(f) = \text{id}_B$ by definition. Assume now that $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$ induces id_B and let $e \in E$, we want to prove that $f \circ \tau_e = \tau_e \circ f$. It follows from Theorem 3.18 and Corollary 3.19 that f and τ_e can be respectively lifted to \mathbb{C}^2 as

$$\phi(z, \zeta) = (z + \beta, \zeta + \sigma_{1,0}z + v) \quad \text{and} \quad T_e(z, \zeta) = (z, \zeta + e')$$

for suitable $\beta, \sigma_{1,0}, v \in \mathbb{C}$ and $e' \in \mathbb{C}$ lifting e . Since ϕ and T_e commute, the same must hold for f and τ_e . \square

Remark 6.4 — *We have then the following equalities:*

$$\begin{aligned} \ker(\Psi) &= \{f \in \text{Aut}(X) \mid \pi \circ f = \pi\} = \\ &= \{f \in \text{Aut}(X) \mid \pi \circ f = \pi \text{ and } f(x \cdot e) = f(x) \cdot e \text{ for every } e \in E\}. \end{aligned}$$

The gauge group of a principal bundle whose structure group is abelian is easy to describe. Let $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ be a principal bundle with structure group E , and let F be any manifold on which E acts on the left. Then E acts on the right on the product $X \times F$ via

$$(x, f) \cdot e = (x \cdot e, e^{-1} \cdot f)$$

and the quotient

$$X \times_E F = (X \times F)/E$$

is a well defined manifold. In particular, when we consider $F = E$ acting on itself by the adjoint action

$$e \cdot g = ege^{-1}$$

we call $X \times_{\text{Ad}} E$ the *adjoint bundle* of X , and denote it by \mathcal{G}_X .

Proposition 6.5 ([dB91, Proposition 17]) — *Let X be a principal bundle with structure group E . Then the group of gauge transformations of X is naturally isomorphic to the group of sections of the gauge bundle \mathcal{G}_X of X .*

Lemma 6.6 — *Let $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ be a principal bundle with structure group E , and let F be any manifold. Let E act on F trivially (on the left) and consider the manifold $X \times_E F$. Then $X \times_E F \simeq B \times F$*

Proof. Denote by $[x, f]_E$ the E -orbit of (x, f) in $X \times_E F$. It is easy to show that the map

$$\begin{aligned} X \times_E F &\longrightarrow B \times F \\ [x, f]_E &\longmapsto (\pi(x), f) \end{aligned}$$

is well defined with inverse

$$\begin{aligned} B \times F &\longrightarrow X \times_E F \\ (b, f) &\longmapsto [x, f]_E \end{aligned}$$

where $x \in \pi^{-1}(b)$ is any point. \square

Proposition 6.7 — *Let $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ be a principal bundle with structure group E . If E is abelian, then the gauge group \mathcal{G}_X of X is trivial, i.e., $\mathcal{G}_X \simeq B \times E$.*

Proof. Since E is abelian, its adjoint action is trivial. It follows from Lemma 6.6 that then $\mathcal{G}_X = X \times_{\text{Ad}} E$ is trivial. \square

Corollary 6.8 — *Let $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ be a Kodaira surface, with fibre E . Then*

$$\ker(\Psi) \simeq \mathcal{E}_B(B) \simeq E \times \text{Hom}(B, E),$$

where $\text{Hom}(B, E)$ is the group of group homomorphism from B to E .

Proof. By Proposition 6.3 the group $\ker(\Psi)$ is identified with the group of gauge transformations of π , hence to the group of sections of the gauge bundle \mathcal{G}_X . By Proposition 6.7 the gauge bundle is trivial, and so we can identify its group of sections with the group of holomorphic maps $B \rightarrow E$, i.e., with the group $\mathcal{E}_B(B)$. In the case of elliptic curves it is known that $\mathcal{E}_B(B)$ is isomorphic to $E \times \text{Hom}(B, E)$.

Following all the isomorphisms involved, we have that an explicit isomorphism is

$$\begin{aligned} E \times \text{Hom}(B, E) &\longrightarrow \ker(\Psi) \\ (e, h) &\longmapsto f_{(e,h)} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_{(e,h)} : X &\longrightarrow X \\ x &\longmapsto x \cdot (h(\pi(x)) + e). \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 6.9 — *As a consequence, the neutral component of $\ker(\Psi)$ is isomorphic to E .*

Remark 6.10 — *As an abstract group, we have that*

$$\ker(\Psi) \simeq E \times \mathbb{Z}^m$$

for some $0 \leq m \leq 4$ by [BL04, Proposition 1.2.2].

6.2. The automorphism group of a Kodaira surface. In the previous section we have determined the structure of the group $\ker(\Psi)$, here we want to study $\text{im}(\Psi)$ and the structure of the group $\text{Aut}(X)$.

In Theorem 3.18 we saw that any surjection $f : X \rightarrow X$ admits a lift to \mathbb{C}^2 of the form

$$\Phi(z, \zeta) = \left(\alpha z + \beta, |\alpha|^2 \zeta + \frac{1}{2} D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1) c \alpha z^2 + u z + v \right),$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ satisfies $\alpha \cdot \Lambda_{\tau_B} \subseteq \Lambda_{\tau_B}$ (in particular, $\alpha \in \Lambda_{\tau_B}$) and $|\alpha|^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. We also know that a function of this form is the lift of some surjective holomorphic map $X \rightarrow X$ if and only if

- (1) $u - D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1) (c\beta + \varepsilon - \frac{1}{2} D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, \tau_B) c) \in \Lambda_{\tau_E}$,
- (2) $u\tau_B - D_{\tau_B}(\alpha\tau_B, 1) (c\beta + \varepsilon) + |\alpha|^2 \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2} D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1) D_{\tau_B}(\alpha\tau_B, 1) |\tau_B|^2 c \in \Lambda_{\tau_E}$,

where $\varepsilon = \delta - \frac{1}{2} c\tau_B$.

Definition 6.11 — *Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a surjective endomorphism of a Kodaira surface, and let $\Phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ be a lifting of f of the form (3.8), as in Theorem 3.18. We call such Φ a *special lift* for f .*

The special lifts of automorphisms can be easily characterized among all the other special lifts.

Theorem 6.12 — *Let X be a Kodaira surface, associated to the data $(\tau_B, \tau_E, c, \delta)$. Let $\Phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ be a map of the form (3.8)*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(z, \zeta) = & \left(\alpha z + \beta, |\alpha|^2 \zeta + \frac{1}{2} D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1) c \alpha z^2 + \right. \\ & \left. + (\sigma_{1,0} + D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1) (c\beta + \varepsilon - \frac{1}{2} D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, \tau_B) c)) z + v \right), \end{aligned}$$

which lifts a surjective map $f : X \rightarrow X$. Then $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$ if and only if α is a root of unity.

Proof. We call $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ the elliptic fibration of X . Assume that f is an automorphism, then $\Psi(f) : B \rightarrow B$ is an automorphism, which admits as lift to \mathbb{C} the map $z \mapsto \alpha z + \beta$. Hence α must be a root of unity.

Vice versa, assume that α is a root of unity. We write here (see the end of Section 3.2.1) the action of Φ by conjugation on the generators of $\pi_1(X) \simeq (\Lambda_{\tau_B} \times \Lambda_{\tau_E}; \star)$:

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau_B, 0) &\mapsto (\alpha\tau_B, \sigma_{1,0}\tau_B - \bar{\alpha}(c\beta + (1-\alpha)\varepsilon) + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}c(D_{\tau_B}(\alpha\tau_B, 1)D_{\tau_B}(\alpha\tau_B, \tau_B) - D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1)D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, \tau_B)\tau_B)), \\ (1, 0) &\mapsto (\alpha, \sigma_{1,0}), \\ (0, \tau_E) &\mapsto (0, |\alpha|\tau_E) = (0, \tau_E), \\ (0, 1) &\mapsto (0, |\alpha|) = (0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, in the image of $\pi_1(X)$ under conjugation by Φ we have all the elements of the form $(0, \lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\tau_E}$ and so we have also the elements $(\alpha\tau_B, 0)$ and $(\alpha, 0)$. More precisely,

$$\Phi \circ \pi_1(X) \circ \Phi^{-1} = \langle (\alpha\tau_B, 0), (\alpha, 0), (0, \tau_E), (0, 1) \rangle.$$

It follows from (3.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha\tau_B &= D_{\tau_B}(\alpha\tau_B, 1)\tau_B - D_{\tau_B}(\alpha\tau_B, \tau_B), \\ \alpha &= D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1)\tau_B - D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, \tau_B), \end{aligned}$$

hence the matrix representing the multiplication by α on Λ_{τ_B} with respect to the \mathbb{Z} -basis $\{\tau_B, 1\}$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} D_{\tau_B}(\alpha\tau_B, 1) & D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1) \\ -D_{\tau_B}(\alpha\tau_B, \tau_B) & -D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, \tau_B) \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can then compute the determinant of this matrix and we find that it is

$$-D_{\tau_B}(\alpha\tau_B, 1)D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1) + D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1)D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, \tau_B, \tau_B) = 1.$$

This means that the multiplication by α is an isomorphism, with inverse the multiplication by $\alpha^{-1} = \bar{\alpha}$. In particular, we have that $\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\alpha}\tau_B \in \Lambda_{\tau_B}$ and since the inverse of the previous matrix is

$$\begin{pmatrix} -D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, \tau_B) & -D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1) \\ D_{\tau_B}(\alpha\tau_B, \tau_B) & D_{\tau_B}(\alpha\tau_B, 1) \end{pmatrix}$$

we easily obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\alpha}\tau_B &= -D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, \tau_B)\tau_B + D_{\tau_B}(\alpha\tau_B, \tau_B) \\ \bar{\alpha} &= -D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1)\tau_B + D_{\tau_B}(\alpha\tau_B, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\alpha\tau_B, 0)^{-D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, \tau_B)} \star (\alpha, 0)^{D_{\tau_B}(\alpha\tau_B, \tau_B)} &= (-D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, \tau_B)\alpha\tau_B, 0) \star (D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, \tau_B)\alpha, 0) = \\
&= ((-D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, \tau_B) + D_{\tau_B}(\alpha\tau_B, \tau_B))\alpha, \lambda) = \\
&= (\bar{\alpha}\tau_B\alpha, \lambda) = \\
&= (\tau_B, \lambda), \\
(\alpha\tau_B, 0)^{-D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1)} \star (\alpha, 0)^{D_{\tau_B}(\alpha\tau_B, 1)} &= (-D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1)\alpha\tau_B, 0) \star (D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1)\alpha, 0) = \\
&= ((-D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1) + D_{\tau_B}(\alpha\tau_B, 1))\alpha, \lambda') = \\
&= (\bar{\alpha}\alpha, \lambda') = \\
&= (1, \lambda')
\end{aligned}$$

for suitable $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda_{\tau_E}$. As a consequence

$$\langle (\tau_B, 0), (1, 0), (0, \tau_E), (0, 1) \rangle \subseteq \Phi \circ \pi_1(X) \circ \Phi^{-1} \subseteq \pi_1(X)$$

which proves that $\Phi \circ \pi_1(X) \circ \Phi^{-1} = \pi_1(X)$: by Theorem 3.11 this means that f is an automorphism. \square

Remark 6.13 — We already observed in Corollary 3.19 that the particular case where $\alpha = 1$ in (3.8) always leads to an automorphism of X (see also Remark 3.20).

Remark 6.14 — At the beginning of the proof of Theorem 6.12 we used the notation $\Psi(f)$ even if f was not assumed to be an automorphism. This is anyway consistent with the fact that every map $X \rightarrow X'$ between Kodaira surfaces induces a map $B \rightarrow B'$ between the corresponding Albanese tori.

Remark 6.15 — Denote by ω_n a primitive n^{th} root of unity (e.g., $\omega_n = e^{\frac{2\pi}{n}\sqrt{-1}}$). It follows from the proof of Theorem 6.12 that we have the following possibilities for α :

- (1) if $B \simeq \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cdot \omega_4)$ then $\alpha^4 = 1$;
- (2) if $B \simeq \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cdot \omega_6)$ then $\alpha^6 = 1$;
- (3) in all the other cases we have $\alpha^2 = 1$.

Despite the number of possibilities is small, we will treat all the cases simultaneously as if α were any n^{th} root of unity.

In view of Theorem 6.12 we define G as the set of all the maps Φ as above such that α is a root of unity:

$$\begin{aligned}
G &= \{ \Phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 \mid \Phi \text{ is of the form (3.8) with } \alpha \text{ a primitive } n^{\text{th}} \text{ root of } 1 \} = \\
&= \{ \Phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 \mid \Phi \text{ is a special lift of some } f \in \text{Aut}(X) \}.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6.16 — *The set G is a group (under composition).*

Proof. Let $\Phi_1, \Phi_2 \in G$, first of all we want to show that $\Phi_1 \circ \Phi_2 \in G$. Write

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_1(z, \zeta) &= (\alpha_1 z + \beta_1, \zeta + \frac{1}{2}D_{\tau_B}(\alpha_1, 1)c\alpha_1 z^2 + u_1 z + v_1), \\
\Phi_2(z, \zeta) &= (\alpha_2 z + \beta_2, \zeta + \frac{1}{2}D_{\tau_B}(\alpha_2, 1)c\alpha_2 z^2 + u_2 z + v_2),
\end{aligned}$$

where α_1, α_2 are root of unity, and let $f_1, f_2 \in \text{Aut}(X)$ be the automorphisms of X lifted by Φ_1, Φ_2 respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi_1 \circ \Phi_2)(z, \zeta) = & (\alpha_1 \alpha_2 z + \alpha_1 \beta_2 + \beta_1, \\ & \zeta + \frac{1}{2}(D_{\tau_B}(\alpha_2, 1)\alpha_2 + D_{\tau_B}(\alpha_1, 1)\alpha_1 \alpha_2^2)cz^2 + \\ & + (u_2 + D_{\tau_B}(\alpha_1, 1)c\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \beta_2 + u_1 \alpha_2)z + \\ & + v_2 + \frac{1}{2}D_{\tau_B}(\alpha_1, 1)c\alpha_1 \beta_2^2 + u_1 \beta_2 + v_1), \end{aligned}$$

and we observe that since α_1 is a root of unity

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\tau_B}(\alpha_2, 1)\alpha_2 + D_{\tau_B}(\alpha_1, 1)\alpha_1 \alpha_2^2 &= \alpha_1 \alpha_2 (D_{\tau_B}(\alpha_2, 1)\bar{\alpha}_1 + D_{\tau_B}(\alpha_1, 1)\alpha_2) = \\ &= \alpha_1 \alpha_2 D_{\tau_B}(\alpha_1 \alpha_2, 1). \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, $\Phi_1 \circ \Phi_2$ is of the same form as Φ_1 and Φ_2 . To check that $\Phi_1 \circ \Phi_2 \in G$ it is then equivalent to show that $\Phi_1 \circ \Phi_2$ descends to an automorphism of X , which is obvious as $\Phi_1 \circ \Phi_2$ lifts $f_1 \circ f_2 \in \text{Aut}(X)$.

Let $\Phi \in G$, we now want to show that $\Phi^{-1} \in G$. Write

$$\Phi(z, \zeta) = \left(\alpha z + \beta, \zeta + \frac{1}{2}D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1)c\alpha z^2 + uz + v \right)$$

and observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^{-1}(z, \zeta) = & (\bar{\alpha}z - \bar{\alpha}\beta, \zeta - \frac{1}{2}D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1)c\bar{\alpha}z^2 + \\ & + (D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1)c\bar{\alpha}\beta - u\bar{\alpha})z - \frac{1}{2}D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1)c\bar{\alpha}\beta^2 + u\bar{\alpha}\beta - v). \end{aligned}$$

As $D_{\tau_B}(\bar{\alpha}, 1) = -D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1)$ we have that Φ^{-1} is of the same form as Φ , and so $\Phi^{-1} \in G$ if and only if it descends to an automorphism of X . This is easy since if we call $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$ the automorphism lifted by Φ , then Φ^{-1} lifts $f^{-1} \in \text{Aut}(X)$. \square

6.2.1. *An automorphism of order n .* In this section we show that the group G of special liftings of automorphisms of X admits a notable cyclic group.

Let ω_n be a primitive n^{th} root of unity and consider an automorphism of \mathbb{C}^2 of the form

$$\Phi(z, \zeta) = \left(\omega_n z + \beta, \zeta + \frac{1}{2}D_{\tau_B}(\omega_n, 1)c\omega_n z^2 + uz + v \right)$$

for $\beta, u, v \in \mathbb{C}$. Observe that at this moment we are not requiring these parameters to satisfy any further relation.

We show that there exist (explicit!) sequences $\{\beta_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{u_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{v_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that for every $m \geq 0$

$$\Phi^m(z, \zeta) = \left(\omega_n^m z + \beta_m, \zeta + \frac{1}{2}D_{\tau_B}(\omega_n^m, 1)c\omega_n^m z^2 + u_m z + v_m \right).$$

Remark 6.17 — *The first terms of the three sequences are known, namely:*

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_0 &= 0, & \beta_1 &= \beta; \\ u_0 &= 0, & u_1 &= u; \\ v_0 &= 0, & v_1 &= v. \end{aligned}$$

For $m \geq 2$ we have a recursive definition of these sequences: assume that β_m, u_m, v_m are known and that Φ^m has the desired form, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi^{m+1}(z, \zeta) &= \Phi\left(\omega_n^m z + \beta_m, \zeta + \frac{1}{2}D_{\tau_B}(\omega_n^m, 1)c\omega_n^m z^2 + u_m z + v_m\right) = \\
&= \left(\omega_n^{m+1} z + \omega_n \beta_m + \beta, \zeta + \frac{1}{2}c\omega_n^{m+1}(D_{\tau_B}(\omega_n^m, 1)\bar{\omega}_n + D_{\tau_B}(\omega_n, 1)\omega_n^m)z^2 + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (u_m + D_{\tau_B}(\omega_n, 1)c\omega_n^{m+1}\beta_m + u\omega_n^m)z + \right. \\
&\quad \left. v_m + \frac{1}{2}D_{\tau_B}(\omega_n, 1)c\omega_n\beta_m^2 + u\beta_m + v\right) = \\
&= \left(\omega_n^{m+1} z + \omega_n \beta_m + \beta, \zeta + \frac{1}{2}D_{\tau_B}(\omega_n^{m+1}, 1)c\omega_n^{m+1}z^2 + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (u_m + D_{\tau_B}(\omega_n, 1)c\omega_n^{m+1}\beta_m + u\omega_n^m)z + \right. \\
&\quad \left. v_m + \frac{1}{2}D_{\tau_B}(\omega_n, 1)c\omega_n\beta_m^2 + u\beta_m + v\right).
\end{aligned}$$

As a consequence Φ^{m+1} has the prescribed form and we deduce the recursive relation for $m \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_m &= \omega_n \beta_{m-1} + \beta, \\
u_m &= u_{m-1} + D_{\tau_B}(\omega_n, 1)c\omega_n^m \beta_{m-1} + u\omega_n^{m-1}, \\
v_m &= v_{m-1} + \frac{1}{2}D_{\tau_B}(\omega_n, 1)c\omega_n\beta_{m-1}^2 + u\beta_{m-1} + v.
\end{aligned}$$

It is then easy to verify by induction that $\{\beta_m\}_{m \geq 0}$ is given by

$$(6.1) \quad \beta_0 = 0, \quad \beta_m = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \omega_n^i\right) \beta \quad (m \geq 1).$$

Concerning $\{u_m\}_{m \geq 0}$, observe that for $m \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned}
u_m &= u_m - u_0 = \sum_{i=1}^m u_i - u_{i-1} = \sum_{i=2}^m (u_i - u_{i-1}) + u = \\
&= D_{\tau_B}(\omega_n, 1)c \sum_{i=2}^m \omega_n^i \beta_{i-1} + u \sum_{i=2}^m \omega_n^{i-1} + u.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the expression for $\{\beta_m\}_{m \geq 0}$ found in (6.1) we have that

$$(6.2) \quad u_0 = 0, \quad u_1 = u, \quad u_m = D_{\tau_B}(\omega_n, 1)c\omega_n\beta \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \omega_n^{i+j} + u \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \omega_n^i \quad (m \geq 2).$$

Finally, the argument to find the explicit expression of $\{v_m\}_{m \geq 0}$ is essentially the same as the one we have just used: the final result is

$$(6.3) \quad v_0 = 0, \quad v_1 = v, \quad v_m = \frac{1}{2}D_{\tau_B}(\omega_n, 1)c\omega_n \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \beta_i^2 + u \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \beta_i + mv \quad (m \geq 2).$$

The fact that ω_n is an n^{th} root of unity implies that $D_{\tau_B}(\omega_n^n, 1) = D_{\tau_B}(1, 1) = 0$. Moreover it follows from well known results in number theory that $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \omega_n^i = 0$ and that $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \alpha^{i+j} = 0$: as a consequence we deduce that $\beta_n = u_n = 0$. Finally, as $n \geq 0$ we can choose $v = v_1$ such that also $v_n = 0$ and we observe that from (6.3) such a choice depends only on ω_n and β .

Proposition 6.18 — *Let X be the Kodaira surface associated to $(\tau_B, \tau_E, c, \delta)$ and let ω_n be a primitive n^{th} root of unity such that $\omega_n \Lambda_{\tau_B} = \Lambda_{\tau_B}$. Then X admits an automorphism f_{ω_n} of order n .*

Proof. Let Φ be an automorphism of \mathbb{C}^2 of the form

$$\Phi(z, \zeta) = \left(\omega_n z + \beta, \zeta + \frac{1}{2} D_{\tau_B}(\omega_n, 1) c \omega_n z^2 + uz + v \right).$$

In view of Theorem 3.18 we choose $\sigma \in \Lambda_{\tau_E}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\sigma = 0,$$

$$\sigma \tau_B - \bar{\omega}_n (c\beta + (1 - \omega_n)\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{2} c D_{\tau_B}(\omega_n, 1) \left(D_{\tau_B}(\omega_n \tau_B, 1) |\tau_B|^2 - D_{\tau_B}(\omega_n, \tau_B) \tau_B \right) = 0,$$

which amounts to

$$\sigma = 0,$$

$$\beta = \frac{\omega_n - 1}{c} \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2} D_{\tau_B}(\omega_n, 1) \omega_n (D_{\tau_B}(\omega_n \tau_B, 1) |\tau_B|^2 - D_{\tau_B}(\omega_n, \tau_B) \tau_B).$$

Let then

$$u = D_{\tau_B}(\omega_n, 1) \left(\omega_n \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2} c D_{\tau_B}(\omega_n, 1) \omega_n (D_{\tau_B}(\omega_n \tau_B, 1) |\tau_B|^2 - D_{\tau_B}(\omega_n, \tau_B) \tau_B) + \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{2} D_{\tau_B}(\omega_n, \tau_B) c \right).$$

With these choices for β and u , let $v \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that $v_n = 0$ in (6.3). Then the corresponding map Φ_{ω_n} descends to X and induces an automorphism f_{ω_n} : observe that this automorphism depends only on ω_n . The fact that ω_n is primitive ensures that the order of Φ_{ω_n} is exactly n , and the same must hold for f_{ω_n} . \square

For ω_n as in the statement of Proposition 6.18 we denote with f_{ω_n} the corresponding automorphism of X and with Φ_{ω_n} its special lift to \mathbb{C}^2 . Finally, we denote

$$H_n = \langle \Phi_{\omega_n} \rangle \subseteq G$$

and we observe that we have $H_n \simeq \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 6.19 — As $H_n \cap \pi_1(X) = \{\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}^2}\}$ we have that the image of H_n in $\text{Aut}(X)$ is still a cyclic group of order n , i.e.

$$\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \simeq \langle f_n \rangle \subseteq \text{Aut}(X).$$

6.2.2. *Semidirect product decomposition.* Let us consider the subgroup of G consisting of special liftings of those automorphisms $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$ such that $\Psi(f)$ is a translation:

$$N = \left\{ \Phi(z, \zeta) = (z + \beta, \zeta + \sigma z + v) \in G \left| \begin{array}{l} \sigma \in \Lambda_{\tau_E} \\ \sigma \tau_B - c\beta \in \Lambda_{\tau_E} \end{array} \right. \right\} = \\ = \{ \Phi \in G \mid \Phi \text{ lifts some } f \in \text{Aut}(X) \text{ such that } \Psi(f) \text{ is a translation} \}.$$

Lemma 6.20 — *The group N is a normal subgroup of G .*

Proof. Let $\phi(z, \zeta) = (\alpha z + \beta, \zeta + \frac{1}{2} D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1) c \alpha z^2 + uz + v)$ be an element of G and $\Phi(z, \zeta) = (z + \beta', \zeta + \sigma' z + v')$ be an element of N . Then we can compute that

$$(\phi \circ \Phi \circ \phi^{-1})(z, \zeta) = (z + \alpha \beta', \zeta + (D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1) c \beta' + \sigma' \bar{\alpha}) z + v' + \\ + \frac{1}{2} D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1) c \alpha \beta'^2 + u \beta' \sigma' \bar{\alpha} \beta - D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1) c \beta \beta')$$

and we need to verify that this map is in N .

As $\bar{\alpha} = D_{\tau_B}(\alpha \tau_B, 1) - D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1) \tau_B$ we deduce that

$$D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1) c \beta' + \sigma' \bar{\alpha} = D_{\tau_B}(\alpha \tau_B, 1) \underbrace{\sigma'}_{\in \Lambda_{\tau_E}} - D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1) \underbrace{(\sigma' \tau_B - c \beta')}_{\in \Lambda_{\tau_E}} \in \Lambda_{\tau_E}.$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
(D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1)c\beta' + \sigma'\bar{\alpha})\tau_B - c\alpha\beta' &= \sigma'(D_{\tau_B}(\bar{\alpha}\tau_B, 1)\tau_B - D_{\tau_B}(\bar{\alpha}\tau_B, \tau_B)) + \\
&\quad + (D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1)\tau_B - \alpha)c\beta' = \\
&= D_{\tau_B}(\bar{\alpha}\tau_B, 1)(\sigma'\tau_B - c\beta') + \\
&\quad - D_{\tau_B}(\bar{\alpha}\tau_B, \tau_B)\sigma' \in \Lambda_{\tau_E},
\end{aligned}$$

which shows that $\phi \circ \Phi \circ \phi^{-1} \in N$. \square

Consider a primitive n^{th} root of unity ω_n and let

$$\Phi_{\omega_n}(z, \zeta) = \left(\omega_n z + \beta_{\omega_n}, \zeta + \frac{1}{2} D_{\tau_B}(\omega_n, 1) c \omega_n z^2 + u_{\omega_n} z + v_{\omega_n} \right)$$

be the automorphism of order n defined in the previous section, and $H_n = \langle \Phi_{\omega_n} \rangle$. Observe that $N \cap H_n = \{\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}^2}\}$. Let $f_{\omega_n} \in \text{Aut}(X)$ be the automorphism induced by Φ_{ω_n} .

Lemma 6.21 — *We have that $G = N \cdot H_n$.*

Proof. First of all, observe that N can be described as the subgroup of G consisting of the special liftings (3.8) having $\alpha = 1$.

Let $\Phi \in G$, so the first component of Φ can be written as $(z, \zeta) \mapsto \omega_n^m z + \beta$ for a suitable $m \in \mathbb{N}$. The first component of $\Phi_{\omega_n}^m$ is of the form $(z, \zeta) \mapsto \omega_n^m z + \beta_m$, hence we can see that the first component of $\Phi \circ \Phi_{\omega_n}^{-m}$ is $(z, \zeta) \mapsto z - \beta_m + \beta$. As a consequence $\Phi \circ \Phi_{\omega_n}^{-m}$ is an element of G which corresponds to the choice $\alpha = 1$ in the notations of (3.8). So $\Phi \circ \Phi_{\omega_n}^m \in N$. \square

Corollary 6.22 — *We have a semidirect product decomposition $G = N \rtimes H_n$. This decomposition descends to $\text{Aut}(X)$, namely*

$$\text{Aut}(X) = \Psi^{-1}(B) \rtimes \langle f_{\omega_n} \rangle.$$

Proof. Indeed, N is a normal subgroup of G such that $N \cap H_n = \{\text{id}_{\mathbb{C}^2}\}$ and $G = N \cdot H_n$. \square

6.2.3. *Study of $\text{im}(\Psi)$.* From the discussion in the previous sections we know that if X is the Kodaira surface associated to the data $(\tau_B, \tau_E, c, \delta)$ then

- (1) $\pi_1(X) \trianglelefteq G$ and $\text{Aut}(X) \simeq G/\pi_1(X)$;
- (2) $G \simeq N \rtimes H_n$, where N is the subgroup of special liftings of automorphisms inducing the a translation on B and H_n is the order n subgroup of G generated by the map Φ_n (see Proposition 6.18).

As $\pi_1(X) \leq N$, we can then deduce that

$$\text{Aut}(X) \simeq G/\pi_1(X) \simeq \frac{N \rtimes H_n}{\pi_1(X)} \simeq \frac{N}{\pi_1(X)} \rtimes H_n.$$

In this section we are interested in the study of the following group:

$$\begin{aligned}
K &= \{ \Phi \in N \mid \beta \in \Lambda_{\tau_B} \} = \\
&= \{ \Phi \in G \mid \Phi \text{ lifts some } f \in \ker(\Psi) \}.
\end{aligned}$$

The precise link between K and $\ker(\Psi)$ is given by the following commutative diagram: observe that $\pi_1(X) \trianglelefteq K \trianglelefteq N$, then we have this diagram, with exact

rows and columns:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 1 & & 1 & & 1 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & \pi_1(X) & \longrightarrow & 1 \longrightarrow 1 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
1 & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & N \rtimes H_n & \longrightarrow & \frac{N}{K} \rtimes H_n \longrightarrow 1 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \ker(\Psi) & \longrightarrow & \text{Aut}(X) & \longrightarrow & \text{im}(\Psi) \longrightarrow 1 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & 1 & & 1 & & 1.
\end{array}$$

As a consequence it is clear that

$$(6.4) \quad \text{im}(\Psi) \simeq \frac{N}{K} \rtimes H_n,$$

so we are interested in the study of N/K . The map

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_{\tau_E} \times \Lambda_{\tau_E} \times \mathbb{C} &\longrightarrow N \\
(\lambda, \sigma, v) &\longmapsto \Phi_{(\lambda, \sigma, v)}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{(\lambda, \sigma, v)} : \mathbb{C}^2 &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 \\
(z, \zeta) &\longmapsto \left(z + \frac{1}{c}(\sigma\tau_B - \lambda), \zeta + \sigma z + v \right)
\end{aligned}$$

is a bijection of sets, which can be used to induce on $\Lambda_{\tau_E} \times \Lambda_{\tau_E} \times \mathbb{C}$ the group structure of N . Explicitly, we have that for $(\lambda, \sigma, v), (\lambda', \sigma', v') \in \Lambda_{\tau_E} \times \Lambda_{\tau_E} \times \mathbb{C}$ the product is given by

$$(\lambda, \sigma, v) * (\lambda', \sigma', v') = \left(\lambda + \lambda', \sigma + \sigma', v + v' + \frac{1}{c}(\sigma\tau_B - \lambda)\sigma' \right).$$

Moreover,

$$K \simeq \{(\lambda, \sigma, v) \in \Lambda_{\tau_E} \times \Lambda_{\tau_E} \times \mathbb{C} \mid \sigma\tau : B - \lambda \in c \cdot \Lambda_{\tau_B}\}.$$

As a consequence we have the following result.

Lemma 6.23 — *We have that*

$$\frac{N}{K} \simeq \frac{\Lambda_{\tau_E} \times \Lambda_{\tau_E}}{\{(\lambda, \sigma) \in \Lambda_{\tau_E} \times \Lambda_{\tau_E} \mid \sigma\tau_B - \lambda \in c \cdot \Lambda_{\tau_B}\}}.$$

As a consequence, $\text{im}(\Psi)$ is a virtually abelian group.

Observe that $\Lambda_{\tau_E} \times \Lambda_{\tau_E}$ is a free abelian group of rank 4, isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^4 . As a consequence $\text{rk}(N/K) \leq 4$, and we want to understand which are the actual possibilities for this rank.

Lemma 6.24 — *We have $\text{rk}(N/K) \leq 2$.*

Proof. Let $T = \{(hc, kc) \in \Lambda_{\tau_E} \times \Lambda_{\tau_E} \mid h, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, then $T \leq K'$ where

$$K' = \{(\lambda, \sigma) \in \Lambda_{\tau_E} \times \Lambda_{\tau_E} \mid \sigma\tau_B - \lambda \in c \cdot \Lambda_{\tau_B}\}.$$

By the previous lemma we have

$$\frac{N}{K} \simeq \frac{\Lambda_{\tau_E} \times \Lambda_{\tau_E}}{K'} \simeq \frac{(\Lambda_{\tau_E} \times \Lambda_{\tau_E})/T}{K'/T},$$

so the lemma follows as we observe that

$$\frac{\Lambda_{\tau_E} \times \Lambda_{\tau_E}}{T} \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{m\mathbb{Z}} \oplus \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{m\mathbb{Z}}.$$

□

The following examples show that $\text{rk}(N/K)$ can attend all the admissible values.

Example 6.25 — Let $\tau_B = \tau_E = \sqrt{-1}$ and $c = 1$. In this case all the couples $(\lambda, \sigma) \in \Lambda_{\tau_E} \times \Lambda_{\tau_E}$ satisfy $\sigma\tau_B - \lambda \in c \cdot \Lambda_{\tau_B}$, i.e., $\{(\lambda, \sigma) \in \Lambda_{\tau_E} \times \Lambda_{\tau_E} \mid \sigma\tau_B - \lambda \in c \cdot \Lambda_{\tau_B}\} = \Lambda_{\tau_E} \times \Lambda_{\tau_E}$. So

$$\frac{N}{K} \simeq \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{m\mathbb{Z}} \oplus \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{m\mathbb{Z}}$$

has rank 0.

Example 6.26 — Let $\tau_B = \sqrt{-1}$, $\tau_E = \pi\sqrt{-1}$ and $c = m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Write $\lambda = m_\lambda + n_\lambda\sqrt{-1}$ and $\sigma = m_\sigma + n_\sigma\sqrt{-1}$, then $\sigma\tau_B - \lambda \in m \cdot \Lambda_{\sqrt{-1}}$ if and only if $n_\sigma = n_\lambda = 0$ and m divides both m_λ and m_σ , which amounts to require that (λ, σ) is of the form $(\lambda, \sigma) = (mh, mk)$ for some $h, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. As a consequence, in this case we have that

$$\frac{N}{K} \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{m\mathbb{Z}} \oplus \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{m\mathbb{Z}}$$

has rank 2.

Example 6.27 — Let $\tau_B = \tau_E = \pi\sqrt{-1}$ and $c = m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. In this case

$$\frac{N}{K} \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{m\mathbb{Z}} \oplus \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{m\mathbb{Z}}$$

has rank 1.

7. ACTION ON COHOMOLOGY

Let X be the Kodaira surface associated to the data $(\tau_B, \tau_E, c, \delta)$ and let $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$. Let $\Phi \in G$ be a special lifting of f to \mathbb{C}^2 and write

$$\Phi(z, \zeta) = \left(\alpha z + \beta, \zeta + \frac{1}{2} D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1) c \alpha z^2 + u z + v \right)$$

with α an n^{th} root of unity.

Let φ^1 and φ^2 be the invariant $(1, 0)$ -forms defined in Section 5.3. We describe the action of f^* on the Dolbeault cohomology $H_{\delta}^{*,*}(X)$ of X .

As $\Phi^*(dz) = \alpha dz$ we have that $f^*\varphi^1 = \alpha\varphi^1$ and we can compute in the same way that

$$(7.1) \quad f^*\varphi^2 = \underbrace{\left(-c \frac{\text{Im}(\alpha z + \beta)}{\text{Im}(\tau_B)} \alpha + D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1) \alpha c z + u + c \frac{\text{Im}(z)}{\text{Im}(\tau_B)} \right)}_{\rho} \varphi^1 + \varphi^2.$$

Then the action on the Dolbeault cohomology is described in Table 3.

Starting from Table 3 it is possible to compute the trace and the determinant of f^* , the results can be found in Table 4.

Proposition 7.1 — *Let X be a Kodaira surface and let $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $\Psi(f)$ is a translation;
- (2) f is symplectic (i.e., f^* acts trivially on $H_{\delta}^{2,0}(X)$).

| (p, q) | Class in $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(X)$ | Image via f^* |
|----------|--|---|
| (0, 0) | [1] | [1] |
| (1, 0) | $[\varphi^1]$ | $\alpha[\varphi^1]$ |
| (0, 1) | $[\bar{\varphi}^1]$ | $\bar{\alpha}[\bar{\varphi}^1]$ |
| | $[\bar{\varphi}^2]$ | $\bar{\rho}[\bar{\varphi}^1] + [\bar{\varphi}^2]$ |
| (2, 0) | $[\varphi^1 \wedge \varphi^2]$ | $\alpha[\varphi^1 \wedge \varphi^2]$ |
| (1, 1) | $[\varphi^1 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^2]$ | $\alpha[\varphi^1 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^2]$ |
| | $[\varphi^2 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^1]$ | $\bar{\alpha}[\varphi^2 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^1]$ |
| (0, 2) | $[\bar{\varphi}^1 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^2]$ | $\bar{\alpha}[\bar{\varphi}^1 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^2]$ |
| (2, 1) | $[\varphi^1 \wedge \varphi^2 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^1]$ | $[\varphi^1 \wedge \varphi^2 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^1]$ |
| | $[\varphi^1 \wedge \varphi^2 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^2]$ | $\alpha\rho[\varphi^1 \wedge \varphi^2 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^1] + \alpha[\varphi^1 \wedge \varphi^2 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^2]$ |
| (1, 2) | $[\varphi^2 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^1 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^2]$ | $\bar{\alpha}[\varphi^2 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^1 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^2]$ |
| (2, 2) | $[\varphi^1 \wedge \varphi^2 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^1 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^2]$ | $[\varphi^1 \wedge \varphi^2 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^1 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^2]$ |

TABLE 3. Action of f^* on the Dolbeault cohomology.

| (p, q) | $\text{tr}(H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(X))$ | $\det(f^*)$ |
|----------|--|----------------|
| (0, 0) | 1 | 1 |
| (1, 0) | α | α |
| (0, 1) | $1 + \bar{\alpha}$ | $\bar{\alpha}$ |
| (2, 0) | α | α |
| (1, 1) | $\alpha + \bar{\alpha}$ | 1 |
| (0, 2) | $\bar{\alpha}$ | $\bar{\alpha}$ |
| (2, 1) | $1 + \alpha$ | α |
| (1, 2) | $\bar{\alpha}$ | $\bar{\alpha}$ |
| (2, 2) | 1 | 1 |
| (*, *) | $4(1 + \alpha + \bar{\alpha})$ | 1 |

TABLE 4. Trace and determinant of f^* on the Dolbeault cohomology spaces and on the whole Dolbeault cohomology (in the last line, labelled as $(*, *)$).

Proof. In fact, observe that all these conditions are equivalent to $\alpha = 1$. \square

Remark 7.2 — In [Cai09, Theorem 0.1] it is shown that if S is a smooth projective surface of Kodaira dimension 1 with either $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) \geq 2$ or $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = 1$, $p_g(S) > 0$ or $\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = 0$, $p_g(S) \geq 3$, then the subgroup of automorphisms acting trivially on $H^2(S, \mathbb{Q})$ is finite. Kodaira surfaces X are then an example of smooth non-projective elliptic surfaces whose subgroup of automorphisms acting trivially on $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ cohomology is infinite.

Now we discuss when f^* is diagonalizable. As the Hodge numbers of a Kodaira surface are either 1 or 2, we only need to study the diagonalizability only on the Dolbeault cohomology spaces of dimension 2. Looking at Table 3 we observe that f^* is already in diagonal form on $H^{1,1}(X)$, and that f^* is diagonalizable on $H^{0,1}(X)$ if and only if f^* is diagonalizable on $H^{2,1}(X)$. So we have reduced the problem of diagonalizability of f^* on all $H^{*,*}(X)$ to the problem of diagonalizability of f^* only on $H^{0,1}(X)$.

Remark 7.3 — Let $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$ be an automorphism lifting to $\Phi \in G$ with $\alpha \neq 1$. Then f^* has two distinct eigenvalues on $H^{0,1}(X)$, namely 1 and $\bar{\alpha}$, and so it is diagonalizable. A basis of $H^{0,1}(X)$ in which f^* is in diagonal form is provided by $\{[\bar{\varphi}^1], \bar{\rho}[\bar{\varphi}^1] + (1 - \bar{\alpha})[\bar{\varphi}^2]\}$, and the corresponding basis for $H^{2,1}(X)$ is $\{\alpha\rho[\varphi^1 \wedge \varphi^2 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^1] + (1 - \alpha)[\varphi^1 \wedge \varphi^2 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^2], [\varphi^1 \wedge \varphi^2 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^1]\}$.

As a consequence, we are left only with those automorphisms $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$ such that $\Psi(f)$ is a translation.

Proposition 7.4 — Let $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$ be such that $\Psi(f)$ is a translation. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) f acts trivially on cohomology;
- (2) f^* is diagonalizable;
- (3) f has a special lifting of the form $\Phi(z, \zeta) = \left(z + \beta, \zeta + c \frac{\text{Im}(\beta)}{\text{Im}(\tau_B)} z + v \right)$ for some $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $m\beta \in \Lambda_{\tau_B}$.

Proof. Observe that on $H^{0,1}(X)$ the map f^* has only 1 as a eigenvalue with algebraic multiplicity 2. As a consequence f^* is diagonalizable if and only if it is the identity, which proves the equivalence of (1) and (2).

In terms of a special lifting Φ for f , by Table (3) we also deduce that f^* is diagonalizable if and only if $\alpha = 1$ and $\rho = 0$. By (7.1) we deduce that this is equivalent to require that $\alpha = 1$ and $u = c \frac{\text{Im}(\beta)}{\text{Im}(\tau_B)}$, hence that f has a special lifting of the form

$$\Phi(z, \zeta) = \left(z + \beta, \zeta + c \frac{\text{Im}(\beta)}{\text{Im}(\tau_B)} z + v \right).$$

The fact that $\Phi \in G$ means that

$$c \frac{\text{Im}(\beta)}{\text{Im}(\tau_B)} \in \Lambda_{\tau_E}, \quad c \frac{\text{Im}(\beta)}{\text{Im}(\tau_B)} \tau_B - c\beta \in \Lambda_{\tau_E}.$$

Recall that $c \in \Lambda_{\tau_E}$ is m times a primitive element, so the first condition is equivalent to $\frac{\text{Im}(\beta)}{\text{Im}(\tau_B)} = \frac{n}{m}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, i.e.,

$$\text{Im}(\beta) = \frac{n}{m} \text{Im}(\tau_B).$$

With this information, we can compute that $\frac{n}{m} \tau_B - \beta = \frac{n}{m} \text{Re}(\tau_B) - \text{Re}(\beta)$ and so we see that $c \frac{\text{Im}(\beta)}{\text{Im}(\tau_B)} \tau_B - c\beta = c \left(\frac{n}{m} \tau_B - \beta \right) \in \Lambda_{\tau_B}$ if and only if $\frac{n}{m} \text{Re}(\tau_B) - \text{Re}(\beta) = \frac{h}{m}$ for some $h \in \mathbb{Z}$. But this means that

$$\text{Re}(\beta) = -\frac{h}{m} + \frac{n}{m} \text{Re}(\tau_B).$$

As a consequence we find that β must be of the form

$$\beta = -\frac{h}{m} + \frac{n}{m} \text{Re}(\tau_B) + \sqrt{-1} \frac{n}{m} \text{Im}(\tau_B) = \frac{1}{m} (-h + n\tau_B),$$

and finally this condition is equivalent to require that $m\beta \in \Lambda_{\tau_B}$. \square

Remark 7.5 — It follows from Proposition 7.4 that, in particular, the translations $T_e(x) = x \cdot e$ act trivially on cohomology for all $e \in E$.

8. FIXED LOCI

Let $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$ be an automorphism. By Table 4 we can then compute that the Lefschetz number Λ_f of f is

$$\Lambda_f = \sum_{k=0}^4 (-1)^k \text{tr} (f^*|_{H^k(X, \mathbb{Q})}) = 0,$$

and so we can not deduce from the Topological Lefschetz Fixed Point Theorem the existence of fixed points for f . In this section we study the structure of the fixed point set $\text{Fix}(f)$ of an automorphism f of X . We show that if it is not empty then $\text{Fix}(f)$ always consists of the disjoint union of a finite number of fibres of the elliptic fibration $\pi : X \rightarrow B$.

Proposition 8.1 — *Let X be a Kodaira surface and let $f \in \text{Aut}(X) \setminus \{\text{id}_X\}$. If $f \in \ker(\Psi)$, then $\text{Fix}(f)$ is either empty or it is the union of a finite number of fibres of π .*

Proof. We know by Corollary 6.8 that we can write

$$f(x) = x \cdot (h(\pi(x)) + e)$$

for some holomorphic homeomorphism $h : B \rightarrow E$ and $e \in E$. Then we have two possibilities.

- (1) $h = 0$: in this case $f(x) = x \cdot e$. As the action of E on X which defines the principal bundle structure is free, in this case the presence of a fixed point implies that $f = \text{id}_X$.
- (2) $h \neq 0$: in this case $h : B \rightarrow E$ is onto. Let $x \in \text{Fix}(f)$, from the fact that $x = f(x) = x \cdot (h(\pi(x)) + e)$ we deduce that $h(\pi(x)) + e = 0$. As a consequence all the fibre $X_{\pi(x)}$ consists of fixed points: if $y \in X_{\pi(x)}$ then

$$f(y) = y \cdot (h(\pi(y)) + e) = y \cdot (h(\pi(x)) + e) = y \cdot 0 = y$$

and so $X_{\pi(x)} \subseteq \text{Fix}(f)$. In this case we have that

$$\text{Fix}(f) = \bigcup_{b \in h^{-1}(-e)} X_b.$$

□

Corollary 8.2 — *Let X be a Kodaira surface and let $f \in \text{Aut}(X) \setminus \{\text{id}_X\}$. If f induces a translation on B then $\text{Fix}(f)$ is either empty or it is the union of a finite number of fibres of π .*

Proof. If $\text{Fix}(f) = \emptyset$ we are done. On the contrary, let $x \in \text{Fix}(f)$. In this case we have that $\pi(x)$ is a fixed point of $\Psi(f)$ and so $\Psi(f) = \text{id}_B$. This means that $f \in \ker(\Psi)$, hence the result follows from the Proposition. □

Remark 8.3 — *Assume that $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$ induces a translation on B . If $f = \text{id}_X$ then obviously $\text{Fix}(f) = X$, so we can assume that $f \neq \text{id}_X$. It follows from the proof of Proposition 8.1 that $\text{Fix}(f) = \emptyset$ if and only if f is of the form $f(x) = x \cdot e$ for some $e \in E$.*

Lemma 8.4 — *Let X be a Kodaira surface, and let $F \leq \text{Aut}(X)$ be a finite subgroup. If $F \leq \ker(\Psi)$ then $F \leq E$.*

Proof. We know by Corollary 6.8 that $\ker(\Psi) \simeq E \oplus \mathbb{Z}^t$ for a suitable $0 \leq t \leq 4$, so we can consider the projection \tilde{f} of f on \mathbb{Z}^t . As $f^{|F|} = \text{id}_X$ we deduce that $|F| \cdot \tilde{f} = 0$, hence that $\tilde{f} = 0$. This means that $f \in E$. □

Remark 8.5 — As a consequence of Lemma 8.4 we deduce that if $F \leq \ker(\Psi)$ is a finite subgroup then F acts on X without fixed points (see also [PS20a, Lemma 2.5]).

Corollary 8.6 (cf. [PS20b, Lemma 8.3]) — *Let X be a Kodaira surface. Then $\text{Aut}(X)$ has the Jordan property, i.e., there exists a constant $J \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that every finite subgroup $F \leq \text{Aut}(X)$ has a normal abelian subgroup $A \leq F$ of index at most J .*

Proof. Let F be a finite subgroup of $\text{Aut}(X)$. As $\ker(\Psi)$ is normal in $\text{Aut}(X)$ we deduce that $F \cap \ker(\Psi)$ is normal in F . By Lemma 8.4 we have that $F \cap \ker(\Psi)$ is a subgroup of E , hence it is abelian. Finally, the quotient $F/(F \cap \ker(\Psi))$ is identified with a finite subgroup of $\text{im}(\Psi)$. By (6.4) and Lemma 6.23 we see that the index $[F : F \cap \ker(\Psi)]$ is bounded by a constant J which is independent of F , e.g., we can choose $J = n \cdot \#(\text{Tors}(N/K))$, where $\text{Tors}(N/K)$ denote the torsion subgroup of N/K . \square

Proposition 8.7 — *Let X be a Kodaira surface, and let $F \leq \text{Aut}(X)$ be a finite subgroup. If $F \leq \ker(\Psi)$, then X/F is a Kodaira surface, which is a principal (E/F) -bundle over B .*

Proof. As the elliptic fibration $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ is preserved by $\text{Aut}(X)$, in particular it is preserved by F and so we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & X/F \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi' \\ B & \longrightarrow & B/\Psi(F). \end{array}$$

As $F \leq \ker(\Psi)$, we have $\Psi(F) = \{\text{id}_B\}$ and so $B/\Psi(F) = B$. By Lemma 8.4 we have that $F \leq E$, i.e., that F is a finite subgroup of translations on X . As a consequence F acts freely on X , which implies that X/F is again a smooth complex surface. Moreover the fact that $F \leq E$ also implies that F acts on E by translations, and we have that E/F is another elliptic curve.

Define

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E/F & \longrightarrow & \text{Aut}(X/F) \\ F + e & \longmapsto & \rho_{F+e} \end{array} \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \rho_{F+e} : X/F & \longrightarrow & X/F \\ Fx & \longmapsto & F(x \cdot e). \end{array}$$

These maps are well defined and it is easy to show that they define a principal (E/F) -bundle structure over X/F , which is then a Kodaira surface. \square

Finally we consider automorphisms which do not induce translations on the base curve B and we describe their fixed locus.

Lemma 8.8 — *Let $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ be the universal covering, let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a (continuous) map which lifts to $\Phi : Y \rightarrow Y$. Then*

$$\pi^{-1}(\text{Fix}(f)) = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \pi_1(X)} \text{Fix}(\gamma \circ \Phi).$$

Proof. Let $y \in \pi^{-1}(\text{Fix}(f))$, i.e., $f(\pi(y)) = \pi(y)$. As a consequence $\pi(y) = \pi(\Phi(y))$ and so there exists $\gamma \in \pi_1(X)$ such that $y = \gamma(\Phi(y))$, which means that $y \in \text{Fix}(\gamma \circ \Phi)$.

Vice versa, let $y \in \text{Fix}(\gamma \circ \Phi)$ for some $\gamma \in \pi_1(X)$. Then

$$f(\pi(y)) = \pi(\Phi(y)) = \pi(\gamma(\Phi(y))) = \pi(y),$$

which shows that $y \in \pi^{-1}(\text{Fix}(f))$. \square

Corollary 8.9 — *We have that*

$$\text{Fix}(f) = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \pi_1(X)} \pi(\text{Fix}(\gamma \circ \Phi)).$$

Proof. This follows from the proposition, in fact as π is onto we have that $\text{Fix}(f) = \pi(\pi^{-1}(\text{Fix}(f)))$. \square

Proposition 8.10 — *Let X be a Kodaira surface, and let $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$. Assume that $\Psi(f)$ is not a translation, then the fixed locus of f is either empty or it is the union of a finite number of fibres of π , more precisely*

$$\text{Fix}(f) = \bigcup_{b \in I} \pi^{-1}(b)$$

for a suitable $I \subseteq \text{Fix}(\Psi(f))$.

Proof. If $\text{Fix}(f) = \emptyset$ there is nothing to prove. Assume that $\text{Fix}(f) \neq \emptyset$ and let $P_0 \in \text{Fix}(f)$. Choose a lifting $(z_0, \zeta_0) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ for P_0 : if $\Phi \in G$ is a special lifting for f , then we can assume that $(z_0, \zeta_0) \in \text{Fix}(\Phi)$. In fact, in case (z_0, ζ_0) is not fixed by Φ then the special lifting $\Phi' = \gamma \circ \Phi \in G$ fixes (z_0, ζ_0) for a suitable choice of $\gamma \in \pi_1(X) \leq G$. Then Φ is of the form

$$\Phi(z, \zeta) = \left(\alpha z + \beta, \zeta + \frac{1}{2} D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1) c \alpha z^2 + u z + v \right), \quad \alpha \neq 1$$

and the fact that $(z_0, \zeta_0) \in \text{Fix}(\Phi)$ implies that

$$(8.1) \quad z_0 = \frac{\beta}{1 - \alpha}, \quad \frac{1}{2} D_{\tau_B}(\alpha, 1) c \alpha z_0^2 + u z_0 + v = 0.$$

This shows that $\text{Fix}(\Phi)$, which is non empty, is a hyperplane whose image in X is a fibre of π . Any $\gamma \in \pi_1(X)$ is a linear transformation of \mathbb{C}^2 , and $\text{Fix}(\gamma \circ \Phi)$ is either empty or another hyperplane mapping to a fibre, of the form

$$z = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} (\beta + m_1 \tau_B + m_2)$$

for some $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Corollary 8.9 we deduce that $\text{Fix}(f)$ is a union of fibres of π .

Observe finally that the first condition in (8.1) means that $\pi(P_0) \in B$ is a fixed point for $\Psi(f)$, and we know that $\text{Fix}(\Psi(f))$ is finite as $\Psi(f)$ is not a translation. \square

Example 8.11 — Let X be the Kodaira surface associated to the data $(\tau_B, \tau_E, c, \delta)$, and consider a special lifting Φ of an automorphism f of X which is of the form

$$\Phi(z, \zeta) = (-z + \beta, \zeta + \sigma z + v)$$

with $\sigma \in \Lambda_{\tau_E}$ and $\sigma \tau_B + c\beta + 2(\delta - \frac{1}{2} c \tau_B) \in \Lambda_{\tau_E}$ (it corresponds to the choice $\alpha = -1$). By (3.3) a deck transformation γ of \mathbb{C}^2 is

$$\gamma(z, \zeta) = \left(z + m_1 \tau_B + m_2, \zeta + m_1 c z + m_1 m_2 c + \frac{m_1(m_1 - 1)}{2} c \tau_B + m_1 \delta + m_3 \tau_E + m_4 \right)$$

for some $m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and so we can compute explicitly that

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma \circ \Phi)(z, \zeta) = & (-z + \beta + m_1 \tau_B + m_2, \zeta + (\sigma - m_1 c)z + v + m_1 c \beta + m_1 m_2 c + \\ & + \frac{m_1(m_1 - 1)}{2} c \tau_B + m_1 \delta + m_3 \tau_E + m_4). \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, a fixed point for $\gamma \circ \Phi$ has $z = \frac{1}{2}(\beta + m_1 \tau_B + m_2)$ and satisfies

$$\frac{1}{2} \sigma \beta + v + \frac{1}{2} m_1 \underbrace{(\sigma \tau_B + c\beta + 2\delta - c \tau_B)}_{\in \Lambda_{\tau_E}} + \frac{1}{2} m_2 \underbrace{(\sigma + m_1 c)}_{\in \Lambda_{\tau_E}} + m_3 \tau_E + m_4 = 0.$$

As a consequence:

- (1) if we choose $v = -\frac{1}{2}\sigma\beta$, then we find an automorphism of X having fixed points;
- (2) if we choose $v \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\frac{1}{2}\sigma\beta + v \notin \frac{1}{2} \cdot \Lambda_{\tau_E}$, then we find an automorphism of X which has no fixed points.

REFERENCES

- [AST11] Michela Artebani, Alessandra Sarti, and Shingo Taki. $K3$ surfaces with non-symplectic automorphisms of prime order. *Math. Z.*, 268(1-2):507–533, 2011. With an appendix by Shigeyuki Kondō. (Cited on page 2.)
- [BCNWS16] Samuel Boissière, Andrea Cattaneo, Marc Nieper-Wisskirchen, and Alessandra Sarti. The automorphism group of the Hilbert scheme of two points on a generic projective $K3$ surface. In *$K3$ surfaces and their moduli*, volume 315 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 1–15. Birkhäuser/Springer, [Cham], 2016. (Cited on page 2.)
- [BCS16] Samuel Boissière, Chiara Camere, and Alessandra Sarti. Classification of automorphisms on a deformation family of hyper-Kähler four-folds by p -elementary lattices. *Kyoto J. Math.*, 56(3):465–499, 2016. (Cited on page 2.)
- [BHPVdV04] Wolf P. Barth, Klaus Hulek, Chris A. M. Peters, and Antonius Van de Ven. *Compact complex surfaces*, volume 4 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2004. (Cited on pages 4 and 20.)
- [BKKY22] Fedor Bogomolov, Nikon Kurnosov, Alexandra Kuznetsova, and Egor Yasinsky. Geometry and automorphisms of non-Kähler holomorphic symplectic manifolds. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (16):12302–12341, 2022. (Cited on page 2.)
- [BKMS19] S. Buass'er, A. Kattanéo, D. G. Markushevich, and A. Sarti. On the antisymplectic involutions of the Hilbert square of a $K3$ surface. *Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat.*, 83(4):86–99, 2019. (Cited on page 2.)
- [BL04] Christina Birkenhake and Herbert Lange. *Complex abelian varieties*, volume 302 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2004. (Cited on page 26.)
- [Bla56] André Blanchard. Sur les variétés analytiques complexes. *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (3)*, 73:157–202, 1956. (Cited on page 4.)
- [BM90] Curtis Bennett and Rick Miranda. The automorphism groups of the hyperelliptic surfaces. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, 20(1):31–37, 1990. (Cited on page 2.)
- [Bor84] Ciprian Borcea. Moduli for Kodaira surfaces. *Compositio Math.*, 52(3):373–380, 1984. (Cited on pages 4, 5, 15, 18, and 19.)
- [Bor97] Ciprian Borcea. $K3$ surfaces with involution and mirror pairs of Calabi-Yau manifolds. In *Mirror symmetry, II*, volume 1 of *AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math.*, pages 717–743. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997. (Cited on page 2.)
- [BP83] W. Barth and C. Peters. Automorphisms of Enriques surfaces. *Invent. Math.*, 73(3):383–411, 1983. (Cited on page 2.)
- [BR75] Dan Burns, Jr. and Michael Rapoport. On the Torelli problem for kählerian $K - 3$ surfaces. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 8(2):235–273, 1975. (Cited on page 2.)
- [Cai09] Jin-Xing Cai. Automorphisms of elliptic surfaces, inducing the identity in cohomology. *J. Algebra*, 322(12):4228–4246, 2009. (Cited on page 35.)
- [CCC21] Chiara Camere, Alberto Cattaneo, and Andrea Cattaneo. Non-symplectic involutions on manifolds of $K3^{[n]}$ -type. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 243:278–302, 2021. (Cited on page 2.)
- [CF19] Andrea Cattaneo and Lie Fu. Finiteness of Klein actions and real structures on compact hyperkähler manifolds. *Math. Ann.*, 375(3-4):1783–1822, 2019. (Cited on page 2.)
- [CG16] Andrea Cattaneo and Alice Garbagnati. Calabi-Yau 3-folds of Borcea-Voisin type and elliptic fibrations. *Tohoku Math. J. (2)*, 68(4):515–558, 2016. (Cited on page 2.)
- [CGM19] Chiara Camere, Alice Garbagnati, and Giovanni Mongardi. Calabi-Yau quotients of hyperkähler four-folds. *Canad. J. Math.*, 71(1):45–92, 2019. (Cited on page 2.)
- [CGP19] Andrea Cattaneo, Alice Garbagnati, and Matteo Penegini. Calabi-Yau 4-folds of Borcea-Voisin type from F-theory. *Pacific J. Math.*, 299(1):1–31, 2019. (Cited on page 2.)

- [dB91] Paolo de Bartolomeis. Principal bundles in action. volume 17*, pages 1–65 (1993). 1991. Conference on Differential Geometry and Topology (Italian) (Parma, 1991). (Cited on page 25.)
- [Dil12] Jimmy Dillies. Generalized Borcea-Voisin construction. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 100(1):77–96, 2012. (Cited on page 2.)
- [FN05] Yoshio Fujimoto and Noboru Nakayama. Compact complex surfaces admitting non-trivial surjective endomorphisms. *Tohoku Math. J. (2)*, 57(3):395–426, 2005. (Cited on pages 2, 3, 5, 6, 11, 13, 14, and 18.)
- [FPPSr04] Anna Fino, Henrik Pedersen, Yat-Sun Poon, and Marianne Weyen Sørensen. Neutral Calabi-Yau structures on Kodaira manifolds. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 248(2):255–268, 2004. (Cited on page 3.)
- [GH94] Phillip Griffiths and Joseph Harris. *Principles of algebraic geometry*. Wiley Classics Library. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1994. Reprint of the 1978 original. (Cited on page 20.)
- [GMPP04] Gueo Grantcharov, Colin McLaughlin, Henrik Pedersen, and Yat Sun Poon. Deformations of Kodaira manifolds. *Glasg. Math. J.*, 46(2):259–281, 2004. (Cited on page 3.)
- [GS07] Alice Garbagnati and Alessandra Sarti. Symplectic automorphisms of prime order on $K3$ surfaces. *J. Algebra*, 318(1):323–350, 2007. (Cited on page 2.)
- [Huy05] Daniel Huybrechts. *Complex geometry*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005. An introduction. (Cited on page 20.)
- [Kod64] Kunihiko Kodaira. On the structure of compact complex analytic surfaces. I. *Amer. J. Math.*, 86:751–798, 1964. (Cited on pages 4 and 5.)
- [Mat63] Hideyuki Matsumura. On algebraic groups of birational transformations. *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Nat. (8)*, 34:151–155, 1963. (Cited on page 2.)
- [MTW18] Giovanni Mongardi, Kévin Tari, and Malte Wandel. Prime order automorphisms of generalised Kummer fourfolds. *Manuscripta Math.*, 155(3-4):449–469, 2018. (Cited on page 2.)
- [Nik79] V. V. Nikulin. Integer symmetric bilinear forms and some of their geometric applications. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 43(1):111–177, 238, 1979. (Cited on page 2.)
- [PS20a] Yu. G. Prokhorov and C. A. Shramov. Bounded automorphism groups of compact complex surfaces. *Mat. Sb.*, 211(9):105–118, 2020. (Cited on pages 12 and 38.)
- [PS20b] Yuri Prokhorov and Constantin Shramov. Automorphism groups of Inoue and Kodaira surfaces. *Asian J. Math.*, 24(2):355–367, 2020. (Cited on page 38.)
- [Pv71] I. I. Pjateckiĭ-Šapiro and I. R. Šafarevič. Torelli’s theorem for algebraic surfaces of type $K3$. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 35:530–572, 1971. (Cited on page 2.)
- [Ser51] Jean-Pierre Serre. Homologie singulière des espaces fibrés. Applications. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 54:425–505, 1951. (Cited on pages 4 and 5.)
- [Shr19] Constantin Shramov. Finite groups acting on elliptic surfaces. *European Journal of Mathematics*, pages 1–12, 2019. (Cited on page 12.)
- [SM21] Luiz A. B. San Martin. *Lie groups*. Latin American Mathematics Series. Springer, Cham, [2021] ©2021. Translated from the 2016 Portuguese original by José Emilio Maiorino and Carlos Augusto Bassani Varea with revisions by Simon Chiossi. (Cited on page 24.)
- [Ste85] Hans Sterk. Finiteness results for algebraic $K3$ surfaces. *Math. Z.*, 189(4):507–513, 1985. (Cited on page 2.)
- [Thu76] William P. Thurston. Some simple examples of symplectic manifolds. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 55(2):467–468, 1976. (Cited on page 5.)
- [Uen75] Kenji Ueno. *Classification theory of algebraic varieties and compact complex spaces*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 439. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1975. Notes written in collaboration with P. Cherenack. (Cited on pages 4 and 5.)
- [Voi93] Claire Voisin. Miroirs et involutions sur les surfaces $K3$. Number 218, pages 273–323. 1993. Journées de Géométrie Algébrique d’Orsay (Orsay, 1992). (Cited on page 2.)
- [Voi07] Claire Voisin. *Hodge theory and complex algebraic geometry. I*, volume 76 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, english edition, 2007. Translated from the French by Leila Schneps. (Cited on page 20.)

UNIVERSITÀ DI PARMA, DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZE MATEMATICHE, FISICHE E INFORMATICHE,
 UNITÀ DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA, PARCO AREA DELLE SCIENZE 53/A, 43124, PARMA,
 ITALY

Email address: andrea.cattaneo@unipr.it