

ON SYLOW THEORY FOR LINEAR PSEUDOFINITE GROUPS

PINAR UĞURLU KOWALSKI

ABSTRACT. We prove the conjugacy of Sylow p -subgroups of linear pseudofinite groups under the assumption of the existence of a finite Sylow p -subgroup. We also give an example of a linear pseudofinite group with non-conjugate Sylow 2-subgroups.

INTRODUCTION

Since pseudofinite groups are models of the first order theory of finite groups, it is natural to try to generalise some results related to finite groups to the pseudofinite case. One of the nice properties shared by all finite groups is the conjugacy of Sylow p -subgroups. In this work, we show that this is too good to be true for pseudofinite groups, even for linear ones, by providing an example of a linear pseudofinite group with non-conjugate Sylow 2-subgroups (see Example 3.8). However, under extra assumptions, one can obtain some conjugacy results. In [4], the author proved the conjugacy of Sylow 2-subgroups of pseudofinite \mathfrak{M}_c -groups (groups satisfying descending chain condition on centralizers) provided that there is a finite Sylow 2-subgroup. Since linear groups are \mathfrak{M}_c -groups, this result gives the desired conjugacy of Sylow 2-subgroups for linear pseudofinite groups once there is a finite Sylow 2-subgroup. In this paper, we generalise this conditional conjugacy result to Sylow p -subgroups (for any prime p) in the case of linear pseudofinite groups.

The main result of this paper is stated below.

Theorem 3.2. *If a linear pseudofinite group G has a finite Sylow p -subgroup, then all Sylow p -subgroups of G are conjugate and hence finite.*

The structure of this paper is as follows.

In the first section, we recall some basic notions from group theory, we briefly introduce pseudofinite groups and we fix our terminology and notation.

2020 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 03C20, 20G99.

Keywords and phrases. Pseudofinite group, Sylow p -subgroup, Linear group.

In the second section, we summarize some known (non-)conjugacy results for Sylow p -subgroups of some particular groups.

In the last section, we state and prove the main theorem (Theorem 3.2) and provide some examples of linear pseudofinite groups with conjugate and non-conjugate Sylow 2-subgroups. We also comment on a question stated by Wagner in [11].

1. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall the definitions of some basic notions from group theory and we also recall the notion of pseudofinite groups very briefly without going into details. By doing so, we will fix our terminology and notation. Note that we assume that the reader is familiar with the basic notions in model theory. We refer the reader to the books [1] and [2] for detailed information about the ultraproduct construction (which is essential to understand pseudofinite groups) and to [13] and [9] for more information about pseudofinite groups.

A *linear group* is a group which is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ where $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ denotes the general linear group over a field F . It is easy to observe that finite groups are linear. A group G is called a *p -group* for a prime number p , if each element of G is a *p -element*, that is, each element has order p^n for a natural number n . More generally, G is called *periodic* if every element of it has finite order. An example of an infinite p -group is the Prüfer p -group:

$$C_{p^\infty} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{p^n},$$

where C_k denotes the cyclic group of order k for $k > 0$. A *Sylow p -subgroup* of a group G is a p -subgroup of G which is maximal with respect to inclusion. A group G is called *locally finite* if every finitely generated subgroup of G is finite. Note that locally finite groups are necessarily periodic, but, the converse is not necessarily true in general. However, for linear groups, these two notions coincide by the following result:

Fact 1.1 (Schur [8]). *Periodic linear groups are locally finite.*

Pseudofinite groups are defined as infinite models of the common theory of finite groups. It can be shown that any pseudofinite group is elementarily equivalent to a non-principal ultraproduct of finite groups, by a suitable choice of an ultrafilter (see [13]). The importance of the ultraproduct construction is expressed by Łoś's Theorem [5] which states that a first order formula is satisfied in the ultraproduct if and

only if it is satisfied in the structures indexed by a set belonging to the ultrafilter. In particular, the first order properties of the ultraproduct are determined by the first order properties of the structures in the ultraproduct together with the choice of an ultrafilter. Throughout the text, if G is a pseudofinite group then we write $G \equiv \prod_{i \in I} G_i / \mathcal{U}$ which means that G is elementarily equivalent to the ultraproduct of the finite groups G_i , $i \in I$, over a non-principal ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on the index set I . Moreover, if a first order property holds in the finite groups indexed by a set belonging to the ultrafilter, then we say that this property holds in *almost all* of the finite groups in the ultraproduct.

Since we talk about linear pseudofinite groups in this paper, it is worth to mention that unlike in the case of finite groups, there are non-linear pseudofinite groups. For an example we refer the reader to [4].

2. ON (NON-)CONJUGACY RESULTS FOR SYLOW p -SUBGROUPS

In this section, we summarize some known results about (non-)conjugacy of Sylow p -subgroups for some important classes of groups. We do not claim that we include all the related results from the literature. We refer the reader to [14] for a more comprehensive survey.

2.1. Conjugacy results. First we list some facts about conjugacy of Sylow p -subgroups in linear groups.

Fact 2.1. *Sylow p -subgroups are conjugate in*

- (a) *periodic linear groups (Platonov [7]),*
- (b) *linear algebraic groups over algebraically closed fields (Platonov [7]),*
- (c) *$\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ except when $p = 2$, $\mathrm{char}(K) \neq 2$ and the following conditions are satisfied (Vol'vachev [10]):*
 - (V₁) *-1 can be written as a sum of two squares in K ,*
 - (V₂) *K has no element of multiplicative order 4,*
 - (V₃) *Every 2-element $a + bi$ in $K(i)$, where $i^2 = -1$, satisfies $(a + bi)(a - bi) = 1$.*

Wagner proved conjugacy results for a wider class of groups than linear groups (namely, substable groups) but with some extra assumptions. His result is stated below and for details we refer the reader to the book [11].

Fact 2.2 (Theorem 1.5.4, Wagner [11]). *Let G be a substable group. All Sylow p -subgroups of G are conjugate if one of the following conditions hold:*

- (a) $p = 2$ and G is *ABD*.
- (b) G is soluble by finite and *ABD*.
- (c) $p = 2$ and G is periodic.
- (d) Any two p -elements of G generate a finite subgroup.

Note that a group G has the *ABD property* if every relatively definable abelian section decomposes as a sum of a divisible group and a group of bounded exponent (see Definition 1.5.1 in [11]).

In the case of finite Morley rank groups only the following conjugacy result is known.

Fact 2.3 (Altinel, Borovik and Cherlin [6]). *Sylow 2-subgroups of a group of finite Morley rank are conjugate.*

2.2. Non-conjugacy results. Below, we list some examples of families of groups with non-conjugate Sylow p -subgroups.

- There are linear algebraic groups with non-conjugate Sylow p -subgroups.
 $SL_2(\mathbb{Q})$ is an example given by Platonov in [7]. Note that this example is analyzed in detail in the paper [4] of the author.
- There are locally finite groups with non-conjugate Sylow p -subgroups.
 Direct product of $Sym(3)$'s is an example given by Dixon (Example 2.2.6 in [3]). This group is a metabelian locally finite group with non-conjugate Sylow 2-subgroups.
- There are linear pseudofinite groups with non-conjugate Sylow 2-subgroups (see Example 3.8).

3. SOME RESULTS AND EXAMPLES IN THE CASE OF PSEUDOFINITE GROUPS

In this section, we prove the main result of this paper, namely, if a linear pseudofinite group has a finite Sylow p -subgroup, then all Sylow p -subgroups are conjugate. We will also construct examples of linear pseudofinite groups with conjugate and non-conjugate Sylow 2-subgroups.

3.1. Existence of finite Sylow p -subgroups. Let $(G_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of finite groups. The first naive candidate for a Sylow p -subgroup of $\prod_{i \in I} G_i / \mathcal{U}$ is the ultraproduct of Sylow p -subgroups of the finite groups G_i . However, this is generally not the case. Such an ultraproduct is usually not even periodic. For example, if we take a non-principle ultraproduct of the cyclic groups $(C_{p^i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ then clearly the ultraproduct of Sylow p -subgroups of C_{p^i} 's is not even a p -group; it has elements

of infinite order. Actually, it is not difficult to observe that the Sylow p -subgroup of $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C_{p^i}/\mathcal{U}$ is isomorphic to the Prüfer p -group C_{p^∞} since clearly C_{p^∞} embeds in the ultraproduct and the ultraproduct has unique elements of order p^i for each i . So, in general the structure and behaviour of the Sylow p -subgroups can get complicated in pseudofinite groups. However, as the following results suggest, existence of a finite Sylow p -subgroup guarantees the conjugacy of all Sylow p -subgroups.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $(G_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of finite groups and $G \equiv \prod_{i \in I} G_i/\mathcal{U}$ be a pseudofinite group. If G has a Sylow p -subgroup of order p^k for some prime number p and positive integer k , then the following holds.*

- (a) *Sylow p -subgroups of G_i have order p^k for almost all $i \in I$.*
- (b) *There are no finite subgroups of G of order p^l for any $l > k$.*
- (c) *All finite Sylow p -subgroups of G have order p^k and they are conjugate.*

Proof. (a) It is easy to observe that the following statement is first order:

“There is a subgroup of order p^k which is not contained in a subgroup of order p^{k+1} .”

Since this first order sentence holds in G by the assumption, almost all of the groups G_i have a subgroup of order p^k which is not contained in a subgroup of order p^{k+1} by Łoś’s Theorem. Therefore, the order of Sylow p -subgroups of almost all G_i ’s is p^k by Sylow’s first theorem.

(b) Assume on the contrary that G has a subgroup of order p^l for some $l > k$. Then again by Łoś’s Theorem almost all G_i in the ultraproduct has subgroups of order p^l . This is not possible since their Sylow p -subgroups have order p^k by (a) and $p^l > p^k$.

(c) Let P be a finite Sylow p -subgroup of G . Clearly, $|P| \leq p^k$ by (b). If $|P| = p^l < p^k$ then this means that P is not contained in a subgroup of order p^k (since it is maximal finite p -group) and this would yield subgroups of order p^l for almost all G_i in the ultraproduct which are not contained in groups of order p^k . This contradicts to the fact that Sylow p -subgroups of G_i ’s have order p^k . For the conjugacy, note that the following statement is also first order:

“Any two subgroups of order p^k are conjugate.”

Since the Sylow p -subgroups of almost all G_i are of order p^k , the first order sentence above holds in the ultraproduct and hence in G (by Łoś’s Theorem). \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let G be a linear pseudofinite group. If G has a finite Sylow p -subgroup then all Sylow p -subgroups of G are conjugate.*

Proof. Suppose G has a Sylow p -subgroup of order p^k . Thanks to Proposition 3.1, it is enough to show that G has no infinite Sylow p -subgroups. Assume on the contrary that G has an infinite Sylow p -subgroup, say P . Let $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{p^k}, x_{p^k+1}$ be distinct elements of P and define $S := \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{p^k}, x_{p^k+1} \rangle$. Since G is a linear group, P is locally finite (see Fact 1.1) and so S is a finite p -subgroup of G whose order is strictly bigger than p^k . This is not possible by Proposition 3.1(b). As a result, all Sylow p -subgroups of G are finite and conjugacy follows by Proposition 3.1(c). \square

Remark 3.3. By Proposition 3.1 and the proof of Theorem 3.2, we get the following results.

- (a) There can not be both finite and infinite Sylow p -subgroups in a linear pseudofinite group. We do not know whether this holds generally for pseudofinite groups or not.
- (b) Let $G \equiv \prod_{i \in I} G_i / \mathcal{U}$ be a linear pseudofinite group. Then G has a finite Sylow p -subgroup if and only if there is a finite global bound on the order of Sylow p -subgroups of almost all G_i .

Remark 3.4. The assumption of linearity in Theorem 3.2 can be weakened to the \mathfrak{M}_c -property for $p = 2$ since Sylow 2-subgroups of \mathfrak{M}_c -groups are known to be locally finite (see Corollary 2.4 in [12]). Therefore, Theorem 3.2 gives an alternative proof of the result of the author in [4].

3.2. (Non-)Conjugacy examples in linear pseudofinite groups.

In this section, we present two examples of linear pseudofinite groups, namely, $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ over different pseudofinite fields F , such that the Sylow 2-subgroups are conjugate in the first example while conjugacy of Sylow 2-subgroups fails in the second one.

Example 3.5. Let $I = \{p \text{ prime} \mid p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}\}$ and $F = \prod_{p \in I} \mathbb{F}_p / \mathcal{U}$ where \mathcal{U} is a non-principal ultrafilter on I . Since there are infinitely many primes in I (by Dirichlet's theorem on arithmetic progression), F is a pseudofinite field of characteristic 0. Let us consider the general linear group $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$. Then we have $\mathrm{GL}_2(F) \equiv \prod_{p \in I} \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p) / \mathcal{U}$ and therefore, $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ is a linear pseudofinite group.

It is not difficult to observe that the group $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$, where F is as above, has finite Sylow 2-subgroups. To see this first note that the order of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is $(p^2 - 1)(p^2 - p)$ and hence, the powers of 2 dividing $p - 1$ and $p + 1$ determine the order of the Sylow 2-subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$.

Since $p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$, clearly $4 \nmid p - 1$ while $2 \mid p - 1$. Because of the same reason $8 \nmid p + 1$ while $4 \mid p + 1$. Therefore, the order of the Sylow 2-subgroups of all the groups $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ in the ultraproduct is 16. Since this finiteness property is transferred to the ultraproduct (see Remark 3.3(b)), Sylow 2-subgroups of the group $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ are finite and conjugate.

Remark 3.6. We could also observe the conjugacy of Sylow 2-subgroups of the pseudofinite group in Example 3.5 by referring to Volvachev conditions. Note that (\mathbf{V}_1) is a well-known property of finite fields. Since it is a first order property, (\mathbf{V}_1) is satisfied by any pseudofinite field. Moreover, by the construction and Łoś's Theorem, F satisfies (\mathbf{V}_2) as well. However, (\mathbf{V}_3) is not satisfied by F . To see this consider $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ where $p \in I$. Clearly we have

$$|\mathbb{F}_p(i)^*| = p^2 - 1 = (p - 1)(p + 1)$$

where i is an element of multiplicative order 4. Since $p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$, as we have mentioned above, 8 divides the order of the cyclic group $\mathbb{F}_p(i)^*$. So, there exist $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_p$ such that $a + bi$ has multiplicative order 8. Now let us see why we should have $(a + bi)(a - bi) = -1$. Firstly, $(a + bi)(a - bi) = \pm 1$ since this product is a 2-element in \mathbb{F}_p^* and \mathbb{F}_p^* has no element of order 4. Now consider the following two automorphisms of $\mathbb{F}_p(i)$:

$$a + bi \mapsto a - bi, \quad a + bi \mapsto (a + bi)^p.$$

Clearly, these two automorphisms are non-trivial elements of the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_p(i)/\mathbb{F}_p)$. Since this Galois group has order 2, it has only one non-trivial element, that is we have $a - bi = (a + bi)^p$ which gives:

$$(a + bi)(a - bi) = (a + bi)(a + bi)^p = (a + bi)^{8k+4} = (a + bi)^4 = -1$$

since $p = 8k + 3$ for some integer k and $a + bi$ has multiplicative order 8. As a result we found elements a, b in \mathbb{F}_p such that $a + bi$ has multiplicative order 8 and $(a + bi)(a - bi) = -1$. Since this is true for any field \mathbb{F}_p in the ultraproduct, there are such elements in F by Łoś's Theorem. As a result we can conclude that Sylow 2-subgroups of the group in our example are conjugate.

Remark 3.7. Any field of positive characteristic satisfying condition (\mathbf{V}_2) can not satisfy condition (\mathbf{V}_3) (see [10]). In particular no finite field satisfies (\mathbf{V}_2) and (\mathbf{V}_3) at the same time. Volvachev's argument about positive characteristic fields works well for pseudofinite fields of characteristic 0, provided that there is a bound on the order of 2-elements of $F(i)^*$. This is the case for the pseudofinite field F in Example 3.5.

In the next example we observe that there are linear pseudofinite groups in which Sylow 2-subgroups are not conjugate. This happens when there is no bound on the order of 2-elements of $F(i)^*$ (that is when C_{2^∞} embeds in $F(i)^*$).

Example 3.8. We will construct a pseudofinite field F satisfying the Volvachev conditions (\mathbf{V}_2) and (\mathbf{V}_3) (note that (\mathbf{V}_1) is automatic for pseudofinite fields). Let

$$I = \{p \text{ prime} \mid p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}\}.$$

For each positive integer N , we can find a prime number $p \in I$ such that 2^N divides $p+1$ by Dirichlet's theorem on arithmetic progressions (actually there are infinitely many such primes for each N). Let us denote this infinite subset of I by J and define $F = \prod_{p \in J} \mathbb{F}_p / \mathcal{U}$ where \mathcal{U} is a non-principal ultrafilter on J . Clearly (\mathbf{V}_2) is satisfied in F since each field in the ultraproduct has this first order property. Moreover, by our construction, 2^N divides $|\mathbb{F}_p(i)^*| = (p-1)(p+1)$ for almost all $p \in J$ for each positive integer N . As a result C_{2^∞} embeds in $F(i)^*$. Now assume that (\mathbf{V}_3) is not satisfied, that is, $(a+bi)(a-bi) = -1$ for some 2-element $a+bi$ in $F(i)^*$. Since C_{2^∞} embeds in $F(i)^*$, there is a 2-element $c+di$ such that $(c+di)^2 = a+bi$. But then we have $(c+di)^2(c-di)^2 = -1$, that is, $(c^2+d^2)^2 = -1$. But this contradicts to our assumption that F has no element of order 4. Therefore the pseudofinite linear group $\text{GL}_2(F)$, where F is constructed as above, has non-conjugate Sylow 2-subgroups by Volvachev's theorem.

Remark 3.9. It is not difficult to observe that the group $\text{GL}_2(F)$ constructed in Example 3.8 has infinite Sylow 2-subgroups. As mentioned in Remark 3.3(b) it is enough to observe that there is no bound on the orders of the Sylow 2-subgroups of the groups $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ in the ultraproduct. This follows easily since the powers of 2-divisors of $p+1$ grow in the ultraproduct by construction.

We would like to finish this paper with a comment about an open problem which is stated by Wagner (Remark 1.5.1 in [11]).

In [11], Wagner points out that "The question of how conjugacy of the maximal p -subgroups behaves under elementary equivalence is still open". The connection of our results to this question may be explained as follows. For convenience, let \mathfrak{S} denote the class of groups in which Sylow p -subgroups are conjugate for each prime p .

- (a) Our Example 3.8 shows that the class \mathfrak{S} is not closed under ultraproducts.
- (b) It is well-known that a class is elementary (that is: axiomatizable by a first-order theory) if and only if it is closed under

ultraproducts and elementary equivalence. Therefore, the class \mathfrak{S} is not elementary by (a).

- (c) The observations mentioned in (a) and (b) above suggest that the answer to the question stated by Wagner is negative. However, we do not have an example of two elementarily equivalent groups such that one belongs to \mathfrak{S} while the other does not.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Zoé Chatzidakis for her valuable comments related to Example 3.8. This work has been completed during my sabbatical leave in 2022–2023 Academic Year which I have been spending at Wrocław University.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. L. Bell and A. B. Slomson. *Models and ultraproducts: An introduction*. North Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1971.
- [2] C. C. Chang and H. J. Keisler. *Model theory*, volume 73 of *Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics*. North-Holland, The Netherlands, 1990. 3rd edition.
- [3] M.R. Dixon. *Sylow Theory, Formations, and Fitting Classes in Locally Finite Groups*. Science and Culture: Mathematics. World Scientific, 1994.
- [4] P. Uğurlu Kowalski. A note on the conjugacy problem for finite Sylow subgroups of linear pseudofinite groups. *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*, 41:1458–1466, 2017.
- [5] J. Loś. Quelques remarques, théorèmes et problèmes sur les classes définissables d’algèbres. In *Mathematical interpretations of formal systems*, volume 16 of *Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics*, pages 98–113. North Holland, Amsterdam, 1955.
- [6] T. Altinel, A. V. Borovik, and G. Cherlin. *Simple groups of finite Morley rank*, volume 145 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
- [7] V. P. Platonov. Theory of algebraic linear groups and periodic groups. In *Seven Papers on Algebra*, volume 69 of *American Mathematical Society translations, Series 2*, pages 61–110. American Mathematical Society, 1968.
- [8] I. Schur. *Ueber Gruppen periodischer linearer Substitutionen*. Sitzungsberichte der Königlich-Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin. 1911.
- [9] P. Uğurlu. Pseudofinite groups as fixed points in simple groups of finite Morley rank. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 217:892–900, 2013.
- [10] R. T. Vol’vachev. Sylow p -subgroups of the general linear group. In *Nine Papers on Logic and Group Theory*, volume 64 of *American Mathematical Society translations, Series 2*, pages 216–243. American Mathematical Society, 1967.
- [11] F. O. Wagner. *Stable Groups*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [12] F. O. Wagner. Nilpotency in groups with the minimal condition on centralizers. *Journal of Algebra*, 217:448–460, 1999.
- [13] J. S. Wilson. First-order group theory. In *Infinite Groups 1994*, pages 301–314. Walter de Gruyter and co., Berlin, 1996.

- [14] A. E. Zalesskij. *Linear groups*, volume 37 of *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1993.

ISTANBUL BILGI UNIVERSITY, YAHYA KÖPRÜSÜ SOK. NO: 1 DOLAPDERE,
34440, ISTANBUL, TURKEY
Email address: `pinar.ugurlu@bilgi.edu.tr`