

# Momentum map for the Maxwell–Lorentz equations with spinning particle

A.I. Komech

*Faculty of Mathematics, Vienna University*

alexander.komech@gmail.com

E.A. Kopylova<sup>1</sup>

*Faculty of Mathematics, Vienna University*

elena.kopylova@univie.ac.at

## Abstract

We develop the theory of momentum map for the Maxwell–Lorentz equations with spinning extended charged particle. This theory is indispensable for the study of long-time behaviour and radiation of the solitons of this system. The development relies on the Hamilton–Poisson structure of the system. As an example, we apply the theory to the rotation group of symmetry, calculating the expression for the conserved angular momentum. We check the coincidence of this expression with known classical invariant.

*Keywords:* Maxwell–Lorentz equations; spinning particle; symmetry group; rotation group; Lagrangian structure; Lie–Poincaré calculus; Hamiltonian structure; Poisson structure; structural operator; canonical transformation; momentum map; conservation law; angular momentum.

MSC 35Q61;37K06; 37K40;53D17; 70S05;70G65;70G45.

---

<sup>1</sup>Supported partially by Austrian Science Fund (FWF) P34177

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>The Lagrangian structure</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	The Maxwell potentials . . . . .	4
2.2	The well-posedness . . . . .	4
<b>3</b>	<b>The Hamilton–Poisson structure</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Momentum map for the rotation group <math>SO(3)</math></b>	<b>6</b>
4.1	Canonical transformation to the comoving frame . . . . .	6
4.2	Action of the rotation group . . . . .	7
4.3	The momentum map . . . . .	8
<b>5</b>	<b>Comparison with classical invariant</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Invariance of the Hamiltonian</b>	<b>11</b>

## 1 Introduction

Our goal is a development of the methods of Geometric Mechanics [3, 5, 12, 31, 36, 37] in the context of the Maxwell–Lorentz equations with spinning extended charged particle. The development relies on the Hamilton–Poisson representation of the equations established in [24] with application of the Lie–Poincaré calculus [3, 12].

The system is invariant with respect to the translation and rotation groups, that allows one to apply the momentum map theory developed in the Geometric Mechanics.

We choose the units where the speed of light is  $c = 1$ . Then the Maxwell–Lorentz equations with a nonrelativistic spinning particle read (see [19, 38, 40])

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{E}(x, t) = \text{curl} B(x, t) - w(x, t)\rho(x - q(t)), \quad \dot{B}(x, t) = -\text{curl} E(x, t) \\ \text{div} E(x, t) = \rho(x - q(t)), \quad \text{div} B(x, t) = 0 \\ m\ddot{q}(t) = \langle E(x, t) + w(x, t) \wedge B(x, t), \rho(x - q(t)) \rangle \\ I\dot{\omega}(t) = \langle (x - q(t)) \wedge [E(x, t) + w(x, t) \wedge B(x, t)], \rho(x - q(t)) \rangle \end{array} \right. , \quad (1.1)$$

where  $w(x, t)$  is the velocity field

$$w(x, t) := \dot{q}(t) + \omega(t) \wedge (x - q(t)). \quad (1.2)$$

We denote by  $m$  the mass of the particle and by  $I$  its moment of inertia,  $\rho(x - q)$  is the charge distribution of the extended particle centered at a point  $q \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , and the brackets  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denote the inner product in the Hilbert space  $L^2 := L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \otimes \mathbb{R}^3$ . Further,  $\omega(t)$  is the angular velocity of the particle rotation: every fixed point  $x(0) \in \mathbb{R}^3$  of the extended particle moves along the trajectory  $x(t) = q(t) + R(t)(x(0) - q(0))$ , where  $R(t) \in SO(3)$ , and the velocity of the point is

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}(t) &= \dot{q}(t) + \dot{R}(t)(x(0) - q(0)) = \dot{q}(t) + \dot{R}(t)R^{-1}(t)(x(t) - q(t)) \\ &= \dot{q}(t) + \omega(t) \wedge (x(t) - q(t)), \quad \omega(t) \wedge = \dot{R}(t)R^{-1}(t) \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

( $\omega(t)$  is called the *angular velocity in the space*, see [4]).

The system (1.1) is invariant under the action of the group of translations and rotations of the space  $\mathbb{R}^3$  if the charge density  $\rho(\mathbf{x})$  is rotation invariant. Accordingly, the system admits solitons constructed in [40], that move with constant speed and rotate with constant angular velocity.

The main result of the present paper is the development of the theory of momentum map in the framework of the system (1.1) and the groups of rotations following methods of Geometric Mechanics [3, 5, 12, 36, 37]. The development became possible due to explicit calculation in [24] of the skew-symmetric *structural operator*, which is the “integral kernel” of the Poisson bracket. The calculation relies on the Lie–Poincaré calculus [35, 39].

The theory of momentum map is of crucial importance in applications to nonlinear Hamiltonian PDEs since it gives a systematic approach to the construction of invariants. The invariants play a key role in the process of reduction for the analysis of stability questions [10, 25]. This fact was the main inspiration for this article.

The system (1.1) without rotation (the first three lines with  $w(t) = \dot{q}(t)$ ) plays a crucial role in a rigorous analysis of radiation by moving solitons, see [7, 13, 22, 23, 27, 28, 29, 30, 32, 33, 40]. We expect that the results of present article will help to extend this analysis to the moving and rotating solitons for the system (1.1).

Let us comment on related results.

The coordinate-free proof of the Hamilton least action principle was given in [16] on the basis of the technique of [6, 39]: the Poincaré equations, and expansions over right-invariant vector fields on the Lie group  $SO(3)$ . This technique was developed in [17], where the general theory of invariants was constructed for the Poincaré equations on manifolds and applied to the construction of invariants for the system (1.1). The coordinate-free proof of the conservation laws for the system (1.1) was given by Kiessling [19].

## 2 The Lagrangian structure

In this section we recall the Lagrangian structure and well-posedness for system (1.1). This means that the equations can be obtained from the Hamilton least action principle. Denote the Sobolev

spaces  $H^s = H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \otimes \mathbb{R}^3$  with  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\dot{H}^1 = \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \otimes \mathbb{R}^3$ . All the derivatives are understood in the sense of distributions. We assume that the charge density  $\rho(x)$  is smooth and spherically-invariant, i.e.,

$$\rho \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad \rho(x) = \rho_1(|x|), \quad \rho(x) = 0 \text{ for } |x| \geq R_\rho. \quad (2.1)$$

## 2.1 The Maxwell potentials

In the Maxwell potentials  $A(x, t) = (A_1(x, t), A_2(x, t), A_3(x, t))$  and  $\Phi(x, t)$ , we have (see [18])

$$B(x, t) = \text{curl} A(x, t), \quad E(x, t) = -\dot{A}(x, t) - \nabla \Phi(x, t). \quad (2.2)$$

We choose the Coulomb gauge

$$\text{div} A(x, t) = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

**Definition 2.1.** *The Hilbert space  $\mathcal{F}^0 := \{A \in L^2 : \text{div} A(x) \equiv 0\}$ , and  $\dot{\mathcal{F}}^1$  is the completion of  $\mathcal{F}^0 \cap [C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \otimes \mathbb{R}^3]$  w.r.t. the norm*

$$\|\psi\|_{\dot{\mathcal{F}}^1}^2 = \int |\nabla \psi(x)|^2 dx < \infty. \quad (2.4)$$

Now the system (1.1) becomes (see [24])

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \ddot{A}(x, t) = \Delta A(x, t) + \mathcal{P}([\dot{q}(t) + \omega \wedge (x - q(t))] \rho(x - q(t))) \\ m\ddot{q}(t) = \langle -\dot{A}(x, t) + [\dot{q}(t) + \omega \wedge (x - q(t))] \wedge \text{curl} A(x, t), \rho(x - q(t)) \rangle \\ I\dot{\omega}(t) = \langle (x - q(t)) \wedge [-\dot{A}(x, t) + [\dot{q}(t) + \omega(t) \wedge (x - q(t))] \wedge \text{curl} A(x, t)], \rho(x - q(t)) \rangle \end{array} \right. \quad (2.5)$$

where the brackets  $\langle, \rangle$  denote the inner product in the real Hilbert space  $L^2$ .

**Remark 2.2.** For the system without rotation (the first two equations with  $\omega = 0$ ) the Lagrangian structure was known more than one hundred years [34]. For the system with rotation, the Lagrangian structure was established for the first time in [16] using the Poincaré equations on the rotation group  $SO(3)$ , [6, 39].

## 2.2 The well-posedness

Here we recall the well-posedness for the system (2.5). Denote the Hilbert spaces

$$\mathbb{Y} := \dot{\mathcal{F}}^1 \oplus \mathcal{F}^0 \oplus \mathbb{R}^3 \oplus \mathbb{R}^3 \oplus \mathbb{R}^3, \quad \mathbb{V} = L^2 \oplus H^{-1} \oplus \mathbb{R}^3 \oplus \mathbb{R}^3 \oplus \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (2.6)$$

**Proposition 2.3.** *i) For any initial state  $Y(0) = (A(x, 0), \dot{A}(x, 0), q(0), p(0), \omega(0)) \in \mathbb{Y}$ , the system (2.5) admits a unique solution*

$$Y(t) = (A(x, t), \dot{A}(x, t), q(t), p(t), \omega(t)) \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Y}) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{V}). \quad (2.7)$$

ii) The map  $W(t) : Y(0) \mapsto Y(t)$  is continuous in  $\mathbb{Y}$  for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

iii) Let

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} A(x, 0) \in C^3(\mathbb{R}^3) \otimes \mathbb{R}^3, \quad \dot{A}(x, 0) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \otimes \mathbb{R}^3 \\ A(x, 0) = 0, \quad \dot{A}(x, 0) + \nabla \Phi(x, 0) = 0, \quad |x| > R \end{array} \right. \quad (2.8)$$

for some  $R > 0$ . Then

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} A(x, t) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{R}^3, \\ |\partial_x^\alpha A(x, t)| + |\partial_x^\alpha \dot{A}(x, t)| \leq C_\alpha (1 + |x|)^{-2-|\alpha|}, \quad |x| > r(t) + 1, \quad \forall \alpha \end{array} \right. \quad (2.9)$$

where  $r(t) = R + |q(0)| + \bar{v}|t| + R_\rho$  with  $\bar{v} > 0$  defined by the relation  $\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2}m\bar{v}^2$ . For such solutions, the angular momentum is conserved:

$$J = \langle A(x, t) \wedge \Pi(x, t) \rangle - \langle ((x - q) \wedge \nabla)_* A(x, t), \Pi(x, t) \rangle + q(t) \wedge P + \pi(t) = \text{const}, \quad (2.10)$$

where  $\pi(t) = I\omega(t) + \langle (x - q(t)) \wedge A(x, t), \rho(y) \rangle$  and by definition,

$$\langle ((x - q) \wedge \nabla)_* A(x, t), \Pi(x, t) \rangle_n = \langle ((x - q) \wedge \nabla)_n A(x, t), \Pi(x, t) \rangle, \quad n = 1, 2, 3. \quad (2.11)$$

The assertions i) and ii) have been proved in [24], while iii) will be proved in the present paper.

**Remark 2.4.** In Section 5, we check that the expression for the angular momentum (2.10) coincide with the corresponding classical invariant (5.1).

### 3 The Hamilton–Poisson structure

Let us denote  $\Pi$ ,  $p$ , and  $\pi$  are the conjugate momenta

$$\Pi := \dot{A}, \quad p := m\dot{q} + \langle A(q + y), \rho(y) \rangle, \quad \pi = I\omega + \langle y \wedge A(q + y), \rho(y) \rangle. \quad (3.1)$$

In [24], we have shown that the system (2.5) admits a representation in the Hamiltonian form

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{A} = D_\Pi H, \quad \dot{\Pi} = -D_A H \\ \dot{q} = D_p H, \quad \dot{p} = -D_q H \\ \dot{\pi} = -\pi \wedge D_\pi H \end{array} \right. \quad (3.2)$$

where  $H$  is the Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} H(A, \Pi, q, p, \pi) &= \frac{1}{2} \langle \Pi, \Pi \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \operatorname{curl} A, \operatorname{curl} A \rangle + \frac{1}{2} m \dot{q}^2 + \frac{1}{2} I \omega^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int [\Pi^2 + (\operatorname{curl} A)^2] dx + \frac{1}{2m} [p - \langle A(x), \rho(x - q) \rangle]^2 + \frac{1}{2I} [\pi - \langle (x - q) \wedge A(x), \rho(x - q) \rangle]^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

The Hamiltonian  $H$  is well defined and Fréchet differentiable on the Hilbert phase space  $\mathbb{Y}$  introduced in (2.6). Obviously, the system (3.2) admits the representation

$$\dot{Y} = \mathcal{J}(Y)DH(Y), \quad Y = (A, \Pi, q, p, \pi), \quad (3.4)$$

where  $\mathcal{J}(Y)$  is a skew-symmetric *structural operator*:

$$\mathcal{J}(Y) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\pi \wedge \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y = (A, \Pi, q, p, \pi). \quad (3.5)$$

**Remark 3.1.** The Hamiltonian form (3.2), (3.4) of the system (2.5) is obtained in [24] by the Lie–Poincaré calculus [35, 39] from the Hamilton least action principle established in [16].

## 4 Momentum map for the rotation group $SO(3)$

The conservation of energy, momentum and angular momentum for the system (1.1) (and hence, for (2.5) and (3.2)) are known, see [19, 17] for the formal proofs. All the systems (1.1), (2.5), and (3.2) are invariant with respect to rotation group  $SO(3)$ . However, the angular momentum cannot be constructed with the Noether theorem since the rotation group is not a symplectic manifold.

In this section, we develop the theory of momentum map [3, 12, 36, 37] corresponding to the symmetry group  $SO(3)$  and apply it for the calculation of the conserved angular momentum. The development relies on the Hamilton–Poisson structure (3.2).

In Appendix 5 we check that the obtained expression (4.16) coincides with known invariant (5.1) (its conservation was proved in [19]).

### 4.1 Canonical transformation to the comoving frame

To consider the rotation invariance of the system (3.2), we transform the system to the comoving frame. Denote the fields in the comoving frame

$$\mathbf{A}(y, t) := A(q + y, t), \quad \mathbf{\Pi}(y, t) := \Pi(q + y, t). \quad (4.1)$$

We are going to change the variables in the system (3.4) via the map

$$T : (A, q, \mathbf{\Pi}, p, \pi) \mapsto (\mathbf{A}, q, \mathbf{\Pi}, P, \pi), \quad (4.2)$$

where  $P$  is the conserved total momentum [24]:

$$P = p - \langle \mathbf{\Pi}, \nabla_* \mathbf{A} \rangle, \quad (4.3)$$

Now we define

$$\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{\Pi}, q, P, \pi) := H(A, \mathbf{\Pi}, q, p, \pi). \quad (4.4)$$

In [26, Lemma 4.1], we have proved that  $T$  is the canonical transformation, so the system (3.4) in the new variables is equivalent to similar system with the Hamiltonian  $\mathbf{H}$ :

$$\dot{\mathbf{Y}} = J(\mathbf{Y}) D_{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{Y}), \quad \mathbf{Y} = (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{\Pi}, q, P, \pi), \quad (4.5)$$

where  $J(\mathbf{Y})$  coincides with the right hand side of (3.5).

## 4.2 Action of the rotation group

Using the momentum conservation (4.3), we can rewrite Hamiltonian (4.4) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{\Pi}, q, P, \pi) &= \frac{1}{2} \int [\mathbf{\Pi}^2 + (\text{curl } \mathbf{A})^2] dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{2m} [P + \langle \mathbf{\Pi}, \nabla_* \mathbf{A} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{A}(y), \rho(y) \rangle]^2 + \frac{1}{2I} [\pi - \langle y \wedge \mathbf{A}(y), \rho(y) \rangle]^2 \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

For the system (4.5), the action of the rotation group is defined as follows: for  $R \in SO(3)$ ,

$$T(R)\mathbf{Y} := (R\mathbf{A}(R^{-1}y), R\mathbf{\Pi}(R^{-1}y), Rq, RP, R\pi), \quad \mathbf{Y} = (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{\Pi}, q, P, \pi). \quad (4.7)$$

Due to (2.1), the Hamiltonian (4.6) is invariant with respect to this action:

$$\mathbf{H}(T(R)\mathbf{Y}) = \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{Y}), \quad R \in SO(3). \quad (4.8)$$

We check this invariance in Appendix A. The vector field of the deformation corresponding to the one-parametric subgroup of rotations  $e^{s\hat{\xi}}$  is defined as

$$v_{\xi}(\mathbf{Y}) := \left. \frac{d}{ds} \right|_{s=0} T(e^{s\hat{\xi}})\mathbf{Y}, \quad \hat{\xi} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\xi_3 & \xi_2 \\ \xi_3 & 0 & -\xi_1 \\ -\xi_2 & \xi_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (4.9)$$

Differentiating, we obtain that

$$v_\xi(\mathbf{Y}) = (\xi \wedge \mathbf{A} - ((\xi \wedge y) \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{A}, \xi \wedge \mathbf{\Pi} - ((\xi \wedge y) \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{\Pi}, \xi \wedge q, \xi \wedge P, \xi \wedge \pi). \quad (4.10)$$

### 4.3 The momentum map

The corresponding momentum map  $J : \mathbb{Y} \rightarrow so^*(3) \simeq \mathbb{R}^3$  is defined by the equation

$$F_{J_\xi}(\mathbf{Y}) = v_\xi(\mathbf{Y}), \quad \mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{Y}, \quad (4.11)$$

where  $J_\xi := J \cdot \xi$  and

$$F_{J_\xi}(\mathbf{Y}) = \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{Y}) D J_\xi \quad (4.12)$$

is the Hamilton field corresponding to the Hamilton functional  $J_\xi$ . Using the structure operator (3.5) of the system (4.5), we obtain the Hamilton field

$$F_{J_\xi}(Y) = (D_{\mathbf{\Pi}} J_\xi, -D_{\mathbf{A}} J_\xi, D_P J_\xi, -D_q J_\xi, -\pi \wedge D_\pi J_\xi). \quad (4.13)$$

Substituting these expressions and (4.10) into equation (4.11), we obtain the system of variational equations for the functional  $J_\xi$ :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} D_{\mathbf{\Pi}} J_\xi = \xi \wedge \mathbf{A} - ((\xi \wedge y) \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{A}, \quad -D_{\mathbf{A}} J_\xi = \xi \wedge \mathbf{\Pi} - ((\xi \wedge y) \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{\Pi} \\ D_P J_\xi = \xi \wedge q, \quad -D_q J_\xi = \xi \wedge P \\ -\pi \wedge D_\pi J_\xi = \xi \wedge \pi \end{array} \right. \quad (4.14)$$

The solution is easy:

$$J_\xi = \langle \xi \wedge \mathbf{A} - ((\xi \wedge y) \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{\Pi} \rangle + (\xi \wedge q) \cdot P + \xi \cdot \pi. \quad (4.15)$$

This implies the angular momentum (2.10):

$$J = \langle \mathbf{A} \wedge \mathbf{\Pi} \rangle - \langle (y \wedge \nabla)_* \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{\Pi} \rangle + q \wedge P + \pi, \quad (4.16)$$

where the expression with  $\nabla_*$  is defined similarly to (2.11): in the components,

$$J_n = \langle \mathbf{A} \wedge \mathbf{\Pi} \rangle_n - \langle (y \wedge \nabla)_n \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{\Pi} \rangle + (q \wedge P)_n + \pi_n, \quad n = 1, 2, 3. \quad (4.17)$$

**Proposition 4.1.** *The functional (4.16) is conserved for solutions of the system (4.5) with initial data satisfying conditions (2.8).*

*Proof.* The Hamiltonian (4.6) is invariant with respect to the action (4.7) of the rotation group.

Hence, for any  $\xi \in so(3) \simeq \mathbb{R}^3$ , the Lie derivative in the direction of the vector field  $v_\xi$  vanishes:

$$\mathcal{L}_{v_\xi} \mathbf{H} = 0. \quad (4.18)$$

Therefore, for any solution  $\mathbf{Y}(t)$  of the system (4.5), using (4.12) and (4.11), we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} J_\xi(\mathbf{Y}) = \langle DJ_\xi(\mathbf{Y}), \dot{\mathbf{Y}} \rangle = \langle DJ_\xi, \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{Y}) D\mathbf{H} \rangle = -\langle \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{Y}) DJ_\xi, D\mathbf{H} \rangle = -\mathcal{L}_{v_\xi} \mathbf{H} = 0, \quad (4.19)$$

where all expressions are well-defined and the identities hold by (2.9).  $\square$

In the next section, we check that the angular momentum (4.16) coincides with known classical invariant (5.1).

## 5 Comparison with classical invariant

Here we check the coincidence of the expressions for the angular momentum (2.10) with the corresponding classical invariant [19]:

$$J_c = \int x \wedge [E(x) \wedge B(x)] dx + I\omega + q \wedge m\dot{q}. \quad (5.1)$$

The energy momentum coincide for all solutions  $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Y})$ , while angular momentum coincide for solutions with initial data satisfying (2.8). The coincidence of all invariants it suffices to prove for the case (2.8), so all integration by parts below are correct by (2.9). We must to show that

$$J_c = J. \quad (5.2)$$

Substituting (3.1), we rewrite (2.10) as

$$J = \langle \mathbf{A} \wedge \mathbf{\Pi} \rangle - \langle (y \wedge \nabla)_* \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{\Pi} \rangle + q \wedge P + I\omega + \langle y \wedge \mathbf{A}(y), \rho(y) \rangle. \quad (5.3)$$

Now compare (5.1) with classical form of the conserved momentum (4.3):

$$P_c = \int E(x) \wedge B(x) dx + m\dot{q}. \quad (5.4)$$

Then (5.1), can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} J_c &= q \wedge \int (E \wedge B) dx + \int (x - q) \wedge (E \wedge B) dx + I\omega + q \wedge m\dot{q} \\ &= q \wedge (P - m\dot{q}) + \int (x - q) \wedge (E \wedge B) dx + I\omega + q \wedge m\dot{q} \\ &= q \wedge P + \int (x - q) \wedge (E \wedge B) dx + I\omega. \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

Hence, it remains to check that

$$\int (x - q) \wedge (E(x) \wedge B(x)) dx = \langle \mathbf{A} \wedge \mathbf{\Pi} \rangle - \langle (y \wedge \nabla)_* \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{\Pi} \rangle + \langle y \wedge \mathbf{A}(y), \rho(y) \rangle. \quad (5.6)$$

Substituting (2.2), we obtain the equivalent identity

$$- \int y \wedge [(\mathbf{\Pi}(y) + \nabla \Phi(y)) \wedge \text{curl } \mathbf{A}(y)] dy = \langle \mathbf{A} \wedge \mathbf{\Pi} \rangle - \langle (y \wedge \nabla)_* \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{\Pi} \rangle + \langle y \wedge \mathbf{A}(y), \rho(y) \rangle. \quad (5.7)$$

Integrating by parts, and using that  $\text{div } \mathbf{\Pi}(y) = 0$ , we obtain

$$\int y \wedge [\mathbf{\Pi}(y) \wedge \text{curl } \mathbf{A}(y)] dy = - \langle (y \wedge \nabla)_* \mathbf{\Pi}, \mathbf{A} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{\Pi} \wedge \mathbf{A} \rangle. \quad (5.8)$$

It remains to prove that

$$- \int y \wedge ([\nabla \Phi(y)] \wedge \text{curl } \mathbf{A}(y)) dy = \int y \wedge \mathbf{A}(y) \rho(y) dy \quad (5.9)$$

The identity (5.9) follows by a partial integration using that  $\text{div } \mathbf{A}(y) = 0$  and  $\Delta \Phi(y) = -\rho(y)$ . Indeed, the formula bac-cab gives

$$- \int y \wedge (\nabla \Phi \wedge \text{curl } \mathbf{A}) dy = \langle (y \cdot \nabla \Phi), \text{curl } \mathbf{A} \rangle - \langle \nabla \Phi, (y \cdot \text{curl } \mathbf{A}) \rangle \quad (5.10)$$

since  $\Phi$  is real. Integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\langle y \cdot \nabla \Phi, \text{curl } \mathbf{A} \rangle = -3 \langle \Phi, \text{curl } \mathbf{A} \rangle - \langle \Phi, (y \cdot \nabla) \text{curl } \mathbf{A} \rangle \quad (5.11)$$

and

$$- \langle \nabla \Phi, (y \cdot \text{curl } \mathbf{A}) \rangle = \langle \Phi, \text{curl } \mathbf{A} \rangle + \langle \Phi, \sum_{n=3}^3 y_n \nabla (\text{curl } \mathbf{A})_n \rangle \quad (5.12)$$

Finally, substituting (5.11)–(5.12) into (5.10), we obtain

$$- \int y \wedge (\nabla \Phi \wedge \text{curl } \mathbf{A}) dy = -2 \langle \text{curl } \mathbf{A}, \Phi \rangle - \langle y \wedge \Delta \mathbf{A}, \Phi \rangle = \langle y \wedge \mathbf{A}, \rho \rangle$$

So, (5.9) is proved.

## 6 Acknowledgements

AK thanks Faculty of Mathematics of Vienna University for the hospitality during the writing the article. EK thanks Faculty of Mathematics of Vienna University and Austrian Science Fund FWF for the support under the project P34177.

## A Invariance of the Hamiltonian

Here we check (4.8). By (4.6) and definition (4.7),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}(T(R)\mathbf{Y}) &= \frac{1}{2} \int [\mathbf{\Pi}^2 + (\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{A})^2] dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{2m} [RP + \langle R\mathbf{\Pi}R^{-1}, \nabla_* R\mathbf{A}R^{-1} \rangle - R\langle \mathbf{A}(y), \rho(y) \rangle]^2 + \frac{1}{2I} [R\pi - \langle y \wedge R\mathbf{A}(R^{-1}y), \rho(y) \rangle]^2 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Using (2.1), we obtain that

$$R\pi - \langle y \wedge R\mathbf{A}(R^{-1}y), \rho(y) \rangle = R\pi - R\langle R^{-1}y \wedge \mathbf{A}(R^{-1}y), \rho(R^{-1}y) \rangle = R[\pi - \langle z \wedge \mathbf{A}(z), \rho(z) \rangle] \quad (\text{A.2})$$

It remains to check that

$$\langle R\mathbf{\Pi}R^{-1}, \nabla_* R\mathbf{A}R^{-1} \rangle = R\langle \mathbf{\Pi}, \nabla_* \mathbf{A} \rangle. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Using the definition (2.11) and (2.1), we obtain that for  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle R\mathbf{\Pi}R^{-1}, \nabla_* R\mathbf{A}R^{-1} \rangle \cdot \xi &:= \langle R\mathbf{\Pi}(R^{-1}y), \xi \cdot \nabla_y R\mathbf{A}(R^{-1}y) \rangle = \langle R\mathbf{\Pi}(R^{-1}y), R[\xi \cdot \nabla_y] \mathbf{A}(R^{-1}y) \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathbf{\Pi}(R^{-1}y), [\xi \cdot \nabla_y] \mathbf{A}(R^{-1}y) \rangle = \langle \mathbf{\Pi}(R^{-1}y), \left. \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \right|_{\varepsilon=0} \mathbf{A}(R^{-1}(y + \varepsilon\xi)) \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathbf{\Pi}(z), \left. \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \right|_{\varepsilon=0} \mathbf{A}(z + R^{-1}\varepsilon\xi) \rangle = \langle \mathbf{\Pi}(z), [R^{-1}\xi \cdot \nabla_z] \mathbf{A}(z) \rangle = \langle \mathbf{\Pi}(z), \nabla_* \mathbf{A}(z) \rangle \cdot R^{-1}\xi, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

which implies (A.3). Finally, (A.1) and (A.2), (A.3) yield (4.8).

## References

- [1] M. Abraham, Prinzipien der Dynamik des Elektrons, *Ann. Phys. (Leipz.)* **10** (1903) 105–179.
- [2] M. Abraham, Theorie der Elektrizität, Bd.2: Elektromagnetische Theorie der Strahlung, Teubner, Leipzig, 1905.
- [3] V. I. Arnold, Sur la géométrie différentielle des groupes de Lie de dimension infinie et ses applications à l'hydrodynamique des fluides parfaits, *Ann. Institut Fourier* **16** (1966), no. 1, 319–361.
- [4] V. I. Arnold, *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics*, Springer, New York, 1989.
- [5] V.I. Arnold, B.S. Khesin, *Topological Methods in Hydrodynamics*, Springer, New York, 1998.
- [6] V.I. Arnold, V.V. Kozlov, A.I. Neishtadt, *Mathematical Aspects of Classical and Celestial Mechanics*, Springer, Berlin, 1997.

- [7] D. Bambusi, L. Galgani, Some rigorous results on the Pauli–Fierz model of classical electrodynamics, *Ann. de l'I.H.P., section A* **58**(1993), no. 2, 155–171.
- [8] G. Burlak, V. Imaykin, A. Merzon, On the Hamiltonian theory for rotating charge coupled to the Maxwell field, *Comm. Math. Analysis* **17** (2014), no. 2, 24–33.
- [9] P. A.M. Dirac, Classical theory of radiating electrons, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **167** (1938), 148–169.
- [10] M. Grillakis, J. Shatah, W.A. Strauss, Stability theory of solitary waves in the presence of symmetry, I; II. *J. Func. Anal.* **74** (1987), 160-197; **94** (1990), 308-348.
- [11] F. Hiroshima, H. Spohn, Mass renormalization in nonrelativistic quantum electrodynamics, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **46** (2005), 042302.
- [12] D. Holm, T. Schmah, C. Stoica, Geometric Mechanics and Symmetry, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2009.
- [13] V. Imaykin, A. Komech, N. Mauser, Soliton-type asymptotics for the coupled Maxwell–Lorentz equations, *Ann. Inst. Poincaré, Phys. Theor.* **5** (2004) 1117–1135.
- [14] V. Imaykin, A. Komech, H. Spohn, Rotating charge coupled to the Maxwell field: scattering theory and adiabatic limit, *Monatsh. Math.* **142** (2004), no. 1–2, 143–156.
- [15] V. Imaykin, A. Komech, H. Spohn, Scattering asymptotics for a charged particle coupled to the Maxwell field, *J. Math. Phys.* **52** (2011), no. 4, 042701.
- [16] V. Imaykin, A. Komech, H. Spohn, On the Lagrangian theory for rotating charge in the Maxwell field, *Physics Letters A* **379** (2015), no. 1–2, 5–10. [arXiv:1206.3641](https://arxiv.org/abs/1206.3641)
- [17] V. Imaykin, A. Komech, H. Spohn, On invariants for the Poincaré equations and applications, *J. Math. Phys.* **58** (2017), no. 1, 012901-1 – 012901-13. [arXiv:1603.03997](https://arxiv.org/abs/1603.03997).
- [18] J.D. Jackson, Classical Electrodynamics, 3rd edition, Wiley, New York, 1999.
- [19] M. Kiessling, Classical electron theory and conservation laws, *Phys. Lett. A* **258** (1999), 197–204.
- [20] A. Komech, Quantum Mechanics: Genesis and Achievements, Springer, Dordrecht, 2013.
- [21] A. Komech, Lectures on Quantum Mechanics and Attractors, World Scientific, Singapore, 2022.
- [22] A. Komech, E. Kopylova, Attractors of nonlinear Hamiltonian partial differential equations, *Russ. Math. Surv.* **75** (2020), no. 1, 1–87.
- [23] A. Komech, E. Kopylova, Attractors of Hamiltonian Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2022.

- [24] A. Komech, E. Kopylova, On the Hamilton–Poisson structure and solitons for the Maxwell–Lorentz equations with spinning particle, accepted in *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 2023.
- [25] A. Komech, E. Kopylova, On the stability of solitons for Maxwell–Lorentz equations with rotating particle, accepted in *Milan J. Math.*, 2023.
- [26] A. Komech, E. Kopylova, On orbital stability of solitons for Maxwell–Lorentz equations with spinning particle, submitted to *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.*, 2022.
- [27] A. Komech, M. Kunze, H. Spohn, Effective dynamics for a mechanical particle coupled to a wave field, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **203** (1999), 1–19.
- [28] A. Komech, H. Spohn, Soliton-like asymptotics for a scalar particle interacting with a scalar wave field, *Nonlinear Analysis* **33** (1998), no. 1, 13–24.
- [29] A.I. Komech, H. Spohn, Long-time asymptotics for the coupled Maxwell–Lorentz equations, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **25** (2000), 559–584.
- [30] A.I. Komech, H. Spohn, M. Kunze, Long-time asymptotics for a classical particle interacting with a scalar wave field, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **22** (1997), 307–335.
- [31] V.V. Kozlov, *Symmetries, Topology and Resonances in Hamiltonian Mechanics*, Springer, Berlin, 1996.
- [32] M. Kunze, On the absence of radiationless motion for a rotating classical charge *Advances in Mathematics* **223**, no. 5, 1632–1665.
- [33] M. Kunze, H. Spohn, Adiabatic limit for the Maxwell-Lorentz equations, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **1** (2000), 625–653.
- [34] L.D. Landau, E.M. Lifshitz, *The classical theory of fields*, Pergamon, 1975.
- [35] S. Lie, *Theorie der Transformationsgruppen*, Teubner, Leipzig, 1890.
- [36] J.E. Marsden, G. Misiolek, J.-P. Ortega, M. Perlmutter, T.S. Ratiu, *Hamiltonian Reduction by Stages*, Springer, 2007.
- [37] J.E. Marsden, T. Ratiu, *Introduction to Mechanics and Symmetry*, Springer, New York, 2002.
- [38] J.S. Nodvik, A covariant formulation of classical electrodynamics for charges of finite extensions, *Ann. Phys.* **28** (1964), 225–319.
- [39] H. Poincaré, Sur une forme nouvelle des équations de la mécanique, *C. R. Acad. Sci.* **132** (1901), 369–371.
- [40] H. Spohn, *Dynamics of Charged Particles and Their Radiation Field*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.

- [41] B. L. van der Waerden (ed.), *Sources in Quantum Mechanics*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1967.