

EasyNER: A Customizable Easy-to-Use Pipeline for Deep Learning- and Dictionary-based Named Entity Recognition from Medical Text

Rafsan Ahmed^{1,3}, Petter Berntsson¹, Alexander Skafte¹, Salma Kazemi Rashed^{1,3}, Marcus Klang⁵, Adam Barvesten^{1,*}, Ola Olde^{1,*}, William Lindholm¹, Antton Lamarca Arrizabalaga¹, Pierre Nugues⁵ and Sonja Aits^{1,2,3,4#}

¹ Cell Death, Lysosomes and Artificial Intelligence Group, Department of Experimental Medical Science, Faculty of Medicine, Lund, Sweden

² Lund University Profile Area Nature-Based Future Solutions

³ Lund University Profile Area Artificial and Natural Cognition

⁴ Lund University Cancer Centre (LUCC)

⁵ Department of Computer Science, Faculty of Engineering, Lund University, Lund, Sweden

* Equal contribution

Corresponding author: Sonja Aits, Email: sonja.aits@med.lu.se, BMC D10, 221 84 Lund, Sweden

Abstract

Background

Medical research generates millions of publications and it is a great challenge for researchers to utilize this information in full since its scale and complexity greatly surpasses human reading capabilities. Automated text mining can help extract and connect information spread across this large body of literature but this technology is not easily accessible to life scientists.

Results

Here, we developed an easy-to-use end-to-end pipeline for deep learning- and dictionary-based named entity recognition (NER) of typical entities found in medical research articles, including diseases, cells, chemicals, genes/proteins, and species. The pipeline can access and process large medical research article collections (PubMed, CORONAVIRUS) or raw text and incorporates a series of deep learning models fine-tuned on the HUNER corpora collection. In addition, the pipeline can perform dictionary-based NER related to COVID-19 and other medical topics. Users can also load their own NER models and dictionaries to include additional entities. The output consists of publication-ready ranked lists and graphs of detected entities and files containing the annotated texts. An associated script allows rapid inspection of the results for specific entities of interest. As model use cases, the pipeline was deployed on two collections of autophagy-related abstracts from PubMed and on the CORONAVIRUS dataset, a collection of 764 398 research article abstracts related to COVID-19.

Conclusions

The NER pipeline we present is applicable in a variety of medical research settings and makes customizable text mining accessible to life scientists.

Keywords

Named Entity Recognition (NER), medical text mining, natural language processing (NLP),
CORD-19, BioBERT, HUNER, bioinformatics

Abbreviation list

NER, Named Entity Recognition; NLP, Natural Language Processing;

Introduction

Making use of the existing medical knowledge and keeping up with the high rate of publications is a major challenge. With PubMed containing over 35 million publications [1, 2], manually reading all relevant articles has become impossible. This problem intensifies during health crises, as seen with the explosion of publications on COVID-19 topics from 2020 onwards. By summer 2022, CORD-19, a database for COVID-19-related research articles, had accumulated over 1 million entries [3]. Reviewing such large literature collections is time-consuming and costly, and not even large consortia of experts can connect all the scattered pieces of information. Therefore, there is a large need for automated text mining tools that efficiently process large scientific text collections and extract relevant information that is buried within them.

Recent advances in the field of Natural Language Processing (NLP) have led to highly capable automated text mining tools [4, 5]. Such tools can e.g. classify, group or prioritize articles, generate word clouds based on content, summarize text, or extract specific terms and information connected to them.

A key step of many text mining approaches is Named Entity Recognition (NER), the detection of relevant types of keywords [6]. This can be conducted in several ways. In the dictionary-based approach, the text is compared to long lists of keywords (“dictionaries”), e.g. a list of disease names, and full or partial matches are recorded [7]. However, this approach struggles to detect unknown terms and spelling variants. Another approach, rule-based matching, matches entities based on specific word characteristics, e.g., the “@” symbol can be used to identify email addresses. Hand-crafting such rules is often time consuming, and in many cases, there are no unique characteristics that could be used to identify all terms in an entity class. A third approach, is to use deep neural networks trained on large collections of texts in which entities have been labelled by experts (so-called gold-standard corpora). Deep neural networks make use of the context of each word or multi-word term to decide whether it

represents an entity of interest. This approach is more forgiving for unknown terms and spelling variants [8-11]. Taking the sentence context into account also makes it easier to reliably find entities. For example, in the sentence “We measured lamp expression in the cytosol.” the context makes “lamp” identifiable as a protein name and not an illumination device.

Deep learning NLP models typically have millions or even billions of trainable parameters and typically use a specific architecture called transformers [4, 12-15]. Such deep neural networks are typically not trained from scratch for a specific task, as this would require extremely large annotated corpora. Instead, networks pre-trained on very large unlabeled text collections (so-called language models) are only fine-tuned for the task of interest [16], which is referred to as transfer learning. Several language models for medical English are publicly available, with many based on the BERT architecture, e.g., BioBERT [17], Clinical BERT [18], BlueBERT [19] and PubMedBERT [20]. After fine-tuning these models for NER on annotated corpora, they detect entities such as diseases or chemicals remarkably well when evaluating them on a text collection resembling the training corpus. However, generalization to texts that do not match the training data remains a problem [21]. Furthermore, these models remain usable mostly for NLP specialists and not for the medical researchers who need continuous access to text mining technology. Several research tools, such as the STRING protein-protein interaction database [22], EuropePMC literature database [23] or the BERN2 tool [24], present information extracted by text mining for medical researchers. However, with these tools users have little control over the text mining process, and thus cannot specifically select the texts or entities relevant to their research. There is therefore a need for end-user-oriented text mining tools that are customizable and work well across different medical research domains.

Here, we present an end-to-end pipeline for NER with integrated BioBERT models [17] fine-tuned on the large HUNER corpus collection [25]. This enables detection of terms for cells, chemicals, diseases, genes/proteins and species. The pipeline can also perform dictionary-based NER, for which three COVID-19-related dictionaries, previously developed by our group [26, 27], are included. Users have full control over the input texts and can also load their own NER models or dictionaries. The pipeline outputs a ranked list of identified entities and a graph of the most frequent entities which are easy to comprehend for life scientists as well as structured annotation files for downstream analysis. A script for rapid inspection of the results for a specific entity of interest is also provided. We demonstrated the use of the pipeline in two model cases, information extraction from autophagy-related abstracts in PubMed and from the CORONAVIRUS database.

Material and Methods

Data

Annotated gold-standard corpora

Models were fine-tuned using the HUNER corpora collection [25, 28], which contains sub-corpora with annotations for several entities relevant for medical research: cells, chemicals, diseases, genes/proteins and species (Supplemental file 1). These 5 sub-corpora were created by combining several corpora for each entity. The HUNER collection with gold-standard IOB2/CoNLL2002 [29] NER and part-of-speech annotations, was obtained using HunFlair [28], with a modification made to the corpus collection code to download the OSIRIS corpus from <https://github.com/Rostlab/nala/tree/develop/resources/corpora/osiris>. This was necessary to overcome an error in the code. The HunFlair version of HUNER does not include the BioSemantics corpus that was present in the original HUNER collection. Each of the 5 HUNER sub-corpora is pre-split into training, development and test sets [28]. For our model training the part-of-speech tags were removed.

Models were also fine-tuned and evaluated on the BC5CDR_Disease corpus in IOB2 format that had been used in the BioBERT study [17, 30]. The dataset is pre-split into training (“train”), development (“dev”) and test set (“test”) and we used these splits.

As a fully independent test set, we used the Lund COVID-19 corpus, a small gold standard corpus consisting of 10 SARS-CoV2-related abstracts from the CORD-19 dataset with IOB2 NER annotations [26, 27]. The “protein” class in this corpus contains both gene and protein annotations and corresponds to the “gene” class in the HUNER corpus, which also has annotations for both entity types. We merged some of the original entity classes to obtain annotations corresponding to HUNER entities “species” (i.e. merge of Species_human, Species_other, Virus_family, Virus_other, Virus_SARS-CoV-2) and “disease” (i.e. merge of Disease_COVID_19 and Disease_other). The annotation classes “chemicals” and “cells” were removed as there were too few entities in these classes for evaluation. This modified dataset, called the “Simplified Lund COVID-19 corpus”, and the script to generate it are provided as supplemental files (Supplemental files 2 and 3).

As a second fully independent test set we used the Colorado Richly Annotated Full Text Corpus (CRAFT) Version 4.0.0 [31]. This version of CRAFT contains 97 articles and corresponding annotations (retrieved from <https://github.com/UCDenver-ccp/CRAFT/releases/tag/v4.0.0>, retrieved on March 24, 2023). The corpus was downloaded in Pubannotation format, tokenized using the ScispaCy tokenizer (version 0.5.1, model en_core_sci_sm) [32] and converted to IOB2 format with a custom script (https://github.com/Aitslab/EasyNER/blob/main/supplementary/preprocessing_scripts/CRAFT_preprocessing_spacy.py) and the BioBERT preprocessing script [17] (<https://github.com/dmis-lab/biobert-pytorch/blob/master/named-entity-recognition/preprocess.sh>, retrieved on June 8, 2021). The max sequence length set for the BioBERT preprocessing script was kept at the default value of 128. The preprocessing scripts splits sentences larger than this length into two.

All corpora have retained letter casing (capitalization).

Autophagy-related abstract collections

As test cases for the pipeline, we created two collections of autophagy-related abstracts from PubMed. The first dataset, Lund Autophagy-1 (supplemental file 4), was obtained by searching PubMed with the search term “mTOR AND TSC1” on May 24, 2022. Mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) and Tuberous Sclerosis 1 (TSC1) are key regulators of autophagy. The second dataset, Lund Autophagy-2 (supplemental file 5) was obtained by searching PubMed on Dec 13, 2022 with the search terms “autophagy AND cancer” restricting the date to between 2020 and 2023. Both search results were exported from PubMed as individual text files containing a list of PubMed IDs and abstracts downloaded using the NER pipeline described below.

CORD-19

As second test case for the pipeline, we used CORD-19, a collection of coronavirus-related articles published until June 2, 2022 to aid pandemic efforts [3]. We used the final version of its metadata file published June 2, 2022 (retrieved from https://ai2-semanticscholar-cord-19.s3-us-west-2.amazonaws.com/historical_releases.html) which holds information on 1 056 660 coronavirus-related articles including their abstracts. The CORD-19 dataset contains duplicate entries in respect to abstracts/titles and other metadata as well as entries without abstracts, both of which are removed by the NER pipeline. This yielded 764 398 unique abstracts (with title) from which entities were extracted.

Exploratory Data Analysis

An initial exploratory data analysis was performed for the HUNER corpora. The size of the corpus was assessed by counting the number of lines, since each line contains one token and its IOB2 tag. The number of entities was assessed by counting the number of B tags (the tag indicating the beginning of an entity) (Script in supplemental file 3).

To assess similarity between the training, development and test sets (e.g. HUNER_chemical training set vs HUNER_chemical development set), word and bi-gram frequency distribution was visualized in interactive scatter plots with a custom script (comparecorpora.py) using the scattertext tool (version 0.1.10, script in supplemental file 3) [33].

Fine-tuning and evaluation of models

We used the PyTorch version of the BioBERT base and large cased v. 1.1 models [17] and fine-tuned them on the combined training and development sets of the five HUNER sub-corpora, resulting in models trained to recognize a single entity. We re-used the official BioBERT

training scripts (https://github.com/dmis-lab/biobert/blob/master/run_ner.py, retrieved on October 29, 2021) and the default hyperparameters. For some models, a warmup ratio of 0.1 [34] was introduced to reduce volatility and early overfitting during training. We also implemented early stopping with a patience of 50. Models designated “_v1” were trained on the combined HUNER train and dev set, as done by the HunFlair authors [28], with early stopping based on the F1 score of the test set. Models designated “_v2” were trained on the train set only, with early stopping based on the F1 score of the dev set. Models were evaluated on the corresponding HUNER test sets, the Simplified Lund COVID-19 corpus and the BC5CDR_disease corpus test set. The evaluation script was identical to the published BioBERT evaluation script [17] (retrieved from <https://github.com/dmis-lab/biobert-pytorch>, accessed on January 22, 2022). This script in turn relies on the seqeval evaluation script in default mode [35] which is designed to mimic the results from the conllevl Perl script. We also fine-tuned and evaluated a BioBERT base cased v. 1.1 model on the BC5CDR_disease corpus in the same manner.

In addition, we evaluated publicly available models, which had been fine-tuned on different BioNLP corpora: ScispaCy models [32] (retrieved from <https://github.com/allenai/scispacy>, retrieved on March 24, 2023) and HunFlair base models [28] (retrieved from <https://github.com/flairNLP/flair/blob/master/resources/docs/HUNFLAIR.md>, retrieved on March 28, 2023).

The maximum sequence length was set to 192 in all training and evaluation runs.

All computations were performed on the Alvis High Performance Computing (HPC) cluster located at Chalmers University Sweden, and the Berzelius HPC cluster of the National Supercomputer Center (NSC) at Linköping University, Sweden. For file storage we also used the LUNARC HPC cluster at Lund University.

Pipeline structure

An end-to-end pipeline (Figure 1) was designed to automatically access and process medical texts for NER. The pipeline included BioBERT models fine-tuned on the HUNER corpora and COVID-19-related dictionaries but can also load user-provided BioBERT/BERT-like models or dictionaries. The pipeline is built in modules that can also be run individually. Desired settings such as model parameters and input/output paths are defined in a config file that can be re-

used and shared to ensure reproducibility. The pipeline and full documentation are provided in the github repository <https://github.com/Aitslab/EasyNER/>.




Figure 1. Overview of the medical NER pipeline. As input, a list of PubMed IDs, the CORD-19 abstract dataset, the PubMed database bulk files or a .txt file with free text can be used. The data is then downloaded/processed into a document collection. Each document is then split into sentences using the sentence splitter. The in-built BioBERT base NLP models, which were trained on the HUNER sub-corpora) are then used to perform NER on each sentence. Users can also provide their own BioBERT or BERT-like model. In addition to the files with annotated texts generated by the NER module, a list and graph of the entities ranked by count can be produced in the final analysis step. An optional merge module can be run to combine annotations files generated with different NER models and dictionaries.

Data Loader module

The pipeline has four input options: a list of PubMed IDs, PubMed database bulk files, the CORD-19 metadata file [3], or a text file. The config file includes an “ignore” section in the beginning where the user indicates the input type (see figure 1). The user can only choose one input type per run and also needs to provide input and output file paths in the config file.

When using the PubMed ID list option, a single .txt file with one ID per line needs to be provided. Such a text file can be exported from PubMed after any search. This option runs the “Downloader” script, which downloads the abstracts and associated metadata using the e-utils PubMed API (<https://github.com/biocommons/eutils>)[2] and parses them using PubMed Parser (version 0.3.1) [36]. The raw abstracts are then merged into a document collection.

When using the PubMed database bulk file option, the pipeline will download files from the 2023 PubMed annual baseline, which contains all records published by December 2023 (<https://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/>), and convert them to json format. By default, the entire baseline content, >35 million publications, will be downloaded but the user can also

specify the baseline file number in the config file. In addition, PubMed daily update files can be downloaded and processed in the same manner.

When using the CORD-19 option, the CORD-19 metadata file [3] needs to be provided as input. This is a csv file that contains rows of titles, abstracts and additional information for each record in the CORD-19 dataset. This option runs the “CORD loader” script on the input file which removes duplicate abstracts and entries without abstracts and then extracts titles and abstracts for the remaining 764 398 unique records from the metadata file. Alternatively, it is also possible to provide an additional .txt file with a list of CORD uIDs to the CORD loader script (one ID per line) to extract only a subset of those specific abstracts. In this case, the user needs to provide the path to the subset file and provide the argument, “subset”: “true” to the config file.

When using the free text option, the user sets the Free Text Loader ignore option as false in the config file and provides a single .txt file. The Free Text Loader script processes the file in a similar way to the other scripts.

As output, all three scripts generate a document collection which is then saved as a single JSON file containing PubMed IDs (or dummy ID for the Free Text Loader option), titles and abstracts in the user provided output path.

The scripts are written in such a way that if there are no PubMed IDs, no abstracts or no text available for an article, the scripts ignore the article and move onto the next one (if available). In case of duplicate entries within the input, the Downloader and CORD Loader scripts keep the last sample of the article.

Sentence Splitter module

Before feeding the JSON file with the collected text into the NER module, the text needs to be split into single sentences. The document collection file obtained from the Data Loader module is used as input for the Sentence Splitter module. The user can choose between NLTK (version 3.7) [37] sentence tokenizer or spaCy [38] sentence tokenizer with one of the two default spaCy language models, "en_core_web_sm" or "en_core_web_trf" (version 3.3.0 for both). The NLTK and spaCy tokenizers are more suitable than a simple regex sentence splitter because medical research articles often contain mid-sentence punctuations that can be easily mistaken as end of sentence by a simple regex splitter.

The Sentence Splitter processes articles in the document collection in batches. The batch size can be specified by the user in the config file. For the smaller autophagy datasets we used a size of 100 and for the much larger CORD19 dataset we used a batch size of 1000. The sentence splitter is run parallelly through the batches using python multiprocessing library.

The output of the Sentence Splitter module is a folder that contains a collection of JSON files, which each contain one batch of texts split into individual sentences. The input and output paths, batch size, filename prefix, tokenizer and model names are all to be provided in the “splitter” section of the config file.

NER module

In the NER module the selected NER tagger generates entity predictions on the sentences produced by the Sentence Splitter module. There are two options for this module, NER with BioBERT/BERT models or dictionary-based NER.

For the BioBERT/BERT option, the user can choose from an integrated collection of BioBERT models, which we fine-tuned to recognize cells, chemicals, diseases, genes/proteins or species, or load their own BioBERT or BERT-like PyTorch models, by specifying the path to the model folder and the model name in the config file. For custom models, they should be in BERT model format (model folder with PyTorch binary model file, vocab file and tokenizer). This module uses the HuggingFace Transformers library (version 4.20.1). In the BioBERT/BERT NER option, the sentences are tokenized with the BioBERT tokenizer [17], which first converts the sentences into tokens understandable by the model. BioBERT uses a WordPiece tokenizer that breaks down words into sub-words present in its vocabulary [39], to handle out-of-vocabulary words. The predictions are generated by transforming the collection of sentences into a HuggingFace dataset object and subsequently applying the model to the entire dataset using mapping. After predictions, the labels of word pieces are automatically consolidated into word-level predictions using the “max” aggregation strategy in the HuggingFace Transformers Pipeline module.

The dictionary-based NER option makes use of the spaCy Phrasematcher [38]. The user can choose between three SARS-CoV2-related dictionaries, which are downloaded in the default installation of the pipeline: a dictionary containing synonyms for “COVID-19”, a dictionary containing synonyms for “SARS-CoV2” and a dictionary containing SARS-CoV2 variant names (Lund COVID-19 dictionaries, version 2, from [26, 27]). Alternatively, the user can provide their own list of terms that are to be matched in a .txt file (one term per line). In the dictionary-based NER option, the sentences are tokenized with one of the default spaCy models (“en_core_web_sm” or “en_core_web_trf”).

Like the Sentence splitter, the NER module runs with user-defined batches of articles – the same as the sentence splitter. For the NER module, the user does not need to specifically provide the batch size, as sentence splitter module already splits the document collection in batches. Each batch is queued to run in parallel for the predictions.

The output of the NER module is a collection of files (one per batch) containing the original texts, split into sentences, and the detected entities. Capitalization of the detected entities is removed at this step.

When several entity types are to be annotated, or BioBERT/BERT and dictionary-based annotation are to be combined for the same entity, the NER module needs to be run repeatedly, one model/dictionary at a time. The output files from these separate runs can then be merged using the optional Entity Merger module.

Entity Merger module

If the NER module was run on the same text repeatedly with different taggers, the separate annotated JSON files can be merged and compared using the “Entity Merger” module, provided the same batch size was used on all NER runs. The output consists of merged JSON files with all detected entities and file indicating the overlap.

Analysis module

The Analysis module quantifies the detected entities, generating a ranked list and bar graph.

Result inspection module

The free-standing Result Inspection script filters annotation results for a single entity, displaying all sentences with this entity in a new JSON file for rapid inspection.

Results

Exploration of the HUNER corpora

As models fine-tuned on a single gold-standard corpus typically generalize poorly when applied to texts of a different type we chose to train the models on the diverse and large HUNER corpora collection instead. Rather than being a single corpus, HUNER combines several gold-standard corpora harmonized to IOB2 format with one token per line. The HUNER collection consists of five sub-corpora, each annotated for a single entity, namely cells (comprising generic cell terms and cell line names), genes/proteins, diseases, species and chemicals (including therapeutic drugs). These entity classes are widely applicable in medical research.

We first explored the composition of the HUNER sub-corpora. Size of the sub-corpora and number of annotated entities differed significantly (Supplemental file 1). The training set of the HUNER_Chemical sub-corpus had the largest number of lines (2 972 895), almost six times that of the HUNER_Disease sub-corpus (559 063). The test sets had approximately half the number of lines of the corresponding training sets (ratios from 0.44 to 0.50) but the development sets were much smaller (development/training set ratios from 0.16 to 0.17). The number of annotated entities in the training sets ranged from 3 062 in the HUNER cell sub-corpus to 114 579 in the HUNER_chemical sub-corpus.

We next examined text similarity between the corresponding training and development sets and corresponding training and test sets using the scattertext tool which plots the frequency of words and bi-grams (Supplemental file 4). Many high frequency terms were shared between the HUNER_chemical training and development set. This was similar, but less

pronounced for the HUNER_gene training and development set. The other three sub-corpora did not display this kind of similarity between their training and development sets. Instead, we observed a cluster of terms with a very high frequency in the HUNER_species development set and low frequency in the HUNER_species training set. Overall, HUNER training and development sets were relatively different.

BioBERT models trained on HUNER corpora collection recognize genes, cells, species, diseases and chemicals

For model training, we combined the corresponding HUNER training and development sets to increase size and diversity of the training data

As language model, we chose BioBERT (v.1.1), which has shown very good performance when fine-tuned for different BioNLP tasks [17] but can be trained without excessive resources, in line with our ambition to make our research sustainable and easily reproducible. Fine-tuning was performed using the script from the BioBERT authors and their reported hyperparameters [17]. We used the cased BioBERT models (v1.1, PyTorch), as these perform slightly better according to their developers. Both BioBERT base and BioBERT large models were initially tested. However, the large models performed similarly to the base models (Table 1, data not shown) but required longer training and prediction times and were therefore not used further.

We obtained BioBERT base models with F1 scores between 0.64 and 0.88 for the five different entity classes (Table 1). Training corpus size was not clearly correlated with performance. For example, gene and species greatly differ in numbers of lines and entities, yet their F1 scores were almost the same. Nevertheless, the model trained on the smallest sub-corpus (BioBERT_HUNER_cell) had the lowest F1 score (0.64) suggesting that training data size might have been a limiting factor.

Table 1. Performance of the fine-tuned BioBERT_HUNER base models. All _v1 models were trained on the HUNER train_dev sets with early stopping based on test set F1 score whereas all _v2 models were trained on the HUNER train sets only with early stopping based on dev set F1 score. Evaluation scores for the HUNER models [25] (from <https://github.com/hu-ner/huner/blob/master/README.md>, accessed on January 10, 2023) are listed for comparison but these values represent the macro average of the scores (calculated by averaging the scores from each individual test set in the sub-corpus) and are thus not fully comparable with our scores which were calculated for the pooled sub-corpus test set.

Model	HUNER train	HUNER dev	HUNER test			HUNER test (macro average)		
	F1	F1	Prec	Rec	F1	Prec	Rec	F1
BioBERT_HUNER_cell_v1	1.00	1.00	0.65	0.68	0.66			
BioBERT_HUNER_cell_v2	0.99	0.71	0.63	0.63	0.63			

BioBERT_HUNER_chemical_v1	1.00	1.00	0.87	0.88	0.88			
HUNER_chemical_v2	1.00	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.88			
BioBERT_HUNER_disease_v1	1.00	1.00	0.85	0.84	0.85			
HUNE2_disease_v2	1.00	0.84	0.83	0.84	0.83			
BioBERT_HUNER_gene_v1	0.99	1.00	0.76	0.78	0.77			
BioBERT_HUNER_gene_v2	0.99	0.77	0.75	0.79	0.77			
BioBERT_HUNER_species_v1	0.98	0.98	0.79	0.76	0.77			
BioBERT_HUNER_species_v2	1.00	0.82	0.80	0.72	0.76			
HUNER_cell						0.7	0.65	0.68
HUNER_chemical						0.83	0.8	0.82
HUNER_disease						0.75	0.78	0.76
HUNER_gene						0.72	0.76	0.74
HUNER_species						0.78	0.75	0.73

As expected, the BioBERT_HUNER_cell models recognized both generic cell terms and cell line names. For this model, partial matches which reflected differences in annotation practices rather than true errors were common (e.g. for “MG-63 cells” and “LNCaP cells” the ground truth did not include the word “cells” but the model prediction did). In addition, many instances counted as false positives were general terms referring to cells that had not been annotated in the ground truth data (e.g. tumor-derived cell lines, GFP-expressing parental cell line, fibroblast cell line).

The BioBERT_HUNER_chemical models recognized both therapeutic drugs and other chemicals and the BioBERT_HUNER_gene models recognized both genes and proteins as well as gene/protein family names (e.g. MAPK, ERK) (Figure 3A). The BioBERT_HUNER_disease models recognized disease names and terms closely related to diseases such as “tumor”. The BioBERT_HUNER_species models recognized Linnean and common names.

As training data annotations were not designed for NER of nested entities, such entities were truncated as expected. For example in the sentence “(6E,13E)-18-bromo-12-butyl-11-chloro-4,8-diethyl-5-hydroxy-15-methoxytricoso-6,13-dien-19-yne-3,9-dione, 3-carboxy-3-hydroxypentanedioic and lactic acid are three chemicals.” the second entity detected was “3-carboxy-3-hydroxypentanedioic” (whereas the fully correct entity would be “3-carboxy-3-hydroxypentanedioic acid”).

Generalization of HUNER-trained BioBERT models

Next, we evaluated the BioBERT_HUNER_disease, _species and _gene models on two fully independent test sets, the Simplified Lund COVID-19 corpus (Table 2) and the CRAFT corpus (Table 3), to determine their ability to generalize.

For the Simplified Lund COVID-19 corpus, we also evaluated publicly available HunFlair [28] and ScispaCy models [32] for comparison (Table 2). The BioBERT_HUNER_gene model performed relatively well, with an F1 score close to the one seen on the HUNER_genes test set (0.69 vs 0.77). In contrast, the BioBERT_HUNER_disease and BioBERT_HUNER_species models had much lower F1 scores on the simplified LUND COVID-19 corpus than on the respective HUNER test sets. Many of the false positive disease terms causing the low precision of the BioBERT_HUNER_disease models referred to symptoms (e.g. cough, fever), which were annotated as disease entities in the HUNER subcorpus used for training but not in the Simplified Lund COVID-19 corpus. Many of the false negative species terms causing low recall of the BioBERT_HUNER_species models referred to (corona)virus (e.g. coronavirus, 2019-nCoV, virus) or humans (e.g. human, patient). The BioBERT models outperformed the ScispaCy models for all entity classes, with the difference being especially large for species detection. HunFlair was evenly matched with our BioBERT models for the “Diseases” and “Species” entities but performed slightly worse for “Genes/Proteins”.

Table 2. Performance of the fine-tuned BioBERT_HUNER models on the Simplified Lund COVID-19 corpus. ScispaCy [32] and HunFlair [28] models were evaluated for comparison. Corpora that had been used for fine-tuning the ScispaCy models are indicated in the model name suffix.

Model	Evaluated entity	Lund COVID-19 Precision	Lund COVID-19 Recall	Lund COVID-19 F1 score
BioBERT_HUNER_disease_v1	Diseases	0.29	0.55	0.38
BioBERT_HUNER_disease_v2	Diseases	0.25	0.58	0.35
Scispacy_en_ner_bc5cdr_md	Diseases	0.20	0.50	0.29
HunFlair	Diseases			0.38
BioBERT_HUNER_gene_v1	Genes/Proteins	0.81	0.76	0.79
BioBERT_HUNER_gene_v2	Genes/Proteins	0.71	0.71	0.71
Scispacy_en_ner_bionlp13cg_md	Genes/Proteins	0.13	0.65	0.22
Scispacy_en_ner_jnlpba_md	Genes/Proteins	0.23	0.65	0.34
Scispacy_en_ner_craft_md	Genes/Proteins	0.04	0.29	0.07
HunFlair	Genes/Proteins			0.71
BioBERT_HUNER_species_v1	Species	0.57	0.23	0.33
BioBERT_HUNER_species_v2	Species	0.53	0.14	0.22
Scispacy_en_ner_bionlp13cg_md	Species	0.39	0.28	0.33
Scispacy_en_ner_craft_md	Species	0.23	0.24	0.23
HunFlair	Species			0.21

On the CRAFT corpus (Table 3), BioBERT_HUNER_v1 models showed reduced F1 scores for chemical entities (0.58 vs 0.88) compared to the HUNER_chemical test set. In contrast, the F1 scores for Gene/Protein and Species entities were almost identical on the two datasets. HunFlair performance was superior to our BioBERT_HUNER models on the CRAFT corpus.

Table 3. Performance of the three fine-tuned BioBERT_HUNER_v1 models on the CRAFT corpus. HunFlair [28] was evaluated for comparison. HunFlair results for the CRAFT corpus were better than those reported in the original paper due to differences in the evaluation procedure.

	CRAFT		
	Chemical	Gene/Protein	Species
HunFlair	0.85	0.89	0.96
BioBERT_HUNER_v1	0.58	0.76	0.78

Lastly, we explored further whether fine-tuning BioBERT on the HUNER sub-corpora improves generalization compared to fine-tuning on an individual corpus. For this, we trained a BioBERT_base_cased_v1.1 model on the BC5CDR_disease corpus (Table 4). Training on the BC5CDR_disease corpus was performed the same way as for the V1 models by training on train_dev sets and evaluating on test sets. On the BC5CDR_disease test set, the BioBERT_BC5CDR_disease_v1 model had an F1 score similar to the BioBERT_HUNER_disease_v1 model and previously reported BioBERT models trained on the BC5CDR disease corpus. This F1 score was only slightly lower than that reported for BioMegatron, which has a different architecture. The precision of our BioBERT_BC5CDR_disease_v1 model was slightly higher than that of all these other models. For disease entity recognition on the Simplified Lund COVID-19 corpus and on the HUNER test set, the BioBERT_BC5CDR_disease model performed significantly worse than the BioBERT_HUNER_disease model. This suggests that training on the larger HUNER corpus collection indeed improved generalization.

Table 4. Generalization of BioBERT model trained on HUNER corpus collection vs single corpus. BioBERT models trained in the same manner on either the BC5CDR_disease corpus or the HUNER_disease sub-corpus (which includes the BC5CDR_disease corpus) were compared. Published performance results for BioBERT models which were trained on the same single corpus are shown to confirm that our BioBERT_BC5CDRdiseases model was trained appropriately. For comparison, reported results from the state-of-the-art Megatron model trained on the BC5CDR corpus are also included.

Model	HUNER_disease Test set			BC5CDR_disease Test set			Simplified Lund COVID-19 (disease entities)		
	Prec	Rec	F1	Prec	Rec	F1	Prec	Rec	F1
BioBERT_HUNER_disease_v1	0.85	0.84	0.85	0.86	0.85	0.86	0.29	0.55	0.38
BioBERT_BC5CDR_disease_v1	0.79	0.70	0.75	0.87	0.86	0.86	0.25	0.48	0.33
BioBERT_BC5CDR_disease_Kuhnel [40]				0.82	0.85	0.83			
BioBERT_BC5CDR_diseases_Lee [17]				0.86	0.88	0.87			
BioMegatron [15]				0.86	0.91	0.89			

Development of an end-to-end NER pipeline

Next, an end-to-end pipeline was designed to automatically process medical research articles from different sources with the five BioBERT NER models (Figure 1). In addition, a dictionary-based NER module and three COVID-19-related dictionaries we had developed previously were included [26, 27]. To add additional types of entities, we made it possible for users to

incorporate their own BioBERT/BERT-like models or dictionaries. For flexibility, input can consist of a list of PubMed IDs for the abstracts that are to be processed, the COVID-19 metadata file (which contains over 750 000 COVID-19-related abstracts [3]) or a text file. The pipeline consists of several processing modules that are run in sequence but can also be used individually. The modules reformat/download the desired text, split it into sentences and predict and quantify named entities.

The final output consists of a ranked list of extracted entities and a graph showing the top 50 entities, which provides a clear overview over the results. In addition, the pipeline generates a JSON file with all text and detected entities (including their exact position) that can be used in downstream applications. For cases where the user wants to run more than one NER model, an optional merging module is included, which combines and compares the individual output files. An accompanying free-standing script allows the user to quickly inspect results for a specific entity.

Deployment of the NER pipeline for autophagy-related information extraction from PubMed

The pipeline was tested on realistic text mining applications using our BioBERT_HUNER_v1 models. The first use case was information extraction from scientific abstracts related to autophagy. Two sets of autophagy-related abstracts were identified through searches on PubMed. The first dataset contained 1000 abstracts related to the central autophagy modulator mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) and its upstream regulator hamartin (TSC1). The second set contained 8333 abstracts related to the role of autophagy in cancer. Using the pipeline, we obtained downloaded the abstracts (Lund Autophagy-1 and Lund Autophagy-2 dataset, respectively) and performed NER with each of the five BioBERT models to detect cell, disease, chemical, species, and protein/gene entities.

Protein/gene entities

In the Lund Autophagy-1 dataset, mtor and tsc1, the abbreviated protein names used as search terms, were the most frequent entities detected by the BioBERT_HUNER_gene model (Figure 2A). In addition, several synonyms for these proteins were seen among the 50 most frequent entities, e.g. mammalian target of rapamycin and hamartin. Other frequent entities were abbreviated names of well-known genes/proteins or protein complexes that are in the same signaling pathway as mTOR and TSC1 such as mtorc1, tsc2, akt, rheb, pi3k, pten, ampk, s6k1. Full-length names of some autophagy regulators were also among the 50 most frequent entities as (e.g. tuberlin, insulin) but not as many. Many of these frequently detected genes/proteins are part of the “mTOR signaling pathway” from the KEGG pathway database [41] (Figure 2B). We also detected some autophagy regulators not in the KEGG pathway (e.g. vegf, stat3, p53, tfe3, ghrelin, actin, c-myc, plk2).

In the autophagy/cancer-focused Lund Autophagy-2 dataset, mtor was also the most frequent protein/gene entity (Figure 3A). Several other frequent entities were also shared with the Lund Autophagy-1 dataset (e.g. akt, pi3k, mtorc1, ampk, p53). In addition, the 50 most common entities included autophagy receptors (e.g. p62/sqstm1) and parts of the autophagy-controlling atg conjugation system (e.g. lc3, atg5, ulk1, atg7). Some of the

frequently found proteins/gene entities were also well-known oncogenes or tumor suppressors (e.g. akt, pi3k, p53).





Figure 2. Frequent gene/protein entities in the autophagy-related datasets. A) 50 most frequent entities detected by the gene/protein model in the mTOR/TSC1-related Lund Autophagy-1 dataset and the autophagy/cancer-related Lund Autophagy-2 dataset. The model is a PyTorch BioBERT_cased_v1.1

model [17] fine-tuned on the HUNER gene sub-corpus (BioBERT_HUNER_gene). B) Overlap between mTOR signaling pathway and protein/gene entities detected in the Lund Autophagy-1 dataset. The mTOR signaling pathway was retrieved from KEGG database on 2023-01-09. The two proteins used as search terms to produce the dataset are highlighted in blue and other frequent entities in orange.

Cell entities

The BioBERT_HUNER_cell model falsely recognized the abbreviated protein/gene name *tsc2* as the most frequent entity in the Lund Autophagy-1 dataset (Figure 3A). One of the other most common entities was the highly similar term “*tsc2* -/- cells”, which correctly indicated a type of cell (cell lacking the *tsc2* gene). This prompted us to inspect the incorrect predictions more closely and we could see that when only “*tsc2*” was detected as entity it was typically part of a cell term that had not been identified in full, e.g. in the sentences “The augmented α B-crystallin was critical for the migration, invasion and apoptotic resistance of *Tsc2*-defective cells.” or “This study shows that angiomyolipoma-derived human smooth muscle *TSC2*-/- cells express the apoptosis inhibitor protein survivin when exposed to IGF-1.”

Other highly frequent terms represented true cell terms.

In the Lund Autophagy-2 dataset, many well-known cancer cell lines were detected frequently. As expected, spelling variants were picked up for several of them (e.g. a549/a549 cells, mcf-7/mcf7) (Figure 3A). However, two cancer type abbreviations, *nsclc* (= non-small cell lung cancer) and *crc* (= colorectal cancer) were also wrongly listed among the 50 most frequent cell entities. As for *tsc2* in the Autophagy-1 dataset, many instances were longer incompletely recognized cell terms that included *crc* or *nsclc*.

Chemical entities

The BioBERT_HUNER_chemical model detected the mTOR inhibitors rapamycin and everolimus and the rapamycin brand name sirolimus as three of the five most frequent entities in the Lund Autophagy-1 dataset (Figure 3B). Autophagy-regulating metabolites that act through the mTOR signaling pathway were also frequently detected (e.g. glucose, amino acids).

In the Lund Autophagy-2 dataset, many chemicals belonging to one of three groups were found (Figure 3B): 1. Anti-cancer chemotherapy agents (e.g. cisplatin, dox/doxorubicin, sorafenib), 2. Autophagy-modulating drugs (e.g. rapamycin, chloroquine) and 3. Basic chemicals/metabolites (e.g. oxygen, glucose, iron, atp).

Disease entities

The BioBERT_HUNER_disease model found *tsc* as the most frequent entity in the Lund Autophagy-1 dataset (Figure 3C). *tsc* is an abbreviation for “tuberous sclerosis complex”, a disease caused by mutations in *TSC1* (the gene symbol used as search term). The full name and the synonym tuberous sclerosis were also detected with very high frequency. The other most common disease terms were tumor, tumors, cancer, epilepsy and seizures. As tumors and seizures are common in patients with tuberous sclerosis complex, these terms were also expected to rank highly.

In the Lund Autophagy-2 dataset (Figure 3C), most of the 15 most frequent disease entities were terms for cancers, as would be expected from the cancer-focused article selection for this dataset. The model was able to recognize both full names and common abbreviations

(e.g. crc = colorectal cancer, hcc = hepatocellular carcinoma, nsclc = non-small cell lung cancer, gbm = glioblastoma multiforme).

Species entities

Finally, all top-ranked entities predicted by the BioBERT_HUNER_species model in the Lund Autophagy-1 dataset were indeed terms referring to species (Figure 3D). This included model organisms (e.g. mice, mouse, rat), terms referring to humans (e.g. patient, patients, children), species-describing adjectives (e.g. murine), and abbreviated virus names (e.g. hcv, hbv). Similar results were seen with the Lund Autophagy-2 dataset.




Figure 3. 15 most frequent entities detected by the (A) BioBERT_HUNER_cell model, (B) BioBERT_HUNER_chemical model, (C) BioBERT_HUNER_disease model and (D) the

BioBERT_HUNER_species model in the autophagy-related datasets. The models are PyTorch BioBERT_cased_v1.1 models fine-tuned on the respective HUNER sub-corpus. The numbers on the bar plots indicate the number of times the detected entity occurs within the respective corpus.

Deployment of the NER pipeline for information extraction from CORD-19

As second use case, the pipeline was deployed on CORD-19, a collection of COVID-19-related articles (Figure 4) [3]. Titles and abstracts from the over 700 000 unique CORD-19 records were extracted from the metadata file. The protein/gene model correctly identified many relevant proteins/gene terms (igg, ace2, cytokine, il-6) but mistakenly included COVID-19 in this entity class. Similarly, the cell model misidentified many variants of the term covid-19 in addition to correctly detecting cell lines widely used for COVID-19 research (e.g. vero e6, a549, calu3). The BioBERT_HUNER_chemical model identified oxygen, alcohol and glucose as most commonly found hits. Other frequent entities were the therapeutic drugs, that had been explored as treatments, e.g. hydroxychloroquine, vitamin d, remdesivir and dexamethasone. The disease model identified several terms directly associated with COVID-19 (e.g. infection, coronavirus disease, pneumonia, sars-cov-2 infection, covid-19, acute respiratory syndrome) among the most common entities. Other most frequent entities were common diseases such as anxiety, cancer, depression, diabetes. The species model most frequently found terms describing humans, model organisms, the SARS-CoV2 virus and other viruses.




Figure 4. 15 most frequent entities detected by the A) BioBERT_HUNER_cell, B) BioBERT_HUNER_chemical, C) BioBERT_HUNER_disease D) BioBERT_HUNER_gene and E) BioBERT_HUNER_species models on the CORD-19 abstracts [3]. The models are PyTorch BioBERT_cased_v1.1 models fine-tuned on the respective HUNER sub-corpus. The numbers on the bar plots indicate the number of times the detected entity occurs within the respective corpus.

Discussion

We developed an end-to-end NER pipeline for information extraction from medical texts which incorporates neural networks and dictionary-based approaches. It is connected to two major collections of medical research articles (PubMed and CORN-19) and detects terms that are of broad interest. The pipeline does not require NLP expertise and was designed for flexibility, giving users multiple choices for input, tokenization and entity detection and the possibility to load their own models or dictionaries.

The included models were based on BioBERT which has very good performance on medical NER tasks [17]. As generalization is a major concern, we fine-tuned BioBERT models on the HUNER collection, which aggregates multiple corpora for each entity. Similarly to our work, the HUNER sub-corpora have recently been used to train HunFlair [28]. HunFlair performed better than our models on the CRAFT corpus but equally or worse for the different entity classes in the Simplified Lund COVID-19 corpus. However, as the HunFlair models were trained on the combined HUNER training and test sets, whereas our BioBERT models were trained on the combined training and development sets, a direct comparison is not possible. While the Flair library is easy to use for NLP experts it is not targeted toward life scientists. The same is true for the ScispaCy models, another set of pre-trained medical NER models [32]. ScispaCy models were only trained on single corpora, however, and performed more poorly than our models on the Simplified Lund COVID-19 corpus. In contrast to our pipeline, HunFlair [28] and ScispaCy do not allow direct access of medical article collections such as PubMed and CORN-19 [3].

Our pipeline generates a ranked list and bar graph, providing an easy results overview and publication-ready files. For cases, where multiple entity types are detected in sequential runs with separate models, the pipeline includes a module (“Merge entities”) that combines and compares the predictions.

Our pipeline has many applications that can support medical research. For example, it can give life scientists insight into proteins/genes reported to participate in a specific cell process or signaling pathway. Today, life scientists often rely on pathway databases but these are incomplete. By performing NER on research articles related to autophagy-regulators, we could thus detect regulators mentioned on the mTOR signaling map in the KEGG database but also several key regulators that were not in the map (e.g. p53, vegf, stat3, tfe3, ghrelin).

A second application of our pipeline is to quickly gain an overview of experimental tools. When using the pipeline, we could reveal commonly used cell lines, experimental drugs (e.g. mTOR inhibitors) and model organisms for autophagy and COVID-19-related research. Here again, the filtering of input articles allows for more nuanced insights.

Similarly, researchers can use our pipeline to identify drug candidates for a disease and assess the number of articles published on them. As expected, extensively studied drug candidates for COVID-19, e.g. hydroxychloroquine, remdesivir and dexamethasone, were among the top 50 most frequent entities detected by the chemical NER pipeline in the COVID-19 abstracts [3]. Alternatively, users could rapidly find proteins/genes mentioned in articles about a specific drug.

These are just a few use examples. For many of these information tasks, excellent bioinformatics databases do exist (e.g. protein-protein interaction or gene-disease databases), but these are typically incomplete as many rely on manual curation. They are also time-consuming to explore as they do not give the user the ability to target their search in the same manner as our NER pipeline. The NER pipeline is thus an excellent complement to existing databases, allowing the user to customize and speed up their search for information.

One limitation of the pipeline is that it does not perform named entity linking. Multiple spelling variants and synonyms were thus not merged. In many scenarios, there is a dominant spelling variant, however, and often variants can easily be identified and harmonized in post-processing (e.g. by removing hyphens). The conversion to lower case performed by the pipeline at least eliminated capitalization variants. Another limitation is that we, like most other NER tools, did not train our models to resolve nested entities. Consequently, predictions truncate the first entity in a nested expression. Many users will be able to recognize the entities despite the truncation, however.

A limitation of the two case studies is that the ground truth is unknown. Manual inspection revealed that a common case of false negatives was the failure to recognize all parts of a multi-word entity. In contrast, there were few false positives among the 50 most frequent terms and those that were observed (e.g. COVID-19 as cell line) could easily be filtered out.

Conclusion

Our end-to-end NER pipeline can help medical researchers with various information extraction tasks without requiring specialist NLP knowledge. It contains BioBERT NER models that recognize terms for cells, chemicals/drugs, diseases, genes/proteins, and species and dictionaries that can help find COVID-19 or SARS-CoV2 synonyms, including virus variant names. The pipeline can also incorporate models and dictionaries provided by the user, leading to great flexibility.

Supplemental files

Supplemental files are available at: <https://github.com/Aitslab/EasyNER/tree/main/supplementary>

Supplemental file 1. Size of the HUNER sub-corpora.

Supplemental file 2. Size of the Simplified Lund COVID-19 corpus (after merging of original entity classes).

Supplemental file 3. Zip file with Jupyter notebook/Scripts for environment setup, gold standard corpus acquisition and pre-processing, model training and evaluation.

Supplemental file 4. Zip file with scattertext html files.

Supplemental file 5. BioBERT training curves

Supplemental file 6. Zip folder with text files containing examples of wrongly identified or missed entities of the BioBERT models

Supplemental file 7. Lund Autophagy-1 text collection

Supplemental file 8. Lund Autophagy-2 text collection

Supplemental file 9. Zip folder with output files of pipeline from Autophagy-1 text collection for all models

Acknowledgement

The computations and data handling were enabled by resources provided by the National Academic Infrastructure for Supercomputing in Sweden (NAISS) and the Swedish National Infrastructure for Computing (SNIC) at Lund University (LUNARC), Chalmers University of Technology (Alvis), and the National Supercomputer Centre at Linköping University (Berzelius, provided by the Knut and Alice Wallenberg foundation), partially funded by the Swedish Research Council through grant agreements no. 2022-06725 and no. 2018-05973.

This study was supported by the Swedish Research Council, the SciLifeLab/Knut and Alice Wallenberg COVID-19 national research program, the Wallenberg AI, Autonomous Systems and Software Program – Humanities and Society (WASP-HS) and Data-driven life science (DDLS) program, the Swedish Research Council for Sustainable Development (FORMAS), the Crafoord Foundation and the Lund University Sustainability Fund.

We also acknowledge the following research environments and networks which support our work: AI Lund, AIR Lund, LTH Profile Area: AI and Digitalization, LTH Profile Area: Engineering Health, EpiHealth: Epidemiology for Health and PhenoTarget.

The pipeline figure was designed using images from flaticon.com from author: Freepik.

CRedit author statement

Rafsan Ahmed: Methodology, Software, Validation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Data Curation, Writing - Original Draft, Visualization, Supervision

Petter Berntsson: Methodology, Software, Validation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Data Curation

Alexander Skafte: Methodology, Software, Validation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Data Curation

Salma Kazemi Rashed: Methodology, Software, Validation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Data Curation, Supervision

Marcus Klang: Methodology, Software, Resources, Data Curation, Supervision

Adam Barvesten: Software, Formal analysis, Investigation, Data Curation

Ola Olde: Software, Formal analysis, Investigation, Data Curation

William Lindholm: Software, Formal analysis, Investigation

Antton Lamarca Arrizabalaga: Software, Formal analysis, Investigation

Pierre Nugues: Methodology, Supervision

Sonja Aits: Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Validation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Resources, Data Curation, Writing - Original Draft, Writing - Review & Editing, Visualization, Supervision, Project administration, Funding acquisition

Competing Interests Statement

The authors have no competing interests in relation to this article.

References

1. NCBI: Pubmed. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>. Accessed 22nd November 2022.
2. Sayers EW, Bolton EE, Brister JR, Canese K, Chan J, Comeau Donald C, et al. Database resources of the national center for biotechnology information. *Nucleic Acids Research*. 2022;50 D1:D20-D6. doi:10.1093/nar/gkab1112.
3. Wang LL, Lo K, Chandrasekhar Y, Reas R, Yang J, Burdick D, et al. CORON-19: The COVID-19 Open Research Dataset. *ArXiv*. 2020; doi:<https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2004.10706>.
4. Devlin J, Chang M-W, Lee K and Toutanova K. BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding. In: Minneapolis, Minnesota, June 2019, pp.4171-86. Association for Computational Linguistics.
5. Chang L, Zhang RH, Lv J, Zhou WG and Bai YL. A review of biomedical named entity recognition. *J Comput Methods Sci*. 2022;22 3:893-900. doi:10.3233/Jcm-225952.
6. Perera N, Dehmer M and Emmert-Streib F. Named Entity Recognition and Relation Detection for Biomedical Information Extraction. *Front Cell Dev Biol*. 2020;8:673. doi:10.3389/fcell.2020.00673.
7. Cook HV and Jensen LJ. A Guide to Dictionary-Based Text Mining. *Methods Mol Biol*. 2019;1939:73-89. doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-9089-4_5.
8. Mikolov T, Chen K, Corrado G and Dean J. Efficient Estimation of Word Representations in Vector Space. *arXiv*. 2013:arXiv:1301.3781. doi:<https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1301.3781>.
9. Pennington J, Socher R and Manning C. Glove: Global Vectors for Word Representation. *Proceedings of the 2014 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*. 2014, p. 1532-43.
10. Garneau N, Leboeuf J-S and Lamontagne L. Predicting and interpreting embeddings for out of vocabulary words in downstream tasks. *Proceedings of the 2018 EMNLP Workshop BlackboxNLP: Analyzing and Interpreting Neural Networks for NLP*. 2018, p. 331-3.
11. Chelba C, Mikolov T, Schuster M, Ge Q, Brants T, Koehn P, et al. One billion word benchmark for measuring progress in statistical language modeling. *Interspeech 2014*. 2014, p. 2635-9.
12. Radford A, Narasimhan K, Salimans T and Sutskever I. Improving language understanding by generative pre-training. 2018.
13. Ross Taylor MK, Guillem Cucurull, Thomas Scialom, Anthony Hartshorn, Elvis Saravia, Andrew Poulton, Viktor Kerkez and Robert Stojnic. GALACTICA: A Large Language Model for Science. *arXiv*. 2022:arXiv:2211.09085. doi:<https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2211.09085>.
14. Vaswani A, Shazeer N, Parmar N, Uszkoreit J, Jones L, Gomez AN, et al. Attention is All you Need. *arXiv*. 2017:arXiv:1706.03762. doi:<https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1706.03762>.
15. Shin H-C, Zhang Y, Bakhturina E, Puri R, Patwary M, Shoeybi M, et al. BioMegatron: Larger Biomedical Domain Language Model. 2020:arXiv:2010.06060.
16. Ruder S, Peters ME, Swayamdipta S and Wolf T. Transfer Learning in Natural Language Processing. Minneapolis, Minnesota: Association for Computational Linguistics; 2019.
17. Lee J, Yoon W, Kim S, Kim D, Kim S, So CH, et al. BioBERT: a pre-trained biomedical language representation model for biomedical text mining. *Bioinformatics*. 2020;36 4:1234-40. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btz682.
18. Alsentzer E, Murphy J, Boag W, Weng W-H, Jindi D, Naumann T, et al. Publicly Available Clinical BERT embeddings. *Proceedings of the 2nd Clinical Natural Language Processing Workshop*. 2019, p. 72-8.
19. Peng Y, Yan S and Lu Z. Transfer Learning in Biomedical Natural Language Processing: An Evaluation of BERT and ELMo on Ten Benchmarking Datasets. 2019:arXiv:1906.05474. doi:10.48550/arXiv.1906.05474.

20. Gu Y, Tinn R, Cheng H, Lucas M, Usuyama N, Liu X, et al. Domain-Specific Language Model Pretraining for Biomedical Natural Language Processing. 2020:arXiv:2007.15779. doi:10.48550/arXiv.2007.15779.
21. Haley C. This is a BERT. Now there are several of them. Can they generalize to novel words? *Proceedings of the Third BlackboxNLP Workshop on Analyzing and Interpreting Neural Networks for NLP*. 2020, p. 333-41.
22. Szklarczyk D, Gable AL, Lyon D, Junge A, Wyder S, Huerta-Cepas J, et al. STRING v11: protein-protein association networks with increased coverage, supporting functional discovery in genome-wide experimental datasets. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2019;47 D1:D607-D13. doi:10.1093/nar/gky1131.
23. Ferguson C, Araujo D, Faulk L, Gou Y, Hamelers A, Huang Z, et al. Europe PMC in 2020. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2021;49 D1:D1507-D14. doi:10.1093/nar/gkaa994.
24. Sung M, Jeong M, Choi Y, Kim D, Lee J, Kang J, et al. BERN2: an advanced neural biomedical named entity recognition and normalization tool. *Bioinformatics*. 2022;38 20:4837-9. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btac598.
25. Weber L, Munchmeyer J, Rocktaschel T, Habibi M and Leser U. HUNER: improving biomedical NER with pretraining. *Bioinformatics*. 2020;36 1:295-302. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btz528.
26. Kazemi Rashed S, Ahmed R, Frid J and Aits S. Files and code for English dictionaries, gold and silver standard corpora for biomedical natural language processing related to SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19. zenodo. 2022.
27. Kazemi Rashed S, Ahmed R, Frid J and Aits S. English dictionaries, gold and silver standard corpora for biomedical natural language processing related to SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19. 2020:arXiv:2003.09865. doi: <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2003.09865>.
28. Weber L, Sanger M, Munchmeyer J, Habibi M, Leser U and Akbik A. HunFlair: An Easy-to-Use Tool for State-of-the-Art Biomedical Named Entity Recognition. *Bioinformatics*. 2021;37 17:2792-4. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btab042.
29. Tjong Kim Sang EF. Introduction to the CoNLL-2002 Shared Task: Language-Independent Named Entity Recognition. In: 2002.
30. Li J, Sun Y, Johnson RJ, Sciaky D, Wei C-H, Leaman R, et al. BioCreative V CDR task corpus: a resource for chemical disease relation extraction. *Database*. 2016;2016 doi:10.1093/database/baw068.
31. Cohen KB, Verspoor K, Fort K, Funk C, Bada M, Palmer M, et al. The Colorado Richly Annotated Full Text (CRAFT) Corpus: Multi-Model Annotation in the Biomedical Domain. *Handbook of Linguistic Annotation*. 2017. p. 1379-94.
32. Neumann M, King D, Beltagy I and Ammar W. ScispaCy: Fast and Robust Models for Biomedical Natural Language Processing. In: Florence, Italy, aug 2019, pp.319-27. Association for Computational Linguistics.
33. Kessler JS. Scattertext: a Browser-Based Tool for Visualizing how Corpora Differ. 2017:arXiv:1703.00565. doi:10.48550/arXiv.1703.00565.
34. Goyal P, Dollár P, Girshick R, Noordhuis P, Wesolowski L, Kyrola A, et al. Accurate, Large Minibatch SGD: Training ImageNet in 1 Hour. 2017:arXiv:1706.02677. doi:10.48550/arXiv.1706.02677.
35. Hiroki N. segeval : A Python framework for sequence labeling evaluation. 2018.
36. Achakulvisut T, Acuna D and Kording K. Pubmed Parser: A Python Parser for PubMed Open-Access XML Subset and MEDLINE XML Dataset XML Dataset. *Journal of Open Source Software*. 2020;5 46 doi:10.21105/joss.01979.
37. Steven Bird EK, and Edward Loper. *Natural Language Processing with Python*. O'Reilly Media Inc.; 2009.
38. Honnibal M, Montani I, Van Landeghem S and Boyd A. spaCy: Industrial-strength Natural Language Processing in Python. 2020; doi:10.5281/zenodo.1212303.

39. Wu Y, Schuster M, Chen Z, Le QV, Norouzi M, Macherey W, et al. Google's Neural Machine Translation System: Bridging the Gap between Human and Machine Translation. 2016:arXiv:1609.08144. doi:10.48550/arXiv.1609.08144.
40. Kuhnel L and Fluck J. We are not ready yet: limitations of state-of-the-art disease named entity recognizers. *J Biomed Semantics*. 2022;13 1:26. doi:10.1186/s13326-022-00280-6.
41. Kanehisa M, Furumichi M, Tanabe M, Sato Y and Morishima K. KEGG: new perspectives on genomes, pathways, diseases and drugs. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2017;45 D1:D353-d61. doi:10.1093/nar/gkw1092.