

A CONCRETE MODEL FOR THE QUANTUM PERMUTATION GROUP ON 4 POINTS

NICOLAS FAROSS AND MORITZ WEBER

ABSTRACT. In 2019, Jung-Weber gave an example of a concrete magic unitary M , which defines a C^* -algebraic model of the quantum permutation group S_4^+ . We show with the help of a computer that there exist no polynomials up to degree 50 separating the entries of M from the generators of $C(S_4^+)$. This indicates that the magic unitary M might already define a faithful model of S_4^+ .

1. INTRODUCTION

The quantum permutation group S_n^+ was first introduced by Wang in [Wan98] and it can be regarded as a generalization of the classical symmetric group S_n in the sense of Woronowicz's compact matrix quantum groups (see [Wor87]). It is defined via the universal C^* -algebra

$$C(S_n^+) := C^*(u_{ij}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n \mid u \text{ is a magic unitary}),$$

where a matrix $u = (u_{ij})$ is a magic unitary if its entries satisfy the relations

$$u_{ij}^2 = u_{ij}^* = u_{ij}, \quad \sum_{k=1}^n u_{ik} = 1, \quad \sum_{k=1}^n u_{kj} = 1 \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq n).$$

Note that magic unitaries with entries in \mathbb{C} are exactly permutation matrices, which justifies the name quantum permutation group. In [JW20], Jung and the second author gave an example of a concrete magic unitary, which defines a model of the quantum permutation group S_4^+ . It is given by

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{array}{cccc} p \otimes p \otimes p & p \otimes (1-p) \otimes q & p \otimes p \otimes (1-p) & p \otimes (1-p) \otimes (1-q) \\ +(1-p) \otimes q \otimes (1-p) & +(1-p) \otimes (1-q) \otimes (1-q) & +(1-p) \otimes q \otimes p & +(1-p) \otimes (1-q) \otimes q \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{cccc} (1-p) \otimes p \otimes p & (1-p) \otimes (1-p) \otimes q & (1-p) \otimes p \otimes (1-p) & (1-p) \otimes (1-p) \otimes (1-q) \\ +p \otimes q \otimes (1-p) & +p \otimes (1-q) \otimes (1-q) & +p \otimes q \otimes p & +p \otimes (1-q) \otimes q \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{cccc} q \otimes (1-p) \otimes p & q \otimes p \otimes q & q \otimes (1-p) \otimes (1-p) & q \otimes p \otimes (1-q) \\ +(1-q) \otimes (1-q) \otimes (1-p) & +(1-q) \otimes q \otimes (1-q) & +(1-q) \otimes (1-q) \otimes p & +(1-q) \otimes q \otimes q \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{cccc} (1-q) \otimes (1-p) \otimes p & (1-q) \otimes p \otimes q & (1-q) \otimes (1-p) \otimes (1-p) & (1-q) \otimes p \otimes (1-q) \\ +q \otimes (1-q) \otimes (1-p) & +q \otimes q \otimes (1-q) & +q \otimes (1-q) \otimes p & +q \otimes q \otimes q \end{array} \end{array} \Bigg),$$

Date: April 19, 2023.

Key words and phrases. quantum permutation group, magic unitary, compact quantum group.

where p and q are universal projections satisfying $p^2 = p^* = p$ and $q^2 = q^* = q$. However, it remained open if the model is faithful, i.e. if the $*$ -homomorphism

$$\varphi: C(S_4^+) \rightarrow B, \quad u_{ij} \mapsto M_{ij}$$

is injective, where B denotes the C^* -algebra generated by the entries M_{ij} . In particular, Jung-Weber were interested in the existence of a non-commutative polynomial P such that P vanishes in the entries of M but P does not vanish in the generators of $C(S_4^+)$. Such a polynomial would prove that the mapping φ is not injective. Our main result now partially answers this question to the negative by showing with the help of a computer (using GAP:GBNP [CK16] and SageMath [The20]) that there exists no such polynomial up to degree 50.

Theorem (Theorem 3.3). *Let $P \in \mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle$ be a non-commutative polynomial in the entries of a 4×4 matrix $X = (x_{ij})$ with $\deg P \leq 50$. If $P(M) = 0$ then P is contained in the ideal generated by the magic unitary relations*

$$\begin{aligned} x_{ij}^2 &= x_{ij}, & (1 \leq i, j \leq 4) \\ \sum_{k=1}^n x_{ik} &= \sum_{k=1}^n x_{kj} = 1, & (1 \leq i, j \leq 4) \\ x_{ij}x_{ik} &= x_{ji}x_{ki} = 0. & (1 \leq i, j, k \leq 4, j \neq k) \end{aligned}$$

Since the generators of $C(S_4^+)$ also satisfy the relations of the previous theorem, every polynomial up to degree 50 vanishing in the entries of M has to vanish in the generators of $C(S_4^+)$. Hence, we immediately obtain the following corollary.

Corollary (Corollary 6.1). *Denote with u the generators of $C(S_4^+)$ and let $P \in \mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle$ be a polynomial with $\deg P \leq 50$. Then $P(M) = 0$ if and only if $P(u) = 0$.*

Note that the degree 50 in the previous results is an arbitrary bound, which can be increased by providing more time and space to our algorithm. Further, the maximal degree 50 is quite large, which indicates that there exists no polynomial at all vanishing in the entries of M but not vanishing in the generators of u . In this case, the concrete magic unitary M might define a faithful C^* -algebra model of the quantum permutation group S_4^+ .

We will start in Section 2 with the definition and some facts about the quantum permutation group, before we recall the construction of the concrete magic unitary M from above. Then we formulate our main theorem in Section 3 and give an overview of the algorithm for proving it. More details and the computation results are then provided in Section 4 and Section 5. In Section 6, we present further arguments for why M could be a faithful model of S_4^+ and discuss our result in the context of quantum groups and other models of S_n^+ [BM07], [BBS09], [BB15], [BN17]. Additionally, we consider the case of larger n and show that our result no longer holds for similar models of S_n^+ with $n > 4$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The second author has been supported by the SFB-TRR 195 (this work is a contribution to the SFB-TRR 195), the Heisenberg program of the DFG and OPUS-LAP *Quantum groups, graphs and symmetries via representation theory*. This work has been part of the first author's Bachelor's thesis.

2. MODELS OF THE QUANTUM PERMUTATION GROUP

We begin with the definition of magic unitaries and the quantum permutation group S_n^+ , before we come to models of S_n^+ and the construction of the concrete magic unitary M . These definitions are formulated in the language of (universal) C^* -algebras, which are complex associative algebras A with an involution $*$: $A \rightarrow A$ and a compatible norm satisfying the C^* -identity $\|a\|^2 = \|a^*a\|$. However, we will not use this extra structure for the most part and consider a C^* -algebra just as an (not necessarily commutative) associative complex algebra. For the general theory of C^* -algebras, we refer to [Bla05] and for an introduction to universal C^* -algebra see [LVW20].

Definition 2.1 (Magic unitary). Let A be a unital C^* -algebra and $M \in M_n(A)$. The matrix M is called a *magic unitary* if its entries are projections and each row and each column sums up to 1, i.e.

$$M_{ij}^2 = M_{ij}^* = M_{ij}, \quad \sum_{k=1}^n M_{ik} = 1, \quad \sum_{k=1}^n M_{kj} = 1 \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq n).$$

Definition 2.2 (Quantum permutation group). Let $u = (u_{ij})$ be a $n \times n$ -matrix of generators and define the universal unital C^* -algebra

$$A := C^*(u_{ij}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n \mid u \text{ is a magic unitary}).$$

Then $S_n^+ := (A, u)$ is called the *quantum permutation group* of size n [Wan98]. Further, we denote the C^* -algebra A with $C(S_n^+)$.

We refer to [Web23] for more information on magic unitaries and an overview of some related open problems. Note that there exists a $*$ -homomorphism

$$\Delta: C(S_n^+) \rightarrow C(S_n^+) \otimes C(S_n^+), \quad u_{ij} \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^n u_{ik} \otimes u_{kj},$$

called comultiplication, which turns S_n^+ into a compact matrix quantum group in the sense of Woronowicz [Wor87]. See for example [LES⁺22] for a short introduction to quantum symmetries in the context of computer algebra and [Tim08], [NT13] for compact quantum groups in general. However, we will be mainly interested in the algebraic properties of $C(S_n^+)$ and we will only come back to the quantum group structure at the end in Section 6. In addition to the defining relations, magic unitaries satisfy further relations, which are implied by the C^* -algebraic structure.

Proposition 2.3. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra and $M \in M_n(A)$ a magic unitary. Then the product of two different entries in the same row or column is zero, i.e.*

$$M_{ij} \cdot M_{ik} = 0, \quad M_{ji} \cdot M_{ki} = 0 \quad (1 \leq i, j, k \leq n, j \neq k).$$

Proof. By multiplying the relation $\sum_{k=1}^n M_{ik} = 1$ with M_{ij} from both sides, we infer that $\sum_{k \neq j} M_{ij} M_{ik} M_{ij} = 0$ is a sum of positive elements. By the theory of C^* -algebras, each of these summands must be zero and hence

$$\|M_{ik} M_{ij}\|^2 = \|(M_{ik} M_{ij})^* (M_{ik} M_{ij})\| = 0$$

by the C^* -identity. □

Now, consider an arbitrary magic unitary $M \in M_n(A)$ and the C^* -subalgebra $B \subseteq A$ generated by the entries of M . Then the universal property of $C(S_n^+)$ implies the existence of a surjective $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi: C(S_n^+) \rightarrow B$ mapping the generators u_{ij} to M_{ij} . Hence, every pair (B, M) of a magic unitary M and its corresponding C^* -algebra B defines a C^* -algebraic model of the quantum permutation group S_n^+ . However, (B, M) is not necessarily a compact matrix quantum group since there might not exist a comultiplication $\Delta: B \rightarrow B \otimes B$.

In [JW20], Jung-Weber constructed sequences (B_i, M_i) of such C^* -algebraic models. These are obtained by starting with an initial magic unitary and iterating the \oplus -operator from [Wor87]. For two matrices $M_1 \in M_n(A_1)$ and $M_2 \in M_n(A_2)$ this operator yields a new matrix $M_1 \oplus M_2 \in M_n(A_1 \otimes A_2)$ with entries given by

$$(M_1 \oplus M_2)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n (M_1)_{ik} \otimes (M_2)_{kj} \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq n).$$

One can directly check that the matrix $M_1 \oplus M_2$ is a magic unitary if both M_1 and M_2 are magic unitaries. Hence, starting with an initial $n \times n$ magic unitary R , one obtains a sequence

$$(B_1, R), (B_2, R^{\oplus 2}), (B_3, R^{\oplus 3}), \dots$$

of models of S_n^+ , where each B_i is the C^* -algebra generated by the entries of $R^{\oplus i}$. Further, Jung-Weber constructed suitable initial matrices R from which one can reconstruct S_n^+ as an inverse limit. One such initial magic unitary is given by

$$R := \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 & 1-p & 0 \\ 1-p & 0 & p & 0 \\ 0 & q & 0 & 1-q \\ 0 & 1-q & 0 & q \end{pmatrix} \in M_4(A),$$

where

$$A := C^*(p, q \mid p^2 = p^* = p, q^2 = q^* = q) = C^*(\mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_2)$$

is the universal unital C^* -algebra generated by two projections. When iterating the \oplus -product of R with itself, one obtains

$$R^{\oplus 2} = \begin{pmatrix} p \otimes p & (1-p) \otimes q & p \otimes (1-p) & (1-p) \otimes (1-q) \\ (1-p) \otimes p & p \otimes q & (1-p) \otimes (1-p) & p \otimes (1-q) \\ q \otimes (1-p) & (1-q) \otimes (1-q) & q \otimes p & (1-q) \otimes q \\ (1-q) \otimes (1-p) & q \otimes (1-q) & (1-q) \otimes p & q \otimes q \end{pmatrix}$$

and for $R^{\oplus 3}$ the magic unitary from the introduction.

Definition 2.4. In this article, let

$$M := \begin{pmatrix} p \otimes p \otimes p & p \otimes (1-p) \otimes q & p \otimes p \otimes (1-p) & p \otimes (1-p) \otimes (1-q) \\ +(1-p) \otimes q \otimes (1-p) & +(1-p) \otimes (1-q) \otimes (1-q) & +(1-p) \otimes q \otimes p & +(1-p) \otimes (1-q) \otimes q \\ (1-p) \otimes p \otimes p & (1-p) \otimes (1-p) \otimes q & (1-p) \otimes p \otimes (1-p) & (1-p) \otimes (1-p) \otimes (1-q) \\ +p \otimes q \otimes (1-p) & +p \otimes (1-q) \otimes (1-q) & +p \otimes q \otimes p & +p \otimes (1-q) \otimes q \\ q \otimes (1-p) \otimes p & q \otimes p \otimes q & q \otimes (1-p) \otimes (1-p) & q \otimes p \otimes (1-q) \\ +(1-q) \otimes (1-q) \otimes (1-p) & +(1-q) \otimes q \otimes (1-q) & +(1-q) \otimes (1-q) \otimes p & +(1-q) \otimes q \otimes q \\ (1-q) \otimes (1-p) \otimes p & (1-q) \otimes p \otimes q & (1-q) \otimes (1-p) \otimes (1-p) & (1-q) \otimes p \otimes (1-q) \\ +q \otimes (1-q) \otimes (1-p) & +q \otimes q \otimes (1-q) & +q \otimes (1-q) \otimes p & +q \otimes q \otimes q \end{pmatrix}.$$

denote our *concrete magic unitary*. It is given as $M = R^{\oplus 3}$ with entries in $A \otimes A \otimes A$, where A is the universal C^* -algebra generated by two projections as defined above.

Note that we use the same letter for all three generators p in the first, second and third tensor leg of $A \otimes A \otimes A$, for notational simplicity, rather than writing $p_1 \otimes p_2 \otimes p_3$; and likewise for the letter q . In the previous setting, Jung-Weber asked for the existence of polynomials P_n , which separate these models.

Question 2.5 ([JW20]). Are there polynomials $(P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $P_n(R^{\oplus n}) = 0$ and $P_n(R^{\oplus n+1}) \neq 0$?

Such polynomials exist for $n < 3$ and are for example given by $P_1 = x_{12}$ and $P_2 = x_{12}x_{24}$ as can be verified directly (see also [JW20]). However, the case $n \geq 3$ remained open. Our main result now shows that any polynomial P with $\deg P \leq 50$, which vanishes in $R^{\oplus 3}$, lies in the ideal generated by the relations of a magic unitary. Hence, it also vanishes in the entries of $R^{\oplus 4}$ and the generators of $C(S_4^+)$. This answers the above question in the negative for polynomials up to degree 50: There exists no polynomial P_3 with $\deg P_3 \leq 50$ such that $P_3(R^{\oplus 3}) = 0$ and $P_3(R^{\oplus 4}) \neq 0$. Further, it indicates that there exists no polynomial at all separating the entries of $R^{\oplus 3}$ and the entries of $R^{\oplus 4}$. In this case, $R^{\oplus 3}$ might already define a faithful model of S_4^+ . For more details on these models, we refer again to [JW20].

3. COMPUTING SEPARATING POLYNOMIALS

In the following, we introduce some notations in order to define separating polynomials and formulate our main theorem. Let X be a finite set. Then denote with $\mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle$ the free associative unital algebra on X . Its elements can be regarded as

non-commutative polynomials in the variables X . Further, we will require every ideal $I \subseteq \mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle$ to be two-sided. Since we are interested in magic unitaries, define the variables

$$X_n := \{x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{nn}\},$$

as entries of a general $n \times n$ matrix. Note that it is sufficient to consider only the variables x_{ij} and omit the x_{ij}^* because the entries of a magic unitary are self-adjoint. Given a matrix $M \in M_n(A)$ over some algebra A , we denote with φ_M the substitution homomorphism

$$\varphi_M: \mathbb{C}\langle X_n \rangle \rightarrow A, \quad x_{ij} \mapsto M_{ij}.$$

Further, we define the ideal generated by the magic unitary relations from Definition 2.1 and Proposition 2.3.

Definition 3.1 (Magic unitary ideal). Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then define the *magic unitary ideal* $I_n \subseteq \mathbb{C}\langle X_n \rangle$, which is generated by the polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} x_{ij}^2 - x_{ij}, & \quad \sum_{k=1}^n x_{ik} - 1, & \quad \sum_{k=1}^n x_{kj} - 1 & \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq n), \\ x_{ij} \cdot x_{ik}, & \quad x_{ji} \cdot x_{ki} & & \quad (1 \leq i, j, k \leq n, j \neq k). \end{aligned}$$

Using the previous definitions, we can now introduce separating polynomials and formulate our main result.

Definition 3.2 (Separating polynomial). Let A be a unital C^* -algebra and $M \in M_n(A)$ be a magic unitary. A non-zero polynomial $P \in \mathbb{C}\langle X_n \rangle$ is called *separating* if $\varphi_M(P) = 0$ but $P \notin I_n$.

Theorem 3.3. *There exists no separating polynomial $P \in \mathbb{C}\langle X_4 \rangle$ with $\deg P \leq 50$ for the concrete magic unitary M from Definition 2.4.*

In the following, we will outline our approach for proving Theorem 3.3 with the help of a computer¹. More details and the computation results are then presented in Section 4 and Section 5 before we come to the final proof in Section 5.3. A discussion of our result in the setting of models of S_4^+ can then be found in Section 6, where we also show that a generalization of Theorem 3.3 does not hold in the case $n > 4$.

To compute separating polynomials for the concrete magic unitary M from Definition 2.4, we consider the substitution homomorphism φ_M . Since M is a magic unitary, we have $I_4 \subseteq \ker \varphi_M$, such that φ_M can be factored as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}\langle X_4 \rangle & \xrightarrow{\varphi_M} & A^{\otimes 3} \\ & \searrow \pi & \nearrow \psi \\ & \mathbb{C}\langle X_4 \rangle / I_4 & \end{array}$$

¹An implementation of our algorithms can be found at <https://github.com/nfaross/model-s4plus>

Here, A denotes the universal C^* -algebra generated by two projections p and q . Further, denote with

$$V_m := \{\pi(P) \mid P \in \mathbb{C}\langle X_4 \rangle, \deg P \leq m\}$$

the subspace of all residue classes of polynomials up to degree m . Then the kernel of the restriction $\psi|_{V_m}$ contains exactly the residue classes of separating polynomials up to degree m . Hence, there exists no separating polynomial up to degree m if and only if $\ker \psi|_{V_m} = \{0\}$. To show this statement, we proceed in two steps.

- (1) In Section 4, we construct a basis \mathcal{B}_m for the subspace V_m , which can be obtained from a Gröbner basis for the magic unitary ideal I_4 . It turns out that such a basis \mathcal{B}_m can alternatively be described by a finite automaton, which is a special kind of labelled graph. This finite automaton then allows us to efficiently enumerate all basis elements and compute the dimension of V_m . In particular, we obtain that $|\mathcal{B}_m| = \Theta(m^3)$, such that the dimension grows only polynomial in the degree m . Note that this polynomial growth is essential and is required for performing the following computations with a large degree.
- (2) In Section 5, we use the basis \mathcal{B}_m to construct a transformation matrix Ψ_m of the mapping $\psi|_{V_m}$. Using a special form of Gaussian Elimination we are then able to compute a lower bound on the rank of Ψ_m . By running these algorithms for $m = 50$, we obtain in Section 5.3 that $\ker \psi|_{V_{50}} = \{0\}$, which proves Theorem 3.3. Further, we analyze these algorithms and show that the matrix construction and elimination have a time and space complexity of $\mathcal{O}(m^6)$. Hence, it would be possible to increase m by providing more time and space.

4. CONSTRUCTING A QUOTIENT BASIS

We start with some facts about Gröbner bases and finite automaton in order to show how these can be used to describe a basis \mathcal{B} of a quotient $\mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle / I$. These results will then be applied to the spaces $V_m \subseteq \mathbb{C}\langle X_4 \rangle / I_4$ from the previous section. Note that the results in this section are not new and labelled graphs were for example used by Ufnarovskii in [Ufn91] to describe bases of such quotients. However, we will restate them for convenience.

4.1. Gröbner bases. Gröbner bases generalize Euclidean division to multivariate polynomial rings and allow for example to solve the ideal membership problem. See [Mor94] for a detailed introduction in the commutative and non-commutative case. In the following, denote with X a finite set of generators. Further, let X^* be the set of all monomials in $\mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle$ including 1. Before we can define Gröbner bases, we first need a well-ordering on X^* .

Definition 4.1 (Degree lexicographic order). Let \leq be a well-ordering on X . Then one can extend it to a well-ordering on X^* by first comparing the degree of two

monomials. If the degree is equal, then monomials are compared lexicographically from left to right. This ordering is called *degree lexicographic order*.

In the following, we fix some well-ordering on X and equip X^* with the degree lexicographic order from the previous definition. This allows us to define the leading term of a polynomial.

Definition 4.2 (Leading term). Let $P \in \mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle$ be a non-zero polynomial, which can uniquely be written as $P = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i$ for some $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X^*$. Then the *leading term* $\text{LT}(P)$ is the largest monomial x_i with respect to the degree lexicographic order in this representation. In this case, the degree $\deg P$ is given by the length of $\text{LT}(P)$.

With these definitions we can now introduce Gröbner bases.

Definition 4.3 (Gröbner basis). Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle$ an ideal. A finite set $G \subseteq I$ of non-zero polynomials is called *Gröbner basis* for the ideal I if the leading terms of I are exactly the monomials divisible by a leading term from G , i.e.

$$\{\text{LT}(P) \mid P \in I, P \neq 0\} = \{a \text{LT}(g)b \mid a, b \in X^*, g \in G\}.$$

Given a Gröbner basis $G \subseteq I$, the next lemma shows that there exists a simple description of a basis for $\mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle / I$.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle$ be an ideal and $G \subseteq I$ a Gröbner basis. Then a basis of the quotient $\mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle / I$ is given by the residue classes of*

$$\mathcal{B} = X^* \setminus \{a \text{LT}(g)b \mid a, b \in X^*, g \in G\}.$$

Moreover, the residue classes of

$$\mathcal{B}_m = \{P \in \mathcal{B} \mid \deg P \leq m\}$$

form a basis for the spaces $V_m \subseteq \mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle / I$ of residue classes of polynomials up to degree m .

Proof. Note that the elements of \mathcal{B} are linearly independent since they are given by distinct monomials. Further, we can write

$$\mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle = I \oplus \langle \mathcal{B} \rangle,$$

where $\langle \mathcal{B} \rangle$ denotes the linear span of \mathcal{B} . See [Mor94, Theorem 1.3] in combination with the definition of Gröbner basis for a proof of this statement. Taking the quotient by I , we immediately obtain that $\mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle / I \cong \langle \mathcal{B} \rangle$ and that the residue classes of \mathcal{B} form a basis of $\mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle / I$. For the second part of the lemma, one checks that if $P = P_1 + P_2$ with $P_1 \in I$ and $P_2 \in \langle \mathcal{B} \rangle$, then $\deg P_2 \leq \deg P$, which implies that the space V_m is spanned by the residue classes of \mathcal{B}_m . \square

4.2. Finite Automata. Next, we want to reformulate Lemma 4.4 in the language of finite automata. These are a fundamental tool in theoretical computer science and can be used to describe sets of words over an alphabet. In the following, we start by recalling the definition of language and finite automata. A detailed introduction to languages and automata can for example be found in [HMU06].

Definition 4.5. Let Σ be a finite set of symbols called *alphabet*. A *word* over Σ is a finite sequences $w_1 \cdots w_n$ of symbols $w_1, \dots, w_n \in \Sigma$. Denote with Σ^* the Kleene closure of Σ , which is the set of all words over Σ including the empty word ε . Then a set of words $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ is called *language*.

Remark 4.6. Consider the algebra of non-commutative polynomials $\mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle$. Then the set of variables X can be considered as an alphabet. In the previous notation, the set X^* of all monomials coincides with the Kleene closure X^* , if we identify the empty word ε with the unit 1.

Next, we introduce finite automata, which can be used to describe a special class of languages called regular languages.

Definition 4.7 (Finite automaton). A *finite automaton* over an alphabet Σ is a directed and labelled graph $\Gamma = (V, E, \ell, s_0, F)$ where

- (1) V denotes the set of vertices and E the set of directed edges.
- (2) $\ell: E \rightarrow \Sigma$ assigns to each edge in E a symbol from Σ .
- (3) $s_0 \in V$ is the *initial state*.
- (4) $F \subseteq V$ is a set of *final states*.

Note that multi-edges are allowed and the set F can be empty. The vertices are also called *states* and the edges *transitions*.

Definition 4.8. Let Γ be a finite automaton over an alphabet Σ . We say Γ *accepts* a word $w \in \Sigma^*$, if there exists a directed path $e_1, \dots, e_n \in E$ starting at the initial state s_0 and ending at a final state $s \in F$, such that

$$w = \ell(e_1) \cdots \ell(e_n).$$

Definition 4.9 (Regular language). A language $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ is called *regular*, if there exists a finite automaton Γ over Σ such that

$$L = \{w \in \Sigma^* \mid \Gamma \text{ accepts } w\}.$$

In this case, we write $L = L(\Gamma)$ and call it the language accepted by Γ .

Example 4.10. Figure 1 shows an example of a finite automaton Γ over the alphabet $\Sigma = \{x, y, z\}$. Its initial state $s_0 = 1$ is marked with an arrow and the final states $F = \{3\}$ are circled. One can check that its accepted language is given by

$$L(\Gamma) = \{w \in \Sigma^* \mid w \text{ contains } xz \text{ as subword}\}.$$

Note that multiple edges with labels x, y and z at the states 1 and 3 are drawn as one edge.




FIGURE 1. A simple finite automaton with 3 states.

Using the previous definition of finite automata and regular language, we can now formulate and prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.11. *Let Σ be an alphabet and $S \subseteq \Sigma^*$ a finite set of words. Then the set*

$$\{w \in \Sigma^* \mid w \text{ contains no word from } S \text{ as subword}\}$$

is a regular language.

Proof. Let $s \in S$ and define the sets

$$L_s = \{w \in \Sigma^* \mid w \text{ contains } s \text{ as subword}\}.$$

These are regular languages since the corresponding finite automaton can be constructed similar to the one in Example 4.10. Since regular languages are closed under unions and complements (see [HMU06, Chapter 2]), the following language is again regular:

$$\Sigma^* \setminus \bigcup_{s \in S} L_s = \{w \in \Sigma^* \mid w \text{ contains no word from } S \text{ as subword}\}.$$

□

By combining the previous lemma with Remark 4.6, we now obtain the following version of Lemma 4.4, which allows us to describe quotient bases by finite automata.

Lemma 4.12. *Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle$ be an ideal and G a Gröbner basis for I . Then there exists a finite automaton Γ over the alphabet X such that the residue classes of the accepted language $L(\Gamma)$ are a basis for $\mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle / I$.*

In particular, the residue classes of all accepted words up to length m are a basis for $V_m \subseteq \mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle / I$, where V_m denotes the subspace of residue classes of polynomials up to degree m .

Proof. As in Remark 4.6, we identify the set of monomials X^* with words over the alphabet X . Define $S = \{\text{LT}(g) \mid g \in G\}$. Then \mathcal{B} from Lemma 4.4 can be written as

$$\mathcal{B} = \{w \in X^* \mid w \text{ contains no word from } S \text{ as subword}\}.$$

Using Lemma 4.11 and the definition of a regular language, we obtain a finite automaton Γ such that the residue classes of $L(\Gamma) = \mathcal{B}$ are a basis of $\mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle / I$. Since the degree $\deg w$ corresponds to the length of a word $w \in X^*$, we further obtain that the sets \mathcal{B}_m from Lemma 4.4 are given by all accepted words up to length m . □

4.3. Computational Results. In the following, we present our results for applying Lemma 4.12 to the magic unitary ideal I_4 . For computing a Gröbner basis G for the magic unitary ideal I_4 , the computer algebra system GAP [GAP20] and the package GBNP [CK16] are used. The corresponding finite automaton is then constructed using SageMath [The20]. This is done as described in the proof of Lemma 4.11 by computing the union and complement of simpler finite automata¹. Further, it is possible to simplify the resulting finite automaton and minimize its number of states. A picture of the final finite automaton for I_4 can be found Appendix A. In particular, it has 17 states and every state is final. Further, SageMath allows us to compute that it contains exactly $(2m + 1)^2$ accepting paths of length m , which implies that there are

$$\sum_{k=0}^m (2k + 1)^2 = \frac{1}{6}(2m + 1)(2m + 2)(2m + 3) = \binom{2m + 3}{3}$$

accepting paths up to length m . Hence, we obtain that $\dim V_m = \Theta(m^3)$ grows only polynomial in m . In addition to the ideal I_4 , we were able to compute Gröbner bases and construct finite automata for the magic unitary ideals I_5 and I_6 . The resulting finite automata have 26 and 37 states respectively and contain $\Theta(6.854 \dots^m)$ and $\Theta(13.928 \dots^m)$ accepting paths of length m . Thus, the dimension of V_m grows exponentially in these cases.

5. MATRIX CONSTRUCTION AND ELIMINATION

The goal of the following section is to construct a transformation matrix Ψ_m of the mapping $\psi|_{V_m}$ from Section 3 and to compute a lower bound on its rank in order to prove Theorem 3.3. Recall that the mapping ψ was obtained by factoring the substitution homomorphism φ_M of the concrete magic unitary M from Definition 2.4 as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}\langle X_4 \rangle & \xrightarrow{\varphi_M} & A^{\otimes 3} \\ & \searrow \pi & \nearrow \psi \\ & \mathbb{C}\langle X_4 \rangle / I_4 & \end{array}$$

Here, A is the universal unital C^* -algebra generated by two projections, I_4 is the magic unitary ideal and $V_m \subseteq \mathbb{C}\langle X_4 \rangle / I_4$ is the subspace of residue classes of polynomials up to degree m .

5.1. Construction Algorithm. In order to construct the transformation matrix for the mapping $\psi|_{V_m}$, we first have to choose a basis for its domain and its image. In Section 4 we constructed a finite automaton, which describes a basis \mathcal{B}_m for the

¹An implementation of our algorithms can be found at <https://github.com/nfaross/model-s4plus>

domain V_m . See Lemma 4.12 for details on the construction and Appendix A for the resulting automata. For a basis of the image, consider the following sets

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A} &:= \{1, p, q, pq, qp, pqp, \dots\} \subseteq A, \\ \mathcal{A}_m &:= \{a \in \mathcal{A} \mid a \text{ has at most } m \text{ factors}\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}, \\ \mathcal{A}_m^{\otimes k} &:= \{a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_k \mid a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathcal{A}_m\} \subseteq A^{\otimes k}.\end{aligned}$$

The next lemma shows that the image of $\psi|_{V_m}$ is contained in the linear span of $\mathcal{A}_m^{\otimes 3}$, which can then be chosen as a basis.

Lemma 5.1. *In the previous notation, the elements of $\mathcal{A}_m^{\otimes k}$ are linearly independent and $\psi(V_m) \subseteq \langle \mathcal{A}_m^{\otimes 3} \rangle$. In particular, $\dim \psi(V_m) \leq (2m + 1)^3$.*

Proof. Since p and q are universal projections, the elements of \mathcal{A} are linearly independent, which also implies the linear independence of the sets \mathcal{A}_m and $\mathcal{A}_m^{\otimes k}$. Further, if $a \in \mathcal{A}_m^{\otimes k}$ and $b \in \mathcal{A}_n^{\otimes k}$ then $ab \in \mathcal{A}_{m+n}^{\otimes k}$. Hence, if $P \in \mathbb{C}\langle X_4 \rangle$ is a polynomial of degree m , then $P(M) \in \langle \mathcal{A}_m^{\otimes 3} \rangle$, since the entries of the magic unitary M are contained in $\langle \mathcal{A}_1^{\otimes 3} \rangle$. Thus, $\psi(V_m) \subseteq \langle \mathcal{A}_m^{\otimes 3} \rangle$. Further, we have $|\mathcal{A}_m| = 2m + 1$, which implies $\dim \psi(V_m) \leq |\mathcal{A}_m^{\otimes 3}| = (2m + 1)^3$. \square

Now, Algorithm 1 can be used for constructing the transformation matrix Ψ_m of the mapping $\psi|_{V_m}$ with respect to the basis \mathcal{B}_m described by the finite automaton Γ from Appendix A and the basis $\mathcal{A}_m^{\otimes 3}$ of the previous paragraph. A proof of the correctness can be found in the next lemma.

Algorithm 1 Matrix construction

Input: degree m

Output: matrix Ψ_m

- 1: initialize empty matrix Ψ_m
 - 2: initialize queue Q with $(s_0, 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1, 0)$
 - 3: **while** Q is not empty **do**
 - 4: remove (s, P, k) from Q
 - 5: insert column corresponding to P into Ψ_m
 - 6: **if** $k < m$ **then**
 - 7: **for all** transition $s \rightarrow s'$ in Γ with label x_{ij} **do**
 - 8: $P' \leftarrow P \cdot (M)_{ij}$
 - 9: insert $(s', P', k + 1)$ into Q
-

Lemma 5.2. *In the previous notation, Algorithm 1 constructs the transformation matrix Ψ_m of the mapping $\psi|_{V_m}$ with respect to the bases \mathcal{B}_m and $\mathcal{A}_m^{\otimes 3}$.*

Proof. In order to construct the transformation matrix Ψ_m , we have to evaluate

$$\psi(\pi(x)) = \varphi_M(x) = \prod_{\ell=1}^k \varphi_M(x_{i_\ell j_\ell}) = \prod_{\ell=1}^k M_{i_\ell j_\ell}$$

for each monomial $x = x_{i_1 j_1} x_{i_1 j_1} \cdots x_{i_k j_k}$ in the basis \mathcal{B}_m . Each product then corresponds to a column in Ψ_m when represented with respect to $\mathcal{A}_m^{\otimes 3}$. This is done by traversing all paths up to length m in the finite automaton Γ from Appendix A, in order to generate all basis element $x \in \mathcal{B}_m$. Since each state in Γ is final, each path corresponds exactly to an element $x \in \mathcal{B}_m$. Further, we directly multiply the corresponding M_{ij} when generating a path, such that we obtain the columns of Ψ_m in the same step.

More specifically, Algorithm 1 maintains a queue of paths and traverses the finite automaton in a breadth-first search style. In the queue, each path is represented by a triple (s, P, k) , where s is the last node of the path, $P \in \langle \mathcal{A}^{\otimes 3} \rangle$ is the polynomial evaluated along the path and k is the length of the path. The algorithm starts with the triple $(s_0, 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1, 0)$ and in each step removes the next path from the queue and inserts the corresponding column into Ψ_m . Then all outgoing transitions from s to s' with label x_{ij} are considered and new paths $(s', P', k + 1)$ are added to the queue. These new paths extend the current path along the transition $s \rightarrow s'$ and contain the corresponding polynomial $P' = P \cdot (M)_{ij}$. In this way, every path up to length m will be generated and the corresponding column will be inserted. \square

5.2. Elimination Algorithm. Our next goal is to compute the rank of Ψ_m to prove Theorem 3.3. However, to do this efficiently, we have to store the matrix Ψ_m as a pair $\Psi_m = (\text{rows}, \text{columns})$, where *rows* and *columns* are maps such that

- (1) $\text{rows}(i) = \{j_1, \dots, j_{n_i}\}$ is the set of non-zero columns j_1, \dots, j_{n_i} in row i ,
- (2) $\text{columns}(j) = \{i_1, \dots, i_{m_j}\}$ is the set of non-zero rows i_1, \dots, i_{m_j} in column j .

Using this matrix format, Algorithm 2 now computes a lower bound on the rank of Ψ_m . Its correctness is proven in the following lemma.

Lemma 5.3. *Algorithm 2 computes a lower bound on the rank of the matrix Ψ_m .*

Proof. Algorithm 2 performs a special form of Gaussian elimination and transforms Ψ_m using elementary row operations. It searches rows i which contain only one non-zero entry in some column j . Next, all entries in column j which occur in other rows $k \neq i$ are eliminated. If such a row k then contains only one non-zero remaining entry, it is pushed to a stack to be considered next. Each row with one non-zero entry is linearly independent of all other rows. Hence, the total number of such rows is a lower bound on the rank of the matrix Ψ_m . Note that a row which was pushed to the stack could have been eliminated before it gets processed. In this case, the row can be written as a linear combination of other rows and does not contribute to the rank of the matrix. \square

5.3. Proof of Theorem 3.3. Using Algorithm 2, we can finally prove Theorem 3.3, which states that there exists no separating polynomial for the concrete magic unitary M from Definition 2.4 up to degree 50.

Algorithm 2 Matrix elimination

Input: sparse $n_r \times n_c$ matrix $\Psi_m = (\text{rows}, \text{columns})$
Output: lower bound *rank* for the rank of Ψ_m

```

1: rank  $\leftarrow$  0
2: initialize empty stack S
3: for  $i = 1, \dots, n_r$  do
4:   if  $|\text{rows}(i)| = 1$  then
5:     push  $i$  to S
6: while S is not empty do
7:   remove  $i$  from S
8:   if  $|\text{rows}(i)| = 1$  then
9:      $\{j\} \leftarrow \text{rows}(i)$ 
10:    for  $k \in \text{columns}(j)$  do
11:      if  $k \neq i$  then
12:        delete  $j$  from  $\text{rows}(k)$ 
13:        if  $|\text{rows}(k)| = 1$  then
14:          push  $k$  to S
15:     $\text{columns}(j) \leftarrow \{i\}$ 
16:    rank  $\leftarrow$  rank + 1

```

Proof of Theorem 3.3. By running Algorithm 2¹, we obtain a lower bound of 176851 for the rank of Ψ_{50} , which also equals the number of columns (compare Section 4.3). Since the number of columns is the dimension of the image, the kernel of Ψ_{50} is zero-dimensional. Hence, there exist no separating polynomials for the concrete magic unitary by the considerations in Section 3. \square

5.4. Complexity. In the following, we analyse Algorithm 1 and Algorithm 2 and show that both have a complexity of $\mathcal{O}(m^6)$. Note that we fix the finite automaton Γ and vary only the degree m . Further, we will assume that maps allow insertions and deletions in $\mathcal{O}(1)$, which is approximately the case when implemented as hash maps.

Before we come to the algorithms, we first have to consider the arithmetics in $\langle A_m^{\otimes 3} \rangle$. Note that an element $a \in \mathcal{A}_m$ is uniquely determined by its length and parity. Hence, an element $a \in A_m^{\otimes 3}$ can be stored in constant space and two elements $a, b \in A_m^{\otimes 3}$ can be multiplied in constant time. For an element $a \in \langle A_m^{\otimes 3} \rangle$ denote with $|a|$ the number of non-zero coefficients when represented with respect to the basis $A_m^{\otimes 3}$. Then a can be stored using $\mathcal{O}(|a|)$ space using a map which stores the corresponding coefficients for each basis element. Further, we can compute ab for two elements $a, b \in \langle A_m^{\otimes 3} \rangle$ in time $\mathcal{O}(|a| \cdot |b|)$ by multiplying all basis elements pairwise. With these considerations, we can now analyse our main algorithms.

¹An implementation of our algorithms can be found at <https://github.com/nfaross/model-s4plus>

Lemma 5.4. *Algorithm 1 and Algorithm 2 have a complexity of $\mathcal{O}(m^6)$.*

Proof. First, we consider Algorithm 1. Since a queue allows all operations in constant time, its running time is determined by the total time for multiplying polynomials and inserting rows into Ψ_m . Since the entries of M_3 are constant, we can compute $P' = P \cdot (M_3)_{ij}$ in $\mathcal{O}(|P|)$. Further, a polynomial P can be inserted into Ψ_m in $\mathcal{O}(|P|)$ using the matrix format described before. Hence, Algorithm 1 requires $\mathcal{O}(N)$ time and space, where

$$N = \sum_{P \text{ computed}} |P| = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{B}_m} |\varphi_M(x)|$$

is the number of non-zero entries in the matrix Ψ_m . Now, consider Algorithm 2. Since stacks allow all operations in $\mathcal{O}(1)$ and each of the $\mathcal{O}(N)$ non-zero entry of Ψ_m is deleted at most once in constant time, Algorithm 2 requires time $\mathcal{O}(N)$. Hence, it remains to bound the number N of non-zero entries of Ψ_m . By Lemma 5.1, we know that Ψ_m has at most $|\mathcal{A}_m^{\otimes 3}| = (2m+1)^3$ rows. On the other hand, the number of columns is given $|\mathcal{B}_m|$, which was computed in Section 4.3. Hence, Ψ_m has at most

$$N \leq (2m+1)^3 \cdot \binom{2m+3}{3} = \mathcal{O}(m^6)$$

non-zero entries. □

6. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In the following, we put our main result in the context of C^* -algebraic models of the quantum permutation group and discuss why the concrete magic unitary M from Definition 2.4 might define a faithful model of S_4^+ . Throughout this section, denote with A again the universal unital C^* -algebra generated by two projections and with B the C^* -algebra generated by the entries of the concrete magic unitary M .

6.1. Hints for M being a faithful model. We start with an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.3.

Corollary 6.1. *Let u be the matrix containing the generators of $C(S_4^+)$ and let $P \in \mathbb{C}\langle X_4 \rangle$ be a polynomial with $\deg P \leq 50$. Then $P(M) = 0$ if and only if $P(u) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $P \in \mathbb{C}\langle X_4 \rangle$ be a polynomial with $\deg P \leq 50$. Since $C(S_4^+)$ is the universal C^* -algebra generated by the entries of a 4×4 magic unitary, there exists a $*$ -homomorphism $\Phi: C(S_4^+) \rightarrow B, u_{ij} \mapsto M_{ij}$. Thus, if $P(u) = 0$, then

$$P(M) = P(\Phi(u)) = \Phi(P(u)) = \Phi(0) = 0.$$

On the other hand, if $P(M) = 0$, then $P \in I_4$ by Theorem 3.3. However, by the definition of $C(S_4^+)$ and Proposition 2.3, we have that $P(u) = 0$ for every $P \in I_4$. □

Since 50 is a quite large bound for the degree of a non-commutative polynomial, we conjecture that the previous corollary holds for polynomials of an arbitrary degree. In particular, the bound 50 arises from limited computational power and there is no immediate reason why the setting of Theorem 3.3 should change when $m > 50$. Also recall, that for the initial Question 2.5, we do have a polynomial p_1 of degree 1 and a polynomial p_2 of degree 2. Hence, it seems unreasonable that a polynomial p_3 would require a degree larger than 50. Further, the previous corollary also indicates the stronger statement that the concrete magic unitary M from Definition 2.4 defines a faithful model of S_n^+ in the sense that $C(S_n^+) \cong B$ via $u_{ij} \mapsto M_{ij}$. In this case, it would be possible to obtain $C(S_n)$ as a quotient of B , since $C(S_4)$ is the abelianization of $C(S_4^+)$. The following proposition shows, that this necessary condition indeed holds.

Proposition 6.2. *In the previous notation, $\text{Spec } B \cong S_4$. In particular, $C(S_4)$ is a quotient of B .*

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \text{Spec } B$ be a character. Then φ is uniquely determined by the magic unitary $\varphi(M) \in M_4(\mathbb{C})$, which is a permutation matrix. Hence, it remains to show that we obtain every permutation matrix via a character. Let $p_1, q_1, \dots, p_3, q_3 \in \{0, 1\}$. Since A is the universal C^* -algebra generated by two projections, there exists a $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi: A^{\otimes 3} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which maps p in the i -th tensor leg to p_i and q in the i -th tensor leg to q_i . Restricting φ to $B \subseteq A^{\otimes 3}$, we obtain a character $\varphi|_B \in \text{Spec } B$. Appendix B then shows how to obtain every permutation matrix via a suitable choices of $p_1, q_1, \dots, p_3, q_3$. Thus, $\text{Spec } B \cong S_4$ and by the Gelfand-Naimark Theorem we obtain a surjective $*$ -homomorphism $\Phi: B \rightarrow C(S_4)$. Hence, $C(S_4) \cong B / \ker \Phi$, which proves the second part of the statement. \square

Note that Appendix B shows that it is possible to construct each permutation matrix in the proof of Proposition 6.2 by sending p_0 to zero. Hence, it is possible to obtain a slightly simpler model of S_4^+ with full spectrum by setting $p = 0$ in the first tensor leg in the entries of the concrete magic unitary M from Definition 2.4.

6.2. Further results on S_n^+ . Firstly, recall that a $*$ -algebra A is said to be *residually finite dimensional* if there exists an injective $*$ -homomorphism

$$\pi: A \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$$

into a product of matrix algebras, where I is an arbitrary (possibly infinite) index set. In [BCF20], Brannan, Chirvasitu and Freslon showed that the $*$ -algebra A corresponding to S_n^+ is residually finite dimensional. Hence, for each $*$ -polynomial $P \neq 0$ in the generators of $C(S_n^+)$ there exists a $*$ -homomorphism $\pi_i: A \rightarrow M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\pi_i(P) \neq 0$, where π_i is obtained by projecting onto the i -th for some $i \in I$ depending on p . This gives to some extent some information on possible models of S_4^+ .

Secondly, one possible approach for showing that the concrete magic unitary M from Definition 2.4 defines a faithful model of S_4^+ would be to show that M is a corepresentation matrix of some compact quantum group G with $B \subseteq C(G)$. In this case, the comultiplication of $C(G)$ restricts to a comultiplication on B , which turns B into a compact matrix quantum group H with $S_4 \subseteq H \subseteq S_4^+$. Since the inclusion $S_4 \subseteq S_4^+$ is maximal (see [BB09]) and B is non-commutative, it would follow that $H = S_4^+$.

Note that the C^* -algebra A corresponds to the compact quantum group $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_2}$, with comultiplication $\Delta: A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(p) &= p \otimes p + (1-p) \otimes (1-p), \\ \Delta(q) &= q \otimes q + (1-q) \otimes (1-q).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the tensor product $A^{\otimes 3}$ already carries a direct product quantum group structure. However, it seems that one would have to find a different product structure in order to turn M into a corepresentation matrix, which the authors were not able to achieve.

Thirdly, there are other models of S_n^+ , which are studied for example in [BM07], [BBS09], [BB15] and [BN17]. However, these are of different types and are constructed from Pauli matrices, Fourier matrices or some related constructions. In the case of [BM07], the corresponding model for $n = 4$ turns out to be faithful (see [BC08]).

6.3. No generalization to S_n^+ with $n > 4$. Finally, we consider similar models of the quantum permutation group S_n^+ with $n > 4$ and show that a generalisation of our main result no longer holds in this setting. In the following, denote with A again the universal unital C^* -algebra generated by two projections p and q . Further, recall from Section 5 the definition of the sets $\mathcal{A}_m^{\otimes k} \subseteq A^{\otimes k}$ consisting of tensor products of alternating products of p and q up to length m . In this notation, we obtain the following result.

Proposition 6.3. *Let $n \in \{5, 6\}$ and $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$. If $M \in M_n(A^{\otimes k})$ is a magic unitary with entries $M_{ij} \in \langle \mathcal{A}_\ell^{\otimes k} \rangle$, then there exists a separating polynomial for M .*

Proof. As in the proof of our main theorem, we factor the substitution homomorphism φ_M as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}\langle X_n \rangle & \xrightarrow{\varphi_M} & A^{\otimes k} \\ & \searrow \pi & \nearrow \psi \\ & \mathbb{C}\langle X_n \rangle / I_n & \end{array}$$

and consider the restriction $\psi|_{V_m}$ to the spaces $V_m \subseteq \mathbb{C}\langle X_n \rangle / I_n$ of residue classes of polynomials up to degree m . By the computational results in Section 4.3, we have that for $n \in \{5, 6\}$ the dimension of V_m grows exponential in m . However, one shows

similar to Lemma 5.1 that $\dim \psi(V_m) \leq (2m + 1)^{kl}$. Hence, $\dim V_m > \dim \psi(V_m)$ for large m , which implies $\dim \ker \psi > 0$. Thus, we find a residue class of a non-trivial separating polynomial in $\ker \psi$. \square

Note that we were only able to compute Gröbner bases for the magic unitary ideals I_n with $n \leq 6$, which allowed us to prove the exponential growth of $\dim V_m$ in these cases. However, $\dim V_m$ should grow even faster for larger n , such that we expect the previous proposition to hold for all $n > 4$. Further, the previous dimension argument shows that the quantum permutation group S_4^+ is less complex than the larger quantum permutation groups S_n^+ with $n > 4$. Compare this to [Ban98], where it is shown that the dual of S_4^+ is amenable but it is not amenable for S_n^+ with $n > 4$, which also shows that S_4^+ is somewhat simpler.

REFERENCES

- [Ban98] T. Banica. Symmetries of a generic coaction. *Mathematische Annalen*, 314:763–780, 1998.
- [BB09] T. Banica and J. Bichon. Quantum groups acting on 4 points. *Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik*, 626:75–114, 2009.
- [BB15] T. Banica and J. Bichon. Random walk questions for linear quantum groups. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2015(24):13406–13436, 2015.
- [BBS09] T. Banica, J. Bichon, and J. Schlenker. Representations of quantum permutation algebras. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 257(9):2864–2910, 2009.
- [BC08] T. Banica and B. Collins. Integration over the pauli quantum group. *Journal of Geometry and Physics*, 58(8):942–961, 2008.
- [BCF20] M. Brannan, A. Chirvasitu, and A. Freslon. Topological generation and matrix models for quantum reflection groups. *Advances in Mathematics*, 363:106982, 2020.
- [Bla05] B. Blackadar. *Operator algebras. Theory of C*-algebras and von Neumann algebras*. Springer, 2005.
- [BM07] T. Banica and S. Moroianu. On the structure of quantum permutation groups. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 135(1):21–29, 2007.
- [BN17] T. Banica and I. Nechita. Flat matrix models for quantum permutation groups. *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, 83:24–46, 2017.
- [CK16] A. Cohen and J. Knopper. GBNP, computing Gröbner bases of noncommutative polynomials, Version 1.0.3. <https://www.gap-system.org/Packages/gbnp.html>, 2016.
- [GAP20] The GAP Group. *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.11.0*, 2020. <https://www.gap-system.org>.
- [HMU06] J. E. Hopcroft, R. Motwani, and J. D. Ullman. *Introduction to Automata Theory, Languages, and Computation (3rd Edition)*. Addison-Wesley Longman Publishing Co., Inc., 2006.
- [JW20] S. Jung and M. Weber. Models of quantum permutations. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 279(2):108516, 2020.
- [LES⁺22] V. Levandovskyy, C. Eder, A. Steenpass, S. Schmidt, J. Schanz, and M. Weber. Existence of quantum symmetries for graphs on up to seven vertices: A computer based approach. *ISSAC '22: Proceedings of the 2022 International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation*, pages 311–318, 2022.
- [LVW20] X. Li, C. Voigt, and M. Weber. ISem24: C*-algebras and dynamics, lecture notes. <https://www.math.uni-sb.de/ag/speicher/weber/ISem24/ISem24LectureNotes.pdf>, 2020.

- [Mor94] T. Mora. An introduction to commutative and noncommutative Gröbner bases. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 134(1):131–173, 1994.
- [NT13] S. Neshveyev and L. Tuset. *Compact Quantum Groups and Their Representation Categories*. Cours Spécialisés. Société Mathématique de France, 2013.
- [The20] The Sage Developers. *SageMath, the Sage Mathematics Software System (Version 9.1)*, 2020. <https://www.sagemath.org>.
- [Tim08] T. Timmermann. *An Invitation to Quantum Groups and Duality: From Hopf Algebras to Multiplicative Unitaries and Beyond*. EMS textbooks in mathematics. European Mathematical Society, 2008.
- [Ufn91] V. Ufnarovskii. On the use of graphs for computing a basis, growth and Hilbert series of associative algebras. *Mathematics of The USSR-Sbornik*, 68(2):417–428, 1991.
- [Wan98] S. Wang. Quantum symmetry groups of finite spaces. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 195:195–211, 1998.
- [Web23] M. Weber. Quantum permutation matrices. *Complex Analysis and Operator Theory*, 17:37, 2023.
- [Wor87] S. Woronowicz. Compact matrix pseudogroups. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 111:613–665, 1987.

APPENDIX A. FINITE AUTOMATON




FIGURE 2. The finite automaton constructed in Section 4 for the magic unitary ideal I_4 . It has 17 states, where state 0 is the initial state and every state is final. The grey edges are only coloured differently for better visualisation.

APPENDIX B. CHARACTERS FROM PROPOSITION 6.2

S_4	p_1	q_1	p_2	q_2	p_3	q_3
()	0	1	0	1	0	1
(13)(24)	0	1	0	1	1	0
(14)(23)	0	1	1	0	0	1
(12)(34)	0	1	1	0	1	0
(234)	0	0	1	1	0	1
(132)	0	0	1	1	1	0
(143)	0	0	0	0	0	1
(124)	0	1	0	0	0	0
(243)	0	0	0	1	0	0
(134)	0	0	0	1	1	1
(142)	0	0	1	0	1	1
(123)	0	0	1	0	0	0
(34)	0	0	0	1	0	1
(1324)	0	0	0	1	1	0
(1423)	0	0	1	0	0	1
(12)	0	0	1	0	1	0
(23)	0	0	1	1	0	0
(1342)	0	0	1	1	1	1
(14)	0	1	0	0	0	1
(1243)	0	0	0	0	0	0
(24)	0	1	0	1	0	0
(13)	0	1	0	1	1	1
(1432)	0	1	1	0	1	1
(1234)	0	1	1	0	0	0

NICOLAS FAROSS AND MORITZ WEBER, SAARLAND UNIVERSITY, FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK, POSTFACH 151150, 66041 SAARBRÜCKEN, GERMANY

Email address: faross@math.uni-sb.de, weber@math.uni-sb.de