

AN EIGENVALUE PROBLEM FOR PRESCRIBED CURVATURE EQUATIONS

TAEHUN LEE

ABSTRACT. We study an eigenvalue problem for prescribed σ_k -curvature equations of star-shaped, k -convex, closed hypersurfaces. We establish the existence of a unique eigenvalue and its associated hypersurface, which is also unique, provided that the given data is even. Moreover, we show that the hypersurface must be strictly convex. A crucial aspect of our proof involves deriving uniform estimates in p for L_p -type prescribed curvature equations.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Σ be a smooth, closed hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . We use $\nu(X)$ and $\kappa(X)$ to denote the outward unit normal and principal curvatures of the hypersurface Σ at point X , respectively. This paper concerns the following eigenvalue problem for prescribed curvature equations:

$$\langle X, \nu(X) \rangle^k \sigma_k(\kappa(X)) = \lambda \psi(\nu(X)) \quad \text{for any } X \in \Sigma, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\sigma_k : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ represents the elementary symmetric polynomial of degree k and ψ is a smooth, positive function on \mathbb{S}^n . The curvature $\sigma_k(\kappa)$ includes the mean curvature, scalar curvature, and Gauss curvature for cases when $k = 1, 2$, and n , respectively.

Equation (1.1) is connected to singularity models for an anisotropic flow governed by powers of curvature σ_k , see [4, 12, 13, 24, 31]. Indeed, for $p \neq 1$, if X denotes a parametrization of the evolving hypersurfaces Σ_t satisfying

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} X = -\text{sign}(1-p)(f(\nu)\sigma_k(\kappa))^{\frac{1}{1-p}}\nu,$$

then its self-similar solutions fulfill

$$\langle X, \nu(X) \rangle^{p-1} \sigma_k(\kappa(X)) = \lambda/f(\nu(X)) \quad (1.2)$$

for some constant $\lambda > 0$. Therefore, (1.1) corresponds to (1.2) with $p = k + 1$ and the anisotropic function $f = 1/\psi$. In particular, for the case of $k = 1$ and $p = 2$, equation (1.2) represents a self-similar solution of the (anisotropic) inverse mean curvature flow [10, 12]. Note that (1.2) remains invariant under dilation of the hypersurface if and only if $p = k + 1$, and the constant λ in (1.2) can be absorbed by scaling when $p \neq k + 1$.

In the case of $k = n$, equation (1.2) becomes the celebrated L_p Minkowski problem initiated by Lutwak [28], where the admissible solutions are convex. This is a central problem in convex geometry, and there is a vast literature on the L_p Minkowski problem; see for instance [3, 11, 22, 29, 34] and the references therein. In terms of the support function $u : \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C42 (Primary) 35J60 35P30 (Secondary).

Key words and phrases. curvature equation, eigenvalue problem, uniqueness.

defined by $u(x) = \langle x, \nu^{-1}(x) \rangle$ for a strictly convex hypersurface Σ , equation (1.2) can be transformed into

$$\det(\nabla^2 u + uI) = \lambda^{-1} u^{p-1} f \quad \text{on } \mathbb{S}^n, \quad (1.3)$$

where ∇ denotes the covariant derivative with respect to an orthonormal frame on \mathbb{S}^n and I is the identity matrix. The existence results for (1.3) can be found in [11] for $p > -n - 1$ and [22] for $p < -n - 1$. In particular, Chou and Wang [11] completely resolved the eigenvalue problem in this case ($p = n + 1$ in (1.3) or $k = n$ in (1.1)) by obtaining a unique pair (u, λ) , where u is the support function of a strictly convex, closed hypersurface that is positive on \mathbb{S}^n , and $\lambda > 0$ is the eigenvalue.

On the other hand, when $p = 1$, equation (1.2) reduces to the well-known prescribed curvature equation. In [14], Guan and Guan demonstrated the existence of a strictly convex, closed hypersurface satisfying (1.2), under the condition that f is even, that is, $f(x) = f(-x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{S}^n$.

We note that an equation analogous to (1.2) arises in the context of curvature measures, which can be expressed as follows:

$$\sigma_k(\kappa(X)) = \frac{\langle X, \nu(X) \rangle}{|X|^{n+1}} f\left(\frac{X}{|X|}\right). \quad (1.4)$$

Given certain conditions on f , Guan, Lin, and Ma [17] demonstrated the existence of convex solutions to this equation. Moreover, Guan, Li, and Li [15] proved the existence of a unique admissible solution (which is k -convex and star-shaped, see Definition 1.1 below) for a general f . The L^p dual generalization of this problem, in the measure form of (1.4), has been further investigated in [2, 30].

In this paper we are interested in the eigenvalue problem (1.1) for all $1 \leq k < n$, assuming that ψ is an even function, that is, $\psi(x) = \psi(-x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{S}^n$. To describe an admissible hypersurface Σ of the eigenvalue problem (1.1), it is necessary to define the notions of a k -convex and a star-shaped hypersurface.

Definition 1.1. Let Σ be a C^2 regular hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

- (i) A hypersurface Σ is said to be k -convex if its principal curvatures $\kappa(X) = (\kappa_1(X), \dots, \kappa_n(X))$ lie in Γ_k for all $X \in \Sigma$. Here, Γ_k represents the Gårding cone, defined as

$$\Gamma_k = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sigma_i(\lambda) > 0, i = 1, \dots, k\}.$$

- (ii) A hypersurface Σ is called *star-shaped* if $\langle X, \nu(X) \rangle > 0$ for all $X \in \Sigma$.

We say that a hypersurface Σ is *admissible* if Σ is a k -convex, star-shaped hypersurface. The number λ is referred to as the eigenvalue of (1.1) if there exists an admissible hypersurface Σ that satisfies (1.1) for the given λ . We note that (1.1) is ensured to be elliptic at all admissible hypersurfaces.

We now state the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $1 \leq k < n$, and let ψ be a smooth, positive, even function on \mathbb{S}^n . Then, there exists a unique eigenvalue $\lambda > 0$ for (1.1). Moreover, the corresponding admissible hypersurface Σ is strictly convex and unique up to dilation.*

Remark 1.3. (i) From the uniqueness, it can be deduced that the hypersurface Σ is origin-symmetric.

- (ii) The evenness of ψ will be used in establishing the existence of an eigenvalue and hypersurface. The uniqueness of the eigenvalue and hypersurface does not require the evenness of ψ . See the proof of Theorem 1.2 in Section 5.
- (iii) As previously noted, the case when $k = n$ was resolved for general f in [11].

We emphasize that, unlike the Laplace operator which possesses an infinite number of eigenvalues, our problem (1.1) has only one eigenvalue. Furthermore, any origin-symmetric admissible solution to (1.1) must be strictly convex.

The proof of the main theorem relies on a compactness argument by deriving uniform estimates in p for (1.2) with $k + 1 < p < k + 1 + \varepsilon$. Additionally, in the same range of p , the existence and uniqueness of (1.2) are required, where the existence follows from the work of Guan, Ren, and Wang [20] (see Proposition 2.1 below). We will prove the uniqueness in Proposition 2.2. Combining existence and uniqueness, we summarize the result as follows. Here, f is not necessarily even. An admissible solution to (1.2) is defined in the same manner, imposing conditions that the hypersurface is k -convex and star-shaped.

Theorem 1.4. *Suppose $p > k + 1$ with $1 \leq k \leq n$, and let f be a smooth, positive function on \mathbb{S}^n . Then, there exists a unique admissible solution to (1.2) for any $\lambda > 0$. Moreover, the solution must be strictly convex.*

We note that the quantity $\sigma_k(\kappa)$ corresponds to an operator in Hessian quotient equation when expressed as a support function. Indeed, if Σ is strictly convex, then in terms of the support function u of Σ , (1.2) becomes

$$\frac{\det(\nabla^2 u + uI)}{\sigma_{n-k}(\nabla^2 u + uI)} = \frac{fu^{p-1}}{\lambda} \quad \text{on } \mathbb{S}^n, \quad (1.5)$$

as described in (2.9) below. When $k = n$, we can see that this equation reduces to the L_p Minkowski problem (1.3), as mentioned earlier.

Another important equation that includes (1.3) is the equation for the L_p Christoffel–Minkowski problem, which is formulated as

$$\sigma_k(\nabla^2 u + uI) = \frac{fu^{p-1}}{\lambda} \quad \text{on } \mathbb{S}^n. \quad (1.6)$$

See Bryan–Ivaki–Scheuer [5], Guan–Lin–Ma [16], Guan–Ma [18], and Guan–Ma–Zhou [19] for the case $p = 1$; Guan–Xia [21] for $1 < p < k + 1$; Hu–Ma–Shen [23] for $p \geq k + 1$.

When $p = k + 1$, a key distinction between equations (1.5) and (1.6) is that (1.5) permits cone singularities with the origin as the vertex of the cone for some non-symmetric f (see [12] for instance), whereas (1.6) guarantees that the origin is always located inside Σ , leading to a smooth solution [23].

The fully non-linear equations in the Hessian quotient class have been investigated over a domain in \mathbb{R}^n by Caffarelli, Nirenberg, and Spruck [6], Krylov [26], Trudinger [35, 36], and Bao, Chen, Guan, and Ji [1]. See also [25] for a crucial application of the Hessian quotient in the convexity estimate of the mean convex mean curvature flow. Recently, Chen and Xu [9] addressed the quotient of mixed Hessians of the form

$$\frac{\sigma_k(\bar{\mu} - \mu_1, \dots, \bar{\mu} - \mu_n)}{\sigma_l(\bar{\mu} - \mu_1, \dots, \bar{\mu} - \mu_n)} = \frac{fu^{p-1}}{\lambda} \quad \text{on } \mathbb{S}^n,$$

where μ_1, \dots, μ_n are the eigenvalues of $\nabla^2 u + uI$, $\bar{\mu} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i$, $0 \leq l < k \leq n$, and $p \geq k - l + 1$.

Finally, we conclude the introduction by mentioning the eigenvalue problems associated with the Monge–Ampère equation over a convex domain in \mathbb{R}^n , which can be found in [27, 33, 37]. These problems may be viewed as the Euclidean counterpart of the eigenvalue problem (1.1) with $k = n$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we collect relevant information, such as properties of σ_k , radial functions for star-shaped hypersurfaces, and support functions in convex geometry. In addition, the proof of Theorem 1.4 is presented. In Section 3, we derive estimates for volume and diameter when $p > k + 1$. Section 4 dedicated to establishing curvature estimates. Finally, the proof of Theorem 1.2 is provided in Section 5.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We refer to [6, 7, 8] for general background on $\sigma_k(\kappa)$. Recall that

$$\sigma_k(\kappa) = \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k} \kappa_{i_1} \cdots \kappa_{i_k}.$$

With an abuse of notation, we often denote by $\sigma_k(A)$ the k^{th} elementary symmetric polynomial with respect to the eigenvalues of a symmetric two-tensor A .

The elementary symmetric sum σ_k satisfies the following property, known as the Maclaurin inequality:

$$c_1 \sigma_1 \geq c_2 \sigma_2^{1/2} \geq \dots \geq c_n \sigma_n^{1/n} \quad (2.1)$$

for some dimensional constant c_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$. It is also well-known that

$$\frac{\partial \sigma_k}{\partial \kappa_i}(\kappa) > 0 \quad \text{for all } \kappa \in \Gamma_k \text{ and } i = 1, \dots, n \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\sigma_k(\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n) = \frac{\sigma_{n-k}(\kappa_1^{-1}, \dots, \kappa_n^{-1})}{\sigma_n(\kappa_1^{-1}, \dots, \kappa_n^{-1})}. \quad (2.3)$$

We recall some basic properties of star-shaped hypersurfaces in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} ; for details, see [32]. For a star-shaped hypersurface, the position vector X can be expressed as $X = \rho(x)x$ for $x \in \mathbb{S}^n$ using the radial function $\rho : \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then, the induced metric g_{ij} is given as

$$g_{ij}[\rho] = \rho^2 \delta_{ij} + \nabla_i \rho \nabla_j \rho. \quad (2.4)$$

The unit outward normal is given by

$$\nu = \frac{\rho x - \nabla \rho}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + |\nabla \rho|^2}}, \quad (2.5)$$

and the relation between the radial function ρ and the support function $u = \langle X, \nu \rangle$ is

$$u = \frac{\rho^2}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + |\nabla \rho|^2}}. \quad (2.6)$$

The second fundamental form can be computed as

$$h_{ij}[\rho] = (\rho^2 + |\nabla \rho|^2)^{-1/2} (\rho^2 \delta_{ij} + 2 \nabla_i \rho \nabla_j \rho - \rho \nabla_i \nabla_j \rho). \quad (2.7)$$

For a moment, let us consider Σ as a strictly convex, closed hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Let $\nu(x)$ be the unit outer normal vector to Σ at $x \in \Sigma$. The Gauss map ν is then a diffeomorphism from Σ onto \mathbb{S}^n . The support function $u : \mathbb{S}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of Σ is defined as

$$u(x) = \sup\{\langle x, y \rangle : y \in \Sigma\} = \langle x, \nu^{-1}(x) \rangle, \quad x \in \mathbb{S}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}.$$

One can verify that the second fundamental form h is given by the expression

$$h_{ij}[u] = \nabla_i \nabla_j u + u \delta_{ij}.$$

Using this and the Ricci identity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_l h_{ij} &= \nabla_l \nabla_i \nabla_j u + (\nabla_l u) \delta_{ij} = \nabla_i \nabla_l \nabla_j u + \bar{R}_{lijm} \nabla_m u + (\nabla_l u) \delta_{ij} \\ &= \nabla_i \nabla_l \nabla_j u + (\delta_{lj} \delta_{im} - \delta_{lm} \delta_{ij}) \nabla_m u + (\nabla_l u) \delta_{ij} \\ &= \nabla_i \nabla_l \nabla_j u + (\nabla_i u) \delta_{lj} = \nabla_i h_{lj} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_k \nabla_l h_{ij} &= \nabla_k \nabla_i h_{lj} = \nabla_i \nabla_k h_{lj} + \bar{R}_{kilm} h_{mj} + \bar{R}_{kijm} h_{lm} \\ &= \nabla_i \nabla_j h_{kl} + (\delta_{kl} \delta_{im} - \delta_{km} \delta_{il}) h_{mj} + (\delta_{kj} \delta_{im} - \delta_{km} \delta_{ij}) h_{lm} \\ &= \nabla_i \nabla_j h_{kl} + \delta_{kl} h_{ij} - h_{kj} \delta_{il} + \delta_{kj} h_{il} - h_{kl} \delta_{ij}, \end{aligned}$$

where \bar{R}_{ijkl} is the Riemannian curvature tensor on the sphere \mathbb{S}^n . Hence, it follows that

$$\Delta h_{ij} = \nabla_i \nabla_j \operatorname{tr}(h) + n h_{ij} - \operatorname{tr}(h) \delta_{ij}. \quad (2.8)$$

The eigenvalues of h correspond to the reciprocals of the principal curvatures $\kappa_i = \kappa_i[u]$ so that

$$\sigma_k(\nabla^2 u + uI) = \sigma_k(\kappa_1[u]^{-1}, \dots, \kappa_n[u]^{-1}).$$

By using (2.3), equation (1.2) can be reformulated as

$$\frac{\det(\nabla^2 u + uI)}{\sigma_{n-k}(\nabla^2 u + uI)} = \frac{f u^{p-1}}{\lambda} \quad \text{on } \mathbb{S}^n. \quad (2.9)$$

In light of equation (1.3), equation (2.9) might be referred to as an L_p -type curvature equation. Since $\sigma_k^{1/k}$ is concave operator, it is natural to define $F(A) = (\sigma_n(A)/\sigma_{n-k}(A))^{1/k}$. We then rewrite (2.9) as

$$F(\nabla^2 u + uI) = (f \lambda^{-1})^{1/k} u^{\frac{p-1}{k}} \quad \text{on } \mathbb{S}^n.$$

We conclude this section by establishing the existence and uniqueness results for (1.2) when $k > p + 1$. The following two propositions prove Theorem 1.4. As mentioned in the introduction, the existence result is a consequence of Theorem 1.5 in [20].

Proposition 2.1. *Let $p > k + 1$, and let f be a smooth positive function on \mathbb{S}^n . Then there exists a strictly convex hypersurface Σ satisfying (1.2).*

Proof. It suffices to show the following (i) barrier condition and (ii) convexity property in [20]: (i) there is a constant $r > 1$ such that

$$\tilde{f}\left(X, \frac{X}{|X|}\right) \leq \frac{\sigma_k(1, 1, \dots, 1)}{r^k} \quad \text{for } |X| = r,$$

where $\tilde{f}(X, \nu) = \frac{\lambda\psi(\nu)}{\langle X, \nu \rangle^{p-1}}$; (ii) $\tilde{f}^{-1/k}(X, \nu)$ is locally convex in X for any fixed $\nu \in \mathbb{S}^n$.

The former holds since $\tilde{f}(X, X/|X|) = \lambda\psi(\nu)r^{1-p}$ and $1 - p < -k$, and the latter follows from $\tilde{f}^{-1/k}(X, \nu) = (\lambda\psi(\nu))^{-1/k} \langle X, \nu \rangle^{\frac{p-1}{k}}$ and $\frac{p-1}{k} > 1$. \square

Proposition 2.2. *Suppose $p > k + 1$. If Σ_1 and Σ_2 are two admissible solutions of (1.2), then $\Sigma_1 = \Sigma_2$.*

Proof. Let ρ_i , u_i , and ν_i ($i = 1, 2$) be the radial function, support function, and outward unit normal of Σ_i , respectively. Note that ρ_1 and ρ_2 are positive functions. Suppose that $v = \log(\rho_1/\rho_2)$ attains its maximum at $x_0 \in \mathbb{S}^n$. Then, at x_0 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \nabla_j v = \frac{\nabla_j \rho_1}{\rho_1} - \frac{\nabla_j \rho_2}{\rho_2}, \\ 0 &\geq \nabla_i \nabla_j v = \frac{\nabla_i \nabla_j \rho_1}{\rho_1} - \frac{\nabla_i \rho_1 \nabla_j \rho_1}{\rho_1^2} - \frac{\nabla_i \nabla_j \rho_2}{\rho_2} + \frac{\nabla_i \rho_2 \nabla_j \rho_2}{\rho_2^2} \\ &= \frac{\nabla_i \nabla_j \rho_1}{\rho_1} - \frac{\nabla_i \nabla_j \rho_2}{\rho_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then it follows from (2.4) and (2.7) that

$$\frac{g_{ij}[\rho_1]}{\rho_1^2} = \delta_{ij} + \frac{\nabla_i \rho_1 \nabla_j \rho_1}{\rho_1^2} = \delta_{ij} + \frac{\nabla_i \rho_2 \nabla_j \rho_2}{\rho_2^2} = \frac{g_{ij}[\rho_2]}{\rho_2^2} \quad (2.10)$$

$$\frac{h_{ij}[\rho_1]}{\rho_1} = (1 + |\nabla \rho_1|^2 / \rho_1^2)^{-1/2} \left(\delta_{ij} + 2 \frac{\nabla_i \rho_1 \nabla_j \rho_1}{\rho_1^2} - \frac{\nabla_i \nabla_j \rho_1}{\rho_1} \right) \geq \frac{h_{ij}[\rho_2]}{\rho_2}. \quad (2.11)$$

Similarly, by utilizing homogeneity, we can obtain from (2.5) and (2.6) that

$$\nu_1 = \nu_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{u_1}{\rho_1} = \frac{u_2}{\rho_2}. \quad (2.12)$$

Since the principal curvatures are the eigenvalue of h_{ij} with respect to g_{ij} , it follows from (2.10) and (2.11) that

$$\rho_1 \kappa_i[\rho_1] \geq \rho_2 \kappa_i[\rho_2] \quad \text{for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\rho_1^k \sigma_k(\kappa[\rho_1]) \geq \rho_2^k \sigma_k(\kappa[\rho_2]).$$

Using (1.2) and (2.12), we get

$$\lambda u_1^{1-p} = f(\nu_1) \sigma_k(\kappa[\rho_1]) \geq f(\nu_2) \left(\frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1} \right)^k \sigma_k(\kappa[\rho_2]) = \lambda \left(\frac{u_2}{u_1} \right)^k u_2^{1-p}.$$

This implies that $\rho_1^{k+1-p} \geq \rho_2^{k+1-p}$. Since $p > k + 1$, we have $\rho_1 \leq \rho_2$ at x_0 . Consequently, $\max_{\mathbb{S}^n} v \leq 1$. Similarly, we obtain $\min_{\mathbb{S}^n} v \geq 1$, which proves that $v \equiv 1$ and, therefore, $\rho_1 \equiv \rho_2$. \square

3. VOLUME AND DIAMETER ESTIMATES FOR $p > k + 1$

In this section, we provide estimates for volume and diameter, which will be used to extract a sequence after suitable scaling in a subsequent section. According to Theorem 1.4, there exists a unique, strictly convex solution $u = u_p$ to (1.2) with $\lambda = 1$ after appropriate dilation, provided $p > k + 1$.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume $p > k + 1$. If u is the solution to equation (1.2) with $\lambda = 1$, then for the volume $V(\Sigma)$ of the region bounded by the associated hypersurface Σ , it holds that*

$$\frac{1}{\max_{\mathbb{S}^n} f} \leq \left(\frac{V(\Sigma)}{V(B_1)} \right)^{\frac{p-k-1}{n+1}} \leq \frac{1}{\min_{\mathbb{S}^n} f}. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. Let ρ be the radius of the ball centered at the origin such that $V(B_\rho) = V(\Sigma)$. We first observe

$$\min_{\mathbb{S}^n} u \leq \rho \leq \max_{\mathbb{S}^n} u.$$

If u achieves its minimum at $x_0 \in \mathbb{S}^n$, then $\nabla^2 u(x_0) \geq 0$ and consequently, $h_{ij} = \nabla_i \nabla_j u + u \delta_{ij} \geq u \delta_{ij}$ at x_0 . Since the eigenvalues of h_{ij} are the principal radii, we deduce $\kappa_i \leq u^{-1}$ and $\sigma_k(\kappa) \leq u^{-k}$ at the point x_0 . It follows from (1.2) that

$$\frac{1}{f(x_0)} = u(x_0)^{p-1} \sigma_k(\kappa)(x_0) \leq u(x_0)^{p-k-1} \leq \rho^{p-k-1},$$

and hence, the first inequality in (3.1) is derived from

$$V(\Sigma) = V(B_\rho) = \rho^{n+1} V(B_1).$$

The second inequality in (3.1) can be obtained through similar arguments, by considering the maximum point of u rather than the minimum point. \square

The next lemma is valid for a more extensive range of p ; however, the range $p > k + 1$ suffices for proving the main theorem.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $p > 1 - k/n > 0$. If u is the solution to (1.2) with $\lambda = 1$ and Σ is the associated hypersurface, then there exists a constant C that depends only on n , k , p , and $\min_{\mathbb{S}^n} f$ such that*

$$\max_{\mathbb{S}^n} u \leq C [V(\Sigma)]^{\frac{1}{p_*}}, \quad (3.2)$$

where $p_* = 1 + \frac{(p-1)n}{k} > 0$. Here, the constant C is finite for all $p > 1 - k/n$ and increases in p

Remark 3.3. Due to the monotone increasing property of the constant in equation (3.2), it uniformly holds for $p \in (k + 1, k + 1 + \epsilon)$, where $\epsilon > 0$ is any number.

Proof. Suppose that u attains its maximum at $x_0 \in \mathbb{S}^n$. Due to the convexity of Σ , the hypersurface Σ encloses the line segment joining $u(x_0)x_0$ and the origin. Thus we have

$$u(x) \geq \max\{\langle u(x_0)x_0, x \rangle, 0\} = u(x_0) \max\{\langle x_0, x \rangle, 0\}. \quad (3.3)$$

Applying the Maclaurin inequality (2.1), we deduce that $c_k \sigma_k^{1/k} \geq c_n \sigma_n^{1/n}$. This leads to

$$\frac{cu}{K} = \frac{cu}{\sigma_n} \geq \frac{u}{\sigma_k^{n/k}} = u^{1 + \frac{(p-1)n}{k}} f^{\frac{n}{k}} = u^{p_*} f^{\frac{n}{k}}$$

for some dimensional constant $c > 0$. Hence,

$$c(n+1)V(\Sigma) = c \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \frac{u}{K} \geq \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} u^{p_*} f^{\frac{n}{k}} \geq \left(\min_{\mathbb{S}^n} f \right)^{\frac{n}{k}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} u^{p_*}. \quad (3.4)$$

Observe that $p_* = 1 + \frac{(p-1)n}{k} = \frac{pn-(n-k)}{k} > 0$. From (3.3), we derive

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} u^{p_*} \geq u(x_0)^{p_*} \int_{\langle x_0, x \rangle \geq 0} \langle x_0, x \rangle^{p_*}, \quad (3.5)$$

where the last integral is invariant under x_0 due to symmetry and is a positive constant that decreases in p_* . The conclusion then follows from (3.4) and (3.5). \square

The C^1 estimate is derived from the convexity property.

Lemma 3.4. *Let u be a smooth, strictly convex solution to (1.1). Then*

$$|\nabla u| \leq \max_{\mathbb{S}^n} u.$$

Proof. Since $|X|^2 = u^2 + |\nabla u|^2$, we have

$$|\nabla u| \leq \sqrt{u^2 + |\nabla u|^2} = |X| \leq \max_{\mathbb{S}^n} |X| = \max_{\mathbb{S}^n} u$$

which completes the proof. \square

4. UNIFORM POSITIVE LOWER BOUND OF CURVATURE FOR $0 < p - k - 1 < \varepsilon$

The purpose of this section is to establish that the sum of the reciprocals of the principal curvatures remains bounded by the same constant for $k+1 < p < k+1 + \varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon > 0$ is any number.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that $p > k+1$, and let u be the solution of the equation (1.2) with $\lambda = 1$. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\kappa_i} \leq \frac{2n(p-1)}{k} \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{p-1}{k}} \left\| f^{\frac{1}{k}} \right\|_{C^{1,1}}.$$

Proof. Observe that

$$W := \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\kappa_i} = \text{tr}(h) = \Delta u + nu.$$

We will apply the maximum principle to W . By recalling equation (2.8) and taking semi-positive definite matrix $F^{ij} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial h_{ij}}$ to both sides of the equation, we obtain

$$F^{ij} \Delta h_{ij} = F^{ij} \nabla_i \nabla_j W + nF^{ij} h_{ij} - W \sum_{i=1}^n F^{ii}. \quad (4.1)$$

Here and henceforth, we employ the Einstein summation convention, in which repeated upper and lower indices are automatically summed.

Since F is homogeneous of degree one, we obtain

$$F^{ij} h_{ij} = F = u^{\frac{p-1}{k}} f^{\frac{1}{k}}.$$

It is known [35] (see also [18]) that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial h_{ii}} \left(\frac{\sigma_n / \binom{n}{n}}{\sigma_{n-k} / \binom{n}{n-k}} \right)^{1/k} \geq n \quad \text{so} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n F^{ii} \geq n \left[\binom{n}{k} \right]^{-1/k} \geq 1.$$

By taking the second derivatives to equation (1.2) and utilizing the concavity of F , we obtain the inequality:

$$\Delta(u^{\frac{p-1}{k}} f^{\frac{1}{k}}) = \Delta F = F^{ij,lm} \nabla_q h_{ij} \nabla^q h_{lm} + F^{ij} \Delta h_{ij} \leq F^{ij} \Delta h_{ij}. \quad (4.2)$$

As $p > k + 1$, we have $a := \frac{p-1}{k} > 1$. Note that $\Delta u = W - nu \geq -nu$. Since

$$\Delta u^a = au^{a-1} \Delta u + a(a-1)u^{a-2} |\nabla u|^2 \geq au^{a-1} \Delta u = -nau^a,$$

we obtain through direct computation

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(u^a f^{\frac{1}{k}}) &= f^{\frac{1}{k}} \Delta u^a + 2 \nabla u^a \nabla f^{\frac{1}{k}} + u^a \Delta f^{\frac{1}{k}} \\ &\geq -2au^{a-1} |\nabla u| |\nabla f^{\frac{1}{k}}| + u^a (\Delta f^{\frac{1}{k}} - naf^{\frac{1}{k}}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, combining with (4.2), we have

$$F^{ij} \Delta h_{ij} \geq -2au^{a-1} |\nabla u| |\nabla f^{\frac{1}{k}}| + u^a (\Delta f^{\frac{1}{k}} - naf^{\frac{1}{k}}).$$

Thus, (4.1) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} F^{ij} \nabla_i \nabla_j W &= F^{ij} \Delta h_{ij} - nF^{ij} h_{ij} + W \sum_{i=1}^n F^{ii} \\ &\geq -2au^{a-1} |\nabla u| |\nabla f^{\frac{1}{k}}| + u^a (\Delta f^{\frac{1}{k}} - n(a+1)f^{\frac{1}{k}}) + W. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.4, we deduce at the maximum point of W ,

$$W \leq 2au^{a-1} |\nabla u| |\nabla f^{\frac{1}{k}}| - u^a (\Delta f^{\frac{1}{k}} - n(a+1)f^{\frac{1}{k}}) \leq 2na \|u\|_{L^\infty}^a \|f^{\frac{1}{k}}\|_{C^{1,1}},$$

which completes our proof. \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

We first prove the existence of a strictly convex solution to (1.1). Suppose $0 < p - k - 1 < 1$, and let u_p be the unique positive solution to (1.2) with $\lambda = 1$. This is possible due to Theorem 1.4. Let Σ_p be the associated hypersurface whose support function is u_p . By the uniqueness of u_p and the evenness of ψ , we see that Σ_p is origin-symmetric.

Recalling p_* in Lemma 3.2, we see that

$$\frac{1}{p_*} - \frac{1}{n+1} = \frac{k}{np - n + k} - \frac{1}{n+1} = \frac{n(k+1-p)}{(np - n + k)(n+1)}.$$

By Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, we have

$$u_p \leq C [V(\Sigma_p)]^{\frac{1}{p_*}} = C [V(\Sigma_p)]^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \left[V(\Sigma_p)^{\frac{p-k-1}{n+1}} \right]^{-\frac{n}{(np-n+k)}} \leq C [V(\Sigma_p)]^{\frac{1}{n+1}}. \quad (5.1)$$

Here we may assume the constant C is uniform in p since we restrict the upper bound of p .

Define the volume normalized solution as

$$\tilde{u}_p = \frac{u_p}{[V(\Sigma_p)]^{\frac{1}{n+1}}}$$

and denote by $\tilde{\Sigma}_p$ the associated hypersurface of \tilde{u}_p . Then \tilde{u}_p solves

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_k(\kappa)} = [V(\Sigma_p)]^{\frac{p-k-1}{n+1}} u^{p-1} f =: \frac{u^{p-1} f}{\lambda_p} \quad \text{on } \mathbb{S}^n. \quad (5.2)$$

Moreover, it follows from the definition of \tilde{u}_p , (5.1), and Lemma 3.1 that

$$V(\tilde{\Sigma}_p) = 1, \quad 0 < \tilde{u}_p \leq C, \quad \text{and} \quad 1/C \leq \lambda_p \leq C. \quad (5.3)$$

We can assume that, by considering a subsequence, \tilde{u}_p and λ_p converge uniformly to a function u_0 and a number λ_0 , respectively, as p approaches $k+1$ from above. Note that

$$0 \leq u_0 \leq C \quad \text{and} \quad 1/C \leq \lambda_0 \leq C.$$

Furthermore, it follows from the Blaschke selection theorem that u_0 is the support function of some convex hypersurface, say Σ_0 . Since Σ_p are origin-symmetric, so is Σ_0 . Thus, if $u_0(x_0) = 0$ at a point x_0 , then we have $u_0(-x_0) = 0$, which contradicts to $V(\Sigma_0) = 1$. Therefore, $u_0 > 0$ on \mathbb{S}^n .

Our purpose is now to show that the pair (Σ_0, λ_0) is the desired solution to (1.1), which can be done by using Lemma 4.1. Indeed, let \tilde{u}_{p_j} be a sequence such that $\tilde{u}_{p_j} \rightarrow u_0$ uniformly. Since $u_0 > 0$, we may assume that

$$\tilde{u}_{p_j} \geq \frac{1}{2} \min_{\mathbb{S}^n} u_0 > 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{S}^n. \quad (5.4)$$

Applying Lemma 4.1 to u_{p_j} and using (5.1), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\tilde{\kappa}_i} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{V(\Sigma_p)^{-\frac{1}{n+1}}}{\kappa_i} \leq C V(\Sigma_p)^{\frac{p-1}{k(n+1)} - \frac{1}{n+1}} = C \lambda_p^{-\frac{1}{k}} \leq C, \quad (5.5)$$

where $\{\tilde{\kappa}_i\}$ are the principal curvatures of \tilde{u}_{p_j} and the last constant C does not depend on p . Combining (5.2), (5.3), (5.4), and (5.5), we obtain

$$\max_i \tilde{\kappa}_i \leq \frac{\sigma_k(\tilde{\kappa})}{(\min_i \tilde{\kappa}_i)^{k-1}} \leq \frac{u^{1-p}}{\lambda_p f (\min_i \tilde{\kappa}_i)^{k-1}} \leq C.$$

Therefore, \tilde{u}_{p_j} solves the following uniformly elliptic equation

$$\frac{\det(\nabla^2 u + uI)}{\sigma_{n-k}(\nabla^2 u + uI)} = \frac{u^{p-1} f}{\lambda_p} \quad \text{on } \mathbb{S}^n,$$

and by the standard elliptic theory, we have $C^{3,\alpha}$ estimates:

$$\|\tilde{u}_{p_j}\|_{C^{3,\alpha}(\mathbb{S}^n)} \leq C.$$

Passing to a subsequence, we finally see that u_0 with λ_0 satisfies (1.1).

Lastly, we prove uniqueness. Assume that (1.1) has two distinct k -convex solutions, namely (Σ_1, λ_1) and (Σ_2, λ_2) . Notice that since (1.1) is scale invariant, any solution multiplied by a constant continues to be a solution. By appropriately scaling Σ_2 , we can ensure that Σ_2 is enclosed within Σ_1 and touches Σ_1 at a point X_0 . We then observe that, at the point X_0 ,

$$\frac{\lambda_1 \psi(\nu_{\Sigma_1}(X_0))}{\langle X_0, \nu_{\Sigma_1}(X_0) \rangle^k} = \sigma_k(\kappa[\Sigma_1]) \leq \sigma_k(\kappa[\Sigma_2]) = \frac{\lambda_2 \psi(\nu_{\Sigma_2}(X_0))}{\langle X_0, \nu_{\Sigma_2}(X_0) \rangle^k},$$

where we used the monotonicity (2.2) of σ_k with respect to κ . Since $\nu_{\Sigma_1}(X_0) = \nu_{\Sigma_2}(X_0)$, we conclude that $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$. Changing the role of Σ_1 and Σ_2 , we also get $\lambda_2 \leq \lambda_1$. Therefore, $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$.

Suppose we have two different solutions, Σ_1 and Σ_2 , of equation (1.1) with the same λ . If Σ_2 is not a constant multiple of Σ_1 , we can multiply Σ_2 by an appropriate constant so that, after rotation if necessary, parts of Σ_1 and Σ_2 can be represented as graphs of U_1 and U_2 , respectively, over the same domain Ω with $U_1 = U_2$ on $\partial\Omega$ and $U_1 > U_2$ in Ω .

On the other hand, it is known that $\sigma_k(\kappa[\Sigma_i]) = G(DU_i, D^2U_i)$ for some elliptic operator if $\kappa[\Sigma_i] \in \Gamma_k$, as shown in [7] (see also [8]). Hence, for $i = 1, 2$, U_i satisfies

$$G(DU_i, D^2U_i) = \frac{\lambda\psi((DU_i, -1)/\sqrt{1+|DU_i|^2})}{(x \cdot DU_i(x) - U_i)^k}$$

since $\nu_{\Sigma_i} = \frac{(DU_i, -1)}{\sqrt{1+|DU_i|^2}}$ and $X(\Sigma_i) = (x, U_i(x))$. However, this result contradicts the maximum principle, which completes the proof.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (no. RS-2023-00211258).

REFERENCES

- [1] BAO, J., CHEN, J., GUAN, B., AND JI, M. Liouville property and regularity of a Hessian quotient equation. *Amer. J. Math.* 125, 2 (2003), 301–316.
- [2] BÖRÖCZKY, K. J., HENK, M., AND POLLEHN, H. Subspace concentration of dual curvature measures of symmetric convex bodies. *J. Differential Geom.* 109, 3 (2018), 411–429.
- [3] BÖRÖCZKY, K. J., LUTWAK, E., YANG, D., AND ZHANG, G. The logarithmic Minkowski problem. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 26, 3 (2013), 831–852.
- [4] BRENDLE, S., CHOI, K., AND DASKALOPOULOS, P. Asymptotic behavior of flows by powers of the Gaussian curvature. *Acta Math.* 219, 1 (2017), 1–16.
- [5] BRYAN, P., IVAKI, M., AND SCHEUER, J. Christoffel-Minkowski flows. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 376, 4 (2023), 2373–2393.
- [6] CAFFARELLI, L., NIRENBERG, L., AND SPRUCK, J. The Dirichlet problem for nonlinear second-order elliptic equations. III. Functions of the eigenvalues of the Hessian. *Acta Math.* 155, 3-4 (1985), 261–301.
- [7] CAFFARELLI, L., NIRENBERG, L., AND SPRUCK, J. Nonlinear second order elliptic equations. IV. Star-shaped compact Weingarten hypersurfaces. In *Current topics in partial differential equations*. Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1986, pp. 1–26.
- [8] CAFFARELLI, L., NIRENBERG, L., AND SPRUCK, J. Nonlinear second-order elliptic equations. V. The Dirichlet problem for Weingarten hypersurfaces. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 41, 1 (1988), 47–70.
- [9] CHEN, C., AND XU, L. The L_p Minkowski type problem for a class of mixed Hessian quotient equations. *Adv. Math.* 411, part A (2022), Paper No. 108794, 27.
- [10] CHOI, B., AND DASKALOPOULOS, P. Evolution of noncompact hypersurfaces by inverse mean curvature. *Duke Math. J.* 170, 12 (2021), 2755–2803.
- [11] CHOU, K.-S., AND WANG, X.-J. The L_p -Minkowski problem and the Minkowski problem in centroaffine geometry. *Adv. Math.* 205, 1 (2006), 33–83.
- [12] DASKALOPOULOS, P., AND HUISKEN, G. Inverse mean curvature evolution of entire graphs. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 61, 2 (2022), Paper No. 53.
- [13] GAO, S., LI, H., AND MA, H. Uniqueness of closed self-similar solutions to σ_k^c -curvature flow. *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.* 25, 5 (2018), Paper No. 45, 26.
- [14] GUAN, B., AND GUAN, P. Convex hypersurfaces of prescribed curvatures. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 156, 2 (2002), 655–673.

- [15] GUAN, P., LI, J., AND LI, Y. Hypersurfaces of prescribed curvature measure. *Duke Math. J.* 161, 10 (2012), 1927–1942.
- [16] GUAN, P., LIN, C., AND MA, X. The Christoffel-Minkowski problem. II. Weingarten curvature equations. *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B* 27, 6 (2006), 595–614.
- [17] GUAN, P., LIN, C., AND MA, X.-N. The existence of convex body with prescribed curvature measures. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, 11 (2009), 1947–1975.
- [18] GUAN, P., AND MA, X.-N. The Christoffel-Minkowski problem. I. Convexity of solutions of a Hessian equation. *Invent. Math.* 151, 3 (2003), 553–577.
- [19] GUAN, P., MA, X.-N., AND ZHOU, F. The Christoffel-Minkowski problem. III. Existence and convexity of admissible solutions. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 59, 9 (2006), 1352–1376.
- [20] GUAN, P., REN, C., AND WANG, Z. Global C^2 -estimates for convex solutions of curvature equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 68, 8 (2015), 1287–1325.
- [21] GUAN, P., AND XIA, C. L^p Christoffel-Minkowski problem: the case $1 < p < k + 1$. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 57, 2 (2018), Paper No. 69, 23.
- [22] GUANG, Q., LI, Q.-R., AND WANG, X.-J. The L_p -Minkowski problem with super-critical exponents. Preprint [arXiv:2203.05099](https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.05099) (2022).
- [23] HU, C., MA, X.-N., AND SHEN, C. On the Christoffel-Minkowski problem of Firey’s p -sum. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 21, 2 (2004), 137–155.
- [24] HUISKEN, G. Asymptotic behavior for singularities of the mean curvature flow. *J. Differential Geom.* 31, 1 (1990), 285–299.
- [25] HUISKEN, G., AND SINISTRARI, C. Convexity estimates for mean curvature flow and singularities of mean convex surfaces. *Acta Math.* 183, 1 (1999), 45–70.
- [26] KRYLOV, N. V. On the general notion of fully nonlinear second-order elliptic equations. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 347, 3 (1995), 857–895.
- [27] LIONS, P.-L. Two remarks on Monge-Ampère equations. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)* 142 (1985), 263–275 (1986).
- [28] LUTWAK, E. The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory. I. Mixed volumes and the Minkowski problem. *J. Differential Geom.* 38, 1 (1993), 131–150.
- [29] LUTWAK, E., AND OLIKER, V. On the regularity of solutions to a generalization of the Minkowski problem. *J. Differential Geom.* 41, 1 (1995), 227–246.
- [30] LUTWAK, E., YANG, D., AND ZHANG, G. L_p dual curvature measures. *Adv. Math.* 329 (2018), 85–132.
- [31] MCCOY, J. A. Self-similar solutions of fully nonlinear curvature flows. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)* 10, 2 (2011), 317–333.
- [32] OLIKER, V. I. Hypersurfaces in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} with prescribed Gaussian curvature and related equations of Monge-Ampère type. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 9, 8 (1984), 807–838.
- [33] SALANI, P. A Brunn-Minkowski inequality for the Monge-Ampère eigenvalue. *Adv. Math.* 194, 1 (2005), 67–86.
- [34] SCHNEIDER, R. *Convex bodies: the Brunn-Minkowski theory*, expanded ed., vol. 151 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014.
- [35] TRUDINGER, N. S. The Dirichlet problem for the prescribed curvature equations. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 111, 2 (1990), 153–179.
- [36] TRUDINGER, N. S. On the Dirichlet problem for Hessian equations. *Acta Math.* 175, 2 (1995), 151–164.
- [37] TSO, K. On a real Monge-Ampère functional. *Invent. Math.* 101, 2 (1990), 425–448.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, KOREA INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, SEOUL 02455, KOREA
 Email address: taehun@kias.re.kr