# Extended dissipaton equation of motion for electronic open quantum systems: Application to the Kondo impurity model

Yu Su,<sup>1</sup> Zi-Hao Chen,<sup>2</sup> Yao Wang,<sup>1,\*</sup> Xiao Zheng,<sup>1,3</sup> Rui-Xue Xu,<sup>1,2</sup> and YiJing Yan<sup>1,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Hefei National Research Center for Physical Sciences at the Microscale,

University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China

University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China

<sup>3</sup>Department of Chemistry, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China

(Dated: April 19, 2023)

In this paper, we present an extended dissipaton equation of motion for studying the dynamics of electronic impurity systems. Compared with the original theoretical formalism, the quadratic couplings are introduced into the Hamiltonian accounting for the interaction between the impurity and its surrounding environment. By exploiting the quadratic dissipaton algebra, the proposed extended dissipaton equation of motion offers a powerful tool for studying the dynamical behaviors of electronic impurity systems, particularly in situations where nonequilibrium and strongly correlated effects play significant roles. Numerical demonstrations are carried out to investigate the temperature dependence of the Kondo resonance in the Kondo impurity model.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Electronic impurity systems are important in a wide range of fields, including solid-state physics, materials science, quantum information, and so on [1-5]. The dynamics of these systems are particularly intriguing due to the strong coupling between the impurity and its surrounding environment [6-14]. The study of electronic impurity systems is crucial for understanding the behavior of materials and quantum devices, and has practical implications for designing new technologies [15-22].

One of the main challenges in studying electronic impurity systems is accurately modeling their interactions with the environment. The representing two types are the Anderson and Kondo impurity models. The Anderson model describes a local quantum impurity coupled to non-interacting conduction electrons in a metal, where the impurity system is represented by a single electronic level interacting with a continuum of reservoir states. The system–bath coupling is in the *linear* form with respect to the creation and annihilation operators of the impurity and bath states,  $H_{\rm SB} \sim \sum_{ks} (t_{ks} \hat{d}^{\dagger}_{ks} \hat{a}_s + \text{h.c.})$ . On the other hand, although built on the Anderson model, the Kondo model represents the electron-electron interactions into the Heisenberg coupling form. Specifically, it describes an impurity spin coupled to the conduction electrons in a metal, with interaction taking the form of  $H_{\rm SB} \sim J \boldsymbol{S}_{\rm imp} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_{\rm B}$ , where J is the exchange coupling constant between the impurity spin  $S_{imp}$  and the conduction electrons total spin  $S_{\text{\tiny B}}$ . The Kondo model predicts the emergence of a many-body state known as the Kondo resonance at low temperatures, which manifests as a sharp peak in the density of states near the Fermi level. Note that  $S_{\rm B}$  here is *quadratic* with respect

to the reservoir creation and annihilation operators.

So far, various methods have targeted the equilibrium and dynamical properties of quantum impurities, such as the quantum Monte Carlo method [23, 24], the numerical renormalization group method [25–29] and its time–dependent extension [30], the time–dependent density matrix renormalization group method [31, 32], and so on. Especially, as a time–derivative equivalence to the Feynman–Vernon influence functional path [33], the hierarchical equations of motion (HEOM) method has attracted increasing attention, with either bosonic [34–41] or fermionic bath environment influence [42–44]. However, the HEOM method is limited in the linear system– bath coupling scenarios, e.g., the Anderson impurity model. The quadratic interactions in such as the Kondo impurity model are beyond its scope of applications.

Dissipaton equation of motion (DEOM) [45], as a second quantization version of HEOM, is able to acquire the dynamics in the presence of nonlinear coupling in the bosonic scenarios [46, 47]. Its exactness has been numerically verified recently [48]. In this work, we propose the extended DEOM (ext-DEOM) for the fermionic quadratic coupling between the system and bath. This addresses the challenge of DEOM to deal with the Kondo impurity model, where the quadratic couplings between the impurity and its environment are involved. This extension builds upon previously developed fermionic dissipaton algebra introduced for linear couplings and expands the capabilities to quadratic environment coupling scenarios.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II, we propose the ext-DEOM with a detailed derivation. In Sec. III, we demonstrate the temperaturedependent Kondo resonance in the Kondo impurity model. Finally, we summarize our paper in Sec. IV. Throughout this paper, we set  $\hbar = 1$  and  $\beta = 1/(k_B T)$ , with  $k_B$  being the Boltzmann constant and T the temperature.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>CAS Key Laboratory of Precision and Intelligent Chemistry,

<sup>\*</sup> wy2010@ustc.edu.cn

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> yanyj@ustc.edu.cn

### II. EXTENDED DISSIPATON EQUATION OF MOTION

### A. Quadratic system–bath interactions

Consider an electronic system  $(H_{\rm s})$  in contact with a fermionic bath  $(h_{\rm B})$ . While  $H_{\rm s}$  is arbitrary, the bath Hamiltonian  $h_{\rm B}$  is modeled as noninteracting electrons,

$$h_{\rm B} = \sum_{ks} \epsilon_{ks} \hat{d}^+_{ks} \hat{d}^-_{ks}, \qquad (1)$$

where k and  $s = \uparrow, \downarrow$  label a single–electron spin–orbital state. The system and bath couple with each other via the quadratic interaction,

$$H_{\rm SB} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma us} \sum_{\sigma' vs'} \hat{q}_{us,vs'}^{\bar{\sigma}\bar{\sigma}'} \hat{\Phi}_{us}^{\sigma} \hat{\Phi}_{vs'}^{\sigma'}.$$
 (2)

Here,  $\sigma \in \{+,-\}$  and the hybridizing bath operators read

$$\hat{\Phi}_{us}^+ \equiv \sum_k c_{kus} \hat{d}_{kus}^+ \equiv (\hat{\Phi}_{us}^-)^\dagger.$$
(3)

 $\{\hat{q}_{us,vs'}^{\sigma\sigma'}\}\$  are the system subspace operators, generally quadratic in terms of the system creation/annihilation operators  $\{\hat{a}_{us}^{\sigma}\}\$ . It is closely related to the form of two–particle interactions in many–electron systems. Without loss of generality, the  $\{\hat{q}_{us,vs'}^{\sigma\sigma'}\}\$  assume antisymmetric,

$$\hat{q}_{us,vs'}^{\sigma\sigma'} = -\hat{q}_{vs',us}^{\sigma'\sigma}.$$
(4)

# B. Fermionic bath statistics and dissipaton decomposition

For the environment given by Eqs. (1) and (3), the hybridizing bath spectral density functions can completely describe the bath influence, defined as [45, 49]

$$J_{uvs}(\omega) \equiv J_{uvs}^{-}(\omega) = \pi \sum_{k} c_{kus}^{*} c_{kvs} \delta(\omega - \epsilon_{ks}).$$
 (5)

It can be equivalently expressed via

$$J_{uvs}^{\sigma}(\omega) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \, e^{-\sigma i \omega t} \langle \{ \hat{\Phi}_{us}^{\sigma}(t), \hat{\Phi}_{vs}^{\bar{\sigma}}(0) \} \rangle_{\mathrm{B}}, \qquad (6)$$

with  $J_{vus}^{\sigma}(\omega) = [J_{uvs}^{\sigma}(\omega)]^* = J_{uvs}^{\bar{\sigma}}(\omega)$ . Here, we follow the bare–bath thermodynamic prescription:  $\hat{\Phi}_{us}^{\sigma}(t) \equiv e^{ih_{\rm B}t} \hat{\Phi}_{us}^{\sigma} e^{-ih_{\rm B}t}$  and  $\langle \hat{O} \rangle_{\rm B} \equiv {\rm tr}_{\rm B}(\hat{O}e^{-\beta h_{\rm B}})/{\rm tr}_{\rm B}e^{-\beta h_{\rm B}}$ . We then have

$$\langle \hat{\Phi}_{us}^{\sigma}(t) \hat{\Phi}_{vs}^{\bar{\sigma}}(0) \rangle_{\rm B} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}\omega \, e^{\sigma i \omega t} \frac{J_{uvs}^{\sigma}(\omega)}{1 + e^{\sigma \beta \omega}}.$$
 (7)

This is the fermionic fluctuation-dissipation theorem [49].

To proceed, we expand [45]

$$\langle \hat{\Phi}_{us}^{\sigma}(t) \hat{\Phi}_{vs}^{\bar{\sigma}}(0) \rangle_{\mathrm{B}} = \sum_{\kappa=1}^{K} g_{\kappa uvs}^{\sigma} e^{-\gamma_{\kappa uvs}^{\sigma} t}.$$
 (8)

Its time reversal reads

$$\langle \hat{\Phi}_{vs}^{\bar{\sigma}}(0) \hat{\Phi}_{us}^{\sigma}(t) \rangle_{\mathrm{B}} = \sum_{\kappa=1}^{K} g_{\kappa uvs}^{\bar{\sigma}*} e^{-\gamma_{\kappa uvs}^{\sigma}t}, \qquad (9)$$

with  $\gamma^{\sigma}_{\kappa uvs} = (\gamma^{\bar{\sigma}}_{\kappa uvs})^*$  required. We can then decompose

$$\hat{\Phi}_{us}^{\sigma} = \sum_{\kappa=1}^{K} \hat{\phi}_{\kappa us}^{\sigma}, \qquad (10)$$

with

$$\langle \hat{\phi}^{\sigma}_{\kappa us}(t) \hat{\phi}^{\sigma'}_{\kappa' vs'}(0) \rangle_{\rm B} = \delta^{\sigma\bar{\sigma}'}_{\kappa s,\kappa' s'} g^{\sigma}_{\kappa uvs} e^{-\gamma^{\sigma}_{\kappa uvs} t}, \qquad (11a)$$

$$\langle \hat{\phi}_{\kappa'vs'}^{\sigma'}(0) \hat{\phi}_{\kappa us}^{\sigma}(t) \rangle_{\mathrm{B}} = \delta_{\kappa s, \kappa's'}^{\sigma \bar{\sigma}'} g_{\kappa uvs}^{\bar{\sigma}*} e^{-\gamma_{\kappa uvs}^{\sigma}t}.$$
 (11b)

Here,  $\{\hat{\phi}_{\kappa us}^{\sigma}\}\$  are denoted as the dissipaton operators, providing a statistical quasi-particle picture to account for the Gaussian environmental influences. It is evident that Eq. (11) can reproduce both Eqs. (8) and (9).

For simplicity, we adopt the index abbreviations,

$$j \equiv (\sigma \kappa u s)$$
 and  $\bar{j} \equiv (\bar{\sigma} \kappa u s)$ , (12)

leading to  $\hat{\phi}_j \equiv \hat{\phi}^{\sigma}_{\kappa us}$  and so on. Then we can recast Eq. (2) as

$$H_{\rm SB} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{jj'} \hat{q}_{\bar{j}\bar{j}'} \hat{\phi}_{j} \hat{\phi}_{j'}.$$
 (13)

Here, we define  $\hat{q}_{jj'} \equiv \hat{q}_{us,vs'}^{\sigma\sigma'}$ .

### C. Extended fermionic DEOM formalism

Dissipaton operators, together with the total system density operator  $\rho_{\rm T}(t)$ , form the dynamical variables of DEOM, namely the dissipaton density operators (DDOs) [45],

$$\rho_{\mathbf{j}}^{(n)}(t) \equiv \rho_{j_1 \cdots j_n}^{(n)}(t) \equiv \operatorname{tr}_{\mathrm{B}}[(\hat{\phi}_{j_n} \cdots \hat{\phi}_{j_1})^{\circ} \rho_{\mathrm{T}}(t)].$$
(14)

The notation,  $(\cdots)^{\circ}$ , denotes the *irreducible* dissipaton product notation, with  $(\hat{\phi}_j \hat{\phi}_{j'})^{\circ} = -(\hat{\phi}_{j'} \hat{\phi}_j)^{\circ}$  for fermionic dissipatons. The reduced system density operator is nothing but  $\rho^{(0)}(t) = \operatorname{tr}_{\mathrm{B}}[\rho_{\mathrm{T}}(t)] \equiv \rho_{\mathrm{S}}(t)$ .

To complete the dissipaton theory, we have to introduce the dissipaton algebra composed of two important ingredients: (i) Each dissipaton satisfies the generalized diffusion equation [45, 49],

$$\operatorname{tr}_{\mathrm{B}}\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\hat{\phi}_{j}\right)_{\mathrm{B}}\rho_{\mathrm{T}}(t)\right] = -\gamma_{j}\operatorname{tr}_{\mathrm{B}}[\hat{\phi}_{j}\rho_{\mathrm{T}}(t)],\qquad(15)$$

where  $(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\hat{\phi}_j)_{\rm B} = -i[\hat{\phi}_j, h_{\rm B}]$ . Eq. (15) arises from that each dissipaton is associated with a single exponent, for its forward and backward correlation functions [cf. (11)]. (*ii*) The generalized Wick's theorems (GWT) deal with adding dissipaton operators into the irreducible notation. The GWT-1s evaluate the linear bath coupling with one dissipaton added each time. They are expressed as [45, 49]

$$\operatorname{tr}_{\mathrm{B}} \left[ (\hat{\phi}_{j_{n}} \cdots \hat{\phi}_{j_{1}})^{\circ} \hat{\phi}_{j} \rho_{\mathrm{T}}(t) \right]$$
  
=  $\rho_{j\mathbf{j}}^{(n+1)} + \sum_{r=1}^{n} (-)^{r-1} \langle \hat{\phi}_{j_{r}} \hat{\phi}_{j} \rangle_{\mathrm{B}}^{>} \rho_{\mathbf{j}_{r}}^{(n-1)}$  (16a)

and

.

.

$$\operatorname{tr}_{\mathrm{B}}\left[\hat{\phi}_{j}(\hat{\phi}_{j_{n}}\cdots\hat{\phi}_{j_{1}})^{\circ}\rho_{\mathrm{T}}(t)\right]$$
$$=\rho_{\mathbf{j}j}^{(n+1)}+\sum_{r=1}^{n}(-)^{n-r}\langle\hat{\phi}_{j}\hat{\phi}_{j_{r}}\rangle_{\mathrm{B}}^{\leq}\rho_{\mathbf{j}_{r}}^{(n-1)},\qquad(16\mathrm{b})$$

where we denote  $\langle \hat{\phi}_j \hat{\phi}_{j'} \rangle_{\rm B}^{>} \equiv \langle \hat{\phi}_j (0+) \hat{\phi}_{j'} \rangle_{\rm B}, \langle \hat{\phi}_{j'} \hat{\phi}_j \rangle_{\rm B}^{<} \equiv \langle \hat{\phi}_{j'} \hat{\phi}_j (0+) \rangle_{\rm B}$ , and  $\mathbf{j}_r^- \equiv \{ j_n \cdots j_{r+1} j_{r-1} \cdots j_1 \}$ . Moreover, the GWT-2s are similarly given by

$$\operatorname{tr}_{\mathrm{B}}[(\hat{\phi}_{j_{n}}\cdots\hat{\phi}_{j_{1}})^{\circ}\hat{\phi}_{j}\hat{\phi}_{j'}\rho_{\mathrm{T}}]$$

$$=\rho_{j'j\mathbf{j}}^{(n+2)} + \langle\hat{\phi}_{j}\hat{\phi}_{j'}\rangle_{\mathrm{B}}\rho_{\mathbf{j}}^{(n)} - \sum_{r=1}^{n}(-)^{r-1}\langle\hat{\phi}_{j_{r}}\hat{\phi}_{j'}\rangle_{\mathrm{B}}^{>}\rho_{j\mathbf{j}_{r}}^{(n)}$$

$$+\sum_{r=1}^{n}(-)^{r-1}\langle\hat{\phi}_{j_{r}}\hat{\phi}_{j}\rangle_{\mathrm{B}}^{>}\rho_{j'\mathbf{j}_{r}}^{(n)}$$

$$+\sum_{r,r'}\theta_{rr'}\langle\hat{\phi}_{j_{r}}\hat{\phi}_{j}\rangle_{\mathrm{B}}^{>}\langle\hat{\phi}_{j_{r'}}\hat{\phi}_{j'}\rangle_{\mathrm{B}}^{>}\rho_{\mathbf{j}_{rr'}}^{(n-2)} \qquad (17a)$$

and

$$\operatorname{tr}_{\mathrm{B}}[\hat{\phi}_{j}\hat{\phi}_{j'}(\hat{\phi}_{j_{n}}\cdots\hat{\phi}_{j_{1}})^{\circ}\hat{\rho}_{\mathrm{T}}]$$

$$=\rho_{\mathbf{j}j'j}^{(n+2)} + \langle\hat{\phi}_{j}\hat{\phi}_{j'}\rangle_{\mathrm{B}}\rho_{\mathbf{j}}^{(n)} - \sum_{r=1}^{n}(-)^{n-r}\langle\hat{\phi}_{j}\hat{\phi}_{j_{r}}\rangle_{\mathrm{B}}^{\leq}\rho_{\mathbf{j}_{r}^{-}j'}^{(n)}$$

$$+\sum_{r=1}^{n}(-)^{n-r}\langle\hat{\phi}_{j'}\hat{\phi}_{j_{r}}\rangle_{\mathrm{B}}^{\leq}\rho_{\mathbf{j}_{r}^{-}j}^{(n)}$$

$$-\sum_{r,r'}\theta_{rr'}\langle\hat{\phi}_{j'}\hat{\phi}_{j_{r}}\rangle_{\mathrm{B}}^{\leq}\langle\hat{\phi}_{j}\hat{\phi}_{j_{r'}}\rangle_{\mathrm{B}}^{\leq}\rho_{\mathbf{j}_{rr'}}^{(n-2)}.$$
(17b)

Here,

$$\theta_{rr'} \equiv \begin{cases} (-)^{r-r'}, & r \ge r' \\ (-)^{r-r'+1}, & r < r' \end{cases}$$
(18)

and  $\mathbf{j}_{rr'}^{--} \equiv \{j_n \cdots j_{r+1} j_{r-1} \cdots j_{r'+1} j_{r'-1} \cdots j_1\} = \mathbf{j}_{r'r}^{--}$ .

Then, by applying the dissipaton algebras on the von Neumann–Liouville Equation,

$$\dot{\rho}_{\rm T} = -i[H_{\rm T}, \rho_{\rm T}] = -i[H_{\rm S} + h_{\rm B} + H_{\rm SB}, \rho_{\rm T}],$$
 (19)

one can construct the ext-DEOM. We then, term by term, evaluate the contributions of specific four components in the  $H_{\rm T}$ .

(a) The  $H_{\rm s}$ -contribution: Evidently,

$$\operatorname{tr}_{\mathrm{B}}\{(\hat{\phi}_{j_{n}}\cdots\hat{\phi}_{j_{1}})^{\circ}[H_{\mathrm{S}},\rho_{\mathrm{T}}]\}=[H_{\mathrm{S}},\rho_{\mathbf{j}}^{(n)}].$$
(20)

(b) The  $h_{\rm B}$ -contribution: Using Eq. (15), we have

$$i \operatorname{tr}_{\mathrm{B}} \left\{ (\hat{\phi}_{j_{n}} \cdots \hat{\phi}_{j_{1}})^{\circ} [h_{\mathrm{B}}, \rho_{\mathrm{T}}] \right\} = \gamma_{\mathbf{j}}^{(n)} \rho_{\mathbf{j}}^{(n)}, \qquad (21)$$

with  $\gamma_{\mathbf{j}}^{(n)} \equiv \sum_{r=1}^{n} \gamma_{j_r}$ .

(c) The  $H_{\scriptscriptstyle\rm SB}-$  contribution: By applying Eq. (17), we can readily obtain

$$\operatorname{tr}_{B} \left\{ (\hat{\phi}_{j_{n}} \cdots \hat{\phi}_{j_{1}})^{\circ} [H_{SB}, \rho_{T}] \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{jj'} \hat{q}_{j\bar{j}'} \operatorname{tr}_{B} \left[ (\hat{\phi}_{j_{n}} \cdots \hat{\phi}_{j_{1}})^{\circ} \hat{\phi}_{j} \hat{\phi}_{j'} \rho_{T} \right]$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{jj'} \operatorname{tr}_{B} \left[ (\hat{\phi}_{j_{n}} \cdots \hat{\phi}_{j_{1}})^{\circ} \rho_{T} \hat{\phi}_{j} \hat{\phi}_{j'} \right] \hat{q}_{\bar{j}\bar{j}'}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{jj'} [\hat{q}_{\bar{j}\bar{j}'}, \rho_{\mathbf{j}j'j}^{(n+2)}] - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma us} \sum_{\sigma' vs'} \langle \hat{\Phi}_{us}^{\sigma} \hat{\Phi}_{vs'}^{\sigma} \rangle_{B} [\hat{q}_{us,vs'}^{\bar{\sigma}\bar{\sigma}'}, \rho_{\mathbf{j}}^{(n)}]$$

$$+ \sum_{rvj} (-)^{n-r} \left[ g_{\kappa_{r}u_{r}vs_{r}}^{\sigma} \hat{q}_{vs_{r},us}^{\sigma,\bar{\sigma}} \rho_{\mathbf{j}-j}^{(n)} + g_{\kappa_{r}u_{r}vs_{r}}^{\sigma,\sigma} \rho_{\mathbf{j}-j}^{(n)} \hat{q}_{vs_{r},us}^{\sigma,\bar{\sigma}} \right]$$

$$+ \sum_{r>r'} \sum_{uv} (-)^{r-r'} \left[ g_{\kappa_{r}u_{r}us_{r}}^{\sigma} g_{\kappa_{r'}'u_{r'}vs_{r'}}^{\sigma,\sigma'} \hat{q}_{us_{r},vs_{r'}}^{\sigma,\sigma',r'} \rho_{\mathbf{j}-r'}^{(n-2)} \right] .$$

$$- g_{\kappa_{r}u_{r}us_{r}}^{\bar{\sigma}_{r}*} g_{\kappa_{r'}'u_{r'}vs_{r'}}^{\sigma,\sigma',\sigma'} \hat{q}_{us_{r},vs_{r'}}^{(n-2)} \right] .$$

$$(22)$$

Therefore, the final ext-DEOM formalism reads

$$\dot{\rho}_{\mathbf{j}}^{(n)} = -\left(i\mathcal{L}_{s}^{\text{eff}} + \gamma_{\mathbf{j}}^{(n)}\right)\rho_{\mathbf{j}}^{(n)} - i\sum_{r=1}^{n}\sum_{j}(-)^{n-r}\mathcal{B}_{j_{r}j}\rho_{\mathbf{j}_{r}j}^{(n)}$$
$$-\frac{i}{2}\sum_{jj'}\mathcal{A}_{\bar{j}\bar{j}'}\rho_{\mathbf{j}j'j}^{(n+2)} - i\sum_{r>r'}(-)^{r-r'}\mathcal{C}_{j_{r}j_{r'}}\rho_{\mathbf{j}_{rr'}}^{(n-2)},$$
(23)

where the superoperators in  $\{\rho^{(n)}\}\$  parts are defined as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm s}^{\rm eff}\hat{O} \equiv [H_{\rm s} - \langle H_{\rm sB} \rangle_{\rm B}, \hat{O}] \tag{24}$$

$$\mathcal{B}^{\sigma,\sigma'}_{\kappa u s, u' s'} \hat{O} \equiv \sum_{v} \left( g^{\sigma}_{\kappa u v s} \hat{q}^{\sigma\bar{\sigma}'}_{v s, u' s'} \hat{O} + g^{\bar{\sigma}*}_{\kappa u v s} \hat{O} \hat{q}^{\sigma\bar{\sigma}'}_{v s, u' s'} \right), \quad (25)$$

and actions on the  $\{\rho^{(n\pm 2)}\}$  parts are given by

$$\mathcal{A}^{\sigma,\sigma'}_{us,vs'}\hat{O} \equiv [\hat{q}^{\sigma\sigma'}_{us,vs'},\hat{O}], \qquad (26a)$$
$$\mathcal{C}^{\sigma,\sigma'}_{\kappa us,\kappa'vs'}\hat{O} \equiv \sum_{u'v'} \left(g^{\sigma}_{\kappa uu's}g^{\sigma'}_{\kappa'vv's'}\hat{q}^{\sigma\sigma'}_{u's,v's'}\hat{O}\right)$$
$$-g^{\bar{\sigma}*}_{\kappa uu's}g^{\bar{\sigma}'*}_{\kappa'vv's'}\hat{O}\hat{q}^{\sigma\sigma'}_{u's,v's'}\right). \qquad (26b)$$

## III. NUMERICAL ILLUSTRATIONS WITH KONDO IMPURITY MODEL

The Kondo model considers the interactions between a localized spin $-\frac{1}{2}$  impurity and conduction electrons. The Hamiltonian reads [50]

$$H_{\rm K} = h_{\rm B} + H_{\rm int}, \qquad (27)$$

where the interaction takes the generic exchange interaction form,

$$H_{\rm int} = \frac{J}{2} \Big[ \hat{S}_z^{\rm imp} (\hat{\Phi}_{\uparrow}^+ \hat{\Phi}_{\uparrow}^- - \hat{\Phi}_{\downarrow}^+ \hat{\Phi}_{\downarrow}^-) + \hat{S}_-^{\rm imp} \hat{\Phi}_{\uparrow}^+ \hat{\Phi}_{\downarrow}^- + \hat{S}_+^{\rm imp} \hat{\Phi}_{\downarrow}^+ \hat{\Phi}_{\uparrow}^- \Big], \qquad (28)$$

with  $\hat{\boldsymbol{S}}^{\text{imp}} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ss'} \hat{a}_s^+ \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{ss'} \hat{a}_{s'}^-$  being the impurity spin operators expressed in terms of system creation and annihilation operators, J being the coupling constant. Here  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \equiv (\hat{\sigma}_x, \hat{\sigma}_y, \hat{\sigma}_z)$  are the Pauli matrices and  $\hat{S}_{\pm} \equiv \hat{S}_x \pm i\hat{S}_y$ .

To proceed, we recast Eq. (28) as

$$H_{\rm int} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s} \{ \hat{\Phi}_{s}^{+}, \hat{\Phi}_{s}^{-} \} \hat{q}_{ss}^{-+} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma s, \sigma' s'} \hat{q}_{ss'}^{\sigma \bar{\sigma}'} \hat{\Phi}_{s}^{\sigma} \hat{\Phi}_{s'}^{\sigma'}, \quad (29)$$

by denoting

$$\hat{q}_{ss'}^{-+} = \hat{Q}_{ss'}, \ \hat{q}_{ss'}^{+-} = -\hat{Q}_{s's}, \ \hat{q}_{ss'}^{++} = \hat{q}_{ss'}^{--} = 0$$
(30)

and

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{Q}} \equiv \frac{J}{2} \begin{pmatrix} S_z^{\text{imp}} & S_{-}^{\text{imp}} \\ S_{+}^{\text{imp}} & -S_z^{\text{imp}} \end{pmatrix} \equiv (\hat{Q}_{ss'}).$$
(31)

Since  $\{\hat{\Phi}_s^+, \hat{\Phi}_s^-\} = \sum_k |c_{ks}|^2$  is a c-number, the first term in Eq. (29) is just a system subspace operator. In this sense, the Kondo model can be written as the quadratic system–bath composite Hamiltonian, namely,

$$H_{\rm K} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s} \{ \hat{\Phi}_{s}^{+}, \hat{\Phi}_{s}^{-} \} \hat{q}_{ss}^{-+} + h_{\rm B} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma s, \sigma' s'} \hat{q}_{ss'}^{\bar{\sigma}\bar{\sigma}'} \hat{\Phi}_{s}^{\sigma} \hat{\Phi}_{s'}^{\sigma'}$$
  
$$\equiv H_{\rm S} + h_{\rm B} + H_{\rm SB}.$$
(32)

For the Kondo model, the spin spectral function is defined via [50]

$$A_s(\omega) \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \, e^{i\omega t} \langle \{\delta \hat{O}_s(t), \delta \hat{O}_s^{\dagger}(0)\} \rangle, \qquad (33)$$

with  $\delta \hat{O}_s \equiv \hat{O}_s - \langle \hat{O}_s \rangle$ ,

$$\hat{O}_s \equiv \sum_{s'} \hat{q}_{s's}^{+-} \hat{\Phi}_{s'}^{-} = -\sum_{s'} \hat{Q}_{ss'} \hat{\Phi}_{s'}^{-}, \qquad (34)$$

and

$$\hat{O}_{s}^{\dagger} = -\sum_{s'} \hat{q}_{s's}^{-+} \hat{\Phi}_{s'}^{+} = -\sum_{s'} \hat{Q}_{s's} \hat{\Phi}_{s'}^{+}.$$
 (35)

Here the average is evaluated with respect to the steady state of the system. The impurity spectral function is defined as

$$A(\omega) \equiv \sum_{s=\uparrow,\downarrow} A_s(\omega).$$
(36)

Using the ext-DEOM, we calculate the impurity spectral function at different temperatures. In the numerical illustration, we model the bath with the Lorentz type spectral function, namely,

$$J_{s}^{-}(\omega) = \frac{W}{\omega^{2} + W^{2}} = J_{s}^{+}(\omega)$$
 (37)

with W being the band width. As expected, our results show that at low temperatures, a sharp peak emerges in the Kondo spectrum at the Fermi energy, near  $\omega = 0$ , with a width that decreases as the temperature is lowered. This peak corresponds to the Kondo resonance, which is a signature of the effective screening of the impurity spin by the conduction electrons. Overall, our numerical simulations of the Kondo spectral function confirm the existence of the Kondo resonance, exhibiting its dependence on the temperature. These results illustrate the power of the ext-DEOM method for studying strongly correlated electron systems.

As shown in Fig. 1, when higher than the Kondo temperature, given by  $\beta_{\rm K}W \sim 13$ , the perturbative results (dash lines) match well with exact ones (solid lines). The former are computed by truncating Eq. (23) up to tier n = 2. When much lower than  $T_{\rm K}$ , the Kondo temperature, the Kondo peak becomes prominent; see black and red lines in Fig. 1. These lines can not be reproduced quantitatively via perturbative methods. Perturbation gives rise to much larger spurious peaks. For example, in the case of  $\beta W = 100$ ,  $\pi A(0) \sim 2$  (not shown in the figure), which manifestly violates the Friedel sum rule [51].

### IV. SUMMARY

Obtaining and understanding dynamics for quantum impurity system are of great significance in various fields. The DEOM formalism is proposed and used as a standard theoretical framework to describe the dynamics of impurities embedded in environments. In this work, an extended DEOM is presented to deal with quadratic couplings for electronic open quantum systems. The full DEOM formalism offers a powerful tool for studying the noval behaviors in electronic impurity systems and is particularly useful in situations where nonequilibrium and strongly correlated effects are significant.

Numerical simulations are carried out to investigate the temperature dependence of the Kondo resonance in quantum dots represented by the Kondo model, demonstrating the usefulness of the proposed extension. It is anticipated that fermionic ext-DEOM dissipaton theories would become essential towards the characterization of electronic quantum impurities.



FIG. 1. The ext-DEOM simulation results of the impurity spectral function  $A(\omega)$  at different temperatures:  $\beta W = 1$ , 4, 100, and 400 with coupling constant J = 0.3W. The perturbative results are plotted in dash lines for  $\beta W = 1$  and 4.

- [1] J. Kondo, Phys. Rev. 169, 437 (1968).
- [2] G. J. Small, in Spectroscopy and Excitation Dynamics of Condensed Molecular Systems, edited by V. M. Agranovich and R. M. Hochstrasser, page 515, North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1983.
- [3] R. Žitko and J. Bonča, Phys. Rev. B 74, 045312 (2006).
- [4] A. V. Balatsky, I. Vekhter, and J.-X. Zhu, Rev. Mod. Phys. 78, 373 (2006).
- [5] R. H. Foote, D. R. Ward, J. R. Prance, J. K. Gamble, E. Nielsen, B. Thorgrimsson, D. E. Savage, A. L. Saraiva, M. Friesen, S. N. Coppersmith, and M. A. Eriksson, Appl. Phys. Lett. **107**, 103112 (2015).
- [6] S. Hershfield, J. H. Davies, and J. W. Wilkins, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 3720 (1991).
- [7] G.-H. Ding and T.-K. Ng, Phys. Rev. B 56, R15521 (1997).
- [8] G.-H. Ding and T.-K. Ng, Phys. Rev. B 56, R15521 (1997).
- [9] A. Schiller and S. Hershfield, Phys. Rev. B 58, 14978 (1998).
- [10] N. L. Dickens and D. E. Logan, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 13, 4505 (2001).
- [11] H. G. Luo, T. Xiang, X. Q. Wang, Z. B. Su, and L. Yu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 256602 (2004), Reply: **96**, 019702 (2006).
- [12] K. Le Hur, P. Doucet-Beaupré, and W. Hofstetter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 126801 (2007).
- [13] J. T. Li, W.-D. Schneider, R. Berndt, and B. Delley, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 2893 (1998).
- [14] D. A. Ruiz-Tijerina, E. Vernek, and S. E. Ulloa, Phys. Rev. B 90, 035119 (2014).
- [15] O. Újsághy, J. Kroha, L. Szunyogh, and A. Zawadowski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 2557 (2000).
- [16] M. Hamasaki, Phys. Rev. B 69, 115313 (2004).
- [17] S. Schmitt, T. Jabben, and N. Grewe, Phys. Rev. B 80, 235130 (2009).
- [18] A. Isidori, D. Roosen, L. Bartosch, W. Hofstetter, and P. Kopietz, Phys. Rev. B 81, 235120 (2010).

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Support from the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (Grant No. 2017YFA0204904) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 21973086, 22103073 and 22173088) is gratefully acknowledged.

The code that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

- [19] G. Cohen and E. Rabani, Phys. Rev. B 84, 075150 (2011).
- [20] N. Tsukahara, S. Shiraki, S. Itou, N. Ohta, N. Takagi, and M. Kawai, Phys. Rev. Lett **106**, 187201 (2011).
- [21] C. P. Orth, D. F. Urban, and A. Komnik, Phys. Rev. B 86, 125324 (2012).
- [22] Z. Q. Zhang, S. Li, J. T. Lü, and J. Gao, Phys. Rev. B 96, 075410 (2017).
- [23] E. Gull, A. J. Millis, A. I. Lichtenstein, A. N. Rubtsov, M. Troyer, and P. Werner, Rev. Mod. Phys. 83, 349 (2011).
- [24] R. Härtle, G. Cohen, D. R. Reichman, and A. J. Millis, Phys. Rev. B 92, 085430 (2015).
- [25] M. Yoshida, M. A. Whitaker, and L. N. Oliveira, Phys. Rev. B 41, 9403 (1990).
- [26] T. A. Costi, Phys. Rev. B 55, 3003 (1997).
- [27] R. Bulla, A. C. Hewson, and T. Pruschke, J. Phys.: Cond. Matt. 10, 8365 (1998).
- [28] R. Peters, T. Pruschke, and F. B. Anders, Phys. Rev. B 74, 245114 (2006).
- [29] F. B. Anders, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 20, 195216 (2008).
- [30] F. B. Anders and A. Schiller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 196801 (2005).
- [31] S. Nishimoto and E. Jeckelmann, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 16, 613 (2004).
- [32] L. Merker, A. Weichselbaum, and T. A. Costi, Phys. Rev. B 86, 075153 (2012).
- [33] R. P. Feynman and F. L. Vernon, Jr., Ann. Phys. 24, 118 (1963).
- [34] Y. Tanimura, J. Chem. Phys 153, 020901 (2020).
- [35] Y. Tanimura and R. Kubo, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 58, 101 (1989).
- [36] Y. Tanimura, Phys. Rev. A 41, 6676 (1990).
- [37] Y. A. Yan, F. Yang, Y. Liu, and J. S. Shao, Chem. Phys. Lett. **395**, 216 (2004).
- [38] Y. Tanimura, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 75, 082001 (2006).
- [39] R. X. Xu, P. Cui, X. Q. Li, Y. Mo, and Y. J. Yan, J.

Chem. Phys. **122**, 041103 (2005).

- [40] R. X. Xu and Y. J. Yan, Phys. Rev. E 75, 031107 (2007).
- [41] J. J. Ding, R. X. Xu, and Y. J. Yan, J. Chem. Phys. 136, 224103 (2012).
- [42] J. S. Jin, X. Zheng, and Y. J. Yan, J. Chem. Phys. 128, 234703 (2008).
- [43] Z. H. Li, N. H. Tong, X. Zheng, D. Hou, J. H. Wei, J. Hu, and Y. J. Yan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 266403 (2012).
- [44] L. Z. Ye, X. L. Wang, D. Hou, R. X. Xu, X. Zheng, and Y. J. Yan, WIREs Comp. Mol. Sci. 6, 608 (2016).
- [45] Y. J. Yan, J. Chem. Phys. **140**, 054105 (2014).
- [46] R. X. Xu, Y. Liu, H. D. Zhang, and Y. J. Yan, Chin. J.

Chem. Phys. **30**, 395 (2017).

- [47] R. X. Xu, Y. Liu, H. D. Zhang, and Y. J. Yan, J. Chem. Phys. 148, 114103 (2018).
- [48] Z.-H. Chen, Y. Wang, R.-X. Xu, and Y. Yan, J. Chem. Phys. 158, 074102 (2023).
- [49] Y. J. Yan, J. S. Jin, R. X. Xu, and X. Zheng, Frontiers Phys. 11, 110306 (2016).
- [50] A. C. Hewson, The Kondo Problem to Heavy Fermions, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [51] D. C. Langreth, Phys. Rev. 150, 516 (1966).