

NGÔ SUPPORT THEOREM AND POLARIZABILITY OF QUASI-PROJECTIVE COMMUTATIVE GROUP SCHEMES

GIUSEPPE ANCONA, DRAGOȘ FRĂȚILĂ

ABSTRACT. In this short note we prove that any commutative group scheme $G \rightarrow B$ over an arbitrary base scheme B of finite type over a field of characteristic zero with connected fibers and admitting a relatively ample line bundle is polarizable in the sense of Ngô [Ngô10]. More precisely, we associate a polarization to any relatively ample line bundle on $G \rightarrow B$. This extends the applicability of Ngô's support theorem to new cases, for example to Lagrangian fibrations with integral fibers and has consequences to the construction of algebraic classes.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\pi: G \rightarrow B$ be a commutative group scheme of relative dimension d with connected fibers over a scheme B of finite type over \mathbb{C} . We define the relative Tate module of $G \rightarrow B$ by

$$(1.1) \quad T(G) := R^{2d-1}\pi_!\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_G(d)$$

where we denoted by (d) the Tate twist and $\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_G$ is the constant sheaf on G . We use the convention that $\mathbb{Q}(1)$ is the pure Hodge structure of dimension 1 and weight -2 .

By the Chevalley's structure theorem, for all $b \in B$, the fiber G_b of π over b sits in an exact sequence

$$(1.2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow L_b \longrightarrow G_b \longrightarrow A_b \longrightarrow 0$$

where L_b is the unique connected affine subgroup of G_b such that the quotient group A_b is an abelian variety.

The following is the definition of polarization after [Ngô10, §7.1.4]

Definition 1.1. A polarization on G is a bilinear map

$$\eta: T(G) \otimes T(G) \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_B(1)$$

such that for every geometric point $b \in B$ the induced bilinear form

$$(1.3) \quad \eta_b: T(G_b) \otimes T(G_b) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(1)$$

has kernel exactly $T(L_b)$, where L_b is as in (1.2).

We denote by $m: G \times_B G \rightarrow G$ the multiplication map. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume the group scheme $\pi: G \rightarrow B$ has a relatively ample line bundle \mathcal{L} on $G \rightarrow B$. Then the first Chern class of the line bundle $m^*\mathcal{L}$ on $G \times_B G$ induces a polarization*

$$\eta_{\mathcal{L}}: T(G) \otimes T(G) \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_B(1).$$

Date: April 18, 2023.

The original definition of Ngô used ℓ -adic coefficients instead of rational coefficients and made sense over very general bases. The usual «*Lefschetz principle*» for schemes of finite type over a field of characteristic zero implies the result over any field of characteristic zero, see Remark 5.2.

Ngô support theorem has been recently used extensively in the study of Lagrangian fibrations. One of the crucial hypothesis in this theorem is the polarizability, in the sense of Definition 1.1, of some group scheme underlying the fibration. Hence Theorem 1.2 enlarges the possible applications of Ngô support theorem. In particular we deduce the following result.

Corollary 1.3. *Let X be a projective hyper-kähler variety and $f : X \rightarrow B$ be a Lagrangian fibration with integral fibers. Then all the perverse sheaves appearing in the decomposition theorem for f have dense support.*

Remark 1.4. Similar results with different proofs have been obtained by Mark de Cataldo, Roberto Fringuelli, Andrés Fernandez-Herrero and Mirko Mauri. Their article is in preparation. We warmly thank them for the friendly exchanges during our parallel works.

Idea of the proof of Theorem 1.2. The construction of $\eta_{\mathcal{L}}$ is purely formal from the adjunction $(\pi_!, \pi^!)$. In particular, base change ensures functoriality by pullback on the base. Hence we are reduced to $B = \text{pt} = b$ and \mathcal{L}_b an ample line bundle on the commutative algebraic group G_b .

Such a reduction step is the main reason why we wanted to construct a polarization using Chern classes instead of constructing it from purely Hodge theoretical techniques. Notice that every G_b is polarizable, by Hodge theory, but it is in general unclear how to put those polarizations in family. Using Chern classes allows to give a global definition of a pairing which restricts well on each fiber. The point then becomes to check that the restriction on each fiber is a polarization.

Let us now write $G = G_b$ and consider the Chevalley structure theorem applied to G

$$1 \rightarrow L \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{p} A \rightarrow 1.$$

One shows that p induces a surjective map

$$p^* : \text{Pic}(A) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(G).$$

We moreover show, and it is essential, that if $\mathcal{L} := p^* \mathcal{M}$ is ample on G then \mathcal{M} is ample as well (Proposition 4.6). This essentially reduces the problem to the case of an abelian variety.

So let $G = A$ be an abelian variety and $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{M}$ be an ample line bundle on it. Write A as V/Γ , with V a \mathbb{C} -vector space and $\Gamma \subset V$ a lattice. By Appell–Humbert’s theorem (see [Mum08, p19]), \mathcal{L} is constructed from a couple (H, ρ) of a non-degenerate hermitian form $H : V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and a «pseudo»-representation (see (3.1) for the precise definition) $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ and it verifies

$$c_1(\mathcal{L}) = \text{Im}(H)|_{\Gamma \otimes 2} \in H^2(A, \mathbb{Z}) = (\Lambda^2 \Gamma)^{\vee}$$

where $\text{Im}(H)$ is the imaginary part of H . On the other hand we check that the map

$$\eta : H_c^{2a-1}(A, \mathbb{C}) \otimes H_c^{2a-1}(A, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

in Theorem 1.2 is, after Poincaré duality, the complexification of

$$\text{Im}(H) : \Gamma \otimes \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

The latter is non-degenerate because H is non-degenerate.

Organisation of the paper. In Section 2 we explain the construction of the polarization associated with a line bundle. The special absolute case of complex abelian varieties is studied in Section 3. The general absolute case is explained in Section 4. The reduction to the absolute case is explained in Section 5. There one will find the proof of Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3.

Acknowledgments. We thank Marian Aprodu, Olivier Benoist, Mattia Cavicchi, Mark de Cataldo and Giulia Saccà for their interest in our work and for fruitful discussions.

This research was partly supported by the grant ANR-18-CE40-0017 of Agence National de la Recherche.

2. CONSTRUCTION OF THE POLARIZATION

Let $\pi : G \rightarrow B$ be a commutative group scheme of relative dimension d . In this section we construct, for any class in cohomology $\omega \in H^2(G \times_B G, \mathbb{C})(1)$, a map

$$\eta_\omega : R^{2d-1}\pi_!\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_G \otimes R^{2d-1}\pi_!\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_G \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_B(-2d+1).$$

We will apply it in later sections to $\omega = c_1(m^*\mathcal{L})$ for \mathcal{L} a relatively ample line bundle on $G \rightarrow B$.

Let $\omega \in H^2(G \times_B G, \mathbb{C})(1)$. We can view it as map in the derived category of constructible sheaves on $G \times_B G$

$$\omega : \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{G \times_B G} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{G \times_B G}[2](1).$$

For a smooth map of finite type schemes $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of relative dimension d we have $f^* = f^![-2d](-d)$. This is a relative version of Poincaré duality.

Applying this to the smooth map $\pi \times \pi : G \times_B G \rightarrow B$ we get

$$\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{G \times_B G} = (\pi \times \pi)^!\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_B[-4d](-2d)$$

and ω can be written now as

$$\omega : \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{G \times_B G} \rightarrow (\pi \times \pi)^!\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_B[-4d+2](-2d+1).$$

By adjunction $(R(\pi \times \pi)_!, (\pi \times \pi)^!)$ we can view ω equivalently as

$$(2.1) \quad \omega : R(\pi \times \pi)_!\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{G \times_B G} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_B[-4d+2](-2d+1).$$

By base-change and the projection formula we moreover have

$$R(\pi \times \pi)_!\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{G \times_B G} = R\pi_!\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_G \otimes R\pi_!\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_G$$

and finally by taking the $4d-2$ cohomology sheaf in equation (2.1) and restricting to the correct summand we obtain

$$(2.2) \quad \eta_\omega : R^{2d-1}\pi_!\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_G \otimes R^{2d-1}\pi_!\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_G \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_B(-2d+1).$$

Recall that we defined the Tate module to be $T(G) := R^{2d-1}\pi_!\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_G(d)$ so we have indeed constructed

$$(2.3) \quad \eta_\omega : T(G) \otimes T(G) \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_B(1).$$

By its very definition this construction is compatible with changing the base B :

Lemma 2.1. *Let $f: B' \rightarrow B$ be a map from a finite type scheme B' and denote by $\pi': G' \rightarrow B'$ the base change to B' . Let $\omega \in H^2(G \times_B G, \mathbb{C})(1)$ and let $\omega' \in H^2(G' \times_{B'} G', \mathbb{C})(1)$ be its pullback. Then we have $f^*(\eta_\omega) = \eta_{\omega'}$, i.e., the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f^*T(G) \otimes f^*T(G) & \xrightarrow{f^*(\eta_\omega)} & f^*\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_B(1) \\ \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \\ T(G') \otimes T(G') & \xrightarrow{\eta_{\omega'}} & \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{B'}(1) \end{array}$$

where the left vertical isomorphism comes from base change.

3. THE CASE OF ABELIAN VARIETIES: THE APPELL–HUMBERT THEOREM

This section uses complex geometry and it is the main input in proving that $\eta_{\mathcal{L}}$ (see (2.3)) is non-degenerate for \mathcal{L} an ample line bundle on an abelian variety A .

After some preliminaries we will recall the Appell–Humbert theorem following [Mum08, §1].

Let A be a complex abelian variety and write it as V/Γ where $V = T_e A$ and $\Gamma = H_1(A, \mathbb{Z})$. The quotient is taken in the realm of complex analytic varieties.

Any line bundle \mathcal{L} on A becomes trivial when pulled-back to V . To recover \mathcal{L} , some descent datum must be specified and in this situation it can be made very explicit in terms of linear algebra on V and Γ .

First let us recall how to construct line bundles on A from linear algebra data on V and Γ . Consider couples (H, ρ) where

$$H: V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

is a hermitian form and

$$\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$$

is an H -pseudo-character, i.e., ρ satisfies

$$(3.1) \quad \rho(u+v) = e^{i\pi E(u,v)} \rho(u)\rho(v), \text{ for all } u, v \in \Gamma,$$

where $E := \text{Im } H: V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the imaginary part of H .

To such a datum (H, ρ) , assuming that $E(\Gamma \times \Gamma) \subset \mathbb{Z}$, we can associate the line bundle $\mathcal{L}(H, \rho)$ on A given by

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{L}(H, \rho) := (V \times \mathbb{C})/\Gamma$$

where the action of Γ is given by

$$u \cdot (v, z) := (u+v, \rho(u)e^{\pi H(v,u) + \frac{1}{2}\pi H(u,u)} z).$$

The following properties are immediate.

Lemma 3.1. *With the above notation the following holds.*

(1) *If (H_1, ρ_1) and (H_2, ρ_2) are two couples as above then*

$$\mathcal{L}(H_1, \rho_1) \otimes \mathcal{L}(H_2, \rho_2) = \mathcal{L}(H_1 + H_2, \rho_1 \rho_2)$$

(2) *if $f: A' \rightarrow A$ is a morphism of abelian varieties with $A' = V'/\Gamma'$ then*

$$f^*(\mathcal{L}(H, \rho)) = \mathcal{L}(f^*H, f^*\rho)$$

where f^*H is the hermitian form on V' induced from H through the linear map $V' \rightarrow V$ and similarly for $f^*\rho$.

(3) if $m: A \times A \rightarrow A$ is the multiplication, then

$$(3.3) \quad m^* \mathcal{L}(H, \rho) = \mathcal{L}(m^* H, m^* \rho)$$

where $m^* H: (V \oplus V)^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is given by

$$m^* H((v, w) \otimes (v', w')) = H((v + v') \otimes (w + w'))$$

and $\rho(u, u') = \rho(u + u')$.

For the comfort of the reader, let us recall the following relationship between H and E :

Lemma 3.2 ([Mum08, p19]). *There is a bijection between hermitian forms H on V and real skew-symmetric forms E on V satisfying the identity $E(ix, iy) = E(x, y)$ which is given by*

$$(3.4) \quad E(x, y) = \operatorname{Im} H(x, y)$$

$$(3.5) \quad H(x, y) = E(ix, y) + iE(x, y).$$

Moreover, the kernel of H is equal to the kernel of E . In particular, H is non-degenerate if and only if E is non-degenerate.

Here is one last piece of information regarding the cohomology of A :

$$H^2(A, \mathbb{Z}) = (\Lambda^2 \Gamma)^\vee$$

and the Neron–Severi group is

$$NS(A) = \operatorname{Image}(H^1(A, \mathcal{O}_A^\times) \rightarrow H^2(A, \mathbb{Z})) = \ker(H^2(A, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(A, \mathcal{O}_A)).$$

Theorem 3.3 (Appell–Humbert, [Mum08, p19]). *Any line bundle on A is of the form $\mathcal{L}(H, \rho)$ for a unique couple (H, ρ) as above and moreover we have isomorphic short exact sequences:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathbb{S}^1) & \longrightarrow & \left\{ \begin{array}{c} (H, \rho) \\ \text{satisfying} \\ (3.1) \end{array} \right\} & \longrightarrow & \left\{ \begin{array}{c} H \text{ hermitian} \\ \text{on } V \text{ s.t.} \\ \operatorname{Im}(H)(\Gamma \times \Gamma) \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \end{array} \right\} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Pic}^0(A) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Pic}(A) & \xrightarrow{c_1(-)} & NS(A) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where $\gamma(H) = E := \operatorname{Im}(H)$ the imaginary part of H .

Corollary 3.4. *With the above notation we have*

$$c_1(\mathcal{L}(H, \rho)) = E \in (\Lambda^2 \Gamma)^\vee \text{ where } E = \operatorname{Im}(H).$$

The next result is a crucial step in the proof of the main theorem.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\mathcal{L}(H, \rho)$ be a line bundle on the abelian variety $A = V/\Gamma$ and suppose that H is non-degenerate. Then the map η_ω constructed in (2.3) associated to $\omega = c_1(m^* \mathcal{L}(\rho, H))$*

$$\eta_\omega: T(A) \otimes T(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(1)$$

is non-degenerate.

Proof. For convenience of the reader, let us spell out the construction of $\eta = \eta_\omega$ from Section 2 in this simple case.

Let $\omega \in H^2(A \times A, \mathbb{Q})(1)$ and view it as a map

$$\omega: \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{A \times A} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{A \times A}[2](1).$$

Then we used Poincaré duality to view it successively as

$$\omega: \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{A \times A} \rightarrow (\pi \times \pi)^! \underline{\mathbb{Q}}[-4d+2](-2d+1)$$

and then as

$$\omega: H^*(A \times A, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[-4d+2](-2d+1)$$

and eventually as

$$\omega: H^{4d-2}(A \times A, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(-2d+1).$$

Using again Poincaré duality in the guise

$$H^{4d-2}(A \times A, \mathbb{Q})^\vee \simeq H^2(A \times A, \mathbb{Q})(2d)$$

we get back the element $\omega \in H^2(A \times A, \mathbb{Q})(1)$ that we started with.

In order to obtain η_ω we use the Künneth canonical decomposition

$$H^2(A \times A, \mathbb{Q}) = H^2(A, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^0(A, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus H^1(A) \otimes H^1(A) \oplus H^0(A, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^2(A, \mathbb{Q})$$

to project ω on the factor $H^1(A, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^1(A, \mathbb{Q})(1)$.

In other words, the general construction from Section 2 of η_ω , in this particular case, is simply taking $\omega \in H^2(A \times A, \mathbb{Q})(1)$ and projecting it to the summand $H^1(A, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^1(A, \mathbb{Q})(1)$.

We apply this discussion to $\omega = c_1(m^*(\mathcal{L}(\rho, H)))$. We denote by E the imaginary part of H restricted to Γ , namely

$$E := \text{Im}(H) \in (\Lambda^2 \Gamma)^\vee.$$

The key is now to use Appell–Humbert’s theorem to identify the Chern class: by Corollary 3.4 we have

$$c_1(\mathcal{L}(\rho, H)) = E \in H^2(A, \mathbb{Z})(1) = (\Lambda^2 \Gamma)^*(1).$$

By (3.3) we have that $\omega := m^*(\mathcal{L}(\rho, H)) = \mathcal{L}(m^*\rho, m^*H)$ where m^*H is the hermitian form on $V \oplus V$ given by

$$m^*H((v_1, v_2), (w_1, w_2)) := H(v_1 + v_2, w_1 + w_2).$$

from which we readily get the Chern class

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(m^*(\mathcal{L}(\rho, H))) &= m^*E \in \Lambda^2(\Gamma \oplus \Gamma)^\vee \\ m^*E((v_1, v_2), (w_1, w_2)) &= E(v_1 + v_2, w_1 + w_2) \end{aligned}$$

The Künneth component $H^1(A, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^1(A, \mathbb{Q}) \subset H^2(A \times A, \mathbb{Q}) = (\Lambda^2 \Gamma)^\vee$ is given by

$$((\Gamma \oplus 0) \otimes (0 \oplus \Gamma))^\vee.$$

By the above formula for m^*E we therefore see that η_ω , viewed as an element of $((\Gamma \oplus 0) \otimes (0 \oplus \Gamma))^\vee = (\Gamma \otimes \Gamma)^*$, is nothing else but E .

Since we supposed H to be non-degenerate we have that E is also non-degenerate (see Lemma 3.2) therefore also its scalar extension to \mathbb{Q} is non-degenerate. This finishes the proof that η_ω is a polarization. \square

4. PICARD GROUPS OF COMMUTATIVE ALGEBRAIC GROUPS

Let G be a connected, commutative group scheme of finite type over \mathbb{C} and use Chevalley's structure theorem to get

$$1 \rightarrow L \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{p} A \rightarrow 1$$

with L an affine algebraic group and A an abelian variety. The purpose of this section is to relate the Picard group of G with the one of A .

Proposition 4.1. *Keeping the above notation, the map $p: G \rightarrow A$ induces a surjection*

$$p^*: \text{Pic}(A) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(G).$$

Proof. If L has a non-trivial unipotent radical, then by \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy we clearly have $\text{Pic}(G) \simeq \text{Pic}(G/L_u)$ where L_u is the unipotent radical of L . We can therefore assume that L has no unipotent subgroups, in other words that $L \simeq \mathbb{G}_m^r$ for some $r \geq 1$.

Consider the natural action of \mathbb{G}_m^r on \mathbb{A}^r and take the associated \mathbb{A}^r -bundle

$$\overline{G} := G \times^L \mathbb{A}^r := (G \times \mathbb{A}^r)/L$$

giving the following commutative diagram with j an open immersion

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xhookrightarrow{j} & \overline{G} \\ q \downarrow & \swarrow \overline{q} & \\ A & & \end{array}$$

The localization exact sequence for Chow groups induces a surjection

$$j^*: \text{CH}^1(\overline{G}) \rightarrow \text{CH}^1(G)$$

and since $\overline{q}^*: \text{CH}^1(A) \rightarrow \text{CH}^1(\overline{G})$ is an isomorphism by \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy, we deduce that $q^*: \text{CH}^1(A) \rightarrow \text{CH}^1(G)$ is also surjective. \square

For the next result we need to use some sort of numerical criterion for ample divisors on open varieties.¹

Proposition 4.2. *Let X be a smooth quasi-projective variety and let $U \subset X$ be an open subvariety. Let $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$ be two line bundles on X such that their first Chern classes coincide $c_1(\mathcal{L}_1) = c_1(\mathcal{L}_2)$. If $\mathcal{L}_1|_U$ is ample then $\mathcal{L}_2|_U$ is also ample.*

Proof. It is basically [Ben13, Proposition 7]. In loc.cit. the statement is for algebraic equivalence. However, for divisors on smooth projective varieties algebraic equivalence coincides with numerical equivalence by a theorem of Matsusaka (1956), see [Kle66, Corollary 1]. \square

In our context we will apply it as follows

Lemma 4.3. *Let X be a smooth quasi-projective variety and \mathcal{L} an ample line bundle on X . Suppose the first Chern class of \mathcal{L} vanishes. Then X is quasi-affine.*

¹We warmly thank Olivier Benoist for telling us about this

Proof. Let $X \subset \overline{X}$ be a smooth compactification with complement a normal crossing divisor (possible in characteristic zero, by Nagata's theorem and the resolution of singularities). Denote by Z the divisor at infinity.

Extend the line bundle \mathcal{L} to a line bundle $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ over \overline{X} by taking the closure of the corresponding Weil divisor. The Chern class of $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ is going to be supported on Z because $c_1(\overline{\mathcal{L}}|_U) = c_1(\mathcal{L}) = 0$. Hence there is a divisor supported on Z , call it D , such that $c_1(\overline{\mathcal{L}}) = c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(D))$.

We can now apply Proposition 4.2 and deduce that $\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(D)|_X$ is ample on X and this means precisely that X is quasi-affine (see [Sta23, Tag 01QE]). \square

Lemma 4.4. *Let G be a commutative group scheme. If G is quasi-affine then G is affine.*

Proof. Let us put $G' := \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}(G))$. We have a morphism of group schemes $G \rightarrow G'$ that is moreover an open dense embedding because of the assumption that G is quasi-affine. Since images of group morphisms are closed (see for example [Sta23, Lemma 047T]), we deduce that $G = G'$. \square

Recall the notation $\mathcal{L}(H, \rho)$ from Theorem 3.3 and the preceding paragraphs.

Proposition 4.5. *Assume that the line bundle $p^*\mathcal{L}(H, \rho)$ on G is ample. Then H is a non-degenerate hermitian form.*

Proof. Let us use the complex uniformization of A : put $V = T_e A$ and $\Gamma := H_1(A, \mathbb{Z})$. We have $V/\Gamma \simeq A$ (quotient in the realm of complex analytic varieties).

Put $N := \ker(H)$. It is a complex vector subspace of V which moreover has the property that $N \cap \Gamma$ is cocompact in N , as the imaginary part $E := \text{Im}(H)$ of H takes integral values on Γ (see condition on $\text{Im}(H)$ in Theorem 3.3).

Therefore we can consider $A' := N/(N \cap \Gamma)$ as a complex subtorus of A . By Chow's lemma we have that A' is projective, hence an abelian variety. Moreover, the line bundle $\mathcal{L}(H, \rho)$ restricted to A' is precisely $\mathcal{L}(0, \rho|_{\Lambda \cap N})$, again by Theorem 3.3. In particular, using Corollary 3.4, we have that its Chern class is zero.

The restriction of $p: G \rightarrow A$ to A' gives a commutative group scheme with Chevalley decomposition

$$1 \rightarrow L \rightarrow G' \rightarrow A' \rightarrow 1$$

with $G' := p^{-1}(A')$ and such that $(p^*\mathcal{L}(H, \rho))|_{G'}$ is ample. The Chern class of this line bundle is zero by functoriality hence G' is quasi-affine by Lemma 4.3 and therefore affine by Lemma 4.4. It follows that $A' = 1$ and therefore that $N = \{0\}$ or in other words that H is non-degenerate. \square

The next proposition is an alternative to the above analytic argument.

Proposition 4.6. *Let \mathcal{L} be an ample line bundle on G which by Proposition 4.1 is isomorphic to $p^*\mathcal{M}$ with \mathcal{M} a line bundle on A . Then \mathcal{M} is ample.*

Proof. We know from [Mum08, Application 1, p.60] that \mathcal{M} is ample on A if and only if the closed subgroup

$$K(\mathcal{M}) := \{x \in A \mid t_x^*\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{M}\}$$

is finite. Suppose, by contradiction that $K(\mathcal{M})$ is not finite and let Y be its identity component. Notice that Y is an abelian variety itself. Denote by $\mathcal{M}' = \mathcal{M}|_Y$ the restriction of \mathcal{M} to Y . The proof from loc.cit. shows that on Y we have

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{M}' \otimes (-1_Y)^*\mathcal{M}' \simeq \mathcal{O}_Y.$$

On the subgroup $H := p^{-1}Y$ we have that the ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}|_H$ is isomorphic to $p^*\mathcal{M}'$. However, if $p^*\mathcal{M}'$ is ample then also $(-1_H)^*p^*\mathcal{M}'$ is ample and hence their tensor product is also ample. By (4.1) we get that \mathcal{O}_H is ample on H , i.e., that H is quasi-affine, hence affine by Lemma 4.4. This is a contradiction, therefore \mathcal{M} is ample on A . \square

5. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

We are now ready to give the proof of our main result Theorem 1.2 and of its application, Corollary 1.3. For the convenience of the reader we recall the statements.

Theorem 5.1. *Let B be a scheme of finite type over the complex numbers. Let $\pi : G \rightarrow B$ be a commutative group schemes with connected fibers. Assume that there is a relatively ample line bundle \mathcal{L} on $\pi : G \rightarrow B$. Let ω be the first Chern class of the line bundle $m^*\mathcal{L}$ on $G \times_B G$. Then*

$$\eta_\omega : T(G) \otimes T(G) \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_B(1)$$

(as constructed in Section 2) is a polarization in the sense of Definition 1.1.

Proof. By the functoriality of the construction, see Lemma 2.1, we are reduced to the case of $B = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$.

Let $1 \rightarrow L \rightarrow G \rightarrow A \rightarrow 1$ be the Chevalley decomposition of G with L an affine algebraic group and A an abelian variety. We want to check that η_ω has kernel precisely $T(L)$. Notice that for weight reasons the kernel contains $T(L)$, hence we have to show that the induced pairing on $T(A)$ is non degenerate.

By Lemma 2.1, we have $\mathcal{L} = p^*\mathcal{L}(H, \rho)$, with $\mathcal{L}(H, \rho)$ is as in Theorem 3.3 and $p : G \rightarrow A$ is the projection. Proposition 4.5 implies that H is a non-degenerate hermitian form. Finally, Theorem 3.5 relates H to the pairing induced by η_ω on $T(A)$. In particular, the latter is non degenerate because the former is. \square

Remark 5.2. The original definition of polarizability due to Ngô uses ℓ -adic coefficients instead of rational ones and makes sense over general bases. The above results hold true more generally if one considers a base B of finite type over a field k of characteristic zero.

Indeed, both π and \mathcal{L} are defined over a subfield $k_0 \subset k$ of finite type and it is enough to show the statement over k_0 . Then one embeds k_0 in \mathbb{C} and it is enough to show the statement for the pullback to \mathbb{C} . Finally, by comparison theorems, one can work with the analytic topology instead of the étale one.

Notice that this reduction to the complex numbers works well with our statement, but it would not a priori work for the existence of an abstract polarization. It is essential again to make this construction using Chern classes for reduction arguments.

Corollary 5.3. *Let X be a projective hyper-kähler variety and $f : X \rightarrow B$ be a Lagrangian fibration with integral fibers. Then all the perverse sheaves appearing in the decomposition theorem for f have dense support.*

Proof. In [AF16], under the same hypothesis, the authors construct a group scheme $\pi : G \rightarrow B$ as a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(f)$ and verify that all the hypothesis needed to apply Ngô support theorem are satisfied, except possibly the polarization (see also [ACLS23, Proposition 9.5]). On the other hand, under the hypotheses of irreducible fibers, Ngô support theorem implies that the supports of the perverse sheaves are

dense. We are then reduced to show that π is polarizable, hence, by Theorem 5.1, we have to show that π admits a relatively ample line bundle.

By the construction of G , it is enough to show that $\text{Aut}(f)$ admits a relatively ample line bundle. If we associate to each automorphism its graph, we obtain an embedding of $\text{Aut}(f)$ in the Hilbert scheme of $X \times_B X$. The latter is a disjoint union of projective schemes over B , hence we can conclude. \square

Remark 5.4. This corollary has applications to the construction of algebraic classes on Lagrangian fibrations, see the main results of [ACLS23].

REFERENCES

- [ACLS23] G. Ancona, M. Cavicchi, R. Laterveer, and G. Saccà. Relative and absolute Lefschetz standard conjecture for some Lagrangian fibrations. *ArXiv*, 2023.
- [AF16] D. Arinkin and R. Fedorov. Partial Fourier-Mukai transform for integrable systems with applications to Hitchin fibration. *Duke Math. J.*, 165(15):2991–3042, 2016.
- [Ben13] O. Benoist. Quasi-projectivity of normal varieties. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2013(17):3878–3885, 2013.
- [Kle66] S. L. Kleiman. Toward a numerical theory of ampleness. *Annals of Mathematics*, pages 293–344, 1966.
- [Mum08] D. Mumford. *Abelian varieties*, volume 5 of *Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Mathematics*. Published for the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 2008. With appendices by C. P. Ramanujam and Yuri Manin, Corrected reprint of the second (1974) edition.
- [Ngô10] B. C. Ngô. Le lemme fondamental pour les algèbres de Lie. *Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS*, 111(1):1–169, 2010.
- [Sta23] T. Stacks project authors. The Stacks project. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2023.

G. Ancona, IRMA, STRASBOURG, FRANCE
 ancona@math.unistra.fr

D. Frățilă, IRMA, STRASBOURG, FRANCE
 fratila@math.unistra.fr