
KÄHLER–EINSTEIN METRICS ON FAMILIES OF FANO VARIETIES

by

Chung-Ming Pan & Antonio Trusiani

Abstract. — We provide an analytic proof of the openness of the existence of unique Kähler–Einstein metrics and establish uniform a priori estimates on the Kähler–Einstein potentials along degenerate families of \mathbb{Q} -Fano varieties. Moreover, we show that these Kähler–Einstein currents vary continuously, and we prove uniform Moser–Trudinger inequalities. The core of the article regards a notion of convergence of quasi-plurisubharmonic functions in families of normal Kähler varieties that we introduce and study here. We show that the Monge–Ampère energy is upper semi-continuous with respect to this convergence, and we establish a Demailly–Kollár result for functions with full Monge–Ampère mass.

Contents

Introduction.....	1
1. Preliminaries.....	5
2. Convergence of quasi-plurisubharmonic functions in families.....	7
3. Upper semi-continuity of Monge–Ampère energies in families.....	14
4. A Demailly–Kollár result in families.....	23
5. Geometric applications.....	29
References.....	36

Introduction

Finding a canonical metric on a compact Kähler manifold is a central topic in complex and differential geometry. Kähler–Einstein metrics are prototypical examples of canonical metrics. On a compact Kähler manifold X , a Kähler–Einstein metric ω_{KE} is a Kähler metric whose Ricci form is proportional to the Kähler form; namely

$$\text{Ric}(\omega_{\text{KE}}) = \lambda\omega_{\text{KE}}$$

for some $\lambda \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$. The notion of Kähler–Einstein metrics still makes sense on mildly singular varieties (cf. [EGZ09]). Such a metric is a genuine Kähler–Einstein metric on the smooth

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. — 14D06, 32Q20, 32U05, 32W20.

Key words and phrases. — Singular Kähler–Einstein metrics, Families of complex spaces, Complex Monge–Ampère operator, Fano varieties.

locus and has "bounded potential" near the singular set. Constructing a Kähler–Einstein metric boils down to solving a complex Monge–Ampère equation:

$$(\omega + \text{dd}^c \varphi)^n = e^{-\lambda \varphi} f \omega^n \quad \text{with } \varphi \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X),$$

where ω is a fixed smooth metric in the desired cohomology class, f is an L^p density function for some $p > 1$, and φ is the unknown. We denote by $\text{PSH}(X, \omega)$ the set of all ω -plurisubharmonic functions (see Section 1 for the precise definition).

The solution to the (singular) Calabi conjecture [Yau78, EGZ09] provides a powerful existence result of Kähler–Einstein metrics when the Ricci curvature is zero or negative, i.e. $\lambda = -1$ or 0. However, if X is Fano ($\lambda > 0$), the existence of Kähler–Einstein metrics in the anti-canonical class is more subtle. In 2015, Chen, Donaldson and Sun [CDS15a, CDS15b, CDS15c] proved that a Fano manifold X admits Kähler–Einstein metrics if and only if X is K-(poly)stable.

In birational geometry, Fano varieties and their families are central objects. Recall that a Fano variety is a normal variety such that $-K_X$ is a \mathbb{Q} -ample line bundle (these are also called \mathbb{Q} -Fano varieties in the literature). They often have rich geometry as they could have many interesting birational models, and they are also terminal objects in the Minimal Model Program. From the moduli space point of view, singular Fano varieties naturally appear as degenerations of Fano manifolds. The notion of K-stability plays a key role in recent developments in constructing well-behaved moduli spaces of Fano varieties (cf. [Oda15, SSY16, LWX19, BX19]). It is natural to wonder about the behavior of Kähler–Einstein metrics in a family of K-stable Fano varieties.

Spotti, Sun, and Yao [SSY16] have shown that if a family $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein, projective, *smoothing* of a K-stable \mathbb{Q} -Fano variety X_0 , then the Kähler–Einstein potentials are uniformly bounded over a small neighborhood near 0. Moreover, the Kähler–Einstein metrics vary smoothly away from the singular set. Their approach relies on careful estimates regarding cone metrics, which are one of the main arguments of the original proof of Chen–Donaldson–Sun.

On the other hand, a variational approach [BBGZ13, BBE⁺19, BBJ21] based on pluripotential theory plays an important role in constructing Kähler–Einstein metrics, and it also helps to simplify the proof of Chen–Donaldson–Sun. One of the strengths of this approach relies on its adaptability. On singular Fano varieties, Li–Tian–Wang [LTW21, LTW22] and Li [Li22] generalized the Yau–Tian–Donaldson correspondence by such a variational argument.

The purpose of this article is to develop a pluripotential approach to study families of Fano varieties. We introduce a notion of convergence of quasi-plurisubharmonic functions in families and extend certain theorems of pluripotential theory. Through a variational argument, we

- provide an analytic proof of the openness of the existence of Kähler–Einstein metrics;
- establish uniform a priori estimates of Kähler–Einstein potentials for general families of Fano varieties.

Openness. — Our first result is the following:

Theorem A (= Theorem 5.1). — *Let \mathcal{X} be an $(n + 1)$ -dimensional \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein variety and let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be a proper holomorphic surjective map with connected fibres. Assume that $-K_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{D}}$ is relatively ample, X_0 is klt, and $\text{Aut}(X_0)$ is discrete. If the central fibre X_0 admits a Kähler–Einstein metric, so do the nearby fibres.*

When $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is smooth, Theorem A is known by Koiso [Koi83, Prop. 10.1]. Spotti, Sun and Yao [SSY16, Thm. 1.1] proved Theorem A when $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is a smoothing. Let us stress

that we do not make any regularity assumptions on the fibres. Furthermore, the discreteness of the automorphism group on the central fibre is an important hypothesis as there are arbitrary small deformations of the Mukai–Umemura threefold which do not admit Kähler–Einstein metrics (see e.g. [Tia97, Cor. 1.3] and [Don07, Cor. 1]). Also, since $\text{Aut}(X_0)$ is discrete, the Kähler–Einstein metric is unique on each fibre close to X_0 .

By the singular Yau–Tian–Donaldson correspondence [LTW22, LXZ22], a Q-Fano variety with discrete automorphism group admits a Kähler–Einstein metric if and only if it is K-stable. Thus, Theorem A represents the analytic analog of the openness of K-stability proved in [BL22]. Let us emphasize that our analytic proof does not rely on the Yau–Tian–Donaldson correspondence and K-stability results.

Related to Theorem A and with the same hypothesis, we also prove a uniform version of the Moser–Trudinger inequality (see Proposition 5.6). To the authors' knowledge, this result represents the first solution in families to Aubin's "hypothèse fondamentale" [Aub84].

The uniform estimate. — A crucial step in finding Kähler–Einstein metrics is establishing a uniform L^∞ -estimate of the Kähler–Einstein potentials solving the complex Monge–Ampère equations. Let X be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension n , and let ω be a semi-positive form such that $V := \int_X \omega^n > 0$. Based on Kołodziej's pluripotential idea [Kol98] (see also [EGZ09]), Di Nezza, Guedj, and Guenancia [DGG20] obtained a very precise L^∞ -estimate of Kähler–Einstein potentials in cases of Calabi–Yau families and families of manifolds of general type. In the Fano case, as we explained before, the situation is more involved.

The second theme of this article is a uniform L^∞ -estimate of Kähler–Einstein potentials on a family of Q-Fano varieties and a natural continuity result of Kähler–Einstein currents.

Theorem B (= Theorem 5.4 and Corollary 5.5). — *Under the setting of Theorem A, let $\omega \in c_1(-K_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{D}})$ be a Kähler metric. Then there exists $C_{\text{MA}} > 0$ such that for all t sufficiently close to 0,*

$$\text{osc}_{X_t} \varphi_{\text{KE},t} \leq C_{\text{MA}},$$

where $\omega_{\text{KE},t} := \omega|_{X_t} + \text{dd}_i^c \varphi_{\text{KE},t}$ is the Kähler–Einstein metric on X_t .

In addition, $\varphi_{\text{KE},t} \in \text{PSH}(X_t, \omega_t)$ varies smoothly away from the singular locus, and for all $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, the following map is continuous near $0 \in \mathbb{D}$

$$t \mapsto \omega_{\text{KE},t}^k \wedge [X_t] \in (\mathcal{D}_{(n-k, n-k)}(\mathcal{X}))',$$

where $(\mathcal{D}_{(n-k, n-k)}(\mathcal{X}))'$ is the space of currents of bidimension $(n-k, n-k)$ (bidegree $(k+1, k+1)$).

In Theorem B, we denoted by $X_t := \pi^{-1}(t)$ the (schematic) fibre over $t \in \mathbb{D}$. By Theorem A the Q-Fano variety X_t admits a unique Kähler–Einstein metric for all t close to 0. We normalize the Kähler–Einstein potential $\varphi_{\text{KE},t} \in \text{PSH}(X_t, \omega_t)$ so that it solves the complex Monge–Ampère equation

$$\frac{1}{V} (\omega_t + \text{dd}_i^c \varphi_{\text{KE},t})^n = e^{-\varphi_{\text{KE},t}} \mu_t$$

where μ_t is the adapted probability measure (see Definition 1.2).

Comparing with the result of Spotti–Sun–Yao [SSY16, Thm. 1.3], in Theorem B, we do not require the family to be a smoothing of the central fibre. Furthermore, our pluripotential proof does not rely on the cone angles approach. We also extend Theorem B to log Fano pairs. Namely, our method covers the part of uniform estimate in *loc. cit.* in more general situations. For the stream of the article, we do not state the log version here (see Section 5.5 for details).

Highlights of the proofs. — We introduce a notion of the L^1 (resp. C^0, C^∞) convergence in families to establish a relative version of pluripotential theory and variational approach. See Definition 2.4 for more details. We underline that the L^1 -convergence in families is essentially equivalent to the convergence of the currents $(\omega_t + dd_t^c u_t) \wedge [X_t]$ to $(\omega_0 + dd_0^c u_0) \wedge [X_0]$ (see Proposition 2.10). We also establish two important results in classical pluripotential theory along sequences convergent in the family sense. They represent the key ingredients in the proofs of Theorems A and B.

On a fixed normal compact Kähler variety (X, ω) , the Monge–Ampère energy functional $\mathbf{E} : \text{PSH}(X, \omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ is a primitive of the complex Monge–Ampère operator (see Section 1 for more information). We obtain the upper semi-continuity of Monge–Ampère energies along a sequence L^1 converging in families.

Proposition C (= Proposition 3.1). — *Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be a proper surjective holomorphic map with connected fibres, which forms a family of varieties. Assume that X_0 is normal, and let ω be a Kähler metric given by the curvature of a positive \mathbb{Q} -line bundle \mathcal{L} over \mathcal{X} . If $u_k \in \text{PSH}(X_{t_k}, \omega_{t_k})$ L^1 -converges to $u_0 \in \text{PSH}(X_0, \omega_0)$ then*

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbf{E}_{t_k}(u_k) \leq \mathbf{E}_0(u_0).$$

We denoted by \mathbf{E}_t the Monge–Ampère energy functional on the fibre X_t with respect to ω_t . Let us stress that the Monge–Ampère operator is not continuous along a L^1 -convergent sequence (cf. [Lel83]). On a fixed variety, the upper semi-continuity of Monge–Ampère energy is a consequence of the monotonicity of \mathbf{E} and an envelope argument. However, in the family setting, several difficulties appear in comparing functions on different fibres, varying complex structures, and singularities. The proof consists in constructing and studying well-behaved algebraic approximations by the Bergman kernels of the line bundle, and then controlling the difference between algebraic approximations and the original sequence.

The second element is a Demailly–Kollár type result along sequences of functions in the class of full Monge–Ampère potentials (class \mathcal{E} , see Section 1 for the definition) converging in families:

Proposition D (= Proposition 4.6). — *Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be a proper surjective holomorphic map with connected fibres, which forms a family of varieties. Assume that X_0 is normal, and let ω be a Kähler form on \mathcal{X} . If $u_k \in \mathcal{E}(X_{t_k}, \omega_{t_k})$ L^1 -converges to $u_0 \in \mathcal{E}(X_0, \omega_0)$ then for all $\gamma > 0$,*

$$\int_{X_{t_k}} e^{-\gamma u_k} \omega_{t_k}^n \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{X_0} e^{-\gamma u_0} \omega_0^n.$$

In addition, if $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein family and X_0 has only klt singularities, then for all $\gamma > 0$,

$$\int_{X_{t_k}} e^{-\gamma u_k} d\mu_{t_k} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{X_0} e^{-\gamma u_0} d\mu_0,$$

where μ_t is an adapted measure on X_t (see Section 4.3.1).

The proof of the main result of Demailly–Kollár [DK01] heavily relies on the Ohsawa–Takegoshi theorem, which is not available on singular varieties. Thus, Proposition D is not trivial even on a fixed fibre. We strongly use here the assumption of full Monge–Ampère masses.

Organization of the article. — Section 1 is a quick overview of pluripotential notions throughout the article. In Section 2, we introduce a concept of *convergence in families* and study Hartogs’ type properties along a convergent sequence in this sense. Section 3 and Section 4 are devoted to prove Proposition C and Proposition D, respectively. Finally, in Section 5, we combine the elements developed in the previous sections to establish Theorems A and B.

Acknowledgements. — The authors are grateful to Vincent Guedj and Henri Guenancia for their constant supports, suggestions, and encouragements. C.-M. Pan is partially supported by the EUR MINT project ANR-18-EURE-0023 and the research project HERMETIC ANR-11-LABX-0040. A. Trusiani is supported by a grant from the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation.

1. Preliminaries

In this section, we review definitions and some properties of the variational approach that we are going to use in the sequel. We define the twisted exterior derivative $d^c := \frac{i}{4\pi}(\bar{\partial} - \partial)$ so that $dd^c = \frac{i}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}$. Let (X, ω) be an n -dimensional compact normal Kähler variety. By variety, we mean an irreducible reduced complex analytic space.

1.1. Monge–Ampère energy. — Denote by $\text{PSH}(X, \omega)$ the set of ω -plurisubharmonic (ω -psh) functions which are not identically $-\infty$. Elements $u \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega)$ are locally given as a sum of a plurisubharmonic function and a smooth function, and they satisfy $\omega + dd^c u \geq 0$ in the sense of currents (see [Dem85, EGZ09] for details on singular varieties). By Bedford–Taylor’s theory [BT82], the Monge–Ampère operator can be extended to globally bounded ω -psh functions on smooth domains. In singular setting, the Monge–Ampère operator of locally bounded psh functions can also be defined by taking zero through singular locus (cf. [Dem85]).

For all $u \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$, the Monge–Ampère energy is explicitly given by

$$\mathbf{E}(u) := \frac{1}{(n+1)V} \sum_{j=0}^n \int_X u(\omega + dd^c u)^j \wedge \omega^{n-j}$$

where $V := \int_X \omega^n$ is the volume of X with respect to ω . One can derive the following elementary properties:

- for $u \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbf{E}(u + c) = \mathbf{E}(u) + c$;
- for $u, v \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$, if $u \leq v$, then $\mathbf{E}(u) \leq \mathbf{E}(v)$ and with equality if and only if $u = v$.

By the second property, \mathbf{E} admits a unique extension to the whole $\text{PSH}(X, \omega)$ defined as

$$\mathbf{E}(u) := \inf \{ \mathbf{E}(v) \mid u \leq v, v \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X) \} \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}.$$

Following the same proof as in the [GZ17, Prop. 10.19]), one can check that such an extension \mathbf{E} is still monotone and upper semi-continuous with respect to the L^1 -convergence. We set

$$\mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega) := \{ u \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega) \mid \mathbf{E}(u) > -\infty \}$$

for the finite (Monge–Ampère) energy class.

The class $\mathcal{E}(X, \omega)$ of ω -psh functions with full Monge–Ampère mass is defined as

$$\mathcal{E}(X, \omega) := \left\{ u \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega) \mid \lim_{j \rightarrow -\infty} \int_X \mathbb{1}_{\{u > -j\}} (\omega + dd^c \max\{u, -j\})^n = V \right\}.$$

Note that one has $\mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega) \subset \mathcal{E}(X, \omega)$ by the similar argument as in [GZ17, Prop. 10.16].

Remark 1.1. — The reference [GZ17] that we quoted before deals with a reference form ω , which is semi-positive and big on a compact Kähler manifold. In the singular setting, after resolving the singularities, the proof of the properties which we recalled in Section 1.1 can be reduced to the same cases on the desingularization. Since the Monge–Ampère measure of bounded functions puts no mass on the exceptional set, one can deduce the properties on the singular cases.

1.2. L^1 metric geometry. — The finite energy class $\mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega)$ can be endowed with the distance

$$d_1(u, v) := \mathbf{E}(u) + \mathbf{E}(v) - 2\mathbf{E}(P_\omega(u, v))$$

[Dar17, Thm. 2.1], [DG18, Thm. B]. Here we denote by

$$P_\omega(u, v) := \left(\sup \{w \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega) \mid w \leq \min(u, v)\} \right)^*$$

the largest ω -psh function smaller than the minimum between u, v [RW14]. The metric topology on $(\mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega), d_1)$ is the coarsest refinement of the L^1 -topology that makes the Monge–Ampère energy continuous, and it is related to the stability of solutions of complex Monge–Ampère equations (see [BBE⁺19, Tru23]). Moreover, $(\mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega), d_1)$ is a complete metric space and any two elements $u, v \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega)$ can be joined by a (weak) geodesic segment given as a solution of a homogeneous Monge–Ampère equation [Dar17, Thm. 2.1], [DG18, Thm. A].

1.3. Variational approach to Kähler–Einstein metrics. — We now assume that X has Kawamata log terminal (klt) singularities. Namely, for any desingularization $p : Y \rightarrow X$,

$$K_Y = p^*K_X + \sum_i a_i E_i \quad \text{with } a_i > -1$$

where E_i 's are irreducible components of the exceptional divisor $\text{Exc}(p)$.

Definition 1.2. — Suppose that K_X is m -Cartier for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let h^m be a smooth metric on mK_X . Taking Ω a local generator of mK_X , the adapted measure associated with h^m is defined as

$$\mu_h := i^{n^2} \left(\frac{\Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}}{|\Omega|_{h^m}^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}}.$$

Note that this definition does not depend on the choice of Ω .

By the klt assumption, one has $\mu_h = f\omega^n$ for some $f \in L^p(X, \omega^n)$ with $p > 1$ (cf. [EGZ09, Sec. 6]). Rescaling h , we may assume that μ_h is a probability measure.

Now, we further assume that X is \mathbb{Q} -Fano and $\omega \in c_1(X)$. The Ding functional $\mathbf{D} : \mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ associated with μ_h is

$$\mathbf{D}(u) := -\mathbf{E}(u) - \log \left(\int_X e^{-u} d\mu_h \right).$$

The Euler–Lagrange equation of \mathbf{D} is the following complex Monge–Ampère equation

$$\frac{1}{V} (\omega + \text{dd}^c u)^n = \frac{e^{-u} \mu_h}{\int_X e^{-u} d\mu_h}. \quad (1.1)$$

Solutions in $\mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega)$ of (1.1) are actually genuine Kähler–Einstein metrics on the smooth locus, and the potentials are continuous on X (see [EGZ09, Thm. A] and [CGZ13, Cor. C]).

We can now state the following analytic characterization on the existence of Kähler–Einstein metrics:

Theorem 1.3 ([DR17, DG18]). — *Suppose that X is klt \mathbb{Q} -Fano and $\text{Aut}(X)$ is discrete. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exists a Kähler–Einstein metric;*
- (ii) *The Ding functional is coercive, i.e. there exist $A \in (0, 1)$ and $B \geq 0$ such that for all $u \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{norm}}^1(X, \omega) := \{v \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega) \mid \sup_X v = 0\}$,*

$$\mathbf{D}(u) \geq A(-\mathbf{E}(u)) - B;$$

A key result in proving Theorem 1.3 is the following convexity theorem due to Berndtson [Ber15] (see also [BBE⁺19] for the singular setting).

Theorem 1.4 ([Ber15, Thm. 1.1], [BBE⁺19, Lem. 4.6]). — *Let $[0, 1] \ni t \rightarrow u_t \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega)$ be a (weak) geodesic segment. Then $[0, 1] \ni t \rightarrow -\log \left(\int_X e^{-u_t} d\mu_h \right)$ is convex, while $[0, 1] \ni t \rightarrow \mathbf{E}(u_t)$ is affine. In particular, the Ding functional is geodesically convex.*

2. Convergence of quasi-plurisubharmonic functions in families

In this section, we define a notion of convergence of quasi-psh functions in families. Then we study Hartogs' type properties with respect to this topology.

2.1. Setting and known facts. — In the sequel, we always assume that a family $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ fits in the following setup:

Setting (GSN). — *Let \mathcal{X} be an $(n + 1)$ -dimensional, irreducible, and reduced complex Kähler space. Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ denote a proper, surjective, holomorphic map such that each fibre $X_t := \pi^{-1}(t)$ is an irreducible, reduced, compact Kähler space for any $t \in \mathbb{D}$. In addition, assume that X_0 is normal.*

Let ω be a Kähler metric on \mathcal{X} . Namely, ω is a Kähler metric ω on \mathcal{X}^{reg} such that given any local embedding $j : \mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$, ω extends smoothly to a Kähler metric defined on an open neighborhood of $\text{im}(j)$. For each $t \in \mathbb{D}$, ω induces a Kähler metric ω_t on X_t by restriction (i.e. $\omega_t := \omega|_{X_t}$).

Remark 2.1. — The volume $V_t := \int_{X_t} \omega_t^n$ is independent of $t \in \mathbb{D}$ (cf. [DGG20, Lem. 2.2]). We shall denote it by V in the following.

Remark 2.2. — From [Gro66, Thm. 12.2.1 (v)], normality is open on the base \mathbb{D} if the map π is flat; namely, X_t is normal for all t sufficiently close to zero. On the other hand, if X_t is normal for every $t \in \mathbb{D}$, then so is \mathcal{X} by [Gro65, Cor. 5.12.7]. Therefore, up to shrinking \mathbb{D} , we can assume that \mathcal{X} and $(X_t)_{t \in \mathbb{D}}$ are normal.

We recall uniform integrability results of Skoda–Zeriahi and Sup- L^1 comparison of quasi-psh functions in families from [DGG20, Thm. 2.9] and [Ou22, Cor. 4.8]:

Theorem 2.3. — *In Setting (GSN), there exist constants $C_{SL} > 0$, $\alpha > 0$, and $C_\alpha > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{X_t} \psi_t - C_{SL} \leq \frac{1}{V} \int_{X_t} \psi_t \omega_t^n, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{X_t} e^{-\alpha(\psi_t - \sup_{X_t} \psi_t)} \omega_t^n \leq C_\alpha$$

for all $t \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}_{1/2}$ and for every $\psi_t \in \text{PSH}(X_t, \omega_t)$.

2.2. Definition of the convergence in families. — Now, we are going to introduce a notion of convergence of quasi-psh functions on different fibres towards a quasi-psh function on the central fibre. A similar concept has shown up in [BGL22, Prop. 6.6] on a locally trivial family. It is natural to look for an analogous convergence in more general settings.

We first fix a few notations. Let \mathcal{Z} be the singular set of the map π . For each point $x \in X_0^{\text{reg}}$, up to shrinking \mathbb{D} , there is a chart $U_0 \Subset X_0^{\text{reg}}$ containing x , an open subset $\mathcal{U} \Subset \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{Z}$ with $\mathcal{U} \cap X_0 = U_0$, and an isomorphism $F : U_0 \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F : U_0 \times \mathbb{D} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{U} \\ & \searrow \text{pr}_2 & \swarrow \pi \\ & & \mathbb{D} \end{array}$$

commutes and $F|_{U_0} = \text{Id}_{U_0}$. We denote by $F_t : U_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} U_t := \mathcal{U} \cap X_t$ the isomorphism induced by F .

Definition 2.4. — For all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let u_{t_k} be a ω_{t_k} -psh function on X_{t_k} and $t_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. We say that the sequence $(u_{t_k})_k$ converges to $u_0 \in \text{PSH}(X_0, \omega_0)$ in L^1 (resp. $\mathcal{C}^0, \mathcal{C}^\infty$) if for all data (U_0, F, \mathcal{U}) as above, $u_{t_k} \circ F_{t_k}$ converges to u_0 in $L^1(U_0)$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}^0(U_0), \mathcal{C}^\infty(U_0)$).

In the sequel, we call such a sense of L^1 (resp. $\mathcal{C}^0, \mathcal{C}^\infty$) convergence the *convergence in families* (resp. $\mathcal{C}^0, \mathcal{C}^\infty$ -convergence in families) or we say a sequence *converging in the family sense* (resp. $\mathcal{C}^0, \mathcal{C}^\infty$ -converging in the family sense).

To check Definition 2.4 is well-defined, we recall a consequence of Hartogs' lemma (see e.g. [GZ17, Thm. 1.46]):

Lemma 2.5. — Let Ω be a domain in \mathbb{C}^n . If $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \text{PSH}(\Omega)^{\mathbb{N}}$ converges to a psh function u in $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$, then for any sequence $(x_k)_k$ converges to $x \in \Omega$,

$$u(x) \geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} u_k(x_k).$$

Remark 2.6. — Using Lemma 2.5, one can prove that the convergence from Definition 2.4 does not depend on the choice of charts and local isomorphisms. To see this, we let u_1 (resp. u_2) be the L^1 -limit of $\phi_k := u_{t_k} \circ F_{t_k}$ (resp. $\psi_k := u_{t_k} \circ G_{t_k}$) on U_0 (resp. V_0). Fix $x \in U_0 \cap V_0$ and consider $x_k := (F_{t_k})^{-1} \circ G_{t_k}(x)$ which converges to x as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. Using Lemma 2.5, we obtain

$$u_1(x) \geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \phi_k(x_k) = \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \psi_k(x).$$

According to the classical Hartogs' lemma (cf. [GZ17, Thm. 1.46]), one has $u_2(x) \geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \psi_k(x)$ and the equality holds almost everywhere; hence $u_1 \geq u_2$ almost everywhere on $U_0 \cap V_0$ and so is everywhere. Then exchanging u_1 and u_2 in the argument, one can infer $u_2 \geq u_1$ on $U_0 \cap V_0$. This completes the proof.

Notations 2.7. — In the sequel, we shall simply denote t_k by k , as well as $X_k := X_{t_k}$ and $\omega_k := \omega_{t_k}$. We often write $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \text{PSH}_{\text{fibre}}(\mathcal{X}, \omega)$ for a sequence such that $u_k \in \text{PSH}(X_k, \omega_k)$ for each k .

On the other hand, let \mathcal{Z} be the singular locus of π . For an open subset $\mathcal{U} \Subset \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{Z}$, we say that a data $(B_\alpha, F_\alpha, \mathcal{B}_\alpha)_\alpha$ is a locally trivial finite cover of \mathcal{U} if

- the set of indices is finite;
- each B_α is a relatively compact open subset on X_0^{reg} and B_α is isomorphic to a ball of radius r_α in \mathbb{C}^n ; we also denote by $B_\alpha = B^{X_0}(x_\alpha, r_\alpha)$ where x_α is the center;

- up to shrinking \mathbb{D} , F_α is an isomorphism between $B_\alpha \times \mathbb{D}$ and \mathcal{B}_α , and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_\alpha : B_\alpha \times \mathbb{D} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{B}_\alpha \\ & \searrow \text{pr}_2 & \swarrow \pi \\ & \mathbb{D} & \end{array}$$

is a commutative diagram;

- $U_0 := \mathcal{U} \cap X_0 \subset \bigcup_\alpha (\frac{1}{2}B_\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{U} \subset \bigcup_\alpha (\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{B}_\alpha)$ up to shrinking \mathbb{D} where $r\mathcal{B}_\alpha = F_\alpha(rB_\alpha \times \mathbb{D})$ and $rB_\alpha = B^{X_0}(x_0, r \cdot r_\alpha)$.

We denote by $F_{\alpha,t} : B_\alpha \xrightarrow{\sim} B_{\alpha,t} := \mathcal{B}_\alpha \cap X_t$ the isomorphism induced by F_α .

2.3. Hartogs' type results. — In this section, we study Hartogs' type results and the continuity of the supremum along the convergence in families.

Proposition 2.8. — *The following hold:*

- Let $(u_k)_k \in \text{PSH}_{\text{fibre}}(\mathcal{X}, \omega)$ be a sequence with $t_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. Assume that $(\sup_{X_k} u_k)_k$ is uniformly bounded, then there is a subsequence converging to a function $u_0 \in \text{PSH}(X_0, \omega_0)$.
- If $(u_k)_k \in \text{PSH}_{\text{fibre}}(\mathcal{X}, \omega)$ converges to $u_0 \in \text{PSH}(X_0, \omega_0)$, then $(\sup_{X_k} u_k)_k$ is bounded and the following lower semi-continuity holds:

$$\sup_{X_0} u_0 \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{X_k} u_k.$$

Proof. — We first prove (i). Without loss of generality, one may assume that $(u_k)_k$ are negative functions. From Theorem 2.3, the sequence $(\int_{X_k} u_k \omega_k^n)_k$ is bounded. One can find countably many double cover $(U_{j,0})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(V_{j,0})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of X_0^{reg} with $V_{j,0} \Subset U_{j,0}$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and families of biholomorphisms $(F_t^{U_{j,0}})_j$. Since $(\int_{X_k} u_k \omega_k^n)_k$ is bounded, for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $(\int_{U_{j,0}} (F_k^{U_{j,0}})^* u_k dV_{\text{eucl}})_k$ is also bounded. Applying Hartogs' lemma, there exists a subsequence of $(u_k)_k$ such that $((F_k^{U_{j,0}})^* u_k)_k$ converges to a function $u_0 \in \text{PSH}(V_{1,0}, \omega_0)$ along the subsequence. Abusing notation, we still denote the above subsequence by $(u_k)_k$. Take $V_{2,0}$ with $V_{2,0} \cap V_{1,0} \neq \emptyset$. Next, by the same reason, the family $(F_k^{U_{2,0}})^* u_k$ is also relatively compact in $L_{\text{loc}}^1(U_{2,j})$. Then one can again extract a convergent subsequence and the limit coincide with u_0 on $V_{1,0} \cap V_{2,0}$ by Remark 2.6. Iterating the argument and taking the diagonal subsequence, we obtain a convergent subsequence in the sense of Definition 2.4. The limit function $u_0 \in \text{PSH}(X_0^{\text{reg}}, \omega_0)$ extends to a function $u_0 \in \text{PSH}(X_0, \omega_0)$ by normality of X_0 and Grauert–Riemert's theorem [GR56]. In particular, u_0 is not identically $-\infty$.

We now deal with (ii). On each open chart $U_0 \Subset X_0^{\text{reg}}$ with a family of biholomorphisms F_t to a chart U_t of nearby fibre X_t and $F_0 = \text{Id}_{U_0}$, the sequence $(F_k^* u_k)_k$ converges to u_0 in $L^1(U_0)$. Therefore, for a.e. $x \in U_0$,

$$u_0(x) = \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} F_k^* u_k(x) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{X_k} u_k.$$

It implies that $u_0|_{X_0^{\text{reg}}} \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{X_k} u_k$ by plurisubharmonicity and thus

$$\sup_{X_0} u_0 = \sup_{z \in X_0} \left(\limsup_{X_0^{\text{reg}} \ni x \rightarrow z} u_0(x) \right) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{X_k} u_k.$$

To control $(\sup_{X_k} u_k)_k$ from above, we argue by contradiction. Assume that there is a sequence $(u_k)_k$ converging in families and $(\sup_{X_k} u_k)_k$ is not bounded from above. After extracting and relabeling, one can assume that $(\sup_{X_k} u_k)_k$ increases to $+\infty$. Then for each j fixed, we have the sequence $(u_k - \sup_{X_j} u_j)_k$ converges to $u_0 - \sup_{X_j} u_j$ in families. On the other hand, by Proposition 2.8, the sequence $(u_k - \sup_{X_k} u_k)_k$ contains a convergent subsequence to $u \in \text{PSH}(X_0, \omega_0)$ which is not identically $-\infty$. Since $u_k - \sup_{X_j} u_j \geq u_k - \sup_{X_k} u_k$ for all $k \geq j$, we have $u_0 - \sup_{X_j} u_j \geq u$ for all $j \in \mathbb{I}\mathbb{D}$. Taking $j \rightarrow +\infty$, this yields a contradiction. \square

Remark 2.9. — If $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}\mathbb{D}$ is trivial (i.e. there is a biholomorphism $f : \mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{\sim} X_0 \times \mathbb{I}\mathbb{D}$ satisfying $\pi = f \circ \text{pr}_2$) and $u_k \in \text{PSH}(X_k, \omega_k)$ is a sequence converging to $u_0 \in \text{PSH}(X_0, \omega_0)$, the convergence defined in Definition 2.4 is the usual L^1 -convergence on X_0 .

Indeed, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, one can find open subset $\mathcal{Z}_{0,\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{W}_{0,\varepsilon} \subset X_0$ containing X_0^{sing} and satisfying $\text{Vol}_{\omega_0}(\mathcal{W}_{0,\varepsilon}) < \varepsilon/2$. We choose finite open charts $(U_{j,0})_j$ of X_0^{reg} which covers $\mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon$. By triviality of the family, one can identify these data to any X_t . Therefore, up to shrinking $\mathbb{I}\mathbb{D}$, we obtain an open set $\mathcal{W}_{t,\varepsilon}$ near X_t^{sing} such that $\text{Vol}_{\omega_t}(\mathcal{W}_{t,\varepsilon}) < \varepsilon$ and a locally trivial finite cover $(B_\alpha, F_\alpha, \mathcal{B}_\alpha)_\alpha$ of $\mathcal{X} \setminus \overline{\mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon}$. Since $(u_k)_k$ is a sequence which converges to u_0 in the family sense, $u_k \circ F_{\alpha,k}$ converges to u_0 in $L^1(B_\alpha)$. By Proposition 2.8 (ii), we may assume that u_k are negative and $-D$ is a lower bound of $(\sup_{X_k} u_k)_k$ and $\sup_{X_0} u_0$. For every t close to zero, one can find a uniform constant $C > 0$ such that ω_0 and ω_t are quasi-isometric (i.e. $C^{-1}\omega_0 \leq \omega_t \leq C\omega_0$).

Near singularities, applying Hölder inequality and Theorem 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{W}_{0,\varepsilon}} |u_k \circ F_k - u_0| \omega_0^n &\leq \int_{\mathcal{W}_{t_k,\varepsilon}} |u_k| \omega_0^n + \int_{\mathcal{W}_{0\varepsilon}} |u_0| \omega_0^n \\ &\leq C \text{Vol}_{\omega_k}(\mathcal{W}_{t_k,\varepsilon})^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathcal{W}_{t_k,\varepsilon}} |u_k|^2 \omega_k^n \right)^{1/2} + \text{Vol}_{\omega_0}(\mathcal{W}_{0,\varepsilon})^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathcal{W}_{0,\varepsilon}} |u_0|^2 \omega_0^n \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq (C+1)\varepsilon^{1/2} \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2}} e^{\alpha D/2} \left[\left(\int_{X_k} e^{-\alpha(u_k - \sup_{X_k} u_k)} \omega_k^n \right)^{1/2} + \left(\int_{X_0} e^{-\alpha(u_0 - \sup_{X_0} u_0)} \omega_0^n \right)^{1/2} \right] \\ &\leq (C+1)\alpha e^{\alpha D/2} C_\alpha^{1/2} \varepsilon^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in trivial families, we show that if $(u_k)_k$ converges to u_0 in the family sense, then the convergence is the usual L^1 -convergence. The reverse implication is obvious.

Therefore, the convergence in families is a natural extension of the usual L^1 -convergence. Next, we prove that along a sequence $(u_k)_k$ converging in families, the associated currents $(\text{dd}^c u_k \wedge [X_k])_k$ also converges to $\text{dd}^c u_0 \wedge [X_0]$ in the sense of currents on the total space \mathcal{X} .

Proposition 2.10. — *The following hold:*

- (i) *If $(u_k)_k \in \text{PSH}_{\text{fibre}}(\mathcal{X}, \omega)$ converges to $u_0 \in \text{PSH}(X_0, \omega_0)$, then $\text{dd}^c u_k \wedge [X_k]$ converges to $\text{dd}^c u_0 \wedge [X_0]$ in the sense of currents on \mathcal{X} .*
- (ii) *Let $(u_k)_k \in \text{PSH}_{\text{fibre}}(\mathcal{X}, \omega)$ with $t_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\sup_{X_k} u_k = 0$. Assume that there exists $u_0 \in \text{PSH}(X_0, \omega_0)$ with $\sup_{X_0} u_0 = 0$ and $(\text{dd}^c u_k \wedge [X_k])_k$ converges to $\text{dd}^c u_0 \wedge [X_0]$ in the sense of currents. Then up to extracting a subsequence, $(u_k)_k$ converges to $u_0 + c$ for some constant $c < 0$.*

Proof. — We first deal with the first part (i). Without loss of generality, we may assume that $(u_k)_k$ and u_0 are negative. Let $D \geq 0$ be a constant such that $\sup_{X_k} u_k \geq -D$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$\sup_{X_0} u_0 \geq -D$. By Theorem 2.3, we have for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\int_{X_k} |u_k|^p \omega_k^n \leq \frac{p!}{\alpha^p} e^{-\alpha \sup_{X_k} u_k} \int_{X_k} e^{-\alpha(u_k - \sup_{X_k} u_k)} \omega_k^n \leq \frac{p!}{\alpha^p} e^{\alpha D} C_\alpha. \quad (2.1)$$

Fix a test $(n-1, n-1)$ -form η . There is a constant $C_\eta \geq 0$ such that $-C_\eta \omega^n \leq \text{dd}^c \eta \leq C_\eta \omega^n$. We set $\eta_t := \eta|_{X_t}$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, we find open sets $\mathcal{W}_{\varepsilon/2} \Subset \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon$ in \mathcal{X} both containing X_0^{sing} such that

$$\text{Vol}_{\omega_t}(X_t \cap \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon, \quad \text{Vol}_{\omega_t}(X_t \cap \mathcal{W}_{\varepsilon/2}) < \varepsilon/2 \quad (2.2)$$

for all t sufficiently close to 0. We set $\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon := \mathcal{X} \setminus \overline{\mathcal{W}_{\varepsilon/2}}$. Up to shrinking \mathbb{D} , we take $(B_\alpha, F_\alpha, \mathcal{B}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in J}$ a locally trivial finite cover of \mathcal{U}_α . One can find $(\chi_\alpha)_{\alpha \in J} \cup \{\chi_{\mathcal{W}}\}$ cutoffs satisfying the following conditions:

- $\chi_{\mathcal{W}}$ is supported in \mathcal{W}_ε and for each α , χ_j is supported in \mathcal{B}_α ;
- $\chi_{\mathcal{W}} + \sum_{\alpha \in J} \chi_\alpha \equiv 1$ on $\pi^{-1}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_r)$ for some $r > 0$ sufficiently small.

Write $\chi_{\alpha,t} := \chi_\alpha|_{X_t}$ and $\chi_{\mathcal{W},t} := \chi_{\mathcal{W}}|_{X_t}$. We have the following estimate for the desired quantity:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \langle \eta, \text{dd}^c u_k \wedge [X_k] \rangle - \langle \eta, \text{dd}^c u_0 \wedge [X_0] \rangle \right| = \left| \int_{\mathcal{X}} \eta \wedge (\text{dd}^c u_k \wedge [X_k] - \text{dd}^c u_0 \wedge [X_0]) \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathcal{X}} \text{dd}^c \eta \wedge (u_k [X_k] - u_0 [X_0]) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \sum_{\alpha \in J} \int_{B_\alpha} \chi_\alpha \text{dd}^c \eta \wedge (u_k [X_k] - u_0 [X_0]) \right| + \left| \int_{\mathcal{W}_\varepsilon} \chi_{\mathcal{W}} \text{dd}^c \eta \wedge (u_k [X_k] - u_0 [X_0]) \right| \\ &\leq \underbrace{\left| \sum_{\alpha \in J} \left(\int_{B_{\alpha,k}} u_k \chi_{\alpha,k} \text{dd}^c_k \eta_k - \int_{B_{\alpha,0}} u_0 \chi_{\alpha,0} \text{dd}^c_0 \eta_0 \right) \right|}_{=: \text{I}} + C_\eta \underbrace{\left(\int_{X_k \cap \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon} |u_k| \omega_k^n + \int_{X_0 \cap \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon} |u_0| \omega_0^n \right)}_{=: \text{II}}. \end{aligned}$$

We first control the first term I. Since $(F_{\alpha,k}^* \omega_k^n)_k$ converges to ω_0^n locally smoothly on B_α as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, one can find a uniform constant $C_V \geq 1$ such that for all α and for all k large,

$$\frac{F_{\alpha,k}^* \omega_k^n}{\omega_0^n} \leq C_V.$$

which implies

$$\left| \frac{F_{\alpha,k}^* (\chi_{\alpha,k} \text{dd}^c_k \eta_k)}{\omega_0^n} \right| \leq \left| \frac{F_{\alpha,k}^* (\text{dd}^c_k \eta_k)}{F_{\alpha,k}^* \omega_k^n} \right| \frac{F_{\alpha,k}^* \omega_k^n}{\omega_0^n} \leq C_\eta C_V \quad (2.3)$$

over B_α . For all k large, we have for all α ,

$$\left| \frac{F_{\alpha,k}^* (\chi_{\alpha,k} \text{dd}^c_k \eta_k) - \chi_{\alpha,0} \text{dd}^c_0 \eta_0}{\omega_0^n} \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{|J|} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{B_\alpha} |u_k \circ F_{t_k} - u_0| \omega_0^n \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{|J|}. \quad (2.4)$$

By Hölder inequality, (2.1), (2.3) and (2.4), we get following estimate for the first term I:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{I} &\leq \sum_{\alpha \in J} \int_{B_\alpha} \left| (u_k \circ F_{\alpha,k}) \frac{F_{\alpha,k}^*(\chi_{\alpha,k} \text{dd}^c \eta_k)}{\omega_0^n} - u_0 \frac{\chi_{\alpha,0} \text{dd}^c \eta_0}{\omega_0^n} \right| \omega_0^n \\
&\leq \sum_{\alpha \in J} \int_{B_\alpha} |u_k \circ F_{\alpha,k} - u_0| \left| \frac{F_{\alpha,k}^*(\chi_{\alpha,k} \text{dd}^c \eta_k)}{\omega_0^n} \right| \omega_0^n + \sum_{\alpha \in J} \int_{B_\alpha} |u_0| \left| \frac{F_{\alpha,k}^*(\chi_{\alpha,k} \text{dd}^c \eta_k)}{\omega_0^n} - \frac{\chi_{\alpha,0} \text{dd}^c \eta_0}{\omega_0^n} \right| \omega_0^n \\
&\leq C_\eta C_V \sum_{\alpha \in J} \int_{B_\alpha} |u_k \circ F_{\alpha,k} - u_0| \omega_0^n + \sum_{\alpha \in J} \left(\int_{X_0} |u_0|^2 \omega_0^n \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{B_\alpha} \left| \frac{F_{\alpha,k}^*(\chi_{\alpha,k} \text{dd}^c \eta_k)}{\omega_0^n} - \frac{\chi_{\alpha,0} \text{dd}^c \eta_0}{\omega_0^n} \right|^2 \omega_0^n \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq C_\eta C_V \varepsilon + \left(\frac{2}{\alpha^2} e^{\alpha D} C_\alpha V \right)^{1/2} \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

By Hölder inequality, (2.1) and (2.2), one obtains a control on the second part II:

$$\text{II} \leq \left(\int_{X_k \cap \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon} |u_k|^2 \omega_k^n \right)^{1/2} \text{Vol}_{\omega_k}^{1/2}(X_k \cap \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon) + \left(\int_{X_0 \cap \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon} |u_0|^2 \omega_0^n \right)^{1/2} \text{Vol}_{\omega_0}^{1/2}(X_0 \cap \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon) \leq 2 \left(\frac{2}{\alpha^2} e^{\alpha D} C_\alpha \right)^{1/2} \varepsilon^{1/2}.$$

All in all, we obtain that for all k large,

$$|\langle \eta, \text{dd}^c u_k \wedge [X_k] \rangle - \langle \eta, \text{dd}^c u_0 \wedge [X_0] \rangle| \leq C_\eta C_V \varepsilon + \left(\frac{2}{\alpha^2} e^{\alpha D} C_\alpha V \right)^{1/2} \varepsilon + 2 \left(\frac{2}{\alpha^2} e^{\alpha D} C_\alpha \right)^{1/2} \varepsilon^{1/2}$$

which implies that $\text{dd}^c u_k \wedge [X_k]$ converges to $\text{dd}^c u_0 \wedge [X_0]$ in the sense of currents.

We now show the second part (ii). By Proposition 2.8, we can extract a subsequence converging in families towards $v_0 \in \text{PSH}(X_0, \omega_0)$ and $\sup_{X_0} v_0 \leq 0$. We have $\text{dd}^c u_0 \wedge [X_0] = \text{dd}^c v_0 \wedge [X_0]$. Then $u_0 - v_0$ is a pluriharmonic distribution on X_0 ; hence $u_0 - v_0$ is constant, and $u_0 = v_0 - \sup_{X_0} v_0$ by the normalization of u_0 . \square

In Proposition 2.8 (ii), if $(u_k)_k$ converges to u_0 in the family sense, we obtain an upper bound of $\sup_{X_0} u_0$ in terms of $(\sup_{X_k} u_k)_k$. One may wonder whether there is a good formulation for the lower bound of $\sup_{X_0} u_0$ in terms of the $(\sup_{X_k} u_k)_k$. We next prove the convergence of $(\int_{X_k} u_k \omega_k^n)_k$ toward $\int_{X_0} u_0 \omega_0^n$ and derive a lower bound for $\sup_{X_0} u_0$.

Lemma 2.11. — *If $(u_k)_k \in \text{PSH}_{\text{fibre}}(\mathcal{X}, \omega)$ converges to $u_0 \in \text{PSH}(X_0, \omega_0)$, then*

$$\int_{X_k} u_k \omega_k^n \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{X_0} u_0 \omega_0^n.$$

Moreover, one has

$$\sup_{X_0} u_0 \geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\sup_{X_k} u_k \right) - C_{SL},$$

where C_{SL} is a uniform constant in the sup- L^1 comparison in families (see Theorem 2.3).

Proof. — The proof follows the same idea as in Proposition 2.10. We use the same background data as in the proof of Proposition 2.10. By Hölder inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{X_k} u_k \omega_k^n - \int_{X_0} u_0 \omega_0^n \right| \\ & \leq \left| \sum_{\alpha \in J} \left(\int_{B_{\alpha,k}} \chi_{\alpha,k} u_k \omega_k^n - \int_{B_\alpha} \chi_{\alpha,0} u_0 \omega_0^n \right) \right| + \left| \int_{X_k \cap \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon} \chi_{\mathcal{W},k} u_k \omega_k^n \right| + \left| \int_{X_0 \cap \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon} \chi_{\mathcal{W},0} u_0 \omega_0^n \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{\alpha \in J} \int_{B_\alpha} \left| \frac{F_{\alpha,k}^*(\chi_{\alpha,k} u_k \omega_k^n)}{\omega_0^n} - \chi_{\alpha,0} u_0 \right| \omega_0^n + 2 \left(\frac{2}{\alpha^2} e^{\alpha D} C_\alpha \right) \varepsilon^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(F_{\alpha,k}^* u_k)_k$ converges to u_0 in $L^1(B_\alpha)$ and $\left(\frac{F_{\alpha,k}^*(\chi_{\alpha,k} \omega_k^n)}{\omega_0^n} \right)_k$ converges smoothly to $\chi_{\alpha,0}$ on B_α , we have

$$\int_{B_\alpha} \left| \frac{F_{\alpha,k}^*(\chi_{\alpha,k} u_k \omega_k^n)}{\omega_0^n} - \chi_{\alpha,0} u_0 \right| \omega_0^n \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow +\infty} 0;$$

hence, $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{X_k} u_k \omega_k^n = \int_{X_0} u_0 \omega_0^n$.

By Theorem 2.3, there is a uniform constant $C_{SL} > 0$ such that $\frac{1}{V} \int_{X_k} u_k \omega_k^n \geq \sup_{X_k} u_k - C_{SL}$ for all k . Letting $k \rightarrow +\infty$, one can conclude that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\sup_{X_k} u_k \right) - C_{SL} \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{V} \int_{X_k} u_k \omega_k^n = \frac{1}{V} \int_{X_0} u_0 \omega_0^n \leq \sup_{X_0} u_0.$$

□

We conjecture that the supremum is actually continuous along a sequence converging in families. Using the maximum principle, we show this is the case when X_0 has isolated singularities:

Proposition 2.12. — *Let $(u_k)_k \in \text{PSH}_{\text{fibre}}(\mathcal{X}, \omega)$ be a sequence converging to $u_0 \in \text{PSH}(X_0, \omega_0)$. If the central fibre X_0 has isolated singularities, then*

$$\sup_{X_0} u_0 = \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{X_k} u_k.$$

Proof. — When $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is smooth, upper semi-continuity follows directly by Hartogs' lemma. Now, we assume that X_0 has isolated singularities. Let $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{X}$ be the singular locus of π . Since $t \rightarrow \dim X_t \cap \mathcal{Z}$ is upper semi-continuous, the nearby fibres have only isolated singularities as well. Let $x_k \in X_k$ be a point where u_k achieves its maximum. After extracting a subsequence, we assume that $(x_k)_k$ converges to a point $x_0 \in X_0$. If $x_0 \in X_0^{\text{reg}}$, the proof is the same as in the smooth case. Otherwise, we embed $\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{\text{loc.}} \mathbb{C}^N$ near $x_0 \in X_0^{\text{sing}}$ and x_0 coincides with the origin under this local embedding. Let $\mathbb{B}_r \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ be the Euclidean ball of radius $r > 0$ centered at the origin and g be a smooth local potential of ω . Denote by g_t the restriction of g on X_t . By the maximum principle, we have

$$\sup_{\partial \mathbb{B}_r \cap X_k} (g_k + u_k) = \sup_{\mathbb{B}_r \cap X_k} (g_k + u_k) \geq (g_k + u_k)(x_k) = g_k(x_k) + \sup_{X_k} u_k.$$

Let $(y_k)_k$ be a sequence of points such that $y_k \in \partial \mathbb{B}_r \cap X_k$ and

$$(g_k + u_k)(y_k) = \sup_{\partial \mathbb{B}_r \cap X_k} (g_k + u_k).$$

One can extract a subsequence such that $(y_k)_k$ converges to $y_0 \in \partial\mathbb{B}_r \cap X_0$. By assumption, y_0 belongs to $\partial\mathbb{B}_r \cap X_0 \subset X_0^{\text{reg}}$; hence one can find an open chart $U_0 \Subset X_0^{\text{reg}}$ which containing y_0 and a family of biholomorphisms $F_t : U_0 \rightarrow U_t \Subset X_t^{\text{reg}}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{D}$ close to 0 and $F_0 = \text{Id}_{U_0}$. Using Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} (g_k + u_k)(y_k) &= \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} ((F_k)^*(g_k + u_k)) \left((F_k)^{-1}(y_k) \right) \\ &\leq (g_0 + u_0)(y_0) \leq g_0(y_0) + \sup_{X_0} u_0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g_0(x_0) + \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{X_k} u_k &= \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \left(g_k(x_k) + \sup_{X_k} u_k \right) \\ &\leq g_0(y_0) + \sup_{X_0} u_0 \leq \sup_{\partial\mathbb{B}_r \cap X_0} g_0 + \sup_{X_0} u_0. \end{aligned}$$

The upper semi-continuity follows by taking $r \rightarrow 0$ and the continuity of g . Combining with Proposition 2.8 (ii), one has the continuity of supremum. \square

3. Upper semi-continuity of Monge–Ampère energies in families

In this section, we establish Proposition C, the upper semi-continuity of the energies with respect to the convergence in families.

Proposition 3.1. — *Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be a family that fulfills Setting (GSN). Let ω be a Kähler metric induced by the curvature of a positive \mathbb{Q} -line bundle \mathcal{L} over \mathcal{X} . If a sequence $(u_k)_k \in \text{PSH}_{\text{fibre}}(\mathcal{X}, \omega)$ converges to $u_0 \in \text{PSH}(X_0, \omega_0)$ in the family sense, then*

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbf{E}_k(u_k) \leq \mathbf{E}_0(u_0).$$

We conjecture that the upper semi-continuity of the Monge–Ampère energy holds without additional assumptions on the Kähler metric ω .

Denote by

$$\mathcal{E}_{\text{fibre}/K,C}^1(\mathcal{X}, \omega) := \left\{ u_t \in \mathcal{E}^1(X_t, \omega_t) \mid \mathbf{E}_t(u_t) \geq -C, u_t \leq 0, \text{ and } t \in K \subset \mathbb{D} \right\}.$$

Combining Propositions 2.8 and 3.1, we obtain the following compactness result:

Corollary 3.2. — *Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be a family satisfying Setting (GSN). Let ω be a Kähler metric induced by the curvature of a positive \mathbb{Q} -line bundle. For all compact subset $K \subset \mathbb{D}$, the space $\mathcal{E}_{\text{fibre}/K,C}^1(\mathcal{X}, \omega)$ is compact with respect to the convergence in families.*

3.1. Preparations. — In this section, we review a few results used in the proof of Proposition 3.1 with some modifications in the family setting. We first recall a slightly revised version of Hörmander’s L^2 -estimates for the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator (see e.g. [Ber10, Theorem 5.1.1]).

Lemma 3.3. — *Let (X, ω) be a projective manifold. Let E be a holomorphic line bundle equipped with a possibly singular hermitian metric $h_E e^{-\phi}$ where h_E is a smooth hermitian metric, and ϕ is quasi-psh. Suppose that*

$$i\Theta_{h_E}(E) + dd^c\phi \geq \varepsilon\omega \quad \text{on an open set } U \subset X$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Then for any $q \geq 1$ and for any $f \in \Gamma(X, \wedge^{n,q} T_X^* \otimes E)$ with $\bar{\partial}f = 0$ and $\text{supp}(f) \subset \mathcal{U}$, there exists a section $g \in \Gamma(X, \wedge^{n,q-1} T_X^* \otimes E)$ such that $\bar{\partial}g = f$ and

$$\int_X |g|_{h_{E,\omega}}^2 e^{-\phi} \omega^n \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon q} \int_X |f|_{h_{E,\omega}}^2 e^{-\phi} \omega^n.$$

Then we prove a uniform Ohsawa–Takegoshi theorem [OT87] on a relatively compact open subset inside the smooth locus.

Lemma 3.4. — Suppose that $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ fulfills Setting (GSN) and \mathcal{L} is a positive line bundle over \mathcal{X} . Let $\omega = i\Theta_h(\mathcal{L})$ be a Kähler metric in $c_1(\mathcal{L})$. Let \mathcal{Z} be the singular locus of π . Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. On any relatively compact open subset $\mathcal{U} \Subset \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{Z}$, there exist constants $m_0 = m_0(\varepsilon, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{X}, \omega)$ and $C_{\text{OT}} = C_{\text{OT}}(\varepsilon, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{X}, \omega)$ such that, up to shrinking \mathbb{D} , for any

- $m \geq m_0$,
- $x \in \mathcal{U} \setminus X_0$,
- $0 \neq v \in mL_{\tau|x}$ where $\tau = \pi(x)$,
- $u \in \text{PSH}(X_\tau, \omega_\tau)$ with $\omega_\tau + \text{dd}_\tau^c u \geq \varepsilon \omega_\tau$,

there exists a section $s \in H^0(X_\tau, mL_\tau)$ such that $s(x) = v$ and satisfies

$$\int_{X_\tau} |s|_{h_\tau^m}^2 e^{-mu} \omega_\tau^n \leq C_{\text{OT}} |s(x)|_{h_\tau^m}^2 e^{-mu(x)}.$$

Proof. — We first fix some background data. Let $(B_\alpha, F_\alpha, \mathcal{B}_\alpha)_\alpha$ be a locally trivial finite cover of \mathcal{U} (see Notation 2.7). Take an open set $\mathcal{V} \Subset \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{Z}$ such that $\mathcal{B}_\alpha \Subset \mathcal{V} \Subset \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{Z}$ for each α . Write $U_t := \mathcal{U} \cap X_t$ and $V_t := \mathcal{V} \cap X_t$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that \mathcal{L} is trivial over each \mathcal{B}_α and $h = e^{-g_\alpha}$ on \mathcal{B}_α . Here g_α is a smooth strictly psh function on \mathcal{B}_α and $\omega = \text{dd}^c g_\alpha$. Up to shrinking \mathbb{D} , one can find a constant $C_{\mathcal{U}} \geq 1$ such that $C_{\mathcal{U}}^{-1} dV_{\mathbb{C}^n} \leq F_{\alpha,t}^* \omega_t^n \leq C_{\mathcal{U}} dV_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ for any α .

Step 1: curvature control. For each α , we choose a cutoff $\eta_{\alpha,0}$ on B_α such that $\eta_{\alpha,0}$ is supported in B_α and $\eta_{\alpha,0} \equiv 1$ on $\frac{3}{4}B_\alpha$. For each $w \in \frac{1}{2}B_\alpha$, the function $\phi_{\alpha,\tau,w} := (F_{\alpha,\tau})_*(2n\eta_{\alpha,0} \log |z-w|)$ is quasi-psh on X_τ . Up to shrinking \mathbb{D} , there exists a uniform constant $A > 0$ such that

$$A\omega_t + \text{dd}_t^c \phi_{\alpha,t,w} \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{X_t} |\bar{\partial}_t \eta_{\alpha,t}|_{\omega_t}^2 \leq A$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{D}$, for all $w \in \frac{1}{2}B_\alpha$, and for all α .

Let $p : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a resolution of singularities of \mathcal{X} . By generic smoothness, up to shrinking \mathbb{D} , one may assume that the map $p_t := p|_{Y_t} : Y_t \rightarrow X_t$ is a resolution of singularities of X_t for all $t \in \mathbb{D}^*$. Pick $\omega_{\mathcal{Y}}$ a Kähler metric on \mathcal{Y} with $\omega_{\mathcal{Y}} \geq p^* \omega$. After rescaling the metric $\omega_{\mathcal{Y}}$, one can assume that for every t ,

$$\omega_{\mathcal{Y},t} + \text{Ric}(\omega_{\mathcal{Y},t}) \geq 0 \quad \text{on } p^{-1}(\mathcal{V}) \cap Y_t.$$

Since $p^* \omega$ is semi-positive and big, there is a negative quasi-psh function $\psi \in \text{PSH}(\mathcal{Y}, p^* \omega)$ such that

$$p^* \omega + \text{dd}^c \psi \geq \delta \omega_{\mathcal{Y}}$$

for some $\delta > 0$ and $\{\psi = -\infty\} = \text{Exc}(p)$. In addition, one may further assume that

$$\psi > -C_{\mathcal{U}} \quad \text{and} \quad C_{\mathcal{U}}^{-1} \omega_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq p^* \omega$$

on $p^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$. For $m \geq m_0 := \lceil (A+1)/\varepsilon \rceil + \lceil 1/\varepsilon\delta \rceil$, we have

$$i\Theta(mL_\tau, h_\tau^m e^{-(mu+\phi_{\alpha,\tau,x'})}) = m(\omega_\tau + \text{dd}_\tau^c u) + \text{dd}_\tau^c \phi_{\alpha,\tau,x'} \geq (m\varepsilon - A)\omega_\tau \geq (1 + \lceil 1/\delta \rceil)\omega_\tau.$$

Then we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & i\Theta \left(mp_\tau^* L_\tau \otimes K_{Y_\tau}^{-1}, p_\tau^* h_\tau^m \otimes h_{\omega_{Y,\tau}} e^{-(mu+\phi_{\alpha,\tau,x'}) \circ p_\tau} e^{-(\frac{1}{\delta}+1)\psi_\tau} \right) \\ & \geq \left(\frac{1}{\delta} + 1 \right) (p_\tau^* \omega_\tau + dd_\tau^c \psi_\tau) + i\Theta_{h_{\omega_{Y,\tau}}} (K_{Y_\tau}^{-1}) \geq (1 + \delta) \omega_{Y,\tau} + \text{Ric}(\omega_{Y,\tau}), \end{aligned}$$

and thus, on $p^{-1}(\mathcal{V}) \cap Y_\tau$, we obtain the following lower bound for the curvature

$$i\Theta \left(mp_\tau^* L_\tau \otimes K_{Y_\tau}^{-1}, p_\tau^* h_\tau^m \otimes h_{\omega_{Y,\tau}} e^{-(mu+\phi_{\alpha,\tau,x'}) \circ p_\tau} e^{-(\frac{1}{\delta}+1)\psi_\tau} \right) \geq \delta \omega_{Y,\tau}.$$

Step 2: extension. Fix $x \in \mathcal{U} \setminus X_0$ and $v \in mL_\tau|_x$ for $m \geq m_0$. Note that $x \in \frac{1}{2}B_\alpha$ for some α . We denote $x' := F_{\alpha,\tau}^{-1}(x)$ and $v' \in \mathbb{C}$ the value of v under the fixed trivialization. By the sharp Ohsawa–Takegoshi extension theorem [BL16, Thm. 3.1], one can find a holomorphic function f on B_α with $f(x') = v'$ and

$$C_{\mathcal{U}}^{-1} \int_{B_{\alpha,\tau}} |(F_{\alpha,\tau})_* f|^2 e^{-m(g_{\alpha,\tau}+u)} \omega_\tau^n \leq \int_{B_\alpha} |f|^2 e^{-mF_{\alpha,\tau}^*(g_{\alpha,\tau}+u)} dV_{\mathbb{C}^n} \leq c_\alpha |v'|^2 e^{-m g_{\alpha,\tau}(x)} e^{-mu(x)},$$

where c_α depends only on the dimension n , and r_α the radius of B_α . Therefore, we find a local holomorphic section $\sigma \in H^0(B_{\alpha,\tau}, mL_\tau)$ satisfying $\sigma(x) = v$.

Take $E = mp_\tau^* L_\tau \otimes K_{Y_\tau}^{-1}$ and applying Lemma 3.3, we can find a smooth section ζ of $mp^* L_\tau$ such that $\bar{\partial}_\tau \zeta = \bar{\partial}_\tau p_\tau^* \eta_{\alpha,\tau} \otimes p_\tau^* \sigma$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Y_\tau} |\zeta|_{p_\tau^* h_\tau^m}^2 e^{-mp^* u} \omega_{Y,\tau}^n & \leq \int_{Y_\tau} |\zeta|_{p_\tau^* h_\tau^m}^2 e^{-p_\tau^*(mu+\phi_{\alpha,\tau,x'})-(\frac{1}{\delta}+1)\psi_\tau} \omega_{Y,\tau}^n \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{Y_\tau} |\bar{\partial}_\tau p_\tau^* \eta_{\alpha,\tau} \otimes p_\tau^* \sigma|_{\omega_{Y,\tau} \otimes p_\tau^* h_\tau^m}^2 e^{-p_\tau^*(mu-\phi_{\alpha,\tau,x'})-(\frac{1}{\delta}+1)\psi_\tau} \omega_{Y,\tau}^n \\ & \leq \frac{e^{(\frac{1}{\delta}+1)C_{\mathcal{U}}}}{\delta} \int_{p_\tau^{-1}(B_{\alpha,\tau} \setminus \frac{3}{4}B_{\alpha,\tau})} |p_\tau^*(\bar{\partial}_\tau \eta_{\alpha,\tau})|_{\omega_{Y,\tau}}^2 |p_\tau^* \sigma|_{p_\tau^* h_\tau^m}^2 e^{-p_\tau^*(mu+\phi_{\alpha,\tau,x'})} \omega_{Y,\tau}^n \\ & \leq \frac{4Ae^{(\frac{1}{\delta}+1)C_{\mathcal{U}}-2n} C_{\mathcal{U}}^{n+1}}{3r_\alpha \delta} \int_{B_{\alpha,\tau}} |\sigma|_{h_\tau^m}^2 e^{-mu} \omega_\tau^n \\ & \leq \frac{4Ae^{(\frac{1}{\delta}+1)C_{\mathcal{U}}-2n} C_{\mathcal{U}}^{n+2} c_\alpha}{3r_\alpha \delta} |\sigma(x)|_{h_\tau^m}^2 e^{-mu(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

From the integrability condition, one has $\zeta(x) = 0$ and $s := p_\tau^*(\eta_{\alpha,\tau}\sigma) - \zeta \in H^0(Y_\tau, mp^* L_\tau)$. By normality of X_τ , the section s descends to a holomorphic section of mL_τ on X_τ , which we still denote by s . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{X_\tau} |s|_{h_\tau^m}^2 e^{-mu} \omega_\tau^n & \leq 2 \left(\int_{X_\tau} |\eta_{\alpha,\tau}\sigma|_{h_\tau^m}^2 e^{-mu} \omega_\tau^n + \int_{X_\tau} |s - \eta_{\alpha,\tau}\sigma|_{h_\tau^m}^2 e^{-mu} \omega_\tau^n \right) \\ & \leq 2 \left(C_{\mathcal{U}} c_\alpha |s(x)|_{h_\tau^m}^2 e^{-mu(x)} + \int_{Y_\tau} |\zeta|_{p_\tau^* h_\tau^m}^2 e^{-mp^* u} \omega_{Y,\tau}^n \right) \\ & \leq 2C_{\mathcal{U}} c_\alpha \left(1 + \frac{4Ae^{(\frac{1}{\delta}+1)C_{\mathcal{U}}-2n} C_{\mathcal{U}}^{n+1}}{3r_\alpha \delta} \right) |s(x)|_{h_\tau^m}^2 e^{-mu(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Before closing this section, we recall the Monge–Ampère capacity on a Kähler space (X, ω) . Let $E \subset X$ be a Borel subset. Define

$$\text{Cap}_\omega(E) := \sup \left\{ \int_E (\omega + \text{dd}^c u)^n \mid -1 \leq u \leq 0, u \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega) \right\}.$$

Then we construct neighborhoods of the singular locus with arbitrarily small capacity in a family $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$:

Lemma 3.5. — *Let \mathcal{Z} be the singular locus of π . For all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an open neighborhood \mathcal{W}_ε of \mathcal{Z} such that for all $t \in \mathbb{D}_{1/2}$,*

$$\text{Cap}_{\omega_t}(\mathcal{W}_\varepsilon \cap X_t) < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. — Since \mathcal{Z} is analytic, up to shrinking \mathbb{D} , one can find a ω -psh function $\psi \in \text{PSH}(\mathcal{X}, \omega) \cap C^\infty(\mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{Z})$ such that $\mathcal{Z} = \{\psi = -\infty\}$. In addition, we may assume that there is a constant $C_\psi \geq 0$ such that $-C_\psi \leq \sup_{X_t} \psi_t \leq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{D}_{1/2}$ where $\psi_t = \psi|_{X_t}$.

Fix a constant $M > 0$. Following the same strategy as in [GZ17, Prop. 9.10] and the sup- L^1 comparison, we have the following

$$\text{Cap}_{\omega_t}(\{\psi_t < -M\}) \leq \frac{1}{M} \left(\int_{X_t} -\psi_t \omega_t^n + nV \right) \leq \frac{V(n + C_{SL} + C_\psi)}{M}.$$

Therefore, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, the open subset $\mathcal{W}_\varepsilon := \left\{ \psi < -\frac{V(n + C_{SL} + C_\psi)}{\varepsilon} \right\} \subset \mathcal{X}$ contains \mathcal{Z} and for all $t \in \mathbb{D}_{1/2}$, $\text{Cap}_{\omega_t}(\mathcal{W}_\varepsilon \cap X_t) < \varepsilon$. \square

3.2. Proof of Proposition 3.1. — Without loss of generality, one can assume that \mathcal{L} is a line bundle and h is a smooth hermitian metric \mathcal{L} such that $\omega = i\Theta_h(\mathcal{L})$. Again, we set $L_k := \mathcal{L}|_{X_k}$, $h_k := h|_{X_k}$, and $\omega_k := \omega|_{X_k}$.

Before diving into the proof, let us briefly explain the strategy and ideas here.

Strategy and ideas: Let us stress that the (mixed) Monge–Ampère operator is not continuous with respect to the L^1 -convergence (cf. [Lel83]). Our proof relies on a non-trivial approximation argument. We first observe that for sequences constructed starting from sections of the global line bundle \mathcal{L} the L^1 -convergence in families is equivalent to the C^∞ -convergence. In this case, we deduce the convergence of the Monge–Ampère energies from the local continuity of the (mixed) Monge–Ampère operator with respect to the uniform convergence.

In Steps 1, 2, and 3, we study algebraic approximations constructed by the Bergman kernels of the line bundle. Because of the lack of the Ohsawa–Takegoshi’s theorem for singular varieties, these algebraic approximations may not a priori converge to the original sequence. However, Lemma 3.4 and a uniform control around the singular locus allows us to estimate the difference between the Monge–Ampère energies of the sequence of approximations and of the original sequence.

Step 0: reductions and algebraic approximations. Subtracting a large constant, one can assume that $(u_k)_k$ is a sequence of negative quasi-psh functions by Proposition 2.8. It is sufficient to prove the statement for a sequence of uniformly bounded quasi-psh functions $(u_k)_k$. Indeed, if the sequence $(u_k)_k$ is not uniformly bounded, we take $u_{k,C} := \max\{u_k, -C\}$ for some $C > 0$. The sequence $u_{k,C}$ converges to $u_{0,C}$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$ in the sense of families. Then if the statement holds for a uniformly bounded sequence, we obtain

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbf{E}_k(u_k) \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbf{E}_k(u_{k,C}) \leq \mathbf{E}_0(u_{0,C}).$$

Letting $C \rightarrow -\infty$, we have the desired upper semi-continuity property. On the other hand, one may also assume that u_k is uniformly strictly ω -psh; namely, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\omega_k + \text{dd}_k^c u_k \geq \delta \omega_k$ for all k . To do so, one can simply consider $(1 - \delta)u_k$, which decreases to u_k as $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$ and use the monotonicity of \mathbf{E}_k . From now on, we shall assume that $-C_{LB} \leq u_k \leq 0$ and $\omega_k + \text{dd}_k^c u_k \geq \delta \omega_k$ for all k .

By the upper semi-continuity of $\mathbf{ID} \ni t \mapsto \dim H^0(X_t, mL_t) =: N_{m,t}$ (cf. [BS76, Ch. 3, Prop. 1.7]), we have $\limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} N_{m,k} \leq N_{m,0}$. We extract a subsequence (u_{k_j}) such that $\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbf{E}_{k_j}(u_{k_j}) = \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbf{E}_k(u_k)$ and $\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} N_{m,k_j} = \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} N_{m,k}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We set $N_m := \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} N_{m,k_j}$. We still denote this subsequence by $(u_k)_k$.

We consider the algebraic approximation of u_k defined as

$$u_k^m := \frac{1}{m} \log \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_{m,k}} |s_{i,k}^m|_{h_k^m}^2 \right)$$

where $(s_{i,k}^m)_i$ is an orthonormal basis of $H^0(X_k, mL_k)$ with respect to the hermitian inner product

$$G_{m,k}(s, t) := \int_{X_k} \langle s, t \rangle_{h_k^m} e^{-mu_k} \omega_k^n.$$

Step 1: a uniform upper bound on the algebraic approximations. This part aims to prove that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists m_0 and k_0 such that

$$u_k^m < \varepsilon \tag{3.1}$$

for any $k \geq k_0$ and $m \geq m_0$. We recall a submean inequality of \mathcal{C}^2 positive psh function on an analytic set from [Chi89, p. 194, Cor. 1]: if v is a positive \mathcal{C}^2 psh function on an n -dimensional analytic set A in \mathbb{C}^N , then

$$v(x) \leq \frac{c_n}{\text{mult}(A, x)r^{2n}} \int_{\mathbb{B}_r(x) \cap A} v(\text{dd}^c |z|^2)^n \tag{3.2}$$

where $\text{mult}(A, x)$ is the multiplicity of A at x and c_n is a universal constant which only depends on n .

There exists a finite number of trivializing charts $(U_\alpha)_\alpha$ of \mathcal{X} such that

- $\pi^{-1}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_{1/2}) \Subset \cup_\alpha U_\alpha$;
- \mathcal{L} is trivial on U_α .

Moreover, without loss of generality, we assume that we have an embedding $j_\alpha : U_\alpha \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B}$ where \mathbb{B} is the unit ball in \mathbb{C} . Let g_α be a local potential of ω on U_α . Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Up to shrinking U_α , one can find a refinement $(V_\alpha)_\alpha$ such that

- V_α still forms a cover of $\pi^{-1}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_{1/2})$;
- $\text{dist}(V_\alpha, \partial U_\alpha) = d > 0$ for all α ,

and one may also assume that

- $\text{osc}_{U_\alpha} g_\alpha < \varepsilon/2$ for all α ;
- there exists $C_\omega \geq 1$ such that $C_\omega^{-1} \text{dd}^c |z|^2 \leq \omega \leq C_\omega \text{dd}^c |z|^2$ on each $U_\alpha \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B}$.

Denote by e_α^m a local trivialization of $m\mathcal{L}$ on U_α . We write $s_{k,i}^m = f_{k,i,\alpha}^m e_\alpha^m|_{X_k}$ where $f_{k,i,\alpha}^m$ is a holomorphic function on $X_k \cap U_\alpha$; hence, we have $|s_{k,i}^m|_{h_k^m}^2 = |f_{k,i,\alpha}^m|^2 (h^m(e_\alpha^m, e_\alpha^m))|_{X_k \cap U_\alpha}$. Recall

that $\omega = \frac{1}{m} \text{dd}^c \log h^m(e_\alpha^m, e_\alpha^m)$. Thus, one can assume that $h^m(e_\alpha^m, e_\alpha^m) = e^{mg_\alpha}$. Fix $x \in X_k \cap V_\alpha$. By (3.2), we get

$$|f_{k,i}^m(x)|^2 \leq \frac{c_n}{\text{mult}(X_k, x) d^{2n}} \int_{\mathbb{B}_d(x) \cap X_k} |f_{k,i,\alpha}^m|^2 (\text{dd}^c |z|^2)^n.$$

Note that for all $x \in X_k$, $\text{mult}(X_k, x)$ is always a positive non-zero integer. Recall that by definition, $\int_{X_k} |s_{k,i}^m|_{h_k^m}^2 e^{-mu_k} \omega_k^n = 1$. We thus have

$$|s_{k,i}^m(x)|_{h_k^m}^2 = |f_{k,i,\alpha}^m(x)|^2 e^{mg_\alpha(x)} \leq \frac{C_\omega^n e^{m \text{osc}_{U_\alpha} g_\alpha} c_n}{d^{2n}} \int_{\mathbb{B}_d(x) \cap X_k} |s_{k,i}^m|_{h_k^m}^2 \omega_k^n \leq \frac{C_\omega^n c_n}{d^{2n}} e^{m\varepsilon/2}.$$

Then

$$u_k^m = \frac{1}{m} \log \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_{m,k}} |s_{k,i}^m|_{h_k^m}^2 \right) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\log N_{m,k}}{m} + \frac{n(\log(C_\omega/d^2)) + \log c_n}{m}.$$

Since $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} N_{m,k} \leq N_{m,0}$ and $N_{m,0} = \dim H^0(X_0, mL_0) = O(m^n)$, we obtain $u_k^m < \varepsilon$ for all m, k sufficiently large.

Step 2: controlling the algebraic approximations from below on the smooth locus. Recall that $\omega_k + \text{dd}^c u_k \geq \delta \omega_k$ for all k . Fix $\mathcal{U} \Subset \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{Z}$. From Lemma 3.4, we have constants $m_0(\delta, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{X}, \omega)$ and $C_{\text{OT}}(\delta, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{X}, \omega)$ such that for all k large enough, for all $x \in \mathcal{U} \cap X_k$, $m \geq m_0$ and $0 \neq v \in mL_k|_x$, there exists a section $\sigma^m \in H^0(X_k, mL_k)$ such that $\sigma^m(x) = v$ and

$$\int_{X_k} |\sigma^m|_{h_k^m}^2 e^{-mu_k} \omega_k^n \leq C_{\text{OT}} |\sigma^m(x)|_{h_k^m}^2 e^{-mu_k(x)}.$$

Note that the Bergman kernel $\sum_{i=1}^{N_{m,k}} |s_{k,i}^m|_{h_k^m}^2$ at $x \in X_k$ is the peak section, i.e.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N_{m,k}} |s_{k,i}^m(x)|_{h_k^m}^2 = \sup \left\{ |s_k^m(x)|_{h_k^m}^2 \mid s_k^m \in H^0(X_k, mL_k), \int_X |s_k^m|_{h_k^m}^2 e^{-mu_k} \omega_k^n = 1 \right\}.$$

Therefore, for k sufficiently large,

$$u_k(x) \leq \frac{1}{m} \log \left(\frac{|\sigma^m(x)|_{h_k^m}^2}{\int_{X_k} |\sigma^m|_{h_k^m}^2 e^{-mu_k} \omega_k^n} \right) + \frac{\log C_{\text{OT}}}{m} \leq u_k^m(x) + \frac{\log C_{\text{OT}}}{m} \quad (3.3)$$

for all $x_k \in \mathcal{U} \cap X_k$.

Step 3: convergence and Monge–Ampère measures. In this part, we shall prove that for all m large, the sequence $(u_k^m)_k$ \mathcal{C}^0 -subconverges (up to subsequence) to a function $u^m \in \text{PSH}(X_0, \omega_0)$ in the family sense, and the unbounded locus of u^m is a subset of \mathcal{Z} .

Fix $U_0 \Subset X_0^{\text{reg}}$ and $\mathcal{U} \Subset \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{Z}$ so that $\mathcal{U} \cap X_0 = U_0$. Choose $(B_\alpha, F_\alpha, \mathcal{B}_\alpha)$ a locally trivial finite cover of \mathcal{U} so that \mathcal{L} is trivial on each \mathcal{B}_α . Let e_α^m be a local trivialization of $m\mathcal{L}$ on \mathcal{B}_α . Recall that $\mathcal{B}_\alpha \cap X_k$ can be identified with B_α via $F_{\alpha,k} : B_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\alpha \cap X_k$. Write $s_{k,i}^m = f_{k,i,\alpha}^m \cdot e_\alpha^m|_{\mathcal{B}_\alpha \cap X_k}$ where $f_{k,i,\alpha}^m \in \mathcal{O}(B_\alpha \cap X_k) \simeq \mathcal{O}(B_\alpha)$. Observe that for any m fixed $N_{m,k}$ is constant for $k \gg 1$ large. Following the Cauchy estimate as in Step 1, for each $m, \alpha, i \leq N_m$ fixed, $(|f_{k,i,\alpha}^m|)_k$ are uniformly bounded in $\mathcal{C}^0(B_\alpha)$. By Montel theorem, one can extract a subsequence of $(f_{k,i,\alpha}^m)_k$ that converges locally uniformly to a function $f_{i,\alpha}^m \in \mathcal{O}(B_\alpha)$. This induces a local section $s_{i,\alpha}^m = f_{i,\alpha}^m e_\alpha^m|_{B_\alpha} \in H^0(B_\alpha, mL_0)$. On another ball $B_{\alpha'}$ with $B_\alpha \cap B_{\alpha'} \neq \emptyset$, up to extracting and relabeling, one obtains another local section $s_{i,\alpha'}^m \in H^0(B_{\alpha'}, mL_0)$. Since the convergence is locally uniformly, $s_{i,\alpha'}^m = s_{i,\alpha}^m$ on any compact subset of $B_\alpha \cap B_{\alpha'}$ and thus, $s_{i,\alpha'}^m = s_{i,\alpha}^m$ on $B_\alpha \cap B_{\alpha'}$. Inductively, we find a section $s_i^m \in H^0(U_0, mL_0)$. Enlarging U_0 towards X_0^{reg} , we get a

section $s_i^m \in H^0(X_0^{\text{reg}}, mL_0)$. By normality of X_0 , s_i^m extends to a section in $H^0(X_0, mL_0)$ and we still denote it by s_i^m . Therefore, $(\sum_{i=1}^{N_m, k} |s_{k,i}^m|_{h_k^m}^2)_k$ \mathcal{C}^0 -converges to $\sum_{i=1}^{N_m} |s_i^m|_{h_0^m}^2$ in the family sense. From (3.1) and (3.3), for all m sufficiently large $(u_k^m)_k$ \mathcal{C}^0 -converges in the family sense to

$$u^m := \frac{1}{m} \log \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_m} |s_i^m|_{h_0^m}^2 \right),$$

which is not identically $-\infty$.

Again, fix $\mathcal{U} \Subset \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{Z}$ and $(B_\alpha, F_\alpha, \mathcal{B}_\alpha)$ a locally trivial finite cover of \mathcal{U} . Recall that the Monge–Ampère operator is continuous along a uniformly convergent sequence of continuous psh functions (cf. [Dem12, Ch. III, Cor. 3.6]). Hence, for all $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, we have

$$F_{\alpha, k}^*(u_k^m (\text{dd}^c(g_{\alpha, k} + u_k^m))^j \wedge (\text{dd}^c g_{\alpha, k})^{n-j}) \rightarrow u^m (\text{dd}^c(g_{\alpha, 0} + u^m))^j \wedge (\text{dd}^c g_{\alpha, 0})^{n-j}$$

as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, where g_α is a local potential of ω on \mathcal{B}_α and $g_{\alpha, k} = g_\alpha|_{X_k}$. Let χ be a smooth function support in \mathcal{B}_α . We obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{B_\alpha} \chi|_{X_0} F_{\alpha, k}^*(u_k^m (\omega_k + \text{dd}^c u_k^m)^j \wedge \omega_k^{n-j}) = \int_{B_\alpha} \chi|_{X_0} (u^m (\omega_0 + \text{dd}^c u^m)^j \wedge \omega_0^{n-j}).$$

By (3.1) and (3.3), for every $\varepsilon > 0$, we have for all m, k large,

$$u_k^m < \varepsilon \text{ on } X_k, \quad \text{and} \quad -C_{LB} \leq u_k < u_k^m + \varepsilon \text{ on } \mathcal{U} \cap X_k.$$

Therefore,

$$\left| \int_{B_\alpha} (\chi|_{X_k} \circ F_{\alpha, k} - \chi|_{X_0}) F_{\alpha, k}^*(u_k^m (\omega_k + \text{dd}^c u_k^m)^j \wedge \omega_k^{n-j}) \right| \leq \|\chi|_{X_k} \circ F_{\alpha, k} - \chi|_{X_0}\|_{L^\infty} (C_{LB} + \varepsilon) V \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow +\infty} 0$$

and thus,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{B_\alpha \cap X_k} \chi|_{X_k} u_k^m (\omega_k + \text{dd}^c u_k^m)^j \wedge \omega_k^{n-j} = \int_{B_\alpha} \chi|_{X_0} u^m (\omega_0 + \text{dd}^c u^m)^j \wedge \omega_0^{n-j}. \quad (3.4)$$

Step 4: weak limit of u^m . For $x \in X_k$, we denote by $s_{k,x}^m$ a peak section of mL_k at x with respect to the L^2 inner product $G_{m,k}$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$, an open set $U_0 \Subset X_0^{\text{reg}}$, and an open set $\mathcal{U} \Subset \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{Z}$ such that $\mathcal{U} \cap X_0 = U_0$. Let $(B_\alpha, F_\alpha, \mathcal{B}_\alpha)_\alpha$ be a locally trivial finite cover of \mathcal{U} . Again, let g_α be a local potential of ω on \mathcal{B}_α . One can assume that $\text{osc}_{B_\alpha} g_\alpha \leq \varepsilon$ by shrinking and adding balls B_α . For any $x \in \frac{1}{2}B_\alpha$, we set $x_{\alpha, k} := F_{\alpha, k}(x)$. Let $f_{k, x_{\alpha, k}}^m$ be the holomorphic function representing $s_{k, x_{\alpha, k}}^m$ under the fixed trivialization of \mathcal{L} on \mathcal{B}_α . Take an arbitrary $r \in (0, r_\alpha/2)$. Write v_{2n} the volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{C}^N . Pick a constant $C_{\mathcal{U}} > 0$ so that for all α and t close to 0, $dV_{\mathbb{C}^n} \leq C_{\mathcal{U}} F_{\alpha, t}^* \omega_t^n$. By Cauchy's estimate,

$$\begin{aligned} |F_{\alpha, k}^* f_{k, x_{\alpha, k}}^m(x)|^2 e^{-mF_{\alpha, k}^* g_{\alpha, k}(x)} &\leq \frac{e^{m \text{osc}_{B_\alpha} F_{\alpha, k}^* g_{\alpha, k}}}{v_{2n} r^{2n}} \int_{B(x, r)} |F_{\alpha, k}^* f_{k, x_{\alpha, k}}^m|^2 e^{-mF_{\alpha, k}^* g_{\alpha, k}} dV_{\mathbb{C}^n} \\ &\leq \frac{C_{\mathcal{U}} e^{m\varepsilon}}{v_{2n} r^{2n}} \int_{B(x, r)} |F_{\alpha, k}^* f_{k, x_{\alpha, k}}^m|^2 e^{-mF_{\alpha, k}^* g_{\alpha, k}} F_{\alpha, k}^* \omega_k^n \end{aligned}$$

and thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
|s_{k,x}^m(x_{\alpha,k})|_{h_k^m}^2 &= |f_{k,x_{\alpha,k}}^m(x_{\alpha,k})|^2 e^{-m g_{\alpha,k}(x_{\alpha,k})} \\
&\leq \frac{C_U e^{m\varepsilon}}{v_{2n} r^{2n}} \int_{F_{\alpha,k}(B(x,r))} |s_{k,x_{\alpha,k}}^m|_{h_k^m}^2 \omega_k^n \\
&\leq \frac{C_U e^{m(\varepsilon + \sup_{F_{\alpha,k}(B(x,r))} u_k)}}{v_{2n} r^{2n}} \int_{F_{\alpha,k}(B(x,r))} |s_{k,x_{\alpha,k}}^m|_{h_k^m}^2 e^{-m u_k} \omega_k^n \\
&\leq \frac{C_U e^{m(\varepsilon + \sup_{F_{\alpha,k}(B(x,r))} u_k)}}{v_{2n} r^{2n}}.
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$u_k^m(F_{\alpha,k}(x)) = \frac{1}{m} \log \left(|s_{k,x_{\alpha,k}}^m(x_{\alpha,k})|_{h_k^m}^2 \right) \leq \sup_{F_{\alpha,k}(B(x,r))} u_k + \varepsilon + \frac{\log(C_U/v_{2n}) - 2n \log(r)}{m}$$

for all $x \in \frac{1}{2}B_\alpha$. Since $(F_{\alpha,k}^* u_k)_k$ converges to u_0 on B_α , by Hartogs' lemma, the above inequality implies that

$$u^m(x) \leq \sup_{B(x,r)} u_0 + \varepsilon + \frac{\log(C_U/v_{2n}) - 2n \log(r)}{m}.$$

for all $x \in \frac{1}{2}B_\alpha$.

After extracting a subsequence, we may assume that $(u^m)_m$ converges to a function $\hat{u} \in \text{PSH}(X_0, \omega_0)$. Taking $m \rightarrow +\infty$, we have $\hat{u}(x) \leq \sup_{B(x,r)} u_0 + \varepsilon$. Letting $r \rightarrow 0^+$ and then $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, one derives $\hat{u}(x) \leq u_0(x)$ for all $x \in U_0$. Then enlarging U_0 towards X_0^{reg} , we have

$$\hat{u} \leq u_0 \tag{3.5}$$

on X_0 .

Step 5: upper semi-continuity of the energies. Recall that $-C_{LB} \leq u_k \leq 0$ for all k . Set

$$u_{k,C}^m := \max\{u_k^m, -C_{LB}\} = \frac{1}{m} \log \left(\max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N_{m,k}} |s_{k,i}^m|_{h_k^m}^2, e^{-m C_{LB}} \right\} \right),$$

and

$$u_C^m := \max\{u^m, -C_{LB}\} = \frac{1}{m} \log \left(\max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N_m} |s_i^m|_{h_0^m}^2, e^{-m C_{LB}} \right\} \right)$$

truncations of u_k^m and u^m from below. One can observe that $(u_{k,C}^m)_k$ \mathcal{C}^0 -converges to u_C^m in the family sense.

Fix an arbitrary $\varepsilon_1 > 0$. From Lemma 3.5, we find open subsets $\mathcal{W}_{\varepsilon_1/2} \Subset \mathcal{W}_{\varepsilon_1}$ which contain \mathcal{Z} where $\mathcal{W}_{\varepsilon_1/2}$ (resp. $\mathcal{W}_{\varepsilon_1}$) has capacity less than $\varepsilon_1/2$ (resp. ε_1). Take $\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon_1} := \mathcal{X} \setminus \overline{\mathcal{W}_{\varepsilon_1/2}}$. We fix η a cutoff with support in $\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon_1}$ and $\eta \equiv 1$ on $\mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{W}_{\varepsilon_1}$.

Then take an arbitrary $\varepsilon_2 > 0$. From (3.3) and (3.1), we have

$$u_k < u_k^m + \varepsilon_2 \text{ on } \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon_1} \cap X_k, \quad \text{and} \quad u_k^m < \varepsilon_2 \text{ on } X_k$$

for m and k sufficiently large. By the monotonicity of the Monge–Ampère energy, we get

$$\mathbf{E}_k(u_k) \leq \mathbf{E}_k(\max\{u_k, u_{k,C}^m + \varepsilon_2\} - 2\varepsilon_2) + 2\varepsilon_2.$$

Let $((B_\alpha, F_\alpha, \mathbf{C}_\alpha))_\alpha$ be a locally trivial finite cover of $\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon_1}$. Choose $(\chi_\alpha)_\alpha$ cutoff functions such that χ_α supported in B_α and $\sum_\alpha \chi_\alpha \equiv 1$ on $\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon_1}$, up to shrinking \mathbb{D} . Since $\max\{u_k, u_{k,C}^m + \varepsilon_2\} - 2\varepsilon_2$ is negative on X_k and $u_k < u_{k,C}^m + \varepsilon_2$ on $\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon_1} \cap X_k$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_k(\max\{u_{k,\delta}, u_{k,C}^m + \varepsilon_2\} - 2\varepsilon_2) &\leq \frac{1}{(n+1)V} \sum_{j=0}^n \int_{X_k \cap \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon_1}} \eta|_{X_k} (u_{k,C}^m - \varepsilon_2) (\omega_k + \mathbf{dd}^c u_{k,C}^m)^j \wedge \omega_k^{n-j} \\ &= \frac{1}{(n+1)V} \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_\alpha \int_{B_{\alpha,k}} (\eta \chi_\alpha)|_{X_k} (u_{k,C}^m - \varepsilon_2) (\omega_k + \mathbf{dd}^c u_{k,C}^m)^j \wedge \omega_k^{n-j}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\mathbf{E}_k(u_k) \leq \frac{1}{(n+1)V} \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_\alpha \int_{B_{\alpha,k}} (\eta \chi_\alpha)|_{X_k} (u_{k,C}^m - \varepsilon_2) (\omega_k + \mathbf{dd}^c u_{k,C}^m)^j \wedge \omega_k^{n-j} + 2\varepsilon_2.$$

Letting $k \rightarrow +\infty$, by (3.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbf{E}_k(u_k) &\leq \frac{1}{(n+1)V} \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_\alpha \int_{B_\alpha} (\eta \chi_\alpha)|_{X_0} (u_C^m - \varepsilon_2) (\omega_0 + \mathbf{dd}^c u_C^m)^j \wedge \omega_0^{n-j} + 2\varepsilon_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{(n+1)V} \sum_{j=0}^n \int_{X_0 \cap \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon_1}} \eta|_{X_0} (u_C^m - \varepsilon_2) (\omega_0 + \mathbf{dd}^c u_C^m)^j \wedge \omega_0^{n-j} + 2\varepsilon_2 \\ &\leq \mathbf{E}_0(u_C^m) + \varepsilon_2 + \frac{(C_{LB} + \varepsilon_2)}{(n+1)V} \sum_{j=0}^n \int_{X_0 \cap \mathcal{W}_{\varepsilon_1}} (\omega_0 + \mathbf{dd}_0^c u_C^m)^j \wedge \omega_0^{n-j}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that we have

$$\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} (\omega_0 + \mathbf{dd}^c \frac{v}{B})^j \wedge \omega_0^{n-j} = (\omega_0 + \mathbf{dd}^c \frac{v}{2B})^n$$

for all $B > 1$ and for all $v \in \text{PSH}(X_0, \omega_0) \cap L^\infty(X_0)$. Then one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{X_0 \cap \mathcal{W}_{\varepsilon_1}} (\omega_0 + \mathbf{dd}^c u_C^m)^j \wedge \omega_0^{n-j} &= (C_{LB})^j \int_{X_0 \cap \mathcal{W}_{\varepsilon_1}} \left(\frac{\omega_0}{C_{LB}} + \mathbf{dd}^c \left[\frac{u_C^m - \varepsilon_2}{C_{LB}} \right] \right)^j \wedge \omega_0^{n-j} \\ &\leq (C_{LB})^n \int_{X_0 \cap \mathcal{W}_{\varepsilon_1}} \left(\omega_0 + \mathbf{dd}^c \left[\frac{u_C^m - \varepsilon_2}{C_{LB}} \right] \right)^j \wedge \omega_0^{n-j} \\ &\leq (2C_{LB})^n \int_{X_0 \cap \mathcal{W}_{\varepsilon_1}} \left(\omega_0 + \mathbf{dd}^c \left[\frac{u_C^m - \varepsilon_2}{2C_{LB}} \right] \right)^n \\ &\leq (2C_{LB})^n \text{Cap}_{\omega_0}(X_0 \cap \mathcal{W}_{\varepsilon_1}) < (2C_{LB})^n \varepsilon_1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbf{E}_k(u_k) \leq \mathbf{E}_0(u_C^m) + \varepsilon_2 + \frac{(2C_{LB})^n (C_{LB} + \varepsilon_2)}{(n+1)V} \varepsilon_1.$$

By the upper semi-continuity of the Monge–Ampère energy on X_0 and (3.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbf{E}_k(u_k) &\leq \limsup_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbf{E}_0(u_C^m) + \varepsilon_2 + \frac{(2C_{LB})^n (C_{LB} + \varepsilon_2)}{(n+1)V} \varepsilon_1 \\ &\leq \mathbf{E}_0(\max\{\widehat{u}, -C_{LB}\}) + \varepsilon_2 + \frac{(2C_{LB})^n (C_{LB} + \varepsilon_2)}{(n+1)V} \varepsilon_1 \\ &\leq \mathbf{E}_0(u_0) + \varepsilon_2 + \frac{(2C_{LB})^n (C_{LB} + \varepsilon_2)}{(n+1)V} \varepsilon_1. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, letting $\varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0^+$ and $\varepsilon_1 \rightarrow 0^+$, we obtain the desired estimate

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbf{E}_k(u_k) \leq \mathbf{E}_0(u_0).$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 3.1.

4. A Demailly–Kollár result in families

This section is devoted to the proof of Proposition D. We first start by discussing Lelong numbers of functions with full Monge–Ampère mass on a singular space.

4.1. Lelong number of functions in the finite energy class. — We recall here two different definitions of Lelong numbers on singular complex spaces and how they compare. We shall prove that functions with full Monge–Ampère mass have zero Lelong numbers in both senses.

Let X be an n -dimensional, normal, complex space. Fix a point $x \in X$ and local generators $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ of the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{X,x}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$. Set $\psi := \sum_{i \in I} |f_i|^2$. Let u be a psh function defined near x . Recall from [BBE⁺19, Appx. A] that the slope of u at x is defined by

$$s(u, x) := \sup \{s \geq 0 \mid u \leq s \log \psi + O(1)\}.$$

In [Dem82, Déf. 3], Demailly introduced another way of measuring the singularity of u at x by considering

$$v(u, x) := \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{\{\psi < r\}} \text{dd}^c u \wedge (\text{dd}^c \log \psi)^{n-1}.$$

We call it the *Demailly–Lelong number* of u at x . These quantities are both independent of the choice of $(f_i)_i$.

Remark 4.1. — Fix a point $x \in X$. Take a local embedding $X \xrightarrow{\text{loc.}} \mathbb{C}^N$ near x and send x to the origin $0 \in \mathbb{C}^N$. Note that $\mathfrak{m}_{X,x} = \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}^N,0} / \mathcal{I}_{X,0}$ where \mathcal{I}_X is the ideal sheaf induced by X . From Demailly’s comparison theorem [Dem82, Thm. 4], one can check that the Demailly–Lelong number can also be expressed as

$$v(u, x) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{B}_r(0)} \text{dd}^c u \wedge (\text{dd}^c \log |z|^2)^{n-1} \wedge [X],$$

where $\mathbb{B}_r(0)$ is a ball in \mathbb{C}^N with radius r centered at 0. Similarly, one also has

$$s(u, x) = \sup \left\{ s \geq 0 \mid u|_X \leq s (\log |z|^2)|_X + O(1) \right\}.$$

It follows from [GZ17, Lem. 2.46] that $s(u, x) = v(u, x)$ if x is a smooth point. However, it is no longer the case in singular settings. Precisely, one has the following inequality (cf. [BBE⁺19, Rmk. A.5])

$$v(u, x) \geq \text{mult}(X, x) s(u, x).$$

It is conjectured in *loc. cit.* that there is a constant $C \geq 1$ independent of u such that

$$v(u, x) \leq Cs(u, x). \quad (4.1)$$

Recall that $u \in \mathcal{E}(X, \omega)$ is a function in PSH(X, ω) whose non-pluripolar Monge–Ampère

$$\langle (\omega + \text{dd}^c u)^n \rangle := \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\{u > -j\}} (\omega + \text{dd}^c \max\{u, -j\})^n$$

has full Monge–Ampère mass. On a singular space, from [BBE⁺19, Thm 1.1], the slope of $u \in \mathcal{E}(X, \omega)$ is identically zero. We prove that for any function $u \in \mathcal{E}(X, \omega)$, the Demailly–Lelong number is identically zero as well.

Proposition 4.2. — *Let (X, ω) be an n -dimensional, normal, compact Kähler space. If $u \in \mathcal{E}(X, \omega)$, then $v(u, x) = 0$ for every $x \in X$.*

Proof. — Fix a point $x \in X$. Note that $v(\rho, x) = 0$ for any smooth psh function ρ defined near x . Let \mathcal{I} be the ideal sheaf of the point x . By Hironaka’s theorem, one can find a log-resolution $p : (\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-D)) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{I})$ so that \tilde{X} is smooth, $p^{-1}\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-D)$, and $D = \sum_{\ell} a_{\ell} D_{\ell}$ is effective where $(D_{\ell})_{\ell}$ are prime divisors. Let ρ be a smooth potential of ω near x . The function $v := \rho + u$ is psh near x . By Fornæss–Narasimhan [FN80, Thm 5.5], there is a sequence of smooth psh functions $(v_j)_j$ decreasing towards v . Recall that $\psi := \sum_{i \in I} |f_i|^2$ where $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ are local generators of the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{X,x}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$. Fix $r > 0$ and a cutoff function χ which is identically 1 on $\{\psi < r\}$ and has support in $\{\psi < 2r\}$. Then we consider the following approximation of $v(u, x)$:

$$L_{j, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1}} = \int_{\{\psi < 2r\}} \chi \text{dd}^c v_j \wedge \text{dd}^c \log(\psi + \varepsilon_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \text{dd}^c \log(\psi + \varepsilon_{n-1}),$$

for $(\varepsilon_k)_{1 \leq k \leq n-1} \in (0, 1]^{n-1}$. By the continuity of the complex Monge–Ampère operator along monotone sequences, we have

$$v(u, x) \leq \int_{\{\psi < 2r\}} \chi \text{dd}^c v \wedge (\text{dd}^c \log \psi)^{n-1} = \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{\varepsilon_1 \rightarrow 0} \dots \lim_{\varepsilon_{n-1} \rightarrow 0} L_{j, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1}}.$$

Pulling back all data to \tilde{X} , we obtain

$$L_{j, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1}} = \int_{p^{-1}(\{\psi < 2r\})} (\chi \circ p) p^* \text{dd}^c v_j \wedge p^* \text{dd}^c \log(\psi + \varepsilon_1) \wedge \dots \wedge p^* \text{dd}^c \log(\psi + \varepsilon_{n-1}).$$

Note that

$$p^* \text{dd}^c \log \psi = \sum_{\ell} a_{\ell} [D_{\ell}] + \theta$$

where θ is a smooth semi-positive $(1, 1)$ -form defined near $p^{-1}(x)$. Choose a Kähler metric $\tilde{\omega}$ on \tilde{X} so that $p^* \omega \leq \tilde{\omega}$ on \tilde{X} and $\theta \leq \tilde{\omega}$ near $p^{-1}(x)$. Taking $\varepsilon_{n-1} \rightarrow 0$, one can derive that $L_{j, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1}}$ converges to

$$\begin{aligned} L_{j, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-2}} &= \int_{p^{-1}(\{\psi < 2r\})} (\chi \circ p) p^* \text{dd}^c v_j \wedge \bigwedge_{k=1}^{n-2} p^* \text{dd}^c \log(\psi + \varepsilon_k) \wedge \left(\sum_{\ell} a_{\ell} [D_{\ell}] + \theta \right) \\ &= \int_{p^{-1}(\{\psi < 2r\})} (\chi \circ p) p^* \text{dd}^c v_j \wedge \bigwedge_{k=1}^{n-2} p^* \text{dd}^c \log(\psi + \varepsilon_k) \wedge \theta; \end{aligned}$$

here the second equality comes from the constancy of p^*v_j along each D_ℓ . Inductively, one can deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{\varepsilon_1 \rightarrow 0} \cdots \lim_{\varepsilon_{n-1} \rightarrow 0} L_{j, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1}} &= \int_{p^{-1}(\{\psi < 2r\})} (\chi \circ p) p^*(\omega + \text{dd}^c u) \wedge \theta^n \\ &\leq \int_{p^{-1}(\{\psi < 2r\})} (\tilde{\omega} + \text{dd}^c p^*u) \wedge \tilde{\omega}^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $r \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$v(u, x) \leq \int_{p^{-1}(x)} (\tilde{\omega} + \text{dd}^c p^*u) \wedge \tilde{\omega}^{n-1}.$$

One easily check that $p^*u \in \mathcal{E}(\tilde{X}, p^*\omega)$. By [DDL18, Thm 1.1 (ii)], we have $\mathcal{E}(\tilde{X}, p^*\omega) \cap \text{PSH}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\omega}) \subset \mathcal{E}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\omega})$ and thus $p^*u \in \mathcal{E}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\omega})$. Note that $(\tilde{\omega} + \text{dd}^c p^*u) \wedge \tilde{\omega}^{n-1}$ is a mixed Monge–Ampère measure of $p^*u \in \mathcal{E}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\omega})$ and $0 \in \mathcal{E}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\omega})$, so it does not charge pluripolar set (see e.g. [BEGZ10, Cor. 2.15]). The set $p^{-1}(x)$ is analytic, so is pluripolar; hence $\int_{p^{-1}(x)} (\tilde{\omega} + \text{dd}^c p^*u) \wedge \tilde{\omega}^{n-1} = 0$ and $v(u, x) = 0$. \square

4.2. A Skoda–Zeriahi type estimate. — In this section, we establish the following Skoda–Zeriahi type estimate along a convergent sequence of \mathcal{E} -functions in families:

Lemma 4.3. — *In Setting (GSN), if $(u_k)_k \subset \mathcal{E}_{\text{fibre}}(\mathcal{X}, \omega)$ converges to $u_0 \in \mathcal{E}(X_0, \omega_0)$, then for all $\gamma > 0$, there is a constant $A_\gamma > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{X_k} e^{-\gamma u_k} \omega_k^n \leq A_\gamma.$$

Proof. — The proof follows the same strategy as in [Zer01, Thm. 3.1] and [Pan23, Thm. 4.1]. An extra difficulty is that we need to establish arbitrarily small upper bounds on local projective masses along such a sequence.

Step 0: reductions. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $(u_k)_k$ and u_0 are negative. Also, we assume that $\mathbb{B}_{1/2} \subset \mathbb{B} \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ and the collection of $\mathbb{B}_{1/2}$ cover \mathcal{X} up to shrinking \mathbb{D} . On each \mathbb{B} , one can write $\omega = \text{dd}^c g$ for some smooth strictly psh function g defined in a neighborhood of $\overline{\mathbb{B}}$. Since the collection of \mathbb{B} is finite, one can assume that $-C \leq g \leq 0$ on each \mathbb{B} for a uniform constant $C > 0$. By [FN80, Thm. 5.5], the function $g + u_k$ is a decreasing limit of negative smooth psh functions $(v_{t_k, \ell})_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$ on $\mathbb{B} \cap X_k$. By monotone convergence theorem, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and each k fixed, one can find ℓ_k such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_{1/2} \cap X_k} e^{-\gamma(g+u_k)} \omega_k^n \leq \int_{\mathbb{B}_{1/2} \cap X_k} e^{-\gamma(v_{t_k, \ell})} \omega_k^n + \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|(g + u_k) - v_{t_k, \ell}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{B} \cap X_k)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k+1}}$$

for all $\ell \geq \ell_k$. We may assume that $\ell_{k+1} > \ell_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Define $v_k := v_{t_k, \ell_k}$ which is a smooth psh function on $\mathbb{B} \cap X_k$. To prove Lemma 4.3, it suffices to show that for each $\gamma > 0$ and on each $\mathbb{B}_{1/2}$, there exists a constant A'_γ such that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the following estimate holds

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_{1/2} \cap X_k} e^{-\gamma v_k} \omega_k^n \leq A'_\gamma. \tag{4.2}$$

Step 1: controlling Demailly–Lelong numbers. Let Φ_x denote the automorphism of \mathbb{B} sending x to the origin and consider $G_x(z) := \log |\Phi_x(z)|$ the pluricomplex Green function of \mathbb{B} . Define

$$v(v_k, x, r) := \int_{\overline{D(x, r)}} \text{dd}^c v_k \wedge (\text{dd}^c G_x)^{n-1} \wedge [X_k]$$

where $D(x, r) := \{\zeta \in \mathbb{B} \mid |\Phi_x(\zeta)| < r\}$. Arguing as in [GZ17, p. 65], one can check that

$$v(v_k, x, r) = \frac{1}{r^{2n-2}} \int_{D(x,r)} \text{dd}^c v_k \wedge (\text{dd}^c |\Phi_x|^2)^{n-1} \wedge [X_k].$$

We shall show an upper semi-continuity property:

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} v(v_k, x_k, r) \leq v(g + u_0, x_0, r) \quad (4.3)$$

for any sequence $x_k \in \overline{\mathbb{B}}_{1/2}$ converging to $x_0 \in \overline{\mathbb{B}}_{1/2}$. Arguing as in Proposition 2.10, one shows that

$$\text{dd}^c v_k \wedge [X_k] \rightharpoonup \text{dd}^c (g + u_0) \wedge [X_0] \quad (4.4)$$

on \mathbb{B} . Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. For all k large enough, we have $D(x_k, r) \subset D(x_0, r + \varepsilon)$ and

$$(1 - a_k) \text{dd}^c |\Phi_{x_0}|^2 \leq \text{dd}^c |\Phi_{x_k}|^2 \leq (1 + a_k) \text{dd}^c |\Phi_{x_0}|^2$$

for some $a_k \searrow 0$. Therefore, one has

$$\begin{aligned} v(v_k, x_k, r) &= \frac{1}{r^{2n-2}} \int_{D(x_k,r)} \text{dd}^c v_k \wedge (\text{dd}^c |\Phi_{x_k}|^2)^{n-1} \wedge [X_k] \\ &\leq \frac{(1 + a_k)^n}{r^{2n-2}} \int_{D(x_0,r+\varepsilon)} \text{dd}^c v_k \wedge (\text{dd}^c |\Phi_{x_0}|^2)^{n-1} \wedge [X_k]. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.4), we have

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{D(x_0,r+\varepsilon)} \text{dd}^c v_k \wedge (\text{dd}^c |\Phi_{x_0}|^2)^{n-1} \wedge [X_k] \leq \int_{D(x_0,r+\varepsilon)} \text{dd}^c (g + u_0) \wedge (\text{dd}^c |\Phi_{x_0}|^2)^{n-1} \wedge [X_0].$$

This implies that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} v(v_k, x_k, r) \leq \left(\frac{r + \varepsilon}{r} \right)^{2n-2} v(g + u_0, x_0, r + \varepsilon) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} v(g + u_0, x_0, r).$$

Note that $v(g + u_0, x, r)$ decreases to $v(g + u_0, x)$ as $r \rightarrow 0^+$ which is the Lelong number of u_0 at x . From Proposition 4.2, we have $v(g + u_0, x) = 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{B}_{1/2}$. By (4.3) and Dini's lemma, $v(g + u_0, x, r)$ converges uniformly (independent of $x \in \overline{\mathbb{B}}_{1/2}$) to 0 as $r \rightarrow 0^+$. Therefore, for all $\nu < 2/\gamma$, for all $x \in \mathbb{B}_{1/2}$, there is a uniform $r_0 > 0$ such that $v(g + u_0, x, r_0) < \nu/2$ for any $x \in \overline{\mathbb{B}}_{1/2}$. By (4.3), one can find $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $x \in \overline{\mathbb{B}}_{1/2}$ and for all $k > M$,

$$v(v_k, x, r_0) \leq \frac{3\nu}{4}.$$

Adding $\varepsilon(|z|^2 - 1)$ for some small $\varepsilon > 0$, one can find a uniform constant $c_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$c_\varepsilon \leq v(v_k + \varepsilon(|z|^2 - 1), x, r_0) \leq \nu$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{B}_{1/2} \cap X_k$.

Step 2: conclusion. We have $(\text{dd}^c G_x)^n \wedge [X_t] \geq \delta_x$ (cf. [DGG20, p. 22]) and thus for $0 \geq \psi_t \in \text{PSH}(\mathbb{B} \cap X_t) \cap \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{B} \cap X_t)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_t(x) &\geq \int_{\mathbb{B}} \psi_t (\text{dd}^c G_x)^n \wedge [X_t] \\ &= \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{B}} G_x \text{dd}^c \psi_t \wedge (\text{dd}^c G_x)^{n-1} \wedge [X_t]}_{=: I_{\psi_t}(x)} + \underbrace{\int_{\partial \mathbb{B}} \psi_t \wedge \text{d}^c G_x \wedge (\text{dd}^c G_x)^{n-1} \wedge [X_t]}_{=: J_{\psi_t}(x)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Define $D(x, r) := \{z \in \mathbb{B} \mid |\Phi_x(z)| \leq r\}$. We separate I_t as

$$I_t(x) = \underbrace{\int_{D(x,r)} G_x \mathrm{dd}^c \psi_t \wedge (\mathrm{dd}^c G_x)^{n-1} \wedge [X_t]}_{=: K_{\psi_t}(x)} + \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{B} \setminus D(x,r)} G_x \mathrm{dd}^c \psi_t \wedge (\mathrm{dd}^c G_x)^{n-1} \wedge [X_t]}_{=: L_{\psi_t}(x)}. \quad (4.6)$$

Write $w_k = v_k + \varepsilon(|z|^2 - 1)$. As in [DGG20, middle of p. 23], the second term $|J_t|$ in (4.5) of w_k is dominated by a uniform constant $C > 0$. The second term $|L_t|$ in (4.6) of w_k is uniformly bounded by $C \frac{\log r_0}{r_0^{2n-2}}$ for some constant $C > 0$ (cf. [GZ17, p. 70]). Following the arguments in [Pan23, p. 19-20] allows one to complete the proof. \square

4.3. A Demailly–Kollár type result for functions with full Monge–Ampère mass. —

4.3.1. Adapted measures. — In this part, we recall the notion of adapted measures as in [EGZ09, Sec. 6] and a uniform L^p estimate of the canonical densities as in [DGG20, Lem. 4.4] under the following setting:

Setting (klt). — Under Setting (GSN), further assume that \mathcal{X} is \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein and X_0 has at most klt singularities.

Remark 4.4. — In Setting (klt), by inversion of adjunction (cf. [Kol13, Thm. 4.9]), \mathcal{X} has klt singularities near X_0 . Moreover, X_t has klt singularities for all t close to 0 (cf. [Kol13, Cor. 4.10]).

Let $m \geq 1$ be an integer such that $mK_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{D}}$ is Cartier. Fix h a smooth hermitian metric on $mK_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{D}}$ associated to ω . Given Ω a local trivialization of $mK_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{D}}$, we consider the following adapted measure on X_t^{reg}

$$\mu_t := i^{n^2} \left(\frac{\Omega_t \wedge \overline{\Omega}_t}{|\Omega_t|_{h_t}^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}}$$

where Ω_t (resp. h_t) is the restriction of Ω (resp. h) to X_t . Note that μ_t does not depend on the choice of Ω . By a klt version of [DGG20, Lem. 4.4], one has $\mu_t = f_t \omega_t^n$ with $0 \leq f_t \in L^p(X_t, \omega_t^n)$ for some $p > 1$ and there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ such that for all $t \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}_{1/2}$,

$$\int_{X_t} f_t^p \omega_t^n \leq C_p. \quad (4.7)$$

4.3.2. Demailly–Kollár’s type result. — We first recall the theorem of Demailly and Kollár:

Theorem 4.5 ([DK01, Main Thm. 0.2 (2)]). — Let X be a complex manifold and K a compact subset in X . If $(u_j)_j$ is a sequence of quasi-psh functions which converges to a quasi-psh function u in L^1_{loc} , then for all $c < c_K(u)$, over some neighborhood U of K

$$e^{-2cu_j} \xrightarrow{L^1(U)} e^{-2cu}$$

where $c_K(u) := \sup \{c \geq 0 \mid e^{-2cu} \text{ is } L^1 \text{ on a neighborhood of } K\}$.

We establish the following continuity result in the spirit of Theorem 4.5.

Proposition 4.6. — In Setting (klt), if $(u_k)_k \subset \mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{fibre}}(\mathcal{X}, \omega)$ converges to $u_0 \in \mathcal{E}(X_0, \omega_0)$, then for any $\gamma > 0$,

$$\int_{X_k} e^{-\gamma u_k} d\mu_k \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{X_0} e^{-\gamma u_0} d\mu_0.$$

Proof. — Without loss of generality, we may assume that $(u_k)_k$ and u_0 are negative. Let $D \geq 0$ be a constant such that $\sup_{X_k} u_k \geq -D$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sup_{X_0} u_0 \geq -D$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, we find an open neighborhood \mathcal{W}_ε of X_0^{sing} such that

$$\text{Vol}_{\omega_t}(X_t \cap \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon \quad (4.8)$$

for all t sufficiently close to 0. Also, there is a smaller open set $\mathcal{W}_{\varepsilon/2}$ with $X_0^{\text{sing}} \subset \mathcal{W}_{\varepsilon/2} \Subset \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon$. Let $(B_\alpha, F_\alpha, \mathcal{B}_\alpha)_\alpha$ be a locally trivial finite cover of $\mathcal{X} \setminus \overline{\mathcal{Z}_\varepsilon}$. Up to shrinking \mathbb{D} , one can find $(\chi_\alpha)_{\alpha \in J} \cup \{\chi_{\mathcal{W}}\}$ cutoffs satisfying the following conditions:

- $\chi_{\mathcal{W}}$ is supported in \mathcal{W}_ε and for each $\alpha \in J$, χ_α is supported in B_α ;
- $\chi_{\mathcal{W}} + \sum_{\alpha \in J} \chi_\alpha \equiv 1$ on $\pi^{-1}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_r)$ for some $r > 0$ sufficiently small.

Define $\chi_{\alpha,t} := \chi_\alpha|_{X_t}$ and $\chi_{\mathcal{W},t} = \chi_{\mathcal{W}}|_{X_t}$. We estimate the desired quantity by two terms:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{X_k} e^{-\gamma u_k} d\mu_k - \int_{X_0} e^{-\gamma u_0} d\mu_0 \right| \\ & \leq \underbrace{\left| \sum_{\alpha} \left(\int_{B_{\alpha,k}} \chi_{\alpha,k} e^{-\gamma u_k} f_k \omega_k^n - \int_{B_\alpha} \chi_{\alpha,0} e^{-\gamma u_0} f_0 \omega_0^n \right) \right|}_{=: \text{I}} + \underbrace{\left| \int_{X_k \cap \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon} e^{-\gamma u_k} f_k \omega_k^n \right| + \left| \int_{X_0 \cap \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon} e^{-\gamma u_0} f_0 \omega_0^n \right|}_{=: \text{II}}. \end{aligned}$$

For the term I, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{I} & \leq \sum_{\alpha} \left| \int_{B_\alpha} \left(e^{-\gamma u_k \circ F_{\alpha,k}} \frac{F_{\alpha,k}^*(\chi_{\alpha,k} f_k \omega_k^n)}{\omega_0^n} - e^{-\gamma u_0} \chi_{\alpha,0} f_0 \right) \omega_0^n \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{\alpha} \underbrace{\left| \int_{B_\alpha} \left(e^{-\gamma u_k \circ F_{\alpha,k}} - e^{-\gamma u_0} \right) \frac{F_{\alpha,k}^*(\chi_{\alpha,k} f_k \omega_k^n)}{\omega_0^n} \omega_0^n \right|}_{=: \text{III}} + \sum_{\alpha} \underbrace{\left| \int_{B_\alpha} e^{-\gamma u_0} \left(\frac{F_{\alpha,k}^*(\chi_{\alpha,k} f_k \omega_k^n)}{\omega_0^n} - \chi_{\alpha,0} f_0 \right) \omega_0^n \right|}_{=: \text{IV}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let Ω_α be a local trivialization of $-K_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{D}}$ on B_α . One can write

$$\frac{F_{\alpha,t}^*(f_t \omega_t^n)}{\omega_0^n} = F_{\alpha,t}^* \left(\mathbf{i}^{n^2} \frac{\Omega_\alpha \wedge \overline{\Omega_\alpha}}{|\Omega_\alpha|_h^2} \Big|_{X_t \cap B_\alpha} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{\omega_0^n}.$$

Then on B_α , the sequence $\left(\frac{F_{\alpha,k}^*(\chi_{\alpha,k} f_k \omega_k^n)}{\omega_0^n} \right)_k$ converges smoothly to $\chi_{\alpha,0} f_0$. Hence, for each α , there is a constant $C_\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\frac{F_{\alpha,k}^*(\chi_{\alpha,k} f_k \omega_k^n)}{\omega_0^n} \leq C_\alpha,$$

and one also has

$$\sup_{B_\alpha} \left| \frac{F_{\alpha,k}^*(\chi_{\alpha,k} f_k \omega_k^n)}{\omega_0^n} - \chi_{\alpha,0} f_0 \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{|J|}$$

for all k sufficiently large. By Theorem 4.5, for all $\gamma > 0$, $e^{-\gamma u_k \circ F_{\alpha,k}}$ converges to $e^{-\gamma u_0}$ on $L^1(B_\alpha)$. Namely, for all k sufficiently large, we have

$$\int_{B_\alpha} \left| e^{-\gamma u_k \circ F_{\alpha,k}} - e^{-\gamma u_0} \right| \omega_0^n \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{C_\alpha |J|}.$$

These yield the following estimates

$$\text{III} \leq \sum_{j \in J} C_\alpha \int_{B_\alpha} \left| e^{-\gamma u_k \circ F_{\alpha,k}} - e^{-\gamma u_0} \right| \omega_0^n \leq \varepsilon,$$

and

$$\text{IV} \leq \sum_{\alpha \in J} \frac{\varepsilon}{|J|} \int_{X_0} e^{-\gamma u_0} \omega_0 \leq \int_{X_0} e^{-\gamma u_0} \omega_0^n \varepsilon.$$

Next, fix two constants $q, r > 1$ such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} = 1$ where p is given by (4.7). By Hölder inequality, Lemma 4.3 and (4.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{II} &\leq C_p^{1/p} \left[\left(\int_{X_k \cap \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon} e^{-\gamma q u_k} \omega_k^n \right)^{1/q} \text{Vol}_{\omega_k}^{1/r}(X_k \cap \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon) + \left(\int_{X_0 \cap \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon} e^{-\gamma q u_0} \omega_0^n \right)^{1/q} \text{Vol}_{\omega_0}^{1/r}(X_0 \cap \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon) \right] \\ &\leq 2C_p^{1/p} A_{\gamma q}^{1/q} \varepsilon^{1/r}. \end{aligned}$$

All in all, one gets

$$\left| \int_{X_k} e^{-\gamma u_k} d\mu_k - \int_{X_0} e^{-\gamma u_0} d\mu_0 \right| \leq \text{II} + \text{III} + \text{IV} \leq 2C_p^{1/p} A_{\gamma q}^{1/q} \varepsilon^{1/r} + \left(1 + \int_{X_0} e^{-\gamma u_0} \omega_0^n \right) \varepsilon$$

which completes the proof. \square

5. Geometric applications

We are now ready to prove our main results, Theorems A and B from the introduction.

5.1. Openness of Kähler–Einstein metrics. — In this section, we prove Theorem A.

Setting (KE). — Under Setting (klt), further, assume that $-K_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{D}}$ is relatively ample, $\text{Aut}(X_0)$ is discrete, and X_0 admits a Kähler–Einstein metric.

Theorem 5.1. — Under Setting (KE), for all t sufficiently close to 0, X_t admits a Kähler–Einstein metric.

By the singular version of the Yau–Tian–Donaldson correspondence [LTW22, LXZ22], Theorem A follows from the openness of K-stability [BL22, Thm. A].

We prove that X_t admits a unique singular Kähler–Einstein metric for any t sufficiently close to 0, without relying on the Yau–Tian–Donaldson correspondence and the openness of K-stability.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. — Propositions C and D lead to the lower semi-continuity of the family of functionals $\{\mathbf{D}_t\}_t$ over normalized potentials with uniformly bounded Monge–Ampère energy. The strategy for proving Theorem 5.1 consists of exploiting the coercivity of the Ding functional \mathbf{D}_0 on the central fibre to deduce that \mathbf{D}_t necessarily admits a minimizer if t is sufficiently close to 0.

Recall that from Theorem 1.3 there exist constants $A \in (0, 1), B \geq 0$ such that

$$\mathbf{D}_0(u) \geq A(-\mathbf{E}_0(u)) - B \tag{5.1}$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{norm}}^1(X_0, \omega_0) := \left\{ v \in \mathcal{E}^1(X_0, \omega_0) \mid \sup_{X_0} v = 0 \right\}$. Here the Ding functionals $\mathbf{D}_t : \mathcal{E}^1(X_t, \omega_t) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are defined as

$$\mathbf{D}_t(u) = -\mathbf{E}_t(u) - \log \int_{X_t} e^{-u} c_t d\mu_t$$

where $c_t := 1 / \int_{X_t} d\mu_t$ makes $c_t d\mu_t$ to be a probability measure (see Section 4.3.1). One can check that c_t is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{D}$ (cf. Proposition D), and bounded away from 0 and $+\infty$. Let also $C_{SL} > 0$ be the uniform constant given by the sup- L^1 comparison in Theorem 2.3. We claim the following:

Claim 5.2. — For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $r_\varepsilon > 0$ such that, for all $t \in \mathbb{D}_{r_\varepsilon}$, if $\mathbf{D}_t(u) \leq 0$ for $u \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{norm}}^1(X_t, \omega_t)$ then $-\mathbf{E}_t(u) < \frac{B+C_{SL}}{A} + \varepsilon$.

Proof of Claim 5.2. — Fix $\varepsilon > 0$, set $M := \frac{B+C_{SL}}{A}$ and assume by contradiction that there exists a sequence $u_{t_k} \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{norm}}^1(X_{t_k}, \omega_{t_k})$ such that $-\mathbf{E}_{t_k}(u_{t_k}) \geq M + \varepsilon$. To lighten the notation we set $X_k := X_{t_k}, \omega_k := \omega_{t_k}$ and similarly for other quantities. Let $g_k(s)$ be the unit-speed geodesic connecting 0 and u_k in $\mathcal{E}_{\text{norm}}^1(X_k, \omega_k)$ and set $v_k := g_k(M + \varepsilon)$. By Proposition 2.8, up to extracting a subsequence, v_k converges to a function $v \in \text{PSH}(X_0, \omega_0)$ in the family sense. Then Proposition C implies $-M - \varepsilon = \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbf{E}_k(v_k) \leq \mathbf{E}_0(v)$; hence $v \in \mathcal{E}^1(X_0, \omega_0)$. Recall that from Proposition 2.8, and Lemma 2.11,

$$-C_{SL} \leq \sup_{X_0} v \leq 0. \quad (5.2)$$

We have $-M - \varepsilon \leq \mathbf{E}_0(\tilde{v}) \leq 0$ where $\tilde{v} = v - \sup_{X_0} v$.

As $\mathbf{D}_k(0) = 0$ and $\mathbf{D}_k(u_k) \leq 0$, the convexity of $\mathbf{D}_k(\bullet)$ along the geodesic $s \mapsto g_k(s)$ (see Theorem 1.4) gives $\mathbf{D}_k(v_k) \leq 0$. Hence,

$$M + \varepsilon = -\mathbf{E}_k(v_k) = \mathbf{D}_k(v_k) + \log \left(\int_{X_k} e^{-v_k} c_k d\mu_k \right) \leq \log \left(\int_{X_k} e^{-v_k} c_k d\mu_k \right).$$

Taking limit on both sides, by Proposition D, (5.2), and (5.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M + \varepsilon &\leq \log \left(\int_{X_k} e^{-v} c_0 d\mu_0 \right) = \log \left(\int_{X_0} e^{-\tilde{v}} c_0 d\mu_0 \right) - \sup_{X_0} v \\ &\leq \log \left(\int_{X_0} e^{-\tilde{v}} c_0 d\mu_0 \right) + C_{SL} = -\mathbf{D}_0(\tilde{v}) - \mathbf{E}_0(\tilde{v}) + C_{SL} \\ &\leq (1 - A)(-\mathbf{E}_0(\tilde{v})) + B + C_{SL} \leq (1 - A)(M + \varepsilon) + B + C_{SL}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $B + C_{SL} + A\varepsilon = A(M + \varepsilon) \leq B + C_{SL}$, which yields a contradiction. \square

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. By the claim, one can find $r_\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all $t \in \mathbb{D}_{r_\varepsilon}$,

$$\left\{ u \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{norm}}^1(X_t, \omega_t) \mid \mathbf{D}_t(u) \leq 0 \right\} \subset \left\{ u \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{norm}}^1(X_t, \omega_t) \mid -\mathbf{E}_t(u) \leq M + \varepsilon \right\} =: \mathcal{E}_{M+\varepsilon}^1(X_t, \omega_t).$$

By the compactness of $\mathcal{E}_{M+\varepsilon}^1(X_t, \omega_t)$ with respect to the L^1 -topology and the lower semi-continuity of the Ding functional, for each $t \in \mathbb{D}_{r_\varepsilon}$, there exists a minimizer in $\mathcal{E}^1(X_t, \omega_t)$ of \mathbf{D}_t . This function is a Kähler–Einstein potential on X_t by [BBE⁺19, Thm. 4.8]. \square

Remark 5.3. — We give a remark on the uniqueness of Kähler–Einstein metrics. As X_0 admits a unique Kähler–Einstein metric, the connected component of the identity of the automorphism group $\text{Aut}^\circ(X_0)$ is the identity (cf. [BBE⁺19, Thm. 5.1]). In particular, we have $H^0(X_0, T_{X_0}) = \{0\}$. Thus, by the upper semi-continuity of $\mathbb{D} \ni t \mapsto \dim H^0(X_t, T_{X_t})$ (see [BS76, Ch. 3, Prop. 1.7]), we deduces that $\text{Aut}^\circ(X_t) = \{\text{Id}\}$ for any t sufficiently close to 0. Hence the uniqueness of Kähler–Einstein metrics again follows from [BBE⁺19, Thm. 5.1].

5.2. A uniform L^∞ -estimate of Kähler–Einstein potentials. — We prove here the uniform L^∞ -estimate part of Theorem B.

Fix $\omega \in c_1(-K_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{D}})$ a smooth Kähler metric on \mathcal{X} . Under Setting (KE), Theorem A implies that, for all t sufficiently close to 0, X_t admits a unique Kähler–Einstein potential $\varphi_{\text{KE},t} \in \text{PSH}(X_t, \omega_t)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{V} (\omega_t + \text{dd}_t^c \varphi_{\text{KE},t})^n = e^{-\varphi_{\text{KE},t}} c_t \mu_t \quad (\text{MA}_t)$$

where μ_t is the adapted measure on X_t related to ω_t (see Section 4.3.1), and $c_t = \frac{1}{\int_{X_t} d\mu_t}$. We denote by $\omega_{\text{KE},t} = \omega_t + \text{dd}^c \varphi_{\text{KE},t}$ the unique Kähler–Einstein metric on X_t .

Theorem 5.4. — *Under above setting, there exists $C_{\text{MA}} > 0$ such that for all t sufficiently close to 0*

$$\text{osc}_{X_t} \varphi_{\text{KE},t} \leq C_{\text{MA}}.$$

Proof. — Up to shrinking \mathbb{D} , one may assume that for all $t \in \mathbb{D}$, each fibre X_t admits a unique Kähler–Einstein potential $\varphi_{\text{KE},t}$ solving (MA_t) (cf. Theorem 5.1). Set $\tilde{\varphi}_{\text{KE},t} = \varphi_{\text{KE},t} - \sup_{X_t} \varphi_{\text{KE},t}$. By [BBE⁺19, Thm. 4.8], $\tilde{\varphi}_{\text{KE},t}$ is the unique minimizer of $\mathbf{D}_t(\bullet)$ on $\mathcal{E}_{\text{norm}}^1(X_t, \omega_t)$, and in particular $\mathbf{D}_t(\tilde{\varphi}_{\text{KE},t}) \leq \mathbf{D}_t(0) = 0$. Thus, thanks to Claim 5.2, there exists a uniform constant $C_{\text{E}} > 0$ such that

$$\mathbf{E}_t(\tilde{\varphi}_{\text{KE},t}) \geq -C_{\text{E}} \quad (5.3)$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{D}$.

Now, we shall prove a uniform L^p -estimate for $(\tilde{\varphi}_{\text{KE},t})_{t \in \mathbb{D}}$ with respect to the measures $(\mu_t)_{t \in \mathbb{D}}$. By Proposition 2.8, and Lemma 2.11, up to extracting and relabeling, any sequence $(\tilde{\varphi}_{\text{KE},k})_k$ converges to a function $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X_0, \omega_0)$ with $-C_{\text{SL}} \leq \sup_{X_0} \varphi \leq 0$. For any $\gamma > 1$, Proposition D gives

$$\int_{X_k} e^{-\gamma \tilde{\varphi}_{\text{KE},k}} d\mu_k \longrightarrow \int_{X_0} e^{-\gamma \varphi} d\mu_0.$$

In particular $\|e^{-\tilde{\varphi}_{\text{KE},k}}\|_{L^\gamma(\mu_k)}$ is uniformly bounded. Hence, an easy contradiction argument yields that for any $\gamma > 1$ there exists a uniform constant $A_\gamma > 0$ such that

$$\|e^{-\tilde{\varphi}_{\text{KE},t}}\|_{L^\gamma(\mu_t)} \leq A_\gamma \quad (5.4)$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{D}$.

By [DGG20, Thm. A], to establish a uniform L^∞ -estimate to the solution of (MA_t) , it suffices to verify two conditions:

H.1 There exist $\beta > 0$ and $B_\beta > 0$ such that for all $\psi_t \in \text{PSH}(X_t, \omega_t)$,

$$\int_{X_t} e^{-\beta(\psi_t - \sup_{X_t} \psi_t)} c_t d\mu_t \leq B_\beta;$$

H.2 There exists $q > 1$ and $C > 0$ such that $\int_{X_t} e^{-q\varphi_{\text{KE},t}} c_t d\mu_t \leq C$.

Condition **H.1** follows from Theorem 2.3 and (4.7). Indeed, choosing $\beta > 0$ such that $\frac{p}{p-1}\beta \leq \alpha$, by Hölder inequality, we have

$$\int_{X_t} e^{-\beta(\psi_t - \sup_{X_t} \psi_t)} d\mu_t \leq \left(\int_{X_t} e^{-\frac{p}{p-1}\beta(\psi_t - \sup_{X_t} \psi_t)} \omega_t^n \right)^{(p-1)/p} \left(\int_{X_t} f_t^p \omega_t \right)^{1/p} \leq C_\alpha^{(p-1)/p} C_p^{1/p}.$$

Condition **H.2** is a direct consequence of (5.3) and (5.4). This completes the proof. \square

5.3. Higher-order estimates away from the singular locus. — One can reproduce verbatim the argument as in [Pău08, Sec. 3] (see also [BBE⁺19, Appx. B]) to get a uniform Laplacian estimate away from \mathcal{Z} the singular locus of π : for any compact subset $K \Subset \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{Z}$, there is a constant $C_K > 0$ such that for all t close to 0,

$$(\text{tr}_{\omega_t} \omega_{\text{KE},t})|_{K_t} \leq C_K \quad (5.5)$$

where $K_t = K \cap X_t$. Then by (5.5) and standard bootstrapping argument (Evans–Krylov, and Schauder estimates), one can get uniform higher-order estimates

$$\|\varphi_{\text{KE},t}\|_{C^j(K_t)} \leq C_{K,j} \quad (5.6)$$

for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

With the above observations, we now prove the continuity of Kähler–Einstein currents in Theorem B:

Corollary 5.5. — *Under the same setting as in Theorem 5.4, $\varphi_{\text{KE},t} \in \text{PSH}(X_t, \omega_t)$ \mathcal{C}^∞ -converges in families to $\varphi_{\text{KE},0} \in \text{PSH}(X_0, \omega_0)$, and for all $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, the following map is continuous near $0 \in \mathbb{D}$*

$$t \longmapsto \omega_{\text{KE},t}^k \wedge [X_t] \in (\mathcal{D}_{(n-k, n-k)}(\mathcal{X}))',$$

where $(\mathcal{D}_{(n-k, n-k)}(\mathcal{X}))'$ is the space of currents of bidimension $(n-k, n-k)$ (bidegree $(k+1, k+1)$).

Proof. — Fix open subsets $U_0 \Subset X_0^{\text{reg}}$ and $\mathcal{U} \Subset \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{Z}$ with $\mathcal{U} \cap X_0 = U_0$. Let $(B_\alpha, F_\alpha, \mathcal{B}_\alpha)_\alpha$ be a locally trivial finite cover of \mathcal{U} . We denote by $\varphi_{\alpha,t} = F_{\alpha,t}^* \varphi_{\text{KE},t}$ and $\omega_{\alpha,t} = F_{\alpha,t}^* \omega_t$. By (5.6), $(\varphi_{\alpha,t})_t$ is locally bounded for any \mathcal{C}^j -norm on B_α . Then by Arzela–Ascoli theorem, for every sequence $(t_k)_k$ which goes to zero, one can find a subsequence (that still denotes by $(t_k)_k$ after relabeling) such that $(\varphi_{\alpha,k})$ converges locally smoothly to a function $\varphi_0 \in \text{PSH}(B_\alpha, \omega_0)$ on B_α . Arguing as in Section 2.2, for every sequence $t_k \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow +\infty]{} 0$, we obtain a subsequence $(\varphi_{\text{KE},k})_k$ which \mathcal{C}^∞ -converges to a function $\varphi_0 \in \text{PSH}(X_0, \omega_0)$ in the family sense. On X_0^{reg} , φ_0 satisfies

$$\frac{1}{V} (\omega_0 + \text{dd}_0^c \varphi_0)^n = e^{-\varphi_0} c_0 \mu_0. \quad (5.7)$$

Both sides of (5.7) trivially extend through X_0^{sing} , by uniqueness of the solution to (5.7), one obtains $\varphi_0 \equiv \varphi_{\text{KE},0}$. Therefore, $F_{\alpha,t}^* \varphi_{\text{KE},t}$ (resp. $F_{\alpha,t}^* \omega_{\text{KE},t}$) converges locally smoothly towards $\varphi_{\text{KE},0}$ (resp. $\omega_{\text{KE},0}$) on B_α when $t \rightarrow 0$.

Next, fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Up to shrinking \mathbb{D} , by Lemma 3.5, we find an open set \mathcal{W}_ε which contains \mathcal{Z} , and $\text{Cap}_{\omega_t}(\mathcal{W}_\varepsilon \cap X_t) < \varepsilon$ for each $t \in \mathbb{D}$. Then we take a open set $\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon \Subset \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{Z}$ so that $\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon \cup \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon = \mathcal{X}$. Denote by $U_0 = \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon \cap X_0$. Let $(B_\alpha, F_\alpha, \mathcal{B}_\alpha)_\alpha$ be a locally trivial finite open cover of \mathcal{U}_ε . Take $(\chi_\alpha)_\alpha$ cutoffs such that each χ_α is supported in \mathcal{B}_α and $\sum_\alpha \chi_\alpha|_{\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon} = 1$ up to shrinking \mathbb{D} . Write $\chi := \sum_\alpha \chi_\alpha$.

Fix $k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, and a test $(n-k, n-k)$ -form η on \mathcal{X} . We aim to prove

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \eta \wedge \omega_{\text{KE},t}^k \wedge [X_t] = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \eta \wedge \omega_{\text{KE},0}^k \wedge [X_0].$$

With the cutoffs $(\chi_\alpha)_\alpha$, we can write

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}} \eta \wedge \omega_{\text{KE},t}^k \wedge [X_t] = \underbrace{\int_{X_t} (1 - \chi) \eta \wedge \omega_{\text{KE},t}^k}_{=I_t} + \underbrace{\sum_\alpha \int_{B_\alpha} \chi_\alpha \eta \wedge \omega_{\text{KE},t}^k}_{=II_t}.$$

Since $F_{\alpha,t}^* \omega_{\text{KE},t}$ (resp. $F_{\alpha,t}^*(\chi_\alpha \eta)|_{B_{\alpha,t}}$) converges locally smoothly to $\omega_{\text{KE},0}$ (resp. $(\chi_\alpha \eta)|_{B_\alpha}$), we have

$$II_t = \sum_\alpha \int_{B_\alpha} F_{\alpha,t}^*(\chi_\alpha \eta)|_{B_{\alpha,t}} \wedge F_{\alpha,t}^* \omega_{\text{KE},t}^k \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow 0]{} \sum_\alpha \int_{B_\alpha} (\chi_\alpha \eta)|_{B_\alpha} \wedge \omega_{\text{KE},0}^k = II_0.$$

On the other hand,

$$|I_t| \leq \int_{X_t \cap \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon} A_\eta \omega_t^{n-k} \wedge \omega_{\text{KE},t}^k$$

where $A_\eta > 0$ is a constant such that $|\eta|_\omega \leq A_\eta \omega^{n-k}$. Let $\tilde{\varphi}_{\text{KE},t}$ be the sup-normalized Kähler–Einstein potential on X_t . By Theorem B, there is a uniform constant $C > 1$ such that

$\|\tilde{\varphi}_{\text{KE},t}\|_{L^\infty(X_t)} \leq C$. Note that

$$\left(\omega_t + \text{dd}_t^c \frac{\tilde{\varphi}_{\text{KE},t}}{C}\right)^n = \sum_{\ell=0}^n \binom{n}{\ell} \frac{(C-1)^\ell}{C^n} \omega_t^{n-\ell} \wedge \omega_{\text{KE},t}^\ell.$$

Hence,

$$|I_t| \leq \frac{A_\eta C^n}{(C-1)^k} \text{Cap}_{\omega_t}(X_t \cap \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon) < \underbrace{\left(\frac{A_\eta C^n}{(C-1)^k}\right)}_{=: A'_{\eta,k}} \varepsilon.$$

Since $A'_{\eta,k}$ is independent of ε ,

$$\left| \int_{\mathcal{X}} \eta \wedge \omega_{\text{KE},t}^k \wedge [X_t] - \int_{\mathcal{X}} \eta \wedge \omega_{\text{KE},0}^k \wedge [X_0] \right| \leq 3A'_{\eta,k} \varepsilon$$

for all t sufficiently close to 0. This finishes the proof of Theorem B. \square

5.4. Moser-Trudinger inequalities. — Variants of the Trudinger's inequality on compact Kähler manifolds go back to the "Hypothèse fondamentale" of Aubin [Aub84] (proved in [BB22]). In [BBE⁺19, Prop. 4.11] the coercivity of the Ding functional has been shown to be equivalent to the following Moser-Trudinger inequality: there exist $\delta > 1, C > 0$ such that

$$\|e^{-u}\|_{L^\delta(\mu)} \leq C e^{-\mathbf{E}(u)}$$

for any $u \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega)$. We prove a uniform version of such Moser-Trudinger inequality.

Proposition 5.6. — *There exist constants $\delta > 1$ and $C > 0$ such that for all t close to 0, for each $u \in \mathcal{E}^1(X_t, \omega_t)$,*

$$\|e^{-u}\|_{L^\delta(\mu_t)} \leq C e^{-\mathbf{E}_t(u)}. \quad (5.8)$$

Proof. — By the klt version of [DGG20, Lem. 4.4], $\mu_t = f_t \omega_t^n$ with $0 \leq f_t \in L^p(X_t, \omega_t)$ for a uniform $p > 1$. Thus, combining [BBE⁺19, Prop. 4.11] and Theorem 2.3, one deduces that (5.8) is equivalent to finding $A > 0, B > 0$ such that for all $v \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{KE,norm}}^1(X_t, \omega_t)$,

$$\mathbf{D}_t(u) \geq A(-\mathbf{E}_t(u)) - B. \quad (5.9)$$

For any t sufficiently close to 0, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}_t^{\text{KE}}(v) &= -\mathbf{E}_t^{\text{KE}}(v) - \log \left(\int_{X_t} e^{-v} e^{-\varphi_{\text{KE},t}} d\mu_t \right), \\ \mathbf{E}_t^{\text{KE}}(v) &= \frac{1}{(n+1)V} \sum_{j=0}^n \int_{X_t} v (\omega_{\text{KE},t} + \text{dd}^c v)^j \wedge \omega_{\text{KE},t}^{n-j}, \end{aligned}$$

where $v \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{KE,norm}}^1(X_t, \omega_t) := \left\{ u - \varphi_{\text{KE},t} \mid u \in \mathcal{E}^1(X_t, \omega_t) \text{ with } \sup_{X_t}(u - \varphi_{\text{KE},t}) = 0 \right\}$. Note that $\mathbf{E}_t(u) - \mathbf{E}_t^{\text{KE}}(u - \varphi_{\text{KE},t}) = \mathbf{E}_t(\varphi_{\text{KE},t})$ and $\mathbf{D}_t(u) - \mathbf{D}_t^{\text{KE}}(u - \varphi_{\text{KE},t}) = -\mathbf{E}_t(\varphi_{\text{KE},t})$. Arguing as in Corollary 5.5 one can also show that $t \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_t(\varphi_{\text{KE},t})$ is continuous near $0 \in \mathbb{D}$.

We claim that to prove (5.9) it is sufficient to establish the following uniform coercivity for shifted functionals: there exist positive constants $A \in (0, 1), B > 0$ such that for all t sufficiently close to 0, for all $v \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{KE,norm}}^1(X_t, \omega_t)$,

$$\mathbf{D}_t^{\text{KE}}(v) \geq A(-\mathbf{E}_t^{\text{KE}}(v)) - B. \quad (5.10)$$

Indeed, from (5.10) one can deduce that for all $u \in \mathcal{E}^1(X_t, \omega_t)$ with $\sup_{X_t}(u - \varphi_{\text{KE},t}) = 0$,

$$\mathbf{D}_t(u) \geq A(-\mathbf{E}_t(u)) - B + (A-1)\mathbf{E}_t(\varphi_{\text{KE},t}). \quad (5.11)$$

From Theorem B, there is a uniform constant $C_{MA} > 0$ such that for all t sufficiently close to 0, $\text{osc}_{X_t} \varphi_{KE,t} < C_{MA}$. Hence, for all $v = u - \varphi_{KE,t} \in \mathcal{E}_{KE,\text{norm}}^1(X_t, \omega_t)$, we have

$$-C_{MA} \leq \sup_{X_t} u \leq C_{MA}. \quad (5.12)$$

Set $\tilde{u} = u - \sup_{X_t} u \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{norm}}^1(X_t, \omega_t)$ for all $u \in \mathcal{E}^1(X_t, \omega_t)$ with $\sup_{X_t}(u - \varphi_{KE,t}) = 0$. Combining (5.11) and (5.12) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}_t(\tilde{u}) &\geq A(-\mathbf{E}_t(\tilde{u})) - A \sup_{X_t} u - B + (A-1)\mathbf{E}_t(\varphi_{KE,t}) \\ &\geq A(-\mathbf{E}_t(\tilde{u})) - AC_{MA} - B + (A-1)C_{MA}, \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the claim.

It remains to prove (5.10). Suppose by contradiction that there is a sequence $v_k \in \mathcal{E}_{KE,\text{norm}}^1(X_k, \omega_k)$ such that

$$\mathbf{D}_k^{\text{KE}}(v_k) < \frac{1}{k+1}(-\mathbf{E}_k^{\text{KE}}(v_k)) - 1.$$

Case 1: Assume that there is a constant $C > 0$ such that $\mathbf{E}_k^{\text{KE}}(v_k) \geq -C$ for all k . Note that v_k sub-converges to $v_0 = u_0 - \varphi_{KE,0}$ in families where u_0 is the limit of $u_k = v_k + \varphi_{KE,k}$. Propositions C, D give $\mathbf{E}_0^{\text{KE}}(v_0) \geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbf{E}_k^{\text{KE}}(v_k) \geq -C$ and

$$\mathbf{D}_0^{\text{KE}}(v_0) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbf{D}_k^{\text{KE}}(v_k) \leq -1.$$

However, $\mathbf{D}_0^{\text{KE}}(0) = 0$ is the minimum, which yields a contradiction.

Case 2: Up to extracting a subsequence we can now assume that $\mathbf{E}_k^{\text{KE}}(v_k) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. Set $d_k := d_1(\varphi_{KE,k}, u_k) = \mathbf{E}_k(\varphi_{KE,k}) - \mathbf{E}_k(u_k)$ and let $(u_k(s))_{s \in [0, d_k]}$ be the unit-speed geodesic connecting $\varphi_{KE,k}$ and u_k . Since $u_k \leq \varphi_{KE,k}$, we have $u_k \leq u_k(s) \leq \varphi_{KE,k}$ for all $s \in [0, d_k]$ and thus, $u_k(s)$ still belongs to $\mathcal{E}_{KE,\text{norm}}^1(X_k, \omega_k)$. We put $v_k(s) = u_k(s) - \varphi_{KE,k}$.

Fix an arbitrary $M > 0$. By Theorem 1.4, we have

$$0 \leq \frac{\mathbf{D}_k^{\text{KE}}(v_k(M)) - \mathbf{D}_k^{\text{KE}}(v_k(0))}{M} \leq \frac{\mathbf{D}_k^{\text{KE}}(v_k(d_k)) - \mathbf{D}_k^{\text{KE}}(v_k(0))}{d_k} < \frac{1}{d_k} \left(\frac{-\mathbf{E}_k^{\text{KE}}(v_k)}{k+1} - 1 \right) = \frac{1}{k+1} - \frac{1}{d_k}.$$

Therefore, $\mathbf{D}_k^{\text{KE}}(v_k(M)) \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow +\infty]{} 0 = \mathbf{D}_0^{\text{KE}}(0)$. Let v_0^M (resp. u_0^M) be a limit of a subsequence of $(v_k(M))_k$ (resp. $(u_k(M))_k$). Again Propositions C, D lead to

$$\mathbf{D}_0^{\text{KE}}(v_0^M) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbf{D}_k^{\text{KE}}(v_k(M)) = 0.$$

This implies that $v_0^M = c_0^M \in \mathbb{R}$ since minimizers of \mathbf{D}_0^{KE} are constants. By Propositions 2.8 and 2.11, we have $-C_{MA} - C_{SL} \leq \sup_{X_0} u_0^M \leq C_{MA}$. One then obtains $c_0^M = \sup_{X_0} v_0^M \in [-2C_{MA} - C_{SL}, 2C_{MA}]$.

On the other hand, by Proposition D, we have

$$\int_{X_k} e^{-u_k(M)} d\mu_k \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow +\infty]{} \int_{X_0} e^{-u_0^M} d\mu_0 = \int_{X_0} e^{-\varphi_{KE,0} - c_0^M} d\mu_0 = e^{-c_0^M}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_k(u_k(M)) &= -\mathbf{D}_k(u_k(M)) - \log \int_{X_k} e^{-u_k(M)} d\mu_k \\ &= -\mathbf{D}_k^{\text{KE}}(v_k(M)) + \mathbf{E}_k(\varphi_{KE,k}) - \log \int_{X_k} e^{-u_k(M)} d\mu_k \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow +\infty]{} \mathbf{E}_0(\varphi_{KE,0}) + c_0^M. \end{aligned}$$

and it implies that

$$M = d_1(\varphi_{\text{KE},k}, u_k(M)) = \mathbf{E}_k(\varphi_{\text{KE},k}) - \mathbf{E}_k(u_k(M)) \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow +\infty]{} -c_0^M \in [-2C_{\text{MA}}, 2C_{\text{MA}} + C_{\text{SL}}].$$

Choosing M large enough yields a contradiction and concludes the proof. \square

5.5. Generalization to log Fano pairs. — Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be a family satisfying Setting (GSN). Let \mathcal{D} be an effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor. Suppose that

- (i) $K_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{D}} + \mathcal{D}$ is a \mathbb{Q} -line bundle;
- (ii) every irreducible component of \mathcal{D} surjects onto \mathbb{D} ;
- (iii) (X_0, D_0) is klt where $D_t := \mathcal{D}|_{X_t}$.

Remark 5.7. — Similar to the case $\mathcal{D} = 0$, $(\mathcal{X}, X_0 + \mathcal{D})$ is plt near X_0 by the inversion of adjunction [KM98, Thm. 5.50]. Namely, for any log-resolution $p : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, we have

$$K_{\mathcal{Y}} + p_*^{-1}(X_0 + \mathcal{D}) = p^*(K_{\mathcal{X}} + X_0 + \mathcal{D}) + \sum_i a_i E_i$$

with $a_i > -1$ for all i . In particular, for all t close to 0, (X_t, D_t) is still klt.

Fix $\omega \in c_1(-K_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{D}} - \mathcal{D})$ a Kähler metric. For each $t \in \mathbb{D}$, the log Kähler–Einstein metrics $\omega_{\text{KE},t} = \omega_t + \text{dd}_t^c \varphi_{\text{KE},t}$ on X_t are defined through the following Einstein equation:

$$\text{Ric}(\omega_{\text{KE},t}) = \omega_{\text{KE},t} + [D_t].$$

Equivalently, up to translation, the log Kähler–Einstein potentials $\varphi_{\text{KE},t}$ solve the complex Monge–Ampère equations

$$\frac{1}{V}(\omega_t + \text{dd}_t^c \varphi_{\text{KE},t})^n = e^{-\varphi_{\text{KE},t}} \mu_t$$

where the adapted measure is associated with ω_t and D_t as follows. We assume that m is an integer such that $m(K_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{D}} + \mathcal{D})$ is Cartier. The adapted measure μ_t is defined as

$$c_t i^{n^2} \left(\frac{(\Omega_t \wedge \overline{\Omega}_t)}{|\Omega_t|_{h_t}^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} e^{-\psi_{\mathcal{D}}|_{X_t}}$$

where

- h is a metric of $m(K_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{D}} + \mathcal{D})$,
- Ω is a local generator of $m(K_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{D}} + \mathcal{D})$ on an open set $U \subset \mathcal{X}$ and Ω_t is the restriction of Ω on $U \cap X_t$,
- $\psi_{\mathcal{D}}$ is a quasi-psh function on \mathcal{X} such that $\text{dd}^c \psi_{\mathcal{D}} = [\mathcal{D}]$,
- c_t is chosen so that μ_t is a probability measure on X_t .

By the klt version of [DGG20, Lem. 4.4], $\mu_t = f_t \omega_t^n$ for $f_t \in L^p(X_t)$ for $p > 1$ with uniformly bounded L^p -norm. Moreover, c_t is continuous in t and bounded away from 0 and $+\infty$.

Let $\text{Aut}(X_t, D_t)$ be the stabilizer of D_t in $\text{Aut}(X_t)$. Uniqueness of log Kähler–Einstein metrics holds modulo $\text{Aut}^\circ(X_t, D_t)$, the connected component of the identity in $\text{Aut}(X_t, D_t)$ (cf. [BBE⁺19, Thm. 5.1]).

Arguing as in previous sections 5.2 and 5.3, one obtains the following results.

Theorem 5.8. — *Under the above setting, assume that $\text{Aut}^\circ(X_0, D_0)$ is discrete. If (X_0, D_0) admits a log Kähler–Einstein metric, then for all t sufficiently close to 0, (X_t, D_t) admits a log Kähler–Einstein metric.*

Remark 5.9. — The convexity along geodesics of the log Ding functional $\mathbf{D}_t : \mathcal{E}^1(X_t, \omega_t) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, constructed with respect to the aforementioned adapted probability measure μ_t , is still given by [BBE⁺19, Lem. 4.6]. Similarly, the equivalence between (i) and (ii) in Theorem 1.3 in the log setting can be found in [DG18, Thm. 5.5] (see also [Dar17, Thm. 2.2]).

Theorem 5.10. — Under the above setting, there exists a constant $C_{\text{MA}} > 0$ such that for all t sufficiently close to 0,

$$\text{osc}_{X_t} \varphi_{\text{KE},t} \leq C_{\text{MA}}.$$

Similarly, the results stated in Sections 5.3, 5.4 extend to the log setting of Theorem 5.10. Namely one obtains

- uniform higher-order estimates for the log Kähler–Einstein potentials on compact sets $K \Subset \mathcal{X}^{\text{reg}} \setminus \mathcal{D}$;
- the analog of Corollary 5.5 replacing in Definition 2.4 \mathcal{Z} with $\mathcal{Z} \cup \mathcal{D}$;
- the uniform version of the Moser–Trudinger inequalities of Proposition 5.6 for the adapted measures μ_t defined at the beginning of this section.

References

- [Aub84] T. AUBIN – “Réduction du cas positif de l’équation de Monge-Ampère sur les variétés kähleriennes compactes à la démonstration d’une inégalité”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **57** (1984), no. 2, p. 143–153.
- [BGL22] B. BAKKER, H. GUENANCIA & C. LEHN – “Algebraic approximation and the decomposition theorem for Kähler Calabi-Yau varieties”, *Invent. Math.* **228** (2022), no. 3, p. 1255–1308.
- [BT82] E. BEDFORD & B. A. TAYLOR – “A new capacity for plurisubharmonic functions”, *Acta Math.* **149** (1982), no. 1-2, p. 1–40.
- [BB22] R. J. BERMAN & B. BERNDTSSON – “Moser-Trudinger type inequalities for complex Monge-Ampère operators and Aubin’s “hypothèse fondamentale””, *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math.* (6) **31** (2022), no. 3, p. 595–645.
- [BBE⁺19] R. J. BERMAN, S. BOUCKSOM, P. EYSSIDIEUX, V. GUEDJ & A. ZERIAHI – “Kähler-Einstein metrics and the Kähler-Ricci flow on log Fano varieties”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **751** (2019), p. 27–89.
- [BBGZ13] R. J. BERMAN, S. BOUCKSOM, V. GUEDJ & A. ZERIAHI – “A variational approach to complex Monge-Ampère equations”, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **117** (2013), p. 179–245.
- [BBJ21] R. J. BERMAN, S. BOUCKSOM & M. JONSSON – “A variational approach to the Yau-Tian-Donaldson conjecture”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **34** (2021), no. 3, p. 605–652.
- [Ber10] B. BERNDTSSON – “An introduction to things $\bar{\partial}$ ”, in *Analytic and algebraic geometry*, IAS/Park City Math. Ser., vol. 17, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010, p. 7–76.
- [Ber15] ———, “A Brunn-Minkowski type inequality for Fano manifolds and some uniqueness theorems in Kähler geometry”, *Invent. Math.* **200** (2015), no. 1, p. 149–200.
- [BL16] B. BERNDTSSON & L. LEMPert – “A proof of the Ohsawa-Takegoshi theorem with sharp estimates”, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **68** (2016), no. 4, p. 1461–1472.
- [BL22] H. BLUM & Y. LIU – “Openness of uniform K-stability in families of Q-Fano varieties”, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)* **55** (2022), no. 1, p. 1–41.
- [BX19] H. BLUM & C. XU – “Uniqueness of K-polystable degenerations of Fano varieties”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **190** (2019), no. 2, p. 609–656.
- [BEGZ10] S. BOUCKSOM, P. EYSSIDIEUX, V. GUEDJ & A. ZERIAHI – “Monge-Ampère equations in big cohomology classes”, *Acta Math.* **205** (2010), no. 2, p. 199–262.

- [BS76] C. BĂNICĂ & O. STĂNĂȘILĂ – *Algebraic methods in the global theory of complex spaces*, Editura Academiei, Bucharest; John Wiley & Sons, London-New York-Sydney, 1976, Translated from the Romanian.
- [CDS15a] X. CHEN, S. K. DONALDSON & S. SUN – “Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano manifolds. I: Approximation of metrics with cone singularities”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **28** (2015), no. 1, p. 183–197.
- [CDS15b] ———, “Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano manifolds. II: Limits with cone angle less than 2π ”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **28** (2015), no. 1, p. 199–234.
- [CDS15c] ———, “Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano manifolds. III: Limits as cone angle approaches 2π and completion of the main proof”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **28** (2015), no. 1, p. 235–278.
- [Chi89] E. M. CHIRKA – *Complex analytic sets*, Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series), vol. 46, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1989, Translated from the Russian by R. A. M. Hoksbergen.
- [CGZ13] D. COMAN, V. GUEDJ & A. ZERIAHI – “Extension of plurisubharmonic functions with growth control”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **676** (2013), p. 33–49.
- [Dar17] T. DARVAS – “Metric geometry of normal Kähler spaces, energy properness, and existence of canonical metrics”, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* (2017), no. 22, p. 6752–6777.
- [DDL18] T. DARVAS, E. DI NEZZA & C. H. LU – “On the singularity type of full mass currents in big cohomology classes”, *Compos. Math.* **154** (2018), no. 2, p. 380–409.
- [DR17] T. DARVAS & Y. A. RUBINSTEIN – “Tian’s properness conjectures and Finsler geometry of the space of Kähler metrics”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **30** (2017), no. 2, p. 347–387.
- [Dem82] J.-P. DEMAILLY – “Sur les nombres de Lelong associés à l’image directe d’un courant positif fermé”, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **32** (1982), no. 2, p. ix, 37–66.
- [Dem85] ———, “Mesures de Monge-Ampère et caractérisation géométrique des variétés algébriques affines”, *Mém. Soc. Math. France (N.S.)* (1985), no. 19, p. 124.
- [Dem12] ———, *Complex Analytic and Differential geometry*, [Book](#) available on the author’s webpage, 2012.
- [DK01] J.-P. DEMAILLY & J. KOLLÁR – “Semi-continuity of complex singularity exponents and Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano orbifolds”, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **34** (2001), no. 4, p. 525–556.
- [DG18] E. DI NEZZA & V. GUEDJ – “Geometry and topology of the space of Kähler metrics on singular varieties”, *Compos. Math.* **154** (2018), no. 8, p. 1593–1632.
- [DGG20] E. DI NEZZA, V. GUEDJ & H. GUENANCIA – “Families of singular Kähler-Einstein metrics”, [arXiv:2003.08178](#), to appear in *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* (2020).
- [Don07] S. K. DONALDSON – “A note on the α -invariant of the Mukai-Umemura 3-fold”, [arXiv:0711.4357](#) (2007).
- [EGZ09] P. EYSSIDIEUX, V. GUEDJ & A. ZERIAHI – “Singular Kähler-Einstein metrics”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **22** (2009), no. 3, p. 607–639.
- [FN80] J. E. FORNÆSS & R. NARASIMHAN – “The Levi problem on complex spaces with singularities”, *Math. Ann.* **248** (1980), no. 1, p. 47–72.
- [GR56] H. GRAUERT & R. REMMERT – “Plurisubharmonische Funktionen in komplexen Räumen”, *Math. Z.* **65** (1956), p. 175–194.
- [Gro65] A. GROTHENDIECK – “Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. II”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* (1965), no. 24, p. 231.
- [Gro66] ———, “Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. III”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* (1966), no. 28, p. 255.
- [GZ17] V. GUEDJ & A. ZERIAHI – *Degenerate complex Monge-Ampère equations*, EMS Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 26, European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2017.
- [Koi83] N. KOISO – “Einstein metrics and complex structures”, *Invent. Math.* **73** (1983), no. 1, p. 71–106.

- [Kol13] J. KOLLÁR – *Singularities of the minimal model program*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 200, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013, With a collaboration of Sándor Kovács.
- [KM98] J. KOLLÁR & S. MORI – *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 134, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998, With the collaboration of C. H. Clemens and A. Corti, Translated from the 1998 Japanese original.
- [Kol98] S. KOŁODZIEJ – “The complex Monge-Ampère equation”, *Acta Math.* **180** (1998), no. 1, p. 69–117.
- [Lel83] P. LELONG – “Discontinuité et annulation de l’opérateur de Monge-Ampère complexe”, in *P. Lelong-P. Dolbeault-H. Skoda analysis seminar, 1981/1983*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1028, Springer, Berlin, 1983, p. 219–224.
- [LTW21] C. LI, G. TIAN & F. WANG – “On the Yau-Tian-Donaldson conjecture for singular Fano varieties”, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **74** (2021), no. 8, p. 1748–1800.
- [LTW22] ———, “The uniform version of Yau-Tian-Donaldson conjecture for singular Fano varieties”, *Peking Math. J.* **5** (2022), no. 2, p. 383–426.
- [LWX19] C. LI, X. WANG & C. XU – “On the proper moduli spaces of smoothable Kähler-Einstein Fano varieties”, *Duke Math. J.* **168** (2019), no. 8, p. 1387–1459.
- [Li22] C. LI – “G-uniform stability and Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano varieties”, *Invent. Math.* **227** (2022), no. 2, p. 661–744.
- [LXZ22] Y. LIU, C. XU & Z. ZHUANG – “Finite generation for valuations computing stability thresholds and applications to K-stability”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **196** (2022), no. 2, p. 507–566.
- [Oda15] Y. ODAKA – “Compact moduli spaces of Kähler-Einstein Fano varieties”, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **51** (2015), no. 3, p. 549–565.
- [OT87] T. OHSAWA & K. TAKEGOSHI – “On the extension of L^2 holomorphic functions”, *Math. Z.* **195** (1987), no. 2, p. 197–204.
- [Ou22] W. OU – “Admissible metrics on compact Kähler varieties”, [arXiv:2201.04821](https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.04821) (2022).
- [Pan23] C.-M. PAN – “Families of singular Chern-Ricci flat metrics”, *J. Geom. Anal.* **33** (2023), no. 2, p. Paper No. 66, 32.
- [Pău08] M. PĂUN – “Regularity properties of the degenerate Monge-Ampère equations on compact Kähler manifolds”, *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B* **29** (2008), no. 6, p. 623–630.
- [RW14] J. ROSS & D. WITT NYSTRÖM – “Analytic test configurations and geodesic rays”, *J. Symplectic Geom.* **12** (2014), no. 1, p. 125–169.
- [SSY16] C. SPOTTI, S. SUN & C. YAO – “Existence and deformations of Kähler-Einstein metrics on smoothable \mathbb{Q} -Fano varieties”, *Duke Math. J.* **165** (2016), no. 16, p. 3043–3083.
- [Tia97] G. TIAN – “Kähler-Einstein metrics with positive scalar curvature”, *Invent. Math.* **130** (1997), no. 1, p. 1–37.
- [Tru23] A. TRUSIANI – “The strong topology of ω -plurisubharmonic functions”, [arXiv:2002.00665](https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.00665) to appear in *Anal. PDE* (2023).
- [Yau78] S.-T. YAU – “On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation. I”, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **31** (1978), no. 3, p. 339–411.
- [Zer01] A. ZERIAHI – “Volume and capacity of sublevel sets of a Lelong class of plurisubharmonic functions”, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **50** (2001), no. 1, p. 671–703.

April 18, 2023

CHUNG-MING PAN, Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse; UMR 5219, Université de Toulouse; CNRS, UPS, 118 route de Narbonne, F-31062 Toulouse Cedex 9, France
 E-mail : Chung_Ming.Pan@math.univ-toulouse.fr

ANTONIO TRUSIANI, Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse; UMR 5219, Université de Toulouse; CNRS, UPS, 118 route de Narbonne, F-31062 Toulouse Cedex 9, France
 E-mail : antonio.trusiani@math.univ-toulouse.fr