

QUOTIENT HOPF ALGEBRAS OF THE FREE BIALGEBRA WITH PBW BASES AND GK-DIMENSIONS

HUAN JIA ^{1,2}, NAIHONG HU ¹, RONGCHUAN XIONG ³ AND YINHUO ZHANG ^{2,*}

ABSTRACT. Let \mathbb{k} be a field. We study the free bialgebra \mathcal{T} generated by the coalgebra $C = \mathbb{k}g \oplus \mathbb{k}h$ and its quotient bialgebras (or Hopf algebras) over \mathbb{k} . We show that the free noncommutative Faà di Bruno bialgebra is a sub-bialgebra of \mathcal{T} , and the quotient bialgebra $\overline{\mathcal{T}} := \mathcal{T}/(E_\alpha \mid \alpha(g) \geq 2)$ is an Ore extension of the well-known Faà di Bruno bialgebra. The image of the free noncommutative Faà di Bruno bialgebra in the quotient $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ gives a more reasonable non-commutative version of the commutative Faà di Bruno bialgebra from the PBW basis point view. If $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = p > 0$, we obtain a chain of quotient Hopf algebras of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$: $\overline{\mathcal{T}} \twoheadrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{T}}_n \twoheadrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{T}}'_n(p) \twoheadrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p) \twoheadrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p; d_1) \twoheadrightarrow \dots \twoheadrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p; d_j, d_{j-1}, \dots, d_1) \twoheadrightarrow \dots \twoheadrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p; d_{p-2}, d_{p-3}, \dots, d_1)$ with finite GK-dimensions. Furthermore, we study the homological properties and the coradical filtrations of those quotient Hopf algebras.

Keywords: *pointed Hopf algebras, shuffle type polynomials, Lyndon-Shirshov basis, Faà di Bruno Hopf algebra, GK-dimension.*

INTRODUCTION

Hopf algebras arise from many different aspects, e.g. groups, dg manifolds, Lie algebras, lattices, graphs, braided spaces etc. One of pure structure constructions of Hopf algebras is to generate a free Hopf algebra (or a bialgebra) by a coalgebra [30]. Such a free Hopf algebra is usually too big to have nice properties. So we consider its sub-Hopf algebras and quotient Hopf algebras. In this paper, we construct some interesting quotient bialgebras and quotient Hopf algebras of the free bialgebra \mathcal{T} generated by the coalgebra $C := \mathbb{k}g \oplus \mathbb{k}h$ with $\Delta(g) = g \otimes g$ and $\Delta(h) = 1 \otimes h + h \otimes g$. It is clear that the Sweelder algebra H_4 and the Taft algebras T_n are among them. But they are not the objectives in this paper. In 1977 Radford used the shuffle type polynomials (see 1.9) to construct several interesting quotient Hopf algebras of \mathcal{T} , of which some are infinite dimensional [23, Proposition 4.7] and some are finite dimensional. In [16] the authors used the Lyndon-Shirshov basis [10, 28] to study the shuffle type polynomials, and obtained the non-commutative version of the binomial theorem.

In this paper, we make use of the Lyndon-Shirshov basis and the shuffle polynomials further to study the quotient Hopf algebras of \mathcal{T} . We first show that the so-called non-commutative Faà di Bruno bialgebra $\mathcal{B}_{\text{FdB}}^{\text{nc}}$ is contained in the bialgebra \mathcal{T} , see Theorem 2.7. The free non-commutative Faà di Bruno Hopf algebra was constructed by Brouder–Frabetti–Krattenthaler [7] from the noncommutative formal diffeomorphisms, while the (commutative) Faà di Bruno Hopf algebra was introduced in Doubilet [12] and Joni–Rota [17] from the set partitions, as an important example of incidence Hopf algebras. By considering the Lyndon words of the form $\omega_r = g \underbrace{h \cdots h}_r$ for $r \geq 0$ and the Lyndon-

Shirshov basis $\{E_{\omega_r} \mid r \geq 0\}$, we found a quotient bialgebra $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ of \mathcal{T} , which is an Ore-extension of the Faà di Bruno bialgebra \mathcal{B}_{FdB} , see Theorem 2.19. Moreover, an interesting phenomenon occurs in $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$: the image $\pi(\mathcal{B}_{\text{FdB}}^{\text{nc}})$ of the non-commutative Faà di Bruno bialgebra $\mathcal{B}_{\text{FdB}}^{\text{nc}}$ in the bialgebra $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ generated by the Lyndon words $\{\omega_r\}_{r \geq 0}$ has a PBW basis, which can be obtained by affixing the generators

$\{\omega_r\}_{r \geq 1}$ to the PBW basis of \mathcal{B}_{FdB} . The two bialgebras $\pi(\mathcal{B}_{\text{FdB}}^{\text{nc}})$ and \mathcal{B}_{FdB} intersect trivially and generate a sub-bialgebra \mathcal{B}_F in $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ with a combined PBW basis from those of the two Faà di Bruno bialgebras, see Theorem 2.23. Thus, it is more reasonable to view $\pi(\mathcal{B}_{\text{FdB}}^{\text{nc}})$, instead of $\mathcal{B}_{\text{FdB}}^{\text{nc}}$, as a suitable non-commutative version of the commutative Faà di Bruno bialgebra \mathcal{B}_{FdB} , see Remark 2.24.

In Section 3 and Section 4, we go further to investigate the quotient Hopf algebras of the bialgebra $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ which have good homological properties. Let $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = p$, $p|n$, $\mathcal{E}_{gh} \neq 0$, $1 \leq j \leq p-2$ and $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \dots \leq d_{p-2}$. We construct the following chain of quotient Hopf algebras of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$:

$$\overline{\mathcal{T}} \twoheadrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{T}}_n \twoheadrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{T}}'_n(p) \twoheadrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p) \twoheadrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p; d_j, d_{j-1}, \dots, d_1) \twoheadrightarrow \dots \twoheadrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p; d_{p-2}, d_{p-3}, \dots, d_1).$$

They have the following properties:

- (a) $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n$ has subexponential growth (of infinite GK-dimension), see Lemma 3.3;
- (b) $\overline{\mathcal{T}}'_n(p)$ is of GK-dimension $p-1$, see Lemma 3.6;
- (c) $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p)$ is of GK-dimension $p-2$, see Lemma 3.10;
- (d) $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p; d_j, d_{j-1}, \dots, d_1)$ is of GK-dimension $p-2-j$, see Theorem 3.14;
- (e) Let $j = p-2$ in part (d). Then $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p; d_{p-2}, d_{p-3}, \dots, d_1)$ is of dimension $np^{(1+\sum_{i=1}^{p-2} d_i)}$, see Remark 3.15 (i).

The Hopf algebras in (b)-(e) are AS-Gorenstein, see Corollary 4.7. Examples of AS-regular algebras can be found in Corollary 4.8.

In Section 5, we study the coradical filtration and the related structures of the Hopf algebra $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\pm 1}$, the Faà di Bruno Hopf algebra \mathcal{H}_{FdB} and the Hopf algebra $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_p(p; 1, \dots, 1)$. As a byproduct, we show that $\text{gr}(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\pm 1}^{\text{cop}})$ with $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$ and $\text{gr}(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_p(p; 1, \dots, 1)^{\text{cop}})$ with $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = p$ both are the bosonizations of the Nichols algebras $\mathcal{B}(V_{1,2})$, where the braiding vector space $V_{1,2}$ appeared in [1, Chapter 4] and [2, Section 4].

1. PRELIMINARIES

Let \mathbb{k} be a field. For a colgebra C over \mathbb{k} , denote by $\mathbf{G}(C)$ the set of all grouplike elements of C , that is, $\mathbf{G}(C) := \{g \in C \mid \Delta(g) = g \otimes g, \epsilon(g) = 1\}$, and by $\mathcal{P}_{g,g'}(C)$ for $g, g' \in \mathbf{G}(C)$ the set of (g, g') -shew primitive elements, that is, $\mathcal{P}_{g,g'}(C) := \{h \in C \mid \Delta_C(h) = g \otimes h + h \otimes g', \epsilon_C(h) = 0\}$. In particular, the elements of $\mathcal{P}_{1,1}(C)$ are said to be *primitive*. Write $\mathcal{P}(C) := \bigcup_{g,g' \in \mathbf{G}(C)} \mathcal{P}_{g,g'}(C)$. The *coradical* $\text{corad}(C)$ of C is the sum of all simple subcoalgebras of C . The coalgebra C is said to be *pointed* or *connected* if $\text{corad}(C) = \mathbb{k}\mathbf{G}(C)$ or $\text{corad}(C) = \mathbb{k}$, respectively.

1.1. Lyndon words, Lyndon-Shirshov basis and shuffle type polynomials.

First we recall some results of Lyndon words and Lyndon-Shirshov basis. We follow the definitions of [21]. Suppose that (X, \preceq) is a totally ordered set, and $\langle X \rangle$ is the free monoid generated by X with the lexicographic order induced by \preceq , see [21, p.64]. The empty word is denoted by 1_X . In particular, write $\alpha \prec \beta$ if $\alpha \preceq \beta$, but $\alpha \neq \beta$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \langle X \rangle$. If $\alpha = x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$, $x_i \in X$, the *length* of α is n and is denoted by $|\alpha|$. For $y \in X$, denote by $\alpha(y) := \#\{x_i \mid x_i = y, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. $\mathbb{k}\langle X \rangle$ is the free associative algebra generated by X .

Definition 1.1. [21, p.64] A word α in $\langle X \rangle \setminus \{1\}$ is a *Lyndon word* (or *Lyndon-Shirshov word*) if $\alpha \in X$, or $\alpha = \beta\gamma$ such that $\alpha \prec \gamma\beta$ for $\beta, \gamma \in \langle X \rangle \setminus \{1\}$. The set of all Lyndon words is denoted by \mathcal{L} .

Example 1.2. Let $(X, \preceq) = (\{g, h\}, g \prec h)$. The list of Lyndon words is:

$$\mathcal{L} := \{g, h, gh, ggh, ghh, ggg, gghh, ghgh, gggg, ggggh, gghgh, gghhh, ghghh, ghhhh, \dots\}.$$

The factorization of a Lyndon word is not unique, see [21, Propositions 5.1.2, 5.1.3]. So we usually consider its standard factorization. For a composed word $\alpha = \beta\gamma \in \mathcal{L} \setminus X$, $\beta, \gamma \in \mathcal{L}$, the pair (β, γ) is called the *standard factorization* of α if γ is of maximal length. Denote it by $\text{st}(\alpha)$ or (α_L, α_R) .

Lemma 1.3. [21, Theorem 5.3.1] *Let $\mathfrak{L}(X)$ be the free Lie algebra over \mathbb{k} generated by X . Define a map $E : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}(X)$ inductively by $E(x) = x$ if $x \in X$ and*

$$E(\alpha) = [E(\beta), E(\gamma)] := E(\beta)E(\gamma) - E(\gamma)E(\beta),$$

if $\alpha \in \mathcal{L} \setminus X$ and $\text{st}(\alpha) = (\beta, \gamma)$. Then $\mathfrak{L}(X)$ is a free \mathbb{k} -module with $E(\mathcal{L})$ as a basis, called Lyndon-Shirshov basis. We write E_α for $E(\alpha)$ for the convenience in the computations.

Example 1.4. Let $(X, \preceq) = (\{g, h\}, g \prec h)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} E_g &= g, & E_h &= h, \\ E_{gh} &= [E_g, E_h] = gh - hg, \\ E_{ggh} &= [E_g, E_{gh}] = [g, [g, h]], & E_{ghh} &= [E_{gh}, E_h] = [[g, h], h], \\ E_{gggh} &= [E_g, E_{ggh}] = [g, [g, [g, h]]], & E_{gghh} &= [E_g, E_{ghh}] = [g, [[g, h], h]], \\ E_{ghhh} &= [E_{ghh}, E_h] = [[[g, h], h], h], \\ E_{ggggh} &= [E_g, E_{gggh}] = [g, [g, [g, [g, h]]]], & E_{ggghh} &= [E_g, E_{gghh}] = [g, [g, [[g, h], h]]], \\ E_{gghgh} &= [E_{ggh}, E_{gh}] = [[g, [g, h]], [g, h]], & E_{gghhh} &= [E_{gghh}, E_h] = [g, [[[g, h], h], h]], \\ E_{ghghh} &= [E_{gh}, E_{ghh}] = [[g, h], [[g, h], h]], & E_{ghhhh} &= [E_{ghhh}, E_h] = [[[[g, h], h], h], h]. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\omega_r := g \underbrace{h \cdots h}_r$ for $r \geq 1$. Then

$$E_{\omega_r} = [E_{\omega_{r-1}}, E_h] = [[[[g, h], h], \dots, h].$$

Remark 1.5. By [21, Corollary 5.3.9], $\mathbb{k}\langle X \rangle$ is a free \mathbb{k} -module with a PBW basis $\{E_{\alpha_1}^{n_{\alpha_1}} E_{\alpha_2}^{n_{\alpha_2}} \cdots E_{\alpha_m}^{n_{\alpha_m}} \mid \alpha_1 \succ \alpha_2 \succ \dots \succ \alpha_m, \alpha_i \in \mathcal{L}, n_{\alpha_i} \geq 0, m \geq 1\}$. In order to use the Diamond Lemma [6], we define a reduction order \prec_{red} for the Lyndon-Shirshov basis: for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{L}$, $E_\beta \prec_{red} E_\alpha$ if $\beta \succ \alpha$.

Lemma 1.6. [21, Proposition 5.1.4] *Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\text{st}(\alpha) = (\alpha_L, \alpha_R)$. Then for any $\zeta \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $\alpha \prec \zeta$, the pair $(\alpha, \zeta) = \text{st}(\alpha\zeta)$ if and only if $\alpha_R \succeq \zeta$.*

The following commutator lemma concerning Lyndon-Shirshov basis is the combination of Lothaire [21, Lemma 5.5.3], Kharchenko [18, Lemma 6] and Rosso [26, Theorem 1].

Lemma 1.7. *Let (X, \preceq) be a totally ordered set, \mathcal{L} be the set of Lyndon words in $\langle X \rangle$, and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{L}$, $\alpha \prec \beta$, and $\text{st}(\alpha) = (\alpha_L, \alpha_R)$ if $\alpha \in \mathcal{L} \setminus X$. Then*

- (a) *If $\alpha \in X$ or $\alpha_R \succeq \beta$, then $[E_\alpha, E_\beta] = E_{\alpha\beta}$;*
- (b) *If $\alpha_R \prec \beta$, then there is a set $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ such that $\alpha\beta \in \Gamma$ and*

$$(1) \quad [E_\alpha, E_\beta] = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} k_\gamma E_\gamma,$$

where $k_{\alpha\beta} \neq 0$, for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, γ satisfies: $k_\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\alpha\beta \preceq \gamma \prec \beta$ and $\gamma(x) = \alpha(x) + \beta(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Example 1.8. Let $(X, \preceq) = (\{g, h\}, g \prec h)$. Note that $\text{st}(ggh) = (g, gh)$, $\text{st}(gggh) = (g, ggh)$ and $ggh \prec gh \prec h$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} [E_{ggh}, E_h] &= [[E_g, E_{gh}], E_h] = [[E_g, E_h], E_{gh}] + [E_g, [E_{gh}, E_h]] = [E_g, E_{ghh}] = E_{gghh}, \\ [E_{gggh}, E_h] &= [[E_g, E_{ggh}], E_h] = [[E_g, E_h], E_{ggh}] + [E_g, [E_{ggh}, E_h]] \\ &= -[E_{ggh}, E_{gh}] + [E_g, E_{gghh}] = E_{ggghh} - E_{gghgh}, \\ [E_{ggghh}, E_h] &= [[E_g, E_{ghh}], E_h] = [[E_g, E_h], E_{ghh}] + [E_g, [E_{ghh}, E_h]] \\ &= [E_{gh}, E_{ghh}] + [E_g, E_{ghhh}] = E_{ghggh} + E_{gghhh}. \end{aligned}$$

For more details we refer to [16, Section 1.2].

Definition 1.9. Let $X = \{g, h\}$. Then in $\mathbb{k}\langle X \rangle$,

$$(g + h)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n \mathcal{SH}_{k, n-k}(h, g),$$

where $\mathcal{SH}_{k, n-k}(h, g)$ is the sum of words α in $(g + h)^n$ such that $\alpha(h) = k$ and $\alpha(g) = n - k$, that is, $\mathcal{SH}_{k, n-k}(h, g) = h^k \sqcup g^{n-k}$, where \sqcup is the shuffle product (see [24]). We call it the $(k, n - k)$ -shuffle type polynomials.

Remark 1.10. (i) By definition, $\mathcal{SH}_{i,j}(h, g)$ has the recursion (see also [23, Section 4.2]):

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{SH}_{i,j}(h, g) = h\mathcal{SH}_{i-1,j}(h, g) + g\mathcal{SH}_{i,j-1}(h, g),$$

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{SH}_{i,j}(h, g) = \mathcal{SH}_{i-1,j}(h, g)h + \mathcal{SH}_{i,j-1}(h, g)g.$$

(ii) From Remark 1.5, the shuffle type polynomials can be written as linear combinations of products of the Lyndon-Shirshov basis in the lexicographic order, see [16, Section 2] for the details.

1.2. Ore extension and GK-dimension.

We follow the definitions of [19, 22, 8].

Definition 1.11. [22, Chapter 1.2.3, p.15] Let S be a ring and R a subring of S . Suppose that

- (a) σ is an endmorphism of R ;
- (b) δ is a σ -derivation of R , that is, for all $a, b \in R$,

$$\delta(ab) = \delta(a)\sigma(b) + a\delta(b);$$

- (c) $x \in S \setminus R$.

A ring extension $R \subseteq S$ is called an *Ore extension* of R if $S = R[x; \sigma, \delta]$ freely generated over R by an element x subject to the relation:

$$ax = x\sigma(a) + \delta(a), \quad \forall a \in R,$$

such that $R[x; \sigma, \delta] = \{\sum_i x^i a_i \mid \{a_i\} \subseteq R \text{ has finite support}\}$. In case $\sigma = id$ and $\delta \neq 0$, then $R[x; id, \delta]$ is written as $R[x; \delta]$; if $\delta = 0$, then written as $R[x; \sigma]$.

Definition 1.12. [8, Section 2.1] Let H be a Hopf algebra over \mathbb{k} and R a Hopf subalgebra of H . H is called a *Hopf Ore extension* (or *HOE*) of R if $H = R[x; \sigma, \delta]$, σ is an automorphism and there exist $a, b \in R$ and $v, w \in R \otimes R$ such that

$$\Delta_H(x) = a \otimes x + x \otimes b + v(x \otimes x) + w.$$

Definition 1.13. [19] Let A be a finitely generated algebra over \mathbb{k} . Suppose that V is a finite dimensional generating subspace of A , that is,

$$A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_n, \quad \text{where } V_n = \mathbb{k} + V + V^2 + \dots + V^n.$$

Denote by $d_V(n)$ the function $\dim_{\mathbb{k}}(V_n)$. The *Gelfand-Kirillov dimension* (or *GK-dimension*) of A is defined as

$$\text{GKdim}(A) = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \log_n(d_V(n)).$$

If a \mathbb{k} -algebra B is not finitely generated, then we define the GK-dimension of B as

$$\text{GKdim}(B) = \sup_A \{ \text{GKdim}(A) \mid A \subseteq B, A \text{ finitely generated} \}.$$

Note that the GK-dimension of a finitely generated algebra A is independent of the particular choice of V , see [19, Lemma 1]. So we usually choose V such that $1 \in V$. Then $V_n = V^n$.

Lemma 1.14. [19, Lemma 3.5] *Let A be a \mathbb{k} -algebra with a derivation δ such that each finite dimensional subspace of A is contained in a δ -stable finitely generated subalgebra of A . Then $\text{GKdim}(A[x; \delta]) = \text{GKdim}(A) + 1$.*

Lemma 1.15. [19, Lemma 4.3] *Let A be a commutative \mathbb{k} -algebra with a subalgebra B such that A is finitely generated as a B -module. Then $\text{GKdim}(A) = \text{GKdim}(B)$.*

2. THE FREE BIALGEBRA \mathcal{T} AND THE QUOTIENT BIALGEBRA $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$

Let $(X, \prec) := (\{g, h\}, g \prec h)$ and denote by \mathcal{L} the set of the Lyndon words in $\langle X \rangle$. We study the free bialgebra $\mathcal{T} := \mathbb{k}\langle X \rangle$ and a quotient bialgebra $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$.

2.1. The free bialgebra \mathcal{T} and the noncommutative Faà di Bruno bialgebra $\mathcal{B}_{\text{FdB}}^{\text{nc}}$.

$\mathcal{T} = \mathbb{k}\langle g, h \rangle$ is a free bialgebra with the counit $\epsilon(g) = 1$, $\epsilon(h) = 0$ and the coproduct $\Delta(g) = g \otimes g$ and $\Delta(h) = 1 \otimes h + h \otimes g$.

Let $\omega_r = g \underbrace{h \cdots h}_r$ for $r \geq 0$. It is clear that $\{E_\alpha \mid \alpha(g) = 1\} = \{E_{\omega_r} \mid r \geq 0\}$. We now determine the coproduct of E_{ω_r} in \mathcal{T} .

Lemma 2.1. [23, 4.3] *For $n > 0$,*

$$(4) \quad \Delta_{\mathcal{T}}(h^n) = \sum_{k=0}^n h^k \otimes \mathcal{SH}_{n-k, k}(h, g).$$

Lemma 2.2. *For $r \geq 2$, the following coproduct holds in \mathcal{T} :*

$$\Delta(E_{\omega_r}) = g \otimes E_{\omega_r} + \sum_{\omega_r} k_{\alpha, \alpha'} E_{\alpha_1}^{a_1} E_{\alpha_2}^{a_2} \cdots E_{\alpha_m}^{a_m} \otimes E_{\alpha'_1}^{a'_1} E_{\alpha'_2}^{a'_2} \cdots E_{\alpha'_n}^{a'_n} + E_{\omega_r} \otimes g^{r+1},$$

where $k_{\alpha, \alpha'} \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\alpha_1 \succ \alpha_2 \succ \dots \succ \alpha_m$, $\alpha'_1 \succ \alpha'_2 \succ \dots \succ \alpha'_n$, $\alpha_i, \alpha'_j \in \mathcal{L}$, $a_i, a'_j \geq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$. More precisely,

- (a) *If $\alpha_1 = h$, then $\alpha'_1 \neq h$ and there exists some j such that $\alpha'_j(g) \geq 2$;*
- (b) *If $\alpha_1 \neq h$, then $|\alpha_1| \geq 2, \alpha_1(g) \geq 1, |\alpha'_1| \geq 2$ and $\alpha'_1(g) \geq 1$.*

Proof. Note that

$$\Delta(E_{ghh}) = E_g \otimes E_{ghh} + 3E_{gh} \otimes E_{gh}E_g + (-E_hE_g + E_{gh}) \otimes E_{ggh} + E_{ghh} \otimes E_g^3.$$

Thus Parts (a) and (b) hold for E_{ω_2} . For convenience, we assume $k_{\alpha, \alpha'} = 1$. By induction on r ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(E_{\omega_{r+1}}) &= \Delta([E_{\omega_r}, h]) \\ &= (g \otimes E_{\omega_r} + \sum_{\omega_r} E_{\alpha_1}^{a_1} E_{\alpha_2}^{a_2} \cdots E_{\alpha_m}^{a_m} \otimes E_{\alpha_1}' E_{\alpha_2}' \cdots E_{\alpha_n}' + E_{\alpha} \otimes g^{r+1})(1 \otimes h + h \otimes g) \\ &\quad - (1 \otimes h + h \otimes g)(g \otimes E_{\omega_r} + \sum_{\omega_r} E_{\alpha_1}^{a_1} E_{\alpha_2}^{a_2} \cdots E_{\alpha_m}^{a_m} \otimes E_{\alpha_1}' E_{\alpha_2}' \cdots E_{\alpha_n}' + E_{\omega_r} \otimes g^{r+1}) \\ &= g \otimes E_{\omega_{r+1}} + E_{gh} \otimes E_{\omega_r} g - hg \otimes E_{g\omega_r} + E_{\omega_r} \otimes \sum_{k=1}^{r+1} \binom{r+1}{k} \underbrace{Eg \cdots g}_k h g^{r+1-k} \\ &\quad + E_{\omega_{r+1}} \otimes g^{r+2} + \sum_{\omega_r} F_L \otimes (F_R h - h F_R) + \sum_{\omega_r} F_L h \otimes F_R g - h F_L \otimes g F_R, \end{aligned}$$

where $F_L := E_{\alpha_1}^{a_1} E_{\alpha_2}^{a_2} \cdots E_{\alpha_m}^{a_m}$ and $F_R := E_{\alpha_1}' E_{\alpha_2}' \cdots E_{\alpha_n}'$. To show Part (a) and Part (b) hold for $T_1 := F_L \otimes (F_R h - h F_R)$ and $T_2 := F_L h \otimes F_R g - h F_L \otimes g F_R$, we consider two cases of $F_L \otimes F_R$ in $\Delta(E_{\omega_{r+1}})$:

Case 1: $\alpha_1 = h$ in $F_L \otimes F_R$. By induction on $r+1$, there exists some j such that $\alpha_j'(g) \geq 2$ and $\alpha_1' \neq h$. By Lemma 1.7 and [16, Corollary 1.9 (d)], there exists a set $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ such that $F_R h = h F_R + \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} k_\gamma E_{\alpha_1}' \cdots E_{\alpha_\ell}' E_\gamma E_{\alpha_{\ell+1}}^{c_{\ell+1}} \cdots E_{\alpha_n}^{c_n}$, where for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ there exists some ℓ such that $\alpha_\ell' \succeq \gamma \succ \alpha_{\ell+1}'$, $0 \leq c_s \leq a_s$, $\ell+1 \leq s \leq n$, $\sum_{s=\ell+1}^n (a_s' - c_s) > 0$ and $\gamma(x) = h(x) + \sum_{s=\ell+1}^n (a_s' - c_s) \alpha_s'(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Then $\gamma(g) = \sum_{s=\ell+1}^n (a_s' - c_s) \alpha_s'(g)$, which means $\alpha_j'(g) \geq 2$ or $\gamma(g) \geq 2$. Thus Part (a) holds for T_1 . Similarly, Part (a) holds for T_2 from Lemma 1.7 and [16, Corollary 1.9 (c)-(d)].

Case 2: $\alpha_1 \neq h$ in $F_L \otimes F_R$. The rest is similar to the proof of Case 1. \square

Now we show that the noncommutative Faà di Bruno bialgebra is a sub-bialgebra of \mathcal{T} . Following [7, Remark 2.1] and [13, Section 3.2.6], we first recall the Faà di Bruno bialgebra or Hopf algebra, see also [12, 17] and [14, Section 2].

Definition 2.3. [12, 17] The *Faà di Bruno bialgebra* \mathcal{B}_{FdB} as an algebra is defined to be the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{k}[u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n, \dots]$ in infinitely many variables $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, with the counit $\epsilon(u_n) = \delta_{n,1}$ and the coproduct

$$\Delta(u_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n u_k \otimes B_{n,k}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n),$$

where $B_{n,k}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)$ is the classical partial Bell polynomial, that is,

$$(5) \quad B_{n,k}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) = \sum_{\substack{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_n=k \\ k_1+2k_2+\dots+nk_n=n}} \frac{n!}{k_1! k_2! \cdots k_n!} \left(\frac{u_1}{1!}\right)^{k_1} \left(\frac{u_2}{2!}\right)^{k_2} \cdots \left(\frac{u_n}{n!}\right)^{k_n}.$$

The *Faà di Bruno Hopf algebra* is $\mathcal{H}_{\text{FdB}} = \mathbb{k}[u_1^{\pm 1}, u_2, \dots, u_n, \dots]$ satisfying the hypothesis of \mathcal{B}_{FdB} and $\Delta(u_1^{-1}) = u_1^{-1} \otimes u_1^{-1}$, see also [17, Section IX].

The Bell polynomials mentioned in above definition were introduced by Bell from the set partitions, see [4, 5]. Now we recall the noncommutative Faà di Bruno bialgebra.

Definition 2.4. [7, Definition 2.4]. The *noncommutative Faà di Bruno bialgebra* is defined on the free algebra $\mathcal{B}_{\text{FdB}}^{\text{nc}} = \mathbb{k}\langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n, \dots \rangle$, with the counit $\epsilon(a_n) = \delta_{n,0}$ and the coproduct

$$\Delta(a_n) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \otimes \sum_{\substack{i_0+i_1+\dots+i_k=n-k, \\ i_0, i_1, \dots, i_k \geq 0}} a_{i_0} a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_k}.$$

Remark 2.5. \mathcal{B}_{FdB} (resp. $\mathcal{B}_{\text{FdB}}^{\text{nc}}$) is an \mathbb{N} -graded bialgebra, with $\deg(u_n) = n - 1$ for $n \geq 1$ (resp. $\deg(a_n) = n$ for $n \geq 0$). Thus \mathcal{B}_{FdB} and $\mathcal{B}_{\text{FdB}}^{\text{nc}}$ are pointed by [25, Proposition 4.1.2]. In case $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$, then the abelianization of $\mathcal{B}_{\text{FdB}}^{\text{nc}}$ is \mathcal{B}_{FdB} , that is, there is an isomorphism of bialgebras

$$f : \mathcal{B}_{\text{FdB}}^{\text{nc}} / [\mathcal{B}_{\text{FdB}}^{\text{nc}}, \mathcal{B}_{\text{FdB}}^{\text{nc}}] \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\text{FdB}}, \quad a_n \mapsto \frac{u_{n+1}}{(n+1)!}, \quad n \geq 0,$$

see [7, Remark 2.12].

Let L be the subalgebra of \mathcal{T} generated by $\{\omega_n \mid n \geq 0\}$.

Lemma 2.6. L is a sub-bialgebra of \mathcal{T} .

Proof. By Formula (4) we have

$$\Delta(\omega_n) = \sum_{k=0}^n \omega_k \otimes g\mathcal{SH}_{n-k,k}(h, g), \quad n \geq 0.$$

By Formula (3), $g\mathcal{SH}_{n-k,k}(h, g) \in L$ for all $0 \leq k \leq n$. Thus $\Delta(L) \subseteq L \otimes L$, as desired \square

Theorem 2.7. As bialgebras, $L \cong \mathcal{B}_{\text{FdB}}^{\text{nc}}$. Thus, $\mathcal{B}_{\text{FdB}}^{\text{nc}}$ is a sub-bialgebra of \mathcal{T} .

Proof. Note that $\{\omega_n \mid n \geq 0\}$ is algebraically independent over \mathbb{k} . Thus L is a free bialgebra by Lemma 2.6. Then, the assignment $\varphi : \omega_n \mapsto a_n$, $n \geq 0$ gives rise to an isomorphism of algebras φ from L to $\mathcal{B}_{\text{FdB}}^{\text{nc}}$. To show that φ is a morphism of coalgebras, it suffices to verify that

$$(6) \quad g\mathcal{SH}_{n-k,k}(h, g) = \sum_{\substack{i_0+i_1+\dots+i_k=n-k, \\ i_0, i_1, \dots, i_k \geq 0}} \omega_{i_0} \omega_{i_1} \cdots \omega_{i_k}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n.$$

It follows from [16, Theorem 5.9], as desired. \square

Remark 2.8. We consider a general construction of Hopf algebras containing L (or $\mathcal{B}_{\text{FdB}}^{\text{nc}}$) as sub-bialgebra, using these Lyndon words $\{\omega_n \mid n \geq 0\}$. Let $r \geq 1$ and $\mathcal{T}^{[r]}$ the free algebra generated by $\{g, h\}$. It is clear that $\mathcal{T}^{[r]}$ is a bialgebra with coproduct and counit:

$$\Delta(g) = g \otimes g, \quad \Delta(h) = 1 \otimes h + h \otimes g^r, \quad \epsilon(g) = 1, \quad \epsilon(h) = 0.$$

From the proof of Lemma 2.6 and Theorem 2.7, the subalgebra $L^{[r]}$ of $\mathcal{T}^{[r]}$ generated by $\{\omega_n \mid n \geq 0\}$ is an \mathbb{N} -graded, free, pointed bialgebra, with the degree of ω_n defined by n . The coproduct is given by the recursion

$$\Delta(\omega_n) = (g \otimes g)(1 \otimes h + h \otimes g^r)^n, \quad n \geq 0,$$

or equivalently, by Formula (6),

$$(7) \quad \Delta(\omega_n) = \sum_{k=0}^n \omega_k \otimes \sum_{\substack{i_0+\dots+i_k=n-k, \\ i_0, \dots, i_k \geq 0}} \omega_{i_0} \omega_0^{r-1} \omega_{i_1} \cdots \omega_{i_s} \omega_0^{r-1} \omega_{i_{s+1}} \cdots \omega_{i_{k-1}} \omega_0^{r-1} \omega_{i_k}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

It is clear that $L^{[1]} = L$. Furthermore, by Formula (7), the subalgebra of $L^{[r]}$ generated by $\{\omega_0^{r-1}\omega_n \mid n \geq 0\}$ is a free bialgebra. Thus L (or $\mathcal{B}_{\text{FdB}}^{\text{nc}}$) is isomorphic to a sub-bialgebra of $L^{[r]}$, with the embedding:

$$L \hookrightarrow L^{[r]}, \quad \omega_n \mapsto \omega_0^{r-1}\omega_n, \quad n \geq 0.$$

2.2. The bialgebra $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ and the Faà di Bruno bialgebra \mathcal{B}_{FdB} .

Let $\overline{\mathcal{T}} := \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{I}$, where \mathcal{I} is the ideal of \mathcal{T} generated by $\{E_\alpha \mid \alpha(g) \geq 2, \alpha \in \mathcal{L}\}$. We show that $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ admits a bialgebra structure.

Lemma 2.9. *Let $\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2$ be the ideals of \mathcal{T} generated by $\{E_{\omega_s}E_{\omega_t} - E_{\omega_t}E_{\omega_s} \mid 0 \leq s < t\}$ and $\{gE_{\omega_n} - E_{\omega_n}g \mid n \geq 1\}$ respectively. Then $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_1 = \mathcal{I}_2$.*

Proof. Note that $g = E_{\omega_0}$. By Lemma 1.7, it is easy to see $\mathcal{I}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{I}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{I}$.

First we show $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{I}_1$. Let $E_\alpha = [E_\beta, E_\gamma] \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $\text{st}(\alpha) = (\beta, \gamma)$. If $\gamma(g) = 0$, then $\gamma = h$. By Lemma 1.6 we have $\beta_R \succeq \gamma$. Hence $\beta_R = h$. Similarly, for E_{β_L} , since $\beta_R(g) = 0$ it follows that $(\beta_L)_R = h$. Since $|\beta|$ is finite, $\beta = \omega_{|\beta|-1}$ by induction on the terms $E_{(\beta_L)_L}, \dots, E_{(\dots((\beta_L)_L)\dots)_L}$. Thus, if $\alpha(g) = 2$, then it must have $\beta(g) = \gamma(g) = 1$. Hence $E_\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_1$. If $\alpha(g) > 2$, then $\gamma(g) < \alpha(g)$ because $\beta(g) \geq 1$. By induction on $\alpha(g)$, $E_\gamma \in \mathcal{I}_1$ implies that $E_\alpha \in \mathcal{I}_1$. Therefore $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_1$.

Next we show $\mathcal{I}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{I}_2$. Let $[E_{\omega_s}, E_{\omega_t}] \in \mathcal{I}_1$. If $s = 1$, then $[E_{gh}, E_{\omega_t}] = [[g, E_{\omega_t}], h] - [g, E_{\omega_{t+1}}] \in \mathcal{I}_2$. If $s \geq 2$, then $[E_{\omega_s}, E_{\omega_t}] = [[E_{\omega_{s-1}}, E_{\omega_t}], h] - [E_{\omega_{s-1}}, E_{\omega_{t+1}}]$. Thus $[E_{\omega_s}, E_{\omega_t}] \in \mathcal{I}_2$ by induction on s . Therefore $\mathcal{I}_1 = \mathcal{I}_2$. \square

Theorem 2.10. *$\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ is a pointed bialgebra.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.9 and [25, Corollary 5.1.14], it suffices to show that \mathcal{I}_2 is a coideal. It is clear that $\epsilon(\mathcal{I}_2) = 0$. Now we show that $\Delta_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{I}_2) \in \mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{I}_2 + \mathcal{I}_2 \otimes \mathcal{T}$.

Let $[g, E_{\omega_\ell}] \in \mathcal{I}_2$. If $\ell = 1$, then $\Delta([g, E_{gh}]) = g^2 \otimes [g, E_{gh}] + [g, E_{gh}] \otimes g^3 \in \mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{I}_2 + \mathcal{I}_2 \otimes \mathcal{T}$. If $\ell \geq 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta([g, E_{\omega_\ell}]) &= [g \otimes g, g \otimes E_{\omega_\ell}] + \sum_{\omega_\ell} F_L \otimes F_R + E_{\omega_\ell} \otimes g^{|\omega_\ell|} \\ &= g^2 \otimes E_{g\omega_\ell} + E_{g\omega_\ell} \otimes g^{|\omega_\ell|+1} + \sum_{\omega_\ell} (gF_L \otimes gF_R - F_Lg \otimes F_Rg), \end{aligned}$$

where $F_L := E_{\alpha_1}^{a_1} E_{\alpha_2}^{a_2} \cdots E_{\alpha_m}^{a_m}$ and $F_R := E_{\alpha'_1}^{a'_1} E_{\alpha'_2}^{a'_2} \cdots E_{\alpha'_n}^{a'_n}$. By Lemma 2.2 and [16, Corollary 1.9 (c)], we have

$$\begin{aligned} gF_L \otimes gF_R - F_Lg \otimes F_Rg &\in (F_Lg + \mathcal{I}) \otimes (F_Rg + \mathcal{I}) - F_Lg \otimes F_Rg \\ &\subseteq \mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{I} + \mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{I}_2 + \mathcal{I}_2 \otimes \mathcal{T}. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Let $\pi : \mathcal{T} \twoheadrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{T}}$ be the projection. Now we determine the coproduct of $\pi(E_{\omega_k})$ in $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$.

Corollary 2.11. *The following holds for $k \geq 0$ in $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$:*

$$(8) \quad \Delta_{\overline{\mathcal{T}}}(\pi(E_{\omega_k})) = \sum_{r=0}^k \pi(E_{\omega_r}) \otimes \pi(\mathcal{SH}'_{k-r, r+1}),$$

where $\mathcal{SH}'_{k-r,r+1}$ is the sum of the terms in $\mathcal{SH}_{k-r,r+1}$ (Lyndon-Shirshov basis form) of which the leftmost element is not E_h , and

$$(9) \quad \pi(\mathcal{SH}'_{k-r,r+1}) = \sum_{\substack{t_1+2t_2+\dots+(k+1)t_{k+1}=k+1 \\ t_1+t_2+\dots+t_{k+1}=r+1}} \frac{(k+1)!}{t_1!t_2!\dots t_{k+1}!} \left(\frac{\pi(g)}{1!}\right)^{t_1} \left(\frac{\pi(E_{\omega_1})}{2!}\right)^{t_2} \dots \left(\frac{\pi(E_{\omega_k})}{(k+1)!}\right)^{t_{k+1}}.$$

Proof. From [16, Corollary 2.2] and [16, Corollary 2.3 (a)], $\mathcal{SH}'_{m,1} = E_{\omega_m}$ for $m \geq 0$. Then $\Delta(\pi(g)) = \pi(g) \otimes \pi(g) = \pi(g) \otimes \pi(\mathcal{SH}'_{0,1})$ and $\Delta(\pi(E_{gh})) = (\pi \otimes \pi)(g \otimes E_{gh} + E_{gh} \otimes g^2) = \sum_{r=0}^1 \pi(E_{\omega_r}) \otimes \pi(\mathcal{SH}'_{1-r,r+1})$. By Lemma 2.9 and the induction on k , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\pi(E_{\omega_k})) &= \Delta(\pi(E_{\omega_{k-1}}))\Delta(\pi(h)) - \Delta(\pi(h))\Delta(E_{\omega_{k-1}}) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \pi(E_{\omega_r}) \otimes (\pi(\mathcal{SH}'_{k-1-r,r+1})\pi(h) - \pi(h)\pi(\mathcal{SH}'_{k-1-r,r+1})) \\ &\quad + \pi(E_{\omega_r})\pi(h) \otimes \pi(\mathcal{SH}'_{k-1-r,r+1})\pi(g) - \pi(h)\pi(E_{\omega_r}) \otimes \pi(g)\pi(\mathcal{SH}'_{k-1-r,r+1}) \\ &= \pi(g) \otimes \pi([\mathcal{SH}'_{k-1,1}, h]) + \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \pi(E_{\omega_r}) \otimes \pi([\mathcal{SH}'_{k-1-r,r+1}, h]) + \\ &\quad \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} (\pi(h)\pi(E_{\omega_r}) + \pi(E_{\omega_{r+1}})) \otimes \pi(\mathcal{SH}'_{k-1-r,r+1})\pi(g) - \pi(h)\pi(E_{\omega_r}) \otimes \pi(\mathcal{SH}'_{k-1-r,r+1})\pi(g) \\ &= \pi(g) \otimes \pi(E_{\omega_k}) + \pi(E_{\omega_k}) \otimes \pi(g)^{k+1} + \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \pi(E_{\omega_r}) \otimes \pi([\mathcal{SH}'_{k-1-r,r+1}, h] + \mathcal{SH}'_{k-r,r}g) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^k \pi(E_{\omega_r}) \otimes \pi(\mathcal{SH}'_{k-r,r+1}), \end{aligned}$$

where $[\mathcal{SH}'_{k-1,1}, h] = E_{\omega_k}$ since $\mathcal{SH}'_{k-1,1} = E_{\omega_{k-1}}$, and the recursion $[\mathcal{SH}'_{k-1-r,r+1}, h] + \mathcal{SH}'_{k-r,r}g = \mathcal{SH}'_{k-r,r+1}$ follows from [16, Formula (37)]. The formula (9) follows from [16, Corollary 2.2] and [16, Corollary 2.3 (a)]. \square

Remark 2.12.

- (i) $\pi(\mathcal{SH}'_{k-r,r+1})$ is the Bell polynomial (5). Furthermore, $\mathcal{SH}'_{k-r,r+1}$ is the dual Bell differential polynomial $\widehat{B}_{k+1,r+1}^*(E_h, E_g)$ introduced by Schimming–Rida [27] for a general noncommutative binomial formula, see [16, Theorem 4.5 (b)] for the details. Therefore, (8) can be derived from the recursion of the dual Bell polynomials. We just give an approach using the shuffle type polynomials.
- (ii) By the recursion of $\mathcal{SH}'_{k-r,r+1}$ (or $\widehat{B}_{k+1,r+1}^*$), Formula (8) has the recursion:

$$\Delta(\pi(E_{\omega_k})) = (\text{id} \otimes \text{ad}_r \pi(h) + \text{ad}_r \pi(h) \otimes \pi(g))^k (\pi(g) \otimes \pi(g)), \quad k \geq 0.$$

To simplify the notation, we will use \mathcal{E}_{ω_k} (resp. h, g) in $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ instead of $\pi(E_{\omega_k})$ (resp. $\pi(h), \pi(g)$).

Corollary 2.13. *Let $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = p > 0$. Then*

$$(10) \quad \Delta_{\overline{\mathcal{T}}}(h^p) = 1 \otimes h^p + h \otimes \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{p-1}} + h^p \otimes g^p.$$

Proof. It follows from Formula (4) and [16, Corollary 2.3 (c)]. \square

Theorem 2.14. $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ has subexponential growth (infinite GK-dimension) with a PBW basis

$$\{h^{n_2} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{k_1}}^{n_{\omega_{k_1}}} \cdots \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{k_m}}^{n_{\omega_{k_m}}} \mid k_1 > \cdots > k_m \geq 0, n_{\omega_{k_i}}, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$$

satisfying the relations:

$$\mathcal{E}_{\omega_s} h = h \mathcal{E}_{\omega_s} + \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{s+1}}, \quad \mathcal{E}_{\omega_s} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_t} = \mathcal{E}_{\omega_t} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_s}, \quad 0 \leq s < t.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.9, the relations hold. By [29, Section 8] (see also [19, Example 1.3, Section 12.1]), $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ has subexponential growth. Applying the Diamond Lemma [6] to the order: \prec_{red} : $h \prec_{red} \cdots \prec_{red} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_k} \prec_{red} \cdots \prec_{red} \mathcal{E}_{gh} \prec_{red} g$, we just need to show that the following overlap ambiguities are resolvable: for all $0 \leq r < s < t$:

$$(11) \quad (\mathcal{E}_{\omega_r} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_s}) \mathcal{E}_{\omega_t} = \mathcal{E}_{\omega_r} (\mathcal{E}_{\omega_s} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_t}),$$

$$(12) \quad (\mathcal{E}_{\omega_r} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_s}) h = \mathcal{E}_{\omega_r} (\mathcal{E}_{\omega_s} h).$$

It is clear that overlap (11) is resolvable. By direct computations, the two sides of (12) can be reduced to the same expression $h \mathcal{E}_{\omega_s} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_r} + \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{s+1}} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_r} + \mathcal{E}_{\omega_s} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{r+1}}$. So it is resolvable. \square

Proposition 2.15. $\overline{\mathcal{T}} := \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{\mathcal{T}}(n)$ is an \mathbb{N} -graded pointed bialgebra, where

$$\overline{\mathcal{T}}(n) := \bigoplus_{\substack{r+k_1 s_1 + \cdots + k_m s_m = n \\ k_m > \cdots > k_1 \geq 0}} h^r \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{k_m}}^{s_m} \cdots \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{k_1}}^{s_1}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.10 it suffices to show that $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ is \mathbb{N} -graded. Observe that $g \in \overline{\mathcal{T}}(0)$, $h \in \overline{\mathcal{T}}(1)$. Then $\mathcal{E}_{\omega_k} \in \overline{\mathcal{T}}(k)$ for all $k \geq 0$. By Theorem 2.14, $\overline{\mathcal{T}}(n) \overline{\mathcal{T}}(m) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{T}}(n+m)$. By Corollary 2.11, $\Delta(\overline{\mathcal{T}}(n)) \subseteq \sum_{k=0}^n \overline{\mathcal{T}}(k) \otimes \overline{\mathcal{T}}(n-k)$, as desired. \square

Now we show that $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ is an Ore extension of the Faà di Bruno bialgebra \mathcal{B}_{FdB} . Let R be the subalgebra of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ generated by $\{\mathcal{E}_{\omega_n} \mid n \geq 0\}$.

Lemma 2.16. *The following hold:*

- (a) R is a commutative sub-bialgebra of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$;
- (b) $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ is an Ore extension of R . Namely, $\overline{\mathcal{T}} = R[h; \text{ad}_r h]$, where $\text{ad}_r h(a) := [a, h]$ for $a \in R$.

Proof. By Formula (8) and Theorem 2.14, R is a commutative bialgebra and $\mathcal{E}_{\omega_k} h = h \mathcal{E}_{\omega_k} + [\mathcal{E}_{\omega_k}, h]$. On the other hand, $\text{ad}_r h$ is a derivation of R and $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ is generated by R and h . Therefore, $\overline{\mathcal{T}} = R[h; \text{ad}_r h]$. \square

Lemma 2.17. *Assume that $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$. Then R is a maximal commutative subalgebra of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$, hence a maximal commutative sub-bialgebra of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.16 (a), R is commutative sub-bialgebra. Suppose that there is a commutative subalgebra D such that $R \subsetneq D \subsetneq \overline{\mathcal{T}}$. By Lemma 2.16 (b), $d = \sum_{j=0}^n h^j r_j \in D$ for some $r_j \in R$, $0 \leq j \leq n$, and $r_n \neq 0$. Note that there holds in \mathcal{T} :

$$(13) \quad [E_{\omega_i}, h^j] = \sum_{k=1}^j \binom{j}{k} h^{j-k} E_{\omega_{i+k}}, \quad j \geq 1, i \geq 0.$$

Since $[g, r_0] = 0$ and $r_n \neq 0$, it follows that $[g, d] = [E_{\omega_0}, d] = \binom{n}{1} h^{n-1} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_1} r_n + \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} h^j s_j \neq 0$, where $s_0, \dots, s_{n-2} \in R$. However, $[g, d] = 0$ since D is commutative, a contradiction. Consequently, R is a maximal commutative sub-bialgebra of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$. \square

Lemma 2.18. *As bialgebras, $R \cong \mathcal{B}_{\text{FdB}}$.*

Proof. Note that R and \mathcal{B}_{FdB} are commutative. Then there is an algebra isomorphism:

$$\phi : \mathcal{B}_{\text{FdB}} \mapsto R, \quad u_{n+1} \mapsto \mathcal{E}_{\omega_n}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

By Corollary 8 and Theorem 2.14, ϕ is a bialgebra map. Consequently, $R \cong \mathcal{B}_{\text{FdB}}$ as bialgebras. \square

Theorem 2.19. *$\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ is an Ore extension of the Faà di Bruno bialgebra \mathcal{B}_{FdB} . If $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$, then \mathcal{B}_{FdB} is a maximal commutative sub-bialgebra of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$.*

Proof. It follows directly from Lemmas 2.16, 2.17 and 2.18. \square

Remark 2.20.

- (i) $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ does not admit a Hopf algebra structure. Consider the localization $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\pm 1}$ at g with $\Delta(\frac{1}{g}) = \frac{1}{g} \otimes \frac{1}{g}$, it is clear that $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\pm 1}$ admits a Hopf algebra structure by [30, Remark 36], see also [17, Section IX]. Furthermore, $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\pm 1}$ is a HOE of \mathcal{H}_{FdB} .
- (ii) More generally, using the Lyndon-Shirshov basis we can consider a Hopf algebra which contains $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\pm 1}$. Let $r \geq 1$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\pm 1}^{[r]}$ be the free algebra generated by $\{g, g^{-1}, h\}$ over \mathbb{k} . It is clear that $\mathcal{T}_{\pm 1}^{[r]}$ is a bialgebra with the coproduct and counit:

$$\Delta(g^{\pm 1}) = g^{\pm 1} \otimes g^{\pm 1}, \quad \Delta(h) = 1 \otimes h + h \otimes g^r, \quad \epsilon(g^{\pm 1}) = 1, \quad \epsilon(h) = 0.$$

By Lemma 2.9 and the proof of Theorem 2.10,

$$\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\pm 1}^{[r]} := \mathcal{T}_{\pm 1}^{[r]} / (gg^{-1} - 1, g^{-1}g - 1, E_{\alpha} | \alpha(g) \geq 2)$$

is an \mathbb{N} -graded pointed Hopf algebra, with a PBW basis

$$\{\overline{h}^{n_2} \overline{E}_{\omega_{k_1}}^{n_{\omega_{k_1}}} \dots \overline{E}_{\omega_{k_m}}^{n_{\omega_{k_m}}} g^{n_1} | k_1 > \dots > k_m \geq 1, n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, n_2, n_{\omega_{k_i}} \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$$

satisfying the relations:

$$\overline{g} \overline{g}^{-1} = \overline{g}^{-1} \overline{g} = 1, \quad \overline{E}_{\omega_s} \overline{h} = \overline{h} \overline{E}_{\omega_s} + \overline{E}_{\omega_{s+1}}, \quad \overline{E}_{\omega_s} \overline{E}_{\omega_t} = \overline{E}_{\omega_t} \overline{E}_{\omega_s},$$

where $0 \leq s < t$. Similar to Remark 2.12 (ii), the coproduct of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\pm 1}^{[r]}$ is given by the recursion:

$$\Delta(\overline{E}_{\omega_k}) = (id \otimes \text{ad}_r \overline{h} + \text{ad}_r \overline{h} \otimes \overline{g}^r)^k (\overline{g} \otimes \overline{g}), \quad k \geq 0.$$

Evidently, $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\pm 1}^{[1]} \cong \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\pm 1}$. Furthermore, $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\pm 1}$ is isomorphic to a Hopf subalgebra $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\pm 1}^{[r]}$, with the embedding:

$$\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\pm 1} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\pm 1}^{[r]}, \quad g \mapsto \overline{g}^r, \quad h \mapsto \overline{h}, \quad r \geq 0.$$

There are some interesting results about $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\pm 1}^{[r]}$, but we will discuss them elsewhere.

2.3. The bialgebra \mathcal{B}_F and the Faà di Bruno bialgebras $\mathcal{B}_{\text{FdB}}, \mathcal{B}_{\text{FdB}}^{\text{nc}}$.

Recall that $\varphi : \mathcal{B}_{\text{FdB}}^{\text{nc}} \rightarrow L$ is an isomorphism of bialgebras in Theorem 2.7 and $\pi : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{T}}$ is the projection. Then we obtain the following bialgebra map.

Corollary 2.21. *$\phi := \pi \circ \iota \circ \varphi^{-1} : \mathcal{B}_{\text{FdB}}^{\text{nc}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{T}}$ ($a_n \mapsto \pi(\omega_n)$, $n \geq 0$) is a bialgebra map, where $\iota : L \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ is the inclusion.*

It is clear that the bialgebra $\phi(\mathcal{B}_{\text{FdB}}^{\text{nc}}) = \pi \circ \iota(L)$ is \mathbb{N} -graded since $\mathcal{B}_{\text{FdB}}^{\text{nc}}$ is \mathbb{N} -graded (see Remark 2.5) and π is an \mathbb{N} -graded bialgebra map (see Proposition 2.15). Note that $\phi(\mathcal{B}_{\text{FdB}}^{\text{nc}})(0) = \mathbb{k}[\pi(g)]$. It follows from [25, Proposition 4.1.2] that $\phi(\mathcal{B}_{\text{FdB}}^{\text{nc}})$ is pointed. Now we study the \mathbb{N} -graded pointed bialgebra $\phi(\mathcal{B}_{\text{FdB}}^{\text{nc}})$. First we give the following relations.

Lemma 2.22. *The following hold in \mathcal{T} :*

(a) For $n \geq 0$,

$$(14) \quad \omega_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} h^{n-k} E_{\omega_k},$$

$$(15) \quad E_{\omega_n} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k h^k \omega_{n-k}.$$

(b) For $m, n \geq 0$,

$$(16) \quad \pi(E_{\omega_m})\pi(\omega_n) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \pi(\omega_{n-k})\pi(E_{\omega_{m+k}}),$$

$$(17) \quad \omega_{n+1} = \omega_n h = h\omega_n + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} h^{n-k} E_{\omega_{1+k}}.$$

(c) For $m, n, r, s \geq 0$,

$$(18) \quad \omega_m \omega_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \omega_{m+n-k} E_{\omega_k},$$

$$(19) \quad \omega_r E_{\omega_s} = \sum_{t=0}^s \binom{s}{t} (-1)^t \omega_{r+t} \omega_{s-t}.$$

Proof. Part (a) follows by induction on n . We now show Part (b). Using Formulas (14) and (13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(E_{\omega_m})\pi(\omega_n) &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \pi(E_{\omega_m} h^{n-k})\pi(E_{\omega_k}) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \sum_{t=0}^{n-k} \binom{n-k}{t} \pi(h^{n-k-t} E_{\omega_{m+t}})\pi(E_{\omega_k}) \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^n \binom{n}{t} \sum_{k=0}^{n-t} \binom{n-t}{k} \pi(h^{n-t-k})\pi(E_{\omega_k})\pi(E_{\omega_{m+t}}) \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^n \binom{n}{t} \pi(\omega_{n-t})\pi(E_{\omega_{m+t}}). \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of ω_n , we have $\omega_n h = \omega_{n+1}$. It follows from Formula (13) that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_n h &= hgh^n + E_{\omega_1} h^n \\ &= h\omega_n + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} h^{n-k} E_{1+k} \end{aligned}$$

Thus Formula (17) holds. It remains to show Part (c). Using Formula (14), we obtain

$$\omega_m \omega_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \omega_m h^{n-k} E_{\omega_k}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \omega_{m+n-k} E_{\omega_k}.$$

Formula (19) follows directly from Formula (15). \square

Let $\tilde{\omega}_n := \pi(\omega_n)$ for $n \geq 0$. Denote by \mathcal{B}_F the subalgebra of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ generated by $\{\tilde{\omega}_n, \mathcal{E}_{\omega_m} \mid n, m \geq 0\}$. Identifying the Faà di Bruno bialgebra \mathcal{B}_{FdB} with R in Lemma 2.18, we see that \mathcal{B}_F is a bialgebra containing the bialgebras $\pi(\mathcal{B}_{\text{FdB}}^{\text{nc}})$ (here we identify $\mathcal{B}_{\text{FdB}}^{\text{nc}}$ with L) and \mathcal{B}_{FdB} as sub-bialgebras.

Theorem 2.23. \mathcal{B}_F is an \mathbb{N} -graded pointed bialgebra with a PBW basis

$$\{\tilde{\omega}_m^d \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{n_1}}^{e_1} \cdots \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{n_j}}^{e_j} \mid m, j \geq 1, n_1 > \cdots > n_j \geq 0, 0 \leq d \leq 1, e_1, \dots, e_j \geq 0\}$$

such that for $m, n, r, s \geq 0$,

$$(20) \quad \mathcal{E}_{\omega_m} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_n} = \mathcal{E}_{\omega_n} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_m}$$

$$(21) \quad \mathcal{E}_{\omega_m} \tilde{\omega}_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \tilde{\omega}_{n-k} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{m+k}},$$

$$(22) \quad \tilde{\omega}_m \tilde{\omega}_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \tilde{\omega}_{m+n-k} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_k},$$

$$(23) \quad \tilde{\omega}_r \mathcal{E}_{\omega_s} = \sum_{t=0}^s \binom{s}{t} (-1)^t \tilde{\omega}_{r+t} \tilde{\omega}_{s-t}.$$

Moreover, as the sub-bialgebra of \mathcal{B}_F , $\pi(\mathcal{B}_{\text{FdB}}^{\text{nc}})$ has a PBW basis:

$$\{1\} \cup \{\tilde{\omega}_m \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{n_1}}^{e_1} \cdots \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{n_j}}^{e_j} \mid m, j \geq 1, n_1 > \cdots > n_j \geq 0, e_1, \dots, e_j \geq 0\}.$$

Proof. It is clear that \mathcal{B}_F is an \mathbb{N} -graded bialgebra since $\pi(\mathcal{B}_{\text{FdB}}^{\text{nc}})$ and \mathcal{B}_{FdB} are \mathbb{N} -graded bialgebras. Observe that $\mathcal{B}_F(0) = \mathbb{k}[\pi(g)]$. Thus \mathcal{B}_F is pointed from [25, Proposition 4.1.2]. The relations of \mathcal{B}_F follow from the formulas (16), (18), (19) and Theorem 2.14. Now we show that \mathcal{B}_F has the PBW basis as claimed. Note that $\pi(g) = \tilde{\omega}_0 = \mathcal{E}_{\omega_0}$. Applying the Diamond Lemma [6] to the order $\prec_{\text{red}}: \cdots \prec_{\text{red}} \tilde{\omega}_n \prec_{\text{red}} \cdots \prec_{\text{red}} \tilde{\omega}_1 \prec_{\text{red}} \cdots \prec_{\text{red}} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_m} \prec_{\text{red}} \cdots \prec_{\text{red}} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_1} \prec_{\text{red}} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_0}$, we just need to show that the following overlap ambiguities for $0 \leq r < s < t$ are resolvable:

$$(24) \quad (\mathcal{E}_{\omega_r} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_s}) \mathcal{E}_{\omega_t} = \mathcal{E}_{\omega_r} (\mathcal{E}_{\omega_s} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_t}),$$

$$(25) \quad (\mathcal{E}_{\omega_r} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_s}) \tilde{\omega}_t = \mathcal{E}_{\omega_r} (\mathcal{E}_{\omega_s} \tilde{\omega}_t),$$

$$(26) \quad (\mathcal{E}_{\omega_r} \tilde{\omega}_s) \tilde{\omega}_t = \mathcal{E}_{\omega_r} (\tilde{\omega}_s \tilde{\omega}_t),$$

$$(27) \quad (\tilde{\omega}_r \tilde{\omega}_s) \tilde{\omega}_t = \tilde{\omega}_r (\tilde{\omega}_s \tilde{\omega}_t).$$

It is clear that (24) is resolvable. The calculation

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{E}_{\omega_r} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_s}) \tilde{\omega}_t &= \mathcal{E}_{\omega_s} (\mathcal{E}_{\omega_r} \tilde{\omega}_t) \\ &= \sum_{a=0}^t \binom{t}{a} (\mathcal{E}_{\omega_s} \tilde{\omega}_{t-a}) \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{r+a}} \\ &= \sum_{a=0}^t \sum_{b=0}^{t-a} \binom{t}{a} \binom{t-a}{b} \tilde{\omega}_{t-a-b} (\mathcal{E}_{\omega_{s+b}} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{r+a}}) \\ &= \sum_{a=0}^t \sum_{b=0}^{t-a} \binom{t}{a} \binom{t-a}{b} (\tilde{\omega}_{t-a-b} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{r+a}}) \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{s+b}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{b=0}^t \binom{t}{b} \left(\sum_{a=0}^{t-b} \binom{t-b}{a} \tilde{\omega}_{t-b-a} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{r+a}} \right) \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{s+b}} \\
&= \sum_{b=0}^t \binom{t}{b} (\mathcal{E}_{\omega_r} \tilde{\omega}_{t-b}) \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{s+b}} \\
&= \mathcal{E}_{\omega_r} \left(\sum_{b=0}^t \binom{t}{b} \tilde{\omega}_{t-b} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{s+b}} \right) \\
&= \mathcal{E}_{\omega_r} (\mathcal{E}_{\omega_s} \tilde{\omega}_t)
\end{aligned}$$

shows that (25) is resolvable. For the left side of (26) we make the calculation

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{E}_{\omega_r} \tilde{\omega}_s) \tilde{\omega}_t &= \sum_{a=0}^s \binom{s}{a} \tilde{\omega}_{s-a} (\mathcal{E}_{\omega_{r+a}} \tilde{\omega}_t) \\
&= \sum_{a=0}^s \sum_{b=0}^t \binom{s}{a} \binom{t}{b} (\tilde{\omega}_{s-a} \tilde{\omega}_{t-b}) \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{r+a+b}} \\
&= \sum_{a=0}^s \sum_{b=0}^t \sum_{c=0}^{t-b} \binom{s}{a} \binom{t}{b} \binom{t-b}{c} \tilde{\omega}_{s-a+t-b-c} (\mathcal{E}_{\omega_c} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{r+a+b}}) \\
&= \sum_{a=0}^s \sum_{c=0}^t \sum_{b=0}^{t-c} \binom{s}{a} \binom{t}{c} \binom{t-c}{b} \tilde{\omega}_{s-a+t-b-c} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{r+a+b}} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_c} \\
&= \sum_{c=0}^t \binom{t}{c} \left(\sum_{d=0}^{s+t-c} \sum_{a+b=d} \binom{s}{a} \binom{t-c}{b} \tilde{\omega}_{s+t-c-d} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{r+d}} \right) \mathcal{E}_{\omega_c},
\end{aligned}$$

Using Vandermonde's Identity: $\binom{m+n}{k} = \sum_{i+j=k} \binom{m}{i} \binom{n}{j}$, we see that (26) amounts to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{c=0}^t \binom{t}{c} \left(\sum_{d=0}^{s+t-c} \binom{s+t-c}{d} \tilde{\omega}_{s+t-c-d} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{r+d}} \right) \mathcal{E}_{\omega_c} \\
&= \sum_{c=0}^t \binom{t}{c} (\mathcal{E}_{\omega_r} \tilde{\omega}_{s+t-c}) \mathcal{E}_{\omega_c} \\
&= \mathcal{E}_{\omega_r} \left(\sum_{c=0}^t \binom{t}{c} \tilde{\omega}_{s+t-c} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_c} \right) \\
&= \mathcal{E}_{\omega_r} (\mathcal{E}_{\omega_s} \tilde{\omega}_t).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus (26) is resolvable. Similarly, (27) is resolvable from the following calculation and Vandermonde's Identity:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\tilde{\omega}_r \tilde{\omega}_s) \tilde{\omega}_t &= \sum_{a=0}^s \binom{s}{a} \tilde{\omega}_{r+s-a} (\mathcal{E}_{\omega_a} \tilde{\omega}_t) \\
&= \sum_{a=0}^s \sum_{b=0}^t \binom{s}{a} \binom{t}{b} (\tilde{\omega}_{r+s-a} \tilde{\omega}_{t-b}) \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{a+b}} \\
&= \sum_{a=0}^s \sum_{b=0}^t \sum_{c=0}^{t-b} \binom{s}{a} \binom{t}{b} \binom{t-b}{c} \tilde{\omega}_{r+s+t-a-b-c} (\mathcal{E}_{\omega_c} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{a+b}}) \\
&= \sum_{a=0}^s \sum_{c=0}^t \sum_{b=0}^{t-c} \binom{s}{a} \binom{t}{c} \binom{t-c}{b} \tilde{\omega}_{r+s+t-a-b-c} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{a+b}} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_c}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{c=0}^t \binom{t}{c} \left(\sum_{a=0}^s \sum_{b=0}^{t-c} \binom{s}{a} \binom{t-c}{b} \tilde{\omega}_{r+s+t-c-(a+b)} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{a+b}} \right) \mathcal{E}_{\omega_c} \\
 &= \sum_{c=0}^t \binom{t}{c} \left(\sum_{d=0}^{s+t-c} \binom{s+t-c}{d} \tilde{\omega}_{r+s+t-c-d} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_d} \right) \mathcal{E}_{\omega_c} \\
 &= \sum_{c=0}^t \binom{t}{c} (\tilde{\omega}_r \tilde{\omega}_{s+t-c}) \mathcal{E}_{\omega_c} \\
 &= \tilde{\omega}_r \left(\sum_{c=0}^t \binom{t}{c} \tilde{\omega}_{s+t-c} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_c} \right) \\
 &= \tilde{\omega}_r (\tilde{\omega}_s \tilde{\omega}_t).
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, it is not difficult to show that $\pi(\mathcal{B}_{\text{FdB}}^{\text{nc}})$ has the basis as claimed. \square

Remark 2.24. From Theorem 2.23 we see that $\{1\} \cup \{\tilde{\omega}_m \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{n_1}}^{e_1} \cdots \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{n_j}}^{e_j} \mid m, j \geq 1, n_1 > \dots > n_j \geq 0, e_1, \dots, e_j \geq 0\}$ forms a PBW basis of $\pi(\mathcal{B}_{\text{FdB}}^{\text{nc}})$, while $\{\mathcal{E}_{\omega_{n_1}}^{e_1} \cdots \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{n_j}}^{e_j} \mid m, j \geq 1, n_1 > \dots > n_j \geq 0, e_1, \dots, e_j \geq 0\}$ is a PBW basis of \mathcal{B}_{FdB} . From the form of the two PBW bases, we should view $\pi(\mathcal{B}_{\text{FdB}}^{\text{nc}})$, instead of $\mathcal{B}_{\text{FdB}}^{\text{nc}}$, as the non-commutative version of the commutative Faá di Bruno bialgebra \mathcal{B}_{FdB} .

3. QUOTIENT HOPF ALGEBRAS OF $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$

In this section, we construct some quotient bialgebras Q of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$, which admit Hopf algebra structures. Let $\pi_Q : \mathcal{T} \twoheadrightarrow Q$ be the projection. We still use the notation \mathcal{E}_{ω_k} (resp. h, g) in Q instead of $\pi_Q(E_{\omega_k})$ (resp. $\pi_Q(h), \pi_Q(g)$).

Lemma 3.1. [25, Corollary 7.6.7] *Let A be a bialgebra over \mathbb{k} generated by $\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{P}(A)$, where $\mathcal{S} \subseteq G(A)$, and the elements of \mathcal{S} are invertible. Then A is a pointed Hopf algebra.*

3.1. The Hopf algebra $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n$.

Let $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n$ be the quotient algebra $\overline{\mathcal{T}}/\mathcal{I}_n$, where \mathcal{I}_n is the ideal of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ generated by $g^n - 1$ for $n \geq 2$.

Proposition 3.2. *$\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n$ is an \mathbb{N} -graded pointed Hopf algebra.*

Proof. Since $\Delta(g^n - 1) = (g^n - 1) \otimes 1 + g^n \otimes (g^n - 1)$, \mathcal{I}_n is a bi-ideal. So $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n$ is a quotient bialgebra of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$. By Lemma 3.1, $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ is a pointed Hopf algebra. Moreover, \mathcal{I}_n is graded. It follows that $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n$ is graded by Propositions 2.15 \square

Lemma 3.3.

- (a) *If $\mathcal{E}_{gh} = 0$, then $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n$ is commutative with a PBW basis $\{h^{n_2} g^{n_1} \mid 0 \leq n_1 \leq n-1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}\}$.*
- (b) *If $\mathcal{E}_{gh} \neq 0$, $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = p > 0$ and $p \mid n$, then $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n$ has infinite GK-dimension, with a PBW basis:*

$$\{h^{n_2} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{k_1}}^{n_{\omega_{k_1}}} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{k_2}}^{n_{\omega_{k_2}}} \cdots \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{k_m}}^{n_{\omega_{k_m}}} g^{n_1} \mid k_1 > k_2 > \dots > k_m \geq 1, 0 \leq n_1 \leq n-1, n_2, n_{\omega_{k_i}} \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i \leq m\}.$$

Furthermore, $S^{2p} = \text{id}$.

Proof. Applying the Diamond Lemma [6] to the order $\prec_{\text{red}} : h \prec_{\text{red}} \cdots \prec_{\text{red}} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_k} \prec_{\text{red}} \cdots \prec_{\text{red}} \mathcal{E}_{gh} \prec_{\text{red}} g$, we just need to verify the overlaps (11), (12) and the following are resolvable:

$$(28) \quad (g^{n-1}g)\mathcal{E}_{\omega_s} = g^{n-1}(g\mathcal{E}_{\omega_s}), \quad s \geq 0,$$

$$(29) \quad (g^{n-1}g)h = g^{n-1}(gh).$$

By direct computations, the overlaps (11), (12) and (28) are resolvable and (29) amounts to the condition $\binom{n}{1}\mathcal{E}_{gh}g^{n-1} = 0$, that is, $n\mathcal{E}_{gh} = 0$. Thus it leads to two cases:

(i) $\mathcal{E}_{gh} = 0$; (ii) $\mathcal{E}_{gh} \neq 0$, $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = p$ and $p|n$.

Now we show $S^{2p} = \text{id}$. Observe that $S(g) = g^{-1}$, $S(h) = -hg^{-1}$, $S^2(h) = h + \mathcal{E}_{gh}g^{-1}$, $S(\mathcal{E}_{gh}) = -\mathcal{E}_{gh}g^{-3}$ and $g\mathcal{E}_{gh} = \mathcal{E}_{gh}g$. Then by induction on n , we obtain

$$S^n(h) = \begin{cases} -hg^{-1} - \frac{n-1}{2}\mathcal{E}_{gh}g^{-2}, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ h + \frac{n}{2}\mathcal{E}_{gh}g^{-1}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, $S^{2p} = \text{id}$ by the fact that $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n$ is generated by $\{g, h\}$ as an algebra. \square

3.2. The Hopf algebras $\overline{\mathcal{T}}'_n(p)$, $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{T}}'_{\pm 1}(p)$.

Now we consider some quotient Hopf algebras with finite GK-dimension.

Definition 3.4. Let $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = p$ and suppose that $\mathcal{E}_{gh} \neq 0$, $p|n$. Define $\overline{\mathcal{T}}'_n(p) := \overline{\mathcal{T}}_n/\mathcal{I}'_p$, where \mathcal{I}'_p is the ideal generated by $\mathcal{E}_{\omega_{p-1}}$.

Proposition 3.5. $\overline{\mathcal{T}}'_n(p)$ is an \mathbb{N} -graded pointed Hopf algebra.

Proof. By Proposition 3.2 it suffices to show that \mathcal{I}'_p is a graded coideal. Indeed, using Formulas (8),(9), we have

$$(30) \quad \Delta_{\overline{\mathcal{T}}'_n(p)}(\mathcal{E}_{\omega_{p-1}}) = g \otimes \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{p-1}} + \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{p-1}} \otimes g^p.$$

\square

Lemma 3.6. $\overline{\mathcal{T}}'_n(p)$ has GK-dimension $p-1$, with a PBW basis

$$\{h^{n_2}\mathcal{E}_{\omega_{p-2}}^{n_{\omega_{p-2}}}\mathcal{E}_{\omega_{p-3}}^{n_{\omega_{p-3}}}\cdots g^{n_1} \mid 0 \leq n_1 \leq n-1, n_2, n_{\omega_k} \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq k \leq p-2\}$$

satisfying the relations:

$$\begin{aligned} g^n &= 1, & \mathcal{E}_{\omega_s}h &= h\mathcal{E}_{\omega_s} + \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{s+1}}, \\ \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{p-2}}h &= h\mathcal{E}_{\omega_{p-2}}, & \mathcal{E}_{\omega_s}\mathcal{E}_{\omega_t} &= \mathcal{E}_{\omega_t}\mathcal{E}_{\omega_s}, \end{aligned}$$

where $0 \leq s < t \leq p-2$.

Proof. Consider the order \prec_{red} : $h \prec_{\text{red}} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{p-2}} \prec_{\text{red}} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{p-3}} \prec_{\text{red}} \cdots \prec_{\text{red}} g$, the overlaps (11), (12), (28), (29) and the following (31) are resolvable in $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p)$ for all $0 \leq s \leq p-2$:

$$(31) \quad (\mathcal{E}_{\omega_s}h)h^{p-1} = \mathcal{E}_{\omega_s}(h^{p-1}).$$

Let $R = \mathbb{k}[g]/(g^n)$. Since $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p) = R[\mathcal{E}_{gh}] \cdots [\mathcal{E}_{\omega_{p-2}}][h; \text{ad}_r h]$, the GK-dimension of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p)$ is $p-1$ by Lemma 1.14 and Lemma 1.15. \square

Remark 3.7.

- (i) Let $R'_n(p)$ be the subalgebra of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}'_n(p)$ generated by $\{\mathcal{E}_{\omega_r} \mid 0 \leq r \leq p-2\}$. Then $\overline{\mathcal{T}}'_n(p) = R'_n(p)[h; \text{ad}_r h]$.
- (ii) From Formula (30), Lemma 1.14 and Lemma 1.15, $\overline{\mathcal{T}}'_{\pm 1}(p) := \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\pm 1}/(\mathcal{E}_{\omega_{p-1}})$ is an \mathbb{N} -graded pointed Hopf algebras of GK-dimension p .

Definition 3.8. Let $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = p$ and suppose that $\mathcal{E}_{gh} \neq 0$, $p|n$. Define $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p) := \overline{\mathcal{T}}'_n(p)/\mathcal{I}_p$, where \mathcal{I}_p is the ideal of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}'_n(p)$ generated by h^p .

Proposition 3.9. $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p)$ is an \mathbb{N} -graded pointed Hopf algebra.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.1 and the formulas (10), (30). \square

Lemma 3.10. $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p)$ has GK-dimension $p - 2$, with a PBW basis

$$\{h^{n_2} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{p-2}}^{n_{\omega_{p-2}}} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{p-3}}^{n_{\omega_{p-3}}} \cdots g^{n_1} \mid 0 \leq n_2 \leq p-1, 0 \leq n_1 \leq n-1, n_{\omega_k} \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq k \leq p-2\}$$

satisfying the relations:

$$\begin{aligned} g^n &= 1, & h^p &= 0, & \mathcal{E}_{\omega_s} h &= h \mathcal{E}_{\omega_s} + \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{s+1}}, \\ \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{p-2}} h &= h \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{p-2}}, & \mathcal{E}_{\omega_s} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_t} &= \mathcal{E}_{\omega_t} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_s}, \end{aligned}$$

where $0 \leq s < t \leq p-2$.

Proof. Applying the Diamond Lemma to the order $\prec_{\text{red}}: h \prec_{\text{red}} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{p-2}} \prec_{\text{red}} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{p-3}} \prec_{\text{red}} \cdots \prec_{\text{red}} g$, the ambiguities (11), (12), (28), (29) and following are resolvable in $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p)$ for all $0 \leq s \leq p-2$:

$$(32) \quad (hh^{p-1})h = h(h^{p-1}h).$$

Let $R = \mathbb{k}[g]/(g^n)$. Since $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p) = (R[\mathcal{E}_{gh}] \cdots [\mathcal{E}_{\omega_{p-2}}][h; \text{ad}_r h])/(h^p)$, its GK-dimension is $p-2$ by Lemma 1.14 and Lemma 1.15. \square

Remark 3.11. Let $R_n(p)$ be the subalgebra of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p)$ generated by $\{\mathcal{E}_{\omega_k} \mid 0 \leq k \leq p-2\}$. Then $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p) = R_n(p)[h; \text{ad}_r h]$.

Recall that Radford [23, Proposition 4.7] introduced the pointed Hopf algebra $\overline{\mathcal{SH}}_n := \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{C}_n$, where \mathcal{C}_n is the ideal of \mathcal{T} generated by $\{g^n - 1, \mathcal{SH}_{n-k,k}(h, g) \mid 0 \leq k \leq n-1\}$, see also [16, Section 5.3.2]. We show that the relationship between $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{SH}}_n$ is as follows.

Corollary 3.12. Let $n \geq 3$ and \mathcal{I}' be the ideal of $\overline{\mathcal{SH}}_n$ generated by $\{\mathcal{E}_\alpha \in \overline{\mathcal{SH}}_n \mid \alpha(1) \geq 2\}$.

- (a) If $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$, then $\overline{\mathcal{SH}}_n/\mathcal{I}' \cong \mathbb{k}\mathbb{Z}_n$.
- (b) If $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = p$, then $\overline{\mathcal{SH}}_p/\mathcal{I}' \cong \overline{\mathcal{T}}_p(p)$.

Proof. From [16, Corollary 2.2], $\mathcal{SH}_{1,n-1} = 0$ implies that $n((n-1)gh - (n-3)hg) = 0$ in $\mathcal{SH}_n/\mathcal{I}'$. Note that $\mathcal{E}_{ggh} = [g, [g, h]] = 0$. If $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$, then $h = 0$ and Part (a) holds. Let \mathcal{K} be the ideal of \mathcal{T} generated by $\{E_\alpha, g^p - 1, E_{\omega_{p-1}}, h^p \mid \alpha(g) \geq 2\}$. If $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = p$, then $\mathcal{I}' + \mathcal{C}_p = \mathcal{K}$ by [16, Corollary 2.3 (c)]. It is clear that $\overline{\mathcal{SH}}_p/\mathcal{I}' = \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{C}_p/(\mathcal{I}' + \mathcal{C}_p)/\mathcal{C}_p \cong \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{K}$. Therefore, $\overline{\mathcal{SH}}_p/\mathcal{I}' \cong \overline{\mathcal{T}}_p(p)$ from Theorem 2.10, Propositions 3.2, 3.5, 3.9. \square

3.3. The Hopf algebra $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p; d_j, d_{j-1}, \dots, d_1)$.

Let $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = p$ and suppose that $\mathcal{E}_{gh} \neq 0$, $p|n$, $1 \leq j \leq p-2$, $d_j \geq d_{j-1} \geq \dots \geq d_1 \geq 1$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p; d_j, d_{j-1}, \dots, d_1) := \overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p)/\mathcal{I}(d_j, d_{j-1}, \dots, d_1)$, where $\mathcal{I}(d_j, d_{j-1}, \dots, d_1)$ is the ideal of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p)$ generated by $\{\mathcal{E}_{\omega_k}^{p^{d_k}} \mid 1 \leq k \leq j\}$.

Proposition 3.13. $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p; d_j, d_{j-1}, \dots, d_1)$ is an \mathbb{N} -graded pointed Hopf algebra.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1 it suffices to show that $\mathcal{I}(d_j, d_{j-1}, \dots, d_1)$ is a graded coideal. It follows from the formulas (8) and (9). \square

Theorem 3.14. $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p; d_j, d_{j-1}, \dots, d_1)$ has GK-dimension $(p - 2 - j)$, with a PBW basis

$$\{h^{n_2} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{p-2}}^{n_{\omega_{p-2}}} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{p-3}}^{n_{\omega_{p-3}}} \dots g^{n_1} \mid 0 \leq n_2 \leq p-1, 0 \leq n_{\omega_k} \leq p^{d_k} - 1, 1 \leq k \leq j, \\ n_{\omega_{k'}} \in \mathbb{N}, j < k' \leq p-2, 0 \leq n_1 \leq n-1\}$$

and the relations:

$$g^n = 1, \quad \mathcal{E}_{\omega_k}^{p^{d_k}} = 0, \quad h^p = 0, \\ \mathcal{E}_{\omega_s} h = h \mathcal{E}_{\omega_s} + \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{s+1}}, \quad \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{p-2}} h = h \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{p-2}}, \quad \mathcal{E}_{\omega_s} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_t} = \mathcal{E}_{\omega_t} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_s},$$

where $1 \leq k \leq j$ and $0 \leq s < t \leq p-2$.

Proof. Following Lemmas 1.14 and 1.15, the GK-dimension of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p; d_j, d_{j-1}, \dots, d_1)$ is $p - 2 - j$. Applying the Diamond Lemma to the order $\prec_{red}: h \prec_{red} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{p-2}} \prec_{red} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{p-3}} \prec_{red} \dots \prec_{red} g$, we see that the overlaps (11), (12), (28), (29), (31), (32) and the following are resolvable in $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p; d_j, d_{j-1}, \dots, d_1)$ for all i, k, ℓ such that $0 \leq i < k \leq j$ and $k < \ell \leq p-2$:

$$(\mathcal{E}_{\omega_i} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_k}) \mathcal{E}_{\omega_k}^{p^{d_k}-1} = \mathcal{E}_{\omega_i} (\mathcal{E}_{\omega_k} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_k}^{p^{d_k}-1}), \\ (\mathcal{E}_{\omega_k}^{p^{d_k}-1} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_k}) \mathcal{E}_{\omega_\ell} = \mathcal{E}_{\omega_k}^{p^{d_k}-1} (\mathcal{E}_{\omega_k} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_\ell}), \\ (\mathcal{E}_{\omega_k}^{p^{d_k}-1} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_k}) h = \mathcal{E}_{\omega_k}^{p^{d_k}-1} (\mathcal{E}_{\omega_k} h), \\ (\mathcal{E}_{\omega_k} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_k}^{p^{d_k}-1}) \mathcal{E}_{\omega_k} = \mathcal{E}_{\omega_k} (\mathcal{E}_{\omega_k}^{p^{d_k}-1} \mathcal{E}_{\omega_k}).$$

□

Remark 3.15.

- (i) Let $j = p - 2$ in Theorem 3.14. Then it derives a class of $np^{(1+\sum_{i=1}^{p-2} d_i)}$ -dimensional pointed Hopf algebras $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p; d_{p-2}, d_{p-3}, \dots, d_1)$ over \mathbb{k} of characteristic p .
- (ii) From Lemmas 3.3, 3.6, 3.10 and Theorem 3.14, one sees that $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ has a chain of quotient Hopf algebras: $\overline{\mathcal{T}} \twoheadrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{T}}_n \twoheadrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{T}}'_n(p) \twoheadrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p) \twoheadrightarrow \dots \twoheadrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p; d_j, d_{j-1}, \dots, d_1) \twoheadrightarrow \dots \twoheadrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p; d_{p-2}, d_{p-3}, \dots, d_1)$.

4. HOMOLOGICAL PROPERTIES

In this section, we study some homological properties of those pointed Hopf algebras constructed in Section 3 with finite GK-dimension. We begin by introducing some basic knowledge, see e.g. [22, 31, 9] for more details.

Let R be a ring. R is called *affine* if it is finitely generated. If R_R (respectively ${}_R R$) is Noetherian, then R is a *right Noetherian ring* (respectively *left Noetherian ring*). A ring R is a *Noetherian ring* if R is left Noetherian and right Noetherian. If there exists some monic polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Z}\langle x_1, x_2, \dots \rangle$ such that $f(r_1, \dots, r_n) = 0$ for all $r_i \in R$. Then R is said to be a *polynomial identity ring* (*PI ring* for short).

Lemma 4.1. [22, Theorem (iv), p.17] *Let $S = R[x; \sigma, \delta]$. If σ is an automorphism and R is right (or left) Noetherian, then S is right (respectively left) Noetherian.*

Lemma 4.2. [22, Corollary (iii), p.481] *If R is finitely generated as a right module over a commutative subring A , then R is a PI ring.*

Definition 4.3. [9, Definition 1.2] Let (A, ϵ) be an augmented Noetherian algebra. Then A is *Artin-Schelter Gorenstein* (or *AS-Gorenstein* for short) if

- (a) $\text{injdim}_A A = d < \infty$,
- (b) $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Ext}_A^d(A\mathbb{k}, {}_A A) = 1$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Ext}_A^i(A\mathbb{k}, {}_A A) = 0$ for all $i \neq d$,
- (c) the right A -module versions of (a) and (b) hold.

And if $\text{gldim } A = d$, then A is called *Artin-Schelter regular* (or *AS-regular*).

The following lemma is the combination of [31, Theorem 0.1] and [31, Theorem 0.2 (1)], see also [20, Lemma 2.1].

Lemma 4.4. [31, Theorem 0.1, Theorem 0.2] *Every affine noetherian PI Hopf algebra is AS-Gorenstein.*

We obtain the homological properties of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}'_n(p)$, $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p; d_j, d_{j-1}, \dots, d_1)$ for $1 \leq j \leq p-2$.

Proposition 4.5. $\overline{\mathcal{T}}'_n(p)$, $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p; d_j, d_{j-1}, \dots, d_1)$ are affine and Noetherian.

Proof. $\overline{\mathcal{T}}'_n(p)$ is affine because it is generated by $\{g, h\}$. Note that $R'_n(p) = \mathbb{k}[g, \mathcal{E}_{\omega_1}, \dots, \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{p-2}}]/(g^n)$ in Remark 3.7 (i) is Noetherian. Thus $\overline{\mathcal{T}}'_n(p) = R'_n(p)[h; \text{ad}_r h]$ is Noetherian by Lemma 4.1. $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p; d_j, d_{j-1}, \dots, d_1)$ are also affine and Noetherian, as quotients of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}'_n(p)$. \square

Proposition 4.6. $\overline{\mathcal{T}}'_n(p)$, $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p; d_j, d_{j-1}, \dots, d_1)$ are PI algebras.

Proof. By Remark 3.11, $R_n(p)$ is a commutative subalgebra of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p)$. Observe that $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p)$ is finitely generated as a right $R_n(p)$ -module because $h^p = 0$. Thus $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p)$ is PI by Lemma 4.2. Similarly, $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p; d_j, d_{j-1}, \dots, d_1)$ is PI. Note that the subalgebra R' of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}'_n(p)$ generated by $\{g, \mathcal{E}_{gh}, \dots, \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{p-2}}, h^p\}$ is commutative and $\overline{\mathcal{T}}'_n(p)$ is a free right R' -module with a basis $\{1, h, h^2, \dots, h^{p-1}\}$. Therefore, the algebra $\overline{\mathcal{T}}'_n(p)$ is PI by Lemma 4.2. \square

Corollary 4.7. $\overline{\mathcal{T}}'_n(p)$, $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_n(p; d_j, d_{j-1}, \dots, d_1)$ are AS-Gorenstein.

Proof. It follows from Proposition 4.5, Proposition 4.6 and Lemma 4.4 \square

The above examples may be not AS-regular, so we consider $\overline{\mathcal{T}}'_{\pm 1}(p)$ in Remark 3.7 (ii).

Corollary 4.8. $\overline{\mathcal{T}}'_{\pm 1}(p)$ is AS-regular.

Proof. It is evident that $\overline{\mathcal{T}}'_{\pm 1}(p)$ is affine. Since $\overline{\mathcal{T}}'_{\pm 1}(p) = \mathbb{k}[g^{\pm 1}][\mathcal{E}_{gh}] \dots [\mathcal{E}_{\omega_{p-2}}][h; \text{ad}_r h]$, $\overline{\mathcal{T}}'_{\pm 1}(p)$ is Noetherian by Lemma 4.1. It follows from [22, Theorem 7.5.3, p.263] that $\overline{\mathcal{T}}'_{\pm 1}(p)$ is of a finite global dimension. Observe that the subalgebra R' of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}'_{\pm 1}(p)$ generated by $\{g^{\pm 1}, \mathcal{E}_{gh}, \dots, \mathcal{E}_{\omega_{p-2}}, h^p\}$ is commutative and $\overline{\mathcal{T}}'_{\pm 1}(p)$ is a free right R' -module with a basis $\{1, h, h^2, \dots, h^{p-1}\}$. Thus $\overline{\mathcal{T}}'_{\pm 1}(p)$ is PI by Lemma 4.2. Therefore, $\overline{\mathcal{T}}'_{\pm 1}(p)$ is AS-regular from Lemma 4.4. \square

5. THE CORADICAL FILTRATIONS AND RELATED STRUCTURES

In this section, we study the coradical filtration of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\pm 1}$, \mathcal{H}_{FdB} and $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_p(p; \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{p-2})$ respectively. If there is no confusion, we set $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_p(p; 1, \dots, 1) := \overline{\mathcal{T}}_p(p; \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{p-2})$ for convenience in the sequel.

5.1. Nichols algebras.

Let H be a Hopf algebra with a bijective antipode. Let ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ be the category of left Yetter-Drinfel'd modules (see [25, Definition 11.6.2]), whose object (M, \cdot, ρ) is a left H -module (M, \cdot) and a left H -comodule (M, ρ) (write $\rho(m) := m_{(-1)} \otimes m_{(0)}$), satisfying the compatibility condition:

$$\rho(h \cdot m) = h_1 m_{(-1)} S_H(h_{(3)}) \otimes h_{(2)} \cdot m_{(0)}, \quad h \in H, m \in M.$$

${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ is a braided monoidal category with the braiding structure: for $M, N \in {}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$, the braiding

$$c : M \otimes N \rightarrow N \otimes M, \quad c(m \otimes n) = m_{(-1)} \cdot n \otimes m_{(0)}, \quad m \in M, n \in N.$$

A Hopf algebra B in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ is called a braided Hopf algebra (see [25, p. 370] and [3, Section 1]), with the coproduct Δ_B satisfying: $\Delta_B(1) = 1 \otimes 1$ and

$$\Delta_B(bc) = b_{(1)}(b_{(2)(-1)} \cdot c_{(1)}) \otimes b_{(2)(0)}c_{(2)}, \quad b, c \in B.$$

For Subections 5.2 and 5.3, we need the following conclusions.

Lemma 5.1. [25, Theorem 11.7.1] *Let A, H be Hopf algebras over \mathbb{k} . If there are Hopf algebra maps $\pi : A \rightarrow H$ and $j : H \rightarrow A$ such that $\pi \circ j = id$, then there exists a braided Hopf algebra $(B, \cdot, \rho) \in {}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} A &\cong B \# H, \\ B &= A^{co \pi} = \{a \in A \mid (id \otimes \pi)(\Delta(a)) = a \otimes 1\}, \\ \Delta_B &= (\Pi \otimes id) \circ \Delta_A|_B, \\ x \cdot b &= j(x_{(1)})b_j(S(x_{(2)})), \\ \rho(b) &= \pi(b_{(1)}) \otimes b_{(2)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Pi = id * (j \circ S \circ \pi)$, $x \in H$ and $b \in B$.

Recall that the *coradical filtration* of a coalgebra C over \mathbb{k} is a coalgebra filtration $\{C_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ of C such that $C_0 \subseteq C_1 \subseteq C_2 \dots \subseteq \cup_{n=0}^\infty C_n$ and $\Delta(C_n) \subseteq \sum_{\ell=0}^n C_{n-\ell} \otimes C_\ell$, where $C_0 = \text{corad } C$ and $C_n := C_{n-1} \wedge C_0 = \Delta^{-1}(C_{n-1} \otimes C + C \otimes C_0)$ for $n \geq 0$.

Suppose that $\{H_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is the coradical filtration of a Hopf algebra H over \mathbb{k} , which is also an algebra filtration. The *graded associated Hopf algebra* $\text{gr}(H)$ of H is an \mathbb{N} -graded Hopf algebra such that $\text{gr}(H) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^\infty H(n)$, $H(n) = H_n/H_{n-1}$, with the multiplication, the coproduct $\Delta_{\text{gr}(H)}$ and the antipode $S_{\text{gr}(H)}$ respectively defined by: let $\bar{a} \in H(m), \bar{b} \in H(n)$. $\overline{\bar{a}\bar{b}} = \overline{ab}$ is determined by $(a + H_{m-1})(b + H_{n-1}) = ab + H_{m+n-1}$, $a \in H_m, b \in H_n$; $S_{\text{gr}(H)}(\bar{a}) = \overline{S_H(a)}$ is determined by $S_{\text{gr}(H)}(a + H_{m-1}) = S_H(a) + H_{m-1}$, $a \in H_m$; and

$$\Delta_{\text{gr}(H)}(\bar{a}) = \sum_{\ell=0}^m (\pi_{n-\ell} \otimes \pi_\ell) \circ \Delta_H(a), \quad \pi_j : H_j \rightarrow H(j) = H_j/H_{j-1}, \quad h \mapsto \bar{h}, \quad a \in H_m.$$

Definition 5.2. [3, Definition 2.1] Let $V \in {}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$. A braided Hopf algebra $R = \bigoplus_{n=0}^\infty R(n) \in {}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ is called a *Nichols algebra* if $R(0) \cong \mathbb{k}$, $R(1) \cong V$, $\mathcal{P}(R) = R(1)$ and R is generated as an algebra by $R(1)$.

Note that [3, Proposition 2.2] shows the existence and the uniqueness of Nichols algebras R : $R \cong B(V) = T(V)/I(V)$, where $I(V)$ is the sum of I such that I is a homogeneous bi-ideal of $T(V)$ generated by homogeneous elements of degree ≥ 2 .

5.2. The coradical filtrations of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\pm 1}$ and \mathcal{H}_{FdB} .

We study the coradical filtration of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\pm 1}$. Set $y := h$, $x := g$, $x_0 := 1$ and $x_m := \mathcal{E}_{\omega_m} g^{-1}$ for all $m \geq 1$. Observe that $\mathbb{k}[x^{\pm 1}] \cong \mathbb{k}\mathbb{Z}$. Then we rewrite the defining relations of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\pm 1}^{\text{cop}}$.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $z_2 := x_2 - \frac{3}{2}x_1^2$ and $z_n := x_n - \frac{(n+1)!}{2^n}x_1^n$ for $n \geq 2$. Then the relations of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\pm 1}^{\text{cop}}$ are equivalent to*

$$(33) \quad [x, y] = x_1x,$$

$$(34) \quad [x_1, y] = \frac{1}{2}x_1^2 + z_2,$$

$$(35) \quad [z_n, y] = -z_nx_1 - \frac{n(n+1)!}{2^n}z_2x_1^{n-1} + z_{n+1}, \quad n \geq 2,$$

$$(36) \quad [x, x_1] = 0, \quad [x, z_m] = 0, \quad [x_1, z_n] = 0, \quad [z_n, z_m] = 0, \quad 2 \leq n < m.$$

Proof. Rewrite the relations of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\pm 1}^{\text{cop}}$ as follows:

$$[x, y] = x_1x, \quad [x_n, y] = -x_nx_1 + x_{n+1}, \quad [x, x_n] = 0, \quad [x_n, x_m] = 0, \quad 1 \leq n < m.$$

Then the claim holds by induction on n . \square

Let $\mathcal{F}_n^{\pm} := F_n^{\pm}\mathbb{k}[x^{\pm 1}]$ for $n \geq 0$, where

$$F_n^{\pm} = \bigoplus_{\substack{r+(i_m-1)s_m+\dots+(i_2-1)s_2+s_1 \leq n, \\ i_m > \dots > i_2 \geq 2}} \mathbb{k}y^r z_{i_m}^{s_m} \dots z_{i_2}^{s_2} x_1^{s_1}$$

For example,

$$\mathcal{F}_0^{\pm} = \mathbb{k}[x^{\pm 1}],$$

$$\mathcal{F}_1^{\pm} = (\mathbb{k}1 \oplus \mathbb{k}y \oplus \mathbb{k}z_2 \oplus \mathbb{k}x_1)\mathbb{k}[x^{\pm 1}],$$

$$\mathcal{F}_2^{\pm} = (\mathbb{k}1 \oplus \mathbb{k}y \oplus \mathbb{k}z_2 \oplus \mathbb{k}x_1 \oplus \mathbb{k}z_3 \oplus \mathbb{k}y^2 \oplus \mathbb{k}yz_2 \oplus \mathbb{k}yx_1 \oplus \mathbb{k}z_2^2 \oplus \mathbb{k}z_2x_1 \oplus \mathbb{k}x_1^2)\mathbb{k}[x^{\pm 1}].$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{F}_0^{\pm} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_1^{\pm} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{F}_n^{\pm} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\pm 1}^{\text{cop}}$. Following the definition of F_n^{\pm} , we have:

Lemma 5.4. *For $n \geq 0$, $F_n^{\pm} \subseteq \sum_{\substack{s+t=n \\ s,t < n}} F_s^{\pm} F_t^{\pm}$.*

Lemma 5.5. $\cup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n^{\pm} = \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\pm 1}^{\text{cop}}$. *Furthermore, $\{\mathcal{F}_n^{\pm}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a Hopf algebra filtration of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\pm 1}^{\text{cop}}$.*

Proof. Note that $\{y^r z_{i_m}^{s_m} \dots z_{i_2}^{s_2} x_1^{s_1} x^t \mid i_m > \dots > i_2 \geq 2, r, s_m, \dots, s_1 \geq 0, t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a PBW basis of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\pm 1}^{\text{cop}}$. Thus $\cup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n^{\pm} = \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\pm 1}^{\text{cop}}$. It is evident that $\mathcal{F}_0^{\pm} \mathcal{F}_n^{\pm} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_n^{\pm}$ for all $n \geq 0$ from Lemma 5.3. To show $\mathcal{F}_m^{\pm} \mathcal{F}_n^{\pm} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{m+n}^{\pm}$ for all $m, n \geq 0$, it suffices to show $F_m^{\pm} F_n^{\pm} \subseteq F_{m+n}^{\pm}$. By Lemma 5.3, it is easy to show that $F_1^{\pm} F_n^{\pm} \subseteq F_{n+1}^{\pm}$ for all $n \geq 0$. Consequently, $F_2^{\pm} F_n^{\pm} \subseteq (\sum F_1^{\pm} F_1^{\pm}) F_n^{\pm} \subseteq F_{n+2}^{\pm}$. By induction on m , we have $F_m^{\pm} F_n^{\pm} \subseteq (\sum_{\substack{s+t=m \\ s,t < m}} F_s^{\pm} F_t^{\pm}) F_n^{\pm} \subseteq F_{m+n}^{\pm}$, using Lemma 5.4. Thus $\{\mathcal{F}_n^{\pm}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is an algebra filtration. Now we show $\Delta(\mathcal{F}_n^{\pm}) \subseteq \sum_{\ell=0}^n \mathcal{F}_{n-\ell}^{\pm} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\ell}^{\pm}$. By induction on n , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\mathcal{F}_n^{\pm}) &\subseteq \Delta\left(\sum_{\substack{s+t=n \\ s,t < n}} \mathcal{F}_s^{\pm} \mathcal{F}_t^{\pm}\right) = \sum_{\substack{s+t=n \\ s,t < n}} \Delta(\mathcal{F}_s^{\pm}) \Delta(\mathcal{F}_t^{\pm}) \subseteq \sum_{\substack{s+t=n \\ s,t < n}} \left(\sum_{\substack{s_1+s_2=s \\ s_1, s_2 < s}} \mathcal{F}_{s_1}^{\pm} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{s_2}^{\pm}\right) \left(\sum_{t_1+t_2=t} \mathcal{F}_{t_1}^{\pm} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{t_2}^{\pm}\right) \\ &\subseteq \sum_{s_1+t_1+s_2+t_2=n} \mathcal{F}_{s_1+t_1}^{\pm} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{s_2+t_2}^{\pm} = \sum_{\ell=0}^n \mathcal{F}_{n-\ell}^{\pm} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\ell}^{\pm}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\{\mathcal{F}_n^\pm\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is a coalgebra filtration. To show $\{\mathcal{F}_n^\pm\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is a Hopf filtration it remains to show $S(\mathcal{F}_n^\pm) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_n^\pm$. Note that $\sum_{\ell=0}^n S(\mathcal{F}_{n-\ell}^\pm)\mathcal{F}_\ell^\pm = \mathbb{k}1$. It is clear that $S(\mathcal{F}_0^\pm) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_0^\pm$. For $n \geq 1$, by induction on n , we obtain:

$$S(\mathcal{F}_n^\pm)\mathcal{F}_0^\pm = \mathbb{k}1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^n S(\mathcal{F}_{n-\ell}^\pm)\mathcal{F}_\ell^\pm \subseteq \mathbb{k}1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^n \mathcal{F}_{n-\ell}^\pm\mathcal{F}_\ell^\pm \subseteq \mathcal{F}_n^\pm.$$

□

Lemma 5.6. *Assume $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$. Then \mathcal{F}_1^\pm is the first term of the coradical filtration of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\pm 1}^{\text{cop}}$.*

Proof. By the Taft-Wilson Theorem, it suffices to show that any non-trivial $(x^N, 1)$ -skew primitive elements of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\pm 1}^{\text{cop}}$ is contained in F_1^\pm for $N \geq 0$. It is clear that $\mathcal{P}_{x,1}(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\pm 1}^{\text{cop}}) = \mathbb{k}\{1 - x, y, x_1\}$. Let z be a non-trivial $(x^N, 1)$ -skew primitive element for $N \geq 2$. Since $z_2 = x_2 - \frac{3}{2}x_1^2 \in \mathcal{P}_{x^2,1}(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\pm 1}^{\text{cop}})$ it is enough to show that $N = 2$ and $z = \alpha z_2$ for some $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbb{k}$.

Note that $\{y^r x_{i_m}^{s_{i_m}} \cdots x_{i_1}^{s_{i_1}} x^s \mid r, s_{i_m}, \dots, s_{i_1} \geq 0, s \in \mathbb{Z}, i_m > \dots > i_1 \geq 1\}$ is a basis of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\pm 1}^{\text{cop}}$. It follows that

$$z = \sum_{\substack{r+N s_N+\dots+s_1=N \\ r, s_N, \dots, s_1 \geq 0}} k_{r, s_N, \dots, s_1} y^r x_N^{s_N} \cdots x_1^{s_1}.$$

By Formula (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(z) &= \sum_{\substack{r+N s_N+\dots+s_1=N \\ r, s_N, \dots, s_1 \geq 0}} k_{r, s_N, \dots, s_1} (y^r \otimes 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{S}\mathcal{H}_{n-k, k}(y, x) \otimes y^k + x^r \otimes y^r) \\ &\quad \cdot (x_N^{s_N} \cdots x_1^{s_1} \otimes 1 + \dots + x^{N-r} \otimes x_N^{s_N} \cdots x_1^{s_1}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $r \neq 0$, then we obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{r+N s_N+\dots+s_1=N \\ r, s_N, \dots, s_1 \geq 0}} k_{r, s_N, \dots, s_1} x^r x_N^{s_N} \cdots x_1^{s_1} = 0.$$

Thus $k_{r, s_N, \dots, s_1} = 0$ for all s_N, \dots, s_1 and so $z = \sum_{N s_N+\dots+s_1=N} \ell_{s_N, \dots, s_1} x_N^{s_N} \cdots x_1^{s_1}$. Let $N \geq 2$. We focus on the terms of the forms $x^{N-1}x \otimes x_1$ and $x_1 x^{N-1} \otimes x_{N-1}$ in $\Delta(z)$. They only occur in $\Delta(\ell_{1,0,\dots,0} x_N)$ and $\Delta(\ell_{0,1,0,\dots,1} x_{N-1} x_1)$. We make the calculation:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(x_N) &= x_N \otimes 1 + ((N+1)x_{N-1} + \binom{N+1}{2}x_{N-2}x_1 + \dots)x \otimes x_1 + \dots \\ &\quad + \binom{N+1}{2}x_1 x^{N-1} \otimes x_{N-1} + x^N \otimes x_N, \\ \Delta(x_{N-1}x_1) &= x_{N-1}x_1 \otimes 1 + x_{N-1}x \otimes x_1 + \dots + x_1 x^{N-1} \otimes x_{N-1} + x^N \otimes x_{N-1}x_1. \end{aligned}$$

If $N = 2$, then $z = \alpha z_2$ for some $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbb{k}$. If $N \geq 3$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_{1,0,\dots,0}(N+1) + \ell_{0,1,0,\dots,1} &= 0, \\ \ell_{1,0,\dots,0} \binom{N+1}{2} + \ell_{0,1,0,\dots,1} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$, we have $\ell_{1,0,\dots,0} = \ell_{0,1,0,\dots,1} = 0$. Thus $z = \sum_{(N-2)s_{N-2}+\dots+s_1=N} \ell_{0,0,s_{N-2},\dots,s_1} x_{N-2}^{s_{N-2}} \cdots x_1^{s_1}$. Now, if $N = 3$, then $z = \ell_{0,0,3} x_1^3$ is not primitive because $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$. Thus $\ell_{0,0,3} = 0$. If

$N \geq 4$, then we focus on the terms of the form $_ \otimes x_{N-2}$ in $\Delta(z)$. Observe that they only occur in $\Delta(\ell_{0,0,1,\dots,1,0}x_{N-2}x_2)$ and $\Delta(\ell_{0,0,1,\dots,0,2}x_{N-2}x_1^2)$. Then

$$\ell_{0,0,1,\dots,1,0}x_2x^{N-2} + \ell_{0,0,1,\dots,0,2}x_1^2x^{N-2} = 0.$$

Thus $\ell_{0,0,1,\dots,1,0} = \ell_{0,0,1,\dots,0,2} = 0$ and $z = \sum_{(N-3)s_{N-3}+\dots+s_1=N} \ell_{0,0,s_{N-3},\dots,s_1}x_{N-3}^{s_{N-3}} \cdots x_1^{s_1}$. Similarly, we obtain inductively $\ell_{s_N,\dots,s_1} = 0$ for all s_N, \dots, s_1 such that $Ns_N + \dots + s_1 = N$. Thus $z = 0$ if $N \geq 3$. Therefore, $N = 2$ and $z = \alpha z_2$ for $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbb{k}$. \square

Let $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}^\pm}(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\pm 1}^{\text{cop}})$ be the graded Hopf algebra associated to the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_n^\pm\}_{n=0}^\infty$.

Theorem 5.7. $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}^\pm}(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\pm 1}^{\text{cop}}) \cong B_\pm \# \mathbb{k}\mathbb{Z}$, where B_\pm is a braided Hopf algebra in ${}_{\mathbb{k}\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{k}\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{YD}$ determined by

$$(37) \quad [x_1, y] = \frac{1}{2}x_1^2, \quad [z_n, y] = -z_nx_1 - \frac{n(n+1)!}{2^n}z_2x_1^{n-1} + z_{n+1}, \quad n \geq 2,$$

$$(38) \quad [x_1, z_n] = 0, \quad [z_n, z_m] = 0, \quad 2 \leq n < m.$$

$$(39) \quad x \cdot x_1 = x_1, \quad x \cdot y = y + x_1, \quad x \cdot z_n = z_n, \quad n \geq 2$$

$$(40) \quad \rho(x_1) = x \otimes x_1, \quad \rho(y) = x \otimes y, \quad \rho(z_n) = x^n \otimes z_n, \quad n \geq 2.$$

If $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$, then B_\pm is the Nichols algebra of $V_{1,2} = \mathbb{k}\{y, z_2, x_1\} \in {}_{\mathbb{k}\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{k}\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{YD}$.

Proof. Note that $z_n \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1}^\pm$ for $n \geq 2$. Then, by Lemma 5.3, the relations of $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\pm 1}^{\text{cop}})$ are determined by (33), (37) and (36).

Thus $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\pm 1}^{\text{cop}}) \cong B_\pm \# \mathbb{k}\mathbb{Z}$ by Lemma 5.1. Observe that B_\pm is generated by $B(1) = V_{1,2}$. If $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$, then $\mathcal{P}(B_\pm) = B_\pm(1) = V_{1,2}$ by Lemma 5.6. Therefore, $B_\pm \cong \mathcal{B}(V_{1,2})$. \square

Corollary 5.8. Assume $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$. Then $\{\mathcal{F}_n^\pm\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is the coradical filtration of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\pm 1}^{\text{cop}}$ (and $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\pm 1}$).

Proof. Note that the coradical filtration of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\pm 1}^{\text{cop}}$ is also the coradical filtration of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\pm 1}$. Thus the claim follows directly from Theorem 5.7. \square

Remark 5.9. (i) With the notations in Theorem 5.7, using the formula of the braiding in ${}_{\mathbb{k}\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{k}\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{YD}$, we have the braided matrix of $V_{1,2}$ given by

$$c \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \otimes x_1 & x_1 \otimes y & x_1 \otimes z_2 \\ y \otimes x_1 & y \otimes y & y \otimes z_2 \\ z_2 \otimes x_1 & z_2 \otimes y & z_2 \otimes z_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \otimes x_1 & (y + x_1) \otimes x_1 & z_2 \otimes x_1 \\ x_1 \otimes y & (y + x_1) \otimes y & z_2 \otimes y \\ x_1 \otimes z_2 & (y + 2x_1) \otimes z_2 & z_2 \otimes z_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence $\mathbb{k}\{x_1, y\}$ is of Jordan type (see e.g. [11] and [1]). The braiding of $V_{1,2}$ also appeared in [1, Section 4.1.1] with the ghost equal to -4 . If $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$, $\mathcal{B}(V_{1,2}) \cong B_\pm$ has infinite GK-dimension and its defining relations are given by relations (37) and (38).

(ii) Let $z'_2 := z_2$ and $z'_{n+1} := [y, z'_n]_c = yz'_n - (y_{(-1)} \cdot z'_n)y_{(0)}$ for $n \geq 2$. It is clear that $z'_{n+1} = yz'_n - (x \cdot z'_n)y = [y, z'_n]$. Set $z_0 = z_1 = 0$. We can prove that

$$(41) \quad z'_n = (-1)^n(z_n - (n+1)z_{n-1}x_1 + \frac{n(n+1)}{2}z_{n-2}x_1^2), \quad n \geq 2.$$

In case $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$, the relations of B_\pm (or $\mathcal{B}(V_{1,2})$) are equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} x_1y &= yx_1 + \frac{1}{2}x_1^2, & yz'_n &= z'_ny + z'_{n+1}, & n &\geq 2, \\ x_1z'_n &= z'_nx_1, & z'_nz'_m &= z'_mz'_n, & 2 &\leq n \leq m. \end{aligned}$$

Let $c_{n,k} \in \mathbb{k}$ for $1 \leq k \leq n+1$, $c_{n,n} := 1$ and $c_{n,1} = c_{n,n+1} = 0$. Then

$$\Delta(z'_n) = z'_n \otimes 1 + \sum_{k=2}^n c_{n,k} x_1^{n-k} \otimes z'_k,$$

where $c_{n,k}$ is determined by the recursion:

$$c_{n,k} = c_{n-1,k-1} - \frac{n-1+k}{2} c_{n-1,k}, \quad 2 \leq k \leq n.$$

More precisely,

$$(42) \quad c_{n,k} = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-k} \binom{n-2}{k-2} (k+2)(k+3) \cdots (n+1), \quad 2 \leq k \leq n-1.$$

Remark 5.10. In case $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = p > 0$, B_{\pm} is not a Nichols algebra of $V_{1,2}$.

Let $\mathcal{F}_n^{\text{FdB}} := F_n^{\text{FdB}} \mathbb{k}[x^{\pm 1}]$ for $n \geq 0$, where

$$F_n^{\text{FdB}} = \bigoplus_{\substack{(i_m-1)s_m + \dots + (i_2-1)s_2 + s_1 \leq n, \\ i_m > \dots > i_2 \geq 2}} \mathbb{k} z_{i_m}^{s_m} \cdots z_{i_2}^{s_2} x_1^{s_1}$$

For example,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_0^{\text{FdB}} &= \mathbb{k}[x^{\pm 1}], \\ \mathcal{F}_1^{\text{FdB}} &= (\mathbb{k}1 \oplus \mathbb{k}z_2 \oplus \mathbb{k}x_1) \mathbb{k}[x^{\pm 1}], \\ \mathcal{F}_2^{\text{FdB}} &= (\mathbb{k}1 \oplus \mathbb{k}z_2 \oplus \mathbb{k}x_1 \oplus \mathbb{k}z_3 \oplus \mathbb{k}z_2^2 \oplus \mathbb{k}z_2 x_1 \oplus \mathbb{k}x_1^2) \mathbb{k}[x^{\pm 1}]. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{F}_0^{\text{FdB}} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_1^{\text{FdB}} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{F}_n^{\text{FdB}} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{\text{FdB}}^{\text{cop}}$.

Corollary 5.11. Assume $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$. Then $\{\mathcal{F}_n^{\text{FdB}}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is the coradical filtration of $\mathcal{H}_{\text{FdB}}^{\text{cop}}$ (and \mathcal{H}_{FdB}).

Proof. Note that $\mathcal{F}_n^{\text{FdB}} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{FdB}}^{\text{cop}} \cap \mathcal{F}_n^{\pm}$ for all $n \geq 0$. Thus the claim follows from [25, Corollary 4.2.2 (a)] and Corollary 5.8. \square

5.3. The coradical filtration of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_p(p; 1, \dots, 1)$.

We study the coradical filtration of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_p(p; 1, \dots, 1)$. Let $\pi_{\pm} : \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{\pm 1}^{\text{cop}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{T}}_p(p; 1, \dots, 1)^{\text{cop}}$ be the projection. If there is no confusion, we still write x for $\pi_{\pm}(x)$, $\forall x \in \overline{\mathcal{T}}^{\pm}$.

Let $\mathcal{F}_n = \pi_{\pm}(\mathcal{F}_n^{\pm})$. Then $\mathcal{F}_n := F_n \mathbb{k}[x]/(x^p)$, where

$$F_n = \bigoplus_{\substack{r + (i_m-1)s_m + \dots + (i_2-1)s_2 + s_1 \leq n, \\ i_m > \dots > i_2 \geq 2}} \mathbb{k} y^r z_{i_m}^{s_m} \cdots z_{i_2}^{s_2} x_1^{s_1}$$

Lemma 5.12. The filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a Hopf algebra filtration of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_p(p; 1, \dots, 1)^{\text{cop}}$.

Proof. It is similar to the proof of Lemma 5.5. \square

Lemma 5.13. Assume $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = p > 3$. Then \mathcal{F}_1 is the first term of the coalgebra filtration of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_p(p; 1, \dots, 1)^{\text{cop}}$.

Proof. By the Taft-Wilson Theorem, it suffices to show that any non-trivial $(x^N, 1)$ -skew primitive element is contained in F_1 , where $0 \leq N < p$. It is clear that $\mathcal{P}_{x,1}(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_p(p; 1, \dots, 1)^{\text{cop}}) = \mathbb{k}\{1-x, y, x_1\}$. Let $z \in \mathcal{P}_{x^N,1}(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_p(p; 1, \dots, 1)^{\text{cop}})$ for $2 \leq N < p$. It remains to show that $z = 0$ if $3 \leq N \leq p-1$; and $z = \alpha z_2$ for some $\alpha \neq 0$ if $N = 2$. Note that $\{y^r x_{p-2}^{s_{p-2}} \cdots x_1^{s_1} x^s \mid 0 \leq r, s_{p-2}, \dots, s_1, s \leq p-1\}$ is a basis of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_p(p; 1, 1, \dots, 1)^{\text{cop}}$. The rest is similar to the proof of Lemma 5.6. \square

Let $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_p(p; 1, \dots, 1)^{\text{cop}})$ be the graded Hopf algebra associated to the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$.

Theorem 5.14. *Assume $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = p > 3$. Then $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_p(p; 1, \dots, 1)^{\text{cop}}) \cong B \# \mathbb{k}\mathbb{Z}_p$, where B is of dimension p^{p-1} , determined by*

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1, y] &= \frac{1}{2}x_1^2, & [z_n, y] &= -z_n x_1 - \frac{n(n+1)!}{2^n} z_2 x_1^{n-1} + z_{n+1}, & 2 \leq n \leq p-2, & & z_{p-1} &= 0, \\ [x, x_1] &= 0, & [x, z_n] &= 0, & [x_1, z_n] &= 0, & [z_n, z_m] &= 0, & 2 \leq n \leq m \leq p-2, \\ x_1^p &= 0, & y^p &= 0, & z_n^p &= 0, & 2 \leq n &\leq p-2, \\ x \cdot x_1 &= x_1, & x \cdot y &= y + x_1, & x \cdot z_n &= z_n, & 2 \leq n &\leq p-2, \\ \rho(x_1) &= x \otimes x_1, & \rho(y) &= x \otimes y, & \rho(z_n) &= x^n \otimes z_n, & 2 \leq n &\leq p-2. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, $B \cong \mathcal{B}(V_{1,2})$, where $V_{1,2} = \mathbb{k}\{y, z_2, x_1\} \in \frac{\mathbb{k}\mathbb{Z}_p}{\mathbb{k}\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{YD}$.

Proof. Similar to the proof of Theorem 5.7, we have $\text{gr}_{\mathcal{F}}(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_p(p; 1, \dots, 1)^{\text{cop}}) \cong B \# \mathbb{k}\mathbb{Z}_p$. It is clear that B is generated by $B(1) = V_{1,2}$. By Lemma 5.13, $\mathcal{P}(B) = B(1) = V_{1,2}$. Therefore, $B \cong \mathcal{B}(V_{1,2})$. \square

Remark 5.15. With the notations in Remark 5.9 (ii), if $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = p > 3$, then the relations of $\mathcal{B}(V_{1,2})$ are equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 y &= y x_1 + \frac{1}{2} x_1^2, & y z'_n &= z'_n y + z'_{n+1}, & 2 \leq n \leq p-2, \\ z'_{p-1} &= 0, & x_1 z'_n &= z'_n x_1, & z'_n z'_m &= z'_m z'_n, & 2 \leq n \leq m \leq p-2, \\ x_1^p &= 0, & y^p &= 0, & z_n^p &= 0, & 2 \leq n \leq p-2. \end{aligned}$$

We mention that this braided Hopf algebra has already appeared in [2, Proposition 4.10].

Note that when $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$, $\mathcal{B}(V_{1,2})$ is of infinite GK-dimension, while $\mathcal{B}(V_{1,2})$ is finite-dimensional if $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = p > 3$.

Remark 5.16. If $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 3$, then $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_3(3; 1) \cong \text{gr}(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_3(3; 1)) \cong \mathcal{B}(V) \# \mathbb{k}\mathbb{Z}_3$, where $V = \mathbb{k}y \oplus \mathbb{k}x_1$ and $\mathcal{B}(V)$ is determined by

$$x_1 y = y x_1 + \frac{1}{2} x_1^2, \quad x_1^3 = 0, \quad y^3 = 0.$$

We mention that $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_3(3; 1)$ has appeared in [11, Corollary 3.14], and its Nichols algebra is of Jordan type (see also [11, Theorem 3.5] and [3, Section 3.5]).

Corollary 5.17. *Assume $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = p > 3$. Then $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is the coalgebra filtration of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_p(p; 1, \dots, 1)^{\text{cop}}$ (and $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_p(p; 1, \dots, 1)$).*

Proof. It follows directly from Theorem 5.14. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The first author thanks both the China Scholarship Council (No. 201906140164) and BOF-UHasselt for its financial support during his research study at the University of Hasselt.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Andruskiewitsch, I. Angiono, and I. Heckenberger. On finite GK-dimensional Nichols algebras over abelian groups. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 271 (1329): ix+125, 2021.
- [2] N. Andruskiewitsch, I. Angiono, and I. Heckenberger. Examples of finite-dimensional pointed Hopf algebras in positive characteristic. In *Representation theory, mathematical physics, and integrable systems*, volume 340 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 1–38. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, [2021] ©2021.
- [3] N. Andruskiewitsch and H.J. Schneider. Pointed Hopf algebras. In *New directions in Hopf algebras*, volume 43 of *Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ.*, pages 1–68. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [4] E.T. Bell. Partition polynomials. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 29 (1-4): 38–46, 1927/28.
- [5] E.T. Bell. Exponential polynomials. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 35 (2): 258–277, 1934.
- [6] G.M. Bergman. The diamond lemma for ring theory. *Adv. in Math.*, 29 (2): 178–218, 1978.
- [7] C. Brouder, A. Frabetti, and C. Krattenthaler. Non-commutative Hopf algebra of formal diffeomorphisms. *Adv. Math.*, 200 (2): 479–524, 2006.
- [8] K.A. Brown, S. O’Hagan, J.J. Zhang, and G. Zhuang. Connected Hopf algebras and iterated Ore extensions. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 219 (6): 2405–2433, 2015.
- [9] K.A. Brown and J.J. Zhang. Dualising complexes and twisted Hochschild (co)homology for Noetherian Hopf algebras. *J. Algebra*, 320 (5): 1814–1850, 2008.
- [10] K.-T. Chen, R.H. Fox, and R.C. Lyndon. Free differential calculus. IV. The quotient groups of the lower central series. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 68 : 81–95, 1958.
- [11] C. Cibils, A. Lauve, and S. Witherspoon. Hopf quivers and Nichols algebras in positive characteristic. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 137 (12): 4029–4041, 2009.
- [12] P. Doubilet. A Hopf algebra arising from the lattice of partitions of a set. *J. Algebra*, 28 : 127–132, 1974.
- [13] K. Ebrahimi-Fard, A. Lundervold, and D. Manchon. Noncommutative Bell polynomials, quasideterminants and incidence Hopf algebras. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, 24 (5): 671–705, 2014.
- [14] H. Figueroa and J.M. Gracia-Bondía. Combinatorial Hopf algebras in quantum field theory. I. *Rev. Math. Phys.*, 17 (8): 881–976, 2005.
- [15] A. Frabetti and D. Manchon. Five interpretations of Faà di Bruno’s formula. In *Faà di Bruno Hopf algebras, Dyson-Schwinger equations, and Lie-Butcher series*, volume 21 of *IRMA Lect. Math. Theor. Phys.*, pages 91–147. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2015.
- [16] H. Jia and Y.H. Zhang. Noncommutative binomial theorem, shuffle type polynomials and Bell polynomials. *arXiv:2304.06432*.
- [17] S.A. Joni and G.-C. Rota. Coalgebras and bialgebras in combinatorics. *Stud. Appl. Math.*, 61 (2): 93–139, 1979.
- [18] V.K. Kharchenko. A quantum analogue of the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem. *Algebra Log.*, 38 (4): 476–507, 509, 1999.
- [19] G.R. Krause and T.H. Lenagan. *Growth of algebras and Gelfand-Kirillov dimension*, volume 22 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, revised edition, 2000.
- [20] G.X. Liu. A classification result on prime Hopf algebras of GK-dimension one. *J. Algebra*, 547 : 579–667, 2020.
- [21] M. Lothaire. *Combinatorics on words*. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997. With a foreword by Roger Lyndon and a preface by Dominique Perrin, Corrected reprint of the 1983 original, with a new preface by Perrin.
- [22] J.C. McConnell and J.C. Robson. *Noncommutative Noetherian rings*, volume 30 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, revised edition, 2001. With the cooperation of L. W. Small.
- [23] D.E. Radford. Operators on Hopf algebras. *Amer. J. Math.*, 99 (1): 139–158, 1977.
- [24] D.E. Radford. A natural ring basis for the shuffle algebra and an application to group schemes. *J. Algebra*, 58 (2): 432–454, 1979.
- [25] D.E. Radford. *Hopf algebras*, volume 49 of *Series on Knots and Everything*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2012.
- [26] M. Rosso. Lyndon words and Universal R-matrices, talk at MSRI, October 26, 1999, available at <http://www.msri.org/workshops/39/schedules/25453>; Lyndon basis and the multiplicative formula for R-matrices, preprint (2003).
- [27] R. Schimming and S. Z. Rida. The Bell differential polynomials. In *Applications of Fibonacci numbers, Vol. 7 (Graz, 1996)*, pages 353–367. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1998.
- [28] A.I. Shirshov. On free Lie rings. *Mat. Sb. N.S.*, 45 (87): 113–122, 1958.
- [29] M.K. Smith. Universal enveloping algebras with subexponential but not polynomially bounded growth. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 60 : 22–24 (1977), 1976.

- [30] M. Takeuchi. Free Hopf algebras generated by coalgebras. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 23 : 561–582, 1971.
 [31] Q.-S. Wu and J. J. Zhang. Noetherian PI Hopf algebras are Gorenstein. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 355 (3): 1043–1066, 2003.

¹ SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, EAST CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200241, CHINA

² DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF HASSELT, UNIVERSITAIRE CAMPUS, 3590 DIEPENBEEK, BELGIUM

³ DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CHANGZHOU UNIVERSITY, CHANGZHOU 213164, CHINA

HUAN JIA ^{1,2}

Email address: huan.jia@uhasselt.be

NAIHONG HU ¹

Email address: nhhu@math.ecnu.edu.cn

RONGCHUAN XIONG ³

Email address: rcxiong@foxmail.com

YINHUO ZHANG ^{2,*}

Email address: yinhuo.zhang@uhasselt.be