

# ROTA-BAXTER OPERATORS ON COCOMMUTATIVE HOPF ALGEBRAS AND HOPF BRACES

HUIHUI ZHENG, LI GUO, TIANSHUI MA, AND LIANGYUN ZHANG

**ABSTRACT.** This paper studies the relationship of Rota-Baxter operators on cocommutative Hopf algebras with Hopf braces and the Yang-Baxter equation, with emphasis on the embedding of cocommutative Hopf braces into Rota-Baxter Hopf algebras. Through Hopf braces, we establish a connection between relative Rota-Baxter operators on cocommutative Hopf algebras and bijective 1-cocycles. Finally, we introduce the notion of symmetric Hopf braces, and establish the relationship between symmetric Hopf braces and Rota-Baxter Hopf algebras.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Rota-Baxter operators on cocommutative Hopf algebra and their constructions	3
2.1. Compatibility of derived Rota-Baxter Hopf algebras	3
2.2. Rota-Baxter operators from factorizations of cocommutative Hopf algebras	5
3. Rota-Baxter operators, Hopf braces, Yang-Baxter equation and 1-cocycles	6
3.1. Rota-Baxter operators, Hopf braces and Yang-Baxter equation	7
3.2. Relative Rota-Baxter operators on cocommutative Hopf algebras, Hopf braces and bijective 1-cocycles	14
4. Rota-Baxter operators and symmetric Hopf braces	16
References	21

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Several algebraic structures related to the quantum and classical Yang-Baxter equations have appeared in recent years.

In 2006, Rump introduced the notion of braces [26, 27] as a generalization of Jacobson radical rings, to study involutive set-theoretical solutions to the Yang-Baxter equation. It also has connections with regular subgroups and left orderable groups [3], flat manifolds [28], Hopf-Galois extensions [2]. In 2014, this notion was reformulated by Cedó, Jespers and Okniński in [10]. In 2017, Guarnieri and Vendramin [16] defined skew left braces which give non-involutive solutions to the Yang-Baxter equation [8, 31]. Here a set  $G$  with two binary operations  $\cdot$  and  $\circ$  is called a **skew left brace** if  $(G, \cdot)$  and  $(G, \circ)$  are groups and the following identity holds.

$$a \circ (bc) = (a \circ b)a^{-1}(a \circ c), \quad a, b, c \in G,$$

where  $a^{-1}$  denotes the inverse of  $a$  in  $(G, \cdot)$ .

*Date:* June 27, 2024.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16T05, 16T25, 17B38,

*Key words and phrases.* Rota-Baxter operator, Hopf algebra, Hopf brace, Yang-Baxter equation, 1-cocycle.

In 2017, Angiono, Galindo and Vendramin [1] introduced Hopf braces and Hopf cobraces. In [32], Hopf cobrace is further studied. A Hopf brace is a new algebraic structure related to the Yang-Baxter equation and generalizes Rump's braces.

On the other hand, Rota-Baxter operators on Lie algebras are the operator forms of the classical Yang-Baxter equation, known as the  $\mathcal{O}$ -operators [9, 21, 29]; while Rota-Baxter operators on associative algebras are essential in the renormalization of quantum field theory, made apparent in the framework of Connes and Kreimer [13].

With motivation from Factorization Theorem of Semenov-Tian-Shansky for a Lie group that is fundamental in applications to integrable systems [14, 24, 25], the notion of Rota-Baxter groups were recently introduced by Guo, Lang and Sheng in [17]. A **Rota-Baxter group** is a group  $G$  endowed with a map  $B : G \rightarrow G$  satisfying the identity

$$B(g)B(h) = B(gB(g)hB(g)^{-1}), \quad g, h \in G.$$

Subsequently, the study of Rota-Baxter groups has been carried out further in a series of articles [5, 6, 11, 12, 19]. Especially, Bardakov and Gubarev [5] proved that every Rota-Baxter group  $(G, \cdot, B)$  gives rise to a skew left brace  $(G, \cdot, \circ_B)$ , where  $x \circ_B y := xB(x)yB(x)^{-1}$  for all  $x, y \in G$ . Moreover, they demonstrated that every skew left brace can be embedded into a Rota-Baxter group.

In 2021, Goncharov introduced the notion of a Rota-Baxter operator on a cocommutative Hopf algebra in [15]. A coalgebra map  $B : H \rightarrow H$  is called a **Rota-Baxter operator on a cocommutative Hopf algebra**  $H$  if

$$(1) \quad B(x)B(y) = B(x_{(1)}B(x_{(2)})yS(B(x_{(3)}))), \quad x, y \in H.$$

It generalizes the Rota-Baxter operators of weight 1 on groups and Lie algebras, in the sense that Rota-Baxter operator of weight 1 on a Lie algebra (resp. on a group) could be uniquely extended to a Rota-Baxter operator on the universal enveloping algebra (resp. on the group algebra). In [22], Li, Sheng and Tang generalized this notion to relative Rota-Baxter operators on Hopf algebra and introduced the notion of post-Hopf algebras which generalizes post-Lie algebras and post-groups [4]. Various interconnections among relative Rota-Baxter Hopf algebras, post-Hopf algebras and Hopf braces have been discovered.


The purpose of present work is to further investigate such connections. The goal is to obtain finer results by specializing to Rota-Baxter operators from relative Rota-Baxter operators. Especially, we address the following embedding question: since a skew brace can be embedded into a Rota-Baxter group [5] as noted above, whether a Hopf brace can be embedded into a Rota-Baxter Hopf algebra?

Overall, the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give compatibilities of some derived structures from Rota-Baxter operators on cocommutative Hopf algebras, and construct Rota-Baxter operators on cocommutative Hopf algebras via factorizations.

In Section 3, we first give a direct connection from Rota-Baxter operators on cocommutative Hopf algebras to cocommutative Hopf braces (Theorem 3.2). Conversely, we show that every cocommutative Hopf brace can be embedded into the induced Hopf brace of a Rota-Baxter Hopf algebra (Theorem 3.7). There is a similar embedding of a cocommutative post-Hopf algebra into a Rota-Baxter Hopf algebra (Corollary 3.11). In addition, we provide new ways to obtain solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation from Rota-Baxter operators on cocommutative Hopf algebras (Proposition 3.13). We finally construct a Hopf brace by a relative Rota-Baxter operator of Hopf algebra and give the connection between relative Rota-Baxter operators on Hopf algebras and bijective 1-cocycles (Proposition 3.19).

In Section 4, we introduce the notion of a symmetric Hopf brace and characterize it by identities and  $H^{\text{op}}$ -module Hopf braces. Here  $H^{\text{op}}$  denotes the opposite Hopf algebra of a Hopf algebra  $H$ . Examples of symmetric Hopf braces are provided by Hopf algebras that allows factorizations, and their relationship with Rota-Baxter Hopf algebras is given.

We summarize the relations among the various structures in the following diagram.



**Notations.** Throughout the paper, let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a fixed field. Unless otherwise specified, linearity, modules and tensor products are all taken over  $\mathbf{k}$ . For brevity, we write a comultiplication  $\Delta(c)$  as  $c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)}$  without the summation sign. All Hopf algebras in this paper are cocommutative.

## 2. ROTA-BAXTER OPERATORS ON COCOMMUTATIVE HOPF ALGEBRA AND THEIR CONSTRUCTIONS

In this section, we give some results of Rota-Baxter operators on cocommutative Hopf algebras and use factorizations of Hopf algebras to construct Rota-Baxter operators on Hopf algebras.

### 2.1. Compatibility of derived Rota-Baxter Hopf algebras.

We first recall two fundamental processes with which a Rota-Baxter operator on a cocommutative Hopf algebra can derive another Rota-Baxter operator.

**Lemma 2.1.** [15] Let  $B$  be a Rota-Baxter operator on a cocommutative Hopf algebra  $H$ .

- (a) If  $\varphi : H \rightarrow H$  is a bialgebra automorphism, then  $B^{(\varphi)} := \varphi \circ B \circ \varphi^{-1}$  is also a Rota-Baxter operator of  $H$ .
- (b) Define  $\widetilde{B} : H \rightarrow H$  by

$$(2) \quad \widetilde{B}(x) := S(x_{(1)})B(S(x_{(2)})).$$

Then  $\widetilde{B}$  is also a Rota-Baxter operator on  $H$ .

Note that every bialgebra homomorphism from a Hopf algebra to another Hopf algebra is actually a Hopf algebra homomorphism. Thus, every bialgebra automorphism from a Hopf algebra to another Hopf algebra is already a Hopf algebra automorphism.

We now show the commutativity of the above two processes of deriving Rota-Baxter operators from a given one.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $H$  be a cocommutative Hopf algebra,  $\varphi$  a bialgebra automorphism of  $H$ , and  $B$  a Rota-Baxter operator on  $H$ . Then  $(\widetilde{B})^{(\varphi)} = \widetilde{B^{(\varphi)}}$ .

*Proof.* For all  $g \in H$ , we have

$$(\widetilde{B})^{(\varphi)}(g) = \varphi(\widetilde{B}(\varphi^{-1}(g)))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \varphi(S(\varphi^{-1}(g)_{(1)})B(S(\varphi^{-1}(g)_{(2)}))) \\
&= \varphi(S(\varphi^{-1}(g)_{(1)})BS\varphi^{-1}(g)_{(2)}) \\
&= S(g_{(1)})\varphi BS\varphi^{-1}(g)_{(2)} \\
&= \widetilde{B^{(\varphi)}}(g). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

A new Rota-Baxter Hopf algebra can be induced from a Rota-Baxter cocommutative Hopf algebra via the following procedure which, when repeated, induces a sequence of Rota-Baxter Hopf algebras.

Let  $(H, B)$  and  $(H', B')$  be Rota-Baxter Hopf algebras. A **homomorphism**  $g : (H, B) \rightarrow (H', B')$  is a Hopf algebra homomorphism such that  $g \circ B = B' \circ g$ . It is obvious that Rota-Baxter Hopf algebras and homomorphisms among them form a category, which is denoted by **rbHA**.

**Lemma 2.3.** [15] Let  $(H, B)$  be a Rota-Baxter cocommutative Hopf algebra.

(a) Define

$$(3) \quad g \circ_B h := g_{(1)}B(g_{(2)})hS(B(g_{(3)})), \quad T(g) := S(B(g_{(1)}))S(g_{(2)})B(g_{(3)}), \quad g, h \in H.$$

Then  $H_B := (H, \circ_B, \Delta, T)$  is a cocommutative Hopf algebra, called the **descendent Hopf algebra** of  $H$ .

(b) Let  $(H, B)$  be a Rota-Baxter cocommutative Hopf algebra. Then, for  $T$  defined in Eq. (3), we have

$$(4) \quad B(x_{(1)})B(T(x_{(2)})) = \varepsilon(x)1, \quad x \in H.$$

(c) The operator  $B$  is also a Rota-Baxter operator on the cocommutative Hopf algebra  $H_B$ .

(d) The map  $B : H_B \rightarrow H$  is a homomorphism of Rota-Baxter Hopf algebras.

We next show that the derived Rota-Baxter operators from  $B$  in Lemma 2.2 have isomorphic descendent Hopf algebras. We next show that the descendent Hopf algebras induced by  $B, \widetilde{B}$  and  $B^{(\varphi)}$  are isomorphic.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $(H, B)$  be a Rota-Baxter cocommutative Hopf algebra and  $\varphi : H \rightarrow H$  be a bialgebra automorphism. Then,  $H_B \cong H_{\widetilde{B}} \cong H_{B^{(\varphi)}}$  as Hopf algebras.

*Proof.* Lemma 2.1 shows that  $\widetilde{B}$  and  $B^{(\varphi)}$  are Rota-Baxter operators on  $H$ . So, by Lemma 2.3,  $H_{\widetilde{B}}$  and  $H_{B^{(\varphi)}}$  are Hopf algebras.

Note that the antipode  $S : H \rightarrow H$  is bijective since  $S^2 = \text{id}$ . Moreover, for all  $g, h \in H$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
S(g \circ_B h) &= S(g_{(1)}B(g_{(2)})hS(B(g_{(3)}))) \\
&= B(g_{(1)})S(h)S(B(g_{(2)}))S(g_{(3)}) \\
&= S(g_{(1)})g_{(2)}B(g_{(3)})S(h)S(g_{(4)}B(g_{(5)})) \\
&= S(g_{(1)})\widetilde{B}(S(g_{(2)}))S(h)S(\widetilde{B}(S(g_{(3)}))) \quad (\text{by the definition of } \widetilde{B} \text{ and } S^2 = \text{id}) \\
&= S(g) \circ_{\widetilde{B}} S(h).
\end{aligned}$$

So  $S : H_B \rightarrow H_{\widetilde{B}}$  is an algebra homomorphism.

Since  $H$  is cocommutative,  $S$  is a coalgebra map. Then  $S : H_B \rightarrow H_{\widetilde{B}}$  is also a bialgebra map. Hence  $S$  is an isomorphism from the Hopf algebra  $H_B$  to the Hopf algebra  $H_{\widetilde{B}}$ .

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(g) \circ_{B^\varphi} \varphi(h) &= \varphi(g_{(1)})B^\varphi(\varphi(g_{(2)}))\varphi(h)S(B^\varphi(\varphi(g_{(3)}))) \\
&= \varphi(g_{(1)})\varphi(B(g_{(2)}))\varphi(h)S(\varphi(B(g_{(3)})))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \varphi(g_{(1)}B(g_{(2)})hS(B(g_{(3)}))) \\
&= \varphi(g \circ_B h).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism from the Hopf algebra  $H_B$  to the Hopf algebra  $H_{B(\varphi)}$ .  $\square$

## 2.2. Rota-Baxter operators from factorizations of cocommutative Hopf algebras.

A common construction of Rota-Baxter operators on associative algebras and Lie algebras is by linear factorizations of these algebras. Such constructions extend to the level of Rota-Baxter operators on groups [17]. We now construct Rota-Baxter operators on cocommutative Hopf algebras via factorizations of Hopf algebras.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $G$  be a cocommutative Hopf algebra with a factorization  $G = HLM$  into Hopf subalgebras  $H, L$  and  $M$ , such that  $HLM$  is isomorphic to  $H \otimes L \otimes M$  as vector space. Let  $C$  be a Rota-Baxter operator on  $L$ , and  $\ell h = h\ell$ ,  $mC(\ell) = C(\ell)m$ , for all  $h \in H, \ell \in L, m \in M$ . Define a linear operator  $B$  on  $G$  by*

$$(5) \quad B(h\ell m) := \varepsilon(h)C(\ell)S(m), \quad h \in H, \ell \in L, m \in M.$$

(a)  $B$  is a Rota-Baxter operator on  $G$ .

(b) Let  $\circ_B$  be the product defined in Eq. (3) and  $L_C = (L, \circ_C)$  be the descendent Hopf algebra of the Rota-Baxter Hopf algebra  $(L, C)$ . Then  $G_B \cong H \otimes L_C \otimes M^{\text{op}}$  as Hopf algebras.

*Proof.* (a) We first check that  $B$  satisfies the Rota-Baxter equation (1). For all  $h, h' \in H, \ell, \ell' \in L, m, m' \in M$ , since

$$\begin{aligned}
mS(C(\ell)) &= m_{(1)}S(C(\ell_{(1)}))S(m_{(2)})C(\ell_{(2)})S(C(\ell_{(3)}))m_{(3)} \\
&= m_{(1)}S(C(\ell_{(1)}))C(\ell_{(2)})S(m_{(2)})S(C(\ell_{(3)}))m_{(3)} \\
&= S(C(\ell))m,
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&B((h\ell m)_{(1)}B((h\ell m)_{(2)})h'\ell'm'S(B((h\ell m)_{(3)}))) \\
&= B(h_{(1)}\ell_{(1)}m_{(1)}B(h_{(2)}\ell_{(2)}m_{(2)})h'\ell'm'S(B(h_{(3)}\ell_{(3)}m_{(3)}))) \\
&= B(h\ell_{(1)}m_{(1)}C(\ell_{(2)})S(m_{(2)})h'\ell'm'S(C(\ell_{(3)})S(m_{(3)}))) \\
&= B(h\ell_{(1)}C(\ell_{(2)})m_{(1)}S(m_{(2)})h'\ell'm'S(C(\ell_{(3)})S(m_{(3)}))) \\
&= B(h\ell_{(1)}C(\ell_{(2)})h'\ell'm'S(C(\ell_{(3)})S(m))) \\
&= B(h\ell_{(1)}C(\ell_{(2)})h'\ell'm'S(C(\ell_{(3)})S(m))) \\
&= B(hh'\ell_{(1)}C(\ell_{(2)})\ell'm'S(C(\ell_{(3)}))) \\
&= B(hh'\ell_{(1)}C(\ell_{(2)})\ell'S(C(\ell_{(3)}))m'm) \\
&= \varepsilon(hh')C(\ell_{(1)}C(\ell_{(2)})\ell'S(C(\ell_{(3)})))S(m)S(m') \\
&= \varepsilon(hh')C(\ell)C(\ell')S(m)S(m') \\
&= \varepsilon(hh')C(\ell)S(m)C(\ell')S(m') \\
&= B(h\ell m)B(h'\ell'm').
\end{aligned}$$

This proves Eq.(1). Furthermore, since  $C$  and  $S$  are coalgebra homomorphisms, it follows that  $B$  is also a coalgebra homomorphism. Hence,  $B : G \rightarrow G$  is a Rota-Baxter operator on  $H$ .

(b) Indeed, for all  $h, h' \in H, \ell, \ell' \in L, m, m' \in M$ , we have

$$(h\ell m) \circ_B (h'\ell'm') = hh'\ell_{(1)}C(\ell_{(2)})\ell'S(C(\ell_{(3)}))m'm = hh'(\ell \circ_C \ell')m'm. \quad \square$$

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $H$  and  $K$  be cocommutative Hopf algebras. Assume that  $H$  is a left  $K$ -module bialgebra with the module action  $\triangleright$ , and  $G = H\#K$  with the smash product*

$$(h\#k)(h'\#k') := h(k_{(1)} \triangleright h')\#k_{(2)}k', \quad h, h' \in H, k, k' \in K.$$

*Let  $C$  be a Rota-Baxter operator on  $K$  and let  $K_C$  be the descendent Hopf algebra.*

(a) *The map*

$$B : G \rightarrow G, \quad B(h\#k) = \varepsilon(h)C(k),$$

*is a Rota-Baxter operator.*

(b) *We have  $G_B \cong H\#K_C$ .*

*Proof.* (a) In fact, for any  $h, h' \in H, k, k' \in K$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} B((h\#k)_{(1)}B(h\#k)_{(2)}(h'\#k')S(B(h\#k)_{(3)})) &= B((h_{(1)}\#k_{(1)})B(h_{(2)}\#k_{(2)})(h'\#k')S(B(h_{(3)}\#k_{(3)}))) \\ &= B((h\#k_{(1)}C(k_{(2)}))(h'\#k')S(C(k_{(3)}))) \\ &= B(h((k_{(1)}C(k_{(3)})) \triangleright h')\#k_{(2)}C(k_{(4)})k'S(C(k_{(5)}))) \\ &= \varepsilon(hh')C(k_{(1)}C(k_{(2)})k'S(C(k_{(3)}))) \\ &= \varepsilon(hh')C(k)C(k') \\ &= B(h\#k)B(h'\#k'). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $B(hk)B(h'k') = B((hk)_{(1)}B(hk)_{(2)}h'k'S(B(hk)_{(3)}))$ , that is,  $B$  is a Rota-Baxter operator on  $G$ .

(b) We first show that  $H$  is a left  $K_C$ -module bialgebra with the module action

$$\triangleright : k \triangleright h := (k_{(1)}C(k_{(2)})) \triangleright h, \quad k \in K, h \in H.$$

In fact, since  $C$  is a Rota-Baxter operator on  $K$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (k \circ_C \ell) \triangleright h &= ((k \circ_C \ell)_{(1)}C((k \circ_C \ell)_{(2)})) \triangleright h \\ &= (k_{(1)}C(k_{(2)})\ell_{(1)}S_K(C(k_{(3)}))C(k_{(4)})C(\ell_{(2)})) \triangleright h \\ &= (k_{(1)}C(k_{(2)})\ell_{(1)}C(\ell_{(2)})) \triangleright h \\ &= (k_{(1)}C(k_{(2)})) \triangleright ((\ell_{(1)}C(\ell_{(2)})) \triangleright h) \\ &= k \triangleright (\ell \triangleright h), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $k, \ell \in K$  and  $h \in H$ . Similarly, we can verify the other conditions.

We next prove  $G_B \cong H\#K_C$ . This is because for all  $h, h' \in H, k, k' \in K$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (h\#k) \circ_B (h'\#k') &= (h_{(1)}\#k_{(1)})B(h_{(2)}\#k_{(2)})(h'\#k')S(B(h_{(3)}\#k_{(3)})) \\ &= (h\#k_{(1)}C(k_{(2)}))(h'\#k'S(C(k_{(3)}))) \\ &= h(k_{(1)}C(k_{(2)}) \triangleright h')\#k_{(3)}C(k_{(4)})k'S(C(k_{(5)})) \\ &= h((k_{(1)}C(k_{(2)})) \triangleright h')\#(k_{(3)} \circ_C k') \\ &= h(k_{(1)} \triangleright h')\#k_{(2)} \circ_C k'. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

### 3. ROTA-BAXTER OPERATORS, HOPF BRACES, YANG-BAXTER EQUATION AND 1-COCYCLES

In this section, we study the relationship of Rota-Baxter operators on cocommutative Hopf algebras with Hopf braces and solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation. We also discuss the relationship between the more general relative Rota-Baxter operators on cocommutative Hopf algebras and Hopf braces. In addition, we establish the connection between relative Rota-Baxter operators on cocommutative Hopf algebras and bijective 1-cocycles.

### 3.1. Rota-Baxter operators, Hopf braces and Yang-Baxter equation.

Here we show that a Rota-Baxter operator on cocommutative Hopf algebra gives rise to a cocommutative Hopf brace. Conversely, every cocommutative Hopf brace is naturally embedded into to a Rota-Baxter cocommutative Hopf algebra. Further Rota-Baxter operators give solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation.

We first obtain a Hopf brace via a Rota-Baxter operator on a cocommutative Hopf algebra.

**Definition 3.1.** [1] A **Hopf brace** structure over a coalgebra  $(H, \Delta, \varepsilon)$  consists of the following data:

- (a) a Hopf algebra structure  $(H, \cdot, 1, \Delta, \varepsilon, S)$  ( $H$  for short),
  - (b) a Hopf algebra structure  $(H, \circ, 1_\circ, \Delta, \varepsilon, T)$  ( $H_\circ$  for short),
  - (c) the compatibility condition
- (6) 
$$a \circ (bc) = (a_{(1)} \circ b)S(a_{(2)})(a_{(3)} \circ c), \quad a, b, c \in H.$$

A homomorphism  $f : (M, \cdot_M, \circ_M) \rightarrow (N, \cdot_N, \circ_N)$  of Hopf braces is a linear map such that  $f : M \rightarrow N$  and  $f : M_\circ \rightarrow N_\circ$  are Hopf algebra homomorphisms (see [1]). Let **HB** denote the category of Hopf braces, and let **cocHB** denote its subcategory of cocommutative Hopf braces.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $(H, \cdot, B)$  be a Rota-Baxter cocommutative Hopf algebra, and  $\varphi : H \rightarrow H$  a bialgebra automorphism. Then the following conclusions hold.

- (a)  $(H, \cdot, \circ_B)$  and  $(H, \cdot^{\text{op}}, \circ_B)$  are cocommutative Hopf braces.
- (b)  $(H, \cdot^{\text{op}}, \circ_B) \cong (H, \cdot, \circ_{\bar{B}})$  and  $(H, \cdot, \circ_B) \cong (H, \cdot, \circ_{B(\varphi)})$  as Hopf braces.
- (c) A Rota-Baxter Hopf algebra homomorphism  $f : (H, \cdot, B) \rightarrow (H', \cdot', B')$  induces a homomorphism  $f : (H, \cdot, \circ_B) \rightarrow (H', \cdot', \circ_{B'})$  of Hopf braces, yielding a functor

$$\mathbf{rbHA} \rightarrow \mathbf{cocHB}, \quad F(H, B) := (H, \cdot, \circ_B), \quad F(f) := f,$$

from the category of Rota-Baxter Hopf algebras to the category of cocommutative Hopf braces.

*Proof.* (a) By Lemma 2.4,  $(H, \cdot, \circ_B)$  is a Hopf algebra. For  $g, h, \ell \in G$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (g_{(1)} \circ_B h)S(g_{(2)})(g_{(3)} \circ_B \ell) &= g_{(1)(1)}B(g_{(1)(2)})hS(B(g_{(1)(3)}))S(g_{(2)})g_{(3)(1)}B(g_{(3)(2)})\ell S(B(g_{(3)(3)})) \\ &= g_{(1)}B(g_{(2)})h\ell S(B(g_{(3)})) \\ &= g \circ_B (h\ell). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(H, \cdot, \circ_B)$  is a cocommutative Hopf brace.

The assumption that the Hopf algebra  $(H, \cdot, \Delta, S)$  is cocommutative implies that  $(H, \cdot^{\text{op}}, \Delta, S)$  is also a cocommutative Hopf algebra. Furthermore, for  $g, h, \ell \in G$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (g_{(1)} \circ_B h) \cdot^{\text{op}} S(g_{(2)}) \cdot^{\text{op}} (g_{(3)} \circ_B \ell) &= g_{(3)(1)}B(g_{(3)(2)})\ell S(B(g_{(3)(3)}))S(g_{(2)})g_{(1)(1)}B(g_{(1)(2)})hS(B(g_{(1)(3)})) \\ &= g_{(1)}B(g_{(2)})\ell hS(B(g_{(3)})) \\ &= g \circ_B (h \cdot^{\text{op}} \ell). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(H, \cdot^{\text{op}}, \circ_B)$  is a cocommutative Hopf brace.

(b) Since  $S(h \cdot^{\text{op}} g) = S(gh) = S(h)S(g)$ , we find that  $S : (H, \cdot^{\text{op}}) \rightarrow (H, \cdot)$  is an algebra map. By (a) and Lemma 2.4, we get  $(G, \cdot^{\text{op}}, \circ_B) \cong (G, \cdot, \circ_{\bar{B}})$  as Hopf braces.

By (a), we conclude that  $(H, \cdot, \circ_{B(\varphi)})$  is a Hopf brace. Further by Lemma 2.4, it is easy to see that  $(H, \cdot, \circ_B) \cong (H, \cdot, \circ_{B(\varphi)})$  as Hopf braces.

(c) By (a),  $(H, \cdot, \circ_B)$  and  $(H', \cdot, \circ_{B'})$  are Hopf braces. We next prove that  $f$  is a homomorphism of Hopf braces from  $(H, \cdot, \circ_B)$  to  $(H', \cdot, \circ_{B'})$ .

As a matter of fact, because  $f : (H, B) \rightarrow (H', B')$  is a homomorphism of Rota-Baxter Hopf algebra, we have a Hopf algebra homomorphism  $f : H \rightarrow H'$  such that  $f \circ B = B' \circ f$ . Moreover, for any  $h, g \in H$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f(h \circ_B g) &= f(h_{(1)}B(h_{(2)})gS_H(B(h_{(3)}))) \\ &= f(h_{(1)})f(B(h_{(2)}))f(g)f(S_H(B(h_{(3)}))) \\ &= f(h_{(1)})B'(f(h_{(2)}))f(g)S_{H'}(B'(f(h_{(3)}))) \\ &= f(h)_{(1)}B'(f(h)_{(2)})f(g)S_{H'}(B'(f(h)_{(3)})) \\ &= f(h) \circ_{B'} f(g). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $F$  is a functor. □

**Corollary 3.3.** Let  $(H, B)$  be a Rota-Baxter cocommutative Hopf algebra. If  $\text{Im}B \subset Z(H)$  (the center of  $H$ ), then the Hopf brace  $(H, \cdot, \circ_B)$  is the trivial one.

*Proof.* Indeed, for all  $g, h \in H$ ,  $g \circ_B h = g_{(1)}B(g_{(2)})hS(B(g_{(3)})) = gh$ . □

We recall some properties of Hopf braces for later use.

**Lemma 3.4.** [1] Let  $(H, \cdot, \circ)$  be a cocommutative Hopf brace. Let  $H_\circ$  denote the Hopf algebra  $(H, \circ, 1_\circ, \Delta, \varepsilon, T)$ .

(a)  $H$  is a left  $H_\circ$ -module bialgebra with

$$(7) \quad a \rightarrow b = S(a_{(1)})(a_{(2)} \circ b), \quad a, b \in H.$$

(b) For all  $a, b \in H$ ,

$$(8) \quad a \circ b = a_{(1)}(a_{(2)} \rightarrow b),$$

$$(9) \quad ab = a_{(1)} \circ (T(a_{(2)}) \rightarrow b).$$

We next use cocommutative Hopf braces to construct Rota-Baxter Hopf algebras. Let  $(H, \cdot, \circ)$  be a cocommutative Hopf brace. Consider the tensor coalgebra  $H \otimes H$  with multiplication and antipode given by

$$\begin{aligned} (x \otimes y) * (z \otimes t) &= x_{(1)} \circ z \otimes y(x_{(2)} \rightarrow t), \\ S'(x \otimes y) &= T(x_{(1)}) \otimes T(x_{(2)}) \circ (x_{(3)}S(y)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $S$  and  $T$  are antipodes of the Hopf algebras  $(H, \cdot)$  and  $(H, \circ)$  respectively, and  $\rightarrow$  is defined by Eq. (7).

Consider the sub-Hopf algebras

$$G = \{(h_{(1)} \otimes h_{(2)}) \mid h \in H\} \text{ and } L = \{(h \otimes 1) \mid h \in H\}$$

of  $H \otimes H$ , noticing that if  $(H, \cdot, \circ)$  is a Hopf brace, then  $1 = 1_\circ$  (see[1]).

**Theorem 3.5.** (a) With the notions given above, there is the factorization of Hopf algebras  $H \otimes H = G * L$ .

(b) The splitting operator

$$(10) \quad B : H \otimes H \rightarrow H \otimes H, \quad B(g * \ell) := \varepsilon(g)S'(\ell), \quad g \in G, \ell \in L,$$

is a Rota-Baxter operator and takes the form

$$(11) \quad B(x \otimes y) = T(x) \circ y \otimes 1, \quad x, y \in H.$$



(c) For a homomorphism of cocommutative Hopf braces  $f : (H, \cdot, \circ) \rightarrow (H', \cdot, \circ)$ , the map  $f \otimes f : H \otimes H \rightarrow H \otimes H$  is a homomorphism of Rota-Baxter Hopf algebras, yielding a functor

$$J : \mathbf{cocHB} \rightarrow \mathbf{rbHA}, J((H, \cdot, \circ)) = (H \otimes H, *, B), J(f) = f \otimes f.$$

*Proof.* (a) The factorization follows since  $x \otimes y = (y_{(1)} \otimes y_{(2)}) * (T(y_{(3)}) \circ x \otimes 1)$  for  $x, y \in H$ .

(b) By [15, Prop. 2],  $B$  is a Rota-Baxter operator on  $H \otimes H$ . We verify Eq. (11) as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} B(x \otimes y) &= B((y_{(1)} \otimes y_{(2)}) * (T(y_{(3)}) \circ x \otimes 1)) \\ &= \varepsilon(y_{(1)} \otimes y_{(2)}) S'(T(y_{(3)}) \circ x \otimes 1) \\ &= S'(T(y) \circ x \otimes 1) \\ &= T((T(y) \circ x)_{(1)}) \otimes T((T(y) \circ x)_{(2)}) \circ ((T(y) \circ x)_{(3)}) S(1) \\ &= T(T(y)_{(1)} \circ x_{(1)}) \otimes T(T(y)_{(2)} \circ x_{(2)}) \circ (T(y)_{(3)} \circ x_{(3)}) \\ &= T(x_{(1)} \circ y_{(1)}) \otimes T(x_{(2)} \circ y_{(2)}) \circ T(y_{(3)} \circ x_{(3)}) \quad (T^2 = id) \\ &= T(x) \circ y \otimes 1. \end{aligned}$$

(c) We first prove that, for the homomorphism  $f$  of Hopf braces, the map  $f \otimes f$  is a homomorphism of Rota-Baxter Hopf algebras from  $(H \otimes H, B)$  to  $(H' \otimes H', B')$ .

First,  $f \otimes f$  is an algebra homomorphism: for any  $x, y, z, t \in H$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (f \otimes f)((x \otimes y) * (z \otimes t)) &= (f \otimes f)(x_{(1)} \circ z \otimes y(x_{(2)} \rightarrow t)) \\ &= f(x_{(1)} \circ z) \otimes f(y(x_{(2)} \rightarrow t)) \\ &= f(x_{(1)}) \circ f(z) \otimes f(y) f(x_{(2)} \rightarrow t) \\ &= f(x_{(1)}) \circ f(z) \otimes f(y)(f(x_{(2)}) \rightarrow f(t)) \\ &= f(x)_{(1)} \circ f(z) \otimes f(y)(f(x)_{(2)} \rightarrow f(t)) \\ &= (f(x) \otimes f(y)) * (f(z) \otimes f(t)) \\ &= (f \otimes f)(x \otimes y) * (f \otimes f)(z \otimes t). \end{aligned}$$

It is also easy to prove that  $f \otimes f$  is a coalgebra homomorphism.

Finally, we prove that  $(f \otimes f) \circ B = B' \circ (f \otimes f)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (f \otimes f)B(x \otimes y) &= (f \otimes f)(T_H(x) \circ y \otimes 1) \\ &= f(T_H(x) \circ y) \otimes f(1) \\ &= f(T_H(x)) \circ f(y) \otimes 1 \\ &= T_{H'}(f(x)) \circ f(y) \otimes 1 \\ &= B'(f(x) \otimes f(y)) \\ &= B'(f \otimes f)(x \otimes y). \end{aligned}$$

In summary,  $f \otimes f$  is a homomorphism of Rota-Baxter Hopf algebras. Then it is direct to check that  $J$  a functor.  $\square$

**Remark 3.6.** Let  $(A, \Delta, \circ, T)$  be a cocommutative Hopf algebra. Assume that  $(A, A)$  is a matched pair of cocommutative Hopf algebras [20] with actions  $\rightarrow$  and  $\leftarrow$  such that

$$a \circ b = (a_{(1)} \rightarrow b_{(1)}) \circ (a_{(2)} \leftarrow b_{(2)}), \quad a, b \in A.$$

Then by [1, Prop. 3.2], we find that  $(A, \cdot, \circ)$  is a cocommutative Hopf brace with

$$a \cdot b = a_{(1)} \circ (T(a_{(2)}) \rightarrow b), \quad S(a) = a_{(1)} \rightarrow T(a_{(2)}), \quad a, b \in A.$$

Then by Theorem 3.5, there is a Rota-Baxter cocommutative Hopf algebra  $(A \otimes A, B)$ , where  $B(x \otimes y) = T(x) \circ y \otimes 1$ , for all  $x, y \in A$ , and the tensor coalgebra  $A \otimes A$  has the multiplication  $*$  and antipode  $S'$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (x \otimes y) * (z \otimes t) &= x_{(1)} \circ z \otimes y_{(1)} \circ (T(y_{(2)}) \rightarrow ((x_{(2)} \rightarrow T(x_{(3)})) \circ (T(x_{(4)} \rightarrow T(x_{(5)})) \rightarrow (x_{(6)} \circ t))), \\ S'(x \otimes y) &= T(x_{(1)}) \otimes (T(x_{(2)}) \circ y_{(1)} \rightarrow T(y_{(2)})), \quad x, y, z, t \in A. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.5 yields the following PBW type theorem.

- Theorem 3.7.** (a) *Every cocommutative Hopf brace can be embedded into a Rota-Baxter cocommutative Hopf algebra, regarded as a Hopf brace by Theorem 3.2.*  
(b) *There is a natural transformation  $\mathcal{T} : I \rightarrow FJ$ , where  $I$  is the identity functor on the category **coCHB** of cocommutative Hopf braces, and  $F$  and  $J$  are defined in Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.5, respectively.*

However, the functors  $F$  and  $J$  do not give an equivalence between the categories **coCHB** and **rbHA**, and  $H \otimes H$  is not an enveloping Rota-Baxter Hopf algebra of the Hopf brace  $H$ . It would be interesting to obtain an enveloping Rota-Baxter Hopf algebra of a Hopf brace and establish a PBW theorem.

*Proof.* (a) Let  $(H, \cdot, \circ)$  be a cocommutative Hopf brace. Then  $(H \otimes H, B)$  is a Rota-Baxter cocommutative Hopf algebra by Theorem 3.5. By Theorem 3.2,  $(H \otimes H)_B = (H \otimes H, *, \circ_B)$  is a Hopf brace.

Embed  $H$  into  $H \otimes H$  by  $\psi : H \rightarrow H \otimes H, g \mapsto 1 \otimes g$ . Then,  $\psi$  is an isomorphism of the Hopf braces  $H$  with  $\text{Im}(\psi)$ , where  $\text{Im}(\psi)$  is considered as a sub-Hopf brace of  $(H \otimes H)_B = (H \otimes H, *, \circ_B)$ .

In fact, for any  $g, h \in H$ , we have

$$\psi(g) * \psi(h) = (1 \otimes g) * (1 \otimes h) = 1 \otimes g(1 \rightarrow h) = 1 \otimes gh = \psi(gh),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(g) \circ_B \psi(h) &= \psi(g)_{(1)} * B(\psi(g)_{(2)}) * \psi(h) * S'(B(\psi(g)_{(3)})) \\ &= (1 \otimes g)_{(1)} * B((1 \otimes g)_{(2)}) * (1 \otimes h) * S'(B((1 \otimes g)_{(3)})) \\ &= (1 \otimes g_{(1)}) * B((1 \otimes g_{(2)})) * (1 \otimes h) * S'(B((1 \otimes g_{(3)}))) \\ &= (1 \otimes g_{(1)}) * (g_{(2)} \otimes 1) * (1 \otimes h) * S'(g_{(3)} \otimes 1) \\ &= (g_{(2)} \otimes (g_{(1)})) * (1 \otimes h) * S'(g_{(3)} \otimes 1) \\ &= (g_{(21)} \otimes g_{(1)}(g_{(22)} \rightarrow h)) * (T(g_{(31)}) \otimes T(g_{(32)}) \circ g_{(33)}) \\ &= (g_{(2)} \otimes g_{(1)}(g_{(3)} \rightarrow h)) * (T(g_{(4)}) \otimes 1) \\ &= 1 \otimes g_{(1)}(g_{(2)} \rightarrow h) \\ &= \psi(g \circ h). \end{aligned}$$

(b) Define

$$\mathcal{T} = \{t_{(H, \cdot, \circ)} = \psi : I((H, \cdot, \circ)) \rightarrow FJ((H, \cdot, \circ)) \mid \text{for any Hopf brace } (H, \cdot, \circ)\},$$

where  $\psi$  is defined by (a). We next prove that  $\mathcal{T}$  is a natural transformation.

Indeed, for any Hopf brace homomorphism  $f : (H, \cdot, \circ) \rightarrow (H', \cdot, \circ)$ , and for any  $h \in (H, \cdot, \circ)$ , we have

$$FJ(f)\mathcal{T}_{(H, \cdot, \circ)}(h) = (f \otimes f)(1 \otimes h) = f(1) \otimes f(h) = 1 \otimes f(h),$$

and

$$\mathcal{T}_{(H', \cdot, \circ)}I(f)(h) = \mathcal{T}_{(H', \cdot, \circ)}f(h) = 1 \otimes f(h),$$

that is,  $FJ(f)\mathcal{T}_{(H, \cdot, \circ)} = \mathcal{T}_{(H', \cdot, \circ)}I(f)$ . Hence  $\mathcal{T} : I \rightarrow FJ$  is a natural transformation.  $\square$

**Example 3.8.** Let  $H$  and  $K$  be cocommutative Hopf algebras, and  $H$  a left  $K$ -module bialgebra with the module action  $\triangleright$ . Then the smash product of cocommutative Hopf algebras  $G = H\#K$  is a cocommutative Hopf brace (see [1, Example 1.5]). Here

$$\begin{aligned} (h\#k)(h'\#k') &= hh'\#kk', \\ (h\#k) \circ (h'\#k') &= h(k_{(1)} \triangleright h')\#k_{(2)}k', \\ S_{H\#K}(h\#k) &= S_H(h)\#S_K(k), \\ T_{H\#K}(h\#k) &= S_K(k_{(1)}) \triangleright S_H(h)\#S_K(k_{(2)}), \quad h, h' \in H, k, k' \in K. \end{aligned}$$

In the following, applying Theorem 3.7, we construct a Rota-Baxter Hopf algebra containing  $G$ . To define the operations on  $G' := G \otimes G = H\#K \otimes H\#K$ : for  $h\#k \otimes h'\#k', g\#l \otimes g'\#l' \in G'$ , take

$$\begin{aligned} (h\#k \otimes h'\#k') * (g\#l \otimes g'\#l') &:= h(k_{(1)} \triangleright g)\#k_{(2)}l \otimes h'(k_{(3)} \triangleright g')\#k'l', \\ S'(h\#k \otimes h'\#k') &:= (S_K(k_{(1)}) \triangleright S_H(h_{(1)})\#S_K(k_{(2)}) \otimes S_K(k_{(3)}) \triangleright S_H(h')\#S_K(k')). \end{aligned}$$

Consider the sub-Hopf algebras

$$H := \{((h\#k)_{(1)} \otimes (h\#k)_{(2)}) \mid h\#k \in G\}, \quad L := \{((h\#k) \otimes 1\#1) \mid h\#k \in G\}$$

of  $G'$ . We obtain the decomposition  $G' = H * L$ , since

$$\begin{aligned} h\#k \otimes h'\#k' &= ((h'\#k')_{(1)} \otimes (h'\#k')_{(2)}) * (T((h'\#k')_{(3)}) \circ (h\#k) \otimes 1\#1) \\ &= (h'_{(1)}\#k'_{(1)} \otimes h'_{(2)}\#k'_{(2)}) * ((S_K(k'_{(3)}) \triangleright S_H(h'_{(3)}))(S_K(k'_{(4)}) \triangleright h)\#S_K(k'_{(5)})k \otimes 1\#1). \end{aligned}$$

Define a Rota-Baxter operator  $B : G' \rightarrow G'$  by

$$\begin{aligned} B((h\#k, h'\#k')) &= T(h\#k) \circ (h'\#k') \otimes 1\#1 \\ &= (S_K(k_{(1)}) \triangleright S_H(h))(S_K(k_{(2)}) \triangleright h')\#S_K(k_{(3)})k' \otimes 1\#1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $G = H\#K$  embeds into the Rota-Baxter Hopf algebra  $(G', *, B)$  by sending  $h\#k$  to  $1\#1 \otimes h\#k$ .

**Remark 3.9.** (a) Let  $(G, B)$  be a Rota-Baxter group. Then by [15, Theorem 1], we naturally obtain a Rota-Baxter operator  $B$  on the group algebra  $F[G]$ . If  $f : G \rightarrow G$  is a group isomorphism, then  $\varphi : F[G] \rightarrow F[G]$  is a bialgebra automorphism, where  $\varphi(x) = \sum \alpha_i f(g_i)$ , for all  $x = \sum \alpha_i g_i \in F(G)$ .

Theorem 3.2 shows that  $(F[G], \cdot, \circ_B)$ ,  $(F[G], \cdot, \circ_{\bar{B}})$  and  $(F[G], \cdot, \circ_{B(\varphi)})$  are cocommutative Hopf braces. Hence, by Theorem 3.7, they can be embedded into Rota-Baxter Hopf algebras.

(b) Let  $L$  be a Lie algebra and  $R$  be a Rota-Baxter operator of weight 1 on  $L$ . Then by [15, Lemmas 2 and 3], the map  $R$  can be extended to a linear map  $B : U(L) \rightarrow U(L)$  such that  $B$  is a Rota-Baxter operator on  $U(L)$ , where  $U(L)$  is the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra  $L$ .

If there is an automorphism  $f$  on a Lie algebra  $L$ , then we naturally have a bialgebra automorphism  $\psi : U(L) \rightarrow U(L)$ . Theorem 3.2 again shows that  $(U(L), \cdot, \circ_B)$ ,  $(U(L), \cdot, \circ_{\bar{B}})$  and  $(U(L), \cdot, \circ_{B(\psi)})$  are cocommutative Hopf braces. Hence by Theorem 3.7, they can be embedded into Rota-Baxter Hopf algebras.

In what follows, we establish a relationship between cocommutative post-Hopf algebras and Rota-Baxter cocommutative Hopf algebras, which goes beyond the one given in [22].

**Definition 3.10.** [22] A **post-Hopf algebra** is a pair  $(H, \blacktriangleright)$ , where  $H$  is a Hopf algebra and  $\blacktriangleright : H \otimes H \rightarrow H$  is a coalgebra homomorphism satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} x \blacktriangleright (yz) &= (x_{(1)} \blacktriangleright y)(x_{(2)} \blacktriangleright z), \\ x \blacktriangleright (y \blacktriangleright z) &= (x_{(1)}(x_{(2)} \blacktriangleright y)) \blacktriangleright z, \quad x, y, z \in H, \end{aligned}$$

and the left multiplication  $\alpha_{\blacktriangleright} : H \rightarrow \text{End}(H)$  defined by

$$\alpha_{\blacktriangleright, x}(y) = x \blacktriangleright y, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in H,$$

is convolutionally invertible in  $\text{Hom}(H, \text{End}(H))$ , that is, there exists a unique map  $\beta_{\blacktriangleright} : H \rightarrow \text{End}(H)$  such that

$$\alpha_{\blacktriangleright, x_{(1)}} \beta_{\blacktriangleright, x_{(2)}} = \beta_{\blacktriangleright, x_{(1)}} \alpha_{\blacktriangleright, x_{(2)}} = \varepsilon(x) \text{id}_H, \quad x \in H.$$

A homomorphism from a post-Hopf algebra  $(H, \blacktriangleright)$  to another post-Hopf algebra  $(H', \blacktriangleright')$  is a Hopf algebra homomorphism  $g : H \rightarrow H'$  satisfying

$$g(x \blacktriangleright y) = g(x) \blacktriangleright' g(y), \quad x, y \in H.$$

[22, Theorem 2.13] states that a cocommutative post-Hopf algebra  $(H, \blacktriangleright, S)$  and its subadjacent Hopf algebra  $(H, *_\blacktriangleright, S_\blacktriangleright)$  form a Hopf brace, where the multiplication  $*_\blacktriangleright$  and the antipode  $S_\blacktriangleright$  are given by

$$(12) \quad x *_\blacktriangleright y := x_{(1)}(x_{(2)} \blacktriangleright y), \quad S_\blacktriangleright(x) := \beta_{\blacktriangleright, x_{(1)}}(S(x_{(2)})), \quad x, y \in H.$$

Conversely, any cocommutative Hopf brace  $(H, \cdot, \circ)$  gives a post-Hopf algebra  $(H, \blacktriangleright)$  with the multiplication  $\blacktriangleright$  defined by

$$(13) \quad x \blacktriangleright y = S(x_{(1)})(x_{(2)} \circ y), \quad x, y \in H.$$

For a post-Hopf algebra  $(H, \blacktriangleright)$  and  $H_\blacktriangleright$  the subadjacent Hopf algebra, by [22, Prop. 3.2], the identity map  $\text{id}_H : H \rightarrow H_\blacktriangleright$  is a relative Rota-Baxter operator. We next apply Theorem 3.2 to give other avenues to obtain (non-relative) Rota-Baxter operators on Hopf algebras from cocommutative post-Hopf algebras. Applying Theorems 3.2, 3.7 and above relationship between post-Hopf algebras and Hopf braces gives the following correspondences.

**Corollary 3.11.** (a) Let  $(H, B)$  be a Rota-Baxter cocommutative Hopf algebra  $(H, B)$ . Let  $(H, \cdot, \circ_B)$  be the Hopf brace from Theorem 3.2, where

$$g \circ_B h := g_{(1)} B(g_{(2)}) h S(B(g_{(3)})), \quad g, h \in H.$$

Then, for

$$x \blacktriangleright y := S(x_{(1)})(x_{(2)} \circ_B y) = B(x_{(1)}) y S(B(x_{(2)})), \quad x, y \in H,$$

the pair  $(H, \blacktriangleright)$  is a post-Hopf algebra.

- (b) Let  $(H, \blacktriangleright, S)$  be a cocommutative post-Hopf algebra and let  $(H, \cdot, *_{\blacktriangleright})$  be the corresponding Hopf brace defined in Eq. (12). Define an operator

$$B : H \otimes H \rightarrow H \otimes H, \quad B(x \otimes y) := S_{\blacktriangleright}(x) *_{\blacktriangleright} y \otimes 1, \quad x, y \in H.$$

Then the pair  $(H \otimes H, B)$  is a cocommutative Rota-Baxter Hopf algebra.

- (c) Every cocommutative post-Hopf algebra is embedded as the post-Hopf subalgebra of a Rota-Baxter cocommutative Hopf algebra.

*Proof.* (a) This follows from Theorem 3.2 and above fact that Eq (13) defines a post-Hopf algebra.

(b) This follows from the above fact that  $(H, \cdot, *_{\blacktriangleright})$  defined by Eq. (12) is a Hopf brace together with Theorem 3.7 that  $(H \otimes H, B)$  is a cocommutative Rota-Baxter Hopf algebra.

(c) Let  $H$  be a cocommutative post-Hopf algebra. Then by (b),  $H \otimes H$  is a cocommutative Rota-Baxter Hopf algebra. Then by (a),  $(H \otimes H, \blacktriangleright_{H \otimes H})$  is a post-Hopf algebra with

$$(x \otimes y) \blacktriangleright_{H \otimes H} (h \otimes g) = B(x_{(1)} \otimes y_{(1)}) * (h \otimes g) * S'(B(x_{(2)} \otimes y_{(2)})), \quad x, y, h, g \in H,$$

where  $*$  and  $S'$  are defined in Theorem 3.7.

Embed  $H$  into  $H \otimes H$  by the map

$$\psi : H \rightarrow H \otimes H, \quad g \mapsto 1 \otimes g.$$

Then  $\psi$  is an isomorphism from the post-Hopf algebra  $G$  to  $\text{Im}(\psi)$ , regarded as a post-Hopf subalgebra of  $(H \otimes H, \blacktriangleright_{H \otimes H})$ . This is because, for all  $g, h \in H$ , on the one hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(g) \blacktriangleright \psi(h) &= (1 \otimes g) \blacktriangleright (1 \otimes h) \\ &= B(1 \otimes g_{(1)}) * (1 \otimes h) * S'(B(1 \otimes g_{(2)})) \\ &= (g_{(1)} \otimes 1) * (1 \otimes h) * S'(g_{(2)} \otimes 1) \\ &= (g_{(1)} \otimes S(g_{(2)})(g_{(3)} *_{\blacktriangleright} h)) * (S_{\blacktriangleright}(g_{(2)}) \otimes 1) \\ &= g_{(1)} *_{\blacktriangleright} S_{\blacktriangleright}(g_{(2)}) \otimes S(g_{(3)})(g_{(4)} *_{\blacktriangleright} h) \\ &= 1 \otimes S(h_{(1)})(h_{(2)} *_{\blacktriangleright} g) \\ &= 1 \otimes S(g_{(1)}g_{(2)}g_{(3)} \blacktriangleright h) \\ &= 1 \otimes (g \blacktriangleright h) \\ &= \psi(g \blacktriangleright h), \end{aligned}$$

and on the other hand, by Theorem 3.7,  $\psi(gh) = \psi(g) * \psi(h) = \psi(gh)$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.12.** A solution of the **Yang-Baxter equation** on a vector space  $V$  is a linear bijection  $R : V \otimes V \rightarrow V \otimes V$  such that

$$(R \otimes \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes R)(R \otimes \text{id}) = (\text{id} \otimes R)(R \otimes \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes R).$$

In the following, we obtain solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation via Rota-Baxter operators on cocommutative Hopf algebras.

Let  $(H, B)$  be a Rota-Baxter cocommutative Hopf algebra. By Theorem 3.2(a),  $(H, \cdot, \circ_B)$  is a cocommutative Hopf brace. By [1, Lemma 1.8 and Lemma 2.2],  $H$  is a left  $H_B$  module bialgebra via  $\rightarrow_B$ , and  $H$  is a right  $H_B$  module coalgebra via  $\leftarrow_B$ , where the actions  $\rightarrow_B$  and  $\leftarrow_B$  are defined by

$$(14) \quad h \rightarrow_B k := S(h_{(1)})(h_{(2)} \circ_B k), \quad h \leftarrow_B k := T(h_{(1)} \rightarrow k_{(1)}) \circ_B h_{(2)} \circ_B k_{(2)}, \quad h, k \in H,$$

for  $T$  in Eq. (3).

**Proposition 3.13.** *Let  $(H, B)$  be a Rota-Baxter cocommutative Hopf algebra. Then the linear map*

$$(15) \quad c : H \otimes H \rightarrow H \otimes H, \quad c(x \otimes y) = x_{(1)} \rightarrow_B y_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)} \leftarrow_B y_{(2)},$$

*is a coalgebra isomorphism and a solution of the Yang-Baxter equation on the vector space  $H$ . Furthermore, the actions  $\rightarrow_B$  and  $\leftarrow_B$  are given in terms of  $B$  by*

$$(16) \quad h \rightarrow_B k = B(h_{(1)})kS(B(h_{(2)})),$$

$$(17) \quad h \leftarrow_B k = S(B(h_{(1)} \rightarrow k_{(1)}))S(h_{(2)} \rightarrow k_{(2)})h_{(3)}(h_{(4)} \rightarrow k_{(3)})B(h_{(5)} \rightarrow k_{(4)}), \quad h, k \in H,$$

*respectively.*

*Proof.* Since  $(H, B)$  is a Rota-Baxter cocommutative Hopf algebra, by Theorem 3.2(a),  $(H, \cdot, \circ_B)$  is a Hopf brace. By [1, Corollary 2.4], the Hopf brace  $(H, \cdot, \circ_B)$  gives a solution of the Yang-Baxter equation by Eq. (15).

Furthermore, Eqs. (16) and (17) are verified as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} h \rightarrow_B k &= S(h_{(1)})(h_{(2)} \circ_B k) \\ &= S(h_{(1)})h_{(2)(1)}B(h_{(2)(2)})kS(B(h_{(2)(3)})) \\ &= B(h_{(1)})kS(B(h_{(2)})). \end{aligned}$$

By [15, Prop. 5 and Corollary 3] and Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h \leftarrow_B k &= T(h_{(1)} \rightarrow k_{(1)}) \circ_B h_{(2)} \circ_B k_{(2)} \\ &= T(h_{(1)} \rightarrow k_{(1)}) \circ_B (h_{(2)}B(h_{(3)})k_{(2)}S(B(h_{(4)}))) \\ &= T(h_{(1)} \rightarrow k_{(1)})B(T(h_{(2)} \rightarrow k_{(2)}))h_{(3)}B(h_{(4)})k_{(3)}S(B(h_{(5)}))S(B(T(h_{(6)} \rightarrow k_{(4)}))) \\ &= S(B(h_{(1)} \rightarrow k_{(1)}))S(h_{(2)} \rightarrow k_{(2)})B(h_{(3)} \rightarrow k_{(3)}) \\ &\quad \times B(S(B(h_{(4)} \rightarrow k_{(4)}))S(h_{(5)} \rightarrow k_{(5)})B(h_{(6)} \rightarrow k_{(6)})) \\ &\quad \times h_{(7)}(h_{(8)} \rightarrow k_{(7)})S(B(T(h_{(9)} \rightarrow k_{(8)}))) \\ &= S(B(h_{(1)} \rightarrow k_{(1)}))S(h_{(2)} \rightarrow k_{(2)})\underline{B(h_{(3)} \rightarrow k_{(3)})B(T(h_{(4)} \rightarrow k_{(4)}))} \\ &\quad \times h_{(5)}(h_{(6)} \rightarrow k_{(5)})S(B(T(h_{(7)} \rightarrow k_{(6)}))) \\ &= S(B(h_{(1)} \rightarrow k_{(1)}))S(h_{(2)} \rightarrow k_{(2)})h_{(3)}(h_{(4)} \rightarrow k_{(3)})S(B(T((h_{(5)} \rightarrow k_{(4)})))) \\ &\quad \text{(applying Lemma 2.3(b) to the underlined part)} \\ &= S(B(h_{(1)} \rightarrow k_{(1)}))S(h_{(2)} \rightarrow k_{(2)})h_{(3)}(h_{(4)} \rightarrow k_{(3)})B(T(T((h_{(5)} \rightarrow k_{(4)})))) \\ &= S(B(h_{(1)} \rightarrow k_{(1)}))S(h_{(2)} \rightarrow k_{(2)})h_{(3)}(h_{(4)} \rightarrow k_{(3)})B(h_{(5)} \rightarrow k_{(4)}). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**3.2. Relative Rota-Baxter operators on cocommutative Hopf algebras, Hopf braces and bijective 1-cocycles.** In this subsection, we construct a Hopf brace from a relative Rota-Baxter operator on Hopf algebra and establish the connection between relative Rota-Baxter operators and bijective 1-cocycles on Hopf algebras.

In [22], the authors generalized the notion of Rota-Baxter operators on a Hopf algebra [15] to that of relative Rota-Baxter operators, by generalizing the adjoint action to arbitrary actions on bialgebras.

**Definition 3.14.** [22] Let  $H$  and  $K$  be Hopf algebras such that  $K$  is a left  $H$ -module bialgebra via an action  $\rightarrow$ . A coalgebra homomorphism  $\tau : K \rightarrow H$  is called a **relative Rota-Baxter operator** with respect to the left  $H$ -module bialgebra  $(K, \rightarrow)$  if

$$(18) \quad \tau(a)\tau(b) = \tau(a_{(1)}(\tau(a_{(2)}) \rightarrow b)), \quad a, b \in K.$$

**Lemma 3.15.** [22] Let  $\tau : K \rightarrow H$  be a relative Rota-Baxter operator with respect to a cocommutative  $H$ -module bialgebra  $(K, \rightarrow)$ . Then  $(K, \circ, \Delta, S_\tau)$  is a Hopf algebra, which is called the **descendant Hopf algebra** and denoted by  $K_\tau$ , where the antipode  $S_\tau$  and the multiplication  $\circ$  is given by

$$S_\tau(k) = S_H(\tau(k_{(1)})) \rightarrow S_K(k_{(2)}),$$

and

$$k \circ k' = k_{(1)}(\tau(k_{(2)}) \rightarrow k'), \quad k, k' \in K.$$

In the following, we will prove that the descendant Hopf algebra also forms a Hopf brace. In fact, The descendant Hopf algebra derived from a relative Rota-Baxter operator generalizes the descendant Hopf algebra obtained through a Rota-Baxter Hopf algebra in Lemma 2.3.

**Proposition 3.16.** Let  $\tau : K \rightarrow H$  be a relative Rota-Baxter operator with respect to a cocommutative  $H$ -module bialgebra  $(K, \rightarrow)$ . Then  $(K, K_\tau, \Delta)$  is a Hopf brace.

Conversely, let  $(H, \cdot, \circ)$  be a cocommutative Hopf brace. Then with the action  $\rightarrow$  defined by Lemma 3.4, the triple  $(H, H_\circ, \rightarrow, \text{id}_H)$  is a relative Rota-Baxter Hopf algebra.

*Proof.* Indeed, for any  $k \in K$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (k_{(1)} \circ k')S(k_{(2)})(k_{(3)} \circ k'') &= k_{(1)}(\tau(k_{(2)}) \rightarrow k')S(k_{(3)})k_{(4)}(\tau(k_{(5)}) \rightarrow k'') \\ &= k_{(1)}(\tau(k_{(2)}) \rightarrow k')(\tau(k_{(3)}) \rightarrow k'') \\ &= k_{(1)}(\tau(k_{(2)}) \rightarrow (k'k'')) \\ &= k \circ (k'k''). \end{aligned}$$

For the second claim, taking  $\tau = \text{id}_H$  in Eq. (18) yields Eq. (8).  $\square$

We next recall the notion of bijective 1-cocycles on Hopf algebras as a special case of 1-cocycles, also known as crossed homomorphisms [30] and recently identified as relative difference operators [18].

**Definition 3.17.** [1] Let  $H$  and  $A$  be Hopf algebras, and  $A$  a left  $H$ -module algebra via an action  $\rightarrow$ . A **bijective 1-cocycle** is a coalgebra isomorphism  $\pi : H \rightarrow A$  such that

$$\pi(hk) = \pi(h_{(1)})(h_{(2)} \rightarrow \pi(k)), \quad h, k \in H.$$

Bijective 1-cocycles can be related to relative Rota-Baxter operators in multiple ways. We first give a direct connection.

**Proposition 3.18.** Let  $H$  and  $A$  be Hopf algebras, and  $A$  an  $H$ -module bialgebra via an action  $\rightarrow$ . If  $\tau : A \rightarrow H$  is a invertible linear map, then  $\tau$  is a relative Rota-Baxter operator if and only if  $\tau^{-1}$  is a bijective 1-cocycle.

*Proof.* If  $\tau : A \rightarrow H$  is a relative Rota-Baxter operator with respect to the left  $H$ -module bialgebra  $(A, \rightarrow)$ , we have

$$\tau(a)\tau(b) = \tau(a_{(1)}(\tau(a_{(2)}) \rightarrow b)),$$

for all  $a, b \in A$ . By the bijectivity of  $\tau$ , there exist  $h, k \in H$  such that  $\tau^{-1}(h) = a$  and  $\tau^{-1}(k) = b$ . Then  $hk = \tau(\tau^{-1}(h_{(1)})(h_{(2)} \rightarrow \tau^{-1}(k)))$ , that is,

$$\tau^{-1}(hk) = \tau^{-1}(h_{(1)})(h_{(2)} \rightarrow \tau^{-1}(k)).$$

Moreover, since  $\tau$  is a coalgebra isomorphism, it is easy to verify that  $\tau^{-1}$  is a coalgebra isomorphism. Therefore,  $\tau^{-1}$  is a bijective 1-cocycle.

Conversely, if  $\tau^{-1} : H \rightarrow A$  is a bijective 1-cocycle, then we have

$$\tau^{-1}(hk) = \tau^{-1}(h_{(1)})(h_{(2)} \rightarrow \tau^{-1}(k)), \quad h, k \in H,$$

and there exist  $a, b \in A$  such that  $\tau(a) = h$  and  $\tau(b) = k$ . Hence  $\tau^{-1}(\tau(a)\tau(b)) = a_{(1)}(\tau(a_{(2)} \rightarrow b)$  which means that

$$\tau(a)\tau(b) = \tau(a_{(1)}(\tau(a_{(2)} \rightarrow b)).$$

Hence  $\tau$  is a relative Rota-Baxter operator.  $\square$

Applying the relationship between Hopf braces and bijective 1-cocycles, we arrive at the next connection between 1-cocycles and relative Rota-Baxter operators on Hopf algebras.

**Proposition 3.19.** *Let  $H$  and  $K$  be cocommutative Hopf algebras.*

- (a) *If  $\pi : H \rightarrow K$  is a bijective 1-cocycle, then  $\text{id}_K : K \rightarrow K_{\circ_\pi}$  is a relative Rota-Baxter operator, where  $\circ_\pi$  is defined by  $a \circ_\pi b = \pi(\pi^{-1}(a)\pi^{-1}(b))$ , for all  $a, b \in K$ .*
- (b) *If  $\tau : K \rightarrow H$  is a relative Rota-Baxter operator with respect to a left  $H$ -module bialgebra  $(K, \rightarrow)$ , then  $\text{id}_K : K \rightarrow K_\circ$  is a relative Rota-Baxter operator, where  $\circ$  is defined by  $x \circ y = x_{(1)}(\tau(x_{(2)}) \rightarrow y)$ , for all  $x, y \in K$ .*

*Proof.* (a) By [1, Theorem 1.12], if  $\pi : H \rightarrow K$  is a bijective 1-cocycle, then  $(K, \cdot, \circ_\pi)$  is a Hopf brace, with  $a \circ_\pi b = \pi(\pi^{-1}(a)\pi^{-1}(b))$  for  $a, b \in K$ . So by Lemma 3.4,  $K$  is a left  $K_{\circ_\pi}$ -module bialgebra and  $a \circ_\pi b = a_{(1)}(a_{(2)} \rightarrow b)$  for all  $a, b \in K$  means that  $\text{id}_K : K \rightarrow K_{\circ_\pi}$  is a relative Rota-Baxter operator.

(b) If  $\tau : K \rightarrow H$  is a relative Rota-Baxter operator with respect to a left  $H$ -module bialgebra  $(K, \rightarrow)$ , then by Proposition 3.16, there exists a Hopf brace  $(K, \cdot, \circ)$  with  $x \circ y = x_{(1)}(\tau(x_{(2)}) \rightarrow y)$  for  $x, y \in K$ . So by Definition 3.17 and Lemma 3.4,  $\text{id}_K : K \rightarrow K$  is a bijective 1-cocycle and its inverse is a relative Rota-Baxter operator.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.20.** *Let  $(H, B)$  be a Rota-Baxter cocommutative Hopf algebra. Then  $\text{id}_H : H \rightarrow H_B$  is a relative Rota-Baxter operator.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.2,  $(H, \cdot, \circ_B)$  is a Hopf brace. So  $H$  is a left  $H_B$ -module bialgebra with the action  $\rightarrow$  such that  $a \circ_B b = a_{(1)}(a_{(2)} \rightarrow b)$ , for  $a, b \in H$ . Hence, by the above two definitions, the identity map  $\text{id}_H : H \rightarrow H_B$  is a relative Rota-Baxter operator and  $\text{id}_H : H_B \rightarrow H$  a bijective 1-cocycle.  $\square$

**Remark 3.21.** *Let  $\pi : H \rightarrow A$  be a bijective 1-cocycle. By [1, Theorem 1.12] and Theorem 3.7, we get a Rota-Baxter cocommutative Hopf algebra  $(A \otimes A, B)$ , with the Rota-Baxter operator  $B(x \otimes y) := \pi(S\pi^{-1}(x)\pi^{-1}(y)) \otimes 1$ , for all  $x, y \in A$ , and tensor coalgebra  $A \otimes A$ , and the multiplication  $*$  and antipode  $S'$  defined by*

$$(x \otimes y) * (z \otimes t) = \pi(\pi^{-1}(x_{(1)})\pi^{-1}(z)) \otimes y(S(x_{(2)})(\pi(\pi^{-1}(x_{(3)})\pi^{-1}(t)))),$$

$$S'(x \otimes y) = \pi S\pi^{-1}(x_{(1)}) \otimes \pi(S\pi^{-1}(x_{(2)})\pi^{-1}(x_{(3)}S(y))).$$

#### 4. ROTA-BAXTER OPERATORS AND SYMMETRIC HOPF BRACES

In this section, we introduce the notion of a symmetric Hopf brace which generalizes the symmetric skew brace (See[7]), and give their characterizations through Rota-Baxter operators of Hopf algebras.

**Definition 4.1.** *A Hopf brace  $(H, \cdot, \circ)$  is called **symmetric** if  $(H, \circ, \cdot)$  is also a Hopf brace.*



We first characterize symmetric cocommutative Hopf braces by equations.

**Proposition 4.2.** *A cocommutative Hopf brace  $(H, \cdot, \circ)$  is symmetric if and only if the action  $\rightarrow$  in Lemma 3.4 satisfies*

$$ab_{(1)}(b_{(2)} \rightarrow c) = a_{(1)}b_{(1)}((a_{(2)}b_{(2)}) \rightarrow (T(a_{(3)}) \rightarrow c)), \quad a, b, c \in H.$$

*Proof.* If a cocommutative Hopf brace  $(H, \cdot, \circ)$  is symmetric, then Lemma 3.4 holds and  $(H, \circ, \cdot)$  is a Hopf brace, that is,  $a(b \circ c) = (a_{(1)}b) \circ T(a_{(2)}) \circ (a_{(3)}c)$ , for all  $a, b, c \in H$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} ab_{(1)}(b_{(2)} \rightarrow c) &\stackrel{(8)}{=} a(b \circ c) \\ &= (a_{(1)}b) \circ T(a_{(2)}) \circ (a_{(3)}c) \\ &= (a_{(1)}b_{(1)})((a_{(2)}b_{(2)}) \rightarrow \underline{(T(a_{(3)})(T(a_{(4)}) \rightarrow a_{(5)})(T(a_{(6)}) \rightarrow c)})) \\ &\stackrel{(8)}{=} (a_{(1)}b_{(1)})((a_{(2)}b_{(2)}) \rightarrow ((T(a_{(3)}) \circ a_{(4)})(T(a_{(5)}) \rightarrow c))) \\ &= a_{(1)}b_{(1)}((a_{(2)}b_{(2)}) \rightarrow (T(a_{(3)}) \rightarrow c)). \end{aligned}$$

Here the underlined part indicates where a simplification occurs.

Conversely, if the action  $\rightarrow$  satisfies the given equation, we prove that  $(H, \circ, \cdot)$  is also a Hopf brace, that is, for all  $a, b, c \in H$ ,

$$a(b \circ c) = (a_{(1)}b) \circ T(a_{(2)}) \circ (a_{(3)}c).$$

Because  $(H, \cdot, \circ)$  is a cocommutative Hopf brace, Lemma 3.4 holds.

Hence, for any  $a, b, c \in H$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} a(b \circ c) &= ab_{(1)}(b_{(2)} \rightarrow c) \\ &= a_{(1)}b_{(1)}((a_{(2)}b_{(2)}) \rightarrow (T(a_{(3)}) \rightarrow c)) \\ &= (a_{(1)}b) \circ T(a_{(2)}) \circ (a_{(3)}c), \end{aligned}$$

where the last step follows from the proof of necessity above.  $\square$

We next study symmetric Hopf braces by means of left  $H^{\text{op}}$ -modules.

**Definition 4.3.** Let  $(H, \cdot, \circ)$  be a Hopf brace. Let  $H^{\text{op}}$  denote the opposite Hopf algebra of  $(H, \cdot)$ . We call  $(H, \cdot, \circ)$  an  $H^{\text{op}}$ -**module Hopf brace** if  $(H, \rightarrow)$  is a left  $H^{\text{op}}$ -module, where the action  $\rightarrow$  is defined by

$$(19) \quad a \rightarrow b := S(a_{(1)})(a_{(2)} \circ b), \quad a, b \in H.$$

Note that the  $H^{\text{op}}$ -module  $(H, \rightarrow)$  in Definition 4.3 is actually a left  $H^{\text{op}}$ -module bialgebra by Lemma 3.4.

Let  $(H, \cdot, \circ)$  be an  $H^{\text{op}}$ -module Hopf brace. By the proof of [1, Lemma 1.8], for all  $a, b, c \in H$ , we have  $(a \circ b) \rightarrow c = a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c)$ . That is to say,  $(H, \rightarrow)$  is an  $H_{\circ}$ -module. Since  $(H, \rightarrow)$  is an  $H^{\text{op}}$ -module, we have  $a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c) = (ba) \rightarrow c$ . Therefore,

$$(a \circ b) \rightarrow c = (ba) \rightarrow c.$$

**Example 4.4.** Let  $(H, \cdot)$  be a Hopf algebra. Define an operator  $\circ$  on the vector space  $H$  by

$$a \circ b := ba, \quad a, b \in H.$$

Then  $(H, \cdot, \circ)$  is a Hopf brace. Let the action  $\rightarrow$  on  $H$  be given in Eq. (19). Then for any  $a, b \in H$ , we have  $a \rightarrow b = S(a_{(1)})(a_{(2)} \circ b) = S(a_{(1)})ba_{(2)}$ , so that  $(H, \rightarrow)$  is a module algebra. Hence every Hopf algebra  $(H, \cdot)$  is naturally an  $H^{\text{op}}$ -module Hopf brace  $(H, \cdot, \circ)$ .

**Proposition 4.5.** *Every cocommutative  $H^{\text{op}}$ -module Hopf brace  $(H, \cdot, \circ)$  is symmetric.*

*Proof.* If  $H$  is an  $H^{\text{op}}$ -module Hopf brace, then  $(ab) \rightarrow c = (b \circ a) \rightarrow c$ , for all  $a, b, c \in H$ .

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{(1)}b_{(1)}((a_{(2)}b_{(2)}) \rightarrow (T(a_{(3)}) \rightarrow c)) &= a_{(1)}b_{(1)}((b_{(2)} \circ a_{(2)}) \rightarrow (T(a_{(3)}) \rightarrow c)) \\ &= a_{(1)}b_{(1)}(b_{(2)} \rightarrow (a_{(2)} \rightarrow (T(a_{(3)}) \rightarrow c))) \\ &= a_{(1)}b_{(1)}(b_{(2)} \rightarrow ((a_{(2)} \circ T(a_{(3)})) \rightarrow c)) \\ &= ab_{(1)}(b_{(2)} \rightarrow c). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 4.2,  $H$  is symmetric. □

We give a natural construction of symmetric Hopf braces.

**Definition 4.6.** A cocommutative Hopf algebra  $H$  is said to **allow a factorization**<sup>1</sup> if there are nontrivial Hopf subalgebras  $A$  and  $B$  of  $H$  such that  $H \cong A \otimes B$  as a tensor product algebra and  $H = AB$  as a Hopf algebra. Then we denote  $H = A \otimes B$ .

Let  $H = A \otimes B$  be a cocommutative Hopf algebra that allows a factorization. Define a operator  $\circ$  on  $H$  by

$$(ab) \circ (a'b') := aa'b'b, \quad a, a' \in A, b, b' \in B.$$

Then, it is not difficult to check that  $(H, \cdot, \circ)$  is a Hopf brace. It is easy to see that  $(H, \circ)$  is isomorphic to the tensor algebra  $A \otimes B^{\text{op}}$ , where  $B^{\text{op}}$  is the opposite algebra of  $B$ .

Further define an action  $\rightarrow: (H, \circ) \otimes H \rightarrow H$  by

$$x \rightarrow y := S(x_{(1)})(x_{(2)} \circ y).$$

If  $x = ab, y = a'b'$ , where  $a, a' \in A, b, b' \in B$ , then

$$x \rightarrow y = S((ab)_{(1)})((ab)_{(2)} \circ (a'b')) = S(a_{(1)}b_{(1)})(a_{(2)}a'b'b_{(2)}) = \varepsilon(a)S(b_{(1)})yb_{(2)}.$$

Hence,

$$(xy) \rightarrow z = \varepsilon(aa')S((bb')_{(1)})z(bb')_{(2)} = \varepsilon(aa')S(b'_{(1)})S(b_{(1)})zb_{(2)}b'_{(2)} = y \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z).$$

Thus we have the following conclusion.

**Proposition 4.7.** *For a Hopf algebra  $H$  that allows a factorization, the Hopf brace  $(H, \cdot, \circ)$  constructed above is an  $H^{\text{op}}$ -module Hopf brace, hence is symmetric.*

We give another construction of symmetric Hopf braces.

**Theorem 4.8.** *Let  $(H, \cdot, \Delta, S)$  be a cocommutative Hopf algebra, and  $(H, \rightarrow)$  an  $H^{\text{op}}$ -module bialgebra such that*

$$a_{(1)}(a_{(2)} \rightarrow b) \rightarrow c = (ba) \rightarrow c, \quad a, b, c \in H.$$

Define

$$a \circ b := a_{(1)}(a_{(2)} \rightarrow b) \text{ and } T(a) := S(a_{(1)}) \rightarrow S(a_{(2)})$$

Then  $(H, \cdot, \circ)$  is a symmetric Hopf brace.

<sup>1</sup>This terminology is used to avoid confusion with the factorizable Hopf algebra defined by Reshetikhin and Semenov-Tian-Shansky [23].

*Proof.* We first check that  $(H, \circ)$  is an algebra.

This is because, for any  $a, b, c \in G$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a \circ b) \circ c &= (a_{(1)}(a_{(2)} \rightarrow b)) \circ c \\
 &= (a_{(1)}(a_{(2)} \rightarrow b))_{(1)}((a_{(1)}(a_{(2)} \rightarrow b))_{(2)} \rightarrow c) \\
 &= a_{(1)}(a_{(2)} \rightarrow b_{(1)})((a_{(3)}(a_{(4)} \rightarrow b_{(2)})) \rightarrow c) \\
 &= a_{(1)}(a_{(2)} \rightarrow b_{(1)})(b_{(2)}a_{(3)} \rightarrow c) \\
 &= a_{(1)}(a_{(2)} \rightarrow b_{(1)})(a_{(3)} \rightarrow (b_{(2)} \rightarrow c)) \\
 &= a_{(1)}(a_{(2)} \rightarrow (b_{(1)}(b_{(2)} \rightarrow c))) \\
 &= a_{(1)}(a_{(2)} \rightarrow (b \circ c)) \\
 &= a \circ (b \circ c).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(H, \circ)$  is an algebra with unit 1.

For any  $a, b \in H$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta(a \circ b) &= \Delta(a_{(1)}(a_{(2)} \rightarrow b)) \\
 &= a_{(1)(1)}(a_{(2)} \rightarrow b)_{(1)} \otimes a_{(1)(2)}(a_{(2)} \rightarrow b)_{(2)} \\
 &= a_{(1)}(a_{(2)} \rightarrow b_{(1)}) \otimes a_{(3)}(a_{(4)} \rightarrow b_{(2)}) \\
 &= a_{(1)} \circ b_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)} \circ b_{(2)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

and  $\varepsilon(a \circ b) = \varepsilon(a_{(1)}(a_{(2)} \rightarrow b)) = \varepsilon(a_{(1)})\varepsilon(a_{(2)} \rightarrow b) = \varepsilon(a_{(1)})\varepsilon(a_{(2)})\varepsilon(b) = \varepsilon(a)\varepsilon(b)$ .

Hence  $(H, \circ, \Delta)$  is a bialgebra.

In what follows, we prove that  $a \circ (bc) = (a_{(1)} \circ b)S(a_{(2)})(a_{(3)} \circ c)$ , for any  $a, b, c \in H$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a_{(1)} \circ b)S(a_{(2)})(a_{(3)} \circ c) &= a_{(1)}(a_{(2)} \rightarrow b)S(a_{(3)})a_{(4)}(a_{(5)} \rightarrow c) \\
 &= a_{(1)}(a_{(2)} \rightarrow b)(a_{(3)} \rightarrow c) \\
 &= a_{(1)}(a_{(2)} \rightarrow (bc)) \\
 &= a \circ (bc).
 \end{aligned}$$

To show that  $(H, \circ, \Delta)$  is a Hopf algebra with the antipode  $T(a) = S(a_{(1)}) \rightarrow S(a_{(2)})$  for  $a \in H$ .

We first prove that

$$S(a \rightarrow b) = a \rightarrow S(b).$$

This is because

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(a \rightarrow b) &= S(S(a_{(1)})(a_{(2)} \circ b)) \\
 &= S(a_{(2)} \circ b)a_{(1)} \\
 &= S(a_{(1)} \circ b)a_{(2)} \\
 &= S(a_{(1)} \circ b_{(1)})\varepsilon(b_{(2)})a_{(2)} \\
 &= S(a_{(1)} \circ b_{(1)})(a_{(2)} \circ (b_{(2)}S(b_{(3)}))) \\
 &\stackrel{(6)}{=} \underline{S(a_{(1)} \circ b_{(1)})(a_{(2)} \circ b_{(2)})}S(a_{(3)})(a_{(4)} \circ S(b_{(3)})) \\
 &= \varepsilon(a_{(1)} \circ b_{(1)})S(a_{(2)})(a_{(3)} \circ S(b_{(2)})) \quad (\text{by antipode property}) \\
 &= S(a_{(1)})(a_{(2)} \circ S(b)) \\
 &= a \rightarrow S(b).
 \end{aligned}$$

Secondly, we prove  $T^2 = \text{id}$ : for any  $a \in H$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T^2(a) &= T(S(a_{(1)}) \rightharpoonup S(a_{(2)})) \\
&= S(S(a_{(1)}) \rightharpoonup S(a_{(2)})) \rightharpoonup S(S(a_{(3)}) \rightharpoonup S(a_{(4)})) \\
&= (S(a_{(1)}) \rightharpoonup a_{(2)}) \rightharpoonup (S(a_{(3)}) \rightharpoonup a_{(4)}) \\
&= (S(a_{(3)})(S(a_{(1)}) \rightharpoonup a_{(2)})) \rightharpoonup a_{(4)} \\
&= (S(a_{(2)})(S(a_{(1)}) \rightharpoonup a_{(3)})) \rightharpoonup a_{(4)} \\
&= (a_{(2)}S(a_{(1)})) \rightharpoonup a_{(3)} \\
&= \varepsilon(a_1)a_2 \\
&= a.
\end{aligned}$$

In the following, we prove that  $T$  is antipode of  $(H, \circ, \Delta)$ .

Because  $H$  is cocommutative, for any  $a \in H$ , we get

$$\Delta(T(a)) = T(a_{(1)}) \otimes T(a_{(2)}),$$

and

$$a_{(1)} \rightharpoonup T(a_{(2)}) = a_{(1)} \rightharpoonup (S(a_{(2)}) \rightharpoonup S(a_{(3)})) = (S(a_{(2)})a_{(1)}) \rightharpoonup S(a_{(3)}) = S(a).$$

Furthermore, we obtain

$$S(T(a)) = T(a)_{(1)} \rightharpoonup T(T(a)_{(2)}) = T(a_{(1)}) \rightharpoonup a_{(2)}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
T(a_{(1)}) \circ a_{(2)} &= T(a_{(1)})(T(a_{(2)}) \rightharpoonup a_{(3)}) = T(a_{(1)})S(T(a_{(2)})) = \varepsilon(a)1, \\
a_{(1)} \circ T(a_{(2)}) &= a_{(1)}(a_{(2)} \rightharpoonup T(a_{(3)})) = a_{(1)}S(a_{(2)}) = \varepsilon(a)1.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we have shown that  $(H, \cdot, \circ)$  is a Hopf brace, and an  $H^{\text{op}}$ -module Hopf brace, and therefore a symmetric Hopf brace by Proposition 4.5.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.9.** *Let  $(H, B)$  be a Rota-Baxter cocommutative Hopf algebra. Let  $\triangleright$  be the adjoint action of  $H$  on itself given by  $a \triangleright b := a_{(1)}bS(a_2)$ .*

(a) *The triple  $(H, \cdot, \circ_B)$  is a symmetric Hopf brace if and only if*

$$ab_{(1)}(B(b_{(2)}) \triangleright c) = a_{(1)}b_{(1)}((B(a_2)b_{(2)})B(T(a_3))) \triangleright c), \quad a, b, c \in H.$$

(b)  *$(H, \cdot, \circ_B)$  is an  $H^{\text{op}}$ -module Hopf brace if and only if*

$$B(ba) \triangleright c = (B(a)B(b)) \triangleright c, \quad a, b, c \in H.$$

(c) *If  $B$  is an anti-algebra homomorphism, then  $(H, \cdot, \circ_B)$  is a symmetric Hopf brace.*

*Proof.* (a) It is obvious that  $(H, \cdot, \circ_B)$  is a Hopf brace by Theorem 3.2. So for the action  $\rightharpoonup$  in Definition 4.3:  $a \rightharpoonup b = S(a_{(1)})(a_{(2)} \circ_B b)$  for  $a, b \in H$ , we have  $a \rightharpoonup b = B(a) \triangleright b$ .

By Proposition 4.2, A cocommutative Hopf brace  $(H, \cdot, \circ)$  is symmetric if and only if

$$ab_{(1)}(b_{(2)} \rightharpoonup c) = a_{(1)}b_{(1)}((a_{(2)}b_{(2)}) \rightharpoonup (T(a_3) \rightharpoonup c)), \quad a, b, c \in H.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{(1)}b_{(1)}((a_{(2)}b_{(2)}) \rightharpoonup (T(a_3) \rightharpoonup c)) &= a_{(1)}b_{(1)}(B(a_2)b_{(2)}) \triangleright (B(T(a_3)) \triangleright c) \\
&= a_{(1)}b_{(1)}((B(a_2)b_{(2)})B(T(a_3))) \triangleright c,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$ab_{(1)}(b_{(2)} \rightharpoonup c) = ab_{(1)}(B(b_{(2)}) \triangleright c).$$

Hence  $(H, \cdot, \circ_B)$  is a symmetric Hopf brace if and only if

$$ab_{(1)}(B(b_{(2)}) \triangleright c) = a_{(1)}b_{(1)}((B(a_{(2)}b_{(2)})B(T(a_{(3)}))) \triangleright c).$$

(b) It is easy to see that  $(H, \cdot, \circ_B)$  is an  $H^{\text{op}}$ -module Hopf brace if and only if  $(ba) \rightharpoonup c = a \rightharpoonup (b \rightharpoonup c)$ , for all  $a, b, c \in H$ . Since

$$(ba) \rightharpoonup c = B(ba) \triangleright c, \quad a \rightharpoonup (b \rightharpoonup c) = B(a) \triangleright (B(b) \triangleright c) = (B(a)B(b)) \triangleright c,$$

we see that  $(H, \cdot, \circ_B)$  is a  $H^{\text{op}}$ -module Hopf brace if and only if  $B(ba) \triangleright c = (B(a)B(b)) \triangleright c$  for all  $a, b, c \in H$ .

(c) If  $B$  is an anti-algebra homomorphism, then  $B(yx) = B(x)B(y) = B(x \circ_B y)$  for all  $x, y \in H$ . So for any  $a, b, c \in H$ , we obtain the desired equality:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{(1)}b_{(1)}((B(a_{(2)}b_{(2)})B(T(a_{(3)}))) \triangleright c) &= a_{(1)}b_{(1)}((B(b_{(2)} \circ_B a_{(2)})B(T(a_{(3)}))) \triangleright c) \\ &= a_{(1)}b_{(1)}((B((b_{(2)} \circ_B a_{(2)}) \circ_B T(a_{(3)}))) \triangleright c) \\ &= ab_{(1)}(B(b_{(2)}) \triangleright c). \end{aligned}$$

Then by (a),  $(H, \cdot, \circ_B)$  is a symmetric Hopf brace.  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (12201188), Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (ZJ22195010), and post-doctoral research grant in Henan Province (No.202103090).

**Declaration of interests.** The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

**Data availability.** Data sharing is not applicable as no new data were created or analyzed.

## REFERENCES

- [1] I. Angiono, C. Galindo, L. Vendramin. Hopf braces and Yang-Baxter operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 145(5):1981-1995, 2017. [2](#), [7](#), [8](#), [10](#), [11](#), [13](#), [14](#), [15](#), [16](#), [17](#)
- [2] D. Bachiller. Counterexample to a conjecture about braces. J. Algebra, 453:160-176, 2016. [1](#)
- [3] D. Bachiller, F. Cedó, L. Vendramin. A characterization of finite multipermutation solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation. Publ. Math., 62(2):641-649, 2018. [1](#)
- [4] C. Bai, L. Guo, Y. Sheng, R. Tang. Post-groups, (Lie-)Butcher groups and the Yang-Baxter equation. Math. Ann. 388:3127-3167, 2024. [2](#)
- [5] V.G. Bardakov, V. Gubarev. Rota-Baxter groups, skew left braces, and the Yang-Baxter equation. J. Algebra, 596:328-351, 2022. [2](#)
- [6] V.G. Bardakov, V. Gubarev. Rota-Baxter operators on groups. Proceedings-Mathematical Sciences, 133.1 (2023): 4. doi.org/10.1007/s12044-023-00723-9 [2](#)
- [7] V.G. Bardakov, M.V. Neshchadim, M.K. Yadav. Symmetric skew braces and brace systems, Forum Math., 35(3):713-738, 2023. [16](#)
- [8] R. J. Baxter. Partition function of the eight-vertex lattice model. Ann. Phys., 70:193-228, 1972. [1](#)
- [9] A. A. Belavin, V. G. Drinfeld. Solutions of the classical Yang-Baxter equation for simple Lie algebras. Funct. Anal. Appl., 16:159-180, 1982. [2](#)
- [10] F. Cedó, E. Jespers, J. Okniński. Braces and the Yang-Baxter equation. Comm. Math. Phys., 327(1):101-116, 2014. [1](#)
- [11] A. Caranti, L. Stefanello. Skew braces from Rota-Baxter operators: A cohomological characterisation, and some examples. Ann. Matem. Pura Appl., 202:1-13, 2023. [2](#)
- [12] F. Catino, M. Mazzotta, P. Stefanelli. Rota-Baxter operators on Clifford semigroups and the Yang-Baxter equation. J. Algebra, 622:587-613, 2023. [2](#)
- [13] A. Connes, D. Kreimer. Renormalization in quantum field theory and the Riemann-Hilbert problem. I. The Hopf algebra structure of graphs and the main theorem. Comm. Math. Phys. 210:249-273, 2000. [2](#)
- [14] E. Frenkel, N. Reshetikhin, M. A. Semenov-Tian-Shansky. Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction for difference operators and deformations of  $\mathfrak{B}$ -algebras. I. The case of Virasoro algebra. Comm. Math. Phys. 192:605-629, 1998. [2](#)

- [15] M. Goncharov. Rota-Baxter operators on cocommutative Hopf algebras. *J. Algebra*, 582:39-56, 2021. [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [9](#), [11](#), [14](#)
- [16] L. Guarnieri, L. Vendramin. Skew braces and the Yang-Baxter equation. *Math. Comp.*, 86:2519-2534, 2017. [1](#)
- [17] L. Guo, H. Lang, Y. Sheng. Integration and geometrization of Rota-Baxter Lie algebras. *Adv. Math.*, 387:107834, 2021. [2](#), [5](#)
- [18] L. Guo, Y. Li, Y. Sheng, R. Tang. Crossed homomorphisms and Cartier-Kostant-Milnor-Moore theorem for difference Hopf algebras. *arXiv:2112.08434*, 2021. [15](#)
- [19] J. Jiang, Y. Sheng, C. Zhu. Lie theory and cohomology of relative Rota-Baxter operators. *J. London Math. Soc.*, doi.org/10.1112/jlms.12863, 2024. [2](#)
- [20] C. Kassel. *Quantum Groups*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. [9](#)
- [21] B. A. Kupershmidt. What a classical  $r$ -matrix really is. *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* 6:448-488, 1999. [2](#)
- [22] Y. Li, Y. Sheng, R. Tang. Post-Hopf algebras, relative Rota-Baxter operators and solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation. *J. Noncommutative Geometry*, 18:605-630, 2024. [2](#), [12](#), [14](#), [15](#)
- [23] N. Y. Reshetikhin, M. A. Semenov-Tian-Shansky. Quantum  $R$ -matrices and factorization problems. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 5:533-550, 1988. [18](#)
- [24] A. G. Reyman, M. A. Semenov-Tian-Shansky. Reduction of Hamilton systems, affine Lie algebras and Lax equations. *Invent. Math.* 54:81-100, 1979. [2](#)
- [25] A. G. Reyman, M. A. Semenov-Tian-Shansky. Reduction of Hamilton systems, affine Lie algebras and Lax equations II. *Invent. Math.* 63:423-432, 1981. [2](#)
- [26] W. Rump. Modules over braces. *Algebra Discrete Math.*, 2:127-137, 2006. [1](#)
- [27] W. Rump. Braces, radical rings, and the quantum Yang-Baxter equation. *J. Algebra*, 307(1):153-170, 2007. [1](#)
- [28] W. Rump. The brace of a classical group. *Note Math.*, 34(1):115-144, 2014. [1](#)
- [29] M. A. Semenov-Tian-Shansky. What is a classical  $r$ -matrix? *Funct. Anal. Appl.* 17:259-272, 1983. [2](#)
- [30] M. E. Sweedler. Cohomology of algebras over Hopf algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 133:205-239, 1968. [15](#)
- [31] C. N. Yang. Some exact results for the many-body problem in one dimension with repulsive delta-function interaction. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 19:1312-1314, 1967. [1](#)
- [32] H. Zheng, F. Li, T. Ma, L. Zhang. Hopf co-brace, braid equation and bicrossed coproduct. *Math. Rep.*, 25(75):481-493, 2023. [2](#)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCE, HENAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, XINXIANG 453007, CHINA  
*Email address:* huihuizhengmail@126.com

RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, NEWARK, NJ 07102, USA  
*Email address:* liguo@rutgers.edu

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCE, HENAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, XINXIANG 453007, CHINA  
*Email address:* matianshui@htu.edu.cn

NANJING AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210095, CHINA  
*Email address:* zlyun@njau.edu.cn