

# SYMMETRIC $(\sigma, \tau)$ -ALGEBRAS AND $(\sigma, \tau)$ -HOCHSCHILD COHOMOLOGY

KWALOMBOTA ILWALE

ABSTRACT. On an associative algebra, we introduce the concept of symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations together with a regularity condition and prove that strongly regular symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations are inner. Symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations are  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations that are simultaneously  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations as well as  $(\tau, \sigma)$ -derivations, generalizing a property of commutative algebras. Motivated by this notion, we explore the geometry of symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebras and prove that there exist a unique strongly regular symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connection. Furthermore, we introduce  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Hochschild cohomology and show that, in first degree, it describes the outer  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations on an associative algebra. Along the way, examples are provided to illustrate the novel concepts.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In a derivation-based approach to noncommutative geometry, derivations play a significant role, especially in describing connections, curvature, and the torsion of a connection. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a unital associative algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$ . For a derivation  $X$ , i.e a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map  $X : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  satisfying Leibniz rule  $X(fg) = fX(g) + X(f)g$ , a connection  $\nabla_X : M \rightarrow M$ , on a left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $M$ , satisfies Leibniz rule

$$\nabla_X(fm) = f\nabla_X(m) + X(f)m$$

(see e.g [DV88], [DVM96]. On the other hand, there are certain twisted derivations, called  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations which are usually not considered in the derivation-based approach but do play an important role in certain deformations of Lie algebras as well as in quantum groups. For example, in [HLS06] and [LS07], a  $q$ -deformed Witt algebra was realized through the use of  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations and a family of  $q$ -deformed Lie algebra of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{F})$  was constructed using  $\sigma$ -derivations respectively.

A  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map  $X : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  satisfying a twisted Leibniz rule

$$X(fg) = \sigma(f)X(g) + X(f)\tau(g),$$

for algebra endomorphisms  $\sigma, \tau : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ . In [AI22], a framework for noncommutative Riemmanian geometry is considered by constructing connections, curvature, and torsion over certain modules based on  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations. In this framework, given a left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $M$ , a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connection  $\nabla_X : M \rightarrow M$ , satisfies a twisted Leibniz rule

$$(1.1) \quad \nabla_X(fm) = \sigma(f)\nabla_X(m) + X(f)\hat{\tau}(m)$$

for a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation  $X : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  and a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map  $\hat{\tau} : M \rightarrow M$  (satisfying  $\hat{\tau}(fm) = \tau(f)\hat{\tau}(m)$ ). For instance, in the quest to explore connections on the quantum 3-sphere, [AIL20] and [AIL22] constructed connections satisfying (1.1) with respect to a set of

$(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations  $X_1, X_2, X_3$  appearing naturally as deformations of the Lie algebra of vector fields on the 3-sphere. These results motivated the construction of a general framework for noncommutative geometry of twisted derivations developed in [AI22], introducing the concept of a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebra together with a corresponding notion of  $\Sigma$ -modules.

In the current work, we introduce the notion of symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations. A symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation is a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation that is also a  $(\tau, \sigma)$ -derivation. For example, all  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations on a commutative algebra are symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations. Based on such  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations, we introduce symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebras and  $\Sigma$ -modules on which symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connections are constructed. To better understand inner and outer  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations, we introduce the concept of regular and strongly regular pairs of endomorphisms. This triggers interesting questions in related to Hochschild cohomology. In degree one, the Hochschild cohomology group of an associative algebra measures to what extent there exist outer derivations of an associative algebra. For example, the first Hochschild cohomology group of the matrix algebra  $\text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C})$  in dimension one is zero, implying that there are only inner derivations on a matrix algebra. For  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations on an associative algebra, we introduce a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -version of Hochschild cohomology for describing the inner and outer  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations on an associative algebra.

In Section 2, we study symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations on an associative algebra and for example, prove that for a strongly regular pair of endomorphisms, every symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation is inner. In Section 3, we define the  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Hochschild cohomology groups of an associative algebra in order to study inner and outer  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations. In Section 4, we study symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connections and show that for strongly regular  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebras there exist a unique symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connection. In Section 5, we explore the curvature of a symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connection and its corresponding linearity properties. Lastly in section 6, we illustrate the novel concepts with examples based on commutative algebras.

## 2. SYMMETRIC $(\sigma, \tau)$ -DERIVATIONS

In this Section, we introduce a notion of symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations on associative algebras. These are  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations that are also  $(\tau, \sigma)$ -derivations. One may think of symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations as a generalization of  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations on commutative algebras (where every  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation is symmetric) to noncommutative algebras. In the following, we consider a unital associative algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  over a field  $\mathbb{K}$  of characteristic zero. Let us recall some definitions.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\sigma, \tau \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$  and let  $M$  be an  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule. A  $\mathbb{K}$ -linear map  $X : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow M$  is called a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation with values in  $M$  if

$$(2.1) \quad X(fg) = \sigma(f)X(g) + X(f)\tau(g)$$

for all  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Let  $\text{Der}_{(\sigma, \tau)}(\mathcal{A})$  denote the set of  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations of  $\mathcal{A}$ . In general,  $\text{Der}_{(\sigma, \tau)}(\mathcal{A})$  is not an  $\mathcal{A}$ -module, but it turns out to be a module over  $Z(\mathcal{A})$ , the center of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Proposition 2.2.**  *$\text{Der}_{(\sigma, \tau)}(\mathcal{A})$  is a  $Z(\mathcal{A})$ -bimodule.*

*Proof.* Assume  $X$  is a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation and let  $f \in Z(\mathcal{A})$ . Set

$$(f \cdot X)(a) = fX(a) \quad \text{and} \quad (X \cdot f)(a) = X(a)f$$

for  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . It is clear that the above action defines a bimodule structure on  $\text{Der}_{(\sigma, \tau)}(\mathcal{A})$  as long as  $f \cdot X$  and  $X \cdot f$  are  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations. For  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} f \cdot X(ab) &= fX(ab) = f\sigma(a)X(b) + fX(a)\tau(b) = \sigma(a)fX(b) + fX(a)\tau(b) \\ &\quad \sigma(a)f \cdot X(b) + f \cdot X(a)\tau(b) \end{aligned}$$

showing that  $f \cdot X$  is a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation. Similarly, one shows that  $X \cdot f$  is a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation.  $\square$

In the definition of  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation, one obtains an ordinary derivation (with values in  $M$ ) when both  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are the identity maps on  $\mathcal{A}$ . A derivation  $X$  is inner if it can be written as  $X(f) = [f, g_0] = m_0f - fm_0$  for some fixed  $m_0 \in M$ . These usually give an idea of how noncommutative an algebra is. In the case of  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations, one defines inner derivations as follows.

**Definition 2.3.** A  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation  $X$  with values in  $M$  is called *inner* if there exists  $m_0 \in M$  such that

$$X(f) = m_0\tau(f) - \sigma(f)m_0$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Note that although there are no inner derivations on a commutative algebra, in general there exist inner (nontrivial)  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations on a commutative algebra if  $\sigma \neq \tau$ .

**Definition 2.4.** A  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation with values in  $M$  is called *symmetric* if it is also a  $(\tau, \sigma)$ -derivation with values in  $M$ .

Let us look at a few examples of symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations. For arbitrary  $\sigma, \tau \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$  the linear map  $X : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  defined by

$$(2.2) \quad X(f) = \tau(f) - \sigma(f)$$

for  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is a symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation. Indeed, for  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} X(fg) &= \tau(fg) - \sigma(fg) = \tau(f)\tau(g) - \sigma(f)\sigma(g) = \tau(f)\tau(g) - \sigma(f)\tau(g) + \sigma(f)\tau(g) - \sigma(f)\sigma(g) \\ &= (\tau(f) - \sigma(f))\tau(g) + \sigma(f)(\tau(g) - \sigma(g)) = X(f)\tau(g) + \sigma(f)X(g) \end{aligned}$$

showing that  $X$  is a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation. On the other hand, for  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$  one has

$$\begin{aligned} X(fg) &= \tau(fg) - \sigma(fg) = \tau(f)\tau(g) - \tau(f)\sigma(g) + \tau(f)\sigma(g) - \sigma(f)\sigma(g) \\ &= \tau(f)(\tau(g) - \sigma(g)) + (\tau(f) - \sigma(f))\sigma(g) = \tau(f)X(g) + X(f)\sigma(g) \end{aligned}$$

showing that  $X$  is also a  $(\tau, \sigma)$ -derivation. Hence,  $X$  is a symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation. The derivation given by (2.2) is an inner  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation which is symmetric. Another example of a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation is the Jackson derivative  $D_q$  on the polynomial algebra  $\mathbb{C}[x]$ . The Jackson derivative is defined as

$$(2.3) \quad D_q(f(x)) = \frac{f(qx) - f(x)}{(q-1)x}$$

for  $f(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$  and  $q \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $q \neq 1$ . For  $f(x), g(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ , one has

$$D_q(f(x)g(x)) = f(qx)D_q(g(x)) + D_q(f(x))g(x) = D_q(f(x))g(qx) + f(x)D_q(g(x)).$$

With endomorphisms  $\sigma(f)(x) = f(qx)$  and  $\tau(f)(x) = f(x)$ , the Jackson derivative  $D_q$  is a symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation. This an example of  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation on the polynomial algebra  $\mathbb{C}[x]$  which is not inner (see also Section 3.3).

On an associative algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , one can generalize (2.2) to construct symmetric inner  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations with values in a bimodule.

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $\sigma, \tau \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$  and let  $M$  be an  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule. If  $m_0 \in M$  such that  $[m_0, \tau(f)] = [m_0, \sigma(f)] = 0$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  then  $X : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow M$  given by*

$$X(f) = m_0\tau(f) - \sigma(f)m_0$$

for  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ , is a symmetric inner  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation with values in  $M$ .

*Proof.* By definition,  $X$  is an inner  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation. We want to show that  $X$  is also  $(\tau, \sigma)$ -derivation. Since  $[m_0, f] = 0 = [m_0, \sigma(f)]$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ , one has

$$X(f) = m_0\tau(f) - \sigma(f)m_0 = \tau(f)m_0 - m_0\sigma(f).$$

For  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} X(fg) &= \tau(f)\tau(g)m_0 - \tau(f)m_0\sigma(g) + \tau(f)m_0\sigma(g) - m_0\sigma(f)\sigma(g) \\ &= \tau(f)(\tau(g)m_0 - m_0\sigma(g)) + (\tau(f)m_0 - m_0\sigma(f))\sigma(g) \\ &= \tau(f)X(g) + X(f)\sigma(g), \end{aligned}$$

showing that  $X$  is a  $(\tau, \sigma)$ -derivation. Since we have shown that  $X$  is both  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation and  $(\tau, \sigma)$ -derivation, it is symmetric.  $\square$

Let us now introduce a notion of regularity for pairs of algebra endomorphisms. Given  $\sigma, \tau \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$  we write

$$(2.4) \quad \delta(f) = \tau(f) - \sigma(f)$$

for  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ . In what follows, the properties of  $\delta$  will be important, and to this end we introduce the concept of regularity.

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $\sigma, \tau \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$ . The pair  $(\sigma, \tau)$  is called *regular* if there exists  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\delta(f) = \tau(f) - \sigma(f)$  is not a zero divisor. Moreover, the pair  $(\sigma, \tau)$  is called *strongly regular* if there exists  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\delta(f)$  is invertible.

Let us now look at some examples of regular and strongly regular pairs of endomorphisms. Let us take, for example,  $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[x]$ , the polynomial algebra in a variable  $x$ . Since there are no zero divisors in  $\mathbb{C}[x]$ , any pair  $(\sigma, \tau)$  such that  $\sigma \neq \tau$  is a regular pair of endomorphisms. Now, let  $\sigma(x) = qx$  and  $\tau(x) = x$  for  $q \in \mathbb{C}, q \neq 1$ . Since  $\sigma \neq \tau$  the pair  $(\sigma, \tau)$  is regular. Moreover, for a polynomial  $p(x) = \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i x^i \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ ,

$$\delta(p)(x) = \sum_{i \geq 1} (1 - q^i) a_i x^i$$

is not invertible in  $\mathbb{C}[x]$ . Thus the pair  $(\sigma, \tau)$  such that  $\sigma(x) = qx$  and  $\tau(x) = x$ , is not a strongly regular pair of endomorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}[x]$ . On the other hand, given  $\sigma(x) = x - h$  and  $\tau(x) = x$ , for  $h \in \mathbb{C} (h \neq 0)$ , then

$$\delta(x) = h$$

is invertible in  $\mathbb{C}[x]$ , implying that the pair  $(\sigma, \tau)$  such that  $\sigma(x) = x - h$  and  $\tau(x) = x$  is a strongly regular pair of endomorphisms over  $\mathbb{C}[x]$ .

In the following Lemma, we give a property of symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations that motivates a relationship between regular pairs of endomorphisms and symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations.

**Lemma 2.7.** *If  $X$  is a symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation with values in the  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule  $M$ , then*

$$(2.5) \quad X(f)\delta(g) = \delta(f)X(g)$$

for all  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ , where  $\delta = \tau - \sigma$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $X$  is a symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation. For  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= X(fg) - \sigma(f)X(g) - X(f)\tau(g) \\ &= \tau(f)X(g) + X(f)\sigma(g) - \sigma(f)X(g) - X(f)\tau(g) \\ &= (\tau(f) - \sigma(f))X(g) + X(f)(\sigma(g) - \tau(g)) \\ &= \delta(f)X(g) - X(f)\delta(g), \end{aligned}$$

implying that

$$X(f)\delta(g) = \delta(f)X(g). \quad \square$$

**Proposition 2.8.** *Let  $(\sigma, \tau)$  be a strongly regular pair of endomorphisms of  $\mathcal{A}$  and let  $X$  be a symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation with values in the  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule  $M$ . Then there exists a unique  $m_0 \in M$  such that*

$$X(f) = m_0\tau(f) - \sigma(f)m_0$$

and

$$[m_0, \tau(f)] = [m_0, \sigma(f)] = 0$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $X$  is a symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation, one concludes from Lemma 2.7 that

$$(2.6) \quad X(f)\delta(g) = \delta(f)X(g)$$

for all  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ . Since  $(\sigma, \tau)$  is assumed to be a strongly regular pair of endomorphisms, there exists  $g_0 \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\delta(g_0)$  is invertible. It then follows from (2.6) that

$$(2.7) \quad X(f) = \delta(f)X(g_0)\delta(g_0)^{-1}$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ . Set  $m_0 = X(g_0)\delta(g_0)^{-1}$ , use (2.7) to compute

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= X(fg) - \sigma(f)X(g) - X(f)\tau(g) = \delta(fg)m_0 - \sigma(f)\delta(g)m_0 - \delta(f)m_0\tau(g) \\ &= (\tau(f)\tau(g) - \sigma(f)\sigma(g))m_0 - \sigma(f)(\tau(g) - \sigma(g))m_0 - (\tau(f) - \sigma(f))m_0\tau(g) \\ &= \tau(f)\tau(g)m_0 - \sigma(f)\tau(g)m_0 - \tau(f)m_0\tau(g) + \sigma(f)m_0\tau(g) \\ &= \tau(f)[\tau(g), m_0] - \sigma(f)[\tau(g), m_0] = \delta(f)[\tau(g), m_0], \end{aligned}$$

and setting  $f = g_0$ , implying that  $\delta(g_0)$  is invertible, gives  $[\tau(g), m_0] = 0$  for all  $g \in \mathcal{A}$ . Similarly, the identity

$$0 = X(fg) - \tau(f)X(g) - X(f)\sigma(g)$$

implies that  $[\sigma(g), m_0] = 0$  for all  $g \in \mathcal{A}$ . It follows that

$$X(f) = \delta(f)m_0 = m_0\delta(f) = m_0\tau(f) - \sigma(f)m_0.$$

Finally, let us prove that  $m_0$  is unique. To this end, assume that

$$X(f) = \delta(f)m_0 = \delta(f)n_0$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ , implying that  $\delta(f)(m_0 - n_0) = 0$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ . Setting  $f = g_0$  gives  $m_0 = n_0$  since  $\delta(g_0)$  is invertible.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.9.** *If  $(\sigma, \tau)$  is a strongly regular pair of endomorphisms of  $\mathcal{A}$  then every symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation with values in an  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule  $M$  is inner.*

### 3. $(\sigma, \tau)$ -HOCHSCHILD COHOMOLOGY

The notion of Hochschild cohomology was first introduced in [Hoc45]. Hochschild cohomology theory in low dimension is concerned with derivations of associative algebras. For instance, the first cohomology group determines the outer derivations of the algebra. In this Section, we introduce a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -version of Hochschild cohomology, which we refer to as  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Hochschild cohomology. The  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Hochschild cohomology will be defined as the Hochschild cohomology with coefficients in a particular twisted bimodule, which we denote as  $M_{(\sigma, \tau)}$ . In this case of the Hochschild cohomology, 1-cocycles are  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations and 1-coboundaries are inner  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations. Therefore, first  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Hochschild cohomology group, which we denote by  $H^1_{(\sigma, \tau)}(\mathcal{A}, M)$ , determines the outer  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations. Let us first look at the preliminaries before we go into details.

**3.1. Hochschild cohomology preliminaries.** Let  $\mathcal{A}^{\otimes n} = \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{A}$  be the  $n$ -fold tensor product of  $\mathcal{A}$  and let  $M$  be an  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule. A  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map  $\omega : \mathcal{A}^{\otimes n} \rightarrow M$  is called an  $n$ -cochain of an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  with values in  $M$  and the linear space of all  $n$ -cochains is denoted  $C^n(\mathcal{A}, M)$  for  $n \geq 1$ . For  $n = 0$ , let  $C^0(\mathcal{A}, M) = M$ . The Hochschild cochain complex of  $\mathcal{A}$  with coefficients in  $M$ , denoted  $C^*(\mathcal{A}, M, \delta)$  is the sequence of linear spaces of cochains

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow C^1(\mathcal{A}, M) \rightarrow C^2(\mathcal{A}, M) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C^n(\mathcal{A}, M) \rightarrow C^{n+1}(\mathcal{A}, M) \rightarrow \cdots$$

together with the differentials  $\delta_n : C^n(\mathcal{A}, M) \rightarrow C^{n+1}(\mathcal{A}, M)$ , defined by

$$(\delta_0 m)(a) = ma - am$$

for  $m \in M$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_n \omega)(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) &= a_1 \omega(a_2, \dots, a_{n+1}) + \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j \omega(a_1, \dots, a_j a_{j+1}, \dots, a_{n+1}) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{n+1} \omega(a_1, \dots, a_n) a_{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

for  $\omega \in C^n(\mathcal{A}, M)$  and  $n \geq 1$ . One shows that  $\delta_n \circ \delta_{n-1} = 0$ .

An  $n$ -cochain  $\omega$  is called  $n$ -cocycle if  $\delta \omega = 0$ , and it is called an  $n$ -coboundary if there exist an  $(n-1)$ -cochain  $\rho$  such that  $\omega = \delta \rho$ . The set of  $n$ -cocycles form a subgroup of the additive group of  $n$ -cochains. The  $n$ -dimensional cohomology group of  $\mathcal{A}$  with coefficients in  $M$ , denoted by  $H^n(\mathcal{A}, M)$ , is the group of  $n$ -dimensional cocycles modulo the subgroup of  $n$ -dimensional coboundaries. Namely, denoting by  $Z^n(\mathcal{A}, M)$  the set of  $n$ -cocycles, i.e.

$$Z^n(\mathcal{A}, M) = \{\omega \in C^n(\mathcal{A}, M) : \delta \omega = 0\}$$

and denoting by  $B^n(\mathcal{A}, M)$  the set of  $n$ -coboundaries, i.e.

$$B^n(\mathcal{A}, M) = \{\omega \in C^n(\mathcal{A}, M) : \omega = \delta\rho, \text{ for } \rho \in C^{n-1}(\mathcal{A}, M)\},$$

one defines

$$H^n(\mathcal{A}, M) = Z^n(\mathcal{A}, M)/B^n(\mathcal{A}, M).$$

Now, let us examine the cohomology groups for the cases when  $n = 0, 1$ . For  $n = 0$ , the Hochschild cohomology group is given by

$$H^0(\mathcal{A}, M) = \ker(\delta_0) = \{m \in M : ma = am, \text{ for } a \in \mathcal{A}\},$$

which is simply the center of  $M$ . For  $n = 1$ , one considers

$$(\delta_1\omega)(a_1, a_2) = a_1\omega(a_2) - \omega(a_1a_2) + \omega(a_1)a_2$$

for  $\omega \in C^1(\mathcal{A}, M)$  and the boundary map

$$(\delta_0m)(a_1) = [m, a_1],$$

for  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{A}, m \in M$ . Given that  $\omega \in \ker(\delta_1)$ , then one has

$$\omega(a_1a_2) = a_1\omega(a_2) + \omega(a_1)a_2$$

implying that the linear map  $\omega : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow M$  is a derivation of  $\mathcal{A}$  with values in  $M$ . One writes

$$Z^1(\mathcal{A}, M) = \{\omega \in C^1(\mathcal{A}, M) : \omega(ab) = a\omega(b) + \omega(a)b \quad \forall a, b \in \mathcal{A}\} = \text{Der}(\mathcal{A}, M),$$

where  $\text{Der}(\mathcal{A}, M)$  denotes the set of all derivations of  $\mathcal{A}$  with values in  $M$ . For  $\omega$  in the image of  $\delta_0$ , one has

$$B^1(\mathcal{A}, M) = \{\omega \in C^1(\mathcal{A}, M) : \omega = (\delta_0m)(a) = [m, a], \forall a \in \mathcal{A}\} = \text{Inn}(\mathcal{A}, M),$$

where  $\text{Inn}(\mathcal{A}, M)$  denotes the set of inner derivations of  $\mathcal{A}$  with values in  $M$ . Thus, it follows that

$$H^1(\mathcal{A}, M) = Z^1(\mathcal{A}, M)/B^1(\mathcal{A}, M) = \text{Der}(\mathcal{A}, M)/\text{Inn}(\mathcal{A}, M),$$

represents the set of outer derivations of  $\mathcal{A}$  with values in  $M$ .

**3.2.  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Hochschild cohomology.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an associative algebra and  $M$  be an  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule. Given  $\sigma, \tau \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$ , we will construct a new  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule structure on  $M$ , denoted by  $M_{(\sigma, \tau)}$ , by twisting the action on  $M$  by the endomorphisms  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ . Namely, let

$$f \cdot m = \sigma(f)m, \quad m \cdot f = m\tau(f)$$

for  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $m \in M$ . It is easy to show that  $M_{(\sigma, \tau)}$  is again an  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule. We want to compute the Hochschild cohomology group of  $\mathcal{A}$  with values in  $M_{(\sigma, \tau)}$ . The boundary map  $\delta_n : C^n(\mathcal{A}, M_{(\sigma, \tau)}) \rightarrow C^{n+1}(\mathcal{A}, M_{(\sigma, \tau)})$  is now obtained as

$$(\delta_0m)(a) = m \cdot a - a \cdot m = m\tau(a) - \sigma(a)m$$

for  $m \in M_{(\sigma, \tau)}$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_n \omega)(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) &= a_1 \cdot \omega(a_2, \dots, a_{n+1}) + \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j \omega(a_1, \dots, a_j a_{j+1}, \dots, a_{n+1}) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{n+1} \omega(a_1, \dots, a_n) \cdot a_{n+1} \\ &= \sigma(a_1) \omega(a_2, \dots, a_{n+1}) + \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j \omega(a_2, \dots, a_j a_{j+1}, \dots, a_{n+1}) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{n+1} \omega(a_1, \dots, a_n) \tau(a_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

for  $n \geq 1$ . In particular, for  $n = 1$  one obtains

$$(\delta_1 \omega)(a_1, a_2) = \sigma(a_1) \omega(a_2) + \omega(a_1) \tau(a_2) - \omega(a_1 a_2)$$

implying that a 1-cocycle  $\omega \in C^1(\mathcal{A}, M_{(\sigma, \tau)})$  is a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation with values in  $M$ . Let us denote by  $\text{Der}_{(\sigma, \tau)}(\mathcal{A}, M)$  and  $\text{Inn}_{(\sigma, \tau)}(\mathcal{A}, M)$  the set of all  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations and the set of all inner  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations of  $\mathcal{A}$  with values in  $M$  respectively. Then one sets

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{(\sigma, \tau)}^n(\mathcal{A}, M) &= Z^n(\mathcal{A}, M_{(\sigma, \tau)}) \\ B_{(\sigma, \tau)}^n(\mathcal{A}, M) &= B^n(\mathcal{A}, M_{(\sigma, \tau)}) \end{aligned}$$

noting that

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathcal{A}, M) &= \text{Der}_{(\sigma, \tau)}(\mathcal{A}, M), \\ B_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathcal{A}, M) &= \text{Inn}_{(\sigma, \tau)}(\mathcal{A}, M). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the Hochschild cohomology groups of  $\mathcal{A}$  with values in  $M_{(\sigma, \tau)}$  are defined as

$$H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^n(\mathcal{A}, M) = Z_{(\sigma, \tau)}^n(\mathcal{A}, M) / B_{(\sigma, \tau)}^n(\mathcal{A}, M).$$

Let us now consider the  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Hochschild cohomology groups, for  $n = 0, 1$ . For  $n = 0$  one has

$$H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^0(\mathcal{A}, M) = \{m \in M_{(\sigma, \tau)} : m\tau(a) = \sigma(a)m, \text{ for } a \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a commutative algebra and  $(\sigma, \tau)$  be a regular pair. Then*

$$H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^0(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) = 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $b \in H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^0(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ , then  $b\delta(a) = 0$  for  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  (using that  $\mathcal{A}$  is commutative). Moreover, since  $(\sigma, \tau)$  is a regular pair, there exists  $a_0 \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\delta(a_0)$  is not a zero divisor. Then

$$b\delta(a_0) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad b = 0.$$

Hence,  $H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^0(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) = 0$ . □

For  $n = 1$ ,  $(\delta_1 \omega)(a_1, a_2) = 0$  implies that

$$\omega(a_1 a_2) = \sigma(a_1) \omega(a_2) + \omega(a_1) \tau(a_2)$$

showing that  $\omega \in Z_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathcal{A}, M)$  is a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation. For  $\omega \in C^1(\mathcal{A}, M_{(\sigma, \tau)})$  such that

$$\omega = (\delta_0 m)(a) = m\tau(a) - \sigma(a)m$$



implies that  $\omega \in B_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathcal{A}, M)$  is an inner  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation. Hence

$$H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathcal{A}, M) = \text{Der}_{(\sigma, \tau)}(\mathcal{A}, M) / \text{Inn}_{(\sigma, \tau)}(\mathcal{A}, M)$$

constitute all outer  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations of  $\mathcal{A}$  with values in  $M$ . When  $M = \mathcal{A}$  we shall simply write  $H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathcal{A}) = H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ . Note that if  $H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathcal{A}) = 0$  then every  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation is inner.

Let us now consider a few cases where one can determine the  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -cohomology in lower degrees. First of all, it follows immediately from Corollary 2.9 that  $H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathcal{A}, M)$  vanishes for strongly regular symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations, which we state as follows.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $\sigma, \tau \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$  be such that  $(\sigma, \tau)$  is a strongly regular pair of endomorphisms. If every  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation on  $\mathcal{A}$  with values in  $M$  is symmetric, then  $H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathcal{A}, M) = H_{(\tau, \sigma)}^1(\mathcal{A}, M) = 0$ .*

In particular, for a commutative algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , every  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation is symmetric and one can conclude that  $H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathcal{A}) = 0$  if  $(\sigma, \tau)$  is a strongly regular pair of endomorphisms.

**3.3. Examples of  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Hochschild cohomology.** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{K}[x]$ , be the polynomial algebra in the indeterminate  $x$  over the field  $\mathbb{K}$ . Both the zero and one dimensional Hochschild cohomology of  $\mathbb{K}[x]$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{K}[x]$ , i.e.,  $H^0(\mathbb{K}[x]) \simeq \mathbb{K}[x]$  and  $H^1(\mathbb{K}[x]) \simeq \mathbb{K}[x] \frac{d}{dx}$ . (see for example [Wit20]). In this section we describe the  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Hochschild cohomology groups in degree 0 and 1.

In degree 0, one can apply Proposition 3.1, assuming that  $\sigma \neq \tau$ . In that case, there exists a polynomial  $p_0 \in \mathbb{K}[x]$  such that  $\delta(p_0) \neq 0$  implying that  $\delta(p_0)$  is not a zero divisor since  $\mathbb{K}[x]$  is an integral domain. Hence

$$H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^0(\mathbb{K}[x]) = 0.$$

Next, let us consider  $H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathbb{K}[x])$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $\sigma, \tau \in \text{End}(\mathbb{K}[x])$  and let  $\delta = \tau - \sigma$ . Then*

$$H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathbb{K}[x]) = \mathbb{K}[x] / I_\delta,$$

where

$$I_\delta = \{p(x)\delta(x) : p(x) \in \mathbb{K}[x]\}$$

is the ideal generated by  $\delta(x)$ .

*Proof.* In  $\mathbb{K}[x]$ , a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation  $X$  is completely determined by

$$X(x) = f_0(x) \in \mathbb{K}[x]$$

and, conversely, any such choice of  $f_0 \in \mathbb{K}[x]$  gives a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation by using the twisted Leibniz rule, implying that  $Z_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathbb{K}[x]) \simeq \mathbb{K}[x]$ , where the isomorphism is provided by  $X \mapsto X(x) = f_0(x)$ . Now if  $X \in B_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathbb{K}[x])$  then

$$X(x) = g_0(x)(\tau(x) - \sigma(x)) = g_0(x)\delta(x),$$

for some  $g_0 \in \mathbb{K}[x]$ , implying that

$$B_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathbb{K}[x]) \simeq I_\delta.$$

Hence

$$H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathbb{K}[x]) = Z_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathbb{K}[x]) / B_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathbb{K}[x]) = \mathbb{K}[x] / I_\delta. \quad \square$$

To illustrate the above result, let us apply it to a particular case.

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $\sigma, \tau$  be endomorphisms of the polynomial algebra  $\mathbb{K}[x]$  given by  $\sigma(x) = qx$  and  $\tau(x) = x$  for  $q \neq 0, 1$ . Then*

$$H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\mathbb{K}[x], \mathbb{K}[x]) = \{\lambda 1 : \lambda \in \mathbb{K}\}.$$

*Proof.* Using the definition of  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ , one obtains

$$\delta(x) = \tau(x) - \sigma(x) = (1 - q)x$$

and note that

$$\left(\frac{1}{1-q}\right)\delta(x) = x, \left(\frac{x}{1-q}\right)\delta(x) = x^2, \dots, \left(\frac{x^{n-1}}{1-q}\right)\delta(x) = x^n,$$

are all in the ideal  $I_\delta = \langle p(x)\delta(x) \mid p(x) \in \mathbb{K}[x] \rangle$  except 1. Therefore,  $I_\delta$  is the polynomial algebra  $\mathbb{K}[x]$  without  $\lambda 1$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ . Using Proposition 3.3, the outer  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations of  $\mathbb{K}[x]$  for the endomorphisms  $\sigma(x) = qx$  and  $\tau(x) = x$  are

$$\mathbb{K}[x]/I_\delta = \{\lambda 1 \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{K}\}. \quad \square$$

**Corollary 3.5.** *The Jackson derivative is an outer  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation of  $\mathbb{K}[x]$ .*

*Proof.* In  $\mathbb{K}[x]$ , every  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation  $X$  is determined by  $X(x) = f_0(x) \in \mathbb{K}[x]$ . Let  $X$  be the Jackson derivative. It is easy to show that  $X$  is a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation with  $\sigma(x) = qx$  and  $\tau(x) = x$  and  $X(x) = 1$ . Since the Jackson derivative is determined by  $f_0(x) = 1$  then by Proposition 3.4, it is an outer derivation of  $\mathbb{K}[x]$ .  $\square$

Next, let  $\mathcal{A} = \text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C})$  be the algebra of  $(N \times N)$  complex matrices. If  $\sigma, \tau \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$  are automorphisms, one can easily describe  $H_{\sigma\tau}^0(\text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C}))$ .

**Proposition 3.6.** *If  $\sigma, \tau \in \text{Aut}(\text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C}))$  then  $H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^0(\text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C})) \simeq \mathbb{C}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C})$  is a central simple algebra, the Skolem-Noether theorem implies that all automorphisms are inner; that is, there exist  $U, V \in \text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C})$  (unique up to multiplication by a complex number) such that  $\tau(A) = U^{-1}AU$  and  $\sigma(A) = V^{-1}AV$  for all  $A \in \text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C})$ . By definition

$$H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^0(\text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C})) = \{A \in \text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C}) : A\tau(B) = \sigma(B)A \quad \forall B \in \text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C})\},$$

and one computes

$$A\tau(B) = \sigma(B)A \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad AU^{-1}BU = V^{-1}BVA \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad [VAU^{-1}, B] = 0.$$

For the above relation to be true for all  $B \in \text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C})$  one necessarily has that  $VAU^{-1} = z\mathbb{1}_N$  for some  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , where  $\mathbb{1}_N$  denotes the identity matrix. Thus, it follows that

$$H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^0(\text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C})) = \{zV^{-1}U : z \in \mathbb{C}\} \simeq \mathbb{C}. \quad \square$$

It is well known that all derivations on  $\text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C})$  are inner, implying that

$$H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C})) = 0.$$

Moreover, it is a fact that  $H^n(\text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C}), M) = 0$  for  $n \geq 1$  and arbitrary bimodule  $M$  (see e.g. [Red01]), implying the following result.

**Proposition 3.7.** *Let  $\text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C})$  denote the algebra of  $N \times N$  complex matrices, and let  $M$  be a  $\text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C})$ -bimodule. Then*

$$H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^n(\text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C}), M) = 0$$

for  $n \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* Since for any bimodule  $M$  and  $n \geq 1$  one has  $H^n(\text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C}), M) = 0$ , it follows that

$$H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^1(\text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C}), M) = H^1(\text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C}), M_{(\sigma, \tau)}) = 0. \quad \square$$

In particular, the above result implies that every  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation on  $\text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C})$  is inner.

#### 4. SYMMETRIC $(\sigma, \tau)$ -ALGEBRAS

The framework to study  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connections on  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebras has been studied in [AI22], [AIL20] and [AIL22]. In this Section, we extend the framework to accommodate symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations already introduced in Section 2. To this effect, we introduce symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebras and symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connections and study how the strongly regular condition of endomorphisms in a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebra influence such connections. Let us recall a few basic definitions from [AI22].

**Definition 4.1.** A  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebra  $\Sigma = (\mathcal{A}, \{X_a\}_{a \in I})$  is a pair where  $\mathcal{A}$  is an associative algebra and  $X_a$  is a  $(\sigma_a, \tau_a)$ -derivation of  $\mathcal{A}$  for  $a \in I$ .

**Definition 4.2.** For a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebra  $\Sigma = (\mathcal{A}, \{X_a\}_{a \in I})$ , we let  $T\Sigma \subseteq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$  be the vector space generated by  $\{X_a\}_{a \in I}$ . We call  $T\Sigma$  the *tangent space* of  $\Sigma$ .

Recall that a pair  $(\sigma, \tau)$  of endomorphisms is strongly regular if there exists  $f_0 \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\delta(f_0) = \tau(f_0) - \sigma(f_0)$  is invertible. In a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebra, there are several pairs of endomorphisms, and for each  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation  $X_a$  in the  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebra, there are pairs  $(\sigma_a, \tau_a)$  for  $a \in I$ . We would like to consider the case that all the pairs of endomorphisms in the  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebra are simultaneously strongly regular, i.e., there exist  $f_a \in \mathcal{A}$  for  $a \in I$  such that  $\delta_a(f_a) = \tau_a(f_a) - \sigma_a(f_a)$  is invertible. We shall call a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebra with this property strongly regular. Similarly, if each  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation in the  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebra is symmetric, we shall call the  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebra symmetric. In the following, we give precise definitions.

**Definition 4.3.** A  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebra  $\Sigma = (\mathcal{A}, \{X_a\}_{a \in I})$  is called *(strongly) regular* if  $(\sigma_a, \tau_a)$  is a (strongly) regular pair of endomorphisms of  $\mathcal{A}$  for all  $a \in I$ .

**Definition 4.4.** A symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebra  $\Sigma = (\mathcal{A}, \{X_a\}_{a \in I})$  is a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebra such that  $X_a$  is a symmetric  $(\sigma_a, \tau_a)$ -derivation for  $a \in I$ .

Note that if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a commutative algebra, then any  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebra  $\Sigma = (\mathcal{A}, \{X_a\}_{a \in I})$  is symmetric since  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations on commutative algebras are symmetric. For such an algebra to be a regular  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebra, one may consider the case when the algebra has no zero divisors and  $\sigma_a \neq \tau_a$  for all  $a \in I$ . Again, this is possible because then  $\delta_a(f_a) = \tau_a(f_a) - \sigma_a(f_a) \neq 0$  for some  $f_a \in \mathcal{A}$ . To obtain a strongly regular algebra, one takes for example, the algebra  $\mathbb{K}[x]$  of polynomials in one variable  $x$  and assumes that  $\sigma_a = \sigma$  with  $\sigma(p)(x) = p(x - h)$  for  $h \in \mathbb{K}$  and  $p(x) \in \mathbb{K}$  and assume that  $\tau_a = \tau$  with  $\tau(p)(x) = p(x)$ . In this case each pair  $(\sigma_a, \tau_a)$  is strongly regular in  $\mathbb{K}[x]$ .

Let us now recall the definition of modules over  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebras.

**Definition 4.5.** Let  $\Sigma = (\mathcal{A}, \{X_a\}_{a \in I})$  be a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebra. A left  $\Sigma$ -module  $(M, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$  is a left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $M$  together with  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear maps  $\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a : M \rightarrow M$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\sigma}_a(fm) &= \sigma_a(f)\hat{\sigma}(m) \\ \hat{\tau}_a(fm) &= \tau_a(f)\hat{\tau}_a(m)\end{aligned}$$

for  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $m \in M$  and  $a \in I$ .

Similarly, one defines right  $\Sigma$ -modules as well as  $\Sigma$ -bimodules (cf. [AI22]). Let now us recall a few examples of  $\Sigma$ -modules.

**Example 4.6.** Let  $\Sigma = (\mathcal{A}, \{X_a\}_{a \in I})$  be a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebra and let  $\mathcal{A}^n$  be a free left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module with basis  $\{e_i\}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . One introduces a canonical  $\Sigma$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{A}^n$  by setting

$$\hat{\sigma}_a^0(m) = \sigma_a(m^i)e_i, \quad \hat{\tau}_a^0(m) = \tau_a(m^i)e_i$$

where  $m = m^i e_i \in \mathcal{A}^n$  (summation over  $i$  from 1 to  $n$  is implied). Now, let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $m \in \mathcal{A}^n$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\sigma}_a^0(fm) &= \sigma_a(fm^i)e_i = \sigma_a(f)\sigma_a(m^i)e_i = \sigma_a(f)\hat{\sigma}_a^0(m) \\ \hat{\tau}_a^0(fm) &= \tau_a(fm^i)e_i = \tau_a(f)\tau_a(m^i)e_i = \tau_a(f)\hat{\tau}_a^0(m),\end{aligned}$$

showing that  $(\mathcal{A}^n, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a^0, \hat{\tau}_a^0)\}_{a \in I})$  is a left  $\Sigma$ -module.

The example above is an example of a free  $\Sigma$ -module. Let us now look an example of a  $\Sigma$ -module which is not free.

**Example 4.7.** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C})$ , the algebra of complex  $(N \times N)$ -matrices and let  $\Sigma = (\mathcal{A}, \{X_a\}_{a=1}^n)$  where  $X_a : \text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C})$  is given by

$$X_a(A) = A - \sigma_a(A)$$

with endomorphism  $\sigma_a(A) = U_a A U_a^{-1}$  for  $A \in \text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C})$  and some complex  $(N \times N)$ -matrices  $U_a$  such that  $[U_a, U_b] = 0$  for  $a, b = 1, \dots, n$ . Then  $X_a$  is a  $(\sigma_a, \text{Id})$ -derivation and  $(\text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C}), \{(\sigma_a, \text{Id})\}_{a=1}^n)$  is a free  $\Sigma$ -module.

According to [AI22], applying an appropriate projector to any  $\Sigma$ -module, one obtains a projective  $\Sigma$ -module. Let  $p = v_0 v_0^\dagger$  for  $v_0 \in \mathbb{C}^N$  with  $|v_0| = 1$  be the projector. Then  $(\text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C})p, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a=1}^n)$ , with  $\hat{\sigma}_a(A) = \sigma_a(A)p$  and  $\hat{\tau}_a(A) = Ap$ , is a projective  $\Sigma$ -module and one notes that  $\text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C})p$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^N$ , which is not a free left  $\text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C})$ -module.

Now, let us recall the definition of  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connections on  $\Sigma$ -modules.

**Definition 4.8.** Let  $\Sigma = (\mathcal{A}, \{X_a\}_{a \in I})$  be a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebra and let  $(M, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$  be a left  $\Sigma$ -module. A left  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connection on  $M$  is a map  $\nabla : T\Sigma \times M \rightarrow M$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_X(m_1 + m_2) &= \nabla_X m_1 + \nabla_X m_2 \\ \nabla_X(\lambda m) &= \lambda \nabla_X m \\ \nabla_{X+Y} m &= \nabla_X m + \nabla_Y m \\ \nabla_{\lambda X} m &= \lambda \nabla_X m \\ \nabla_{X_a}(fm) &= \sigma_a(f)\nabla_{X_a} m + X_a(f)\hat{\tau}_a(m)\end{aligned}$$

for all  $X, Y \in T\Sigma$ ,  $m, m_1, m_2 \in M$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $a \in I$ .

Analogously, a right  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connection on a right  $\Sigma$ -module  $(M, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$  satisfies

$$\nabla_{X_a}(mf) = \hat{\sigma}_a(m)X_a(f) + (\nabla_{X_a}m)\tau_a(f),$$

in addition to the linearity properties. Moreover, if  $M$  is a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -bimodule and  $\nabla$  is a left  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connection, as well as a right  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connection, we say that  $\nabla$  is a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -bimodule connection (cf. [AI22]).

We would like to introduce a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connection involving symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations. Since symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations satisfy two Leibniz rules and one thinks of  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connections as covariant derivatives in the direction of the  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation, it is natural to have a notion of symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connection satisfying two Leibniz rules in correspondence to those of the symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations. In the following, we define symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connections and discuss such connections on (strongly) regular and symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebras.

**Definition 4.9.** Let  $\Sigma = (\mathcal{A}, \{X_a\}_{a \in I})$  be a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebra and let  $(M, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$  be a left  $\Sigma$ -module. A left  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connection on  $M$  is called *symmetric* if

$$(4.1) \quad \nabla_{X_a}(fm) = \tau_a(f)\nabla_{X_a}m + X_a(f)\hat{\sigma}_a(m)$$

for all  $a \in I$  and  $m \in M$ .

On  $\Sigma$ -bimodules, it is easy to construct connections corresponding to inner  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations.

**Proposition 4.10.** Let  $\Sigma = (\mathcal{A}, \{X_a\}_{a \in I})$  be a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebra such that  $X_a$  is an inner  $(\sigma_a, \tau_a)$ -derivation for all  $a \in I$ ; i.e there exist  $\{f_a\}_{a \in I} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  such that

$$X_a(f) = f_a\tau_a(f) - \sigma_a(f)f_a$$

for  $a \in I$ . If  $(M, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$  is a  $\Sigma$ -bimodule then

$$\nabla_{X_a}(m) = f_a\hat{\tau}_a(m) - \hat{\sigma}_a(m)f_a$$

defines a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -bimodule connection on  $(M, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to show linearity in  $T\Sigma$  and  $M$  is satisfied. Let us show that  $\nabla$  satisfies both the left and the right twisted Leibniz rule. For  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $m \in M$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{X_a}(fm) &= f_a\hat{\tau}_a(fm) - \hat{\sigma}_a(fm)f_a = f_a\tau_a(f)\hat{\tau}_a(m) - \sigma_a(f)\hat{\sigma}_a(m)f_a \\ &= \sigma_a(f)f_a\hat{\tau}_a(m) - \sigma_a(f)\hat{\sigma}_a(m)f_a + f_a\tau_a(f)\hat{\tau}_a(m) - \sigma_a(f)f_a\hat{\tau}_a(m) \\ &= \sigma_a(f)(f_a\hat{\tau}_a(m) - \hat{\sigma}_a(m)f_a) + (f_a\tau_a(f) - \sigma_a(f)f_a)\hat{\tau}_a(m) \\ &= \sigma_a(f)\nabla_{X_a}(m) + X_a(f)\hat{\tau}_a(m), \end{aligned}$$

showing that  $\nabla$  satisfies the left twisted Leibniz rule. In a similar manner, Let us check that  $\nabla$  satisfies the right twisted Leibniz rule. One has

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{X_a}(mf) &= f_a\hat{\tau}_a(mf) - \hat{\sigma}_a(mf)f_a = f_a\hat{\tau}_a(m)\tau_a(f) - \hat{\sigma}_a(m)\sigma_a(f)f_a \\ &= \hat{\sigma}_a(m)f_a\tau_a(f) - \hat{\sigma}_a(m)\sigma_a(f)f_a + f_a\hat{\tau}_a(m)\tau_a(f) - \hat{\sigma}_a(m)f_a\tau_a(f) \\ &= \hat{\sigma}_a(m)(f_a\tau_a(f) - \sigma_a(f)f_a) + (f_a\hat{\tau}_a(m) - \hat{\sigma}_a(m)f_a)\tau_a(f) \\ &= \hat{\sigma}_a(m)X_a(f) + \nabla_{X_a}(m)\tau_a(f). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Now, let  $(\mathcal{A}, \{X_a\}_{a \in I})$  be a symmetric strongly regular  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebra; it follows from Proposition 2.8 that there exists  $\{f_a\}_{a \in I} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  such that  $[f_a, \sigma_a(f)] = [f_a, \tau_a(f)] = 0$  and

$$X_a(f) = f_a \delta_a(f) = f_a (\tau_a(f) - \sigma_a(f))$$

for  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ . Given a (left)  $\Sigma$ -module  $(M, \{\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a\}_{a \in I})$  one can easily check that

$$\nabla_{X_a} m = f_a \hat{\delta}_a(m) = f_a (\hat{\tau}_a(m) - \hat{\sigma}_a(m))$$

defines a symmetric left  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connection on  $(M, \{\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a\}_{a \in I})$ . The following result states that this every symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connection on a strongly regular symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebra is of this form.

**Proposition 4.11.** *Let  $\Sigma = (\mathcal{A}, \{X_a\}_{a \in I})$  be a strongly regular symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebra and write*

$$X_a(f) = f_a \delta_a(f) = f_a (\tau_a(f) - \sigma_a(f))$$

for  $f_a \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $[f_a, \tau_a(f)] = [f_a, \sigma_a(f)] = 0$ . If  $\nabla$  is a (left) symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connection on a  $\Sigma$ -module  $(M, \{\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a\}_{a \in I})$  then

$$\nabla_{X_a}(m) = f_a \hat{\delta}_a(m) = f_a (\hat{\tau}(m) - \hat{\sigma}(m))$$

for  $m \in M$  and  $a \in I$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\nabla$  is assumed to be symmetric, one has

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \nabla_{X_a}(fm) - \nabla_{X_a}(fm) \\ &= \sigma_a(f) \nabla_{X_a} m + X_a(f) \hat{\tau}_a(m) - \tau_a(f) \nabla_{X_a} m - X_a(f) \hat{\sigma}_a(m) \\ &= (\sigma_a(f) - \tau_a(f)) \nabla_{X_a} m + X_a(f) (\hat{\tau}_a(m) - \hat{\sigma}_a(m)) \\ &= -\delta_a(f) \nabla_{X_a} m + X_a(f) \hat{\delta}_a(m). \end{aligned}$$

Using that  $X_a(f) = f_a \delta_a(f)$  together with  $[f_a, \delta_a(f)] = 0$ , it follows that

$$(4.2) \quad \delta_a(f) (\nabla_{X_a} m - f_a \hat{\delta}_a(m)) = 0$$

for  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $m \in M$ . Since  $(\sigma, \tau)$  is a strongly regular pair, there exists  $g_a \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\delta(g_a)$  is invertible. Thus, setting  $f = g_a$  in (4.2) gives

$$\nabla_{X_a} m = f_a \hat{\delta}_a(m)$$

for  $m \in M$ . □

Let us summarize these results in the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.12.** *Let  $\Sigma = (\mathcal{A}, \{X_a\}_{a \in I})$  be a strongly regular symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebra and let  $(M, \{\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a\}_{a \in I})$  be a left  $\Sigma$ -module. Then there exists a unique symmetric left  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connection on  $(M, \{\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a\}_{a \in I})$ .*

## 5. CURVATURE

Curvature of  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connections was defined in [AI22]. In this Section, we explore the possibility of curvatures of symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connections to satisfy a twisted linearity condition. In classical differential geometry, curvature of connections are discussed with reference to the Lie-algebra structure induced by vector fields on a manifold. In the case of  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connections, the curvature is discussed with reference to the  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Lie algebra structure. Let us recall a few definitions.

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $\Sigma = (\mathcal{A}, \{X_a\}_{a \in I})$  be a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebra and let  $T\Sigma$  denote the complex vector space generated by  $\{X_a\}_{a \in I}$ . Given a  $\mathbb{C}$ -bilinear map  $R : T\Sigma \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} T\Sigma \rightarrow T\Sigma \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} T\Sigma$ , we say that  $(T\Sigma, R)$  is a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Lie algebra if

- (1)  $R^2 = id_{T\Sigma \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} T\Sigma}$ ,
- (2)  $m(X_a \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} X_b - R(X_a \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} X_b)) \in T\Sigma$ ,

for all  $a, b \in I$ , where  $m : T\Sigma \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} T\Sigma \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(A)$  denotes the composition map given by  $m(X_a \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} X_b) = X_a \circ X_b$ .

Given a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Lie algebra  $(T\Sigma, R)$  one introduces the bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]_R : T\Sigma \times T\Sigma \rightarrow T\Sigma$  as

$$[X, Y]_R = m(X_a \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} X_b - R(X_a \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} X_b))$$

for  $X, Y \in T\Sigma$ . Moreover, if  $I$  is a finite set, one introduces the components of  $R$ , as well as structure constants  $C_{ab}^p \in \mathbb{C}$ , as

$$[X_a, X_b]_R = R_{ab}^{pq} X_p \otimes X_q = C_{ab}^p X_p$$

(where summation over repeated indices is implied). Now, let us recall the definition of curvature with respect to a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Lie algebra structure on  $T\Sigma$ .

**Definition 5.2.** Let  $\Sigma = (\mathcal{A}, \{X_a\}_{a \in I})$  be a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebra, such that  $(T\Sigma, R)$  is a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Lie algebra, and let  $(M, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$  be a  $\Sigma$ -module. Given a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connection  $\nabla$ , the curvature of  $\nabla$  is defined as

$$\text{Curv}(X, Y)n = m_{\nabla}(X \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} Y - R(X \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} Y))n - \nabla_{[X, Y]_R} n$$

for  $n \in M$  and  $X, Y \in T\Sigma$ , where  $m_{\nabla}(X \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} Y) = \nabla_X \circ \nabla_Y$ .

In components, the curvature can be written as

$$\text{Curv}(X_a, X_b) = \nabla_{X_a} \circ \nabla_{X_b} - R_{ab}^{pq} \nabla_{X_p} \circ \nabla_{X_q} - C_{ab}^p \nabla_{X_p}.$$

Let us now discuss the linearity properties of curvature of  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connections. In general, the curvature of  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connections does not satisfy any linearity property. The linearity property of curvature can be satisfied depending on how the map  $R$  on the  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Lie algebra is defined, how the endomorphisms  $\sigma_a$ 's and  $\tau_a$ 's on the algebra interact and how the maps  $\hat{\tau}_a$ 's on the  $\Sigma$ -module interact with the connection. For example, for

$f \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $m \in M$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Curv}(X_a, X_b)(fm) &= \nabla_{X_a}(\nabla_{X_b}(fm)) - R_{ab}^{pq} \nabla_{X_p}(\nabla_{X_q}(fm)) - C_{ab}^p \nabla_{X_p}(fm) \\
&= \nabla_{X_a}(\sigma_b(f) \nabla_{X_b}(m) + X_b(f) \hat{\tau}_b(m)) - R_{ab}^{pq} \nabla_{X_p}(\sigma_q(f) \nabla_{X_q}(m) \\
&\quad + X_q(f) \hat{\tau}_q(m)) - C_{ab}^p (\sigma_p(f) \nabla_{X_p}(m) + X_p(f) \hat{\tau}_p(m)) \\
&= \sigma_a(\sigma_b(f)) \nabla_{X_a}(\nabla_{X_b}(m)) + X_a(\sigma_b(f)) \hat{\tau}_a(\nabla_{X_b}(m)) \\
&\quad + \sigma_a(X_b(f)) \nabla_{X_a}(\hat{\tau}_a(m)) + X_a(X_b(f)) \hat{\tau}_a(\hat{\tau}_b(m)) \\
&\quad - R_{ab}^{pq} (\sigma_p(\sigma_q(f)) \nabla_{X_p}(\nabla_{X_q}(m)) + X_p(\sigma_q(f)) \hat{\tau}_p(\nabla_{X_q}(m))) \\
&\quad - R_{ab}^{pq} (\sigma_p(X_q(f)) + X_p(X_q(f)) \hat{\tau}_p(\hat{\tau}_q(m))) \\
&\quad - C_{ab}^p (\sigma_p(f) \nabla_{X_p}(m) + X_p(f) \hat{\tau}_p(m)).
\end{aligned}$$

Despite that the curvature of a general  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connection potrays no linearity property in general, for specific  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Lie algebra or specific  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connections, the curvature can satisfy a linearity property. For example in [AI22], the curvature of a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connection on a matrix algebra satisfies a certain twisted linearity property. To this effect, let us consider curvature of a general  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connection with reference to a specific  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Lie algebra. Assume that

$$R_{ab}^{pq} = \delta_a^q \delta_b^p = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a = q, b = p, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and  $C_{ab}^p = 0$  for all  $a, b \in I$ . Then the bracket on the generators of  $(T\Sigma, R)$  is

$$(5.1) \quad [X_a, X_b]_R = X_a \circ X_b - X_b \circ X_a = 0,$$

implying that the curvature of a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connection  $\nabla$  can now be written as

$$\text{Curv}(X_a, X_b) = \nabla_{X_a} \circ \nabla_{X_b} - \nabla_{X_b} \circ \nabla_{X_a}.$$

**Proposition 5.3.** *Let  $\Sigma = (\mathcal{A}, \{X_a\}_{a \in I})$  be a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebra such that  $(T\Sigma, R)$  is the  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Lie algebra with bracket*

$$[X_a, X_b]_R = X_a \circ X_b - X_b \circ X_a = 0$$

and let  $(M, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\tau}_a)\}_{a \in I})$  be a left  $\Sigma$ -module. If  $\nabla : T\Sigma \times M \rightarrow M$  is a left  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connection and

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} [\sigma_a, \sigma_b] &= 0 = [\sigma_a, X_b], \\ [\hat{\tau}_a, \hat{\tau}_b] &= 0 = [\hat{\tau}_a, \nabla_{X_b}] \end{aligned}$$

for all  $a, b \in I$ , then

$$(5.3) \quad \text{Curv}(X_a, X_b)(fm) = \sigma_a(\sigma_b(f)) \text{Curv}(X_a, X_b)m$$

for  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $m \in M$ .

*Proof.* Since the  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Lie algebra bracket is given by (5.1), the curvature is written as

$$\text{Curv}(X_a, X_b) = \nabla_{X_a} \circ \nabla_{X_b} - \nabla_{X_b} \circ \nabla_{X_a}.$$



Now, for  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $m \in M$ , and applying the commutation relations (5.2), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Curv}(X_a, X_b)(fm) &= \nabla_{X_a}(\nabla_{X_b}(fm)) - \nabla_{X_b}(\nabla_{X_a}(fm)) \\
&= \nabla_{X_a}(\sigma_b(f)\nabla_{X_b}(m) + X_b(f)\hat{\tau}_b(m)) - \nabla_{X_b}(\sigma_a(f)\nabla_{X_a}(m) + X_a(f)\hat{\tau}_a(m)) \\
&= \sigma_a(\sigma_b(f))\nabla_{X_a}(\nabla_{X_b}(m)) + X_a(\sigma_b(f))\hat{\tau}_a(\nabla_{X_b}(m)) + \sigma_a(X_b(f))\nabla_{X_a}(\hat{\tau}_b(m)) \\
&\quad + X_a(X_b(f))\hat{\tau}_a(\hat{\tau}_b(m)) \\
&\quad - \sigma_b(\sigma_a(f))\nabla_{X_b}(\nabla_{X_a}(m)) - X_b(\sigma_a(f))\hat{\tau}_b(\nabla_{X_a}(m)) - \sigma_b(X_a(f))\nabla_{X_b}(\hat{\tau}_a(m)) \\
&\quad - X_b(X_a(f))\hat{\tau}_b(\hat{\tau}_a(m)) \\
&= \sigma_a(\sigma_b(f))(\nabla_{X_a}(\nabla_{X_b}(m)) - \nabla_{X_b}(\nabla_{X_a}(m))) \\
&= (\sigma_a \circ \sigma_b)(f)\text{Curv}(X_a, X_b)m,
\end{aligned}$$

showing the twisted linearity property.  $\square$

From the Proposition above, not all left  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connections have a curvature that satisfies the twisted linearity property (5.3). The commutation relations (5.2) of Proposition 5.3 restricts the left  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connections to be considered due to that the connection is required to commute with the maps  $\hat{\tau}_a$  for all  $a$ , i.e.,  $[\hat{\tau}_a, \nabla_{X_b}] = 0$  for all  $a, b$ . In this Proposition, we would like curvature to satisfy the twisted linearity property (5.3) without much restrictions on the left  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connection. However, this may impose additional conditions on the endomorphisms and the  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations. Let us take for example, the left  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connection given by  $\nabla_{X_a}(e_i) = \gamma_{ai}^k e_k$  with  $\gamma_{ai}^k \in \mathcal{A}$ , defined on the free  $\Sigma$ -module of Example 4.6. One has

$$\begin{aligned}
[\hat{\tau}_a, \nabla_{X_b}](m^i e_i) &= \hat{\tau}_a(\nabla_{X_b}(m^i e_i)) - \nabla_{X_b}(\tau_a(m^i) e_i) \\
&= \tau_a(\sigma_b(m^i))\tau_a(\gamma_{bi}^k) e_k + \tau_a(X_b(m^i))e_i - \sigma_b(\tau_a(m^i))\gamma_{bi}^k e_k - X_b(\tau_a(m^i))e_i \\
&= (\tau_a(\sigma_b(m^i))\tau_a(\gamma_{bi}^k) - \sigma_b(\tau_a(m^i))\gamma_{bi}^k + \tau_a(X_b(m^i)) - X_b(\tau_a(m^i)))e_k,
\end{aligned}$$

which does not equal zero naturally without imposing further conditions. In this example, one may choose the additional condition  $[\tau_a, \sigma_b] = 0 = [\tau_a, X_b]$  and set  $\tau_a(\gamma_{bi}^k) = \gamma_{bi}^k$ , for all  $a, b$ . To stick to the conditions in Proposition 5.3 and to the additional conditions imposed by the example above, it is natural to choose  $\tau_a = \text{Id}$  to get a curvature that satisfies the twisted linearity property. In the following Corollary, the curvature of all left  $(\sigma, \text{Id})$ -connections for the said  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Lie algebra satisfies the twisted linearity property.

**Corollary 5.4.** *Let  $\Sigma = (\mathcal{A}, \{X_a\}_{a \in I})$  be a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebra such that  $(T\Sigma, R)$  is a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Lie algebra with bracket*

$$[X_a, X_b]_R = X_a \circ X_b - X_b \circ X_a = 0$$

such that  $X_a$  is a  $(\sigma_a, \text{Id})$ -derivation satisfying

$$(5.4) \quad [\sigma_a, \sigma_b] = 0 = [\sigma_a, X_b]$$

for  $a, b \in I$ . Then it follows that the curvature of all left  $(\sigma, \text{Id})$ -connections on the  $\Sigma$ -module  $(M, \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \text{Id})\}_{a \in I})$  satisfy the twisted linearity property

$$\text{Curv}(X_a, X_b)(fm) = \sigma_a(\sigma_b(f))\text{Curv}(X_a, X_b)m.$$

for  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $m \in M$

Let us now discuss the curvature of the unique symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connection of Proposition 4.11. Recall that the unique connection  $\nabla_{X_a}(m) = f_a \hat{\delta}_a(m)$  is defined on a strongly regular symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebra  $(\mathcal{A}, \{X_a\}_{a \in I})$  where  $X_a = f_a \delta_a$  are inner  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations for  $a \in I$ . Choosing  $\hat{\sigma}_a = \hat{\tau}_a = \text{Id}$  leaves the connection trivial. We would like to focus on the special case when  $\hat{\sigma}_a \neq \hat{\tau}_a = \text{Id}$  and  $f_a \in \mathbb{C}$ , for  $a \in I$ . To this end, let  $\Sigma = (\mathcal{A}, \{X_a\}_{a \in I})$  be the strongly regular symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebra where  $X_a = f_a \delta_a = f_a(\text{Id} - \sigma_a)$  for  $f_a \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $a \in I$ . In this case the vector space  $T\Sigma$  is spanned by  $\{\delta_a\}_{a \in I}$ . Define  $R : T\Sigma \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} T\Sigma \rightarrow T\Sigma \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} T\Sigma$  by

$$R(X_a \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} X_b) = R(f_a \delta_a \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} f_b \delta_b) = f_b f_a (\delta_b \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \delta_a)$$

implying that  $R^2 = \text{Id}$ . Assuming that  $[\sigma_a, \sigma_b] = 0$ , one has

$$[X_a, X_b]_R = m(X_a \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} X_b - R(X_a \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} X_b)) = f_a f_b (\delta_a \circ \delta_b - \delta_b \circ \delta_a) = 0,$$

showing that the bracket,  $[X_a, X_b]_R = 0$ , on the  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Lie algebra  $(T\Sigma, R)$ . Let us compute the curvature of the unique connection for the  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebra described above. Since  $[X_a, X_b]_R = 0$ , then  $\nabla_{[X_a, X_b]_R}(m) = 0$  for  $m \in M$  and  $X_a, X_b \in T\Sigma$ , and the curvature of the unique connection is

$$\text{Curv}(X_a, X_b)m = f_a f_b (\hat{\delta}_a \circ \hat{\delta}_b - \hat{\delta}_b \circ \hat{\delta}_a)(m).$$

We remark that the curvature above is not zero in general because  $[\sigma_a, \sigma_b] = 0$  does not imply  $[\hat{\sigma}_a, \hat{\sigma}_b] = 0$ . Given Corollary 5.4, one checks that the commutation relations (5.4) are satisfied on the  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebra and since the bracket  $[X_a, X_b]_R = 0$ , one concludes that the unique  $(\sigma, \text{Id})$ -connection satisfies the twisted linearity condition (5.3).

Let us now review the example of a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connection over the matrix algebra  $\text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C})$ , constructed in [AI22], and relate it to symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connections.

Consider the matrix algebra  $\text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C})$  and choose invertible  $(N \times N)$ -matrices  $U_a$ , for  $a = 1, \dots, n$  such that  $[U_a, U_b] = 0$  for all  $a, b$ . Define endomorphisms  $\sigma_a : \text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C})$  by

$$\sigma_a(A) = U_a A U_a^{-1}$$

and symmetric (inner)  $(\sigma_a, \text{Id})$ -derivations  $X_a : \text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C})$  by

$$X_a(A) = A - U_a A U_a^{-1}$$

for  $A \in \text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C})$  and  $a = 1, \dots, n$ . As described in [AI22],  $\Sigma = (\text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C}), \{X_a\}_{a=1}^n)$  is a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebra and  $(T\Sigma, R)$ , with  $R(X \otimes Y) = Y \otimes X$ , is a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Lie algebra such that

$$\begin{aligned} (X_a \circ X_b)(A) &= X_a(X_b(A)) = X_a(A - U_b A U_b^{-1}) \\ &= (A - U_b A U_b^{-1}) - U_a (A - U_b A U_b^{-1}) U_a^{-1} \\ &= (A - U_a A U_a^{-1}) - U_b (A - U_a A U_a^{-1}) U_b^{-1} \\ &= X_b(A - U_a A U_a^{-1}) = (X_b \circ X_a)(A) \end{aligned}$$

showing that  $[X_a, X_b]_R = 0$ .

Since  $\text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C})$  is a free module over itself and generated by the identity matrix  $\mathbb{1}$ , then  $(\text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C}), \{(\sigma_a, \text{Id})\}_{a=1}^n)$  is a free  $\Sigma$ -module. On this  $\Sigma$ -module, one can construct

a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connection by setting  $\nabla_{X_a}(\mathbb{1}) = \tilde{\Gamma}_a$  and  $\Gamma = \mathbb{1} - \tilde{\Gamma}_a$  where  $\tilde{\Gamma}_a \in \text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C})$  for  $a = 1, \dots, n$ . This connection is explicitly given by

$$(5.5) \quad \nabla_{X_a}(A) = A - U_a A U_a^{-1} \Gamma_a.$$

Let us show that the left  $(\sigma, \text{Id})$ -connection (5.5) is a symmetric left  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connection. Let us take the same  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebra  $\Sigma = (\text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C}), \{X_a\}_{a \in I})$  and the same free module  $\text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C})$ . Now define the maps  $\hat{\sigma}_a : \text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C})$  by  $\hat{\sigma}_a(A) = U_a A U_a^{-1} \Gamma_a$  for  $a = 1, \dots, n$ . Then  $(\text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C}), \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \text{Id})\}_{a=1}^n)$  is a left  $\Sigma$ -module. Define the  $(\sigma, \text{Id})$ -connection (5.5), on this new left  $\Sigma$ -module  $(\text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C}), \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \text{Id})\}_{a=1}^n)$ . Since (5.5) is a left  $(\sigma, \text{Id})$ -connection, it suffices to show that it satisfies (4.1). Let  $A, B \in \text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C})$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{X_a}(AB) &= AB - U_a A B U_a^{-1} \Gamma_a \\ &= AB - A U_a B U_a^{-1} \Gamma_a + A U_a B U_a^{-1} \Gamma_a - U_a A U_a^{-1} U_a B U_a^{-1} \Gamma_a \\ &= A(B - U_a B U_a^{-1} \Gamma_a) + (A - U_a A U_a^{-1}) U_a B U_a^{-1} \Gamma_a \\ &= A \nabla_{X_a}(B) + X_a(A) \hat{\sigma}_a(B), \end{aligned}$$

showing that (5.5) is a symmetric left  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connection on  $(\text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C}), \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \text{Id})\}_{a=1}^n)$ . It is shown in [AI22] that the curvature of this connection satisfies the twisted linearity condition (5.3). Since the bracket on  $(T\Sigma, R)$  is zero, i.e.,  $[X_a, X_b]_R = 0$  for  $X_a, X_b \in T\Sigma$ , the curvature of any  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connection on  $(\text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C}), \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \text{Id})\}_{a=1}^n)$  is given as

$$\text{Curv}(X_a, X_b) = \nabla_{X_a} \circ \nabla_{X_b} - \nabla_{X_b} \circ \nabla_{X_a}.$$

Explicitly, the curvature of the  $(\sigma, \text{Id})$ -connection (5.5) is

$$\text{Curv}(X_a, X_b)A = U_a U_b A [U_b^{-1} \Gamma_b, U_a^{-1} \Gamma_a]$$

for  $A \in \text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C})$ . We would like to verify that the commutation relations (5.2) is satisfied in this case. Since  $\hat{\tau}_a = \tau_a = \text{Id}$ , the two commutation relations  $[\hat{\tau}_a, \hat{\tau}_b] = 0$  and  $[\hat{\tau}_a, \nabla_{X_b}] = 0$  are already satisfied. One needs to verify  $[\sigma_a, \sigma_b] = 0$  and  $[\sigma_a, X_b] = 0$ . Let  $A \in \text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C})$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} [\sigma_a, \sigma_b](A) &= \sigma_a(\sigma_b(A)) - \sigma_b(\sigma_a(A)) = \sigma_a(U_b A U_b^{-1}) - \sigma_b(U_a A U_a^{-1}) \\ &= U_a U_b A U_b^{-1} U_a^{-1} - U_b U_a A U_a^{-1} U_b^{-1} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [\sigma_a, X_b](A) &= \sigma_a(X_b(A)) - X_b(\sigma_a(A)) = \sigma_a(A - U_b A U_b^{-1}) - X_b(U_a A U_a^{-1}) \\ &= U_a(A - U_b A U_b^{-1}) U_a^{-1} - U_a A U_a^{-1} + U_b(U_a A U_a^{-1}) U_b^{-1} \\ &= U_a A U_a^{-1} - U_a U_b A U_b^{-1} U_a^{-1} - U_a A U_a^{-1} + U_b U_a A U_a^{-1} U_b^{-1} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

(using that  $[U_a, U_b] = 0$ ) showing that the commutation relations (5.2) are satisfied. Therefore, by Corollary 5.4, we have verified that the left symmetric  $(\sigma, \text{Id})$ -connection (5.5) defined on the  $\Sigma$ -module  $(\text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C}), \{(\hat{\sigma}_a, \text{Id})\}_{a=1}^n)$  such that the relations (5.2) are satisfied and the bracket of the  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Lie algebra  $(T\Sigma, R)$  satisfies (5.1) has curvature satisfying the twisted linearity property (5.3).

6. COMMUTATIVE  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -ALGEBRAS

In this Section, we demonstrate that the notion of regular and strongly regular pairs on a commutative algebra can be used to construct modules of  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations on which curvature and torsion of some connections can be computed. Note that when  $\mathcal{A}$  is commutative,  $\text{Der}_{(\sigma, \tau)}(\mathcal{A})$  is an  $\mathcal{A}$ -module and it consist of symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations. For example, on a unique factorisation domain  $\mathcal{A}$ , the  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\text{Der}_{(\sigma, \tau)}(\mathcal{A})$  is free and of rank one with generator  $\frac{\delta}{g}$  where  $g$  is the greatest common divisor of the image of  $\delta$  [HLS06]. It is further shown in [HLS06] that every  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation is of the form  $X(f) = f_0 \frac{\delta(f)}{g}$ . Let us recall these results.

**Theorem 6.1** ([HLS06]). *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a unique factorization domain and let  $\sigma, \tau \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$  such that  $\sigma \neq \tau$ . Then  $\text{Der}_{(\sigma, \tau)}(\mathcal{A})$  is a free  $\mathcal{A}$ -module of rank one generated by*

$$(6.1) \quad \Delta = \frac{(\tau - \sigma)}{g} : x \mapsto \frac{(\tau - \sigma)(x)}{g}$$

where  $g = \text{gcd}((\tau - \sigma)(\mathcal{A}))$ .

Note that the  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation  $\Delta$  in (6.1) is not necessarily an inner  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation when  $g$  is not invertible in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Now, let us try to understand what happens on a commutative algebra that is not a unique factorization domain. Let us start by showing that in case there is a common divisor, then a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation has to have a similar form as in (6.1).

**Proposition 6.2.** *Let  $X$  be a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation on a commutative algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . If there exists  $g_0 \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\delta(g_0)$  divides  $\delta(f)X(g_0)$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ , then*

$$X(f) = \frac{X(g_0)\delta(f)}{\delta(g_0)}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $X$  is a symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation, Lemma 2.7 implies that

$$X(f)\delta(g) = \delta(f)X(g)$$

for  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ . Now, let  $g_0 \in \mathcal{A}$  be such that  $\delta(g_0)$  divides  $X(g_0)\delta(f)$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ , one has

$$\frac{X(f)\delta(g_0)}{\delta(g_0)} = \frac{X(g_0)\delta(f)}{\delta(g_0)} \Rightarrow X(f) = \frac{X(g_0)\delta(f)}{\delta(g_0)},$$

as required.  $\square$

The existence of a common divisor is in fact a characterization of inner  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations, as the next result shows.

**Corollary 6.3.** *Let  $X$  be a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation on a commutative algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $X$  is inner if and only if there exist  $g_0 \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\delta(g_0)$  divides  $X(g_0)$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that there exist  $g_0 \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\delta(g_0)$  divides  $X(g_0)$ , then  $\delta(g_0)$  divides  $X(g_0)\delta(f)$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  and by Proposition 6.2,

$$X(f) = \frac{X(g_0)\delta(f)}{\delta(g_0)}.$$

Since  $\delta(g_0)$  divides  $X(g_0)$  let  $P_0$  be such that  $X(g_0) = P_0\delta(g_0)$ , one has

$$X(f) = \frac{X(g_0)\delta(f)}{\delta(g_0)} = \frac{P_0\delta(g_0)\delta(f)}{\delta(g_0)} = P_0\delta(f),$$

showing that  $X$  is inner. Conversely, assume that  $X$  is inner. Then, by definition,  $\delta(f)$  divides  $X(f)$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ . Thus there exist  $g_0 \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\delta(g_0)$  divides  $X(g_0)$ .  $\square$

Let us look at how Proposition 6.2 applies to  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations on the polynomial algebra  $\mathbb{K}[x]$  (which is a unique factorization domain, implying that Theorem 6.1 may also be applied). Assume that  $\sigma(p)(x) = p(qx)$  and  $\tau(p)(x) = p(x)$  for  $p(x) \in \mathbb{K}[x]$  and  $q \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ . One has

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(p) &= \tau\left(\sum_{i=0}^N a_i x^i\right) - \sigma\left(\sum_{i=0}^N a_i x^i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^N (1 - q^i) a_i x^i \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} ((1 + q + \cdots + q^k) a_{k+1} x^k) (1 - q)x = r(x)(1 - q)x, \end{aligned}$$

implying that  $(1 - q)x$  is the greatest common divisor of  $\delta(\mathbb{K}[x])$ . From Proposition 6.2, setting  $g_0 = x$ , one has  $\delta(x) = (1 - q)x$  and it follows that any  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation  $X$  on  $\mathbb{K}[x]$  is of the form

$$(6.2) \quad X = p(x) \frac{\delta}{(1 - q)x}$$

for  $p(x) \in \mathbb{K}[x]$  determined by  $X(x)$ . From Corollary 6.3 it follows that the derivation  $X$  above is inner if and only if  $p(x)$  is divisible by  $x$ , that is if  $p(x)$  has no constant term. In other words, if  $p(x)$  has a constant term, then the derivation  $X$  in (6.2) is an outer derivation. Furthermore, this implies that the Jackson derivative, defined in (2.3), is an outer  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation on  $\mathbb{K}[x]$  since  $p(x) = 1$  in that case.

Let us now consider a commutative algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  (not necessarily a unique factorization domain) and let  $\hat{g} \in \mathcal{A}$  be a common divisor of  $\delta(\mathcal{A})$ ; i.e.  $\hat{g}$  divides  $\delta(f)$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ . For each  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ , define a linear map  $X_f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  by

$$X_f(a) = f \frac{\delta(a)}{\hat{g}}$$

for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . One has

$$\begin{aligned} X_f(ab) &= f \frac{\delta(ab)}{\hat{g}} = f \frac{\sigma(a)\delta(b) + \delta(a)\tau(b)}{\hat{g}} = \sigma(a) f \frac{\delta(b)}{\hat{g}} + f \frac{\delta(a)}{\hat{g}} \tau(b) \\ &= \sigma(a) X_f(b) + X_f(a) \tau(b), \end{aligned}$$

showing that  $X_f$  is a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation. Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is commutative,  $X_f$  is symmetric. In analogy with Theorem 6.1, let us show that if the common divisor actually lies in the image of  $\delta$  and  $(\sigma, \tau)$  is a regular pair, then every  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation is of this form.

**Proposition 6.4.** *Let  $(\sigma, \tau)$  be a regular pair of endomorphisms on a commutative algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $\hat{g}$  is a common divisor of  $\text{Im}(\delta)$  such that  $\hat{g} \in \text{Im}(\delta)$  then  $\text{Der}_{(\sigma, \tau)}(\mathcal{A})$  is a free module of rank one with basis  $\delta/\hat{g}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $g_0 \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\delta(g_0) = \hat{g}$ . Lemma 2.7 implies that

$$X(f)\hat{g} = \delta(f)X(g_0) \quad \Rightarrow \quad X(f) = X(g_0)\frac{\delta(f)}{\hat{g}}$$

since  $\hat{g}$  is a common divisor of the image of  $\delta$ , which shows that  $\delta/\hat{g}$  generates  $\text{Der}_{(\sigma,\tau)}(\mathcal{A})$ . Now, assuming  $f_0\delta(f)/\hat{g} = 0$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  implies that  $f_0\delta(f) = 0$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  which gives  $f_0 = 0$  since  $(\sigma, \tau)$  is assumed to be a regular pair. Hence,  $\delta/\hat{g}$  is a basis for  $\text{Der}_{(\sigma,\tau)}(\mathcal{A})$ .  $\square$

The set  $M_{\hat{g}} = \{f\frac{\delta}{\hat{g}} : f \in \mathcal{A}\}$  is a collection of symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations of  $\mathcal{A}$  and the pair  $(\mathcal{A}, M_{\hat{g}})$  is a symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebra. Let  $\Sigma_{\hat{g}} = (\mathcal{A}, M_{\hat{g}})$  and let  $T\Sigma_{\hat{g}}$  be the tangent space generated by  $M_{\hat{g}}$ . It is easy to see that  $T\Sigma_{\hat{g}} = M_{\hat{g}}$  since

$$X_f + X_g = (f + g)\frac{\delta}{\hat{g}} = X_{f+g}.$$

Moreover, the vector space  $T\Sigma_{\hat{g}}$  can be endowed with an  $\mathcal{A}$ -module structure by defining

$$f \cdot X_g = X_{fg}$$

for  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ . Indeed, if  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $X_g \in T\Sigma_{\hat{g}}$ , then one has

$$(f_1 + f_2) \cdot X_g = X_{(f_1+f_2)g} = X_{f_1g+f_2g} = X_{f_1g} + X_{f_2g} = f_1 \cdot X_g + f_2 \cdot X_g$$

and

$$f_1 \cdot (f_2 \cdot X_g) = f_1 \cdot X_{f_2g} = X_{f_1(f_2g)} = X_{(f_1f_2)g} = (f_1f_2) \cdot X_g,$$

as well as

$$f \cdot (X_{g_1} + X_{g_2}) = f \cdot X_{g_1+g_2} = X_{fg_1+fg_2} = f \cdot X_{g_1} + f \cdot X_{g_2}.$$

for  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Thus,  $T\Sigma_{\hat{g}}$  is an  $\mathcal{A}$ -module and it consists of  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations of  $\mathcal{A}$  and it is a submodule of the module of  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations  $\text{Der}_{(\sigma,\tau)}(\mathcal{A})$ . The next result shows that if  $(\sigma, \tau)$  is a regular pair, then  $\delta/\hat{g}$  is a basis for  $T\Sigma_{\hat{g}}$ .

**Proposition 6.5.** *Let  $(\sigma, \tau)$  be a regular pair of endomorphisms on a commutative algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  and let  $\Sigma_{\hat{g}} = (\mathcal{A}, M_{\hat{g}})$  where  $\hat{g}$  is a common divisor of  $\delta(\mathcal{A})$ . Then  $\frac{\delta}{\hat{g}}$  is a basis of  $T\Sigma_{\hat{g}}$ .*

*Proof.* We would like to show that if  $f\frac{\delta}{\hat{g}} = 0$  then  $f = 0$ . Since  $(\sigma, \tau)$  is a regular pair, there exist  $a_0 \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\delta(a_0)$  is not a zero divisor. Let us first show that if  $\delta(a_0)$  is not a zero divisor then  $\frac{\delta(a_0)}{\hat{g}}$  is not a zero divisor. For the sake of argument, assume that  $\frac{\delta(a_0)}{\hat{g}}$  is a zero divisor. Then there exist  $f_0 \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $f_0\frac{\delta(a_0)}{\hat{g}} = 0$ , which implies

$$(6.3) \quad \hat{g}f_0\frac{\delta(a_0)}{\hat{g}} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad f_0\delta(a_0) = 0$$

contradicting the fact that  $\delta(a_0)$  is not a zero divisor. Hence  $\frac{\delta(a_0)}{\hat{g}}$  is not a zero divisor. It follows that

$$f\frac{\delta(a)}{\hat{g}} = 0, \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{A} \quad \Rightarrow \quad f\frac{\delta(a_0)}{\hat{g}} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad f = 0,$$

showing that  $\frac{\delta}{g}$  is indeed a basis for  $T\Sigma_{\hat{g}}$ .  $\square$

Next, let us explore the possibility of defining a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Lie algebra structure on  $T\Sigma_{\hat{g}}$ .

**Proposition 6.6.** *Let  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$  and assume that  $(\sigma, \text{Id})$  is a regular pair of endomorphisms. If  $R : T\Sigma_{\hat{g}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} T\Sigma_{\hat{g}} \rightarrow T\Sigma_{\hat{g}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} T\Sigma_{\hat{g}}$  is defined by*

$$R(X_f \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} X_g) = X_{\sigma(g)} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} X_{\sigma^{-1}(f)}$$

for  $X_f, X_g \in T\Sigma_{\hat{g}}$  then  $(T\Sigma_{\hat{g}}, R)$  is a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Lie algebra.

*Proof.* Let  $m : T\Sigma_{\hat{g}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} T\Sigma_{\hat{g}} \rightarrow T\Sigma_{\hat{g}}$  denote the composition  $m(X_f \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} X_g) = X_f \circ X_g$ . To prove that  $(T\Sigma_{\hat{g}}, R)$  is a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Lie algebra, one needs to show that  $R^2 = \text{Id}_{T\Sigma_{\hat{g}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} T\Sigma_{\hat{g}}}$  and

$$(6.4) \quad m(X_f \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} X_g - R(X_f \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} X_g)) \in T\Sigma_{\hat{g}}.$$

Let us first prove that  $R^2 = \text{Id}_{T\Sigma_{\hat{g}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} T\Sigma_{\hat{g}}}$ . For  $X_f, X_g \in T\Sigma_{\hat{g}}$ , one has

$$R^2(X_f \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} X_g) = R(X_{\sigma(g)} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} X_{\sigma^{-1}(f)}) = X_{\sigma(\sigma^{-1}(f))} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} X_{\sigma^{-1}(\sigma(g))} = X_f \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} X_g.$$

To prove (6.4), note that

$$m(X_f \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} X_g)(a) = X_f \circ X_g(a) = fX_{\mathbb{1}}(gX_{\mathbb{1}}(a))$$

for  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . One has

$$\begin{aligned} m(X_f \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} X_g - R(X_f \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} X_g))(a) &= X_f \circ X_g(a) - X_{\sigma(g)} \circ X_{\sigma^{-1}(f)}(a) \\ &= fX_{\mathbb{1}}(gX_{\mathbb{1}}(a)) - \sigma(g)X_{\mathbb{1}}(\sigma^{-1}(f)X_{\mathbb{1}}(a)) = f\sigma(g)X_{\mathbb{1}}(X_{\mathbb{1}}(a)) + fX_{\mathbb{1}}(g)X_{\mathbb{1}}(a) \\ &\quad - \sigma(g)\sigma(\sigma^{-1}(f))X_{\mathbb{1}}(X_{\mathbb{1}}(a)) - \sigma(g)X_{\mathbb{1}}(\sigma^{-1}(f))X_{\mathbb{1}}(a) \\ &= fX_{\mathbb{1}}(g)X_{\mathbb{1}}(a) - \sigma(g)X_{\mathbb{1}}(\sigma^{-1}(f))X_{\mathbb{1}}(a) \\ &= (X_f(g) - X_{\sigma(g)}(\sigma^{-1}(f)))X_{\mathbb{1}}(a) = X_{X_f(g) - X_{\sigma(g)}(\sigma^{-1}(f))}(a) \end{aligned}$$

implying that  $m(X_f \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} X_g - R(X_f \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} X_g)) \in T\Sigma_{\hat{g}}$ . Thus,  $(T\Sigma_{\hat{g}}, R)$  is a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Lie algebra.  $\square$

The bracket on the  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Lie algebra  $(T\Sigma_{\hat{g}}, R)$  in the proposition above is given by

$$[X_f, X_g]_R = (X_f(g) - X_{\sigma(g)}(\sigma^{-1}(f)))X_{\mathbb{1}}$$

for  $X_f, X_g \in T\Sigma_{\hat{g}}$ .

For arbitrary  $\sigma, \tau \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $T\Sigma_{\hat{g}}$  can be given the structure of a  $\Sigma_{\hat{g}}$ -module. Namely, defining  $\hat{\sigma}, \hat{\tau} : T\Sigma_{\hat{g}} \rightarrow T\Sigma_{\hat{g}}$  by

$$\hat{\sigma}(X_f) = X_{\sigma(f)} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\tau}(X_f) = X_{\tau(f)}$$

one finds that

$$\hat{\sigma}(fX_g) = \hat{\sigma}(X_{fg}) = X_{\sigma(f)\sigma(g)} = \sigma(f)X_{\sigma(g)} = \sigma(f)\hat{\sigma}(X_g)$$

showing (together with obvious linearity properties and a similar computation for  $\hat{\tau}$ ) that  $(T\Sigma, \{\hat{\sigma}, \hat{\tau}\})$  is a  $\Sigma_{\hat{g}}$ -module. Let us now study the curvature of a connection on the  $\Sigma_{\hat{g}}$ -module  $(T\Sigma, \{\hat{\sigma}, \hat{\tau}\})$  where  $(\sigma, \tau)$  is assumed to be a regular pair of endomorphisms, implying that  $T\Sigma_{\hat{g}}$  is a free module. Define  $\nabla : T\Sigma_{\hat{g}} \times T\Sigma_{\hat{g}} \rightarrow T\Sigma_{\hat{g}}$  by

$$(6.5) \quad \nabla_{X_f}(X_g) = fX_{\delta(g)} = f(\hat{\tau}(X_g) - \hat{\sigma}(X_g))$$

for  $X_f, X_g \in T\Sigma_{\hat{g}}$ , and note that for  $f, g, h \in \mathcal{A}$

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{X_f}(gX_h) &= \nabla_{X_f}(X_{gh}) = fX_{\delta(gh)} = fX_{\sigma(g)\delta(h)+\delta(g)\tau(h)} \\ &= f\sigma(g)X_{\delta(h)} + f\delta(g)X_{\tau(h)} = \sigma(g)\nabla_{X_f}(X_h) + X_f(g)\hat{\tau}(X_h) \\ \nabla_{X_f}(gX_h) &= \nabla_{X_f}(X_{gh}) = fX_{\delta(gh)} = fX_{\tau(g)\delta(h)+\delta(g)\sigma(h)} \\ &= f\tau(g)X_{\delta(h)} + f\delta(g)X_{\sigma(h)} = \tau(g)\nabla_{X_f}(X_h) + X_f(g)\hat{\sigma}(X_h)\end{aligned}$$

showing that  $\nabla$  is a symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connection. Moreover, it holds that

$$\nabla_{fX_g}(X_h) = \nabla_{X_{fg}}(X_h) = fgX_{\delta(h)} = f\nabla_{X_g}(X_h)$$

for  $f, g, h \in \mathcal{A}$ . Note that if  $(\sigma, \tau)$  is a strongly regular pair, then the connection defined in (6.5) is the unique symmetric  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connection on  $T\Sigma_{\hat{g}}$  (cf. Corollary 4.12). Let us now compute the curvature of  $\nabla$  in the setting of Proposition 6.6.

**Proposition 6.7.** *Assume that  $\Sigma_{\hat{g}} = (\mathcal{A}, M_{\hat{g}})$  is a regular  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebra such that  $(T\Sigma_{\hat{g}}, R)$  is a  $(\sigma, Id)$ -Lie algebra with  $R$  given by*

$$R(X_f \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} X_g) = X_{\sigma(g)} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} X_{\sigma^{-1}(f)}$$

for  $X_f, X_g \in T\Sigma_{\hat{g}}$  and let  $(M, \{\hat{\sigma}, \hat{\tau}\})$  be a (left)  $\Sigma_{\hat{g}}$ -module. If  $\nabla : T\Sigma_{\hat{g}} \times M \rightarrow M$  is a left  $(\sigma, Id)$ -connection such that  $\nabla_{fX_g}(m) = f\nabla_{X_g}(m)$  for all  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ , then the curvature of  $\nabla$  is zero.

*Proof.* For  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$  one computes

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Curv}(X_f, X_g)(m) &= \nabla_{X_f}(\nabla_{X_g}(m)) - \nabla_{X_{\sigma(g)}}(\nabla_{X_{\sigma^{-1}(f)}}(m)) - \nabla_{[X_f, X_g]_R}(m) \\ &= \nabla_{X_f}(g\nabla_{X_{\mathbb{1}}}(m)) - \nabla_{X_{\sigma(g)}}(\sigma^{-1}(f)\nabla_{X_{\mathbb{1}}}(m)) - \nabla_{[X_f, X_g]_R}(m) \\ &= \sigma(g)\nabla_{X_f}(\nabla_{X_{\mathbb{1}}}(m)) + X_f(g)\nabla_{X_{\mathbb{1}}}(m) - \sigma(\sigma^{-1}(f))\nabla_{X_{\sigma(g)}}(\nabla_{X_{\mathbb{1}}}(m)) \\ &\quad - X_{\sigma(g)}(\sigma^{-1}(f))\nabla_{X_{\mathbb{1}}}(m) - \nabla_{[X_f, X_g]_R}(m) \\ &= \sigma(g)f\nabla_{X_{\mathbb{1}}}(\nabla_{X_{\mathbb{1}}}(m)) + fX_{\mathbb{1}}(g)\nabla_{X_{\mathbb{1}}}(m) - f\sigma(g)\nabla_{X_{\mathbb{1}}}(\nabla_{X_{\mathbb{1}}}(m)) \\ &\quad - \sigma(g)X_{\mathbb{1}}(\sigma^{-1}(f))\nabla_{X_{\mathbb{1}}}(m) - \nabla_{[X_f, X_g]_R}(m) \\ &= (fX_{\mathbb{1}}(g) - \sigma(g)X_{\mathbb{1}}(\sigma^{-1}(f)))\nabla_{X_{\mathbb{1}}}(m) - \nabla_{[X_f, X_g]_R}(m) \\ &= \nabla_{[X_f, X_g]_R}(m) - \nabla_{[X_f, X_g]_R}(m) = 0,\end{aligned}$$

showing that the curvature is indeed zero.  $\square$

In particular, Proposition 6.7 implies that the curvature of the  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -connection on  $T\Sigma_{\hat{g}}$  given in (6.5) is zero.

We remark that not all connections on  $T\Sigma_{\hat{g}}$ -module have zero curvature. For example, the curvature of the connection defined by  $\nabla_{X_f}(X_{\mathbb{1}}) = \gamma(f) \cdot X_{\mathbb{1}}$  for  $\mathbb{K}$ -linear map  $\gamma : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ , is

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Curv}(X_f, X_g)X_{\mathbb{1}} &= (\sigma(\gamma(g))\gamma(f) - \sigma(\gamma(\sigma^{-1}(f)))\gamma(\sigma(g))) \cdot X_{\mathbb{1}} \\ &\quad + (X_f(\gamma(g)) - X_{\sigma(g)}(\gamma(\sigma^{-1}(f)))) \cdot X_{\mathbb{1}} - \gamma(X_f(g) - X_{\sigma(g)}(\sigma^{-1}(f))) \cdot X_{\mathbb{1}}.\end{aligned}$$



## REFERENCES

- [AI22] J. Arnlind and K. Ilwale. On the geometry of  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -algebras. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.08400*, 2022.
- [AIL20] J. Arnlind, K. Ilwale, and G. Landi. On  $q$ -deformed Levi-Civita connections. *arXiv:2005.02603*, 2020.
- [AIL22] J. Arnlind, K. Ilwale, and G. Landi. Levi-Civita connections on quantum spheres. *Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.*, 25(19), 2022.
- [DV88] M. Dubois-Violette. Dérivations et calcul différentiel non commutatif. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 307(8):403–408, 1988.
- [DVM96] M. Dubois-Violette and P. W. Michor. Connections on central bimodules in noncommutative differential geometry. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 20(2-3):218–232, 1996.
- [HLS06] J. T. Hartwig, D. Larsson, and S. D. Silvestrov. Deformations of Lie algebras using  $\sigma$ -derivations. *J. Algebra*, 295(2):314–361, 2006.
- [Hoc45] G. Hochschild. On the cohomology groups of an associative algebra. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 46:58–67, 1945.
- [LS07] D. Larsson and S. D. Silvestrov. Quasi-deformations of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{F})$  using twisted derivations. *Comm. Algebra*, 35(12):4303–4318, 2007.
- [Red01] M. J. Redondo. Hochschild cohomology: some methods for computations. volume 5, pages 113–137. 2001. IX Algebra Meeting USP/UNICAMP/UNESP (Portuguese) (São Pedro, 2001).
- [Wit20] S. Witherspoon. Hochschild cohomology. *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.*, 67(6):780–787, 2020.

(Kwalombota Ilwale) DEPT. OF MATH., LINKÖPING UNIVERSITY, 581 83 LINKÖPING, SWEDEN  
Email address: kwalombota.ilwale@liu.se