

RIGIDITY OF QUANTUM ALGEBRAS

AKAKI TIKARADZE

ABSTRACT. Given an associative \mathbb{C} -algebra A , we call A strongly rigid if for any pair of finite subgroups of its automorphism groups G, H , such that $A^G \cong A^H$, then G and H must be isomorphic. In this paper we show that a large class of filtered quantizations are strongly rigid. We also prove several other rigidity type results for various quantum algebras. For example, we show that given two non-isomorphic complex semi-simple Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_2$ of equal dimension, there are no injective \mathbb{C} -algebra homomorphisms between their enveloping algebras. We also show that any finite subgroup of automorphisms of a central reduction of a finite W -algebra $W_\chi(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ must be isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}(e))$. We solve the inverse Galois problem for a wide class of rational Cherednik algebras that includes all (simple) classical generalized Weyl algebras, and also for quantum tori. Finally, we show that the Picard group of an n -dimensional quantum torus A_q (with q not a root of unity) is isomorphic to the group of outer automorphisms of A_q , generalizing a result by Berest, Ramados and Tang.

1. INTRODUCTION

It was shown by Alev and Polo [AP] that if an associative \mathbb{C} -algebra A is either an enveloping algebra of a semi-simple Lie algebra or the n -th Weyl algebra, then for any nontrivial finite subgroup Γ of automorphisms of A , the fixed ring A^Γ is not isomorphic to A . Such a property of an algebra is referred to as its rigidity. On the other hand, it was proved by Alev, Hodges and Velves [AHV] that given a pair of finite subgroups of automorphisms G, H of the first Weyl algebra $A_1(\mathbb{C})$, such that corresponding fixed rings are isomorphic $A_1(\mathbb{C})^G \cong A_1(\mathbb{C})^H$, then $G \cong H$. In [T3] we generalized the above mentioned results by showing that if W, W' are finite subgroups of automorphisms of A (A is still either the Weyl algebra or an enveloping algebra of a semi-simple Lie algebra) such that $A^W \cong A^{W'}$ (in fact, it suffices to assume that A^W and $A^{W'}$ are derived equivalent), then $W \cong W'$.

It will be convenient to use the following terminology.

Definition 1.1. An associative \mathbb{C} -algebra A is said to be strongly rigid, if given a pair W, W' of finite subgroups of \mathbb{C} -algebra automorphisms of A such that $A^W \cong A^{W'}$, then $W \cong W'$. A \mathbb{C} -algebra A is rigid if for any nontrivial finite subgroup $W \leq \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(A)$, we have $A \neq A^W$.

It follows easily from our results in [T4] that if X is a smooth affine algebraic variety over \mathbb{C} whose algebraic fundamental group is finite (respectively trivial), then $D(X)$ is rigid (respectively strongly rigid).

Since our main tool for studying rigidity questions of quantum algebras is reduction modulo a large prime, we consider those quantizations for which the center mod p and corresponding Poisson bracket are easily described, for at least infinitely many primes. Before giving a definition of such quantizations, we first recall the definition of the reduction mod p Poisson bracket.

It is well-known that given an associative flat \mathbb{Z} -algebra R and a prime number p , the center $Z(R/pR)$ of its reduction mod p acquires a natural Poisson bracket (see for example [?, Section 5.2]), which we refer to as the reduction mod p bracket, defined as follows. Given $a, b \in Z(R/pR)$, let $z, w \in R$ be their respective lifts. Then the reduction mod p Poisson bracket $\{a, b\}$ is defined to be

$$\frac{1}{p}[z, w] \pmod{p} \in Z(R/pR).$$

Let S be a commutative ring, let B be a nonnegatively graded affine Poisson S -algebra of a negative degree Poisson bracket. Let A be an S -algebra equipped with an ascending S -algebra filtration such that $\text{gr}(A) = B$. Then we say that A is a filtered quantization of B if

$$[x, y] = \{\bar{x}, \bar{y}\} + \text{low degree terms}, \quad x, y \in A,$$

where \bar{x}, \bar{y} denote the top symbols of x, y in $\text{gr}(A)$.

The next definition is predicated on the following fact from commutative algebra, which is a direct consequence of the Chebotarev density theorem as shown in [[VWW], Theorem 1.1].

Theorem 1.1. *Let S be a finitely generated integral domain. Then for infinitely many primes p , there exists a ring homomorphism $S \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$.*

Definition 1.2. Let B be a nonnegatively graded affine Poisson \mathbb{C} -algebra. Let A be a filtered quantization of B . We say that A is a good quantization of B (or equivalently of a conical affine Poisson variety $\text{Spec}(B)$), if for any finitely generated subring $S \subset \mathbb{C}$ over which A, B are defined with corresponding models A_S, B_S the following holds. If p is a large enough prime, then $\text{gr}(Z(A/pA))$ contains $(B/pB)^p$ and for any base change $S \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ there exists a central subalgebra $Z_p \subset A_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ with the following property: $\text{gr}(Z_p) = B_{\mathbb{F}_p}^p$ and there exists an isomorphism

$$\iota : Z_p \cong B_{\mathbb{F}_p}^p,$$

such that $\text{gr}(\iota) = \text{Id}$ and ι interchanges the reduction mod p Poisson bracket on $Z(A_{\mathbb{F}_p})$ with the minus of the Poisson bracket on $B_{\mathbb{F}_p}^p$.

Typical examples of good quantizations are enveloping algebras of algebraic Lie algebras and rings of differential operators on smooth varieties over \mathbb{C} . More generally, algebras arising from (certain) quantum Hamiltonian reductions (see Proposition 2.1).

The following is one of the main results of the paper. It is a significant strengthening of the previously mentioned rigidity results in literature.

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be an affine normal conical Poisson variety over \mathbb{C} , whose smooth locus is symplectic with a finite algebraic fundamental group. Let A be a good filtered quantization of X . Then A is rigid. If in addition the symplectic locus of X is simply connected, then A is strongly rigid.*

The following result shows nonexistence of injective homomorphisms between enveloping algebras of semi-simple Lie algebras of the same dimension.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}'$ be a pair of non-isomorphic complex semi-simple Lie algebras of equal dimension. Then there are no injective homomorphisms between $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and $U(\mathfrak{g}')$. Assume in addition that $\text{rank}(\mathfrak{g}) = \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g}')$. Let χ, χ' be central characters of $U(\mathfrak{g}), U(\mathfrak{g}')$. Then there are no injective homomorphisms between $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ and $U_{\chi'}(\mathfrak{g}')$.*

We also have the following general result that provides a useful upper bound on finite groups of automorphisms of filtered quantizations. To state it, we need to recall that given a Poisson algebra B with a maximal Poisson ideal m and the residue field $\mathbf{k} = B/m$, then the Poisson bracket defines a \mathbf{k} -Lie algebra structure on m/m^2 .

Theorem 1.4. *Let B be a finitely generated Poisson \mathbb{C} -algebra with the unique Poisson maximal ideal m . Let A be a good filtered quantization of B . Then any finite subgroup of automorphisms of B is isomorphic to a subgroup of Lie algebra automorphisms of m/m^2 .*

This result is applicable to spherical subalgebra of symplectic reflection algebras and central reductions of finite W -algebras. More generally, it is well-suited for algebras obtained via quantum Hamiltonian reduction. The next result is a generalization of our earlier result on finite subgroups of automorphisms of enveloping algebras ([T2]) to finite W -algebras.

Corollary 1.1. *Let $e \in \mathfrak{g}$ be a nilpotent element of a complex semi-simple Lie algebra, and $\chi : Z(U(\mathfrak{g})) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a central character. Let $W(e, \chi)$ denote the central reduction of the finite W -algebra of e with respect to the character χ . Then any finite subgroup of automorphisms of $W(e, \chi)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of Lie algebra automorphisms of $\mathfrak{g}(e)$.*

Given a simple Noetherian \mathbb{C} -domain A , by the inverse Galois problem for A we understand classifying all finite groups G (up to isomorphisms) for which there exists a \mathbb{C} -domain B equipped with a faithful action of G by \mathbb{C} -algebra automorphisms, such that $A \cong B^G$. We solve the inverse Galois problem for several classes of spherical subalgebras of rational Cherednik algebras which include all (classical) generalized Weyl algebras, as well as quantum tori.

Given an associative ring R , recall that its Picard group, denoted by $\text{Pic}(R)$, is defined as the group of isomorphism classes of invertible R -bimodules under the tensor product. This group is closely related to the automorphism group of R . Namely, there is a natural homomorphism $\text{Aut}(R) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(R)$, whose kernel

consists of inner automorphisms of R . It is known that this map is surjective for many important classes of quantum algebras such as: the first Weyl algebra by classical works of Dixmier and Stafford [S], and quantum tori by a result of Berest, Ramados and Tang [BRT]. In this paper we describe the Picard group of n -th quantum tori (tensor product of quantum tori) Theorem 6.1. Our proof yet again relies on the reduction to a large prime technique, thus it is similar in spirit (and motivated by) to the one by Stafford.

2. EXAMPLES OF GOOD FILTERED QUANTIZATIONS

In this section we explain why enveloping algebras of algebraic Lie algebras and a large class of algebras obtained from quantum Hamiltonian reductions (which includes finite W -algebras) are good quantizations.

First, we recall a key computation of the reduction $\text{mod } p$ Poisson bracket for restricted Lie algebras due to Kac and Radul [KR]. Let R be a commutative reduced ring of characteristic $p > 0$. Let \mathfrak{g} be a restricted Lie algebra over R with the restricted structure map $g \rightarrow g^{[p]}$, $g \in \mathfrak{g}$. As usual, $Z_p(\mathfrak{g})$ denotes the p -center of $U(\mathfrak{g})$: the central R -subalgebra of the enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by elements of the form $g^p - g^{[p]}$, $g \in \mathfrak{g}$. It is well-known that the map $g \rightarrow g^p - g^{[p]}$ induces homomorphism of R -algebras

$$i : \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow Z_p(\mathfrak{g}),$$

where $Z_p(\mathfrak{g})$ is viewed as an R -algebra via the Frobenius map $F : R \rightarrow R$. The homomorphism i is an isomorphism when R is perfect. Recall also that the Lie algebra bracket on \mathfrak{g} defines the Kirillov-Kostant Poisson bracket on the symmetric algebra $\text{Sym}(\mathfrak{g})$.

The following is the above mentioned key result from [KR]. Throughout the paper, given an abelian group V , by V_p we denote V/pV .

Lemma 2.1. *Let S be a finitely generated integral domain over \mathbb{Z} . Let \mathfrak{g} be an algebraic Lie algebra over S . Then $Z_p(\mathfrak{g}_p)$ is a Poisson subalgebra of $Z(U(\mathfrak{g}_p))$, where $Z(U(\mathfrak{g}_p))$ is equipped with the reduction $\text{mod } p$ Poisson bracket. Moreover, the induced Poisson bracket on $Z_p(\mathfrak{g}_p)$ coincides with the negative of the Kirillov-Kostant bracket:*

$$\{a^p - a^{[p]}, b^p - b^{[p]}\} = -([a, b]^p - [a, b]^{[p]}), \quad a \in \mathfrak{g}_p, b \in \mathfrak{g}_p.$$

Now Lemma 2.1 immediately implies that if \mathfrak{g} is a Lie algebra of an algebraic group over \mathbb{C} , then the enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is a good quantization of $\text{Sym}(\mathfrak{g})$ (equipped with the Kirillov-Kostant Poisson bracket). A similar computation shows that if X is a smooth affine variety over \mathbb{C} , then the algebra of differential operators $D(X)$ is a good quantization of the cotangent bundle $T^*(X)$.

Let a reductive algebraic group G act on a smooth affine algebraic variety X over \mathbb{C} . Let \mathfrak{g} be the Lie algebra of G . Let $\mu : T^*(X) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ be the corresponding moment map. We will assume that this map is flat, and for generic G -invariant character $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ the action of G on $\mu^{-1}(\chi)$ is free.

Given a G -invariant character $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, denote by $U_\chi(G, X)$ the quantum Hamiltonian reduction of $D(X)$ with respect to χ . So,

$$U_\chi(G, X) = (D(X)/D(X)\mathfrak{g}^\chi)^G,$$

where

$$\mathfrak{g}^\chi = \{g - \chi(g) \in D(X), \quad g \in \mathfrak{g}\}.$$

The usual filtration on $D(X)$ by the order of differential operators induces the corresponding filtration on $U_\chi(G, X)$. Then it follows from the flatness of the moment map that

$$\text{gr}(U_\chi(G, X)) = \mathcal{O}(\mu^{-1}(0))/G.$$

Next, we need to recall some results and notations associated with quantum Hamiltonian reduction of the ring of crystalline differential operators in characteristic p from [BFG].

Let X be a smooth affine variety over an algebraically closed field \mathbf{k} of characteristic p , and G be a reductive algebraic group over \mathbf{k} with the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Denote by $D(X)$ the ring of crystalline differential operators on X . As before, we have the moment map $\mu : T^*(X) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ and the algebra homomorphism $U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow D(X)$. Now recall that the p -center of $U(\mathfrak{g})$, denoted by $Z_p(\mathfrak{g})$, is generated by $g^p - g^{[p]}, g \in \mathfrak{g}$. Recall also that we have the canonical isomorphism

$$i : \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{g})^{(1)} \rightarrow Z_p(\mathfrak{g}).$$

On the other hand, the center of $D(X)$ is generated over $\mathcal{O}(X)^p$ by

$$\xi^p - \xi^{[p]}, \xi \in T_X.$$

This leads to the canonical isomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}(T^*(X))^{(1)} \rightarrow Z(D(X)).$$

We have the homomorphism $\eta' : Z_p(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow Z(D(X))$ and the corresponding homomorphism

$$\eta : \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{g})^{(1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(T^*(X))^{(1)}.$$

Given $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, then $\chi^{[1]} \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ is defined as follows:

$$\chi^{[1]}(g) = \chi(g)^p - \chi(g^{[p]}), \quad g \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Using the above homomorphisms η, η' , it follows that the center of $U_\chi(G, X)$ contains a subring Z_0 isomorphic to the Frobenius twist of $\mathcal{O}(\mu^{-1}(\chi^{[1]}))/G$. In this setting the following holds.

Lemma 2.2. [BFG] *Let $\chi \in (\mathfrak{g}^*)^G$ be a character. Then $U_\chi(G, X)$ is a finite algebra over $\mu^{-1}(\chi^{[1]})/G$. If G acts freely of $\mu^{-1}(\chi^{[1]})$, then $U_\chi(G, X)$ is an Azumaya algebra over $\mu^{-1}(\chi^{[1]})/G$.*

Now going back to the characteristic 0 setting, we have the following.

Proposition 2.1. *Let G be a complex reductive algebraic group acting (with the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}) on a smooth affine variety X over \mathbb{C} . Assume that the moment map $\mu : T^*(X) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is flat and $\mu^{-1}(0)//G$ is a normal variety with an open symplectic subset. Then for any character χ the corresponding quantum Hamiltonian reduction $U_\chi(G, X)$ is a good quantization of $\mu^{-1}(0)//G$.*

Proof. Let $S \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a finitely generated subring containing values of χ over which everything is defined. Then given a base change $S \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ to an algebraically closed field of sufficiently large characteristic, we have

$$Z(U_\chi(G_{\mathbf{k}}, X_{\mathbf{k}})) = \mathcal{O}(\mu^{-1}(\chi^{[1]})//G_{\mathbf{k}}).$$

Given any $\rho : S \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$, then clearly $\rho(\chi)^{[1]} = 0$. Thus

$$Z_0 \cong \mathcal{O}(\mu^{-1}(\chi^{[1]})//G_{\mathbb{F}_p})^p = \mathcal{O}(\mu^{-1}(0)//G_{\mathbb{F}_p}),$$

and $\text{gr}(Z_0) = \mathcal{O}(\mu^{-1}(0)//G_{\mathbb{F}_p})^p$. So, $U_\chi(G, X)$ is a good quantization of $\mu^{-1}(0)//G$. \square

Let \mathfrak{g} be a semi-simple Lie algebra, $e \in \mathfrak{g}$ a nilpotent element, $\chi : Z(U\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a central character. Denote by S_e the Slodowy slice at e , and by \mathcal{N} the nilpotent cone. Recall that $W_\chi(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ is equipped with the Kazhdan filtration so that

$$\text{gr}(W_\chi(\mathfrak{g}, e)) = \mathcal{O}(S_e \cap \mathcal{N}).$$

Then we have the following result whose proof is very similar to that of Proposition 2.1.

Proposition 2.2. *With the above notation, the algebra $W_\chi(\mathfrak{g}, e)$ is a good filtered quantization of $S_e \cap \mathcal{N}$.*

Proof. We will check that $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ is a good quantization of \mathcal{N} , which easily implies the general case. Let G be the corresponding semi-simple algebraic group. Let S be a finitely generated ring containing values of χ . Then for large enough p , given any base change $S \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$, then $\bar{\chi}$ corresponds to a central character of a finite dimensional representation of $G_{\mathbb{F}_p}$. Then it is well-known that $Z(U_{\bar{\chi}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{F}_p}))$ can be identified with $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{F}_p})^p$. Thus $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ is a good filtered quantization of \mathcal{N} . \square

3. RIGIDITY RESULTS

Results of this section (in fact of the whole paper) are motivated by fundamental papers of Belov-Kanel and Kontsevich [BKK] and Tsuchimoto [Ts] on automorphisms of Weyl algebras. By considering reduction of the Weyl algebra mod $p \gg 0$, they defined and studied a canonical homomorphism

$$\text{Pic}(A_n(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow S \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^{2n}),$$

where $S \text{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^{2n})$ denotes the group of symplectomorphisms of \mathbb{A}^{2n} equipped with the standard symplectic form. This homomorphism was conjectured to be an isomorphism. A proof of the above conjecture by Belov-Kanel and Kontsevich was given very recently by C. Dodd [D].

The goal of this section is to introduce a "dequantization" functor Z_∞ from a category of good quantizations to the category of Poisson algebras. In particular, we generalize the above homomorphism of Belov-Kanel and Kontsevich.

More specifically, given a homomorphism of S -domains (where $S \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a finitely generated ring) $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ we would like to know when the corresponding reduction modulo p (for large enough primes p) homomorphism $\phi_p : A_p \rightarrow B_p$ preserves the center:

$$\phi_p(Z(A_p)) \subset Z(B_p).$$

If this is the case, we get a nice functor Z_∞ from the category of certain S -algebras to S_∞ -Poisson algebras, where S_∞ denotes the reduction of S modulo the infinite prime

$$S_\infty = \left(\prod_p S/pS \right) / \bigoplus_p S/pS.$$

Recall that \mathbb{C}_∞ is defined as the direct limit of S_∞ over all finitely generated subrings $S \subset \mathbb{C}$. We also consider the following variant of S_∞ which is convenient while dealing with good quantizations

$$S^\infty = \left(\prod_{\chi: S \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p} \mathbb{F}_p \right) / \bigoplus_{I \subset S} \prod_{\chi: S/I \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p} \mathbb{F}_p,$$

where I ranges through all nonzero proper ideals of S , and χ ranges through ring homomorphisms from S to \mathbb{F}_p . Let F be a finitely generated field extension of \mathbb{Q} . Then by taking the direct limit of S^∞ over all finitely generated subrings $S \subset F$ whose field of fractions is F , we obtain the functor F^∞ .

Throughout given a Poisson algebra A over \mathbf{k} , then by $P \text{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}}(A)$ we denote the group of Poisson \mathbf{k} -linear automorphisms of A .

Given an S -algebra A , we put

$$Z_\infty(A) = \prod_p Z(A/pA) / \bigoplus_p Z(A/pA).$$

So $Z_\infty(A)$ is a Poisson S_∞ -algebra. One defines similarly $Z_\infty(A)$ for a \mathbb{C} -algebra A . Also, we put

$$Z^\infty(A) = \prod_{\chi: S \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p} Z(A_{\mathbb{F}_p}) / \bigoplus_{0 \neq I \subset S} \prod_{\chi: S/I \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p} Z(A_{\mathbb{F}_p}).$$

We have the following natural homomorphisms for a \mathbb{C} -algebra A , respectively an F -algebra (where F is a finitely generated \mathbb{Q} -field)

$$Z_\infty : \text{Aut}(A) \rightarrow P \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}_\infty}(Z_\infty(A)), \quad Z^\infty : \text{Aut}(A) \rightarrow P \text{Aut}_{F^\infty}(Z^\infty(A)).$$

The next theorem is a key result of this section. It is motivated in part by the proof of equivalence between the Jacobian and the Dixmier conjectures [[BKK] Proposition 2], except we have to work little harder as we are working with more general quantizations than Weyl algebras, which usually are not Azumaya algebras in characteristic p .

Throughout, given a Noetherian domain A , we denote by $D(A)$ its skew field of fractions.

We also need to recall that given an algebraically closed field \mathbf{k} and a \mathbf{k} -domain A such that A is finite over its center Z and Z is finitely generated \mathbf{k} -algebra, then the PI-degree of A equals to the largest dimension of a simple A -module, and the square of the PI-degree of A equals to the rank of A as a Z -module.

Theorem 3.1. *Let \mathbb{C} -algebras A, B be good filtered quantizations of normal Poisson varieties X, Y , such that Poisson brackets on X, Y are generically symplectic, and $\dim(X) = \dim(Y)$. Let $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ be a \mathbb{C} -algebra embedding. Then the homomorphism ϕ restricts to a homomorphism of \mathbb{C}_∞ -Poisson algebras $Z_\infty(\phi) : Z_\infty(A) \rightarrow Z_\infty(B)$. The restriction homomorphism $Z_\infty : \text{Aut}(A) \rightarrow P \text{Aut}(Z_\infty(A))$ is nontrivial on semi-simple automorphisms. If in addition, the smooth locus of X is symplectic, then the homomorphism Z_∞ extends to an injective homomorphism*

$$Z_\infty : \text{Pic}(A) \rightarrow P \text{Aut}(Z_\infty(A)).$$

If quantizations A, B and varieties X, Y are defined over a field F which is a finitely generated extension of \mathbb{Q} , then in the above statements Z_∞ can be replaced by Z^∞ . In particular, we have the canonical injective homomorphism (under the above assumptions on X):

$$Z^\infty : \text{Pic}(A) \rightarrow P \text{Aut}(X_{F^\infty}).$$

In other words, the above defined Z_∞ is a functor from the category of good quantizations of Poisson normal varieties (which are generically symplectic of a fixed dimension) to the category of \mathbb{C}_∞ -Poisson algebras. Moreover, the functor Z_∞ is faithful when restricted to either semi-simple automorphisms, or any automorphism provided that the quantization is of a normal Poisson variety which is symplectic on its smooth locus.

The statement of the above theorem becomes nicer for the following class of filtered quantization. Their definition is directly motivated and related to the notion of Frobenius constant quantizations introduced by Bezrukavnikov and Kaledin [BK].

Definition 3.1. Let A be a filtered quantization of a finitely generated S -Poisson algebra B . Then we call A an ∞ -constant Frobenius quantization if for all large enough primes $p \gg 0$, we have $\text{gr}(Z(A_p)) = B_p^p$ and there is an isomorphism of Poisson algebras $i : B_p^p \cong Z(A_p)$ which is the identity on the level of associated graded algebras, such that i interchanges the reduction $\text{mod } p$ Poisson bracket on $Z(A_p)$ with the negative of the Poisson bracket on B_p^p .

Examples of ∞ -constant Frobenius quantizations are: rings of differential operators on smooth affine varieties, certain quantum Hamiltonian reductions with rational characters, in particular central reductions $U_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$, where \mathfrak{g} is a semi-simple Lie algebra and $\chi : Z(U(\mathfrak{g})) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a central character corresponding to a simple finite dimensional representation.

Thus, given an injective homomorphism of ∞ -constant quantizations $i : A \rightarrow B$ of normal varieties X, Y of equal dimension, then by Theorem 3.1 we get a canonical homomorphism of \mathbb{C}_∞ -Poisson varieties $i_\infty : Y_{\mathbb{C}_\infty} \rightarrow X_{\mathbb{C}_\infty}$, as well as a canonical homomorphism (which is injective when the smooth locus of X is symplectic)

$$\text{Pic}(A) \rightarrow P \text{Aut}(X_{\mathbb{C}_\infty}).$$

To prove the part of Theorem 3.1 concerning the Picard group, we need to use the following result, which should be mostly very standard.

Next, we extend the restriction homomorphism $\text{Aut}(A_{\mathbf{k}}) \rightarrow \text{Aut} Z(A_{\mathbf{k}})$ to the Picard group of $A_{\mathbf{k}}$ in a standard manner. Once again, there is a minor inconvenience compared to the standard case of Weyl algebras as $A_{\mathbf{k}}$ is not an Azumaya algebra.

We include the proof for the reader's convenience.

Lemma 3.1. *Let \mathbf{k} be an algebraically closed field. Let R be a \mathbf{k} -algebra which is finite over its center Z , such that Z is a finitely generated \mathbf{k} -domain. Let $U \subset \text{Spec}(Z)$ be the Azumaya locus of R . Assume that Z is normal and the complement of U in $\text{Spec}(Z)$ has codimension ≥ 2 . Moreover, assume that R is a Cohen-Macaulay Z -module in codimension 2. Then the natural restriction homomorphism $\text{Aut}(R) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(Z)$ extends to a homomorphism $\text{Pic}(R) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(Z)$, which is injective if the Picard group of U is trivial.*

Proof. Let M be an invertible R -bimodule. It follows from a standard argument that the support of M on $U \times U$ must be a graph of an automorphism of U . Since the codimension of the complement of U in $\text{Spec} Z$ is at least 2 and Z is normal, we get that $\text{Aut}(U) \leq \text{Aut}(\text{Spec}(Z))$. Thus we obtain the desired homomorphism $\text{Pic}(R) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(Z)$.

Now, we assume that the Picard group of U is trivial. If $M \in \text{Pic}(R)$ maps to Id_Z , then M_U is supported on the diagonal of $U \times U$. Thus, M is a module over

$$R_I \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_U} R_U^{op} \cong \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_U}(R|_U).$$

Hence, M_U must be of the form $R_U \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_U} N$ where $N \in \text{Pic}(U)$. Since the Picard group of U is trivial, it follows that $M_U \cong R_U$. Let I denote the defining ideal of the complement of U in $\text{Spec}(R)$. Since M is a projective left R -module, it follows from our assumption that $\text{depth}_I R, \text{depth}_I M \geq 2$ (here R, M are viewed as Z -modules). Now a standard argument using local cohomology shows that $\Gamma(U, M_U) = M$ and $\Gamma(U, R_U) = R$. So, $M \cong R$ and we are done. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.1. At first, we need to show that given an embedding $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ of good filtered quantizations A, B over a finitely generated ring $S \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that A, B have the equal Gelfand-Kirillov dimension, then $\phi_p(Z(A_p)) \subset Z(B_p)$.

Since $D(A), D(B)$ have equal Gelfand-Kirillov dimensions, it follows that $D(A)$ is a finite left (or right) $D(B)$ -module via ϕ . Let $1 \in V \subset B$ be a finite S -submodule, such that V generates B as an S -algebra and $B \subset \phi(D(A))V$. Let

$1 \in W \subset D(A)$ be a finite S -submodule so that $V^2 \subset \phi(W)V$. By localizing S if necessary, we may assume that V, W are spanned by elements whose coordinates belong to S^* . Then given a base change $S \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ to an algebraically closed field \mathbf{k} of characteristic $p \gg 0$, we have that $\text{gr}(A_{\mathbf{k}}), \text{gr}(B_{\mathbf{k}})$ are domains (as are $A_{\mathbf{k}}, B_{\mathbf{k}}$) and $W_{\mathbf{k}} \subset D(A_{\mathbf{k}})$, as well as $\phi(W_{\mathbf{k}}) \subset D(B_{\mathbf{k}})$. Thus, $V_{\mathbf{k}}^2 \subset \phi_{\mathbf{k}}(W_{\mathbf{k}})V_{\mathbf{k}}$, so $V_{\mathbf{k}}^n \subset \phi_{\mathbf{k}}(W_{\mathbf{k}}^n)V_{\mathbf{k}}$ for all $n \geq 1$. Denote by A' the \mathbf{k} -subalgebra of $D(A_{\mathbf{k}})$ generated by $W_{\mathbf{k}}$. Thus, we have a homomorphism $\phi_{\mathbf{k}} : A' \rightarrow D(B_{\mathbf{k}})$ and $B_{\mathbf{k}} \subset \phi_{\mathbf{k}}(A')V_{\mathbf{k}}$ with $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} V_{\mathbf{k}} < \infty$. Hence the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of $B_{\mathbf{k}}$ is at most the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of $\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(A')$. Since the Gelfand Kirillov dimension of A' is bounded above by the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of $A_{\mathbf{k}}$ which is equal to the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of $\dim(B_{\mathbf{k}})$, we conclude that $\phi_{\mathbf{k}}$ must be injective.

Suppose that for infinitely many primes p , there exists $z_p \in Z(A_p)$ such that $\phi_p(z) \notin Z(B_p)$. Then, there exists infinitely many primes p and a base changes $S/pS \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ to an algebraically closed field \mathbf{k} , so that $\text{gr}(A_{\mathbf{k}}), \text{gr}(B_{\mathbf{k}})$ are domains and $\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(Z(A_{\mathbf{k}})) \not\subseteq Z(B_{\mathbf{k}})$. Let $z \in Z(A_{\mathbf{k}})$ so that $\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(z) \notin Z(B_{\mathbf{k}})$. Let $0 \neq \delta \in Z(A_{\mathbf{k}})$ be such that δ vanishes on the complement of the Azumaya locus of $\text{Spec}(Z(A_{\mathbf{k}}))$. Let $0 \neq \delta' \in Z(B_{\mathbf{k}})$ be such that $\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\delta)$ divides δ' and vanishes on the complement of the Azumaya locus of $\text{Spec}(Z(B_{\mathbf{k}}))$. Put $S_1 = (A_{\mathbf{k}})_{\delta}$ and $S_2 = (B_{\mathbf{k}})_{\delta'}$. So, we have a homomorphism $\bar{\phi} : S_1 \rightarrow S_2$ of Azumaya \mathbf{k} -algebras, such that $z \in Z(S_1)$ but $\phi(z) \notin Z(S_2)$.

Next, by the assumption, we have that $\text{gr}(A_{\mathbf{k}})^p \subset \text{gr}(Z(A_{\mathbf{k}}))$. On the other hand, since $\text{Spec}(\text{gr}(A_{\mathbf{k}}))$ is a normal Poisson variety which is symplectic on its smooth locus, a very standard argument shows that $\text{gr}(Z(A_{\mathbf{k}})) \subset \text{gr}(A_{\mathbf{k}})^p$ (see for example [[T1], Lemma 2.4].) Thus, $\text{gr}(Z(A_{\mathbf{k}})) = \text{gr}(A_{\mathbf{k}})^p$. Then the rank of $A_{\mathbf{k}}$ as a $Z(A_{\mathbf{k}})$ -module equals to the rank of $\text{gr}(A_{\mathbf{k}})$ as a $\text{gr}(Z(A_{\mathbf{k}})) = \text{gr}(A_{\mathbf{k}})^p$ -module [[T1], Lemma 2.3]. On the other hand, since the PI-degree of $A_{\mathbf{k}}$ equals to the rank of $A_{\mathbf{k}}$ over its center, we conclude that the PI-degree of $A_{\mathbf{k}}$ equals to $p^{\dim A_{\mathbf{k}}}$. Since $\dim A_{\mathbf{k}} = \dim B_{\mathbf{k}}$, we get that $\text{PI-degree}(S_2) = \text{PI-degree}(S_1)$. Let V be a simple S_2 -module on which $\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(z)$ does not act like a scalar. To construct such a module suffices to take a simple module afforded by a character $\chi : Z(S_2) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ such that $\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(z)$ has a nonzero image in $(S_2/Z(S_2))_{\chi}$. Then V viewed as an S_1 -module must be simple on which z acts as a non-scalar, a contradiction.

Now, suppose X is a normal variety and the Poisson bracket on its smooth locus is symplectic. Hence, so is $X_{\mathbf{k}}$ for $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = p \gg 0$. Put $R = A_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $Z = Z(A_{\mathbf{k}})$. We know by [[T1], Theorem 2.2] that the complement of the Azumaya locus of R in $\text{Spec}(Z)$ has codimension at least 2. Thus by Lemma 3.1, to show that the restriction homomorphism $\text{Pic}(R) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(Z)$ is injective, it suffices to verify that R is a Cohen-Macaulay Z -module in codimension ≥ 2 . We have that $\text{gr}(Z) = \text{gr}(R)^p$, and $\text{gr}(R)$ is a normal domain. Thus, by Serre's normality criterion, $\text{gr}(R)$ is cohen-Macaulay in codimension 2. Hence $\text{gr}(R)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay $\text{gr}(Z)$ -module in codimension 2. Hence R is a Cohen-Macaulay Z -module in codimension 2 as desired. It is clear that the image of $\text{Pic}(A)$ in $\text{Aut}(Z(A_{\mathbf{k}}))$ must preserve the reduction $\text{mod } p$ Poisson bracket, so we get the desired injective

homomorphism

$$Z_\infty : \text{Pic}(A) \rightarrow P \text{Aut}(Z_\infty(A)).$$

Finally, suppose that $\phi \in \text{Aut}(A)$ is a semi-simple automorphism which is in the kernel for Z_∞ . Therefore ϕ_p (the image of ϕ in $\text{Aut}(A/pA)$) acts trivially on $Z(A/pA)$, for $p \gg 0$. Hence it must be an inner automorphism A/pA . Then $\phi(x)a = ax$ for some a and all x . Then if y is an eigenvector of ϕ of an eigenvalue $c \neq 1$, then $ay = cya$ which is a contradiction since $\text{gr}(A/pA)$ is commutative. \square

Remark 3.1. The assumption in Theorem 3.1 that either $\phi : A \rightarrow A$ is semi-simple or the smooth locus of $\text{Spec}(\text{gr}(A))$ is symplectic is essential. Indeed, let $A = U(L)$, where L is a 2 dimensional Lie algebra with a basis h, x and the Lie bracket $[h, x] = x$. Then put $\phi(a) = xax^{-1}, a \in A$, so $\phi(x) = x, \phi(h) = h - 1$. Therefore, ϕ is in the kernel of the restriction homomorphism

$$Z_\infty : \text{Aut}(U(L)) \rightarrow \prod_p Z(U(L_p)).$$

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let $\Gamma \leq \text{Aut}(A)$ be a finite subgroup. We may choose a finitely generated ring S over which A and the action of Γ are defined. So, $\Gamma \leq \text{Aut}_S(A_S)$. Then by Theorem 3.1, we have an injective homomorphism

$$Z^\infty|_\Gamma : \Gamma \rightarrow P \text{Aut}(B_{S^\infty}).$$

Combining this with a homomorphism $S^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we obtain a subgroup Γ' of Poisson \mathbb{C} -automorphisms of B , such that $\Gamma \cong \Gamma'$. Recall that by the assumption m is the unique Poisson maximal ideal of B . So, Γ' must preserve m , and moreover, since the action of Γ' on B is semi-simple, it follows that the restriction homomorphisms $\Gamma' \rightarrow \text{Aut}(m/m^2)$ is injective. Hence, Γ is isomorphic to a subgroup of the Lie algebra automorphisms of m/m^2 , as desired. \square

Now we can easily show Corollary 1.1.

Proof of Corollary 1.1. Let $G \leq \text{Aut}(W_\chi(\mathfrak{g}, e))$ be a finite subgroup of automorphisms of a central reduction (by a character χ) of a finite W-algebra associated to a semi-simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and its nilpotent element $e \in \mathfrak{g}$. By Lemma 2.2, $W_\chi(\mathfrak{g})$ is a good quantization of S_e -the Slodowy slice at e of the nilpotent cone of \mathfrak{g} . Then we may use Theorem 1.4 to conclude that G must be isomorphic to a subgroup of Lie algebra automorphisms of m/m^2 , where m is the maximal ideal in $\mathcal{O}(S_e)$ corresponding to the point $e \in S_e$. Now, it is well-known that $m/m^2 \cong \mathfrak{g}(e)$ and we are done. \square

Next, to show Theorem 1.2, we in fact prove the following stronger result.

Theorem 3.2. *Let X, Y , be affine normal conical Poisson varieties over \mathbb{C} , which are symplectic on their smooth loci. Denote by U, V the smooth loci of X, Y . Let A, B be good filtered quantizations of X, Y respectively. Let $G \leq \text{Aut}(A), G' \leq \text{Aut}(B)$ be finite subgroups of \mathbb{C} -automorphisms and let $\phi : A^G \rightarrow B^{G'}$ be a \mathbb{C} -algebra embedding. Suppose that $B^{G'}$ is a finite left (or right) A^G -module via*

ϕ . Then there exists groups W, W' containing $\pi_1(U), \pi_1(V)$ as normal subgroups with quotients isomorphic to G, G' , such that $W \leq W'$. Moreover, if ϕ is an isomorphism then $W = W'$.

Proof. Let $S \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a large enough finitely generated subring over which everything is defined, and let $\phi : A_S^G \rightarrow B_S^{G'}$ be an S -algebra embedding so that $B_S^{G'}$ is a finite left A_S^G -module via ϕ . Then just as in [T3] it follows that after further localizing S if necessary, for any base change $S \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ we have that $Z(A_{\mathbb{F}_p}^G)^G = Z(A_{\mathbb{F}_p}^G)$ and $Z(B_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{G'})^{G'} = Z(B_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{G'})$. Now, by mimicking the proof of Theorem 3.1, we obtain an embedding of S^∞ -Poisson algebras

$$Z^\infty(\phi) : \mathcal{O}(X_{S^\infty})^G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(Y_{S^\infty})^{G'},$$

such that $\mathcal{O}(Y_{S^\infty})^{G'}$ is a finite $\mathcal{O}(X_{S^\infty})^G$ -module. Combining $Z^\infty(\phi)$ with a base change $S^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we obtain a finite morphism of affine Poisson varieties $\theta : Y/G' \rightarrow X/G$.

Let U, U' denote the regular loci of X, Y . Let U^{reg} (respectively U'^{reg}) denote the open subset of the regular locus of X (respectively X') consisting of points with trivial stabilizers in G (respectively G'). Then the codimension of $U \setminus U^{reg}$ in U (respectively $U' \setminus U'^{reg}$) is at least 2, so $\pi_1(U^{reg}) = \pi_1(U)$ and $\pi_1(U'^{reg}) = \pi_1(U')$. Let Y_1, Y_2 denote $U^{reg}/G, U'^{reg}/G'$ respectively. Then $Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ is a finite covering map and $\pi_1(Y_1)$ (resp. $\pi_1(Y_2)$) is an extension of G (resp. G') with the quotient $\pi_1(U)$. Now the desired result follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $G, W \leq \text{Aut}(A)$ so that $A^G \cong A^W$. Let U be the smooth locus of X . By Theorem 3.2, there is a group Γ that contains both G, W as normal subgroups such that $\Gamma/G \cong \pi_1(U) \cong \Gamma/W$. Therefore, if $|\pi_1(U)| < \infty$, then $|G| = |W|$, in particular A is rigid. If in addition $\pi_1(U)$ is trivial, then $G \cong W$ and we are done. \square

Remark 3.2. We remark that in many (perhaps in most) interesting cases the algebraic fundamental group of the smooth locus of X is indeed finite, for example this is always the case when X has symplectic singularity by a theorem of Namikawa [N].

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $\phi : U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}')$ be a \mathbb{C} -algebra embedding with $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}'$ semi-simple and $\dim \mathfrak{g} = \dim \mathfrak{g}'$. It is well-known that the maximal Krull dimension of a commutative subalgebra of $U(\mathfrak{g}')$ is at most $\frac{1}{2} \dim(\mathfrak{g}' + \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g}'))$. On the other hand, $U(\mathfrak{g})$ contains a commutative subalgebra of dimension $\frac{1}{2} \dim(\mathfrak{g} + \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g}))$ as proved by Rybnikov [R]. So we may conclude that $\text{rank}(\mathfrak{g}) \leq \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g}')$. We may also assume that $\phi, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}'$ are defined over S -a large enough finitely generated subring of \mathbb{C} . Put

$$Z(U\mathfrak{g}) = S[g_1, \dots, g_n], \quad Z(U(\mathfrak{g}')) = S[g'_1, \dots, g'_n], \quad n = \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g}) = \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g}').$$

Denote by $\phi_{\mathbf{k}} : U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{k}}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}'_{\mathbf{k}})$ the base change of ϕ to an algebraically closed field \mathbf{k} of characteristic $p \gg 0$. Next we claim that, just as in the proof of 3.1, we have that $\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(Z(U\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{k}})) \subset Z(U(\mathfrak{g}'_{\mathbf{k}}))$ for all $p \gg 0$. Indeed, it is well-known that the PI-degree of $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{k}})$ equals to $p^{\frac{1}{2}(\dim \mathfrak{g} - \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g}))}$. Thus

$$PI - \deg(U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{k}})) \leq PI - \deg(U(\mathfrak{g}'_{\mathbf{k}})).$$

After this, the proof of Theorem 3.1 carries over word by word to yield

$$\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(Z(U\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{k}})) \subset Z(U(\mathfrak{g}'_{\mathbf{k}})), \quad \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g}) = \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g}').$$

Next, we recall that by Veldkamp's theorem, we have

$$Z(U(\mathfrak{g}'_p)) = Z_p(\mathfrak{g}'_p)[g'_1, \dots, g'_n].$$

So, for $z \in S[g_1, \dots, g_n]$, we have that $\phi_p(\bar{z}) \in Z_p(\mathfrak{g}'_p)[g'_1, \dots, g'_n]$ (for all $p \gg 0$.) This implies that $\phi(z) \in S[g'_1, \dots, g'_n]$, so $\phi(Z(U\mathfrak{g})) \subset Z(U(\mathfrak{g}'))$. Let $\chi : Z(U(\mathfrak{g})) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a character. Let $\chi' : Z(U(\mathfrak{g}')) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be any character such that $\phi(\ker(\chi)) \subset \ker(\chi')$. Then we get the homomorphism $\phi : U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U_{\chi'}(\mathfrak{g}')$. Hence, it suffices to prove the second part of the theorem.

So, let $\phi : U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U_{\chi'}(\mathfrak{g}')$ be a \mathbb{C} -algebra homomorphism. Let $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}$, respectively $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}'}$ denote the nilpotent cone of \mathfrak{g}^* (resp. \mathfrak{g}'^*). As $U_{\chi}(\mathfrak{g})$ (respectively $U_{\chi'}(\mathfrak{g}')$) are good quantizations of $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}})$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}'})$), it follows from Theorem 3.1 that there is a Poisson algebra homomorphism $\psi : \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}'})$. In particular, we get a Lie algebra homomorphism $\psi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}'})$. Denote by m the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}'})$ corresponding to the origin-the augmentation ideal. Since $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = \mathfrak{g}$, it follows that $\psi(\mathfrak{g}) \subset m$. We may identify m/m^2 with \mathfrak{g}' . Then we have a homomorphism of Lie algebras

$$\bar{\psi} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow m/m^2 = \mathfrak{g}'.$$

Let $I = \ker(\bar{\psi})$. Thus $[I, I] = I$. Let $\psi(I) \subset m^n$ for $n > 1$. We have

$$\psi(I) = \psi([I, I]) \subset \{m^n, m^n\} \subset m^{2n-1}.$$

In particular $I \subset m^{n+1}$. Arguing by induction on n , we conclude that $I = 0$. Hence \mathfrak{g} is isomorphic to a Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{g}' and we are done. □

4. CHEREDNIK ALGEBRAS AS FIXED RINGS

Given a simple domain B over \mathbb{C} , it is an interesting and natural problem to classify finite groups Γ for which there exists a domain R on which Γ acts faithfully via \mathbb{C} -algebra automorphisms, such that $B = R^{\Gamma}$. Given the direct analogy with Galois theory, we refer to this question as the inverse Galois problem for B . In [T3] we solved this problem for rings of differential operators on smooth affine varieties. Namely, if $D(X) = R^{\Gamma}$, where X is a smooth affine variety and Γ is a finite group of \mathbb{C} -automorphisms of a domain R , then there exists a smooth affine variety Y such that $R \cong D(Y)$ and $Y \rightarrow X$ is a Γ -Galois etale covering of X [[T3], Theorem 1]. In particular, in the spirit of Galois theory, there is a bijection between normal subgroups of Γ and Γ -invariant subalgebras

of R containing $D(X)$. It was also shown in [[T3], Theorem 2] that very generic central quotients of enveloping algebras of semi-simple Lie algebras cannot be nontrivial fixed rings. In this section we apply the methodology of [T3] to the case when B is a (simple) spherical subalgebra of a rational Cherednik algebra defined by Etingof and Ginzburg [EG]. Let us recall their definition.

Let W be a complex reflection group, \mathfrak{h} its reflection representation and $S \subset W$ the set of all complex reflections. Let $(,) : \mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the natural pairing. Given a reflection $s \in S$, let $\alpha_s \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ be an eigenvector of s for eigenvalue 1. Also, let $\alpha_s^\vee \in \mathfrak{h}$ be an eigenvector normalized so that $\alpha_s(\alpha_s^\vee) = 2$. Let $c : S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a function invariant with respect to conjugation with W . The rational Cherednik algebra H_c associated to (W, \mathfrak{h}) with parameter c is defined as the quotient of $\mathbb{C}[W] \rtimes T(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*)$ by the following relations

$$[x, y] = (y, x) - \sum_{s \in S} c(s)(y, \alpha_s)(\alpha_s^\vee, x), \quad [x, x'] = 0 = [y, y']$$

for all $x, x' \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ and $y, y' \in \mathfrak{h}$.

We are concerned with the spherical subalgebra B_c of the Cherednik algebra H_c . Recall that

$$B_c = eH_c e, \quad e = \frac{1}{|W|} \sum_{g \in W} g.$$

For $c = 0$, we have that $B_0 = D(\mathfrak{h})^W$.

Next we recall couple of basic properties of spherical subalgebras of rational Cherednik algebras. Namely the PBW property and the Dunkl isomorphism.

The crucial PBW property of H_c, B_c , implies that if we equip H_c, B_c , with an algebra filtration by putting

$$\deg(\mathfrak{h}) = 1, \quad \deg(\mathfrak{h}^*) = 1, \quad \deg(W) = 0,$$

then

$$\text{gr}(H_c) = \mathbb{C}[W] \rtimes \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*), \quad \text{gr}(B_c) = \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*)^W.$$

Recall that since for any nonzero $f \in \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{h}^*)$, $\text{ad}(f) = [f, -]$ acts locally nilpotently on H_c , we may consider the localization $H_c[f^{-1}]$ (and $B_c[f^{-1}]$ for $f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^W$). Then we have the induced filtration on $B_c[f^{-1}]$ and

$$\text{gr}(B_c[f^{-1}]) = \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*)_f^W.$$

Set $\mathfrak{h}^{\text{reg}} = \{x \in \mathfrak{h}, (x, \alpha) \neq 0, \alpha \in S\}$. Let $\delta \in \mathbb{C}[h]^W$ be the defining function of $\mathfrak{h} \setminus \mathfrak{h}^{\text{reg}}$. Recall that via the Dunkl embedding we have an isomorphism

$$B_c[\delta^{-1}] \cong D(\mathfrak{h}^{\text{reg}}).$$

The next theorem is the main result of this section. It relates the inverse Galois problem for B_c to geometry of the center of reduction of B_c modulo a large prime. In fact it applies to a wider class of algebras to be defined below.

Assumption 1. *Let A be an affine Noetherian \mathbb{C} -domain, let $V \subset A$ be a finite dimensional \mathbb{C} -subspace, such that the following holds. For any $g \in V$, the adjoint action $\text{ad}(g)$ is locally nilpotent and there exists $0 \neq \delta \in V$ so that A_δ (the localization of A with respect to δ) can be identified with $D(X)$, where X is a smooth affine variety over \mathbb{C} and $V \subset \mathcal{O}(X)$. Moreover, there exists a finitely generated subring $S \subset \mathbb{C}$ and an S -model A_S of A , such that $A_{\mathbf{k}}$ is a finite module over its center for any base change $S \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ for any field \mathbf{k} of large enough characteristic.*

Next we define Harish-Chandra bimodules over algebras satisfying the above assumption. We also recall the definition of Harish-Chandra bimodules over spherical subalgebras of rational Cherednik algebras.

Definition 4.1. Let A be an algebra from Assumption 1. Then an A -bimodule M is said to be a Harish-Chandra bimodule if for any $g \in V$, the adjoint action $\text{ad}(g)|_M$ is locally nilpotent. Let B_c be a spherical subalgebra associated to (W, \mathfrak{h}) . Let M be a bimodule over B_c . Then M is said to be a Harish-Chandra bimodule if $\text{ad}(x)$ is locally nilpotent on M for any $x \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^W, \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]^W$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let B be a \mathbb{C} -algebra satisfying Assumption 1. Suppose that B is simple. Let $B = R^\Gamma$, where R is a \mathbb{C} -domain and Γ is a finite subgroup of \mathbb{C} -algebra automorphisms of R . Then R is a Harish-Chandra B -bimodule and satisfies Assumption 1. There exists a finitely generated ring $S \subset \mathbb{C}$ over which everything is defined, such that the following holds. For any base change $S \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ to an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic the group Γ is a quotient of the étale fundamental group of the Azumaya locus of $\text{Spec } Z(B_{\mathbf{k}})$.*

Proof. Suffices to show this when Γ is simple. Since B is a simple Noetherian domain such that its localization is isomorphic to the ring of differential operators on a smooth affine variety, it follows that $Z(B) = \mathbb{C}$. Now, using some standard facts about fixed rings [M], we can deduce that B is Morita equivalent to the skew ring $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma] \rtimes R$ (see [[T3], Lemma 4]). There exists a large enough finitely generated ring $S \subset \mathbb{C}$, and models of B, R over S , to be denoted by B_S, R_S , so that B_S is Morita equivalent to $S[\Gamma] \rtimes R_S$. In particular, R_S is a projective left (and right) B_S -module. So for large enough $p \gg 0$ and a base change $S \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ to an algebraically closed field of characteristic p , we have that $B_{\mathbf{k}}$ is Morita equivalent to $\mathbf{k}[\Gamma] \rtimes R_{\mathbf{k}}$. We also remark that $R_{\mathbf{k}}$ is a torsion free $B_{\mathbf{k}}$ -module.

By our assumptions $B_{\mathbf{k}}$ is finite over its center, and $Z(B_{\mathbf{k}})$ is a domain. It follows that $Z(B_{\mathbf{k}}) = Z(R_{\mathbf{k}})^\Gamma$. Let $f \in Z(B_{\mathbf{k}})$ be a nonzero element such that it vanishes on the Azumaya locus of $\text{Spec}(Z(B_{\mathbf{k}}))$. As f is also central in $R_{\mathbf{k}}$, we may localize $B_{\mathbf{k}}, R_{\mathbf{k}}$ at f to be denoted respectively by $(B_{\mathbf{k}})_f, (R_{\mathbf{k}})_f$.

We have that $(B_{\mathbf{k}})_f$ is an Azumaya algebra over $Z(B_{\mathbf{k}})_f$ and $(B_{\mathbf{k}})_f$ is Morita equivalent to $\mathbf{k}[\Gamma] \rtimes (R_{\mathbf{k}})_f$. Then just as in [[T3], Proposition 1], we can conclude that $\text{Spec } Z(R_{\mathbf{k}})_f \rightarrow \text{Spec } Z(B_{\mathbf{k}})_f$ is a Γ -Galois étale covering and

$$(R_{\mathbf{k}})_f = B_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes_{Z(B_{\mathbf{k}})} Z(R)_f.$$

Let U denote the Azumaya locus of $\text{Spec}(Z(B_{\mathbf{k}}))$, and Y denote the preimage of U under the projection $\text{Spec}(Z(R_{\mathbf{k}})) \rightarrow \text{Spec} Z(B_{\mathbf{k}})$, then $Y \rightarrow U$ is Γ -Galois covering. In particular, for any $g \in V_{\mathbf{k}}$, $\text{ad}(g)$ acts locally nilpotently on $(R_{\mathbf{k}})_f$. Which implies that $\text{ad}(g)$ acts locally nilpotently on $R_{\mathbf{k}}$ as $R_{\mathbf{k}}$ is $Z(B_{\mathbf{k}})$ -torsion free (since $R_{\mathbf{k}}$ is projective over $B_{\mathbf{k}}$). Now it follows that if $R_{\mathbf{k}}$ is a domain (which is proved in the next paragraph), then Γ must be a quotient of the etale fundamental group of the smooth locus of $\text{Spec}(Z(B_{\mathbf{k}})) = U$.

Next, we show that R is a Harish-Chandra B -bimodule and $R_{\mathbf{k}}$ is a domain, which completes the proof. Let $g \in V, z \in R$. We want to show that $\text{ad}(g)^m(z) = 0$ for some m . It suffices to check that there exists m , such that $\text{ad}(g)^m(z) = 0$ in $R_{\mathbf{k}}$ for all base changes \mathbf{k} of large enough characteristic. Let

$$z^l + \sum_{i < l} a_i z^i = 0, a_i \in B.$$

Recall that by the assumption, we may identify B_{δ} with $D(X)$. Denote by $R'_{\mathbf{k}}$ the localization of $R_{\mathbf{k}}$ with respect to δ . Let m be the largest of orders of a_i viewed as differential operators in $D(X)$. We have that $\mathbf{k}[\Gamma] \rtimes R'_{\mathbf{k}}$ is Morita equivalent to $D(X_{\mathbf{k}})$. Then by [[T3], Theorem 1], there exists a Γ -Galois covering $Y \rightarrow X_{\mathbf{k}}$ such that $R'_{\mathbf{k}} \cong D(Y)$. It follows easily that the degree of z viewed as a differential operator in $D(V)$ is at most m . So, $\text{ad}(g)^m(z) = 0$ in $R_{\mathbf{k}}$ as desired. Thus R is a Harish-Chandra B -bimodule.

Finally, it follows that $\text{ad}(\delta)$ acts locally nilpotently on R . Let $R' = R[\delta^{-1}]$. Since $R'^{\Gamma} = D(X)$ it follows from [[T3], Theorem1] that $R' \cong D(Z)$ for some smooth affine variety Z equipped with a Γ -Galois covering $Z \rightarrow X$. Hence $R'_{\mathbf{k}}$ is a domain for $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) \gg 0$, as desired. □

Next, we apply Theorem 4.1 to classes of Cherednik algebras for which the center of their reduction modulo $p > 0$ is well-known and (relatively) easy to describe. Namely, we consider two families of spherical subalgebra of the rational Cherednik algebras: one associated to the pair (S_n, \mathbb{C}^n) and a parameter $c \in \mathbb{C}$, the other is noncommutative deformations of Kleinian singularities of type A.

Theorem 4.2. *Let B_c be the spherical subalgebra of a rational Cherednik algebra associated with (S_n, \mathbb{C}^n) with a parameter $c \in \mathbb{C}$. Assume that B_c is simple. If c is irrational then B_c cannot be a fixed ring of a domain under a nontrivial action of a finite group of ring automorphisms. For rational c , if $B_c = R^{\Gamma}$ with finite group Γ and domain R , then Γ must be a quotient of S_n .*

To use Theorem 4.1, we need to know the p' -part of the etale fundamental group of the smooth locus of $\text{Spec}(Z(B_c))$. For this purpose we utilize the following.

Remark 4.1. Let X be a complete smooth variety over an algebraically closed field \mathbf{k} of characteristic p , and $U \subset X$ be an open subset such that $X \setminus U$ is a divisor with normal crossings in X . Let \tilde{X} be a complete smooth lift of X over $W(\mathbf{k})$ ($W(\mathbf{k})$ is the ring of Witt vectors over \mathbf{k}), $\tilde{U} \subset \tilde{X}$ be an open subset

lifting U , such that $\tilde{X} \setminus \tilde{U}$ is a divisor with normal crossings over $W(\mathbf{k})$. Then any p' -degree Galois covering of U admits a lift to a Galois covering of \tilde{X} [[LO], Corollary A.12], which yields that any p' -quotient of the etale fundamental group of U must be a quotient of the fundamental group of $U_{\mathbb{C}}$.

We need the following corollary of the Chebotarev density theorem. It contains slightly more than [[VWW], Theorem 1.1]. We present a short proof for a reader's convenience.

Lemma 4.1. *Let S be a finitely generated domain containing \mathbb{Z} and $c \in S$. Then there are infinitely many primes p and ring homomorphisms $\phi_p : S \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$. If $c \notin \mathbb{Q}$ then there exists infinitely many primes p and homomorphisms $\phi_p : S \rightarrow F_q$, so that $\phi_p(c) \notin \mathbb{F}_p$ and q is a power of p .*

Proof. By the Noether normalization theorem, there exists $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and algebraically independent $x_1, \dots, x_n \in S_l$ so that S_l is integral over $S_l[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Let I be a prime ideal in S_l laying over (x_1, \dots, x_n) (such ideal exists since $\text{Spec}(S) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(S_l[x_1, \dots, x_n])$ is surjective by the going-up theorem). So, $S_l/I = R$ is an integral domain finite over \mathbb{Z}_l . Let S' be the integral closure of \mathbb{Z} in R . Then $R = S'_l$. Thus suffices to show that there exists a homomorphism $\phi_p : S' \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ for infinitely many p . This is a consequence of the Chebotarev density theorem.

We have that the image of the map $\text{Spec}(S) \rightarrow \text{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[c_1]$ contains a nonempty open subset. If c_1 is algebraic, then all but finitely many prime ideals in $\mathbb{Z}[c_1]$ lift to S . By the Chebotarev density theorem there are infinitely many primes $I \subset \mathbb{Z}[c_1]$ such that the image of c_1 in the quotient $\mathbb{Z}[c_1]/I \cong F_q$ does not belong to \mathbb{F}_p . Let $I' \in \text{Spec}(S)$ be a lift of I . Now any homomorphism $S/I' \rightarrow \bar{F}_p$ lifting $\mathbb{Z}[c_1]/I \rightarrow F_q$ will do. Finally, let c_1 be transcendental. Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}[c_1]$ be such that $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[c_1]_f)$ lifts to $\text{Spec}(S)$. Thus it suffices to show that there are infinitely many primes p for which there exists $t \in \mathbb{Z}[c_1]$ such that $f \notin (p, t)$ and $\mathbb{Z}[c_1]/(p, t) = F_q$ for $q > p$. For this purpose we can take any p that does not divide f , then take a nonlinear irreducible $\bar{t} \in F_p[c_1]$ that does not divide $f \bmod p$. Then let t be any lift of \bar{t} . \square

For the proof of Theorem 4.2 we need to recall the definition of the n -th Calogero-Moser space. Consider the following subscheme of pairs of n -by- n matrices over \mathbb{C}

$$X = \{(A, B) \mid \text{rank}([A, B] + \text{Id}_n) = 1\}.$$

It is known that $PGL_n(\mathbb{C})$ acts freely on X by conjugation, and the n -th Calogero-Moser space, denoted by CM_n , is defined as the quotient

$$X // PGL_n(\mathbb{C}) = \text{CM}_n.$$

It is well-known that CM_n is a smooth, affine variety over \mathbb{C} [W]. In the following proof we also need that the Calogero-Moser spaces are simply connected. This follows from the fact that the n -th Calogero-Moser space is homeomorphic to the

Hilbert scheme of n -points on the plane which is known to be simply connected based on its cell decomposition.

Proof of Theorem 4.2. If c is rational then after a base change to a field \mathbf{k} of characteristic p , we have that [BFG]

$$\mathrm{Spec}(Z(B_{\bar{c}})) = (\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*)/S_n.$$

Hence using Remark 4.1, the p' -etale fondamentale group of the smooth locus of $\mathrm{Spec}(Z(B_{\bar{c}}))$ is S_n . For irrational c , by Lemma 4.1 for any finitely generate subring $S \subset \mathbb{C}$, there are infinitely many primes p and algebraically close fields \mathbf{k} of characteristic p with a base change $S \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$, such that $\bar{c} \notin \mathbb{F}_p$. Then as explained in [BFG], we have

$$\mathrm{Spec} Z(B_{\bar{c}}) \cong (\mathrm{CM}_n)_{\mathbf{k}}.$$

Since $(\mathrm{CM}_n)_{\mathbf{k}}$ admits a smooth simply connected lift to characteristic 0 (namely CM_n), using Remark 4.1 as before the desired assertion follows. \square

Remark 4.2. Given a Cherednik algebra H_c associated with an arbitrary pair (W, \mathfrak{h}) , we expect that its spherical subalgebra B_c is a good quantization of $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*/W$. Then Theorem 4.1 would imply that if $B_c = R^\Gamma$, where B_c is simple and R is a domain, then Γ must be a quotient of W .

5. THE CASE OF GENERALIZED WEYL ALGEBRAS

In this section we apply results of the previous one to noncommutative deformations of the Kleinian singularities of type A (as introduced by Hodges [H]), which are spherical subalgebras of rational Cherednik algebras associated with the pair a cyclic group and its one dimensional representation. This extensively studied family of algebras is also known as (classical) generalized Weyl algebras. Let us recall their definition.

Let $v = \prod_{i=1}^n (h - t_i) \in \mathbb{C}[h]$. Then the algebra $A(v)$ is generated by x, y, h subject to the relations

$$xy = v, \quad yx = v(h - 1), \quad hx = x(h + 1), \quad hy = y(h - 1).$$

If $\deg(v) = 1$, then $A(v)$ is isomorphic to the first Weyl algebra $A_1(\mathbb{C})$. Recall also that if $v = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (h + \frac{i}{n})$, then $A(v)$ can be identified with the fixed ring of the Weyl algebra $A_1(\mathbb{C})$ under the natural action of the cyclic group of order n . On the other hand, when $\deg(v) = 2$ algebras $A(v)$ correspond to central quotients of the enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. We next recall that algebra $A(v)$ is simple if and only if roots of v differ by non-integers.

It was observed by Smith [S] that a countable family of primitive quotients of $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ can be realized as $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -fixed rings of algebras of differential operators on singular algebraic curves $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[x, z]/(z^2 - x^m))$, where $m > 1$ is odd. On the other hand, it follows from our earlier result [T3] that the first Weyl algebra (in fact any n -th Weyl algebra) cannot be a nontrivial fixed ring of a domain. Naturally, one wonders which other generalized Weyl algebras can be realized as

nontrivial fixed rings. Some sufficient conditions for a generalized Weyl algebra to be a nontrivial fixed ring of another generalised Weyl algebra were obtained in [JW], [GW].

Our next result fully solves the inverse Galois problem for simple generalized Weyl algebras. To state it we need to introduce certain class of algebras which incorporates generalized Weyl algebras, as well as rings of differential operators on singular affine curves $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[z, x]/(z^l - x^m))$ with $m = 1 \pmod l$.

Let $v \in \mathbb{C}[h]$ and l, m be coprime natural numbers. Then we have a derivation (the Euler vector field) D on the ring $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{C}[x, z]/(z^l - x^m)$ defined as follows

$$D(x) = x, D(z) = \frac{m}{l}z.$$

Putting $[h, -] = D$ we can define the semi-direct product algebra $A = \mathbb{C}[h] \rtimes \mathcal{O}$. Put $y = x^{-1}v$ considered as an element of $A[x^{-1}] = \mathbb{C}[h] \rtimes \mathcal{O}[x^{-1}]$. Then the subalgebra generated by A and y is denoted by $A_v^{l,m}$. Clearly, $A(v)$ is a subalgebra of $A_v^{l,m}$. Moreover, $\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z} = \langle \sigma \rangle$ acts on $A_v^{l,m}$ by

$$\sigma(z) = \xi z, \sigma(x) = x, \sigma(h) = h,$$

where ξ is a primitive l -th root of unity.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $A(v)$ be simple with $\deg(v) = n$. If $A(v) = R^\Gamma$ with R a \mathbb{C} -domain and Γ a finite group of \mathbb{C} -algebra automorphisms of R , then Γ must be a quotient of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Let $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}, l|n$. Then $A(v) = R^\Gamma$ for some domain R if and only if the set of images of roots of v in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} is closed under the shift by $1/l$. In this case $R \cong A_v^{l,m}$ for some $m = 1 \pmod l$.*

Proof. We know by Theorem 4.1 that R must be a Harish-Chandra $A(v)$ -bimodule. Since $A(v)[x^{-1}]$ can be identified with $D(\mathbb{C}[x, x^{-1}])$, it follows from [[T3], Theorem 1] that there exists an affine variety Y and a Γ -Galois covering

$$Y \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[x, x^{-1}] = \mathbb{C}^*,$$

so that we have a Γ -equivariant isomorphism $R[x^{-1}] \cong D(Y)$. So, $Y \cong \mathbb{C}^*$ and Γ must be a cyclic group. Let $l = |\Gamma|$. Therefore, $R[x^{-1}] \cong D(\mathbb{C}[w, w^{-1}])$, and $w^l = x$ with $\Gamma = \langle \sigma \rangle$ acting on w by the multiplication by ξ , where ξ is a primitive l -th root of unity. A similar statement holds for $R[y^{-1}]$. We may write $w = x^{-k}z$ for some $k > 0, z \in R$. So, $z^l = x^m$ for some $m > 0$ so that $m = 1 \pmod l$ and $\sigma(z) = \xi z$. Denote by B the subalgebra of R generated by $A(v)$ and z . We claim that $B = R$. Indeed, Γ acts faithfully on B . Therefore, $B[x^{-1}] = R[x^{-1}]$ and $B[y^{-1}] = R[y^{-1}]$. Put $M = R/B$. then M is an $A(v)$ -module such that $M[x^{-1}] = 0$ and $M[y^{-1}] = 0$. This easily implies that M must be a finite dimensional $A(v)$ -module. Since $A(v)$ admits no nonzero finite dimensional modules (as it is simple), it follows that $M = 0$, hence $B = R$. Next, we may and will identify $R = B$ with $A_v^{l,m}$ inside the algebra

$$R[x^{-1}] = \mathbb{C}[h] \rtimes \mathbb{C}[z, x, x^{-1}]/(z^l - x^m).$$

Thus, it remains to establish when does the equality $(A_v^{l,m})^\sigma = A(v)$ hold.

Put for simplicity $A = A(v)$. So, $z^{l-1}Az \subset A$. Recall that $zhz^{-1} = h - \frac{m}{l}$. It is well-known and easy to check that $x^m y^m = v^{[m]}$, where $v^{[n]} = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} v(h - i)$. So, $y^n = x^{-n} v^{[n]}$. Hence

$$z^{l-1} y^n z = x^{-n} z^l (z^{-1} v^{[n]} z) = x^{m-n} v^{[n]} (h + \frac{m}{l}).$$

Take $n = m + 1$. Then

$$z^{l-1} y^{m+1} z = x^{-1} v^{[m+1]} (h + \frac{m}{2}).$$

Now recall that we have a standard \mathbb{Z} -grading on $A[x^{-1}]$ defined as follows: $\deg(x) = 1$ and $\deg(h) = 0$. Since the element $x^{-1} v^{[m+1]} (h + \frac{m}{2})$ has degree -1, it follows that this element must equal to ya for some $a \in \mathbb{C}[h]$. Hence $v^{[m+1]} (h + \frac{m}{l})$ divides v . Write $v = \prod_k (h - t_k)$. Thus, since roots of v differ by non-integers, it follows that for each root t_k there exists another root $t_{k'}$, such that

$$t_{k'} - t_k = \frac{m}{l} + i, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Hence the set of images of roots of v in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} is closed under the shift by $\frac{m}{l}$, as desired.

Now, we assume that the set of images of roots of v in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} is closed under the shift by $\frac{1}{l}$ and show that $(A_v^{l,m})^\sigma = A$ for $m \gg 0$. For simplicity we assume that $l = 2$, the general case is similar. Assume m is given so that for any root t of v , there exists another root t' of v , so that $t - t' = \frac{i}{2}$ for some odd i with $|i| \leq m$. We claim that $(A_v^{2,m})^\sigma = A$. We need to show that $zA_v^{2,m} z \subset A$, for which it suffices to check that $zy^k z \in A$ for all k . Indeed, recall that

$$zy^k z = x^{-k+m} v^{[k]} (h + \frac{m}{2}).$$

Thus, we only need to consider the case when $k \geq m + 1$. It suffices to see that $v^{[k]} (h + \frac{m}{2})$ is a multiple of $v^{[k-m]}$. Note that roots of $v^{[k-m]}$ are of the form $j + t$, where t is a root of v and $j < k - m$. Write $t = t' + p/2$ with $h(t') = 0$ and odd p with $|p| \leq m$. Then

$$v^{[k]} (t + \frac{m}{2}) = v^{[k]} (t' + (p + m)/2) = 0,$$

and we are done. \square

Remark 5.1. We assume again that $A(v)$ is simple. It was observed by Hodges [H] that $A(v')$ is Morita equivalent to $A(v)$ if roots of v' are integer translates of roots of v . Next we recall a result by Jordan and Wells [JW] describing the fixed ring of $A(v)$ under the natural diagonal action of a cyclic group. Namely, $\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}$ acts diagonally on $A(v)$ by

$$\sigma(x) = \xi x, \quad \sigma(y) = \xi^{-1} y, \quad \sigma(h) = h,$$

where ξ is a primitive l -th root of unity. Then the coresponding fixed ring $A(v)^{\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}}$ is isomorphic to $A(v')$, where $v' = \prod_{i=0}^{l-1} v(h + i/l)$. Now, Theorem 5.1 can be reformulated as follows: A simple ring $A(v)$ is a fixed ring of a domain

R under a finite subgroup of automorphisms G if and only if $A(v)$ is Morita equivalent to $A(v')^{\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}}$ for some v' (under the diagonal action of $\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}$ on $A(v')$) and $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}$.

6. THE CASE OF QUANTUM TORI

Recall that given $q \in \mathbb{C}^*$, then the corresponding quantum torus, to be denoted by A_q , is defined as a \mathbb{C} -algebra with generators x, y and their inverses x^{-1}, y^{-1} with the relation $xy = qyx$. An n -dimensional quantum torus is defined as follows:

$$A_q^n = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n A_q.$$

It is natural to ask whether the natural homomorphism

$$\text{Aut}(A_q^n) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(A_q^n)$$

is surjective. It was proved to be the case for a quantum torus by Berest, Ramados and Tang [BRT]. Their proof is based on the description of isomorphism classes of ideals of A_q . We generalize this result for n -dimensional quantum tori. An upshot of our proof (already for $n = 1$ case) is that it does not rely on any facts about ideals in A_q^n .

In what follows, given an automorphism $\phi \in \text{Aut}(A)$, by A_ϕ we denote A viewed as a A -bimodule with the usual left action and the right action twisted by ϕ .

Theorem 6.1. *Let A_q^n be an n -dimensional quantum torus with q not a root of unity. Then the natural map $\text{Aut}(A_q^n)/\text{Inn}(A_q^n) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(A_q^n)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. We put $A = A_q$ for simplicity. Let M be an invertible A -bimodule. We need to show that $M \cong A$ as a left A -module. Let $S \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a finitely generated ring over which A, M are defined and M is still an invertible bimodule over S . We show that $M_{\mathbf{k}} \cong A_{\mathbf{k}}$ as left modules for all base changes $S \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$, where \mathbf{k} is a finite field and $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = p \gg 0$. Let \mathbf{k} be a finite field, so \bar{q} (the image of q in \mathbf{k}) is an l -root of unity, for some l . Then it is well-known that the center of $A_{\mathbf{k}}$, which we denote by $Z_{\mathbf{k}}$, is isomorphic to the ring of Laurent polynomials:

$$Z_{\mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{k}[x_1^{\pm l}, \dots, x_n^{\pm l}, y_1^{\pm l}, \dots, y_n^{\pm l}].$$

The corresponding Poisson bracket on $Z_{\mathbf{k}}$ is given as follows:

$$\{x_i^l, y_j^l\} = \delta_{ij} l x_i^l y_j^l, \quad \{x_i^l, x_j^l\} = \{y_i^l, y_j^l\} = 0.$$

Since $M_{\mathbf{k}}$ is an invertible bimodule, it follows from a standard argument that its support on $\text{Spec}(Z_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} Z_{\mathbf{k}})$ must be a graph of an automorphism $\phi \in \text{Aut}(Z_{\mathbf{k}})$. Moreover ϕ must preserve the Poisson bracket on $Z_{\mathbf{k}}$. Next we check that there exists $\psi \in \text{Aut}(A_{\mathbf{k}})$ such that $\psi|_{Z_{\mathbf{k}}} = \phi$. Recall that by $P\text{Aut}(Z_{\mathbf{k}})$ we denote the group of automorphisms of $Z_{\mathbf{k}}$ preserving the Poisson bracket. It is well-known

and easy to prove that $P \operatorname{Aut}(Z_{\mathbf{k}}) \cong Sp(2n, \mathbb{Z})$. As $Sp(2n, \mathbb{Z})$ also acts on A by automorphisms, we can now easily conclude that there exists

$$\psi \in Sp(2n, \mathbb{Z}) \leq \operatorname{Aut}(A_{\mathbf{k}}),$$

such that $\psi|_{Z_{\mathbf{k}}} = \phi$. Then $(A_{\mathbf{k}})_{\psi}$ and $M_{\mathbf{k}}$ have the same support. Now, since the restriction homomorphism

$$\operatorname{Pic}(A_{\mathbf{k}}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(Z_{\mathbf{k}})$$

is injective (by Lemma 6.1), it follows that $M_{\mathbf{k}} \cong (A_{\mathbf{k}})_{\psi}$. Hence $M \cong A_{\mathbf{k}}$ as left modules.

Since $M \in \operatorname{Pic}(A)$, we may assume that M is a left ideal in A (as a left module) (see for example [[BEG], Lemma 3]). We need to show that it is a principal ideal. Assume that this is not the case. Then $\operatorname{gr}(M)$ is not a principal ideal in $\operatorname{gr}(A)$. Then for any $p \gg 0$, there exists a base change $S \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ with $\operatorname{char}(\mathbf{k}) = p$, such that $\operatorname{gr}(M_{\mathbf{k}})$ is not principal in $\operatorname{gr}(A_{\mathbf{k}})$, so $M_{\mathbf{k}}$ is not isomorphic to $A_{\mathbf{k}}$, a contradiction. \square

The next result solves the inverse Galois problem for quantum tori.

Theorem 6.2. *Let $q \in \mathbb{C}^*$ be a non-root of unity. Let $A_q = R^G$, where R is a \mathbb{C} -domain and G is a finite subgroup of \mathbb{C} -automorphisms of R . Then $R \cong A_{q'}$ for some $q' \in \mathbb{C}^*$.*

Just as for the analogous result for spherical subalgebras of rational Cherednik algebras, the proof of Theorem 6.2 crucially utilizes Harich-Chandra bimodules over A_q . We recall their definition next. In what follows, given $a \in A^*$ and an A -bimodule M , we denote by $\operatorname{Ad}(a) \in \operatorname{End}(M)$ the conjugation by a .

Definition 6.1. Let M be a A_q -bimodule. Then M is a Harish-Chandra bimodule if $\operatorname{Ad}(x), \operatorname{Ad}(y)$ act semi-simply on M .

We also need the following result from algebraic number theory, which is a special case of theorem of A. Perucca [P].

Lemma 6.1. *[[EW], Corollary A.2] Let $S \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a finitely generated ring. Let $0 \neq q \in S, d \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists infinitely many base changes $\chi : S \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$, where \mathbf{k} is a finite field and $\chi(q)$ is a root of unity of order coprime to d .*

Proof of Theorem 6.2. Once again, we put $A_q = A$. The proof goes along the lines of the proof of Theorem 4.1. We may assume that G is a simple group. The first step is to show that R is a Harish-Chandra A -bimodule. Let $v \in A$, since R is a finite left A -module, we may write

$$v^n = \sum_{i < n} h_i v^i, \quad h_i \in A.$$

Let m be the largest degree of y, y^{-1} in h_i . Let $k = |G|!$. Then we show that

$$\prod_{|i| \leq mk} (\operatorname{Ad}(x) - q^{i/k})v = 0,$$

which implies that v is a sum of eigenvectors of $\text{Ad}(x)$. Repeating the same argument for $\text{Ad}(y)$ gives that R is a Harich-Chandra bimodule. Let $S \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a large enough finitely generated ring over which everything is defined containing $|G|$ -th primitive roots of unity and $q^{1/k} \in S, k \leq m|G|$. Localizing S if necessary and using Lemma 6.1, it suffices to show the above equality holds in any base change $S \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$, where \mathbf{k} is a finite field of large enough characteristic, such that \bar{q} (the image of q in \mathbf{k}) is a root of unity of order coprime to $|G|$.

Indeed, we know that (just as in the proof of Theorem 4.1) given a base change $S \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ such that \bar{q} (the image of q in \mathbf{k}) is a root of unity, then $A_{\mathbf{k}}$ is an Azumaya algebra, the restriction map $\text{Spec}(Z(R_{\mathbf{k}})) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(Z(A_{\mathbf{k}}))$ is a G -Galois etale covering, and

$$R_{\mathbf{k}} \cong A_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes_{Z(A_{\mathbf{k}})} Z(R_{\mathbf{k}}).$$

But $\text{Spec } Z(A_{\mathbf{k}}) \cong \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^* \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^*$, and it is well-known that any connected p' -etale covering of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^* \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^*$ must be isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^* \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^*$. Therefore, we can conclude that

$$\text{Spec}(Z(R_{\mathbf{k}})) = \bigsqcup_i X_i,$$

where $X_i \cong \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^* \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^*$ and the etale coverings $X_i \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^* \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^*$ are given in terms of coordinates by $(z, w) \rightarrow (z^{a_i} w^{b_i}, w^{c_i})$. Let $A_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ 0 & c_i \end{pmatrix}$ be viewed as homomorphisms $A_i : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2$. Then $\mathbb{Z}^2 / \text{Im}(A_i)$ is isomorphic to the etale Galois group of the above covering. In particular, $\det(A_i)$ must divide $|G|$. Also, without loss generality, absolute values of all entries of A_i are bounded by $|G|$.

So, we have

$$Z(R_{\mathbf{k}}) \cong \prod_i e_i \mathbf{k}[z_i, w_i, z_i^{-1}, w_i^{-1}],$$

where e_i are pairwise orthogonal idempotents $\sum_i e_i = 1$, and

$$z_i^{a_i} w_i^{b_i} = x^l, \quad w_i^{c_i} = y^l$$

with $a_i c_i$ dividing $|G|$. Next, we show that $R_{\mathbf{k}}$ must be a product of quantum tori, and hence cannot contain any nontrivial nilpotent elements. Write

$$1 = t_i a_i c_i + t'_i l, \quad t_i, t'_i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Now put

$$f_i = x_i^{t c_i} y_i^{-t b_i} z_i^{t'_i}, \quad g_i = y_i^{t_i a_i} w_i^{t'_i}.$$

Then

$$z_i = f_i^l, \quad w_i = g_i^l.$$

Now it follows that f_i, g_i generate $e_i R_{\mathbf{k}}$, so

$$R_{\mathbf{k}} \cong \prod_i e_i \mathbf{k}\langle f_i, g_i \rangle.$$

In particular, $R_{\mathbf{k}}$ has no nonzero nilpotent elements. We have that $\text{Ad}(x)(g_i) = \bar{q}^{1/c_i}$. Next, we claim that the degree of g_i, g_i^{-1} in $e_i \bar{v}$ is at most $n|G|$. Indeed, we

have $e_i \bar{v}^n = \sum e_i a_i \bar{v}^i$ in $\mathbf{k}\langle f_i, g_i \rangle$, and the degree of $e_i a_i$ in x, x^{-1} is at most n , hence its degree is at most $n|G|$ in f_i, f_i^{-1} which implies the desired result. Now it follows that for all i , we have

$$\prod_{j < n|G|} (\text{Ad}(x) - \bar{q}^{j/c_i})(e_i \bar{v}) = 0.$$

So,

$$\prod_{j \leq nk} (\text{Ad}(x) - \bar{q}^{j/k})(\bar{v}) = 0, \quad k = |G|!$$

This completes the proof that R a Harish-Chandra bimodule over A .

Next, we claim that $R_{\mathbf{k}}$ has no nontrivial idempotents. Indeed, let $e \in R_{\mathbf{k}}$ be an idempotent. Since $R_{\mathbf{k}}$ has no nonzero nilpotent elements then given any \mathbb{Z} -grading of $R_{\mathbf{k}}$, then e must have degree 0. Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^*$ denote the subgroup of weights of R with respect to $\text{Ad}(x)$. Clearly X is finitely generated. Then any homomorphism $\chi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ induces a \mathbb{Z} -grading on R . Thus, by varying characters $\chi \in \text{Hom}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ and considering the corresponding \mathbb{Z} -gradings on $R_{\mathbf{k}}$ (so $\deg R^\alpha = \chi(\alpha)$) (and replacing $\text{Ad}(x)$ by $\text{Ad}(y)$) we may conclude that e must belong to $R'_{\mathbf{k}}$, where R' is a subring of R spanned by eigenvectors of $\text{Ad}(x), \text{Ad}(y)$ whose eigenvalues are roots of unity. Clearly R' is Γ -invariant and $R' \cap R = R'^{\Gamma} = S$, so $R' = S$. It follows that $e \in \mathbf{k}$. Thus G must be a quotient of the etale fundamental group of $\text{Spec}(Z(A_{\mathbf{k}})) \cong \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^* \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^*$, so $G = \langle \sigma \rangle$ a cyclic group of a prime order l .

Next, we remark that any proper G -invariant subring $B \leq R$ strictly containing A must equal to R . Indeed, since $B^G = A$, we may argue just as we did above for R and conclude that $Z(B_{\mathbf{k}})$ is a subring of $Z(R_{\mathbf{k}})$, $B_{\mathbf{k}} \cong A_{\bar{q}} \otimes_{Z(A_{\bar{q}})} Z(R_{\mathbf{k}})$ and $\text{Spec}(Z(B_{\mathbf{k}})) \rightarrow \text{Spec} Z(A_{\bar{q}})$ is a G -Galois etale covering. Thus, $Z(B_{\mathbf{k}}) = Z(R_{\mathbf{k}})$ and hence $B_{\mathbf{k}} = R_{\mathbf{k}}$. So, $B = R$ as desired.

Let ξ be a primitive l -th root of unity. Let $z \in R$ be a common eigenvector of $\text{Ad}(x), \text{Ad}(y)$, with eigenvalues α, β , respectively, such that $\sigma(z) = \xi z$. Then

$$A \neq \bigoplus_{i=0}^{l-1} Az^i$$

is a Γ -invariant subring of R , thus it must equal R . We have that $z^l = x^n y^m$ for some $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. As x (or y) can be replaced by any $x^a y^b$ with $(a, b) = 1$, we may assume without loss of generality that $z^l = x^n$. So, $xzx^{-1} = \eta z$, where $\eta^l = 1$. Also, $(l, n) = 1$ as R is a domain. Let $an = bl + 1$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Put $t = z^a x^{-b}$. Then $t^l = cx, t^n = dz$ for some $c, d \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Therefore, R is generated by t, y and $yty^{-1} = q't$ for some $q' \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Hence R is a quantum torus, as desired. \square

REFERENCES

- [AHV] J. Alev, T.J. Hodges, J.-D. Velez, *Fixed rings of the Weyl algebra $A_1(\mathbb{C})$* , J. Algebra 130 (1) (1990) 83–96.

- [AP] J. Alev, P. Polo, *A rigidity theorem for finite group actions on enveloping algebras of semi-simple Lie algebras*, Advances in Math. 111(1995) no.2 208–226.
- [BEG] Y. Berest, P. Etingof, V. Ginzburg, *Morita equivalence for Cherednik algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 568 (2004), 81–98.
- [BFG] R. Bezrukavnikov, M. Finkelberg, V. Ginzburg, *Cherednik algebras and Hilbert schemes in characteristic p* , Represent. Theory 10 (2006), 254–298.
- [BK] R. Bezrukavnikov, D. Kaledin, *Fedosov quantization in positive characteristic*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 21 (2008), no. 2, 409–438.
- [BKK] A. Belov-Kanel, M. Kontsevich, *The Jacobian Conjecture is stably equivalent to the Dixmier Conjecture*, Moscow Mathematical Journal, 7 (2007), no.2, 209–218.
- [BRT] Y. Berest, A. Ramadoss, X. Tang, *The Picard group of a noncommutative algebraic torus*, J. Noncommut. Geom. 7 (2013), no. 2, 335–356.
- [C] P. Caldero, *Isomorphisms of finite invariants for enveloping algebras, semi-simple case*, Advances in Mathematics, Vol 134, No 2, (1998), 294–307.
- [D] C. Dodd, *The p -cycle of Holonomic D -modules and Quantization of Exact Algebraic Lagrangians*, arXiv:1510.05734.
- [EG] P. Etingof, E. Ginzburg, *Symplectic reflection algebras, Calogero-Moser space, and deformed Harish-Chandra homomorphism*, Invent. Math. 147 (2002), no. 2, 243–348.
- [EW] P. Etingof, C. Walton, *Finite dimensional Hopf actions on algebraic quantizations*, Algebra Number Theory 10 (2016), no. 10, 2287–2310.
- [GW] J. Gaddis, R. Won, *Fixed rings of generalized Weyl algebras*, J. Algebra 536 (2019), 149–169
- [H] T. Hodges, *Noncommutative deformations of type- A Kleinian singularities*, J. Algebra 161 (1993), no. 2, 271–290.
- [JW] D. Jordan, I. Wells. *Invariants for automorphisms of certain iterated skew polynomial rings*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2), 39(3):461–472, 1996.
- [KR] V. Kac, A. Radul, *Poisson structures for restricted Lie algebras*, The Gelfand Mathematical Seminars, 1996–1999.
- [LO] M. Lieblich, M. Olsson, *Generators and relations for the étale fundamental group*, Pure Appl. Math. Q. 6 (1) (2010) 209–243.
- [M] S. Montgomery, *Fixed Rings of Finite Automorphism Groups of Associative Rings*, Lecture Notes in Math., 1980.
- [N] Y. Namikawa, *Fundamental groups of symplectic singularities*, Higher dimensional algebraic geometry in honour of Professor Yujiro Kawamata’s sixtieth birthday, 321334, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 74, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2017.
- [P] A. Perucca, *Prescribing valuations of the order of a point in the reductions of abelian varieties and tori*, Journal of Number Theory Volume 129, Issue 2, February 2009, Pages 469–476
- [R] L. Rybnikov, *Argument shift method and Gaudin model*, Functional Analysis and Its Applications (2006), 188–199.
- [S] S. P. Smith, *Overrings of primitive factor rings of $U(\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}))$* , J. Pure Appl. Algebra 63 (1990), no. 2, 207–218.
- [T1] A. Tikaradze, *On the Azumaya locus of almost commutative algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 139 (2011), no. 6, 1955–1960.
- [T2] A. Tikaradze, *On automorphisms of enveloping algebras*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2020, no. 21, 8183–8196.
- [T3] A. Tikaradze, *The Weyl algebra as a fixed ring*, Adv. Math. 345 (2019), 756–766.
- [T4] A. Tikaradze, *Derived invariants of the fixed ring of enveloping algebras of semisimple Lie algebras*, Math. Z. 297 (2021), no. 1–2, 475–481.
- [Ts] Y. Tsuchimoto, *Endomorphisms of Weyl algebra and p -curvatures*, Osaka J. Math. 42 (2005), no. 2, 435–452.

- [VWW] Vu, Van H, M. Wood, Melanie, P. Wood, *Mapping incidences*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 84 (2011), no. 2, 433–445.
- [W] G. Wilson, *Collisions of Calogero-Moser particles and an adelic Grassmannian*, Inv. Math. 133 (1998), 1–41.

Email address: Akaki.Tikaradze@utoledo.edu

UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS, TOLEDO, OH
43606, USA